

Potential Resident Curator Properties  
Ranking Criteria, 0-5 Scale  
(Preliminary)

Count	Building Name (alphabetical order)	Historic Significance	Listing Status	HSR w/treatment plan	Year/status of plan	Master Planning Status	*Compatible Recent or planned use	Public Interest	^Condition (Adapted From JMA Study)	Utilities	Currently Used (5-0, reversed scale)	Est. process time in mos. (Residential Use)	Total
1	Ash Grove	5	5	0		4	4	3	4	5	4	30+mos	34
2	Banks Property+	3.5	0	0		3	5	5	5	3	5	30+mos	29.5
3	Barrett House*	5	5.5	4	HSR 2007	4	5	3	3	1	5	15-18mos	35.5
4	Dranesville Tavern	5	5.5	2	HSR 1978	4	3	3	2	5	3	30+mos	32.5
5	Ellmore Farm	5	5.5	4	HSR 2011	3	4	3	5	5	5	15-18mos	39.5
6	Gabrielson House	3	5	0		2	5	3	5	5	5	30+mos	33
7	Hannah P. Clark House (Enyedi House)	4	4	4	HSR w/o Treatment Plan 2013	5	5	3	4	4	3	18+mos	36
8	Hunter House	4	5	0		3	3	3	1	5	3	30+mos	27
9	Lahey Lost Valley House	5	5	0		4	5	3	5	5	5	30+mos	37
10	Lamond House	4	5	0		4	4	4	2	5	5	30+mos	33
11	Lewinsville House	4	5	0		2	4	3	5	4	5	30+mos	32
12	McDannald House+	3	0	0		2	4	3	5	5	5	30+mos	27
13	Physician's House (Lipscomb House)*	5	5.5	4	HSR 2009	5	5	3	3	1	5	15-18mos	36.5
14	Purple House*+	1	0	0		5	5	3	3	1	5	30+mos	23
15	Stempson House	5	5.5	4	HSR 2006	4	5	3	5	3	5	15-18mos	39.5
16	Turner Farm House	4	5	4	HSR w/o Treatment Plan 2011	0	5	5	5	4	5	25+mos	37

**Potential Resident Curator Properties  
Ranking Criteria, 0-5 Scale  
(Preliminary)**

Count	Building Name (alphabetical order)	Historic Significance	Listing Status	HSR w/treatment plan	Year/status of plan	Master Planning Status	*Compatible Recent or planned use	Public Interest	^Condition (Adapted From JMA Study)	Utilities	Currently Used (5-0, reversed scale)	Est. process time in mos. (Residential Use)	Total
<b>Excluded, currently encumbered</b>													
17	Clark House	4	5	2	Restoration Plan 1993	0	5	3	1	5	0		25
18	Minnick House	4	5	4	HSR 2009	5	5	3	1	4	0		31
19	Pimmit Barn+	1	3	0		0	3	3	4	5	0		19
20	Stone Mansion	4	5	0		2	3	3	1	5	0		23
<b>Additional Properties Identified for Potential Future Inclusion</b>													
21	Clemjjontri	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated
22	Forestville Schoolhouse	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated
23	Great Falls Grange	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated
24	Green Spring Spring House	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated
25	Sears House	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated
26	Mount Gilead	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated
27	House at White Gardens	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated	Unevaluated

+	Banks, McDannald and Purple House need I.H.S. evaluation = +4mos
*	Barrett, Physician's (Lipscomb) and Purple House - Sewer/Septic problematic

**Potential Resident Curator Properties  
Ranking Criteria, 0-5 Scale  
(Preliminary)**

## Criteria Values

Criteria	5.5	5	4	3	2	1	0
Historic Significance		NR Listing/Interpretive significance to county-wide history		Local or public significance		Unevaluated	
Listing Status	NR listing	Listed on IHS	Determined eligible for IHS	known nomination in progress	Undetermined Listing on IHS		Unevaluated
HSR w/Treatment Plan		Complete, current HSR /Treatment Plan	Complete HSR, needs updating or Current HSR missing Treatment Plan		Some Information in Report Form		Unevaluated
Master Planning Status		Resident Curator	Planned for tenant	Planned for public park use	Map Only		No plan
*Compatible Zoning with Recent or Planned Use		Residence/tenant	Public use - office	Public use - Other			
Public Interest		Several inquiries	A few inquiries	Established community Interest			
^Condition		Fair, unoccupied	Fair, in use	Poor	Good, unoccupied	Good, in use	
Utilities		All public utilities connected	On septic, no sewer available	Needs septic/sewer hookup, available		Sewer/septic status problematic	
Currently Used		Unoccupied	Incompatible Use	Underutilized	Excluded, currently encumbered		
<p>*Ease in which any use might be adopted in a particular property based on the planned use's compatibility with the current zoning. For instance, we know that FCPA can program events out of Dranesville Tavern even though it has an R1 zoning because it's planned use is for public park. However, if a curator proposed running a restaurant out of Dranesville Tavern, they would most likely need to seek some sort of zoning variance. Since the vast majority of the potential properties are zoned residential, residential use is identified as the basis. The one commercially zoned property was given a score of 5 in this category because the last known use was retail, which is compatible with its zoning.</p>							
<p>^Condition Ratings from JMA Study - Good = 1; Poor = 2; Average or Fair = 3. This rating has been adapted to include a weighting for use, and to fit into an expanded scale. Structures assessed in good condition were given lower scores because they have least need of rehabilitation.</p>							

**Potential Resident Curator Properties  
Ranking Criteria, 0-5 Scale  
(Preliminary)**

<b>Properties with the Highest Rankings</b>								
Count	Ellmore Farm	Stempson House	Turner Farm House	Lahey Lost Valley House	Hannah P. Clark House (Enyedi House)	Ash Grove	*Barrett House	*Physician's House (Lipscomb House)
1	1	2	4	3	5	6	7	7
2	1	2	5	3	6	4	8	7
3	1	2	5	4	3	6	7	7
4	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
5	1	2	4	5	3	5	6	6
6	1	3	2	4	6	5	7	7
7	1	4	5	2	5	3	7	7
8	1	6	5	4	3	2	7	7
9	1	1	3	4	2	5	2	2
10	1	2	3	5	4	7	6	8
11	1	5	2	4	6	3	7	7
12	1	2	3	5	4	6	7	7
13	1	6	2	4	3	5	7	7
<b>Average Score</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>6.5</b>	<b>6.6</b>

3 Abstain  
2 No Vote

---

18 Total Members

Members' names have been substituted with a number to show the count of the number of votes  
The row with the Average Score is the row we will be considering. This row represents the total of all the rankings divided by the number of votes.