· VIRGINIA:

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF FAIRFAX COUNTY

JOHN C. DEPP, II,

Plaintiff and Counterclaim-Defendant,

ν.

Civil Action No.: CL-2019-0002911

AMBER LAURA HEARD,

Defendant and Counterclaim-Plaintiff.

JUDGMENT ORDER

THIS MATTER came to be heard before a jury in this Court beginning on April 11, 2022 and continuing day to day April 11-14, April 18-21, April 25-28, May 2-5, May 16-19, May 23-27, with the jury deliberating May 27, May 31 and returning a verdict on June 1, 2022. Before the jury were three counts of defamation brought by the Plaintiff John C. Depp, II ("Mr. Depp"), and one count of defamation brought by the Defendant and Counterclaim Plaintiff, Amber Laura Heard ("Ms. Heard").

At the conclusion of the trial and deliberations, the jury returned a verdict in favor of Mr. Depp on all three remaining defamation counts, and awarded Mr. Depp \$10 million in compensatory damages and \$5 million in punitive damages, and a verdict in favor of Ms. Heard on her defamation count, and awarded Ms. Heard \$2 million in compensatory damages. The jury verdict is hereby incorporated into this Order by reference and made a part of this Order. It is therefore

ORDERED that the jury verdict of \$5,000,000 in punitive damages in favor of Mr.

Depp is hereby reduced to \$350,000, the statutory cap pursuant to Virginia Code § 8.01-38.1;

and it is further

ORDERED that with respect to the Complaint, Judgment is hereby ENTERED in favor of Mr. Depp against Ms. Heard in the amount of \$10,350,000, with interest at the statutory rate of 6% per annum from the date of this Order; and it is further

ORDERED that with respect to the Counterclaim, Judgment is hereby ENTERED in favor of Ms. Heard against Mr. Depp in the amount of \$2,000,000, with interest at the statutory rate of 6% per annum from the date of this Order.

THIS ORDER IS FINAL.

ENTERED this 24 day of June, 2022.



Chief Judge, Fairfax Circuit Court

Compliance with Rule 1:13 requiring the endorsement of counsel of record is modified by the Court, in its discretion, to permit the submission of the following electronic signatures of counsel in lieu of an original endorsement.

SEEN AND EXCEPTED TO for the reasons set forth in the record, stated at trial and in the

objections attached hereto as Exhibit A:

#89093)

BROWN RUDNICK LLP

601 Thirteenth Street NW, Suite 600

Washington, DC 20005

Tel.: (202) 536-1785

Fax: (617) 289-0717

bchew@brownrudnick.com acrawford@brownrudnick.com

Camille M. Vasquez (pro hac vice)

Samuel A. Moniz (pro hac vice)

BROWN RUDNICK LLP

2211 Michelson Drive

Irvine, CA 92612

Tel.: (949) 752-7100

Fax: (949) 252-1514

cvasquez@brownrudnick.com

smoniz@brownrudnick.com

Jessica N. Meyers (pro hac vice)

Yarelyn Mena (pro hac vice)

BROWN RUDNICK LLP

7 Times Square

New York, NY 10036

Tel.: (212) 209-4800

jmeyers@brownrudnick.com

Wayne F. Dennison (pro hac vice)

Rebecca M. Lecaroz (pro hac vice)

Stephanie P. Calnan (pro hac vice)

BROWN RUDNICK LLP

One Financial Center

Boston, MA 02118

Tel.: (617) 8568149

Wdennison@brownrudnick.com

rlecaroz@brownrudnick.com

scalnan@brownrudnick.com

SEEN AND EXCEPTED TO for the reasons set forth in the record and in the post-trial



86882)

David E. Murphy (VSB No. 90938) CHARLSON BREDEHOFT COHEN & BROWN, P.C.

11260 Roger Bacon Dr., Suite 201

Reston, VA 20190 Phone: 703-318-6800 Fax: 703-318-6808

ebredehoft@cbcblaw.com anadelhaft@cbcblaw.com cpintado@cbcblaw.com dmurphy@cbcblaw.com

J. Benjamin Rottenborn (VSB No. 84796) Joshua R. Treece (VSB No. 79149) WOODS ROGERS PLC 10 S. Jefferson Street, Suite 1400 P.O. Box 14125 Roanoke, Virginia 24011 Telephone: (540) 983-7540 brottenborn@woodsrogers.com jtreece@woodsrogers.com

Counsel for Defendant and Counterclaim Plaintiff Amber Laura Heard

EXHIBIT A

Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant John C. Depp, II's Objections to the Final Order

Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant John C. Depp, II objects to the Final Order on the following grounds:

