

## **Preliminary DRAFT 2020 Fairfax County Legislative Program**

### **INDEX**

#### **PRIORITIES**

1.	Funding Core Services	K-12 Funding	2
2.	Funding Core Services	Regional Transportation Funding	3
3.	Funding Core Services	Transportation Funding	3
4.	Funding Core Services	State Budget	5
5.	Governance	Local Authority	6

#### **INITIATIVES/ACTION STATEMENTS**

Personnel	Addition of Member to the Police Officers Retirement System (PORS) Board of Trustees	8
Personnel	PORS Purchase of Membership Service Credit	8

#### **POSITION STATEMENTS**

Environment	Global Climate Change/Environmental Sustainability Initiatives	9
	Land Conservation	9
	Reducing Environmental Contamination from Plastic and Paper Bags	10
Funding	Courts	10
	Economic Success	11
	Jails	11
	Libraries	11
	Water Quality Funding	12
General Laws	Elections	12
	Sexual Orientation	13
Health	Alternative On-Site Sewage Systems	13
	Lyme Disease	13
Human Services	Affordable Housing and Homelessness Prevention	13
	Mental Health, Public Safety, and the Criminal Justice System	14
	Substance Use Disorder	15
Land Use	Proffers	16
	Wireless Telecommunications Facilities	16
Public Safety	Accessibility	16
	Dangerous Weapons	17
	First Responders and Mental Health	17
Taxation	Communications Sales and Use Tax	18
Transportation	Secondary Road Devolution	18
	Pedestrian Safety and Access to Transit	18

#### **FACT SHEETS**

(To be added at a future Committee meeting)

(Note: New language is **highlighted**.)

## **Preliminary Draft 2020 Fairfax County Legislative Program**

Fairfax County and the Commonwealth have long maintained a strong partnership in promoting economic development. The County has created a strong business climate, with a fair and competitive tax structure, excellent schools, an educated workforce, and services and amenities that attract new businesses every year. The County has also placed an increased emphasis on social and racial equity through the establishment of One Fairfax, which commits the County and Fairfax County Public Schools (FCPS) to intentionally consider equity when making policies or delivering programs and services, because all residents deserve an opportunity to succeed. Both the Commonwealth and the County have benefited from this partnership.

**Unfortunately, it has been the practice of the Commonwealth to significantly underfund core services, leaving localities to fill funding gaps with local revenues in order to maintain essential services.** This poses a particular threat to economic development efforts, as state funding cuts in recent years, coupled with the impact the recession has had on local revenues, threaten to destroy the very attributes that draw and retain businesses. Without solutions that provide funding to keep pace with the growth of Virginia's economy, the state is at risk of slipping further in economic competitiveness.

The Commonwealth's partnership with localities is a key factor in maintaining that competitiveness. State revenues have improved significantly in recent years, and it is critically important that Virginia continue to invest the resources necessary to educate its citizens at all levels, ensure the rule of law, protect its natural resources, provide for the basic needs of the less fortunate, and build a sound infrastructure, in order to remain a competitive state and an attractive place for economic development. The critical state-local funding partnership must continue to be restored so that the Commonwealth can emerge from the recent fiscal crisis even stronger, as an investment in Virginia will pay dividends for years to come.

## Priorities

### Funding Core Services

#### 1.) K-12 Funding – Joint Position with the Fairfax County School Board

**Public education funding in the Commonwealth is enshrined in the Virginia Constitution as a joint responsibility of both state and local governments, so it is essential that the state fully meet its Constitutional responsibility to adequately fund K-12 education. Unfortunately, the Commonwealth continues to allow critical gaps to persist between state funding and the actual costs of providing a high-quality education, placing more of the fiscal burden on localities while substantially limiting local revenue sources, creating a discrepancy that has become increasingly untenable.**

Though there have been some recent helpful infusions of state funding, the current funding formulas do not adequately address the challenges facing high cost of living localities like Fairfax County. Those challenges are exacerbated by structural features in the formulas that systematically underestimate actual local costs and continue to leave statewide funding far behind the inflation-adjusted FY 2009 level. As the Joint Legislative Audit and Review Commission (JLARC) noted in its 2015 review of K-12 spending, localities provided a majority of total funding for school divisions in FY 2014, contributing an additional \$3.6 billion beyond the minimum funding required. JLARC also noted that in FY 2013, Virginia ranked 23rd nationwide in total per-student spending primarily because education spending effort from Virginia's localities ranked 11th overall. More recent data from JLARC (from FY 2016) showed that Virginia's state per pupil funding effort was among the lowest in the US, ranking 42nd nationwide. This reflects Virginia's overreliance on local effort and a continued imbalance in what should be a state and local partnership.

Virginia businesses emphatically assert that strong public schools and an educated workforce are essential elements in their decision to locate and remain in Virginia. Investments in early childhood and K-12 education provide a foundation for learning and achievement, often reducing or eliminating the need for more costly interventions and spurring economic development. Failure to adequately meet the needs of the youngest Virginians can create repercussions for individual families, the larger community, and the Commonwealth. Moving Virginia's economy forward requires substantially increasing state investments in K-12 education.

The Boards strongly support:

- Fully funding the biennial re-benchmark of Direct Aid to Public Education;
- Elimination of the support positions ratio cap, which has artificially lowered the state's funding contributions for critical educational support positions by hundreds of millions of dollars annually since its adoption in 2009;
- Restoration of full funding for a Cost of Competing Adjustment (COCA) for support personnel, a factor used in the state K-12 funding formula recognizing the competitive salaries required in high cost of living regions to attract and retain high-quality personnel;
- Increased state funding support for school divisions with high numbers or concentrations of English language learners (costs are approximately 30 percent more than general education), students living in economically disadvantaged households (costs are approximately 10 percent more than general education), and students receiving special education and mental health services (costs are approximately 100 percent more than general education);
- Realistic and appropriately funded Standards of Quality consistent with actual local staffing costs and flexibility to allow for variations in local staffing needs and capacity;
- Use of true weighted averages (which account for actual numbers of students/personnel) when calculating average teacher salaries and other education costs, instead of linear weighted estimators which significantly understate the true local costs of teacher salaries and other costs throughout the Commonwealth;

- Recognition of cost of living variations throughout the Commonwealth in state funding formulas, in order to more accurately determine a locality's true ability to pay; and,
- Increased state resources for early childhood education programs which help young children enter kindergarten prepared to succeed.

The Boards strongly oppose:

- State budget cuts that disproportionately target or affect Northern Virginia;
- State policies which divert K-12 education funding away from local public schools and toward non-public options; and,
- Structural cuts or formula/policy changes which impose unfunded mandates on localities, further weakening the partnership between the state and localities.

