




FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA




2011 Proposed Supervisor District Boundary Changes

9A1

Voting Precinct Boundaries



Proposed Supervisor Districts



Note: This map is intended for reference purposes only. Fairfax County does not provide any guarantee of the accuracy or completeness regarding the map information.

Plan Datasheet –9A1

| | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Number of precincts moved: | 4 |
| Number of persons moved: | 23475 |
| Total deviation of the plan: | 9.79% |
| Range of plan deviation: | -4.46 to 5.33% |
| Precincts moved list: | Bristow, Eagle View, Hunt, Monument. |

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 120,192

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 9A1

| Supervisor District | Deviation from Target Population | Total Population | White alone | Black or African American alone | American Indian and Alaska Native alone | Asian alone | Other Races | Hispanic or Latino |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|---|----------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Braddock | -1.4% | 118,484 | 78,528 | 7,014 | 374 | 22,377 | 10,191 | 16,762 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 66.3% | 5.9% | 0.3% | 18.9% | 8.6% | 14.1% |
| Dranesville | -3.8% | 115,586 | 81,963 | 4,884 | 360 | 18,267 | 10,112 | 15,122 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 70.9% | 4.2% | 0.3% | 15.8% | 8.7% | 13.1% |
| Hunter Mill | 5.3% | 126,594 | 84,989 | 9,727 | 353 | 21,736 | 9,789 | 13,096 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 67.1% | 7.7% | 0.3% | 17.2% | 7.7% | 10.3% |
| Lee | -1.8% | 117,984 | 62,721 | 20,616 | 618 | 15,958 | 18,071 | 27,668 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 53.2% | 17.5% | 0.5% | 13.5% | 15.3% | 23.5% |
| Mason | -4.5% | 114,829 | 61,112 | 12,972 | 689 | 20,064 | 19,992 | 33,811 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 53.2% | 11.3% | 0.6% | 17.5% | 17.4% | 29.4% |
| Mount Vernon | 1.6% | 122,086 | 74,289 | 21,607 | 448 | 13,129 | 12,613 | 17,790 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 60.8% | 17.7% | 0.4% | 10.8% | 10.3% | 14.6% |
| Providence | 2.6% | 123,327 | 75,028 | 7,063 | 467 | 28,387 | 12,382 | 19,131 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 60.8% | 5.7% | 0.4% | 23.0% | 10.0% | 15.5% |
| Springfield | -1.3% | 118,633 | 82,659 | 7,548 | 272 | 20,591 | 7,563 | 10,494 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 69.7% | 6.4% | 0.2% | 17.4% | 6.4% | 8.8% |
| Sully | 3.3% | 124,203 | 76,701 | 7,787 | 303 | 29,152 | 10,260 | 14,608 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 61.8% | 6.3% | 0.2% | 23.5% | 8.3% | 11.8% |
| Fairfax County | | 1,081,726 | 677,990 | 99,218 | 3,884 | 189,661 | 110,973 | 168,482 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 62.7% | 9.2% | 0.4% | 17.5% | 10.3% | 15.6% |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan 9A1

1. Legal Requirements and Plan Summary

1.1. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS

1.1.1. *yes* Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?

1.1.1.1. yes

1.1.2. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?

1.1.2.1. less than 10%

1.2. MINIMAL DISRUPTION

1.2.1. the very least since only 4 precincts change.

1.2.2. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?

1.2.2.1. yes. only 4 precincts move

1.2.3. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.

1.3. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES

1.3.1. no change.

1.3.2. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?

1.3.2.1. yes.

1.3.3. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?

1.3.3.1. n/a

1.3.4. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?

1.3.4.1. n/a

1.4. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA

1.4.1. Does the plan considers established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.

1.4.1.1. yes.

1.4.2. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?

1.4.2.1. yes.

1.5. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY

1.5.1. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?

1.5.1.1. yes.

1.5.2. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?

1.5.2.1. yes.

1.6. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES

1.6.1. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?

1.6.1.1. no

1.6.2. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?

1.6.2.1. no

1.7. COST

1.7.1. Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?

1.7.1.1. no change.

1.8. Comments

**AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE
TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 9A1]

1
2

Draft of March 15, 2011

3 **AN ORDINANCE** to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by
4 amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, and 7-2-11, relating to the
5 election districts of the members of the Board of Supervisors.

6 **Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:**

7 **1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, and 7-2-11 are amended and**
8 **readopted as follows:**

9

10 **Section 7-2-4. - Braddock District.**

11

12 The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae,
13 ~~Bristow~~, Burke Centre, Cardinal, Chapel, Eagle View, Fairview, Heritage, Keene
14 Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch, Monument, North
15 Springfield No. 1, North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde Creek, Olley,
16 Ravensworth, Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield,
17 and Woodson.

18

19 **Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.**

20

21 The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft,
22 Belvedere, Bren Mar, Bristow, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Glen Forest
23 No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer, Leewood,
24 Lincolnia, Masonville, Parklawn, Poe, Ravenwood, Ridgelea, Saint Albans,
25 Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Westlawn, Weyanoke, Whittier, and
26 Willston.