- All reasons stated in oral and written arguments in Mr. Depp's Demurrers, Pleas in Bar, Motions for Summary Judgment, and Motions to Strike.
- All reasons stated in oral and written arguments in Mr. Depp's motions, jury instructions, and motions in limine.
- The Court's legal finding that Ms. Heard could prove actual malice by relying on the *mens rea* of either Mr. Depp or Mr. Waldman and permitting Ms. Heard to argue the same to the jury in closing.
- The Court's failure to exclude inadmissible hearsay statements.
- The Court's refusal to sustain Mr. Depp's objection to improper argument made by Ms. Heard's counsel during closing argument and motion for a curative instruction. Ms. Heard's improper argument at closing told jurors that a finding in favor of Mr. Depp would send a message to "every victim of domestic abuse everywhere," which is an improper invitation to jurors to decide the case on larger social issues and to place themselves in the shoes of Ms. Heard when reaching their verdict instead of basing their verdict on the evidence presented.
- The jury's finding of defamation by Mr. Depp as to the statement made by Adam Waldman that "Quite simply this was an ambush, a hoax. They set Mr. Depp up by calling the cops but the first attempt didn't do the trick. The officers came to the penthouses, thoroughly searched and interviewed, and left after seeing no damage to face or property. So Amber and her friends spilled a little wine and roughed the place up, got their stories straight under the direction of a lawyer and publicist, and then placed a second call to 911" as contrary to the law and unsupported by the facts.
- The jury's award of \$2,000,000 in favor of Ms. Heard against Mr. Depp in connection with the jury's finding of defamation was excessive and not supported by the facts.
- All other objections by Mr. Depp stated in oral or written argument in the record of this matter.

SPECIAL VERDICT FORM

Mr. Depp's Claim Against Ms. Heard

This special verdict form includes each of the statements on which John C. Depp, II bases his claim of defamation against Amber Heard. Answer the questions in accordance with the Court's instructions.

1. As to this statement appearing in the online op-ed, entitled "Amber Heard: I spoke up against sexual violence—and faced our culture's wrath. That has to change" in the Washington Post's online edition:

"I spoke up against sexual violence—and faced our culture's wrath. That has to change."

- spoke up against sexual violence—and faced out culture's wrath. That has to change."
1(a). Do you find that Mr. Depp has proven all the elements of defamation?
ANSWER YES OR NO: Yes If you answer question 1(a) "NO," please proceed to question 2.
If you answered "YES," please answer YES or NO to the following questions: Has Mr. Depp proven by a greater weight of the evidence that:
The statement was made or published by Ms. Heard?
The statement was about Mr. Depp?
The statement was false?
The statement has a defamatory implication about Mr. Depp?
The defamatory implication was designed and intended by Ms. Heard?
Due to circumstances surrounding the publication of the statement, it conveyed a defamatory implication to someone who saw it other than Mr. Depp? <u>Yes</u>
1(b). If you answered "YES" to each subpart of question 1(a), answer the following question: do you find that Mr. Depp has proven by clear and convincing evidence that Ms. Heard acted with actual malice?

ANSWER YES OR NO: Yes

[2266784-1. [15653-00002-01]

2. As to this statement appearing in the op-ed entitled "A Transformative Moment For Women" in the Washington Post's print edition and the online op-ed "Amber Heard: I-spoke up against sexual violence—and faced our culture's wrath. That has to change" in the Washington Post's online edition:

"Then two years ago, I became a public figure representing domestic abuse, and I felt the full force of our culture's wrath for women who speak out."

2(a). Do you find that Mr. Depp has proven all the elements of defamation?

ANSWER YES OR NO: Yes
If you answer question 2(a) "NO," please proceed to question 3.

If you answered "YES," please answer YES or NO to the following questions: Has Mr. Depp proven by a greater weight of the evidence that:

The defamatory implication was designed and intended by Ms. Heard?

2(b). If you answered "YES" to each subpart of question 2(a), answer the following question: do you find that Mr. Depp has proven by clear and convincing evidence that Ms. Heard acted with actual malice?

ANSWER YES OR NO: Yes

3. As to this statement appearing in the op-ed entitled "A Transformative Moment For Women" in the Washington Post's print edition and the online op-ed "Amber Heard: I spoke up against sexual violence—and faced our culture's wrath. That has to change" in the Washington Post's online edition:

"I had the rare vantage point of seeing, in real time, how institutions protect men accused of abuse."

3(a). Do you find that Mr. Depp has proven all the elements of defamation?

ANSWER YES OR NO: Yes
If you answer question 3(a) "NO," please proceed to question 4.

If you answered "YES," please answer YES or NO to the following questions: Has Mr. Depp proven by a greater weight of the evidence that:

The statement was false? <u>Yes</u>

The statement has a defamatory implication about Mr. Depp? ________

The defamatory implication was designed and intended by Ms. Heard?