*(Updates and reaffirms previous position.)*

## **2.) Regional Transportation Funding**

**The Commonwealth must restore funding to the Northern Virginia Transportation Authority (NVTA) in an amount equal to what was diverted, to ensure that transportation projects continue to move forward in Northern Virginia after decades of state underfunding.**

The Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority (WMATA) is vital to the transportation networks and economic growth of Northern Virginia and the Commonwealth. The 2018 General Assembly (GA) took a major step forward by providing funding and governance reforms to address WMATA's efforts to enhance the safety, security, and efficiency of the system and its riders. The County and the region have worked to implement the governance reforms. However, the legislation that was enacted addressed WMATA funding needs at the expense of other significant projects throughout the region by diverting existing funding from NVTA to WMATA, reducing funding available for other critical transportation projects in Northern Virginia by \$102 million per year. Though numerous stakeholders agreed that utilizing some existing NVTA funds for WMATA, in addition to new revenues raised through other sources, would be a part of compromise legislation, the immense reallocation of funds from NVTA annually was simply too great. As a result, the County will be unable to fund any additional transportation projects in the foreseeable future, timelines for several existing County projects have been extended or project scopes have been reduced, and regional transportation funding provided in NVTA's Six Year Program was approximately \$300 million less than anticipated for a region that remains one of the most congested in the nation. Several County projects have been affected, including: the Fairfax County Parkway widening, the Frontier Drive Extension, the Davis Drive Extension, and numerous bicycle and pedestrian projects throughout the County. Similar impacts will continue in future years if the funding diversion remains in place. The 2019 GA took an important first step by providing approximately \$20 million annually to the region as part of the I-81 funding package, but substantially more funding is needed. *(Updates and reaffirms previous position.)*

## **3.) Transportation Funding**

**The Commonwealth should build upon the successful enactment of significant transportation revenues by the 2013 GA to ensure sufficient funding for transportation needs.**

Statewide and regional funding generated by HB 2313 (2013) provided substantial new resources needed to begin addressing the transportation needs of Northern Virginia and the Commonwealth. While HB 2313 has moved the Commonwealth in the right direction, transportation funding challenges remain.

- **Transit Capital Funding Bond Expiration** – During the 2015 session, the GA began to address the significant reduction in state transit capital funding expected to occur in upcoming years, due to the depletion of state transportation bonds. The Transit Capital Project Revenue Advisory Board, created through HB 1359 (2016), identified an average revenue gap of \$130 million annually over the next ten years (not including the updated capital plan for WMATA, which was released after the study's analysis was conducted). The report concluded that sustainable and dedicated bond replacement funding must be enacted, and that failure to do so would leave the transit capital program unable to maintain a state of good repair for existing assets. While the depletion of

statewide funds is no longer expected to occur as quickly and suddenly as previously projected, solutions to address the shortfall must be achieved before the crisis arrives. Changes to the transit allocation process have been implemented, but such changes must be accompanied by sufficient state funding. The County supports efforts to fully address this impending deficit, to ensure that transit systems continue to receive the state resources needed to provide critical services to residents and travelers throughout the Commonwealth.

- **Allocation of Statewide Revenues –**

- Major transportation improvements that provide benefits beyond Northern Virginia, such as WMATA state-of-good repair, I-66 Express Lanes, and river crossings, should primarily be funded by the Commonwealth and federal government. Diverting existing Northern Virginia transportation revenues for such projects (as was done for WMATA to the detriment of numerous projects) would significantly disrupt regional transportation planning and long-standing regional priorities. Further, it is critical that Northern Virginia continue to receive its fair share of statewide revenues, as required by HB 2313, particularly in light of the Smart Scale process for prioritizing projects and the MERIT process for prioritizing transit capital and operating funding. Further, the transportation funding created by HB 2313 should continue to only be used for transportation purposes.
- The 2019 GA provided helpful transition assistance in FY 2020 to aid transit systems that are projected to see a significant decrease in transit operating funds resulting from changes to distribution formulas (including an approximately \$1 million decrease in funds for the Fairfax Connector). While the County supports metrics and improving the efficiency and effectiveness of transit, it is essential that the methodology used addresses systems that provide different service types. For example, the Fairfax Connector provides several different types of service throughout the County (commuter routes along interstates, feeder routes to various Metrorail stations, and urban circulator routes in some of our activity centers), while many transit systems in the Commonwealth are less complex. This multifaceted approach to transit is extremely important to addressing the region's substantial traffic congestion, which is considered among the worst in the nation.
- The Virginia Department of Transportation's (VDOT) Northern Virginia District is only expected to receive 6.34 percent of the State of Good Repair funds in FY 2020. While 86 percent of all roads in Fairfax County are in Fair or Better Condition, only 39 percent of secondary roads meet this goal. This is a substantial improvement from the 2015 level (18 percent); however, more must be done as the County's secondary roads remain far below both the Commonwealth's average of 60 percent in Fair or Better Condition and the statewide target of 65 percent. Millions of people drive these roads every day, and the deteriorated pavements will only get worse unless additional funding is identified, or a greater portion of current funding is allocated to Northern Virginia.
- The County remains concerned about the current level of funding for the Revenue Sharing program. This program significantly leverages state transportation funds by encouraging local governments to spend their own money on transportation projects and has been essential in helping fund some of Fairfax County's major road and transit projects. Additional funding should be provided to the Revenue Sharing program, to ensure that vital projects across the Commonwealth continue to advance.
- The County supports additional funding to ensure appropriate state road maintenance, including funding to provide for improved repaving, snow removal, mowing in the right-of-way, and stormwater management.

- **Transportation and Economic Success –** The Commonwealth should provide funding assistance for the transportation needs of major employment centers to lay the groundwork for continued economic success. Fairfax County contains several major employment centers that generate public benefit for the County and the Commonwealth. These centers, such as Tysons, Springfield, Seven Corners, and Reston, must be sustainable, transit-oriented, and walkable communities, to remain successful and accommodate predicted growth. Many projects in the County's Transportation Priorities Plan (TPP) strengthen the County's major employment/activity centers, and it is important that the state and federal governments recognize their importance by helping to provide funding needed to complete these projects. It is also vital that the capacity needs across the Potomac River be addressed, including at the American Legion Bridge and Rosslyn

Tunnel, to alleviate the existing congestion and ensure the movement of people and goods throughout this economically vibrant region. Such action is essential to the economic success of Fairfax County and the Commonwealth.

