



Meeting Summary

February 19, 2008, 7:00 p.m.

Marshall High School

- I. Call to Order
- II. Chairman Clark Tyler called the meeting to order and explained that the purpose of the meeting was to prepare for the public workshops to be held February 27 and 28. He then introduced G.B. Arrington and Jay Evans (on the speakerphone), who gave the Task Force an update on the analysis of the two land use prototypes.
- II. [Update on Draft Presentation for Workshops](#): G.B. Arrington of PB PlaceMaking reviewed the PowerPoint presented to the Task Force on February 11, 2008. He pointed out slides with new findings. These include the fact that both Prototypes A and B have more new development near the Metro stations than the Base Case, and that the Prototypes have better jobs to housing ratios than the Base Case. Jay Evans of Cambridge Systematics explained that the transportation analysis is still underway, but that results should be available by February 25.

Following the consultants' updates, Task Force members suggested that the transportation presentation include a slide comparing Networks 1 and 2, and that the slides showing Travel Times be labeled with intersections or more exact origin and destination points. Members also suggested that the presentation begin by emphasizing the long timeframe for the transformation of Tysons (30 years or more) and the extensive public input and Task Force discussion behind the development of the prototypes.

- III. Dress Rehearsal of Workshops: Clark Tyler introduced Doug Sarno of The Perspectives Group who explained the [format](#) for the workshops. Clark will give an introduction, followed by the consultants' presentations. Doug will then facilitate a Question and Answer session. After the Q&A, Doug will explain the purpose of the small group table exercises. Workshop attendees will then discuss a [series of questions](#) focusing on land use, transportation and quality of life at Tysons. The goal is to have at least one Task Force member at each table, along with a facilitator and a notetaker. After the small group discussion, a Task Force member is asked to report back to the group as a whole.
- IV. Small Group Reports: The first table reported that they thought there were too many questions and not enough time to talk about individual subareas of Tysons.

The second table reported that they had suggestions on how to rephrase and combine questions. They liked that the last group of questions concerned Quality of Life because that ended the discussion on a positive note. The third table suggested that it would be helpful to have copies of the Guiding Planning Principles and the Areas of Task Force Consensus available during the small group discussions.

Regarding the number of questions and time limitations on discussion, members agreed that it was most important to discuss Tysons as a whole. Participants are encouraged to comment on individual subareas, but tables will not be assigned subareas of Tysons as part of the small group exercise.

V. Adjourn: The meeting was adjourned at 9:40 p.m.