27

28 **Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.**

29

30 The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle
31 Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall,
32 ~~Hunt~~, Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station,
33 Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood,
34 Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.

35

1 **Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.**

2
3 The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke,
4 Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, ~~Eagle View~~, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax
5 Station, Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Hunt, Irving,
6 ~~Monument~~, Newgate North, Newgate South, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, Popes
7 Head, Sangster, Silverbrook, South Run, Valley, West Springfield, White Oaks,
8 Willow Springs, and Woodyard.

9
10
11 **2. That this ordinance shall become effective upon adoption, and it**
12 **shall be enforced after satisfactory completion of the federal preclearance**
13 **procedure provided by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as**
14 **amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.**


15 GIVEN under my hand this _____ day of _____ 2011.

Nancy Vehrs
Clerk to the Board of Supervisors

\\s17prolaw01\Documents\104659\ECW\Correspondence\344185.doc



FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA



2011 Proposed Supervisor District Boundary Changes

9A2

Voting Precinct Boundaries



Proposed Supervisor Districts



- BRADDOCK
- DRANESVILLE
- HUNTER MILL
- LEE
- MASON
- MOUNT VERNON
- PROVIDENCE
- SPRINGFIELD
- SULLY

Note: This map is intended for reference purposes only. Fairfax County does not provide any guarantee of the accuracy or completeness regarding the map information.

Plan Datasheet – 9A2

| | |
|------------------------------|---|
| Number of precincts moved: | 5 |
| Number of persons moved: | 20662 |
| Total deviation of the plan: | 7.54% |
| Range of plan deviation: | -3.33% to 4.21% |
| Precincts moved list: | Alban, Eagle View, Fort Buffalo, Graham, Westbriar. |

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 120,192

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 9A2

| Supervisor District | Deviation from Target Population | Total Population | White alone | Black or African American alone | American Indian and Alaska Native alone | Asian alone | Other Races | Hispanic or Latino |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|---|----------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Braddock | -2.3% | 117,435 | 76,898 | 7,121 | 384 | 22,224 | 10,808 | 18,006 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 65.5% | 6.1% | 0.3% | 18.9% | 9.2% | 15.3% |
| Dranesville | 0.6% | 120,854 | 85,763 | 5,050 | 366 | 19,317 | 10,358 | 15,431 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 71.0% | 4.2% | 0.3% | 16.0% | 8.6% | 12.8% |
| Hunter Mill | 0.9% | 121,326 | 81,189 | 9,561 | 347 | 20,686 | 9,543 | 12,787 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 66.9% | 7.9% | 0.3% | 17.0% | 7.9% | 10.5% |
| Lee | 0.0% | 120,231 | 63,921 | 21,069 | 621 | 16,271 | 18,349 | 27,944 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 53.2% | 17.5% | 0.5% | 13.5% | 15.3% | 23.2% |
| Mason | -3.1% | 116,468 | 62,340 | 12,793 | 703 | 19,766 | 20,866 | 35,158 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 53.5% | 11.0% | 0.6% | 17.0% | 17.9% | 30.2% |
| Mount Vernon | 4.2% | 125,254 | 76,731 | 21,658 | 460 | 13,699 | 12,706 | 18,016 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 61.3% | 17.3% | 0.4% | 10.9% | 10.1% | 14.4% |
| Providence | -3.3% | 116,185 | 71,663 | 6,490 | 432 | 27,134 | 10,466 | 16,031 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 61.7% | 5.6% | 0.4% | 23.4% | 9.0% | 13.8% |
| Springfield | -0.4% | 119,770 | 82,784 | 7,689 | 268 | 21,412 | 7,617 | 10,501 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 69.1% | 6.4% | 0.2% | 17.9% | 6.4% | 8.8% |
| Sully | 3.3% | 124,203 | 76,701 | 7,787 | 303 | 29,152 | 10,260 | 14,608 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 61.8% | 6.3% | 0.2% | 23.5% | 8.3% | 11.8% |
| Fairfax County | | 1,081,726 | 677,990 | 99,218 | 3,884 | 189,661 | 110,973 | 168,482 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 62.7% | 9.2% | 0.4% | 17.5% | 10.3% | 15.6% |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan 9A2

1. Legal Requirements and Plan Summary

1.1. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS

1.1.1. Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?

1.1.1.1. Yes

1.1.2. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?

1.1.2.1. +4.2

1.1.2.2. -3.3%

1.2. MINIMAL DISRUPTION

1.2.1. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?

1.2.1.1. Yes - Only 5 Precincts were moved.

1.2.2. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.

1.2.2.1. No change

1.3. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES

1.3.1. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?

1.3.1.1. Yes

1.3.1.2. Yes

1.3.2. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?

1.3.2.1. Unsure at this point

1.3.2.2. N/A

1.3.3. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?

1.3.3.1. No Towns Split

1.3.3.2. Community of interest would be better preserved if Coates was moved to Dranesville and Hunter Mill retained Westbriar. This would also have the benefit of reducing the population of Sully closer to the 120,000 average.

1.4. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA

1.4.1. Does the plan considers established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.

1.4.1.1. Yes

1.4.2. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?