- **Virginia Railway Express (VRE)** – The successful creation of the Commuter Rail Operating and Capital (CROC) fund and annual \$15 million allocation of regional gas tax revenue by the 2018 GA was a clear acknowledgement of the critical role VRE plays in the regional transportation system. It is important that these funds are protected so they continue to be utilized in a manner that best supports the system. *(VRE is currently discussing its legislative program, so this position may be updated later this fall.)*

A modern, efficient, multimodal transportation system is essential to the Commonwealth, and is intrinsically tied to continued economic development and the ability to compete in a global economy. Fairfax County, along with localities throughout the state, continues to provide millions of dollars in local funds for transportation each year, and the County and the Commonwealth must continue to work together to ensure that infrastructure needs are met, maximizing the benefits to the transportation system. *(Updates and reaffirms previous position.)*

#### **4.) State Budget**

**The Commonwealth should rebalance its resources and responsibilities so that the funding partnership with localities is restored, ensuring the delivery of critically needed services in communities throughout Virginia. State established standards for locally delivered services must be accompanied by state funding that is adequate to successfully provide those services, and accountability for successes and failures should be reciprocal, ensuring both the state and localities accept responsibility commensurate with their respective roles.**

The depth and breadth of state cuts to localities in recent years has severely stressed the state-local funding partnership. State aid to localities decreased by approximately \$1 billion between FY 2009 and FY 2016, including a five-year period in which the Commonwealth required localities to return funds to the state in order to help balance the state's budget – essentially creating a new reverse concept of “local aid to the Commonwealth,” which translated into more than \$20 million in state funding cuts to Fairfax County. During that time period, Virginia also implemented sizable structural budget cuts to K-12, costing localities more than \$1.7 billion per biennium statewide by reducing the state's required contribution to fund public education (including a cap on funding for support positions, the elimination of an inflation factor used for non-personnel support items, and formula changes that artificially reduce the state's contribution to K-12). The Governor and the 2016 GA made significant progress in improving the state's commitment to K-12, with additional progress made by the 2018 GA. However, the increased assistance in recent years has often included state funding towards salary increases – a challenge in Fairfax County as state funding for the FY 2019 and FY 2020 salary increases totaled \$18.7 million, representing only a small fraction of the \$116.5 million required to fund a five percent salary increase for all instructional and support employees.

The allocation of resources is, in fact, a way of prioritizing areas of critical importance for the state. If core services and shared state-local programs are not at the top of that list, the pro-business environment Virginia has become known for will be jeopardized. Regrettably, a national report indicates that, during the recent national recession, only a handful of state governments cut more funds to local governments and school districts than did Virginia. Though the Commonwealth's budget shortfall was the 20th largest in the nation, the state funding cut to localities was third highest among states. Essentially, Virginia relied on cuts to localities and school divisions to a greater extent than most other states.

While direct aid to localities was 52 percent of the General Fund (GF) in FY 2009, it accounts for less than 44 percent of the GF in FY 2019. And K-12, the most critical core service shared by the state and localities, dropped from 35 percent of the GF in FY 2009 to less than 30 percent in FY 2019.

In addition to increasing funding for the County priorities of K-12 and Transportation, the 2020 GA should:

- Fully restore Cost of Competing Adjustment (COCA) funding for K-12 support positions in the 2020-2022 biennium budget (*see also page 2*).
- Restore transportation revenues to NVRTA that were diverted to fund WMATA's capital funding gap for state of good repair and critical capital needs (*see also page 3*).
- Restore, or at a minimum, provide level funding, for HB 599 law enforcement funding; if state funding had consistently increased with state revenues, as is required, Fairfax County would have received approximately \$63 million in additional funding over the past ten years.
- Support funding for implementation of STEP-VA (System Transformation, Excellence and Performance in Virginia), the Commonwealth's behavioral health transformation plan (*see also Human Services Issue Paper*).
- Ensure that Medicaid expansion, a top County priority, is not implemented in a way that creates an undue fiscal impact on Community Services Boards, particularly those in Northern Virginia.
- Provide additional state funding to increase Medicaid waiver rates and slots for individuals with developmental disabilities, to provide appropriate community services and ensure the Commonwealth fulfills its responsibility to implement the federal settlement agreement (*see also Human Services Issue Paper*).

State revenues have continued to fluctuate considerably in recent years, but the revenue picture has improved dramatically as a result of several recent factors. Overall state revenues have improved, and the state completed FY 2019 with a revenue surplus of over \$797 million. Additionally, after several years and substantial effort, the GA included expansion of Medicaid as envisioned in the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act in the 2018-2020 biennium budget, providing enhanced federal revenues and freeing up state dollars to be redirected to other critical needs. It is important that the state continue to increase investments in K-12 and other essential local programs and services after years of underfunding. Now is the time for the state to focus on investments in critical core services that will continue to move Virginia forward. (*Updates and reaffirms previous position.*)

## **Governance**

A strong state and local partnership is essential to Virginia's success and the ability of both levels of government to respond to the needs of their residents. As the form of government closest to the people, local government must be provided the flexibility to serve the needs of residents, which can vary greatly from one part of the Commonwealth to another.

### **5.) Local Authority**

**Existing local government authority should be preserved, particularly in such key areas as taxation and land use, and the protection of public health, safety, and welfare, where local governments must have sufficient authority to govern effectively. Further, local authority should be enhanced to provide localities more flexibility in the administration of local government, as appropriate community solutions differ significantly from one area of the state to another. Finally, local government representatives should be included on all commissions or other bodies established by the state for the purpose of changing or reviewing local revenue authority or governance.**

The local tax structure, which has become outdated and over-reliant on property taxes, must be modernized. Local government revenues must be diversified, including the provision of equal taxing authority for counties and cities, without state mandated restrictions on use, or caps on capacity. Where possible, the state should consider updating state and local taxes to reflect changes in the economy or technology; avoid any expansion of revenue-sharing mechanisms controlled by the state; avoid any new state mandates while fully funding and/or reducing current requirements; avoid any diminution of current local taxing authority (including BPOL and machinery and tools taxes) and lessen restrictions currently imposed on local revenues; or, lessen current restrictions on the use of state funds now provided to localities for shared responsibilities. The Special Joint Subcommittee on Local Government Fiscal Stress, created

by the 2017 GA, has begun examining issues of local government fiscal stress, including issues related to local taxing authority, which could provide a helpful first step.

Local land use authority must also be preserved. Historically, local governments have served as the level of government best suited to equitably and effectively deal with local land use issues. However, recent actions by the GA have significantly eroded local land use authority, which has the effect of distancing communities and neighborhoods from decisions about development in their area. The most significant challenge to local land use authority in recent years was enacted by the 2016 GA, severely limiting proffer authority, which has long been used to ensure that new development or redevelopment is able to mitigate its impacts and address community concerns about such impacts. The negative statewide implications on residential development that followed led the 2019 GA to substantially alter the 2016 changes in ways that are helpful to local government, serving as an important lesson about the dangers of state intervention in local land use issues.

