

**FAIRFAX COUNTY  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
MAY 19, 2008**

**AGENDA**

- |             |             |   |
|-------------|-------------|---|
| <b>8:30</b> | <b>Held</b> | <b>Board of Supervisors Personnel Committee Meeting<br/>Room 232</b>  |
| 9:30        | <b>Done</b> | Presentations   |
| 10:00       | <b>Done</b> | Presentation of the History Commission Annual Report  |
| 10:15       | <b>Done</b> | Presentation by the Thomas Jefferson High School for Science and Technology Bacterial Monitoring Program in Collaboration with Fairfax County, Stormwater Planning Division |
| 10:30       | <b>Done</b> | Presentation to Fairfax County of the Re-certification for the Certified Crime Prevention Community by the Virginia Department of Criminal Justice Services                 |
| 10:40       | <b>Done</b> | Appointments to Citizen Boards, Authorities, Commissions, and Advisory Groups   |
| 10:40       | <b>Done</b> | Items Presented by the County Executive   |

**ADMINISTRATIVE ITEMS**

- |   |                 |   |
|---|-----------------|---|
| 1 | <b>Approved</b> | Extension of Review Periods for 2232 Review Applications (Mason and Mount Vernon Districts)   |
| 2 | <b>Approved</b> | Streets into the Secondary System (Mount Vernon and Springfield Districts)  |
| 3 | <b>Approved</b> | Authorization to Advertise a Public Hearing on a Proposed Memorandum of Understanding with the Town of Clifton, Virginia, Related to the Clifton Professional Offices Project |
| 4 | <b>Approved</b> | Approval of a "Watch for Children" Sign as Part of the Residential Traffic Administration Program (Braddock District)   |
| 5 | <b>Approved</b> | Authorization to Advertise a Public Hearing on a Proposal to Vacate and Abandon Part of the Right-of-Way of Suncrest Lane (Providence District)                               |
| 6 | <b>Approved</b> | Authorization to Advertise a Public Hearing on Spot Blight Abatement Ordinance for 15011 Lee Jackson Memorial Highway (Sully District)  |

**FAIRFAX COUNTY  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
MAY 19, 2008**

**ADMINISTRATIVE ITEMS  
(CONTINUED)**

- |   |                             |  |
|---|-----------------------------|--|
| 7 | <b>Approved</b>             | Authorization to Advertise a Public Hearing on Spot Blight Abatement Ordinance for 15519 Lee Highway (Sully District)  |
| 8 | <b>Approved w/amendment</b> | Authorization to Advertise a Public Hearing on Proposed Amendments to <i>The Code of the County of Fairfax, Virginia</i> , to Add a New Chapter, Chapter 121 (Graffiti Ordinance) RE: Repair or Removal of the Defacement of Buildings, Facilities and Personal Property |

**ACTION ITEMS**

- |   |                 |   |
|---|-----------------|---|
| 1 | <b>Approved</b> | Approval of Revisions to Chapters 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10 and 12 of the Personnel Regulations RE: the Probationary Period for Public Safety Communicators, Certification, Demotion and Holiday Processes, and the Nepotism Policy |
| 2 | <b>Approved</b> | Approval of Reallocation of Community Development Block Grant and Award of Funding to the New Hope Housing, Inc. as a Portion of the Funding for the Purchase of Samaritan House (Mount Vernon District)                    |
| 3 | <b>Approved</b> | Approval of Contract Award – Herndon Monroe Parking Garage Remedial Work and Approval of the Fund Allocation (Hunter Mill District)   |

**INFORMATION ITEMS**

- |   |              |   |
|---|--------------|---|
| 1 | <b>Noted</b> | Planning Commission Action on Application 2232-MD08-5, Fairfax Water (Braddock, Mason, and Providence Districts)  |
| 2 | <b>Noted</b> | Supplemental Project Administrative Agreement with the Virginia Department of Transportation for the Georgetown Pike Trail Project (Dranesville District) |
| 3 | <b>Noted</b> | Supplemental Project Administrative Agreement with the Virginia Department of Transportation for the Walker Road Trail Project (Dranesville District)     |
| 4 | <b>Noted</b> | Contract Award – Acute Psychiatric Inpatient Services for Adults  |





*Fairfax County, Virginia*  
**BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**  
**AGENDA**

**Monday**  
**May 19, 2008**

---

9:30 a.m.

PRESENTATIONS

1. CERTIFICATE – To recognize the Herndon High School First Robotics teams for their wins in recent competitions. Requested by Supervisor Foust.
2. CERTIFICATE – To recognize the athletic teams of Edison High School that won district championships this year. Requested by Supervisor McKay.
3. PROCLAMATION – To designate May 29-June 1, 2008, as Springfield Days in Fairfax County. Requested by Supervisors McKay and Herrity.
4. PROCLAMATION – To designate May 18-24, 2008, as Public Works Week in Fairfax County. Requested by Chairman Connolly.
5. PROCLAMATION – To designate June 22-29, 2008, as Mosquito Awareness Week in Fairfax County. Requested by Chairman Connolly.

STAFF:

Merni Fitzgerald, Director, Office of Public Affairs  
Bill Miller, Office of Public Affairs

**THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK**

Board Agenda Item  
May 19, 2008

10:00 a.m.

Presentation of History Commission Annual Report

ENCLOSED DOCUMENTS:

Annual Report distributed to Board Members under separate cover.

PRESENTED BY:

Robert E. Beach, AIA, Chairman

**THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK**

Board Agenda Item  
May 19, 2008

10:15 a.m.

Presentation by the Thomas Jefferson High School for Science and Technology  
Bacterial Monitoring Program in Collaboration with Fairfax County, Stormwater Planning  
Division

ENCLOSED DOCUMENTS:

None

PRESENTED BY:

Thomas Jefferson High School Students

**THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK**

Board Agenda Item  
May 19, 2008

10:30 a.m.

Presentation to Fairfax County of the Re-certification for the Certified Crime Prevention Community by the Virginia Department of Criminal Justice Services

ENCLOSED DOCUMENTS:

None

PRESENTED BY:

Craig Hartley, Director, Law Enforcement and Research Division, Virginia Department of Criminal Justice Services

**THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK**

Board Agenda Item  
May 19, 2008

10:40 a.m.

Appointments to Citizen Boards, Authorities, Commissions, and Advisory Groups

ENCLOSED DOCUMENTS:  
Appointments to be Heard May 19, 2008

STAFF:  
Nancy Vehrs, Clerk to the Board of Supervisors

**THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK**

Board Agenda Item  
May 19, 2008

10:40 a.m.

Items Presented by the County Executive

**THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK**

Board Agenda Item  
May 19, 2008

ADMINISTRATIVE – 1

Extension of Review Periods for 2232 Review Applications (Mason and Mount Vernon Districts)

ISSUE:

Extension of the review periods for specific 2232 Review applications to ensure compliance with the review requirements of *Section 15.2-2232* of the *Code of Virginia*.

RECOMMENDATION:

The County Executive recommends that the Board extend the review periods for application 2232-V08-3 to July 27, 2008, and for application 2232-M08-4 to November 17, 2008.

TIMING:

Board action is required on May 19, 2008, to extend the review periods of the applications noted above before their expirations.

BACKGROUND:

Subsection B of *Section 15.2-2232* of the *Code of Virginia* states: "Failure of the commission to act within sixty days of a submission, unless the time is extended by the governing body, shall be deemed approval." Subsection F states: "Failure of the commission to act on any such application for a telecommunications facility under subsection A submitted on or after July 1, 1998, within ninety days of such submission shall be deemed approval of the application by the commission unless the governing body has authorized an extension of time for consideration or the applicant has agreed to an extension of time. The governing body may extend the time required for action by the local commission by no more than sixty additional days."

The Board should extend the review period for application 2232-V08-3, which was accepted for review by the Department of Planning and Zoning (DPZ) on February 28, 2008. This application is for a telecommunications facility, and thus is subject to the State Code provision that the Board may extend the time required for the Planning Commission to act on this application by no more than sixty additional days.

The Board also should extend the review period for application 2232-M08-4, which was accepted for review by DPZ on March 31, 2008. This application is for a public facility,

Board Agenda Item  
May 19, 2008

and thus is not subject to the State Code provision for extending the review period by no more than sixty additional days.

2232-V08-3            T-Mobile Northeast LLC  
                          100-foot monopole (flagpole)  
                          8592 Richmond Highway  
                          Mount Vernon District

2232-M08-4            Fairfax County Park Authority  
                          Light existing athletic fields, and provide other park improvements  
                          3401 Woodburn Road (Pine Ridge Park)  
                          Mason District

The need for the full time of these extensions may not be necessary, and is not intended to set a date for final action.

FISCAL IMPACT:

None

ENCLOSED DOCUMENTS:

None

STAFF:

Robert A. Stalzer, Deputy County Executive  
James P. Zook, Director, Department of Planning and Zoning  
David B. Marshall, Planning Division, DPZ  
David S. Jillson, Planning Division, DPZ

Board Agenda Item  
May 19, 2008

ADMINISTRATIVE – 2

Streets into the Secondary System (Mount Vernon and Springfield Districts)

ISSUE:

Board approval of streets to be accepted into the State Secondary System.

RECOMMENDATION:

The County Executive recommends that the street(s) listed below be added to the State Secondary System.

<u>Subdivision</u>	<u>District</u>	<u>Street</u>
Vernon Heights	Mount Vernon	Central Avenue (Route 781) (Additional Right of Way (ROW) Only)
		Richmond Highway (Route 1) (Additional ROW only)
Forest Hills of Fairfax	Springfield	Forest Hills Drive (Existing Route 1286) (Additional ROW only)

TIMING:

Routine.

