## Loisdale Sheehy (Sheehy Loisdale Property, LLC)

Potential Land Dedication

- RZ 2021-LE-002 w/SE 2021-LE-005
- Vehicle Sale, Rental, and Ancillary Service Establishment (Car Dealership)
- Lee Supervisory District
- Springfield Planning District


## Park Authority Board Meeting

July 28, 2021





## Action Item 2 <br> FY 2021 Carryover Budget Review

Fund 1001 - General Fund

## FY 2021 Actuals and Carryover - General Fund

|  | FY2021 <br> Budget | FY2021 Actuals | FY2022 <br> Adopted | FY 2022 <br> Revised |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenue | \$0 | \$320,732 | \$238,000 | \$238,000 |
| Total Revenue | \$0 | \$320,732 | \$238,000 | \$238,000 |
| Merit Salaries | \$21,596,368 | \$19,130,006 | \$23,372,866 | \$23,372,866 |
| Non-Merit Salaries | \$2,533,652 | \$2,244,302 | \$2,742,068 | \$2,742,068 |
| Operating Expenditures | \$7,561,658 | \$7,090,714 | \$5,357,428 | \$5,386,167 |
| Capital Equipment | \$324,500 | \$178,574 | \$200,000 | \$364,633 |
| Recovered Costs | (\$3,876,161) | (\$2,267,495) | (\$3,876,161) | (\$3,876,161) |
| Total Expenditures | \$28,160,017 | \$26,376,101 | \$27,796,201 | \$27,989,573 |

# Action Item 3 <br> FY 2021 Carryover Budget Review 

Fund 80000 - Park Revenue and Operating Fund

## Revenue Fund and the Pandemic

- FY 2020 Total Pandemic Funding Gap: \$8,560,703
- $\$ 2,664,824$ from Revenue and Operating Fund Stabilization Reserve
- $\$ 957,403$ from Park Improvement Fund (FY20 Appropriated Monopole Revenue)
- \$1,455,136 from Revenue Sinking Fund
- \$3,483,340 Gap at Carryover to FY 2021
- $(\$ 1,706,529)$ Net before General Fund Transfer
- $\$ 1,706,529$ from FY 2020 General Fund Carryover Balance
- $\$ 663,170$ Net from FY 2021 Operations After Debt Payments and Transfers Out
- \$2,820,170 Gap at Carryover to FY 2022
- \$916,925 from Park Improvement Fund (FY21 Appropriated Monopole Revenue)
- \$700,000 from Twin Lakes/Oak Marr Debt Reserve
- \$1,203,245 from FY 2021 General Fund Carryover Balance
- FY 2022 Revised Budget Assumes \$411,806 Net Positive
- $\$ 24,507$ Budgeted Net Expenditures
- \$387,299 from FY 2021 General Fund Carryover Balance


## FY 2021 Actuals and Carryover - Revenue Fund

|  | REC <br> Activities | RECenters | RMD | Golf | Admin | TOTALS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenue/Transfers In | \$3,780,574 | \$14,119,874 | \$1,662,583 | \$15,269,596 | \$1,871,855 | \$36,704,482 |
| Merit Salaries | \$680,765 | \$5,131,719 | \$122,320 | \$3,287,726 | \$1,135,243 | \$10,357,773 |
| Non-Merit Salaries | \$537,552 | \$5,835,647 | \$752,564 | \$2,109,407 | \$108,217 | \$9,343,387 |
| Benefits | \$422,330 | \$3,072,180 | \$202,978 | \$1,806,898 | \$601,834 | \$6,106,220 |
| Operating Expenditures | \$589,588 | \$4,533,035 | \$141,784 | \$3,382,211 | \$217,217 | \$8,863,835 |
| Recovered Costs | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | $(\$ 1,196,366)$ | (\$1,196,366) |
| Total Expenditures | \$2,230,235 | \$18,572,581 | \$1,219,646 | \$10,586,242 | \$866,145 | \$33,474,849 |
| Net Position | \$1,550,339 | (\$4,452,707) | \$442,937 | \$4,683,354 | \$1,005,710 | \$3,229,633 |
| Debt Service and Transfer Out | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,566,464 | \$2,566,464 |

