

Planning Commission Meeting
March 12, 2015
Verbatim Excerpt

RZ/FDP 2002-MV-040 – SPRING HILL SENIOR CAMPUS

During Commission Matters

Commissioner Flanagan: Last night I indicated that the administrative review of the final development plan for the Spring Hill Senior Campus was going to be brought up tonight. In the meantime, that would give us time for me to submit to you all by email copies of the – of the original final development plan adopted in 2003 and the applicant's version of that that is now - - the site plan awaiting approval by the Department of Public Works. And also I sent you a copy of the letter that the Public Works sent to Christopher Consultants in that regard and it indicates that the Planning Commission should review and comment upon that prior to the approval of that, so at this time I just would like to make - - just to ask that the Planning Commission inform the Department of Public Works and Environmental Services that the Planning Commission agrees that the developer's site plan is substantially in accord with the conceptual development plan and the final development plan previously approved by the Planning Commission in 2003 if the sidewalks and landscaping north of the previous [*inaudible*] area as requested by the Spring Hill Senior Campus Homeowner Association is deleted.

Commissioner Litzenberger: Second.

Commissioner Flanagan: So, if anybody has any comments that you have in addition, why, we could add that to the letter to the Department of Public Works.

Chairman Murphy: Anyone?

Commissioner Lawrence: Mr. Lawrence?

Chairman Murphy: Yes.

Commissioner Lawrence: In recent times I have kind of had a different point of view about getting around and it led me to a question in looking at the interior views of note. The corridor – central corridor – is it wide enough for two chairs to meet in opposite directions and pass each other, given that there will be assist bars along the – the walls? Are we confident of that?

Commissioner Flanagan: That – I don't believe – the architectural review – we're of course limited to the site review.

Commissioner Lawrence: I understand.

Commissioner Flanagan: But the architectural review is being – is being heard tonight as we sit here by the Architectural Review Board and they are addressing those issues, and I agree with you. I think that that's – we can note that in the letter that we send, that we are hopeful that that is adequate.

Commissioner Lawrence: I'm – I'm hopeful with... that.

Commissioner Flanagan: I'd be happy to put that in the letter that we send to DPWES.

Commissioner Lawrence: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Chairman Murphy: Thank you. Anyone else? Okay.

Commissioner Flanagan: That's it.

Chairman Murphy: That's it?

Commissioner Flanagan: Yes.

//

JN