BACKGROUND:

Inspection has been made of these streets, and they are recommended for acceptance into the State Secondary System.

FISCAL IMPACT:

None.

Board Agenda Item  
May 19, 2008

ENCLOSED DOCUMENTS:

Attachment 1 - Street Acceptance Form

STAFF:

Robert A. Stalzer, Deputy County Executive

Jimmie D. Jenkins, Director, Department of Public Works and Environmental Services (DPWES)

Howard J. Guba, Deputy Director, DPWES

James W. Patteson, Director, Land Development Services, DPWES

Board Agenda Item  
May 19, 2008

ADMINISTRATIVE - 3

Authorization to Advertise a Public Hearing on a Proposed Memorandum of Understanding with the Town of Clifton, Virginia, Related to the Clifton Professional Offices Project

ISSUE:

Board authorization of a public hearing to consider entering into a Memorandum of Understanding with the Town of Clifton, Virginia (Town), to provide site inspection and bonding services for the Clifton Professional Offices Project (Project).

RECOMMENDATION:

The County Executive recommends that the Board authorize the advertisement of a public hearing to adopt an ordinance approving the Memorandum of Understanding with the Town for the Project as set forth in Attachment I. The Memorandum of Understanding has been prepared by Department of Public Works and Environmental Services (DPWES) Land Development Services and coordinated with the Office of the County Attorney, Town staff, and the Town Attorney.

TIMING:

Board action is requested on May 19, 2008, to provide sufficient time to advertise a public hearing before the Board on June 30, 2008, at 4:30 p.m.

BACKGROUND:

DPWES recently received a request from Ms. Susan Yantis representing the Town for assistance with the Project, a proposed commercial development within the Town. The Town has a very limited number of proposed developments and does not employ professional staff to conduct the required site inspections and process securities for proposed development projects. Consequently, the Board has approved similar agreements to provide such services to the Town in the past. For example, in June 1996, the Board approved an agreement related to the Frog Hill project, and in January 1990, the Board approved an agreement related to the Clifton Heights project.

Similar to these past development project agreements, the Town is requesting assistance from DPWES to: conduct site inspections of the Project, including, but not limited to, inspections for erosion and sediment controls, stormwater management, landscaping, and public improvements within the dedicated right-of-way; establish, collect, and enforce all Project-related escrows; establish, collect, and administer any necessary securities for the public

Board Agenda Item  
May 19, 2008

improvements for the Project; and perform all necessary final inspections for the Project in accordance with the attached Memorandum of Understanding (Attachment I).

As set forth in the Memorandum of Understanding, the County will be authorized to enforce the provisions of the Agreement but will not be responsible for bringing any legal action against the Developer in the event of a default under the Development Agreement, for completing any of the physical improvements for the Project not completed by the Developer, or for funding the completion of any of the physical improvements for the Project not completed by the Developer, all of which will be the responsibility of the Town.

For the Board's consideration are the following:

- A Memorandum of Understanding between the Town and the County authorizing DPWES to conduct site inspections, process securities, and assess fees for the Project (Attachment I).
- Ordinance Authorizing Execution of a Memorandum of Understanding with the Town Related to the Project (Attachment II).

FISCAL IMPACT:

DPWES can accommodate the Town's request to provide the services set forth in the Memorandum of Understanding with existing resources. The County will collect fees to cover the cost of site inspections and monitoring of the Project. Therefore, there is no significant fiscal impact.

REGULATORY IMPACT:

None

ENCLOSED DOCUMENTS:

Attachment I – Memorandum of Understanding with the Town

Attachment II – Ordinance Approving Entering into the MOU with the Town for the Project and Authorizing Execution of the MOU by the County Executive

STAFF:

Robert A. Stalzer, Deputy County Executive

Jimmie D. Jenkins, Director, Department of Public Works and Environmental Services (DPWES)

Howard Guba, Deputy Director, DPWES

James Patteson, Director, Land Development Services, DPWES

Board Agenda Item  
May 19, 2008

ADMINISTRATIVE - 4

Approval of a “Watch for Children” Sign as Part of the Residential Traffic Administration Program (Braddock District)

ISSUE:

Board endorsement of a “Watch for Children” sign as part of the Residential Traffic Administration Program (RTAP).

RECOMMENDATION:

The County Executive recommends that the Board approve a resolution (Attachment I) for a “Watch for Children” sign on Waters Edge Landing Lane (Braddock District).

In addition, the County Executive recommends that the Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT) be requested to install the approved measure as soon as possible.

TIMING:

Board action is requested on May 19, 2008.

BACKGROUND:

The RTAP allows for installation of “Watch for Children” signs at the primary entrance to residential neighborhoods, or at a location with an extremely high concentration of children relative to the area, such as playgrounds, day care or community centers. In particular, Section 33.1-210.2 of the *Code of Virginia* provides that the Board may request, by resolution to the Commissioner of VDOT, signs alerting motorists that children may be at play nearby. VDOT reviews each request to ensure the proposed sign will be effectively located and will not be in conflict with any other traffic control devices. On April 17, 2008, the Department of Transportation received written verification from the appropriate local supervisor confirming community support for the referenced “Watch for Children” sign on Waters Edge Landing Lane.

FISCAL IMPACT:

The estimated cost of \$200 is to be paid out of the VDOT secondary road construction budget.

Board Agenda Item  
May 19, 2008

ENCLOSED DOCUMENT:

Attachment I: Resolution for "Watch for Children" Sign

STAFF:

Robert A. Stalzer, Deputy County Executive

Katharine D. Ichter, Director, Fairfax County Department of Transportation (FCDOT)

Ellen Gallagher, Chief, Capital Projects and Operations Division, (FCDOT)

William P. Harrell, Transportation Planner, (FCDOT)

Steven K. Knudsen, Transportation Planner, (FCDOT)

Board Agenda Item  
May 19, 2008

ADMINISTRATIVE – 5

Authorization to Advertise a Public Hearing on a Proposal to Vacate and Abandon Part of the Right-of-Way of Suncrest Lane (Providence District)

ISSUE:

Authorization of a Public Hearing on a Proposal to Vacate and Abandon Part of the Right-of-Way of Suncrest Lane.

RECOMMENDATION:

The County Executive recommends that the Board authorize the advertisement of a public hearing to consider the vacation and abandonment of the subject right-of-way.

TIMING:

The Board should take action on May 19, 2008, to provide sufficient time to advertise the public hearing for June 30, 2008, at 4:00 p.m.

BACKGROUND:

The applicant, Susan Hageman, is requesting that a portion of the right-of-way of Suncrest Lane at the corner of Frank Street and Electric Avenue be vacated and abandoned. The Suncrest Lane right-of-way is in the Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT) State Secondary System because of its location at the corner of Electric Avenue and Frank Street, both of which are VDOT Secondary State Highways.

No new development is associated with this application. The bulk of the land will be incorporated into Ms. Hageman's property.

Traffic Circulation and Access

The vacation and abandonment will have no long-term impact on vehicle circulation and access. The subject right-of-way is a dead end and no longer serves a transportation purpose.

Easements

Dominion Virginia Power and Verizon have identified facilities within the area to be vacated and abandoned. The applicants have provided easement plats, deeds, or agreements in forms acceptable to them. The applicants also identified sanitary sewer, storm sewer and storm drainage easement requirements in the vacation and

Board Agenda Item  
May 19, 2008

abandonment area; the Department of Public Works and Environmental Services concurred with this identification. No other easement needs were identified.

This proposal to vacate and abandon this right-of-way was circulated to the following public agencies and utility companies for review: Office of the County Attorney, Department of Public Works and Environmental Services, Fairfax County Department of Transportation, Department of Planning and Zoning, Fairfax County Park Authority, Fairfax County Water Authority, Fairfax County School Board, Fire and Rescue, Virginia Department of Transportation, Dominion Virginia Power, Washington Gas Light Company, and Verizon. None of these indicate any opposition to the proposal.

FISCAL IMPACT:

None.

ENCLOSED DOCUMENTS:

Attachment I: Statement of Justification  
Attachment II: Notice of Intent to Vacate and Abandon  
Attachment III: Ordinance of Vacation  
Attachment IV: Order of Abandonment  
Attachment V: Vacation and Abandonment Plat  
Attachment VI: Metes and Bounds Description  
Attachment VII: Vicinity Map

STAFF:

Robert A. Stalzer, Deputy County Executive  
Katharine D. Ichter, Director, Fairfax County Department of Transportation (FCDOT)  
Michael A. Davis, FCDOT  
Donald Stephens, FCDOT

Board Agenda Item  
May 19, 2008

ADMINISTRATIVE - 6

Authorization to Advertise a Public Hearing on Spot Blight Abatement Ordinance for 15011 Lee Jackson Memorial Highway (Sully District)

ISSUE:

Board authorization to advertise a public hearing to consider adoption of a Spot Blight Abatement Ordinance for 15011 Lee Jackson Memorial Highway, Chantilly, VA 20151 (Tax Map No. 033-2-((01))-0007).

RECOMMENDATION:

The County Executive recommends that the Board authorize the advertisement of a public hearing to be held Monday, June 30, 2008, at 4:00 p.m.

TIMING:

Board authorization to advertise the public hearing is requested for Monday, May 19, 2008, and the public hearing should be held Monday, June 30, 2008, at 4:00 p.m.

BACKGROUND:

Va. Code Ann. § 36-49.1:1 (Supp. 2006) (Spot Blight Abatement Statute) allows the Board, by ordinance, to declare a blighted property a nuisance, thereby enabling abatement in accordance with Va. Code Ann. § 15.2-900 (2003) or Va. Code Ann. § 15.2-1115 (Supp. 2006) (Abatement of Nuisance Statutes). The Abatement of Nuisance Statutes permit the County to compel the abatement or removal of nuisances. If, after reasonable notice, the owner(s) fails to abate or obviate the nuisance the County may abate the nuisance in which event the property owner(s) may then be charged for the costs of abatement, which may be collected from the property owner(s) in any manner provided by law for the collection of state or local taxes.