## FY 2021 Actual Revenue - Revenue Fund



## FY 2021 Actual Expenditures - Revenue Fund



## FY 2021 Net Revenue-Revenue Fund



## FY 2021 Actuals and Carryover - Revenue Fund

|  | FY2021 <br> Budget | FY2021 <br> Actuals | FY2022 <br> Adopted | FY2022 <br> Revised |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenue | \$49,392,721 | \$34,997,953 | \$41,437,934 | \$38,617,764 |
| Transfer In from General and Stabilization Funds | \$1,706,529 | \$1,706,529 | \$0 | \$3,207,469 |
| Total Revenue | \$51,099,250 | \$36,704,482 | \$41,437,934 | \$41,825,233 |
| Merit Salaries | \$9,949,339 | \$8,609,407 | \$11,718,531 | \$11,718,531 |
| Non-Merit Salaries | \$12,818,026 | \$11,091,753 | \$15,097,330 | \$15,097,330 |
| Benefits | \$7,137,483 | \$6,106,220 | \$7,137,659 | \$7,137,659 |
| Operating and Other Expenditures | \$13,881,271 | \$11,430,299 | \$15,900,703 | \$15,900,703 |
| Recovered Costs | $(\$ 1,303,137)$ | $(\$ 1,196,366)$ | $(\$ 1,303,137)$ | $(\$ 1,303,137)$ |
| Total Expenditures | \$47,615,910 | \$36,041,313 | \$41,413,427 | \$41,413,427 |
| Net Position | \$3,483,340 | \$663,169 | \$24,507 | \$411,806 |

# Action Item 4 <br> FY 2021 Carryover Budget Review 

Fund 30400 - Park Authority Bond Construction Fund

## FY 2021 Actuals and Carryover - Bond Construction Fund

|  | FY 2021 <br> Budget | FY 2021 <br> Actuals | FY2022 <br> Adopted | FY2022 <br> Revised |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beginning Balance | $\$ 16,026,972$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 6 , 0 2 6 , 9 7 2}$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 14,829,679$ |
| Bond Sales | $\$ 168,420,000$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 3 , 8 5 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 154,570,000$ |
| Premium/Grants | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 1,347,927$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |
| Total Available | $\mathbf{\$ 1 8 4 , 4 4 6 , 9 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 3 1 , 2 2 4 , 8 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 6 9 , 3 9 9 , 6 7 9}$ |
| Total Disbursements | $\mathbf{\$ 1 8 4 , 4 4 6 , 9 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 6 , 3 9 5 , 2 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 6 9 , 3 9 9 , 6 7 9}$ |
| Ending Balance | $\mathbf{\$ 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 4 , 8 2 9 , 6 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 0}$ |

# Action Item 5 <br> FY 2021 Carryover Budget Review 

Fund 80300, Park Improvement Fund

## FY 2021 Actuals and Carryover - Park Improvement Fund

|  | FY 2021 Budget | FY 2021 <br> Actuals | FY2022 <br> Adopted | FY2022 <br> Revised |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beginning Balance | \$21,885,041 | \$21,885,041 | \$2,207,926 | \$30,130,306 |
| Revenue | \$841,594 | \$10,995,782 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Transfers In | \$1,500,000 | \$1,500,000 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Total Available | \$24,226,635 | \$34,380,823 | \$2,207,926 | \$30,130,306 |
| Total Disbursements | \$22,018,709 | \$4,250,517 | \$28,622,380 | \$27,006,085 |
| Ending Balance | \$2,207,926 | \$30,130,306 | \$2,207,926 | \$1,507,926 |
| Lawrence Trust Reserve | \$1,507,926 | \$1,507,926 | \$1,507,926 | \$1,507,926 |
| Bond Reserve | \$700,000 | \$700,000 | \$700,000 | \$0 |



