

Submission Under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act
For Preclearance of a Change Affecting Voting
in the County of Fairfax, Virginia

EXPEDITED CONSIDERATION REQUESTED
by May 31, 2011



April 29, 2011

Submitted by
David P. Bobzien, County Attorney
12000 Government Center Parkway, Suite 549
Fairfax, Virginia 22035



County of Fairfax, Virginia

To protect and enrich the quality of life for the people, neighborhoods and diverse communities of Fairfax County

Office of the County Attorney
Suite 549, 12000 Government Center Parkway
Fairfax, Virginia 22035-0064
Phone: (703) 324-2421; Fax: (703) 324-2665
www.fairfaxcounty.gov

April 29, 2011

BY COURIER-SAME DAY DELIVERY

T. Christian Herren, Jr., Chief
Voting Section
Civil Rights Division
Room 7254 - NWB
Department of Justice
1800 G St., N.W.
Washington, DC 20006

Re: Submission Under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act for Preclearance of Changes Affecting Voting in Fairfax County, Virginia, the Redistricting of its Governing Body;
Expedited Consideration Requested by May 31, 2011

Dear Mr. Herren:

Pursuant to Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c, and Part 51 of Title 28 of the Code of Federal Regulations, on behalf of the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County, Virginia ("Board"), I am submitting changes affecting voting for federal preclearance review and I am requesting that that this submission be given expedited consideration as explained below.

General Description of the Change Affecting Voting

In response to substantial and uneven population growth during the past decade, the Fairfax County Board of Supervisors ("Board"), which is the governing body of Fairfax County, has reapportioned its election districts effective April 26, 2011. Members of the County's school board are elected from those same districts. The reapportionment of those election districts is now submitted for federal preclearance as a change affecting voting.

Fairfax County operates under an optional form of government available under state law to any county with a population of more than 90,000. See Va. Code Ann. § 15.2-801 (2008). The County has operated under this form of government since January 1, 1968. From that date through December 31, 1991, the Board consisted of an elected at-large chairman and eight

Letter to T. Christian Herren, Jr., Chief

Re: Submission Under Section 5 by Fairfax County, Virginia, the Redistricting of its Governing Body - **Expedited Consideration Requested by May 31, 2011**

Page 2

supervisors who were elected from single-member districts. In 1991, in response to a 37 percent population increase reflected by the 1990 decennial census, the governing body was reapportioned and expanded to include an additional single-member district. An election was held to fill the vacancy created by the new district, and the newly elected member took office on January 1, 1992. Since then, the governing body has consisted of nine members elected by district and an elected at-large chairman. In 2001, in response to a population increase of more than 18 percent shown by the 2000 decennial census, the governing body was reapportioned again. The 2001 reapportionment that serves as the benchmark against which this new plan will be compared was precleared by the Attorney General by letter dated August 14, 2001. A copy of that letter is provided as Exhibit No. 1.

Where members of the governing body are elected from districts, Virginia law requires the governing body to consider reapportionment after each federal decennial census and to reapportion the representation among the districts in order to give, as nearly as is practicable, representation on the basis of population. Va. Code Ann. §§ 15.2-856 (2008) and 24.2-304.1 (2006). In 2000, the County had a population of 969,749. In 2010, the Census counted a population of 1,081,726 – an increase of 11.5 percent. More important, the population increase was uneven. After the 2001 County reapportionment, the populations of the nine election districts ranged from a low of 102,504 persons (Lee) to a high of 112,218 persons (Mount Vernon), a total population deviation of 9.0 percent from the ideal sized district. The 2010 Census indicated that the populations of the nine election districts ranged from a low of 109,326 (Mason) to a high of 127,501 (Mount Vernon), which presents a total population deviation of 15.1 percent from the ideal sized district of 120,192 persons. This reapportionment responds to the uneven population growth by adjusting the boundaries of the existing election districts in order to provide proportional representation for County residents.

This reapportionment also affects the election districts of nine of the twelve members of the County School Board. Before 1995, all School Board members were appointed by the Board of Supervisors. In a November 1993 referendum, County voters elected to change the method of selecting School Board members from appointment to popular election pursuant to Va. Code Ann. § 22.1-57.3 (Supp. 2010). The first election for the School Board was held in November 1995, and the County's first elected School Board took office on January 1, 1996. State law provides that in any case in which school board members are elected from election districts, as opposed to being elected at large, the election districts for the school board shall be coterminous with the election districts for the local governing body. Va. Code Ann. § 22.1-57.3(B). The School Board consists of twelve members, nine of whom are elected by district and three of whom are elected at large. Accordingly, the reapportionment of the Board of Supervisors election districts constitutes the reapportionment of the School Board as well.

The Board of Supervisors adopted this reapportionment on April 26, 2011, following extensive public outreach, including a public hearing on April 12, 2011. Virginia law provides that

Letter to T. Christian Herren, Jr., Chief

Re: Submission Under Section 5 by Fairfax County, Virginia, the Redistricting of its Governing Body - **Expedited Consideration Requested by May 31, 2011**

Page 3

decennial reapportionment plans are effective immediately. Va. Code Ann. § 24.2-311(B) (2006). Accordingly, all necessary actions have been taken, and this change is ready for federal review. The change has not been enforced pending federal preclearance.

I request that the Department of Justice consider this submission on an expedited basis as provided in 28 C.F.R. § 51.34 and respond by May 31, 2011. Good cause exists for expedited consideration because the Board's reapportionment activities were circumscribed by events outside the Board's control. Specifically, the Board could not prepare reapportionment plans until the U.S. Census Bureau released the official Census data that Virginia law requires the Board to use in redistricting. See Va. Code § 24.2-304.1(C). Nonetheless, the Board created an Advisory Citizens Committee on Reapportionment several months before the release of the Census data and began preparations to complete the reapportionment process as expeditiously as possible. The Advisory Committee met twice before the County received the Census data so that the Committee would be fully prepared to begin drawing plans as soon as the data was released and loaded into the County's redistricting software. The U.S. Census Bureau delivered Virginia's 2010 Census population totals on February 3, 2011. Thereafter, the Committee held five more meetings and one workshop, and many Committee members worked on plans via the Internet outside of meetings. On March 28, 2011, the Advisory Committee issued its 235-page Report, containing 25 different reapportionment alternatives, to the Board for its consideration. At its regular meeting the following day, the Board authorized staff to place a newspaper advertisement about the public hearing and the Board's intention to adopt an ordinance. In short, the Board acted as promptly as possible to complete the reapportionment process and make this submission, consistent with its obligation and desire to allow for robust public participation.

Having adopted the ordinance, the Board must now receive expedited preclearance in order to meet deadlines established by Virginia law. Because Virginia is covered by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act and holds a November general election this year, Virginia's General Assembly adopted legislation adjusting and specifically establishing dates for election activities in 2011. See 2011 Va. Acts Ch. 3, which is provided as Exhibit No. 2. The legislation set August 23, 2011, as the date for primary elections. *Id.*, §2. Candidates must declare their candidacy for the primary not earlier than Tuesday, June 7, and not later than June 15, 2011. *Id.*, §4. Before declaring their intention to run for office, candidates should have certainty about the composition of the district and whether they reside in the district. Preclearance of the Board's redistricting by May 31, 2011, will provide that certainty.

Additionally, state law requires the County's electoral board to make absentee ballots available to qualified absentee voters on or before July 8, 2011. *Id.*, §7. However, ballots cannot be made available until the County's election officials have prepared proofs for review by the State Board of Elections, received approval from the State Board of Elections, had the ballots printed, and prepared them for mailing. The State Board of Elections will not approve the

Letter to T. Christian Herren, Jr., Chief

Re: Submission Under Section 5 by Fairfax County, Virginia, the Redistricting of its Governing Body - **Expedited Consideration Requested by May 31, 2011**

Page 4

ballots until the Department of Justice has precleared the reapportionment. Preclearance of the Board's redistricting by May 31, 2011, will allow time for the County's election officials to prepare those absentee ballots.

Finally, the County anticipates that it may need to adjust precinct lines or establish new precincts to accommodate the new district boundaries for the Virginia House of Delegates and Senate. As of April 28, 2011, the Virginia General Assembly has not enacted plans to redistrict the House of Delegates and the Senate. However, the plans considered by the General Assembly to date all have split numerous Fairfax County precincts.

If precinct lines are not adjusted before the August 23 primary to eliminate split precincts, the County's election officials may have to offer different ballots within precincts. The Virginia State Board of Elections instructs localities that split precincts are to be avoided whenever possible. Therefore, if the County's precincts need to be adjusted, the County hopes to complete that process in June and submit another request to the Department of Justice for preclearance of those changes on an expedited request so that the changes can be implemented for the August 23 primary, including notification of voters whose polling places have changed.

By accommodating the County's schedule and expediting its review and decision on this preclearance request, the Department of Justice will enhance the redistricting and electoral process. Most importantly, expedited review will benefit voters, especially racial and language minorities whom the County made deliberate and repeated efforts to include in the redistricting process, and absentee military and overseas voters. Because the Board could not begin redrawing district lines until it received the official U.S. Census data, the only way the Board could have shortened the process to allow the full 60 days for preclearance would be to eliminate some or all of the public's participation in the process. Additionally, expedited preclearance will benefit candidates, who must know what districts they will be seeking to represent and whether they meet the residency requirement. Preclearance on an expedited basis also will benefit election officials, who must implement these changes and any future changes needed to adjust precinct boundaries, and who are charged with the responsibility of conducting orderly elections.

Required Submission Information

Pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 51.27 (2010), I am providing this information:

- (a) Copies of the ordinance adopted by the Board to effect the reapportionment, in both redline and final form, are provided as Exhibit No. 3. Duplicate copies of a map illustrating the newly adopted election districts are provided as Exhibit No.

4. Demographic data for each of the nine election districts as constituted under the reapportionment ordinance is provided as Exhibit No. 5.
- (b) A copy of the ordinance embodying the previous apportionment of the County's election districts is provided as Exhibit No. 6. Duplicate copies of a map illustrating the previous election districts are provided as Exhibit No. 7. Demographic data for each of the nine districts as constituted in the previous apportionment is provided as Exhibit No. 8.
- (c) The change is shown on the maps provided as Exhibit Nos. 4 and 7 and the ordinances provided as Exhibit Nos. 3 and 6.
- (d) The name, title, address, and telephone number of the submitting person is:
- David P. Bobzien, County Attorney
12000 Government Center Parkway, Suite 549
Fairfax, Virginia 20035-0064
(703) 324-2421
(703) 324-3938 (FAX)
- (e) The submitting authority is the Board, which is the elected governing body of Fairfax County, Virginia.
- (f) This submission is from a county government in the Commonwealth of Virginia.
- (g) The Board is responsible for apportioning its election districts. The mode of decision was by ordinance. See Exhibit No. 3.
- (h) The authority for reapportionment by the Board is provided by Va. Const. art. VII, § 5, and Va. Code §§ 15.2-856 and 24.2-304.1. The Board of Supervisors adopted the change by ordinance in accordance with Virginia law at a public meeting. Virginia law provides that prior to enactment of a change in any local election district, notice must be published in a newspaper having general circulation in the election district once a week for two successive weeks. Va. Code Ann. § 24.2-306 (2006). That notice was published in a local newspaper with general circulation within the County on April 1 and 8, 2011. A copy of the notice, together with proof of publication, is provided as Exhibit No. 9. Moreover, a public hearing was held on April 12, 2011, at which members of the public were able to, and did, speak on the matter. Virginia law generally does not require a public hearing before adoption of an ordinance, but the Board customarily conducts such a hearing before adopting or amending any

ordinance. Copies of all Virginia Code provisions cited in this submission are provided as Exhibit No. 10.

- (i) The change was adopted on April 26, 2011.
- (j) Subject to federal preclearance, this change took effect on April 26, 2011. Virginia law provides that decennial reapportionment plans are effective immediately. Va. Code Ann. § 24.2-311(B). The reapportionment ordinance adopted by the Board provides that the ordinance “shall become effective upon adoption, and it shall be enforced after satisfactory completion of the federal preclearance procedure provided by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.”
- (k) The change has not yet been enforced and will not be enforced or administered pending notification that the Attorney General does not interpose an objection to this change.
- (l) This change will affect the entire County.
- (m) State law requires the governing body to consider reapportionment after each federal decennial census and to reapportion the representation among the districts in order to give, as nearly as is practicable, representation on the basis of population. See Va. Code Ann. §§ 24.2-304.1 and 15.2-856. In 2000, the County had a population of 969,749. In 2010, the Census counted a population of 1,081,726 – an increase of 11.5 percent. More important, the population increase was uneven. After the 2001 County reapportionment, the populations of the nine election districts ranged from a low of 102,504 persons (Lee) to a high of 112,218 persons (Mount Vernon), a total population deviation of 9.0 percent from the ideal sized district. The 2010 Census indicated that the populations of the nine election districts ranged from a low of 109,326 (Mason) to a high of 127,501 (Mount Vernon), which presents a total population deviation of 15.1 percent from the ideal sized district of 120,192 persons. This reapportionment responds to the uneven population growth by adjusting the boundaries of the existing election districts in order to provide proportional representation for County residents.
- (n) This change is not anticipated to have any effect on members of racial or language minority groups.
- (o) There is no past or pending litigation concerning this change or related voting practices.
- (p) The prior apportionment ordinance was adopted on June 11, 2001. It was precleared by the Attorney General by letter dated August 14, 2001. A copy of the Attorney General’s letter is provided as Exhibit No. 1. Subsequent changes

affecting voting were given federal preclearance on May 14, 2003, May 6, 2004, May 31, 2005, June 5, 2006, May 21, 2007, November 9, 2007, May 5, 2008, March 3, 2009, April 22, 2010, and September 4, 2010.

- (q) Demographic data for the total and voting age population of the County before and after the change, by racial and language minority groups, is provided in Exhibit Nos. 5 and 8. Maps of the area affected, showing the prior and new boundaries, are provided as Exhibit Nos. 4 and 7.

Supplemental Submission Information

Pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 51.28, I am providing the following information:

(a) *Demographic information.*

- (1) Total and voting age population for the affected area before and after the change, by race and language group, is provided in Exhibits Nos. 5 and 8. The total and voting age population for the affected area, by precinct, both before and after the change, is provided as Exhibit No. 11.
- (2) The number of registered voters for the County by voting precinct before and after the change, by race and language group, is not available. Virginia law directs that applications to register to vote shall be only on a form or forms prescribed by the State Board of Elections. Va. Code Ann. § 24.2-418 (Supp. 2010). Those forms do not collect data on the race or language of applicants. A Virginia Voter Registration Application is provided as Exhibit No. 12.
- (3) No population estimates were made in connection with the adoption of the change. As required by Virginia law, the Board relied exclusively on the 2010 Census population data released by the Bureau of the Census for redistricting pursuant to Public Law 94-171. See Va. Code Ann. § 24.2-304.1.
- (4) Demographic data being provided with this submission, as Exhibit No. 13, on magnetic media is based upon the Bureau of the Census Public Law 94-171 file unique block identity code of state, county, tract, and block. It is being provided in the format set forth in 28 C.F.R. § 51.28(a)(5).

(b) *Maps.*

- (1) Duplicate copies of maps that show the previous apportionment and the new reapportionment are provided as Exhibits Nos. 4 and 7.

- (2) Three precinct boundary changes were made as part of this reapportionment, because State law directs that each precinct shall be wholly contained within an election district used for the election of one or more members of the governing body or school board for the county or city. Va. Code Ann. § 24.2-307 (Supp. 2010). First, Woodlawn Precinct (627) was divided along Frye Road. Therefore, Frye Road is now the eastern boundary of Woodlawn Precinct, which remains in the Mount Vernon District. The area east of Frye Road is now a new precinct named Pinewood, and it is in the Lee District. The polling place for the Pinewood Precinct was established at the Mount Vernon Woods Elementary School. Second, the Willow Springs Precinct (851) was divided along Stringfellow Road to the north of Lee Highway. Stringfellow Road is now the western boundary of the Willow Springs Precinct and the eastern boundary of the Powell Precinct (926). The redrawn Willow Springs Precinct remains in the Springfield District, and the redrawn Powell Precinct remains in the Sully District. The polling places for these two precincts were not changed. Third, the Nottoway Precinct (729) was divided so that the portion of that precinct that lies within the Town of Vienna is now part of the Vienna No. 6 (218) precinct, which is in the Hunter Mill District. The remaining portion of the Nottoway Precinct stays in the Providence District. The polling places for these two precincts were not changed. Duplicate copies of maps that show the new boundaries of these six precincts, together with text descriptions of the precinct boundaries, are provided as Exhibit No. 14. Exhibit No. 14 also shows the location of the polling place for the new Pinewood Precinct.
- (3) Duplicate copies of maps that show the location of Asian, Black, and Hispanic resident populations are provided as Exhibit No. 15.
- (4) Except for the precincts divided by the newly constituted Mount Vernon, Lee, Springfield, Sully, Hunter Mill, and Providence Districts, all election districts follow precinct boundaries as they existed on April 1, 2011. The boundaries of the election districts established by this reapportionment were all divided along “clearly observable boundaries” as that term is defined by Virginia law. Va. Code Ann. § 24.2-305 (2006) mandates that election districts and precincts shall have clearly defined and “clearly observable boundaries,” which include (i) any named road or street; (ii) any road or highway which is a part of the federal, state primary, or state secondary road system; (iii) any river, stream, or drainage feature shown as a polygon boundary on the TIGER/line files of the United States Bureau of the Census; or (iv) any other natural or constructed or erected permanent physical feature which is shown on an official map issued by the Virginia Department of Transportation, on a United States Geological Survey

topographical map, or as a polygon boundary on the TIGER/line files of the United States Bureau of the Census.

- (5) This reapportionment establishes one new polling place. The polling place for the new Pinewood Precinct was established at the Mount Vernon Woods Elementary School. The location of the polling place is shown on Exhibit No. 14.
- (6) This reapportionment does not change any voter registration sites.

(c) *Annexations.*

This change is not an annexation.

(d) *Election returns.*

This reapportionment is not expected to affect the electoral influence of racial or language minority groups. However, returns from the 2007 November general election for the Board of Supervisors are provided as Exhibit No. 16. These returns were selected because 2007 was the most recent general election for the Board of Supervisors. One Black candidate ran for office during this election. Catherine M. Hudgins, a Black woman who is the incumbent Supervisor from the Hunter Mill District, successfully ran against three other candidates. Supervisor Hudgins has held that office since 1999, when she defeated the then-incumbent, Robert Dix, a White man. Exhibit 16 includes the name of each candidate, the position sought by each candidate, the number of votes each candidate received, by voting precinct, and the outcome of each contest. The race or language group of each candidate is unknown except for those candidates for whom I have specified such information, because Virginia does not collect information about the race or language group of candidates. Subsequent to that 2007 general election, two Board seats affected by this reapportionment were the subject of special elections. First, a special election was held in February 2009 for the Chairman of the Board, after the sitting Chairman was elected to Congress and resigned his Board Chairmanship. Sharon Bulova, who was then the Braddock District Supervisor, ran against three White men and was elected Chairman in that February 2009 special election. Thereafter, in March 2009, a special election was held to fill the Braddock District seat vacated by the newly-elected Chairman. John Cook, a White man, defeated Ilyrong Moon, a Korean-American man, by 89 votes out of 12,495 votes cast (6,292 vs. 6,203). Mr. Moon has served on Fairfax County's School Board as an elected at-large representative since 2003.

Letter to T. Christian Herren, Jr., Chief

Re: Submission Under Section 5 by Fairfax County, Virginia, the Redistricting of its Governing Body - **Expedited Consideration Requested by May 31, 2011**

Page 10

(e) Language usage.

This change will not affect the use of the language of a language minority group in the electoral process.

(f) Publicity and participation.

In adopting the reapportionment plan, the Board took numerous steps to provide notice to the public and to encourage public participation. The Board discussed redistricting at several public meetings and held a public hearing. Advance notice of the public hearing and the Board's intention to adopt a redistricting ordinance was published twice. See Exhibit No. 9. The Board appointed a citizens advisory committee to develop reapportionment alternatives for the Board's consideration and posted on the County's website all the tools necessary for members of the public to create and submit reapportionment plans for the Board's consideration. The County's website included a page devoted to redistricting to keep residents up to date. The County repeatedly issued information to the press and the public via its daily newsfeed, "NewsWire," as well as newsletters, published meeting notices, and produced a video about the process that aired on the County's cable government access channel and streamed on the County's website.

The Board first addressed reapportionment on November 16, 2010, when it adopted a resolution that provided standards to guide the process that was to follow. Copies of the adopted resolution are provided in Exhibit No. 17 and a copy of the Board Agenda Item is provided as Exhibit No. 18. Board Agendas, along with the full "Board Agenda Items" which explain each entry in the agenda in detail, are typically posted on the County's website five days before each Board meeting and they remain posted indefinitely for public review.

At the same time, the Board created an Advisory Citizens Committee on Reapportionment, to which the Board appointed 21 members by early January 2011. The Advisory Committee was composed of one appointee from each of the nine election districts, two appointed at large, one each from the Democratic and Republican parties, two representing the African-American Community, two representing the Asian/Pacific Islander Community, one representing the Hispanic Community, one from the Chamber of Commerce, one from the Federation of Citizens Association, and one representing the League of Women Voters. A list of the members of the Advisory Committee and the constituency each member was appointed to represent is included in Exhibit No. 19.

The County provided a meeting room equipped and staffed to facilitate both individual and group decision-making: computer hardware; software loaded with maps showing all precincts and all relevant Census data, and current election districts. The Advisory Committee held

Letter to T. Christian Herren, Jr., Chief

Re: Submission Under Section 5 by Fairfax County, Virginia, the Redistricting of its Governing Body - **Expedited Consideration Requested by May 31, 2011**

Page 11

seven meetings and one workshop starting January 18, 2011, and ending March 8, 2011. All Advisory Committee meetings were open to the public and were advertised in advance via the Public Meetings Calendar on the County's website and text announcements on the County's government access channel. Members of the public attended each meeting. A copy of the "sign-in" sheet is attached as Exhibit No. 20. Observers were invited but not required to sign in, and not all observers did so.

During the weeks the Advisory Committee was meeting, the County made available on its website all the tools and information necessary for members of the public to contact members of the Advisory Committee or to create and submit reapportionment plans of their own. In early February, the County set up a web page on the County's website to provide residents with information about the redistricting process. A screenshot of the homepage of the County's website, prominently displaying a banner linking to the redistricting webpage, is attached as Exhibit No. 21. On February 15, after the County received the Census data, the County posted the Census data and a mapping tool, along with instructions and guidelines so that County residents could create plans and submit them electronically for consideration by the Advisory Committee and the Board. Those materials are available at www.fairfaxcounty.gov/redistricting/submit-plans.htm and a screenshot of that portion of the County's redistricting webpage is attached as Exhibit No. 22. The County sent information to the media and public via NewsWire and other means to promote the fact that members of the public could submit plans for consideration by the Board. An example of dissemination of information to the public, an announcement sent via Twitter, is included as Exhibit No. 23.

While the Advisory Committee was meeting, the County's Communications Productions Division produced a video about reapportionment in general, and the work of the Advisory Committee in particular, to cablecast on the County's government access channel, Channel 16. All three cable television systems that are franchised to operate in the County cablecast Channel 16. Approximately 73 percent of County households subscribe to cable service. The video aired as a "County Magazine" segment on Channel 16 at least once daily during the month of April 2011 and it was simultaneously available through the County's website via live streaming over the Internet. A copy of the schedule showing when the piece aired is provided as Exhibit No. 24. The video was also available at any time on demand through the County's website during the month of April 2011. A DVD of the video and an Internet link to it, along with a transcript of the text of the video, are provided in Exhibit No. 25.

The Advisory Citizens Committee developed 22 different reapportionment alternatives for the Board's consideration. Members of the public submitted three different reapportionment plans. The Advisory Committee compiled all 25 plans into a Report of the Advisory Citizens Committee on the 2011 Reapportionment of the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County, Virginia, which it submitted to the Board of Supervisors on March 28, 2011. The report is provided as Exhibit No. 26.

Letter to T. Christian Herren, Jr., Chief

Re: Submission Under Section 5 by Fairfax County, Virginia, the Redistricting of its Governing Body - **Expedited Consideration Requested by May 31, 2011**

Page 12

Upon receiving the Committee's report, the Board, in public session, authorized County staff to publish notice of a public hearing on the reapportionment alternatives and any amendments the Board may deem appropriate, as well as notice of the Board's intention to adopt an ordinance. A copy of the Board Agenda Item is provided as Exhibit 27. Virginia law does not require the Board to hold a public hearing before adopting a reapportionment ordinance, but the Board customarily holds public hearings before adopting any ordinance. Virginia law requires publication of notice of the Board's intention to adopt an ordinance reapportioning its election districts, and notice that the ordinance is available in the office of the Clerk to the Board, once a week for two successive weeks. Va. Code Ann. § 24.2-306. A copy of the notice, together with proof of publication, is provided in Exhibit No. 9. The entire report was also posted on the County's redistricting webpage, and the web address was included in the published advertisement. The County also sent notice to the media and the public via NewsWire that these plans were available, promoted the public hearing on the homepage of the County website, and advertised the hearing in the March 29 Board of Supervisors Podcast. See Exhibit No. 28 for the NewsWire announcement and Exhibit 21 for a screenshot of the homepage.

The Board conducted its public hearing on April 12, 2011. A copy of the Board item for the public hearing is provided as Exhibit No. 29. Five County residents spoke at the hearing to share their views about the County's redistricting. County residents also submitted comments in writing to Board members via mail or e-mail. At the close of the public hearing, the Board stated its intention to consider the public comments and to adopt an ordinance at its next meeting on April 26. The County posted a video of the public hearing on YouTube and notified the public and the media via NewsWire.

On April 26, the Board considered and discussed the reapportionment alternatives proposed by the Advisory Committee and the public again, and adopted the ordinance that is provided as Exhibit No. 3 and illustrated by the maps and demographic data provided as Exhibit Nos. 4 and 5. Nine Board members voted in favor of the ordinance, no Board members voted in opposition to the ordinance, and one Board member, Catherine Hudgins, abstained. The adopted reapportionment plan is an amended version of the Advisory Committee's Plan 9A4.

In addition to the notices described above, all Board meetings, including the public hearings, were cablecast live to local viewers over Channel 16 and replayed once or twice later that same week. Thereafter, Board meetings are available as video on demand for six months. Moreover, the Board agendas were posted on the County's website four days before the meetings.

Finally, between November 2010 and April 2011, the County and individual Board members published numerous articles and news releases about the reapportionment. A number of news articles were published in area newspapers, including *The Washington Post*, *Connection*

Letter to T. Christian Herren, Jr., Chief

Re: Submission Under Section 5 by Fairfax County, Virginia, the Redistricting of its Governing Body - **Expedited Consideration Requested by May 31, 2011**

Page 13

Newspapers, Fairfax Times, and Patch.com, a family of hyper-local news sites covering Fairfax County. Public interest groups and community groups picked up on the information about the County's redistricting and disseminated it further. A sample of publications by the County and by individual Board members is provided as Exhibit No. 30. A sample of articles that appeared in local publications and information published by other groups is provided in Exhibit No. 31.

(g) Availability of the submission.

- (1) The County has notified the public that the County planned to file this submission with the Department of Justice and advised the public that persons may submit comments on this submission for the consideration of the Attorney General. The notification was, and remains, posted on the County's website, and notice was sent to the public and the media on NewsWire. The notices also informed the public that complete copies of this submission would be available for public inspection during normal working hours at the Office of the Clerk to the Fairfax County Board of Supervisors and at the redistricting web page of the County's website at <http://www.fairfaxcounty.gov/news/2011/updates/board-adopts-redistricting-plan.htm>. Copies of the notice and the County's webpage are provided as Exhibit No. 32. The approved plan is also posted on the County's website for public review.
- (2) As is stated in the notice, all demographic information that the County is providing as part of this submission on magnetic media pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 51.28(a) will be available for copying by members of the public. Upon request, the County will make a hard copy of the data contained on the magnetic media so that the hard copy can be copied.

(h) Minority group contacts.

The County has prepared a list of minority group contacts who are or may be familiar with this reapportionment. The list shows the names, affiliations, and addresses of racial or language minority group contacts, and it is provided as Exhibit No. 33.

The first person listed, Catherine M. Hudgins, is a Supervisor who is elected from one of the electoral districts affected by this reapportionment. Supervisor Hudgins abstained from voting on the reapportionment ordinance; all other members of the Board voted in favor of the reapportionment. Supervisor Hudgins has been the Hunter Mill District Supervisor since 1999; she was reelected in 2004 and 2007 and is now serving her third term. The other persons on the list were members of the Advisory Committee.

Conclusion

The clear and necessary purpose of this reapportionment is to respond to substantial and uneven population growth by redrawing lines to provide proportional representation. The reapportionment plan has neither the purpose nor will it have the effect of denying or abridging the right to vote on account of race or color, or in contravention of the guarantees set forth in Section 4(f)(2) of the Voting Rights Act. A comparison of the reapportionment plan and the benchmark plan shows that the change will not have any retrogressive effect on the position of racial minorities with respect to their effective exercise of the electoral franchise.

Asian residents comprise the largest minority group in the County with 17.5 percent of the total population. The 2010 U.S. Census data shows that the two supervisor districts with the largest Asian populations in the benchmark plan, Sully and Providence, remain the supervisor districts with the largest Asian populations in the 2011 adopted plan. The percent of population that is Asian increases slightly in each of these supervisor districts under the new plan. Sully District increases from 23.5 percent to 23.6 percent and Providence District increases from 23.0 percent to 23.1 percent.

Hispanic residents comprise the second-largest minority group in the County with 15.6 percent of the total population. The 2010 U.S. Census data shows that the two supervisor districts with the largest Hispanic populations in the benchmark plan, Mason and Lee, continue to have the largest Hispanic populations under the 2011 adopted plan. The Hispanic population of the Mason District under both the benchmark plan and the new plan is 29.3 percent. In the Lee District, the Hispanic population increased slightly, from 23.5 percent in the benchmark plan to 23.6 percent in the new plan.

Black and African American residents comprise the third-largest minority group in the County with 9.2 percent of the total population. The 2010 U.S. Census data shows that the two supervisor districts with the largest Black populations in the benchmark plan, Mount Vernon and Lee, remain the supervisor districts with the largest Black populations in the 2011 adopted plan. The 2010 U.S. Census data shows that the two districts with the largest Black populations under the benchmark plan are Lee (17.5 percent) and Mount Vernon (17.3 percent). Under the new plan, the Black population of Mount Vernon increased slightly, to 17.7 percent, while the Black population Lee is unchanged, at 17.5 percent.

In summary, a comparison of the benchmark plan and the newly-adopted plan, using 2010 Census population data released by the Bureau of the Census for redistricting pursuant to Public Law 94-171, shows that the new apportionment does not diminish the ability of any citizens, because of their race, color, or membership in a language minority group defined by the Voting Rights Act, to elect their preferred candidate of choice. Accordingly, the Board seeks a determination from the Attorney General that the ordinance adopted by the Board on


Letter to T. Christian Herren, Jr., Chief

Re: Submission Under Section 5 by Fairfax County, Virginia, the Redistricting of its
Governing Body - **Expedited Consideration Requested by May 31, 2011**

Page 15

April 26, 2011, does not have a discriminatory purpose and will not deny or abridge the right of any person to vote on account of race, color, or membership in a language minority group. If you need any further information, please feel free to contact me or Michael Long, Deputy County Attorney, or Erin Ward, Assistant County Attorney, at (703) 324-2421.

Sincerely,


David P. Bobzien
County Attorney

Enclosures

cc: Members, Board of Supervisors (w/o Exhibits)
Anthony H. Griffin, County Executive
Nancy Vehrs, Clerk to the Board of Supervisors
Carol Ann Coryell, Secretary, Fairfax County Electoral Board
Edgardo Cortés, General Registrar (w/o Exhibits)
Susan E. Mittereder, Legislative Liaison, Office of County Executive (w/o Exhibits)
Janet Polarek, Secretary of the Commonwealth (w/o Exhibits)
Don Palmer, Secretary, State Board of Elections (w/o Exhibits)
E. M. Miller, Jr., Director, Division of Legislative Services (w/o Exhibits)
Anne Murphy, Division Counsel, Fairfax County Public Schools (w/o Exhibits)

**Submission Under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act
for Preclearance of a Change Affecting Voting
in the County of Fairfax, Virginia**

EXHIBIT LIST

1 .	August 14, 2001, letter from Department of Justice to David P. Bobzien, County Attorney, interposing no objection to Fairfax County's 2001 Reapportionment
2 .	2011 Va. Acts Ch. 3
3 .	Ordinance adopted by the Board of Supervisors on April 26, 2011, to effect the reapportionment, in final and redline form
4 .	Duplicate copies of a map illustrating the newly adopted election districts
5 .	Demographic data for each of the nine election districts as constituted under the reapportionment ordinance
6 .	Ordinance embodying the previous apportionment of the County's election districts
7 .	Duplicate copies of a map illustrating the previous election districts
8 .	Demographic data for each of the nine election districts as constituted under the previous apportionment
9 .	Copy of legal advertisement of Board's intention to hold a public hearing on April 12, 2011, and adopt a reapportionment ordinance on April 26, 2011, together with proof of publication
10 .	Copies of all Virginia Code Provisions cited in this submission
11 .	Demographic data by precinct, before and after the change
12 .	Virginia Voter Registration Application
13 .	Demographic data on magnetic media in the format set forth in 28 C.F.R. § 51.28(a)(5)
14 .	Duplicate copies of maps that show the new boundaries of the six changed precincts and the location of the polling place for the new Pinewood Precinct, together with text descriptions of the precinct boundaries.
15 .	Duplicate copies of maps that show the distribution of Asian, Black, and Hispanic resident populations by precinct
16 .	Election returns from the 2007 November general election for Board of Supervisors
17 .	Resolution of the Board of Supervisors regarding reapportionment, adopted November 16, 2010
18 .	Board Agenda Item regarding 2011 reapportionment for consideration at November 16, 2010 Board meeting
19 .	List of members of Advisory Citizens Committee on the 2011 Reapportionment of the Board of Supervisors
20 .	Copy of the "sign-in" sheet bearing signatures of members of the public who signed in and attended Advisory Committee meetings
21 .	Screenshot of the homepage of Fairfax County's website
22 .	Screenshot of the redistricting webpage of Fairfax County's website

**Submission Under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act
for Preclearance of a Change Affecting Voting
in the County of Fairfax, Virginia**

EXHIBIT LIST

23 .	Twitter announcement sent by County to promote the fact that members of the public could submit plans for consideration by the Board
24 .	Schedule showing when "County Magazine," including piece on reapportionment, was cablecast on Fairfax County's government access channel
25 .	DVD of and internet link for "County Magazine" piece on reapportionment and transcript of the text of the video
26 .	Report of the Advisory Citizens Committee on the 2011 Reapportionment of the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County, Virginia
27 .	Board Agenda Item regarding advertisement of the Advisory Committee Report for consideration at March 29, 2011, Board meeting
28 .	Screenshot of March 2011 Fairfax County NewsWire updates, including news releases about Fairfax County's reapportionment
29 .	Board Item regarding April 12, 2011, Board of Supervisors public hearing on reapportionment
30 .	A sample of publications by the County and by individual Board members regarding reapportionment
31 .	A sample of articles that appeared in local publications and information published by other groups regarding the reapportionment of Fairfax County's election districts
32 .	NewsWire release announcing the County's intention to submit the reapportionment to the Attorney General for preclearance and advising the public that persons may submit comments on the submission for the consideration of the Attorney General and screenshot of the redistricting webpage of Fairfax County's website including the announcement
33 .	List of minority group contacts who are or may be familiar with this reapportionment

Exhibit 1



**August 14, 2001, letter from Department of Justice to David P.
Bobzien, County Attorney, interposing no objection to
Fairfax County's 2001 Reapportionment**



U.S. Department of Justice

Civil Rights Division

JDR:RPL:ALP:par
DJ 166-012-3
2001-1709
2001-1710

Voting Section
P.O. Box 66128
Washington, DC 20035-6128

August 14, 2001

David P. Bobzien, Esq.
County Attorney
12000 Government Center Parkway, Suite 549
Fairfax, Virginia 22035-0064

Dear Mr. Bobzien:

This refers to the 2001 redistricting plan for the Fairfax County School District; and the 2001 redistricting plan for the board of supervisors for Fairfax County, Virginia, submitted to the Attorney General pursuant to Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. 1973c. We received your submissions on June 18, 2001.

The Attorney General does not interpose any objection to the specified changes. However, we note that Section 5 expressly provides that the failure of the Attorney General to object does not bar subsequent litigation to enjoin the enforcement of the changes. See the Procedures for the Administration of Section 5 (28 C.F.R. 51.41).

Sincerely,



Joseph D. Rich
Acting Chief
Voting Section

Exhibit 2



2011 Va. Acts Ch. 3

VIRGINIA ACTS OF ASSEMBLY -- 2011 SESSION

CHAPTER 3

An Act to provide for a revised primary and filing schedule for the November 2011 election and to schedule the 2011 primary for August 23, 2011.

[H 1507]

Approved February 17, 2011

Be it enacted by the General Assembly of Virginia:

1. *§ 1. The provisions of this act shall apply to the November 8, 2011, elections for members of the Senate of Virginia and the House of Delegates of Virginia, for members of county and municipal governing bodies and school boards, for directors of soil and water conservation districts, and, notwithstanding the provisions of § 24.2-515.1 of the Code of Virginia, for elective officers subject to the provisions of § 24.2-217 of the Code of Virginia.*

§ 2. The regular primary date for the selection of candidates for such elections shall be Tuesday, August 23, 2011, and not Tuesday, June 14, 2011. There shall be no primary on June 14, 2011, and the primary date for any special election on November 8, 2011, shall be August 23, 2011.

§ 3. For purposes of § 24.2-516 of the Code of Virginia, the State Board of Elections shall make inquiry of each party chairman by Friday, May 27, 2011, as to whether a direct primary has been adopted, and the Board must receive notification as provided in § 24.2-516 not later than Friday, June 3, 2011.

§ 4. The declaration of candidacy, petitions, and receipts indicating the payment of filing fees for a primary election required by § 24.2-522 of the Code of Virginia shall be filed not earlier than noon on Tuesday, June 7, 2011, and not later than 5:00 p.m. on Wednesday, June 15, 2011.

§ 5. The statements required to be filed by primary candidates under § 24.2-503 of the Code of Virginia shall be filed not later than 5:00 p.m. on Wednesday, June 15, 2011. The extension of any deadline requested under § 24.2-503 shall be no longer than 48 hours.

§ 6. The chairman or chairmen required to furnish names of candidates for a primary under § 24.2-527 of the Code of Virginia shall do so no later than Friday, June 17, 2011.

§ 7. Ballots for the August 23, 2011, primary shall be sent to qualified absentee voters who are eligible for an absentee ballot under subdivision 2 of § 24.2-700 and made available to all other qualified absentee voters on or before Friday, July 8, 2011, pursuant to the provisions of § 24.2-612 of the Code of Virginia. Absentee ballots shall be delivered to the registrar and secretary of the electoral board pursuant to § 24.2-612 on or before Thursday, July 7, 2011. The deadlines in this section may be extended at the discretion of the Secretary of the State Board of Elections.

§ 8. The costs of any primary shall be paid for by the treasurer of the county or city in which such election is held.

§ 9. With respect to independent candidates and party nominees selected by any means other than a primary, the provisions of Title 24.2 of the Code of Virginia shall be applicable except that (i) Tuesday, August 23, 2011, shall be deemed to be the regular primary date in lieu of Tuesday, June 14, 2011; (ii) a party selecting a nominee by any method other than the direct primary shall do so only within the period beginning Friday, July 1, 2011, and ending at 7:00 p.m. on Tuesday, August 23, 2011; (iii) the statements required to be filed under § 24.2-503 of the Code of Virginia shall be filed not later than 7:00 p.m. on Tuesday, August 23, 2011; (iv) the certification of party candidates required pursuant to § 24.2-511 of the Code of Virginia shall be completed not later than Friday, August 26, 2011; and (v) for any special election held November 8, 2011, the notice of candidacy shall be filed and party nominations shall be completed on or before 7:00 p.m. on Tuesday, August 23, 2011.

§ 10. Ballots for the November 2011 election shall be sent to qualified absentee voters who are eligible for an absentee ballot under subdivision 2 of § 24.2-700 and made available to all other qualified absentee voters on or before Friday, September 23, 2011, pursuant to the provisions of § 24.2-612 of the Code of Virginia. Absentee ballots shall be delivered to the registrar and secretary of the electoral board pursuant to § 24.2-612 on or before Thursday, September 22, 2011.

§ 11. The State Board of Elections shall promulgate instructions to implement the provisions of this section.

2. **With the exception of the primary date of August 23, 2011, and general election date of November 8, 2011, the State Board of Elections shall be authorized to postpone dates and modify deadlines set forth in this act if the necessary 2011 reapportionment or redistricting, including preclearance from the appropriate United States authority under § 5 of the United States Voting Rights Act of 1965, will not be completed in time for the dates and deadlines set forth in this act to be complied with.**

3. **That an emergency exists and this act is in force from its passage.**

4. That the provisions of this act shall expire on January 1, 2012.

Exhibit 3



**Ordinance adopted by the Board of Supervisors on
April 26, 2011, to effect the reapportionment,
in final and redline form**

**AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE
TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

1
2
3

**As Adopted on April 26, 2011
(Final version)**

4 **AN ORDINANCE** to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, to
5 reapportion the election districts of the members of the Board of
6 Supervisors by amending and readopting Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5,
7 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, 7-2-11, and 7-2-13 and by
8 readopting Section 7-2-12; to divide the Woodlawn Precinct and
9 create a new Pinewood Precinct in the Lee District and establish a
10 polling place therefor; and to adjust the boundary between the
11 Willow Springs Precinct and the Powell Precinct and the boundary
12 between the Nottoway Precinct and the Vienna No. 6 Precinct.

13 **Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:**

- 14 **1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, 7-2-11, and**
15 **7-2-13 are amended and readopted and Section 7-2-12 is**
16 **readopted, as follows:**
17

18 **Section 7-2-4. - Braddock District.**

19
20 The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae,
21 Burke Centre, Cardinal, Chapel, Eagle View, Fairview, Heritage, Keene Mill,
22 Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch, Monument, North Springfield
23 No. 1, North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde Creek, Olley, Ravensworth,
24 Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield, and Woodson.

25
26 **Section 7-2-5. - Hunter Mill District.**

27
28 The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron
29 Glen, Colvin, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade, Hunters
30 Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3, South
31 Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No.
32 4, Vienna No. 6, Westbriar, and Wolftrap.
33

1 **Section 7-2-6. - Dranesville District.**

2
3 The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge,
4 Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, Coates, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville, Great
5 Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory,
6 Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, McLean, Pimmit, Salona,
7 Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Sugarland, Westhampton, and Westmoreland.

8
9 **Section 7-2-7. - Lee District.**

10
11 The Lee District shall consist of these election precincts: Bush Hill, Cameron,
12 Clermont, Crestwood, Fairfield, Franconia, Garfield, Greenspring, Groveton,
13 Hayfield, Huntley, Island Creek, Kingstowne, Lane, Lynbrook, Mount Eagle,
14 Pinewood, Pioneer, Rose Hill, Van Dorn, Villages, Virginia Hills, and Wilton.

15
16 **Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.**

17
18 The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft,
19 Belvedere, Bren Mar, Bristow, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Glen Forest
20 No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer, Leewood,
21 Lincolnia, Masonville, Parklawn, Poe, Ravenwood, Ridgelea, Saint Albans,
22 Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Walnut Hill No. 2, Westlawn,
23 Weyanoke, Whittier, and Willston.

24
25 **Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.**

26
27 The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle
28 Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall,
29 Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station, Marlan,
30 Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood,
31 Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.

32
33 **Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.**

34
35 The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Fairfax
36 Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is
37 surrounded by Fairfax City), Fort Buffalo, Freedom Hill, Graham, Greenway,
38 Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak Marr,
39 Oakton, Penderbrook, Pine Ridge, Pine Spring, Price, Shreve, Stenwood,
40 Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, Walker, and Woodburn.

41
42 **Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.**

43
44 The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke,
45 Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax Station,
46 Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Hunt, Irving, Newgate North,

1 Newgate South, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, Popes Head, Sangster, Silverbrook,
2 South Run, Valley, West Springfield, White Oaks, Willow Springs, and
3 Woodyard.

4
5 **Section 7-2-12. - Sully District.**

6 The Sully District shall consist of these election precincts: Brookfield, Bull Run,
7 Centre Ridge, Centreville, Cub Run, Deer Park, Dulles, Franklin, Green Trails,
8 Kinross, Lees Corner East, Lees Corner West, London Towne East, London
9 Towne West, Navy, Old Mill, Poplar Tree, Powell, Rocky Run, Stone, Vale,
10 Virginia Run, and Waples Mill.

11
12 **Section 7-2-13. General Provisions.**

13 All references to election precincts shall refer to those precincts, together
14 with the descriptions and maps of the boundaries and polling places for each of
15 those precincts, which were adopted by the Board of Supervisors on March 24,
16 2003, as amended on March 8, 2004, March 21, 2005, March 27, 2006, March
17 26, 2007, September 10, 2007, March 10, 2008, January 12, 2009, March 9,
18 2010, July 27, 2010, and April 26, 2011, and kept on file with the Clerk to the
19 Board of Supervisors. Whenever a road, a stream, or other physical feature
20 describes the boundary of a precinct, the center of such road, stream, or physical
21 feature shall be the dividing line between that precinct and any adjoining precinct.
22

23 **2. That the election polling place of the precincts listed below are**
24 **established at:**

<u>Supervisor District</u>	<u>Precinct</u>	<u>Polling Place</u>
28 Lee District	29 Pinewood 30 (new)	31 Mount Vernon Woods 32 Elementary School 33 4015 Fielding Street 34 Alexandria
35 Mount Vernon	36 Woodlawn 37 (as revised)	38 Woodlawn Elementary School 39 8505 Highland Lane 40 Alexandria 41 (no change of location)
42 Springfield	43 Willow Springs (as revised)	Willow Springs Elementary School 5400 Willow Springs School Road Fairfax (no change of location)

1	Sully	Powell	Powell Elementary School
2		(as revised)	13340 Leland Road
3			Centreville
4			(no change of location)
5			
6			
7	Providence	Nottoway	Hunter House at Nottoway Park
8		(as revised)	9601 Courthouse Road
9			Vienna
10			(no change of location)
11			
12			
13			
14	Hunter Mill	Vienna No. 6	Madison High School
15		(as revised)	2500 James Madison Drive
16			Vienna
17			(no change of location)
18			
19			

20 **3. That this ordinance shall become effective upon adoption, and it**
 21 **shall be enforced after satisfactory completion of the federal preclearance**
 22 **procedure provided by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as**
 23 **amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.**
 24

GIVEN under my hand this 28th day of April 2011.



Nancy Vehrs
 Clerk to the Board of Supervisors

**AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE
TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

1
2
3

**As Adopted on April 26, 2011
(Redline version)**

4 **AN ORDINANCE** to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, to
5 reapportion the election districts of the members of the Board of
6 Supervisors by amending and readopting Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5,
7 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, 7-2-11, and 7-2-13 and by
8 readopting Section 7-2-12; to divide the Woodlawn Precinct and
9 create a new Pinewood Precinct in the Lee District and establish a
10 polling place therefor; and to adjust the boundary between the
11 Willow Springs Precinct and the Powell Precinct and the boundary
12 between the Nottoway Precinct and the Vienna No. 6 Precinct.

13 **Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:**

- 14 **1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, 7-2-11, and**
15 **7-2-13 are amended and readopted and Section 7-2-12 is**
16 **readopted, as follows:**
17

18 **Section 7-2-4. - Braddock District.**

19
20 The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae,
21 ~~Bristow~~, Burke Centre, Cardinal, Chapel, Eagle View, Fairview, Heritage, Keene
22 Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch, Monument, North
23 Springfield No. 1, North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde Creek, Olley,
24 Ravensworth, Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield,
25 and Woodson.

26
27 **Section 7-2-5. - Hunter Mill District.**

28
29 The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron
30 Glen, ~~Coates, Colvin~~, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade,
31 Hunters Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3,
32 South Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2,
33 Vienna No. 4, Vienna No. 6, Westbriar, and Wolftrap.
34

1 **Section 7-2-6. - Dranesville District.**

2
3 The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge,
4 | Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, ~~Coates, Colvin,~~ Cooper, El Nido, Forestville,
5 Great Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory,
6 Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, McLean, Pimmit, Salona,
7 Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Sugarland, Westhampton, and Westmoreland.

8
9 **Section 7-2-7. - Lee District.**

10
11 The Lee District shall consist of these election precincts: Bush Hill, Cameron,
12 Clermont, Crestwood, Fairfield, Franconia, Garfield, Greenspring, Groveton,
13 Hayfield, Huntley, Island Creek, Kingstowne, Lane, Lynbrook, Mount Eagle,
14 | ~~Pinewood,~~ Pioneer, Rose Hill, Van Dorn, Villages, Virginia Hills, and Wilton.

15
16 **Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.**

17
18 The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft,
19 | Belvedere, Bren Mar, ~~Bristow,~~ Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Glen Forest
20 No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer, Leewood,
21 Lincolnia, Masonville, Parklawn, Poe, Ravenwood, Ridgelea, Saint Albans,
22 | Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, ~~Walnut Hill No. 2,~~ Westlawn,
23 Weyanoke, Whittier, and Willston.

24
25 **Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.**

26
27 The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle
28 Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall,
29 | ~~Hunt,~~ Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station,
30 Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood,
31 Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.

32
33 **Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.**

34
35 The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Fairfax
36 Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is
37 surrounded by Fairfax City), Fort Buffalo, Freedom Hill, Graham, Greenway,
38 Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak Marr,
39 Oakton, Penderbrook, Pine Ridge, Pine Spring, Price, Shreve, Stenwood,
40 | Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, Walker, ~~Walnut Hill No. 2,~~ and Woodburn.

41
42 **Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.**

43
44 The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke,
45 | Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, ~~Eagle View,~~ Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax
46 Station, Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, ~~Hunt,~~ Irving,

1 | ~~Monument~~, Newgate North, Newgate South, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, Popes
2 Head, Sangster, Silverbrook, South Run, Valley, West Springfield, White Oaks,
3 Willow Springs, and Woodyard.

4
5 **Section 7-2-12. - Sully District.**

6 The Sully District shall consist of these election precincts: Brookfield, Bull Run,
7 Centre Ridge, Centreville, Cub Run, Deer Park, Dulles, Franklin, Green Trails,
8 Kinross, Lees Corner East, Lees Corner West, London Towne East, London
9 Towne West, Navy, Old Mill, Poplar Tree, Powell, Rocky Run, Stone, Vale,
10 Virginia Run, and Waples Mill.

11
12 **Section 7-2-13. General Provisions.**

13 All references to election precincts shall refer to those precincts, together
14 with the descriptions and maps of the boundaries and polling places for each of
15 those precincts, which were adopted by the Board of Supervisors on March 24,
16 2003, as amended on March 8, 2004, March 21, 2005, March 27, 2006, March
17 26, 2007, September 10, 2007, March 10, 2008, January 12, 2009, March 9,
18 | 2010, ~~and~~ July 27, 2010, and April 26, 2011, and kept on file with the Clerk to the
19 Board of Supervisors. Whenever a road, a stream, or other physical feature
20 describes the boundary of a precinct, the center of such road, stream, or physical
21 feature shall be the dividing line between that precinct and any adjoining precinct.

22
23 **2. That the election polling place of the precincts listed below are**
24 **established at:**

<u>Supervisor District</u>	<u>Precinct</u>	<u>Polling Place</u>
28 Lee District	29 Pinewood 30 (new)	31 Mount Vernon Woods 32 Elementary School 33 4015 Fielding Street 34 Alexandria
35 Mount Vernon	36 Woodlawn 37 (as revised)	38 Woodlawn Elementary School 39 8505 Highland Lane 40 Alexandria 41 (no change of location)
42 Springfield	43 Willow Springs (as revised)	Willow Springs Elementary School 5400 Willow Springs School Road Fairfax (no change of location)

1	Sully	Powell	Powell Elementary School
2		(as revised)	13340 Leland Road
3			Centreville
4			(no change of location)
5			
6			
7	Providence	Nottoway	Hunter House at Nottoway Park
8		(as revised)	9601 Courthouse Road
9			Vienna
10			(no change of location)
11			
12			
13			
14	Hunter Mill	Vienna No. 6	Madison High School
15		(as revised)	2500 James Madison Drive
16			Vienna
17			(no change of location)
18			
19			

20 **3. That this ordinance shall become effective upon adoption, and it**
 21 **shall be enforced after satisfactory completion of the federal preclearance**
 22 **procedure provided by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as**
 23 **amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.**
 24

GIVEN under my hand this 28th day of April 2011.


 Nancy Vehrs
 Clerk to the Board of Supervisors

Exhibit 4



Duplicate copies of a map illustrating
the newly adopted election districts


FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA



2011 Fairfax County Supervisor District Boundaries


Reapportioned by the Fairfax County Board of Supervisors on April 26th, 2011

Supervisor Districts



Note: This map is intended for reference purposes only. Fairfax County does not provide any guarantee of the accuracy or completeness regarding the map information.


FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA



2011 Fairfax County Supervisor District Boundaries

Reapportioned by the Fairfax County Board of Supervisors on April 26th, 2011

Supervisor Districts



Note: This map is intended for reference purposes only. Fairfax County does not provide any guarantee of the accuracy or completeness regarding the map information.

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 120,192

Summarized Population Counts by Adopted April 26, 2011 Supervisor District Boundaries

Supervisor District	Deviation from Target Population	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone	Some other race alone	Population of two or more races:	Hispanic or Latino
Braddock										
Total Population	-1.4%	118,484	78,528	7,014	374	22,377	109	5,457	4,625	16,762
Percent of total			66.3%	5.9%	0.3%	18.9%	0.1%	4.6%	3.9%	14.1%
Voting Age		91,330	62,010	5,260	273	17,268	87	3,904	2,528	11,762
Percent of total			67.9%	5.8%	0.3%	18.9%	0.1%	4.3%	2.8%	12.9%
Dranesville										
Total Population	-0.4%	119,740	81,982	6,107	371	20,629	42	6,125	4,484	15,814
Percent of total			68.5%	5.1%	0.3%	17.2%	0.0%	5.1%	3.7%	13.2%
Voting Age		88,228	61,451	4,585	243	15,158	31	4,368	2,392	11,143
Percent of total			69.7%	5.2%	0.3%	17.2%	0.0%	5.0%	2.7%	12.6%
Hunter Mill										
Total Population	2.0%	122,623	85,107	8,511	342	19,413	55	4,461	4,734	12,416
Percent of total			69.4%	6.9%	0.3%	15.8%	0.0%	3.6%	3.9%	10.1%
Voting Age		93,062	66,583	6,260	224	14,381	45	3,101	2,468	8,584
Percent of total			71.5%	6.7%	0.2%	15.5%	0.0%	3.3%	2.7%	9.2%
Lee										
Total Population	-1.0%	118,949	63,152	20,818	629	16,083	97	12,756	5,414	28,060
Percent of total			53.1%	17.5%	0.5%	13.5%	0.1%	10.7%	4.6%	23.6%
Voting Age		90,441	50,455	15,148	412	12,366	75	8,849	3,136	19,309
Percent of total			55.8%	16.7%	0.5%	13.7%	0.1%	9.8%	3.5%	21.3%
Mason										
Total Population	-3.5%	115,991	61,948	12,987	707	20,252	110	14,939	5,048	34,016
Percent of total			53.4%	11.2%	0.6%	17.5%	0.1%	12.9%	4.4%	29.3%
Voting Age		90,596	50,128	9,620	505	16,163	77	10,821	3,282	24,992
Percent of total			55.3%	10.6%	0.6%	17.8%	0.1%	11.9%	3.6%	27.6%
Mount Vernon										
Total Population	0.8%	121,121	73,858	21,405	437	13,004	166	6,812	5,439	17,398
Percent of total			61.0%	17.7%	0.4%	10.7%	0.1%	5.6%	4.5%	14.4%
Voting Age		89,748	56,817	15,239	302	9,778	112	4,714	2,786	11,773
Percent of total			63.3%	17.0%	0.3%	10.9%	0.1%	5.3%	3.1%	13.1%

Summarized Population Counts by Adopted April 26, 2011 Supervisor District Boundaries

Supervisor District	Deviation from Target Population	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone	Some other race alone	Population of two or more races:	Hispanic or Latino
Providence										
Total Population	1.5%	121,982	74,055	7,041	449	28,160	71	7,277	4,929	18,914
<i>Percent of total</i>			60.7%	5.8%	0.4%	23.1%	0.1%	6.0%	4.0%	15.5%
Voting Age		96,062	59,831	5,542	323	22,065	63	5,292	2,946	13,967
<i>Percent of total</i>			62.3%	5.8%	0.3%	23.0%	0.1%	5.5%	3.1%	14.5%
Springfield										
Total Population	-1.6%	118,212	82,505	7,521	271	20,371	121	3,082	4,341	10,464
<i>Percent of total</i>			69.8%	6.4%	0.2%	17.2%	0.1%	2.6%	3.7%	8.9%
Voting Age		89,398	63,416	5,560	200	15,579	89	2,228	2,326	7,456
<i>Percent of total</i>			70.9%	6.2%	0.2%	17.4%	0.1%	2.5%	2.6%	8.3%
Sully										
Total Population	3.7%	124,624	76,855	7,814	304	29,372	93	5,285	4,901	14,638
<i>Percent of total</i>			61.7%	6.3%	0.2%	23.6%	0.1%	4.2%	3.9%	11.7%
Voting Age		90,213	57,119	5,610	219	21,067	66	3,652	2,480	9,958
<i>Percent of total</i>			63.3%	6.2%	0.2%	23.4%	0.1%	4.0%	2.7%	11.0%
Fairfax County										
Total Population		1,081,726	677,990	99,218	3,884	189,661	864	66,194	43,915	168,482
<i>Percent of total</i>			62.7%	9.2%	0.4%	17.5%	0.1%	6.1%	4.1%	15.6%
Voting Age		819,078	527,810	72,824	2,701	143,825	645	46,929	24,344	118,944
<i>Percent of total</i>			64.4%	8.9%	0.3%	17.6%	0.1%	5.7%	3.0%	14.5%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Exhibit 6



**Ordinance embodying the previous apportionment of the
County election districts**

FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE CHAPTER 7

ARTICLE 2. - Election Districts for the Board of Supervisors.

Section 7-2-1. - Composition of the Board of Supervisors.

The Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County, Virginia ("Board"), shall be composed of one elected at-large chairman and nine members who are elected from single-member supervisor election districts.

Section 7-2-2. - Election District of the Chairman.

The Chairman of the Board shall be elected in accordance with state law by the qualified voters of the County.

Section 7-2-3. - Election Districts of the members of the Board.

Except for the Chairman whose election shall be controlled by Section 7-2-2, supra, the members of the Board shall be elected in accordance with state law from single-member election districts by the qualified voters of those districts. There shall be nine election districts that shall be named: Braddock, Hunter Mill, Dranesville, Lee, Mason, Mount Vernon, Providence, Springfield, and Sully.

Section 7-2-4. - Braddock District.

The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae, Bristow, Burke Centre, Cardinal, Chapel, Fairview, Heritage, Keene Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch, North Springfield No. 1, North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde Creek, Olley, Ravensworth, Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield, and Woodson.

Section 7-2-5. - Hunter Mill District.

The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron Glen, Coates, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade, Hunters Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3, South Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No. 4, Vienna No. 6, Westbriar, and Wolftrap.

Section 7-2-6. - Dranesville District.

The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge, Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, Colvin, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville, Great Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory, Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, McLean, Pimmit, Salona, Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Sugarland, Westhampton, and Westmoreland.

Section 7-2-7. - Lee District.

The Lee District shall consist of these election precincts: Bush Hill, Cameron, Clermont, Crestwood, Fairfield, Franconia, Garfield, Greenspring, Groveton, Hayfield, Huntley, Island Creek, Kingstowne, Lane, Lynbrook, Mount Eagle, Pioneer, Rose Hill, Van Dorn, Villages, Virginia Hills, and Wilton.

Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.

The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft, Belvedere, Bren Mar, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Glen Forest No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer, Leewood, Lincolnia, Masonville, Parklawn, Poe, Ravenwood, Ridgelea, Saint Albans, Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No. 1, Westlawn, Weyanoke, Whittier, and Willston.

Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.

The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall, Hunt, Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station, Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood, Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.

Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.

The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Fairfax Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is surrounded by Fairfax City), Fort Buffalo, Freedom Hill, Graham, Greenway, Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak Marr, Oakton, Penderbrook, Pine Ridge, Pine Spring, Price, Shreve, Stenwood, Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, Walker, Walnut Hill No. 2, and Woodburn.

Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.

The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke, Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, Eagle View, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax Station, Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Irving, Monument, Newgate North, Newgate South, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, Popes Head, Sangster, Silverbrook, South Run, Valley, West Springfield, White Oaks, Willow Springs, and Woodyard.

Section 7-2-12. - Sully District.

The Sully District shall consist of these election precincts: Brookfield, Bull Run, Centre Ridge, Centreville, Cub Run, Deer Park, Dulles, Franklin, Green Trails, Kinross, Lees Corner East, Lees Corner West, London Towne East, London Towne West, Navy, Old Mill, Poplar Tree, Powell, Rocky Run, Stone, Vale, Virginia Run, and Waples Mill.

Section 7-2-13. - General provisions.

All references to election precincts shall refer to those precincts, together with the descriptions and maps of the boundaries and polling places for each of those precincts, which were adopted by the Board of Supervisors on March 24, 2003, as amended on March 8, 2004, March 21, 2005, March 27, 2006, March 26, 2007, September 10, 2007, March 10, 2008, January 12, 2009, March 9, 2010, and July 27, 2010, and kept on file with the Clerk to the Board of Supervisors. Whenever a road, a stream, or other physical feature describes the boundary of a precinct, the center of such road, stream, or physical feature shall be the dividing line between that precinct and any adjoining precinct.

Exhibit 7



Duplicate copies of a map illustrating the previous
election districts



FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA



LOUDOUN COUNTY

DRANESVILLE

DULLES AIRPORT

SULLY

HUNTER MILL

PROVIDENCE

CITY OF FALLS CHURCH

COUNTY OF ARLINGTON

CITY OF FAIRFAX

MASON

CITY OF ALEXANDRIA

BRADDOCK

SPRINGFIELD

LEE

PRINCE WILLIAM COUNTY

Town of Clifton

FORT BELVOIR

MOUNT VERNON

Supervisor District Boundaries Under 2001 Apportionment

Voting Precinct Boundaries



Supervisor Districts




- BRADDOCK
- DRANESVILLE
- HUNTER MILL
- LEE
- MASON
- MOUNT VERNON
- PROVIDENCE
- SPRINGFIELD
- SULLY

Note: This map is intended for reference purposes only. Fairfax County does not provide any guarantee of the accuracy or completeness regarding the map information.



FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA




Supervisor District Boundaries Under 2001 Apportionment

Voting Precinct Boundaries



Supervisor Districts



Note: This map is intended for reference purposes only. Fairfax County does not provide any guarantee of the accuracy or completeness regarding the map information.

Exhibit 8



Demographic data for each of the nine election districts as constituted under the previous apportionment

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 120,192

Summarized Population Counts by 2001 Supervisor District Boundaries

Supervisor District	Deviation from Target Population	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone	Some other race alone	Population of two or more races:	Hispanic or Latino
Braddock										
Total Population	-7.3%	111,430	73,219	6,593	369	20,791	108	5,982	4,368	17,530
Percent of total			65.7%	5.9%	0.3%	18.7%	0.1%	5.4%	3.9%	15.7%
Voting Age		85,304	57,366	4,880	264	16,101	87	4,224	2,382	12,217
Percent of total			67.2%	5.7%	0.3%	18.9%	0.1%	5.0%	2.8%	14.3%
Dranesville										
Total Population	-3.8%	115,586	81,963	4,884	360	18,267	47	5,739	4,326	15,122
Percent of total			70.9%	4.2%	0.3%	15.8%	0.0%	5.0%	3.7%	13.1%
Voting Age		84,971	61,184	3,657	242	13,493	34	4,100	2,261	10,643
Percent of total			72.0%	4.3%	0.3%	15.9%	0.0%	4.8%	2.7%	12.5%
Hunter Mill										
Total Population	5.3%	126,594	84,989	9,727	353	21,736	50	4,847	4,892	13,096
Percent of total			67.1%	7.7%	0.3%	17.2%	0.0%	3.8%	3.9%	10.3%
Voting Age		96,195	66,752	7,183	225	16,025	42	3,369	2,599	9,074
Percent of total			69.4%	7.5%	0.2%	16.7%	0.0%	3.5%	2.7%	9.4%
Lee										
Total Population	-1.8%	117,984	62,721	20,616	618	15,958	92	12,622	5,357	27,668
Percent of total			53.2%	17.5%	0.5%	13.5%	0.1%	10.7%	4.5%	23.5%
Voting Age		89,728	50,120	15,008	408	12,270	72	8,751	3,099	19,038
Percent of total			55.9%	16.7%	0.5%	13.7%	0.1%	9.8%	3.5%	21.2%
Mason										
Total Population	-9.0%	109,326	58,975	12,220	668	18,513	102	14,073	4,775	32,058
Percent of total			53.9%	11.2%	0.6%	16.9%	0.1%	12.9%	4.4%	29.3%
Voting Age		85,567	47,762	9,096	483	14,806	71	10,230	3,119	23,635
Percent of total			55.8%	10.6%	0.6%	17.3%	0.1%	12.0%	3.6%	27.6%
Mount Vernon										
Total Population	6.1%	127,501	77,931	22,111	463	14,012	176	7,043	5,765	18,292
Percent of total			61.1%	17.3%	0.4%	11.0%	0.1%	5.5%	4.5%	14.3%
Voting Age		94,395	59,832	15,741	318	10,542	120	4,881	2,961	12,395
Percent of total			63.4%	16.7%	0.3%	11.2%	0.1%	5.2%	3.1%	13.1%

Summarized Population Counts by 2001 Supervisor District Boundaries

Supervisor District	Deviation from Target Population	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone	Some other race alone	Population of two or more races:	Hispanic or Latino
Providence										
Total Population	2.6%	123,327	75,028	7,063	467	28,387	71	7,349	4,962	19,131
<i>Percent of total</i>			60.8%	5.7%	0.4%	23.0%	0.1%	6.0%	4.0%	15.5%
Voting Age		97,129	60,631	5,560	332	22,232	63	5,346	2,965	14,117
<i>Percent of total</i>			62.4%	5.7%	0.3%	22.9%	0.1%	5.5%	3.1%	14.5%
Springfield										
Total Population	4.6%	125,775	86,463	8,217	283	22,845	125	3,254	4,588	10,977
<i>Percent of total</i>			68.7%	6.5%	0.2%	18.2%	0.1%	2.6%	3.6%	8.7%
Voting Age		95,879	67,156	6,108	211	17,453	90	2,376	2,485	7,884
<i>Percent of total</i>			70.0%	6.4%	0.2%	18.2%	0.1%	2.5%	2.6%	8.2%
Sully										
Total Population	3.3%	124,203	76,701	7,787	303	29,152	93	5,285	4,882	14,608
<i>Percent of total</i>			61.8%	6.3%	0.2%	23.5%	0.1%	4.3%	3.9%	11.8%
Voting Age		89,910	57,007	5,591	218	20,903	66	3,652	2,473	9,941
<i>Percent of total</i>			63.4%	6.2%	0.2%	23.2%	0.1%	4.1%	2.8%	11.1%
Fairfax County										
Total Population		1,081,726	677,990	99,218	3,884	189,661	864	66,194	43,915	168,482
<i>Percent of total</i>			62.7%	9.2%	0.4%	17.5%	0.1%	6.1%	4.1%	15.6%
Voting Age		819,078	527,810	72,824	2,701	143,825	645	46,929	24,344	118,944
<i>Percent of total</i>			64.4%	8.9%	0.3%	17.6%	0.1%	5.7%	3.0%	14.5%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Exhibit 9



Copy of legal advertisement of Board's intention to hold a public hearing on April 12, 2011, and adopt a reapportionment ordinance on April 26, 2011, together with proof of publication

AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

AD # 14589170

TO WIT:

I hereby certify that on the 11th day of April, 2011, before me, the subscriber, CHIZUKO CARTER, a notary public, that the matters and facts set forth are true.

CARL S. JOHNSON, who being duly sworn according to law, and oath says that he is an AUTHORIZED AGENT of THE WASHINGTON TIMES, L.L.C., publisher of


The Washington Times

Circulated daily, in the County of FAIRFAX, State of Virginia, and that the advertisement, of which the annexed is a true copy, was published in said newspaper 2 time(s) on the following dates:


2011 APRIL 1 & 8

at the rate of \$ DISPLAY per line

Total cost \$ 590.40 Dollars



As witness, my hand and notarial seal.

Notary Public 

Chizuko Carter
Notary Public, District of Columbia
My Commission Expires 7/31/2011

My Commission Expires _____

BRIEF
FAIRFAX COUNTY NOTICE

April 12, 2011

Public hearing before the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County, Virginia, to be held in the Board Auditorium of the Fairfax County Government Center, 12000 Government Center Parkway, Fairfax, Virginia.

TIME SUBJECT

4:00 p.m. A public hearing to consider alternative plans to reapportion the election districts of the Board of Supervisors. State and federal law require the Board, the governing body of Fairfax County, to reapportion the election districts of the Board based on population data from the 2010 Census. Because Fairfax County operates under the urban county executive form of government, state law requires the Board to consist of between five and eleven supervisors elected by district and one Chairman elected at large. The current Board has nine supervisors elected by district, and a Chairman elected at large.

The Board created the Advisory Citizens Committee on the 2011 Reapportionment of the Election Districts of the Board of Supervisors to propose reapportionment plans for the Board's consideration. Additionally, the County established a public webpage containing the tools and data necessary for members of the public to prepare and submit reapportionment plans for consideration. The Advisory Committee has issued a report that includes 25 proposed reapportionment plans created by the Committee and the public. Each of the plans is depicted by a map showing the proposed new boundaries of each district. Each plan also includes a summary sheet showing the precincts and population the plan proposes to move along with basic statistical information; summarized population counts for each district in the proposed plan; a completed questionnaire describing how the plan satisfies legal and Board-imposed requirements; and a proposed ordinance that would effect the changes. The plans provide for nine, ten, or eleven supervisor election districts. The Board will hold the public hearing to consider the plans proposed in the report as well as any amendments thereto that the Board may deem appropriate. Virginia law requires the Board to adopt a reapportionment plan by ordinance in public session.

THEREFORE, ALSO TAKE NOTICE that, at its regular meeting on April 26, 2011, the Board will propose an ordinance for passage and adopt an ordinance amending Fairfax County Code Chapter 7 to reapportion the election districts of the Board of Supervisors in accordance with a plan or plans proposed in the report as well as such amendments that the Board may deem appropriate. Because the reapportionment must comply with legal requirements to balance the population among districts, among other requirements, every voting precinct in the County is potentially affected by being moved into another election district either in whole or in part.

All regular Board meetings are held in the Board Auditorium in the Fairfax County Government Center, 12000 Government Center Parkway, Fairfax, Virginia 22035. Persons wishing to comment on the proposed ordinances may do so by testifying in person at the public hearing on Tuesday, April 12, 2011. Speakers may either register in advance by calling the Clerk to the Board of Supervisors at 703-324-3151 (TDD 703-324-3903), or appear at the scheduled time of public hearing and be heard. Alternatively, comments may be submitted in writing to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors. The report, including the full text of all of the plans and the ordinance amendments proposed in the report, is on file at the Office of the Clerk to the Board of Supervisors, 12000 Government Center Parkway, Suite 533, Fairfax, Virginia 22035. For the convenience of the public, the report, including the full text of all ordinance amendments proposed in the report, is also posted on the County's website at <http://www.fairfaxcounty.gov/redistricting/>



Fairfax County is committed to nondiscrimination on the basis of disability in all county programs, services and activities and supports the Americans with Disabilities Act by making reasonable accommodations for persons with disabilities. Open captioning will be provided in the Board Auditorium. For sign language interpreters or other accommodations, please call the Clerk's Office, 703-324-3151, TTY: 703-324-3903, at least five days in advance of the public hearing. Assistive listening devices are available at the meeting.

Ad Run Dates: April 1, 2011
April 8, 2011

AD#14589170

NOTICE OF PUBLIC SALE
OF PERSONAL PROPERTY

Exhibit 10



**Copies of all Virginia Code Provisions
cited in this submission**

[prev](#) | [next](#)

§ 15.2-801. Adoption of urban county executive form.

Any county with a population of more than 90,000 may adopt the urban county executive form of government in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 3 (§ [15.2-300](#) et seq.) of this title.

(1997, c. [587](#).)

[prev](#) | [next](#) | [new search](#) | [table of contents](#) | [home](#)

§ 15.2-856. Changes in boundaries of districts.

After the publication of the official results of each United States decennial census, the board shall make such changes in district boundaries as are required to meet the tests of equitable population distribution among the districts with a minimum disruption of the then existing district pattern of service. In 1971 and every ten years thereafter, and also whenever the boundaries of such districts are changed, the board shall reapportion the representation in the governing body among the districts, and may, within the limits established in § 15.2-855, increase or decrease the number of districts.

Each such reapportionment, other than decennial, shall become effective on January 1 following the year in which it occurs. If such reapportionment, other than decennial, results in the creation of a district or districts in which no member of the governing body resides, such vacancy shall be filled in the manner provided for by § 15.2-802. Each decennial reapportionment shall become effective as provided in § 24.2-311.

(Code 1950, § 15-384.75; 1960, c. 382; 1962, c. 623, § 15.1-788; 1971, Ex. Sess., c. 201; 1972, c. 852; 1981, c. 12; 1990, c. 500; 1997, c. 587.)

Legislative Information System

[prev](#) | [next](#)

§ 22.1-57.3. Election of school board members; appointment of tie breaker.

A. If a majority of the qualified voters voting in such referendum vote in favor of changing the method of selecting school board members to direct election by the voters, then the members of the school board shall be elected by popular vote. Elections of school board members in a county, city, or town shall be held to coincide with the elections for members of the governing body of the county, city, or town at the regular general election in November or the regular general election in May, as the case may be.

B. The initial elected board shall consist of the same number of members as the appointed school board it replaces, and the members shall be elected from the established county or municipal election districts, at large, or a combination thereof, on the same basis as the school board previously was appointed. If the appointed school board being replaced has not been appointed either on an at-large basis or on the basis of the established county or municipal election districts, or a combination thereof, the members shall be elected at large unless the governing body of the county, city, or town provides for the election of school board members on the basis of the established county or municipal election districts. If the appointed school board being replaced has been appointed at large, the governing body of the county, city, or town may establish school election districts for the election of school board members. The governing body may provide for a locality-wide district, one or more districts comprised of a part of the locality, or any combination thereof, and for the apportionment of one or more school board members to any district.

The terms of the members of the elected school board for any county, city, or town shall be the same as the terms of the members of the governing body for the county, city, or town. In any locality in which both the school board and the governing body are elected from election districts, as opposed to being elected wholly on an at-large basis, the elections of the school board member and governing body member from each specific district shall be held simultaneously except as otherwise provided in §§ [22.1-57.3:1](#) and [22.1-57.3:1.1](#).

At the first election for members of the school board, so many members shall be elected as there are members to be elected at the regular election for the governing body. At each subsequent regular election for members of the governing body, the same number of members of the school board shall be elected as the number of members to be elected at the regular election to the governing body. However, if the number of members on the school board differs from the number of members of the governing body, the number of members elected to the school board at the first and subsequent general election shall be either more or less than the number of governing body members, as appropriate, to the end that the number of members on the initial elected school board is the same as the number of members on the appointed board being replaced.

Except as provided in §§ [22.1-57.3:1](#) and [22.1-57.3:1.1](#), the terms of the members of the school board shall be staggered only if the terms of the members of the governing body are staggered. If there are more, or fewer, members on the school board than on the governing body, the number of members to be elected to the school board at the first and subsequent election for school board members shall be the number required to establish the staggered term structure so that (i) a majority of the members of the school board is elected at the same time as a majority of the members of the governing body; (ii) if one-half of the governing body is being elected and the school board has an even number of members, one-half of the members of the school board is elected; (iii) if one-half of the governing body is being elected and the school board has an odd number of members, the majority by one member of the school board is elected at the first election and the remainder of the school board is elected at the second election; or (iv) if a majority of the members of the governing body is being elected and the school board has an even number of members, one-half of the members of the school board is elected.

If the school board is elected at large and the terms of the members of the school board are staggered, the school board members to be replaced at the first election shall include all appointed school board members whose appointive terms are scheduled to expire on December 31 or on June 30, as the case may be, next following the first election of county, city or town school board members. If the number of school board members whose appointive terms are so scheduled to expire is zero or less than the number of school board members to be elected at the first election, the appointed school board members to be replaced at the first election shall also include those whose appointive terms are scheduled to expire next subsequent to the date on which the terms of office of the first elected

school board members will commence. If the appointive terms of more than one school board member are scheduled to expire simultaneously, but less than all of such members are to be replaced at the first election, then the identity of such school board member or members to be replaced at the first election shall be determined by a drawing held by the county or city electoral board at least ten days prior to the last day for a person to qualify as a candidate for school board member.

In any case in which school board members are elected from election districts, as opposed to being elected from the county, city, or town at large, the election districts for the school board shall be coterminous with the election districts for the county, city, or town governing body, except as may be specifically provided for the election of school board members in a county, city, or town in which the governing body is elected at large.