Properties are considered "blighted" under the Spot Blight Abatement Statute as defined in Va. Code Ann. 36-3 (Supp. 2006) as any individual commercial, industrial, or residential structure or improvement that endangers the public's health, safety, or welfare because the structure or improvement upon the property is dilapidated, deteriorated, or violates minimum health and safety standards, or any structure or improvement previously designated as blighted pursuant to § 36-49.1:1, under the process for determination of "spot blight."

Board Agenda Item  
May 19, 2008

In November 1996, the Board authorized the implementation of a Blight Abatement Program using the Spot Blight Abatement Statute to address citizen concerns about specific properties in their communities which were abandoned, dilapidated, or otherwise kept in an unsafe state.

A property can be considered blighted if it meets the standards set forth in Va. Code Ann. § 36-3 (Supp. 2006) and if it meets all of the following conditions:

1. It has been vacant and/or boarded up for at least one year.
2. It has been the subject of complaints.
3. It is no longer being maintained for useful occupancy.
4. It is in a dilapidated condition or lacks normal maintenance or upkeep.

The property located at 15011 Lee Jackson Memorial Highway was referred to the Blight Abatement Program (BAP) on April 27, 2007. Located on the subject property are the burned out remains of a two-story house. The residential structure was constructed sometime in 1925 according to Fairfax County Tax Records. On April 24, 2007, the dwelling was placarded unfit and its use or occupancy prohibited by the Fairfax County Property Maintenance Code Official. The structure has been vacant since at least the winter of 2005 when fire consumed most of the structure. All that remains of the dwelling is the charred ruins. This structure cannot be repaired and needs to be demolished.

On March 5, 2008, the Neighborhood Enhancement Task Force (NETF) found that the subject property met the blighted property guidelines, and the property received a preliminary blight determination. Certified notice was sent to the owners advising them of this determination. BAP staff have communicated with the owners on several occasions and they are aware of the issues with the property but to date have not taken any action to abate the blighted conditions of the property.

BAP staff continues to receive multiple complaints regarding this property and the negative visual impact it has on the adjacent properties and the surrounding community. All attempts by BAP staff to achieve voluntary compliance from the property owners have been unsuccessful.

Although the Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) will continue to seek cooperation from the owners to eliminate blighted conditions, it is requested that a public hearing, in accordance with the Spot Blight Abatement Statute, be held to adopt an Ordinance declaring the property to be blighted, which constitutes a nuisance. State code requires that the Board provide notice concerning proposed adoption of such an Ordinance.

At the public hearing, HCD will also request authorization to contract for demolition of the blighted structure on the site pursuant to Va. Code Ann. §15.2-1115 (Supp. 2006)

Board Agenda Item  
May 19, 2008

as authorized under the Spot Blight Abatement Statute. If the owners fail to abate the blighted conditions within thirty days after notification to the property owners of the Board's action, HCD will proceed with the demolition process for the structure. The County will incur the cost, expending funds that are available in Project 014048, Revitalization Spot Blight Abatement, within Fund 340, Housing Assistance Program. The County will then pursue reimbursement from the owners who are ultimately liable for all abatement costs incurred. A lien will be placed on the property and recorded in the County land and judgment records.

FISCAL IMPACT:

In the event that the blighted conditions are not eliminated by the owners, HCD will use monies from Project 014048, Revitalization Spot Blight Abatement, within Fund 340, Housing Assistance Program, to demolish the structure. The balance in this project as of May 1, 2008, is \$267,385. It is estimated that the cost of demolition of the structures will be approximately \$60,000.

It is anticipated that all of the costs (including direct County administrative costs) of the blight abatement will be recovered from the property owners. Funds recovered will be allocated to the Blight Abatement Program in order to carry out future blight abatement plans.

ENCLOSED DOCUMENTS:

Attachment 1: Property Photographs  
Attachment 2: Public Hearing Advertisement

STAFF:

Verdia L. Haywood, Deputy County Executive  
Paula C. Sampson, Director, Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD)  
John Payne, Deputy Director, Real Estate, HCD  
Cynthia Ianni, Director, Design, Development and Construction Division, HCD  
Patricio J. Montiel, Chief, Housing Rehabilitation, HCD  
Christina M. Sadar, Blight Abatement Program Coordinator, HCD

**THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK**

Board Agenda Item  
May 19, 2008

ADMINISTRATIVE - 7

Authorization to Advertise a Public Hearing on Spot Blight Abatement Ordinance for 15519 Lee Highway (Sully District)

ISSUE:

Board authorization to advertise a public hearing to consider adoption of a Spot Blight Abatement Ordinance for 15519 Lee Highway, Centreville, VA 20121 (Tax Map No. 064-1-((01))-0012A).

RECOMMENDATION:

The County Executive recommends that the Board authorize the advertisement of a public hearing to be held Monday, June 30, 2008, at 4:00 p.m.

TIMING:

Board authorization to advertise the public hearing is requested for Monday, May 19, 2008, and the public hearing should be held Monday, June 30, 2008, at 4:00 p.m.

BACKGROUND:

Va. Code Ann. § 36-49.1:1 (Supp. 2006) (Spot Blight Abatement Statute) allows the Board, by ordinance, to declare a blighted property a nuisance, thereby enabling abatement in accordance with Va. Code Ann. § 15.2-900 (2003) or Va. Code Ann. § 15.2-1115 (Supp. 2006) (Abatement of Nuisance Statutes). The Abatement of Nuisance Statutes permit the County to compel the abatement or removal of nuisances. If, after reasonable notice, the owner(s) fails to abate or obviate the nuisance the County may abate the nuisance in which event the property owner(s) may then be charged for the costs of abatement, which may be collected from the property owner(s) in any manner provided by law for the collection of state or local taxes.

Properties are considered "blighted" under the Spot Blight Abatement Statute as defined in Va. Code Ann. 36-3 (Supp. 2006) as any individual commercial, industrial, or residential structure or improvement that endangers the public's health, safety, or welfare because the structure or improvement upon the property is dilapidated, deteriorated, or violates minimum health and safety standards, or any structure or improvement previously designated as blighted pursuant to § 36-49.1:1, under the process for determination of "spot blight."

Board Agenda Item  
May 19, 2008

In November 1996, the Board authorized the implementation of a Blight Abatement Program using the Spot Blight Abatement Statute to address citizen concerns about specific properties in their communities which were abandoned, dilapidated, or otherwise kept in an unsafe state.

A property can be considered blighted if it meets the standards set forth in Va. Code Ann. § 36-3 (Supp. 2006) and if it meets all of the following conditions:

1. It has been vacant and/or boarded up for at least one year.
2. It has been the subject of complaints.
3. It is no longer being maintained for useful occupancy.
4. It is in a dilapidated condition or lacks normal maintenance or upkeep.

The property located at 15519 Lee Highway was referred to the Blight Abatement Program (BAP) on May 15, 2007. Located on the subject property is a vacant, wood, two-story farm house with a porch. The property also contains a detached garage. The residential structure was constructed sometime in 1941 according to Fairfax County Tax Records. On April 26, 2007, the dwelling was placarded unfit and its use or occupancy prohibited by the Fairfax County Property Maintenance Code Official. The structure has been vacant since at least June 2003, when the property was purchased by the current owner. The dwelling is deteriorated due to the extreme lack of maintenance. The dwelling is not economically feasible to repair and needs to be demolished. The detached garage is dilapidated and in partial collapse and needs to be demolished also.

On March 5, 2008, the Neighborhood Enhancement Task Force (NETF) found that the subject property met the blighted property guidelines, and the property received a preliminary blight determination. Certified notice was sent to the owners advising them of this determination. BAP staff have communicated with the owners on several occasions and they are aware of the issues with the property but to date have not taken any action to abate the blighted conditions of the property.

BAP staff continues to receive multiple complaints regarding this property and the negative visual impact it has on the adjacent properties and the surrounding community. All attempts by BAP staff to achieve voluntary compliance from the property owners have been unsuccessful.

Although the Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) will continue to seek cooperation from the owners to eliminate blighted conditions, it is requested that a public hearing, in accordance with the Spot Blight Abatement Statute, be held to adopt an Ordinance declaring the property to be blighted, which constitutes a nuisance. State code requires that the Board provide notice concerning proposed adoption of such an Ordinance.

Board Agenda Item  
May 19, 2008

At the public hearing, HCD will also request authorization to contract for demolition of the blighted structures on the site pursuant to Va. Code Ann. §15.2-1115 (Supp. 2006) as authorized under the Spot Blight Abatement Statute. If the owners fail to abate the blighted conditions within thirty days after notification to the property owners of the Board's action, HCD will proceed with the demolition process for the structures. The County will incur the cost, expending funds that are available in Project 014048, Revitalization Spot Blight Abatement, within Fund 340, Housing Assistance Program. The County will then pursue reimbursement from the owners who are ultimately liable for all abatement costs incurred. A lien will be placed on the property and recorded in the County land and judgment records.

FISCAL IMPACT:

In the event that the blighted conditions are not eliminated by the owners, HCD will use monies from Project 014048, Revitalization Spot Blight Abatement, within Fund 340, Housing Assistance Program, to demolish the structures. The balance in this project as of May 1, 2008, is \$267,385. It is estimated that the cost of demolition of the structures will be approximately \$60,000.

