## Office of Elections

## FY 2015 Adopted Budget Plan: Performance Measures

## Office of Elections

## Objective

To provide a sufficient number of voting machines for each precinct with at least 1 optical scan reader and 3 touch screen machines per precinct in order to comply with legal mandates.

## Performance Indicators

| Indicator | Prior Year Actuals |  |  | Current Estimate <br> FY 2014 | Future Estimate <br> FY 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FY 2011 Actual | FY 2012 Actual | FY 2013 Estimate/Actual |  |  |
| Output |  |  |  |  |  |
| Registered voters ${ }^{1}$ | 679,787 | 696,077 | 700,000 / 726,071 | 725,000 | 715,000 |
| Registered voters/precinct | 2,943 | 2,912 | 2,917 / 3,064 | 3,059 | 2,992 |
| Poll voters | 282,632 | 192,087 | 410,000 / 444,161 | 272,000 | 325,000 |
| Absentee voters | 26,054 | 14,548 | 110,000 / 92,540 | 25,000 | 30,000 |
| Precincts | 231 | 239 | 240 / 237 | 237 | 239 |
| Voting machines | 693 | 956 | 960 / 971 | 948 | 717 |
| Absentee satellites | 7 | 7 | 7 / 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Efficiency |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost of machines/precinct | \$1,250 | \$1,247 | \$1,279 / \$1,250 | \$1,253 | \$913 |
| Service Quality |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of polling places that are handicapped accessible | 96.0\% | 98.0\% | 100.0\% / 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Percent of polling places that are in compliance (machines) | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% / 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Percent of polling places that are in compliance (size) | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% / 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Outcome |  |  |  |  |  |
| Machines/precinct | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 / 4.10 | 4.00 | 4.00 |

[^0]
## Office of Elections

## FY 2015 Adopted Budget Plan: Performance Measures

## Objective

To provide, at a minimum, three election officers at each polling place, with a countywide average of 9.21 election officers at each polling place based on the number of registered voters in the precinct and anticipated voter turnout.

## Performance Indicators

| Indicator | Prior Year Actuals |  |  | Current Estimate <br> FY 2014 | Future Estimate <br> FY 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FY 2011 Actual | FY 2012 Actual | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } 2013 \\ \text { Estimate/Actual } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| Output |  |  |  |  |  |
| Election officers | 1,899 | 1,854 | 3,200 / 2,984 | 1,900 | 2,200 |
| Efficiency |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost of officers/precinct | \$972 | \$926 | \$1,483 / \$1,409 | \$952 | \$1,711 |
| Cost per poll voter | \$1.82 | \$2.70 | \$1.60 / \$1.42 | \$1.92 | \$1.93 |
| Service Quality |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent voter turnout | 49.1\% | 32.3\% | 82.7\% / 80.5\% | 45.5\% | 55.0\% |
| Outcome |  |  |  |  |  |
| Officers/precinct | 8.22 | 7.76 | 13.33 / 12.59 | 8.02 | 9.21 |

## Office of Elections

## FY 2015 Adopted Budget Plan: Performance Measures

## Objective

To maintain no less than 98 percent, the number of error-free data entry transactions initially completed for all voter registration documents processed, including all registrations, transfers and address/name changes.

## Performance Indicators

| Indicator | Prior Year Actuals |  |  | Current Estimate <br> FY 2014 | Future Estimate <br> FY 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FY 2011 Actual | FY 2012 Actual | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } 2013 \\ \text { Estimate/Actual } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| Output |  |  |  |  |  |
| Registrations, transfers and address/name changes processed | 76,386 | 165,000 | 160,000 / 112,700 | 80,000 | 80,000 |
| Efficiency |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost per registration, transfer or address/name change processed | \$5.40 | \$5.40 | \$5.40 / \$5.40 | \$5.40 | \$5.40 |
| Service Quality |  |  |  |  |  |
| Error rate | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% / 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% |
| Outcome |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent of registrations, transfers and address/name changes completed without error | 98.0\% | 98.0\% | 98.0\% / 98.0\% | 98.0\% | 98.0\% |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Actuals for this indicator are determined at the end of each fiscal year (June). As a result, actuals from this indicator vary slightly from the figures cited in the agency's dashboard which are calculated in November of each fiscal year.