C. The terms of office for the school board members shall commence on January 1 or July 1, as the case may be, following their election. On December 31 or June 30, as the case may be, following the first election of county, city or town school board members, the terms of office of the members of the school board in office through appointment shall expire and the school board selection commission, if there is one, shall be abolished. If the entire school board is not elected at the first election of school board members, only the terms of the appointed members being replaced shall so expire and the terms of the appointed members being replaced at a subsequent election shall continue or be extended to expire on December 31 or June 30, as appropriate, of the year of the election of the school board members replacing them.

D. Except as otherwise provided herein, a vacancy in the office of any elected school board member shall be filled pursuant to §§ [24.2-226](#) and [24.2-228](#). In any county that has adopted the urban county executive form of government and that has adopted an elected school board, any vacancy on the elected school board shall be filled in accordance with the procedures set forth in § [15.2-802](#), mutatis mutandis. Notwithstanding any provision of law or charter to the contrary, if no candidates file for election to a school board office and no person who is qualified to hold the office is elected by write-in votes, a vacancy shall be deemed to exist in the office as of January 1 or July 1, as the case may be, following the general election. For the purposes of this subsection and Article 6 (§ [24.2-225](#) et seq.) of Chapter 2 of Title 24.2, local school boards comprised of elected and appointed members shall be deemed elected school boards.

E. In order to have their names placed on the ballot, all candidates shall be nominated only by petition as provided by general law pursuant to § [24.2-506](#).

F. For the purposes of this section, the election and term of the mayor or chairman of the board of supervisors shall be deemed to be an election and term of a member of the governing body of the municipality or county, respectively, whether or not the mayor or chairman is deemed to be a member of the governing body for any other purpose.

G. No employee of a school board shall be eligible to serve on the board with whom he is employed.

H. Any elected school board may appoint a qualified voter who is a resident of the county, city, or town to cast the deciding vote in case of a tie vote of the school board as provided in § [22.1-75](#). The term of office of each tiebreaker so appointed shall be four years whether the appointment is to fill a vacancy caused by expiration of term or otherwise.

(1992, c. 594; 1993, c. 878; 1994, c. 723; 1996, c. 873; 2000, c. 1045; 2006, c. 29; 2007, c. 100; 2010, c. 95.)

§ 24.2-304.1. At-large and district elections; reapportionment of districts or wards; limits.

A. Except as otherwise specifically limited by general law or special act, the governing body of each county, city, or town may provide by ordinance for the election of its members on any of the following bases: (i) at large from the county, city, or town; (ii) from single-member or multi-member districts or wards, or any combination thereof; or (iii) from any combination of at-large, single-member, and multi-member districts or wards. A change in the basis for electing the members of the governing body shall not constitute a change in the form of county government.

B. If the members are elected from districts or wards and other than entirely at large from the locality, the districts or wards shall be composed of contiguous and compact territory and shall be so constituted as to give, as nearly as is practicable, representation in proportion to the population of the district or ward. In 1971 and every ten years thereafter, the governing body of each such locality shall reapportion the representation among the districts or wards, including, if the governing body deems it appropriate, increasing or diminishing the number of such districts or wards, in order to give, as nearly as is practicable, representation on the basis of population.

C. For the purposes of reapportioning representation in 2001 and every ten years thereafter, the governing body of a county, city, or town shall use the most recent decennial population figures for such county, city, or town from the United States Bureau of the Census, which figures are identical to those from the actual enumeration conducted by the United States Bureau of the Census for the apportionment of representatives in the United States House of Representatives, except that the census data for this apportionment purpose will not include any population figure which is not allocated to specific census blocks within the Commonwealth, even though that population may have been included in the apportionment population figures of the Commonwealth for the purpose of allocating United States House of Representatives seats among the states. In any county, city, or town containing a state adult correctional facility whose inmate population, as determined by the information provided by the Department of Corrections, on the date of the decennial census exceeded twelve percent of the total population of such county, city, or town according to the decennial census, the governing body of such locality may elect to exclude such inmate population for the purposes of the decennial reapportionment.

D. Notwithstanding any other provision of general law or special act, the governing body of a county, city, or town shall not reapportion the representation in the governing body at any time other than that required following the decennial census, except as (i) provided by law upon a change in the boundaries of the county, city, or town which results in an increase or decrease in the population of the county, city, or town of more than one percent, (ii) the result of a court order, (iii) the result of a change in the form of government, or (iv) the result of an increase or decrease in the number of districts or wards other than at-large districts or wards. The foregoing provisions notwithstanding, the governing body subsequent to the decennial redistricting may adjust district or ward boundaries in order that the boundaries might coincide with state legislative or congressional district boundaries; however, no adjustment shall affect more than five percent of the population of a ward or district or 250 persons, whichever is lesser. If districts created by a reapportionment enacted subsequent to a decennial reapportionment are invalid under the provisions of this subsection, the immediately pre-existing districts shall remain in force and effect until validly reapportioned in accordance with law.

(1995, c. 249; 2000, c. 884; 2001, Sp. Sess. I, c. 6; 2002, c. 127.)

§ 24.2-305. Composition of election districts and precincts.

A. Each election district and precinct shall be composed of compact and contiguous territory and shall have clearly defined and clearly observable boundaries.

B. A "clearly observable boundary" shall include (i) any named road or street, (ii) any road or highway which is a part of the federal, state primary, or state secondary road system, (iii) any river, stream, or drainage feature shown as a polygon boundary on the TIGER/line files of the United States Bureau of the Census, or (iv) any other natural or constructed or erected permanent physical feature which is shown on an official map issued by the Virginia Department of Transportation, on a United States Geological Survey topographical map, or as a polygon boundary on the TIGER/line files of the United States Bureau of the Census. No property line or subdivision boundary shall be deemed to be a clearly observable boundary unless it is marked by a permanent physical feature that is shown on an official map issued by the Virginia Department of Transportation, on a United States Geological Survey topographical map, or as a polygon boundary on the TIGER/line files of the United States Bureau of the Census.

(1986, c. 593, § 24.1-40.7; 1990, c. 500; 1992, c. 425; 1993, c. 641; 2001, c. 614.)

Legislative Information System

§ 24.2-306. Changes not to be enacted within 60 days of general election; notice requirements.

- A. No change in any local election district, precinct, or polling place shall be enacted within 60 days next preceding any general election. Notice shall be published prior to enactment in a newspaper having general circulation in the election district or precinct once a week for two successive weeks. The published notice shall state where descriptions and maps of proposed boundary and polling place changes may be inspected.
- B. Notice of any adopted change in any election district, town, precinct, or polling place shall be mailed to all registered voters whose election district, town, precinct, or polling place is changed at least 15 days prior to the next general, special, or primary election in which the voters will be voting in the changed election district, town, precinct, or polling place.
- C. Each county, city, and town shall comply with the applicable requirements of law, including §§ 24.2-304.3 and 30-264, and send copies of enacted changes to the local electoral board, the State Board, and the Division of Legislative Services.

(Code 1950, §§ 24-49 through 24-51; 1970, c. 462, § 24.1-39; 1971, Ex. Sess., c. 119; 1993, c. 641; 1995, c. 249; 2003, c. 1015; 2004, c. 1000.)

Legislative Information System

§ 24.2-307. Requirements for county and city precincts.

The governing body of each county and city shall establish by ordinance as many precincts as it deems necessary. Each governing body is authorized to increase or decrease the number of precincts and alter precinct boundaries subject to the requirements of this chapter.

At the time any precinct is established, it shall have no more than 5,000 registered voters. The general registrar shall notify the governing body whenever the number of voters who voted in a precinct in an election for President of the United States exceeds 4,000. Within six months of receiving the notice, the governing body shall proceed to revise the precinct boundaries, and any newly established or redrawn precinct shall have no more than 5,000 registered voters.

At the time any precinct is established, each precinct in a county shall have no fewer than 100 registered voters and each precinct in a city shall have no fewer than 500 registered voters.

Each precinct shall be wholly contained within any election district used for the election of one or more members of the governing body or school board for the county or city.

The governing body shall establish by ordinance one polling place for each precinct.

(Code 1950, §§ 24-45, 24-46; 1954, c. 375; 1956, c. 378; 1962, cc. 185, 536; 1970, c. 462, §§ 24.1-36, 24.1-37; 1971, Ex. Sess., c. 119; 1976, c. 616; 1977, c. 30; 1978, c. 778; 1980, c. 639; 1992, c. 445; 1993, c. 641; 1999, c. 515.)

§ 24.2-311. Effective date of decennial redistricting measures; elections following decennial redistricting.

A. Legislation enacted to accomplish the decennial redistricting of congressional and General Assembly districts required by Article II, Section 6 of the Constitution of Virginia shall take effect immediately. Members of Congress and the General Assembly in office on the effective date of the decennial redistricting legislation shall complete their terms of office. The elections for their successors shall be held at the November general election next preceding the expiration of the terms of office of the incumbent members and shall be conducted on the basis of the districts set out in the legislation to accomplish the decennial redistricting.

B. Ordinances adopted by local governing bodies to accomplish the decennial redistricting of districts for county, city, and town governing bodies required by Article VII, Section 5 of the Constitution of Virginia shall take effect immediately. Members of county, city, and town governing bodies in office on the effective date of a decennial redistricting measure shall complete their terms of office. The elections for their successors shall be held at the general election next preceding the expiration of the terms of office of the incumbent members and shall be conducted on the basis of the districts set out in the measures to accomplish the decennial redistricting.

C. If a vacancy in any such office occurs after the effective date of a decennial redistricting measure and a special election is required by law to fill the vacancy, the vacancy shall be filled from the district in the decennial redistricting measure which most closely approximates the district in which the vacancy occurred.

D. If a decennial redistricting measure adopted by a local governing body adds one or more districts and also increases the size of the governing body, an election for the additional governing body member or members to represent the additional district or districts for the full or partial term provided by law shall be held at the next November general election in any county or in any city or town that regularly elects its governing body in November pursuant to § 24.2-222.1, or at the next May general election in any other city or town, which occurs at least 120 days after the effective date of the redistricting measure.

E. In the event of a conflict between the provisions of a decennial redistricting measure and the provisions of the charter of any locality, the provisions of the redistricting measure shall be deemed to override the charter provisions to the extent required to give effect to the redistricting plan.

(1990, c. 500, § 24.1-17.2; 1993, c. 641; 2000, c. 1045.)

§ 24.2-418. Application for registration.

A. Each applicant to register shall provide, subject to felony penalties for making false statements pursuant to § 24.2-1016, the information necessary to complete the application to register. Unless physically disabled, he shall sign the application. The application to register shall be only on a form or forms prescribed by the State Board.

The form of the application to register shall require the applicant to provide the following information: full name; gender; date of birth; social security number, if any; whether the applicant is presently a United States citizen; address of residence in the precinct; place of last previous registration to vote; and whether the applicant has ever been adjudicated incapacitated or convicted of a felony, and if so, under what circumstances the applicant's right to vote has been restored. The form shall contain a statement that whoever votes more than once in any election in the same or different jurisdictions shall be guilty of a Class 6 felony.

B. The form shall permit any individual, as follows, or member of his household, to furnish, in addition to his residence street address, a post office box address located within the Commonwealth to be included in lieu of his street address on the lists of registered voters and persons who voted, which are furnished pursuant to §§ 24.2-405 and 24.2-406, on voter registration records made available for public inspection pursuant to § 24.2-444, or on lists of absentee voter applicants furnished pursuant to § 24.2-706 or 24.2-710. The voter shall comply with the provisions of § 24.2-424 for any change in the post office box address provided under this subsection.

1. Any active or retired law-enforcement officer, as defined in § 9.1-101 and in 5 U.S.C. § 8331(20), but excluding officers whose duties relate to detention as defined in 5 U.S.C. § 8331(20);

2. Any party granted a protective order issued by or under the authority of any court of competent jurisdiction, including but not limited to courts of the Commonwealth of Virginia;

3. Any party who has furnished a signed written statement by the party that he is in fear for his personal safety from another person who has threatened or stalked him, accompanied by evidence that he has filed a complaint with a magistrate or law-enforcement official against such other person; and

4. Any party participating in the address confidentiality program pursuant to § 2.2-515.2.

C. If the applicant formerly resided in another state, the portion of the application to register listing an applicant's place of last previous registration to vote, or a copy thereof, shall be retained by the general registrar for the city or county where the applicant resides, and the general registrar shall send the original or a copy to the appropriate voter registration official or other authority of another state where the applicant formerly resided.

(Code 1950, §§ 24-28, 24-68; 1952, c. 341; 1958, c. 576; 1960, c. 288; 1962, c. 536; 1968, c. 97; 1970, c. 462, §§ 24.1-22, 24.1-48; 1971, Ex. Sess., cc. 205, 247; 1972, c. 620; 1974, c. 428; 1975, c. 515; 1977, c. 490; 1980, c. 639; 1989, c. 138; 1992, c. 433; 1993, c. 641; 1994, c. 250; 1995, c. 314; 1996, c. 251; 1997, cc. 346, 801; 2001, cc. 612, 626; 2004, c. 184; 2009, cc. 318, 865, 870, 874; 2010, cc. 795, 812.)

Exhibit 11



Demographic data by precinct, before and after the change

Population by Precinct
(changes made as part of reapportionment are highlighted in yellow)

Precinct		Total Persons	White alone	Black alone	American Indian alone	Asian alone	Pacific Islander alone	Other race alone	Two or more races	Hispanic
ALBAN	All Persons	2,247	1,200	453	3	313	1	90	187	276
	Voting Age	1,601	918	291	3	224	1	62	102	188
ALDRIN	All Persons	6,352	5,095	272	11	721	2	54	197	295
	Voting Age	4,875	4,006	213	11	515	2	39	89	210
BAILEYS	All Persons	7,072	3,249	1,504	39	765	8	1,201	306	2,544
	Voting Age	5,340	2,566	1,060	29	598	5	867	215	1,841
BARCROFT	All Persons	4,040	3,216	83	4	404	1	206	126	580
	Voting Age	3,134	2,514	64	2	331	1	162	60	431
BELLE HAVEN	All Persons	3,411	2,658	305	4	139	8	198	99	576
	Voting Age	2,568	2,003	236	4	110	3	153	59	404
BELLEVIEW	All Persons	2,762	2,418	143	10	83	2	31	75	180
	Voting Age	2,423	2,167	109	8	68	1	26	44	136
BELVEDERE	All Persons	2,307	1,761	42	5	192	2	198	107	582
	Voting Age	1,804	1,417	33	4	157	2	138	53	417
BELVOIR	All Persons	5,383	3,268	1,045	28	497	14	216	315	636
	Voting Age	3,681	2,289	723	14	366	9	146	134	394
BLAKE	All Persons	8,118	4,905	465	34	1,738	2	582	392	1,331
	Voting Age	6,271	3,941	365	23	1,301	2	407	232	945
BONNIE BRAE	All Persons	3,378	2,158	247	1	691	2	128	151	433
	Voting Age	2,587	1,715	178	1	534	2	87	70	314
BREN MAR	All Persons	6,116	2,930	1,468	42	829	10	585	252	1,328
	Voting Age	4,926	2,502	1,142	26	665	9	413	169	944
BRISTOW	All Persons	5,503	2,137	752	21	1,551	8	794	240	1,753
	Voting Age	4,086	1,664	511	13	1,211	6	537	144	1,217
BROOK HILL	All Persons	3,774	2,040	304	18	996	9	265	142	797
	Voting Age	3,050	1,708	226	16	810	6	194	90	582
BROOKFIELD	All Persons	8,055	3,997	797	26	1,990	6	805	434	2,037
	Voting Age	5,905	3,046	534	18	1,496	2	574	235	1,407
BUCKNELL	All Persons	7,242	3,343	1,977	33	526	13	1,034	316	1,985
	Voting Age	5,458	2,642	1,471	21	408	10	728	178	1,364
BULL RUN	All Persons	2,801	2,274	84	3	352	3	11	74	129
	Voting Age	1,987	1,608	64	3	268	2	7	35	75
BURKE	All Persons	7,602	4,270	676	14	1,757	15	547	323	1,483
	Voting Age	5,694	3,285	485	11	1,333	8	394	178	1,065

Population by Precinct
(changes made as part of reapportionment are highlighted in yellow)

Precinct		Total Persons	White alone	Black alone	American Indian alone	Asian alone	Pacific Islander alone	Other race alone	Two or more races	Hispanic
BURKE CENTRE	All Persons	7,071	4,896	436	20	1,143	9	249	318	1,043
	Voting Age	5,362	3,824	319	16	865	5	179	154	713
BUSH HILL	All Persons	4,703	3,357	501	5	529	13	143	155	548
	Voting Age	3,593	2,620	377	5	406	10	101	74	369
CAMELOT	All Persons	1,788	1,514	43	4	157	0	29	41	115
	Voting Age	1,393	1,192	36	4	126	0	21	14	83
CAMERON	All Persons	1,650	1,042	167	15	121	0	225	80	430
	Voting Age	1,288	857	128	6	91	0	160	46	288
CAMERON GLEN	All Persons	4,836	3,607	301	12	665	3	74	174	299
	Voting Age	4,393	3,366	255	10	563	2	68	129	262
CARDINAL	All Persons	3,794	2,569	351	18	492	6	211	147	583
	Voting Age	2,961	2,093	262	12	359	4	152	79	396
CENTERPOINTE	All Persons	7,062	4,056	674	23	1,647	12	323	327	754
	Voting Age	6,015	3,613	552	16	1,344	12	256	222	609
CENTRE RIDGE	All Persons	6,950	3,546	664	40	1,924	5	512	259	1,280
	Voting Age	5,349	2,834	513	33	1,425	5	382	157	934
CENTREVILLE	All Persons	8,175	4,328	599	29	2,290	10	546	373	1,290
	Voting Age	6,207	3,479	445	20	1,666	5	382	210	929
CHAIN BRIDGE	All Persons	4,726	3,715	73	5	805	0	10	118	233
	Voting Age	3,572	2,884	55	5	550	0	10	68	172
CHAPEL	All Persons	3,156	2,447	81	8	446	4	71	99	294
	Voting Age	2,385	1,852	64	6	356	3	53	51	204
CHERRY RUN	All Persons	3,837	2,704	219	4	687	0	24	199	242
	Voting Age	2,862	2,062	158	4	535	0	20	83	170
CHESTERBROOK	All Persons	3,258	2,911	45	5	189	1	21	86	155
	Voting Age	2,412	2,174	40	5	148	0	13	32	94
CHURCHILL	All Persons	2,178	1,585	35	7	449	1	18	83	123
	Voting Age	1,515	1,115	21	7	326	1	11	34	81
CLEARVIEW	All Persons	5,784	4,480	252	17	686	5	153	191	462
	Voting Age	4,261	3,320	195	11	529	5	108	93	331
CLERMONT	All Persons	3,829	2,760	277	12	354	1	304	121	639
	Voting Age	2,928	2,175	204	11	277	1	202	58	445
CLIFTON	All Persons	5,430	4,683	105	14	424	9	72	123	231
	Voting Age	4,127	3,613	76	10	307	5	49	67	151

Population by Precinct
(changes made as part of reapportionment are highlighted in yellow)

Precinct		Total Persons	White alone	Black alone	American Indian alone	Asian alone	Pacific Islander alone	Other race alone	Two or more races	Hispanic
COATES	All Persons	7,394	2,526	1,299	13	2,855	0	398	303	855
	Voting Age	5,600	2,086	988	3	2,048	0	276	199	595
COLUMBIA	All Persons	6,502	2,641	540	43	1,583	4	1,412	279	2,533
	Voting Age	5,126	2,140	392	26	1,345	1	1,033	189	1,855
COLVIN	All Persons	3,240	2,507	76	2	493	5	12	145	163
	Voting Age	2,343	1,819	60	2	383	3	8	68	95
COOPER	All Persons	2,993	2,347	70	2	446	0	15	113	109
	Voting Age	2,186	1,742	53	1	333	0	10	47	72
CRESTWOOD	All Persons	4,910	2,422	203	62	1,036	2	938	247	1,913
	Voting Age	3,776	1,949	157	38	778	2	690	162	1,402
CUB RUN	All Persons	5,625	4,001	337	15	847	3	238	184	541
	Voting Age	4,204	3,064	246	12	625	3	156	98	357
DEER PARK	All Persons	4,876	3,549	195	14	777	18	138	185	463
	Voting Age	3,562	2,633	152	13	564	12	105	83	312
DOGWOOD	All Persons	7,658	3,580	1,241	76	1,129	1	1,242	389	2,331
	Voting Age	5,411	2,677	825	51	811	1	813	233	1,507
DULLES	All Persons	3,090	2,292	121	3	242	0	311	121	717
	Voting Age	2,251	1,698	99	2	180	0	208	64	470
EAGLE VIEW	All Persons	6,005	3,679	528	15	1,433	0	125	225	476
	Voting Age	4,719	3,043	380	12	1,069	0	95	120	356
EDSALL	All Persons	2,851	1,619	192	12	700	8	197	123	478
	Voting Age	2,227	1,321	144	10	531	6	132	83	349
EL NIDO	All Persons	3,154	2,643	43	7	342	0	24	95	142
	Voting Age	2,221	1,878	36	3	257	0	13	34	85
FAIR LAKES	All Persons	5,210	3,276	418	9	1,214	2	90	201	411
	Voting Age	4,155	2,710	315	9	922	2	78	119	307
FAIR OAKS	All Persons	2,957	1,476	219	3	1,067	0	74	118	227
	Voting Age	2,423	1,294	178	3	820	0	58	70	175
FAIRFAX COURT	All Persons	1,252	708	472	18	41	2	9	2	321
	Voting Age	1,207	687	461	18	37	2	0	2	312
FAIRFAX STATION	All Persons	4,213	3,557	102	10	422	1	22	99	181
	Voting Age	3,230	2,758	84	7	331	1	15	34	120
FAIRFIELD	All Persons	12,582	3,644	4,514	109	844	15	2,725	731	5,207
	Voting Age	9,089	2,824	3,232	62	655	11	1,864	441	3,499

Population by Precinct
(changes made as part of reapportionment are highlighted in yellow)

Precinct		Total Persons	White alone	Black alone	American Indian alone	Asian alone	Pacific Islander alone	Other race alone	Two or more races	Hispanic
FAIRVIEW	All Persons	6,948	4,901	433	8	982	3	280	341	786
	Voting Age	5,223	3,809	300	8	730	3	206	167	563
FLINT HILL	All Persons	5,932	4,577	150	21	720	0	243	221	610
	Voting Age	4,340	3,403	117	11	533	0	176	100	432
FLORIS	All Persons	5,204	3,421	174	9	1,391	6	44	159	188
	Voting Age	3,591	2,453	118	6	912	3	27	72	122
FORESTVILLE	All Persons	4,234	3,444	74	6	527	0	25	158	167
	Voting Age	2,923	2,406	52	3	378	0	14	70	85
FORT BUFFALO	All Persons	3,551	1,693	347	21	778	0	585	127	1,144
	Voting Age	2,766	1,352	287	17	603	0	428	79	851
FORT HUNT	All Persons	2,822	2,592	42	5	89	3	24	67	121
	Voting Age	2,002	1,855	31	5	71	3	12	25	76
FOUNTAINHEAD	All Persons	4,864	3,884	216	9	572	3	50	130	189
	Voting Age	3,655	2,937	160	5	450	3	28	72	129
FOX MILL	All Persons	6,062	4,641	209	17	889	4	63	239	319
	Voting Age	4,261	3,329	170	11	625	3	41	82	207
FRANCONIA	All Persons	5,616	3,440	881	23	923	6	113	230	518
	Voting Age	4,511	2,865	674	17	728	5	88	134	395
FRANKLIN	All Persons	4,758	3,484	181	10	862	1	92	128	296
	Voting Age	3,381	2,538	136	6	586	1	64	50	206
FREEDOM HILL	All Persons	3,338	2,382	65	1	714	3	33	140	185
	Voting Age	2,422	1,710	51	1	566	3	22	69	127
FRYING PAN	All Persons	5,436	2,182	369	18	2,569	2	72	224	264
	Voting Age	3,942	1,697	288	6	1,784	2	46	119	178
GARFIELD	All Persons	6,213	2,579	601	27	2,189	7	512	298	1,038
	Voting Age	4,709	2,041	425	23	1,652	4	372	192	740
GLADE	All Persons	5,132	3,814	416	5	531	2	137	227	401
	Voting Age	4,098	3,119	319	4	443	2	94	117	278
GLEN FOREST #1	All Persons	3,981	2,096	606	22	484	10	591	172	1,388
	Voting Age	3,163	1,710	454	19	402	6	450	122	1,029
GLEN FOREST #2	All Persons	3,439	1,775	202	12	727	4	474	245	1,014
	Voting Age	2,806	1,543	166	7	577	2	347	164	788
GRAHAM	All Persons	3,591	1,672	226	14	475	1	1,040	163	1,956
	Voting Age	2,601	1,252	133	10	364	0	729	113	1,367

Population by Precinct
(changes made as part of reapportionment are highlighted in yellow)

Precinct		Total Persons	White alone	Black alone	American Indian alone	Asian alone	Pacific Islander alone	Other race alone	Two or more races	Hispanic
GREAT FALLS	All Persons	2,525	2,193	29	4	200	2	20	77	84
	Voting Age	1,915	1,678	25	4	153	2	15	38	55
GREEN TRAILS	All Persons	8,076	4,497	710	31	2,130	3	323	382	1,047
	Voting Age	5,881	3,383	507	20	1,551	3	227	190	707
GREENBRIAR EAST	All Persons	6,222	3,725	536	11	1,377	19	280	274	798
	Voting Age	5,013	3,148	404	8	1,045	16	212	180	601
GREENBRIAR WEST	All Persons	4,069	2,597	237	7	811	6	251	160	561
	Voting Age	3,133	2,093	182	6	586	4	175	87	382
GREENSPRING	All Persons	2,010	1,948	15	1	40	0	1	5	13
	Voting Age	2,007	1,948	15	1	37	0	1	5	13
GREENWAY	All Persons	3,059	1,919	120	6	377	1	515	121	1,017
	Voting Age	2,359	1,524	90	5	300	0	360	80	747
GROSVENOR	All Persons	2,958	1,692	555	13	341	2	197	158	484
	Voting Age	2,647	1,566	493	11	309	2	152	114	377
GROVETON	All Persons	14,159	4,966	4,214	85	1,235	7	2,995	657	5,896
	Voting Age	9,964	3,672	2,966	55	933	7	1,955	376	3,768
GUNSTON	All Persons	9,033	5,891	1,775	41	572	27	216	511	1,028
	Voting Age	5,651	3,828	1,040	23	427	15	143	175	564
HAYCOCK	All Persons	3,369	2,780	49	4	384	0	29	123	158
	Voting Age	2,441	2,081	31	3	261	0	21	44	105
HAYFIELD	All Persons	3,836	2,990	413	11	232	2	58	130	293
	Voting Age	2,894	2,288	308	7	177	2	41	71	197
HERITAGE	All Persons	9,805	4,211	821	74	2,803	9	1,362	525	3,640
	Voting Age	7,572	3,292	601	57	2,292	6	970	354	2,559
HERNDON #1	All Persons	6,684	4,014	538	41	1,135	2	651	303	1,476
	Voting Age	4,958	3,066	381	25	831	2	475	178	1,054
HERNDON #2	All Persons	8,600	4,155	807	59	1,433	3	1,649	494	3,434
	Voting Age	6,333	3,160	607	36	1,071	3	1,167	289	2,402
HERNDON #3	All Persons	8,008	3,641	878	54	1,602	0	1,419	414	2,916
	Voting Age	6,159	2,871	651	32	1,263	0	1,070	272	2,137
HICKORY	All Persons	4,221	3,323	63	2	675	1	18	139	150
	Voting Age	2,943	2,336	53	2	471	0	14	67	93
HOLLIN HALL	All Persons	2,414	2,275	34	4	34	0	15	52	100
	Voting Age	1,795	1,704	29	3	24	0	12	23	64

Population by Precinct
(changes made as part of reapportionment are highlighted in yellow)

Precinct		Total Persons	White alone	Black alone	American Indian alone	Asian alone	Pacific Islander alone	Other race alone	Two or more races	Hispanic
HOLMES #1	All Persons	5,521	2,363	100	160	437	3	2,045	413	4,655
	Voting Age	4,113	1,744	76	119	325	3	1,520	326	3,478
HOLMES #2	All Persons	3,400	2,035	359	9	555	0	274	168	772
	Voting Age	2,630	1,618	276	6	422	0	199	109	576
HUMMER	All Persons	2,779	1,584	185	9	774	2	122	103	366
	Voting Age	2,208	1,298	138	7	612	2	89	62	271
HUNT	All Persons	5,415	3,642	504	15	883	5	97	269	502
	Voting Age	3,934	2,680	362	12	668	5	69	138	351
HUNTERS WOODS	All Persons	3,302	2,859	132	4	197	3	15	92	133
	Voting Age	2,555	2,254	103	4	139	3	9	43	86
HUNTINGTON	All Persons	5,784	3,668	766	19	548	2	537	244	1,120
	Voting Age	5,120	3,389	646	16	491	2	398	178	852
HUNTLEY	All Persons	3,677	2,386	552	12	396	1	169	161	435
	Voting Age	2,875	1,936	419	9	299	1	119	92	303
HUTCHISON	All Persons	5,843	2,733	682	40	1,220	5	908	255	2,116
	Voting Age	4,355	2,086	519	25	910	2	635	178	1,486
IRVING	All Persons	3,356	2,879	100	7	207	1	66	96	373
	Voting Age	2,567	2,251	64	7	148	1	49	47	246
ISLAND CREEK	All Persons	3,893	2,472	633	21	530	2	52	183	340
	Voting Age	2,872	1,865	460	13	419	2	36	77	225
KEENE MILL	All Persons	2,648	1,759	120	6	438	4	198	123	459
	Voting Age	1,995	1,366	91	5	338	4	123	68	295
KENMORE	All Persons	4,966	3,616	140	5	1,037	0	37	131	178
	Voting Age	3,573	2,627	110	4	750	0	18	64	114
KILMER	All Persons	5,969	3,750	292	4	1,577	6	77	263	406
	Voting Age	4,451	2,845	230	3	1,166	6	64	137	316
KINGS PARK	All Persons	4,333	3,089	179	11	697	8	206	143	699
	Voting Age	3,274	2,351	134	10	533	8	159	79	494
KINGSTOWNE	All Persons	5,771	3,542	1,047	14	748	3	161	256	536
	Voting Age	4,569	2,914	783	13	586	3	118	152	402
KINROSS	All Persons	6,314	4,786	167	8	1,132	1	69	151	283
	Voting Age	4,320	3,307	117	5	775	0	47	69	172
KIRBY	All Persons	3,043	2,343	41	0	516	3	44	96	158
	Voting Age	2,216	1,729	33	0	382	2	26	44	108

Population by Precinct
(changes made as part of reapportionment are highlighted in yellow)

Precinct		Total Persons	White alone	Black alone	American Indian alone	Asian alone	Pacific Islander alone	Other race alone	Two or more races	Hispanic
KIRKSIDE	All Persons	2,705	1,969	361	5	209	6	63	92	269
	Voting Age	2,146	1,577	310	3	155	3	40	58	197
LAKE BRADDOCK	All Persons	6,805	4,495	386	25	1,264	1	323	311	1,362
	Voting Age	5,101	3,447	267	20	967	1	232	167	964
LANE	All Persons	5,322	2,970	1,019	23	796	6	237	271	894
	Voting Age	4,081	2,366	746	17	630	2	170	150	625
LANGLEY	All Persons	2,736	2,264	38	8	356	0	12	58	138
	Voting Age	2,015	1,672	31	4	281	0	6	21	97
LAUREL	All Persons	5,611	3,966	332	8	875	5	166	259	593
	Voting Age	4,206	3,033	250	5	663	5	120	130	431
LAUREL HILL	All Persons	6,903	2,376	1,456	20	2,400	11	209	431	624
	Voting Age	4,639	1,632	942	13	1,707	8	133	204	395
LEES CORNER EAST	All Persons	4,299	2,440	227	6	1,374	0	81	171	344
	Voting Age	3,051	1,792	170	3	934	0	49	103	232
LEES CORNER WEST	All Persons	5,640	3,438	244	11	1,602	2	124	219	395
	Voting Age	4,032	2,527	182	8	1,113	2	86	114	282
LEEWOOD	All Persons	1,483	728	194	8	403	0	86	64	214
	Voting Age	1,149	589	143	5	316	0	63	33	159
LINCOLNIA	All Persons	6,215	3,023	1,348	27	858	2	705	252	1,667
	Voting Age	4,816	2,483	979	25	688	1	491	149	1,190
LONDON TOWNE EAST	All Persons	2,744	1,335	277	11	775	0	172	174	404
	Voting Age	2,024	1,060	176	8	578	0	118	84	260
LONDON TOWNE WEST	All Persons	5,844	2,942	770	24	1,053	6	691	358	1,824
	Voting Age	4,153	2,178	532	12	777	4	454	196	1,196
LONG BRANCH	All Persons	2,198	1,655	45	3	422	2	28	43	126
	Voting Age	1,608	1,238	24	3	306	2	19	16	73
LONGFELLOW	All Persons	3,861	2,894	74	7	717	1	20	148	200
	Voting Age	2,795	2,162	50	4	497	1	13	68	142
LORTON	All Persons	4,353	1,307	1,709	21	763	5	347	201	840
	Voting Age	2,995	960	1,150	14	528	5	231	107	556
LORTON CENTER	All Persons	3,439	1,751	787	7	483	1	279	131	549
	Voting Age	2,670	1,483	559	6	361	1	179	81	374
LORTON STATION	All Persons	6,674	2,736	1,922	19	1,023	24	549	401	1,243
	Voting Age	4,862	2,132	1,369	13	746	17	374	211	849

Population by Precinct
(changes made as part of reapportionment are highlighted in yellow)

Precinct		Total Persons	White alone	Black alone	American Indian alone	Asian alone	Pacific Islander alone	Other race alone	Two or more races	Hispanic
LYNBROOK	All Persons	4,867	1,886	260	58	1,110	0	1,207	346	2,245
	Voting Age	3,680	1,465	194	41	877	0	870	233	1,618
MAGARITY	All Persons	7,185	4,011	482	25	2,114	14	197	342	739
	Voting Age	5,982	3,539	378	17	1,651	11	149	237	570
MANTUA	All Persons	3,021	2,518	37	17	379	0	21	49	114
	Voting Age	2,317	1,961	25	15	279	0	12	25	78
MARLAN	All Persons	2,939	2,365	262	15	99	0	127	71	360
	Voting Age	2,314	1,887	211	11	75	0	89	41	250
MARSHALL	All Persons	9,082	5,453	362	33	2,100	2	760	372	1,598
	Voting Age	7,324	4,525	292	24	1,675	2	552	254	1,202
MASONVILLE	All Persons	3,049	1,965	79	7	633	6	283	76	712
	Voting Age	2,409	1,603	65	6	498	4	189	44	509
MCLEAN	All Persons	3,382	2,571	82	1	569	0	32	127	199
	Voting Age	2,629	2,049	60	1	427	0	23	69	142
MCNAIR	All Persons	7,557	3,034	1,043	26	2,877	3	261	313	788
	Voting Age	5,841	2,576	762	19	2,128	3	168	185	540
MERRIFIELD	All Persons	7,173	3,412	431	47	2,583	5	394	301	1,050
	Voting Age	5,976	2,973	344	36	2,146	5	288	184	793
MONUMENT	All Persons	6,552	3,767	645	11	1,704	9	144	272	509
	Voting Age	5,393	3,265	511	10	1,309	6	122	170	406
MOSBY	All Persons	9,834	4,875	816	28	3,077	4	575	459	1,560
	Voting Age	7,996	4,084	627	16	2,552	4	441	272	1,162
MOUNT EAGLE	All Persons	2,327	1,534	190	14	159	3	354	73	778
	Voting Age	1,863	1,277	149	9	131	3	248	46	558
NAVY	All Persons	5,054	3,347	229	7	1,281	0	57	133	294
	Voting Age	3,510	2,383	169	5	860	0	41	52	190
NEWGATE NORTH	All Persons	2,384	1,772	111	4	353	1	64	79	143
	Voting Age	1,701	1,289	75	2	251	1	41	42	100
NEWGATE SOUTH	All Persons	4,095	3,410	96	4	422	9	27	127	149
	Voting Age	2,857	2,408	67	4	307	4	15	52	93
NEWINGTON	All Persons	7,713	4,805	935	35	1,106	14	377	441	1,242
	Voting Age	5,693	3,676	668	25	816	11	259	238	847
NO. SPRINGFIELD #1	All Persons	3,674	2,300	148	10	902	4	204	106	643
	Voting Age	2,840	1,864	94	6	687	3	144	42	446

Population by Precinct
(changes made as part of reapportionment are highlighted in yellow)

Precinct		Total Persons	White alone	Black alone	American Indian alone	Asian alone	Pacific Islander alone	Other race alone	Two or more races	Hispanic
NO. SPRINGFIELD #2	All Persons	3,626	1,815	309	35	650	3	669	145	1,258
	Voting Age	2,665	1,393	218	26	498	3	453	74	854
NORTH POINT	All Persons	6,986	5,598	347	14	681	7	104	235	476
	Voting Age	5,325	4,325	273	8	520	6	75	118	331
NOTTOWAY 2001 Plan	All Persons	5,459	3,782	196	20	1,184	1	76	200	426
	Voting Age	4,243	3,013	144	14	906	1	52	113	315
NOTTOWAY 2011 Plan	All Persons	5,276	3,645	189	20	1,145	1	76	200	414
	Voting Age	4,119	2,915	139	14	885	1	52	113	305
OAK HILL	All Persons	3,019	2,245	61	4	614	0	14	81	196
	Voting Age	2,244	1,686	38	4	458	0	13	45	130
OAK MARR	All Persons	5,086	4,031	158	7	625	1	68	196	324
	Voting Age	3,803	3,046	136	6	457	1	51	106	213
OAKTON	All Persons	3,361	2,820	62	4	355	2	30	88	159
	Voting Age	2,458	2,109	43	2	258	1	21	24	110
OLD MILL	All Persons	4,280	2,212	458	5	1,128	3	282	192	647
	Voting Age	3,014	1,575	311	3	810	2	205	108	462
OLDE CREEK	All Persons	3,262	2,482	75	15	562	1	58	69	310
	Voting Age	2,461	1,908	58	7	417	1	41	29	196
OLLEY	All Persons	2,651	1,893	52	4	599	2	48	53	157
	Voting Age	2,015	1,472	41	4	443	2	34	19	115
ORANGE	All Persons	5,598	4,392	405	22	527	5	77	170	396
	Voting Age	4,134	3,264	302	17	414	5	58	74	274
PARKLAWN	All Persons	3,395	2,041	206	6	644	2	357	139	930
	Voting Age	2,673	1,648	145	3	519	2	258	98	704
PARKWAY	All Persons	3,144	2,228	141	1	604	1	51	118	215
	Voting Age	2,360	1,677	108	1	482	1	38	53	152
PENDERBROOK	All Persons	5,316	3,378	367	13	1,260	3	111	184	498
	Voting Age	4,294	2,839	282	9	973	3	85	103	372
PIMMIT	All Persons	5,254	3,601	214	50	790	7	313	279	1,174
	Voting Age	4,216	2,969	162	42	622	6	240	175	894
PINE RIDGE	All Persons	4,160	2,447	327	26	839	5	279	237	922
	Voting Age	3,279	1,977	260	22	676	4	201	139	679
PINE SPRING	All Persons	4,655	2,536	289	22	1,058	3	486	261	1,094
	Voting Age	3,755	2,157	216	14	832	3	356	177	818

Population by Precinct
(changes made as part of reapportionment are highlighted in yellow)

Precinct		Total Persons	White alone	Black alone	American Indian alone	Asian alone	Pacific Islander alone	Other race alone	Two or more races	Hispanic
PINEWOOD 2011 Plan-New Precinct	All Persons	965	431	202	11	125	5	134	57	392
	Voting Age	713	335	140	4	96	3	98	37	271
PIONEER	All Persons	9,105	4,331	1,384	43	2,040	6	929	372	1,972
	Voting Age	6,912	3,466	962	24	1,536	6	687	231	1,426
POE	All Persons	4,239	2,367	491	22	892	14	277	176	769
	Voting Age	3,254	1,897	348	12	688	6	208	95	574
POHICK	All Persons	5,792	3,775	492	33	1,033	2	249	208	766
	Voting Age	4,281	2,823	352	17	795	2	171	121	518
POPES HEAD	All Persons	4,378	3,573	204	7	376	1	75	142	219
	Voting Age	3,259	2,673	155	4	304	1	55	67	150
POPLAR TREE	All Persons	3,982	2,530	172	11	1,089	6	33	141	170
	Voting Age	2,727	1,765	120	9	742	6	18	67	101
POWELL 2001 Plan	All Persons	8,564	3,145	501	6	4,389	2	155	366	568
	Voting Age	6,454	2,588	372	6	3,154	2	118	214	412
POWELL 2011 Plan	All Persons	8,985	3,299	528	7	4,609	2	155	385	598
	Voting Age	6,757	2,700	391	7	3,318	2	118	221	429
PRICE	All Persons	3,407	2,201	135	3	885	0	58	125	286
	Voting Age	2,454	1,625	103	0	612	0	41	73	178
RAVENSWORTH	All Persons	2,466	1,640	61	6	534	4	148	73	355
	Voting Age	1,888	1,291	47	4	405	3	99	39	236
RAVENWOOD	All Persons	2,326	1,731	74	8	303	0	91	119	367
	Voting Age	1,821	1,384	62	6	248	0	59	62	283
RESTON #1	All Persons	4,738	2,942	626	17	710	1	227	215	573
	Voting Age	3,829	2,515	444	12	556	0	164	138	398
RESTON #2	All Persons	4,703	3,197	737	5	418	4	129	213	441
	Voting Age	3,572	2,599	450	2	317	4	86	114	279
RESTON #3	All Persons	3,240	2,241	366	11	282	0	197	143	535
	Voting Age	2,631	1,915	261	7	229	0	140	79	402
RIDGELEA	All Persons	1,627	1,163	41	4	358	0	17	44	73
	Voting Age	1,192	867	32	4	264	0	13	12	55
ROBINSON	All Persons	6,304	4,332	312	14	1,230	14	160	242	612
	Voting Age	4,778	3,356	217	9	940	10	118	128	425
ROCKY RUN	All Persons	5,892	3,677	285	10	1,598	8	127	187	355
	Voting Age	4,166	2,670	203	5	1,128	6	78	76	229

Population by Precinct
(changes made as part of reapportionment are highlighted in yellow)

Precinct		Total Persons	White alone	Black alone	American Indian alone	Asian alone	Pacific Islander alone	Other race alone	Two or more races	Hispanic
ROSE HILL	All Persons	6,812	4,354	812	24	838	3	462	319	1,073
	Voting Age	5,226	3,462	612	15	638	3	321	175	760
SAINT ALBANS	All Persons	3,711	2,499	323	17	556	0	202	114	613
	Voting Age	2,966	2,047	242	13	457	0	142	65	447
SALONA	All Persons	3,623	3,052	98	4	352	3	29	85	190
	Voting Age	2,635	2,247	72	3	257	2	16	38	123
SANGSTER	All Persons	3,001	2,413	146	0	324	0	27	91	166
	Voting Age	2,264	1,840	114	0	246	0	13	51	108
SARATOGA	All Persons	7,745	4,585	1,101	20	1,171	11	460	397	1,210
	Voting Age	5,824	3,570	787	10	902	5	334	216	855
SENECA	All Persons	4,447	3,464	114	5	683	0	29	152	202
	Voting Age	3,138	2,471	91	4	492	0	14	66	133
SHERWOOD	All Persons	4,412	2,700	1,170	18	268	1	115	140	332
	Voting Age	3,233	2,102	765	15	199	1	88	63	226
SHOUSE	All Persons	3,059	2,193	73	6	704	1	21	61	80
	Voting Age	2,211	1,615	50	3	498	0	16	29	55
SHREVE	All Persons	1,927	1,565	40	3	206	3	61	49	160
	Voting Age	1,447	1,195	32	3	155	3	41	18	109
SIDEBURN	All Persons	4,130	3,399	124	4	407	3	56	137	304
	Voting Age	3,111	2,612	82	2	321	3	37	54	203
SIGNAL HILL	All Persons	4,257	3,152	110	11	784	4	81	115	344
	Voting Age	3,173	2,356	83	9	626	3	55	41	234
SILVERBROOK	All Persons	4,746	3,664	272	13	597	6	29	165	208
	Voting Age	3,354	2,562	205	10	469	6	19	83	139
SKYLINE	All Persons	6,588	4,057	1,376	19	693	6	186	251	653
	Voting Age	5,512	3,466	1,079	18	608	6	140	195	560
SLEEPY HOLLOW	All Persons	1,978	1,440	45	9	286	0	116	82	337
	Voting Age	1,522	1,137	28	6	232	0	73	46	229
SOUTH COUNTY	All Persons	4,090	2,559	616	6	624	1	90	194	306
	Voting Age	3,036	1,948	451	5	477	0	63	92	213
SOUTH LAKES	All Persons	5,684	4,711	277	14	424	2	71	185	283
	Voting Age	4,801	4,060	231	9	351	2	53	95	220
SOUTH RUN	All Persons	2,047	1,535	100	5	330	4	22	51	104
	Voting Age	1,521	1,156	72	5	247	2	13	26	69

Population by Precinct
(changes made as part of reapportionment are highlighted in yellow)

Precinct		Total Persons	White alone	Black alone	American Indian alone	Asian alone	Pacific Islander alone	Other race alone	Two or more races	Hispanic
SPRING HILL	All Persons	3,012	2,385	43	6	489	0	17	72	116
	Voting Age	2,122	1,692	30	3	360	0	5	32	73
STENWOOD	All Persons	1,567	1,124	58	2	316	0	20	47	119
	Voting Age	1,233	899	51	2	249	0	14	18	95
STONE	All Persons	5,954	3,781	475	17	994	12	401	274	965
	Voting Age	4,363	2,849	327	17	761	9	273	127	675
STRATFORD	All Persons	4,505	4,125	98	6	132	4	24	116	182
	Voting Age	3,153	2,907	79	6	108	3	16	34	116
STUART	All Persons	1,828	1,404	118	1	191	0	43	71	143
	Voting Age	1,317	1,027	85	0	140	0	30	35	102
SUGARLAND	All Persons	4,261	3,065	193	5	691	2	132	173	309
	Voting Age	3,011	2,219	142	3	477	2	86	82	206
SUNRISE VALLEY	All Persons	2,378	1,973	53	2	266	0	11	73	124
	Voting Age	1,681	1,406	41	1	190	0	4	39	74
TERRA CENTRE	All Persons	3,307	2,366	149	17	527	4	139	105	385
	Voting Age	2,445	1,773	116	6	398	4	97	51	254
TERRASET	All Persons	6,940	4,148	909	18	948	2	565	350	1,673
	Voting Age	5,443	3,429	673	13	718	2	404	204	1,181
THOREAU	All Persons	2,282	1,879	28	10	270	0	33	62	137
	Voting Age	1,651	1,363	24	8	209	0	25	22	91
TIMBER LANE	All Persons	5,808	2,889	567	29	1,297	3	801	222	1,699
	Voting Age	4,492	2,289	426	16	1,029	3	593	136	1,263
TYSONS	All Persons	5,025	3,191	188	5	1,333	4	72	232	343
	Voting Age	4,377	2,916	163	5	1,059	4	58	172	280
VALE	All Persons	4,001	3,469	52	4	361	1	19	95	171
	Voting Age	2,896	2,571	42	2	239	1	10	31	107
VALLEY	All Persons	4,283	2,845	357	18	753	6	91	213	469
	Voting Age	3,193	2,158	233	14	605	3	68	112	322
VAN DORN	All Persons	5,613	3,205	1,193	11	771	11	214	208	624
	Voting Age	4,459	2,681	889	8	600	6	163	112	464
VIENNA #1	All Persons	5,496	4,416	110	3	674	0	114	179	413
	Voting Age	4,075	3,303	84	3	514	0	87	84	287
VIENNA #2	All Persons	4,158	3,414	66	10	482	0	53	133	260
	Voting Age	3,114	2,615	51	4	343	0	44	57	179

Population by Precinct
(changes made as part of reapportionment are highlighted in yellow)

Precinct		Total Persons	White alone	Black alone	American Indian alone	Asian alone	Pacific Islander alone	Other race alone	Two or more races	Hispanic
VIENNA #4	All Persons	2,997	1,777	92	21	367	6	599	135	1,015
	Voting Age	2,274	1,368	73	13	289	5	441	85	746
VIENNA #6 2001 Plan	All Persons	2,853	2,100	220	14	329	1	69	120	187
	Voting Age	2,071	1,536	192	9	243	1	43	47	124
VIENNA #6 2011 Plan	All Persons	3,036	2,237	227	14	368	1	69	120	199
	Voting Age	2,195	1,634	197	9	264	1	43	47	134
VILLA	All Persons	3,204	1,978	204	29	648	2	214	129	516
	Voting Age	2,368	1,520	130	19	493	1	139	66	335
VILLAGES	All Persons	5,421	3,436	952	17	615	2	110	289	510
	Voting Age	4,240	2,805	727	12	468	2	86	140	377
VIRGINIA HILLS	All Persons	2,270	1,502	147	11	204	1	296	109	844
	Voting Age	1,699	1,163	109	10	154	1	194	68	555
VIRGINIA RUN	All Persons	3,678	2,904	169	5	427	0	57	116	177
	Voting Age	2,529	2,011	119	4	316	0	27	52	98
WAKEFIELD	All Persons	2,966	2,395	64	5	407	1	16	78	205
	Voting Age	2,260	1,863	49	1	313	1	13	20	135
WALKER	All Persons	5,681	2,993	339	22	1,897	5	231	194	888
	Voting Age	4,411	2,401	245	15	1,463	4	181	102	664
WALNUT HILL #1	All Persons	1,464	679	33	8	500	0	202	42	414
	Voting Age	1,151	551	28	4	393	0	144	31	305
WALNUT HILL #2	All Persons	1,162	836	15	18	188	0	72	33	205
	Voting Age	943	702	13	9	146	0	54	19	140
WAPLES MILL	All Persons	5,551	4,727	73	7	535	3	41	165	211
	Voting Age	3,944	3,448	55	4	355	1	23	58	128
WAYNEWOOD	All Persons	1,914	1,785	24	3	50	1	10	41	74
	Voting Age	1,316	1,240	18	1	34	1	6	16	39
WEST SPRINGFIELD	All Persons	4,176	3,030	361	12	561	7	63	142	333
	Voting Age	3,151	2,315	262	9	442	4	50	69	244
WESTBRIAR	All Persons	5,268	3,800	166	6	1,050	1	44	201	309
	Voting Age	4,017	2,894	146	6	838	1	29	103	223
WESTGATE	All Persons	4,162	3,540	232	8	220	2	58	102	209
	Voting Age	3,226	2,783	170	6	181	2	31	53	128
WESTHAMPTON	All Persons	3,095	2,494	36	6	426	2	49	82	158
	Voting Age	2,376	1,962	31	6	316	2	32	27	123

Population by Precinct
(changes made as part of reapportionment are highlighted in yellow)

Precinct		Total Persons	White alone	Black alone	American Indian alone	Asian alone	Pacific Islander alone	Other race alone	Two or more races	Hispanic
WESTLAWN	All Persons	3,016	1,560	78	21	585	0	581	191	1,332
	Voting Age	2,345	1,270	55	14	471	0	427	108	988
WESTMORELAND	All Persons	2,030	1,550	24	2	351	3	32	68	132
	Voting Age	1,497	1,154	16	1	270	1	21	34	86
WEYANOKE	All Persons	6,152	2,199	1,629	34	1,052	0	973	265	1,971
	Voting Age	4,568	1,728	1,163	24	765	0	701	187	1,430
WHITE OAKS	All Persons	4,914	2,896	442	12	1,058	1	294	211	874
	Voting Age	3,748	2,268	316	8	821	1	203	131	653
WHITMAN	All Persons	2,795	2,247	132	18	177	4	109	108	302
	Voting Age	2,177	1,793	103	17	138	2	73	51	211
WHITTIER	All Persons	4,390	2,129	208	25	993	3	880	152	1,729
	Voting Age	3,511	1,785	176	17	794	3	632	104	1,247
WILLOW SPRINGS 2001 Plan	All Persons	7,091	3,970	339	9	2,385	5	97	286	397
	Voting Age	4,934	2,787	237	8	1,703	2	67	130	261
WILLOW SPRINGS 2011 Plan	All Persons	6,670	3,816	312	8	2,165	5	97	267	367
	Voting Age	4,631	2,675	218	7	1,539	2	67	123	244
WILLSTON	All Persons	6,123	2,571	467	74	1,154	8	1,518	331	3,125
	Voting Age	4,758	2,034	344	51	964	6	1,125	234	2,311
WILTON	All Persons	3,398	1,955	641	20	248	1	417	116	922
	Voting Age	2,493	1,481	472	12	198	1	265	64	609
WOLFTRAP	All Persons	4,460	3,932	34	5	370	0	18	101	181
	Voting Age	3,138	2,794	21	2	276	0	12	33	111
WOODBURN	All Persons	3,258	2,058	179	35	721	1	163	101	450
	Voting Age	2,617	1,707	139	22	568	1	121	59	320
WOODLAWN 2001 Plan	All Persons	8,222	3,340	2,483	59	831	12	1,060	437	2,383
	Voting Age	6,245	2,741	1,839	36	631	8	742	248	1,651
WOODLAWN 2011 Plan	All Persons	7,257	2,909	2,281	48	706	7	926	380	1,991
	Voting Age	5,532	2,406	1,699	32	535	5	644	211	1,380
WOODLEY	All Persons	5,459	3,094	1,224	28	431	2	511	169	1,159
	Voting Age	3,986	2,360	889	17	318	2	322	78	718
WOODSON	All Persons	7,314	4,939	741	12	1,123	5	159	335	474
	Voting Age	6,696	4,588	706	11	948	4	144	295	431
WOODYARD	All Persons	2,747	2,407	76	6	200	0	20	38	103
	Voting Age	2,136	1,864	61	4	168	0	14	25	84

Exhibit 12



Virginia Voter Registration Application

Virginia Voter Registration Application Form

Instructions

You are not officially registered to vote until this application is approved. You should receive a Voter Card in the mail. If you do not receive this acknowledgement within 30 days after mailing this form, please contact your city or county voter registration office or the State Board of Elections. If you are already registered to vote with your current name and address, you do not need to re-register. If you have any questions regarding your voter registration status, please call your local Voter Registration Office.

Identification Requirement

For Registration

If you are registering for the first time by mail, federal law (the Help America Vote Act) requires you to provide identification. To avoid delays, please **enclose a copy of one of the following documents** that shows your **name and address** with your application: (1) current and valid photo ID, (2) current utility bill, (3) bank statement, (4) government check, (5) paycheck, or (6) other government document. You can also present this required identification at the polls but may experience delays.

For Voting

Virginia law requires every voter voting in person to show identification or sign a statement, subject to felony penalties for false statements pursuant to §24.2-1016, that the person is the named registered voter.

Mailing Instructions

- Place completed application in an envelope.
- Write your name and return address on envelope.
- Write the address of the voter registration office for the county or city where you live. List provided on the next page.
- Must be **postmarked** at least 22 days before the next Primary or General Election in which you plan to vote.

Privacy Act Notice: Article II, Section 2 of the Constitution of Virginia (1971) requires that a person registering to vote provide his or her social security number, if any. Therefore, if you do not provide your social security number, your application for voter registration will be denied. Section 7 of the Federal Privacy Act (Public Law Number 93-579) allows the Commonwealth to enforce this requirement, but also requires that you be advised that state and local voting officials will use the social security number as a unique identifier to ensure that no person is registered in more than one place. This registration card will not be open to inspection by the public. Your social security number will appear on reports produced only for official use by voter registration and election officials, and for jury selection purposes by courts.

WARNING: INTENTIONALLY VOTING MORE THAN ONCE IN AN ELECTION OR MAKING A MATERIALLY FALSE STATEMENT ON THIS FORM CONSTITUTES THE CRIME OF ELECTION FRAUD, WHICH IS PUNISHABLE UNDER VIRGINIA LAW AS A FELONY. VIOLATORS MAY BE SENTENCED TO UP TO 10 YEARS IN PRISON, OR UP TO 12 MONTHS IN JAIL AND/OR FINED UP TO \$2,500.

List of Voter Registration Offices
(General Registrar) as of 2/1/2010

Accomack County

PO Box 97
Accomack, VA 23301-0097
(757) 787 - 2935

Albemarle County

PMB 404, 536 Pantops Center
Charlottesville, VA 22911
(434) 972 - 4173

Alexandria City

132 North Royal St, Ste. 100
Alexandria, VA 22314-3283
(703) 746 - 4050

Alleghany County

110 Rosedale Ave, Ste. D
Covington, VA 24426-1294
(540) 965 - 1690

Amelia County

PO Box 481
Amelia, VA 23002-0481
(804) 561 - 3460

Amherst County

PO Box 550
Amherst, VA 24521-0550
(434) 946 - 9315

Appomattox County

PO Box 8
Appomattox, VA 24522-0008
(434) 352 - 5302

Arlington County

2100 Clarendon Blvd, Ste. 320
Arlington, VA 22201-5400
(703) 228 - 3456

Augusta County

PO Box 590
Verona, VA 24482-0590
(540) 245 - 5656

Bath County

PO Box 157
Warm Springs, VA 24484-0157
(540) 839 - 7266

Bedford County

County Admin Building
122 East Main St, Ste. 204
Bedford, VA 24523-2000
(540) 586 - 7649

Bedford City

215 East Main St
Bedford, VA 24523-2012
(540) 587 - 6007

Bland County

PO Box 535
Bland, VA 24315-0535
(276) 688 - 4441

Botetourt County

PO Box 62
Fincastle, VA 24090-0062
(540) 473 - 8235

Bristol City

300 Lee St
Bristol, VA 24201-4327
(276) 645 - 7318

Brunswick County

100 Tobacco St, Rm. 103
Lawrenceville, VA 23868-1823
(434) 848 - 4414

Buchanan County

PO Box 975
Grundy, VA 24614-0975
(276) 935 - 6534

Buckingham County

PO Box 222
Buckingham, VA 23921-0222
(434) 969 - 4304

Buena Vista City

2039 Sycamore Ave
Buena Vista, VA 24416-3133
(540) 261 - 8605

Campbell County

PO Box 103
Rustburg, VA 24588-0103
(434) 332 - 9579

Caroline County

PO Box 304
Bowling Green, VA 22427-0304
(804) 633 - 9083

Carroll County

Governmental Center
605-3 Pine St, B 110
Hillsville, VA 24343-1404
(276) 730 - 3035

Charles City County

PO Box 146
Charles City, VA 23030-0146
(804) 652 - 4606

Charlotte County

PO Box 118
Charlotte CH, VA 23923-0118
(434) 542 - 5856

Charlottesville City

PO Box 911
Charlottesville, VA 22902-0911
(434) 970 - 3250

Chesapeake City

411 Cedar Rd
Chesapeake, VA 23322-5566
(757) 277 - 9797

Chesterfield County

PO Box 1690
Chesterfield, VA 23832-1690
(804) 748 - 1471

Clarke County

PO Box 555
Berryville, VA 22611-0555
(540) 955 - 5168

Colonial Heights City

PO Box 3401
Colonial Heights, VA 23834-9001
(804) 520 - 9277

Covington City

101 1A North Rockbridge Ave
Covington, VA 24426-1534
(540) 965 - 6380

Craig County

PO Box 8
New Castle, VA 24127-0008
(540) 864 - 6190

Culpeper County

151 N Main St
Culpeper, VA 22701
(540) 825 - 0652

Cumberland County

PO Box 125
Cumberland, VA 23040-0008
(804) 492 - 4504

Danville City

515 Main St
Danville, VA 24541-1317
(434) 799 - 6560

Dickenson County

PO Box 1306
Clintwood, VA 24228-1306
(276) 926 - 1620

Dinwiddie County

PO Box 365
Dinwiddie, VA 23841-0365
(804) 469 - 4512

Emporia City

PO Box 1092
Emporia, VA 23847-1092
(434) 634 - 9533

Essex County

PO Box 1561
Tappahannock, VA 22560-1561
(804) 443 - 4611

Fairfax County

12000 Govt Ctr Pkwy, Ste. 323
Fairfax, VA 22035-0081
(703) 222 - 0776

Fairfax City

10455 Armstrong St
Sisson House
Fairfax, VA 22030-3640
(703) 385 - 7890

Falls Church City

300 Park Ave, Rm 101E
Falls Church, VA 22046-3332
(703) 248 - 5085

Fauquier County

32 Waterloo St, Ste. 207
Warrenton, VA 20186-3238
(540) 347 - 6972

Floyd County

100 East Main St, Rm. 302
Floyd, VA 24091-2101
(540) 745 - 9350

Fluvanna County

PO Box 44
Palmyra, VA 22963-0044
(434) 589 - 3593

Franklin County

70 East Court St, Ste. 302
Rocky Mount, VA 24151-1720
(540) 483 - 3025

Franklin City

PO Box 42
Franklin, VA 23851-0042
(757) 562 - 8545

Frederick County

107 North Kent St, Ste. 102
Winchester, VA 22601-5000
(540) 665 - 5660

Fredericksburg City

Executive Plaza
601 Caroline St, Ste. 100
Fredericksburg, VA 22401
(540) 372 - 1030

Galax City

PO Box 1045
Galax, VA 24333-1045
(276) 236 - 7509

Giles County

120 North Main St, Ste. 3
Pearisburg, VA 24134-1625
(540) 921 - 2802

Gloucester County

PO Box 208
Gloucester, VA 23061-0208
(804) 693 - 3659

Goochland County

PO Box 1013
Goochland, VA 23063-1013
(804) 556 - 5803

Grayson County

PO Box 449
Independence, VA 24348-0449
(276) 773 - 2842

Greene County

PO Box 341
Stanardsville, VA 22973-0341
(434) 985 - 5213

Greensville County

PO Box 1092
Emporia, VA 23847-1092
(434) 348 - 4228

Halifax County

PO Box 400
Halifax, VA 24558-0400
(434) 476 - 3322

Hampton City

1919 Commerce Dr, Ste. 280
Hampton, VA 23666
(757) 727 - 6218

Hanover County

PO Box 419
Hanover, VA 23069-0419
(804) 365 - 6080

Harrisonburg City

P.O. Box 20031
Harrisonburg, VA 22801
(540) 432 - 7707

Henrico County

PO Box 90775
Henrico, VA 23273-0775
(804) 501 - 4347

Henry County

PO Box 7
Collinsville, VA 24078-0007
(276) 638 - 5108

Highland County

PO Box 386
Monterey, VA 24465-0386
(540) 468 - 2013

Hopewell City

309 North 2nd Ave
Hopewell, VA 23860-2704
(804) 541 - 2232

Isle of Wight County

PO Box 77
Isle of Wight, VA 23397-0077
(757) 365 - 6230

James City County

PO Box 3567
Williamsburg, VA 23187-3567
(757) 253 - 6868

King & Queen County

PO Box 56
King & Queen CH, VA 23085-0056
(804) 785 - 5980

King George County

PO Box 1359
King George, VA 22485-1359
(540) 775 - 9186

King William County

PO Box 173
King William, VA 23086-0173
(804) 769 - 4952

Lancaster County

PO Box 159
Lancaster, VA 22503-0159
(804) 462 - 5277

Lee County

PO Box 363
Jonesville, VA 24263-0363
(276) 346 - 7780

Lexington City

PO Box 922
Lexington, VA 24450-0922
(540) 462 - 3706

Loudoun County

801 Sycolin Rd, SE, Ste. 102
Leesburg, VA 20175-5686
(703) 777 - 0380

Louisa County

PO Box 220
Louisa, VA 23093-0220
(540) 967 - 3427

Lunenburg County

160 Courthouse Sq
Lunenburg, VA 23952-9999
(434) 696 - 3071

Lynchburg City

3236 Odd Fellows Rd
Lynchburg, VA 24601
(434) 847 - 1609

Madison County

PO Box 267
Madison, VA 22727-0267
(540) 948 - 6533

Manassas City

9025 Center St
Manassas, VA 20110-5403
(703) 257 - 8230

Manassas Park City

City Hall, 1 Park Center Ct
Manassas Park, VA 20111-2395
(703) 335 - 8906

Martinsville City

PO Box 1323
Martinsville, VA 24114-1323
(276) 403 - 5122

Mathews County

PO Box 328
Mathews, VA 23109-0328
(804) 725 - 3200

Mecklenburg County

PO Box 436
Boydton, VA 23917-0436
(434) 738 - 6191

Middlesex County

PO Box 358
Saluda, VA 23149-0358
(804) 758 - 4420

Montgomery County

755 Roanoke St, Ste. 1F
Christiansburg, VA 24073-3175
(540) 382 - 5741

Nelson County

PO Box 292
Lovingson, VA 22949-0292
(434) 263 - 4068

New Kent County

PO Box 128
New Kent, VA 23124-0128
(804) 966 - 9699

Newport News City

2400 Washington Ave
Newport News, VA 23607-4305
(757) 926 - 8683

Norfolk City

PO Box 1531
Norfolk, VA 23501-1531
(757) 664 - 4353

Northampton County

PO Box 510
Eastville, VA 23347-0510
(757) 678 - 0480

Northumberland County

PO Box 84
Heathsville, VA 22473-0084
(804) 580 - 4655

Norton City

PO Box 225
Norton, VA 24273-0225
(276) 679 - 1162

Nottoway County

PO Box 24
Nottoway, VA 23955-0024
(434) 645 - 8148

Orange County

146 Madison Rd, Ste. 204
Orange, VA 22960-1449
(540) 672 - 5262

Page County

117 South Court St
Luray, VA 22835-1289
(540) 743 - 3986

Patrick County

PO Box 635
Stuart, VA 24171-0635
(276) 694 - 7206

Petersburg City

PO Box 1031
Petersburg, VA 23804-1031
(804) 733 - 2380

Pittsylvania County

110 Old Chatham Elementary Ln
Chatham, VA 24531
(434) 432 - 7971

Poquoson City

500 City Hall Ave, Rm. 139
Poquoson, VA 23662-1996
(757) 868 - 3070

Portsmouth City

801 Crawford St, 1st Fl
Portsmouth, VA 23704-3822
(757) 393 - 8644

Powhatan County

3834 Old Buckingham Rd, Ste. G
Powhatan, VA 23139-7051
(804) 598 - 5604

Prince Edward County

PO Box J
Farmville, VA 23901
(434) 392 - 4767

Prince George County

PO Box 34
Prince George, VA 23875-0034
(804) 722 - 8748

Prince William County

9250 Lee Ave, Suite 1
Manassas, VA 20110-5554
(703) 792 - 6470

Pulaski County

52 West Main St, Ste. 300
Pulaski, VA 24301-5045
(540) 980 - 2111

Radford City

West End Professional Building
519 2nd St, Lower Level
Radford, VA 24141
(540) 731 - 3639

Rappahannock County

PO Box 236
Washington, VA 22747-0236
(540) 675 - 5380

Richmond County

PO Box 1000
Warsaw

Virginia Voter Registration Application Form

→ Use this form to register to vote in Virginia or report a change in name or address. If you are already registered with your current name and address, you do not need to re-register.

To register to vote in Virginia, you must:

- Be a **United States citizen**
- Be a **resident of Virginia**
- Be **18 years old by the next general election**
- Have **had your voting rights restored** if you have ever been convicted of a felony
- Have **had your capacity restored** if you have ever been declared mentally incapacitated in a Circuit Court

→ **Starred (*) items are required.** If you do not complete all of the items that are marked with *, your application may be denied. Once your local registrar approves your application, you will receive a voter card by mail.

1	* Are you a citizen of the United States of America? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	* Will you be at least 18 years of age on or before the next General Election day? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	If you checked "NO" in response to either of these questions, do not complete this form.
2	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> - <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> - <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> * Social Security Number	<input type="checkbox"/> Male <input type="checkbox"/> Female * Gender	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> / <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> / <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> * Date of Birth
	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> - <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> - <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Daytime Telephone Number	<input type="checkbox"/> None <input type="checkbox"/> None * Last Name * First Name * Full Middle or Maiden Name * Suffix (Jr., Sr., III, Etc.)	
3	* Residence (Permanent) Home Address		
	Apt/Unit/Lot/Rm/Ste	City/Town	Zip Code
	If Rural Address or Homeless, please describe where you reside		E-mail address
	Mailing Address (If different)/ Virginia P.O.Box or Uniformed Service Address, if applicable (include Zip Code)		<input type="checkbox"/> City or <input type="checkbox"/> County Name of City or County of Residence
4	* Have you ever been convicted of a felony? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO State where convicted		
	If YES, have your voting rights been restored? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO If YES, when restored? <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> / <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> / <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/>		
5	* Have you ever been judged mentally incapacitated? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO		
	If YES, has court restored you to capacity? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO If YES, when restored? <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> / <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> / <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/>		
6	Registration Statement: I swear/affirm, under felony penalty for making willfully false material statements or entries, that the information provided on this form is true. I authorize the cancellation (entered in Box 7 below) of my current registration and I have read the Privacy Act Notice on the front of this form.		
	→ * Signature (or mark if unable to sign) <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> / <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> / <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/>		

If applicant is unable to sign due to a physical disability, write the name/address of person who assisted. (Required). Check/describe if you have a disability that requires accommodation in order to vote.

- I'm interested in being an Election Official on Election Day. Please send me information. You may request that your home address not be released if you or member of your household are (a) active or retired law enforcement, or (b) have been granted a protective court order, or (c) are in fear of your personal safety from someone who has threatened or stalked you and have filed a complaint against that person with a magistrate or law enforcement (must attach copy of complaint) or (d) participate in the Address Confidentiality Program. You must show a Virginia P.O. box under mailing address in Box 3 above. Law Enforcement Protective Order Threatened/Stalked Address Confidentiality Program

7	*Previous Voter Registration Information—Commonwealth of Virginia		
	<input type="checkbox"/> No, I am not currently registered to vote in Virginia or another state.		
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, I am registered to vote at another address in Virginia or in another state. If YES, the information below must be completed:		
	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Full Name as Registered	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> / <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> / <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Date of Birth	<input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> - <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> - <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> <input type="text"/> Social Security Number (last 4 digits required)
	Address at which you were previously registered to vote	City/Town	State
			Zip Code

City/County/Town of Residence (if applicable). This cancellation information will be sent to the county or city and state you entered above.

Virginia Voter Registration Application Receipt

Name of Applicant _____

M	M	/	D	D	/	Y	Y	Y	Y
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Date

Name of group or individual receiving application _____

E-mail address _____

			-				-				
--	--	--	---	--	--	--	---	--	--	--	--

Daytime telephone number of group or individual receiving application

No receipt is required for application completed in the Voter Registration Office.

If you have not received a Voter Card within thirty (30) days of submitting a Voter Registration Application, please **contact the Virginia State Board of Elections.**

On-line: www.sbe.virginia.gov
 Voice: 800 · 552 · 9745
 TTY: 800 · 260 · 3466
 Richmond local: 804 · 864 · 8901

VA-NVRA-1/2/10

Notes

- Deceased _____
- Out of State _____
- Personal Request _____
- Convicted of a Felony _____
- Judged Incapacitated _____
- Error Deleted _____
- NVRA Cancel _____
- Re-Registered _____
- Transferred Out _____
- Inactive Status _____
- Reactivated _____

Other changes	New PCT Authorized by Date changed
New Last Name	New First, Middle/Maiden Name and Suffix
Date changed	Date changed

Registration date	PCT	Town code	Denial date & reason
Date changed			

For Office Use Only

Exhibit 13



Demographic data on magnetic media in the format set forth
in 28 C.F.R. § 51.28(1)(5)

(Insert CD)


**Demographic data on magnetic media in the format as set
forth in 28 C.F.R. § 51.28(a)(5)**

Exhibit 14



Duplicate copies of maps that show the new boundaries of the six changed precincts and the location of the polling place for the new Pinewood Precinct, together with text descriptions of the precinct boundaries


Commonwealth of Virginia County of Fairfax Providence District



**Precinct:
729 Nottoway**


-  Roadways Centerlines
-  Water Features
-  Other Jurisdiction

-  Poll Location
-  729 Nottoway Voting Precinct
-  Fairfax County Voting Precincts




April, 2011

Commonwealth of Virginia
County of Fairfax
 Providence District




**Precinct:
 729 Nottoway**

- Roadways Centerlines
- Water Features
- ▨ Other Jurisdiction
- ▲ Poll Location
- 729 Nottoway Voting Precinct
- ▭ Fairfax County Voting Precincts



April, 2011

Commonwealth of Virginia
County of Fairfax
Lee District



**Precinct:
428 Pinewood**

— Roadways Centerlines
— Water Features

 Poll Location


 **428 Pinewood Voting Precinct**

 **Fairfax County Voting Precincts**

0 400 800
Feet

April, 2011

Commonwealth of Virginia
County of Fairfax
Lee District



Precinct:
428 Pinewood

— Roadways Centerlines
— Water Features

 Poll Location


 **428 Pinewood Voting Precinct**

 **Fairfax County Voting Precincts**

0 400 800
Feet

April, 2011

Commonwealth of Virginia County of Fairfax Sully District




**Precinct:
926 Powell**

- Roadways Centerlines
- Water Features

Poll Location


926 Powell Voting Precinct

Fairfax County Voting Precincts



April, 2011

Commonwealth of Virginia County of Fairfax Sully District




**Precinct:
926 Powell**

- Roadways Centerlines
- Water Features

Poll Location


926 Powell Voting Precinct

Fairfax County Voting Precincts




April, 2011

Commonwealth of Virginia
County of Fairfax
 Hunter Mill District




**Precinct:
 218 Vienna #6**

- Roadways Centerlines
- Water Features
- Other Jurisdiction
- Poll Location
- 218 Vienna #6 Voting Precinct
- Fairfax County Voting Precincts




April, 2011

Commonwealth of Virginia
County of Fairfax
 Hunter Mill District




**Precinct:
 218 Vienna #6**

-  Roadways Centerlines
-  Water Features
-  Other Jurisdiction
-  Poll Location
-  218 Vienna #6 Voting Precinct
-  Fairfax County Voting Precincts



April, 2011


Commonwealth of Virginia County of Fairfax Springfield District



**Precinct:
851 Willow Springs**


- Roadways Centerlines
- Water Features

- Poll Location
- 851 Willow Springs Voting Precinct
- Fairfax County Voting Precincts



April, 2011


Commonwealth of Virginia County of Fairfax Springfield District



**Precinct:
851 Willow Springs**


- Roadways Centerlines
- Water Features

- Poll Location
- 851 Willow Springs Voting Precinct
- Fairfax County Voting Precincts



April, 2011

Commonwealth of Virginia
County of Fairfax
 Mount Vernon District




Precinct:
627 Woodlawn

- Roadways Centerlines
- Water Features

Poll Location


627 Woodlawn Voting Precinct

Fairfax County Voting Precincts



April, 2011

Commonwealth of Virginia
County of Fairfax
 Mount Vernon District




Precinct:
627 Woodlawn

- Roadways Centerlines
- Water Features

Poll Location

627 Woodlawn Voting Precinct

Fairfax County Voting Precincts



April, 2011

Commonwealth of Virginia
COUNTY OF FAIRFAX
Hunter Mill District

PRECINCT 218: VIENNA NO. 6

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT: ELEVENTH
VIRGINIA SENATORIAL DISTRICT: THIRTY-FOURTH
HOUSE OF DELEGATES DISTRICT: THIRTY-FIFTH

DESCRIPTION:

Beginning at intersection of the western corporate boundary of the Town of Vienna and the Washington and Old Dominion Railroad Regional Park (trail), thence with the Washington and Old Dominion Railroad Regional Park in a southeasterly direction to its intersection with Maple Avenue (Route 123), thence with Maple Avenue in a southwesterly direction to its intersection with Courthouse Road, thence with Courthouse Road in a generally southwesterly direction to its intersection with the west corporate boundary of the Town of Vienna, thence with the corporate boundary of the Town of Vienna in a generally northwesterly, then northeasterly direction to its intersection with the Washington and Old Dominion Railroad Regional Park, point of beginning.

POLLING PLACE: Madison High School
2500 James Madison Drive, Vienna

MAP GRIDS: 38-1, 38-2, 38-3, 38-4

NOTES: Adopted 1963
The Washington and Old Dominion Railroad Regional Park (trail) is the abandoned Washington and Old Dominion Railroad right-of-way.
Boundary adjusted - August 2001
Precinct description revised and readopted – March 2003
Precinct boundary adjusted with Nottoway Precinct – April 2011

Commonwealth of Virginia
COUNTY OF FAIRFAX
Lee District

PRECINCT 428: PINWOOD

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT: EIGHTH
VIRGINIA SENATORIAL DISTRICT: THIRTY-SIXTH
HOUSE OF DELEGATES DISTRICT: FORTY-FOURTH

DESCRIPTION:

Beginning at the intersection of Frye Road and the southern boundary of Huntley Meadows Park, thence with the boundary of Huntley Meadows Park in a northeasterly direction to its intersection with the east boundary of Muddy Hole Farm Park, thence with the boundary of Muddy Hole Farm Park in a southeasterly, then southwesterly direction to its intersection with Kidd Street, thence with Kidd Street in a southerly direction to its intersection with Lawrence Street, thence with Lawrence Street in a northeasterly direction to its intersection with an unnamed stream, thence with the meanders of the unnamed stream in a southwesterly direction to its intersection with Frye Road, thence with Frye Road in a northerly direction to its intersection with the southern boundary of Huntley Meadows Park, point of beginning.