It is anticipated that all of the costs (including direct County administrative costs) of the blight abatement will be recovered from the property owners. Funds recovered will be allocated to the Blight Abatement Program in order to carry out future blight abatement plans.

ENCLOSED DOCUMENTS:

Attachment 1: Property Photographs  
Attachment 2: Public Hearing Advertisement

STAFF:

Verdia L. Haywood, Deputy County Executive  
Paula C. Sampson, Director, Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD)  
John Payne, Deputy Director, Real Estate, HCD  
Cynthia Ianni, Director, Design, Development and Construction Division, HCD  
Patricio J. Montiel, Chief, Housing Rehabilitation, HCD  
Christina M. Sadar, Blight Abatement Program Coordinator, HCD

**THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK**

Board Agenda Item  
May 19, 2008

ADMINISTRATIVE - 8

Authorization to Advertise a Public Hearing on Proposed Amendments to *The Code of the County of Fairfax, Virginia*, to Add a New Chapter, Chapter 121 (Graffiti Ordinance) RE: Repair or Removal of the Defacement of Buildings, Facilities and Personal Property

ISSUE:

Board authorization to advertise a public hearing on proposed amendments to *The Code of the County of Fairfax, Virginia*, to add a new chapter, Chapter 121 (Graffiti Ordinance). The new chapter addresses issues related to the damage and defacement of public and private property and to the repair or removal of such defacement to buildings, facilities, and personal property, and imposes penalties for violations of the ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

The County Executive recommends that the Board authorize advertisement of the proposed amendments to *The Code of the County of Fairfax, Virginia*.

TIMING:

Board action is requested on May 19, 2008, to provide sufficient time to advertise the proposed public hearing on June 30, 2008, at 4:00 p.m. If approved, the changes would become effective on July 1, 2008.

BACKGROUND:

With the increasing number of graffiti calls received by Fairfax County, this new ordinance is being proposed to allow the County to enter onto private property to remove graffiti if the property owner does not remove it. Virginia Code §§ 15.2-908 and 15.2-1812.2 give the Board the authority to adopt an ordinance to provide for the removal of graffiti from public and private property, at County expense, if the graffiti is visible from a public right-of-way. Action to remove the graffiti on private property would have to be preceded by reasonable notice to the owner or lessee of the property at least 15 days prior to any County action. Any graffiti not removed by the property owner would be removed at the expense of the County.

This new ordinance would amend the Fairfax County Code by adding sections 121-1-1 through 121-1-2, relating to the damage and defacement to public and private property and to the repair or removal of such defacement to buildings, facilities, and personal property, and relating to penalties for such violations of such provisions.

Board Agenda Item  
May 19, 2008

Nationally recognized best practices for addressing the issue of graffiti in the community include the following: recognize, report, record and remove the graffiti in a timely manner. Information regarding the recognition of graffiti and the importance of removing graffiti in a timely manner is generally presented to the community and county workforce through presentations, training, and written materials. This information can be found on the Fairfax County Police Department (FCPD) web page and the Fairfax County Gang Prevention web page. Additionally, County staff is developing a graffiti awareness campaign, which will include a web-based reporting system, public service announcements, and community education initiatives. To ensure that all calls reporting graffiti continue to be taken seriously and receive follow-up as soon as possible, the FCPD, in collaboration with the Department of Public Works and Environmental Services (DPWES), have been designated as the agencies responsible for intake and assignment of the removal of graffiti from private and public property.

*Graffiti Reporting and Removal Procedures:*

Step 1 - All graffiti reports, gang related or not, should be made with the Fairfax County Police Department by use of the Department of Public Safety Communications (DPSC) non-emergency number, 703-691-2131.

Step 2 - The call taker will take the information and the complaint will be dispatched to the appropriate patrol officer. The officer will investigate the complaint, complete a report and document the graffiti with pictures. Following the investigation the officer will notify the property owner, occupant, or lessee of the property and coordinate with them for the timely removal of the graffiti.

Step 3 - A follow-up inspection will be completed by the police officer for graffiti removal within 10 days. If the graffiti has not been removed, the police officer will deliver a letter to the property owner or occupant and inform them they have 15 days to remove the graffiti.

Step 4 - After 15 days the police officer will complete a second follow-up inspection of the site. If the graffiti still remains, the police officer will document his findings and provide a report, with pictures, to the Maintenance and Storm Water Management Division (MSMD).

Step 5 - MSMD will consult with a contractor and the property owner, if appropriate for a price quote to remove the graffiti. Methods for removing the graffiti will be discussed with the property owner if available. The best method of graffiti removal or covering with paint will be determined by staff.

Adoption of these proposed amendments will provide the County with the authority to enter onto private property and take action to remove/cover graffiti visible from a public right-of-way. The Board has several times raised questions and concerns about the process for addressing graffiti, particularly on private property. Without this ordinance, there will

Board Agenda Item  
May 19, 2008

continue to be instances where the owner or agent of private property fails to remove the graffiti and the County will have no recourse to address the matter.

Proposed Amendments:

The proposed amendments would amend the Fairfax County Code by adding a new chapter, Chapter 121 (Graffiti Ordinance), relating to the damage and defacement to buildings, facilities, and personal property; the repair or removal of such defacement to buildings, facilities, and personal property; and penalties for violations of the ordinance.

FISCAL IMPACT:

The newly proposed ordinance for removal of graffiti from private property and the resulting programmatic initiatives are likely to mildly increase service requirements which presently are not funded or staffed. Several options for responding to this possible increase in service requirements are being explored, to include diverting in-house staff resources from existing maintenance programs, utilizing contract employees, continued usage of the Office of Sheriff's community labor workforce, and securing gang prevention federal grant money specific to graffiti abatement. Staff will provide the Board with reports regarding the number of complaints handled and other financial information on a quarterly basis.

ENCLOSED DOCUMENTS:

Attachment 1: Proposed Ordinance to Adopt Fairfax County Code Sections 121-1-1 and 121-1-2

STAFF:

Robert A. Stalzer, Deputy County Executive  
Sheriff Stan G. Barry, County of Fairfax  
Colonel David M. Rohrer, Chief of Police  
Merni Fitzgerald, Director, Office of Public Affairs  
Jimmie D. Jenkins, Director, Department of Public Works and Environmental Services  
Robert Bermingham, Gang Prevention Coordinator  
David Ellis, Neighborhood and Community Building Coordinator  
Michael H. Long, Senior Assistant County Attorney

**THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK**

Board Agenda Item  
May 19, 2008

ACTION - 1

Approval of Revisions to Chapters 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10 and 12 of the Personnel Regulations  
RE: the Probationary Period for Public Safety Communicators, Certification, Demotion  
and Holiday Processes, and the Nepotism Policy

ISSUE:

Changes are proposed to Chapters 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10 and 12 of the personnel regulations. The changes are revising the initial probationary period for public safety communicators, updating the certification process, and clarifying processes for demotion during promotional probationary period and holidays. The changes consolidate regulations pertaining to public safety recruitment and extend the nepotism policy to include members of an employee's immediate household and individuals with whom an employee has an extended personal relationship.

RECOMMENDATION:

The County Executive recommends that the Board of Supervisors approve the proposed revisions to Chapters 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10 and 12 of the Personnel Regulations.

TIMING:

Routine.

BACKGROUND:

Periodically the Department of Human Resources reviews issues that have arisen relative to the interpretation and application of the Personnel Regulations and drafts revisions to provide clarification of those issues. Additionally requests for changes are submitted by department managers. These changes are summarized briefly below.

Proposed changes to Chapter 4 add language to clarify the procedure for determining the rate of pay and performance review date when an employee is demoted during the promotional probationary period. Language is added to the Holiday/Emergency Administrative Leave section in Chapter 4 and Chapter 10 to clarify that employees approved to work overtime are not entitled to holiday compensation for working on an actual (versus observed) holiday unless directed to do so by his/her supervisor. Language is being inserted to clarify that hour for hour holiday compensation in excess of 8 hours applies only to employees who are scheduled to work more than 8 hours per day at the request of their department.

Board Agenda Item  
May 19, 2008

Chapter 5 has been revised to include a new section consolidating regulations for Promotional Public Safety/Uniform Examinations. Chapter 6 has also been rewritten to address the formatting that a certification list may take, and to address the duration of a certification and/or eligible list.

The proposed revisions to Chapter 7 addresses the number of applicants, who must be certified, eliminates certification list rules that no longer apply and clarifies procedures for underfill agreements extending for periods of greater than one year. Language has been added to apply the nepotism rules to members of the employee's household and those individuals with extended relationships.

Language has been added to Chapter 8 that indicates an incumbent's compensation for the performance in an acting capacity shall be a 5% salary increase for one or two grade promotions or a 7.5% salary increase for three or more grade promotions. This change reflects changes made as a part of the FY2008 compensation changes.

Chapter 12 is being updated to revise the initial probationary period for public safety communicators. With this revision the probationary period for newly appointed public safety communicators will conclude after graduation from the Department of Public Safety Communications Academy and completion of a ten-week on the job training program.

In accordance with the Merit System Ordinance, the Civil Service Commission held a public hearing on these proposed revision on April 24, 2008. The Commission's comments are summarized in Attachment 3. All the changes recommended by the Civil Service Commission and the Employees Advisory Council have been incorporated.

FISCAL IMPACT:

None.