Instead of statewide land use decisions that do not reflect differences in localities throughout the Commonwealth, communities should be empowered to act through their locally elected governments to ensure orderly and balanced growth and development, allowing direct public participation and accountability in this critical process. Additionally, further restrictions on, and additional procedural hurdles for, local use of eminent domain are unnecessary and increase the cost to localities; Fairfax County has been extremely judicious and wholly appropriate in its very selective use of condemnation.

Each level of government has unique strengths. However, as a Dillon Rule state, local governments in Virginia are significantly restricted in their authority, which impedes the ability of localities to react quickly and efficiently to emerging problems. In many instances, an overemphasis on statewide uniformity does not adequately consider the particular issues experienced in growing and urbanizing localities in Northern Virginia, limiting the ability of local governments to respond to community standards and priorities. Consumer protection is an example of an area in which local government is often better equipped to address local concerns. At a minimum, the state should empower localities to solve their own problems, by providing increased authority or discretion for services that have no compelling priority or impact for the Commonwealth, thus eliminating the need to seek permission for ministerial matters from the GA each year. Moreover, efforts to encourage the new “sharing economy” must balance such interests with those of the community, safeguarding local revenue sources and land use authority – the GA achieved that balance by providing new local authority for governing short-term lodging in residential areas, which Fairfax County recently utilized to allow short-term lodging with appropriate restrictions, after a process that included significant public outreach and discussion. Additionally, requiring that all bills with a local fiscal impact be filed by the first day of the GA session would allow localities the maximum time possible to highlight potential impacts as new legislation is considered. Furthermore, local governments must be included as full participants on any state commissions and study committees examining local issues, allowing for a more complete assessment of such issues and reflecting the governing partnership that must exist between the state and localities to ensure the effective administration of government. (*Updates and reaffirms previous position.*)

## **Initiatives/Action Statements**

### **Personnel – Addition of Member to the Police Officers Retirement System (PORS) Board of Trustees**

Initiate legislation to increase the number of members on the Fairfax County PORS Board of Trustees from seven to eight, adding a third member elected by active PORS members. PORS, which provides defined benefit pension plan coverage for sworn full-time law enforcement officers of the Fairfax County Police Department, is governed by a Board of Trustees that is responsible for monitoring and selecting investments for PORS, approving asset allocation, administering the retirement plan, and making disability retirement determinations, among other duties. PORS has grown substantially over time, but the size of the Board of Trustees has not been increased to reflect that growth. Additionally, individuals in PORS do not contribute to Social Security and do not receive Social Security benefits based on their service – because PORS provides their full retirement benefit, it is appropriate to increase the representation of active duty members on the Board of Trustees.

### **Personnel – PORS Purchase of Membership Service Credit**

Initiate legislation to allow police officers who were previously employed in a position covered by the Fairfax County Employees' Retirement System (ERS) or Fairfax County Uniformed Retirement System (URS) to purchase membership service credit in PORS. Fairfax County employees participate in one of three retirement systems depending on their employment type – most County employees participate in ERS, firefighters and sheriffs (among others) participate in URS, and sworn full-time law enforcement officers of the Fairfax County Police Department participate in PORS. Currently, members of ERS and URS who move between systems during their career are entitled to purchase service credit for service rendered while a member of another County retirement system. Employees in PORS who transfer to ERS or URS are also able to purchase service credit in either of those systems. However, employees moving into PORS from another Fairfax County retirement plan are not able to purchase such credits. Legislation allowing employees who move from ERS or URS to PORS to purchase service credits for their County service would ensure similar benefits exist across all retirement systems.

## Position Statements

### *Environment*

#### **Global Climate Change/Environmental Sustainability Initiatives**

**Support efforts to reduce the County's greenhouse gas emissions and operational demand for energy through efficiency, conservation, renewable energy, education, and other measures.**

The basis for these efforts is Fairfax County's strategic direction and commitment to achieve environmental and energy goals, including those set forth in the County's 2017 Environmental Vision, 2009 Energy Policy, 2018 Operational Energy Strategy, and Comprehensive Plan.

Also, support incentives and opportunities for the expansion of renewable energy and energy efficiency initiatives, such as:

- Removal of barriers to municipal net metering, including allowing local governments to install solar facilities of up to five MW and use the energy generated on non-contiguous government-owned property. Legislation passed in 2019 established a pilot program that allows localities to use energy generated by a renewable energy project to be credited toward electric bills for other municipal accounts, though it does not allow the use of power purchase agreements (PPAs) in the pilot program which limits its usefulness to the County.
- Opportunities for consumers to purchase or generate renewable energy, including expanding the availability of net metering programs, which allow eligible customers to offset their power consumption by selling self-generated power back to the energy grid. Legislation in 2015 raised the cap on the amount of energy that may be net metered by eligible customers, but more flexibility is needed to maximize the cost-effectiveness of larger projects.
- Removal of barriers that impede third-party PPAs for renewable energy. PPAs can facilitate the adoption of renewable energy by reducing the up-front costs, thus assisting in reducing greenhouse gas emissions and other forms of pollution. Legislation was passed in 2013 to authorize a limited pilot program for PPAs, subject to a variety of restrictions and an overall cap of 50 MW on generation from PPAs.
- Legislation requiring utilities to meet targets for reducing energy consumption (i.e., an energy efficiency resource standard) and using energy efficiency to decrease the need for new generation resources such as fossil fuels (i.e., an efficiency first requirement).
- State income tax incentives for businesses or residents to defray a portion of the cost of new construction or improvements which save energy and mitigate adverse environmental impacts.
- Funding of renewable energy grant programs and incentives to assist the development and growth of energy businesses and technologies, such as renewable distributed energy generation.  
*(Updates and reaffirms previous position.)*

#### **Land Conservation**

**Support the conservation of open space, which protects vital ecological resources and the environment, provides recreational opportunities, and improves the quality of life.**

The County also supports state incentives that promote donations to park authorities or associated foundations, the prioritization of the Virginia Land Preservation Tax Credit to encourage the preservation of land for public use, and increased funding for the development and rehabilitation of park infrastructure, including trails, shelters, and fields. In addition to other benefits, the preservation of open space contributes to watershed protection, an important issue as the state works to reduce nutrient pollution in the Chesapeake Bay. *(Reaffirms previous position.)*

**Reducing Environmental Contamination from Plastic and Paper Bags**

**Support legislation or other efforts to encourage the use of reusable shopping bags and to limit the waste generated by disposable bags.**

Disposable bags are a major source of litter. On average, plastic bags are used for approximately 12 minutes and are rarely recycled, but they create significant, long-term problems for waterways, wildlife, and landfills. As the County considers strategies to address plastic waste, local authority to ban or restrict disposable bags or impose a fee for their use is critical, and is consistent with the County's environmental stewardship efforts. *(Updates and reaffirms previous position.)*