1.4.2.1. Yes

1.5. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY

1.5.1. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?

1.5.1.1. . Yes

1.5.2. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?

1.5.2.1. Yes - Providence exclave in Fairfax City still in Providence

1.6. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES

1.6.1. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?

1.6.1.1. Unsure at this point

1.6.1.2. No

1.6.2. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?

1.6.2.1. Unsure at this point

1.6.2.2. No

1.7. COST

1.7.1. Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?

1.7.1.1. This should have minimal impact on operating costs.

1.8. Presentation of Plan and Comments

1.8.1. The plan was designed to preserve existing district boundaries as much as possible but satisfying the deviation requirements with minimal disruption.

1.8.2. 20662 Moved. 5 Precincts Moved.

**AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE
TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 9A2]

1
2

Draft of March 18, 2011

3 **AN ORDINANCE** to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by
4 amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10,
5 and 7-2-11, relating to the election districts of the members of the
6 Board of Supervisors.

7 **Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:**

8 **1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, and 7-2-11**
9 **are amended and readopted as follows:**

10

11 **Section 7-2-4. - Braddock District.**

12

13 The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae,
14 Bristow, Burke Centre, Cardinal, Chapel, Eagle View, Fairview, Heritage, Keene
15 Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch, North Springfield No. 1,
16 North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde Creek, Olley, Ravensworth, Robinson,
17 Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield, and Woodson.

18

19 **Section 7-2-5. - Hunter Mill District.**

20

21 The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron
22 Glen, Coates, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade, Hunters
23 Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3, South
24 Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No.
25 4, Vienna No. 6, ~~Westbriar~~, and Wolftrap.

26

27 **Section 7-2-6. - Dranesville District.**

28

29 The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge,
30 Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, Colvin, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville, Great
31 Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory,
32 Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, McLean, Pimmit, Salona,
33 Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Sugarland, Westbriar, Westhampton, and
34 Westmoreland.

35

1 **Section 7-2-7. - Lee District.**

2
3 | The Lee District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Bush Hill,
4 Cameron, Clermont, Crestwood, Fairfield, Franconia, Garfield, Greenspring,
5 Groveton, Hayfield, Huntley, Island Creek, Kingstowne, Lane, Lynbrook, Mount
6 Eagle, Pioneer, Rose Hill, Van Dorn, Villages, Virginia Hills, and Wilton.

7
8 **Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.**

9
10 The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft,
11 Belvedere, Bren Mar, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Fort Buffalo, Glen
12 Forest No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Graham, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2,
13 Hummer, Leewood, Lincolnia, Masonville, Parklawn, Poe, Ravenwood, Ridgelea,
14 Saint Albans, Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Westlawn, Weyanoke,
15 Whittier, and Willston.

16
17 **Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.**

18
19 | The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: ~~Alban~~, Belle
20 Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall,
21 Hunt, Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station,
22 Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood,
23 Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.

24
25 **Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.**

26
27 The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Fairfax
28 Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is
29 | surrounded by Fairfax City), ~~Fort Buffalo~~, Freedom Hill, ~~Graham~~, Greenway,
30 Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak Marr,
31 Oakton, Penderbrook, Pine Ridge, Pine Spring, Price, Shreve, Stenwood,
32 Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, Walker, Walnut Hill No. 2, and Woodburn.

33
34 **Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.**

35
36 The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke,
37 | Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, ~~Eagle View~~, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax
38 Station, Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Irving, Monument,
39 Newgate North, Newgate South, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, Popes Head,
40 Sangster, Silverbrook, South Run, Valley, West Springfield, White Oaks, Willow
41 Springs, and Woodyard.

1
2
3
4
5
6

2. That this ordinance shall become effective upon adoption, and it shall be enforced after satisfactory completion of the federal preclearance procedure provided by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.


GIVEN under my hand this _____ day of _____ 2011.

Nancy Vehrs
Clerk to the Board of Supervisors

\\s17prolaw01\Documents\104659\ECW\Correspondence\344187.doc



FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA



2011 Proposed Supervisor District Boundary Changes

9A3

Voting Precinct Boundaries



Proposed Supervisor Districts



- BRADDOCK
- DRANESVILLE
- HUNTER-MILL
- LEE
- MASON
- MOUNT VERNON
- PROVIDENCE
- SPRINGFIELD
- SULLY

Note: This map is intended for reference purposes only. Fairfax County does not provide any guarantee of the accuracy or completeness regarding the map information.