ENCLOSED DOCUMENTS:

Attachment 1: Proposed revisions 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10 and 12 of the Personnel Regulations

Attachment 2: Summary of Proposed Revisions

Attachment 3: Comments from the Civil Service Commission

STAFF:

Edward Long, Jr., Deputy County Executive

Susan Woodruff, Acting Director, Department of Human Resources

Edward Rose, Sr. Assistant County Attorney

Board Agenda Item  
May 19, 2008



ACTION - 2

Approval of Reallocation of Community Development Block Grant and Award of Funding to the New Hope Housing, Inc. as a Portion of the Funding for the Purchase of Samaritan House (Mount Vernon District)

ISSUE:

The Board of Supervisors is requested to authorize Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funding in the amount of \$446,242 through the Affordable Housing Partnership (AHPP) Program to the New Hope Housing, Inc. as a portion of the funding for the acquisition of a property, known as Samaritan House, to be operated as permanent supportive housing for eight chronically homeless individuals.

RECOMMENDATION:

The County Executive recommends that the Board approve a loan in the amount of \$446,242 to the New Hope Housing, Inc. and approve the reallocation of \$446,242 funds within Fund 142, Community Development Block Grant.

TIMING:

Closing of the loan will be on or after July 1, 2008 when the FY 2009 funds are available.

BACKGROUND:

At its meeting on May 1, 2008, the Fairfax County Redevelopment and Housing Authority (FCRHA) approved, subject to approval by the Board of Supervisors, the reallocation and disbursement of up to \$446,242 to New Hope Housing, Inc. for the purchase of Samaritan House.

New Hope Housing, Inc. is a 501(c)(3) and has been operating programs and serving homeless persons in Northern Virginia for 29 years. New Hope Housing operates five emergency shelter programs providing 119 shelter beds year-round plus an additional 22 beds during winter months.

Samaritan House will provide long-term housing with ongoing services for individuals who, without this opportunity, would be unable to maintain permanent housing. Life skills, education, and employment training will assist some residents, over time, to prepare to move to more independent housing, but the emphasis of the programs is on providing long-term, stable housing with support services. The programs are supported through HUD grants and private donations from the community.

Sources and Uses of Funds:

Board Agenda Item  
 May 19, 2008

<u>Uses</u>		<u>Sources</u>	
Acquisition	\$712,000	HUD Supp. Housing Program Grant	\$292,324
Closing Costs	\$8,441	FCRHA - CDBG	<u>\$446,242</u>
Bridge Interest	<u>\$18,125</u>		
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$738,566</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>\$738,566</b>

Rehabilitation is not part of the scope of work for this loan as the property was substantially reconstructed on the site of an older home (that was razed) in 2007 and is in good condition, including all major systems, as evidenced by the buyer's inspection report.

A grant of \$292,324 from the HUD Supportive Housing Program (SHP) has been awarded to New Hope for this project. Wachovia Bank has provided bridge financing of \$500,000 until the County's CDBG funds are made available. Up to \$18,125 in interest from the Wachovia loan has been included in the development budget.

The loan will be a deferred, no interest loan that would be repaid in the event that the property is sold or no longer serves its intended use of providing supportive housing to homeless persons. The deed of trust will state that if the property is sold or no longer serves its current use before 30 years, then New Hope will be indebted for the greater of either the original loan proceeds or the lender's equity share. After 30 years, New Hope will only be indebted for the equity share if the property is sold or no longer used for affordable housing.

The equity share will be computed based on the total project cost and the percent of CDBG funds used to repay the mortgage and rehab the property. The total project cost is \$738,566 and the CDBG loan is \$446,242 so the percent of equity that the FCRHA will receive from the sale of the property is 60%. The sales price on the property is supported by a recent appraisal that values the property at \$712,000.

This project involves an amendment to the Fairfax County Consolidated Plan. This substantive amendment is required because Samaritan House is a new project that involves reallocation of funds under the Consolidated Plan. For substantive amendments to the Consolidated Plan, in accordance with the County's Citizen Participation Plan, public notice is to be given before the Board of Supervisors takes such action as described above. The amendments have been publicly advertised for 30 days prior to consideration of the item by the Board of Supervisors to allow time for public comment. Approval of this item will constitute the approval of the needed amendments to the Fairfax County Consolidated Plan for this project.

STAFF IMPACT:

None

Board Agenda Item  
May 19, 2008

FISCAL IMPACT:

CDBG funding in the total amount of \$446,242 will be reallocated to Project 014274, New Hope Housing, Inc. Funding in an amount of up to \$259,250 will be reallocated from FY 2009 CDBG funds from Project 014129, Senior/Disabled Housing Development; \$60,843 in FY 2008 CDBG funds from Project 014260, Jewish Foundation for Group Homes, which has a balance of \$60,843 as of April 30, 2008; and, \$126,149 in FY 2008 CDBG funds from Project 013914, Cedar Ridge, which has a balance of \$126,149 as of April 30, 2008 to Project 014274, New Hope Housing Inc.

ENCLOSED DOCUMENTS:

Attachment 1: Vicinity Map  
Attachment 2: Term Sheet

STAFF:

Verdia L. Haywood, Deputy County Executive  
Paula C. Sampson, Director, Department of Housing and Community Development, HCD  
John Payne, Deputy Director, Real Estate and Development, HCD  
Aseem K. Nigam, Director, Real Estate Finance and Grants Management Division, HCD  
Louise Milder, Associate Director, Real Estate Finance and Grants Management Division, HCD  
Derek DuBard, Real Estate Finance Officer, Real Estate Finance and Grants Management Division, HCD

**THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK**

Board Agenda Item  
May 19, 2008

ACTION - 3

Approval of Contract Award – Herndon Monroe Parking Garage Remedial Work and Approval of the Fund Allocation (Hunter Mill District)

ISSUE:

Board of Supervisors approval to allocate up to \$2,675,000, in state aid funds available at the Northern Virginia Transportation Commission (NVTC), and to note the contract award for the construction of the Herndon Monroe Parking Garage Remedial Work project.

RECOMMENDATION:

The County Executive recommends that the Board approve allocating up to \$2,675,000 in state aid funds available at the NVTC for the Herndon Monroe Parking Garage Remedial Work project for the purpose of awarding this contract. Should the Board approve this allocation, the Department of Public Works and Environmental Services will proceed to award this contract to Eastern Waterproofing & Restoration Co. Inc. in the amount of \$1,937,642, unless otherwise directed by the Board.

TIMING:

Board approval is requested on May 19, 2008, so that staff can award the contract and authorize the contractor to proceed with construction immediately.

BACKGROUND:

Staff is working to secure approximately \$3 million (including 20% local match) in prior grant funds from the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) funding to apply to the project. While staff continues to work on securing the FTA funds, \$2,675,000 in state aid is available at NVTC to award the construction contract. In the event that any of the FTA funding cannot be secured, the state aid allocated will need to remain on this project. There is no impact on the general fund as a result of the NVTC allocation; however, if the state aid is actually used for this project, this funding would not be available for other transit related projects. It should be noted that temporary utilization of state aid available at NVTC does not jeopardize the receipt of federal FTA funds.

This contract award includes remedial work consisting of structural concrete maintenance and the installation of a new waterproofing system. Other items included in the scope of work are the addition of new diaphragm cord steel, installation of new expansion joint, and improvements to existing floor drain system.

Board Agenda Item  
May 19, 2008

Six sealed bids were received and opened on Thursday, May 1, 2008, for the construction of the Herndon Monroe Parking Garage Remedial Work, Project No. 009527, Fund 303. The lowest responsive and responsible bidder is Eastern Waterproofing & Restoration Co. Inc. Its bid of \$1,937,642 is \$854,390 or 31% lower than the Independent engineer's estimate of \$2,792,032. The second lowest bid of \$2,100,000 is \$162,328 or 8.4% above the low bid. The highest bid of \$3,251,188 is \$1,313,546 or 67.8% above the low bid. All bidders were pre-qualified and are specialty restoration contractors involved in this type of work. The contractors experience in this type of work and a competitive bidding environment are reflected in the lowest responsive and responsible bid.

Eastern Waterproofing & Restoration Co. Inc. was pre-qualified to bid and is considered a responsible contractor. The Department of Tax Administration has verified that the Eastern Waterproofing & Restoration Co. Inc. has the appropriate Fairfax County Business, Professional and Occupational License.

This bid may be withdrawn after June 16, 2008.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Based on the post-bid update, funding in the amount of \$3,300,000 is necessary to award this construction contract and to fund the associated contingencies and other project costs identified in the Total Project Estimate. Funds are currently appropriated in the amount of \$625,000 in the Fund 303, Project 009527, Herndon Monroe Garage Remedial Repairs and \$2,675,000 is available in state aid funds at the Northern Virginia Transportation Commission (NVTC) for the project. The current total project estimate of \$3,300,000 includes all prior and estimated future project costs, including design, land acquisition, contract administration, inspections, and construction.

ENCLOSED DOCUMENTS:

Attachment 1 – Order of Bidders  
Attachment 2 – Vicinity Map

STAFF:

Robert A. Stalzer, Deputy County Executive  
Katharine D. Ichter, Director, Fairfax County Department of Transportation  
Jimmie D. Jenkins, Director, Department of Public Works and Environmental Services (DPWES)  
Howard J. Guba, Deputy Director, DPWES

Board Agenda Item  
May 19, 2008

## INFORMATION - 1

### Planning Commission Action on Application 2232-MD08-5, Fairfax Water (Braddock, Mason, and Providence Districts)

On Thursday, May 1, 2008, the Planning Commission voted unanimously (Commissioners Hall and Sargeant not present for the vote; Commissioner Hart recusing himself; Commissioner Litzenberger absent from the meeting) to approve 2232-MD08-5.

The Commission noted that the application, as amended, met the criteria of character, location and extent, and was in conformance with Section 15.2-2232 of the Code of Virginia.