POLLING PLACE: Mt. Vernon Woods Elementary School
4015 Fielding Street, Alexandria

MAP GRIDS: 101-1, 101-3

NOTES: Established April 2011

Commonwealth of Virginia
COUNTY OF FAIRFAX
Mount Vernon District

PRECINCT 627: WOODLAWN

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT: EIGHTH
VIRGINIA SENATORIAL DISTRICT: THIRTY-SIXTH
HOUSE OF DELEGATES DISTRICT: FORTY-FOURTH

DESCRIPTION:

Beginning at the intersection of the east boundary of the Fort Belvoir Military Reservation and the southern boundary of Huntley Meadows Park, thence with the boundary of Huntley Meadows Park in a northeasterly direction to its intersection with Frye Road, thence with Frye Road in a southerly direction to its intersection with Richmond Highway (Route 1), thence with Richmond Highway in a southwesterly direction to its intersection with the east boundary of the Fort Belvoir Military Reservation, thence with the boundary of the Fort Belvoir Military Reservation in a generally northeasterly, then northwesterly direction to its intersection with the southern boundary of Huntley Meadows Park, point of beginning.

POLLING PLACE: Woodlawn Elementary School
8505 Highland Lane, Alexandria

MAP GRIDS: 100-2, 100-4, 101-1, 101-3, 109-2, 110-1

NOTES: Adopted July 1981
 Moved from Lee District to Mount Vernon District-2001 Redistricting
 Precinct description revised and readopted – March 2003
 Precinct divided – April 2011

Commonwealth of Virginia
COUNTY OF FAIRFAX
Providence District

PRECINCT 729: NOTTOWAY

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT: ELEVENTH
VIRGINIA SENATORIAL DISTRICT: THIRTY-FOURTH
HOUSE OF DELEGATES DISTRICT: THIRTY-FIFTH

DESCRIPTION:

Beginning at the intersection of Courthouse and Chain Bridge Road (Route 123), thence with Chain Bridge Road in a northeasterly direction to its intersection with the western corporate boundary of the Town of Vienna, thence with the corporate boundary of the Town of Vienna in a generally southeasterly direction, then generally easterly direction to its intersection with Interstate 66, thence with Interstate 66 in a southwesterly direction to its intersection with Blake Lane, thence with Blake Lane in a westerly direction to its intersection with Edgelea Road, thence with Edgelea Road in northerly direction to its intersection with Courthouse Road, thence with Courthouse Road in a westerly direction to its intersection with Chain Bridge Road, point of beginning.

POLLING PLACE: Hunter House at Nottoway Park
9601 Courthouse Road, Vienna

MAP GRIDS: 38-3, 48-1, 48-2, 47-2

NOTES: Adopted May 1987
Precinct description revised and readopted – March 2003
Precinct boundary adjusted with Blake Precinct – January 2008
Precinct boundary adjusted with Vienna #6 Precinct – April 2011

Commonwealth of Virginia
COUNTY OF FAIRFAX
Springfield District

PRECINCT 851: WILLOW SPRINGS

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT: ELEVENTH
VIRGINIA SENATORIAL DISTRICT: THIRTY-SEVENTH
HOUSE OF DELEGATES DISTRICT: FORTIETH

DESCRIPTION:

Beginning at the intersection of Stringfellow Road and Interstate 66, thence with Interstate 66 in a northeasterly direction to its intersection with the Fairfax County Parkway (Route 7100), thence with the Fairfax County Parkway in a generally southeasterly direction to its intersection with Braddock Road, thence with Braddock Road in a westerly direction to its intersection with a projection of Old Clifton Road, thence with this projection and Old Clifton Road in a northeasterly direction to its intersection with Clifton Road, thence with Clifton Road in a northerly direction to its intersection with Stringfellow Road at Lee Highway (Route 29), thence with Stringfellow Road in a northerly direction to its intersection with Interstate 66, point of beginning.

POLLING PLACE: Willow Springs Elementary School
5400 Willow Springs School Road, Fairfax

MAP GRIDS: 55-1, 55-2, 55-3, 55-4, 56-3, 66-1, 66-2, 67-1

NOTES: Established April 1998
Stringfellow Road was realigned during the 1990's. The old Stringfellow Road was renamed Arrowhead Park Drive and no longer goes through to Lee Highway.
Moved from Sully District to Springfield District – 2001 Redistricting
Precinct description revised and readopted – March 2003
Precinct divided to follow [new alignment of] Stringfellow Road – April 2011

Commonwealth of Virginia
COUNTY OF FAIRFAX
Sully District

PRECINCT 926: POWELL

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT: ELEVENTH
VIRGINIA SENATORIAL DISTRICT: THIRTY-SEVENTH
HOUSE OF DELEGATES DISTRICT: FORTIETH

DESCRIPTION:

Beginning at the intersection of Interstate 66 and Stringfellow Road, thence with Stringfellow Road in a southerly direction to its intersection with Lee Highway (Route 29), thence with Lee Highway in a westerly direction to its intersection with Interstate 66, thence with Interstate 66 in a northeasterly direction to its intersection with Stringfellow Road, point of beginning.

POLLING PLACE: Powell Elementary School
 13340 Leland Road, Centreville

MAP GRIDS: 54-2, 54-3, 54-4, 55-1, 55-3

NOTES: Adopted as Trinity precinct - August 2001
 Stringfellow Road was realigned during the 1990's. The old Stringfellow Road was renamed Arrowhead Park Drive and no longer goes through to Lee Highway.
 Precinct description revised and readopted – March 2003
 Precinct renamed and polling location changed – March 2004
 Precinct boundary changed to follow [new alignment of] Stringfellow Road – April 2011


Exhibit 15



Duplicate copies of maps that show the distribution of Asian, Black, and Hispanic resident populations by precinct




Distribution of Asian Population by Voting Precinct, 2010 Fairfax County, Virginia



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File, prepared by Fairfax County Department of Neighborhood and Community Services, February 2011.




Distribution of Asian Population by Voting Precinct, 2010 Fairfax County, Virginia



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File, prepared by Fairfax County Department of Neighborhood and Community Services, February 2011.




Distribution of Black Population by Voting Precinct, 2010 Fairfax County, Virginia



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File, prepared by Fairfax County Department of Neighborhood and Community Services, February 2011.




Distribution of Black Population by Voting Precinct, 2010 Fairfax County, Virginia



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File, prepared by Fairfax County Department of Neighborhood and Community Services, February 2011.




Distribution of Hispanic Population by Voting Precinct, 2010 Fairfax County, Virginia



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File, prepared by Fairfax County Department of Neighborhood and Community Services, February 2011.



Distribution of Hispanic Population by Voting Precinct, 2010 Fairfax County, Virginia



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File, prepared by Fairfax County Department of Neighborhood and Community Services, February 2011.

Exhibit 16



Election returns from the 2007 November general election for
Board of Supervisors

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

DISTRICT	CHAIRMAN			BRADDOCK	
	GERALD E. "GERRY" CONNOLLY	GARY H. BAISE	GLENDA GAIL PARKER	SHARON S. BULOVA	CAREY C. CAMPBELL
BRADDOCK	13,984	7,739	1,007	17,854	3,944
HUNTER MILL	14,193	7,421	1,152		
DRANESVILLE	13,123	9,112	804		
LEE	9,799	4,737	953		
MASON	9,380	4,751	758		
MT. VERNON	11,389	6,376	954		
PROVIDENCE	11,192	6,028	737		
SPRINGFIELD	14,003	10,855	1,047		
SULLY	11,488	8,251	1,078		
ABSENTEE	5,247	3,110	493	841	229
PROVISIONAL	32	23	7	1	3
COUNTY TOTALS	113,830	68,403	8,990	18,696	4,176

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

DISTRICT	HUNTER MILL				DRANESVILLE		LEE	
	CATHERINE M. HUDGINS	GERALDINE A. BUTKUS	MARIE T. HUHTALA	MIKE J. "SPIKE" WILLIAMS	JOHN W. FOUST	JOAN M. DUBOIS	JEFF C. MCKAY	DOUGLAS R. "DOUG" BOULTER
BRADDOCK								
HUNTER MILL	12,807	864	5,044	3,665				
DRANESVILLE					12,279	10,730		
LEE							9,972	5,452
MASON								
MT. VERNON								
PROVIDENCE								
SPRINGFIELD								
SULLY								
ABSENTEE	641	58	213	191	781	584	400	200
PROVISIONAL	3	0	2	0	7	4	5	4
COUNTY TOTALS	13,451	922	5,259	3,856	13,067	11,318	10,377	5,656

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

DISTRICT	MASON		MT. VERNON		PROVIDENCE	SPRINGFIELD		SULLY	
	PENELOPE A. "PENNY" GROSS	VELLIE S. DIETRICH HALL	GERALD W. "GERRY" HYLAND	BRUCE H. RYAN	LINDA Q. SMYTH	P. MIKE MCCLANAHAN	PAT S. HERRITY	MICHAEL R. FREY	DAVID WILLIAM GILLIS, JR.
BRADDOCK									
HUNTER MILL									
DRANESVILLE									
LEE									
MASON	9,528	5,677							
MT. VERNON			13,360	3,832					
PROVIDENCE					14,672				
SPRINGFIELD						10,612	15,179		
SULLY								15,729	2,863
ABSENTEE	603	281	567	174	699	514	762	634	180
PROVISIONAL	5	1	2	3	6	6	4	2	0
COUNTY TOTALS	10,136	5,959	13,929	4,009	15,377	11,132	15,945	16,365	3,043

OFFICIAL RETURNS -- GENERAL ELECTION -- NOVEMBER 6, 2007

BRADDOCK
DISTRICT

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

PRECINCT	CHAIRMAN			BRADDOCK	
	GERALD E. "GERRY" CONNOLLY	GARY H. BAISE	GLENDA GAIL PARKER	SHARON S. BULOVA	CAREY C. CAMPBELL
102 BRISTOW	291	166	38	364	120
104 CHAPEL	552	322	20	691	141
105 FAIRVIEW	884	542	66	1,127	259
106 HERITAGE	474	189	53	544	151
108 KINGS PARK	563	371	37	765	172
109 OLDE CREEK	633	375	42	852	166
110 NO SPRINGFIELD #1	411	240	39	519	169
111 NO SPRINGFIELD #2	292	147	40	368	107
113 OAK HILL	570	324	32	770	128
115 RAVENSWORTH	336	206	28	447	108
116 WAKEFIELD	583	302	35	743	168
117 WOODSON	446	235	23	554	118
118 LAKE BRADDOCK	831	370	53	1,045	177
119 LAUREL	853	474	78	1,113	226
120 SIDEBURN	743	528	49	1,035	210
121 VILLA	404	253	40	545	124
122 LONG BRANCH	418	205	25	529	110
123 ROBINSON	921	481	65	1,174	251
124 OLLEY	488	241	42	641	117
125 SIGNAL HILL	741	418	47	974	188
126 BONNIE BRAE	502	242	29	613	132
127 BURKE CENTRE	782	410	40	938	188
128 CARDINAL	410	231	35	496	125
129 KEENE MILL	331	213	20	383	136
130 TERRA CENTRE	525	254	31	624	153
DISTRICT TOTALS	13,984	7,739	1,007	17,854	3,944

OFFICIAL RETURNS -- GENERAL ELECTION -- NOVEMBER 6, 2007

HUNTER MILL
DISTRICT

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

PRECINCT	CHAIRMAN			HUNTER MILL			
	GERALD E. "GERRY" CONNOLLY	GARY H. BAISE	GLENDA GAIL PARKER	CATHERINE M. HUDGINS	GERALDINE A. BUTKUS	MARIE T. HUHTALA	MIKE J. "SPIKE" WILLIAMS
202 FLINT HILL	865	584	59	844	69	301	244
203 FLORIS	671	420	58	625	55	212	248
208 RESTON #1	691	273	53	664	24	201	96
209 RESTON #2	529	208	38	514	23	184	48
213 VIENNA #1	864	515	64	793	70	231	277
214 VIENNA #2	696	404	64	675	47	183	224
216 VIENNA #4	316	187	26	303	19	77	112
218 VIENNA #6	496	238	47	466	37	111	140
219 WESTBRIAR	583	431	50	580	59	210	168
220 DOGWOOD	563	219	55	522	23	192	107
221 HUNTERS WOODS	631	381	52	393	30	482	193
222 RESTON #3	471	144	33	431	10	185	42
223 GLADE	761	250	73	606	20	322	144
224 SOUTH LAKES	884	306	54	793	30	274	140
225 TERRASET	614	183	39	516	29	219	83
226 WOLFTRAP	826	555	36	789	54	294	223
227 SUNRISE VALLEY	420	280	26	342	17	285	90
229 FOX MILL	881	639	86	655	66	360	495
233 NORTH POINT	621	328	49	551	32	244	168
234 ALDRIN	761	405	69	744	53	280	138
235 FRYING PAN	395	198	47	386	37	83	114
236 STUART	249	121	19	221	14	62	77
237 MCNAIR	405	152	55	394	46	52	94
DISTRICT TOTALS	14,193	7,421	1,152	12,807	864	5,044	3,665

OFFICIAL RETURNS -- GENERAL ELECTION -- NOVEMBER 6, 2007

DRANESVILLE
DISTRICT

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

PRECINCT	CHAIRMAN			DRANESVILLE	
	GERALD E. "GERRY" CONNOLLY	GARY H. BAISE	GLEND GAIL PARKER	JOHN W. FOUST	JOAN M. DUBOIS
301 CHAIN BRIDGE	586	422	29	596	440
302 CHESTERBROOK	610	364	21	541	442
303 CHURCHILL	334	219	13	328	243
304 COOPER	804	709	43	832	721
305 EL NIDO	508	260	29	496	295
306 GREAT FALLS	381	299	23	358	344
307 HAYCOCK	497	282	36	470	348
309 KENMORE	617	536	23	611	563
310 KIRBY	413	264	29	441	275
311 LANGLEY	457	318	14	423	366
312 LONGFELLOW	472	285	18	459	320
314 MCLEAN	448	304	28	502	289
315 PIMMIT	399	201	49	423	220
316 SALONA	606	380	23	572	447
317 WESTHAMPTON	393	228	22	358	275
318 WESTMORELAND	357	137	14	322	190
319 HERNDON #1	600	398	40	469	561
320 HERNDON #2	518	318	64	459	441
321 CLEARVIEW	749	456	65	612	651
322 FORESTVILLE	406	430	16	388	468
323 SHOUSE	498	364	22	439	438
324 HERNDON #3	408	277	34	382	331
325 HUTCHISON	257	89	44	168	227
327 SUGARLAND	447	316	33	357	436
328 HICKORY	496	386	35	429	495
329 SENECA	481	443	25	429	516
330 COLVIN	381	427	12	415	388
DISTRICT TOTALS	13,123	9,112	804	12,279	10,730

OFFICIAL RETURNS -- GENERAL ELECTION -- NOVEMBER 6, 2007

LEE
DISTRICT

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

PRECINCT	CHAIRMAN			LEE	
	GERALD E. "GERRY" CONNOLLY	GARY H. BAISE	GLEND GAIL PARKER	JEFF C. MCKAY	DOUGLAS R. "DOUG" BOULTER
401 BUSH HILL	632	307	60	627	366
402 CAMERON	162	82	20	178	84
404 FRANCONIA	506	191	57	492	252
405 GROVETON	458	133	61	502	153
406 HAYFIELD	552	396	32	555	434
408 MOUNT EAGLE	242	88	37	250	123
409 PIONEER	666	299	74	698	320
410 ROSE HILL	632	319	74	629	395
411 VIRGINIA HILLS	205	114	23	182	174
413 FAIRFIELD	499	128	65	538	158
415 CRESTWOOD	384	282	37	427	274
417 GARFIELD	433	241	50	457	268
418 LYNBROOK	269	175	27	306	168
419 LANE	433	199	28	423	227
420 VILLAGES	432	205	37	446	222
421 KINGSTOWNE	477	195	41	464	230
422 VAN DORN	482	209	40	469	250
423 CLERMONT	530	246	37	521	293
424 HUNTLEY	450	238	44	455	276
425 WILTON	287	123	31	300	150
426 GREENSPRING	663	393	38	640	432
427 ISLAND CREEK	405	174	40	413	203
DISTRICT TOTALS	9,799	4,737	953	9,972	5,452

OFFICIAL RETURNS -- GENERAL ELECTION -- NOVEMBER 6, 2007

MASON
DISTRICT

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

PRECINCT	CHAIRMAN			MASON	
	GERALD E. "GERRY" CONNOLLY	GARY H. BAISE	GLEND A GAIL PARKER	PENELOPE A. "PENNY" GROSS	VELLIE S. DIETRICH HALL
501 BAILEYS	483	183	43	484	236
502 BARCROFT	721	371	29	729	401
503 BELVEDERE	407	160	24	409	193
505 GLEN FOREST #1	222	98	12	230	103
506 HOLMES #1	79	30	11	78	42
507 LINCOLNIA	506	200	41	540	220
508 MASONVILLE	446	217	41	437	287
510 PARKLAWN	340	215	30	344	252
511 RAVENWOOD	392	179	19	357	244
512 SLEEPY HOLLOW	344	191	24	299	260
513 SAINT ALBANS	519	275	27	533	301
515 WESTLAWN	234	113	26	217	171
516 WEYANOKE	297	131	20	312	155
517 WILLSTON	192	89	27	208	112
518 COLUMBIA	374	209	48	419	243
519 HUMMER	337	218	29	382	218
520 SKYLINE	443	150	36	469	187
521 BROOK HILL	337	239	36	341	284
522 CAMELOT	369	256	18	387	255
523 POE	412	266	34	356	360
524 WHITTIER	258	108	28	268	128
525 WALNUT HILL #1	110	58	13	125	62
526 BREN MAR	331	143	39	367	165
527 EDSALL	250	149	19	227	207
528 RIDGELEA	319	207	17	343	205
529 GLEN FOREST #2	211	111	25	221	136
530 HOLMES #2	293	104	24	303	141
531 LEEWOOD	154	81	18	143	109
DISTRICT TOTALS	9,380	4,751	758	9,528	5,677

OFFICIAL RETURNS -- GENERAL ELECTION -- NOVEMBER 6, 2007

MOUNT VERNON DISTRICT PRECINCT	COMMONWEALTH'S ATTORNEY		SHERIFF	BOARD OF SUPERVISORS			MT. VERNON	
	RAYMOND F. MORROGH	PATRICK A. MCDADE	STAN G. BARRY	CHAIRMAN			GERALD W. "GERRY" HYLAND	BRUCE H. RYAN
				GERALD E. "GERRY" CONNOLLY	GARY H. BAISE	GLEND A GAIL PARKER		
601 BELLE HAVEN	288	224	434	334	205	36	389	128
602 BELLEVIEW	373	211	527	438	166	24	504	99
604 BUCKNELL	405	240	560	449	167	53	504	129
605 FORT HUNT	363	364	587	437	300	18	535	152
606 HOLLIN HALL	360	233	505	406	209	24	492	108
607 HUNTINGTON	449	248	643	502	169	67	560	152
608 KIRKSIDE	525	194	660	564	176	21	628	100
609 MARLAN	377	316	580	422	271	32	544	109
610 SHERWOOD	446	259	600	499	234	17	597	109
611 STRATFORD	637	502	956	737	405	40	907	190
612 WAYNEWOOD	255	234	406	317	187	14	378	95
613 WESTGATE	420	610	723	501	555	26	680	223
614 WHITMAN	337	336	556	396	284	29	481	167
615 WOODLEY	324	347	546	367	319	20	463	167
616 GUNSTON	328	295	567	378	231	55	479	171
617 LORTON	217	112	310	265	55	22	265	61
618 NEWINGTON	603	531	1,038	695	428	66	806	266
619 BELVOIR	232	180	393	296	104	46	319	122
621 GROSVENOR	138	71	191	156	32	31	167	54
622 LORTON STATION	240	162	369	293	103	32	292	97
623 ALBAN	161	135	266	174	112	24	207	79
624 HUNT	534	592	1,005	669	462	55	785	266
625 LORTON CENTER	214	204	352	239	175	26	282	108
626 SARATOGA	661	637	1,154	797	492	78	882	308
627 WOODLAWN	382	257	573	441	192	36	501	126
628 LAUREL HILL	509	472	890	617	343	62	713	246
DISTRICT TOTALS	9,778	7,966	15,391	11,389	6,376	954	13,360	3,832

OFFICIAL RETURNS -- GENERAL ELECTION -- NOVEMBER 6, 2007

PROVIDENCE DISTRICT PRECINCT	SHERIFF STAN G. BARRY	BOARD OF SUPERVISORS			PROVIDENCE LINDA Q. SMYTH
		CHAIRMAN GERALD E. "GERRY" CONNOLLY	GARY H. BAISE	GLEND GAIL PARKER	
701 BLAKE	719	540	268	44	711
703 FORT BUFFALO	327	273	96	19	325
704 FREEDOM HILL	548	368	268	27	546
705 GRAHAM	228	180	61	16	225
706 GREENWAY	414	314	157	16	410
707 MANTUA	807	660	319	13	812
708 MARSHALL	757	594	247	37	736
709 MOSBY	914	667	390	69	827
710 PINE SPRING	423	336	130	29	411
711 PRICE	679	530	263	17	682
712 SHREVE	357	290	112	19	346
713 TIMBER LANE	481	400	153	26	491
714 WALKER	708	518	290	53	705
717 WOODBURN	413	338	137	20	419
718 PINE RIDGE	476	350	196	17	464
719 STENWOOD	320	263	140	9	341
720 THOREAU	564	412	234	34	519
721 MERRIFIELD	383	273	144	25	377
726 MAGARITY	537	399	236	35	537
727 OAKTON	824	570	396	19	790
728 WALNUT HILL #2	223	152	89	15	215
729 NOTTOWAY	1,155	812	515	49	1,090
730 PENDERBROOK	668	476	254	37	660
731 TYSONS	325	248	142	19	320
732 OAK MARR	926	624	485	32	908
733 KILMER	830	605	306	41	805
DISTRICT TOTALS	15,006	11,192	6,028	737	14,672

OFFICIAL RETURNS -- GENERAL ELECTION -- NOVEMBER 6, 2007

PRECINCT	SHERIFF STAN G. BARRY	BOARD OF SUPERVISORS			SPRINGFIELD	
		CHAIRMAN GERALD E. "GERRY" CONNOLLY	GARY H. BAISE	GLEND GAIL PARKER	P. MIKE MCCLANAHAN	PAT S. HERRITY
801 BURKE	999	711	389	81	546	628
803 CLIFTON	1,356	750	857	52	586	1,062
805 FAIRFAX STATION	1,145	690	600	43	513	802
811 POHICK	1,010	710	453	45	595	614
812 VALLEY	865	563	355	50	398	599
815 WOODYARD	665	454	358	31	326	517
824 ORANGE	1,217	798	639	56	591	916
825 CHERRY RUN	845	591	391	39	479	531
827 IRVING	860	577	394	26	410	597
833 WHITE OAKS	750	523	332	46	415	491
838 SANGSTER	709	464	372	21	365	496
839 SILVERBROOK	1,052	685	515	35	420	808
840 WEST SPRINGFIELD	866	599	376	41	444	571
841 POPES HEAD	1,060	587	645	37	418	837
842 PARKWAY	675	471	313	27	348	463
843 FAIR LAKES	681	432	312	46	348	423
844 CENTERPOINTE	496	332	192	31	290	242
845 FOUNTAINHEAD	1,144	656	642	44	448	899
846 GREENBRIAR EAST	665	435	253	44	377	347
847 GREENBRIAR WEST	694	415	324	47	303	457
848 LEEHIGH	750	456	347	50	383	453
849 NEWGATE	1,428	793	805	58	502	1,173
850 SOUTH RUN	400	248	196	13	198	268
851 WILLOW SPRINGS	1,088	620	569	49	528	679
852 MONUMENT	638	443	226	35	381	306
DISTRICT TOTALS	22,058	14,003	10,855	1,047	10,612	15,179

OFFICIAL RETURNS -- GENERAL ELECTION -- NOVEMBER 6, 2007

SULLY
DISTRICT

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

PRECINCT	CHAIRMAN			SULLY	
	GERALD E. "GERRY" CONNOLLY	GARY H. BAISE	GLEND GAIL PARKER	MICHAEL R. FREY	DAVID WILLIAM GILLIS, JR.
901 CENTRE RIDGE	364	222	59	463	107
902 BROOKFIELD	540	341	45	664	150
903 CUB RUN	593	502	59	911	140
904 DULLES	268	197	51	419	79
905 FRANKLIN	599	348	65	765	153
908 KINROSS	809	591	66	1,137	166
910 LONDON TOWNE EAST	181	136	23	242	50
911 NAVY	561	323	40	705	108
913 ROCKY RUN	689	536	59	1,034	160
914 VALE	706	482	48	881	168
915 VIRGINIA RUN	454	418	35	722	135
916 WAPLES MILL	903	663	56	1,193	200
917 STONE	488	341	44	657	112
918 CENTREVILLE	513	289	68	589	151
919 GREEN TRAILS	541	346	72	718	157
920 LEES CORNER EAST	391	254	28	484	91
921 DEER PARK	550	482	32	889	100
923 BULL RUN	358	395	31	612	139
924 LONDON TOWNE WEST	300	146	41	336	93
925 OLD MILL	326	201	33	401	88
926 POWELL	342	222	39	413	92
927 LEES CORNER WEST	547	395	46	756	108
928 POPLAR TREE	465	421	38	738	116
DISTRICT TOTALS	11,488	8,251	1,078	15,729	2,863

Exhibit 17



**Resolution of the Board of Supervisors regarding
reapportionment, adopted November 16, 2010**

– RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS –

At a regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County, Virginia, held in the Board Auditorium of the Fairfax County Government Center at 12000 Government Center Parkway in Fairfax, Virginia, on Tuesday, November 16, 2010, at which meeting a quorum was present and voting, the following resolution was adopted in public session.

Whereas, Fairfax County, Virginia, is required to consider reapportionment every ten years; and

Whereas, the U.S. Census Bureau is expected to soon release the 2010 Census that will indicate that there have been sufficient population changes within Fairfax County, Virginia, to warrant a reapportionment of the Board of Supervisors of the County; and

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors has the power to apportion the election districts of the governing body in accordance with federal and state laws; now therefore it be,

Resolved that the Board of Supervisors supports and adopts the following;

Goal

The goal for the Fairfax County Board of Supervisors is to reapportion the governing body of the County during calendar year 2011. As part of that process, the Board will consider the existing election districts for the members of the Board and proposed revisions to those districts. That process will be completed and approved in accordance with federal and state law.

Criteria

1. The governing body of the County must be composed of one elected at-large chairman and a fixed number of members who are elected from single-member districts.
2. The Board will adopt election districts composed of contiguous and compact territory and which shall be so constituted as to give, as nearly as is practicable, representation in proportion to the population of the district.
3. The Board will adopt election districts with clearly observable boundaries, as Virginia law defines that term.
4. The Board will comply with the federal Voting Rights Act of 1965, as amended.

Policies

1. The Board will consider plans that maintain nine election districts as well as any other plans that propose a lawful number of election districts.
2. The Board will not consider election district proposals which would result in a maximum population deviation between election districts that is ten percent or greater.

3. The Board will consider existing geographical and political boundaries, which shall include, but not be limited to, the utilization of incorporated town boundaries.

4. The Board will consider established communities of interest that shall include, but not be limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interests. Present and planned use of land and public facilities are relevant to defining communities of interest.

5. The Board will consider existing districts and incumbent representation on local public bodies that may be affected by reapportionment.

6. The Board encourages plans that are based on existing voting precinct boundaries, and where changes are necessary, precincts shall be divided along Census block boundaries.


7. The Board encourages interested persons to submit reapportionment plans to the County. Proponents of any plan shall submit appropriate maps of proposed election districts and statistical summaries and analyses. The statistical analyses shall show the population deviation between election districts and any retrogressive effect on racial or language minority groups.

8. The Board encourages interested persons to submit proposals for amending or revising any reapportionment plan that has been submitted to the County. Proponents of any such amendment or revision shall submit appropriate maps showing the proposed changes and statistical summaries and analyses. The statistical analyses shall show the population deviation between election districts and any retrogressive effect on racial or language minority groups.

9. Notwithstanding Policies 7 and 8 above, the Board encourages public comments or recommendations from interested persons on any plan or change to plan that has been submitted.

10. All of the foregoing criteria shall be considered in the reapportionment process, but population equality among districts and compliance with federal and state constitutional requirements and the federal Voting Rights Act of 1965, as amended, shall be given priority in the event of conflict among the criteria.

GIVEN under my hand this 16th day of November 2010.



Nancy Vehrs
Clerk to the Board of Supervisors

Advisory Citizen Reapportionment Committee

List of community representatives to be appointed by the Board of Supervisors.

Representation

At-Large (2 members)

Braddock

Dranesville

Hunter Mill

Lee

Mason

Mount Vernon

Providence

Springfield

Sully

Democratic Party

Republican Party

Fairfax County Chamber of Commerce

Federation of Citizens Associations

League of Women Voters

African-American Community

Hispanic Community

Asian/Pacific Islander Community

Exhibit 18



**Board Agenda Item regarding 2011 reapportionment for
consideration at November 16, 2010 Board meeting**

Board Agenda Item
November 16, 2010

ACTION – 9

Action to Initiate the 2011 Redistricting of the Election Districts of the Board of Supervisors

ISSUE:

Board action on a process and schedule for considering and implementing the 2011 redistricting of the election districts of the Board based on the population from the 2010 Census.

RECOMMENDATION:

The County Executive recommends that the Board: (1) adopt and approve the general process and composition of an advisory citizen committee described below for the 2011 redistricting of the election districts of the Board; and (2) adopt the enclosed resolution that will provide a general statement of the goal, the criteria, and the policies that will be followed in the 2011 redistricting of the election districts of the Board.

TIMING:

The Virginia Constitution requires the Virginia General Assembly and those local governing bodies elected by district to consider redistricting in calendar year 2011. Because of the uneven populations among the present County election districts, the Board will need to reapportion the present election districts. This will require the County to: (1) consider changes to the County election districts and precincts; (2) adopt a plan to revise the present election districts; (3) secure federal preclearance of all changes affecting voting pursuant to Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965; (4) notify each County voter of any changes to that voter's election district; (5) be prepared to send out absentee ballots upon request forty-five days before the primary and general elections; and (6) conduct the primary and general elections based on the new election districts.

The data from the U.S. Census Bureau is not expected to be available to the County until February 2011. Assuming that those primary elections are deferred to mid-August, the County will need to consider the new population information and adopt a suitable plan for submission to the U.S. Attorney General for federal preclearance by the end of April 2011. In order to meet that deadline and to provide appropriate public input, staff recommends that the Board: (1) appoint an advisory citizen committee and task that committee with preparing redistricting alternatives for Board consideration, (2) conduct a public hearing on proposed redistricting plans, and finally, (3) adopt a redistricting plan on April 26, 2011. Further scheduling information is presented below.

Board Agenda Item
November 16, 2010

BACKGROUND:

On March 13, 2010, the Board noted that April 1, 2010, was National Census Day, and among other things, on that day the Board requested that the Board Legislative Committee develop guidelines and a schedule for Board consideration of redistricting and a recommended process for engaging citizens in this important matter. Also, on October 19, 2010, the Board asked that staff recommend a slate of seats for the composition of a citizen committee to be provided to the Board on November 16, 2010. These issues were discussed by the Legislative Committee on October 5 and 26, 2010.

In April 2010, the U.S. Census Bureau conducted the national decennial census in accordance with federal law. The Census Bureau is expected to release detailed population data relating to Virginia in February 2011. That information will be used for a wide range of purposes in future years, but, first and most important, the 2010 Census data will be used to reapportion representation in the United States House of Representatives, state legislatures, and local elected governing bodies. Virginia Code § 24.2-304.1 (2006) requires those local governing bodies elected by district to consider redistricting every ten years using the population data from the U.S. Census, and even though the new Census data are not yet available, there can be no doubt that population changes in the County since 2001 will require redistricting of the election districts of the Board.

Redistricting will require significant efforts by the Board, County staff, and interested County residents. In many ways, those efforts will be similar to previous redistricting inasmuch as populations will be considered and election districts redrawn. Nevertheless, there have been changes in federal and state laws that must be considered, and the widespread availability of computers and data can be expected to create more opportunities for public input than ever before possible.

Legal Constraints

The Board has the responsibility to reapportion the election districts of the governing body and the authority to change the number of those election districts. The urban county executive form of government requires the members of the Board, except for the elected at-large chairman, to be elected from single-member election districts, and our form of government also provides that the Board may have as many as twelve members (one elected at-large chairman and eleven elected by district) or as few as six members (one elected at-large and five elected by district).¹

¹ Redistricting of the election districts of the members of the Board will affect the future composition of many County boards, authorities, and commissions. After redistricting, if a member of the Board, the School Board, or any other County board, authority, or commission no longer resides in the district that he or she was elected or appointed to represent, then that member may continue in office until his or her term has expired. Virginia Code § 24.2-304.6 (2006).

Board Agenda Item
November 16, 2010

There are three principal requirements that any redistricting effort must satisfy. First, local representation must meet federal and state "one person, one vote" requirements. Those requirements are met by allowing only small population differences among election districts. In 1977, the United States Supreme Court indicated that a population deviation of less than ten percent among state and local election districts would be presumed constitutional, but even in instances where the deviation is less than ten percent, a good-faith effort to equalize populations using traditional redistricting principles must be shown. Courts have recognized these factors as traditional principles: (1) compactness; (2) contiguity; (3) preservation of political boundaries, *e.g.*, town boundaries; (4) preservation of communities of interest; (5) preservation of cores of prior districts; and (6) protection of incumbents.

Virginia law also requires election districts to follow clearly defined and observable boundaries. Clearly observable boundaries include any named road, any river, stream, or drainage feature more than forty feet in width, and any natural or constructed feature that appears on the official map of the County issued by the Virginia Department of Transportation or on a United States Geological Survey topographical map.

In addition, local redistricting must comply with the federal Voting Rights Act of 1965, as amended (Act), and that law has two major components. Section 2 of that Act prohibits any voting practices that have the effect of discriminating against voting rights on the basis of racial minority or language minority, and Section 5 requires federal preclearance of any change affecting voting made by certain state and local governments. The County is required to comply with the federal Section 5 preclearance procedure. That federal review generally takes sixty-one days, so that time must be considered in planning the County's efforts.

Planning for Changes

Planning for the redistricting effort is essential if the County's activities are to meet the substantive legal standards and the required time schedule. At this point, staff planning has focused on three areas: (1) public input; (2) staff support; and (3) scheduling requirements.

First, federal and state laws provide for public access to the redistricting process. The federal regulations on preclearance provide for public input, and those regulations encourage the localities that submit changes for preclearance to provide an account of local public input. In addition, Virginia law requires the Board to adopt the plan by ordinance in public session, and Virginia law provides citizens and news media representatives with access to public records pursuant to the Virginia Freedom of Information Act.

In order to obtain input from the public, in 1991 and 2001, the Board established an advisory citizen committee in each of these redistricting and those committees included representatives from each district and a number of representatives from various community organizations who were nominated to serve on those committees. In a series of evening meetings, these committees met and prepared a number of alternatives that were later

Board Agenda Item
November 16, 2010

considered by the Board. The meetings of those advisory citizen committees were open, and a number of observers and interested persons attended and contributed to the success of those committees. A similar procedure is recommended for 2011.

More specifically, staff recommends that the Board appoint an advisory committee to consider possible redistricting plans and to recommend redistricting options to the Board. The members of that advisory committee could be appointed by the Board as early as November 16, but staff expects that most members would be appointed by the Board from nominations provided by Board members and community interest groups on either December 7, 2010, or January 11, 2011. Staff also expects that advisory committee to begin meeting in January, and those meetings could continue well into March. The time requirements for committee members will be extensive. Committee members should expect to meet once or twice a week with County support staff to develop the redistricting plans that the committee will present to the Board for consideration. The committee should submit its report and recommendations to the Board by mid-March 2011. After considering the advisory committee's report and such other information as the Board desires, the Board would then be in a position to advertise those plans that it wishes to consider for possible adoption by the Board on April 26, 2011.

Second, the Board has assigned this issue to the Legislative Committee. With direction from the Legislative Committee, the County Executive's legislative staff will monitor proposed election changes at the 2011 General Assembly to keep the Board apprised of the state situation. The Office of the County Executive, the Office of the County Attorney, and the Department of Information Technology (DIT) will provide primary staff support to the local redistricting effort, together with demographic support from the Department of Neighborhood and Community Services (DNCS). The Office of the County Executive will coordinate the overall effort, but because of the extensive legal requirements on redistricting, the Office of the County Attorney will be involved. All data processing and mapping will be done by DIT. Similar staffing arrangements were used successfully in the County's 1991 and 2001 redistricting.

Third, in regard to scheduling, when the Board redistricted in 1991, the elections for the Board were held later that same calendar year, as will happen in 2011. The schedule described below is similar to the redistricting schedule used in 1991.

Redistricting Schedule

The General Assembly probably will reschedule the 2011 primary elections now scheduled for June 14. Also, the General Assembly has not yet scheduled its own redistricting activities, so given those uncertainties, staff cannot propose a firm schedule of events at this time. Moreover, the Board may need to address the committee recommendations in April while the budget is under consideration. Nevertheless, for present planning purposes, staff has prepared the following preliminary schedule for County redistricting. Please note that

Board Agenda Item
November 16, 2010

this schedule is based on an expectation that the General Assembly will move the June primary elections into mid-August:

<u>Task</u>	<u>Date</u>
Census population count	April 1, 2010
Board adopts general redistricting process, staffing arrangements, and resolution	November 16, 2010
Board appoints committee members	December 7, 2010
Census reports data to the U.S. President	December 31, 2010
Advisory Citizen Committee begins to meet for initial briefings and software training by County staff	Mid-January 2011
Census data given to Virginia	February 2011
Advisory Citizen Committee prepares plans and recommendations	February and early-March 2011
Advisory Citizen Committee presents report with alternative plans to the Board	Mid-March 2011
Board public hearing on recommendations	March 29, 2011
Board adopts redistricting plan	April 26, 2011
Board adopted plan submitted to the U.S. Attorney General for federal preclearance pursuant to Section 5 asking for expedited consideration	April 29, 2011
Federal preclearance complete and the Board plan becomes effective	June 2011
Primary elections for the Board and certain state and local offices	June 14, 2011 (expected to change to mid-August)
Voters notified of election changes by County Electoral Board staff	June and July 2011

Board Agenda Item
November 16, 2010

Absentee ballots mailed out to voters	Early July
Primary elections for the Board and certain state and local offices	August 16 or 23, 2011 (expected new date)
General elections for the Board and certain state and local offices	November 8, 2011
Board takes office using the new districts	January 1, 2012

FISCAL IMPACT:

None. No additional personnel costs are anticipated, and most equipment and software that will be used are on hand or have been budgeted and ordered. The advisory citizen committee is expected to serve without compensation.

ENCLOSED DOCUMENTS:

Attachment 1 – Draft Redistricting Resolution
Attachment 2 – Draft Composition of Citizen Committee Members

STAFF:

Anthony H. Griffin, County Executive
David Molchany, Deputy County Executive
Susan E. Mittereder, Legislative Director, Office of the County Executive
Wanda Gibson, Director, DIT
Gordon Jarratt, Director, Enterprise Systems Division, DIT
Thomas J. Conry, Chief, Mapping Services Branch, DIT
Edgardo Cortés, General Registrar
Anne Cahill, Chief Demographer, DNCS
David P. Bobzien, County Attorney
Michael Long, Deputy County Attorney
Erin C. Ward, Assistant County Attorney

– RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS –

Draft of October 28, 2010

At a regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County, Virginia, held in the Board Auditorium of the Fairfax County Government Center at 12000 Government Center Parkway in Fairfax, Virginia, on Tuesday, November 16, 2010, at which a quorum was present and voting, the following resolution was adopted in public session.

Whereas, Fairfax County, Virginia, is required to consider reapportionment every ten years; and

Whereas, the U.S. Census Bureau is expected to soon release the 2010 Census that will indicate that there have been sufficient population changes within Fairfax County, Virginia, to warrant a reapportionment of the Board of Supervisors of the County; and

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors has the power to apportion the election districts of the governing body in accordance with federal and state laws; now therefore it be,

Resolved that the Board of Supervisors supports and adopts the following;

Goal

The goal for the Fairfax County Board of Supervisors is to reapportion the governing body of the County during calendar year 2011. As part of that process, the Board will consider the existing election districts for the members of the Board and proposed revisions to those districts. That process will be completed and approved in accordance with federal and state law.

Criteria

1. The governing body of the County must be composed of one elected at-large chairman and a fixed number of members who are elected from single-member districts.

2. The Board will adopt election districts composed of contiguous and compact territory and which shall be so constituted as to give, as nearly as is practicable, representation in proportion to the population of the district.

3. The Board will adopt election districts with clearly observable boundaries, as Virginia law defines that term.

4. The Board will comply with the federal Voting Rights Act of 1965, as amended.

Policies

1. The Board will consider plans that maintain nine election districts as well as any other plans that propose a lawful number of election districts.

2. The Board will not consider election district proposals which would result in a maximum population deviation between election districts that is ten percent or greater.

3. The Board will consider existing geographical and political boundaries, which shall include, but not be limited to, the utilization of incorporated town boundaries.

4. The Board will consider established communities of interest that shall include, but not be limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interests. Present and planned use of land and public facilities are relevant to defining communities of interest.

5. The Board will consider existing districts and incumbent representation on local public bodies that may be affected by reapportionment.

6. The Board encourages plans that are based on existing voting precinct boundaries, and where changes are necessary, precincts shall be divided along Census block boundaries.

7. The Board encourages interested persons to submit reapportionment plans to the County. Proponents of any plan shall submit appropriate maps of proposed election districts and statistical summaries and analyses. The statistical analyses shall show the population deviation between election districts and any retrogressive effect on racial or language minority groups.

8. The Board encourages interested persons to submit proposals for amending or revising any reapportionment plan that has been submitted to the County. Proponents of any such amendment or revision shall submit appropriate maps showing the proposed changes and statistical summaries and analyses. The statistical analyses shall show the population deviation between election districts and any retrogressive effect on racial or language minority groups.

9. Notwithstanding Policies 7 and 8 above, the Board encourages public comments or recommendations from interested persons on any plan or change to plan that has been submitted.

10. All of the foregoing criteria shall be considered in the reapportionment process, but population equality among districts and compliance with federal and state constitutional requirements and the federal Voting Rights Act of 1965, as amended, shall be given priority in the event of conflict among the criteria.

GIVEN under my hand this ____ day of November 2010.

Nancy Vehrs
Clerk to the Board of Supervisors

Advisory Citizen Reapportionment Committee

List of community representatives to be appointed by the Board of Supervisors.

Representation

At-Large (2 members)

Braddock

Dranesville

Hunter Mill

Lee

Mason

Mount Vernon

Providence

Springfield

Sully

Democratic Party

Republican Party

Fairfax County Chamber of Commerce

Federation of Citizens Associations

League of Women Voters

African-American Community

Hispanic Community

Asian/Pacific Islander Community

Exhibit 19



**List of members of Advisory Citizens Committee on the 2011
Reapportionment of the Board of Supervisors**

**Advisory Citizens Committee on the
2011 Reapportionment of the Board of Supervisors**

Member Name

The Honorable Katherine K. Hanley, Chairman
Mr. Walter L. Alcorn
Mr. Christopher Thomas Craig
Mr. C. Denver Lovett
Mr. William E. Sudow
Mr. Scott T. Robinson
Mr. Robert Schwaninger
Mr. Paul E. Krizek
Ms. Carrie Nixon
Mr. Paul J. Liberty
Mr. Ralph Hubbard
Ms. Kristin Cabral
Mr. David Thomas
Mr. Owen Shortt
Mr. David L. Temple, Jr.
Mr. Jay Bhandari
Mr. Michael Kwon
Ms. Fran Fisher
Mr. Robert Jackson
Ms. Bettie Baca
Ms. Anne S. Kanter

Representing

At-Large
At-Large
Braddock District
Hunter Mill District
Dranesville District
Lee District
Mason District
Mount Vernon District
Providence District
Springfield District
Sully District
Democratic Party
Republican Party
African-American Community
African-American Community
Asian/Pacific Islander Community
Asian/Pacific Islander Community
Fairfax County Chamber of Commerce
Federation of Citizens Associations
Hispanic Community
League of Women Voters

Exhibit 20



Copy of the “sign-in” sheet bearing signatures of members of the public who signed in and attended Advisory Committee meetings

Exhibit 21



Screenshot of the homepage of Fairfax County's website

Online Services

- Contact Fairfax County
- Complaints and Concerns
- Emergency Alert Sign-up
- "Get Fairfax"   
- Jobs
- Library Catalog & Account
- Park Class Registration
- Real Estate Assessments
- Special Needs Registry
- Tax Payments
- ...more Online Services

Our Government

- About Fairfax County
- Board of Supervisors
- Boards, Authorities & Commissions
- County Executive
- Facilities & Locations
- Public Schools

News and Events


- Calendars
- Channel 16  
- E-mail Subscriptions 
- Media Relations
- NewsWire 

Maps, Facts & Stats

- Demographics & Data
- Geographic Information Systems (GIS)
- Maps
- My Neighborhood
- Virtual Fairfax

State & Federal

Top News



- o Earth Day 2011
- o Tysons Metro Station Access Management Study
- o Public Health Week
- o [Environmental Excellence Awards](#)
- o Redistricting
- o FY 2012 Proposed Budget

More headlines at [Fairfax County NewsWire](#)     

Topics

- Animals
- Arts
- Budget
- Building Permits
- Business
- Cable Television
- Consumer Protection
- Courts
- Emergency Information
- Environment & Energy
- Health & Human Services
- Housing
- Human Rights
- Jobs
- Land Use & Development
- Libraries
- New to Fairfax
- Older Adults
- Parks & Recreation
- Public Safety // Police
- Public Works & Utilities
- Recycling & Trash
- Schools & Education
- Shopping
- Taxes & Revenue
- Transportation // Fairfax Connector
- Visiting
- Volunteering

April 06, 2011: 59.0° F Partly Cloudy - Detailed Forecast

Calendar

View public events, activities and meetings 

County Advisories

- Weather and Closings
- Athletic Field Status
- Connector Bus Status
- Jury Duty Status

Featured Services

- [Report Road Issues to VDOT](#)
- [Pay Parking Tickets](#)
- [Report a Crime](#)
- [Emergency Food, Shelter](#)
- [Report Property or Injury Claim](#)

Language Translations

 Translate Fairfaxcounty.gov into other languages

Interactive Mapping Services

 **Virtual Fairfax:** Explore the county in 3D and access land information.

 **My Neighborhood:** Find information about schools, parks, demographics and more based on address.

Enter Street Address:

Exhibit 22



Screenshot of the redistricting webpage of Fairfax County's website

Related Links

- Board of Supervisors
- Find Your Supervisor
- District Maps
- Office of Elections
- Polling Places

Online Services

- Contact Fairfax County
- Complaints and Concerns
- Emergency Alert Sign-up
- "Get Fairfax"
- Jobs
- Library Catalog & Account
- Park Class Registration
- Real Estate Assessments
- Special Needs Registry
- Tax Payments
- ...more Online Services

Our Government

- About Fairfax County
- Board of Supervisors
- Boards, Authorities & Commissions
- County Executive
- Facilities & Locations
- Public Schools

News and Events

- Calendars
- Channel 16
- E-mail Subscriptions
- Media Relations
- NewsWire

Maps, Facts & Stats

- Demographics & Data
- Geographic Information Systems (GIS)
- Maps
- My Neighborhood
- Virtual Fairfax

State & Federal

Virginia.gov



Submit Redistricting Plans



Redistricting is a process controlled by state and federal laws. Those state and federal laws require or recognize the following criteria:

- **Equal representation** among all districts so every person's vote counts the same
- **Minimal disruption** to existing supervisor district patterns of service
- **Compact districts** composed of contiguous geographic pieces
- Compliance with the federal **Voting Rights Act**
- Consideration of established **communities of interest**

For more details about these concepts and criteria, please refer to the [Virginia Division of Legislative Services, Guide to Local Redistricting for 2011](#).

Residents are invited to submit their own Fairfax County supervisor redistricting proposals following the guidelines and process outline below.

Fairfax County Plan Submission Guidelines

1. Proposed redistricting plans must address the entire county, not just one or a few supervisor districts.
2. Voting precincts must be used as the building blocks for new supervisor district boundaries.
3. Proposed Fairfax County supervisor district redistricting plans must be submitted electronically no later than March 2, 2011, using the f below.

How to Submit Your Plans

1. Download the zip file below to your computer. The file contains three documents you must complete and one document with instructor. To open the zip file on your computer, simply double click on it and then save/extract the files to your computer.

Download Redistricting Zip File, which contains:

- Fxdata Excel file*
- Fxtext Word file*
- Fxmap image file*
- Readme text file (* indicates which files must be submitted)

NOTE: To create your own map, you must edit the existing map image in the zip file using a graphics program such as Windows Paint, Adobe Photoshop, MacPaint X, or Canvas, among others.

2. Follow the instructions in the Readme text file on how to complete the files and then package them in a zip format.
3. Submit the three files (Word, Excel and image map) with completed redistricting plans no later than March 2, to redistricting@fairfaxcounty.gov. Submitted plans will be provided to the Advisory Citizen Redistricting Committee for its consideration as members develop recommendations to the Board of Supervisors.

Contact Us: [General \(Office of Public Affairs\)](#) | [Technical \(Web Administrator\)](#) | [Directed Inquiries \(County Agencies\)](#)
Phone: County Main Number - 703-FAIRFAX (703-324-7329), TTY 711 | [County Phone Listing](#)



ADA Accessibility | Website Accessibility
[Awards](#) | [FOIA](#) | [Mobile](#) | [Using this Site](#) | [Web Disclaimer & Privacy Policy](#) | [Get Adobe Reader](#)
Official site of the County of Fairfax, Virginia, © Copyright 2011




Exhibit 23



Twitter announcement sent by County to promote the fact that members of the public could submit plans for consideration by the Board

@hipdanielle Thanks for the kind words about the library app! Be sure to follow the library on Twitter @fairfaxlibrary

10:08 AM Mar 4th via HootSuite in reply to hipdanielle

 **FairfaxCivilWar** Have you visited our Civil War site yet? Get Fairfax County Civil War events, itineraries, sites, stories, and more <http://bit.ly/gX5Mhf>

6:29 AM Mar 3rd via web

Retweeted by [fairfaxcounty](#) and 3 others

@SapEntInc: Parking permit application: <http://www.fairfaxcounty.gov/tdot/ppd.htm> Page includes phone # if you have questions

6:21 PM Mar 2nd via HootSuite

RT @fairfaxnewswire Public Can Submit Electoral Boundary Redistricting Proposals by March 2. <http://bit.ly/gaN6Yt>

6:00 PM Mar 1st via CoTweet

[more](#)

Exhibit 24



Schedule showing when “County Magazine,” including piece on reapportionment, was cablecast on Fairfax County’s government access channel

FAIRFAX COUNTY GOVERNMENT CHANNEL



April 2011 Programs and Highlights

	SUNDAY	MONDAY	TUESDAY	WEDNESDAY	THURSDAY	FRIDAY	SATURDAY			
9:30	Parks Classes: Yoga (cc)	Parks Classes: Yoga (cc)	Board of Supervisors Meeting (cc) – LIVE 4/12 and 4/26 at 9:30 a.m.	Board of Zoning Appeals Meeting – LIVE 4/6, 4/13, 4/20, and 4/27 at 9 a.m.	Parks Classes: Yoga (cc)	Parks Classes: Yoga (cc)	Parks Classes: Yoga (cc)			
10:00	Parks Plus (cc)	Parks Plus (cc)			Parks Plus (cc)	Parks Plus (cc)	Board of Supervisors Meeting (cc) – Replay 4/16 and 4/30 at 10 a.m.			
10:30	Fire & Rescue Digest (cc)	Preparing for All Hazards: Business			CHOICE: Home Modification Program	Fire & Rescue Digest (cc)				
11:00	Fairfax County Government Information	Fairfax County Government Information			Fairfax County Public Library Information	Fairfax County Government Information				
Noon	Fairfax County Tourism (cc)	Citizen Corps in Fairfax County (cc)			Mature Living (cc)	EDA Reports (cc)		Fairfax County Tourism (cc)		
12:30	Libraries: Check It Out (cc)	Affordable Rental Program (cc)			Foreclosure Prevention Initiatives	Behind the Star (cc)		Libraries: Check It Out (cc)		
1:00	Consumer Focus (cc)	Know Your Rights (Spanish)			Know Your Rights (Spanish)	Real Estate Assessments (cc)		On The Beat (cc)		
1:30	County Magazine (cc)	CHOICE: Home Modification Program			Your Health is in Your Hands (cc)	Athletic Field Maintenance (cc)		Citizen Corps in Fairfax County (cc)		
2:00	Fair Housing (cc)	Get Connected to Your Community Center (cc)			Maintenance and Stormwater (cc)	County Magazine (cc)		Get Connected to Your Community Center (cc)		
2:30	Pets and People (cc)	Pets and People (cc)			Wild Side of Fairfax County (cc)	Job News (cc)		Pets and People (cc)		
3:00	Maintenance and Stormwater (cc)	Fire & Rescue Digest (cc)			EDA Reports (cc)	Healthy Families Fairfax (cc)		Consumer Focus (cc)		
3:30	Libraries: Check It Out (cc)	Pop Up Trash (cc)			Access Family Services (Spanish)	Break the Silence (cc)		County Magazine (cc)		
4:00	Supervisor Hudgins Hunter Mill District (cc)	Real Estate Assessments (cc)			Real Estate Assessments (cc)	Tax Evaders (cc)		Supervisor Hudgins Hunter Mill District (cc)		
4:30	Chesapeake Bay Ordinance (cc)	Athletic Field Maintenance (cc)			Athletic Field Maintenance (cc)	Jury Duty (cc)		Job News (cc)		
5:00	Supervisor Cook Braddock District (cc)	Mature Living (cc)			County Magazine (cc)	Parks Plus (cc)		Supervisor Cook Braddock District (cc)		
5:30	Chairman Bulova (cc)	Foreclosure Prevention Initiatives			Job News (cc)	Fire & Rescue Digest (cc)		Chairman Bulova (cc)		
6:00	Mature Living (cc)	Maintenance and Stormwater (cc)			Break the Silence (cc)	Consumer Focus (cc)		On The Beat (cc)	Fire & Rescue Digest (cc)	Get Connected to Your Community Center (cc)
6:30	Supervisor Gross Mason District (cc)	County Magazine (cc)			County Magazine (cc)	Supervisor Hudgins Hunter Mill District (cc)		Planning Commission Roundtable (cc)	Supervisor Gross Mason District (cc)	Pets and People (cc)
7:00	Supervisor Frey Sully District (cc)	Supervisor Frey Sully District (cc)			Preparing for All Hazards: Business	Supervisor Cook Braddock District (cc)		Land-Use Process in Fairfax County (cc)	Supervisor Frey Sully District (cc)	Jury Duty (cc)
7:30	Board Agenda Preview or EDA Reports (cc)	Fairfax County Tourism (cc)	Libraries: Check It Out (cc)	Chairman Bulova (cc)	Supervisor Gross Mason District (cc)	Board Agenda Preview or EDA Reports (cc)		History of the Fairfax County Courthouse (cc)		
8:00	Fairfax County Public Library Information	Your Community, Your Call (cc)	Citizen Corps in Fairfax County (cc)	Planning Commission Meeting – LIVE 4/6 and 4/20 at 8 p.m.	Planning Commission Meeting – LIVE 4/14 and 4/28 at 8 p.m.	Board of Supervisors AM Session – Replay 4/15 and 4/29 at 8 p.m.	On The Beat (cc)			
8:30	Jury Duty (cc)		Affordable Rental Program (cc)				Libraries: Check It Out (cc)			
9:00	Parks Plus (cc)	EDA Reports (cc)	Parks Plus (cc)				County Magazine (cc)			
9:30	CHOICE: Home Modification Program	Behind the Star (cc)	Job News (cc)				Behind the Star (cc)			
10:00	Predatory Lending (cc)	Parks Plus (cc)	Consumer Focus (cc)				Fairfax County Tourism (cc)			
10:30	Job News (cc)	Fire & Rescue Digest (cc)	Wild Side of Fairfax County (cc)				Libraries: Check It Out (cc)			

Fairfax County Government Channel 16

For more information call 703-324-5930, TTY 711 or visit our web site at <http://www.fairfaxcounty.gov/cable>
This document will be made available in an alternate format upon request. Call 703-324-5930. TTY 711.

April 2011 Programs and Highlights

Board of Supervisors

The Fairfax County **Board of Supervisors** meets in regular session on Tuesday, April 12 and Tuesday, April 26 at 9:30 a.m.

Replay of the morning session of the April 12 meeting will air on Friday, April 15 at 8 p.m. Replay of the entire meeting of April 12 will air on Saturday, April 16 beginning at 10 a.m.

Replay of the morning session of the April 26 meeting will air on Friday, April 29 at 8 p.m. Replay of the entire meeting of April 26 will air on Saturday, April 30 beginning at 10 a.m.

Preview the Board agenda on April 8, April 10, April 22, and April 24 at 7:30 p.m.

Closed Captioned for the hearing impaired

Other Meetings

The **Planning Commission** will meet on April 6, April 14, April 20, and April 28 at 8 p.m.

The **Board of Zoning Appeals** will meet April 6, April 13, April 20, and April 27 beginning at 9 a.m.

New Programs and Highlights

Join Board of Supervisors Chairman Sharon Bulova for the **State of the County** address. Wednesdays at 7:30 p.m. Sundays and Fridays at 5:30 p.m.

Tune in to **Braddock Neighborhood News**, hosted by Braddock District Supervisor John Cook. Wednesdays at 7 p.m. Sundays and Fridays at 5 p.m.

Watch **Sully District Notebook** with Sully District Supervisor Michael Frey. Sundays, Mondays, and Fridays at 7 p.m.

Tune in to **Mason Matters**, hosted by Mason District Supervisor Penny Gross. Thursdays at 7:30 p.m. Fridays and Sundays at 6:30 p.m.

View **Connecting with Supervisor Hudgins**, hosted by Hunter Mill District Supervisor Catherine Hudgins. Wednesdays at 6:30 p.m. Sundays and Fridays at 4 p.m.

Watch the April edition of **County Magazine** to hear updates on the FY 2012 budget plan. Take a look at the process of re-drawing district boundary lines. Learn about free tax preparation at sites located around the county. And see how this year's Consumer Protection Week provided consumers with survival tools for today's economy. Tuesdays at 6:30 p.m. Fridays and Saturdays at 3:30 p.m.

Tune in to **Parks Plus** to take a look at Frying Pan Farm Park's new equestrian facilities. Take a deep breath and strike a pose with Hatha Yoga. And get fit with Cardio Boxing! Mondays and Wednesdays at 10 a.m. and 10 p.m.



Fairfax County Government Channel 16
Hometown Video Festival's
Best Government TV Station
in 1996, 1999, 2005, 2006, 2008, 2009 and 2010!

Exhibit 25



DVD/Internet Link of “County Magazine” piece on reapportionment and transcript of the text of the video

(Insert CD)

**DVD/Internet Link (<http://fairfaxcounty.gov/cable/channel16/asx/redistricting.asx>)
of “County Magazine” piece on reapportionment**

FAIRFAX COUNTY GOVERNMENT CHANNEL 16

TITLE: Redistricting

PRODUCER: Bey

DATE: 3/2/11

VIDEO

AUDIO

Footage from first committee meeting at the government center

NARRATOR

Fairfax County is in the process of re-drawing election district boundary lines for the 9 members of the Board of Supervisors who are elected by district. The process is called "redistricting" and local governments are mandated by law to review district boundaries every 10 years based on population changes gathered by the U.S. Census. The process is necessary to ensure evenly sized populations in each voting district. The Virginia General Assembly is responsible for redistricting the election districts for the state Senate, House of Delegates, and Congress.

Footage from BOS meeting
(were committee members appointed at a BOS meeting 11/16/2010 and 12/7/2010 and 1/11/2011

In Fairfax County, the Board of Supervisors established a Citizens Advisory Committee to participate in the process with support from county staff. Each district supervisor appointed one member from their community along with members from countywide organizations and racial and language minority groups to review census information and make recommendations to the Board.

B roll Kate at meeting

Former Secretary of the Commonwealth and Board Chairman Katherine Hanley was appointed by Board of Supervisors Chairman Bulova to serve as one of the at-large members, as well as the Chairman, of the committee.

Footage from redistricting process in 2001

The last redistricting process occurred in 2001. It was the first time the county used technology to help with the process of re-drawing district lines; markers, pens and lots of paper were the only tools available in the past.

Continued footage from redistricting process in 2001

During the 2001 redistricting process county residents made suggestions and voiced concerns about re-drawing district boundaries by making phone calls and sending letters. With help from the county's Geographic Information Systems team, committee members easily moved district boundaries for the first time using point click and drag options on desktop computers to produce electronic maps.

Current footage from committee meeting at government center

Screen shot of County website showing how citizens could create plans

The redistricting effort in 2011, with use of the internet, made it possible for residents to go to the County website and create plans to forward as a suggestion to committee members. Additionally, advances in software technology enabled committee members to use a web based tool from any location; whether committee meetings or at home to formulate ideas in changing district boundaries.

Footage of committee at meeting

This helped to expedite the progress of the Citizens Advisory Committee which had a short timeframe to finish and submit recommendations to the Board in March for new district boundaries.

Graphic with BOS footage in the background

A public hearing on the 25 different redistricting plans developed by Committee members and members of the public will be held at the Fairfax County Government Center on April 12 at 4:00 pm Residents who wish to speak at this public hearing can register online at

Graphic:

Public Hearing on Redistricting

Register online

www.fairfaxcounty.gov/bosclerk/speaker_bos.htm

703-324-3151 TTY 703-32403903

12000 Government Center Parkway

Fairfax 22030

Footage of BOS meeting

Graphic:

www.fairfaxcounty.gov/bosclerk/speaker_bos.htm

Online requests to speak before the Board of Supervisors must be fully completed by 10 a.m. on the day of the hearing to ensure your place on the speakers list. Speakers may also call 703-324-3151, TTY 703-324-3903, prior to noon on the same day to be placed on the speakers list.

The public hearing on redistricting will be held in the Board Auditorium at the Fairfax County Government Center, 12000 Government Center Parkway, Fairfax, VA 22035.

The Fairfax County Board of Supervisors will adopt the redistricting plan on April 26. Thereafter, the plan must be submitted to the U.S. Department of Justice for its review and pre-clearance under the federal Voting Rights Act.


To see the adopted plan for district boundaries visit the county's website.

Exhibit 26



**Report of the Advisory Citizens Committee on the 2011
Reapportionment of the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax
County, Virginia**

Report of the Advisory Citizens Committee
on the 2011 Reapportionment of the
Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County, Virginia



Sharon Bulova,
Chairman

John C. Cook,
Braddock District

John W. Foust,
Dranesville District

Catherine M. Hudgins,
Hunter Mill District

Jeff C. McKay,
Lee District

Penelope A. Gross,
Vice Chairman,
Mason District

Gerald W. Hyland,
Mount Vernon District

Linda Q. Smyth,
Providence District

Pat Herrity,
Springfield District

Michael R. Frey,
Sully District

Anthony H. Griffin
County Executive

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- 1. Letter to Board of Supervisors from Katherine K. Hanley, Committee Chairman 1**

- 2. Current Supervisor Districts 12**
 - 2.1 Map of current supervisor districts 13
 - 2.2 Summarized population counts by district..... 14
 - 2.3 Fairfax County Code chapter 7, Article 2..... 15
 - 2.4 Map and listing of current voting precincts 18
 - 2.5 Summarized population counts by precinct..... 20

- 3. Proposed Reapportionment Plans 29**
 - 3.1 Committee Plan 9A1 30
 - 3.2 Committee Plan 9A2..... 37
 - 3.3 Committee Plan 9A3..... 45
 - 3.4 Committee Plan 9A4..... 53
 - 3.5 Committee Plan 9A5..... 61
 - 3.6 Committee Plan 9A6..... 69
 - 3.7 Committee Plan 9A7..... 77
 - 3.8 Committee Plan 9A8..... 85
 - 3.9 Committee Plan 9A9..... 93
 - 3.10 Committee Plan 9A10..... 101
 - 3.11 Committee Plan 9A11 109
 - 3.12 Committee Plan 9A12..... 117
 - 3.13 Committee Plan 9B1 125
 - 3.14 Committee Plan 9B2..... 133
 - 3.15 Committee Plan 9B3..... 141
 - 3.16 Committee Plan 9B4..... 149
 - 3.17 Committee Plan 9B5 157
 - 3.18 Committee Plan 9B6..... 165
 - 3.19 Committee Plan 9B7..... 173
 - 3.20 Committee Plan 10A1 181
 - 3.21 Committee Plan 10A2..... 190
 - 3.22 Committee Plan 11A1 199
 - 3.23 Public Plan 1 208
 - 3.24 Public Plan 2 217
 - 3.25 Public Plan 3 226

- 4. County Staff Supporting Advisory Citizens Committee 235**



County of Fairfax, Virginia

To protect and enrich the quality of life for the people, neighborhoods and diverse communities of Fairfax County

March 28, 2011

Dear Madam Chairman and Members of the Board:

On behalf of the Advisory Citizens Committee on the 2011 Reapportionment of the Board of Supervisors, I am pleased to present for your consideration 22 plans developed by the members of the Committee and three plans developed by members of the public.

The Board established the Committee to develop recommendations on how the Board might reapportion the nine supervisor districts. State law, as well as federal and state constitutional principles, requires the Board to redistrict every ten years using official decennial Census data. To assist the Board in this endeavor, and to ensure broad public participation, the Board appointed as members of the Committee 21 County residents representing each supervisor district, the Democratic and Republican parties, the Fairfax County Chamber of Commerce, the Federation of Citizens Associations, the League of Women Voters, the African-American community, the Hispanic community, and the Asian/Pacific Islander community, as well as the County at large. A list of the Committee members is attached.

The Committee held seven meetings and one workshop. The time available to the County for redistricting this year is very limited, and the Committee had to complete its work quickly to leave sufficient time for the Board to consider the Committee's work, adopt a redistricting plan, and complete the legal steps required before state and local primary elections are conducted later this year. Therefore, the Committee began work even before the release of the Census data. The first meeting, held on January 18 in the Alternate Emergency Operations Center, featured: (1) a welcome and information from Supervisor Jeff McKay, the Chairman of the Board's Legislative Committee, (2) a retrospective review of the history of district representation and redistricting in Fairfax County, (3) a briefing by staff on the requirements established by federal and state law and by the Board, and (4) an overview of the demographic data the Committee would use to prepare proposed redistricting plans. At the second meeting, on February 1, Committee members learned to use the mapping and group decision software the County provided for the task. By the third meeting, on February 15, the Committee had received the Census data, had learned that the ideal district size to achieve proportional representation among nine districts was 120,192 persons, and had the statistics showing the distribution of the population, including minority populations, among the districts. Therefore, at the third meeting, the Committee members set about preparing plans. A summary of Fairfax County demographic data is attached.

All meetings except for the first were held in a training room operated by the Department of Information Technology. The room was well-suited to the Committee's purposes, with computer terminals and desk space for each Committee member, two large SmartBoard screens for displaying maps and other documents being discussed, and sufficient room for members of the public to attend and observe. The 2010 census data was used by the Committee's mapping software so that each time a district boundary was altered, the population and demographic impacts were immediately visible. For each proposed district, the software automatically calculated the total population, the deviation from the ideal population, and racial minority populations. All Committee meetings were open and advertised to the public, as required by the Virginia Freedom of Information Act. Several visitors attended and observed each Committee meeting.

Each proposed plan in this Report includes five parts. First, each plan includes a map showing the proposed composition of each district. Second, a summary sheet shows the precincts and population the plan proposes to move, along with basic statistical information. Third, summarized population counts are provided for each district in the proposed plan. Fourth, each plan includes a completed questionnaire that Committee members used to serve as a checklist of the legal requirements and the requirements established by the Board in the Resolution it adopted on November 16, 2010. Finally, each plan is accompanied by a proposed ordinance that would effect the plan. Using the questionnaires, each Committee member/author analyzed whether the districts in his or her proposed plan has a maximum population deviation of less than 10 percent, whether the plan creates a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service, whether the plan utilizes existing precinct boundaries and Census block boundaries, whether the districts proposed are compact and contiguous, and whether the plans protect minority voting interests. Additionally, the questionnaire includes a question on whether each proposed plan will increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs, a factor that the Committee agreed should be considered as well.

During the process, the Committee members further refined certain guiding principles. The Committee agreed that its primary concern was to cause minimum disruption to the existing district patterns of service. Many neighborhoods and communities have built relationships with their district Supervisors and those who represent their districts on County Boards and Commissions. Those interactions are important for civic involvement and participation. The Committee recognized that because Congressional, State Senate, and House of Delegate districts all will be changing, it is important to minimize further confusion for residents. Additionally, the Committee agreed that none of the plans forwarded to the Board of Supervisors would move a Supervisor or School Board district member out of his or her district, nor would any of the plans put two Supervisors in the same district. The Committee considered the disruption and cost if district government centers were moved into another district and agreed that none of the plans would include moving district offices out of the district.

Whenever possible, the Committee focused carefully on not unnecessarily breaking up communities of interest, including dividing neighborhoods and civic/homeowners' associations. Not all of the plans are completely successful in meeting this goal. The Committee agreed that the Towns of Herndon, Vienna, and Clifton, and the boundaries of Reston would not be divided. All the forwarded plans meet that goal, although the Committee noted that the boundary between Nottoway and Vienna #6 precincts should be redrawn so that the small portion of the Town of Vienna now in Nottoway precinct is in Vienna #6 precinct instead. The Committee also considered reuniting communities of interest that were divided in previous redistrictings, particularly if the earlier division had created very small precincts that are costly to operate. Other concerns discussed by the Committee included continuity of the Occoquan watershed, high school pyramids, the "Mixing Bowl," and Tysons Corner. We also heard about the need to consolidate small precincts, such as Graham and Greenway, to maximize resources, precluding dividing them into separate districts.

The plans developed by the Committee and presented in this Report include 19 nine-district plans, two ten-district plans, and one eleven-district plan. The Committee agreed that keeping nine districts is preferable because it is far less disruptive and it is more economical. In fact, the majority of the plans in group 9A below make no changes to Sully District or Lee District. Nevertheless, the Committee agreed to send forward the ten- and eleven-district plans to prompt thought and discussion about the possible need to consider increasing the size of the Board in the future, depending on the County's growth.

The Board of Supervisors solicited plans from the general public as well as from the Committee. In order to facilitate the preparation of such plans, the County's website included a redistricting page with mapping files, Census data by precinct and district, and a questionnaire similar to that used by the Committee members. Therefore, this Report includes three plans submitted by members of the public in addition to the 22 plans developed by the members of the Committee. We have included the publicly submitted maps and questionnaires in this Report, but note that none of these plans adhere to the Committee's guiding principles.

The plans are presented as follows:

Group I: Plans in this group, designated 9A_, move 9 or fewer precincts. They are presented in an order that starts with the plan that moves the fewest precincts. Plan Nos. 3 and 4 were each separately submitted by two Committee members, so only one map is included for each. Group I includes 12 plans designated 9A1 through 9A12.

Group II: Plans in this group, designated 9B_, move 10 or more precincts. Group II includes 7 plans designated 9B1 through 9B7.

Group III: Plans in this group, designated 10A_ or 11A_, create additional districts. Group III includes plans 10A1, 10A2, and 11A1.

Members, Board of Supervisors

March 28, 2011

Page 4

Public plans: Plans submitted by members of the public.

Finally, the Committee wishes to thank all of the County staff that made this process work so efficiently. Under redistricting veteran Michael Long's leadership, joined by Erin Ward, various agencies had been preparing long before the Committee meetings started and the census data arrived. Special thanks to Mike Liddle, Matthew Miller, Anne Pollack, and Sandy Woiak of the Department of Information Technology, who willingly explained several times how to make the redistricting software work, and to Tom Conry for supporting their efforts. Anne Cahill, another redistricting veteran, provided crucial demographic information and insight. Judy Flaig, from the Office of Elections, pitched in to put up signs and move chairs, along with providing her precinct expertise. Of course the Office of Public Affairs helped to involve and inform the public. Please note the attached list of those who supported this effort. All of them deserve our thanks and appreciation.

Although the Census numbers revealed that Fairfax County has not had as large or as uneven growth as in previous decades and technology has greatly improved, redistricting is still a challenge. The required timelines this year make it even more so. On behalf of the Committee, thank you for giving us this important and interesting opportunity.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Katherine K. Hanley". The signature is written in black ink and is positioned above the printed name of the signatory.


Katherine K. Hanley, Chairman

Attachments: List of Committee members
Summary of Fairfax County demographic data

**Advisory Citizens Committee on the
2011 Reapportionment of the Board of Supervisors**

<u>Member Name</u>	<u>Representing</u>
The Honorable Katherine K. Hanley, Chairman	At-Large
Mr. Walter L. Alcorn	At-Large
Mr. Christopher Thomas Craig	Braddock District
Mr. C. Denver Lovett	Hunter Mill District
Mr. William E. Sudow	Dranesville District
Mr. Scott T. Robinson	Lee District
Mr. Robert Schwaninger	Mason District
Mr. Paul E. Krizek	Mount Vernon District
Ms. Carrie Nixon	Providence District
Mr. Paul J. Liberty	Springfield District
Mr. Ralph Hubbard	Sully District
Ms. Kristin Cabral	Democratic Party
Mr. David Thomas	Republican Party
Mr. Owen Shortt	African-American Community
Mr. David L. Temple, Jr.	African-American Community
Mr. Jay Bhandari	Asian/Pacific Islander Community
Mr. Michael Kwon	Asian/Pacific Islander Community
Ms. Fran Fisher	Fairfax County Chamber of Commerce
Mr. Robert Jackson	Federation of Citizens Associations
Ms. Bettie Baca	Hispanic Community
Ms. Anne S. Kanter	League of Women Voters


Fairfax County Demographics



Fairfax County is an urban county that ranks among the top two percent of counties nationwide in terms of population size and density. The county, with a 2010 population of 1,081,726 persons, has more residents than eight states – Alaska, Delaware, Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota, Rhode Island, Vermont and Wyoming. Since 2000, Fairfax County’s

population increased by 112,000 persons or 11.5 percent. The growth by supervisor district over the past ten years was uneven. The Braddock Supervisor District population grew the most slowly, increasing by only 5.4 percent or 5,717 persons, while the Hunter Mill Supervisor District experienced the most rapid growth, increasing 15.6 percent or 17,056 persons. Currently, the Mason Supervisor District, which also experienced slow growth (6.0 percent), has the lowest population, 109,326 persons. The Mount Vernon Supervisor District which grew 13.6 percent has the largest population (127,501 persons). If all nine supervisor districts were equal in population size, each would have 120,192 residents.

Fairfax County also is racially and ethnically diverse. Over 45 percent of the county’s total population is a racial or ethnic minority. Over the past decade, all of the net population growth in Fairfax County was from racial and ethnic minorities. Unlike many jurisdictions, Fairfax County does not have a predominate




minority group and the county's minorities are widely dispersed geographically. Based on data from the Census Bureau's American Community Surveys, Fairfax County's Asian and Hispanic residents represent many different cultures. Ancestry from a single country does not form a predominate majority in either group. Countywide, 17.5 percent of the population is Asian, 15.6 percent is Hispanic and 9.2 percent is Black/African American.

Among the county's 232 voting precincts, only two precincts contain an Asian population that comprises more than 45 percent of the precinct's total population and only four precincts contain a Hispanic population that exceeds 45 percent of the precinct's population. No precinct in Fairfax County contains a Black/African American population that comprises more than 45 percent of the precinct's total population. By supervisor district, Sully Supervisor District contains the largest proportion of Asian residents (23.5 percent), Mason Supervisor District contains the largest proportion of Hispanic residents (29.3 percent), and Lee (17.5 percent) and Mount Vernon (17.3 percent) Supervisor Districts contain the largest proportions of Black/African American residents.




Distribution of Asian Population by Voting Precinct, 2010 Fairfax County, Virginia



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File, prepared by Fairfax County Department of Neighborhood and Community Services, February 2011.




Distribution of Black Population by Voting Precinct, 2010 Fairfax County, Virginia



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File, prepared by Fairfax County Department of Neighborhood and Community Services, February 2011.




Distribution of Hispanic Population by Voting Precinct, 2010 Fairfax County, Virginia



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File, prepared by Fairfax County Department of Neighborhood and Community Services, February 2011.



Distribution of White Population by Voting Precinct, 2010 Fairfax County, Virginia




Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File, prepared by Fairfax County Department of Neighborhood and Community Services, February 2011.

Current Supervisor Districts





FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA




Current Supervisor District Boundaries

Voting Precinct Boundaries



Supervisor Districts



Note: This map is intended for reference purposes only. Fairfax County does not provide any guarantee of the accuracy or completeness regarding the map information.

