

2009-2010 SOUTH COUNTY AREA PLANS REVIEW NOMINATIONS

**Planning Commissioner: Rodney Lusk, Lee District**  
**Planning Commission– December 9, 2009**

MOTION FOR PLANNING COMMISSION SCREENING

Mr. Chairman, I have three motions regarding the Lee District APR items. First, I move that the Planning Commission forward for further consideration 8 APR nominations submitted for Lee District as listed in my handout dated December 9, 2009.

<b>Lee District APR Nominations</b>		
<b>09-IV-12MV</b>	<b>09-IV-20MV</b>	<b>09-IV-21MV</b>
<b>09-IV-22MV</b>	<b>09-IV-24MV</b>	<b>09-IV-2S</b>
<b>09-IV-1RH</b>	<b>09-IV-2RH</b>	

Second, I move that APR items 09-IV-29MV and 09-IV-3RH be deferred indefinitely in order for the nominators to pursue zoning applications potentially without the need for a Plan amendment.

My third motion involves three APR nominations, APR 09-IV-2FS, 08-IV-3FS, and 08-IV-4FS. These nominations originally were rejected from the APR process as the nominated areas are within the subject area of a current Plan amendment S09-CW-3CP, formally numbered S09-IV-FS1. This Plan amendment is scheduled for public hearing before the Planning Commission tonight, December 9<sup>th</sup> and before the Board of Supervisors on January 12, 2010. The Board of Supervisors requested the acceptance of these nominations by the Planning Commission on October 19, 2009 for two main reasons: first, because the timing of the Plan amendment would allow for these APR nominations to be reviewed through the same process and scrutiny as the other Lee District APR nominations, and second, because these nominations address land use issues that are not within the scope of the current Plan amendment. To further clarify the last point, the Plan amendment primarily focuses on the update of the Overview section of the 800-acre Franconia-Springfield Area, regarding such general, policy guidance as urban design, streetscapes, and transportation, while the APR nominations propose specific land use changes for specific land areas. The review of the APR nominations may be guided by the updated Plan text of the Plan amendment. Therefore, I move that these nominations be accepted into the APR process once the Board of Supervisors has acted upon the current Plan amendment S09-CW-3CP. The Board's recommendation also includes direction that the entire land unit in which nomination 2FS is located be considered. This motion shall in no way prejudice the pending re-planning process.

2009-2010 SOUTH COUNTY AREA PLANS REVIEW NOMINATIONS

**Planning Commissioner: Janet Hall, Mason District**  
**Planning Commission – December 09, 2009**

MOTION FOR PLANNING COMMISSION SCREENING

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Planning Commission forward for further consideration seven APR nominations submitted for the Mason District as listed in my handout dated December 09, 2009.

Mason Nominations to be forwarded for further consideration:

APR# 09-I-1A	APR# 09-I-1B
APR# 09-I-2B	APR# 09-I-3B
APR# 09-I-1J	APR# 09-I-1L
APR# 09-I-2L	

2009-2010 SOUTH COUNTY AREA PLANS REVIEW NOMINATIONS

**Planning Commissioner: Peter F. Murphy, Springfield District**  
**Planning Commission– December 9, 2009**

MOTION FOR PLANNING COMMISSION SCREENING

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Planning Commission forward for further consideration APR nominations 09-III-1FC and 09-III-2FC submitted for Springfield District.

2009-2010 SOUTH COUNTY AREA PLANS REVIEW NOMINATIONS

**Planning Commissioner: Tim Sargeant, At-Large**  
**Planning Commission Screening – December 9, 2009**

MOTIONS FOR PLANNING COMMISSION SCREENING

1) Mr. Chairman, I move that the Planning Commission forward for further consideration the 6 APR nominations submitted jointly for the Lee and Mount Vernon Districts, and 35 APR nominations submitted for Mount Vernon District as listed in my handout dated December 9, 2009.

<b>Joint Lee and Mount Vernon Districts APR Nominations</b>		
<b>09-IV-1FS</b>	<b>09-IV-5MV</b>	<b>09-IV-7MV</b>
<b>09-IV-11MV</b>	<b>09-IV-14MV</b>	<b>09-IV-17MV</b>
<b>Mount Vernon District APR Nominations</b>		
<b>09-III-1P</b>	<b>09-III-2P</b>	<b>09-III-3P</b>
<b>09-III-4P</b>	<b>09-III-5P</b>	<b>09-IV-1LP</b>
<b>09-IV-2LP</b>	<b>09-IV-3LP</b>	<b>09-IV-4LP</b>
<b>09-IV-5LP</b>	<b>09-IV-6LP</b>	<b>09-IV-7LP</b>
<b>09-IV-8LP</b>	<b>09-IV-9LP</b>	<b>09-IV-10LP</b>
<b>09-IV-11LP</b>	<b>09-IV-12LP</b>	<b>09-IV-1MV</b>
<b>09-IV-2MV</b>	<b>09-IV-3MV</b>	<b>09-IV-4MV</b>
<b>09-IV-6MV</b>	<b>09-IV-8MV</b>	<b>09-IV-9MV</b>
<b>09-IV-10MV</b>	<b>09-IV-13MV</b>	<b>09-IV-15MV</b>
<b>09-IV-16MV</b>	<b>09-IV-18MV</b>	<b>09-IV-19MV</b>
<b>09-IV-23MV</b>	<b>09-IV-25MV</b>	<b>09-IV-26MV</b>
<b>09-IV-27MV</b>	<b>09-IV-1S</b>	

2) Mr. Chairman, at the December 7, 2009 meeting, the Board of Supervisors authorized a Comprehensive Plan amendment to consider retail use at an intensity up to .35 FAR for parcels 93-1((18))(D)117, 126, 130 and 138, on approximately 1.3 acres, located on Richmond Highway between East Lee Avenue and Preston Avenue. The authorization also includes latitude for considering low-density residential use on the edge adjacent to the existing neighborhood. The Board of Supervisors requested that the Planning Commission process this item through the South County Area Plans Review, as APR is just now getting underway. By doing so, the community would be able to review and comment on the proposal in the same manner as the Mount Vernon APR nominations. Therefore Mr. Chairman, I move that the Planning Commission concur with the Board of Supervisors' request and that this item be treated as a nomination in 2009 South County Area Plans Review cycle.