Plan Datasheet –9A3

| | |
|------------------------------|---|
| Number of precincts moved: | 6 |
| Number of persons moved: | 32031 |
| Total deviation of the plan: | 6.84% |
| Range of plan deviation: | -3.5 to 3.34% |
| Precincts moved list: | Bristow, Coates, Eagle View, Hunt, Monument, Walnut Hill #2. |

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 120,192

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 9A3

| Supervisor District | Deviation from Target Population | Total Population | White alone | Black or African American alone | American Indian and Alaska Native alone | Asian alone | Other Races | Hispanic or Latino |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|---|----------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Braddock | -1.4% | 118,484 | 78,528 | 7,014 | 374 | 22,377 | 10,191 | 16,762 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 66.3% | 5.9% | 0.3% | 18.9% | 8.6% | 14.1% |
| Dranesville | 2.3% | 122,980 | 84,489 | 6,183 | 373 | 21,122 | 10,813 | 15,977 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 68.7% | 5.0% | 0.3% | 17.2% | 8.8% | 13.0% |
| Hunter Mill | -0.8% | 119,200 | 82,463 | 8,428 | 340 | 18,881 | 9,088 | 12,241 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 69.2% | 7.1% | 0.3% | 15.8% | 7.6% | 10.3% |
| Lee | -1.8% | 117,984 | 62,721 | 20,616 | 618 | 15,958 | 18,071 | 27,668 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 53.2% | 17.5% | 0.5% | 13.5% | 15.3% | 23.5% |
| Mason | -3.5% | 115,991 | 61,948 | 12,987 | 707 | 20,252 | 20,097 | 34,016 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 53.4% | 11.2% | 0.6% | 17.5% | 17.3% | 29.3% |
| Mount Vernon | 1.6% | 122,086 | 74,289 | 21,607 | 448 | 13,129 | 12,613 | 17,790 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 60.8% | 17.7% | 0.4% | 10.8% | 10.3% | 14.6% |
| Providence | 1.6% | 122,165 | 74,192 | 7,048 | 449 | 28,199 | 12,277 | 18,926 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 60.7% | 5.8% | 0.4% | 23.1% | 10.0% | 15.5% |
| Springfield | -1.3% | 118,633 | 82,659 | 7,548 | 272 | 20,591 | 7,563 | 10,494 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 69.7% | 6.4% | 0.2% | 17.4% | 6.4% | 8.8% |
| Sully | 3.3% | 124,203 | 76,701 | 7,787 | 303 | 29,152 | 10,260 | 14,608 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 61.8% | 6.3% | 0.2% | 23.5% | 8.3% | 11.8% |
| Fairfax County | | 1,081,726 | 677,990 | 99,218 | 3,884 | 189,661 | 110,973 | 168,482 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 62.7% | 9.2% | 0.4% | 17.5% | 10.3% | 15.6% |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan 9A3

3. Legal Requirements and Plan Summary

3.1. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS

3.1.1. Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?

3.1.1.1. Yes

3.1.2. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?

3.1.2.1. -3.5 to +3.3

3.2. MINIMAL DISRUPTION

3.2.1. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?

3.2.1.1. yes. Only 6 precincts are moved, including the very small Walnut Hill II

3.2.2. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.

3.3. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES

3.3.1. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?

3.3.1.1. Yes.

3.3.2. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?

3.3.2.1. Used existing precinct boundaries, so I assume so.

3.3.3. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?

3.3.3.1. See previous comment.

3.4. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA

3.4.1. Does the plan considers established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.

3.4.1.1. Yes. Particularly the reuniting of the Walnut Hill community and the return of Hunt to Springfield.

3.4.2. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?

3.4.2.1. None of the precincts moved are residences of members of the Board of Supervisors or the School Board.

3.5. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY

3.5.1. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?

3.5.1.1. Yes

3.5.2. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?

3.5.2.1. Yes. The two precincts added to Braddock District are contiguous and minimize the number of supervisor districts bordering Fairfax City.

3.6. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES

3.6.1. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?

3.6.1.1. No

3.6.2. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?

3.6.2.1. No

3.7. COST

3.7.1. Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?

3.7.1.1. No. By keeping nine Supervisor Districts there is no need to add the recurring costs of additional District offices and enlarging boards and commissions, particularly the School Board and the Planning Commission.

3.8. Presentation of Plan and Comments

3.8.1. This plan minimizes disruption for the citizens of Fairfax County in their relationship with their District Supervisors.

**AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE
TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 9A3]

1
2

Draft of March 15, 2011

3 **AN ORDINANCE** to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by
4 amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, and 7-
5 2-11, relating to the election districts of the members of the Board
6 of Supervisors.

7 **Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:**

8 **1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, and 7-2-11 are**
9 **amended and readopted as follows:**

10

11 **Section 7-2-4. - Braddock District.**

12

13 The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae,
14 ~~Bristow~~, Burke Centre, Cardinal, Chapel, Eagle View, Fairview, Heritage, Keene
15 Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch, Monument, North
16 Springfield No. 1, North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde Creek, Olley,
17 Ravensworth, Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield,
18 and Woodson.

19

20 **Section 7-2-5. - Hunter Mill District.**

21

22 The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron
23 Glen, ~~Coates~~, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade, Hunters
24 Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3, South
25 Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No.
26 4, Vienna No. 6, Westbriar, and Wolftrap.

27

28 **Section 7-2-6. - Dranesville District.**

29

30 The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge,
31 Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, Coates, Colvin, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville,
32 Great Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory,
33 Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, McLean, Pimmit, Salona,
34 Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Sugarland, Westhampton, and Westmoreland.