Application 2232-MD08-5 sought approval by Fairfax Water to relocate and replace approximately 14,885 linear feet of 24-inch through 54-inch replacement water main and associated appurtenances along Interstate 495 between Braddock Road and Chain Bridge Road in the Braddock, Mason, and Providence Districts to accommodate the addition of two new High Occupancy Toll Lanes in each direction along the Beltway. The property included in the application is located on Tax Maps 29-4 ((1)) 35A; 39-2((1)) 12, 13A1, 13A2, 13A3, 13A5, 13A6, 13C, 62B; 39-2((29)) 1A1; 39-2((48)) A; 49-4((1)) 71, 72, 73, 73A1, 73A2; 49-4((13)) 2; 59-2((1)) 59; 59-2((6)) 9; 59-2((8))(1) 1,12, 12A, 13,14, 15; 59-2((17)) A; 59-2((21)) 125, 126, 128, 129, 130, 131, 132, 134, 135; 59-2((26)) 4; 59-4((1)) 9; 59-4((18)) P; 70-2((1)) 22; 70-4((10)) 1A; Portions of 29-4, 39-2, 39-4, 49-2, 49-4, 59-2, 59-4, 70-2, and 70-4 (VDOT right-of-way and Commonwealth of Virginia).

### ENCLOSED DOCUMENTS:

Attachment 1: Verbatim excerpts from 5/1/08 Commission meeting

Attachment 2: Vicinity maps

### STAFF:

Robert A. Stalzer, Deputy County Executive

James P. Zook, Director, Department of Planning and Zoning (DPZ)

David B. Marshall, Assistant Director, Planning Division, DPZ

Barbara J. Lippa, Executive Director, Planning Commission Office

**THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK**

INFORMATION - 2

Supplemental Project Administrative Agreement with the Virginia Department of Transportation for the Georgetown Pike Trail Project (Dranesville District)

The Georgetown Pike Trail Project, W00200 (W2020), in Fund 307, Pedestrian Walkway Improvements consists of the installation of approximately 4.2 miles of 6-foot wide stone dust trail along the north side of Georgetown Pike from Seneca Road to River Bend Road. Due to the magnitude of the proposed improvements, this project will be implemented in phases.

The original Phase I section of this project, determined in coordination with the Great Falls Trail Blazers, Great Falls Citizen Association, and the Dranesville District Trails and Sidewalk Committee member, was to consist of the installation of a combination of approximately 5,400 linear feet (LF) of 6-foot wide asphalt trail and 6-foot wide stone dust trail from Applewood Lane to Ad Hoc Road (see Attachment I). Subsequently, due to property owner opposition east of the Village Center to granting the required easements, the Phase I scope of work was modified to provide walkway improvements between Applewood Lane and Ad Hoc Road, summarized as follows:

- Applewood Lane to Walker Road: Construction of a combination of approximately 1,200 LF of 6-foot wide asphalt trail and 6-foot wide stone dust trail; and
- Walker Road to Innsbruck Avenue: Upgrade approximately 1,000 LF of existing stone dust trail to current trail standards.

Partial funding to implement the Phase I portion of the Georgetown Pike Trail is being provided from \$150,000 in Enhancement Funds allocated in the Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT) Six Year Improvement Program by the Commonwealth Transportation Board. Additional Enhancement Funds in the amount of \$387,000 have been approved by VDOT for the Georgetown Pike Trail project, which will result in a total allocation of \$537,000. The following summarizes the VDOT Enhancement Program Funds approved for this project and the corresponding required County matching funds:

VDOT Enhancement Allocation	VDOT Contribution (80 Percent)	Fairfax County Contribution (20 Percent)	Total Grant Amount
Prior Approved	\$150,000	\$ 38,000	\$188,000
Administrative Agreement Amendment	387,000	96,750	483,750
Total	\$537,000	\$134,750	\$671,750

Board Agenda Item  
May 19, 2008

A Supplemental Project Administrative Agreement (formerly referred to as a Supplemental Agreement) to the Project Development and Administration Agreement must be executed in order to expend the \$387,000 in Enhancement Funds as noted in the previous table. By executing the Project Administrative Agreement Amendment, the terms and conditions of the original executed Project Development and Administration Agreement will continue to be in effect except for modifications of the funding allocations and a revision of the time limit for project completion.

Engineering work has been initiated on the Phase II section of the Georgetown Pike Trail project that will provide 6-foot wide stone dust trail improvements at selected locations to provide a continuous walkway from Seneca Road to Utterback Store Road (see Attachment I). The initial Phase II section to be addressed will consist of providing approximately 650 LF of trail improvements at the intersection of Georgetown Pike and Utterback Store Road, i.e., the Kropp property.

The additional \$387,000 in VDOT Enhancement Funds will be used to complete the acquisition of the land rights for Phase I (\$43,000), perform the environmental review for the total project area, i.e., Seneca Road to Riverbend Road (\$50,000), and provide funding for the engineering design, easement acquisition, and partial construction of the Phase II portion of the Georgetown Pike Trail project (\$294,000).

Unless otherwise directed by the Board of Supervisors, the County Executive will proceed to execute the Supplemental Agreement with VDOT for Project Development and Administration of the Georgetown Pike Trail Project.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Funding for the required local match is currently available in Project W00200, Dranesville District Walkway, in Fund 307, Pedestrian Walkway Improvements for the Georgetown Pike Trail Project. Contingent upon the approval of the Supplemental Agreement, funding in the amount of \$387,000 will be included in the FY 2008 Carryover Review for Project W00200 (W2020).

ENCLOSED DOCUMENTS:

Attachment 1 – Project Sketch

(Copy of Supplemental Project Administrative Agreement available in the Office of the Clerk to the Board)

STAFF:

Robert A. Stalzer, Deputy County Executive

Jimmie D. Jenkins, Director, Department of Public Works and Environmental Services (DPWES)

Howard J. Guba, Deputy Director, DPWES

Board Agenda Item  
May 19, 2008

### INFORMATION - 3

#### Supplemental Project Administrative Agreement with the Virginia Department of Transportation for the Walker Road Trail Project (Dranesville District)

The Walker Road Trail Project, W00200 (W2120), in Fund 307, Pedestrian Walkway Improvements consists of the installation of approximately 18,600 linear feet (LF) of 5-foot wide concrete and 6-foot wide stone dust trail along the east side of Walker Road from Colvin Run Road to Beach Mill Road. Due to the magnitude of the proposed improvements, this project will be implemented in phases.

The original Phase I section of this project, determined in coordination with the Great Falls Trail Blazers, Great Falls Citizen Association, and the Dranesville District Trails and Sidewalk Committee member, consisted of the installation of approximately 2,000 LF of 5-foot wide concrete sidewalk extending in a northerly and southerly direction from the Village Center at the intersection of Walker Road and Georgetown Pike. Subsequently, due to property owner opposition to granting the required easements, the Phase I scope of work was modified to provide walkway improvements from the northern property line of the Great Falls Elementary School to a point approximately 1,200 LF to the south. With the exception of crossing the school property, the proposed walkway will be located within the limits of the dedicated right-of-way. Concurrence from the Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT) on the new limits of the proposed walkway improvements has been received. The construction of the Phase I walkway will:

- Connect to an existing sidewalk located at the northern property line of the Great Falls Elementary School site, and will result in an extension of walkway access to Deerfield Drive;
- Provide pedestrian access to the school property for a total of 26 residences; and
- Provide improved pedestrian access to businesses on the southern portion of the project.

Partial funding to implement the Phase I portion of the Walker Road Trail is being provided from \$200,000 in Enhancement Funds allocated in the VDOT Six Year Improvement Program by the Commonwealth Transportation Board. Additional Enhancement Funds in the amount of \$40,000 have been approved by VDOT for the Walker Road Trail project, which will result in a total allocation of \$240,000. The following summarizes the VDOT Enhancement Program Funds approved for this project and the corresponding required County matching funds:

Board Agenda Item  
May 19, 2008

<b>VDOT Enhancement Allocation</b>	<b>VDOT Contribution (80 Percent)</b>	<b>Fairfax County Contribution (20 Percent)</b>	<b>Total Grant Amount</b>
Prior Approved	\$200,000	\$50,000	\$250,000
Administrative Agreement Amendment	40,000	10,000	50,000
Total	\$240,000	\$60,000	\$300,000

A Supplemental Project Administrative Agreement (formerly referred to as a Supplemental Agreement) to the Project Development and Administration Agreement must be executed in order to expend the \$40,000 in Enhancement Funds noted by the table above. By executing the Project Administrative Agreement Amendment, the terms and conditions of the original executed Project Development and Administration Agreement will continue to be in effect except for modifications of the funding allocations and a revision of the time limit for project completion.

The additional \$40,000 in VDOT Enhancement Funds will be used to complete the construction of the Phase I portion of the Walker Road Trail (approximately 1,200 LF of 5-foot concrete sidewalk).

Unless otherwise directed by the Board of Supervisors, the County Executive will execute the Supplemental Agreement with VDOT for Project Development and Administration of the Walker Road Trail Project.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Funding for the required local match is currently available in Project W00200, Dranesville District Walkway, in Fund 307, Pedestrian Walkway Improvements for the Walker Road Trail Project. Contingent upon the approval of the Supplemental Agreement, funding in the amount of \$40,000 will be included in the FY 2008 Carryover Review for Project W00200 (W2120).

ENCLOSED DOCUMENTS:

Attachment 1 – Project Sketch

(Copy of Proposed Supplemental Agreement available in the Office of the Clerk to the Board)

STAFF:

Robert A. Stalzer, Deputy County Executive

Jimmie D. Jenkins, Director, Department of Public Works and Environmental Services (DPWES)

Howard J. Guba, Deputy Director, DPWES

INFORMATION - 4

Contract Award – Acute Psychiatric Inpatient Services for Adults

The Virginia Department of Mental Health, Mental Retardation and Substance Abuse Services (DMHMRSAS) adopted a management approach that is regional in nature to effectively allocate resources and coordinate the delivery and management of mental health services. The Health Planning Region II (HPR II) was formed for this purpose and consists of the Community Services Boards of: Alexandria, Arlington, Fairfax – Falls Church, Loudoun and Prince William. The Fairfax – Falls Church CSB serves as fiscal agent to the HPR II region for this contract.