***Funding***

**Courts**

**The Commonwealth should adequately fund Virginia's courts, to ensure a well-functioning judicial branch.**

The overall underfunding of Virginia's court system continues to place additional burdens on localities and the judicial system (for example, because the state does not fund all necessary interpreters for the Fairfax Juvenile and Domestic Relations District Court (JDRDC), Fairfax County uses a mix of local dollars and volunteers to provide interpreters for certain proceedings). Providing sufficient funding for the salaries of court personnel, including clerks, magistrates, Commonwealth's Attorneys, public defenders, district court employees, and probation office employees, among others, is a critical state responsibility, but for **years the Commonwealth has ignored this obligation and has failed to adequately fund** court personnel in Fairfax County, **instead relying on the County** to ensure the efficient and appropriate administration of justice. As a result, the County provides substantial funding for additional personnel and salary supplements for state positions – for example, while the state Compensation Board provides only \$21.6 million for the Offices of the Circuit Court Clerk, Commonwealth's Attorney, and Sheriff, the County provides more than four times as much local funding (\$93.7 million) for personnel in these offices. Additionally, the District Court Staffing Model, developed by the Office of the Executive Secretary of the Supreme Court, illustrates that the statewide district court staffing crisis has a profound impact on Fairfax County, with the General District Court (GDC) needing more than 20 additional positions and JDRDC needing more than 5 additional positions. The 2018 GA's commitment to fund all judicial vacancies in FY 2020 is a step in the right direction, but the need for additional authorized and funded judgeships remains, including a 12th judgeship for the Fairfax GDC, as recommended by the Committee on District Courts in October 2018. When reevaluating the need for judgeships and state funding for each court, the quantity of filed cases and qualitative factors (including interpreters, increases in population and commercial development, and cost of living) should be considered.

Additionally, the criminal justice system is increasingly dealing with individuals with mental health and substance use disorder issues, which requires additional time and resources. In accordance with best practices, Fairfax County has increased focus on diversion programs (including a drug court, veteran's docket, and mental health docket); however, such efforts require significant time and resources from court employees. The 2018 GA provided \$1.8 million statewide over the 2018-2020 biennium to expand drug courts and mental health dockets, but additional state support is needed for core services throughout the judiciary. *(Updates and reaffirms previous position. See also the Mental Health, Public Safety, and the Criminal Justice System position on pages 14-15.) (The 2019 GA directed the Secretary of Public Safety and Homeland Security to continue and expand the workgroup examining workload issues, as well as other fiscal and policy impacts, related to body-worn cameras – a report is due to the money committees by November 15, 2019. Additionally, an updated version of the District Court Staffing Model will be released later this fall.)*

### **Economic Success**

**Support a strong partnership between the Commonwealth and the County as Virginia's economy adapts to a changing fiscal landscape, particularly on initiatives to nurture the innovation economy and foster smart communities efforts.**

Virginia has historically been among the top states in the nation in per capita federal spending, and both the state and the County have benefited from significant federal investments in military and civilian employment, along with associated contracting industries. However, the effects of federal budget cuts and sequestration have had a negative impact on County and state revenues, as high-paying professional and contracting jobs have been replaced by lower-paying jobs in the service sector. Support full funding of the Commonwealth's Development Opportunity Fund and one-time investments in unique opportunities, which pay significant dividends for the County and the Commonwealth; for example, in 2018, the state awarded \$8 million in Development Opportunity Fund grants to businesses relocating to or expanding within Fairfax County, retaining more than 4,000 jobs and creating nearly 1,690 new jobs in the County.

In the long term, support a multifaceted approach to position the County for future growth, including state investments and efforts to:

- Ensure a workforce equipped for emerging, high-growth industries (such as information technology and cyber) by providing coordinated career and technical education training such as dual enrollment and work-based learning opportunities in K-12, noncredit workforce training programs, higher education, and community college settings;
- Diversify the local economy by attracting new industries to Fairfax County, supporting businesses already located in the County, and encouraging innovation through the co-location of, and partnership with, research institutions, incubators, start-ups, and established businesses;
- Protect existing federal facilities within the County, while encouraging additional federal expansions;
- Maintain an environment conducive to recruiting additional federal installations;
- Encourage regional and state collaboration on initiatives with an economic benefit to the County; and,
- Preserve and strengthen community assets (such as schools, transit, transportation, health care systems, vibrant public spaces, and workforce housing, among others) to encourage organizations to locate and expand operations in the County and to attract private investments. *(Updates and reaffirms previous position.)*

### **Jails**

**The Commonwealth should adequately compensate localities at a level which is commensurate with the state's responsibility for local jail operations.**

Local governments in Virginia have historically borne a disproportionate burden of supporting jail confinement costs, as a result of significant underfunding by the Commonwealth. Jail per diem funding should be increased to levels that better represent the costs of housing inmates, and be adjusted for inflation so that payments keep pace with rising costs. The current rates of \$4 per day for local-responsible inmates and \$12 per day for state-responsible inmates are inadequate and represent an underfunded mandate on localities, as the actual cost of housing an inmate is \$237.83. The County has made significant efforts in recent years to reduce the jail population, including through the Diversion First program, resulting in a decrease of 27 percent since FY 2008; however, state funding remains inadequate. In FY 2018, the state provided \$15 million in funding for the Fairfax County Adult Detention Center (ADC), but the actual cost of running the ADC that year was \$88.5 million. *(Updates and reaffirms previous position.)*

### **Libraries**

**Support increased state aid to public libraries, which provide communities with critical services such as student homework support, research assistance, and public internet access.**

Approximately 4.6 million visits were made to Fairfax County public libraries in FY 2019, with approximately 11.1 million items borrowed. Although the 2018 and 2019 GAs provided some additional funding, annual

state aid to libraries has declined by over \$4.6 million, or approximately 22 percent, since FY 2001. At a minimum, the state should avoid further reductions in aid. *(Updates and reaffirms previous position.)*

### **Water Quality Funding**

**Support budget action at the 2020 GA providing adequate state appropriations to the Water Quality Improvement Fund (WQIF) in order to ensure full and timely payments under point source upgrade contracts with local governments; also support continuation of, and increased funding to, the Stormwater Local Assistance Fund (SLAF).**