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 120,192

Summarized Population Counts by Current Supervisor Districts

Supervisor District	Deviation from Target Population	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Race	Hispanic or Latino
Braddock	-7.3%	111,430	73,219	6,593	369	20,791	10,458	17,530
<i>Percent of total</i>			65.7%	5.9%	0.3%	18.7%	9.4%	15.7%
Dranesville	-3.8%	115,586	81,963	4,884	360	18,267	10,112	15,122
<i>Percent of total</i>			70.9%	4.2%	0.3%	15.8%		13.1%
Hunter Mill	5.3%	126,594	84,989	9,727	353	21,736	9,789	13,096
<i>Percent of total</i>			67.1%	7.7%	0.3%	17.2%	7.7%	10.3%
Lee	-1.8%	117,984	62,721	20,616	618	15,958	18,071	27,668
<i>Percent of total</i>			53.2%	17.5%	0.5%	13.5%	15.3%	23.5%
Mason	-9.0%	109,326	58,975	12,220	668	18,513	18,950	32,058
<i>Percent of total</i>			53.9%	11.2%	0.6%	16.9%	17.3%	29.3%
Mount Vernon	6.1%	127,501	77,931	22,111	463	14,012	12,984	18,292
<i>Percent of total</i>			61.1%	17.3%	0.4%	11.0%	10.2%	14.3%
Providence	2.6%	123,327	75,028	7,063	467	28,387	12,382	19,131
<i>Percent of total</i>			60.8%	5.7%	0.4%	23.0%	10.0%	15.5%
Springfield	4.6%	125,775	86,463	8,217	283	22,845	7,967	10,977
<i>Percent of total</i>			68.7%	6.5%	0.2%	18.2%	6.3%	8.7%
Sully	3.3%	124,203	76,701	7,787	303	29,152	10,260	14,608
<i>Percent of total</i>			61.8%	6.3%	0.2%	23.5%	8.3%	11.8%
Fairfax County		1,081,726	677,990	99,218	3,884	189,661	110,973	168,482
<i>Percent of total</i>			62.7%	9.2%	0.4%	17.5%	10.3%	15.6%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE CHAPTER 7

ARTICLE 2. - Election Districts for the Board of Supervisors.

Section 7-2-1. - Composition of the Board of Supervisors.

The Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County, Virginia ("Board"), shall be composed of one elected at-large chairman and nine members who are elected from single-member supervisor election districts.

Section 7-2-2. - Election District of the Chairman.

The Chairman of the Board shall be elected in accordance with state law by the qualified voters of the County.

Section 7-2-3. - Election Districts of the members of the Board.

Except for the Chairman whose election shall be controlled by Section 7-2-2, supra, the members of the Board shall be elected in accordance with state law from single-member election districts by the qualified voters of those districts. There shall be nine election districts that shall be named: Braddock, Hunter Mill, Dranesville, Lee, Mason, Mount Vernon, Providence, Springfield, and Sully.

Section 7-2-4. - Braddock District.

The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae, Bristow, Burke Centre, Cardinal, Chapel, Fairview, Heritage, Keene Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch, North Springfield No. 1, North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde Creek, Olley, Ravensworth, Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield, and Woodson.

Section 7-2-5. - Hunter Mill District.

The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron Glen, Coates, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade, Hunters Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3, South Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No. 4, Vienna No. 6, Westbriar, and Wolftrap.

Section 7-2-6. - Dranesville District.

The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge, Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, Colvin, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville, Great Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory, Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, McLean, Pimmit, Salona, Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Sugarland, Westhampton, and Westmoreland.

Section 7-2-7. - Lee District.

The Lee District shall consist of these election precincts: Bush Hill, Cameron, Clermont, Crestwood, Fairfield, Franconia, Garfield, Greenspring, Groveton, Hayfield, Huntley, Island Creek, Kingstowne, Lane, Lynbrook, Mount Eagle, Pioneer, Rose Hill, Van Dorn, Villages, Virginia Hills, and Wilton.

Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.

The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft, Belvedere, Bren Mar, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Glen Forest No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer, Leewood, Lincolnia, Masonville, Parklawn, Poe, Ravenwood, Ridgelea, Saint Albans, Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No. 1, Westlawn, Weyanoke, Whittier, and Willston.

Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.

The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall, Hunt, Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station, Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood, Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.

Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.

The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Fairfax Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is surrounded by Fairfax City), Fort Buffalo, Freedom Hill, Graham, Greenway, Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak Marr, Oakton, Penderbrook, Pine Ridge, Pine Spring, Price, Shreve, Stenwood, Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, Walker, Walnut Hill No. 2, and Woodburn.

Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.

The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke, Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, Eagle View, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax Station, Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Irving, Monument, Newgate North, Newgate South, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, Popes Head, Sangster, Silverbrook, South Run, Valley, West Springfield, White Oaks, Willow Springs, and Woodyard.

Section 7-2-12. - Sully District.

The Sully District shall consist of these election precincts: Brookfield, Bull Run, Centre Ridge, Centreville, Cub Run, Deer Park, Dulles, Franklin, Green Trails, Kinross, Lees Corner East, Lees Corner West, London Towne East, London Towne West, Navy, Old Mill, Poplar Tree, Powell, Rocky Run, Stone, Vale, Virginia Run, and Waples Mill.

Section 7-2-13. - General provisions.

All references to election precincts shall refer to those precincts, together with the descriptions and maps of the boundaries and polling places for each of those precincts, which were adopted by the Board of Supervisors on March 24, 2003, as amended on March 8, 2004, March 21, 2005, March 27, 2006, March 26, 2007, September 10, 2007, March 10, 2008, January 12, 2009, March 9, 2010, and July 27, 2010, and kept on file with the Clerk to the Board of Supervisors. Whenever a road, a stream, or other physical feature describes the boundary of a precinct, the center of such road, stream, or physical feature shall be the dividing line between that precinct and any adjoining precinct.

Fairfax County, Virginia

Voting Precincts

March 2011



333 Precinct Number
(See separate Voting Precinct Index)

(Precinct Boundary Source: U.S. Census Bureau)

Voting Precinct Index - Fairfax County, Virginia March 2011

Number	Precinct Name
102	Bristow
104	Chapel
105	Fairview
106	Heritage
108	Kings Park
109	Olde Creek
110	North Springfield # 1
111	North Springfield # 2
113	Oak Hill
115	Ravenworth
116	Wakefield
117	Woodson
118	Lake Braddock
119	Laurel
120	Sideburn
121	Villa
122	Long Branch
123	Robinson
124	Olley
125	Signal Hill
126	Bonnie Brae
127	Burke Centre
128	Cardinal
129	Keene Mill
130	Terra Centre
202	Flint Hill
203	Floris
208	Reston #1
209	Reston #2
213	Vienna #1
214	Vienna #2
216	Vienna #4
218	Vienna #6
219	Westbriar
220	Dogwood
221	Hunters Woods
222	Reston #3
223	Glade
224	South Lakes
225	Terrasat
226	Wolftrap
227	Sunrise Valley
229	Fox Mill
233	North Point
234	Aldrin
235	Frying Pan
236	Stuart

Number	Precinct Name
237	McNair
238	Cameron Glen
239	Coates
301	Chain Bridge
302	Chesterbrook
303	Churchill
304	Cooper
305	El Nido
306	Great Falls
307	Haycock
309	Kenmore
310	Kirby
311	Langley
312	Longfellow
314	McLean
315	Pimmit
316	Salona
317	Westhampton
318	Westmoreland
319	Herndon #1
320	Herndon #2
321	Clearview
322	Forestville
323	Shouse
324	Herndon #3
325	Hutchison
327	Sugarland
328	Hickory
329	Seneca
330	Colvin
331	Spring Hill
401	Bush Hill
402	Cameron
404	Franconia
405	Groveton
406	Hayfield
408	Mount Eagle
409	Pioneer
410	Rose Hill
411	Virginia Hills
413	Fairfield
415	Crestwood
417	Garfield
418	Lynbrook
419	Lane
420	Villages
421	Kingstowne

Number	Precinct Name
422	Van Dorn
423	Clermont
424	Huntley
425	Wilton
426	Greenspring
427	Island Creek
501	Baileys
502	Barcroft
503	Belvedere
505	Glen Forest
506	Holmes #1
507	Lincolnia
508	Masonville
510	Parklawn
511	Ravenwood
512	Sleepy Hollow
513	Saint Albans
515	Westlawn
516	Weyanoke
517	Willston
518	Columbia
519	Hummer
520	Skyline
521	Brook Hill
522	Camelot
523	Poe
524	Whittier
525	Walnut Hill # 1
526	Bren Mar
527	Edsall
528	Ridgelea
529	Glen Forest #2
530	Holmes #2
531	Leewood
601	Belle Haven
602	Bellevue
604	Bucknell
605	Fort Hunt
606	Hollin Hall
607	Huntington
608	Kirkside
609	Marlan
610	Sherwood
611	Stratford
612	Waynewood
613	Westgate
614	Whitman

Number	Precinct Name
615	Woodley
616	Gunston
617	Lorton
618	Newington
619	Belvoir
621	Grosvenor
622	Lorton Station
623	Alban
624	Hunt
625	Lorton Center
626	Saratoga
627	Woodlawn
628	Laurel Hill
629	South County
700	Fairfax Court
701	Blake
703	Fort Buffalo
704	Freedom Hill
705	Graham
706	Greenway
707	Mantua
708	Marshall
709	Mosby
710	Pine Spring
711	Price
712	Shreve
713	Timber Lane
714	Walker
717	Woodburn
718	Pine Ridge
719	Stenwood
720	Thoreau
721	Merrifield
726	Magarity
727	Oakton
728	Walnut Hill # 2
729	Nottoway
730	Penderbrook
731	Tyson
732	Oak Marr
733	Kilmer
801	Burke
803	Clifton
805	Fairfax Station
811	Pohick
812	Valley
815	Woodyard

Number	Precinct Name
824	Orange
825	Cherry Run
827	Irving
833	White Oaks
838	Sangster
839	Silverbrook
840	West Springfield
841	Popes Head
842	Parkway
843	Fairlakes
844	Centerpointe
845	Fountainhead
846	Greenbriar East
847	Greenbriar West
848	Fair Oaks
849	Newgate North
850	South Run
851	Willow Springs
852	Monument
853	Eagle View
854	Newgate South
901	Centre Ridge
902	Brookfield
903	Cub Run
904	Dulles
905	Franklin
908	Kinross
910	London Towne East
911	Navy
913	Rocky Run
914	Vale
915	Virginia Run
916	Waples Mill
917	Stone
918	Centreville
919	Green Trails
920	Lees Corner East
921	Deer Park
923	Bull Run
924	London Towne West
925	Old Mill
926	Trinity
927	Lees Corner West
928	Poplar Tree

Summarized Population Counts by Voting Precinct

Precinct Number	Precinct	Current Supervisor District	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Race	Hispanic or Latino
102	BRISTOW	Braddock	5,503	2,137	752	21	1,551	1,042	1,753
104	CHAPEL	Braddock	3,156	2,447	81	8	446	174	294
105	FAIRVIEW	Braddock	6,948	4,901	433	8	982	624	786
106	HERITAGE	Braddock	9,805	4,211	821	74	2,803	1,896	3,640
108	KINGS PARK	Braddock	4,333	3,089	179	11	697	357	699
109	OLDE CREEK	Braddock	3,262	2,482	75	15	562	128	310
110	NO. SPRINGFIELD #1	Braddock	3,674	2,300	148	10	902	314	643
111	NO. SPRINGFIELD #2	Braddock	3,626	1,815	309	35	650	817	1,258
113	OAK HILL	Braddock	3,019	2,245	61	4	614	95	196
115	RAVENSWORTH	Braddock	2,466	1,640	61	6	534	225	355
116	WAKEFIELD	Braddock	2,966	2,395	64	5	407	95	205
117	WOODSON	Braddock	7,314	4,939	741	12	1,123	499	474
118	LAKE BRADDOCK	Braddock	6,805	4,495	386	25	1,264	635	1,362
119	LAUREL	Braddock	5,611	3,966	332	8	875	430	593
120	SIDEBURN	Braddock	4,130	3,399	124	4	407	196	304
121	VILLA	Braddock	3,204	1,978	204	29	648	345	516
122	LONG BRANCH	Braddock	2,198	1,655	45	3	422	73	126
123	ROBINSON	Braddock	6,304	4,332	312	14	1,230	416	612
124	OLLEY	Braddock	2,651	1,893	52	4	599	103	157
125	SIGNAL HILL	Braddock	4,257	3,152	110	11	784	200	344
126	BONNIE BRAE	Braddock	3,378	2,158	247	1	691	281	433
127	BURKE CENTRE	Braddock	7,071	4,896	436	20	1,143	576	1,043
128	CARDINAL	Braddock	3,794	2,569	351	18	492	364	583
129	KEENE MILL	Braddock	2,648	1,759	120	6	438	325	459
130	TERRA CENTRE	Braddock	3,307	2,366	149	17	527	248	385

Precinct Number	Precinct	Current Supervisor District	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Race	Hispanic or Latino
202	FLINT HILL	Hunter Mill	5,932	4,577	150	21	720	464	610
203	FLORIS	Hunter Mill	5,204	3,421	174	9	1,391	209	188
208	RESTON #1	Hunter Mill	4,738	2,942	626	17	710	443	573
209	RESTON #2	Hunter Mill	4,703	3,197	737	5	418	346	441
213	VIENNA #1	Hunter Mill	5,496	4,416	110	3	674	293	413
214	VIENNA #2	Hunter Mill	4,158	3,414	66	10	482	186	260
216	VIENNA #4	Hunter Mill	2,997	1,777	92	21	367	740	1,015
218	VIENNA #6	Hunter Mill	2,853	2,100	220	14	329	190	187
219	WESTBRIAR	Hunter Mill	5,268	3,800	166	6	1,050	246	309
220	DOGWOOD	Hunter Mill	7,658	3,580	1,241	76	1,129	1,632	2,331
221	HUNTERS WOODS	Hunter Mill	3,302	2,859	132	4	197	110	133
222	RESTON #3	Hunter Mill	3,240	2,241	366	11	282	340	535
223	GLADE	Hunter Mill	5,132	3,814	416	5	531	366	401
224	SOUTH LAKES	Hunter Mill	5,684	4,711	277	14	424	258	283
225	TERRASET	Hunter Mill	6,940	4,148	909	18	948	917	1,673
226	WOLFTRAP	Hunter Mill	4,460	3,932	34	5	370	119	181
227	SUNRISE VALLEY	Hunter Mill	2,378	1,973	53	2	266	84	124
229	FOX MILL	Hunter Mill	6,062	4,641	209	17	889	306	319
233	NORTH POINT	Hunter Mill	6,986	5,598	347	14	681	346	476
234	ALDRIN	Hunter Mill	6,352	5,095	272	11	721	253	295
235	FRYING PAN	Hunter Mill	5,436	2,182	369	18	2,569	298	264
236	STUART	Hunter Mill	1,828	1,404	118	1	191	114	143
237	MCNAIR	Hunter Mill	7,557	3,034	1,043	26	2,877	577	788
238	CAMERON GLEN	Hunter Mill	4,836	3,607	301	12	665	251	299
239	COATES	Hunter Mill	7,394	2,526	1,299	13	2,855	701	855

Precinct Number	Precinct	Current Supervisor District	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Race	Hispanic or Latino
301	CHAIN BRIDGE	Dranesville	4,726	3,715	73	5	805	128	233
302	CHESTERBROOK	Dranesville	3,258	2,911	45	5	189	108	155
303	CHURCHILL	Dranesville	2,178	1,585	35	7	449	102	123
304	COOPER	Dranesville	2,993	2,347	70	2	446	128	109
305	EL NIDO	Dranesville	3,154	2,643	43	7	342	119	142
306	GREAT FALLS	Dranesville	2,525	2,193	29	4	200	99	84
307	HAYCOCK	Dranesville	3,369	2,780	49	4	384	152	158
309	KENMORE	Dranesville	4,966	3,616	140	5	1,037	168	178
310	KIRBY	Dranesville	3,043	2,343	41	-	516	143	158
311	LANGLEY	Dranesville	2,736	2,264	38	8	356	70	138
312	LONGFELLOW	Dranesville	3,861	2,894	74	7	717	169	200
314	MCLEAN	Dranesville	3,382	2,571	82	1	569	159	199
315	PIMMIT	Dranesville	5,254	3,601	214	50	790	599	1,174
316	SALONA	Dranesville	3,623	3,052	98	4	352	117	190
317	WESTHAMPTON	Dranesville	3,095	2,494	36	6	426	133	158
318	WESTMORELAND	Dranesville	2,030	1,550	24	2	351	103	132
319	HERNDON #1	Dranesville	6,684	4,014	538	41	1,135	956	1,476
320	HERNDON #2	Dranesville	8,600	4,155	807	59	1,433	2,146	3,434
321	CLEARVIEW	Dranesville	5,784	4,480	252	17	686	349	462
322	FORESTVILLE	Dranesville	4,234	3,444	74	6	527	183	167
323	SHOUSE	Dranesville	3,059	2,193	73	6	704	83	80
324	HERNDON #3	Dranesville	8,008	3,641	878	54	1,602	1,833	2,916
325	HUTCHISON	Dranesville	5,843	2,733	682	40	1,220	1,168	2,116
327	SUGARLAND	Dranesville	4,261	3,065	193	5	691	307	309
328	HICKORY	Dranesville	4,221	3,323	63	2	675	158	150
329	SENECA	Dranesville	4,447	3,464	114	5	683	181	202
330	COLVIN	Dranesville	3,240	2,507	76	2	493	162	163
331	SPRING HILL	Dranesville	3,012	2,385	43	6	489	89	116

Precinct Number	Precinct	Current Supervisor District	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Race	Hispanic or Latino
401	BUSH HILL	Lee	4,703	3,357	501	5	529	311	548
402	CAMERON	Lee	1,650	1,042	167	15	121	305	430
404	FRANCONIA	Lee	5,616	3,440	881	23	923	349	518
405	GROVETON	Lee	14,159	4,966	4,214	85	1,235	3,659	5,896
406	HAYFIELD	Lee	3,836	2,990	413	11	232	190	293
408	MOUNT EAGLE	Lee	2,327	1,534	190	14	159	430	778
409	PIONEER	Lee	9,105	4,331	1,384	43	2,040	1,307	1,972
410	ROSE HILL	Lee	6,812	4,354	812	24	838	784	1,073
411	VIRGINIA HILLS	Lee	2,270	1,502	147	11	204	406	844
413	FAIRFIELD	Lee	12,582	3,644	4,514	109	844	3,471	5,207
415	CRESTWOOD	Lee	4,910	2,422	203	62	1,036	1,187	1,913
417	GARFIELD	Lee	6,213	2,579	601	27	2,189	817	1,038
418	LYNBROOK	Lee	4,867	1,886	260	58	1,110	1,553	2,245
419	LANE	Lee	5,322	2,970	1,019	23	796	514	894
420	VILLAGES	Lee	5,421	3,436	952	17	615	401	510
421	KINGSTOWNE	Lee	5,771	3,542	1,047	14	748	420	536
422	VAN DORN	Lee	5,613	3,205	1,193	11	771	433	624
423	CLERMONT	Lee	3,829	2,760	277	12	354	426	639
424	HUNTLEY	Lee	3,677	2,386	552	12	396	331	435
425	WILTON	Lee	3,398	1,955	641	20	248	534	922
426	GREENSPRING	Lee	2,010	1,948	15	1	40	6	13
427	ISLAND CREEK	Lee	3,893	2,472	633	21	530	237	340

Precinct Number	Precinct	Current Supervisor District	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Race	Hispanic or Latino
501	BAILEYS	Mason	7,072	3,249	1,504	39	765	1,515	2,544
502	BARCROFT	Mason	4,040	3,216	83	4	404	333	580
503	BELVEDERE	Mason	2,307	1,761	42	5	192	307	582
505	GLEN FOREST #1	Mason	3,981	2,096	606	22	484	773	1,388
506	HOLMES #1_8TH	Mason	5,521	2,363	100	160	437	2,461	4,655
507	LINCOLNIA	Mason	6,215	3,023	1,348	27	858	959	1,667
508	MASONVILLE	Mason	3,049	1,965	79	7	633	365	712
510	PARKLAWN	Mason	3,395	2,041	206	6	644	498	930
511	RAVENWOOD	Mason	2,326	1,731	74	8	303	210	367
512	SLEEPY HOLLOW	Mason	1,978	1,440	45	9	286	198	337
513	SAINT ALBANS	Mason	3,711	2,499	323	17	556	316	613
515	WESTLAWN	Mason	3,016	1,560	78	21	585	772	1,332
516	WEYANOKE	Mason	6,152	2,199	1,629	34	1,052	1,238	1,971
517	WILLSTON	Mason	6,123	2,571	467	74	1,154	1,857	3,125
518	COLUMBIA	Mason	6,502	2,641	540	43	1,583	1,695	2,533
519	HUMMER	Mason	2,779	1,584	185	9	774	227	366
520	SKYLINE	Mason	6,588	4,057	1,376	19	693	443	653
521	BROOK HILL	Mason	3,774	2,040	304	18	996	416	797
522	CAMELOT	Mason	1,788	1,514	43	4	157	70	115
523	POE	Mason	4,239	2,367	491	22	892	467	769
524	WHITTIER	Mason	4,390	2,129	208	25	993	1,035	1,729
525	WALNUT HILL #1	Mason	1,464	679	33	8	500	244	414
526	BREN MAR	Mason	6,116	2,930	1,468	42	829	847	1,328
527	EDSALL	Mason	2,851	1,619	192	12	700	328	478
528	RIDGELEA	Mason	1,627	1,163	41	4	358	61	73
529	GLEN FOREST #2	Mason	3,439	1,775	202	12	727	723	1,014
530	HOLMES #2	Mason	3,400	2,035	359	9	555	442	772
531	LEEWOOD	Mason	1,483	728	194	8	403	150	214

Precinct Number	Precinct	Current Supervisor District	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Race	Hispanic or Latino
601	BELLE HAVEN	Mount Vernon	3,411	2,658	305	4	139	305	576
602	BELLEVIEW	Mount Vernon	2,762	2,418	143	10	83	108	180
604	BUCKNELL	Mount Vernon	7,242	3,343	1,977	33	526	1,363	1,985
605	FORT HUNT	Mount Vernon	2,822	2,592	42	5	89	94	121
606	HOLLIN HALL	Mount Vernon	2,414	2,275	34	4	34	67	100
607	HUNTINGTON	Mount Vernon	5,784	3,668	766	19	548	783	1,120
608	KIRKSIDE	Mount Vernon	2,705	1,969	361	5	209	161	269
609	MARLAN	Mount Vernon	2,939	2,365	262	15	99	198	360
610	SHERWOOD	Mount Vernon	4,412	2,700	1,170	18	268	256	332
611	STRATFORD	Mount Vernon	4,505	4,125	98	6	132	144	182
612	WAYNEWOOD	Mount Vernon	1,914	1,785	24	3	50	52	74
613	WESTGATE	Mount Vernon	4,162	3,540	232	8	220	162	209
614	WHITMAN	Mount Vernon	2,795	2,247	132	18	177	221	302
615	WOODLEY	Mount Vernon	5,459	3,094	1,224	28	431	682	1,159
616	GUNSTON	Mount Vernon	9,033	5,891	1,775	41	572	754	1,028
617	LORTON	Mount Vernon	4,353	1,307	1,709	21	763	553	840
618	NEWINGTON	Mount Vernon	7,713	4,805	935	35	1,106	832	1,242
619	BELVOIR	Mount Vernon	5,383	3,268	1,045	28	497	545	636
621	GROSVENOR	Mount Vernon	2,958	1,692	555	13	341	357	484
622	LORTON STATION	Mount Vernon	6,674	2,736	1,922	19	1,023	974	1,243
623	ALBAN	Mount Vernon	2,247	1,200	453	3	313	278	276
624	HUNT	Mount Vernon	5,415	3,642	504	15	883	371	502
625	LORTON CENTER	Mount Vernon	3,439	1,751	787	7	483	411	549
626	SARATOGA	Mount Vernon	7,745	4,585	1,101	20	1,171	868	1,210
627	WOODLAWN	Mount Vernon	8,222	3,340	2,483	59	831	1,509	2,383
628	LAUREL HILL	Mount Vernon	6,903	2,376	1,456	20	2,400	651	624
629	SOUTH COUNTY	Mount Vernon	4,090	2,559	616	6	624	285	306

Precinct Number	Precinct	Current Supervisor District	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Race	Hispanic or Latino
700	FAIRFAX COURT	Providence	1,252	708	472	18	41	13	321
701	BLAKE	Providence	8,118	4,905	465	34	1,738	976	1,331
703	FORT BUFFALO	Providence	3,551	1,693	347	21	778	712	1,144
704	FREEDOM HILL	Providence	3,338	2,382	65	1	714	176	185
705	GRAHAM	Providence	3,591	1,672	226	14	475	1,204	1,956
706	GREENWAY	Providence	3,059	1,919	120	6	377	637	1,017
707	MANTUA	Providence	3,021	2,518	37	17	379	70	114
708	MARSHALL	Providence	9,082	5,453	362	33	2,100	1,134	1,598
709	MOSBY	Providence	9,834	4,875	816	28	3,077	1,038	1,560
710	PINE SPRING	Providence	4,655	2,536	289	22	1,058	750	1,094
711	PRICE	Providence	3,407	2,201	135	3	885	183	286
712	SHREVE	Providence	1,927	1,565	40	3	206	113	160
713	TIMBER LANE	Providence	5,808	2,889	567	29	1,297	1,026	1,699
714	WALKER	Providence	5,681	2,993	339	22	1,897	430	888
717	WOODBURN	Providence	3,258	2,058	179	35	721	265	450
718	PINE RIDGE	Providence	4,160	2,447	327	26	839	521	922
719	STENWOOD	Providence	1,567	1,124	58	2	316	67	119
720	THOREAU	Providence	2,282	1,879	28	10	270	95	137
721	MERRIFIELD	Providence	7,173	3,412	431	47	2,583	700	1,050
726	MAGARITY	Providence	7,185	4,011	482	25	2,114	553	739
727	OAKTON	Providence	3,361	2,820	62	4	355	120	159
728	WALNUT HILL #2	Providence	1,162	836	15	18	188	105	205
729	NOTTOWAY	Providence	5,459	3,782	196	20	1,184	277	426
730	PENDERBROOK	Providence	5,316	3,378	367	13	1,260	298	498
731	TYSONS	Providence	5,025	3,191	188	5	1,333	308	343
732	OAK MARR	Providence	5,086	4,031	158	7	625	265	324
733	KILMER	Providence	5,969	3,750	292	4	1,577	346	406

Precinct Number	Precinct	Current Supervisor District	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Race	Hispanic or Latino
801	BURKE	Springfield	7,602	4,270	676	14	1,757	885	1,483
803	CLIFTON	Springfield	5,430	4,683	105	14	424	204	231
805	FAIRFAX STATION	Springfield	4,213	3,557	102	10	422	122	181
811	POHICK	Springfield	5,792	3,775	492	33	1,033	459	766
812	VALLEY	Springfield	4,283	2,845	357	18	753	310	469
815	WOODYARD	Springfield	2,747	2,407	76	6	200	58	103
824	ORANGE	Springfield	5,598	4,392	405	22	527	252	396
825	CHERRY RUN	Springfield	3,837	2,704	219	4	687	223	242
827	IRVING	Springfield	3,356	2,879	100	7	207	163	373
833	WHITE OAKS	Springfield	4,914	2,896	442	12	1,058	506	874
838	SANGSTER	Springfield	3,001	2,413	146	-	324	118	166
839	SILVERBROOK	Springfield	4,746	3,664	272	13	597	200	208
840	WEST SPRINGFIELD	Springfield	4,176	3,030	361	12	561	212	333
841	POPES HEAD	Springfield	4,378	3,573	204	7	376	218	219
842	PARKWAY	Springfield	3,144	2,228	141	1	604	170	215
843	FAIR LAKES	Springfield	5,210	3,276	418	9	1,214	293	411
844	CENTERPOINTE	Springfield	7,062	4,056	674	23	1,647	662	754
845	FOUNTAINHEAD	Springfield	4,864	3,884	216	9	572	183	189
846	GREENBRIAR EAST	Springfield	6,222	3,725	536	11	1,377	573	798
847	GREENBRIAR WEST	Springfield	4,069	2,597	237	7	811	417	561
848	FAIR OAKS	Springfield	2,957	1,476	219	3	1,067	192	227
849	NEWGATE NORTH	Springfield	2,384	1,772	111	4	353	144	143
850	SOUTH RUN	Springfield	2,047	1,535	100	5	330	77	104
851	WILLOW SPRINGS	Springfield	7,091	3,970	339	9	2,385	388	397
852	MONUMENT	Springfield	6,552	3,767	645	11	1,704	425	509
853	EAGLE VIEW	Springfield	6,005	3,679	528	15	1,433	350	476
854	NEWGATE SOUTH	Springfield	4,095	3,410	96	4	422	163	149

Precinct Number	Precinct	Current Supervisor District	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Race	Hispanic or Latino
901	CENTRE RIDGE	Sully	6,950	3,546	664	40	1,924	776	1,280
902	BROOKFIELD	Sully	8,055	3,997	797	26	1,990	1,245	2,037
903	CUB RUN	Sully	5,625	4,001	337	15	847	425	541
904	DULLES	Sully	3,090	2,292	121	3	242	432	717
905	FRANKLIN	Sully	4,758	3,484	181	10	862	221	296
908	KINROSS	Sully	6,314	4,786	167	8	1,132	221	283
910	LONDON TOWNE EAST	Sully	2,744	1,335	277	11	775	346	404
911	NAVY	Sully	5,054	3,347	229	7	1,281	190	294
913	ROCKY RUN	Sully	5,892	3,677	285	10	1,598	322	355
914	VALE	Sully	4,001	3,469	52	4	361	115	171
915	VIRGINIA RUN	Sully	3,678	2,904	169	5	427	173	177
916	WAPLES MILL	Sully	5,551	4,727	73	7	535	209	211
917	STONE	Sully	5,954	3,781	475	17	994	687	965
918	CENTREVILLE	Sully	8,175	4,328	599	29	2,290	929	1,290
919	GREEN TRAILS	Sully	8,076	4,497	710	31	2,130	708	1,047
920	LEES CORNER EAST	Sully	4,299	2,440	227	6	1,374	252	344
921	DEER PARK	Sully	4,876	3,549	195	14	777	341	463
923	BULL RUN	Sully	2,801	2,274	84	3	352	88	129
924	LONDON TOWNE WEST	Sully	5,844	2,942	770	24	1,053	1,055	1,824
925	OLD MILL	Sully	4,280	2,212	458	5	1,128	477	647
926	POWELL	Sully	8,564	3,145	501	6	4,389	523	568
927	LEES CORNER WEST	Sully	5,640	3,438	244	11	1,602	345	395
928	POPLAR TREE	Sully	3,982	2,530	172	11	1,089	180	170


Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Proposed Reapportionment Plans





FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA




2011 Proposed Supervisor District Boundary Changes

9A1

Voting Precinct Boundaries



Proposed Supervisor Districts



Note: This map is intended for reference purposes only. Fairfax County does not provide any guarantee of the accuracy or completeness regarding the map information.

Plan Datasheet –9A1

Number of precincts moved:	4
Number of persons moved:	23475
Total deviation of the plan:	9.79%
Range of plan deviation:	-4.46 to 5.33%
Precincts moved list:	Bristow, Eagle View, Hunt, Monument.

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 120,192

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 9A1

Supervisor District	Deviation from Target Population	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Races	Hispanic or Latino
Braddock	-1.4%	118,484	78,528	7,014	374	22,377	10,191	16,762
<i>Percent of total</i>			66.3%	5.9%	0.3%	18.9%	8.6%	14.1%
Dranesville	-3.8%	115,586	81,963	4,884	360	18,267	10,112	15,122
<i>Percent of total</i>			70.9%	4.2%	0.3%	15.8%	8.7%	13.1%
Hunter Mill	5.3%	126,594	84,989	9,727	353	21,736	9,789	13,096
<i>Percent of total</i>			67.1%	7.7%	0.3%	17.2%	7.7%	10.3%
Lee	-1.8%	117,984	62,721	20,616	618	15,958	18,071	27,668
<i>Percent of total</i>			53.2%	17.5%	0.5%	13.5%	15.3%	23.5%
Mason	-4.5%	114,829	61,112	12,972	689	20,064	19,992	33,811
<i>Percent of total</i>			53.2%	11.3%	0.6%	17.5%	17.4%	29.4%
Mount Vernon	1.6%	122,086	74,289	21,607	448	13,129	12,613	17,790
<i>Percent of total</i>			60.8%	17.7%	0.4%	10.8%	10.3%	14.6%
Providence	2.6%	123,327	75,028	7,063	467	28,387	12,382	19,131
<i>Percent of total</i>			60.8%	5.7%	0.4%	23.0%	10.0%	15.5%
Springfield	-1.3%	118,633	82,659	7,548	272	20,591	7,563	10,494
<i>Percent of total</i>			69.7%	6.4%	0.2%	17.4%	6.4%	8.8%
Sully	3.3%	124,203	76,701	7,787	303	29,152	10,260	14,608
<i>Percent of total</i>			61.8%	6.3%	0.2%	23.5%	8.3%	11.8%
Fairfax County		1,081,726	677,990	99,218	3,884	189,661	110,973	168,482
<i>Percent of total</i>			62.7%	9.2%	0.4%	17.5%	10.3%	15.6%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan 9A1

1. Legal Requirements and Plan Summary

1.1. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS

1.1.1. *yes* Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?

1.1.1.1. yes

1.1.2. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?

1.1.2.1. less than 10%

1.2. MINIMAL DISRUPTION

1.2.1. the very least since only 4 precincts change.

1.2.2. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?

1.2.2.1. yes. only 4 precincts move

1.2.3. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.

1.3. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES

1.3.1. no change.

1.3.2. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?

1.3.2.1. yes.

1.3.3. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?

1.3.3.1. n/a

1.3.4. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?

1.3.4.1. n/a

1.4. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA

1.4.1. Does the plan considers established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.

1.4.1.1. yes.

1.4.2. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?

1.4.2.1. yes.

1.5. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY

1.5.1. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?

1.5.1.1. yes.

1.5.2. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?

1.5.2.1. yes.

1.6. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES

1.6.1. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?

1.6.1.1. no

1.6.2. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?

1.6.2.1. no

1.7. COST

1.7.1. Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?

1.7.1.1. no change.

1.8. Comments

**AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE
TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 9A1]

1
2

Draft of March 15, 2011

3 **AN ORDINANCE** to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by
4 amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, and 7-2-11, relating to the
5 election districts of the members of the Board of Supervisors.

6 **Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:**

7 **1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, and 7-2-11 are amended and**
8 **readopted as follows:**

9

10 **Section 7-2-4. - Braddock District.**

11
12 The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae,
13 ~~Bristow~~, Burke Centre, Cardinal, Chapel, Eagle View, Fairview, Heritage, Keene
14 Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch, Monument, North
15 Springfield No. 1, North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde Creek, Olley,
16 Ravensworth, Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield,
17 and Woodson.

18
19 **Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.**

20
21 The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft,
22 Belvedere, Bren Mar, Bristow, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Glen Forest
23 No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer, Leewood,
24 Lincolnia, Masonville, Parklawn, Poe, Ravenwood, Ridgelea, Saint Albans,
25 Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Westlawn, Weyanoke, Whittier, and
26 Willston.

27
28 **Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.**

29
30 The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle
31 Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall,
32 ~~Hunt~~, Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station,
33 Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood,
34 Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.

35

1 **Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.**

2
3 The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke,
4 Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, ~~Eagle View~~, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax
5 Station, Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Hunt, Irving,
6 ~~Monument~~, Newgate North, Newgate South, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, Popes
7 Head, Sangster, Silverbrook, South Run, Valley, West Springfield, White Oaks,
8 Willow Springs, and Woodyard.

9
10
11 **2. That this ordinance shall become effective upon adoption, and it**
12 **shall be enforced after satisfactory completion of the federal preclearance**
13 **procedure provided by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as**
14 **amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.**


15 GIVEN under my hand this _____ day of _____ 2011.

Nancy Vehrs
Clerk to the Board of Supervisors

\\s17prolaw01\Documents\104659\ECW\Correspondence\344185.doc



FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA




2011 Proposed Supervisor District Boundary Changes

9A2

Voting Precinct Boundaries



Proposed Supervisor Districts



Note: This map is intended for reference purposes only. Fairfax County does not provide any guarantee of the accuracy or completeness regarding the map information.

Plan Datasheet – 9A2

Number of precincts moved:	5
Number of persons moved:	20662
Total deviation of the plan:	7.54%
Range of plan deviation:	-3.33% to 4.21%
Precincts moved list:	Alban, Eagle View, Fort Buffalo, Graham, Westbriar.

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 120,192

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 9A2

Supervisor District	Deviation from Target Population	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Races	Hispanic or Latino
Braddock	-2.3%	117,435	76,898	7,121	384	22,224	10,808	18,006
<i>Percent of total</i>			65.5%	6.1%	0.3%	18.9%	9.2%	15.3%
Dranesville	0.6%	120,854	85,763	5,050	366	19,317	10,358	15,431
<i>Percent of total</i>			71.0%	4.2%	0.3%	16.0%	8.6%	12.8%
Hunter Mill	0.9%	121,326	81,189	9,561	347	20,686	9,543	12,787
<i>Percent of total</i>			66.9%	7.9%	0.3%	17.0%	7.9%	10.5%
Lee	0.0%	120,231	63,921	21,069	621	16,271	18,349	27,944
<i>Percent of total</i>			53.2%	17.5%	0.5%	13.5%	15.3%	23.2%
Mason	-3.1%	116,468	62,340	12,793	703	19,766	20,866	35,158
<i>Percent of total</i>			53.5%	11.0%	0.6%	17.0%	17.9%	30.2%
Mount Vernon	4.2%	125,254	76,731	21,658	460	13,699	12,706	18,016
<i>Percent of total</i>			61.3%	17.3%	0.4%	10.9%	10.1%	14.4%
Providence	-3.3%	116,185	71,663	6,490	432	27,134	10,466	16,031
<i>Percent of total</i>			61.7%	5.6%	0.4%	23.4%	9.0%	13.8%
Springfield	-0.4%	119,770	82,784	7,689	268	21,412	7,617	10,501
<i>Percent of total</i>			69.1%	6.4%	0.2%	17.9%	6.4%	8.8%
Sully	3.3%	124,203	76,701	7,787	303	29,152	10,260	14,608
<i>Percent of total</i>			61.8%	6.3%	0.2%	23.5%	8.3%	11.8%
Fairfax County		1,081,726	677,990	99,218	3,884	189,661	110,973	168,482
<i>Percent of total</i>			62.7%	9.2%	0.4%	17.5%	10.3%	15.6%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan 9A2

1. Legal Requirements and Plan Summary

1.1. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS

1.1.1. Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?

1.1.1.1. Yes

1.1.2. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?

1.1.2.1. +4.2

1.1.2.2. -3.3%

1.2. MINIMAL DISRUPTION

1.2.1. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?

1.2.1.1. Yes - Only 5 Precincts were moved.

1.2.2. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.

1.2.2.1. No change

1.3. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES

1.3.1. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?

1.3.1.1. Yes

1.3.1.2. Yes

1.3.2. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?

1.3.2.1. Unsure at this point

1.3.2.2. N/A

1.3.3. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?

1.3.3.1. No Towns Split

1.3.3.2. Community of interest would be better preserved if Coates was moved to Dranesville and Hunter Mill retained Westbriar. This would also have the benefit of reducing the population of Sully closer to the 120,000 average.

1.4. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA

1.4.1. Does the plan considers established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.

1.4.1.1. Yes

1.4.2. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?

1.4.2.1. Yes

1.5. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY

1.5.1. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?

1.5.1.1. . Yes

1.5.2. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?

1.5.2.1. Yes - Providence exclave in Fairfax City still in Providence

1.6. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES

1.6.1. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?

1.6.1.1. Unsure at this point

1.6.1.2. No

1.6.2. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?

1.6.2.1. Unsure at this point

1.6.2.2. No

1.7. COST

1.7.1. Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?

1.7.1.1. This should have minimal impact on operating costs.

1.8. Presentation of Plan and Comments

1.8.1. The plan was designed to preserve existing district boundaries as much as possible but satisfying the deviation requirements with minimal disruption.

1.8.2. 20662 Moved. 5 Precincts Moved.

**AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE
TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 9A2]

1
2

Draft of March 18, 2011

3 **AN ORDINANCE** to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by
4 amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10,
5 and 7-2-11, relating to the election districts of the members of the
6 Board of Supervisors.

7 **Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:**

8 **1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, and 7-2-11**
9 **are amended and readopted as follows:**

10

11 **Section 7-2-4. - Braddock District.**

12

13 The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae,
14 Bristow, Burke Centre, Cardinal, Chapel, Eagle View, Fairview, Heritage, Keene
15 Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch, North Springfield No. 1,
16 North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde Creek, Olley, Ravensworth, Robinson,
17 Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield, and Woodson.

18

19 **Section 7-2-5. - Hunter Mill District.**

20

21 The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron
22 Glen, Coates, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade, Hunters
23 Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3, South
24 Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No.
25 4, Vienna No. 6, ~~Westbriar~~, and Wolftrap.

26

27 **Section 7-2-6. - Dranesville District.**

28

29 The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge,
30 Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, Colvin, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville, Great
31 Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory,
32 Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, McLean, Pimmit, Salona,
33 Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Sugarland, Westbriar, Westhampton, and
34 Westmoreland.

35

1 **Section 7-2-7. - Lee District.**

2
3 | The Lee District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Bush Hill,
4 Cameron, Clermont, Crestwood, Fairfield, Franconia, Garfield, Greenspring,
5 Groveton, Hayfield, Huntley, Island Creek, Kingstowne, Lane, Lynbrook, Mount
6 Eagle, Pioneer, Rose Hill, Van Dorn, Villages, Virginia Hills, and Wilton.

7
8 **Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.**

9
10 The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft,
11 Belvedere, Bren Mar, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Fort Buffalo, Glen
12 Forest No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Graham, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2,
13 Hummer, Leewood, Lincolnia, Masonville, Parklawn, Poe, Ravenwood, Ridgelea,
14 Saint Albans, Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Westlawn, Weyanoke,
15 Whittier, and Willston.

16
17 **Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.**

18
19 | The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: ~~Alban~~, Belle
20 Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall,
21 Hunt, Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station,
22 Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood,
23 Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.

24
25 **Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.**

26
27 The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Fairfax
28 Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is
29 | surrounded by Fairfax City), ~~Fort Buffalo~~, Freedom Hill, ~~Graham~~, Greenway,
30 Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak Marr,
31 Oakton, Penderbrook, Pine Ridge, Pine Spring, Price, Shreve, Stenwood,
32 Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, Walker, Walnut Hill No. 2, and Woodburn.

33
34 **Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.**

35
36 The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke,
37 | Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, ~~Eagle View~~, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax
38 Station, Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Irving, Monument,
39 Newgate North, Newgate South, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, Popes Head,
40 Sangster, Silverbrook, South Run, Valley, West Springfield, White Oaks, Willow
41 Springs, and Woodyard.

1
2
3
4
5
6

2. That this ordinance shall become effective upon adoption, and it shall be enforced after satisfactory completion of the federal preclearance procedure provided by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.


GIVEN under my hand this _____ day of _____ 2011.

Nancy Vehrs
Clerk to the Board of Supervisors

\\s17prolaw01\Documents\104659\ECW\Correspondence\344187.doc



FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA



2011 Proposed Supervisor District Boundary Changes

9A3

Voting Precinct Boundaries



Proposed Supervisor Districts



- BRADDOCK
- DRANESVILLE
- HUNTER MILL
- LEE
- MASON
- MOUNT VERNON
- PROVIDENCE
- SPRINGFIELD
- SULLY

Note: This map is intended for reference purposes only. Fairfax County does not provide any guarantee of the accuracy or completeness regarding the map information.

Plan Datasheet –9A3

Number of precincts moved:	6
Number of persons moved:	32031
Total deviation of the plan:	6.84%
Range of plan deviation:	-3.5 to 3.34%
Precincts moved list:	Bristow, Coates, Eagle View, Hunt, Monument, Walnut Hill #2.

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 120,192

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 9A3

Supervisor District	Deviation from Target Population	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Races	Hispanic or Latino
Braddock	-1.4%	118,484	78,528	7,014	374	22,377	10,191	16,762
<i>Percent of total</i>			66.3%	5.9%	0.3%	18.9%	8.6%	14.1%
Dranesville	2.3%	122,980	84,489	6,183	373	21,122	10,813	15,977
<i>Percent of total</i>			68.7%	5.0%	0.3%	17.2%	8.8%	13.0%
Hunter Mill	-0.8%	119,200	82,463	8,428	340	18,881	9,088	12,241
<i>Percent of total</i>			69.2%	7.1%	0.3%	15.8%	7.6%	10.3%
Lee	-1.8%	117,984	62,721	20,616	618	15,958	18,071	27,668
<i>Percent of total</i>			53.2%	17.5%	0.5%	13.5%	15.3%	23.5%
Mason	-3.5%	115,991	61,948	12,987	707	20,252	20,097	34,016
<i>Percent of total</i>			53.4%	11.2%	0.6%	17.5%	17.3%	29.3%
Mount Vernon	1.6%	122,086	74,289	21,607	448	13,129	12,613	17,790
<i>Percent of total</i>			60.8%	17.7%	0.4%	10.8%	10.3%	14.6%
Providence	1.6%	122,165	74,192	7,048	449	28,199	12,277	18,926
<i>Percent of total</i>			60.7%	5.8%	0.4%	23.1%	10.0%	15.5%
Springfield	-1.3%	118,633	82,659	7,548	272	20,591	7,563	10,494
<i>Percent of total</i>			69.7%	6.4%	0.2%	17.4%	6.4%	8.8%
Sully	3.3%	124,203	76,701	7,787	303	29,152	10,260	14,608
<i>Percent of total</i>			61.8%	6.3%	0.2%	23.5%	8.3%	11.8%
Fairfax County		1,081,726	677,990	99,218	3,884	189,661	110,973	168,482
<i>Percent of total</i>			62.7%	9.2%	0.4%	17.5%	10.3%	15.6%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan 9A3

3. Legal Requirements and Plan Summary

3.1. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS

3.1.1. Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?

3.1.1.1. Yes

3.1.2. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?

3.1.2.1. -3.5 to +3.3

3.2. MINIMAL DISRUPTION

3.2.1. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?

3.2.1.1. yes. Only 6 precincts are moved, including the very small Walnut Hill II

3.2.2. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.

3.3. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES

3.3.1. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?

3.3.1.1. Yes.

3.3.2. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?

3.3.2.1. Used existing precinct boundaries, so I assume so.

3.3.3. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?

3.3.3.1. See previous comment.

3.4. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA

3.4.1. Does the plan considers established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.

3.4.1.1. Yes. Particularly the reuniting of the Walnut Hill community and the return of Hunt to Springfield.

3.4.2. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?

3.4.2.1. None of the precincts moved are residences of members of the Board of Supervisors or the School Board.

3.5. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY

3.5.1. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?

3.5.1.1. Yes

3.5.2. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?

3.5.2.1. Yes. The two precincts added to Braddock District are contiguous and minimize the number of supervisor districts bordering Fairfax City.

3.6. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES

3.6.1. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?

3.6.1.1. No

3.6.2. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?

3.6.2.1. No

3.7. COST

3.7.1. Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?

3.7.1.1. No. By keeping nine Supervisor Districts there is no need to add the recurring costs of additional District offices and enlarging boards and commissions, particularly the School Board and the Planning Commission.

3.8. Presentation of Plan and Comments

3.8.1. This plan minimizes disruption for the citizens of Fairfax County in their relationship with their District Supervisors.

**AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE
TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 9A3]

1
2

Draft of March 15, 2011

3 **AN ORDINANCE** to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by
4 amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, and 7-
5 2-11, relating to the election districts of the members of the Board
6 of Supervisors.

7 **Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:**

8 **1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, and 7-2-11 are**
9 **amended and readopted as follows:**

10

11 **Section 7-2-4. - Braddock District.**

12

13 The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae,
14 ~~Bristow~~, Burke Centre, Cardinal, Chapel, Eagle View, Fairview, Heritage, Keene
15 Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch, Monument, North
16 Springfield No. 1, North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde Creek, Olley,
17 Ravensworth, Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield,
18 and Woodson.

19

20 **Section 7-2-5. - Hunter Mill District.**

21

22 The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron
23 Glen, ~~Coates~~, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade, Hunters
24 Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3, South
25 Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No.
26 4, Vienna No. 6, Westbriar, and Wolftrap.

27

28 **Section 7-2-6. - Dranesville District.**

29

30 The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge,
31 Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, Coates, Colvin, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville,
32 Great Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory,
33 Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, McLean, Pimmit, Salona,
34 Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Sugarland, Westhampton, and Westmoreland.

35

1 **Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.**

2
3 The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft,
4 | Belvedere, Bren Mar, Bristow, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Glen Forest
5 No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer, Leewood,
6 Lincolnia, Masonville, Parklawn, Poe, Ravenwood, Ridgelea, Saint Albans,
7 | Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Walnut Hill No. 2, Westlawn,
8 Weyanoke, Whittier, and Willston.

9
10 **Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.**

11
12 The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle
13 Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall,
14 | ~~Hunt~~, Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station,
15 Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood,
16 Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.

17
18 **Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.**

19
20 The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Fairfax
21 Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is
22 surrounded by Fairfax City), Fort Buffalo, Freedom Hill, Graham, Greenway,
23 Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak Marr,
24 Oakton, Penderbrook, Pine Ridge, Pine Spring, Price, Shreve, Stenwood,
25 | Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, Walker, ~~Walnut Hill No. 2~~, and Woodburn.

26
27 **Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.**

28
29 The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke,
30 | Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, ~~Eagle View~~, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax
31 | Station, Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Hunt, Irving,
32 | ~~Monument~~, Newgate North, Newgate South, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, Popes
33 Head, Sangster, Silverbrook, South Run, Valley, West Springfield, White Oaks,
34 Willow Springs, and Woodyard.

1
2
3
4
5
6


2. That this ordinance shall become effective upon adoption, and it shall be enforced after satisfactory completion of the federal preclearance procedure provided by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.

GIVEN under my hand this _____ day of _____ 2011.

Nancy Vehrs
Clerk to the Board of Supervisors

\\s17prolaw01\Documents\104659\ECW\Correspondence\344194.doc

FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA




2011 Proposed Supervisor District Boundary Changes

9A4

Voting Precinct Boundaries



Proposed Supervisor Districts



Note: This map is intended for reference purposes only. Fairfax County does not provide any guarantee of the accuracy or completeness regarding the map information.

Plan Datasheet –9A4

Number of precincts moved:	7
Number of persons moved:	35271
Total deviation of the plan:	6.84%
Range of plan deviation:	-3.5 to 3.34%
Precincts moved list:	Bristow, Colvin, Coates, Eagle View, Hunt, Monument, Walnut Hill #2.

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 120,192

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 9A4

Supervisor District	Deviation from Target Population	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Races	Hispanic or Latino
Braddock	-1.4%	118,484	78,528	7,014	374	22,377	10,191	16,762
<i>Percent of total</i>			66.3%	5.9%	0.3%	18.9%	8.6%	14.1%
Dranesville	-0.4%	119,740	81,982	6,107	371	20,629	10,651	15,814
<i>Percent of total</i>			68.5%	5.1%	0.3%	17.2%	8.9%	13.2%
Hunter Mill	1.9%	122,440	84,970	8,504	342	19,374	9,250	12,404
<i>Percent of total</i>			69.4%	6.9%	0.3%	15.8%	7.6%	10.1%
Lee	-1.8%	117,984	62,721	20,616	618	15,958	18,071	27,668
<i>Percent of total</i>			53.2%	17.5%	0.5%	13.5%	15.3%	23.5%
Mason	-3.5%	115,991	61,948	12,987	707	20,252	20,097	34,016
<i>Percent of total</i>			53.4%	11.2%	0.6%	17.5%	17.3%	29.3%
Mount Vernon	1.6%	122,086	74,289	21,607	448	13,129	12,613	17,790
<i>Percent of total</i>			60.8%	17.7%	0.4%	10.8%	10.3%	14.6%
Providence	1.6%	122,165	74,192	7,048	449	28,199	12,277	18,926
<i>Percent of total</i>			60.7%	5.8%	0.4%	23.1%	10.0%	15.5%
Springfield	-1.3%	118,633	82,659	7,548	272	20,591	7,563	10,494
<i>Percent of total</i>			69.7%	6.4%	0.2%	17.4%	6.4%	8.8%
Sully	3.3%	124,203	76,701	7,787	303	29,152	10,260	14,608
<i>Percent of total</i>			61.8%	6.3%	0.2%	23.5%	8.3%	11.8%
Fairfax County		1,081,726	677,990	99,218	3,884	189,661	110,973	168,482
<i>Percent of total</i>			62.7%	9.2%	0.4%	17.5%	10.3%	15.6%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan 9A4

4. Legal Requirements and Plan Summary

4.1. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS

4.1.1. Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?

4.1.1.1. yes.

4.1.2. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?

4.1.2.1. yes.

4.1.2.2. less than 10%.

4.2. MINIMAL DISRUPTION

4.2.1. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?

4.2.1.1. yes.

4.2.2. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.

4.2.2.1. yes.

4.2.2.2. n/a

4.3. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES

4.3.1. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?

4.3.1.1. yes.

4.3.2. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?

4.3.2.1. n/a

4.3.3. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?

4.3.3.1. n/a

4.4. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA

4.4.1. Does the plan considers established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.

4.4.1.1. yes.

4.4.2. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?

4.4.2.1. yes.

4.5. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY

4.5.1. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?

4.5.1.1. yes.

4.5.2. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?

4.5.2.1. yes.

4.6. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES

4.6.1. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?

4.6.1.1. no.

4.6.2. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?

4.6.2.1. no.

4.7. COST

4.7.1. Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?

4.7.1.1. It won't increase the cost.

4.8. Presentation of Plan and Comments

4.8.1. This is a minimal disruption plan with an emphasis on moving precincts that moved 10 years ago from one district back to that district.

**AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE
TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 9A4]

1
2

Draft of March 15, 2011

3 **AN ORDINANCE** to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by
4 amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10,
5 and 7-2-11, relating to the election districts of the members of the
6 Board of Supervisors.

7 **Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:**

8 **1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, and 7-2-11 are**
9 **amended and readopted as follows:**

10

11 **Section 7-2-4. - Braddock District.**

12

13 The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae,
14 ~~Bristow~~, Burke Centre, Cardinal, Chapel, Eagle View, Fairview, Heritage, Keene
15 Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch, Monument, North
16 Springfield No. 1, North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde Creek, Olley,
17 Ravensworth, Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield,
18 and Woodson.

19

20 **Section 7-2-5. - Hunter Mill District.**

21

22 The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron
23 Glen, ~~Coates~~, Colvin, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade,
24 Hunters Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3,
25 South Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2,
26 Vienna No. 4, Vienna No. 6, Westbriar, and Wolftrap.

27

28 **Section 7-2-6. - Dranesville District.**

29

30 The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge,
31 Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, Coates, ~~Colvin~~, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville,
32 Great Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory,
33 Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, McLean, Pimmit, Salona,
34 Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Sugarland, Westhampton, and Westmoreland.

35

1 **Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.**

2
3 The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft,
4 | Belvedere, Bren Mar, Bristow, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Glen Forest
5 No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer, Leewood,
6 Lincolnia, Masonville, Parklawn, Poe, Ravenwood, Ridgelea, Saint Albans,
7 | Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Walnut Hill No. 2, Westlawn,
8 Weyanoke, Whittier, and Willston.

9
10 **Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.**

11
12 The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle
13 Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall,
14 | ~~Hunt~~, Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station,
15 Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood,
16 Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.

17
18 **Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.**

19
20 The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Fairfax
21 Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is
22 surrounded by Fairfax City), Fort Buffalo, Freedom Hill, Graham, Greenway,
23 Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak Marr,
24 Oakton, Penderbrook, Pine Ridge, Pine Spring, Price, Shreve, Stenwood,
25 | Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, Walker, ~~Walnut Hill No. 2~~, and Woodburn.

26
27 **Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.**

28
29 The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke,
30 | Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, ~~Eagle View~~, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax
31 Station, Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Hunt, Irving,
32 | ~~Monument~~, Newgate North, Newgate South, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, Popes
33 Head, Sangster, Silverbrook, South Run, Valley, West Springfield, White Oaks,
34 Willow Springs, and Woodyard.

1 **2. That this ordinance shall become effective upon adoption, and it**
2 **shall be enforced after satisfactory completion of the federal preclearance**
3 **procedure provided by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as**
4 **amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.**

5


GIVEN under my hand this _____ day of _____ 2011.

Nancy Vehrs
Clerk to the Board of Supervisors

\\s17prolaw01\Documents\104659\ECW\Correspondence\344202.doc



FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA



2011 Proposed Supervisor District Boundary Changes

9A5

Voting Precinct Boundaries



Proposed Supervisor Districts

- 
BRADDOCK
- 
DRANESVILLE
- 
HUNTER MILL
- 
LEE
- 
MASON
- 
MOUNT VERNON
- 
PROVIDENCE
- 
SPRINGFIELD
- 
SULLY

Note: This map is intended for reference purposes only. Fairfax County does not provide any guarantee of the accuracy or completeness regarding the map information.

Plan Datasheet –9A5

Number of precincts moved:	7
Number of persons moved:	33946
Total deviation of the plan:	6.85%
Range of plan deviation:	-3.5 to 3.34%
Precincts moved list:	Bristow, Colvin, Coates, Eagle View, Monument, South County, Walnut Hill #2.

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 120,192

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 9A5

Supervisor District	Deviation from Target Population	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Races	Hispanic or Latino
Braddock	-1.4%	118,484	78,528	7,014	374	22,377	10,191	16,762
<i>Percent of total</i>			66.3%	5.9%	0.3%	18.9%	8.6%	14.1%
Dranesville	-0.4%	119,740	81,982	6,107	371	20,629	10,651	15,814
<i>Percent of total</i>			68.5%	5.1%	0.3%	17.2%	8.9%	13.2%
Hunter Mill	1.9%	122,440	84,970	8,504	342	19,374	9,250	12,404
<i>Percent of total</i>			69.4%	6.9%	0.3%	15.8%	7.6%	10.1%
Lee	-1.8%	117,984	62,721	20,616	618	15,958	18,071	27,668
<i>Percent of total</i>			53.2%	17.5%	0.5%	13.5%	15.3%	23.5%
Mason	-3.5%	115,991	61,948	12,987	707	20,252	20,097	34,016
<i>Percent of total</i>			53.4%	11.2%	0.6%	17.5%	17.3%	29.3%
Mount Vernon	2.7%	123,411	75,372	21,495	457	13,388	12,699	17,986
<i>Percent of total</i>			61.1%	17.4%	0.4%	10.8%	10.3%	14.6%
Providence	1.6%	122,165	74,192	7,048	449	28,199	12,277	18,926
<i>Percent of total</i>			60.7%	5.8%	0.4%	23.1%	10.0%	15.5%
Springfield	-2.4%	117,308	81,576	7,660	263	20,332	7,477	10,298
<i>Percent of total</i>			69.5%	6.5%	0.2%	17.3%	6.4%	8.8%
Sully	3.3%	124,203	76,701	7,787	303	29,152	10,260	14,608
<i>Percent of total</i>			61.8%	6.3%	0.2%	23.5%	8.3%	11.8%
Fairfax County		1,081,726	677,990	99,218	3,884	189,661	110,973	168,482
<i>Percent of total</i>			62.7%	9.2%	0.4%	17.5%	10.3%	15.6%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan 9A5

5. Legal Requirements and Plan Summary

5.1. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS

5.1.1. Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?

5.1.1.1. Yes

5.1.2. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?

5.1.2.1. -3.5% to 3.3%

5.2. MINIMAL DISRUPTION

5.2.1. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?

5.2.1.1. Yes, it moves only 7 precincts.

5.2.2. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.

5.2.2.1. N/A

5.3. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES

5.3.1. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?

5.3.1.1. Yes

5.3.2. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?

5.3.2.1. N/A

5.3.3. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?

5.3.3.1. N/A

5.4. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA

5.4.1. Does the plan considers established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.

5.4.1.1. Yes

5.4.2. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?

5.4.2.1. Yes

5.5. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY

5.5.1. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?

5.5.1.1. Yes

5.5.2. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?

5.5.2.1. Yes

5.6. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES

5.6.1. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?

5.6.1.1. No

5.6.2. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?

5.6.2.1. No

5.7. COST

5.7.1. Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?

5.7.1.1. Operating costs will be unaffected by this plan

5.8. Presentation of Plan and Comments

**AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE
TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 9A5]

1
2

Draft of March 15, 2011

3 **AN ORDINANCE** to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by
4 amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10,
5 and 7-2-11, relating to the election districts of the members of the
6 Board of Supervisors.

7 **Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:**

8 **1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, and 7-2-11 are**
9 **amended and readopted as follows:**

10

11 **Section 7-2-4. - Braddock District.**

12

13 The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae,
14 ~~Bristow~~, Burke Centre, Cardinal, Chapel, Eagle View, Fairview, Heritage, Keene
15 Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch, Monument, North
16 Springfield No. 1, North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde Creek, Olley,
17 Ravensworth, Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield,
18 and Woodson.

19

20 **Section 7-2-5. - Hunter Mill District.**

21

22 The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron
23 Glen, ~~Coates~~, Colvin, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade,
24 Hunters Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3,
25 South Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2,
26 Vienna No. 4, Vienna No. 6, Westbriar, and Wolftrap.

27

28 **Section 7-2-6. - Dranesville District.**

29

30 The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge,
31 Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, ~~Coates~~, ~~Colvin~~, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville,
32 Great Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory,
33 Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, McLean, Pimmit, Salona,
34 Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Sugarland, Westhampton, and Westmoreland.

35

1 **Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.**

2
3 The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft,
4 | Belvedere, Bren Mar, Bristow, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Glen Forest
5 No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer, Leewood,
6 Lincolnia, Masonville, Parklawn, Poe, Ravenwood, Ridgelea, Saint Albans,
7 | Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Walnut Hill No. 2, Westlawn,
8 Weyanoke, Whittier, and Willston.

9
10 **Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.**

11
12 The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle
13 Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall,
14 Hunt, Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station,
15 | Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, ~~South County~~, Stratford, Waynewood,
16 Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.

17
18 **Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.**

19
20 The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Fairfax
21 Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is
22 surrounded by Fairfax City), Fort Buffalo, Freedom Hill, Graham, Greenway,
23 Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak Marr,
24 Oakton, Penderbrook, Pine Ridge, Pine Spring, Price, Shreve, Stenwood,
25 | Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, Walker, ~~Walnut Hill No. 2~~, and Woodburn.

26
27 **Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.**

28
29 The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke,
30 | Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, ~~Eagle View~~, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax
31 | Station, Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Irving, ~~Monument~~,
32 Newgate North, Newgate South, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, Popes Head,
33 | Sangster, Silverbrook, South County, South Run, Valley, West Springfield, White
34 Oaks, Willow Springs, and Woodyard.

35
36
37 **2. That this ordinance shall become effective upon adoption, and it**
38 **shall be enforced after satisfactory completion of the federal preclearance**
39 **procedure provided by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as**
40 **amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.**
41


GIVEN under my hand this _____ day of _____ 2011.

Nancy Vehrs
Clerk to the Board of Supervisors

\\s17prolaw01\Documents\104659\ECW\Correspondence\344204.doc



FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA




2011 Proposed Supervisor District Boundary Changes

9A6

Voting Precinct Boundaries



Proposed Supervisor Districts



Note: This map is intended for reference purposes only. Fairfax County does not provide any guarantee of the accuracy or completeness regarding the map information.

Plan Datasheet –9A6

Number of precincts moved:	7
Number of persons moved:	33964
Total deviation of the plan:	5.74%
Range of plan deviation:	-2.4 to 3.34%
Precincts moved list:	Bristow, Coates, Eagle View, Monument, South County, Walnut Hill #2, Woodburn.

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 120,192

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 9A6

Supervisor District	Deviation from Target Population	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Races	Hispanic or Latino
Braddock	-1.4%	118,484	78,528	7,014	374	22,377	10,191	16,762
<i>Percent of total</i>			66.3%	5.9%	0.3%	18.9%	8.6%	14.1%
Dranesville	2.3%	122,980	84,489	6,183	373	21,122	10,813	15,977
<i>Percent of total</i>			68.7%	5.0%	0.3%	17.2%	8.8%	13.0%
Hunter Mill	-0.8%	119,200	82,463	8,428	340	18,881	9,088	12,241
<i>Percent of total</i>			69.2%	7.1%	0.3%	15.8%	7.6%	10.3%
Lee	-1.8%	117,984	62,721	20,616	618	15,958	18,071	27,668
<i>Percent of total</i>			53.2%	17.5%	0.5%	13.5%	15.3%	23.5%
Mason	-0.8%	119,249	64,006	13,166	742	20,973	20,362	34,466
<i>Percent of total</i>			53.7%	11.0%	0.6%	17.6%	17.1%	28.9%
Mount Vernon	2.7%	123,411	75,372	21,495	457	13,388	12,699	17,986
<i>Percent of total</i>			61.1%	17.4%	0.4%	10.8%	10.3%	14.6%
Providence	-1.1%	118,907	72,134	6,869	414	27,478	12,012	18,476
<i>Percent of total</i>			60.7%	5.8%	0.3%	23.1%	10.1%	15.5%
Springfield	-2.4%	117,308	81,576	7,660	263	20,332	7,477	10,298
<i>Percent of total</i>			69.5%	6.5%	0.2%	17.3%	6.4%	8.8%
Sully	3.3%	124,203	76,701	7,787	303	29,152	10,260	14,608
<i>Percent of total</i>			61.8%	6.3%	0.2%	23.5%	8.3%	11.8%
Fairfax County		1,081,726	677,990	99,218	3,884	189,661	110,973	168,482
<i>Percent of total</i>			62.7%	9.2%	0.4%	17.5%	10.3%	15.6%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan 9A6

1. Legal Requirements and Plan Summary

1.1. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS

1.1.1. Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?

1.1.1.1. yes

1.1.2. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?

1.1.2.1. -2.4 to +2.7 [Staff note: plan author's stated deviation range is incorrect; actual range is -2.4 to 3.34%].

1.2. MINIMAL DISRUPTION

1.2.1. The plan is intended to reduce to a minimum the number of precincts affected.

1.2.2. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?

1.2.2.1. Yes, by attempting to move as few precincts as was practicable.

1.2.3. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.

1.2.3.1. The plan maintains the 9 districts.

1.3. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES

1.3.1. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?

1.3.1.1. Yes

1.3.2. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?

1.3.2.1. N/A

1.3.3. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?

1.4. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA

1.4.1. The plan meets the suggested criteria of the Board of Supervisors.

1.4.2. Does the plan considers established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.

1.4.3. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?

1.5. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY

1.5.1. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?

1.5.1.1. Yes

1.5.2. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?

1.5.2.1. Yes

1.6. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES

1.6.1. The plan provides better concentration and, therefore, representation of minorities within Mason District.

1.6.2. N/A

1.6.3. Edit: The plan provides better representation for Hispanic and African Americans within Mason District.

- 1.6.4. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?
- 1.6.5. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?
- 1.7. COST
 - 1.7.1. The plan does not increase cost for the future operation of the County.*
 - 1.7.2. Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?
- 1.8. Presentation of Plan and Comments
 - 1.8.1. The plan provides for an important combination of a community of interest in placing Annandale High School within Mason District.*

**AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE
TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 9A6]

1
2

Draft of March 15, 2011

3 **AN ORDINANCE** to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by
4 amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10,
5 and 7-2-11, relating to the election districts of the members of the
6 Board of Supervisors.

7 **Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:**

8 **1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, and 7-2-11 are**
9 **amended and readopted as follows:**

10

11 **Section 7-2-4. - Braddock District.**

12

13 The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae,
14 ~~Bristow~~, Burke Centre, Cardinal, Chapel, Eagle View, Fairview, Heritage, Keene
15 Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch, Monument, North
16 Springfield No. 1, North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde Creek, Olley,
17 Ravensworth, Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield,
18 and Woodson.

19

20 **Section 7-2-5. - Hunter Mill District.**

21

22 The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron
23 Glen, ~~Coates~~, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade, Hunters
24 Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3, South
25 Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No.
26 4, Vienna No. 6, Westbriar, and Wolftrap.

27

28 **Section 7-2-6. - Dranesville District.**

29

30 The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge,
31 Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, Coates, Colvin, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville,
32 Great Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory,
33 Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, McLean, Pimmit, Salona,
34 Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Sugarland, Westhampton, and Westmoreland.

35

1 **Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.**

2
3 The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft,
4 | Belvedere, Bren Mar, Bristow, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Glen Forest
5 No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer, Leewood,
6 Lincolnia, Masonville, Parklawn, Poe, Ravenwood, Ridgelea, Saint Albans,
7 | Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Walnut Hill No. 2, Westlawn,
8 | Weyanoke, Whittier, ~~and Willston~~, and Woodburn.

9
10 **Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.**

11
12 The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle
13 Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall,
14 Hunt, Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station,
15 | Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, ~~South County~~, Stratford, Waynewood,
16 Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.

17
18 **Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.**

19
20 The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Fairfax
21 Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is
22 surrounded by Fairfax City), Fort Buffalo, Freedom Hill, Graham, Greenway,
23 Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak Marr,
24 Oakton, Penderbrook, Pine Ridge, Pine Spring, Price, Shreve, Stenwood,
25 | Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, and Walker, ~~Walnut Hill No. 2, and Woodburn~~.

26
27 **Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.**

28
29 The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke,
30 | Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, ~~Eagle View~~, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax
31 | Station, Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Irving, ~~Monument~~,
32 Newgate North, Newgate South, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, Popes Head,
33 | Sangster, Silverbrook, South County, South Run, Valley, West Springfield, White
34 Oaks, Willow Springs, and Woodyard.

1
2
3
4
5
6

2. That this ordinance shall become effective upon adoption, and it shall be enforced after satisfactory completion of the federal preclearance procedure provided by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.


GIVEN under my hand this _____ day of _____ 2011.

Nancy Vehrs
Clerk to the Board of Supervisors

\\s17prolaw01\Documents\104659\ECW\Correspondence\344210.doc



FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA



2011 Proposed Supervisor District Boundary Changes

9A7

Voting Precinct Boundaries



Proposed Supervisor Districts

- 
BRADDOCK
- 
DRANESVILLE
- 
HUNTER MILL
- 
LEE
- 
MASON
- 
MOUNT VERNON
- 
PROVIDENCE
- 
SPRINGFIELD
- 
SULLY

Note: This map is intended for reference purposes only. Fairfax County does not provide any guarantee of the accuracy or completeness regarding the map information.

Plan Datasheet –9A7

Number of precincts moved:	7
Number of persons moved:	31000
Total deviation of the plan:	7.95%
Range of plan deviation:	-4.08 to 3.87%
Precincts moved list:	Bristow, Eagle View, Flint Hill, Huntington, Irving, Walnut Hill #2, Woodburn.

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 120,192

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 9A7

Supervisor District	Deviation from Target Population	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Races	Hispanic or Latino
Braddock	-4.1%	115,288	77,640	6,469	370	20,880	9,929	16,626
<i>Percent of total</i>			67.3%	5.6%	0.3%	18.1%	8.6%	14.4%
Dranesville	-3.8%	115,586	81,963	4,884	360	18,267	10,112	15,122
<i>Percent of total</i>			70.9%	4.2%	0.3%	15.8%	8.7%	13.1%
Hunter Mill	0.4%	120,662	80,412	9,577	332	21,016	9,325	12,486
<i>Percent of total</i>			66.6%	7.9%	0.3%	17.4%	7.7%	10.3%
Lee	3.0%	123,768	66,389	21,382	637	16,506	18,854	28,788
<i>Percent of total</i>			53.6%	17.3%	0.5%	13.3%	15.2%	23.3%
Mason	-0.8%	119,249	64,006	13,166	742	20,973	20,362	34,466
<i>Percent of total</i>			53.7%	11.0%	0.6%	17.6%	17.1%	28.9%
Mount Vernon	1.3%	121,717	74,263	21,345	444	13,464	12,201	17,172
<i>Percent of total</i>			61.0%	17.5%	0.4%	11.1%	10.0%	14.1%
Providence	3.9%	124,839	76,711	7,019	435	28,198	12,476	19,086
<i>Percent of total</i>			61.4%	5.6%	0.3%	22.6%	10.0%	15.3%
Springfield	-3.1%	116,414	79,905	7,589	261	21,205	7,454	10,128
<i>Percent of total</i>			68.6%	6.5%	0.2%	18.2%	6.4%	8.7%
Sully	3.3%	124,203	76,701	7,787	303	29,152	10,260	14,608
<i>Percent of total</i>			61.8%	6.3%	0.2%	23.5%	8.3%	11.8%
Fairfax County		1,081,726	677,990	99,218	3,884	189,661	110,973	168,482
<i>Percent of total</i>			62.7%	9.2%	0.4%	17.5%	10.3%	15.6%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan 9A7

1. Legal Requirements and Plan Summary

1.1. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS

1.1.1. Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?

1.1.1.1. Yes

1.1.2. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?

1.1.2.1. 7.95%

1.2. MINIMAL DISRUPTION

1.2.1. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?

1.2.1.1. Yes

1.2.2. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.

1.2.2.1. N/A

1.3. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES

1.3.1. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?

1.3.1.1. Yes

1.3.2. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?

1.3.2.1. N/A

1.3.3. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?

1.3.3.1. N/A

1.4. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA

1.4.1. Does the plan considers established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.

1.4.1.1. Yes

1.4.2. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?

1.4.2.1. Yes

1.5. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY

1.5.1. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?

1.5.1.1. Yes

1.5.1.2. Yes

1.5.2. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?

1.5.2.1. Yes

1.6. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES

1.6.1. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?

1.6.1.1. No

1.6.2. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?

1.6.2.1. No

1.7. COST

1.7.1. Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?

1.7.1.1. No

Presentation of Plan and Comments

**AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE
TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 9A7]

1
2

Draft of March 15, 2011

3 **AN ORDINANCE** to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by
4 amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10,
5 and 7-2-11, relating to the election districts of the members of the
6 Board of Supervisors.

7 **Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:**

8 **1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, and 7-2-11 are**
9 **amended and readopted as follows:**

10

11 **Section 7-2-4. - Braddock District.**

12

13 The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae,
14 ~~Bristow~~, Burke Centre, Cardinal, Chapel, Eagle View, Fairview, Heritage, Irving,
15 Keene Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch, North Springfield
16 No. 1, North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde Creek, Olley, Ravensworth,
17 Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield, and Woodson.

18

19 **Section 7-2-5. - Hunter Mill District.**

20

21 The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron
22 ~~Glen~~, Coates, Dogwood, ~~Flint Hill~~, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade, Hunters
23 Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3, South
24 Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No.
25 4, Vienna No. 6, Westbriar, and Wolftrap.

26

27 **Section 7-2-7. - Lee District.**

28

29 The Lee District shall consist of these election precincts: Bush Hill, Cameron,
30 Clermont, Crestwood, Fairfield, Franconia, Garfield, Greenspring, Groveton,
31 ~~Hayfield~~, Huntington, Huntley, Island Creek, Kingstowne, Lane, Lynbrook, Mount
32 Eagle, Pioneer, Rose Hill, Van Dorn, Villages, Virginia Hills, and Wilton.

33

1 **Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.**

2
3 The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft,
4 | Belvedere, Bren Mar, Bristow, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Glen Forest
5 No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer, Leewood,
6 Lincolnia, Masonville, Parklawn, Poe, Ravenwood, Ridgelea, Saint Albans,
7 | Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Walnut Hill No. 2, Westlawn,
8 | Weyanoke, Whittier, ~~and Willston,~~ and Woodburn.

9
10 **Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.**

11
12 The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle
13 Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall,
14 | Hunt, ~~Huntington~~, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station,
15 Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood,
16 Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.

17
18 **Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.**

19
20 The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Fairfax
21 Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is
22 | surrounded by Fairfax City), Flint Hill, Fort Buffalo, Freedom Hill, Graham,
23 Greenway, Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak
24 Marr, Oakton, Penderbrook, Pine Ridge, Pine Spring, Price, Shreve, Stenwood,
25 | Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, and Walker, ~~Walnut Hill No. 2, and Woodburn.~~

26
27 **Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.**

28
29 The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke,
30 | Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, ~~Eagle View~~, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax
31 | Station, Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, ~~Irving~~, Monument,
32 Newgate North, Newgate South, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, Popes Head,
33 Sangster, Silverbrook, South Run, Valley, West Springfield, White Oaks, Willow
34 Springs, and Woodyard.

35
36
37 **2. That this ordinance shall become effective upon adoption, and it**
38 **shall be enforced after satisfactory completion of the federal preclearance**
39 **procedure provided by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as**
40 **amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.**
41

1


GIVEN under my hand this _____ day of _____ 2011.

Nancy Vehrs
Clerk to the Board of Supervisors

\\s17prolaw01\Documents\104659\ECW\Correspondence\344220.doc



FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA




2011 Proposed Supervisor District Boundary Changes

9A8

Voting Precinct Boundaries



Proposed Supervisor Districts



Note: This map is intended for reference purposes only. Fairfax County does not provide any guarantee of the accuracy or completeness regarding the map information.

Plan Datasheet –9A8

Number of precincts moved:	7
Number of persons moved:	31282
Total deviation of the plan:	6.57%
Range of plan deviation:	-3.23 to 3.34%
Precincts moved list:	Bristow, Eagle View, Fort Buffalo, Hunt, Popes Head, Walnut Hill #2, Westbriar.

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 120,192

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 9A8

Supervisor District	Deviation from Target Population	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Races	Hispanic or Latino
Braddock	-3.2%	116,310	78,334	6,573	370	21,049	9,984	16,472
<i>Percent of total</i>			67.3%	5.7%	0.3%	18.1%	8.6%	14.2%
Dranesville	0.6%	120,854	85,763	5,050	366	19,317	10,358	15,431
<i>Percent of total</i>			71.0%	4.2%	0.3%	16.0%	8.6%	12.8%
Hunter Mill	0.9%	121,326	81,189	9,561	347	20,686	9,543	12,787
<i>Percent of total</i>			66.9%	7.9%	0.3%	17.0%	7.9%	10.5%
Lee	-1.8%	117,984	62,721	20,616	618	15,958	18,071	27,668
<i>Percent of total</i>			53.2%	17.5%	0.5%	13.5%	15.3%	23.5%
Mason	-0.5%	119,542	63,641	13,334	728	21,030	20,809	35,160
<i>Percent of total</i>			53.2%	11.2%	0.6%	17.6%	17.4%	29.4%
Mount Vernon	1.6%	122,086	74,289	21,607	448	13,129	12,613	17,790
<i>Percent of total</i>			60.8%	17.7%	0.4%	10.8%	10.3%	14.6%
Providence	-1.3%	118,614	72,499	6,701	428	27,421	11,565	17,782
<i>Percent of total</i>			61.1%	5.6%	0.4%	23.1%	9.8%	15.0%
Springfield	0.5%	120,807	82,853	7,989	276	21,919	7,770	10,784
<i>Percent of total</i>			68.6%	6.6%	0.2%	18.1%	6.4%	8.9%
Sully	3.3%	124,203	76,701	7,787	303	29,152	10,260	14,608
<i>Percent of total</i>			61.8%	6.3%	0.2%	23.5%	8.3%	11.8%
Fairfax County		1,081,726	677,990	99,218	3,884	189,661	110,973	168,482
<i>Percent of total</i>			62.7%	9.2%	0.4%	17.5%	10.3%	15.6%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan 9A8

1. Legal Requirements and Plan Summary

1.1. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS

1.1.1. Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?