Elly Doyle Park Service Awards

PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICE

PARK AUTHORITY BOARD MEETING JULY 28, 2021

Fairfax County Park Authority Board chooses the winners for Elly Doyle Awards

## Park Board selects Strickland, Ormsby and Stuntz recipients

## Our Award Winners

## Chairman recommends Chairman's Choice Awards

Fairfax County Park Foundation chooses the winners for the Eakin Awards

Not all awards must have a winner each year

The sites choose the Outstanding Volunteers

## Why Do People Volunteer?

## VALUE OF AWARD PROGRAMS

Awards help to :
Motivate
volunteers
Retain volunteers
Recruit volunteers

- Help others
- Make a difference
- Find purpose
- Connect with community
- Feel involved
- Contribute to a cause they care about
- Use existing skills in a productive way
- Develop new skills
- Meet new people
- Enjoy access to park amenities


## Elly Doyle

Park Service Awards Timeline

Staff Awards Team works on the program all year

Elly Doyle Park Service Awards Ceremony will be virtual again

## Elly Doyle Awards

- Established in 1988
> Recognize Ellamae Doyle's years of service and accomplishments as a member and chairman of the Park Authority Board
Consist of Elly Doyle (Elly, Youth, Special Recognition)
1> Strickland, Stuntz, and Ormsby awards
> Park Foundation awards and Outstanding Volunteers were added for one central awards event
- This year, we are adding a short tribute to the Park Foundation



## Elly Doyle Award

## About

Established in 1988 by the Park Board

Recognizes Ellamae Doyle's years of service and accomplishments as a member and chairman of the Park Authority Board

## Eligibility

Open to individuals/groups that have made outstanding service contributions to Fairfax County parks, including Fairfax County Park Authority volunteers

A service contribution is the giving of time or expertise for the advancement of recreational and/or educational opportunities or the protection of natural, cultural and historic resources

The contribution must have occurred within the prior year but may also include accomplishments accumulated over time

Currently serving members of the BOS, the PAB, FCPA paid staff, and the Park Foundation Board of Directors and their paid staft are not eligible

## Nominate

Anyone may submit a nomination for the Elly Doyle Park Service Award.
Widespread participation in the award process is encouraged
Elly Awards: up to three awards
Youth: One award
Special Recognition: up to five awards

## Harold L. Strickland Award

## About

PAB established Harold L. Strickland Partnership and Collaboration Award in 2015

Honors past Park Board member's long service and significant contributions to the parks

This award recognizes the value and of teamwork and cooperation necessary to provide varied constituencies with state-of-the-art park facilities, often at limited, reduced or no capital expense

## Eligibility

Harold L. Strickland Partnership and Collaboration Award nominees mus $\dagger$ demonstrate significant long-term accomplishments that provide residents with tangible examples of the benefits of partnerships and collaborations that help to sustain or grow service while reducing the need for FCPA financial resources to accomplish the service delivery

This award shall be given periodically as deemed appropriate by the Park Authority Board

## Nominate

## Anyone may submit a nomination for the Harold L. Strickland Partnership and Collaboration Award

## One award

## Mayo Stuntz Award

## About

PAB established Mayo Stuntz Cultural Stewardship Award in 2013 which honors historian, volunteer, and author Mayo S. Stuntz, an original member of the History Commission

Stunz assisted in the establishment of the Landmarks Preservation Committee, recorded and preserved county history, and served on the Sully Foundation, Ltd.

This award recognizes significant contributions made by individuals, organizations, or businesses promoting the
preservation/stewardship of local cultural resources

## Eligibility

Individuals, organizations and business that promote the preservation/stewardship of the county's cultural resources are eligible for the award
The contribution on which the nomination is based must have occurred within the prior year, but the nomination may include accumulated accomplishments
Nominees are recognized periodically at the discretion of the Park Board

## Nominate

## Anyone may submit a nomination for the Mayo Stuntz Cultural Stewardship Award

## One award

## Sally Orsmby Award

## About

Established by the PAB on November 2007 in recognition of Sally B. Ormsby's many years of service as a citizen steward

Her actions promoted the responsible and sustainable management of natural resources

This award recognizes individuals and organizations whose actions embody the spirit and values of stewardship and result in tangible environmental benefits

## Eligibility

Open to individuals and groups whose actions embody the spirit of environmental stewardship and provide tangible environmental benefits to Fairfax County parks
The contribution on which the nomination is based must have occurred within the prior year, but the nomination may also include accumulated accomplishments

Currently serving members of the Board of Supervisors, the Fairfax County Park Authority Board, Fairfax County Park Authority staff, and the Fairfax County Park Foundation Board of Directors and their staff are excluded from eligibility

## Nominate

## Anyone may submit a nomination for the Sally Ormsby Environmental Stewardship Award

One award

## Chairman's Choice

## About

The Fairfax County Park Authority Board established the Chairman's Choice Award in 2019 to recognize outstanding long-term support, service to and advocacy on behalf of the Park Authority.