35

1 **Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.**

2
3 The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft,
4 | Belvedere, Bren Mar, Bristow, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Glen Forest
5 No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer, Leewood,
6 Lincolnia, Masonville, Parklawn, Poe, Ravenwood, Ridgelea, Saint Albans,
7 | Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Walnut Hill No. 2, Westlawn,
8 Weyanoke, Whittier, and Willston.

9
10 **Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.**

11
12 The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle
13 Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall,
14 | ~~Hunt~~, Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station,
15 Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood,
16 Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.

17
18 **Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.**

19
20 The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Fairfax
21 Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is
22 surrounded by Fairfax City), Fort Buffalo, Freedom Hill, Graham, Greenway,
23 Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak Marr,
24 Oakton, Penderbrook, Pine Ridge, Pine Spring, Price, Shreve, Stenwood,
25 | Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, Walker, ~~Walnut Hill No. 2~~, and Woodburn.

26
27 **Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.**

28
29 The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke,
30 | Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, ~~Eagle View~~, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax
31 | Station, Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Hunt, Irving,
32 | ~~Monument~~, Newgate North, Newgate South, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, Popes
33 Head, Sangster, Silverbrook, South Run, Valley, West Springfield, White Oaks,
34 Willow Springs, and Woodyard.

1
2
3
4
5
6

2. That this ordinance shall become effective upon adoption, and it shall be enforced after satisfactory completion of the federal preclearance procedure provided by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.


GIVEN under my hand this _____ day of _____ 2011.

Nancy Vehrs
Clerk to the Board of Supervisors

\\s17prolaw01\Documents\104659\ECW\Correspondence\344194.doc



FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA




2011 Proposed Supervisor District Boundary Changes

9A4

Voting Precinct Boundaries



Proposed Supervisor Districts



Note: This map is intended for reference purposes only. Fairfax County does not provide any guarantee of the accuracy or completeness regarding the map information.

Plan Datasheet –9A4

| | |
|------------------------------|---|
| Number of precincts moved: | 7 |
| Number of persons moved: | 35271 |
| Total deviation of the plan: | 6.84% |
| Range of plan deviation: | -3.5 to 3.34% |
| Precincts moved list: | Bristow, Colvin, Coates, Eagle View, Hunt, Monument, Walnut Hill #2. |

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 120,192

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 9A4

| Supervisor District | Deviation from Target Population | Total Population | White alone | Black or African American alone | American Indian and Alaska Native alone | Asian alone | Other Races | Hispanic or Latino |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|---|----------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Braddock | -1.4% | 118,484 | 78,528 | 7,014 | 374 | 22,377 | 10,191 | 16,762 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 66.3% | 5.9% | 0.3% | 18.9% | 8.6% | 14.1% |
| Dranesville | -0.4% | 119,740 | 81,982 | 6,107 | 371 | 20,629 | 10,651 | 15,814 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 68.5% | 5.1% | 0.3% | 17.2% | 8.9% | 13.2% |
| Hunter Mill | 1.9% | 122,440 | 84,970 | 8,504 | 342 | 19,374 | 9,250 | 12,404 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 69.4% | 6.9% | 0.3% | 15.8% | 7.6% | 10.1% |
| Lee | -1.8% | 117,984 | 62,721 | 20,616 | 618 | 15,958 | 18,071 | 27,668 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 53.2% | 17.5% | 0.5% | 13.5% | 15.3% | 23.5% |
| Mason | -3.5% | 115,991 | 61,948 | 12,987 | 707 | 20,252 | 20,097 | 34,016 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 53.4% | 11.2% | 0.6% | 17.5% | 17.3% | 29.3% |
| Mount Vernon | 1.6% | 122,086 | 74,289 | 21,607 | 448 | 13,129 | 12,613 | 17,790 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 60.8% | 17.7% | 0.4% | 10.8% | 10.3% | 14.6% |
| Providence | 1.6% | 122,165 | 74,192 | 7,048 | 449 | 28,199 | 12,277 | 18,926 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 60.7% | 5.8% | 0.4% | 23.1% | 10.0% | 15.5% |
| Springfield | -1.3% | 118,633 | 82,659 | 7,548 | 272 | 20,591 | 7,563 | 10,494 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 69.7% | 6.4% | 0.2% | 17.4% | 6.4% | 8.8% |
| Sully | 3.3% | 124,203 | 76,701 | 7,787 | 303 | 29,152 | 10,260 | 14,608 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 61.8% | 6.3% | 0.2% | 23.5% | 8.3% | 11.8% |
| Fairfax County | | 1,081,726 | 677,990 | 99,218 | 3,884 | 189,661 | 110,973 | 168,482 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 62.7% | 9.2% | 0.4% | 17.5% | 10.3% | 15.6% |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan 9A4

4. Legal Requirements and Plan Summary

4.1. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS

4.1.1. Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?

4.1.1.1. yes.

4.1.2. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?

4.1.2.1. yes.

4.1.2.2. less than 10%.

4.2. MINIMAL DISRUPTION

4.2.1. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?

4.2.1.1. yes.

4.2.2. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.

4.2.2.1. yes.

4.2.2.2. n/a

4.3. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES

4.3.1. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?

4.3.1.1. yes.

4.3.2. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?