1.1.1.1. Yes

1.1.2. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?

1.1.2.1. 6.56% (-3.32% to 3.34%)

1.2. MINIMAL DISRUPTION

1.2.1. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?

1.2.1.1. No Supervisors move.

1.2.2. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.

1.2.2.1. Same #

1.3. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES

1.3.1. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?

1.3.1.1. Yes

1.3.2. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?

1.3.2.1. N/A

1.3.3. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?

1.3.3.1. Yes

1.4. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA

1.4.1. Does the plan considers established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.

1.4.1.1. Yes

1.4.2. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?

1.4.2.1. Yes

1.5. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY

1.5.1. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?

1.5.1.1. Yes

1.5.2. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?

1.5.2.1. Yes except for the Judicial Center in Fairfax City that already exists.

1.6. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES

1.6.1. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?

1.6.1.1. No

1.6.2. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?

1.6.2.1. No

1.7. COST

1.7.1. Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?

1.7.1.1. Should be change

1.7.1.2. Should be no change

1.8. Presentation of Plan and Comments

*1.8.1. Mt Vernon Springfield Hunt 5415 BRADDOCK MASON Bristow 5503
SPRINGFIELD BRADDOCK Popes Head 4378 SPRINGFIELD BRADDOCK Eagle
View 6005 HUNTER-MILL DRANESVILLE Westbriar 5268 PROVIDENCE MASON
Fort Buffalo 3551 PROVIDENCE MASON Walnut Hill #2 1162 Total 31282*

*1.8.2. Mt Vernon Springfield Hunt 5415 BRADDOCK MASON Bristow 5503
SPRINGFIELD BRADDOCK Popes Head 4378 SPRINGFIELD BRADDOCK Eagle
View 6005 HUNTER-MILL DRANESVILLE Westbriar 5268 PROVIDENCE MASON
Fort Buffalo 3551 PROVIDENCE MASON Walnut Hill #2 1162 Total 31282*

1.8.3. 6 Precincts moved. [Staff note: plan author's stated number of precincts moved is incorrect; actual number is 7.]

**AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE
TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 9A8]

1
2

Draft of March 15, 2011

3 **AN ORDINANCE** to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by
4 amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10,
5 and 7-2-11, relating to the election districts of the members of the
6 Board of Supervisors.

7 **Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:**

8 **1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, and 7-2-11 are**
9 **amended and readopted as follows:**

10

11 **Section 7-2-4. - Braddock District.**

12

13 The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae,
14 | ~~Bristow~~, Burke Centre, Cardinal, Chapel, Eagle View, Fairview, Heritage, Keene
15 Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch, North Springfield No. 1,
16 | North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde Creek, Olley, Popes Head, Ravensworth,
17 Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield, and Woodson.

18

19 **Section 7-2-5. - Hunter Mill District.**

20

21 The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron
22 Glen, Coates, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade, Hunters
23 Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3, South
24 Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No.
25 | 4, Vienna No. 6, ~~Westbriar~~, and Wolftrap.

26

27 **Section 7-2-6. - Dranesville District.**

28

29 The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge,
30 Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, Colvin, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville, Great
31 Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory,
32 Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, McLean, Pimmit, Salona,
33 | Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Sugarland, Westbriar, Westhampton, and
34 Westmoreland.

35

1 **Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.**

2
3 The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft,
4 Belvedere, Bren Mar, Bristow, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Fort
5 Buffalo, Glen Forest No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2,
6 Hummer, Leewood, Lincolnia, Masonville, Parklawn, Poe, Ravenwood, Ridgelea,
7 Saint Albans, Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Walnut Hill No. 2,
8 Westlawn, Weyanoke, Whittier, and Willston.

9
10 **Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.**

11
12 The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle
13 Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall,
14 ~~Hunt~~, Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station,
15 Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood,
16 Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.

17
18 **Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.**

19
20 The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Fairfax
21 Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is
22 surrounded by Fairfax City), ~~Fort Buffalo~~, Freedom Hill, Graham, Greenway,
23 Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak Marr,
24 Oakton, Penderbrook, Pine Ridge, Pine Spring, Price, Shreve, Stenwood,
25 Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, Walker, ~~Walnut Hill No. 2~~, and Woodburn.

26
27 **Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.**

28
29 The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke,
30 Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, ~~Eagle View~~, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax
31 Station, Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Hunt, Irving,
32 Monument, Newgate North, Newgate South, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, ~~Popes~~
33 ~~Head~~, Sangster, Silverbrook, South Run, Valley, West Springfield, White Oaks,
34 Willow Springs, and Woodyard.

35

1 **2. That this ordinance shall become effective upon adoption, and it**
2 **shall be enforced after satisfactory completion of the federal preclearance**
3 **procedure provided by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as**
4 **amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.**

5


GIVEN under my hand this _____ day of _____ 2011.

Nancy Vehrs
Clerk to the Board of Supervisors

\\s17prolaw01\Documents\104659\ECW\Correspondence\344234.doc



FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA



2011 Proposed Supervisor District Boundary Changes

9A9

Voting Precinct Boundaries



Proposed Supervisor Districts



Note: This map is intended for reference purposes only. Fairfax County does not provide any guarantee of the accuracy or completeness regarding the map information.

Plan Datasheet –9A9

Number of precincts moved:	8
Number of persons moved:	38897
Total deviation of the plan:	7.78%
Range of plan deviation:	-4.44 to 3.34%
Precincts moved list:	Bristow, Coates, Colvin, Eagle View, Hunt, Monument, North Springfield #2, Walnut Hill #2.

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 120,192

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 9A9

Supervisor District	Deviation from Target Population	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Races	Hispanic or Latino
Braddock	-4.4%	114,858	76,713	6,705	339	21,727	9,374	15,504
<i>Percent of total</i>			66.8%	5.8%	0.3%	18.9%	8.2%	13.5%
Dranesville	-0.4%	119,740	81,982	6,107	371	20,629	10,651	15,814
<i>Percent of total</i>			68.5%	5.1%	0.3%	17.2%	8.9%	13.2%
Hunter Mill	1.9%	122,440	84,970	8,504	342	19,374	9,250	12,404
<i>Percent of total</i>			69.4%	6.9%	0.3%	15.8%	7.6%	10.1%
Lee	-1.8%	117,984	62,721	20,616	618	15,958	18,071	27,668
<i>Percent of total</i>			53.2%	17.5%	0.5%	13.5%	15.3%	23.5%
Mason	-0.5%	119,617	63,763	13,296	742	20,902	20,914	35,274
<i>Percent of total</i>			53.3%	11.1%	0.6%	17.5%	17.5%	29.5%
Mount Vernon	1.6%	122,086	74,289	21,607	448	13,129	12,613	17,790
<i>Percent of total</i>			60.8%	17.7%	0.4%	10.8%	10.3%	14.6%
Providence	1.6%	122,165	74,192	7,048	449	28,199	12,277	18,926
<i>Percent of total</i>			60.7%	5.8%	0.4%	23.1%	10.0%	15.5%
Springfield	-1.3%	118,633	82,659	7,548	272	20,591	7,563	10,494
<i>Percent of total</i>			69.7%	6.4%	0.2%	17.4%	6.4%	8.8%
Sully	3.3%	124,203	76,701	7,787	303	29,152	10,260	14,608
<i>Percent of total</i>			61.8%	6.3%	0.2%	23.5%	8.3%	11.8%
Fairfax County		1,081,726	677,990	99,218	3,884	189,661	110,973	168,482
<i>Percent of total</i>			62.7%	9.2%	0.4%	17.5%	10.3%	15.6%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan 9A9

9. Legal Requirements and Plan Summary

9.1. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS

9.1.1. Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?

9.1.1.1. Yes.

9.1.2. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?

9.1.2.1. The deviation ranges between -4.4% to 3.3%.

9.2. MINIMAL DISRUPTION

9.2.1. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?

9.2.1.1. Yes.

9.2.2. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.

9.2.2.1. Non-applicable (plan keeps 9 magisterial districts).

9.3. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES

9.3.1. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?

9.3.1.1. Yes.

9.3.2. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?

9.3.2.1. Non-applicable.

9.3.3. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?

9.3.3.1. Non-applicable.

9.4. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA

9.4.1. Does the plan considers established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.

9.4.1.1. Yes.

9.4.2. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?

9.4.2.1. Yes.

9.5. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY

9.5.1. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?

9.5.1.1. Yes.

9.5.2. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?

9.5.2.1. Yes.

9.6. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES

9.6.1. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?

9.6.1.1. No.

9.6.2. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?

9.6.2.1. No.

9.7. COST

9.7.1. Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?

9.7.1.1. Neither (cost-neutral).

9.8. Presentation of Plan and Comments

**AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE
TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 9A9]

1
2

Draft of March 15, 2011

3 **AN ORDINANCE** to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by
4 amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, and 7-
5 2-11, relating to the election districts of the members of the Board
6 of Supervisors.

7 **Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:**

8 **1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, and 7-2-11 are**
9 **amended and readopted as follows:**

10

11 **Section 7-2-4. - Braddock District.**

12

13 The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae,
14 ~~Bristow~~, Burke Centre, Cardinal, Chapel, Eagle View, Fairview, Heritage, Keene
15 Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch, Monument, North
16 Springfield No. 1, ~~North Springfield No. 2~~, Oak Hill, Olde Creek, Olley,
17 Ravensworth, Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield,
18 and Woodson.

19

20 **Section 7-2-5. - Hunter Mill District.**

21

22 The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron
23 Glen, ~~Coates~~, Colvin, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade,
24 Hunters Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3,
25 South Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2,
26 Vienna No. 4, Vienna No. 6, Westbriar, and Wolftrap.

27

28 **Section 7-2-6. - Dranesville District.**

29

30 The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge,
31 Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, Coates, ~~Colvin~~, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville,
32 Great Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory,
33 Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, McLean, Pimmit, Salona,
34 Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Sugarland, Westhampton, and Westmoreland.

35

1 **Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.**

2
3 The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft,
4 | Belvedere, Bren Mar, Bristow, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Glen Forest
5 | No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer, Leewood,
6 | Lincolnia, Masonville, North Springfield No. 2, Parklawn, Poe, Ravenwood,
7 | Ridgelea, Saint Albans, Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Walnut Hill No.
8 | 2, Westlawn, Weyanoke, Whittier, and Willston.

9
10 **Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.**

11
12 The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle
13 | Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall,
14 | ~~Hunt~~, Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station,
15 | Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood,
16 | Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.

17
18 **Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.**

19
20 The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Fairfax
21 | Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is
22 | surrounded by Fairfax City), Fort Buffalo, Freedom Hill, Graham, Greenway,
23 | Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak Marr,
24 | Oakton, Penderbrook, Pine Ridge, Pine Spring, Price, Shreve, Stenwood,
25 | Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, Walker, ~~Walnut Hill No. 2~~, and Woodburn.

26
27 **Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.**

28
29 The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke,
30 | Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, ~~Eagle View~~, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax
31 | Station, Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Hunt, Irving,
32 | ~~Monument~~, Newgate North, Newgate South, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, Popes
33 | Head, Sangster, Silverbrook, South Run, Valley, West Springfield, White Oaks,
34 | Willow Springs, and Woodyard.

35

1 **2. That this ordinance shall become effective upon adoption, and it**
2 **shall be enforced after satisfactory completion of the federal preclearance**
3 **procedure provided by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as**
4 **amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.**

5


GIVEN under my hand this _____ day of _____ 2011.

Nancy Vehrs
Clerk to the Board of Supervisors

\\s17prolaw01\Documents\104659\ECW\Correspondence\344248.doc



FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA



2011 Proposed Supervisor District Boundary Changes

9A10

Voting Precinct Boundaries



Proposed Supervisor Districts



Note: This map is intended for reference purposes only. Fairfax County does not provide any guarantee of the accuracy or completeness regarding the map information.

Plan Datasheet – 9A10

Number of precincts moved:	8
Number of persons moved:	36793
Total deviation of the plan:	3.43%
Range of plan deviation:	-2.04 to 1.39%
Precincts moved list:	Eagle View, Fort Buffalo, Grosvenor, Huntington, Lynbrook, Popes Head, Poplar Tree, Westbriar.

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 120,192

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 9A10

Supervisor District	Deviation from Target Population	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Races	Hispanic or Latino
Braddock	1.3%	121,813	80,471	7,325	391	22,600	11,026	18,225
<i>Percent of total</i>			66.1%	6.0%	0.3%	18.6%	9.1%	15.0%
Dranesville	0.6%	120,854	85,763	5,050	366	19,317	10,358	15,431
<i>Percent of total</i>			71.0%	4.2%	0.3%	16.0%	8.6%	12.8%
Hunter Mill	0.9%	121,326	81,189	9,561	347	20,686	9,543	12,787
<i>Percent of total</i>			66.9%	7.9%	0.3%	17.0%	7.9%	10.5%
Lee	1.4%	121,859	66,195	21,677	592	15,737	17,658	27,027
<i>Percent of total</i>			54.3%	17.8%	0.5%	12.9%	14.5%	22.2%
Mason	-2.0%	117,744	62,554	12,827	747	20,401	21,215	35,447
<i>Percent of total</i>			53.1%	10.9%	0.6%	17.3%	18.0%	30.1%
Mount Vernon	-1.2%	118,759	72,571	20,790	431	13,123	11,844	16,688
<i>Percent of total</i>			61.1%	17.5%	0.4%	11.1%	10.0%	14.1%
Providence	-0.3%	119,776	73,335	6,716	446	27,609	11,670	17,987
<i>Percent of total</i>			61.2%	5.6%	0.4%	23.1%	9.7%	15.0%
Springfield	-0.7%	119,374	81,741	7,657	272	22,125	7,579	10,452
<i>Percent of total</i>			68.5%	6.4%	0.2%	18.5%	6.3%	8.8%
Sully	0.0%	120,221	74,171	7,615	292	28,063	10,080	14,438
<i>Percent of total</i>			61.7%	6.3%	0.2%	23.3%	8.4%	12.0%
Fairfax County		1,081,726	677,990	99,218	3,884	189,661	110,973	168,482
<i>Percent of total</i>			62.7%	9.2%	0.4%	17.5%	10.3%	15.6%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan 9A10

1. Legal Requirements and Plan Summary

- 1.1. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS
 - 1.1.1. Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?
 - 1.1.1.1. The range of the deviation is less than 10 %*
 - 1.1.2. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?
 - 1.1.2.1. -2 %*
- 1.2. MINIMAL DISRUPTION
 - 1.2.1. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?
 - 1.2.2. *Yes, There is minimum disruption. The plan requires 7 moves. Most of the moves were around the boundaries of the districts.* [Staff note: plan author's stated number of precincts moved is incorrect; actual number is 8.]
 - 1.2.3. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.
 - 1.2.3.1. Same number of supervisor districts, nine*
- 1.3. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES
 - 1.3.1. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?
 - 1.3.1.1. Yes*
 - 1.3.2. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?
 - 1.3.2.1. Not applicable*
 - 1.3.3. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?
 - 1.3.3.1. Yes*
- 1.4. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA
 - 1.4.1. Does the plan considers established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.
 - 1.4.1.1. Yes*
 - 1.4.2. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?
 - 1.4.2.1. Yes*
- 1.5. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY
 - 1.5.1. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?
 - 1.5.1.1. Yes*
 - 1.5.2. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?
 - 1.5.2.1. Yes*
- 1.6. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES
 - 1.6.1. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off

than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?

1.6.1.1. Yes, there will be a small regression.

1.6.2. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?

1.6.2.1. No.

1.7. COST

1.7.1. **Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?**

1.8. Presentation of Plan and Comments

1.8.1. There will no substantial increase in cost.

**AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE
TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 9A10]

1
2

Draft of March 15, 2011

3 **AN ORDINANCE** to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by
4 amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10,
5 7-2-11, and 7-2-12, relating to the election districts of the members
6 of the Board of Supervisors.

7 **Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:**

8 **1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, 7-2-11, and**
9 **7-2-12 are amended and readopted as follows:**

10

11 **Section 7-2-4. - Braddock District.**

12

13 The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae,
14 Bristow, Burke Centre, Cardinal, Chapel, Eagle View, Fairview, Heritage, Keene
15 Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch, North Springfield No. 1,
16 North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde Creek, Olley, Popes Head, Ravensworth,
17 Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield, and Woodson.

18

19 **Section 7-2-5. - Hunter Mill District.**

20

21 The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron
22 Glen, Coates, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade, Hunters
23 Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3, South
24 Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No.
25 4, Vienna No. 6, ~~Westbriar~~, and Wolftrap.

26

27 **Section 7-2-6. - Dranesville District.**

28

29 The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge,
30 Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, Colvin, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville, Great
31 Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory,
32 Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, McLean, Pimmit, Salona,
33 Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Sugarland, Westbriar, Westhampton, and
34 Westmoreland.

35

1 **Section 7-2-7. - Lee District.**

2
3 The Lee District shall consist of these election precincts: Bush Hill, Cameron,
4 Clermont, Crestwood, Fairfield, Franconia, Garfield, Greenspring, Grosvenor,
5 Groveton, Hayfield, Huntington, Huntley, Island Creek, Kingstowne, Lane,
6 ~~Lynbrook~~, Mount Eagle, Pioneer, Rose Hill, Van Dorn, Villages, Virginia Hills, and
7 Wilton.

8
9 **Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.**

10
11 The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft,
12 Belvedere, Bren Mar, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Fort Buffalo, Glen
13 Forest No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer,
14 Leewood, Lincolnia, Lynbrook, Masonville, Parklawn, Poe, Ravenwood,
15 Ridgelea, Saint Albans, Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Westlawn,
16 Weyanoke, Whittier, and Willston.

17
18 **Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.**

19
20 The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle
21 Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, ~~Grosvenor~~, Gunston, Hollin Hall,
22 Hunt, ~~Huntington~~, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station,
23 Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood,
24 Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.

25
26 **Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.**

27
28 The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Fairfax
29 Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is
30 surrounded by Fairfax City), ~~Fort Buffalo~~, Freedom Hill, Graham, Greenway,
31 Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak Marr,
32 Oakton, Penderbrook, Pine Ridge, Pine Spring, Price, Shreve, Stenwood,
33 Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, Walker, Walnut Hill No. 2, and Woodburn.

34
35 **Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.**

36
37 The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke,
38 Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, ~~Eagle View~~, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax
39 Station, Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Irving, Monument,
40 Newgate North, Newgate South, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, ~~Popes Head~~, Poplar
41 Tree, Sangster, Silverbrook, South Run, Valley, West Springfield, White Oaks,
42 Willow Springs, and Woodyard.

43

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14

Section 7-2-12. - Sully District.

The Sully District shall consist of these election precincts: Brookfield, Bull Run, Centre Ridge, Centreville, Cub Run, Deer Park, Dulles, Franklin, Green Trails, Kinross, Lees Corner East, Lees Corner West, London Towne East, London Towne West, Navy, Old Mill, ~~Poplar Tree~~, Powell, Rocky Run, Stone, Vale, Virginia Run, and Waples Mill.

2. That this ordinance shall become effective upon adoption, and it shall be enforced after satisfactory completion of the federal preclearance procedure provided by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.

GIVEN under my hand this _____ day of _____ 2011.

Nancy Vehrs
Clerk to the Board of Supervisors

Plan Datasheet –9A11

Number of precincts moved:	9
Number of persons moved:	39779
Total deviation of the plan:	8.08%
Range of plan deviation:	-4.02 to 4.06%
Precincts moved list:	Cardinal, Coates, Eagle View, Fort Buffalo, Hunt, Keene Mill, Monument, Walnut Hill #2, Woodburn.

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 120,192

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 9A11

Supervisor District	Deviation from Target Population	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Races	Hispanic or Latino
Braddock	-2.2%	117,545	76,337	7,295	371	22,998	10,544	17,473
<i>Percent of total</i>			64.9%	6.2%	0.3%	19.6%	9.0%	14.9%
Dranesville	2.3%	122,980	84,489	6,183	373	21,122	10,813	15,977
<i>Percent of total</i>			68.7%	5.0%	0.3%	17.2%	8.8%	13.0%
Hunter Mill	-0.8%	119,200	82,463	8,428	340	18,881	9,088	12,241
<i>Percent of total</i>			69.2%	7.1%	0.3%	15.8%	7.6%	10.3%
Lee	-1.8%	117,984	62,721	20,616	618	15,958	18,071	27,668
<i>Percent of total</i>			53.2%	17.5%	0.5%	13.5%	15.3%	23.5%
Mason	-2.4%	117,297	63,562	12,761	742	20,200	20,032	33,857
<i>Percent of total</i>			54.2%	10.9%	0.6%	17.2%	17.1%	28.9%
Mount Vernon	1.6%	122,086	74,289	21,607	448	13,129	12,613	17,790
<i>Percent of total</i>			60.8%	17.7%	0.4%	10.8%	10.3%	14.6%
Providence	-4.0%	115,356	70,441	6,522	393	26,700	11,300	17,332
<i>Percent of total</i>			61.1%	5.7%	0.3%	23.1%	9.8%	15.0%
Springfield	4.1%	125,075	86,987	8,019	296	21,521	8,252	11,536
<i>Percent of total</i>			69.5%	6.4%	0.2%	17.2%	6.6%	9.2%
Sully	3.3%	124,203	76,701	7,787	303	29,152	10,260	14,608
<i>Percent of total</i>			61.8%	6.3%	0.2%	23.5%	8.3%	11.8%
Fairfax County		1,081,726	677,990	99,218	3,884	189,661	110,973	168,482
<i>Percent of total</i>			62.7%	9.2%	0.4%	17.5%	10.3%	15.6%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan 9A11

11. Legal Requirements and Plan Summary

11.1. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS

11.1.1. Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?

11.1.1.1. Yes

11.1.2. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?

11.1.2.1. (4.0%) and 4.1%

11.2. MINIMAL DISRUPTION

11.2.1. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?

11.2.1.1. Yes

11.2.2. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.

11.2.2.1. N/A

11.3. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES

11.3.1. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?

11.3.1.1. Yes

11.3.2. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?

11.3.3. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?

11.4. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA

11.4.1. Does the plan consider established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.

11.4.1.1. Yes, especially moving the Cardinal and the Keene Mill precincts back into Springfield where they were for over 40 years

11.4.2. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?

11.4.2.1. Yes

11.5. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY

11.5.1. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?

11.5.1.1. No

11.5.2. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?

11.5.2.1. Yes

11.6. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES

11.6.1. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?

11.6.1.1. No

11.6.2. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large

and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?

11.6.2.1. *No*

11.7. COST

11.7.1. Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?

11.7.1.1. *Do not think so*

11.8. Presentation of Plan and Comments

11.8.1. *While there has been an overriding concern about moving precincts, this is the only chance for the next 10 years to right a wrong that occurred 10 years ago. In the 2001 reapportionment, Cardinal and Keene Mill precincts were ripped from the Springfield District and put into Braddock District. As you can see from a map, the Cardinal and Keene Mill communities are separated from the rest of the Braddock District by a physical boundary, a railroad track, and a virtual boundary, the West Springfield High School pyramid resulting in an isolation of a community and putting 1/3 of the West Springfield High School pyramid elementary schools in a separate magisterial district. In fact while the Board of Supervisors were splitting Cardinal and Keene Mill into a new magisterial district, those redistricting for Congressional seats reunited Cardinal with Keene Mill for the 2002 election, they had been in the 8th and 11th Congressional districts respectively, putting them in with the rest of the West Springfield area.*

11.9. (Type here to submit an idea.)

**AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE
TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 9A11]

1
2

Draft of March 15, 2011

3 **AN ORDINANCE** to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by
4 amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10,
5 and 7-2-11, relating to the election districts of the members of the
6 Board of Supervisors.

7 **Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:**

8 **1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, and 7-2-11 are**
9 **amended and readopted as follows:**

10

11 **Section 7-2-4. - Braddock District.**

12

13 The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae,
14 Bristow, Burke Centre, ~~Cardinal~~, Chapel, Eagle View, Fairview, Heritage, ~~Keene~~
15 ~~Mill~~, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch, Monument, North
16 Springfield No. 1, North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde Creek, Olley,
17 Ravensworth, Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield,
18 and Woodson.

19

20 **Section 7-2-5. - Hunter Mill District.**

21

22 The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron
23 Glen, ~~Coates~~, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade, Hunters
24 Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3, South
25 Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No.
26 4, Vienna No. 6, Westbriar, and Wolftrap.

27

28 **Section 7-2-6. - Dranesville District.**

29

30 The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge,
31 Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, Coates, Colvin, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville,
32 Great Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory,
33 Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, McLean, Pimmit, Salona,
34 Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Sugarland, Westhampton, and Westmoreland.

35

1 **Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.**

2
3 The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft,
4 | Belvedere, Bren Mar, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Fort Buffalo, Glen
5 Forest No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer,
6 Leewood, Lincolnia, Masonville, Parklawn, Poe, Ravenwood, Ridgelea, Saint
7 | Albans, Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Walnut Hill No. 2, Westlawn,
8 | Weyanoke, Whittier, ~~and Willston,~~ and Woodburn.

9
10 **Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.**

11
12 The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle
13 Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall,
14 | ~~Hunt~~, Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station,
15 Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood,
16 Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.

17
18 **Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.**

19
20 The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Fairfax
21 Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is
22 | surrounded by Fairfax City), ~~Fort Buffalo~~, Freedom Hill, Graham, Greenway,
23 Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak Marr,
24 Oakton, Penderbrook, Pine Ridge, Pine Spring, Price, Shreve, Stenwood,
25 | Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, and Walker, ~~Walnut Hill No. 2, and Woodburn.~~

26
27 **Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.**

28
29 | The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke, Cardinal,
30 Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, ~~Eagle View~~, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax
31 Station, Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Hunt, Irving,
32 | ~~Monument~~, Keene Mill, Newgate North, Newgate South, Orange, Parkway,
33 Pohick, Popes Head, Sangster, Silverbrook, South Run, Valley, West Springfield,
34 White Oaks, Willow Springs, and Woodyard.

1 **2. That this ordinance shall become effective upon adoption, and it**
2 **shall be enforced after satisfactory completion of the federal preclearance**
3 **procedure provided by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as**
4 **amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.**

5


GIVEN under my hand this _____ day of _____ 2011.

Nancy Vehrs
Clerk to the Board of Supervisors

\\s17prolaw01\Documents\104659\ECW\Correspondence\344262.doc



FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA



2011 Proposed Supervisor District Boundary Changes

9A12

Voting Precinct Boundaries



Proposed Supervisor Districts



Note: This map is intended for reference purposes only. Fairfax County does not provide any guarantee of the accuracy or completeness regarding the map information.

Plan Datasheet –9A12

Number of precincts moved:	9
Number of persons moved:	41774
Total deviation of the plan:	8.18%
Range of plan deviation:	-4.73 to 3.45%
Precincts moved list:	Eagle View, Fairfax Court, Hunt, Newington, Pine Ridge, Popes Head, Price, West Springfield, Westbriar.

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 120,192

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 9A12

Supervisor District	Deviation from Target Population	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Races	Hispanic or Latino
Braddock	2.4%	123,065	81,179	7,797	409	22,641	11,039	18,546
<i>Percent of total</i>			66.0%	6.3%	0.3%	18.4%	9.0%	15.1%
Dranesville	0.6%	120,854	85,763	5,050	366	19,317	10,358	15,431
<i>Percent of total</i>			71.0%	4.2%	0.3%	16.0%	8.6%	12.8%
Hunter Mill	0.9%	121,326	81,189	9,561	347	20,686	9,543	12,787
<i>Percent of total</i>			66.9%	7.9%	0.3%	17.0%	7.9%	10.5%
Lee	-1.8%	117,984	62,721	20,616	618	15,958	18,071	27,668
<i>Percent of total</i>			53.2%	17.5%	0.5%	13.5%	15.3%	23.5%
Mason	-2.7%	116,893	63,623	12,682	697	20,237	19,654	33,266
<i>Percent of total</i>			54.4%	10.8%	0.6%	17.3%	16.8%	28.5%
Mount Vernon	-1.4%	118,549	72,514	21,033	425	12,584	11,993	16,881
<i>Percent of total</i>			61.2%	17.7%	0.4%	10.6%	10.1%	14.2%
Providence	-4.7%	114,508	69,672	6,129	420	26,622	11,665	17,602
<i>Percent of total</i>			60.8%	5.4%	0.4%	23.2%	10.2%	15.4%
Springfield	3.5%	124,344	84,628	8,563	299	22,464	8,390	11,693
<i>Percent of total</i>			68.1%	6.9%	0.2%	18.1%	6.7%	9.4%
Sully	3.3%	124,203	76,701	7,787	303	29,152	10,260	14,608
<i>Percent of total</i>			61.8%	6.3%	0.2%	23.5%	8.3%	11.8%
Fairfax County		1,081,726	677,990	99,218	3,884	189,661	110,973	168,482
<i>Percent of total</i>			62.7%	9.2%	0.4%	17.5%	10.3%	15.6%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan 9A12

1. Legal Requirements and Plan Summary

1.1. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS

1.1.1. Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?

1.1.1.1. Yes

1.1.2. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?

1.1.2.1. less than 10%

1.2. MINIMAL DISRUPTION

1.2.1. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?

1.2.1.1. Yes

1.2.2. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.

1.2.2.1. n/a

1.3. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES

1.3.1. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?

1.3.1.1. yes

1.3.2. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?

1.3.2.1. n/a

1.3.2.2. yes

1.3.3. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?

1.3.3.1. n/a

1.4. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA

1.4.1. Does the plan considers established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.

1.4.1.1. n/a

1.4.1.2. yes

1.4.2. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?

1.4.2.1. yes

1.5. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY

1.5.1. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?

1.5.1.1. yes

1.5.2. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?

1.5.2.1. yes

1.6. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES

1.6.1. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off

than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?

1.6.1.1. no

1.6.2. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?

1.6.2.1. no

1.7. COST

1.7.1. **Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?**

1.7.1.1. no

1.8. Presentation of Plan and Comments

1.9. 9A12 has 2 main features: (i) minimal disruption; (ii) Braddock picks up ONLY 2 precincts to its immediate west, and loses none; Braddock remains compact.

**AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE
TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 9A12]

1
2

Draft of March 15, 2011

3 **AN ORDINANCE** to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by
4 amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10,
5 and 7-2-11, relating to the election districts of the members of the
6 Board of Supervisors.

7 **Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:**

8 **1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, and 7-2-11 are**
9 **amended and readopted as follows:**

10

11 **Section 7-2-4. - Braddock District.**

12

13 The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae,
14 Bristow, Burke Centre, Cardinal, Chapel, Eagle View, Fairfax Court (that part of
15 Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is surrounded by
16 Fairfax City), Fairview, Heritage, Keene Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel,
17 Long Branch, North Springfield No. 1, North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde
18 Creek, Olley, Popes Head, Ravensworth, Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra
19 Centre, Villa, Wakefield, and Woodson.

20

21 **Section 7-2-5. - Hunter Mill District.**

22

23 The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron
24 Glen, Coates, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade, Hunters
25 Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3, South
26 Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No.
27 4, Vienna No. 6, ~~Westbar~~, and Wolftrap.

28

29 **Section 7-2-6. - Dranesville District.**

30

31 The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge,
32 Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, Colvin, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville, Great
33 Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory,
34 Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, McLean, Pimmit, Salona,

1 | Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Sugarland, Westbriar, Westhampton, and
2 | Westmoreland.

3
4 | **Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.**

5
6 | The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft,
7 | Belvedere, Bren Mar, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Glen Forest No. 1,
8 | Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer, Leewood, Lincolnia,
9 | Masonville, Parklawn, Pine Ridge, Poe, Price, Ravenwood, Ridgelea, Saint
10 | Albans, Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Westlawn, Weyanoke, Whittier,
11 | and Willston.

12
13 | **Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.**

14
15 | The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle
16 | Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall,
17 | ~~Hunt~~, Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station,
18 | Marlan, ~~Newington~~, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood,
19 | West Springfield, Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.

20
21 | **Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.**

22
23 | The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, ~~Fairfax~~
24 | ~~Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is~~
25 | ~~surrounded by Fairfax City)~~, Fort Buffalo, Freedom Hill, Graham, Greenway,
26 | Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak Marr,
27 | Oakton, Penderbrook, ~~Pine Ridge~~, Pine Spring, ~~Price~~, Shreve, Stenwood,
28 | Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, Walker, Walnut Hill No. 2, and Woodburn.

29
30 | **Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.**

31
32 | The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke,
33 | Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, ~~Eagle View~~, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax
34 | Station, Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Hunt, Irving,
35 | Monument, Newgate North, Newgate South, Newington, Orange, Parkway,
36 | Pohick, ~~Popes Head~~, Sangster, Silverbrook, South Run, Valley, ~~West Springfield~~,
37 | White Oaks, Willow Springs, and Woodyard.

1 **2. That this ordinance shall become effective upon adoption, and it**
2 **shall be enforced after satisfactory completion of the federal preclearance**
3 **procedure provided by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as**
4 **amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.**

5


GIVEN under my hand this _____ day of _____ 2011.

Nancy Vehrs
Clerk to the Board of Supervisors

\\s17prolaw01\Documents\104659\ECW\Correspondence\344265.doc



FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA



2011 Proposed Supervisor District Boundary Changes 9B1

Voting Precinct Boundaries



Proposed Supervisor Districts

- 
BRADDOCK
- 
DRANESVILLE
- 
HUNTER MILL
- 
LEE
- 
MASON
- 
MOUNT VERNON
- 
PROVIDENCE
- 
SPRINGFIELD
- 
SULLY

Note: This map is intended for reference purposes only. Fairfax County does not provide any guarantee of the accuracy or completeness regarding the map information.

Plan Datasheet –9B1

Number of precincts moved:	11
Number of persons moved:	52298
Total deviation of the plan:	7.62%
Range of plan deviation:	-4.28 to 3.34%
Precincts moved list:	Bristow, Camelot, Coates, Eagle View, Fair Oaks, Heritage, Hunt, Monument, Ridgelea, South County, Walnut Hill #2.

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 120,192

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 9B1

Supervisor District	Deviation from Target Population	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Races	Hispanic or Latino
Braddock	-4.3%	115,051	78,470	6,496	311	21,156	8,618	13,537
<i>Percent of total</i>			68.2%	5.6%	0.3%	18.4%	7.5%	11.8%
Dranesville	2.3%	122,980	84,489	6,183	373	21,122	10,813	15,977
<i>Percent of total</i>			68.7%	5.0%	0.3%	17.2%	8.8%	13.0%
Hunter Mill	-0.8%	119,200	82,463	8,428	340	18,881	9,088	12,241
<i>Percent of total</i>			69.2%	7.1%	0.3%	15.8%	7.6%	10.3%
Lee	-1.8%	117,984	62,721	20,616	618	15,958	18,071	27,668
<i>Percent of total</i>			53.2%	17.5%	0.5%	13.5%	15.3%	23.5%
Mason	1.8%	122,381	63,482	13,724	773	22,540	21,862	37,468
<i>Percent of total</i>			51.9%	11.2%	0.6%	18.4%	17.9%	30.6%
Mount Vernon	-1.8%	117,996	71,730	20,991	442	12,505	12,328	17,484
<i>Percent of total</i>			60.8%	17.8%	0.4%	10.6%	10.4%	14.8%
Providence	1.6%	122,165	74,192	7,048	449	28,199	12,277	18,926
<i>Percent of total</i>			60.7%	5.8%	0.4%	23.1%	10.0%	15.5%
Springfield	-0.4%	119,766	83,742	7,945	275	20,148	7,656	10,573
<i>Percent of total</i>			69.9%	6.6%	0.2%	16.8%	6.4%	8.8%
Sully	3.3%	124,203	76,701	7,787	303	29,152	10,260	14,608
<i>Percent of total</i>			61.8%	6.3%	0.2%	23.5%	8.3%	11.8%
Fairfax County		1,081,726	677,990	99,218	3,884	189,661	110,973	168,482
<i>Percent of total</i>			62.7%	9.2%	0.4%	17.5%	10.3%	15.6%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan – 9B1

1. Legal Requirements and Plan Summary

1.1. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS

1.1.1. Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?

1.1.1.1. (Type here to submit a comment.)

1.1.1.2. Yes

1.1.1.3. Yes

1.1.2. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?

1.1.2.1. Sully - 124,203 3.3% Deviation

1.1.2.2. Braddock 115,051 - 4.3% Deviation

1.2. (Type here to submit an idea.)

1.3. MINIMAL DISRUPTION

1.3.1. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?

1.3.1.1. Very little Disruption.

1.3.2. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.

1.3.2.1. NA

1.4. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES

1.4.1. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?

1.4.1.1. yes

1.4.2. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?

1.4.2.1. na

1.4.3. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?

1.4.3.1. na

1.5. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA

1.5.1. Does the plan considers established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.

1.5.1.1. yes

1.5.2. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?

1.5.2.1. yes

1.6. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY

1.6.1. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?

1.6.1.1. yes

1.6.2. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?

1.6.2.1. yes

1.7. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES

1.7.1. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off

than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?

1.7.1.1. no

1.7.2. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?

1.7.2.1. no

1.8. COST

1.8.1. No new Costs

1.8.2. Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?

1.8.2.1. Will not increase costs However up to Board if it will decrease costs

1.9. Presentation of Plan and Comments

1.9.1. NA

**AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE
TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 9B1]

1
2

Draft of March 15, 2011

3 **AN ORDINANCE** to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by
4 amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10,
5 and 7-2-11, relating to the election districts of the members of the
6 Board of Supervisors.

7 **Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:**

8 **1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, and 7-2-11 are**
9 **amended and readopted as follows:**

10

11 **Section 7-2-4. - Braddock District.**

12

13 The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae,
14 ~~Bristow~~, ~~Burke Centre~~, Camelot, Cardinal, Chapel, Eagle View, Fair Oaks,
15 Fairview, ~~Heritage~~, Keene Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch,
16 Monument, North Springfield No. 1, North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde
17 Creek, Olley, Ravensworth, Ridgelea, Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra
18 Centre, Villa, Wakefield, and Woodson.

19

20 **Section 7-2-5. - Hunter Mill District.**

21

22 The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron
23 Glen, ~~Coates~~, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade, Hunters
24 Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3, South
25 Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No.
26 4, Vienna No. 6, Westbriar, and Wolftrap.

27

28 **Section 7-2-6. - Dranesville District.**

29

30 The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge,
31 Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, Coates, Colvin, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville,
32 Great Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory,
33 Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, McLean, Pimmit, Salona,
34 Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Sugarland, Westhampton, and Westmoreland.

35

1 **Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.**

2
3 The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft,
4 Belvedere, Bren Mar, Bristow, Brook Hill, ~~Camelot~~, Columbia, Edsall, Glen Forest
5 No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Heritage, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer,
6 Leewood, Lincolnia, Masonville, Parklawn, Poe, Ravenwood, ~~Ridgelea~~, Saint
7 Albans, Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Walnut Hill No. 2, Westlawn,
8 Weyanoke, Whittier, and Willston.

9
10 **Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.**

11
12 The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle
13 Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall,
14 ~~Hunt~~, Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station,
15 Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, ~~South County~~, Stratford, Waynewood,
16 Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.

17
18 **Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.**

19
20 The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Fairfax
21 Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is
22 surrounded by Fairfax City), Fort Buffalo, Freedom Hill, Graham, Greenway,
23 Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak Marr,
24 Oakton, Penderbrook, Pine Ridge, Pine Spring, Price, Shreve, Stenwood,
25 Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, Walker, ~~Walnut Hill No. 2~~, and Woodburn.

26
27 **Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.**

28
29 The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke,
30 Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, ~~Eagle View~~, Fair Lakes, ~~Fair Oaks~~, Fairfax
31 Station, Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Hunt, Irving,
32 ~~Monument~~, Newgate North, Newgate South, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, Popes
33 Head, Sangster, Silverbrook, South County, South Run, Valley, West Springfield,
34 White Oaks, Willow Springs, and Woodyard.

1 **2. That this ordinance shall become effective upon adoption, and it**
2 **shall be enforced after satisfactory completion of the federal preclearance**
3 **procedure provided by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as**
4 **amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.**

5


GIVEN under my hand this _____ day of _____ 2011.

Nancy Vehrs
Clerk to the Board of Supervisors

\\s17prolaw01\Documents\104659\ECW\Correspondence\344272.doc



FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA



2011 Proposed Supervisor District Boundary Changes

9B2

Voting Precinct Boundaries



Proposed Supervisor Districts



- BRADDOCK
- DRANESVILLE
- HUNTER MILL
- LEE
- MASON
- MOUNT VERNON
- PROVIDENCE
- SPRINGFIELD
- SULLY

Note: This map is intended for reference purposes only. Fairfax County does not provide any guarantee of the accuracy or completeness regarding the map information.

Plan Datasheet –9B2

Number of precincts moved:	12
Number of persons moved:	54768
Total deviation of the plan:	8.09%
Range of plan deviation:	-4.73 to 3.36%
Precincts moved list:	Cardinal, Eagle View, Fairfax Court, Hunt, Keene Mill, Monument, Newington, Pine Ridge, Popes Head, Price, West Springfield, Westbriar.

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 120,192

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 9B2

Supervisor District	Deviation from Target Population	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Races	Hispanic or Latino
Braddock	2.5%	123,175	80,618	7,971	396	23,415	10,775	18,013
<i>Percent of total</i>			65.4%	6.5%	0.3%	19.0%	8.7%	14.6%
Dranesville	0.6%	120,854	85,763	5,050	366	19,317	10,358	15,431
<i>Percent of total</i>			71.0%	4.2%	0.3%	16.0%	8.6%	12.8%
Hunter Mill	0.9%	121,326	81,189	9,561	347	20,686	9,543	12,787
<i>Percent of total</i>			66.9%	7.9%	0.3%	17.0%	7.9%	10.5%
Lee	-1.8%	117,984	62,721	20,616	618	15,958	18,071	27,668
<i>Percent of total</i>			53.2%	17.5%	0.5%	13.5%	15.3%	23.5%
Mason	-2.7%	116,893	63,623	12,682	697	20,237	19,654	33,266
<i>Percent of total</i>			54.4%	10.8%	0.6%	17.3%	16.8%	28.5%
Mount Vernon	-1.4%	118,549	72,514	21,033	425	12,584	11,993	16,881
<i>Percent of total</i>			61.2%	17.7%	0.4%	10.6%	10.1%	14.2%
Providence	-4.7%	114,508	69,672	6,129	420	26,622	11,665	17,602
<i>Percent of total</i>			60.8%	5.4%	0.4%	23.2%	10.2%	15.4%
Springfield	3.4%	124,234	85,189	8,389	312	21,690	8,654	12,226
<i>Percent of total</i>			68.6%	6.8%	0.3%	17.5%	7.0%	9.8%
Sully	3.3%	124,203	76,701	7,787	303	29,152	10,260	14,608
<i>Percent of total</i>			61.8%	6.3%	0.2%	23.5%	8.3%	11.8%
Fairfax County		1,081,726	677,990	99,218	3,884	189,661	110,973	168,482
<i>Percent of total</i>			62.7%	9.2%	0.4%	17.5%	10.3%	15.6%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan - 9B2

1. Legal Requirements and Plan Summary

1.1. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS

1.1.1. Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?

1.1.1.1. yes

1.1.2. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?

1.1.2.1. less than 10%

1.1.3. less than 10%

1.2. MINIMAL DISRUPTION

1.2.1. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?

1.2.1.1. yes

1.2.2. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.

1.2.2.1. n/a

1.3. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES

1.3.1. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?

1.3.1.1. Yes

1.3.2. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?

1.3.2.1. n/a

1.3.3. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?

1.3.3.1. n/a

1.4. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA

1.4.1. Does the plan considers established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.

1.4.1.1. yes

1.4.2. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?

1.4.2.1. yes

1.5. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY

1.5.1. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?

1.5.2. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?

1.6. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES

1.6.1. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?

1.6.1.1. no.

1.6.2. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?

1.6.2.1. no.

1.7. COST

1.7.1. Would this plan increase or decrease the **County's annual operating costs?**

1.7.1.1. no effect.

1.8. Presentation of Plan and Comments

1.9. 9B2 has 4 main features: (i) minimal disruption; (ii) Bristow/heritage remain in their traditional Braddock location; (iii) Cardinal and Keene Mill return to Springfield; and (iv) Braddock picks up certain natural precincts to its immediate west, so the district remains very compact and logical.

**AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE
TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 9B2]

1
2

Draft of March 15, 2011

3 **AN ORDINANCE** to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by
4 amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10,
5 and 7-2-11, relating to the election districts of the members of the
6 Board of Supervisors.

7 **Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:**

8 **1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, and 7-2-11 are**
9 **amended and readopted as follows:**
10

11 **Section 7-2-4. - Braddock District.**

12

13 The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae,
14 Bristow, Burke Centre, ~~Cardinal~~, Chapel, Eagle View, Fairfax Court (that part of
15 Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is surrounded by
16 Fairfax City), Fairview, Heritage, ~~Keene Mill~~, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel,
17 Long Branch, Monument, North Springfield No. 1, North Springfield No. 2, Oak
18 Hill, Olde Creek, Olley, Popes Head, Ravensworth, Robinson, Sideburn, Signal
19 Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield, and Woodson.

20

21 **Section 7-2-5. - Hunter Mill District.**

22

23 The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron
24 Glen, Coates, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade, Hunters
25 Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3, South
26 Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No.
27 4, Vienna No. 6, ~~Westbar~~, and Wolftrap.

28

29 **Section 7-2-6. - Dranesville District.**

30

31 The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge,
32 Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, Colvin, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville, Great
33 Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory,
34 Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, McLean, Pimmit, Salona,

1 | Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Sugarland, Westbriar, Westhampton, and
2 | Westmoreland.

3
4 | **Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.**

5
6 | The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft,
7 | Belvedere, Bren Mar, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Glen Forest No. 1,
8 | Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer, Leewood, Lincolnia,
9 | Masonville, Parklawn, Pine Ridge, Poe, Price, Ravenwood, Ridgelea, Saint
10 | Albans, Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Westlawn, Weyanoke, Whittier,
11 | and Willston.

12
13 | **Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.**

14
15 | The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle
16 | Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall,
17 | ~~Hunt~~, Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station,
18 | Marlan, ~~Newington~~, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood,
19 | West Springfield, Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.

20
21 | **Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.**

22
23 | The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, ~~Fairfax~~
24 | ~~Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is~~
25 | ~~surrounded by Fairfax City)~~, Fort Buffalo, Freedom Hill, Graham, Greenway,
26 | Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak Marr,
27 | Oakton, Penderbrook, ~~Pine Ridge~~, Pine Spring, ~~Price~~, Shreve, Stenwood,
28 | Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, Walker, Walnut Hill No. 2, and Woodburn.

29
30 | **Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.**

31
32 | The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke, Cardinal,
33 | Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, ~~Eagle View~~, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax
34 | Station, Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Hunt, Irving, Keene
35 | Mill, ~~Monument~~, Newgate North, Newgate South, Newington, Orange, Parkway,
36 | Pohick, ~~Popes Head~~, Sangster, Silverbrook, South Run, Valley, ~~West Springfield~~,
37 | White Oaks, Willow Springs, and Woodyard.

1
2
3
4
5
6

2. That this ordinance shall become effective upon adoption, and it shall be enforced after satisfactory completion of the federal preclearance procedure provided by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.


GIVEN under my hand this _____ day of _____ 2011.

Nancy Vehrs
Clerk to the Board of Supervisors

\\s17prolaw01\Documents\104659\ECW\Correspondence\344278.doc



FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA



2011 Proposed Supervisor District Boundary Changes

9B3

Voting Precinct Boundaries



Proposed Supervisor Districts

- 
BRADDOCK
- 
DRANESVILLE
- 
HUNTER MILL
- 
LEE
- 
MASON
- 
MOUNT VERNON
- 
PROVIDENCE
- 
SPRINGFIELD
- 
SULLY

Note: This map is intended for reference purposes only. Fairfax County does not provide any guarantee of the accuracy or completeness regarding the map information.

Plan Datasheet –9B3

Number of precincts moved:	12
Number of persons moved:	50510
Total deviation of the plan:	2.94%
Range of plan deviation:	-1.62 to 1.32%
Precincts moved list:	Bristow, Crestwood, Irving, Lynbrook, Pine Ridge, Ridgelea, Vale, Walnut Hill #2, West Springfield, Westbriar, Woodburn, Woodlawn.

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 120,192

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 9B3

Supervisor District	Deviation from Target Population	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Races	Hispanic or Latino
Braddock	-0.9%	119,060	78,269	6,404	475	21,593	12,319	20,308
<i>Percent of total</i>			65.7%	5.4%	0.4%	18.1%	10.3%	17.1%
Dranesville	0.6%	120,854	85,763	5,050	366	19,317	10,358	15,431
<i>Percent of total</i>			71.0%	4.2%	0.3%	16.0%	8.6%	12.8%
Hunter Mill	0.9%	121,326	81,189	9,561	347	20,686	9,543	12,787
<i>Percent of total</i>			66.9%	7.9%	0.3%	17.0%	7.9%	10.5%
Lee	0.3%	120,605	64,783	22,997	569	15,204	17,052	26,226
<i>Percent of total</i>			53.7%	19.1%	0.5%	12.6%	14.1%	21.7%
Mason	1.3%	121,782	65,290	13,452	764	21,454	20,822	35,315
<i>Percent of total</i>			53.6%	11.0%	0.6%	17.6%	17.1%	29.0%
Mount Vernon	-0.8%	119,279	74,591	19,628	404	13,181	11,475	15,909
<i>Percent of total</i>			62.5%	16.5%	0.3%	11.1%	9.6%	13.3%
Providence	0.2%	120,375	74,319	6,635	396	27,358	11,667	17,798
<i>Percent of total</i>			61.7%	5.5%	0.3%	22.7%	9.7%	14.8%
Springfield	-1.6%	118,243	80,554	7,756	264	22,077	7,592	10,271
<i>Percent of total</i>			68.1%	6.6%	0.2%	18.7%	6.4%	8.7%
Sully	0.0%	120,202	73,232	7,735	299	28,791	10,145	14,437
<i>Percent of total</i>			60.9%	6.4%	0.2%	24.0%	8.4%	12.0%
Fairfax County		1,081,726	677,990	99,218	3,884	189,661	110,973	168,482
<i>Percent of total</i>			62.7%	9.2%	0.4%	17.5%	10.3%	15.6%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan – 9B3

1. Legal Requirements and Plan Summary

1.1. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS

1.1.1. Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?

1.1.1.1. Yes.

1.1.2. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?

1.1.2.1. -1949 (Springfield) to +1590 (Mason)

1.2. MINIMAL DISRUPTION

1.2.1. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?

1.2.1.1. Yes.

1.2.2. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.

1.2.2.1. N/A.

1.3. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES

1.3.1. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?

1.3.1.1. Yes.

1.3.2. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?

1.3.2.1. N/A.

1.3.3. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?

1.3.3.1. N/A.

1.4. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA

1.4.1. Does the plan considers established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.

1.4.1.1. Designed for minimal change except for that necessary to reflect population changes and make districts close in population.

1.4.2. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?

1.4.2.1. Yes.

1.5. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY

1.5.1. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?

1.5.1.1. Yes.

1.5.2. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?

1.5.2.1. Yes.

1.6. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES

1.6.1. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?

1.6.1.1. No.

1.6.2. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?

1.6.2.1. No.

1.7. COST

1.7.1. **Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?**

1.7.1.1. Not significant.

1.8. Presentation of Plan and Comments

**AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE
TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 9B3]

1
2

Draft of March 15, 2011

3 **AN ORDINANCE** to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by
4 amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10,
5 7-2-11, and 7-2-12, relating to the election districts of the members
6 of the Board of Supervisors.

7 **Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:**

8 **1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, 7-2-11, and**
9 **7-2-12 are amended and readopted as follows:**

10

11 **Section 7-2-4. - Braddock District.**

12

13 The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae,
14 ~~Bristow~~, Burke Centre, Cardinal, Chapel, Crestwood, Fairview, Heritage, Irving,
15 Keene Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch, Lynbrook, North
16 Springfield No. 1, North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde Creek, Olley,
17 Ravensworth, Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield,
18 and Woodson.

19

20 **Section 7-2-5. - Hunter Mill District.**

21

22 The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron
23 Glen, Coates, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade, Hunters
24 Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3, South
25 Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No.
26 4, Vienna No. 6, ~~Westbriar~~, and Wolftrap.

27

28 **Section 7-2-6. - Dranesville District.**

29

30 The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge,
31 Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, Colvin, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville, Great
32 Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory,
33 Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, McLean, Pimmit, Salona,
34 Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Sugarland, Westbriar, Westhampton, and
35 Westmoreland.

1
2 **Section 7-2-7. - Lee District.**
3

4 The Lee District shall consist of these election precincts: Bush Hill, Cameron,
5 Clermont, ~~Crestwood~~, Fairfield, Franconia, Garfield, Greenspring, Groveton,
6 Hayfield, Huntley, Island Creek, Kingstowne, Lane, ~~Lynbrook~~, Mount Eagle,
7 Pioneer, Rose Hill, Van Dorn, Villages, Virginia Hills, and West Springfield,
8 Wilton, and Woodlawn.
9

10 **Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.**
11

12 The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft,
13 Belvedere, Bren Mar, Bristow, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Glen Forest
14 No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer, Leewood,
15 Lincolnia, Masonville, Parklawn, Pine Ridge, Poe, Ravenwood, ~~Ridgelea~~, Saint
16 Albans, Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Walnut Hill No. 2, Westlawn,
17 Weyanoke, Whittier, ~~and Willston~~, and Woodburn.
18

19 **Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.**
20

21 The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle
22 Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall,
23 Hunt, Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station,
24 Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood,
25 Westgate, Whitman, ~~Woodlawn~~, and Woodley.
26

27 **Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.**
28

29 The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Fairfax
30 Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is
31 surrounded by Fairfax City), Fort Buffalo, Freedom Hill, Graham, Greenway,
32 Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak Marr,
33 Oakton, Penderbrook, ~~Pine Ridge~~, Pine Spring, Price, Ridgelea, Shreve,
34 Stenwood, Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, Vale, and Walker, ~~Walnut Hill No. 2~~,
35 ~~and Woodburn~~.
36

37 **Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.**
38

39 The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke,
40 Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, Eagle View, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax
41 Station, Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, ~~Irving~~, Monument,
42 Newgate North, Newgate South, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, Popes Head,
43 Sangster, Silverbrook, South Run, Valley, ~~West Springfield~~, White Oaks, Willow
44 Springs, and Woodyard.
45

1 **Section 7-2-12. - Sully District.**

2

3 The Sully District shall consist of these election precincts: Brookfield, Bull Run,
4 Centre Ridge, Centreville, Cub Run, Deer Park, Dulles, Franklin, Green Trails,
5 Kinross, Lees Corner East, Lees Corner West, London Towne East, London
6 Towne West, Navy, Old Mill, Poplar Tree, Powell, Rocky Run, Stone, ~~Vale~~,
7 Virginia Run, and Waples Mill.

8

9 **2. That this ordinance shall become effective upon adoption, and it**
10 **shall be enforced after satisfactory completion of the federal preclearance**
11 **procedure provided by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as**
12 **amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.**

13


GIVEN under my hand this _____ day of _____ 2011.

Nancy Vehrs
Clerk to the Board of Supervisors

\\s17prolaw01\Documents\104659\ECW\Correspondence\344280.doc



FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA



2011 Proposed Supervisor District Boundary Changes

9B4

Voting Precinct Boundaries



Proposed Supervisor Districts



- BRADDOCK
- DRANESVILLE
- HUNTER MILL
- LEE
- MASON
- MOUNT VERNON
- PROVIDENCE
- SPRINGFIELD
- SULLY

Note: This map is intended for reference purposes only. Fairfax County does not provide any guarantee of the accuracy or completeness regarding the map information.

Plan Datasheet –9B4

Number of precincts moved:	13
Number of persons moved:	61968
Total deviation of the plan:	7.02%
Range of plan deviation:	-3.83 to 3.19%
Precincts moved list:	Bristow, Camelot, Eagle View, Fair Oaks, Floris, Green Trails, Greenspring, Heritage, Hunt, Keene Mill, Monument, Popes Head, Ridgelea.

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 120,192

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 9B4

Supervisor District	Deviation from Target Population	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Races	Hispanic or Latino
Braddock	-2.8%	116,781	80,284	6,580	312	21,094	8,511	13,297
<i>Percent of total</i>			68.7%	5.6%	0.3%	18.1%	7.3%	11.4%
Dranesville	-3.8%	115,586	81,963	4,884	360	18,267	10,112	15,122
<i>Percent of total</i>			70.9%	4.2%	0.3%	15.8%	8.7%	13.1%
Hunter Mill	1.0%	121,390	81,568	9,553	344	20,345	9,580	12,908
<i>Percent of total</i>			67.2%	7.9%	0.3%	16.8%	7.9%	10.6%
Lee	-3.5%	115,974	60,773	20,601	617	15,918	18,065	27,655
<i>Percent of total</i>			52.4%	17.8%	0.5%	13.7%	15.6%	23.8%
Mason	0.9%	121,219	62,646	13,709	755	22,352	21,757	37,263
<i>Percent of total</i>			51.7%	11.3%	0.6%	18.4%	17.9%	30.7%
Mount Vernon	1.6%	122,086	74,289	21,607	448	13,129	12,613	17,790
<i>Percent of total</i>			60.8%	17.7%	0.4%	10.8%	10.3%	14.6%
Providence	2.6%	123,327	75,028	7,063	467	28,387	12,382	19,131
<i>Percent of total</i>			60.8%	5.7%	0.4%	23.0%	10.0%	15.5%
Springfield	3.2%	124,032	85,814	7,970	300	21,756	8,192	11,567
<i>Percent of total</i>			69.2%	6.4%	0.2%	17.5%	6.6%	9.3%
Sully	0.9%	121,331	75,625	7,251	281	28,413	9,761	13,749
<i>Percent of total</i>			62.3%	6.0%	0.2%	23.4%	8.0%	11.3%
Fairfax County		1,081,726	677,990	99,218	3,884	189,661	110,973	168,482
<i>Percent of total</i>			62.7%	9.2%	0.4%	17.5%	10.3%	15.6%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan - 9B4

1.0 Legal Requirements and Plan Summary

1.1. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS

1.1.1. Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?

1.1.1.1. yes

1.1.2. 9B4 has four main features: (i) Minimal disruption; (ii) Braddock maintains its compactness; (iii) Bristow AND Heritage - two Annandale precincts - are moved from Braddock to to Mason to maintain an Annandale revitalization community of interest; (iii) Braddock picks up Camelot and Ridgelea, a community of interest with the northeast corner of Braddock (i.e., Chapel and Wakefield); and (iv) Braddock picks up 4 Springfield precincts to its immediate West creating a logical and compact district.

1.1.3. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?

1.1.3.1. less than 10%

1.2. MINIMAL DISRUPTION

1.2.1. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?

1.2.1.1. yes

1.2.2. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.

1.2.2.1. n/a

1.3. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES

1.3.1. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?

1.3.1.1. yes

1.3.2. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?

1.3.2.1. n/a

1.3.3. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?

1.3.3.1. n/a

1.4. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA

1.4.1. Does the plan considers established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.

1.4.1.1. yes

1.4.2. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?

1.4.2.1. yes

1.5. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY

1.5.1. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?

1.5.1.1. yes

1.5.2. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?

1.5.2.1. yes

1.6. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES

1.6.1. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?

1.6.1.1. no.

1.6.2. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?

1.6.2.1. no

1.7. COST

1.7.1. Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?

1.7.1.1. stay the same.

1.8. Presentation of Plan and Comments

1.9. 9B4 has 4 main features: (i) Braddock remains compact; (ii) Mason becomes more compact and logical; (iii) minimal disruption; (iv) Braddock picks up Camelot and Ridglea from Mason, establishing a natural community of interest with Chapel and Wakefield already in Braddock, and Mason picks up Heritage and Bristow, a natural community of interest with Annandale/Mason precincts

**AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE
TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 9B4]

1
2

Draft of March 15, 2011

3 **AN ORDINANCE** to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by
4 amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-11, and 7-
5 2-12, relating to the election districts of the members of the Board
6 of Supervisors.

7 **Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:**

8 **1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-11, and 7-2-12 are**
9 **amended and readopted as follows:**

10

11 **Section 7-2-4. - Braddock District.**

12

13 The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae,
14 ~~Bristow~~, Burke Centre, Camelot, Cardinal, Chapel, Eagle View, Fair Oaks,
15 Fairview, ~~Heritage~~, ~~Keene Mill~~, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch,
16 Monument, North Springfield No. 1, North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde
17 Creek, Olley, Popes Head, Ravensworth, Ridgelea, Robinson, Sideburn, Signal
18 Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield, and Woodson.

19

20 **Section 7-2-5. - Hunter Mill District.**

21

22 The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron
23 Glen, Coates, Dogwood, Flint Hill, ~~Flois~~, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade, Hunters
24 Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3, South
25 Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No.
26 4, Vienna No. 6, Westbriar, and Wolftrap.

27

28 **Section 7-2-7. - Lee District.**

29

30 The Lee District shall consist of these election precincts: Bush Hill, Cameron,
31 Clermont, Crestwood, Fairfield, Franconia, Garfield, ~~Greenspring~~, Groveton,
32 Hayfield, Huntley, Island Creek, Kingstowne, Lane, Lynbrook, Mount Eagle,
33 Pioneer, Rose Hill, Van Dorn, Villages, Virginia Hills, and Wilton.

34

1 **Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.**

2
3 The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft,
4 Belvedere, Bristow, Bren Mar, Brook Hill, ~~Camelot~~, Columbia, Edsall, Glen Forest
5 No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Heritage, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer,
6 Leewood, Lincolnia, Masonville, Parklawn, Poe, Ravenwood, ~~Ridgelea~~, Saint
7 Albans, Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Westlawn, Weyanoke, Whittier,
8 and Willston.

9
10 **Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.**

11
12 The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle
13 Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall,
14 ~~Hunt~~, Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station,
15 Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood,
16 Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.

17
18 **Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.**

19
20 The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke,
21 Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, ~~Eagle View~~, Fair Lakes, ~~Fair Oaks~~, Fairfax
22 Station, Fountainhead, Green Trails, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West,
23 Greenspring, ~~Hunt~~, Irving, Keene Mill, ~~Monument~~, Newgate North, Newgate
24 South, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, ~~Popes Head~~, Sangster, Silverbrook, South
25 Run, Valley, West Springfield, White Oaks, Willow Springs, and Woodyard.

26
27 **Section 7-2-12. - Sully District.**

28
29 The Sully District shall consist of these election precincts: Brookfield, Bull Run,
30 Centre Ridge, Centreville, Cub Run, Deer Park, Dulles, Floris, Franklin, ~~Green~~
31 ~~Trails~~, Kinross, Lees Corner East, Lees Corner West, London Towne East,
32 London Towne West, Navy, Old Mill, Poplar Tree, Powell, Rocky Run, Stone,
33 Vale, Virginia Run, and Waples Mill.

34

1 **2. That this ordinance shall become effective upon adoption, and it**
2 **shall be enforced after satisfactory completion of the federal preclearance**
3 **procedure provided by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as**
4 **amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.**

5


GIVEN under my hand this _____ day of _____ 2011.

Nancy Vehrs
Clerk to the Board of Supervisors

\\s17prolaw01\Documents\104659\ECW\Correspondence\344282.doc



FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA




2011 Proposed Supervisor District Boundary Changes

9B5

Voting Precinct Boundaries



Proposed Supervisor Districts



Note: This map is intended for reference purposes only. Fairfax County does not provide any guarantee of the accuracy or completeness regarding the map information.

Plan Datasheet –9B5

Number of precincts moved:	18
Number of persons moved:	79467
Total deviation of the plan:	2.71%
Range of plan deviation:	-1.62 to 1.09%
Precincts moved list:	Colvin, Crestwood, Flint Hill, Fox Mill, Irving, Lynbrook, Magarity, North Springfield #1, Pine Ridge, Ridgelea, Shouse, Tysons, Vale, Walnut Hill #2, Waples Mill, West Springfield, Woodburn, Woodlawn.

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 120,192

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 9B5

Supervisor District	Deviation from Target Population	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Races	Hispanic or Latino
Braddock	0.6%	120,889	78,106	7,008	486	22,242	13,047	21,418
<i>Percent of total</i>			64.6%	5.8%	0.4%	18.4%	10.8%	17.7%
Dranesville	1.1%	121,497	84,465	5,405	382	20,517	10,728	15,961
<i>Percent of total</i>			69.5%	4.4%	0.3%	16.9%	8.8%	13.1%
Hunter Mill	0.6%	120,899	80,471	9,517	323	21,324	9,264	12,410
<i>Percent of total</i>			66.6%	7.9%	0.3%	17.6%	7.7%	10.3%
Lee	0.3%	120,605	64,783	22,997	569	15,204	17,052	26,226
<i>Percent of total</i>			53.7%	19.1%	0.5%	12.6%	14.1%	21.7%
Mason	-0.2%	119,953	65,453	12,848	753	20,805	20,094	34,205
<i>Percent of total</i>			54.6%	10.7%	0.6%	17.3%	16.8%	28.5%
Mount Vernon	-0.8%	119,279	74,591	19,628	404	13,181	11,475	15,909
<i>Percent of total</i>			62.5%	16.5%	0.3%	11.1%	9.6%	13.3%
Providence	-0.5%	119,648	76,421	6,188	394	25,166	11,479	17,537
<i>Percent of total</i>			63.9%	5.2%	0.3%	21.0%	9.6%	14.7%
Springfield	-1.6%	118,243	80,554	7,756	264	22,077	7,592	10,271
<i>Percent of total</i>			68.1%	6.6%	0.2%	18.7%	6.4%	8.7%
Sully	0.4%	120,713	73,146	7,871	309	29,145	10,242	14,545
<i>Percent of total</i>			60.6%	6.5%	0.3%	24.1%	8.5%	12.0%
Fairfax County		1,081,726	677,990	99,218	3,884	189,661	110,973	168,482
<i>Percent of total</i>			62.7%	9.2%	0.4%	17.5%	10.3%	15.6%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan - 9B5

1. Legal Requirements and Plan Summary

1.1. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS

1.1.1. Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?

1.1.1.1. Yes.

1.1.2. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?

1.1.2.1. -1949

1.1.2.2. +1305

1.2. MINIMAL DISRUPTION

1.2.1. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?

1.2.1.1. Designed to create some disruption in the Tyson's Corner region.

1.2.2. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.

1.2.2.1. 9 Districts - no change.

1.3. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES

1.3.1. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?

1.3.1.1. Yes.

1.3.2. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?

1.3.2.1. Yes.

1.3.3. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?

1.3.3.1. Yes.

1.4. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA

1.4.1. Does the plan considers established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.

1.4.1.1. Development of Tyson's Corner will have a major impact on Providence, Hunter Mill and Dranesville. My plan gives existing Supervisors for these districts a strong voice in Tyson's development. It provides the residents of those communities with input to the process.

1.4.2. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?

1.4.2.1. Yes.

1.5. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY

1.5.1. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?

1.5.1.1. Yes.

1.5.1.2. Yes.

1.5.1.3. Yes.

1.5.2. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?

1.5.2.1. Yes.

1.6. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES

1.6.1. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?

1.6.1.1. No.

1.6.2. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?

1.6.2.1. No.

1.7. COST

1.7.1. Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?

1.7.1.1. same answer as tysons

1.7.1.2. Development of Tyson's Corner will have a major impact on Providence, Hunter Mill and Dranesville. My plan gives existing Supervisors for these districts a strong voice in Tyson's development. It provides the residents of those communities with input to the process.

1.8. Presentation of Plan and Comments

**AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE
TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 9B5]

1
2

Draft of March 16, 2011

3 **AN ORDINANCE** to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by
4 amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10,
5 7-2-11, and 7-2-12, relating to the election districts of the members
6 of the Board of Supervisors.

7 **Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:**

8 **1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, 7-2-11, and**
9 **7-2-12 are amended and readopted as follows:**

10

11 **Section 7-2-4. - Braddock District.**

12

13 The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae,
14 Bristow, Burke Centre, Cardinal, Chapel, Crestwood, Fairview, Heritage, Irving,
15 Keene Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch, Lynbrook, ~~North~~
16 ~~Springfield No. 1~~, North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde Creek, Olley,
17 Ravensworth, Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield,
18 and Woodson.

19

20 **Section 7-2-5. - Hunter Mill District.**

21

22 The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron
23 Glen, Coates, Colvin, Dogwood, ~~Flint Hill~~, Floris, ~~Fox Mill~~, Frying Pan, Glade,
24 Hunters Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3,
25 Shouse, South Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No.
26 2, Vienna No. 4, Vienna No. 6, Westbriar, and Wolftrap.

27

28 **Section 7-2-6. - Dranesville District.**

29

30 The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge,
31 Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, ~~Colvin~~, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville, Great
32 Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory,
33 Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, Magarity, McLean, Pimmit,
34 Salona, Seneca, ~~Shouse~~, Spring Hill, Sugarland, Tysons, Westhampton, and
35 Westmoreland.

1
2 **Section 7-2-7. - Lee District.**
3

4 The Lee District shall consist of these election precincts: Bush Hill, Cameron,
5 Clermont, ~~Crestwood~~, Fairfield, Franconia, Garfield, Greenspring, Groveton,
6 Hayfield, Huntley, Island Creek, Kingstowne, Lane, ~~Lynbrook~~, Mount Eagle,
7 Pioneer, Rose Hill, Van Dorn, Villages, Virginia Hills, West Springfield, and
8 Wilton, and Woodlawn.
9

10 **Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.**
11

12 The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft,
13 Belvedere, Bren Mar, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Glen Forest No. 1,
14 Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer, Leewood, Lincolnia,
15 Masonville, North Springfield No. 1, Parklawn, Pine Ridge, Poe, Ravenwood,
16 Ridgelea, ~~Saint Albans~~, Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Walnut Hill No.
17 2, Westlawn, Weyanoke, Whittier, ~~and Willston~~, and Woodburn.
18

19 **Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.**
20

21 The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle
22 Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall,
23 Hunt, Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station,
24 Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood,
25 Westgate, Whitman, ~~Woodlawn~~, and Woodley.
26

27 **Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.**
28

29 The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Fairfax
30 Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is
31 surrounded by Fairfax City), Flint Hill, Fort Buffalo, Freedom Hill, Graham,
32 Greenway, Kilmer, ~~Magarity~~, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak
33 Marr, Oakton, Penderbrook, ~~Pine Ridge~~, Pine Spring, Price, Ridgelea, Shreve,
34 Stenwood, Thoreau, Timber Lane, ~~Tysons~~, Vale, Walker, ~~Walnut Hill No. 2~~, and
35 Woodburn and Waples Mill.
36

37 **Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.**
38

39 The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke,
40 Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, Eagle View, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax
41 Station, Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, ~~Irving~~, Monument,
42 Newgate North, Newgate South, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, Popes Head,
43 Sangster, Silverbrook, South Run, Valley, ~~West Springfield~~, White Oaks, Willow
44 Springs, and Woodyard.
45

1 **Section 7-2-12. - Sully District.**

2

3 The Sully District shall consist of these election precincts: Brookfield, Bull Run,
4 Centre Ridge, Centreville, Cub Run, Deer Park, Dulles, Fox Mill, Franklin, Green
5 Trails, Kinross, Lees Corner East, Lees Corner West, London Towne East,
6 London Towne West, Navy, Old Mill, Poplar Tree, Powell, Rocky Run, Stone,
7 ~~Vale, and Virginia Run, and Waples Mill.~~

8

9 **2. That this ordinance shall become effective upon adoption, and it**
10 **shall be enforced after satisfactory completion of the federal preclearance**
11 **procedure provided by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as**
12 **amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.**

13


GIVEN under my hand this _____ day of _____ 2011.

Nancy Vehrs
Clerk to the Board of Supervisors

\\s17prolaw01\Documents\104659\ECW\Correspondence\344376.doc



FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA




2011 Proposed Supervisor District Boundary Changes

9B6

Voting Precinct Boundaries



Proposed Supervisor Districts



Note: This map is intended for reference purposes only. Fairfax County does not provide any guarantee of the accuracy or completeness regarding the map information.

Plan Datasheet –9B6

Number of precincts moved:	18
Number of persons moved:	70918
Total deviation of the plan:	1.94%
Range of plan deviation:	-0.85 to 1.09%
Precincts moved list:	Colvin, Crestwood, Fort Buffalo, Irving, Magarity, North Springfield #1, Parkway, Ridgelea, Shouse, Tysons, Vale, Vienna #1, Vienna #2, Vienna #4, Vienna #6, Walnut Hill #2, Woodburn, Woodlawn.

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 120,192

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 9B6

Supervisor District	Deviation from Target Population	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Races	Hispanic or Latino
Braddock	-0.9%	119,166	78,448	6,889	429	21,736	11,664	19,388
<i>Percent of total</i>			65.8%	5.8%	0.4%	18.2%	9.8%	16.3%
Dranesville	1.1%	121,497	84,465	5,405	382	20,517	10,728	15,961
<i>Percent of total</i>			69.5%	4.4%	0.3%	16.9%	8.8%	13.1%
Hunter Mill	1.0%	121,390	81,451	9,440	317	21,442	8,740	11,635
<i>Percent of total</i>			67.1%	7.8%	0.3%	17.7%	7.2%	9.6%
Lee	0.9%	121,296	63,639	22,896	615	15,753	18,393	28,138
<i>Percent of total</i>			52.5%	18.9%	0.5%	13.0%	15.2%	23.2%
Mason	-0.7%	119,344	64,699	12,868	748	20,744	20,285	34,427
<i>Percent of total</i>			54.2%	10.8%	0.6%	17.4%	17.0%	28.8%
Mount Vernon	-0.8%	119,279	74,591	19,628	404	13,181	11,475	15,909
<i>Percent of total</i>			62.5%	16.5%	0.3%	11.1%	9.6%	13.3%
Providence	0.1%	120,277	76,109	6,381	415	25,463	11,909	18,198
<i>Percent of total</i>			63.3%	5.3%	0.3%	21.2%	9.9%	15.1%
Springfield	-0.8%	119,275	81,356	7,976	275	22,034	7,634	10,389
<i>Percent of total</i>			68.2%	6.7%	0.2%	18.5%	6.4%	8.7%
Sully	0.0%	120,202	73,232	7,735	299	28,791	10,145	14,437
<i>Percent of total</i>			60.9%	6.4%	0.2%	24.0%	8.4%	12.0%
Fairfax County		1,081,726	677,990	99,218	3,884	189,661	110,973	168,482
<i>Percent of total</i>			62.7%	9.2%	0.4%	17.5%	10.3%	15.6%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan 9B6

1.1. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS

1.1.1. Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?

1.1.1.1. Yes

1.1.2. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?

1.1.2.1. 2331 residents

1.2. MINIMAL DISRUPTION

1.2.1. This plan does make significant changes to some existing districts in order to accommodate a request from a number of residents of the Town of Vienna to move from Hunter Mill to Providence. The plan attempts to make minimal changes to other districts, except as to accommodate changes in population.

1.2.2. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?

1.2.2.1. This plan does not make significant changes.

1.2.3. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.

1.3. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES

1.3.1. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?

1.3.1.1. yes

1.3.2. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?

1.3.2.1. N/A

1.3.3. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?

1.3.3.1. Question 3.1. Yes. Question 3.2 Not Applicable. Question 3.3 Not Applicable.

1.4. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA

1.4.1. Does the plan consider established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.

1.4.1.1. the plan attempts to minimize

1.4.2. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?

1.4.2.1. Question 4.1 Yes, the plan attempts to minimize changes except for those necessary to move the Town of Vienna from Hunter Mill to Providence, as explained above.

1.4.2.2. Question 4.2. Does not affect any official not elected on an at-large basis.

1.5. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY

1.5.1. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?

1.5.1.1. Yes

1.5.2. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?

1.5.2.1. Yes

1.5.3. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off

than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?

1.5.3.1. Question 5.1. Yes. Question 5.2. Yes. Question 5.3 No.

1.6. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES

1.6.1. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?

1.6.1.1. No

1.6.2. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?

1.6.2.1. Question 6.1. No. Question 6.1. No.

1.7. COST

1.7.1. **Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?**

1.7.1.1. Question 7.1. No. No new districts are created.

1.8. Comment

1.8.1. As noted above, this plan moves Vienna from Hunter Mill to Providence. A number of Vienna residents have indicated that they feel a stronger community of interest with Oakton and Merrifield, both of which are in Providence, than they do to Reston, which is in Hunter Mill. The desire of some Vienna residents to move to a different district should be considered. The result makes both Providence and Hunter Mill more compact. Also, the districts are extremely close in population, which gives county residents fair and equal treatment.

**AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE
TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 9B6]

1
2

Draft of March 16, 2011

3 **AN ORDINANCE** to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by
4 amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10,
5 7-2-11, and 7-2-12, relating to the election districts of the members
6 of the Board of Supervisors.