This award honors those whose efforts have provided a critical contribution to the agency and helped sustain and expand its nationally-accredited facilities and programs.
The contributions of the recipient of this award must also support the One Fairfax policy.

## Eligibility

Chairman's Choice Award nominees must demonstrate significant contributions to the Park Authority over a period of five years or more.

The award will recognize outstanding, tangible support in areas such as land growth, facility development, financial management, financial support, legislation, or a combination of such factors. This award shall be given periodically as deemed appropriate by the Chairman of the Park Board and the membership of Park Authority Board.

This award may recognize an individual or organization and is the only Park Authority Board award open to seated elected or appointed officials as well as Park Authority Board members.

## Nominate

Nominees are selected by the Fairfax County Park Board Chairman with the concurrence of the Executive Board and approval by the Committee of the Whole

No limit on number of awards

## Outstanding Volunteer

## About

The Park Authority recognizes that volunteers strengthen Fairfax County, that volunteering makes a positive impact on the environment, on the quality of life and on the health and well-being of our community; and that volunteering is an essential part of living in a thriving society adding enormous benefits and opportunities to our park system

## Eligibility

Active volunteer at one of the FCPA sites

## Nominate

Peers and site managers nominate the Outstanding Volunteers at each site.

Park Board approves annual resolution to honor these individuals

One per FCPA site

2021 Outstanding Volunteers

| Nominee | Title | Site | Years of Service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jose Acosta | Animal Care Volunteer | Hidden Oaks | 3 years |
| Charles (Chuck) Basham | Fitness Room Monitor/Greeter | Spring Hill Rec Center | 15 years |
| Dennis Carlton | Permanent Substitute | Twin Lakes | 5 years |
| Henry Champagne | Adapted Aquatics Assistant | Audrey Moore \& South Run Rec Centers | 3,500 hours/8-10 years |
| Judy Dority | Native Plant Gardener, Herbarium Assistant, \& others | Huntley Meadows Park | 4 years |
| Tom Eason | Landscaping Sssistant | Oak Marr Rec Center | 1.3 years |
| Janet Hammes | Special Projects Volunteer | Green Spring Gardens | Intermittent |
| Mary Claire Hess | Front Desk | Cub Run Rec Center | 7 years |
| Robert "Bob" Jarrell | Front Desk Associate/ Scouting Program Coordinator | South Run Rec Center | 2.75 years |
| Daniel Kim | Animal Caretaker | Ellanor C. Lawrence | 3.5 years |
| Marcos Kolva | Volunteer Assistant Naturalist | Hidden Pond Nature Center and Park | 3 years |
| Aimee Martin | Special Projects Volunteer | Green Spring Gardens | 7 years |
| Mark Maslyn | Starter | Laurel Hill Golf Club | 5 years |
| Lisbet McCarrick | Front Desk Associate | Lee District Rec Center | 8 years |
| Pam Pontillo | Instructor Assistant, Adapted Fitness | Providence Rec Center | 3 years |
| Liz Promen | Special Projects Volunteer | Green Spring Gardens | 15 years |
| Eliot Soderholm | Resource Naturalist | Ellanor C. Lawrence | 1 year |
| Elaine Sommer | Nature Education Program Volunteer | Riverbend Park | 1.5 years |
| Nancy Turner | Touring Docent, Special Events, Gardener, Museum Aide | Sully Historic Site | 2 years |
| James Wallar | Gardener and Tour Docent | Colvin Run Mill | 3.25 years |
| Brynn Walley | General Support Assistant | Mount Vernon \& Lee District Rec Centers | 5 years |
| Larry Zenker | General Support Assistant/Fitness Room Monitor | Audrey Moore Rec Center | 13 years |

