

RESTON MASTER PLAN SPECIAL STUDY
TASK FORCE
September 14, 2010

Task Force Meeting
Lake Anne Community Center

Meeting Summary

7:05 p.m. Public Comment Period

- No comments

7:05 p.m. Kohann Williams, Acting Chairman, Administrative Items and Sub-committee updates:

- Upcoming subcommittee schedule which is available online here:
http://www.fairfaxcounty.gov/dpz/projects/reston/meetings_sub-committees.htm
- Upon her return, Patty Nicoson will draft a letter to MWAA to state Task Force position on the second phase design
- Announcement from Mark Looney regarding an upcoming walking tour of Ballston and Virginia Square

7:15 p.m. Overview of Next Steps in Task Force Process, Heidi Merkel, Power Point Presentation

- The presentation can be found here:
http://www.fairfaxcounty.gov/dpz/projects/reston/presentations/tf_next_steps_tu_9-14-10_slides.pdf
- Each Subcommittee has been looking at character, mix, intensity etc. and has or will present their recommendations to the Task Force. TF will then work through any issues related to those recommendations.
- Staff will use these recommendations to create a Draft Plan alternative
- These recommendations will be tested through an impacts analysis and revised accordingly (adjustments).
- A final Comprehensive Plan alternative will be presented to the Task Force.
- PC & BOS Hearings will be scheduled.
- Staff Report will be completed.
- Plan Adoption will occur.

Comments and Questions:

- Can specific dates be assigned to the schedule? Not at this time. It is best not to constrain the work of the sub-committees. There will be an overlap of Phase I and II of the study.
- Has there been a shift from quantitative vs. qualitative in preparation for forthcoming recommendations?
- Why the planning horizon shift from the GMU Forecast?
- Explain the impact assessment process.

7:55 p.m. Vision Sub-committee PowerPoint presentation by John Carter, Co-chair

Comments and Questions:

- Principles show the area as a major employment center which should be included in the recommendations.

- Why does the jobs slide show a “flat line?” Because it doesn’t reflect the job increase and the slide should be revised.
- Shouldn’t the Soapstone crossing come before the station construction? It is too late at this time since construction has already begun. It will have to come later.
- The 13 persons per acre should have new guidelines.
- Solve the failing intersections first. It is up to the TF to come up with these solutions.
- How do the differences get resolved i.e. road categories? The TF will work through them.

8:35 p.m. Herndon-Monroe Sub-committee PowerPoint presentation by Co-chairs, Greg Riegler and Nick Bauer

Questions and Comments:

- Has there been coordination with Town of Herndon? Someone from their office attended the first subcommittee meeting, but they have not regularly contributed.
- What about pedestrian access and pathways? This is ongoing discussion and should be goal oriented.

9:30 p.m. Adjourn

Members Present

Bauer, Nick
 Bowman, John
 Carter, John Anderson
 Cooper, Michael
 Corrigan, Michael
 Costello, Frederick
 de la Fe, Frank
 Foster, Van
 Gilley Sr., Wade
 Goudie, Robert
 Hill, Arthur
 Kennedy, Richard
 Looney, Mark
 Matthews, Milton
 Mockenhaupt, Susan
 Noritake, Rae
 Otteni, Peter
 Penniman, Bill
 Pew, Judith

Phillips, Terri
Riegle, Greg
Seidenstricker, Jay
Stowers, Joe
Thomas, Paul
Van Horn, Andrew
Volloy, Gerald
Walker, Robert
Williams, Kohann

DRAFT