Fairfax County and local governments throughout Virginia face mounting costs for water quality improvements for sewage treatment plants, urban stormwater, combined sewer overflows (CSOs), and sanitary sewer overflows (SSOs). The state has made significant progress in providing funding in recent years, including deposits to WQIF of surplus funds and the establishment and funding of SLAF (the GA appropriated \$80 million for SLAF from FY 2014 to FY 2017, \$20 million in FY 2019, and provided \$10 million in bond proceeds in FY 2020). For FY 2019, the County has been authorized to receive \$4 million in SLAF funds for stream restoration projects along Difficult Run Tributary and Turkey Run. This funding has contributed to improvements in the health of the Chesapeake Bay, as evidenced by increases in underwater grasses and oysters, but significant challenges remain. Meeting federal Chesapeake Bay requirements will require additional state assistance for urban stormwater needs (in 2017, the Senate Finance Committee estimated these costs to be \$19.7 billion by 2025), wastewater treatment plant upgrades, and water reuse facilities in the Chesapeake Bay watershed, particularly in light of the very ambitious goals set forth in the Chesapeake Bay Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) Phase III Watershed Implementation Plan. The state must partner with localities in order to meet these federal mandates to ensure the success of this effort, and such funding must continue to increase if Virginia is to meet its commitments for the Chesapeake Bay. *(Updates and reaffirms previous position.)*

## ***General Laws***

### **Elections**

**Support legislation to promote equitable and efficient participation in elections, such as allowing no-excuse absentee voting for the entire absentee voting period and providing for extended polling hours statewide to allow voters additional time to reach polling places. Adequate state funding for election administration, voting equipment, and systems modernization and security is essential to this effort.**

Also, support efforts to facilitate successful implementation of no-excuse in-person absentee voting during the last seven days of the absentee voting period, as enacted by the 2019 GA. Legislation intended to enhance security regarding elections must be carefully analyzed to ensure that it strikes a balance between maintaining the integrity of elections while not discouraging the exercise of the franchise. Similarly, following the 2013 Supreme Court decision striking down Section 4 of the Voting Rights Act, which eliminated the requirement that changes to Virginia's election laws be "pre-cleared," state and federal actions that may impact elections should be closely monitored. Additionally, support greater state financial support for voting equipment, systems modernization and security, and election administration, including training for the local electoral board members, registrars, and elections officials (the 2019 GA took positive steps in this area by funding two new positions to enhance training for local elections officials and two positions to improve the voter list maintenance process). *(Updates and reaffirms previous position.) (The 2019 GA directed the State Board of Elections to convene a workgroup on election security standards prior to adopting such standards; issue a report on procedures and instructions for implementing no-excuse in-person absentee voting pursuant to HB 2790/SB 1026 (2019 GA) on or before December 1, 2019; and, submit a report to the money committees by December 1, 2019, on plans to rebuild the Virginia Election & Registration Information System (VERIS).)*

### **Sexual Orientation**

**Support legislation to permit the County, as an urban county executive form of government, to prohibit discrimination in the areas of housing, real estate transactions, employment, public accommodations, credit, and education on the basis of sexual orientation and gender identity.**

Fairfax County has already taken actions pursuant to existing state enabling legislation in the preceding areas on the basis of race, color, religion, sex, pregnancy, childbirth, and disability. *(Reaffirms previous position.)*

## ***Health***

### **Alternative On-Site Sewage Systems (AOSS)**

**Support legislation that provides tools to ensure AOSS are appropriately installed, serviced, inspected, monitored, and maintained.**

Support legislation that would require sellers of residential property to directly disclose to prospective purchasers that an AOSS is on the property and that proper operation and maintenance is necessary, in accordance with applicable state and local standards and regulations. Support legislation that would provide localities with the authority to receive reports of periodic private-sector inspections and to assist residential property owners to abate or remedy violations of laws regarding the operation and/or maintenance of such systems. Oppose legislation that would further restrict local government authority to regulate the installation of such systems within the locality, including but not limited to, authority to ensure installation according to approved designs and development plans, establish minimum setback distances and installation depths, and prohibit such systems within or near wetlands and other environmentally sensitive areas, unless such systems are approved by the Virginia Department of Health for use in the particular circumstances and conditions in which the proposed system is to be operating. *(Reaffirms previous position.)*

### **Lyme Disease**

**Support funding initiatives that will advance research, surveillance, reporting, diagnostics, and treatment for Lyme disease.**

Cases of Lyme disease have been on the rise in Virginia, with 1,041 confirmed and 616 probable cases reported to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention in 2017. *(Updates and reaffirms previous position.)*

## ***Human Services***

### **Affordable Housing and Homelessness Prevention**

**Support state funding and actions to increase the availability of affordable housing options and prevent homelessness, including **expanded** investments in tools and programs to address affordable housing needs, particularly in high cost of living areas like Northern Virginia.**

Affordable housing is critically important for all Virginians, but obtaining it creates particular challenges in Northern Virginia, where housing is increasingly out of reach for low- and moderate-income earners. Fairfax County is already experiencing a deficit of 31,000 affordable rental homes, and the gap between the need and the supply will grow considerably without new approaches for expanding housing availability and affordability. It is anticipated that there will be a need for 15,000 new units affordable to households earning 60 percent of area median income and below over the next 15 years. The areas of greatest need in the development and preservation of affordable housing are small families and seniors. The Commonwealth should:

- Increase funding for the Virginia Housing Trust Fund in order to create and preserve affordable housing and reduce homelessness in Northern Virginia (one possibility would be to create a Northern Virginia pool within the Housing Trust Fund);
- Expand the pool of resources available for down payment assistance, as down payment costs are a major barrier to homeownership;
- Enhance and create more state-funded rental assistance programs for individuals with disabilities and people experiencing homelessness, such as the Livable Homes Tax Credit, State Rental Assistance Program (SRAP), Virginia Homeless Solutions Program (VHSP), and previously provided Housing Choice Vouchers;
- Increase funding for permanent supportive housing units (allocated based on the size of the population served) for individuals with severe mental illness, substance use disorder, and developmental disabilities; and,
- Prohibit housing discrimination based on source of income, which disproportionately impacts older adults and people with disabilities. (*Updates and reaffirms previous position.*) (*The 2019 GA directed the Department of Behavioral Health and Developmental Services (DBHDS) to report on permanent supportive housing services by November 1, 2019.*)

### **Mental Health, Public Safety, and the Criminal Justice System**

**Support sustainable funding, allocated based on localities' needs and population size, for public safety and mental health services that connect people who come into contact with the criminal justice system for non-violent offenses to treatment.**

Law enforcement officers are often the first responders when an individual is in a mental health crisis; the Fairfax County Police Department received nearly 4,000 calls from January – June 2019 that were mental health related. Such calls can lead to incarceration for low-level offenses (trespassing, disorderly conduct), precluding the individual from receiving appropriate treatment in the community for underlying mental health issues. Additionally, it is significantly more expensive to deliver mental health services in a detention facility than to provide the same service in community-based residential or community-based care.

