# **Court Services Administration**

### Goal

To receive, process, complete and evaluate all fiscal, financial, budgetary, personnel and data management activity as required for the efficient, effective operation of the Juvenile and Domestic Relations District Court.

#### Objective

To administer volunteer programs supporting Court services which generate at least \$350,000 in value annually.

	Р	rior Year Actua	Current Estimate	Future Estimate	
Indicator	FY 2012 Actual	FY 2013 Actual	FY 2014 Estimate/Actual	FY 2015	FY 2016
Output					
Number of volunteer hours	14,616	13,343	13,343 / 16,659	17,340	17,850
Number of volunteers	150	144	144 / 163	170	175
Efficiency					
Benefit to cost ratio	3.52:1	3.47:1	3.47:1 / 3.62:1	3.77:1	3.88:1
Outcome					
Value of services added	\$360,138	\$353,722	\$353,722 / \$407,979	\$424,657	\$437,147

# **Probation Services**

### Goal

To provide children, adults and families in the Fairfax County community with social, rehabilitative and correctional programs and services that meet Department of Juvenile Justice Minimum Services Standards and statutory and judicial requirements.

## Objective

To maintain a rate of diversion of youth from formal court processing that is equal to or greater than the state average so that youth brought to the Court's attention can be addressed in the least restrictive manner consistent with public safety.

	Р	Current Estimate	Future Estimate		
Indicator	FY 2012 Actual	FY 2013 Actual	FY 2014 Estimate/Actual	FY 2015	FY 2016
Output					
Non-traffic (NT) complaints processed by intake	16,033	15,438	15,750 / 14,094	14,100	14,100
Efficiency					
NT complaints processed per intake officer	844	735	750 / 689	690	690
Service Quality					
Percent of customers satisfied with intake process	94%	91%	85% / 93%	85%	85%
Outcome					
Percent of youth diverted from formal court processing	29%	27%	25% / 24%	24%	24%

## Objective

To have at least 65 percent of juvenile probationers with no subsequent criminal reconvictions within 12 months of case closing.

		Prior Year Actua	Current Estimate	Future Estimate	
Indicator	FY 2012 Actual	FY 2013 Actual	FY 2014 Estimate/Actual	FY 2015	FY 2016
Output					
Average monthly probation caseload	628	550	575 / 481	480	480
Efficiency					
Average monthly probation officer caseload	24	23	24 / 20	20	20
Service Quality					
Percent of court-ordered investigations submitted prior to 72 hours of court date	91%	91%	85% / 86%	85%	85%
Percent of parents satisfied with probation services	93%	94%	85% / 94%	85%	85%
Outcome					
Percent of juveniles with no new criminal reconvictions within 12 months of case closing	85%	80%	65% / 24%	65%	

# **Residential Services**

### Goal

To provide efficient, effective, accredited residential care programs and services to those youth and their parents who come within the Court's authority to act and who require such services.

### Objective

To have at least 85 percent of Supervised Release Services (SRS) juveniles with no new delinquency petitions while in the program in order to protect the public safety.

	Prior Year Actuals			Current Estimate	Future Estimate
Indicator	FY 2012 Actual	FY 2013 Actual	FY 2014 Estimate/Actual	FY 2015	FY 2016
Output					
Supervised Release Services (SRS) child care days provided	15,007	11,968	11,968 / 11,970	11,970	11,970
SRS program utilization rate	85%	68%	68% / 68%	68%	68%
Efficiency					
SRS cost per day	\$79	\$80	\$82 / \$92	\$98	\$98
Service Quality					
Percent of SRS youth who have face-to-face contact within 24 hours of assignment	100%	100%	98% / 100%	98%	\$98
Outcome					
Percent of SRS youth with no new delinquency or CHINS petitions while under supervision	87%	83%	85% / 80%	85%	\$85

## Objective

To have at least 90 percent of Shelter Care II (formerly referred to as Less Secure Shelter) youth appear at their court hearings in order to resolve cases before the court in a timely manner.

## **Performance Indicators**

	Prior Year Actuals			Current Estimate	Future Estimate
Indicator	FY 2012 Actual	FY 2013 Actual	FY 2014 Estimate/Actual	FY 2015	FY 2016
Output					
Shelter Care II (SC II) child care days provided	2,992	2,586	2,586 / 2,536	2,540	2,540
SC II facilities utilization rate	68%	59%	59% / 58%	58%	58%
Efficiency					
SC II cost per bed day	\$317	\$344	\$363 / \$351	\$361	\$361
Service Quality					
Percent of parents satisfied with SC II services	100%	96%	90% / 96%	90%	90%
Outcome					
Percent of SC II youth who appear at scheduled court hearing	100%	97%	90% / 92%	90%	90%

## Objective

To have 98 percent of Secure Detention Services (SDS) youth appear at their court hearings in order to resolve cases before the court in a timely manner.

	Prior Year Actuals			Current Estimate	Future Estimate
Indicator	FY 2012 Actual	FY 2013 Actual	FY 2014 Estimate/Actual	FY 2015	FY 2016
Output					
Secure Detention Services (SDS) child care days provided	15,881	12,732	12,732 / 13,826	13,830	13,830
SDS facilities utilization rate (1)	36%	29%	29% / 57%	57%	57%
Efficiency					
SDS cost per bed day	\$219	\$226	\$262 / \$257	\$268	\$268
Service Quality					
Percent of SDS youth discharged within 21 days	77%	76%	75% / 74%	75%	75%
Outcome					
Percent of SDS youth who appear at scheduled court hearing	100%	100%	98% / 100%	98%	98%

### Objective

To have at least 70 percent of Community-Based Residential Services (CBRS) discharged youth with no subsequent criminal petitions after 12 months of case closing in order to protect the public safety.

#### **Performance Indicators**

	Prior Year Actuals			Current Estimate	Future Estimate
Indicator	FY 2012 Actual	FY 2013 Actual	FY 2014 Estimate/Actual	FY 2015	FY 2016
Output					
Community-Based Residential Services (CBRS) child care days provided	9,844	8,026	9,710 / 6,540	6,135	6,135
CBRS facilities utilization rate	79%	65%	78% / 53%	60%	60%
Efficiency					
CBRS cost per bed day	\$299	\$307	\$273 / \$309	\$343	\$343
Service Quality					
Percent of parents satisfied with CBRS service	95%	100%	90% / 100%	90%	90%
Outcome					
Percent of CBRS-discharged youth with no new delinquent petitions for 1 year	87%	74%	70% / 88%	70%	

Methodology for calculating the utilization rate for the Juvenile Detention Center changed in FY 2014. The facility is now staffed to operate 66 beds rather than 121. This change results in a large increase from the projected to actual utilization for FY 2014. The new calculation method will be used going forward.

Utilization rate for Community Based Residential Services decreased due to a change in one of the programs. The Boys Probation House is now a 16 bed facility due to the removal of the Transitional Living Program from the facility. Future child care day projections take this change into account.