## Park Foundation Eakin Awards

- The Fairfax County Park Foundation established the Eakin Philanthropy Award to recognize visionary financial and material contributions that significantly enhance Fairfax County parks
- In 1951, LeRoy Eakin, Sr. donated 14 acres of land which was Fairfax County's first park
- The Eakin family gave another 240 additional acres which ultimately became the Fairfax County Park Authority
- The Park Foundation is proud to recognize individuals and organizations who help to continue the Eakin legacy



## 2021 Slate of Nominees

## Elly Doyle Award

- Church of Jesus Christ of Latter Day Saints, D.C. South Mission
- Friends of Accotink Creek
- Dr. Jeffrey Kretsch


## Special Recognition

- Ann Marlow
- Oak Marr Rec Center Landscaping Group
- Marion Scaffidi
- Jennifer Grinnell Van de Vijver
- Allen Yang


## Youth Award (tie)

- Maddie Sizer
- Nicole Wargel


## 2021 Slate of Nominees

## Sally Ormsby Award (iie)

- Bob Dinse
- Green Spring Extension Master Gardener Program


## Harold Strickland Award

- Ed Robichaud, Ronnie Billodeaux, and Steve Williams


## Mayo Stuntz Award

- Barbara Naef


## Chairman's Choice

- Fairfax County Director of Health Gloria AddoAyensu, MD, MPH and lead COVID-19 staff members
- Fairfax County Executive Bryan J. Hill and County leadership team


Elly Doyle Park Service Awards

# Discussion Item 1 <br> Proposed FY 2023 Budget Planning 

Fund 1001 - General Fund

## FY 2023 General Fund Budget Items: \$11,200,000

| Priority Area | Item |
| :--- | :--- |
| Environmental Sustainability | Natural Resources Management Program |
|  | IMA Program Expansion |
| Social Equity | Expanded Forestry Funding for High Risk/High Priority Tree Issues |
|  | County-Wide Vouchers and Fee Reductions |
| Efficient Management | ADA Transition Planning and Implementation |
|  | Time and Attendance System |
|  | Asset Management System |
| Safety and Security | Maintenance and Utility Costs for New Land Acquisitions and Major Site <br> Renovations |
| Development Process | Park Ranger Program |
| Sports Tourism and Coordination | Increased Planner Capacity for External Development Reviews |
| Maintenance and Tournament Management Staffing for Patriot Park |  |
| North |  |



## Park Authority <br> 2021 Interns

## 

## Green Spring Gardens

## Janis Woodward

Anthropology
George Mason University



## Green Spring Gardens

Sarah Petroff
History; Public History/Museum Studies/Anthropology
George Mason University


## Green Spring Gardens

## Angela Pitsakis

Horticulture Studies
Northern Virginia Community
College



## Green Spring Gardens

## Margot Vanyan

Government and Environmental Policy
College of William and Mary



Natural Resources Branch

## John Foong

Environmental Resource Management

Virginia Tech University

## 20 

Natural Resources Branch

Daria Maslyukova
Environmental Sustainability
Studies
George Mason University

Natural Resources Branch

## Colette Combs <br> Political Science <br> American University



## Huntley Meadows Park

Alexandra Wettengel
Biology; Minor in Natural Resources Management
Colorado State University


Huntley Meadows Park

Luis Teran
Parks and Outdoor Recreation
George Mason University and
Caroline Simonsen
Environmental Resource
Management


PennsyIvania State University


## Huntley Meadows Park

## Emery Poulsen

Environmental Informatics; Minor in GIS

## and

Victoria Nutt
Wildlife Conservation
Virginia Tech


## 

Planning and Development Division

Amanda Bassett
Science and Policy
PennsyIvania State University



## Park Services Division, ADA

Gloria Kim
Therapeutic Recreation
Longwood University


## 

## Park Services Division, ADA

Lauren Leithiser
Therapeutic Recreation
Longwood University


# Pickleball in Farisfox County 

Findings \& Draft Recommendations
Park Authority Board
July 28, 2021

## Pickleball Study Scope and Presentation

Scope

- Study purpose


## Findings

3ey Findings
Cxisting Facilities
Park Authority Survey and Player Preferences

Draft
Recommendations
2. Site Selection Criteria

- Design \& General Recommendations
- Location Guidance


## Pickleball Study Scope



## Strategically respond to community requests for pickleball

Guide in evaluating and designing suitable pickleball locations

Recommend locations for future pickleball courts

## Key Findings

(3) Use of outdoor courts is on the rise.
3. The Park Authority's pickleball offerings are similar to comparably-sized jurisdictions.