To address these critical issues, Fairfax County continues to utilize local revenues for “Diversion First,” which offers alternatives to incarceration for people with mental illness, substance use disorders, or developmental disabilities who commit low-level offenses. The program has already had a significant impact – since 2016 more than 1,500 people have been diverted from potential arrest. Additionally, there has been a 19 percent decrease in the number of inmates at the Fairfax County ADC with behavioral health issues who had only misdemeanor charges, and a 43 percent increase in the number of inmates referred to the Fairfax-Falls Church Community Services Board (CSB). Though the average daily population has decreased since FY 2008, the medical complexities of inmates has increased, with substance use and mental health disorders becoming more common.

Successful expansion of Diversion First will depend on adequate state investments in mental health services (and accompanying court and public safety resources) to:

- Increase the availability of community-based crisis services, local psychiatric beds for people with mental health issues, reintegration services for youth and adults at high risk of rapid re-hospitalization or re-offending, and discharge planning (*see also Human Services Issue Paper*);
- Provide Crisis Intervention Team (CIT) and additional de-escalation training for law enforcement officers and dispatchers, and Mental Health First Aid training for Fire and Rescue, jail personnel, and health and human service organization staff to educate those interacting with individuals with developmental disabilities, substance use disorder, and mental illness;
- Improve the screening, assessment and treatment of incarcerated individuals' mental health by gathering uniform system level data;
- Support the development and expansion of specialty courts and dockets;
- Remove barriers in order to facilitate the exchange of health information of individuals among law enforcement, the court system, CSBs, health care providers, and families and guardians;
- Expedite the medical clearance process for individuals in need of psychiatric hospitalization;

- Increase funding of mental health services and substance abuse treatment for individuals who are incarcerated for offenses that make them ineligible for a diversion program; and,
- Remove barriers to reentry by providing adequately funded forensic discharge planning services. *(Updates and reaffirms previous position. See also the Courts position on page 10.)*

### **Substance Use Disorder**

**Support increased capacity to address the Commonwealth's ongoing substance use disorder epidemic through community-based treatment (including detoxification, medication-assisted, residential, and intensive outpatient programs) and innovative efforts to limit the supply of opioids.**

Across Virginia, law enforcement and health care professionals continue to report a dramatic number of deaths due to opioid overdoses. Although some improvements are beginning to emerge, the statistics remain startling:

- Opioids are the number one cause of unnatural death in Fairfax County;
- There were 83 opioid deaths in Fairfax County in 2018, and 64 of these deaths involved fentanyl (in Virginia, fentanyl overdose deaths have increased by more than tenfold since 2009);
- Although there was a small decrease in overall opioid overdose deaths in Virginia from 2017 to 2018 (from 1,230 to 1,213), Virginia is on track to have a record 1,296 deaths from opioid overdoses in 2019;
- In Fairfax County, the annual number of emergency department visits for opioid overdoses is still significantly higher than it was in 2013 (60 in 2013 vs. 211 in 2018);
- The highest **rate** of emergency department visits for heroin/**fentanyl** overdoses in Fairfax County **was** among individuals aged 25-34 (25 per 100,000 people) in 2018;
- The highest rate of **prescription** opioid overdoses in Fairfax County was also among individuals aged 25-34 (25 per 100,000 people);
- Approximately 900 Fairfax County students in the 8th, 10th, and 12th grades reported taking painkillers without a doctor's order, and nearly 1,100 reported taking other prescription drugs without a doctor's order, within a month of the survey date in November 2018; and,
- For the sixth year in a row, the statewide rate of drug-caused deaths exceeded the number of deaths due to motor vehicle accidents.

**Also, support coordinated strategies to meet the growing need for substance use disorder services that target specific high-risk age groups. In particular, innovative approaches to prevention and nicotine addiction treatment are necessary to address the vaping crisis that is affecting teens and young adults at an alarming rate.**

E-cigarettes are the most commonly used tobacco product among youth today. Despite being fairly new, in 2018, more than 3.6 million American middle and high school students reported using e-cigarettes in the previous 30 days. In Fairfax County, among students surveyed in the 8th, 10th and 12th grades, more students reported vaping within a month of the survey date in November 2018 than using any other substances, and **lifetime** prevalence rates were high across all age groups (15.1 percent of 8th graders, 29.5 percent of 10th graders, and 39.3 percent of 12th graders). Though e-cigarettes became popular because they have been considered less harmful than regular cigarettes, the recent discovery of severe respiratory illness **in otherwise healthy young people** as a deadly complication of vaping has raised alarm throughout the US.

While the Commonwealth of Virginia has taken action to combat these issues, including efforts to control the supply of opioids and increase the age to purchase all tobacco products to 21, significant challenges still exist. **Complementary** strategies, including well-funded, sustained intervention and education efforts, should be designed to support teens and young adults, many of whom may require specialized care to combat addiction. *(Updates and reaffirms previous position.)*

## *Land Use*

### **Proffers**

**Local authority to accept cash and in-kind proffers from developers must be preserved. Such proffers assist with providing necessary capital facilities and infrastructure to serve new development and maintain local community standards, in order to keep and improve quality of life and encourage and spur economic development.**

The 2016 GA enacted legislation sharply limiting local proffer authority, reducing the County's ability to work with developers and local communities to ensure that new development or redevelopment mitigates its impacts and addresses community concerns about such impacts. Though the legislation was primarily intended to narrow localities' proffer authority, it also restricted developers' options to proffer an array of items to satisfy community concerns about a particular development by prohibiting localities from accepting proffers outside the bounds of the new requirements, even if developers offered them voluntarily. The 2016 law also hindered a locality's ability to work cooperatively with a developer because of additional legal penalties if a locality "suggested" a proffer that could not be accepted, running counter to the collaborative environment that has been created in the County over many years. Though certain areas of Fairfax County were exempted from such requirements, there was a substantial decline in residential applications in non-exempt areas. The negative statewide impacts of the 2016 changes led the 2019 GA to enact substantial alterations to some of the most onerous aspects of the law, eliminating the potential legal liability for discussions between localities and developers and allowing localities to accept voluntary proffers from developers for a wide range of items. It will take time to assess the full impact of the 2019 changes, and to determine whether additional legislative changes are needed; however, the GA must avoid further restrictions on local land use authority, and proposals for replacing proffer commitments with development impact fees must be at the option of each locality. (*Updates and reaffirms previous position.*)

### **Wireless Telecommunications Facilities**

**The siting of telecommunications facilities is an important component of local land use authority, ensuring community involvement, and should be retained.**