7 **Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:**

8 **1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, 7-2-11, and**
9 **7-2-12 are amended and readopted as follows:**

10

11 **Section 7-2-4. - Braddock District.**

12

13 The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae,
14 Bristow, Burke Centre, Cardinal, Chapel, Crestwood, Fairview, Heritage, Irving,
15 Keene Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch, ~~North Springfield~~
16 ~~No. 1~~, North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde Creek, Olley, Parkway,
17 Ravensworth, Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield,
18 and Woodson.

19

20 **Section 7-2-5. - Hunter Mill District.**

21

22 The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron
23 Glen, Coates, Colvin, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade,
24 Hunters Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3,
25 Shouse, South Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vale, ~~Vienna No. 1~~,
26 ~~Vienna No. 2~~, ~~Vienna No. 4~~, ~~Vienna No. 6~~, Westbriar, and Wolftrap.

27

28 **Section 7-2-6. - Dranesville District.**

29

30 The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge,
31 Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, ~~Colvin~~, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville, Great
32 Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory,
33 Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, Magarity, McLean, Pimmit,
34 Salona, Seneca, ~~Shouse~~, Spring Hill, Sugarland, Tysons, Westhampton, and
35 Westmoreland.

1
2 **Section 7-2-7. - Lee District.**
3

4 The Lee District shall consist of these election precincts: Bush Hill, Cameron,
5 | Clermont, ~~Crestwood~~, Fairfield, Franconia, Garfield, Greenspring, Groveton,
6 Hayfield, Huntley, Island Creek, Kingstowne, Lane, Lynbrook, Mount Eagle,
7 | Pioneer, Rose Hill, Van Dorn, Villages, Virginia Hills, ~~and Wilton~~, and Woodlawn.

8
9 **Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.**
10

11 The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft,
12 | Belvedere, Bren Mar, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Fort Buffalo, Glen
13 Forest No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer,
14 | Leewood, Lincolnia, Masonville, North Springfield No. 1, Parklawn, Poe,
15 | Ravenwood, ~~Ridgelea~~, Saint Albans, Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1,
16 | Walnut Hill No. 2, Westlawn, Weyanoke, Whittier, ~~and Willston~~, and Woodburn.

17
18 **Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.**
19

20 The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle
21 Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall,
22 Hunt, Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station,
23 Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood,
24 | Westgate, Whitman, ~~Woodlawn~~, and Woodley.

25
26 **Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.**
27

28 The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Fairfax
29 Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is
30 | surrounded by Fairfax City), ~~Fort Buffalo~~, Freedom Hill, Graham, Greenway,
31 | Kilmer, ~~Magarity~~, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak Marr,
32 | Oakton, Penderbrook, Pine Ridge, Pine Spring, Price, Ridgelea, Shreve,
33 | Stenwood, Thoreau, Timber Lane, ~~Tysons~~, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna
34 | No. 4, Vienna No. 6, and Walker, ~~Walnut Hill No. 2, and Woodburn~~.

35
36 **Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.**
37

38 The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke,
39 Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, Eagle View, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax
40 | Station, Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, ~~Iving~~, Monument,
41 | Newgate North, Newgate South, Orange, ~~Parkway~~, Pohick, Popes Head,
42 Sangster, Silverbrook, South Run, Valley, West Springfield, White Oaks, Willow
43 Springs, and Woodyard.
44

1 **Section 7-2-12. - Sully District.**

2

3 The Sully District shall consist of these election precincts: Brookfield, Bull Run,
4 Centre Ridge, Centreville, Cub Run, Deer Park, Dulles, Franklin, Green Trails,
5 Kinross, Lees Corner East, Lees Corner West, London Towne East, London
6 Towne West, Navy, Old Mill, Poplar Tree, Powell, Rocky Run, Stone, ~~Vale~~,
7 Virginia Run, and Waples Mill.

8

9 **2. That this ordinance shall become effective upon adoption, and it**
10 **shall be enforced after satisfactory completion of the federal preclearance**
11 **procedure provided by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as**
12 **amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.**

13


GIVEN under my hand this _____ day of _____ 2011.

Nancy Vehrs
Clerk to the Board of Supervisors

\\s17prolaw01\Documents\104659\ECW\Correspondence\344502.doc



FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA




2011 Proposed Supervisor District Boundary Changes

9B7

Voting Precinct Boundaries



Proposed Supervisor Districts



Note: This map is intended for reference purposes only. Fairfax County does not provide any guarantee of the accuracy or completeness regarding the map information.

Plan Datasheet –9B7

Number of precincts moved:	38
Number of persons moved:	185669
Total deviation of the plan:	3.65%
Range of plan deviation:	-1.32 to 2.33%
Precincts moved list:	Bristow, Camelot, Centerpointe, Centreville, Coates, Colvin, Crestwood, Fairlakes, Floris, Fort Buffalo, Frying Pan, Graham, Green Trails, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Hummer, Irving, Lees Corner East, Lees Corner West, Lynbrook, Magarity, McNair, Navy, Penderbrook, Ridgelea, Trinity, Tysons, Vale, Vienna #1, Vienna #2, Vienna #4, Vienna #6, Walnut Hill # 2, Waples Mill, West Springfield, Westhampton, Woodburn, Woodlawn.

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 120,192

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 9B7

Supervisor District	Deviation from Target Population	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Races	Hispanic or Latino
Braddock	-0.9%	119,060	78,269	6,404	475	21,593	12,319	20,308
<i>Percent of total</i>			65.7%	5.4%	0.4%	18.1%	10.3%	17.1%
Dranesville	1.1%	121,461	84,164	5,442	382	20,795	10,678	15,883
<i>Percent of total</i>			69.3%	4.5%	0.3%	17.1%	8.8%	13.1%
Hunter Mill	-1.3%	118,600	85,425	7,622	289	17,098	8,166	11,202
<i>Percent of total</i>			72.0%	6.4%	0.2%	14.4%	6.9%	9.4%
Lee	0.3%	120,605	64,783	22,997	569	15,204	17,052	26,226
<i>Percent of total</i>			53.7%	19.1%	0.5%	12.6%	14.1%	21.7%
Mason	0.0%	120,197	63,110	13,470	760	20,937	21,920	37,012
<i>Percent of total</i>			52.5%	11.2%	0.6%	17.4%	18.2%	30.8%
Mount Vernon	-0.8%	119,279	74,591	19,628	404	13,181	11,475	15,909
<i>Percent of total</i>			62.5%	16.5%	0.3%	11.1%	9.6%	13.3%
Providence	-1.0%	119,032	76,651	6,052	407	25,085	10,837	16,383
<i>Percent of total</i>			64.4%	5.1%	0.3%	21.1%	9.1%	13.8%
Springfield	0.3%	120,495	78,870	7,701	280	25,837	7,807	10,652
<i>Percent of total</i>			65.5%	6.4%	0.2%	21.4%	6.5%	8.8%
Sully	2.3%	122,997	72,127	9,902	318	29,931	10,719	14,907
<i>Percent of total</i>			58.6%	8.1%	0.3%	24.3%	8.7%	12.1%
Fairfax County		1,081,726	677,990	99,218	3,884	189,661	110,973	168,482
<i>Percent of total</i>			62.7%	9.2%	0.4%	17.5%	10.3%	15.6%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan - 9B7

1. Legal Requirements and Plan Summary

1.1. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS

1.1.1. Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?

1.1.1.1. yes

1.1.2. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?

1.1.2.1. -1914

1.1.3. 1.1 Yes 1.2 3639

1.2. MINIMAL DISRUPTION

1.2.1. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?

1.2.1.1. 1305

1.2.2. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.

1.2.2.1. This plan makes no major change of communities, but adjusts districts to reflect new population figures and to make all districts close in population. It does divide Tysons Corner among three districts: Providence, Hunter Mill and Dranesville.

1.2.3. 2.1 Yes 2.2 Not Applicable.

1.3. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES

1.3.1. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?

1.3.1.1. yes

1.3.2. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?

1.3.2.1. yes

1.3.3. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?

1.3.3.1. yes

1.3.4. 3.1 Yes 3.2 Not Applicable. 3.3 Not Applicable

1.4. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA

1.4.1. Does the plan consider established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.

1.4.1.1. no

1.4.2. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?

1.4.2.1. no

1.4.3. 4.1 Yes 4.2 Yes

1.5. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY

1.5.1. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?

1.5.1.1. The development of Tysons Corner will have a major impact on surrounding communities, especially in the Providence, Hunter Mill and Dranesville areas. This plan reflects that fact and locates a portion of Tysons Corner in each district.

That will provide residents of these communities with input and give affected supervisors a strong voice in Tysons development.

1.5.2. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?

1.5.2.1. no change

1.5.3. 6.1 Yes. 6.2 Yes

1.5.4. 7.1 No. 7.2 No

1.5.5. 8.1 No

1.5.6. Attempts to find minimal changes.

1.6. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES

1.6.1. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?

1.6.1.1. No change.

1.6.2. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?

1.7. COST

1.7.1. Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?

1.7.1.1. No change.

1.8. Presentation of Plan and Comments

1.8.1. *The development of Tysons Corner will have a major impact on surrounding communities, especially in the Providence, Hunter Mill and Dranesville areas. This plan reflects that fact and locates a portion of Tysons Corner in each district. That wil provide residents of these communities with input and give affected supervisors a strong voice in Tysons development.*

**AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE
TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 9B7]

1
2

Draft of March 16, 2011

3 **AN ORDINANCE** to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by
4 amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10,
5 7-2-11, and 7-2-12, relating to the election districts of the members
6 of the Board of Supervisors.

7 **Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:**

8 **1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, 7-2-11, and**
9 **7-2-12 are amended and readopted as follows:**

10

11 **Section 7-2-4. - Braddock District.**

12

13 The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae,
14 ~~Bristow~~, Burke Centre, Cardinal, Chapel, Crestwood, Fairview, Heritage, Irving,
15 Keene Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch, Lynbrook, North
16 Springfield No. 1, North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde Creek, Olley,
17 Ravensworth, Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield,
18 and Woodson.

19

20 **Section 7-2-5. - Hunter Mill District.**

21

22 The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron
23 Glen, ~~Coates~~, Colvin, Dogwood, Flint Hill, ~~Floris~~, Fox Mill, ~~Frying Pan~~, Glade,
24 Hunters Woods, Lees Corner East, Lees Corner West, ~~McNair~~, Navy, North
25 Point, Penderbrook, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3, South Lakes,
26 Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vale, ~~Vienna No. 1~~, ~~Vienna No. 2~~, ~~Vienna No. 4~~,
27 ~~Vienna No. 6~~, Waples Mill, Westbriar, and Wolftrap.

28

29 **Section 7-2-6. - Dranesville District.**

30

31 The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge,
32 Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, ~~Colvin~~, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville, Great
33 Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory,
34 Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, Magarity, McLean, Pimmit,

1 | Salona, Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Sugarland, Tysons, Westhampton, and
2 | Westmoreland.

3
4 | **Section 7-2-7. - Lee District.**

5
6 | The Lee District shall consist of these election precincts: Bush Hill, Cameron,
7 | Clermont, ~~Crestwood~~, Fairfield, Franconia, Garfield, Greenspring, Groveton,
8 | Hayfield, Huntley, Island Creek, Kingstowne, Lane, ~~Lynbrook~~, Mount Eagle,
9 | Pioneer, Rose Hill, Van Dorn, Villages, Virginia Hills, West Springfield, and
10 | Wilton, and Woodlawn.

11
12 | **Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.**

13
14 | The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft,
15 | Belvedere, Bren Mar, Bristow, Brook Hill, ~~Camelot~~, Columbia, Edsall, Fort
16 | Buffalo, Glen Forest No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Graham, Holmes No. 1, Holmes
17 | No. 2, ~~Hummer~~, Leewood, Lincolnia, Masonville, Parklawn, Poe, Ravenwood,
18 | ~~Ridgelea~~, Saint Albans, Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Walnut Hill No.
19 | 2, Westlawn, Weyanoke, Whittier, ~~and Willston~~, and Woodburn.

20
21 | **Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.**

22
23 | The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle
24 | Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall,
25 | Hunt, Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station,
26 | Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood,
27 | Westgate, Whitman, ~~Woodlawn~~, and Woodley.

28
29 | **Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.**

30
31 | The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Camelot,
32 | Fairfax Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex
33 | that is surrounded by Fairfax City), ~~Fort Buffalo~~, Freedom Hill, ~~Graham~~,
34 | Greenway, Hummer, Kilmer, ~~Magarity~~, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby,
35 | Nottoway, Oak Marr, Oakton, ~~Penderbrook~~, Pine Ridge, Pine Spring, Price,
36 | Ridgelea, Shreve, Stenwood, Thoreau, Timber Lane, ~~Tysons~~, Vienna No. 1,
37 | Vienna No. 2, Vienna No. 4, Vienna No. 6, Walker, and Westhampton ~~Walnut Hill~~
38 | ~~No. 2~~, and Woodburn.

39
40 | **Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.**

41
42 | The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke,
43 | ~~Centerpointe~~, Centreville, Cherry Run, Clifton, Eagle View, ~~Fair Lakes~~, Fair
44 | Oaks, Fairfax Station, Fountainhead, Green Trails, ~~Greenbriar East~~, ~~Greenbriar~~
45 | ~~West~~, ~~Irving~~, Monument, Newgate North, Newgate South, Orange, Parkway,

1 | Pohick, Popes Head, Powell, Sangster, Silverbrook, South Run, Valley, ~~West~~
2 | ~~Springfield~~, White Oaks, Willow Springs, and Woodyard.

3
4 | **Section 7-2-12. - Sully District.**

5
6 | The Sully District shall consist of these election precincts: Brookfield, Bull Run,
7 | Centerpointe, Centre Ridge, ~~Centreville~~, ~~Coates~~, Cub Run, Deer Park, Dulles,
8 | Fair Lakes, Floris, Franklin, Frying Pan, ~~Green Trails~~, Greenbriar East,
9 | Greenbriar West, Kinross, ~~Lees Corner East~~, ~~Lees Corner West~~, London Towne
10 | East, London Towne West, McNair, ~~Navy~~, Old Mill, Poplar Tree, ~~Powell~~, Rocky
11 | Run, Stone, ~~Vale~~, and Virginia Run, ~~and~~ Waples Mill.


12
13 | **2. That this ordinance shall become effective upon adoption, and it**
14 | **shall be enforced after satisfactory completion of the federal preclearance**
15 | **procedure provided by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as**
16 | **amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.**

17
GIVEN under my hand this _____ day of _____ 2011.

Nancy Vehrs
Clerk to the Board of Supervisors



FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA



2011 Proposed Supervisor District Boundary Changes 10A1

Voting Precinct Boundaries



Proposed Supervisor Districts



- BRADDOCK
- DRANESVILLE
- HUNTER MILL
- LEE
- MASON
- MOUNT VERNON
- NEW DISTRICT
- PROVIDENCE
- SPRINGFIELD
- SULLY

Note: This map is intended for reference purposes only. Fairfax County does not provide any guarantee of the accuracy or completeness regarding the map information.

Plan Datasheet - 10A1

Number of precincts moved:	55
Number of persons moved:	263705
Total deviation of the plan:	9.58%
Range of plan deviation:	-5.17 to 4.41%
Precincts moved list:	Alban, Bren Mar, Burke, Cameron, Cardinal, Centreville, Cherry Run, Clermont, Coates, Edsall, Fairfax Court, Fairfield, Fairview, Flint Hill, Floris, Fort Buffalo, Fountainhead, Green Trails, Groveton, Gunston, Haycock, Hunt, Irving, Keene Mill, Laurel Hill, Leewood, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station, Mount Eagle, Newington, Old Mill, Orange, Parkway, Penderbrook, Pimmit, Pine Ridge, Pohick, Poplar Tree, Price, Sangster, Saratoga, Sideburn, Silverbrook, South County, South Run, Valley, Villa, Walnut Hill # 2, West Springfield, Westhampton, White Oaks, Wilton, Woodburn, Woodyard.

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 108,173

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 10A1

Supervisor District	Deviation from Target Population	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Races	Hispanic or Latino
Braddock	-4.8%	102,967	65,792	6,644	339	20,507	9,685	16,972
<i>Percent of total</i>			63.9%	6.5%	0.3%	19.9%	9.4%	16.5%
Dranesville	2.9%	111,262	75,614	5,884	313	19,522	9,929	14,487
<i>Percent of total</i>			68.0%	5.3%	0.3%	17.5%	8.9%	13.0%
Hunter Mill	-0.1%	108,064	74,465	8,104	310	16,770	8,415	11,443
<i>Percent of total</i>			68.9%	7.5%	0.3%	15.5%	7.8%	10.6%
Lee	-3.4%	104,463	62,334	13,399	468	16,627	11,635	17,564
<i>Percent of total</i>			59.7%	12.8%	0.4%	15.9%	11.1%	16.8%
Mason	2.6%	111,007	60,732	11,234	706	19,107	19,228	32,759
<i>Percent of total</i>			54.7%	10.1%	0.6%	17.2%	17.3%	29.5%
Mount Vernon	-0.3%	107,834	62,980	20,856	531	7,635	15,832	24,344
<i>Percent of total</i>			58.4%	19.3%	0.5%	7.1%	14.7%	22.6%
Providence	4.4%	112,939	70,582	5,520	393	25,275	11,169	16,795
<i>Percent of total</i>			62.5%	4.9%	0.3%	22.4%	9.9%	14.9%
Springfield	1.5%	109,779	70,764	7,281	257	23,569	7,908	10,314
<i>Percent of total</i>			64.5%	6.6%	0.2%	21.5%	7.2%	9.4%
Sully	2.5%	110,826	71,132	6,172	257	24,626	8,639	12,252
<i>Percent of total</i>			64.2%	5.6%	0.2%	22.2%	7.8%	11.1%
New District	-5.2%	102,585	63,595	14,124	310	16,023	8,533	11,552
<i>Percent of total</i>			62.0%	13.8%	0.3%	15.6%	8.3%	11.3%
Fairfax County		1,081,726	677,990	99,218	3,884	189,661	110,973	168,482
<i>Percent of total</i>			62.7%	9.2%	0.4%	17.5%	10.3%	15.6%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan 10A1

13. Legal Requirements and Plan Summary

13.1. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS

13.1.1. Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?

13.1.1.1. yes

13.1.2. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?

13.1.2.1. 9.6%

13.2. MINIMAL DISRUPTION

13.2.1. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?

13.2.1.1. It adds a new district called Gunston.

13.2.2. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.

13.2.2.1. It creates a new Gunston District with as minimal disruption as possible under the circumstances.

13.3. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES

13.3.1. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?

13.3.1.1. yes.

13.3.2. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?

13.3.2.1. yes.

13.3.3. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?

13.4. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA

13.4.1. Does the plan consider established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.

13.4.1.1. Yes, it creates a new community around what was Lorton, Mason Neck and west along the Occaquon.

13.4.2. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?

13.4.2.1. Only one school board member is disrupted (Springfield is now in Gunston) and she is still in an unopposed district, the new one.

13.5. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY

13.5.1. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?

13.5.1.1. More compact.

13.5.2. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?

13.5.2.1. yes.

13.6. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES

13.6.1. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?

13.6.1.1. No.

13.6.2. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?

13.6.2.1. The Mount Vernon district is now as close to a minority district as can be drawn.

13.7. COST

13.7.1. Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?

13.7.1.1. Increase.

13.8. Presentation of Plan and Comments

13.8.1. This is a 10 district plan that creates a new South County district (Gunston) that makes most of the other districts far more compact.

**AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE
TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 10A1]

1
2

Draft of March 18, 2011

3 **AN ORDINANCE** to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by
4 amending Sections 7-2-1, 7-2-3, 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-
5 2-9, 7-2-10, 7-2-11, and 7-2-12, and by adding a new Section 7-2-
6 12.1, relating to the election districts of the members of the Board
7 of Supervisors.

8 **Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:**

9 **1. That Sections 7-2-1, 7-2-3, 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-**
10 **10, 7-2-11, and 7-2-12 are amended and readopted and Section 7-2-12.1 is**
11 **added as follows:**

12

13 **Section 7-2-1. - Composition of the Board of Supervisors.**

14

15 The Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County, Virginia ("Board"), shall be
16 composed of one elected at-large chairman and ~~nine~~ten members who are
17 elected from single-member supervisor election districts.

18

19

20 **Section 7-2-3. - Election Districts of the members of the Board.**

21

22 Except for the Chairman whose election shall be controlled by Section 7-2-2,
23 supra, the members of the Board shall be elected in accordance with state law
24 from single-member election districts by the qualified voters of those districts.

25 There shall be ~~nine~~ten election districts that shall be named: Braddock, Hunter
26 Mill, Dranesville, Lee, Mason, Mount Vernon, Providence, Springfield, ~~and Sully,~~
27 and New District.

28

29 **Section 7-2-4. - Braddock District.**

30

31 The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae,
32 Bristow, Burke, Burke Centre, ~~Cardinal~~, Chapel, Fairfax Court (that part of Fairfax
33 County containing the governmental complex that is surrounded by Fairfax City),
34 Fairview, Heritage, ~~Keene Mill~~, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch,
35 North Springfield No. 1, North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde Creek, Olley,

1 | ~~Price, Ravensworth, Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa,~~
2 | ~~Wakefield, and Woodson.~~

3
4 | **Section 7-2-5. - Hunter Mill District.**

5
6 | The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron
7 | ~~Glen, Coates, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade, Hunters~~
8 | ~~Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3, South~~
9 | ~~Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No.~~
10 | ~~4, Vienna No. 6, Westbriar, and Wolftrap.~~

11
12 | **Section 7-2-6. - Dranesville District.**

13
14 | The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge,
15 | ~~Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, Coates, Colvin, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville,~~
16 | ~~Great Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory,~~
17 | ~~Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, McLean, Pimmit, Salona,~~
18 | ~~Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Sugarland, Westhampton, and Westmoreland.~~

19
20 | **Section 7-2-7. - Lee District.**

21
22 | The Lee District shall consist of these election precincts: Bren Mar, Bush Hill,
23 | ~~Cameron, Cardinal, Clermont, Crestwood, Edsall, Fairfield, Franconia, Garfield,~~
24 | ~~Greenspring, Groveton, Hayfield, Huntley, Irving, Island Creek, Keene Mill,~~
25 | ~~Kingstowne, Lane, Leewood, Lynbrook, Mount Eagle, Pioneer, Rose Hill, Van~~
26 | ~~Dorn, Villages, Virginia Hills, and West Springfield and Wilton.~~

27
28 | **Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.**

29
30 | The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft,
31 | ~~Belvedere, Bren Mar, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Fort Buffalo, Glen~~
32 | ~~Forest No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer,~~
33 | ~~Leewood, Lincolnia, Masonville, Parklawn, Pine Ridge, Poe, Ravenwood,~~
34 | ~~Ridgelea, Saint Albans, Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Walnut Hill No.~~
35 | ~~2, Westlawn, Weyanoke, Whittier, and Willston, and Woodburn.~~

36
37 | **Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.**

38
39 | The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: ~~Alban, Belle~~
40 | ~~Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Cameron, Clermont, Fairfield, Fort Hunt,~~
41 | ~~Grosvenor, Groveton, Gunston, Hollin Hall, Hunt, Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel~~
42 | ~~Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station, Marlan, Mount Eagle, Newington,~~
43 | ~~Saratoga, Sherwood, ~~South County, Stratford, Waynewood, Westgate, Whitman,~~~~
44 | ~~Wilton, Woodlawn, and Woodley.~~

1 **Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.**

2
3 The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Fairfax
4 Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is
5 surrounded by Fairfax City), Fort Buffalo, Freedom Hill, Graham, Greenway,
6 Haycock, Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak
7 Marr, Oakton, ~~Penderbrook~~, ~~Pine Ridge~~, ~~Pimmit~~, Pine Spring, ~~Price~~, Shreve,
8 Stenwood, Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, Walker, ~~Walnut Hill No. 2~~, and
9 ~~Woodburn~~ Westhampton.

10
11 **Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.**

12
13 The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: ~~Burke~~,
14 Centerpointe, Centreville, ~~Cherry Run~~, Clifton, Eagle View, Fair Lakes, Fair
15 Oaks, Fairfax Station, Fairview, ~~Fountainhead~~, Green Trails, Greenbriar East,
16 Greenbriar West, ~~Irving~~, Monument, Newgate North, Newgate South, Old Mill,
17 ~~Orange~~, Parkway, ~~Penderbrook~~, ~~Pohick~~, Popes Head, Poplar Tree, ~~Sangster~~,
18 Sideburn, ~~Silverbrook~~, ~~South Run~~, ~~Valley~~, Villa, West Springfield, ~~White Oaks~~,
19 and Willow Springs, and ~~Woodyard~~.

20
21 **Section 7-2-12. - Sully District.**

22
23 The Sully District shall consist of these election precincts: Brookfield, Bull Run,
24 Centre Ridge, ~~Centreville~~, Cub Run, Deer Park, Dulles, Flint Hill, Floris, Franklin,
25 ~~Green Trails~~, Kinross, Lees Corner East, Lees Corner West, London Towne
26 East, London Towne West, Navy, ~~Old Mill~~, ~~Poplar Tree~~, Powell, Rocky Run,
27 Stone, Vale, Virginia Run, and Waples Mill.

28
29 **Section 7-2-12.1 – New District.**

30
31 The New District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Cherry Run,
32 Fountainhead, Gunston, Hunt, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station,
33 Newington, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, Sangster, Saratoga, Silverbrook, South
34 County, South Run, Valley, White Oaks, and Woodyard.

1 **2. That this ordinance shall become effective upon adoption, and it**
2 **shall be enforced after satisfactory completion of the federal preclearance**
3 **procedure provided by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as**
4 **amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.**

5


GIVEN under my hand this _____ day of _____ 2011.

Nancy Vehrs
Clerk to the Board of Supervisors

\\s17prolaw01\Documents\104659\ECW\Correspondence\344541.doc



FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA



2011 Proposed Supervisor District Boundary Changes 10A2

Voting Precinct Boundaries



Proposed Supervisor Districts



- BRADDOCK
- DRANESVILLE
- HUNTER MILL
- LEE
- MASON
- MOUNT VERNON
- NEW DISTRICT
- PROVIDENCE
- SPRINGFIELD
- SULLY

Note: This map is intended for reference purposes only. Fairfax County does not provide any guarantee of the accuracy or completeness regarding the map information.

Plan Datasheet - 10A2

Number of precincts moved: 61

Number of persons moved: 298002

Total deviation of the plan: 4.98%

Range of plan deviation: -2.32 to 2.66%

Precincts moved list: Alban, Belvoir, Blake, Camelot, Cameron, Centerpointe, Clermont, Crestwood, Eagle View, Fair Oaks, Fairfax Court, Fairfield, Fairlakes, Fairview, Flint Hill, Fort Buffalo, Green Trails, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Greenspring, Groveton, Gunston, Hummer, Hunt, Huntley, Hutchison, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station, Lynbrook, Mantua, Monument, Mount Eagle, Newington, Oak Marr, Oakton, Olde Creek, Penderbrook, Popes Head, Price, Ridgelea, Rose Hill, Saratoga, Sideburn, South County, Terra Centre, Vale, Vienna #1, Vienna #2, Vienna #4, Vienna #6, Villa, Virginia Hills, Walnut Hill # 2, Waples Mill, Westbriar, Westgate, Willow Springs, Wilton, Woodson.

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 108,173

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 10A2

Supervisor District	Deviation from Target Population	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Races	Hispanic or Latino
Braddock	-2.3%	105,664	66,442	5,771	441	21,241	11,769	19,867
<i>Percent of total</i>			62.9%	5.5%	0.4%	20.1%	11.1%	18.8%
Dranesville	1.5%	109,743	79,230	4,202	320	17,047	8,944	13,006
<i>Percent of total</i>			72.2%	3.8%	0.3%	15.5%	8.1%	11.9%
Hunter Mill	-2.3%	105,733	67,638	9,605	318	19,334	8,838	12,418
<i>Percent of total</i>			64.0%	9.1%	0.3%	18.3%	8.4%	11.7%
Lee	1.2%	109,522	61,535	19,720	368	17,439	10,460	14,194
<i>Percent of total</i>			56.2%	18.0%	0.3%	15.9%	9.6%	13.0%
Mason	-0.3%	107,845	57,243	12,313	690	18,190	19,409	32,853
<i>Percent of total</i>			53.1%	11.4%	0.6%	16.9%	18.0%	30.5%
Mount Vernon	2.7%	111,048	64,414	21,090	542	8,356	16,646	25,851
<i>Percent of total</i>			58.0%	19.0%	0.5%	7.5%	15.0%	23.3%
Providence	1.5%	109,825	67,445	5,659	386	25,040	11,295	16,933
<i>Percent of total</i>			61.4%	5.2%	0.4%	22.8%	10.3%	15.4%
Springfield	1.4%	109,698	78,503	7,163	295	16,499	7,238	10,600
<i>Percent of total</i>			71.6%	6.5%	0.3%	15.0%	6.6%	9.7%
Sully	-1.5%	106,575	64,008	6,952	261	26,126	9,228	13,179
<i>Percent of total</i>			60.1%	6.5%	0.2%	24.5%	8.7%	12.4%
New District	-1.9%	106,073	71,532	6,743	263	20,389	7,146	9,581
<i>Percent of total</i>			67.4%	6.4%	0.2%	19.2%	6.7%	9.0%
Fairfax County		1,081,726	677,990	99,218	3,884	189,661	110,973	168,482
<i>Percent of total</i>			62.7%	9.2%	0.4%	17.5%	10.3%	15.6%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan 10A2

14. Legal Requirements and Plan Summary

14.1. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS

14.1.1. Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?

14.1.1.1. Yes. -2.32 to 2.66

14.1.2. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?

14.1.2.1. 4.98 5384 population

14.2. MINIMAL DISRUPTION

14.2.1. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?

14.2.1.1. It adds one district. All Current supervisors remain in their current districts.

14.2.2. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.

14.2.2.1. A new district is created west of the City of Fairfax, which has been an area of large population increase, It would be better served by having it's own supervisor.

14.3. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES

14.3.1. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?

14.3.1.1. Yes.

14.3.2. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?

14.3.2.1. N/A

14.3.3. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?

14.3.3.1. N/A

14.4. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA

14.4.1. Does the plan considers established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.

14.4.1.1. All existing towns are kept intact. The small tax district #5 is kept intact.

14.4.2. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?

14.4.2.1. Yes, no 2 supervisors are in the same district. No current supervisor is in the new district.

14.5. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY

14.5.1. The addition of a new district improves compactness in many districts.

14.5.2. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?

14.5.3. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?

14.6. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES

14.6.1. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off

than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?

14.6.1.1. I do not believe so.

14.6.2. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?

14.6.2.1. I do not believe so.

14.7. COST

14.7.1. Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?

14.7.1.1. This plan will increase costs. An additional magisterial office must be provided, as well as a supervisor's staff. Costs less than .01% of County budget.

14.8. Presentation of Plan and Comments

**AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE
TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 10A2]

1
2

Draft of March 16, 2011

3 **AN ORDINANCE** to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by
4 amending Sections 7-2-1, 7-2-3, 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-
5 2-9, 7-2-10, 7-2-11, and 7-2-12, and by adding a new Section 7-2-
6 12.1, relating to the election districts of the members of the Board
7 of Supervisors.

8 **Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:**

9 **1. That Sections 7-2-1, 7-2-3, 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-**
10 **10, 7-2-11, and 7-2-12 are amended and readopted and Section 7-2-12.1 is**
11 **added as follows:**

12
13 **Section 7-2-1. - Composition of the Board of Supervisors.**

14
15 The Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County, Virginia ("Board"), shall be
16 composed of one elected at-large chairman and ~~nine~~ten members who are
17 elected from single-member supervisor election districts.

18
19
20 **Section 7-2-3. - Election Districts of the members of the Board.**

21
22 Except for the Chairman whose election shall be controlled by Section 7-2-2,
23 supra, the members of the Board shall be elected in accordance with state law
24 from single-member election districts by the qualified voters of those districts.
25 There shall be ~~nine~~ten election districts that shall be named: Braddock, Hunter
26 Mill, Dranesville, Lee, Mason, Mount Vernon, Providence, Springfield, ~~and Sully,~~
27 and New District.

28
29 **Section 7-2-4. - Braddock District.**

30
31 The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae,
32 Bristow, Burke Centre, Camelot, Cardinal, Chapel, Crestwood, Fairview,
33 Heritage, Hummer, Keene Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch,
34 Lynbrook, Mantua, North Springfield No. 1, North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill,

1 | ~~Olde Creek, Olley, Price, Ravensworth, Ridgelea, Robinson, Sideburn, Signal~~
2 | ~~Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, and Wakefield, and Woodson.~~

3
4 | **Section 7-2-5. - Hunter Mill District.**

5
6 | The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron
7 | Glen, Coates, Dogwood, ~~Flint Hill,~~ Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade, Hunters
8 | Woods, Hutchison, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No.
9 | 3, South Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, ~~Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2,~~
10 | ~~Vienna No. 4, Vienna No. 6, Westbriar,~~ and Wolftrap.

11
12 | **Section 7-2-6. - Dranesville District.**

13
14 | The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge,
15 | Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, Colvin, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville, Great
16 | Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory,
17 | ~~Hutchison,~~ Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, McLean, Pimmit, Salona,
18 | Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Sugarland, Westhampton, and Westmoreland.

19
20 | **Section 7-2-7. - Lee District.**

21
22 | The Lee District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belvoir, Bush
23 | Hill, Cameron, Clermont, Crestwood, Fairfield, Franconia, Garfield, Greenspring,
24 | ~~Groveton, Gunston, Hayfield, Huntley,~~ Island Creek, Kingstowne, Lane,
25 | Lynbrook, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station, Mount Eagle,
26 | Pioneer, Rose Hill, Saratoga, South County, Van Dorn, Villages, Virginia Hills,
27 | and Westgate and Wilton.

28
29 | **Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.**

30
31 | The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft,
32 | Belvedere, Bren Mar, Brook Hill, ~~Camelot,~~ Columbia, Edsall, Fort Buffalo, Glen
33 | Forest No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, ~~Hummer,~~
34 | Leewood, Lincolnia, Masonville, Parklawn, Poe, Ravenwood, ~~Ridgelea,~~ Saint
35 | Albans, Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Walnut Hill No. 2, Westlawn,
36 | Weyanoke, Whittier, and Willston.

37
38 | **Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.**

39
40 | The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: ~~Alban,~~ Belle
41 | Haven, Belleview, ~~Belvoir,~~ Bucknell, Cameron, Clermont, Fairfield, Fort Hunt,
42 | Grosvenor, Groveton, Gunston, Hollin Hall, ~~Hunt,~~ Huntington, Huntley, Kirkside,
43 | Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station, Marlan, Mount Eagle,
44 | Newington, Rose Hill, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Virginia
45 | Hills, Waynewood, Westgate, Whitman, Wilton, Woodlawn, and Woodley.

1 **Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.**

2
3 The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: ~~Blake, Fairfax~~
4 ~~Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is~~
5 ~~surrounded by Fairfax City), Fort Buffalo, Freedom Hill, Graham, Greenway,~~
6 ~~Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak Marr,~~
7 ~~Oakton, Penderbrook, Pine Ridge, Pine Spring, Price, Shreve, Stenwood,~~
8 ~~Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No. 4,~~
9 ~~Vienna No. 6, Walker, Walnut Hill No. 2, Westbriar,~~ and Woodburn.

10
11 **Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.**

12
13 The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke,
14 ~~Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, Eagle View, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairview,~~
15 ~~Fairfax Station, Fountainhead, Green Trails, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West,~~
16 ~~Greenspring, Hunt, Irving, Monument, Newgate North, Newgate South,~~
17 ~~Newington, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, Popes Head, Sangster, Silverbrook, South~~
18 ~~Run, Terra Centre, Valley, West Springfield, White Oaks, Willow Springs,~~ and
19 Woodyard.

20
21 **Section 7-2-12. - Sully District.**

22
23 The Sully District shall consist of these election precincts: Brookfield, Bull Run,
24 ~~Centre Ridge, Centreville, Cub Run, Deer Park, Dulles, Franklin, Green Trails,~~
25 ~~Kinross, Lees Corner East, Lees Corner West, London Towne East, London~~
26 ~~Towne West, Navy, Old Mill, Poplar Tree, Powell, Rocky Run, Stone, Vale, and~~
27 ~~Virginia Run, and Waples Mill.~~

28
29 **Section 7-2-12.1 – New District.**

30
31 The New District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Centerpointe,
32 Eagle View, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax Court (that part of Fairfax County
33 containing the governmental complex that is surrounded by Fairfax City), Flint
34 Hill, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Monument, Oak Marr, Oakton, Olde
35 Creek, Penderbrook, Popes Head, Sideburn, Vale, Villa, Waples Mill, Willow
36 Springs, and Woodson.

1 **2. That this ordinance shall become effective upon adoption, and it**
2 **shall be enforced after satisfactory completion of the federal preclearance**
3 **procedure provided by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as**
4 **amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.**

5

GIVEN under my hand this _____ day of _____ 2011.

Nancy Vehrs
Clerk to the Board of Supervisors

\\s17prolaw01\Documents\104659\ECW\Correspondence\344574.doc

Plan Datasheet –11A1

Number of precincts moved: 74

Number of persons moved: 373390

Total deviation of the plan: 8.22%

Range of plan deviation: -3.83 to 4.39%

Precincts moved list: Alban, Aldrin, Blake, Bonnie Brae, Burke Centre, Camelot, Cameron Glen, Centerpointe, Centreville, Clifton, Coates, Columbia, Crestwood, Dogwood, Eagle View, Fair Oaks, Fairfax Court, Fairfax Station, Fairlakes, Fairview, Floris, Fountainhead, Franklin, Frying Pan, Garfield, Green Trails, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Greenspring, Herndon #1, Herndon #2, Herndon #3, Hummer, Hunt, Hutchison, Keene Mill, Kinross, Laurel, Lees Corner East, Lees Corner West, Lynbrook, Magarity, McNair, Monument, Navy, Newgate North, Newgate South, Newington, North Point, Oak Marr, Oakton, Penderbrook, Popes Head, Price, Reston #1, Reston #2, Reston #3, Ridgelea, Robinson, Saratoga, Sideburn, South County, Stuart, Terra Centre, Tysons, Vale, Vienna #1, Vienna #2, Vienna #4, Vienna #6, Villa, Walnut Hill # 2, Waples Mill, Willow Springs.

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 98,339

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 11A1

Supervisor District	Deviation from Target Population	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Races	Hispanic or Latino
Braddock	-3.7%	94,709	56,875	5,643	445	19,753	11,993	19,930
<i>Percent of total</i>			60.1%	6.0%	0.5%	20.9%	12.7%	21.0%
Dranesville	0.3%	98,661	74,622	2,649	196	16,324	4,870	6,262
<i>Percent of total</i>			75.6%	2.7%	0.2%	16.5%	4.9%	6.3%
Hunter Mill	4.4%	102,656	75,280	4,571	203	16,520	6,082	8,339
<i>Percent of total</i>			73.3%	4.5%	0.2%	16.1%	5.9%	8.1%
Lee	1.7%	99,984	53,886	19,537	470	11,583	14,508	22,459
<i>Percent of total</i>			53.9%	19.5%	0.5%	11.6%	14.5%	22.5%
Mason	-0.6%	97,792	52,909	11,426	626	15,829	17,002	29,176
<i>Percent of total</i>			54.1%	11.7%	0.6%	16.2%	17.4%	29.8%
Mount Vernon	2.0%	100,291	61,140	18,502	384	9,915	10,350	14,756
<i>Percent of total</i>			61.0%	18.4%	0.4%	9.9%	10.3%	14.7%
Providence	0.6%	98,919	60,654	5,207	388	21,700	10,970	16,800
<i>Percent of total</i>			61.3%	5.3%	0.4%	21.9%	11.1%	17.0%
Springfield	-3.8%	94,576	62,823	8,604	278	15,443	7,428	11,099
<i>Percent of total</i>			66.4%	9.1%	0.3%	16.3%	7.9%	11.7%
Sully	3.7%	101,989	59,809	7,509	249	25,019	9,403	13,198
<i>Percent of total</i>			58.6%	7.4%	0.2%	24.5%	9.2%	12.9%
New District 1	-3.3%	95,067	53,370	9,798	407	19,879	11,613	17,130
<i>Percent of total</i>			56.1%	10.3%	0.4%	20.9%	12.2%	18.0%
New District 2	-1.3%	97,082	66,622	5,772	238	17,696	6,754	9,333
<i>Percent of total</i>			68.6%	5.9%	0.2%	18.2%	7.0%	9.6%
Fairfax County		1,081,726	677,990	99,218	3,884	189,661	110,973	168,482
<i>Percent of total</i>			62.7%	9.2%	0.4%	17.5%	10.3%	15.6%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan 11A1

11. Legal Requirements and Plan Summary

- a. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS
 - i. Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?
 1. *Yes, from -4% to +4%.*
 - ii. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?
 1. *8%. 8080 population variance.*
- b. MINIMAL DISRUPTION
 - i. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?
 1. *This plan creates 2 new districts. No two existing supervisors are in the same district.*
 - ii. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.
 1. *Both new districts contain existing supervisor's residences and a large part of their former constituencies. There would be 2 new district supervisor elections.*
- c. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES
 - i. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?
 1. *Yes.*
 - ii. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?
 1. *N/A*
 - iii. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?
 1. *N/A*
- d. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA
 - i. Does the plan considers established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.
 1. *Yes. All existing towns are kept intact. Smaller districts, by their nature, can provide areas more responsive to communities of interest.*
 - ii. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?
 1. *Not fully investigated. There will be 2 new positions on every magisterially-related boards and commissions. Some incumbents will be in new districts.*
- e. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY
 - i. *These districts are more compact than for either a 9 or 10 district plan.*
 - ii. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?

- iii. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?
- f. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES
 - i. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?
 - 1. *I do not believe so.*
 - ii. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?
 - 1. *I do not believe so.*
- g. COST
 - i. Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?
 - 1. *It would increase the cost, but is aimed at increasing the accessibility of supervisors to a smaller number of constituents.*
- h. Presentation of Plan and Comments

**AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE
TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 11A1]

1
2

Draft of March 18, 2011

3 **AN ORDINANCE** to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by
4 amending Sections 7-2-1, 7-2-3, 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-
5 2-9, 7-2-10, 7-2-11, and 7-2-12, and by adding new Sections
6 numbered 7-2-12.1 and 7-2-12.2, relating to the election districts of
7 the members of the Board of Supervisors.

8 **Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:**

9 **1. That Sections 7-2-1, 7-2-3, 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-**
10 **10, 7-2-11, and 7-2-12 are amended and readopted and Sections 7-2-12.1**
11 **and 7-2-12.2 are added as follows:**

12
13 **Section 7-2-1. - Composition of the Board of Supervisors.**

14
15 The Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County, Virginia ("Board"), shall be
16 composed of one elected at-large chairman and ~~nine~~ eleven members who are
17 elected from single-member supervisor election districts.

18
19
20 **Section 7-2-3. - Election Districts of the members of the Board.**

21
22 Except for the Chairman whose election shall be controlled by Section 7-2-2,
23 supra, the members of the Board shall be elected in accordance with state law
24 from single-member election districts by the qualified voters of those districts.
25 There shall be ~~nine~~ eleven election districts that shall be named: Braddock,
26 Hunter Mill, Dranesville, Lee, Mason, Mount Vernon, Providence, Springfield, and
27 Sully, New District No. 1, and New District No. 2.

28
29 **Section 7-2-4. - Braddock District.**

30
31 The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: ~~Bonnie Brae,~~
32 ~~Bristow, Burke Centre, Camelot, Cardinal, Chapel, Columbia, Crestwood,~~
33 ~~Fairview, Heritage, Hummer, Keene Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel,~~
34 ~~Long Branch, Lynbrook, North Springfield No. 1, North Springfield No. 2, Oak~~

1 | Hill, Olde Creek, Olley, Price, Ravensworth, Ridgelea, Robinson, Sideburn,
2 | Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield, and Woodson.

3
4 | **Section 7-2-5. - Hunter Mill District.**

5
6 | The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Blake,
7 | Cameron Glen, Coates, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Franklin, Frying Pan,
8 | Glade, Hunters Woods, Kinross, Lees Corner East, Lees Corner West, McNair,
9 | Navy, North Point, Oak Marr, Oakton, Penderbrook, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2,
10 | Reston No. 3, South Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vale, Vienna No. 1,
11 | Vienna No. 2, Vienna No. 4, Vienna No. 6, Waples Mill, Westbriar, and Wolftrap.

12
13 | **Section 7-2-6. - Dranesville District.**

14
15 | The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge,
16 | Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, Colvin, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville, Great
17 | Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory,
18 | Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, Magarity, McLean, Pimmit,
19 | Salona, Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Sugarland, Tysons, Westhampton, and
20 | Westmoreland.

21
22 | **Section 7-2-7. - Lee District.**

23
24 | The Lee District shall consist of these election precincts: Bush Hill, Cameron,
25 | Clermont, Crestwood, Fairfield, Franconia, Garfield, Greenspring, Groveton,
26 | Hayfield, Huntley, Island Creek, Kingstowne, Lane, Lynbrook, Mount Eagle,
27 | Pioneer, Rose Hill, Van Dorn, Villages, Virginia Hills, and Wilton.

28
29 | **Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.**

30
31 | The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft,
32 | Belvedere, Bren Mar, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Glen Forest No. 1,
33 | Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer, Leewood, Lincolnia,
34 | Masonville, Parklawn, Poe, Ravenwood, Ridgelea, Saint Albans, Skyline, Sleepy
35 | Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Walnut Hill No. 2, Westlawn, Weyanoke, Whittier, and
36 | Willston.

37
38 | **Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.**

39
40 | The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle
41 | Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall,
42 | Hunt, Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station,
43 | Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood,
44 | Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.

1 **Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.**

2
3 The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: ~~Blake, Fairfax~~
4 ~~Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is~~
5 ~~surrounded by Fairfax City),~~ Fort Buffalo, Freedom Hill, Graham, Greenway,
6 Kilmer, ~~Magarity,~~ Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, ~~Oak Marr,~~
7 ~~Oakton, Penderbrook,~~ Pine Ridge, Pine Spring, ~~Price,~~ Shreve, Stenwood,
8 Thoreau, Timber Lane, ~~Tyson,~~ Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No. 4,
9 Vienna No. 6, Walker, Walnut Hill No. 2, and Woodburn.

10
11 **Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.**

12
13 The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Burke,
14 Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, Eagle View, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax
15 Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is
16 surrounded by Fairfax City), Fairfax Station, Fountainhead, Garfield, Greenbriar
17 East, Greenbriar West, Greenspring, Hunt, Irving, Keene Mill, Monument,
18 Newgate North, Newgate South, Newington, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, Popes
19 Head, Sangster, Saratoga, Silverbrook, South County, South Run, Valley, West
20 Springfield, White Oaks, Willow Springs, and Woodyard.

21
22 **Section 7-2-12. - Sully District.**

23
24 The Sully District shall consist of these election precincts: Brookfield, Bull Run,
25 Centerpointe, Centre Ridge, Centreville, Cub Run, Deer Park, Dulles, Franklin,
26 Fair Lakes, Green Trails, Kinross, Lees Corner East, Lees Corner West,
27 Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, London Towne East, London Towne West,
28 Navy, Old Mill, Poplar Tree, Powell, Rocky Run, Stone, Vale, Virginia Run, and
29 Waples Mill Willow Springs.

30
31 **Section 7-2-12.1 – New District No. 1.**

32
33 The New District No. 1 shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron
34 Glen, Coates, Dogwood, Floris, Frying Pan, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2,
35 Herndon No. 3, Hutchison, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2,
36 Reston No. 3, and Stuart.

37
38
39 **Section 7-2-12.2 – New District No. 2.**

40
41 The New District No. 2 shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae,
42 Burke Centre, Centreville, Clifton, Eagle View, Fair Oaks, Fairfax Station,
43 Fairview, Fountainhead, Green Trails, Laurel, Monument, Newgate North,
44 Newgate South, Popes Head, Robinson, Sideburn, Terra Centre, and Villa.

1 **2. That this ordinance shall become effective upon adoption, and it**
2 **shall be enforced after satisfactory completion of the federal preclearance**
3 **procedure provided by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as**
4 **amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.**

5


GIVEN under my hand this _____ day of _____ 2011.

Nancy Vehrs
Clerk to the Board of Supervisors

\\s17prolaw01\Documents\104659\ECW\Correspondence\344793.doc



FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA




2011 Proposed Supervisor District Boundary Changes *Public Plan 1*

Voting Precinct Boundaries



Proposed Supervisor Districts



Note: This map is intended for reference purposes only. Fairfax County does not provide any guarantee of the accuracy or completeness regarding the map information.

Datasheet – Public Plan 1

Number of precincts moved:	17
Number of persons moved:	59,768
Total deviation of the plan:	4.2%
Range of plan deviation:	-2.3% to 1.9%
Precincts moved list:	Brook Hill, Crestwood, Fort Buffalo, Graham, Greenspring, Grosvenor, Huntington, Leewood, Newgate North, Newgate South, Pine Ridge, Stuart, Vale, Walnut Hill # 2, Waples Mill, Westbriar, Woodburn

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 120,192

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Public Plan 1

Supervisor District	Deviation from Target Population	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Race	Hispanic or Latino
Braddock	1.2%	121,597	78,409	7,294	457	23,226	12,211	20,454
<i>Percent of total</i>			64.5%	6.0%	0.4%	19.1%	10.0%	16.8%
Dranesville	-2.3%	117,414	83,367	5,002	361	18,458	10,226	15,265
<i>Percent of total</i>			71.0%	4.3%	0.3%	15.7%	8.7%	13.0%
Hunter Mill	-0.6%	119,498	79,785	9,443	346	20,495	9,429	12,644
<i>Percent of total</i>			66.8%	7.9%	0.3%	17.2%	7.9%	10.6%
Lee	-0.3%	119,806	63,711	21,719	587	15,771	18,018	27,346
<i>Percent of total</i>			53.2%	18.1%	0.5%	13.2%	15.0%	22.8%
Mason	-0.3%	119,791	64,913	12,816	756	20,115	21,191	35,724
<i>Percent of total</i>			54.2%	10.7%	0.6%	16.8%	17.7%	29.8%
Mount Vernon	-1.2%	118,759	72,571	20,790	431	13,123	11,844	16,688
<i>Percent of total</i>			61.1%	17.5%	0.4%	11.1%	10.0%	14.1%
Providence	1.9%	122,425	78,318	6,260	370	27,332	10,145	15,145
<i>Percent of total</i>			64.0%	5.1%	0.3%	22.3%	8.3%	12.4%
Springfield	0.9%	121,306	83,229	8,025	276	22,110	7,666	10,698
<i>Percent of total</i>			68.6%	6.6%	0.2%	18.2%	6.3%	8.8%
Sully	0.8%	121,130	73,687	7,869	300	29,031	10,243	14,518
<i>Percent of total</i>			60.8%	6.5%	0.2%	24.0%	8.5%	12.0%

Fairfax County 1,081,726 677,990 99,218 3,884 189,661 110,973 168,482
Percent of total 62.7% 9.2% 0.4% 17.5% 10.3% 15.6%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Proposed Fairfax County Supervisor Districts: Public Plan 1

I. Equal Representation Among Supervisor Districts:

- a. Are all of the proposed supervisor district population deviations from the target population within +/- 5%? (Population deviations are shown in the *fxdata.xls* file in column B of the 'Summary by Supervisor District' worksheet.)

(Select one answer)

Yes

No

- b. What is the population deviation of the proposed supervisor district with the smallest population? (Population deviations are shown in the *fxdata.xls* file in column B of the 'Summary by Supervisor District' worksheet. The value entered here should be a negative value.)

-2.3%

- c. What is the population deviation of the proposed supervisor district with the largest population? (Population deviations are shown in the *fxdata.xls* file in column B of the 'Summary by Supervisor District' worksheet. The value entered here should be a positive value.)

1.9%

II. Minimal Disruption:

- a. Please describe how you have attempted to minimize the disruption to the existing supervisor district patterns of service.

BRADDOCK: Picks up Brook Hill, Crestwood, Leewood. Leewood was taken out of Braddock in 2000 Census, it is not new territory.

DRANESVILLE: Population adjusted with one additional precinct (Stuart)

LEE: picks up Huntington and Grosvenor.

MASON: Adds Fort Buffalo, Graham, Woodburn, Pine Ridge. Hands are tied here, as Mason was the most under population.

PROVIDENCE: To make up for effect of Mason, picks up Westbriar, Vale, Waples Mill

SPRINGFIELD: Gains Greenspring

SULLY: Gains Newgate North and South

HUNTER MILL and MT VERNON gain no new territory.

III. Compactness and Contiguity:

- a. Are the proposed supervisor districts as compact as the existing supervisor districts?

(Select one answer)

Yes

No

- b. Is each proposed supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding Fairfax Court precinct)?

(Select one answer) Yes No

- c. Is Fairfax Court precinct assigned to a proposed supervisor district that is contiguous to the Fairfax City boundary?

(Select one answer) Yes No

IV. Federal Voting Rights Act:

- a. Will the proposed plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial, ethnic or language group (i.e. will it make members of such a group worse off than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?

(Select one answer) Yes No

- b. Do any proposed supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial, ethnic or language group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?

(Select one answer) Yes No

V. Communities of Interest:

- a. Please describe how the proposed plan considers established communities of interest. Communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as race, ethnic, social and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, also are relevant to communities of interest.

No towns were split. When precincts had to be moved, they were moved along with the other part of their neighborhood. Newgate North and South were moved together. This move is the best example of a community of interest, as the Newgate neighborhoods share much more with Sully than Springfield. Whittier, Walnut Hill, and Woodburn are reunited. Grosvernor and Huntington were moved together.

VI. Cost:

a. Would this plan increase or decrease the county's annual operating costs?

(Select one answer)

Increase

No change

Decrease

VII. Other Features:

a. What other features of this proposed plan should be considered?

This plan features the most minimal amount of change I could achieve while keeping deviance within 2.5% - one half of the required target. Only Mason and Providence are expected to see significant shifts.

**AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE
TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

[PUBLIC PLAN 1]

1
2

Draft of March 17, 2011

3 **AN ORDINANCE** to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by
4 amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10,
5 7-2-11, and 7-2-12, relating to the election districts of the members
6 of the Board of Supervisors.

7 **Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:**

8 **1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, 7-2-11, and**
9 **7-2-12 are amended and readopted as follows:**

10

11 **Section 7-2-4. - Braddock District.**

12

13 The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae,
14 Bristow, Brook Hill, Burke Centre, Cardinal, Chapel, Crestwood, Fairview,
15 Heritage, Keene Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Leewood, Long
16 Branch, North Springfield No. 1, North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde Creek,
17 Olley, Ravensworth, Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa,
18 Wakefield, and Woodson.

19

20 **Section 7-2-5. - Hunter Mill District.**

21

22 The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron
23 Glen, Coates, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade, Hunters
24 Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3, South
25 Lakes, ~~Stuart~~, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No.
26 4, Vienna No. 6, ~~Westbriar~~, and Wolftrap.

27

28 **Section 7-2-6. - Dranesville District.**

29

30 The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge,
31 Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, Colvin, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville, Great
32 Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory,
33 Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, McLean, Pimmit, Salona,
34 Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Stuart, Sugarland, Westhampton, and
35 Westmoreland.

1
2 **Section 7-2-7. - Lee District.**
3

4 The Lee District shall consist of these election precincts: Bush Hill, Cameron,
5 Clermont, ~~Crestwood~~, Fairfield, Franconia, Garfield, ~~Greenspring~~, Grosvenor,
6 Groveton, Hayfield, Huntington, Huntley, Island Creek, Kingstowne, Lane,
7 Lynbrook, Mount Eagle, Pioneer, Rose Hill, Van Dorn, Villages, Virginia Hills, and
8 Wilton.
9

10 **Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.**
11

12 The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft,
13 Belvedere, Bren Mar, ~~Brook Hill~~, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Fort Buffalo, Glen
14 Forest No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Graham, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2,
15 Hummer, ~~Leewood~~, Lincolnia, Masonville, Parklawn, Pine Ridge, Poe,
16 Ravenwood, Ridgelea, Saint Albans, Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1,
17 Walnut Hill No. 2, Westlawn, Weyanoke, Whittier, ~~and Willston~~, and Woodburn.
18

19 **Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.**
20

21 The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle
22 Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, ~~Grosvenor~~, Gunston, Hollin Hall,
23 Hunt, ~~Huntington~~, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station,
24 Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood,
25 Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.
26

27 **Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.**
28

29 The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Fairfax
30 Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is
31 surrounded by Fairfax City), ~~Fort Buffalo~~, Freedom Hill, ~~Graham~~, Greenway,
32 Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak Marr,
33 Oakton, Penderbrook, ~~Pine Ridge~~, Pine Spring, Price, Shreve, Stenwood,
34 Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, Vale, Walker, ~~Walnut Hill No. 2~~, Waples Mill,
35 Westbriar, ~~and Woodburn~~.
36

37 **Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.**
38

39 The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke,
40 Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, Eagle View, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax
41 Station, Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Greenspring, Irving,
42 Monument, ~~Newgate North~~, ~~Newgate South~~, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, Popes
43 Head, Sangster, Silverbrook, South Run, Valley, West Springfield, White Oaks,
44 Willow Springs, and Woodyard.
45

1 **Section 7-2-12. - Sully District.**

2

3 The Sully District shall consist of these election precincts: Brookfield, Bull Run,
4 Centre Ridge, Centreville, Cub Run, Deer Park, Dulles, Franklin, Green Trails,
5 Kinross, Lees Corner East, Lees Corner West, London Towne East, London
6 Towne West, Navy, Newgate North, Newgate South, Old Mill, Poplar Tree,
7 Powell, Rocky Run, Stone, ~~Vale, and Virginia Run, and Waples Mill.~~

8

9 **2. That this ordinance shall become effective upon adoption, and it**
10 **shall be enforced after satisfactory completion of the federal preclearance**
11 **procedure provided by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as**
12 **amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.**

13


GIVEN under my hand this _____ day of _____ 2011.

Nancy Vehrs
Clerk to the Board of Supervisors

\\s17prolaw01\Documents\104659\ECW\Correspondence\344842.doc



FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA




2011 Proposed Supervisor District Boundary Changes *Public Plan 2*

Voting Precinct Boundaries



Proposed Supervisor Districts



Note: This map is intended for reference purposes only. Fairfax County does not provide any guarantee of the accuracy or completeness regarding the map information.

Datasheet – Public Plan 2

Number of precincts moved:	11
Number of persons moved:	38,410
Total deviation of the plan:	3.6%
Range of plan deviation:	-2.3% to 1.3%
Precincts moved list:	Greenspring, Huntington, Irving, Pine Ridge, Price, Stuart, Vale, Walnut Hill #2, West Springfield, Westbriar, Woodburn

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 120,192

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Public Plan 2

Supervisor District	Deviation from Target Population	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Race	Hispanic or Latino
Braddock	0.6%	120,972	81,076	7,069	389	21,599	10,839	18,249
<i>Percent of total</i>			67.0%	5.8%	0.3%	17.9%	9.0%	15.1%
Dranesville	-2.3%	117,414	83,367	5,002	361	18,458	10,226	15,265
<i>Percent of total</i>			71.0%	4.3%	0.3%	15.7%	8.7%	13.0%
Hunter Mill	-0.6%	119,498	79,785	9,443	346	20,495	9,429	12,644
<i>Percent of total</i>			66.8%	7.9%	0.3%	17.2%	7.9%	10.6%
Lee	1.3%	121,758	64,441	21,367	636	16,466	18,848	28,775
<i>Percent of total</i>			52.9%	17.5%	0.5%	13.5%	15.5%	23.6%
Mason	0.9%	121,313	66,517	12,876	750	21,146	20,024	33,921
<i>Percent of total</i>			54.8%	10.6%	0.6%	17.4%	16.5%	28.0%
Mount Vernon	1.3%	121,717	74,263	21,345	444	13,464	12,201	17,172
<i>Percent of total</i>			61.0%	17.5%	0.4%	11.1%	10.0%	14.1%
Providence	0.3%	120,609	74,755	6,625	395	27,165	11,669	17,748
<i>Percent of total</i>			62.0%	5.5%	0.3%	22.5%	9.7%	14.7%
Springfield	-1.6%	118,243	80,554	7,756	264	22,077	7,592	10,271
<i>Percent of total</i>			68.1%	6.6%	0.2%	18.7%	6.4%	8.7%
Sully	0.0%	120,202	73,232	7,735	299	28,791	10,145	14,437
<i>Percent of total</i>			60.9%	6.4%	0.2%	24.0%	8.4%	12.0%
Fairfax County		1,081,726	677,990	99,218	3,884	189,661	110,973	168,482
<i>Percent of total</i>			62.7%	9.2%	0.4%	17.5%	10.3%	15.6%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Proposed Fairfax County Supervisor Districts: Public Plan 2

I. Equal Representation Among Supervisor Districts:

- a. Are all of the proposed supervisor district population deviations from the target population within +/- 5%? (*Population deviations are shown in the fxdata.xls file in column B of the 'Summary by Supervisor District' worksheet.*)

(Select one answer)

Yes

No

- b. What is the population deviation of the proposed supervisor district with the smallest population? (*Population deviations are shown in the fxdata.xls file in column B of the 'Summary by Supervisor District' worksheet. The value entered here should be a negative value.*)

-2.3

- c. What is the population deviation of the proposed supervisor district with the largest population? (*Population deviations are shown in the fxdata.xls file in column B of the 'Summary by Supervisor District' worksheet. The value entered here should be a positive value.*)

1.3

II. Minimal Disruption:

- a. Please describe how you have attempted to minimize the disruption to the existing supervisor district patterns of service.

We minimized disruption to existing supervisor patterns of service by only relocating precincts that already shared a significant border with the new precinct. We were also careful not to split communities. With the exception of the Providence district we only moved one or two precincts on the fringes in the other eight districts. Providence district sits in the center between districts with excessive population and those with tooo little population. A geographic boundary change is required to balance the population distribution and we feel that the least disruptive solution is to limit the significant shift to one district. Other alignments are certainly possible but we feel they would require significant disruption to two or more districts to achieve the same result.

III. Compactness and Contiguity:

- a. Are the proposed supervisor districts as compact as the existing supervisor districts?

(Select one answer)

Yes

No

- b. Is each proposed supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding Fairfax Court precinct)?

(Select one answer) Yes No

- c. Is Fairfax Court precinct assigned to a proposed supervisor district that is contiguous to the Fairfax City boundary?

(Select one answer) Yes No

IV. Federal Voting Rights Act:

- a. Will the proposed plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial, ethnic or language group (i.e. will it make members of such a group worse off than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?

(Select one answer) Yes No

- b. Do any proposed supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial, ethnic or language group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?

(Select one answer) Yes No

V. Communities of Interest:

- a. Please describe how the proposed plan considers established communities of interest. Communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as race, ethnic, social and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, also are relevant to communities of interest.

Each of the precincts we suggest moving to another district already share the same ethnic composition as the new district; this is evidenced by the fact that the percentage of population in each ethnic group changes very little under our plan. The communities in these precincts are also similar in terms of the housing density, commercial development and facilities.

Our plan does not change any incorporated town boundaries with respect to which district they are in nor does it change the districts as they overlap with state delegate and senate boundaries or federal congressional boundaries.

VI. Cost:

- a. Would this plan increase or decrease the county's annual operating costs?

(Select one answer)

Increase

No change

Decrease

VII. Other Features:

- a. What other features of this proposed plan should be considered?

We believe our plan resolves a major structural problem with current district boundaries. We discussed Providence district under item II above. Hunter Mill district is also a problem in that it includes Vienna #1, #2, #4 and #6. Maintaining Vienna as a community requires treating it as a block; it is large and moving it would result in significant disruption to both Hunter Mill and wherever Vienna was moved to.

Braddock, Lee and Mason districts have the problem that they are fully developed and unless one changes the zoning to higher density housing they are limited in population growth. Mount Vernon and Springfield districts will continue to grow. Under our plan Braddock's and Lee's problems can be solved with minimal disruption by moving adjacent precincts from Springfield and Mount Vernon districts respectively; a move which helps them as well. Mason district is best fixed by distributing the excess population from Hunter Mill and Sully districts through Providence district. While this has a major impact on Providence this time it solves the problem for future redistricting in that Providence will have more borders with Sully and Hunter Mill thus there will be more flexibility to move a single precinct to fix both Mason and Providence in the future.

**AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE
TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

[PUBLIC PLAN 2]

1
2

Draft of March 17, 2011

3 **AN ORDINANCE** to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by
4 amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10,
5 7-2-11, and 7-2-12, relating to the election districts of the members
6 of the Board of Supervisors.

7 **Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:**

8 **1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, 7-2-11, and**
9 **7-2-12 are amended and readopted as follows:**

10

11 **Section 7-2-4. - Braddock District.**

12

13 The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae,
14 Bristow, Burke Centre, Cardinal, Chapel, Fairview, Greenspring, Heritage, Irving,
15 Keene Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch, North Springfield
16 No. 1, North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde Creek, Olley, Ravensworth,
17 Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield, West Springfield,
18 and Woodson.

19

20 **Section 7-2-5. - Hunter Mill District.**

21

22 The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron
23 Glen, Coates, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade, Hunters
24 Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3, South
25 Lakes, ~~Stuart~~, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No.
26 4, Vienna No. 6, ~~Westbriar~~, and Wolftrap.

27

28 **Section 7-2-6. - Dranesville District.**

29

30 The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge,
31 Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, Colvin, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville, Great
32 Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory,
33 Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, McLean, Pimmit, Salona,
34 Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Stuart, Sugarland, Westhampton, and
35 Westmoreland.

1
2 **Section 7-2-7. - Lee District.**
3

4 The Lee District shall consist of these election precincts: Bush Hill, Cameron,
5 Clermont, Crestwood, Fairfield, Franconia, Garfield, ~~Greenspring~~, Groveton,
6 Hayfield, Huntington, Huntley, Island Creek, Kingstowne, Lane, Lynbrook, Mount
7 Eagle, Pioneer, Rose Hill, Van Dorn, Villages, Virginia Hills, and Wilton.
8

9 **Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.**
10

11 The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft,
12 Belvedere, Bren Mar, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Glen Forest No. 1,
13 Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer, Leewood, Lincolnia,
14 Masonville, Parklawn, Pine Ridge, Poe, Price, Ravenwood, Ridgelea, Saint
15 Albans, Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Walnut Hill No. 2, Westlawn,
16 Weyanoke, Whittier, ~~and Willston~~, and Woodburn.
17

18 **Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.**
19

20 The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle
21 Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall,
22 Hunt, ~~Huntington~~, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station,
23 Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood,
24 Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.
25

26 **Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.**
27

28 The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Fairfax
29 Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is
30 surrounded by Fairfax City), Fort Buffalo, Freedom Hill, Graham, Greenway,
31 Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak Marr,
32 Oakton, Penderbrook, ~~Pine Ridge~~, Pine Spring, ~~Price~~, Shreve, Stenwood,
33 Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, Vale, Walker, ~~Walnut Hill No. 2~~, and
34 Westbriar ~~and Woodburn~~.
35

36 **Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.**
37

38 The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke,
39 Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, Eagle View, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax
40 Station, Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, ~~Irving~~, Monument,
41 Newgate North, Newgate South, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, Popes Head,
42 Sangster, Silverbrook, South Run, Valley, ~~West Springfield~~, White Oaks, Willow
43 Springs, and Woodyard.
44

1 **Section 7-2-12. - Sully District.**

2

3 The Sully District shall consist of these election precincts: Brookfield, Bull Run,
4 Centre Ridge, Centreville, Cub Run, Deer Park, Dulles, Franklin, Green Trails,
5 Kinross, Lees Corner East, Lees Corner West, London Towne East, London
6 Towne West, Navy, Old Mill, Poplar Tree, Powell, Rocky Run, Stone, ~~Vale~~,
7 Virginia Run, and Waples Mill.

8

9 **2. That this ordinance shall become effective upon adoption, and it**
10 **shall be enforced after satisfactory completion of the federal preclearance**
11 **procedure provided by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as**
12 **amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.**

13


GIVEN under my hand this _____ day of _____ 2011.

Nancy Vehrs
Clerk to the Board of Supervisors

\\s17prolaw01\Documents\104659\ECW\Correspondence\344843.doc



FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA




2011 Proposed Supervisor District Boundary Changes *Public Plan 3*

Voting Precinct Boundaries



Proposed Supervisor Districts



Note: This map is intended for reference purposes only. Fairfax County does not provide any guarantee of the accuracy or completeness regarding the map information.

Datasheet – Public Plan 3

Number of precincts moved:	10
Number of persons moved:	38,480
Total deviation of the plan:	3.6%
Range of plan deviation:	-2.3% to 1.3%
Precincts moved list:	Burke, Greenspring, Huntington, Pine Ridge, Price, Stuart, Vale, Walnut Hill # 2, Westbriar, Woodburn

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 120,192

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Public Plan 3

Supervisor District	Deviation from Target Population	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Race	Hispanic or Latino
Braddock	-1.0%	119,032	77,489	7,269	383	22,548	11,343	19,013
<i>Percent of total</i>			65.1%	6.1%	0.3%	18.9%	9.5%	16.0%
Dranesville	-2.3%	117,414	83,367	5,002	361	18,458	10,226	15,265
<i>Percent of total</i>			71.0%	4.3%	0.3%	15.7%	8.7%	13.0%
Hunter Mill	-0.6%	119,498	79,785	9,443	346	20,495	9,429	12,644
<i>Percent of total</i>			66.8%	7.9%	0.3%	17.2%	7.9%	10.6%
Lee	1.3%	121,758	64,441	21,367	636	16,466	18,848	28,775
<i>Percent of total</i>			52.9%	17.5%	0.5%	13.5%	15.5%	23.6%
Mason	0.9%	121,313	66,517	12,876	750	21,146	20,024	33,921
<i>Percent of total</i>			54.8%	10.6%	0.6%	17.4%	16.5%	28.0%
Mount Vernon	1.3%	121,717	74,263	21,345	444	13,464	12,201	17,172
<i>Percent of total</i>			61.0%	17.5%	0.4%	11.1%	10.0%	14.1%
Providence	0.3%	120,609	74,755	6,625	395	27,165	11,669	17,748
<i>Percent of total</i>			62.0%	5.5%	0.3%	22.5%	9.7%	14.7%
Springfield	0.0%	120,183	84,141	7,556	270	21,128	7,088	9,507
<i>Percent of total</i>			70.0%	6.3%	0.2%	17.6%	5.9%	7.9%
Sully	0.0%	120,202	73,232	7,735	299	28,791	10,145	14,437
<i>Percent of total</i>			60.9%	6.4%	0.2%	24.0%	8.4%	12.0%
Fairfax County		1,081,726	677,990	99,218	3,884	189,661	110,973	168,482
<i>Percent of total</i>			62.7%	9.2%	0.4%	17.5%	10.3%	15.6%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Proposed Fairfax County Supervisor Districts: Public Plan 3

I. Equal Representation Among Supervisor Districts:

- a. Are all of the proposed supervisor district population deviations from the target population within +/- 5%? (*Population deviations are shown in the fxdata.xls file in column B of the 'Summary by Supervisor District' worksheet.*)

(Select one answer)

Yes

No

- b. What is the population deviation of the proposed supervisor district with the smallest population? (*Population deviations are shown in the fxdata.xls file in column B of the 'Summary by Supervisor District' worksheet. The value entered here should be a negative value.*)

-2.3

- c. What is the population deviation of the proposed supervisor district with the largest population? (*Population deviations are shown in the fxdata.xls file in column B of the 'Summary by Supervisor District' worksheet. The value entered here should be a positive value.*)

1.3

II. Minimal Disruption:

- a. Please describe how you have attempted to minimize the disruption to the existing supervisor district patterns of service.

We minimized disruption to existing supervisor patterns of service by only relocating precincts that already shared a significant border with the new precinct. We were also careful not to split communities. With the exception of the Providence district we only moved one or two precincts on the fringes in the other eight districts. Providence district sits in the center between districts with excessive population and those with tooo little population. A geographic boundary change is required to balance the population distribution and we feel that the least disruptive solution is to limit the significant shift to one district. Other alignments are certainly possible but we feel they would require significant disruption to two or more districts to achieve the same result.

III. Compactness and Contiguity:

- a. Are the proposed supervisor districts as compact as the existing supervisor districts?

(Select one answer)

Yes

No

- b. Is each proposed supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding Fairfax Court precinct)?

(Select one answer) Yes No

- c. Is Fairfax Court precinct assigned to a proposed supervisor district that is contiguous to the Fairfax City boundary?

(Select one answer) Yes No

IV. Federal Voting Rights Act:

- a. Will the proposed plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial, ethnic or language group (i.e. will it make members of such a group worse off than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?

(Select one answer) Yes No

- b. Do any proposed supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial, ethnic or language group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?

(Select one answer) Yes No

V. Communities of Interest:

- a. Please describe how the proposed plan considers established communities of interest. Communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as race, ethnic, social and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, also are relevant to communities of interest.

Each of the precincts we suggest moving to another district already share the same ethnic composition as the new district; this is evidenced by the fact that the percentage of population in each ethnic group changes very little under our plan. The communities in these precincts are also similar in terms of the housing density, commercial development and facilities.

Our plan does not change any incorporated town boundaries with respect to which district they are in nor does it change the districts as they overlap with state delegate and senate boundaries or federal congressional boundaries.

VI. Cost:

- a. Would this plan increase or decrease the county's annual operating costs?

(Select one answer)

Increase

No change

Decrease

VII. Other Features:

- a. What other features of this proposed plan should be considered?

We believe our plan resolves a major structural problem with current district boundaries. We discussed Providence district under item II above. Hunter Mill district is also a problem in that it includes Vienna #1, #2, #4 and #6. Maintaining Vienna as a community requires treating it as a block; it is large and moving it would result in significant disruption to both Hunter Mill and wherever Vienna was moved to.

Braddock, Lee and Mason districts have the problem that they are fully developed and unless one changes the zoning to higher density housing they are limited in population growth. Mount Vernon and Springfield districts will continue to grow. Under our plan Braddock's and Lee's problems can be solved with minimal disruption by moving adjacent precincts from Springfield and Mount Vernon districts respectively; a move which helps them as well. Mason district is best fixed by distributing the excess population from Hunter Mill and Sully districts through Providence district. While this has a major impact on Providence this time it solves the problem for future redistricting in that Providence will have more borders with Sully and Hunter Mill thus there will be more flexibility to move a single precinct to fix both Mason and Providence in the future.

**AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE
TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

[PUBLIC PLAN 3]

1
2

Draft of March 17, 2011

3 **AN ORDINANCE** to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by
4 amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10,
5 7-2-11, and 7-2-12, relating to the election districts of the members
6 of the Board of Supervisors.

7 **Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:**

8 **1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, 7-2-11, and**
9 **7-2-12 are amended and readopted as follows:**

10

11 **Section 7-2-4. - Braddock District.**

12

13 The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae,
14 Bristow, Burke, Burke Centre, Cardinal, Chapel, Fairview, Heritage, Keene Mill,
15 Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch, North Springfield No. 1, North
16 Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde Creek, Olley, Ravensworth, Robinson, Sideburn,
17 Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield, and Woodson.

18

19 **Section 7-2-5. - Hunter Mill District.**

20

21 The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron
22 Glen, Coates, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade, Hunters
23 Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3, South
24 Lakes, ~~Stuart~~, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No.
25 4, Vienna No. 6, ~~Westbriar~~, and Wolftrap.

26

27 **Section 7-2-6. - Dranesville District.**

28

29 The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge,
30 Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, Colvin, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville, Great
31 Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory,
32 Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, McLean, Pimmit, Salona,
33 Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Stuart, Sugarland, Westhampton, and
34 Westmoreland.

35

1 **Section 7-2-7. - Lee District.**

2
3 The Lee District shall consist of these election precincts: Bush Hill, Cameron,
4 Clermont, Crestwood, Fairfield, Franconia, Garfield, ~~Greenspring~~, Groveton,
5 Hayfield, Huntington, Huntley, Island Creek, Kingstowne, Lane, Lynbrook, Mount
6 Eagle, Pioneer, Rose Hill, Van Dorn, Villages, Virginia Hills, and Wilton.

7
8 **Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.**

9
10 The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft,
11 Belvedere, Bren Mar, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Glen Forest No. 1,
12 Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer, Leewood, Lincolnia,
13 Masonville, Parklawn, Poe, Pine Ridge, Price, Ravenwood, Ridgelea, Saint
14 Albans, Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Walnut Hill No. 2, Westlawn,
15 Weyanoke, Whittier, ~~and Willston~~, and Woodburn.

16
17 **Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.**

18
19 The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle
20 Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall,
21 Hunt, ~~Huntington~~, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station,
22 Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood,
23 Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.

24
25 **Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.**

26
27 The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Fairfax
28 Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is
29 surrounded by Fairfax City), Fort Buffalo, Freedom Hill, Graham, Greenway,
30 Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak Marr,
31 Oakton, Penderbrook, ~~Pine Ridge~~, Pine Spring, ~~Price~~, Shreve, Stenwood,
32 Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, Vale, Walker, ~~Walnut Hill No. 2~~, and
33 Westbriar ~~and Woodburn~~.

34
35 **Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.**

36
37 The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: ~~Burke~~,
38 Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, Eagle View, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax
39 Station, Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Greenspring, Irving,
40 Monument, Newgate North, Newgate South, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, Popes
41 Head, Sangster, Silverbrook, South Run, Valley, West Springfield, White Oaks,
42 Willow Springs, and Woodyard.

1 **Section 7-2-12. - Sully District.**

2

3 The Sully District shall consist of these election precincts: Brookfield, Bull Run,
4 Centre Ridge, Centreville, Cub Run, Deer Park, Dulles, Franklin, Green Trails,
5 Kinross, Lees Corner East, Lees Corner West, London Towne East, London
6 Towne West, Navy, Old Mill, Poplar Tree, Powell, Rocky Run, Stone, ~~Vale~~,
7 Virginia Run, and Waples Mill.

