
From: Nicholas Bauer
Sent: Monday, October 28, 2013 4:20 PM
To: Darab, Faheem
Subject: Re: [RMPSS] Instructions for Tuesday Task Force Meeting

Faheem,

Some minor comments, several of which are simply editorial cleanup--i expect they will be addressed, but will point out what i noticed anyway.

Great job on pulling all this together!

Regards,

Nick.

p. 9-- second bullet. Delete "to meet standards."

p. 21--Figure 6. I do not see this table referenced in the text--it should be identified and explained.

p. 35--Reston Parkway Streetscape. 1st full paragraph states: "The Reston Parkway concept should provide a safe, comfortable and attractive environment for pedestrians and cyclists." However, it is not clear where cyclists fit in. And Figure 17 does not clarify this.

p. 35--Reston Parkway Streetscape north of the DAAR. 2nd paragraph stipulates that the streetscape should be complimentary to the approved Spectrum development. Not sure what this really means and why this need to be specified here--i don't see similar language elsewhere. What if it is not developed as proposed?

p. 38--Last paragraph. Refers to Figure 8?

p. 56--Bicycle Facilities. Should refer to Figures 16 - 18. These maps are difficult to follow and are not enough in and of themselves. The source should be identified, and the bicycle master plan referenced (even if it is not final).

p. 58--Figure 17. Legend is cut off.