The authority of local governments to determine the placement, construction, and modification of wireless telecommunications facilities, subject to certain restrictions, has long been established law. Regrettably, in 2018 the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) substantially reduced that local authority, imposing new restrictions on localities' ability to regulate small cell facilities. Unfortunately, in recent years the GA has also increasingly moved in the direction of restricting local land use authority over wireless telecommunications facilities, without requiring any expansion of service in return. In light of the FCC's 2018 ruling, any further action to overlay additional state restrictions on local land use decisions may create a confusing patchwork of regulations, and potentially eliminate the few remaining opportunities for community involvement in decisions about these facilities. The County strongly supports restoration of its land use authority to determine the appropriate location of wireless telecommunications facilities and balance the need for wireless service with the community's interest in protecting the character of neighborhoods. The County also supports restoration of its independent authority to set reasonable fees for wireless facility permits and to set fair compensation for access to its rights-of-way. (*Updates and reaffirms previous position.*)

## *Public Safety*

### **Accessibility**

**Support ensuring the inclusion of people with disabilities throughout the Commonwealth by increasing accessibility to public places, housing, and transportation services (including transportation network companies).**

Over 81,500 Fairfax County residents have a disability, which includes people with hearing, vision, cognitive, ambulatory, self-care, and/or independent living disabilities. While significant progress has been

made toward ensuring the equality and inclusion of people with disabilities since the passage of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) nearly 30 years ago, continued advancement is needed to ensure the protections offered by the ADA are strengthened. Additional affordable, accessible, integrated housing and transportation options, as well as support for Universal Design initiatives, allow people with disabilities to remain active, contributing members of their communities while retaining their independence and proximity to family and friends. *(Updates and reaffirms previous position.)*

### **Dangerous Weapons**

**Support legislation to address gun violence in Virginia through common sense gun safety measures that will help ensure a safe and resilient community.**

There have been numerous mass shootings across the United States, including at Virginia Tech in 2007 and more recently in Virginia Beach. In 2017, more than 39,000 Americans (including 1,028 Virginians) died as a result of gun violence, and Virginia is one of 17 states where more people are killed due to gun violence than die in car accidents. Fairfax County supports legislation to address this issue, including:

- Universal background checks for all gun buyers;
- Creation of a legal process for the temporary removal of firearms from individuals deemed to pose an imminent risk of violence to themselves or others (often called “red flag” or “extreme risk protective order” legislation);
- A ban on assault weapons, high-capacity magazines, armor piercing ammunition, and bump stocks;
- Limitations on children’s access to firearms;
- Reinstatement of Virginia’s “one-gun-a-month” law;
- Allowing local governments to prohibit or restrict the possession, transportation, or carrying of dangerous weapons in or on any facility or property owned or leased by the locality, with certain exceptions, including any person who has been issued a permit to carry a concealed handgun; and,
- Authorizing localities to ban the possession of pneumatic guns on school grounds, with an exemption for school-sponsored activities (pneumatic guns use pump action or carbon dioxide gas cartridges to fire explosives, often strongly resemble firearms, and can cause serious injury or death). *(Updates and reaffirms previous position.)*

### **First Responders and Mental Health**

**Support actions to address job-related mental health issues among first responders, including utilizing evidence-based treatment for clinical issues, strengthening the focus on wellness and prevention, and targeting culture changes to reduce stigma and encourage first responders to seek treatment.**

The mental health of first responders (which includes police, sheriffs, firefighters, and emergency communicators) has received increased attention across the nation in recent years, as policymakers and the public have begun to understand the challenges of working in physically and emotionally demanding professions that can lead to substantial issues, including: Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD), depression, trauma reactions, substance use, sleep problems, irritability, Chronic Stress Response, compassion fatigue, and feelings of isolation. The growing number of suicides among first responders throughout the United States constitutes a clear crisis in public safety – more die by their own hand than in line of duty deaths, a disparity that appears to be getting worse.

Though treatment is available, many first responders are reluctant to seek relief because of expectations that they should remain strong and stoic. Additionally, the state’s current workers’ compensation system often has the effect of discouraging timely treatment while the claims process is in progress. However, when first responders sustain psychological injuries during their careers, the effects need not be permanent or fatal. Prevention, early detection, and appropriate treatment can ease suffering and save lives. *(New position.) (The 2019 GA directed JLARC to study Virginia’s workers’ compensation system, including presumptions for eligibility relating to PTSD – a report is due prior to the start of the 2020 GA.)*

## ***Taxation***

### **Communications Sales and Use Tax**

**Support legislation to protect the financial interests of local governments based upon declining revenues in the communications sales and use tax.**

After lengthy negotiations, the 2007 GA repealed many local telecommunications taxes and replaced them with a statewide communications tax. The expectation at that time was that the new communications tax would grow and localities would, at a minimum, receive the same amount of funding as they received in FY 2006 (\$85.5 million for Fairfax County). However, this tax has eroded and in FY 2019, the County only received approximately \$67.8 million. The 2018 GA exacerbated these concerns by diverting \$2 million per year of this local tax revenue to the state General Fund in the 2018-2020 biennium budget. Consequently, any consideration of formula changes must be avoided until and unless communications tax revenues increase sufficiently to ensure revenue neutrality for localities, as agreed upon when this compromise was reached. A 2015 report by the Virginia Department of Taxation found several reasons for the decline in Communications Sales and Use Tax revenue, including a decline in telephone landlines (down 21 percent between 2007 and 2014), a decline in gross receipts from satellite radio services (down 91 percent between 2007 and 2014), and exemptions in the current law for streaming audio and video services and prepaid calling services, which have become increasingly popular in recent years. These changes in market area, customers served, new technologies, and perhaps the rate itself must be examined to ensure a modern communications tax system for localities, which reflects and reacts to an ever-changing landscape. *(Updates and reaffirms previous position.)*

## ***Transportation***

### **Secondary Road Devolution**

**Oppose any legislation that would require the transfer of secondary road construction and maintenance responsibilities to counties, especially if these efforts are not accompanied with corresponding revenue enhancements.**

While there are insufficient resources to adequately meet the maintenance and improvement needs of secondary roads within the Commonwealth, the solution to this problem is not to transfer these responsibilities to counties that have neither the resources nor the expertise to fulfill them. Further, oppose any legislative or regulatory moratorium on the transfer of newly constructed secondary roads to VDOT for the purposes of ongoing maintenance. *(Reaffirms previous position.)*

### **Pedestrian Safety and Access to Transit**

**Support revisions to Virginia's existing pedestrian laws that clarify the responsibilities of both drivers and pedestrians, to reduce the number of pedestrian injuries and fatalities that occur each year. In particular, support legislation that would require motorists to stop for pedestrians in crosswalks at unsignalized intersections on roads where the speed is 35 mph or less, and at unsignalized crosswalks in front of schools.**

Safe access to transit facilities can be improved through infrastructure investments, better traffic safety laws, and adequate sidewalk maintenance, including snow removal following inclement weather. With the opening of the Silver Line, along with significantly increased Fairfax Connector service and more concentrated growth, more residents and workers in the County are choosing to walk and use transit. *(Updates and reaffirms previous position.)*