

Planning Commission Meeting
February 26, 2009
Verbatim Excerpt

2009 Zoning Ordinance Amendment Work Program – Revision to February 18, 2009 Motion

During Commission Matters

Commissioner Alcorn: Thank you. If the Commission will remember on Wednesday, February 18, 2009, the Planning Commission voted unanimously to approve the motion to endorse the recommendation of its Policy and Procedures Committee that the proposed 2009 Zoning Ordinance Amendment Work Program be approved with three changes. Basically, we messed up the numbers in the reference in that - - in that motion. So, I'm doing this on behalf of - - of Commissioner Hall, who couldn't be here tonight. However, upon a subsequent review of the approved motion, it was discovered that further clarification is needed to ensure that the intent of the Policy and Procedures Committee action is reflected. Therefore, to clarify the action that we took last week, I would first MOVE THAT THE PLANNING COMMISSION RECONSIDER ITS ACTION ON THE 2009 ZONING ORDINANCE AMENDMENT WORK PROGRAM.

Commissioner Lawrence: Second.

Parliamentarian de la Fe: Seconded by Mr. Lawrence. Is there any discussion? Hearing, seeing none, all - - all those in favor, please signify by saying aye.

Commissioners: Aye.

Parliamentarian de la Fe: Opposed? Motion carries. Mr. Alcorn.

Commissioner Alcorn: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I will now present a revision to the PC action of the 2009 Zoning Ordinance Work Program to clarify our previous action, as follows: I MOVE THAT THE PLANNING COMMISSION ENDORSE THE RECOMMENDATION OF ITS POLICY AND PROCEDURES COMMITTEE THAT THE PROPOSED 2009 ZONING ORDINANCE AMENDMENT WORK PROGRAM, AS PRESENTED IN THE FEBRUARY 12, 2009 MEMORANDUM FROM JIM ZOOK, BE APPROVED WITH THE FOLLOWING THREE CHANGES: FIRST, MOVE PRIORITY 1, ITEM NUMBER 15, TO PRIORITY 2, and that's as we had recommended; SECOND, MOVE PRIORITY 2, ITEM NUMBER 26, TO PRIORITY 1, AS A STUDY ITEM, IN ASSOCIATION WITH ITEM 6, and this is a change with the addition of the words "as a study item," which was not included in the original motion; AND THREE, MOVE PRIORITY ITEM 2, ITEMS 59 AND 60, TO PRIORITY 1. Basically I had referenced the wrong items on the list, although we had discussed the topic correctly in Committee. So, with those changes I would make that motion.

Commissioner Lawrence: Second.

Parliamentarian de la Fe: Seconded by Mr. Lawrence. Is there any discussion? Hearing and seeing none. All those in favor, please signify by saying aye.

Commissioners: Aye.

Parliamentarian de la Fe: Opposed? The motion carries.

//

(The motions carried unanimously with Commissioners Hall, Harsel, Murphy, and Sargeant absent from the meeting.)

KAD