4.3.2.1. n/a

4.3.3. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?

4.3.3.1. n/a

4.4. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA

4.4.1. Does the plan considers established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.

4.4.1.1. yes.

4.4.2. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?

4.4.2.1. yes.

4.5. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY

4.5.1. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?

4.5.1.1. yes.

4.5.2. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?

4.5.2.1. yes.

4.6. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES

4.6.1. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?

4.6.1.1. no.

4.6.2. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?

4.6.2.1. no.

4.7. COST

4.7.1. Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?

4.7.1.1. It won't increase the cost.

4.8. Presentation of Plan and Comments

4.8.1. This is a minimal disruption plan with an emphasis on moving precincts that moved 10 years ago from one district back to that district.

**AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE
TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 9A4]

1
2

Draft of March 15, 2011

3 **AN ORDINANCE** to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by
4 amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10,
5 and 7-2-11, relating to the election districts of the members of the
6 Board of Supervisors.

7 **Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:**

8 **1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, and 7-2-11 are**
9 **amended and readopted as follows:**

10

11 **Section 7-2-4. - Braddock District.**

12

13 The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae,
14 ~~Bristow~~, Burke Centre, Cardinal, Chapel, Eagle View, Fairview, Heritage, Keene
15 Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch, Monument, North
16 Springfield No. 1, North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde Creek, Olley,
17 Ravensworth, Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield,
18 and Woodson.

19

20 **Section 7-2-5. - Hunter Mill District.**

21

22 The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron
23 Glen, ~~Coates~~, Colvin, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade,
24 Hunters Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3,
25 South Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2,
26 Vienna No. 4, Vienna No. 6, Westbriar, and Wolftrap.

27

28 **Section 7-2-6. - Dranesville District.**

29

30 The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge,
31 Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, Coates, ~~Colvin~~, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville,
32 Great Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory,
33 Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, McLean, Pimmit, Salona,
34 Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Sugarland, Westhampton, and Westmoreland.

35

1 **Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.**

2
3 The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft,
4 | Belvedere, Bren Mar, Bristow, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Glen Forest
5 No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer, Leewood,
6 Lincolnia, Masonville, Parklawn, Poe, Ravenwood, Ridgelea, Saint Albans,
7 | Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Walnut Hill No. 2, Westlawn,
8 Weyanoke, Whittier, and Willston.

9
10 **Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.**

11
12 The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle
13 Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall,
14 | ~~Hunt~~, Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station,
15 Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood,
16 Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.

17
18 **Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.**

19
20 The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Fairfax
21 Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is
22 surrounded by Fairfax City), Fort Buffalo, Freedom Hill, Graham, Greenway,
23 Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak Marr,
24 Oakton, Penderbrook, Pine Ridge, Pine Spring, Price, Shreve, Stenwood,
25 | Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, Walker, ~~Walnut Hill No. 2~~, and Woodburn.

26
27 **Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.**

28
29 The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke,
30 | Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, ~~Eagle View~~, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax
31 | Station, Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Hunt, Irving,
32 | ~~Monument~~, Newgate North, Newgate South, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, Popes
33 Head, Sangster, Silverbrook, South Run, Valley, West Springfield, White Oaks,
34 Willow Springs, and Woodyard.

1 **2. That this ordinance shall become effective upon adoption, and it**
2 **shall be enforced after satisfactory completion of the federal preclearance**
3 **procedure provided by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as**
4 **amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.**

5


GIVEN under my hand this _____ day of _____ 2011.

Nancy Vehrs
Clerk to the Board of Supervisors

\\s17prolaw01\Documents\104659\ECW\Correspondence\344202.doc



FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA




2011 Proposed Supervisor District Boundary Changes

9A5

Voting Precinct Boundaries



Proposed Supervisor Districts



Note: This map is intended for reference purposes only. Fairfax County does not provide any guarantee of the accuracy or completeness regarding the map information.

Plan Datasheet –9A5

| | |
|------------------------------|--|
| Number of precincts moved: | 7 |
| Number of persons moved: | 33946 |
| Total deviation of the plan: | 6.85% |
| Range of plan deviation: | -3.5 to 3.34% |
| Precincts moved list: | Bristow, Colvin, Coates, Eagle View, Monument, South County, Walnut Hill #2. |