C3 19 courts were added to tennis in the past year - a $68 \%$ net increase.
3 $77.3 \%$ of County residents are within a 10 -minute drive of FCPA or NCS pickleball venue. $96.5 \%$ are within 15 minutes, and $99.8 \%$ are within 20 minutes. Current court configurations generally suit small groups (65\% of surveyed players) and casual use; but options are limited for large group/club play ( $35 \%$ ) and pickleball-only courts. Just putting down lines won't solve this.Provision of pickleball-only facilities will require planning, construction and capital funding for courts and supporting amenities.

## National Participation Trends

## National Participation (in millions)


7.1\%

Tennis Participation Rate, 2020
Pickleball Participation Rate, 2020*
Sources: Sports and Fitness Industry Association; Sports Fitness and Leisure Activities Topline Participation Report, 2020 and U.S. Pickleball, 2021. * 2020 Pickleball data projected from U.S. Pickleball as of April 29, 2021, citing 2021 SFIA data. The 2021 SFIA Pickleball Participation Report has not been released as of June 2021.

## Local Participation Trends - Park Services Court Scheduling

 Audrey Moore or Jefferson RECenter, which are reserved on site. Data does not reflect actual sport played and is an indication of general demand only.

## Existing Pickleball Facilities - July 2021

## In the County ...

## The Park Authority:

46 outdoor courts shared with tennis across $\mathbf{2 3}$ parks or schools

- 6 indoor courts between Lee District and Spring Hill, Programming at Providence
- $\mathbf{6}$ dedicated pickleball courts planned for construction across $\mathbf{3}$ parks ( 2 courts/site): (Hogge, North Hill, and Wakefield)


## NCS Community Centers:

8-10 shared courts between 5 Community Centers

- 5 shared courts planned between Gum Springs CC \& South County Teen \& Senior Center

Existing Pickleball Facilities - July 2021
Total Pickleball Courts per Capita:


## FCPA/NCS Pickleball Courts / Drive Time Access

©Current Park Authority Outdoor Pickleball Courts
Current Park Authority
RECenter Pickleball Courts
Community Center (NCS)
Pickleball Courts

## Drive Time Access to ExistingPickleball Facilities

10 Minute Drive Access15 Minute Drive Access20 Minute Drive Access


## Drive Time Access

Can County Residents Drive to a FCPA or NCS Pickleball Court?

| 1.20 | $99.8 \%$ up to 20 minute drive |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1.00 | 96.5\% up to 15 minute drive |
|  | 77.3\% up to 10 minute drive |

## Key Survey Findings:

$38 \%$ of surveyed players drive to play
62\% are willing to drive $20+$ minutes
The Takeaway:
Nearly all county residents have a pickleball court within a 20 -minute drive - but the court may not meet players' preferences, particularly for larger groups and pickleballonly courts.

FCPA Public Survey Findings

## 50,673

Participants Responses/Data Points
Have you played pickleball in the past year? 1,700 respondents

No, 22\%

Yes, 78\%

Participant Preferences:

- $83 \%$ play pickleball $13+$ times/year
- $35 \%$ prefer pickleball groups of $4+$ (and $28 \%$ prefer 16 or more players, thus desiring more courts at a site)
3 $64 \%$ have experienced conflicts on shared courts
- All playing times popular - day \& evening


## Important Factors:

- Number of courts available (87\%)
- Parking availability (79\%)
- Proximity to home (72\%)
- Pickleball nets available (70\%)
- Fees (68\%)
- Indoor courts (67\%)
- Dedicated pickleball-only courts (64\%)


## Draft Recommendation - Adopt Site Selection Criteria



Tennis Use \& Court Demand


Geography \& Access


Layout/Spatial Considerations


Neighborhood Impacts


Available Parking


Environmental


Park Activities Operations, Cost \& Maintenance


Lighting Potential
ADA Compliance

- Evaluate compatible sites for pickleball
- Identify any needed improvements/conditions
- Target locations for site-specific analysis


