

AGENDA

October 15, 2016

THE FAIRFAX COUNTY ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW BOARD SPECIAL MEETING

Fairfax County Government Center Conference Rooms 4 & 5, 10:00 AM
(Refreshments will be available at 9:30 AM)

MEETING CALLED TO ORDER Chairman Sutphin

APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA Chairman Sutphin

DESIGNING GUIDELINES:

Exploring new approaches to design review in historic overlay districts (HOD): A joint venture and exercise of the Fairfax County Architectural Review Board, professional staff and consultants.

Based upon revised discussion draft proposal of May 12, 2016. New Copies distributed on September 08, 2016.

Speakers:

1. Moderator: Richard Wagner, AIA, Historical Architect and Preservationist
2. Robert W. Mobley, AIA, ARB member: award winning designer
3. C. Richard Bierce, AIA, Historical Architect and Preservationist
4. Jason Sutphin, Planner, City of Fairfax, current Chairman of the ARB

A: Introduction: Brief overview of agenda, schedule; Mr. Wagner: 5 minutes

B: Audience Statement & Purpose Statement: Mr. Wagner: 5 minutes

C: Part I: Items 1-7: Suggestions only, no need for discussion, except questions;
Item 8: Ethical Performance Expectations: Mr. Wagner: 10 minutes

D: Part II: Secretary of the Interior's Standards; Fairfax County Zoning Ordinance Standards (focus on recommended conditions of use for Rehabilitation, Preservation and Restoration) Mr. Wagner: 15 minutes

E: Part III: Principles of Design: Brief tutorial, Q&A: Mr. Mobley: 25 minutes

F: Part IV: Narrative example for HOD: Mr. Wagner: 45 minutes

Purpose: To determine ARB/staff buy-in on their participation:

Discussion of Proposed components:

1. Narrative draft: Example only; no action required now
2. Significance: Concur, amend
3. Character defining elements: Concur or amend

4. Built environment:
 - a. Preservation Expectations: Concur or reject in principle
 - b. Development Expectations: Concur or reject in principle
5. Natural Environment:
 - a. Preservation Expectations: Concur or reject in principle
 - b. Development Expectations: Concur or reject in principle
6. Inventory of contributing features: Concur in principle
7. Treatment assessment: concur or reject in principle
8. HOD Boundary Assessment: concur or reject in principle

Identify any unique features not covered in generic expectations:
Concur or reject in principle

G: Part V: Examples of proposed “Expectations”: Mr. Wagner: 45 minutes
New Construction: All: concur or reject in principle
Rehabilitation:
Preservation:
Restoration:
Resources: illustrative; no action required

H: Conclusion:

1. Summary: Mr. Wagner 10 minutes
2. ARB next steps: Chairman Sutphin 20 minutes