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 120,192

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 9A5

| Supervisor District | Deviation from Target Population | Total Population | White alone | Black or African American alone | American Indian and Alaska Native alone | Asian alone | Other Races | Hispanic or Latino |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|---|----------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Braddock | -1.4% | 118,484 | 78,528 | 7,014 | 374 | 22,377 | 10,191 | 16,762 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 66.3% | 5.9% | 0.3% | 18.9% | 8.6% | 14.1% |
| Dranesville | -0.4% | 119,740 | 81,982 | 6,107 | 371 | 20,629 | 10,651 | 15,814 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 68.5% | 5.1% | 0.3% | 17.2% | 8.9% | 13.2% |
| Hunter Mill | 1.9% | 122,440 | 84,970 | 8,504 | 342 | 19,374 | 9,250 | 12,404 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 69.4% | 6.9% | 0.3% | 15.8% | 7.6% | 10.1% |
| Lee | -1.8% | 117,984 | 62,721 | 20,616 | 618 | 15,958 | 18,071 | 27,668 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 53.2% | 17.5% | 0.5% | 13.5% | 15.3% | 23.5% |
| Mason | -3.5% | 115,991 | 61,948 | 12,987 | 707 | 20,252 | 20,097 | 34,016 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 53.4% | 11.2% | 0.6% | 17.5% | 17.3% | 29.3% |
| Mount Vernon | 2.7% | 123,411 | 75,372 | 21,495 | 457 | 13,388 | 12,699 | 17,986 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 61.1% | 17.4% | 0.4% | 10.8% | 10.3% | 14.6% |
| Providence | 1.6% | 122,165 | 74,192 | 7,048 | 449 | 28,199 | 12,277 | 18,926 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 60.7% | 5.8% | 0.4% | 23.1% | 10.0% | 15.5% |
| Springfield | -2.4% | 117,308 | 81,576 | 7,660 | 263 | 20,332 | 7,477 | 10,298 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 69.5% | 6.5% | 0.2% | 17.3% | 6.4% | 8.8% |
| Sully | 3.3% | 124,203 | 76,701 | 7,787 | 303 | 29,152 | 10,260 | 14,608 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 61.8% | 6.3% | 0.2% | 23.5% | 8.3% | 11.8% |
| Fairfax County | | 1,081,726 | 677,990 | 99,218 | 3,884 | 189,661 | 110,973 | 168,482 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 62.7% | 9.2% | 0.4% | 17.5% | 10.3% | 15.6% |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan 9A5

5. Legal Requirements and Plan Summary

5.1. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS

5.1.1. Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?

5.1.1.1. Yes

5.1.2. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?

5.1.2.1. -3.5% to 3.3%

5.2. MINIMAL DISRUPTION

5.2.1. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?

5.2.1.1. Yes, it moves only 7 precincts.

5.2.2. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.

5.2.2.1. N/A

5.3. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES

5.3.1. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?

5.3.1.1. Yes

5.3.2. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?

5.3.2.1. N/A

5.3.3. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?

5.3.3.1. N/A

5.4. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA

5.4.1. Does the plan considers established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.

5.4.1.1. Yes

5.4.2. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?

5.4.2.1. Yes

5.5. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY

5.5.1. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?

5.5.1.1. Yes

5.5.2. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?

5.5.2.1. Yes

5.6. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES

5.6.1. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?

5.6.1.1. No

5.6.2. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?

5.6.2.1. No

5.7. COST

5.7.1. Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?

5.7.1.1. Operating costs will be unaffected by this plan

5.8. Presentation of Plan and Comments

**AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE
TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 9A5]

1
2

Draft of March 15, 2011

3 **AN ORDINANCE** to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by
4 amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10,
5 and 7-2-11, relating to the election districts of the members of the
6 Board of Supervisors.

7 **Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:**

8 **1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, and 7-2-11 are**
9 **amended and readopted as follows:**

10

11 **Section 7-2-4. - Braddock District.**

12

13 The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae,
14 ~~Bristow~~, Burke Centre, Cardinal, Chapel, Eagle View, Fairview, Heritage, Keene
15 Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch, Monument, North
16 Springfield No. 1, North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde Creek, Olley,
17 Ravensworth, Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield,
18 and Woodson.

19

20 **Section 7-2-5. - Hunter Mill District.**

21

22 The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron
23 Glen, ~~Coates~~, Colvin, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade,
24 Hunters Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3,
25 South Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2,
26 Vienna No. 4, Vienna No. 6, Westbriar, and Wolftrap.

27

28 **Section 7-2-6. - Dranesville District.**

29

30 The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge,
31 Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, Coates, ~~Colvin~~, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville,
32 Great Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory,
33 Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, McLean, Pimmit, Salona,
34 Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Sugarland, Westhampton, and Westmoreland.

35

1 **Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.**

2
3 The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft,
4 | Belvedere, Bren Mar, Bristow, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Glen Forest
5 No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer, Leewood,
6 Lincolnia, Masonville, Parklawn, Poe, Ravenwood, Ridgelea, Saint Albans,
7 | Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Walnut Hill No. 2, Westlawn,
8 Weyanoke, Whittier, and Willston.

9
10 **Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.**

11
12 The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle
13 Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall,
14 Hunt, Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station,
15 | Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, ~~South County~~, Stratford, Waynewood,
16 Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.

17
18 **Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.**

19
20 The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Fairfax
21 Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is
22 surrounded by Fairfax City), Fort Buffalo, Freedom Hill, Graham, Greenway,
23 Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak Marr,
24 Oakton, Penderbrook, Pine Ridge, Pine Spring, Price, Shreve, Stenwood,
25 | Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, Walker, ~~Walnut Hill No. 2~~, and Woodburn.

26
27 **Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.**

28
29 The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke,
30 | Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, ~~Eagle View~~, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax
31 | Station, Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Irving, ~~Monument~~,
32 Newgate North, Newgate South, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, Popes Head,
33 | Sangster, Silverbrook, South County, South Run, Valley, West Springfield, White
34 Oaks, Willow Springs, and Woodyard.