Adult individuals who require acute psychiatric inpatient services in the HPR II region are typically admitted to the Northern Virginia Mental Health Institute (NVMHI), which is a DMHMRSAS operated short-term hospital. However, NVMHI usually functions at full capacity and cannot meet the community need for acute psychiatric inpatient services. Therefore, DMHMRSAS provided HPR II with funds to access private inpatient psychiatric care for those consumers who do not have insurance or other financial means and cannot be admitted to NVMHI when services are needed.

On December 28, 2007, the Department of Purchasing and Supply Management issued a Request for Proposal (RFP08-957349-31) for the provision of acute psychiatric inpatient services for adults, on an as needed basis, for clients referred by any of the Health Planning Region II Community Services Boards. The HPR II region currently contracts for these services via a DMHMRSAS contract (720C-03248-02M) which expires on June 30, 2008, and will not be renewed.

Tasks required to be performed by the private hospitals under this contract for acute psychiatric services are to include:

1. Provide high quality, comprehensive inpatient services to adult consumers referred by the HPR II CSB's in a program specifically designed for acute psychiatric conditions. Hospital stays are generally 5 days or less.
2. Provide a full psychiatric evaluation in accordance with the Joint Commission on the Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations (JCAHO) standards, psycho/social history, physical and neurological examination and nursing assessment, including any laboratory work.
3. Discharge planning with the referring HPR II CSB to determine where the consumer will reside and what services will be needed after the acute psychiatric hospital stay is complete.

Board Agenda Item  
May 19, 2008

4. Provide room and board, laboratory, pharmacy and radiology services, appropriate leisure activities, appropriate level of security, essential personal and hygiene items, psychiatrist, psychiatric diagnostic and therapeutic services for each date of service.
5. Provide client summaries to the referring HPR II CSB, a two week supply of medications to consumer and if the consumer does not have transportation services, provide appropriate transportation to the consumer's next location upon discharge.

The solicitation notice was sent to approximately 350 firms, and five firms responded with a proposal by the closing date of February 6, 2008. The Selection Advisory Committee (SAC), appointed by the County Purchasing Agent, evaluated the proposals in accordance with the criteria established in the RFP. Upon completion of the final evaluation of the proposals, the SAC negotiated with the top ranked offerors and recommended contract to INOVA Health System (Fairfax, Mount Vernon and Loudoun Hospitals), Prince William Hospital - CPAT (Center for Psychiatric and Addiction Treatment), Virginia Hospital Center Arlington Health System and Virginia Psychiatric Company, Inc. (dba: Dominion Hospital). The companies all submitted required licenses that would allow for them to provide acute psychiatric inpatient services to adults in the Commonwealth of Virginia. All offerors are also current providers under the DMHMRSAS contract and have a strong working relationship with the five CSB's in Northern Virginia.

- INOVA Health System has been providing effective acute psychiatric inpatient services for over 20 years at all three hospitals.
- Prince William Hospital was founded in 1964 and has been providing acute psychiatric inpatient services for over 20 years.
- Virginia Hospital Center/Arlington Health System was incorporated as Arlington Hospital Association in 1933. The hospital originally opened as a 100 bed facility and has grown over the years and is now licensed for 334 beds, forty (40) of which are psychiatry and addictions. Virginia Hospital Center has been a provider of these services since 1974.
- Virginia Psychiatric Company, Inc. (dba Dominion Hospital) has been fulfilling the Washington metropolitan area mental health needs for acute psychiatric inpatient services since 1972.

The Department of Tax Administration has verified that INOVA Health System, Prince William Hospital - CPAT, and Virginia Hospital Center/Arlington Health System are not required to have a Fairfax County Business, Professional and Occupational License (BPOL). The Department of Tax Administration has verified that Virginia Psychiatric Company, Inc. (dba: Dominion Hospital) has the appropriate Fairfax County Business, Professional and Occupational License (BPOL).

Board Agenda Item  
May 19, 2008

Unless otherwise directed by the Board of Supervisors, the Purchasing Agent will proceed to award the contract to the four offerors in order to best meet the needs of residents in the HPR II region requiring acute psychiatric inpatient services. The contract is a five year contract with five (5) one-year renewal options. The total estimated annual amount of this contract is \$3,523,920.00.

FISCAL IMPACT:

There is no fiscal impact to Fairfax County as all funding is provided by DMHMRSAS or other available State funds. The County and the HPR II region are not responsible for any costs in excess of funds allocated by DMHMRSAS or other state funding.

ENCLOSED DOCUMENTS:

Attachment 1 List of Offerors

STAFF:

Edward L. Long, Jr., Deputy County Executive

Cathy A. Muse, Director, Department of Purchasing and Supply Management

James A. Thur, Executive Director, Fairfax – Falls Church Community Services Board

**THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK**

Board Agenda Item  
May 19, 2008

11:10 a.m.

Matters Presented by Board Members

**THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK**

Board Agenda Item  
May 19, 2008

12:00 p.m.

CLOSED SESSION:

- (a) Discussion or consideration of personnel matters pursuant to Virginia Code § 2.2-3711(A) (1).
- (b) Discussion or consideration of the acquisition of real property for a public purpose, or of the disposition of publicly held real property, where discussion in an open meeting would adversely affect the bargaining position or negotiating strategy of the public body, pursuant to Virginia Code § 2.2-3711(A) (3).
- (c) Consultation with legal counsel and briefings by staff members or consultants pertaining to actual or probable litigation, and consultation with legal counsel regarding specific legal matters requiring the provision of legal advice by such counsel pursuant to Virginia Code § 2.2-3711(A) (7).
  - 1. Mohammed Moosavi v. Desiree Baltimore, et al., Case No. CL 2007-0015777 (Fx. Co. Cir. Ct.) (Dranesville District)
  - 2. Louise Root v. County of Fairfax, et al., Case No. CL-2008-0005303 (Fx. Co. Cir. Ct.)
  - 3. Augusta E. Jackson v. Fairfax County Government, Case No. 1:07-cv-850 (E.D. Va.)
  - 4. Larry M. Cuffee v. Fairfax County Fire & Rescue Department, Case No. CL-2008-0004873 (Fx. Co. Cir. Ct.)
  - 5. Kenneth A. Carroll v. Anthony H. Griffin, Case No. CL 2008-0004459 (Fx. Co. Cir. Ct.)
  - 6. Michael R. Congleton, Property Maintenance Code Official for Fairfax County, Virginia v. TWG Huntington, LLC, Case No. CL-2007-0013577 (Fx. Co. Cir. Ct.) (Lee District)
  - 7. Keith Barker v. Commonwealth of Virginia, et al., Case No. CL 2008-0002546 (Fx. Co. Cir. Ct.) (Springfield District)

8. Michael R. Congleton, Property Maintenance Code Official for Fairfax County, Virginia v. Mohamed Sorour, Case No. CL-2007-0015044 (Fx. Co. Cir. Ct.) (Mount Vernon District)
9. Eileen M. McLane, Fairfax County Zoning Administrator v. Matthew A. Mathes and Elizabeth A. Mathes, Case No. CL-2008-0001012 (Fx. Co. Cir. Ct.) (Mount Vernon District)
10. Eileen M. McLane, Fairfax County Zoning Administrator v. G. Lawrence Marling, Case No. CL-2007-0007677 (Fx. Co. Cir. Ct.) (Dranesville District)
11. Michael R. Congleton, Property Maintenance Code Official for Fairfax County, Virginia v. Kings Crossing Venture, LLC, Case No. CL-2008-0000352 (Fx. Co. Cir. Ct.) (Mount Vernon District)
12. Eileen M. McLane, Fairfax County Zoning Administrator v. Somphone Aphayvong, Amalee Aphayvong, and Kay Aphayvong, Case No. CL-2008-0004735 (Fx. Co. Cir. Ct.) (Lee District)
13. Eileen M. McLane, Fairfax County Zoning Administrator v. Merritt Road, L.C., and Ronald Joseph Sweeney, Case No. CL-2008-0002313 (Fx. Co. Cir. Ct.) (Mason District)
14. Eileen M. McLane, Fairfax County Zoning Administrator v. Michael P. Savage, Case No. CL-2008-0000541 (Fx. Co. Cir. Ct.) (Lee District)
15. Eileen M. McLane, Fairfax County Zoning Administrator v. Victor E. Mendoza, CL-2008-0001178 (Fx. Co. Cir. Ct.) (Providence District)
16. Eileen M. McLane, Fairfax County Zoning Administrator v. Adeeb S. Ibrahim, Jr., Case No. CL-2008-0000050 (Fx. Co. Cir. Ct.) (Lee District)
17. Eileen M. McLane, Fairfax County Zoning Administrator v. Edward F. Petty and Dorothea M. Petty, Case No. CL-2008-0002750 (Fx. Co. Cir. Ct.) (Lee District)
18. Eileen M. McLane, Fairfax County Zoning Administrator v. Youssef Achhal, aka Youssel Achhal, and Marisol Ferrel, Case No. CL-2007-0015033 (Fx. Co. Cir. Ct.) (Lee District)