8

9 **2. That this ordinance shall become effective upon adoption, and it**
10 **shall be enforced after satisfactory completion of the federal preclearance**
11 **procedure provided by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as**
12 **amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.**

13

GIVEN under my hand this _____ day of _____ 2011.

Nancy Vehrs
Clerk to the Board of Supervisors

\\s17prolaw01\Documents\104659\ECW\Correspondence\344844.doc

County Staff Supporting Advisory Citizens Committee

Michael Long	Office of the County Attorney
Erin Ward	Office of the County Attorney
Anne Pickford Cahill	Department of Neighborhood and Community Services
Anne M. Pollack	Department of Human Resources
Thomas Conry	Department of Information Technology
Michael Liddle	Department of Information Technology
Matthew Miller	Department of Information Technology
Sandy Woiak	Department of Information Technology
James Callahan	Department of Information Technology
Rita Noorzad	Department of Information Technology
Edgardo Cortés	Office of Elections
Judy Flaig	Office of Elections
Sonia Skipper	Office of Elections
Brian Worthy	Office of Public Affairs
Greg Licamele	Office of Public Affairs

Exhibit 27



**Board Agenda Item regarding advertisement of the Advisory
Committee Report for consideration at March 29, 2011, Board
meeting**

Board Agenda Item
March 29, 2011

ADMINISTRATIVE – 10

Authorization to Advertise a Public Hearing on the Alternative Plans Submitted to the Board by the Citizens Advisory Committee and the Public to Reapportion the Election Districts of the Board of Supervisors

ISSUE:

Board authorization to advertise a public hearing on the reapportionment alternatives developed by the Advisory Citizens Committee on the 2011 Reapportionment of the Board of Supervisors (“Advisory Committee” or “Committee”) and members of the public. The Advisory Committee has submitted 22 different reapportionment plans, members of the public have submitted three alternative reapportionment plans, and staff has prepared 25 draft ordinances that could, if adopted, be used to implement any one of those alternatives.

RECOMMENDATION:

The County Executive recommends that the Board authorize staff to advertise a public hearing at 4:00 p.m. on April 12, 2011, to consider the adoption of an ordinance to reapportion the Board of Supervisors. The alternatives to be considered at the hearing would be (i) the 25 alternative plans prepared by the Advisory Committee and members of the public and (ii) any amendments thereto that the Board deems appropriate to consider.

TIMING:

Immediate Board action recommended. Early consideration and adoption of a reapportionment plan will permit the County to complete the several statutory requirements and reviews prior to July 9, 2011, when state law requires the County to be prepared to mail out absentee ballots upon request for the primary election on August 23, 2011.

BACKGROUND:

On April 1, 2011, the United States Bureau of the Census conducted the decennial census in accordance with federal law, and Virginia’s population data was released in early February. That information will be used for a wide range of purposes in future years, but the first and most important use of the 2011 Census data will be for political reapportionment at all levels of government. Virginia’s local governments are required to consider reapportionment every ten years, and the data from the 2011 Census

Board Agenda Item
March 29, 2011

indicates that there have been population changes within the County that will require the reapportionment of the election districts of the Board.

More specifically, according to the Bureau of the Census, the total County population rose from 969,749 to 1,081,726 during the period from 2000 to 2011. More important, the County population growth was uneven geographically. After the 2001 County reapportionment, the populations of the nine election districts ranged from a low of 102,504 persons (Lee) to a high of 112,218 persons (Mount Vernon). The 2011 Census indicated that the populations of the nine election districts ranged from a low of 111,430 (Braddock) to a high of 127,501 (Mount Vernon).

On November 16, 2010, the Board adopted a resolution establishing criteria and policies regarding reapportionment and encouraging members of the public to submit reapportionment plans for the Board's consideration. The Board also established the Advisory Committee for the purpose of developing a number of reapportionment options for consideration by the Board. The Board appointed members to the Committee in November, December and January. The Advisory Committee held seven meetings and one workshop in January, February, and March 2011. The members of the Committee developed, discussed, withdrew, and revised a number of reapportionment alternatives. The members of the Advisory Committee have now proposed 22 reapportionment plans for the Board's consideration. In early March, the County set up a webpage on the County's website that included all the tools and information necessary for members of the public to create and submit reapportionment plans. Three different plans were submitted through the website.

The Committee has issued a Report that includes all 25 plans for the Board's consideration. The plans include 22 nine-district plans, two ten-district plans, and one eleven-district plan. Each proposed plan in the Report includes a map, a summary data sheet, summarized population counts for each district in the proposed plan, a completed questionnaire describing whether and how the plan satisfies legal requirements and the Board-established criteria and policies, and a proposed ordinance that would effect the plan.

Virginia law requires the adoption of reapportionment plans by ordinance. Staff recommends that the Board authorize the advertisement of a public hearing to consider the plans proposed in the Report as well as any amendments thereto that the Board may deem appropriate, as well as the advertisement of the Board's intention to propose and adopt an ordinance on April 26 that would reapportion the Board.

FISCAL IMPACT:

None.

Board Agenda Item
March 29, 2011

ENCLOSED DOCUMENTS:

Attachment 1 - Report of the Advisory Citizens Committee on the 2011 Reapportionment of the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County, Virginia (Available online at <http://www.fairfaxcounty.gov/redistricting/report.htm>) (Delivered under separate cover) (Board Members are requested to retain this report for the public hearing scheduled at 4:00 p.m. on April 12, 2011.)

STAFF:

Anthony H. Griffin, County Executive
David P. Bobzien, County Attorney
David J. Molchany, Chief Information Officer
Thomas J. Conry, Chief, Geographic Information Services/Mapping Services Branch,
Department of Information Technology
Anne Pickford Cahill, Manager, Economic and Demographic Research, Department of
Neighborhood and Community Services
Michael Long, Deputy County Attorney
Erin C. Ward, Assistant County Attorney

Exhibit 28



Screenshot of March 2011 Fairfax County NewsWire updates,
including news releases about Fairfax County's
reapportionment

- Index
- [Contacts/Directions](#)
- [NewsWire](#)
- [Emergency Information](#)
- [Media Relations](#)
- [Online Services](#)
- [Our Government](#)
- [News and Events](#)
- [Maps, Facts & Stats](#)
- [State & Federal](#)

[homepage](#) > [news](#) > [2011 archive](#):

[Printer Friendly](#) |
 [A](#) |
 [A](#) |
 [A](#) |
 [Text Size](#)

March 2011 Fairfax County NewsWire Updates



- Fairfax County NewsWire updates for March 2011, organized by day.
- Fairfax County News Releases are archived from 2003-2010.

Please note: Information was accurate at the time of publication. Some links may no longer work.

NewsWire Archive

- [2010](#)
- 2011**

January	February	March

March 31

- [McLean Community Center Governing Board Elections Upcoming in May \(March 31, 2011\)](#)
- [March ESF-15 Business/Nonprofit Update Newsletter is Now Online \(PDF\) \(March 31, 2011\)](#)
- [McLean Community Center Presents Outstanding Volunteer Awards \(March 31, 2011\)](#)
- [Nottoway Park Features Easter Egg Hunt on April 23 \(March 31, 2011\)](#)
- [Spring Break Camps at Lake Accotink April 18-22 \(March 31, 2011\)](#)
- [New Park Foundation Board Members Confirmed \(March 31, 2011\)](#)
- [Public Comment Sought on Field Use Permits and Regulations \(March 31, 2011\)](#)
- [Fun for Easter at Local Parks Starting April 2 \(March 31, 2011\)](#)
- [Video: Be Fit McLean 2011: Adventure and Fitness Expo \(March 31, 2011\)](#)
- [Read the April Issue of the Golden Gazette \(PDF\) \(March 31, 2011\)](#)

March 30

- [Fatal Crash in Hybla Valley \(March 30, 2011\)](#)
- [Photos: Demonstration of Universal Housing Design \(March 30, 2011\)](#)
- [Are Your Windows Kid Safe? \(March 30, 2011\)](#)
- [April 3: Reston East Park and Ride to Close; Sunset Hills Park and Ride to Open \(March 30, 2011\)](#)
- [Culmore Cleanup Scheduled for April 30 \(March 30, 2011\)](#)
- [Seminar on Financial Issues for Caregivers April 5 in McLean \(March 30, 2011\)](#)
- [Liberty Swing Will Open at Clemjontri Park \(March 30, 2011\)](#)
- [Healthy Living Expo/Blood Drive April 4 \(March 30, 2011\)](#)
- [I-66 Pavement Rehab Begins April 4 Through 2012 \(March 30, 2011\)](#)

March 29

- [Board of Supervisors Acts to Extend Waste-to-Energy Facility Agreement \(PDF\) \(March 29, 2011\)](#)
- [Photo Gallery: March 29 Board of Supervisors Presentations to Residents \(March 29, 2011\)](#)
- [EDA and Governor Celebrate Expanded Operations by Raytheon in Fairfax County \(March 29, 2011\)](#)
- [Ask Fairfax! Transcript of Fairfax Connector Online Chat \(March 29, 2011\)](#)
- [Public Comment Needed to Establish Guidelines for Permitting and Regulation of Athletic Field Use \(March 29, 2011\)](#)
- [Names for Eight Dulles Metrorail Stations Recommended by Board of Supervisors \(March 29, 2011\)](#)
- [Board of Supervisors Approves Forest Pest Management Plan \(March 29, 2011\)](#)
- [American Mosquito Control Association Names Health Department Entomologist Volunteer of the Year \(March 29, 2011\)](#)
- [Today's Board of Supervisors Meeting Agenda; Watch the Meeting Live \(March 29, 2011\)](#)
- [Participate in the Ask Fairfax! Online Chat with the Fairfax Connector Today at 11 a.m. \(March 29, 2011\)](#)
- [Wednesday, March 30, is Registration Deadline for Colvin Run Mill Tea and Chocolate Tasting This Saturday \(PDF\) \(March 29, 2011\)](#)
- [Celebrate the Grand Opening of the Olley Glen Senior Residences Saturday, April 2 \(March 29, 2011\)](#)

March 28

- [March 29 Events: Caregiving Seminar, Federal Housing Funds Hearing, BOS Meeting \(March 28, 2011\)](#)
- [State Health Department Monitors Radiation Levels; No Public Health Concern \(March 28, 2011\)](#)
- [March 29 Board of Supervisors Presentations \(March 28, 2011\)](#)
- [Condominium Fire in the Alexandria Area \(March 28, 2011\)](#)
- ["Like" the New Facebook Page for Residents With Disabilities \(March 28, 2011\)](#)
- [Proposed Redistricting Plans Available Online \(March 28, 2011\)](#)
- [Public Comment Sought on Field Use Permits, Regulations \(March 28, 2011\)](#)
- [Summer Camp Open Registration for Children with Disabilities \(March 28, 2011\)](#)
- [Volunteers Needed to Transport Older Residents to Medical Appointments \(March 28, 2011\)](#)
- [Weekly Fairfax County Government Job Listings \(March 28, 2011\)](#)
- [Bank Robbery in Annandale March 25 \(March 28, 2011\)](#)
- [2011 Friends of Trees Awards Nominations Due April 2 \(PDF\) \(March 28, 2011\)](#)

March 25

- [Wildlife Caretakers Appreciation Ceremony March 27 \(March 25, 2011\)](#)
- [Know Someone Who Volunteers at a Park? Park Service Award Nominations Now Open \(March 25, 2011\)](#)

- Weekender: Pruning Demo, Princely Percussion, Art Exhibit and Electronics Recycling (March 25, 2011)
- Earth Day 2011 Events and Environmental Information (March 25, 2011)

March 24

- [March 29 Board of Supervisors Meeting Agenda and Package](#) (March 24, 2011)
- March 28 Town Hall to Address George Mason University's Transportation Plans (March 24, 2011)
- Emergency Phone Number Restored for Reporting Sewage/Storm Drain Backups (March 24, 2011)

March 23

- Audio: Listen to the Latest Podcasts (March 23, 2011)
- Smoke Alarms Alert Occupants of Fire (March 23, 2011)
- Deputies Wait Tables for Tips at Red Robin to Benefit Special Olympics (March 23, 2011)
- Recycling and Disposal Event March 26 and 27 (March 23, 2011)
- Beware of Fake IRS Phishing Scams (March 23, 2011)
- Call 703-239-8444 to Report Sewer and Storm Drain Backups (March 23, 2011)
- Free Family Caregiver Seminars Start March 29 (March 23, 2011)

March 22

- March 23 Events: Budget Town Hall, Tysons Metro, Diversity Dialogue (March 22, 2011)
- Daytime Telegraph Road Ramp Closures Through April (March 22, 2011)
- Idylwood Road Bridge Over I-495 Now Open 17 Months Early (March 22, 2011)
- [Portion of Lorton Road Closed Through August](#) (March 22, 2011)
- Fairfax Connector to Answer Online Questions March 29 (March 22, 2011)
- Fatal Crash Investigation on Route 1 Ongoing; Victim Identified (March 22, 2011)
- [Monticello Park Planning Meeting Rescheduled to April 4](#) (March 22, 2011)

March 21

- Smoke Alarm Alerts Resident to House Fire (March 21, 2011)
- Weekly Fairfax County Government Job Listings (March 21, 2011)
- Second Cherry Blossom Tea Scheduled at Green Spring Gardens (March 21, 2011)
- Resource Fair for Older Adults, Caregivers and Providers March 25 at Herndon Senior Center (March 21, 2011)
- Virginia Task Force 1 Returns to Fairfax (March 21, 2011)

March 18

- RCC's CenterStage Presents "The Whiz: Obamaland" (March 18, 2011)
- Park Authority Forms Salona Planning Task Force (March 18, 2011)
- Resources to Start a Successful Small Business in Fairfax County (March 18, 2011)
- Save the Date: RCC's Annual Egg-normous Egg Hunt (March 18, 2011)
- Video: Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities Inclusion Month in Fairfax County (March 18, 2011)
- Monday Movies at the CenterStage: Bonnie & Clyde (March 18, 2011)
- Weekend Highlights: Equal Opportunity Gardening, Beethoven and Bluebirds (March 18, 2011)
- [2011 Friends of Trees Awards Nominations Due April 2 \(PDF\)](#) (March 18, 2011)
- Meetings to Seek Public Input on Access to Tysons Metro Stations Starting March 23 (March 18, 2011)
- Community Dialogue on Diversity at Annandale High School on March 23 (March 18, 2011)

March 17

- Comment on Old Keene Mill Park and Ride Traffic Flow Changes (March 17, 2011)
- McLean Community Center: Spring Break Camps and Trips (March 17, 2011)
- [Ending Homelessness Update: 2010 Snapshot of Successes, Challenges, Needs](#) (March 17, 2011)
- Volunteer to Clean Up Streams in Parks on April 9 (March 17, 2011)
- Invasive Management Effort Slated for Churchill Road Park (March 17, 2011)
- Adapted Sports, Recreation, Travel Fair for Individuals with Disabilities March 19 (March 17, 2011)
- Don't Depend on Luck This St. Patrick's Day (March 17, 2011)
- WikiLeaks, Reporters, Sisters in Crime on April Literary Calendar (March 17, 2011)

March 16

- Sip Tea with the Mad Hatter at Hidden Oaks Nature Center on March 20 (March 16, 2011)
- Crime Solvers Seeks Suspects in Attempted Burglary in Annandale (March 16, 2011)
- [Video: PSA on Fairfax County's Universal Design Ombudsman](#) (March 16, 2011)
- Filing Deadline for McLean Community Center Board Elections Is March 25 (March 16, 2011)
- Reston East Park and Ride to Close on April 3 (March 16, 2011)
- Human Rights Commission Holds Hearing on Early v. Optimos Case on April 6 (March 16, 2011)
- Neighborhood & Community Services Gets Teen Dating Violence Prevention Grant (March 16, 2011)
- Public Hearing on March 29 on Use of Federal Housing Funds (March 16, 2011)
- Tea on April 3 at Green Spring Gardens Celebrates Cherry Blossom Festival (March 16, 2011)
- [Park Authority Listening Tour at Lee District RECenter on March 24](#) (March 16, 2011)

March 15

- [Apparent Skeletal Remains Found Along Furnace Road](#) (March 15, 2011)
- March Emergency Preparedness Newsletter Features Flooding Tips, Business Prep, Video and More (PDF) (March 15, 2011)
- Ask Fairfax! Transcript on Tornado Preparedness Now Online (March 15, 2011)
- Fatal Crash in Fort Belvoir Area (March 15, 2011)
- Spring Rabies Canvass Underway (March 15, 2011)
- Family Literacy Fair at James Lee Community Center Mar. 19 (March 15, 2011)
- Be Cautious When Donating to Help Victims in Japan (March 15, 2011)

- Fairfax County's Advisory Social Services Board Releases 2010 Report on Department of Family Services (March 15, 2011)
- Statewide Tornado Drill Today at 9:45 a.m.; Tornado Preparedness Tips (March 15, 2011)
- Community Blood Drive and Health Expo at Gum Springs Community Center March 19 (March 15, 2011)

March 14

- Submit Your Questions For the Ask Fairfax! Discussion on Tornado Preparedness (March 14, 2011)
- Virginia Task Force 1 Arrives in Japan (March 14, 2011)
- [Video: NCPW 2011-Getting the Best From Your Cable Company](#) (March 14, 2011)
- Weekly Fairfax County Government Job Listings (March 14, 2011)
- Dance Performance by Forty+ at Hollin Hall Senior Center March 16 (March 14, 2011)
- OP3 Receives the Asian American Chamber of Commerce Government Agency of the Year Award (March 14, 2011)
- McLean Community Center's "Rockin' into Spring" Dance Features Live Music by The Fabulous Hubcaps (March 14, 2011)

March 11

- [Video: Explaining the Urban Search and Rescue Team's Mobilization to Japan](#) (March 11, 2011)
- Photos: Urban Search and Rescue Team Mobilizes for Japan (March 11, 2011)
- Update: Detectives Release Surveillance Video (March 11, 2011)
- Virginia Task Force 1 Mobilized (March 11, 2011)
- Audio: Listen to the Latest Podcasts (March 11, 2011)
- Sheriff's Office Fingerprint and Photograph Your Children for Free March 12 (March 11, 2011)
- [Video: NCPW 2011-Automobile Rights and Responsibilities](#) (March 11, 2011)
- Weekender: Frogs, Vernal Pools, Art Walk and a Garden of History (March 11, 2011)

March 10

- [Huntington Residents Advised to Move Cars due to Possible Flooding](#) (March 10, 2011)
- Man Struck by Train in Lorton Area (March 10, 2011)
- [Video: How To Be More Energy Efficient at Home](#) (March 10, 2011)
- Become a Library Book Talk Volunteer (March 10, 2011)
- Prosperity Avenue at Morningside Dr. Closed Due to Flooding (March 10, 2011)
- Co-exist With The Wildlife in Your Backyard (March 10, 2011)
- Neighborhood and Community Services Receives Grant to Combat Childhood Obesity (March 10, 2011)
- [Conservation Current Native Shrub and Tree Seedling Sale \(PDF\)](#) (March 10, 2011)

March 9

- [Metro Disruptions Between East and West Falls Church This Weekend](#) (March 9, 2011)
- Park Authority Board Approves Hogge Park Master Plan (March 9, 2011)
- Be Prepared: Heavy Rainfall and Flood Watch in Effect (March 9, 2011)
- VDOT to Begin 2011 Pothole Blitz (March 9, 2011)
- 2010 Planning Commission Report of Activities Now Online (PDF) (March 9, 2011)
- Connector: Reston East Closure Updates (March 9, 2011)
- [Video: NCPW 2011-HOA & Condo Association Resources and Relationships](#) (March 9, 2011)
- Learn About Stream Restoration and Watershed Plans (March 9, 2011)

March 8

- Missing Adult Found Unharmed (March 8, 2011)
- Nominate Residents for the Barbara Varon Volunteer Award (March 8, 2011)
- Photo Gallery: March 8 Board of Supervisors Presentations to Residents (March 8, 2011)
- Firefighters to Check Smoke Alarms, Provide Home Escape Plans (March 8, 2011)
- Public Meetings Starting March 22 for Tysons Metrorail Access Study (March 8, 2011)
- Tornado Preparedness Online Chat Set for March 15 (March 8, 2011)
- Looking for a Job? Fairfax Mega Job Fair & Entrepreneurship Expo March 26 (March 8, 2011)
- [Sheriff's Decouples on Outdoor Channel March 9](#) (March 8, 2011)
- Bank Robbery in McLean (March 8, 2011)
- Free Prom Dresses for Teens at Reston Community Center's Diva Central (March 8, 2011)

March 7

- Commercial Robbery in the Annandale Area (March 7, 2011)
- March 8 Board of Supervisors Agenda and Presentations (March 7, 2011)
- [Consumer Protection Week Activities March 7-11](#) (March 7, 2011)
- East Coast Rape Task Force Arrests Suspect in New Haven (March 7, 2011)
- Live Well with Chronic Illness Workshops Begin March 14 in Springfield (March 7, 2011)
- Mott Community Center Spring Fashion Show March 11 (March 7, 2011)
- Juveniles Charged in Lorton Playground Fire (March 7, 2011)
- New Equestrian Program "Horses for Heroes" Benefits Returning Veterans (March 7, 2011)
- Weekly Fairfax County Government Job Listings (March 7, 2011)
- [Park Authority's Take 12 Program Hosts Food, Fitness Event](#) (March 7, 2011)
- McLean Community Center to Hold Public Hearing on Services March 23 (March 7, 2011)
- Enjoy a Young Soloist Recital at the Alden Theatre March 13 (March 7, 2011)
- Sexual Assault in the Lorton Area on March 3 (March 7, 2011)

March 4

- Teen Charged with Extortion (March 4, 2011)
- Signs of Spring: Meet turtles, snakes and frogs at John Marshall Library March 8 (March 4, 2011)
- Get the Best from Your Cable Company Seminar March 10 (March 4, 2011)
- Weekender: Frogs, Worms and an Afternoon of Song (March 4, 2011)

March 3

- [Neighborhood and Community Services Gets Kids Moving \(March 3, 2011\)](#)
- [Seeking Nominations for Land Conservation and Tree Preservation Awards \(March 3, 2011\)](#)
- [OP3 Honored by Asian American Chamber of Commerce \(PDF\) \(March 3, 2011\)](#)
- [Park Authority's ResOURces Newsletter Available Now \(March 3, 2011\)](#)
- [Juvenile Charged With Setting Fire \(March 3, 2011\)](#)
- [Explore the Night Sky Program Starts March 5 \(March 3, 2011\)](#)
- [Seminar on Your Rights When Buying, Selling or Fixing a Car \(March 3, 2011\)](#)
- [Fatal Car Crash in McLean \(March 3, 2011\)](#)

March 2

- [Nominations Open for Environmental Excellence Awards \(March 2, 2011\)](#)
- [Video: Learn About Code Compliance \(March 2, 2011\)](#)
- [Apply for Internship Program for Persons with Disabilities \(March 2, 2011\)](#)
- [Chairman Bulova Creates Private Sector Energy Task Force \(March 2, 2011\)](#)
- [2011 Friends of Trees Awards Nominations Due April 2 \(PDF\) \(March 2, 2011\)](#)
- [Independent Living Project Begins at Burke Library March 4 \(March 2, 2011\)](#)
- [Home Energy Efficiency Seminar March 8 \(March 2, 2011\)](#)


March 1

- [Environmental Quality Advisory Council Seeks Student Representative \(March 1, 2011\)](#)
- [Public Can Submit Electoral Boundary Redistricting Proposals by March 2 \(March 1, 2011\)](#)
- [Discussion on Teen Domestic and Dating Violence on March 11 \(March 1, 2011\)](#)
- [Get Paid to Play This Summer at Rec-PAC \(March 1, 2011\)](#)
- [Civil War Lecture Series Set to Start at Cabel's Mill and Sully Historic Site \(March 1, 2011\)](#)
- [HOA/Condo Association Resource and Relationship Seminar March 7 \(March 1, 2011\)](#)
- [Read the Golden Gazette - March Issue \(PDF\) \(March 1, 2011\)](#)

Contact Us: [General \(Office of Public Affairs\)](#) | [Technical \(Web Administrator\)](#) | [Directed Inquiries \(County Agencies\)](#)
Phone: County Main Number - 703-FAIRFAX (703-324-7329), TTY 711 | [County Phone Listing](#)



[ADA Accessibility](#) | [Website Accessibility](#)
[Awards](#) | [FOIA](#) | [Mobile](#) | [Using this Site](#) | [Web Disclaimer & Privacy Policy](#) | [Get Adobe Reader](#)
Official site of the County of Fairfax, Virginia, © Copyright 2011



 [Website Survey](#)  [Language Translations | English](#)

Exhibit 29



**Board Item regarding April 12, 2011, Board of Supervisors
public hearing on reapportionment**

Board Agenda Item
April 12, 2011

4:00 p.m.

Public Hearing on the Alternative Plans Submitted to the Board by the Advisory Citizens Committee and the Public to Reapportion the Election Districts of the Board of Supervisors

ISSUE:

Public hearing on the reapportionment alternatives developed by the Advisory Citizens Committee on the 2011 Reapportionment of the Board of Supervisors ("Advisory Committee" or "Committee") and members of the public. The Advisory Committee submitted 22 different reapportionment plans, members of the public submitted three alternative reapportionment plans, and staff prepared 25 draft ordinances that could, if adopted, be used to implement any one of those alternatives.

RECOMMENDATION:

The County Executive recommends that the Board conduct a hearing to consider public comments on the 25 alternative plans prepared by the Advisory Committee and members of the public as well as any amendments thereto that the Board deems appropriate.

TIMING:

Holding a public hearing on April 12, 2011, will allow the Board ample time to consider public comments and make a decision at its next Board meeting on April 26. The State Board of Elections has recommended that the governing body of any locality that is subject to the preclearance requirement of Section 5 of the federal Voting Rights Act submit its reapportionment plan to the U.S. Department of Justice for federal review by April 29, 2011.

BACKGROUND:

On April 1, 2010, the United States Bureau of the Census conducted the decennial census in accordance with federal law, and Virginia's population data was released in early February. That information will be used for a wide range of purposes in future years, but the first and most important use of the 2010 Census data will be for political reapportionment at all levels of government. Virginia's local governments are required to consider reapportionment every ten years, and the data from the 2010 Census indicates that there have been population changes within the County that will require the reapportionment of the election districts of the Board.

Board Agenda Item
April 12, 2011

More specifically, after the 2001 County reapportionment, the populations of the nine election districts ranged from a low of 102,504 persons (Lee) to a high of 112,218 persons (Mount Vernon), a total population deviation of 9.0 percent. According to the Bureau of the Census, during the period from 2000 to 2010, the total County population rose from 969,749 to 1,081,726. More important, the County population growth was geographically uneven. The 2010 Census indicated that the populations of the nine election districts ranged from a low of 109,326 (Mason) to a high of 127,501 (Mount Vernon), which presents a total population deviation of 15.1 percent from the ideal sized district of 120,192 persons.

Local representation must meet federal and state "one person, one vote" requirements. Those requirements are met by allowing only small population differences among election districts. In 1977, the United States Supreme Court indicated that a population deviation of less than ten percent among state and local election districts would be presumed constitutional, but even in instances where the deviation is less than ten percent, a good-faith effort to equalize populations using traditional reapportionment principles must be shown. Courts have recognized these factors as traditional principles: (1) compactness; (2) contiguity; (3) preservation of political boundaries, e.g., town boundaries; (4) preservation of communities of interest; (5) preservation of cores of prior districts; and (6) protection of incumbents.

On November 16, 2010, the Board adopted a resolution establishing criteria and policies regarding reapportionment and encouraging members of the public to submit reapportionment plans for the Board's consideration. The Board also established the Advisory Committee for the purpose of developing a number of reapportionment options for consideration by the Board. The Advisory Committee held seven meetings and one workshop in January, February, and March 2011. The members of the Committee developed, discussed, withdrew, and revised a number of reapportionment alternatives. The members of the Advisory Committee ultimately proposed 22 reapportionment plans for the Board's consideration. In early March, the County set up a webpage on the County's website that included all the tools and information necessary for members of the public to create and submit reapportionment plans. Three different plans were submitted through the website.

The Committee issued a Report that includes all 25 plans for the Board's consideration. The plans include 22 nine-district plans, two ten-district plans, and one eleven-district plan. Each proposed plan in the Report includes a map, a summary data sheet, summarized population counts for each district in the proposed plan, a completed questionnaire describing whether and how the plan satisfies legal requirements and the Board-established criteria and policies, and a proposed ordinance that would effect the plan.

Board Agenda Item
April 12, 2011

On March 25, 2011, the State Board of Elections issued an official recommendation that the governing body of any locality that is subject to the preclearance requirement of Section 5 of the federal Voting Rights Act submit its reapportionment plan to the U.S. Department of Justice for federal review by April 29, 2011. Virginia law requires the adoption of reapportionment plans by ordinance. Pursuant to Board authorization on March 29, 2011, an advertisement has been published twice in a newspaper of general circulation to give the public notice that the Board would conduct a public hearing on April 12 and that the Board intends to propose and adopt an ordinance on April 26 that would reapportion the Board. The Report also has been posted on the County's website to provide further public notice. Adoption of an ordinance on April 26 will allow staff sufficient time to prepare and submit a preclearance submission to the U.S. Department of Justice by April 29 on behalf of the Board.

FISCAL IMPACT:

None.

ENCLOSED DOCUMENTS:

None. The Report of the Advisory Citizens Committee on the 2011 Reapportionment of the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County, Virginia, was delivered to Board members on March 29, 2011, and it is available online at <http://www.fairfaxcounty.gov/redistricting/report.htm>

STAFF:

Anthony H. Griffin, County Executive

David P. Bobzien, County Attorney

David J. Molchany, Chief Information Officer

Thomas J. Conry, Chief, Geographic Information Services/Mapping Services Branch,
Department of Information Technology

Anne Pickford Cahill, Manager, Economic and Demographic Research, Department of
Neighborhood and Community Services

Michael Long, Deputy County Attorney

Erin C. Ward, Assistant County Attorney

Exhibit 30



A sample of publications by the County and by individual Board members regarding reapportionment



March 2011

In this edition: Redistricting

Sully District e-Newsletter

Redistricting

Every 10 years Fairfax County must redraw its electoral boundaries based on the population count by the U.S. Census. The Board of Supervisors appointed an advisory committee to recommend new boundaries and the committee is looking for feedback from the community.

To provide input and learn more about the process go to <http://www.fairfaxcounty.gov/redistricting> .

The Sully representative on the committee, Ralph Hubbard is happy to speak to any citizen's group about this process. He can be reached at ralph.hubbard@cox.net .



Michael R. Frey
Sully District Supervisor
Fairfax County Board of Supervisors
4900 Stonecroft Boulevard
Chantilly, Virginia 20151
703 814-7100
703 814-7110 fax
Sully@fairfaxcounty.gov

To subscribe or unsubscribe from this newsletter, please email sully@fairfaxcounty.gov, or call our office at 703 814-7100.

The Providence Report

Supervisor Linda Q. Smyth

Fairfax County Board of Supervisors



Volume XVII, Issue III

Spring 2011

8739 Lee Highway, Fairfax, VA 22031 * Tel 703-560-6946 * Fax 703-207-3541 * TTY 711
Email provdist@fairfaxcounty.gov * On the Web @ www.fairfaxcounty.gov/providence

Tax Relief Workshops for Seniors and People with Disabilities

Fairfax County provides real estate and car tax relief to citizens who are either 65 or older or are permanently and totally disabled and meet the income and asset eligibility requirements. The Providence District Supervisor's office is hosting one-on-one appointments for Senior Tax Relief Workshops on **Tuesday, March 15 and Wednesday, March 16** between the hours of 10:00 am and 2:00 pm. To schedule a half hour appointment for a one-on-one workshop with a representative from the Department of Tax Administration, please make an appointment with our office by calling 703-560-6946, TTY 711. The workshop will be held at the Providence District Supervisor's office which is located at 8739 Lee Highway, Fairfax, VA 22031 (at the rear of the Merrifield Fire Station). You may call 703-560-6946 for directions or additional information.

For eligibility requirements please refer to the Tax Relief – Frequently Asked Questions at www.fairfaxcounty.gov/dta/taxrelief_faq.htm. For an application, call 703-222-8234 TTY: 703-222-7594; Espanol 703-324-3855, between 8:00 am and 4:30 pm weekdays, email taxrelief@fairfaxcounty.gov or download the tax relief application at www.fairfaxcounty.gov/dta/forms.htm#Other_Forms. ▪

Tax Preparation Assistance for Qualified Residents

If you worked in 2010 and earned less than \$49,000, then you may qualify for tax preparation assistance. IRS certified volunteers will ensure you receive the maximum tax refund and help determine if you are eligible for the earned income tax credit. Sites will be open January 25 through April 15, 2011. Families throughout Northern Virginia received over \$5.5 million in federal refunds last tax season through this free service. Additional information and a list of locations providing this free service is available at www.fairfaxcounty.gov/dfs/news/free_income_tax_prep.htm or by calling the Department of Family Services at 703-324-7500; TTY: 703-222-9452. ▪

A message from Supervisor Smyth

Early this year we will focus on two issues of countywide significance. The first is the county budget for Fiscal Year 2012. With the slow economic recovery and Fairfax's dependence on real estate taxes, we anticipate a revenue shortfall of approximately \$50 million for FY 2012. This number takes into account ongoing cuts to services and programs and is premised on a third consecutive year of salary freezes for employees (both county and schools). The County Executive will present his budget recommendations for FY 2012 to the Board of Supervisors on February 22. More information on the budget process is available at www.fairfaxcounty.gov/government/budget/.

Once again Patty Reed, our Providence District School Board representative, and I will host a public meeting to discuss the proposed budget with you. County staff will be on hand to give an overview and answer questions. The meeting will be held at 7:00 p.m. on **March 2 at Luther Jackson Middle School (auditorium), 3020 Gallows Road.**

The second event that will have far reaching consequences in Fairfax is redistricting. With the results of the 2010 Census, electoral district boundaries must be redrawn to accommodate population changes. To oversee redistricting at the county level, the Board of Supervisors has set up a citizen committee and appointed Kate Hanley, former Secretary of the Commonwealth and Chairman of the Board of Supervisors, to preside over the group. All meetings are public and information will also be available on the county website.

Both the FY 2012 budget and redistricting will offer many opportunities for public participation and input. I look forward to working with you on these complex issues that will impact Fairfax for years to come. ▪

~ Linda Smyth

2011 Redistricting – What it Means to You

In April 2010, the U.S. Census Bureau conducted the national decennial census in accordance with federal law. The Census Bureau is expected to release detailed population data relating to Virginia in February 2011. That information will be used for a wide range of purposes in future years, but, first and most important, the 2010 Census data will be used to reapportion representation in the United States House of Representatives, state legislatures, and local elected governing bodies. The Virginia Constitution requires the Virginia General Assembly and those local governing bodies elected by district to consider redistricting in calendar year 2011. Because of the uneven populations among the present County election districts, the Board will need to reapportion the present election districts. This will require the County to: (1) consider changes to the County election districts and precincts; (2) adopt a plan to revise the present election districts; (3) secure federal preclearance of all changes affecting voting pursuant to Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965; (4) notify each County voter of any changes to that voter's election district; (5) be prepared to send out absentee ballots upon request forty-five days before the primary and general elections; and (6) conduct the primary and general elections based on the new election districts.

The General Assembly probably will reschedule the 2011 primary elections now scheduled for June 14, 2011. Also, the General Assembly has not yet scheduled its own redistricting activities so given these uncertainties there is not a firm schedule of events for county redistricting at this time. Assuming that primary elections are deferred to mid-August or later, the County will need to consider the new population information and adopt a suitable plan for submission to the U.S. Attorney General for federal preclearance by the end of April 2011. In order to meet that deadline and to provide appropriate public input, the Board has established the 2011 Advisory Citizen Reapportionment Committee which is tasked with preparing redistricting alternatives for Board consideration. A public hearing on the proposed redistricting plan is currently scheduled for March 29, 2011 and Board adoption of the redistricting plan for April 26, 2011. It is anticipated that voters will be notified of election changes by County Electoral Board staff in June and July 2011. You may find additional information about the County's redistricting schedule at www.fairfaxcounty.gov/chairman/news/board_approves_redistricting_plan.htm. Regardless of changes to any of the above dates, the current general election date of November 8, 2011 will not change. •

2011 Legislative Issues and Contact Information

The 2011 Fairfax County Legislative Agenda is available on the county's website at www.fairfaxcounty.gov/opa/legislation/. The progress of specific legislation is available on the General Assembly's Legislative Information System website at <http://leg1.state.va.us/>. The most effective way to express your opinion about any legislation is through your local delegate and/or senator. You may find your specific representative(s) on the Virginia General Assembly's website at <http://conview.state.va.us/whosmy.nsf/main?openform>.

For more information about your legislators and how to contact them, please visit the Virginia General Assembly's website at <http://legis.virginia.gov>. You may also contact the Virginia General Assembly's Constituent Viewpoint Comment line toll free at 1-800-889-0229 (804-698-1990 in Richmond). •

Document Shredding

Date: Saturday, March 26, 2011

Time: 8:00 am - 12:00 pm

Providence District Supervisor Linda Smyth's office will again host a document shredding event on Saturday, March 26 from 8:00 am - 12:00 pm in the Oakton Library parking lot located at 10304 Lynnhaven Place, Oakton, VA 22124-1785.

Residents may bring up to five boxes or bags of paper to be shredded on site. Staples in the paper are okay, but all plastic binders and metal binder clips must be removed. This shredding event is for paper documents only; no electronic files will be accepted for destruction. •

Oakton Library Used Book Sale

10304 Lynnhaven Place, Oakton, 22124
Phone 703-242-4020

Friends of Oakton Library hold three day
Used Book Sale

Thursday, March 24th from 1:00 - 7:30 p.m.

Friday, March 25th from 10 a.m. – 6:00 p.m.

Saturday, March 26th from 10 a.m. – 4:00 p.m.

Donation of gently used books for the sale can be dropped off at the library by March 10th. •

Providence District Supervisor Linda Q. Smyth
 Fairfax County Board of Supervisors
 8739 Lee Highway
 Fairfax, VA 22031

The Providence Report

Must-Have Phone Numbers

Providence District Supervisor Linda Q. Smyth

Telephone: 703-560-6946 / Fax: 703-207-3541 / TTY: 711

Adult Protective Services: 703-324-7450/ Child Protective Services: 703-324-7400

Suicide Crisis Hotline: (703) 527-4077 Rape Crisis Hotline: 1-800-656-4673

Adult Education	703-658-1201	Alcohol/drug abuse services	703-359-7040
Animal Shelter	703-830-1100	Child care	703-324-8100
Consumer Affairs	703-222-8435	Circuit Courts	703-691-7320
Dept. of Motor Vehicles	804-497-7100	I-66 Disposal Sites	703-631-1179
Emergency	911	Fastran	703-222-9764
Fire/rescue (non-emergency)	703-691-2131	Gang Unit-Police	703-246-4567
Human Services	703-222-0880	Library, Oakton	703-242-4020
Park Authority	703-324-8700	Police (non-emergency)	703-691-2131
Tax Information	703-222-8234	Recreation Classes	703-324-5514
Recycling InfoLine	703-324-5052	School-Age Child Care Program	703-449-8989
School Board	571-423-1075	Senior Citizen Information	703-324-7948
Traffic Tickets	703-246-3764	Trash Pick-up	703-324-5230
VDOT	703-383-8368	Voting Information	703-222-0776

Please call 703-FAIRFAX (703-324-7329), TTY 711 for other county related information.
 Copies of the 2007 Providence Resource Directory are available by calling the Providence District Supervisor's office and are also available on the Providence District Web site at www.fairfaxcounty.gov/providence/Prov_News.htm •



Fairfax County is committed to nondiscrimination on the basis of disability in all county programs, services and activities. Special accommodations will be provided upon request. For information call our office at 703-560-6946, TTY 711.

Hunter Mill Highlights

From Supervisor Cathy Hudgins

North County Government Center
 12000 Bowman Towne Drive, Reston, VA 20190
 703-478-0283 (O), 711 (TTY), 703-471-6847 (FAX)
 E-mail: hntmill@fairfaxcounty.gov
 Web: <http://www.fairfaxcounty.gov/huntermill/>



Hunter Mill District
 Supervisor Cathy Hudgins

Inside this issue:

	Page
Budget Calendar Tysons Access Meeting	2
Senior Resource Fair New Reston Captain Redistricting	3
VATG-I to Japan Sports Initiative	4
Land Use Projects	5
Special Land Projects APR Review	6
Transportation	7
Night Skies Programs Bright Futures Camps	8
Coat Closet Counts Dates and Events	9



Hunter Mill Highlights is my electronic newsletter. Please share this issue with your organizations. To be added to our mailing list, e-mail us at hntmill@fairfaxcounty.gov

Dear Hunter Mill Friends,

As the Board of Supervisors and Fairfax County moves through the Fiscal Year 2012 Budget process, I remain concerned about the impact of the County budget on residents. I am looking to balance the County's program and service levels while maintaining the expected quality of life residents and businesses appreciate, including a high performing public school system.

The FY 2010 and the FY 2011 Budgets were difficult to achieve because of the large revenue shortfalls the County was facing. While Fairfax County is showing signs of improvement and faring better than other jurisdictions around the region, state, and nation, this budget is difficult for different reasons. Though our county sees a stabilizing economy and recovering real estate market, we were aided by stimulus funds made available through the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, which have been fully realized. Hence, Fairfax County still faces unfunded and underfunded needs and tough budgeting decisions.

With the County Executive recommended and Board of Supervisor advertised tax rate of \$1.09 / \$100.00 of assessed value, the average tax payer would see a modest increase of \$110.00 in their tax bill this year. This tax rate would leave the Board of Supervisor's \$30 million to address additional programs beyond the County Executive's budget recommendations.

This year I solicited residents throughout the district to sit on the Hunter Mill District Citizen Budget Review Committee. They have been working together on recommendations addressing the FY 2012 Budget, and will join me as I host two community budget meetings:

Saturday, March 19th, 10 a.m. – 12 p.m.,

at the Vienna Community Center, and

Saturday, March 26th, 10 a.m. – 12 p.m.,

at the Reston Community Center – Hunters Woods.

The budget committee will be joined by County budget staff and Fairfax County School Board representative Stuart Gibson. The Department of Tax Administration will also be present to help enroll residents in the Senior and Disability Tax Relief program.

I hope to hear from you about your priorities for your family and your community. Please contact me to send me your thoughts or if I can be of any assistance.

Cathy Hudgins

Senior Resource Fair: Friday, March 26

If you are an adult child helping parents make decisions, or if you are a senior adult planning your future, this free event could help you find answers to many important questions. The Senior Resource Fair will be held at Herndon Senior Center, 873 Grace Street, in Herndon. The Senior Resource Fair begins at 10:30 a.m. with presentations that will conclude at 2:00 p.m.



The schedule of presentations is:

- 10:30 Resources for Senior Adults
- 11:00 Wills and Powers of Attorney
- 11:30 Fairfax County Supervisors Cathy Hudgins (Hunter Mill) and John Foust (Dranesville)
- 1:00 Scams Targeting Seniors

The fair will also feature a variety of exhibitors including the Area Agency on Aging, care managers, home care, senior services, residential facilities, assistive devices, Alzheimer's services, legal and health services. A brown bag lunch is available for \$5.00 - email your lunch reservation to ruth.junkin@fairfaxcounty.gov by March 23. The Senior Resource Fair is sponsored by the Herndon Senior Center and Wesley Housing Development Corporation. For additional information call 703-464-6200.

New Captain at Reston Police Station

The Reston District Police Station welcomes a new commander, **Captain Andrew Tibbs**, who will be taking command of the station this month. Captain Tibbs was selected to replace Captain Deborah Burnette who served as Reston's commander for the last five years. Captain Burnette will be retiring from the Fairfax County Police Department in the coming days, opening the door for the new commander. They have been working together this month to ensure a smooth transition.

Captain Tibbs is familiar with the Reston station and the Hunter Mill community, having served at the station in a previous rotation. Be sure to stop in and say hello.




Redistricting

Fairfax County Magisterial Districts: The 2011 Advisory Citizen Reapportionment Committee is nearing completion of its recommendations on new magisterial boundaries. The Board of Supervisors will consider the recommendations, and hold a public hearing on the recommended redistricting proposals on Tuesday, April 12. Currently, the board is scheduled to adopt a redistricting plan at its April 26 meeting.

State Legislative Districts: The General Assembly meets next month in special session to establish new lines for state legislative districts.

Congressional Districts: Changes to congressional districts serving residents of the Hunter Mill District are currently being considered to balance population growth indicated by the 2010 Census. Under consideration are adjustments to the 8th Congressional District (Moran), 10th (Wolf) and 11th (Connolly).

Governor McDonnell established an independent advisory redistricting commission to draw state and congressional district maps. Final approval of any changes lies with the Virginia General Assembly and the Governor.



Hunter Mill Highlights

From Supervisor Cathy Hudgins

North County Government Center
 12000 Bowman Towne Drive, Reston, VA 20190
 703-478-0283 (O), 711 (TTY), 703-471-6847 (FAX)
 E-mail: hntmill@fairfaxcounty.gov
 Web: <http://www.fairfaxcounty.gov/huntermill/>



**Hunter Mill District
 Supervisor Cathy Hudgins**

Inside this issue:

Fill the Bus Food Drive Park Authority Fees	Page 2
Citizenship Forum Reapportionment	3
Project Lifesaver Prom Dresses Needed Sheriff's Scholarships	4
Youth Mentoring Class Parenting: Technology Magnet Schools Dates	5
Land Use Projects Special Programs	6
Transportation	7
Parents of Soldiers ACE Classes	8
Notices/Congrats	9



Hunter Mill Highlights is my electronic newsletter. Please share this issue with your organizations. To be added to our mailing list, e-mail us at hntmill@fairfaxcounty.gov

Dear Hunter Mill Friends,

January is always such an exciting time of the year. Everyone is gearing up to get back to work after the lull of the holidays. And, you just never know what the weather will bring.

Over the next several months, our concentration will be focused on the FY 2012 Budget. We have already heard from Fairfax County Public Schools Superintendent on his recommendations for schools. Next month, the County Executive will unveil his proposed budget which must address a shortfall of revenues while minimizing the effects on core programs and services. The Hunter Mill Budget Committee has begun its work which will result in recommendations to guide me through the budget process. In March, I will conduct my annual district budget meetings with the County Executive and School Board representatives. Now more than ever, your voice and your participation are critical.

Hunter Mill is represented by dedicated and competent district citizens on the county's boards, authorities and councils (BACs). I am most grateful for the continuing service of these dedicated individuals. Several individuals have retired from their positions creating vacancies. If you are interested in any of the following areas, please get in touch with my office. Click on the name to bring up the webpage for that BAC which has details on the group's mission, meeting times and days, and members.

- [Barbara Varon Volunteer Award Selection Committee](#)
- [Celebrate Fairfax, Inc. Board of Directors](#)
- [Environmental Quality Advisory Committee](#)
- [Southgate Community Center Advisory Council](#)
- [Trails and Sidewalks Committee.](#)

I look forward to hearing from you and seeing you out and about in Hunter Mill. If my office can be of assistance to you and your family, please be sure to get in touch.

Cathy Hudgins

Be Our Friend



I am delighted to announce that the Hunter Mill District Office has officially joined Facebook. Our page will be your one stop shop to get all the most important and timely information about the Hunter Mill District events and issues. This is a part of our continued effort to be more responsive to constituents. Visit our page at www.Facebook.com and look for Hunter Mill District.

Enlace Public Forum: U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services How Do I Help My Relative Become a Permanent Resident Presentation

U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services Office of Public Engagement (USCIS) invites residents to “Enlace”, a free Spanish-language only event where they share agency updates, and a presentation titled “How Do I Help My Relative Become a Permanent Resident in the United States?” and have an open forum segment to respond to questions of a general nature.

The next “Enlace” engagement will be held at USCIS Washington Field Office in Fairfax;

Saturday, January 29, 2011
1 to 2:30 p.m.
2675 Prosperity Avenue, Fairfax.



The office is located across the street from the Dunn Loring metro station - Orange line. To participate, send an e-mail to public.engagement@dhs.gov and write the word “ENLACE” in the subject line. You will be sent details about how to participate.

2011 Advisory Citizen Reapportionment Committee: Work Begins

In accordance, with the Virginia Constitution, Fairfax County is moving forward with its magisterial re-districting efforts. The 2011 Advisory Citizen Reapportionment Committee has been selected and a meeting schedule is being developed. With that in mind below is a tentative timetable based on an expectation that the General Assembly will move the June primary elections into mid-August. Additional information on the committee is at http://www.fairfaxcounty.gov/bacs/fairfax_board.asp?lookup=7015161

<u>Date</u>	<u>Task</u>
<u>2010</u>	
April 1	Census population count
November 16	Board adopts general redistricting process, staffing arrangements, and resolution
December 7	Board appoints committee members
December 31	Census reports data to the U.S. President
<u>2011</u>	
Mid-January	Advisory Citizen Committee begins to meet for initial briefings and software training by staff
February	Census data given to Virginia
February/March	Advisory Citizen Committee prepares plans and recommendations
Mid-March	Advisory Citizen Committee presents report with alternative plans to the Board
March 29	Board public hearing on recommendations
April 26	Board adopts redistricting plan
April 29	Board adopted plan submitted to the U.S. Attorney General for federal preclearance pursuant to Section 5 asking for expedited consideration
June	Federal preclearance complete and the Board plan becomes effective
June 14 (expected to change to mid-August)	Primary elections for the Board and certain state and local offices
June and July	Voters notified of election changes by County Electoral Board staff
Early July	Absentee ballots mailed out to voters
August 16 or 23 (expected new date)	Primary elections for the Board and certain state and local offices
November 8	General elections for the Board and certain state and local offices
<u>2012</u>	
January 1	Board takes office using the new districts



Hunter Mill Highlights

From Supervisor Cathy Hudgins

North County Government Center
12000 Bowman Towne Drive, Reston, VA 20190
703-478-0283 (O), 711 (TTY), 703-471-6847 (FAX)
E-mail: hntermill@fairfaxcounty.gov
Web: <http://www.fairfaxcounty.gov/huntermill/>



Hunter Mill District
Supervisor Cathy Hudgins

Inside this issue:

Artemis House MLK Day	Page 2
Reapportionment HMD Budget Comm.	3
Wish List	4
FROSTIVAL Youth Mentoring	5
Land Use Projects	6
Land Use Programs	7
Transportation	8
TV Buying tips	9
Open House highlights ReLeaf Needs Member	10
Notices	11



Hunter Mill Highlights is my electronic newsletter. Please share this issue with your organizations. To be added to our mailing list, e-mail us at hntermill@fairfaxcounty.gov

Dear Hunter Mill Friends,

As the year winds down, it's a good time to look back on our efforts over the last year to celebrate our accomplishments, face our continuing challenges, and set our sights on goals for the new year. The one theme that stands out in looking over the list of accomplishments of 2010 is partnerships. As we get ready to tackle the County's FY 2012 budget, these partnerships become all the more important as we strive to maximize our resources to continue to grow and move forward.

Through the Private/Public Education Act, the County partnered with Comstock Development to build 2300 parking spaces for Metro riders at the Wiehle Avenue Metrorail station. The garage and the new development will be ready when the Silver Line opens in late 2013. The Silver Line Metrorail to Dulles is another collaboration between federal, state, regional and County and private entities.

By working with federal agencies, funding has been secured to complete a multi-use trail at Trap Road over the Dulles Toll Road that will connect the trail system and provide a walkable alternative to Wolf Trap and the Barns. Through our partnering with the Town of Vienna, another pedestrian amenity came on line and now residents can walk safely and securely on the new sidewalk along Lawyers Road.

Where human services are concerned, I am proud to have facilitated Social Action Linking Together (SALT) and the Fairfax County working with state and Congressional leaders so that Fairfax County was able to leverage \$1 million through its budget process to receive \$4 million in Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF) Emergency Funds through federal stimulus funds for both FY 10 and FY 11. As well, I was pleased to host and coordinate Aging in Community: A Forum for Hunter Mill District, a forum sponsored by Reston Community Center, Reston Association, Reston Interfaith, and Reston Citizen's Association, which brought three hundred residents together to find out about available services, programs and options for the community to consider to help us age gracefully in our homes.

Just last month we cut the ribbon on Hunter Mill's newest acquisition and jewel of a park, Arrowbrook Park. The 23 acre park, part of the Arrowbrook Centre mixed-use development, features state-of-the-art lighted synthetic turf athletic field, playground, tennis and basketball courts, and picnic pavilion. Unlike most proffers, this time the County took possession of a fully built, ready-to-play active recreational facility built by the developer.

On the fun side, through the support and partnership of the business community and the Park Authority, my office hosted the inaugural season of Hunter Mill Melodies summer concert series at Frying Pan Farm Park. The free Thursday night concerts drew hundreds of residents out to enjoy fine live performances with their families.

In the coming year, I will continue to seek out opportunities to maximize our tax dollars and resources. My staff and I extend our best wishes for the holidays and hope 2011 will bring you and your family a prosperous and healthy new year.

Cathy Hudgins



Hunter Mill District Budget Committee

The Hunter Mill District Citizen Budget Committee has been formalized and has met initially with Supervisor Cathy Hudgins, as well as with the Director of the Fairfax County Department of Management and Budget. The Committee, representing a cross section of residents from across Hunter Mill District with diverse professional and community backgrounds, will begin its substantive process of coming up with recommendations to guide Supervisor Hudgins through the FY 2012 Budget process.

HMD Budget Committee members includes:

Bill Penniman, Chairman	Amanda Andere,	Delores Bailey	Hank Chao,
Gina Cocomello	Carl Deshazior	Laurie DiRoccoo	Susannah Frazier
Pam Konde	Barb Loving	Kathleen McKee	Guru Nagaraja
Carl Pletzke	Marion Stillson	Cathy Vivona	

and representatives from the Reston Chamber of Commerce and Vienna Chamber of Commerce.

For individuals who would like to observe the proceedings, the Committee has scheduled 7:30-9:00 p.m., each Thursday in January and February for its meetings, at the Lake Anne Human Services Building, 11484 Washington Plaza W, in Reston. You can verify the meetings by checking the [Hunter Mill District webpage](#) or by calling 703-478-0283.

Dulles Toll Road New Rates Go Into Effect on January 1 25¢ Increase at Main Toll Plaza; Tolls at On/Off Ramps Remain the Same

Effective January 1, 2011, toll rates on the Dulles Toll Road will increase by 25 cents at the Main Toll Plaza to \$1.25. Toll rates on the on/off ramps will remain 75 cents.

2011 Advisory Citizen Reapportionment Committee Selected

The Virginia Constitution requires the Virginia General Assembly and those local governing bodies elected by district to consider redistricting in calendar year 2011. Because of the uneven populations among the present County election districts, the Board of Supervisors needs to reapportion the present election districts. This will require the County to: (1) consider changes to the County election districts and precincts; (2) adopt a plan to revise the present election districts; (3) secure federal preclearance of all changes affecting voting pursuant to Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965; (4) notify each County voter of any changes to that voter's election district; (5) be prepared to send out absentee ballots upon request forty-five days before the primary and general elections; and (6) conduct the primary and general elections based on the new election districts.

Local redistricting must comply with the federal Voting Rights Act of 1965, as amended, and that law has two major components. Section 2 of that Act prohibits any voting practices that have the effect of discriminating against voting rights on the basis of racial minority or language minority, and Section 5 requires federal preclearance of any change affecting voting made by certain state and local governments. The County is required to comply with the federal Section 5 preclearance procedure.

2011 Advisory Citizen Reapportionment Committee:

Walter L. Alcorn
 Bettie Baca
 Kristin Cabral
 Christopher Thomas Craig
 Fran Fisher
 Honorable Katherine K. Hanley
 Ralph Hubbard
 Anne S. Kanter
 Paul E. Krizek
 Michael Kwon
 Paul J. Liberty
 C. Denver Lovett
 (Hunter Mill Representative)
 Carrie Nixon
 Scott T. Robinson
 Robert Schwaninger
 William E. Sudow
 David Thomas

April 2011

Bulova Byline

www.fairfaxcounty.gov/chairman (703) 324-2321 chairman@fairfaxcounty.gov



Inside this Month's Issue:

Contract Extension for I-95 Incinerator...3

VRE Beats Ridership Record.....4

Fairfax County Rescue Team Returns Home.....6

Moving Tysons Transformation Forward.....7

Successful Public Outreach for Tysons Access Study.....7

Postal Service Approves Use of 'Tysons Corner'.....7

Tysons Pedestrian, Bike Improvements Coming.....8

A Message on Disaster Preparedness from Fairfax County.....8

County Announces New Disabilities Communications Efforts.....9

We are fast approaching the **April 12 date when the Board of Supervisors will mark up**, or make changes to, the Advertised Budget for Fiscal Year 2012. We recently completed an extensive public outreach effort, which included approximately 20 community meetings and culminated in three nights of public hearings before the board on March 29th, 30th and 31st. During a series of Budget Committee Meetings beginning last October, we have discussed priorities with County agencies, and met jointly with the Fairfax County School Board.

Adoption of the budget is the top priority of our Board. When we adopt a budget, we are investing in the priorities of our community. It is always critical that our residents are at the table with us as spending (and saving) decisions are made.

Below are highlights of the County Executive's Advertised Budget for Fiscal Year 2012.

The County Executive's Budget, released on Feb. 22, included an advertised tax rate of \$1.09, the same as current tax rate. The Advertised rate becomes the upper ceiling for the tax rate that will be adopted by the Board this month. We can adopt something lower, but not higher. Because of a modest increase in property values a tax rate of \$1.09 would mean an increase of \$110.55 in the average taxpayer's annual bill.

That budget includes **\$3.38 billion** in General Fund Disbursements, which includes General County Direct (other than School) Expenditures of **\$1.24 billion** and a **\$1.61 billion Transfer to the schools**.

The FY 2012 budget for the County includes **\$9.8 million in reductions and reorganizations in County agencies**. For the School Transfer there is no change from FY2011. The School Board has requested an increase of \$42 million. **Per the Virginia constitution, the Board of Supervisors does not have "line item" authority over the School Budget.**

Commercial and residential real estate taxes make up the majority (**62.2 percent**) of the revenue that the County receives to provide county services. Our second largest source of revenue is from the personal property tax (**15.5 percent**).

After four years of falling residential real estate values, assessments for FY2012

are estimated to be a modest increase of 2.34 percent. Homes are beginning to sell again and according to MLS, the average price of homes sold increased by 8.9 percent. We are still experiencing foreclosures with a total of 842 in December, an increase of 5.8 percent over the previous year.

Commercial values, after having plunged 18 percent last year, show a surprise increase this year of 3.73 percent for a **combined increase in our Real Estate Tax Base of 3.27 percent.**

The County Executive's budget included an available balance of \$30 million, which could be used to reduce the tax rate, address unfunded needs/requests or hold in reserve as a hedge against future downturns or reductions by the federal government or the state.

On compensation, the FCPS budget assumed an increase in compensation (2 percent market rate adjustment plus step increases) for all School employees. The School Board's requested increase in their Transfer would cover the cost of this increase. The General County Budget does not assume any increases in compensation. While we are seeing some modest signs of recovery, we are not yet out of the woods.

Detailed information about the Advertised Budget, and previous Adopted Budgets, can be found on the County's website at www.fairfaxcounty.gov/dmb/.

Sincerely,



Sharon Bulova – Chairman, Fairfax County Board of Supervisors

Budget Links

- [County Executive's Budget Presentation to the Board \(Feb. 22, 2011\)](#) 
- [Citizen's Guide to the Budget](#) 
- [Overview Volume](#) 
- [Transcript of County Executive's online chat on February 23, 2011](#)
- [Provide your feedback on the FY 2012 Advertised Budget](#)
 - [Report on Public Feedback \(March 15, 2011\)](#)

- [FY 2012 Budget Calendar](#)
- [Q&A Search Tool](#)
- **General Fund Receipts - "Where It Comes From" Pie Chart:**
 - [One Pager](#) 
 - [Detailed, Drilldown Version](#)
- **General Fund Disbursements - "Where It Goes" Pie Chart:**
 - [One Pager](#) 
 - [Detailed, Drilldown Version](#)

being broken and new equipment, VRE still has many concerns that must be addressed. Meeting the increase in ridership demand is proving to be a challenge.

To meet that challenge, VRE is working with its host railroads to increase capacity by any means possible. Three separate options are currently in the works. First, effective May 2, 2011, VRE will bring down the cost of the Step-Up fare needed to ride select Amtrak trains to \$5. By buying down some of the cost that the rider must pay, VRE hopes to shift some riders from VRE trains to Amtrak trains. In Fairfax County, VRE riders using Burke Centre could ride select Amtrak trains with their VRE ticket and a \$5 Step-Up ticket. This program will also be available to riders at Woodbridge, Quantico, Manassas and Fredericksburg. By shifting some of these riders to Amtrak, VRE is expecting seats on its trains to open up for our riders further up the line at stations like Lorton and Rolling Road.

Second, VRE is working with CSX, Norfolk Southern and Amtrak to return one of its trains to the Broad Run storage yard during the day. By freeing up space at our mid-day storage yard, we would be able to add some cars to some of our most crowded trains. We are still working through the details, but hope to add this service later in the spring.

Our third option is to continue to work on our L'Enfant storage track. VRE had contemplated storing trains there by terminating one train on each line at L'Enfant. This was an unpopular move with our ridership. Instead, we are working to construct a switch on the north end of the existing storage track so that trains can serve Union Station, then back track to the storage track to be stored during the day.

Happily Ever After

As VRE looks forward, the future is bright. VRE's long-term growth is really a reflection of the commitment that the region has made to finding and delivering meaningful transportation alternatives to the people. VRE's Operations Board and owning jurisdictions have been invaluable in supporting initiatives that brought new railcars and locomotives to our fleet and upgraded stations and infrastructure in an effort to position VRE for success. People today rely on VRE far more than in the past because it's a viable alternative to the car and our performance is such that people are very confident in their riding experience once they get onboard. For VRE, that is truly a fairytale ending.

Dale Zehner, CEO of Virginia Railway Express

Redistricting Process Coming to a Close; Hearing on April 12

The Fairfax County Board of Supervisors will hold a public hearing on the redistricting plans for our nine magisterial districts. The Redistricting Advisory Citizens Committee, chaired by former Board Chairman Kate Hanley, recently submitted its plans for redistricting. The result of this open and transparent process is a plan that will cause minimal disruption to the voters – never an easy task. I commend the Committee for their work and thank Kate Hanley for her leadership.

To sign up to speak at the April 12 hearing, please visit www.fairfaxcounty.gov/redistricting. The Board will adopt a final plan on April 26.

March 2011

Bulova Byline

www.fairfaxcounty.gov/chairman (703) 324-2321 chairman@fairfaxcounty.gov



Inside this Month's Issue:

Chairman Bulova Cuts Ribbon on I-66 HOV Ramps.....3

Redistricting Schedule Changes.....3

Private Sector Energy Task Force.....4

Incinerator Negotiations Wrap Up This Month.....5

Tree and Shrub Seedling Sale.....5

CONNECTOR Route Changes Coming.....6

Environmental Stewardship Brochures Now Available.....6

Freddie Mac Foundation Awards \$150,000 to Help End Homelessness6

Meetings for Tysons Access Study.....7

What to Do in Case of a Power Outage.....7

Community Spotlight: Falls Church Presbyterian.....8

Changing Lives through Literature Program.....8

Community Budget Town Hall Meetings.....9

With the County Executive's presentation on February 22nd, **an Advertised Fiscal Year 2012 Budget** is on the street for our community's review, discussion and feedback. Inside this Byline is a list of public meetings and forums where County staff will provide briefings on the budget and attendees can ask questions and make comments. Additionally, formal public hearings are scheduled for March 29th, 30th and 31st. All hearings are televised on Cable Channel 16 and speakers may sign up to testify by either calling 703-324-3151 or signing up on the County's website at www.fairfaxcounty.gov/bosclerk/speaker_bos.htm.

Detailed information about the Advertised Budget, and previous Adopted Budgets, can be found on the County's website at www.fairfaxcounty.gov/dmb/. The Board of Supervisors will "mark-up" (make changes to the Advertised Budget) at our Board Meeting on April 12th with formal adoption on April 26th.

A brief summary of the County Executive's Advertised Budget:

Advertised Tax Rate of \$1.09

- Same as current tax rate
- The Advertised rate becomes the upper ceiling for the tax rate that will be adopted by the Board in April. We can adopt something lower, but not higher.
- Each penny of the Tax Rate is equal to \$19.3 million.
- Because of a modest increase in property values a tax rate of \$1.09 would mean an increase of \$110.55 in the average taxpayer's annual bill.

Property Values

- After four years of falling **residential real estate values**, assessments for FY2012 are estimated to be a **modest increase of 2.34%**.
- Homes are beginning to sell again and, according to MLS the average price of homes sold increased by 8.9%. We are still experiencing foreclosures with a total of 842 in December, an increase of 5.8% over the previous year.
- Commercial values, after having plunged 18% last year, show a surprise **increase** this year of 3.73% for a combined increase in our Real Estate Tax Base of **3.27%**.
- Commercial and residential real estate taxes make up the majority (62.2%) of the revenue that the County receives to provide county services. Our second largest source of revenue is from the personal property tax (15.5%).

Fiscal Year 2012 Budget

- **General Fund Disbursements (Schools + General County) = \$3.38 billion**
 - 5% decrease from FY 2011
- **General Fund Direct Expenditures (does not include School Transfer) = \$1.24 billion**
 - 1.79% decrease from FY 2011
 - In accordance with direction provided to agencies after adoption of the FY 2011, the FY 2012 budget includes \$9.8 million in reductions and reorganizations in County agencies.
- **School Transfer = \$1.61 billion**
 - No change from FY 2011. The School Board's request is for \$1.659, an increase of \$48.8 million, or 3%. Per Virginia constitution, the Board of Supervisors does not have "line item" authority over the School Budget.
- **School Transfer for Debt Service = \$163.5 million**
 - An increase of \$2.8 million from FY 2011

Compensation


- The School Budget has assumed an increase in compensation (2% market rate adjustment plus step increases) for all School employees. The School Board's requested increase in their Transfer would cover the cost of this increase.
- The General County Budget does not assume any increases in compensation. While we are seeing some modest signs of recovery, we are not yet out of the woods.

Flexibility/Choices

The County Executive's Budget includes an available balance of \$30 million, which could be used to reduce the tax rate, address unfunded needs/requests or hold in reserve as a hedge against future downturns or reductions by the federal government or the state. You can weigh in on this and other budget related matters by going to www.fairfaxcounty.gov/survey/fy12_budget_comment.htm in addition to scheduled town meetings and public hearings. **A list of district budget town hall meetings can be found on page 9.**

Adoption of the budget is the most important thing our Board does. When we adopt a budget, we are investing in the priorities of our community. It is critical that our residents are at the table with us as spending (and saving) decisions are made. I look forward to working with you during the weeks ahead!

Sincerely,



Sharon Bulova – Chairman, Fairfax County Board of Supervisors

Chairman Bulova Cuts Ribbon on I-66 HOV Ramps

At long last, the **HOV ramps on I-66 at Monument Drive and Stringfellow Road** are open to all traffic during off-peak hours and on weekends. While opening the ramps will not solve all the traffic problems on I-66, it **will immediately ease congestion along that route as well as US-50, the Fairfax County Parkway, and Route 28.**

Opening these ramps during off-peak hours will also give motorists another way to avoid the construction happening as VDOT adds a new interchange where the **Fairfax County Parkway and the Fair Lakes Parkway intersect.**

At a June 2010 Board meeting **I directed county transportation staff to dislodge the initiative**, which had been stalled pending the compilation and submission to the Federal Highway Administration of an Interchange Modification Report assessing the impact of the change. The project began in 2007, but only came to fruition

after county staff got involved last summer.



With Gov. Bob McDonnell at the ribbon cutting (2/28/2011)

Our transportation staff worked diligently with staff at the Virginia Department of Transportation and the Federal Highway Administration on this project so that our commuters can take advantage of ramps that would have otherwise continued to sit unused. **I commend the Fairfax County Department of Transportation for their efforts.**

Board Approves Redistricting Schedule Changes

Due to some new deadlines for this year's elections approved by the General Assembly in Richmond, Fairfax County has made some changes to its schedule for redrawing the lines that make up our nine magisterial districts.

We will now hold a **public hearing on redistricting on Tuesday, April 12 at 4pm.** We will also **adopt our redistricting plan at our regular Board of Supervisors meeting on April 26.**

The reasons for these changes were highlighted at our Feb. 22 meeting by Legislative Committee Chairman (and Lee District Supervisor) Jeff McKay. Supervisor McKay pointed out that a bill passed by the House and Senate, HB 1507, moved back the June 14 primary to August 23, a change we had expected.

However, the bill also moves back the deadline for mailing in absentee ballots to July 27. Therefore,

we must adopt our redistricting plan on April 26 in order to give adequate time to meet the new state deadlines.

In order to comply with Section 5 of the federal Voting Rights Act, we are required to "preclear" our redistricting plans with the U.S. Department of Justice. This preclearance is a 61-day procedure, so this also contributed to our decision to adopt the plan on April 26.

Residents can participate in the redistricting process by going to www.fairfaxcounty.gov/redistricting. There, you can offer suggestions and sign up to speak at the April 12 hearing.





Home Living Here Doing Business Visiting Departments & Agencies

Search Site:

Printer Friendly A A A Text Size Text Only

Index

- [Contacts/Directions](#)
- [Mount Vernon District Home](#)
- [About the Mount Vernon District](#)
- [About Supervisor Hyland](#)
- [BRAC](#)
- [Calendar](#)
- [Hot Topics](#)
- [Important Links](#)
- [Land Use and Transportation](#)
- [Lords and Ladies Fairfax](#)
- [Meeting Rooms](#)
- [Return to the Board of Supervisors page](#)

- Online Services
- Our Government
- News and Events
- Maps, Facts & Stats
- State & Federal

[homepage](#) > [board of supervisors](#) > [mount vernon](#):

2011 Mount Vernon Town Meeting

Mount Vernon's 24th Annual Town Meeting was held on Saturday, February 5, 2011 at the Mount Vernon High School "Little Theatre."

After presentation of the American Flag by the Mount Vernon High School Junior ROTC, Supervisor Hyland welcomed everyone, introduced elected officials and appointees who were in the audience, and asked everyone to remember the Mount Vernon District residents we lost in 2010.

Supervisor Hyland introduced the panelists:

- Ms. Sharon Bulova, Chairman, Fairfax County Board of Supervisors
- Mr. Tony Griffin, County Executive
- COL John J. Strycula, Garrison Commander, Fort Belvoir Army Base - Presentation (1.2 mg PDF file)

After presentations by the panelists and a Q&A Session, Supervisor Hyland introduced members of the **Mount Vernon District Visioning Task Force**:

- Nate Wilson - Business and Economic Development
- Dale Rumberger - Education
- Larry Zaragoza - Environment, Parks and Recreation
- Elisabeth Lardner - Housing and Community Development
- Barney Barnwell - Human Services
- John Byers - Land Use
- John Harris - Public Safety Member
- Dale Johnson - Transportation

Finally, Supervisor Hyland presented his **Virtual Bus Tour**, with a comprehensive slide presentation accompanied by his live narrative. The slide presentation can be viewed by clicking the links below:

- [Bus Tour Part 1 \(4.2 mg PDF file\)](#)
- [Bus Tour Part 2 \(3 mg PDF file\)](#)
- [Bus Tour Part 3 \(2 mg PDF file\)](#)
- [Bus Tour Part 4 \(3.7 mg PDF file\)](#)

The **Exhibits** were a great success this year! We hosted 65 different County Agencies, Non-profit Groups, the Mount Vernon-Lee and South Fairfax Chamber of Commerce, umbrella civic organizations, and the County Health Department provided free flu vaccinations to 29 guests. Attendees were able to learn about upcoming changes at Fort Belvoir, current and future services at INOVA hospital facilities, and facts about many County services. The Sheriff's Department also provided free child identification and finger printing.

Inside the **Goodie Bags** given to meeting attendees were the following documents:

- [Town Meeting Agenda](#)
- [State of the County 2011](#)
- [Mount Vernon District Visioning Task Force Report](#)
- [Status of Active Transportation Projects](#)
- [Redistricting Information](#)
- [Neighborhood Concerns and County Services](#)
- [2011 Legislative Program](#)
- [Human Services Issue Paper](#)
- [Inova Mount Vernon Hospital - Letter of Support](#)
- [2010 Unofficial Police Statistics](#)
- [Personal Safety Brochure](#)
- [Boards, Authorities and Commissions Brochure](#)
- [Mount Vernon District Appointees to Boards, Authorities and Commissions](#)
- [Income Tax Assistance Information](#)
- [Snow Fact Sheet](#)
- [Golden Gazette Flyer](#)
- [Stuff the Bus Flyer](#)
- [Secure Document Shredding Flyer](#)

The Town Meeting was filmed by the Fairfax County Communications Productions (Cox Cable Channel 16). Replay air times are scheduled for:

- Saturday, February 19th - 8 p.m.
- Wednesday, February 23rd - 8 p.m.

The Town Meeting replay is now available for viewing on the Channel 16 Web site:

<http://www.fairfaxcounty.gov/cable/channel16/vod.htm>


Scroll down to the **Highlights** section, then look for "Mount Vernon's 24th Annual Town Meeting" under *Supervisor Programs*.

DVD copies will soon be available for checkout from all four libraries in the Mount Vernon District, as well as from the Supervisor's Office. Please call ahead to verify that a copy is available when you need it.

- Sherwood Regional Library..... 703-765-3645
- Martha Washington Library..... 703-768-6700
- Kingstowne Library..... 703-339-4610
- Lorton Library..... 703-339-7385

Contact Us: [General \(Office of Public Affairs\)](#) | [Technical \(Web Administrator\)](#) | [Directed Inquiries \(County Agencies\)](#)
Phone: [County Main Number - 703-FAIRFAX \(703-324-7329\)](#), TTY 711 | [County Phone Listing](#)

[Awards](#) | [EQIA](#) | [Mobile](#) | [Using this Site](#) | [Web Disclaimer & Privacy Policy](#) | [Get Adobe Reader](#)
ADA Accessibility | Website Accessibility
Official site of the County of Fairfax, Virginia, © Copyright 2011



 Website Survey  Language Translations | English



A Penny for Your Thoughts: News of Greater Falls Church

By Penny Gross

Thursday, April 07 2011 11:00:00 AM



After months of talking about reapportionment, or redistricting as it also is called, maps and plans for magisterial boundaries are available (www.fairfaxcounty.gov/redistricting). The Advisory Citizens Committee on the 2011 Reapportionment completed its study last month, and submitted more than two dozen maps and plans for consideration by the Board of Supervisors.

The ideal district size is 120,192 persons, which means that Mason District needs to grow by about 10,000 people, adding a precinct or two from other districts. The simplest plan proposal would move just four precincts countywide; the most complicated plan would move 74 precincts and create two new districts. The reality is somewhere in between. A public hearing about the redistricting proposals will be conducted on Tuesday, April 12, at 4 p.m. at the Fairfax County Government Center, 12000 Government Center Parkway in Fairfax. To sign up to speak at the hearing, call the Clerk to the Board at 703-324-3151.

Redistricting of state Delegate and Senate seats is occurring at the same time; however, the maps and plans for those changes are much harder to find on the state's Web site, and you may need special software to read the maps. Those plans were crafted by members of the General Assembly, apparently with little or no input from citizen groups. Wholesale changes are proposed, splitting many existing precincts in Fairfax County, including at least four in Mason District. Deeply concerned about the split precincts proposals, the Fairfax County Electoral Board sent a letter to Governor McDonnell and the General Assembly leadership, urging them to reduce the number of split precincts in the final adopted plans. Citing chaos and voter confusion in the polling place, the Electoral Board also noted that split precincts "place an increased and undue financial burden on localities" that would require purchasing additional voting equipment, materials and signage, printing additional ballot styles (there were as many as 53 different ballot iterations anticipated in the fall elections before redistricting gets factored in), and recruiting and hiring additional election officials. A cost estimate of \$6 million statewide was made during testimony about the state proposals, termed an unfunded mandate on local tax dollars.

To be clear, the county's magisterial redistricting must be approved by the Board of Supervisors, and then submitted to the U.S. Department of Justice for approval under provisions of the Voting Rights Act. The state Senate and Delegate redistricting must be approved by the General Assembly, and also submitted to Justice for approval. Potential primary election date for new or redrawn districts is August 23. The General Election date is November 8, and the new election districts become official when members take office on or about January 1, 2012.


Recent callers to my office have complained about a "Best Pick Reports Quality Home Services Guide" that was delivered via U.S. Mail to homes in Northern Virginia. The white and blue cover indicates "Fairfax County" and callers asked why Fairfax County published such a directory, which they termed a waste of taxpayer dollars. The answer is: the guide is not a county publication. It was published by a private entity based in Georgia, which was listed on the return address label. A quick and easy way to determine if any publication is official from Fairfax County: 1) it will have the county seal on it (from the Fairfax family crest, dated 1742), and 2) it will have a notification of the availability of alternative information formats on it. I appreciated the "eagle eyes" of the callers, which provided the opportunity to correct any misunderstandings.

Penny Gross is the Mason District Supervisor in the Fairfax County Board of Supervisors. She may be e-mailed at mason@fairfaxcounty.gov

[Share](#)

ACCESS I

Access to the requested website has been blocked by the Internet Usage Policy. If you think this site was blocked in error, please [Click here](#) for more information.



Showing 0 comments

Sort by oldest first

[Subscribe by email](#)  [RSS](#)

[Add New Comment](#)

[Login](#)



Type your comment here.