## Draft Recommendation - Adopt Court Layout/Design Specs



## Draft Recommendation - Location Guidance

- Starting in FY 2022, include potential for pickleball as a factor in prioritizing court maintenance and renovation. Using a defined process, assess courts' potential for conversion from tennis-only or shared-use to pickleball-only, using the site selection criteria.
- In the $\underline{2024}$ park bond or other funding sources, consider the design and construction of at least one pickleball-only facility suitable for large groups and tournaments ( $6+$ courts). FCPA Board will need to determine how many facilities are funded based on all needs throughout the park system.
- In the 2026 Needs Assessment, consider whether a countywide pickleball service level standard, based in demand analysis and statistically valid survey, is justified.


## Draft Recommendation - New Sites for Large Groups/Tourno

Overall Goal: Residents are within a 20 -minute drive of a pickleball-only facility [minimum 4, ideally 6+ courts].

This map shows one possible access outcome based on where

1. Parks are master planned for courts \& not yet built and
2. Locations meet pickleball site selection criteria.

Drive Time Access to Opportunity Areas (New Sites for Large Groups/Tournaments)10 Minute Drive Access15 Minute Drive Access20 Minute Drive Access

## Next Steps / Schedule

C. July 28, 2021 - Park Authority Board discussion of findings/draft recommendations

- August - Finalize draft report based on PAB feedback
- August 30 - Publish draft report, solicit public comment (30 days)

September (TBD) - Public meeting

- October - Finalize report based on comments received
- November - Final report for PAB endorsement

- Master Plan Presentation - Doug Tipsword, Park Authority Landscape Architect/ Planner
- Research \& Data Analysis
- Site Context and Existing Conditions
- Design Development
- Concept Development Plan (CDP)
- Next Steps \& Schedule
- Questions?



## Research \& Data Analysis

- Review the Current Master Plan and revisit the original goals \& priorities
- Interview Stakeholders to better understand the success of the existing programs and partnerships
- Planning and Analysis methods are used to determine if public needs are being met at a countywide scale
- Engage the community through a Public Survey to determine if new facilities and uses are desired
- Conduct a Site Analysis of the park as it exists today. Identify opportunities and constraints


Site Context

- Springfield Supervisory District, Pohick Planning District
- Centreville Highschool to the South
- FCPA owned Twin Lakes Golf Course to the East

Existing Park

- Athletic facilities
- Restrooms \& picnic facility
- Maintenance area
- Utility easement
- Storm Water Management (SWM) basins
- Resource Protection Zone (RPZ)
- Miniature golf course (Built in 1999 and removed in 2018)


## Design Development - PARK NEEDS

- Improvements to the PASSIVE RECREATIONAL or social experience
- Improvements to the ACTIVE RECREATIONAL experience
- Improvements to PEDESTRIAN CIRCULATION and site walkability
- Improvements to VEHICULAR CIRCULATION and access into the park
- Improvements to the park's natural setting and providing environmental benefits





Vehicular Circulation Improvements

- Improved site access
- Improved interior site circulation
- Additional parking
- ADA parking
- Vehicular drop -off area




## Braddock Park Revised Master Plan Schedule (2020-2021)

| Early October | Public Information Meeting (Virtual) |
| :---: | :---: |
| October - November | Online Survey for Public Input (30-day period) |
| November - March | Park Concept Design and Development |
| April | Public Comment Meeting |
| April - May | Public Comment Period (30-day period) |
| May - June | Creation of Draft Master Plan |
| July | Draft Master Plan Presentation to Park Authority Board |
| September | Draft Master Plan Meeting |
| September-October | Public Comment Period (30-day period) |
| October - November | Finalize Draft Master Plan |
| November | Park Authority Board Approval |

## ANY OUESTIONS?

Doug Tipsword, Senior Landscape Architect Park Authority, Planning \&Development Division e-mail: parkmail@fairfaxcounty.gov

## Continue to check the Braddock Park webpage for project updates...

https://www.fairfaxcounty.gov/parks/planning-development/braddock-park-master-plan-revision