19. Eileen M. McLane, Fairfax County Zoning Administrator, and Michael R. Congleton, Property Maintenance Code Official for Fairfax County, Virginia v. Ibrahim Sh-Ibrahim, Case No. CL-2008-0005229 (Fx. Co. Cir. Ct.) (Lee District) (Strike Team Case)
20. Eileen M. McLane, Fairfax County Zoning Administrator v. Jose Ricardo Orellana and Angelica Orellana, Case No. CL-2008-0006050 (Fx. Co. Cir. Ct.) (Lee District) (Strike Team Case)
21. Eileen M. McLane, Fairfax County Zoning Administrator, and Michael R. Congleton, Property Maintenance Code Official for Fairfax County, Virginia v. Emily H. Lu, Case No. CL-2008-0005924 (Fx. Co. Cir. Ct.) (Mount Vernon District)
22. Michael R. Congleton, Property Maintenance Code Official for Fairfax County, Virginia v. Khosrow Taher and Azam Taher, Case No. CL-2008-0006052 (Fx. Co. Cir. Ct.) (Mount Vernon District)
23. Michael R. Congleton, Property Maintenance Code Official for Fairfax County, Virginia v. Richard H. Chiu and M. Linnea Chiu, Case No. CL-2008-0006051 (Fx. Co. Cir. Ct.) (Mason District)
24. Eileen M. McLane, Fairfax County Zoning Administrator v. Otis Perry and Elcetia L. Perry, Case No. CL-2008-0005923 (Fx. Co. Cir. Ct.) (Providence District)
25. Eileen M. McLane, Fairfax County Zoning Administrator v. Lucia O. Palacio, Case No. CL-2008-0005849 (Fx. Co. Cir. Ct.) (Providence District)

**THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK**

Board Agenda Item  
May 19, 2008

3:00 p.m.

Public Hearing Regarding the Continued Leasing of County-Owned Property at 8333 Richmond Highway to The Royal Embassy of Saudi Arabia d/b/a the Islamic Saudi Academy (Mount Vernon District)

ISSUE:

Public Hearing regarding the continued leasing of County-owned property at 8333 Richmond Highway, Alexandria, Virginia, Tax Map No's. 101-4 ((1))-005-A, 101-4 ((1))-057, 101-4 ((8)) (E) – 001, and 101-4 ((7)) – 001, to the Royal Embassy of Saudi Arabia d/b/a the Islamic Saudi Academy for the purpose of operating a private school.

RECOMMENDATION:

The County Executive recommends that the Board authorize County Staff to execute a Fifth Addendum to Lease Agreement for the continued leasing of the County-owned property at 8333 Richmond Highway, Alexandria, Virginia, Tax Map Nos. 101-4 ((1))-005-A, 101-4((1)) 057, 101-4 ((8)) (E) – 001, and 101-4 ((7)) – 001, to The Royal Embassy of Saudi Arabia d/b/a/ the Islamic Saudi Academy for the purpose of operating a private school. The leased premises consists of (1) approximately 148,000 square feet of rentable space described as the entire main structure and out buildings (exclusive of the Home Economics Building), and (2) surrounding land. The location of the leased buildings and surrounding land are noted on Attachment A.

TIMING:

On March 31, 2008, the Board of Supervisors authorized the advertisement of a public hearing to be held on May 19, 2008, at 3:00 p.m. for the continued leasing of County-owned property at 8333 Richmond Highway, Alexandria, Virginia, Tax Map No's 101-4 ((1))-005-A, 101-4((1)) 057, 101-4 ((8)) (E) – 001, and 101-4 ((7)) – 001, to The Royal Embassy of Saudi Arabia d/b/a/ the Islamic Saudi Academy for the purpose of operating a private school.

BACKGROUND:

Considering the current lease period expires June 30, 2008, the Islamic Saudi Academy has requested to extend the existing lease. Therefore, it is proposed that the County enter into a lease addendum that will permit the Islamic Saudi Academy to continue leasing this site for the period of July 1, 2008, through June 30, 2009 (Attachment B). Included in the lease addendum is an option, subject to mutual approval by the County and the Islamic Saudi

Board Agenda Item  
May 19, 2008

Academy, to extend the lease for two additional one-year periods. Since 1989, the Islamic Saudi Academy has leased the former Walt Whitman Intermediate School at 8333 Richmond Highway for the operation of a private school. The leased premises consist of approximately 148,000 square feet of rentable space described as the entire main structure and out buildings (exclusive of the Home Economics Building), and the surrounding land. As part of the prior lease arrangements, the Islamic Saudi Academy has provided substantial renovation to the facility and has maintained the building and grounds.

FISCAL IMPACT:

The estimated annual rent generated from this lease extension will be approximately \$2.2 million.

ENCLOSED DOCUMENTS:

Attachment A – Tax Map

Attachment B – Proposed Fifth Addendum to Lease Agreement

STAFF:

Jose A. Comayagua, Jr., Director, Facilities Management Department

Board Agenda Item  
May 19, 2008

3:30 p.m.

Public Hearing on SEA 2006-MA-016 (PNC Bank, N.A.) to Amend SE 2006-MA-016 Previously Approved for a Drive-In Bank to Permit Waiver of Minimum Lot Size, Minimum Lot Width and Modifications to Site Design and Development Conditions, Located on Approximately 32, 624 Square Feet, Zoned C-6, Mason District

The application property is located at 6950 Braddock Road, Tax Map 71-4 ((1)) 28A.

PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION:

On Thursday, May 1, 2008, the Planning Commission unanimously (Commissioner Litzenberger absent from the meeting) to recommend the following actions to the Board of Supervisors:

- Approval of SEA 2006-MA-016, subject to the Development Conditions dated May 1, 2008, with the following modifications:
  - In Condition #14, in the first sentence of the second paragraph, delete the phrase “at their cost, or utilizing escrowed funds”, and
  - Revise Condition #15 to read as follows: “Currently-escrowed funds to be used toward construction of the interparcel connection to the east shall remain escrowed for future use. The funds currently escrowed for the northern interparcel connection should be returned to the applicant.”
- Modification of the 8-foot wide trail requirement along the Braddock Road and Backlick Road frontages in favor of a 5-foot wide sidewalk along each road;
- Waiver of construction only of on-road bike lanes on Braddock and Backlick Roads;
- Waiver of the loading space requirement;
- Modification of the transitional screening requirements and waiver of the barrier requirements along the western and southern property boundaries, in favor of that shown on the SEA Plat, as conditioned; and
- Modification of the peripheral parking lot landscaping requirement, in favor of that shown on the SEA Plat, as conditioned.

ENCLOSED DOCUMENTS:

None. Staff Report previously furnished.

Board Agenda Item  
May 19, 2008

STAFF:

Regina Coyle, Director, Zoning Evaluation Division, Department of Planning and Zoning (DPZ)  
St. Clair Williams, Staff Coordinator, Zoning Evaluation Division, DPZ

Board Agenda Item  
May 19, 2008

3:30 p.m.

Public Hearing on RZ 2007-MA-017 (Amerikor Properties, LLC) to Rezone From C-6, CRD, HC and SC to PDC, CRD, HC and SC to Permit Mixed Use Development with an Overall Floor Area Ratio of 2.04 Including Bonus Density for the Provision of Work Force Dwelling Units and Approval of the Conceptual and Final Development Plans, Located on Approximately 3.44 Acres, Mason District

and

Public Hearing on SE 2007-MA-032 (Amerikor Properties, LLC) to Permit Fast Food Restaurant Uses, Located on Approximately 3.44 Acres Zoned C-6, CRD, HC and SC, Mason District

The application property is located on the east side of Markham Street and north of Little River Turnpike at 4245 Markham Street, Tax Map 71-1 ((20)) 2

PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission public hearing is scheduled for May 15, 2008 and the recommendation will be forwarded to the Board of Supervisors subsequent to that date.

ENCLOSED DOCUMENTS:

None. Staff Report previously furnished.

STAFF:

Regina Coyle, Director, Zoning Evaluation Division, Department of Planning and Zoning (DPZ)  
Tracy Strunk, Senior Staff Coordinator, Zoning Evaluation Division, DPZ

**THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK**

Board Agenda Item  
May 19, 2008

4:00 p.m.

Public Hearing to Amend Article 5B of Chapter 82 of the Code of the County of Fairfax, Virginia, RE: Clarification of Signage Requirements for Large Area Community Parking Districts

ISSUE:

Public hearing to consider amending Article 5B of Chapter 82 of *The Code of the County of Fairfax, Virginia* (Fairfax County Code), to clarify that signs for large area Community Parking Districts (CPDs) will not be required unless the Board provides otherwise.

RECOMMENDATION:

The County Executive recommends that the Board adopt the attached amendment to Article 5B of Chapter 82 of the Fairfax County Code (Attachment I).

TIMING:

On April 28, 2008, the Board authorized a public hearing for May 19, 2008, at 4:00 p.m. to consider the proposed amendment.

BACKGROUND:

On March 10, 2008, the Board adopted an amendment to Article 5B of Chapter 82 of the Fairfax County Code allowing for the creation of large area CPDs. As stated in the previous Board item for that amendment and as discussed at the public hearing, the larger size of the CPD would permit cost-effective enforcement and reduce program costs by allowing for no posted signs or limited CPD signage within the districts as directed by the Board at the time the particular large area CPD is approved. The County Executive recommends that Article 5B of Chapter 82 of the Fairfax County Code be amended to clarify that signs will not be required in a large area CPD unless the Board provides otherwise.

The changes to the Fairfax County Code, Chapter 82, Article 5B, to clarify the sign requirements for large area CPDs are shown in Attachment I.

FISCAL IMPACT:

None.

Board Agenda Item  
May 19, 2008

ENCLOSED DOCUMENTS:

Attachment I: Proposed Amendments to Fairfax County Code, Article 5B, Chapter 82  
Attachment II: Notice of Public Hearing

STAFF:

Katharine D. Ichter, Director, Fairfax County Department of Transportation (FCDOT)