3(b). If you answered "YES" to each subpart of question 3(a), answer the following question: do you find that Mr. Depp has proven by clear and convincing evidence that Ms. Heard acted with actual malice?

ANSWER YES OR NO: Yes

Complete Questions 4-5 ONLY if you answered YES to all of questions 1, 2, or 3:

4.	As against Amber Heard, state the amount of compensatory damages, if any, you believe John C. Depp, II has proven, by a greater weight of the evidence, that he is entitled to recover:
	We, the Jury, award compensatory damages in the amount of \$ 10 million
5.	As against Amber Heard state the amount of punitive damages, if any, to which you fin John C. Depp, II is entitled to recover:
	We, the Jury, award punitive damages in the amount of \$ 5 million.
Ju DATI	SENATURE OF FOREPERSON PRINTED NAME OF FOREPERSON

SPECIAL VERDICT FORM

Ms. Heard's Claim Against Mr. Depp

This special verdict form includes each of the statements on which Amber Heard bases her claim of defamation against John C. Depp, II. Answer the questions in accordance with the Court's instructions.

1. As to this statement appearing in the April 8, 2020 online edition of The Daily Mail: "Amber Heard and her friends in the media use fake sexual violence allegations as both a sword and shield, depending on their needs. They have selected some of her sexual violence hoax 'facts' as the sword, inflicting them on the public and Mr. Depp." 1(a). Do you find that Ms. Heard has proven all the elements of defamation? ANSWER YES OR NO: NO. Please proceed to question 2. If you answered "YES," please answer YES or NO to the following questions: Has Ms. Heard proven by a greater weight of the evidence that: Mr. Waldman, while acting as an agent for Mr. Depp, made or published the statement? The statement was about Ms. Heard? _ The statement was seen by someone other than Ms. Heard? The statement was false? _ 1(b). If you answered "YES" to each subpart of question 1(a), answer the following question: do you find that Ms. Heard has proven by clear and convincing evidence that the statement by Mr. Waldman was made with actual malice? ANSWER YES OR NO: __

2. As to this statement appearing in the April 27, 2020 online edition of The Daily Mail:

"Quite simply this was an ambush, a hoax. They set Mr. Depp up by calling the cops but the first attempt didn't do 'the trick. The officers came to the penthouses, thoroughly searched and interviewed, and left after seeing no damage to face or property. So Amber and her friends spilled a little wine and roughed the place up, got their stories straight under the direction of a lawyer and publicist, and then placed a second call to 911."

2(a). Do you find that Ms. Heard has proven all the elements of defamation?

ANSWER YES OR NO: <u>Ye5</u>
If you answer question 2(a) "NO," please proceed to question 2.

If you answered "YES," please answer YES or NO to the following questions: Has Ms. Heard proven by a greater weight of the evidence that:

The statement was false? <u>yes</u>

2(b). If you answered "YES" to each subpart of question 2(a), answer the following question: do you find that Ms. Heard has proven by clear and convincing evidence that the statement by Mr. Waldman was made with actual malice?

ANSWER YES OR NO: Yes

3. o this statement appearing in the April 27, 2020 online education of The Dail	'by
"[W]e have reached the beginning of the end of Ms. Heard's abuse hoax against Johnny Depp.	**
3(a). Do you find that Ms. Heard has proven all the elements of defamation?	
ANSWER YES OR NO: <u>NO</u> If you answer question 3(a) "NO," please proceed to question 4.	
If you answered "YES," please answer YES or NO to the followin questions: Has Ms. Heard proven by a greater weight of the evidence that:	ng
Mr. Waldman, while acting as an agent for Mr. Depp, made or published the statement?	ed
The statement was about Ms. Heard?	
The statement was seen by someone other than Ms. Heard?	_
The statement was false?	
3(b). If you answered "YES" to each subpart of question 3(a), answer to following question: do you find that Ms. Heard has proven by clear and convincing evidence to the statement by Mr. Waldman was made with actual malice?	he ıat
ANSWER YES OR NO:	

.

•

. ; .

:

:

;

2 V

Complete Questions 4-5 ONLY if you answered YES to all of questions 1, 2, or 3.

4.	As against John C. Depp, II, state the amount of compensatory damages, if any, you believe Amber Heard has proven, by a greater weight of the evidence, that she is entitled to recover:
	We, the Jury, award compensatory damages in the amount of \$ 2 million
5.	As against John C. Depp, II, state the amount of punitive damages, if any, you to which you find Amber Heard is entitled to recover:
	We, the Jury, award punitive damages in the amount of \$
<u>Ju</u> DAT	E SIGNATURE OF FOREPERSON

PRINTED NAME OF FOREPERSON