[Post as ...](#)

Reactions



NOVANews_Now via [twitter](#)

A Penny for Your Thoughts: News of Greater Falls Church - After months of talking about reapportionment, or re... <http://is.gd/bSDZGi>

1 day ago

Trackback URL <http://disqus.com/forums/>

blog comments powered by [DISQUS](#)

Exhibit 31



A sample of articles that appeared in local publications and information published by other groups regarding the reapportionment of Fairfax County's election districts

Editor Nicole Trifone: Get the conversation going on this week's Moms Talk. The topic: Unwanted parenting advice. <http://patch.com/A-gzKv> — Tweeted 1 hour ago

 Like 115  Get the Patch Newsletter

Home News Events Directory Marketplace Q&A Volunteer Search

Government

Supervisors OK Redistricting Schedule

Process could delay supervisor district primaries by two months
By Erica R. Hendry | Email the author | November 19, 2010

 Recommend  Sign Up to see what your friends recommend.

 Comments

The process and schedule for redrawing Fairfax County's nine supervisor districts will likely change the 2011 election schedule, pushing the primaries for those positions back from June to August or early September.

According to the plan approved by the Fairfax County Board of Supervisors on Tuesday, the U.S. Census cannot deliver the census data needed to redraw district lines until February. This delays the board's approval of a redistricting plan until April, and official U.S. Department of Justice approval of those altered districts until June, when primaries are usually held.

To accommodate that schedule, the 2011 election schedule will likely run on a later timeline than in years past, Chairman Sharon Bulova said.

Bulova said the purpose of redistricting is to ensure equitably sized voting districts, especially given the last decade of growth in the county.

"The plan we adopted today will allow us to act quickly and involve the community to ensure Fairfax County residents are properly represented on the Board of Supervisors in time for the elections," Bulova said. "Growth in Fairfax County over the last 10 years will likely mean some changes, but those changes won't become clear until we are able to look at and analyze the data from the 2010 U.S. Census."

The Board also created an Advisory Citizen Committee, which along with county staff, will participate in the redistricting process. Each of the nine supervisors will appoint a member to the committee, in addition to countywide organizations. Bulova appointed former Board of Supervisors Chairman Katherine Hanley to serve as one of the committee's two at-large members.

"As a former Secretary of the Commonwealth and Board Chairman, Kate clearly has the knowledge and experience to be an active and important member of the Committee," Bulova said. "She is also a veteran of three redistrictings."

How do you want Providence and Sully districts to change? [Tell us in the comments.](#)

Interested in a follow-up to this article?

Enter your email address

Keep me posted!

 SEE NEXT IN GOVERNMENT
[Hunters for the Hungry Active in Area](#)

Leave a comment

MOTHER'S SWEEPSTAKES
ENTER DAILY FOR A CHANCE TO WIN A SPA-LIDAY VACATION
ENTER NOW 
Patch

SEE MORE ON PATCH

- Fairfax GOP Kicks Off Election Season With Major Fundraiser
- Sully Supervisor Hosts Town Hall on 2012 Budget
- Bulova: Economic Woes Will Again Impact Budget
- Governor Plans to Involve Public in Redistricting
- Political Stakes Are High in Virginia's Redistricting Process

MOST POPULAR ARTICLES

- Oakton Resident Pleads Guilty in \$1.5B Fraud Scheme
- Closing In On Sweet Peeps Victory
- Vienna Resident Announces Run For School Board
- March 28-April 3: Supervisors Deny Day Care Center, Approve Rezoning of Blake Lane Land
- Mindfulness Center Offers Retreat from Busy Life

Follow us:   

Plant **Patch** on your site!
Get the Patch News Widget

The Aronheim Group
ARONHEIM-BURNS REAL ESTATE
Providing Oakton a Great Buying & Selling Experience Since 1986!
PHONE/FAX: 703-579-1880
EMAIL: info@novafinehomes.com
www.novafinehomes.com
13135 Lee Jackson Highway • Fairfax, VA 22033

Editor Whitney Rhodes: VIDEO: U.S. Senate Candidate Jamie Radtke (Involved in Tea Party) Talks to Patch, Part 1 - Fairfax City, [#VAelax](http://patch.com/A-gzKp) — Tweeted 3 hours ago

Like 277 Get the Patch Newsletter

Home News Events Directory Marketplace Q&A Volunteer Search

Government

Board Approves Process, Schedule for 2011 Redistricting

Fairfax County will redraw the lines for its Board of Supervisors districts after getting data from the 2010 U.S. Census

By Leslie Perales | Email the author | November 19, 2010

Recommend Sign Up to see what your friends recommend.

Comments

The Fairfax County Board of Supervisors approved its process and schedule for redistricting the nine supervisor districts in the county on Tuesday.

Chairman Sharon Bulova said the purpose of redistricting is to ensure equitably sized voting districts.

"The plan we adopted today will allow us to act quickly and involve the community to ensure Fairfax County residents are properly represented on the Board of Supervisors in time for the elections," Bulova said.

She said growth in Fairfax County during the last 10 years will mean there are changes but the changes necessary won't be clear until the data from the 2010 U.S. Census can be analyzed.

State officials made a key change to the 2011 election schedule, moving primaries from June to mid-August or even early September, to allow for more time for redistricting.

Data to redraw the district lines will not be available from the U.S. Census Bureau until February. The Board of Supervisors will approve a redistricting plan in late April and it will then have to be approved by the U.S. Department of Justice. The new districts will likely not be official until June.

The Board of Supervisors established an Advisory Citizen Committee to participate in the process with county staff. Each district supervisor will appoint one member to the community along with countywide organizations.

Bulova appointed former Board of Supervisors Chairman Katherine Hanley to serve as one of two at-large members of the committee.

"As a former Secretary of the Commonwealth and Board Chairman, Kate clearly has the knowledge and experience to be an active and important member of the Committee. She is also a veteran of three redistrictings," she said.



SEE MORE ON PATCH

GOP Kicks Off Election Season With Major Fundraiser

What You Need to Know About Upcoming Redistricting of Va.

Michael "Spike" Williams Announces Run for Chairman of Board of Supervisors

Political Stakes Are High in Virginia's Redistricting Process

Governor Plans to Involve Public in Redistricting

MOST POPULAR ARTICLES

1. Man Arrested After Early Morning Car Chase Down I-66, Route 28
2. Photos: Fairfax Little League Marches in New Season
3. Month of Burglaries Involve Beer, International Phone Calls, Court Threats
4. Photos: Fairfax Runners Take First at Home Meet
5. Police: Missing Bumper Stickers, Annoying Phone Calls, K-Mart Shoplifters

Follow us:

Plant Patch on your site! Get the Patch News Widget



Share Print Tweet Start Following Email Submit a tip

Interested in a follow-up to this article?

Enter your email address

Keep me posted!



SEE NEXT IN GOVERNMENT Homeless in Fairfax? Where to Go to Stay Warm



Leave a comment

Voice of Vietnamese Americans

The mission of Voice of Vietnamese Americans is to empower Vietnamese Americans by promoting civic engagement through community organizing and capacity building. Established in 2009, VVA is currently operating in Virginia, Maryland, and Washington, DC.

Search This Blog

Search powered by Google™

VVA Civic Engagement 2010



BY VEL HERNANDEZ

BẾN XUÂN



WHAT IS REDISTRICTING



Friday, November 19, 2010

Fairfax County, VA: Board Approves Process, Schedule for 2011 Redistricting

From the Office of Sharon Bulova Chairman, Fairfax County Board of Supervisors

12000 Government Center Parkway
Fairfax, VA 22035
703-324-2321

chairman@fairfaxcounty.gov
www.fairfaxcounty.gov/chairman



Board Approves Process, Schedule for 2011 Redistricting

The Fairfax County Board of Supervisors on Tuesday approved our process and schedule [our process and schedule](#) for redrawing the lines that make up the nine supervisor districts in the County. The purpose of redistricting is to ensure equitably sized voting districts in the County.

The plan we adopted will allow us to act quickly and involve the community to ensure Fairfax County residents are properly represented on the Board of Supervisors in time for the elections. Growth in Fairfax County over the last 10 years will likely mean some changes, but those changes won't become clear until we are able to look at and analyze the data from the 2010 U.S. Census.

A key change to the 2011 election schedule is the likely decision by State officials to move the primaries from June to mid-August or even early September to account for the tight redistricting timeline. The U.S. Census Bureau will not deliver the data needed to redraw district lines until February. After the Board of Supervisors approves a redistricting plan in late April, it will need to be approved by the U.S. Department of Justice meaning the redrawn districts will most likely not be official until June.

In adopting the plan, the Board established an Advisory Citizen Committee to participate in the process with County staff. Each district supervisor will appoint one member to the committee along with County-wide organizations. I appointed former Board of Supervisors Chairman Katherine Hanley to serve as one of the two at-large members of the committee.

As a former Secretary of the Commonwealth and Board Chairman, Kate clearly has the knowledge and experience to be an active and important member of the Committee. She is also a veteran of three redistrictings.

Board Agenda Item November 16, 2010

ACTION - 9

Action to Initiate the 2011 Redistricting of the Election Districts of the Board of Supervisors

ISSUE:

Board action on a process and schedule for considering and implementing the 2011 redistricting of the election districts of the Board based on the population from the 2010 Census.

RECOMMENDATION:

The County Executive recommends that the Board:

- (1) adopt and approve the general process and composition of an advisory citizen committee described below for the 2011 redistricting of the election districts of the Board; and (2) adopt the enclosed resolution that will provide a general statement of the goal, the criteria, and the policies that will be followed in the 2011 redistricting of the election districts of the Board.

TIMING:

The Virginia Constitution requires the Virginia General Assembly and those local governing bodies elected by district to consider redistricting in calendar year 2011. Because of the uneven populations among the present County election districts, the Board will need to reapportion the present election districts. This will require the County to: (1) consider changes to the County election districts and precincts; (2) adopt a plan to revise the present election districts; (3) secure federal preclearance of all changes affecting voting pursuant to Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965; (4) notify each County voter of any changes to that voter's election district; (5) be prepared to send out absentee ballots upon request fortyfive days before the primary and general elections; and (6) conduct the primary and general elections based on the new election districts.

The data from the U.S. Census Bureau is not expected to be available to the Commonwealth before...



WHY TUESDAY - THE REDISTRICTING GAME



THE REDISTRICTING GAME



GERRYMANDERING



REDISTRICTING

- Collaborative Redistricting Guide
- Drawing the Line
- Impact of Redistricting in YOUR community 2010 - pdf
- Redistricting Institute
- Redistricting Timeline
- The Redistricting Game

The data from the U.S. Census Bureau is not expected to be available to the County until February 2011. Assuming that those primary elections are deferred to mid-August, the County will need to consider the new population information and adopt a suitable plan for submission to the U.S. Attorney General for federal preclearance by the end of April 2011.

In order to meet that deadline and to provide appropriate public input, staff recommends that the Board: (1) appoint an advisory citizen committee and task that committee with preparing redistricting alternatives for Board consideration, (2) conduct a public hearing on proposed redistricting plans, and finally, (3) adopt a redistricting plan on April 26, 2011.

Further scheduling information is presented below.

Board Agenda Item
November 16, 2010

BACKGROUND:

On March 13, 2010, the Board noted that April 1, 2010, was National Census Day, and among other things, on that day the Board requested that the Board Legislative Committee develop guidelines and a schedule for Board consideration of redistricting and a recommended process for engaging citizens in this important matter. Also, on October 19, 2010, the Board asked that staff recommend a slate of seats for the composition of a citizen committee to be provided to the Board on November 16, 2010. These issues were discussed by the Legislative Committee on October 5 and 26, 2010.

In April 2010, the U.S. Census Bureau conducted the national decennial census in accordance with federal law. The Census Bureau is expected to release detailed population data relating to Virginia in February 2011. That information will be used for a wide range of purposes in future years, but, first and most important, the 2010 Census data will be used to reapportion representation in the United States House of Representatives, state legislatures, and local elected governing bodies. Virginia Code § 24.2-304.1 (2006) requires those local governing bodies elected by district to consider redistricting every ten years using the population data from the U.S. Census, and even though the new Census data are not yet available, there can be no doubt that population changes in the County since 2001 will require redistricting of the election districts of the Board.

Redistricting will require significant efforts by the Board, County staff, and interested County residents. In many ways, those efforts will be similar to previous redistricting inasmuch as populations will be considered and election districts redrawn. Nevertheless, there have been changes in federal and state laws that must be considered, and the widespread availability of computers and data can be expected to create more opportunities for public input than ever before possible.

Legal Constraints

The Board has the responsibility to reapportion the election districts of the governing body and the authority to change the number of those election districts. The urban county executive form of government requires the members of the Board, except for the elected at-large chairman, to be elected from single-member election districts, and our form of government also provides that the Board may have as many as twelve members (one elected at-large chairman and eleven elected by district) or as few as six members (one elected at-large and five elected by district).¹

¹ Redistricting of the election districts of the members of the Board will affect the future composition of many County boards, authorities, and commissions. After redistricting, if a member of the Board, the School Board, or any other County board, authority, or commission no longer resides in the district that he or she was elected or appointed to represent, then that member may continue in office until his or her term has expired.

There are three principal requirements that any redistricting effort must satisfy. First, local representation must meet federal and state "one person, one vote" requirements. Those requirements are met by allowing only small population differences among election districts.

In 1977, the United States Supreme Court indicated that a population deviation of less than ten percent among state and local election districts would be presumed constitutional, but even in instances where the deviation is less than ten percent, a good-faith effort to equalize populations using traditional redistricting principles must be shown. Courts have recognized these factors as traditional principles: (1) compactness; (2) contiguity; (3) preservation of political boundaries, e.g., town boundaries; (4) preservation of communities of interest; (5) preservation of cores of prior districts; and (6) protection of incumbents.

Virginia law also requires election districts to follow clearly defined and observable boundaries. Clearly observable boundaries include any named road, any river, stream, or drainage feature more than forty feet in width, and any natural or constructed feature that appears on the official map of the County issued by the Virginia Department of Transportation or on a United States Geological Survey topographical map.

In addition, local redistricting must comply with the federal Voting Rights Act of 1965, as amended (Act), and that law has two major components. Section 2 of that Act prohibits any voting practices that have the effect of discriminating against voting rights on the basis of racial minority or language minority, and Section 5 requires federal preclearance of any change affecting voting made by certain state and local governments. The County is required to comply with the federal Section 5 preclearance procedure. That federal review generally takes sixty-one days, so that time must be considered in planning the County's efforts.

Planning for Changes

Planning for the redistricting effort is essential if the County's activities are to meet the substantive legal standards and the required time schedule. At this point, staff planning has focused on three areas: (1) public input; (2) staff support; and (3) scheduling requirements.

First, federal and state laws provide for public access to the redistricting process. The federal regulations on preclearance provide for public input, and those regulations encourage the localities that submit changes for preclearance to provide an account of local public input. In addition, Virginia law requires the Board to adopt the plan by ordinance in public session, and Virginia law provides citizens and news media representatives with access to public records pursuant to the Virginia Freedom of Information Act.

VA Redistricting website
VAAP Redistricting Portal
Virginia congressional and state legislative
redistricting process begins

CENSUS 2010



BE COUNTED - Voice of Vietnamese Americans

CAMPAIGN 2010

C-span politics

United States Elections, 2010

Election Protection
Federal Election Commission
Legislatures and Elections
United States Senate Elections 2010
US House of Representatives Elections 2010
Voter Registration Online

LY RƯỢU MỪNG



Đàn Chim Việt



Blog Archive

► 2011 (59)
▼ 2010 (291)

INFORMATION ACT

In order to obtain input from the public, in 1991 and 2001, the Board established an advisory citizen committee in each of these redistricting and those committees included representatives from each district and a number of representatives from various community organizations who were nominated to serve on those committees. In a series of evening meetings, these committees met and prepared a number of alternatives that were later considered by the Board. The meetings of those advisory citizen committees were open, and a number of observers and interested persons attended and contributed to the success of those committees. A similar procedure is recommended for 2011.

More specifically, staff recommends that the Board appoint an advisory committee to consider possible redistricting plans and to recommend redistricting options to the Board.

The members of that advisory committee could be appointed by the Board as early as November 16, but staff expects that most members would be appointed by the Board from nominations provided by Board members and community interest groups on either December 7, 2010, or January 11, 2011. Staff also expects that advisory committee to begin meeting in January, and those meetings could continue well into March. The time requirements for committee members will be extensive. Committee members should expect to meet once or twice a week with County support staff to develop the redistricting plans that the committee will present to the Board for consideration. The committee should submit its report and recommendations to the Board by mid-March 2011. After considering the advisory committee's report and such other information as the Board desires, the Board would then be in a position to advertise those plans that it wishes to consider for possible adoption by the Board on April 26, 2011.

Second, the Board has assigned this issue to the Legislative Committee. With direction from the Legislative Committee, the County Executive's legislative staff will monitor proposed election changes at the 2011 General Assembly to keep the Board apprised of the state situation. The Office of the County Executive, the Office of the County Attorney, and the Department of Information Technology (DIT) will provide primary staff support to the local redistricting effort, together with demographic support from the Department of Neighborhood and Community Services (DNCS). The Office of the County Executive will coordinate the overall effort, but because of the extensive legal requirements on redistricting, the Office of the County Attorney will be involved. All data processing and mapping will be done by DIT. Similar staffing arrangements were used successfully in the County's 1991 and 2001 redistricting.

Third, in regard to scheduling, when the Board redistricted in 1991, the elections for the Board were held later that same calendar year, as will happen in 2011. The schedule described below is similar to the redistricting schedule used in 1991.

Redistricting Schedule

The General Assembly probably will reschedule the 2011 primary elections now scheduled for June 14. Also, the General Assembly has not yet scheduled its own redistricting activities, so given those uncertainties, staff cannot propose a firm schedule of events at this time. Moreover, the Board may need to address the committee recommendations in April while the budget is under consideration.

Nevertheless, for present planning purposes, staff has prepared the following preliminary schedule for County redistricting. Please note that this schedule is based on an expectation that the General Assembly will move the June primary elections into mid-August:

Task Date

Census population count April 1, 2010

Board adopts general redistricting process, November 16, 2010
staffing arrangements, and resolution

Board appoints committee members December 7, 2010

Census reports data to the U.S. President December 31, 2010

Advisory Citizen Committee begins to Mid-January 2011 meet for initial briefings and software training by County staff

Census data given to Virginia February 2011

Advisory Citizen Committee prepares February and early-March 2011
plans and recommendations

Advisory Citizen Committee presents Mid-March 2011
report with alternative plans to the Board

Board public hearing on recommendations March 29, 2011

Board adopts redistricting plan April 26, 2011

Board adopted plan submitted to the April 29, 2011

U.S. Attorney General for federal
preclearance pursuant to Section 5
asking for expedited consideration

Federal preclearance complete and June 2011
the Board plan becomes effective

Primary elections for the Board and June 14, 2011
certain state and local offices (expected to change to mid-August)

Notes: Staff of Elections Bureau by June and July 2010

- ▶ December (17)
- ▼ November (31)
 - ĐẠI HOÀ MẮT NƯỚC - VOICE OF VIETNAMESE PEOPLE AGA...
 - VVA Radio Message on Nov 29, 2011
 - American Constitution Society Discussion on Arizona...
 - Happy Thanksgiving from Voice of Vietnamese Americ...
 - VVA's Radio Message on Nov 22 - Happy Thanksgiving...
 - Letter to Senator Webb supporting unemployment ins...
 - Vietnamese Americans in Virginia request Senator W...
 - Senate and House Introduce The Vietnam Human Right...
 - Cao Introduces Vietnam Democracy Promotion Act of ...
 - Letter to Sen. Warner supporting unemployment ins...
 - Fairfax County, VA: Board Approves Process, Schedu...**
 - VVA Radio Message Monday November 15 - 2010
 - VVA Congratulates Democracy Leader Aung San Suu Ky...
 - CSPAN: The SEQUOIA SUMMIT 2010
 - Thông cáo Đảng Cộng sản Đông Dương tự ý giải tán, ...
 - ASEAN Chair Issues Statement on Myanmar Elections
 - VVA Appreciation Letter to Senator Webb on Veterans...
 - Voice of Vietnamese Americans Statement in Honor o...
 - VETERANS DAY: WE THANK OUR VETERANS FOR PRESERVING...
 - VVA RADIO MESSAGE NOV 8TH - POST ELECTION ANALYSIS...
 - Remarks by the President to the Joint Session of t...
 - VVA Congratulates Cindy Truong, District Judge-Ele...
 - CSPAN: CQ-Roll Call 2010 Midterm Election Review Sen. McConnell; Will work to keep Obama from a 2nd...
 - Kính mời tham dự chiếu phim "The Lucky Few: The S...
 - A Vietnamese American - Cindy Truong - was electe...
 - President Obama Press Conference Today - The Obama...
 - BBC: Công viên người Mỹ gốc Việt không thành công 2010 Midterm Elections Results
 - VVA RADIO MESSAGE NOV 1 - 2010 - VOTE NOVEMBER 2ND ...
 - VOTE NOVEMBER 2ND - VOTER'S RIGHTS
- ▶ October (26)
- ▶ September (29)
- ▶ August (39)
- ▶ July (20)
- ▶ June (10)
- ▶ May (17)
- ▶ April (24)
- ▶ March (23)
- ▶ February (32)
- ▶ January (23)
- ▶ 2009 (170)

STAY CONNECTED AND BE INFORMED

AAPNote
 APEC
 ASEAN
 Asian American Press
 BBC in English
 BBC Tiếng Việt
 C-SPAN
 Census 2010
 CNN
 CNN Asia
 DCV online
 Economist

voters notified of election changes by June and July 2011

County Electoral Board staff

Absentee ballots mailed out to voters Early July

Primary elections for the Board and August 16 or 23, 2011

certain state and local offices (expected new date)

General elections for the Board and November 8, 2011
certain state and local offices

Board takes office using the new districts January 1, 2012

FISCAL IMPACT:

None. No additional personnel costs are anticipated, and most equipment and software that will be used are on hand or have been budgeted and ordered. The advisory citizen committee is expected to serve without compensation.

ENCLOSED DOCUMENTS:

Attachment 1 - Draft Redistricting Resolution
Attachment 2 - Draft Composition of Citizen Committee Members
STAFF:

Anthony H. Griffin, County Executive
 David Molchany, Deputy County Executive
 Susan E. Mittereder, Legislative Director, Office of the County Executive
 Wanda Gibson, Director, DIT
 Gordon Jarratt, Director, Enterprise Systems Division, DIT
 Thomas J. Conry, Chief, Mapping Services Branch, DIT
 Edgardo Cortés, General Registrar
 Anne Cahill, Chief Demographer, DNCS
 David P. Bobzien, County Attorney
 Michael Long, Deputy County Attorney
 Erin C. Ward, Assistant County Attorney

Attachment 1
- RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS -
Draft of October 28, 2010

At a regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County, Virginia, held in the Board Auditorium of the Fairfax County Government Center at 12000 Government Center Parkway in Fairfax, Virginia, on Tuesday, November 16, 2010, at which a quorum was present and voting, the following resolution was adopted in public session.

Whereas, Fairfax County, Virginia, is required to consider reapportionment every ten years; and

Whereas, the U.S. Census Bureau is expected to soon release the 2010 Census that will indicate that there have been sufficient population changes within Fairfax County, Virginia, to warrant a reapportionment of the Board of Supervisors of the County; and

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors has the power to apportion the election districts of the governing body in accordance with federal and state laws; now therefore it be,

Resolved that the Board of Supervisors supports and adopts the following:

Goal

The goal for the Fairfax County Board of Supervisors is to reapportion the governing body of the County during calendar year 2011. As part of that process, the Board will consider the existing election districts for the members of the Board and proposed revisions to those districts. That process will be completed and approved in accordance with federal and state law.

Criteria

1. The governing body of the County must be composed of one elected at-large chairman and a fixed number of members who are elected from singlemember districts. (219)

2. The Board will adopt election districts composed of contiguous and compact territory and which shall be so constituted as to give, as nearly as is practicable, representation in proportion to the population of the district.

3. The Board will adopt election districts with clearly observable boundaries, as Virginia law defines that term.

4. The Board will comply with the federal Voting Rights Act of 1965, as amended.

Policies

1. The Board will consider plans that maintain open election districts as well

facebook

- Far Eastern Economic Review
- Giáo Hội Phật Giáo Việt Nam Thống Nhất
- Giáo-O
- Hoa Thịnh Đôn Việt Báo online
- Hội Thánh Tin Lành
- Le Monde Diplomatique
- Library of Congress - Asian Division Friends Society
- Mạng Lưới Nhân Quyền Việt Nam
- Người Việt
- Nhân Văn
- Nhạc - Bến Xưa
- Official Site of the Governor of Virginia
- Phủ Sa
- Phật Giáo Hòa Hảo
- Radio Free Asia - RFA
- Radio Vietnam Hải Ngoại
- taimvas blog
- The New York Times
- The Strait Times
- The United States House of Representatives
- The United States Senate
- The Virginia General Assembly**
- The Wall Street Journal
- The Washington Post**
- The White House
- Thông Luận
- Thời Đại Mới
- Tiền Vệ
- twitter
- Tạp chí Da Màu - Văn chương không biên giới
- US - China Economic and Security Review Commission
- Viet Catholic
- Viet Messenger
- Viễn Việt Học
- Việt Báo
- Việt Nam Thư Quán
- VOA - Voice of America
- X - CAFE VN
- Ấn Máy Văn Chương
- Dàn Chim Việt
- Độc Trung

Followers



with Google Friend Connect

Followers (15)



Already a member? Sign in

Liu Xiaobo Discusses Freedom of Expression in China



1. The Board will consider plans that maintain the election districts as well as any other plans that propose a lawful number of election districts.
2. The Board will not consider election district proposals which would result in a maximum population deviation between election districts that is ten percent or greater.
3. The Board will consider existing geographical and political boundaries, which shall include, but not be limited to, the utilization of incorporated town boundaries.
4. The Board will consider established communities of interest that shall include, but not be limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interests. Present and planned use of land and public facilities are relevant to defining communities of interest.
5. The Board will consider existing districts and incumbent representation on local public bodies that may be affected by reapportionment.
6. The Board encourages plans that are based on existing voting precinct boundaries, and where changes are necessary, precincts shall be divided along Census block boundaries.
7. The Board encourages interested persons to submit reapportionment plans to the County. Proponents of any plan shall submit appropriate maps of proposed election districts and statistical summaries and analyses. The statistical analyses shall show the population deviation between election districts and any retrogressive effect on racial or language minority groups. (220)
8. The Board encourages interested persons to submit proposals for amending or revising any reapportionment plan that has been submitted to the County. Proponents of any such amendment or revision shall submit appropriate maps showing the proposed changes and statistical summaries and analyses. The statistical analyses shall show the population deviation between election districts and any retrogressive effect on racial or language minority groups.
9. Notwithstanding Policies 7 and 8 above, the Board encourages public comments or recommendations from interested persons on any plan or change to plan that has been submitted.
10. All of the foregoing criteria shall be considered in the reapportionment process, but population equality among districts and compliance with federal and state constitutional requirements and the federal Voting Rights Act of 1965, as amended, shall be given priority in the event of conflict among the criteria.

GIVEN under my hand this day of November 2010.

Nancy Vehrs
Clerk to the Board of Supervisors
\\s17prolaw01\Documents\104659\WHL\318272.doc

(
Posted by Ngọc Giao at 7:14 AM
Labels: Community of Interest, Compactness, Congressional District, Contiguous, Gerrymandering, Mapping, One man one vote, Redistricting, Section 5, Voters Rights

0 comments:

Post a Comment

Empty comment text area

Comment as: Select profile...

Post Comment Preview

Newer Post

Home

Older Post

Subscribe to: Post Comments (Atom)

Annandale VA

Annandale news, covering redevelopment, community planning, schools, transportation, businesses, restaurants, crime, parks, local government, shopping, entertainment, and neighborhoods.



The Annandale anaconda checks out the menu outside the Burger King on Columbia Pike.

RECENT COMMENTS

[Anonymous wrote...](#)

I agree, it is a mangey doggie

[Anonymous wrote...](#)

It must be the Annandale groundhog. Very rare. Only comes out on April 1...

[Anonymous wrote...](#)

The plan is to divide the community along Heritage Drive, right through the middle of our civic...

[Continue >>](#)

[Anonymous wrote...](#)

@anonymousHow so?

SHARE IT

[Share this on Facebook](#)
[Tweet this](#)

[Get more badges for your site](#)

Annandale VA blog featured on Outside In's "Bloggers We Love" series.

Contact us: ashford.annandale@gmail.com

ABOUT ANNANDALE VA

This blog was created to encourage dialogue about issues and events that affect Annandale, Va., residents.

TUESDAY, DECEMBER 14, 2010

Mason District could gain precincts in redistricting process

When the borders of Fairfax County's magisterial districts get adjusted next year as part of the required redistricting process, Mason district could possibly gain some precincts from adjacent ones, such as Lee, Braddock, or Providence.

Mason Supervisor Penny Gross said, it's too early to tell, but she suspects Mason's population has grown somewhat although it is likely to be still under the population threshold. "I can't speculate which direction it would go," Gross said.

Redistricting will be based on the 2010 census conducted last April, but that data won't be available until February 2011. Gross said the population threshold for each district is expected to be about 117,000. The 2009 population for Mason District was 104,261, and the 2010 population had been projected to be 104,785.

Virginia law requires local governments to consider redistricting every 10 years. Last month, the Fairfax County Board of Supervisors approved a process and schedule for carrying out redistricting among its nine districts in 2011. According to the timetable approved by the board, it plans to adopt a redistricting plan on April 26, 2011, in time to submit it to the U.S. attorney general for review by the deadline at the end of April 2010.

The U.S. Supreme Court requires a population deviation between districts of not more than 10 percent. Virginia law also requires election districts to follow clearly defined and observable boundaries, such as a road or body of water more than 40 feet wide. And local redistricting must comply with the federal Voting Rights Act of 1965, which prohibits voting practices that discriminate against minorities.

The Board of Supervisors also approved the establishment of an advisory committee to consider possible redistricting plans and present recommendations to the board in mid-March. Public hearings have been scheduled for March 29.

The committee will include representatives from each of the county's nine districts; two at-large members; and a representative from the Democratic Party, Republican Party, Fairfax County Chamber of Commerce, Federation of Citizens Associations, League of Women Voters, African-American community, Hispanic community, and Asian/Pacific Islander community.

Gross appointed Annandale attorney Robert Schwanager as the Mason District representative on the redistricting committee. Schwanager is also a commissioner on the Fairfax County Redevelopment and Housing Authority.

Data from the 2010 census will also be used to reapportion representation in the U.S. House of Representatives and state legislatures. The General Assembly is expected to move the 2011 primary elections from June 14 to sometime in August to provide more time for the redistricting process.

Posted by Around Annandale at 10:08 AM
Labels: elections, Gross, Mason District

0 comments:

Post a Comment

SUBSCRIBE TO

Posts

Comments

SEARCH THIS BLOG

Search powered by Google

COMMUNITY CALENDAR

- April 6 - Northern Virginia College Fair, for high school juniors, George Mason University Patriot Center, 6:30-8:30 p.m.
- April 6 - Town Hall Meeting on Concussions in Sports, presented by the Fairfax County Athletic Council, White Oaks Elementary School cafeteria, 7 p.m.
- April 7 - Mason District Council workshop on building community, Mason District Government Center, 7 p.m.
- April 7, 8, 9, and 15 - "The Music Man," JEB Stuart High School, all shows at 7 p.m., except April 16, which is 2:15 p.m., students \$8, adults \$10.
- April 8 - Live and Silent Auction, Sleepy Hollow Elementary School, 6:30-9 p.m.
- April 9 - Watershed Clean-Up Day. Meet at Roundtree Park on Annandale Road, 9-11:30 a.m. Call Hidden Oaks Nature Center, 703/941-1065.
- April 9 - Plant Sale, Green Spring Gardens, 9 a.m.-1 p.m.
- April 9 - Community Clothing Sale, St. Alban's Episcopal Church, 9 a.m.-12 p.m.
- April 9 - Consignment sale, sponsored by Northern Virginia Parents of Multiples; children's clothing, equipment, toys, etc.; Luther Jackson Middle School, Falls Church, 9 a.m.-1 p.m.
- April 9 - Del. Kaye Kory office hours, Thomas Jefferson Library, 10:30- a.m.-12 p.m.
- April 9 - Techstravaganza, a science, technology, math, and engineering exploration day, designed for upper elementary and middle school students, Thomas Jefferson High School for Science and Technology, 12-4 p.m.
- April 10 - Full-service English tea celebrating the National Cherry Blossom Festival, presentation on the Kyoto Costume Institute, Green Spring Gardens, 1-3 p.m. \$27.
- April 10 - Spring Eggstravaganza Puppet Show, ages 4-8, Hidden Oaks Nature Center, 2 p.m. \$5. Call 703/222-4664.
- April 10 - Fun day of activities for kids to support Japan relief efforts. Hapmudo's after-school program facility, 4127 Meadow Court, Annandale, 2-6 p.m., \$30, 703-3300.
- April 13 - Braddock District Council Legislative Forum, Robinson Secondary School, Blue Cafeteria, 7:30-9 p.m.
- April 14 - Annandale Chamber of Commerce Luncheon, "Update on Annandale Real Estate," Juke Box Diner, 12-1:30 p.m. \$20 members, \$25 non-members. 703/256-7232.

For information about advertising opportunities:

lose weight

Search

[HOME](#) [Video News](#) [Exclusive News](#) [Our Services](#) [Submit Press Release](#)



QUICK LINKS: [Automotive](#) | [Business](#) | [Computer](#) | [Education](#) | [Health](#) | [Home & Family](#) | [Lifestyle](#) | [Technology](#) | [Business Trade Insights](#)

You are here: [Home](#) > [News & Society](#) > [Social Services](#) > ETHNIC COMMUNITIES IN VIRGINIA HOST REDISTRICTING SEMINAR IN FAIRFAX ON JAN...

ETHNIC COMMUNITIES IN VIRGINIA HOST REDISTRICTING SEMINAR IN FAIRFAX ON JAN 7, 2011

January 4, 2011 | Social Services news in Falls Church, Virginia, United States of America

767 [Print](#) [Email to Friends](#)

[Facebook](#) [Twitter](#)

Fairfax, VA - On Friday January 7th, ethnic communities in Virginia will be hosting a Redistricting Seminar at 10:00am at the Fairfax Government Center Auditorium, 12000 Fairfax Government Center Pkwy

Ads by Google [Fairfax County](#) [Virginia](#) [Fairfax VA](#) [School Fairfax](#)

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

Falls Church, Virginia, United States of America

(Free-Press-Release.com) January 4, 2011 --

Fairfax, VA - On Friday January 7th, ethnic communities in Virginia will be hosting a Redistricting Seminar at 10:00am at the Fairfax Government Center Board Room, 12000 Fairfax Government Center Parkway, Fairfax, VA 22035.

Chairman Bulova of Fairfax County has graciously agreed to address the public about redistricting process in the state as well as in the county. Among presenters are Deputy Fairfax County Attorney Michael Long, and Mr. David

Poole from the Virginia Public Access Project. Mr. James Whitehorse, Assistant Chief of the Redistricting Data Office at the Census Bureau will be offering supporting information. Senator Janet Howell, Chair of the VA Senate Redistricting Committee will be participating in a panel about how the process works. Northern Virginia state Senators and Delegates are invited to meet the public before starting their session in Richmond the second week of January.

While redistricting is an exciting democratic process, it can create lots of anxiety and confusion for uninformed citizens. Redistricting 2011 in Virginia, in particular, is happening in the same year as our 2011 General Assembly Elections. Besides the obvious fact that challenges will arise due to the time constraints, the significant population shifts resulting from foreclosures and anti-immigration laws being applied and enforced in Virginia, the most concern has been clashing of party lines.

Virginia is facing the toughest political balancing act in the country. Bipartisan or nonpartisan redistricting has long been a goal of good-government advocates, as partisan redistricting — in which a majority party can control the process — may lead to fewer competitive districts, lower voter turnout, and a deeper partisan divide among legislators.

The purpose of this community forum is to educate the public of the redistricting process, as well as to keep citizens informed of timelines and accessibilities of data, hearings, committees, to promote transparency and bipartisan redistricting. Ethnic communities also focus on protecting the boundaries of their community of interests.

The organizations hosting this event are Coalition of Asian Pacific Americans in Virginia (CAPAVA), League of Woman Voters of Virginia (LWV-VA), Virginia Coalition of Latino Organizations (VACOLAO), and Voice of Vietnamese Americans (VVA). Together with many other organizations, they have recently hosted The First Virginia Immigrant Advocates Summit on October 27th in Northern Virginia.

The event is free and open to the public. RSVP to redistrictingseminar@gmail.com

###

For more information, please contact Genle Nguyễn at 703-593-7182 .

[free-press-release.com](#) [Redistricting](#) [Voice of Vietnamese Americans](#) [CAPAVA](#) [VACOLAO](#) [League of Women Voters](#) [Gerrymandering](#) [bipartisan redistricting](#) [voting rights](#)

Share | [Facebook](#) [Twitter](#) [LinkedIn](#)

Contact Information

 **Name:** Redistricting Seminar
Company: Voice of Vietnamese Americans
Email: ***@gmail.com

Hot Topics

[Focus Topics](#) [More Topics](#)

- > [human rights](#)
- > [bar association](#)
- > [Criminal Records](#)
- > [Public Records](#)
- > [Foster Care](#)
- > [youth development](#)
- > [social welfare](#)
- > [Medical Care](#)
- > [nursery school](#)
- > [dul lawyer](#)

United States of America

[Us Main Cities](#)

- > [Brooklyn](#)
- > [Atlanta](#)
- > [Denver](#)
- > [Portland](#)
- > [Miami](#)
- > [Las Vegas](#)
- > [Seattle](#)
- > [San Jose](#)
- > [Kendall Park](#)
- > [Houston](#)
- > [Chicago](#)
- > [Philadelphia](#)
- > [Minneapolis](#)
- > [Los Angeles](#)
- > [Phoenix](#)
- > [San Diego](#)
- > [San Francisco](#)
- > [Dallas](#)
- > [New York](#)
- > [Washington](#)

India, Republic of

[India Main Cities](#)

- > [Indore](#)
- > [NOIDA](#)
- > [Ahmedabad](#)
- > [Bangalore](#)
- > [Coimbatore](#)
- > [Bhopal](#)
- > [Lucknow](#)
- > [Nagpur](#)
- > [Pune](#)
- > [Chandigarh](#)
- > [Jaipur](#)
- > [Hyderabad](#)
- > [Calcutta](#)
- > [Mumbai](#)
- > [Ghaziabad](#)
- > [Gurgaon](#)
- > [Cochin](#)
- > [ludhiana](#)
- > [Chennai](#)
- > [New Delhi](#)

More in News & Society

[News & Society](#) [Popular Industries](#)

- > [Affirmative Action](#)
- > [African American Interests](#)
- > [Asian Interests](#)
- > [Children's Issues](#)
- > [Civil Rights](#)
- > [Crime](#)
- > [Disabled Issues / Disabilities](#)

Tuesday, February 8, 2011

Fairfax County's growth brings with it greater diversity

Redistricting groups to draw political boundaries based Census data

by Kali Schumitz | Staff Writer

Email this article | Print this article

Although Fairfax County's 11 percent growth since 2000 might seem paltry when compared with some of its neighbors, new data from the U.S. Census Bureau reveals Virginia's largest county changed in other ways during the past decade.

Fairfax County's population is more diverse than it was at the time of the 2000 census, and more people have moved to the western and southern edges of the county.

Fairfax is getting close to becoming a majority-minority county, with about 55 percent of residents identifying as white and not of Hispanic origin. In the 2000 census, 64 percent of the county population identified as white and not of Hispanic origin.

Asians represent the largest minority group in the county, at more than 17 percent of the total population, followed by Hispanics at 16 percent and blacks at 9 percent. In 2000, 13 percent of county residents were of Asian heritage, 11 percent of county residents identified as Hispanic and about 9 percent identified as black.

The growth in Fairfax County's overall population has been among its minority residents, according to the census data. The number of people who identify as white and non-Hispanic went down by more than 30,000 in the past 10 years.

The U.S. Census Bureau released preliminary data from the 2010 census last week in order to allow state and local governments to begin their redistricting processes.


Under current political boundaries in the county, Sully District has the most residents, with 175,850, and Braddock District has the fewest, with 97,347. The county's Advisory Citizen Redistricting Committee will get to work this week in deciding how to adjust those political boundaries.

All county districts have gained residents since 2000. The highest rates of growth were primarily along the southern and western edges of the county, but Providence District, which is near the center of the county, also grew by about 13 percent, which is slightly higher than the overall county growth rate.

As long as the county maintains nine districts, each should have around 120,000 residents. Braddock, Dranesville, Mason, Mount Vernon and Springfield districts likely will need to expand their boundaries slightly, while Hunter Mill, Lee, Providence and Sully districts will need to get smaller. The deviation of population between districts can vary as much as 10 percent.

The citizen committee also could recommend adding a new district to accommodate growth.

The committee is expected to present its



jcpenny [view today's ad](#)

absolute LOWEST FRIDAY & SATURDAY
 prices of the spring

night & day **DOOR BUSTERS**
 friday 3pm-10pm
 saturday 9am-1pm

FEATURED AUTOS

1998 Toyota T100 SR5, auto, Ext Cab, 113K...

Chevrolet 2009 4x4, Z-71 Silverado 1500, Ext...

'00 Ford Explorer XLT, 4x4, well maintained. 1...

CORVETTES WANTED, any year, any condition, cash...

[See all auto ads](#)

TOP JOBS

- Fulfillment/ Warehouse International Book Co....
- Maintenance Technician Bolen Park Multiple...
- Warehouse Martin-Brow customized...
- Carriers Needed For Fric daytime delivery...

[See all](#)

The savings

E



Business Directo

Do you want more lo customers to be able find your business in Fairfax, VA? Sign up your premium busine listing, TODAY! Click [here](#) to advertise.

- [Fairfax Fitness Centers](#)
- [Fairfax Beauty Salo](#)
- [Fairfax Contractor](#)
- [Fairfax Weddings](#)
- [Fairfax Restaurant](#)
- [Fairfax Travel](#)
- [Fairfax Attorneys](#)
- [Fairfax Pet Supplie](#)
- [Fairfax Doctors](#)
- [Fairfax Shopping](#)

Browse the [full business directory](#)
 Powered by [Local.com](#)

[ALL UPDATES](#)[All Redistricting Updates](#) Redistricting Updates for: [Fairfax County](#)**Update: Fairfax Panel Agrees to Timetable**

Date: 02/17/2011

The Fairfax County Citizens Advisory Committee for Redistricting has agreed upon the following process and timetable for providing options for the Board of Supervisors.

Here are some key dates and actions (dates subject to change):

- February 22 – Committee workshop on maps
- February 24 – Committee meeting
- March 1 – Committee meeting
- March 2 – Last day for citizen submitted redistricting plans
- March 3 – Committee meeting
- March 4 – Deadline for Committee plan creations
- March 8 – Committee meeting
- March 10 – Committee meeting
- March 15 – Committee meeting
- March 16 – Committee meeting
- March 17 – End of Committee activities
- March 18 – Delivery of report to the Board of Supervisors
- March 29 – Board of Supervisors to authorize advertisement of public hearing
- April 12 – Board of Supervisors holds public hearing
- April 26 – Board of Supervisors reaches decision on redistricting
- April 27-29 – Fairfax County submits request to the U.S. Department of Justice for preclearance under federal Voting Rights Act (DoJ has 60 days to impose an objection)
- June 7-15 – Candidates for nomination by primary must file declaration of candidacy, petitions and receipts indicating payment of filing fees
- Before July 8 – Ballots must be printed
- July 8 – Ballots must be sent to military and overseas absentee voters and made available to all qualified absentee voters
- August 23 – Primary election date if any primaries are held for Board of Supervisors

ENTER FOR A CHANCE TO WIN
A COLONIAL WILLIAMSBURG GETAWAY OR \$1,000 CASH
VA-VACATION.COM

FairfaxTimes.com

[Weather](#) | [Traffic](#) | [Advanced Search](#)

JOBS | [Autos](#) | [Homes](#) | [Merchandise](#) | [Services](#) | [Announcements & Legals](#) | [Place an Ad](#) | [Calendar](#) | [Coupons](#) | [Contact Us](#) | [FAQs](#) | [RSS](#)

Wednesday March 16, 2011

Redistricting options abound for county

However little movement is expected when census data is factored in

by Kelli Schumitz | Staff Writer

[E-mail this article](#) | [Print this article](#)

Changes to Fairfax County's nine magisterial districts will likely be minimal, though county leaders have many different options to pick from.

A citizen advisory committee is forwarding 25 possible redistricting maps to the Fairfax County Board of Supervisors, including 19 different options for adjusting the boundaries of the existing districts, three options that add one or two new magisterial districts and three plans submitted by county residents who were not part of the 21-member panel.

This year's reapportionment process did not require major changes, said Katherine Hanley, the former secretary of the commonwealth and past chairwoman of the Fairfax County Board of Supervisors who led the advisory committee. The county had minimal growth during the past decade and that growth was spread fairly evenly throughout the county, she said.

"The county had not experienced enormous growth as it has in the past, so it turned out to be a smooth process," Hanley said.

Fairfax County's population grew by about 11 percent between the 2000 census and the 2010 census, to 1,081,726.

As long as the county maintains nine districts, each should encompass around 120,000 residents. To approach that target, Braddock, Dranesville, Mason, Mount Vernon and Springfield districts will all likely need to expand their geographic boundaries slightly, while Hunter Mill, Lee, Providence and Sully districts will need to get smaller, according to census data. The deviation of population between districts can vary up to 10 percent without requiring redistricting.

In addition to meeting the legal criteria for reapportionment -- that the districts are contiguous and as compact as possible, that they preserve things like town boundaries and "communities of interest," and they are close to being equal in size -- Fairfax County's citizen committee also aimed to minimize disruption to county residents and to limit the potential costs of implementing the new districts.

For example, Hanley said, they would try to avoid drawing an existing district office building out of its district so that the county wouldn't have to bear the costs of relocating an office.

Minimizing disruption to existing boundaries is important because people "have already developed relationships with their supervisors" and other elected and appointed officials in a given magisterial district, Hanley said.

For these reasons, the committee does not favor the options that add one or two magisterial districts, Hanley said, although they crafted those ideas as well.

jcpenney view today's ad
absolute LOWEST FRIDAY & SATURDAY
 prices of the spring
night & day DOOR BUSTERS
 Friday 3pm-10pm
 Saturday 9am-1pm

FEATURED AUTOS
 CORVETTES WANTED, any year, any condition, cash...
 '00 Ford Explorer XLT, 4x4, well maintained. 1...
 1998 Toyota T100 SR5, auto, Ext Cab, 113K...
 Chevrolet 2009 4x4, Z-71 Silverado 1500, Ext...
[See all auto ads](#)

TOP JOBS

ON-SITE SERVICES ASSOCIATE NRI is the premier...

Warehouse Martin-Brown customized...

Carpenters & Helpers Di decks & more. Tools...

Librarian, Teen The Loud County Public...

[See all...](#)

Save up to
\$2500
 with Milgard



Business Directo

Do you want more lo customers to be able find your business in Fairfax, VA? Sign up your premium busine listing, TODAY! [Click here](#) to advertise.

- [Fairfax Fitness Centers](#)
- [Fairfax Beauty Salr](#)
- [Fairfax Contractor](#)
- [Fairfax Wedding](#)
- [Fairfax Restaurant](#)
- [Fairfax Travel](#)
- [Fairfax Attorneys](#)
- [Fairfax Pet Supplie](#)
- [Fairfax Doctors](#)
- [Fairfax Shopping](#)

Browse the [full business directory](#)
 Powered by [Local.com](#)

The redistricting plans will be shared with the public via the county website in advance of an April 12 public hearing on the redistricting options.

The Board of Supervisors is expected to adopt the new boundaries at its April 26 board meeting in order to allow enough time for a mandatory 60-day review by the Department of Justice. They will then mail absentee ballots prior to the August primary elections. Absentee ballots need to be printed and mailed in early July.

kschumitz@fairfaxtimes.com

Affiliated Sites:

[Gazette.Net](#) | [Homes.Gazette.Net](#) | [dcmilitary.com](#) | [SoMdNews.Com](#)

Copyright © 2011 Post-Newsweek Media, Inc./FairfaxTimes.com
[Contact Us](#) | [About Us](#) | [Privacy Policy](#) | [FAQ](#) | [Place Classified Ad](#)



SEARCH



ADVANCED SEARCH

SECTIONS

News

- Editions -

County Could Add Supervisor's Seat

But 120,000 new residents likely to be absorbed into current supervisor districts.

By Nicholas M. Horrock

Thursday, March 24, 2011

SHARE

The Fairfax County Advisory Citizens Reapportionment Committee will forward 25 redistricting plans to the Board of Supervisors on March 29, but will recommend no reduction or addition of supervisory districts and will back only size and precinct changes, according to Katherine K. Hanley.

Hanley, chairwoman of the committee, said after its nearly two months of meetings, the commission voted not to add or subtract a district because the 120,000 population rise didn't warrant dramatic change "and the districts were not very out of balance."

She said the cost of creating a new district (estimated at \$1 million) would have come at time that the county is experiencing serious budget deficits.

She said the committee followed two principles on redistricting of county districts: "there is to be as little disruption of the lives of the people of Fairfax" as possible and disparity between district populations must be dramatic to warrant dramatic change.

"The districts were substantially all near the same level" and the "numbers didn't dictate huge upheavals."

In the new 2010 census, the largest district is Mount Vernon with a population of 127,501 and the smallest is Mason District with a population of 109,326.

Anne Kanter, who represented the League of Women Voters on the committee, said that the movement of voter precincts would be relatively small.

"Dranesville is likely to get one from Hunter Mill (second largest district with 126,594 people) and Mount Vernon will have to lose a precinct to Lee District," she said.

The committee received 25 plans for redistricting. Nineteen called for keeping the present 9 district size, two sought 10 districts and one recommended a plan for 11 districts.

The plans will go to the Board of Supervisors without names, Kanter said, to prevent the name of the author influencing the board decision.

All 25 plans, with a cover letter containing the committee's conclusions, will be delivered to the Board of Supervisors on March 29. The board will advertise all the plans and committee conclusions and a public hearing will be conducted on April 12.

On April 26, the board will adopt a redistricting plan. It can follow the committee's recommendations, choose any one of the 25 plans, or create its own plan.

Board of Supervisors Chairwoman Sharon Bulova (D-At-Large) has said she doubted that a new district would be created and there seemed no chance the number of districts would be reduced.

The timing from then on is crucial. Virginia is one of a few states with an election on the same year as the new census comes out. The new primary date moved from June to Aug. 23.

Fairfax County (and several other Virginia jurisdictions) must forward its plan to the Department of Justice under a section of the Voting Rights Act to have it certified. The DOJ has 60 days to respond.

Michael Long, office of the County Attorney, who worked on redistricting, said if it arrived by April 28, DOJ might return it by June 28. Ballots then could be printed.

Absentee ballots for all county residents abroad or overseas in the military must be ready by 45 days before the election or July 8, 2011.

Fairfax County has far less population growth than Loudoun and Prince William counties.

ONE GROUP that publicly sought to form a new district was the South Fairfax Chamber of Commerce which covers lower Mount Vernon and Lee districts. Chamber President Tom Riser said that in 1990 districts had some 90,000 citizens and supervisors could manage the needs of constituents far more completely. Now Mount Vernon has some 127,501 citizens which makes it a much larger administrative area.

Rise said Gerry Hyland, the current supervisor, is widely respected, but it will soon be time to redraw the lines.

"Gerry has been phenomenal juggler, but ... there is no way to represent your district well when you have 125,000 residents," Riser said.

Hyland confirmed recently that he would seek reelection.

But Riser said he had been briefed on how the committee had ruled and he was still fully satisfied. "It gave us a chance to call attention to the enormous growth down here and it will lay the base for the future."

Mount Vernon is expected to have continuing growth with many new residents coming in as a result of the military's base realignment program.

Kanter said she was the author of a plan to create 11 districts, not to the

No
1 r
day
at:
Viv
IB
FH
pay
C

Kariter said she was the author of a plan to create 11 districts, not as the League of Women Voters representative, but as an individual. She said the size of Fairfax districts, even with introduction of satellite "city halls" are too large for one supervisor to fully represent the interests of small groups. She said she found that Nashville, Tennessee and Davison County offered a better ratio. She said if she took Fairfax's 1,081,000 population and used the supervisor to citizen ratio in Nashville, there would have to be 45 supervisors. She said in the large Fairfax districts, major minority groups like Latino and African American are recognized, but smaller ethnic divisions are ignored.



©2011 Connection Newspapers. All Rights Reserved. Privacy Policy
1606 King Street ♦ Alexandria, VA 22314 ♦ 703-821-5050
Site Design, Development and Hosting by Timberlake Publishing

Now: Print Editions of The Connection Newspapers
are Available on our Web Site
Targeted to Suburban Washington's
Leading Communities





Serving the people of Fairfax County and Fairfax City Virginia

The League of Women Voters is a nonpartisan political organization encouraging informed and active participation in government. It influences public policy through education and advocacy.

[Contact Us](#) ...for more information.

On This Page:

[What's New & Upcoming Events](#) - [Current Month Program](#) - [LWVFA Ongoing Activities & Action](#) - [Who We Are & What We Do](#) - [JOIN US!](#)

What's New/Upcoming Events:

League Members and the public are continuing to give input on redrawing the lines for the U.S. Congress, Virginia Senate and House of Delegates and local jurisdictions in Virginia as a result of the the 2010 census numbers (called "redistricting and reapportionment"). For instance, on Saturday, April 2, at 10:00 AM, there is a hearing at the Fairfax County Government Center on the statewide lines. For more information about redistricting, see LWV-VA website <<http://www.LWV-VA.org>> for Redistricting 2011 Webpages.

Fairfax County is also drawing new magisterial and precinct lines; a hearing will be held on **April 12, 2011, 4:00 PM** at the Fairfax Co. Government Center to receive input from the public. Fairfax County redistricting information link is <<http://www.fairfaxcounty.gov/redistricting/>>

LWVFA Annual Meeting is Saturday, April 16, 10:00 a.m., at the Amphora Restaurant in Herndon. Tim Sargeant from Dominion Power (a supporter of LWVFA FACTS for Voters) will speak on "Tomorrow's Energy." See Calendar Section for directions; Click [here](#) for luncheon reservation form. The 63rd Annual Meeting Kit is also posted [here](#) -- you will need this information, proposed FY11-12 budgets, slate of nominees, etc. at Annual Meeting.

The 2011 Facts For Voters is now available! Click [here](#) for the online version. Click [here](#) to contact us for a copy or several copies.

April 2011

There are no unit meetings in April; please attend the LWVFA 63rd Annual Meeting on April 16, 2011, 10AM at the Amphora Restaurant in HERNDON, VA. See above for further information and luncheon reservation form; See Calendar page for directions to the restaurant.

Click [here](#) for the April Fairfax Voter.

Fairfax Area League Ongoing Activities

RECENT:

LWVFA presented input to the Fairfax County Board of Supervisors at the March 29, 2011 public hearing on the FY12 Advertised Budget. In the testimony, among other things, LWVFA urged the BOS to adopt the advertised property tax rate at \$1.09. Click [here](#) to read the entire testimony.

LWVFA GENERAL MEETING, JANUARY 22, 2011 AT CC OF FAIRFAX LWVFA's January's General Meeting, spotlighting the issue of domestic violence, featured local attorney Mehagen D. McRae, who spoke on the topic "Domestic Violence Today" on Saturday, January 22, at the Country Club of Fairfax on Ox Road (Route 123). Click [here](#) for the January Fairfax Voter with more information about the topic and LWVFA's ongoing study on the issue. There were no unit meetings in January.

On January 16, 2011, The LWV of Fairfax Area and LWV of the Prince William Area showed "**Gerrymandering: The Movie**" at the Pohick Regional Library (6450 Sydenstricker Rd., Burke, VA 22015). Click [here](#) for more information.

On January 8, 2011, President Jane E. George testified to the Northern VA delegation of the General Assembly regarding LWVFA's concerns for the 2011 Session. Click [here](#) to read full testimony.

Ginger Fitz Shea's Letter to the editor about Fairfax schools was published in the Fairfax Connection on January 6, 2011; click [here](#) to read letter and school board vote on January 6 to allow the Superintendent to set the school day.

Ginger Fitz Shea testified on behalf of LWVFA to the Fairfax County School Board at their December 2 meeting regarding its changing FCPS Policy 3415 [that allows the School Board to set the length of the school day. To read the entire statement, click [here](#).

- [HOME](#)
- [CALENDAR](#)
- [WHERE WE MEET](#)
- [FFX LEAGUE IN ACTION](#)
- [SUFFRAGIST MEMORIAL \(TPSM\)](#)
- [ELECTIONS](#)
- [PUBLICATIONS](#)
- [ABOUT LWV](#)
- [JOIN LWV](#)
- [DONATIONS](#)
- [CONTACT US](#)

Visit our [Fairfax League in Action](#) page to read about more activities of the Fairfax League of Women Voters.

ONGOING:

Weekly Leaders' Update from LWVUS Click [here](#) to read news from LWVUS, including the weekly Leaders' Update, brief summaries of LWVUS/EF Board Meeting Discussions, Conventions, Councils and Guidance to the Board.

WOMEN'S LEGISLATIVE ROUNDTABLE a non-partisan forum for the discussion of public policy issues and the legislation to address them that meets on Wednesdays during the G.A.Session, hosted by the LWV of Virginia. The first WRT meeting of the 2011 Session is January 19. To learn more about the Women's Legislative Roundtable and the Pre-Session Meeting, click [here](#).

Who We Are & What We Do

The League of Women Voters of the Fairfax Area is a nonpartisan political organization that encourages informed and active participation in government, works to increase understanding of major public policy issues, and influences public policy through education and advocacy.

- We discuss & study issues of local, national, state & regional concern. We inform ourselves, legislators & the general public about the results of our research.
- We lobby for passage of laws we support & for the defeat of those we oppose, based on League positions.
- We monitor elections & government activities.
- We sponsor candidate debates & community forums about vital issues.
- We testify at legislative & administrative hearings to insure citizen input in policy making decisions.
- We publish & distribute issue-oriented material.
- We advocate, we occasionally go to court to help clarify & enforce laws in the public interest.
- We produce & distribute Voters' Guides to help citizens to choose candidates & to be prepared to vote on ballot questions.
- We get INVOLVED! Join us in lively conversations & interesting issues!

The League does not support any political party or candidate.

JOIN US!

Membership in the League of Women Voters is open to anyone -- men and women -- age 18 and older. JOIN US - [click here](#) for an application form.

The League of Women Voters of the Fairfax Area (LWVFA)
4026 Hummer Road
Suite 214
Annandale, VA 22003-2403
703-658-9150
[\[email the league\]](#)

Privacy Policy: We do not use cookies, nor do we collect information about users. Any form data is used only to satisfy the purpose of that form (for example, send an email in response to a question).

Comments, suggestions, questions? Contact our [webmaster](#). Last revised: April 6, 2011 10:30 PDT.

Generated with [League Easy Web 1.0](#) a product of the League of Women Voters of California.

© Copyright The League of Women Voters of the Fairfax Area (LWVFA), Virginia. All rights reserved.

The Neighborhood Files

Huntington Community Association Talks Area Developments, Redistricting

Huntington must amend conservation plan for A&R development at Biscayne Avenue to move forward

By Erica Laxson | [Email the author](#) | April 9, 2011



Major developments—both in Huntington and down Richmond Highway—and county redistricting were issues discussed Thursday night at the monthly [Huntington Community Association](#) meeting.

[A&R Company](#) plans to develop a mixed use apartment complex on the corner of Biscayne Drive and Huntington Avenue.

Ray Novitske relayed information from the Huntington Conservation Plan Committee to the HCA about how the A&R development could fit into Huntington's plan.

"Because we are in a conservation district, A&R cannot move forward until we amend our conservation plan," Novitske said. "We reached a consensus to move forward, but not at the expense of our neighborhood, at the expense of all we've done."

Unlike the majority of communities in Virginia, Huntington has a conservation plan that requires development to conform to certain stipulations, but amendments can be voted in. Novitske explained the options on the table include amending the plan to allow construction or amending the plan to allow a Fairfax County comprehensive plan to supersede the Huntington plan.

"It's one of the hurdles along the way they have to overcome," president Georgie Evans said. "The county is interested in A&R developing the whole block, but as we've seen, it isn't possible because of the current owners. Once both sides agree, yes, it will be 90 days until the amendments would be in place."

Novitske also gave updates on area development plans discussed at the [Mount Vernon Council of Citizens' Associations \(MVCCA\)](#) planning and zoning meeting held Monday. County redistricting is currently being worked on. Huntington is included as part of Mount Vernon in some plans, but it moves into Lee District in other potential plans.

Costco revealed its zoning plan for a proposed site on Richmond Highway at Sherwood Hall Lane at the meeting to mixed reviews.

"It's a full service Costco, a regular sized Costco," Novitske said. "Transportation reform will have to be made, because of the traffic, before anything will be done though."

The company that developed the new Walmart at Richmond Highway and North Kings Highway also proposed building two free standing restaurants in the parking lot. MVCCA transportation representative Adam Froehlig said VDOT must make improvements to Richmond Highway in the future, or the developers can pay VDOT to work on it now.

"They're going to extend the left turn lane into the Walmart. It's really only enough room for one and a half more cars," Froehlig said. "The traffic won't change unless something major is done about the intersection."

Froehlig also reported that a resolution to implement a transportation impact fee was approved. The resolution is now in the hands of the Fairfax County Board of Supervisors and will be voted on at the

next meeting.

"It would allow the county to tax for "reasonable improvement" to roadways," Froehlig said. "They see it as another stream of revenue for projects."

To end the meeting, Evans encouraged attendees to support the frequent trash pickup opportunities around the community and commended local residents for their hard work. Evans also passed around information about the [Huntley Meadows](#) Earth Day clean up and a statewide [invasive plant removal project](#) on May 7.

"Some residents pick up trash every day," Evans said. "If you see someone out there with a bag of trash, give them a toot, a wave, a high five," Evans said. "It really makes a difference when our community is clean."

Comments (3)

M. Ellsworth

2:21pm on Sunday, April 10, 2011

Why can't any permit for Costco to build include a requirement that they cover the cost for road improvements? Why should residents pay more for a commercial enterprise they may or may not use?

Adam Froehlig

7:06am on Monday, April 11, 2011

Correction: the transportation impact fee resolution now goes to the MVCCA board for their approval. After that, it'll go to the Board of Supervisors.



P Brian C. Krebs

9:05am on Monday, April 11, 2011

Thanks for clarifying, Adam.

Government

County and State Redistricting Effects on Burke

Local officials and candidates for office comment on the proposed redistricting plans for Fairfax County and the state legislature.

By [Rachael Dickson](#) | [Email the author](#) | April 9, 2011



What do you think of the redistricting plans? [Tell us in the comments.](#)

The redistricting plans for both Fairfax County and the Virginia Legislature have been released recently, to mixed reactions. Local legislators had differing opinions on how the plans would affect the Burke-West Springfield area.

Springfield District Supervisor Pat Herrity said that only six or seven precincts would change districts county-wide in the current Fairfax County redistricting plan.

"I think we as a county did a very good job redistricting," Herrity said. "It was a very good process, with citizen input."

In the Springfield District, Herrity expects to lose Eagle View and possibly Monument, while potentially picking up other precincts. Braddock District Supervisor John Cook said that his district is expected to remain basically the same, though it may pick up Eagle View and Monument from Springfield.

Both of these Republican Supervisors decried the state-wide redistricting plans released last week.

"What they did was clearly political on both sides," Herrity said.

He was particularly upset over the proposed Senate dividing of Popes Head into two districts (37th and 34th), which would result in members of the same district voting for two separate state senators. Herrity called the dividing of the district an expensive decision that was ripe for error.

"It's confusing to people in line who are talking about who they're voting for," Cook agreed.

Democratic Senator Dave Marsden in the 37th district had different thoughts on the redistricting plans.

"It makes for more competitive seats in Northern Virginia," Marsden said. "Some of the overly Democratic seats have become more balanced and some of the more Republican seats have become more balanced. At the end of the day, it works out pretty well."

He said his district would move across the beltway under the new plan.

"I think that's a good thing," Marsden said. "I think the legislator's role is to knit the commonwealth together and find solutions for everybody instead of digging in for one particular group or another. I'm looking forward to it."

He mentioned his childhood home, which was Woodburn Elementary back in the 1890s, would then come into his district. He did not ask for the area, but said he thinks that's "kinda neat."

"It's all in the same county," Marsden said. "It's not like we're going across jurisdictions."

One of the candidates for the Republican nomination to run against Marsden, Republican Jason Flanary, had a decidedly different opinion on the plan for the 37th district.

"It is the worst case of partisan gerrymandering I've ever seen in Virginia politics," Flanary said. "This new district stretches from Centreville to Annandale. It's very long and narrow."

He said however that if he won the seat, the potential changes in the district would not change his approach to the position.

"The issues in Annandale are the same as Centreville and Braddock as well," Flanary said, citing jobs, transportation, education and keeping government small as his continuing priorities.

Delegate Eileen Filler-Corn of the 41st district, which covers all of Burke and parts of West Springfield and Fairfax, said that her district has gained some precincts.

"We were short almost 11 percent of the target population," Filler-Corn said. "We knew we were going to get additional population and pick up new precincts further into Fairfax County."

The 41st district lost three and a half precincts, including Orange, Sangster, Woodyard, and half of Pohick. She gained half of Woodson, along with it, Woodson High School.

"I believe they really did try to respect communities of interest," Filler-Corn said. "It's a hard thing to draw on a redistricting map. Most people outside of the community don't know where the communities of interest are."

She said she was adamant that Burke be kept under the same precinct.

"No plan is perfect," Filler-Corn said, mentioning that by losing Orange Hunt, she was losing the elementary school her children attended.

A public hearing on the reports suggested Fairfax County redistricting plans on April 12. You can look at all the proposed county redistricting maps at <http://www.fairfaxcounty.gov/redistricting>. State redistricting plans can be checked out at <http://redistricting.dls.virginia.gov/2010/RedistrictingPlans.aspx>. To look at plans on the map, click on Map at the top, then use the drop-down box to choose whether you want to look at Senate or House plans. You'll have to zoom into Fairfax County manually. You can then overlay the current districting with the proposed plans to see how they've changed.



Reaching the most affluent audience in the Washington D.C. Metro Area.

Print Page

Close Window [x]

Redrawing of Supervisor, School Board Districts Approaches Finish Line

(Created: Saturday, April 9, 2011 7:06 AM EDT)

The days are ticking down for county residents to have their say in the redrawing of Board of Supervisors and School Board districts.

Supervisors are slated to hold a public hearing on the recommended districts on April 12, and the board is scheduled to adopt a redistricting plan at its April 26 meeting. The new boundaries, based on population data from the 2010 census, will run for the coming 10 years and will be in effect for the Nov. 8 general election.

The Advisory Citizens Committee on the 2011 Reapportionment of the Board of Supervisors has recommended a number of variations on a basic redistricting plan, one that retains Fairfax's nine magisterial districts: Dranesville, Hunter Mill, Providence, Mason, Mount Vernon, Lee, Springfield, Braddock and Sully.

Under the plan, each of the nine districts are designed to have close to the "ideal" number of 120,192 residents, based on census figures. None of the proposed plans moves incumbent supervisors or School Board members out of their districts, and none of the plans would require the relocation of existing district offices.

The nine-seat plan is described by the committee as preferable, but options for 10- and 11-member districts also have been provided to the supervisors.

The 21-member committee, led by former Board of Supervisors Chairman Kate Hanley, delivered its recommendations on March 28.

For information on the public hearing or the redistricting process, see the county government's Web site at www.fairfaxcounty.gov.



[Members of the Virginia Press Association - View more Virginia News Click Here](#)

Copyright © 2011 Suburban Washington Newspapers Inc. What's your opinion? Send letters to gazettenews@acnpapers.com

The Washington Post

[Back to previous page](#)



Only minor shifts expected in Fairfax board boundaries

By Timothy Wilson, Sunday, April 10, 5:57 PM

Fairfax County voters will see changes in the shapes of their congressional and state districts, but not much is likely to change for the Board of Supervisors.

Despite an influx of more than 110,000 residents over the past decade, the county's nine supervisor districts might shift only slightly.

An advisory committee assembled to develop proposals for redistricting submitted a [report](#) last month, and a hearing is scheduled for 4 p.m. Tuesday at the county government center in Fairfax.

Each of the [22 proposals](#) submitted to the board of supervisors is intended to ensure that each district has roughly the same number of residents.

The board is scheduled to vote on a redistricting plan April 26.

Supervisor Jeff C. McKay (D-Lee), chairman of the legislative committee that oversees redistricting, said the report presents a range of options. The ideal plan, he said, would allow the districts to remain as close to their present boundaries as possible.

“Our goal from the beginning has been to minimize disruptions,” he said. “We work so intently at the

local level that we develop relationships with people. You want to continue that” as a supervisor.

Katherine K. Hanley, a former County Board chairman who was appointed to head the advisory committee, said that census data showed population growth had spread evenly throughout the county. “The magisterial districts were not out of balance,” she said.

The committee agreed that an ideal district size would contain about 120,000 residents, which is about 13,000 more per district than in the last redistricting process in 2001.

Under the committee’s plans, each district’s population could not vary by more than 10 percent from the other districts’. That means each could have no more than 126,000 people and no fewer than about 114,000.

Hanley said the 21-member committee considered other factors when devising a redistricting plan. One was an upcoming primary election, which created a short timeline in which to enact new boundaries. Another factor was the financial impact.

“There is some cost to implementing redistricting, no matter what,” Hanley said. “The recurring costs of adding a district was something the committee considered. There was a feeling that this is not the time. These are lean times.”

Among the 22 plans in the committee’s report are 19 nine-district plans, two 10-district plans and one 11-district plan.

The nine-district plans would retain the current number of supervisors. However, the number of precincts moved to redraw the boundaries ranged from four to 38. Twelve of the 19 plans call for moving fewer than nine precincts.

The two 10-district plans would create either a new southern district called Gunston, which would absorb portions of the Mount Vernon and Springfield districts, or a central district surrounding Fairfax City, which would absorb parts of the Braddock, Hunter Mill, Providence and Springfield districts.

The 11-district plan would create districts in Herndon, which would take portions of the Sully and Hunter Mill districts, and in the southwestern portion of the county, taking portions of the Sully, Braddock and Springfield districts.

The county has not added a district in 20 years, because, McKay said, there had not been enough growth to justify it.

Some supervisors say they think even fewer moves might be necessary.

Two plans that have drawn particular interest call for moving just six or seven precincts, which would reunite communities that were broken up 10 years ago.

Each plan would slightly shift the boundaries for seven supervisory districts. The boundaries for Sully and Lee districts would remain the same under both plans.

Rex Simmons, chairman of the Fairfax County Democratic Committee, said he was looking forward to the process ending soon. “We’re waiting so that we can go ahead with our nominations,” he said.

wilsontj@washpost.com

Sponsored Links

The World's "Next Oil"

Stock Investment with 1,000% Potential Gains.
www.smauthority.com

Mortgage Rates Hit 2.99%

If you owe under \$729k, you probably qualify for Gov't Refi Programs
www.SeeRefinanceRates.com

Scholarships for Moms

Grant Funding May Be Available to Those Who Qualify!
SeeCollegeDegrees.com/Grant

[Buy a link here](#)

© 2011 The Washington Post Company


Government

Supervisors Considering County Redistricting Options

Twenty-two options on the table.

By [Shawn Drury](#) | [Email the author](#) | April 13, 2011

1 of 1



This graph shows how much below or above each supervisory district is to its target population size. Credit Tracy Bank

While the statewide and federal efforts to redraw boundaries of voting districts have drawn accusations of gerrymandering, the redistricting that will take place this year in the county has drawn comparatively little criticism.

The lack of vigor was illustrated by the fact that only five speakers appeared before the Board of Supervisors yesterday to register their opinion on the county's redistricting plans.

Just as it is at the state and federal levels, redistricting in the county is mandated by law every 10 years in conjunction with the results of the United States Census.

The mandate requires a review of boundaries, but does not require that those boundaries be changed, though that seems likely this year.

The Board of Supervisors appointed an [Advisory Citizen Redistricting Committee](#) led by former Chairman of the Board of Supervisors Katharine Hanley. Hanley's committee featured members across party and racial lines, from each supervisory district. Hanley's group worked with the Board of Supervisor's Legislative Committee, which is chaired by Lee District Supervisor Jeff McKay.

All told, Hanley's committee put forth 22

different alternatives to address the population changes that have occurred in the county since the last redistricting in 2000. Of the 22, there are options which keep the number of districts at nine as well as options which increase the total to 10, and even 11.

At present, there is one supervisor per 120,192 citizens. Some feel that **ratio is too high and have called** for the creation of a 10th Supervisor District, either in the Tyson's Corner area or in Lorton.

McKay believes that a tenth district will come—in 2021. "Creating a new district would be extremely disruptive at this stage," he said. McKay then noted that redistricting comes with several restrictions, the most paramount of which might be to limit the amount of citizen disruption. Hanley's committee took this factor under consideration as none of the 22 plans offered will move current members of the Board of Supervisors or School Board out of their districts. Furthermore, plans should not unnecessarily break up neighborhoods and civic/homeowners' associations.

McKay said that another of the restrictions is that boundary changes cannot reflect anticipated population changes. Were that the case, Tyson's would almost certainly be earmarked for a tenth district, as it is projected to add up to 60,000 new residents in the next decade. As a means of comparison, the South County area—which includes Lorton—has added approximately 15-20,000, and its growth is believed to have capped.

That type of population spike is of concern to the League of Women Voters, whose representative Therese Martin, told the Board of Supervisors, that, "The districts are becoming too large...for all communities to feel represented."

McKay disagreed that the ratio of citizens to supervisors is too great. "We've been doing it this way for ten years and I think we've become accustomed to it."

For the next two weeks McKay and the other members of the board will review the proposals put before them yesterday and then vote on one at the next board meeting on April 26.

Exhibit 32



NewsWire release announcing the County's intention to submit the reapportionment to the Attorney General for preclearance and advising the public that persons may submit comments on the submission for the consideration of the Attorney General and screenshot of the redistricting webpage of Fairfax County's website including the announcement



[homepage](#) > [news](#):

Board of Supervisors Adopts Redistricting Plan

April 26, 2011

Today, the Board of Supervisors adopted a redistricting plan, and the county will submit this plan by the end of the week to the U.S. attorney general for review as required by federal law.

The plan maintains nine supervisor districts, but it shifts seven voting precincts to different districts, as well as changes the boundaries of three precincts. The complete precinct list and boundary changes are posted on on the [redistricting Web page](#), and the entire plan, as submitted to the attorney general, will be published online later this week. The plan also will be available for inspection at the [Office of the Clerk](#) to the Board of Supervisors from 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. weekdays.

The following precincts were moved:

- Bristow moves from Braddock to Mason District.
- Eagle View and Monument move from Springfield to Braddock District.
- Walnut Hill No. 2 moves from Providence to Mason District.
- Hunt moves from Mount Vernon to Springfield District.
- Coates moves from Hunter Mill to Dranesville District.
- Colvin moves from Dranesville to Hunter Mill District.

Although Virginia law states that the new plan shall take effect immediately, federal law requires the plan to complete the preclearance process before being implemented. Through this process, the attorney general may raise objections to the plan based on the requirements of Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965.

Every registered voter in the county will receive a new voter information card before the general election. Voters can check their current registration status and polling place at [Virginia State Board of Elections website](#).

The public may send comments on the county's redistricting plan by mail to the U.S. Department of Justice at:

Chief, Voting Section
Civil Rights Division
Room 7254 - NWB
U.S. Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20530

Comments sent via other delivery services should be sent to: Chief, Voting Section, Civil Rights Division, Room 7254-NWB, U.S. Department of Justice
1800 G Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20006

Comments also may be [e-mailed](#) or faxed to 202-616-9514. For comments sent by mail, email or fax, the first page and the envelope, if any, should be marked: "Comment under section 5 of the Voting Rights Act."

Last year, the Board of Supervisors created a 21-member advisory committee to make recommendations on redistricting. This group included representatives from each supervisor district; the Democratic and Republican parties; Fairfax County Chamber of Commerce; Federation of Citizens Associations; League of Women Voters; the African-American, Hispanic, and Asian/Pacific Islander communities; and community at large.

In late March, the committee submitted 25 plans to the board — 22 developed by the committee and three by members of the public.

Contact Us: [General \(Office of Public Affairs\)](#) | [Technical \(Web Administrator\)](#) | [Directed Inquiries \(County Agencies\)](#)
Phone: [County Main Number](#) - 703-FAIRFAX (703-324-7329), TTY 711 | [County Phone Listing](#)

[ADA Accessibility](#) | [Website Accessibility](#)
[Awards](#) | [FOIA](#) | [Mobile](#) | [Using this Site](#) | [Web Disclaimer & Privacy Policy](#) | [Get Adobe Reader](#)
Official site of the County of Fairfax, Virginia, © Copyright 2011.



Exhibit 33



**List of minority group contacts who are or may be familiar
with this reapportionment**

MINORITY GROUP CONTACTS

Supervisor Catherine M. Hudgins
Hunter Mill District
1200 Bowman Towne Drive
Reston, VA 20190
703-478-0283

Mr. C. Denver Lovett
11127 Glade Drive,
Reston, VA 20191

Mr. Owen Shortt
8204 Martha Street
Alexandria, VA 22309

Mr. David L. Temple, Jr.
6611 10th Street, Unit B-2
Alexandria, VA 22307

Mr. Jay Bhandari
2118 Tysons Executive Court
Dunn Loring, VA 22027

Mr. Michael Kwon
7406 Alban Station Court #A102
Springfield, VA 22150

Ms. Bettie Baca
4011 Oxford Street
Annandale, VA 22003