35
36
37 **2. That this ordinance shall become effective upon adoption, and it**
38 **shall be enforced after satisfactory completion of the federal preclearance**
39 **procedure provided by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as**
40 **amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.**
41


GIVEN under my hand this _____ day of _____ 2011.

Nancy Vehrs
Clerk to the Board of Supervisors

\\s17prolaw01\Documents\104659\ECW\Correspondence\344204.doc



FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA



2011 Proposed Supervisor District Boundary Changes

9A6

Voting Precinct Boundaries



Proposed Supervisor Districts



- BRADDOCK
- DRANESVILLE
- HUNTER MILL
- LEE
- MASON
- MOUNT VERNON
- PROVIDENCE
- SPRINGFIELD
- SULLY

Note: This map is intended for reference purposes only. Fairfax County does not provide any guarantee of the accuracy or completeness regarding the map information.

Plan Datasheet –9A6

| | |
|------------------------------|---|
| Number of precincts moved: | 7 |
| Number of persons moved: | 33964 |
| Total deviation of the plan: | 5.74% |
| Range of plan deviation: | -2.4 to 3.34% |
| Precincts moved list: | Bristow, Coates, Eagle View, Monument, South County, Walnut Hill #2, Woodburn. |

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 120,192

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 9A6

| Supervisor District | Deviation from Target Population | Total Population | White alone | Black or African American alone | American Indian and Alaska Native alone | Asian alone | Other Races | Hispanic or Latino |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|---|----------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Braddock | -1.4% | 118,484 | 78,528 | 7,014 | 374 | 22,377 | 10,191 | 16,762 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 66.3% | 5.9% | 0.3% | 18.9% | 8.6% | 14.1% |
| Dranesville | 2.3% | 122,980 | 84,489 | 6,183 | 373 | 21,122 | 10,813 | 15,977 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 68.7% | 5.0% | 0.3% | 17.2% | 8.8% | 13.0% |
| Hunter Mill | -0.8% | 119,200 | 82,463 | 8,428 | 340 | 18,881 | 9,088 | 12,241 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 69.2% | 7.1% | 0.3% | 15.8% | 7.6% | 10.3% |
| Lee | -1.8% | 117,984 | 62,721 | 20,616 | 618 | 15,958 | 18,071 | 27,668 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 53.2% | 17.5% | 0.5% | 13.5% | 15.3% | 23.5% |
| Mason | -0.8% | 119,249 | 64,006 | 13,166 | 742 | 20,973 | 20,362 | 34,466 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 53.7% | 11.0% | 0.6% | 17.6% | 17.1% | 28.9% |
| Mount Vernon | 2.7% | 123,411 | 75,372 | 21,495 | 457 | 13,388 | 12,699 | 17,986 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 61.1% | 17.4% | 0.4% | 10.8% | 10.3% | 14.6% |
| Providence | -1.1% | 118,907 | 72,134 | 6,869 | 414 | 27,478 | 12,012 | 18,476 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 60.7% | 5.8% | 0.3% | 23.1% | 10.1% | 15.5% |
| Springfield | -2.4% | 117,308 | 81,576 | 7,660 | 263 | 20,332 | 7,477 | 10,298 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 69.5% | 6.5% | 0.2% | 17.3% | 6.4% | 8.8% |
| Sully | 3.3% | 124,203 | 76,701 | 7,787 | 303 | 29,152 | 10,260 | 14,608 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 61.8% | 6.3% | 0.2% | 23.5% | 8.3% | 11.8% |
| Fairfax County | | 1,081,726 | 677,990 | 99,218 | 3,884 | 189,661 | 110,973 | 168,482 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 62.7% | 9.2% | 0.4% | 17.5% | 10.3% | 15.6% |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan 9A6

1. Legal Requirements and Plan Summary

1.1. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS

1.1.1. Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?

1.1.1.1. yes

1.1.2. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?

1.1.2.1. -2.4 to +2.7 [Staff note: plan author's stated deviation range is incorrect; actual range is -2.4 to 3.34%].

1.2. MINIMAL DISRUPTION

1.2.1. The plan is intended to reduce to a minimum the number of precincts affected.

1.2.2. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?

1.2.2.1. Yes, by attempting to move as few precincts as was practicable.

1.2.3. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.

1.2.3.1. The plan maintains the 9 districts.

1.3. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES

1.3.1. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?

1.3.1.1. Yes

1.3.2. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?

1.3.2.1. N/A

1.3.3. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?

1.4. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA

1.4.1. The plan meets the suggested criteria of the Board of Supervisors.

1.4.2. Does the plan consider established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.

1.4.3. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?

1.5. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY

1.5.1. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?

1.5.1.1. Yes

1.5.2. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?

1.5.2.1. Yes

1.6. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES

1.6.1. The plan provides better concentration and, therefore, representation of minorities within Mason District.

1.6.2. N/A

1.6.3. Edit: The plan provides better representation for Hispanic and African Americans within Mason District.

- 1.6.4. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?
- 1.6.5. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?
- 1.7. COST
 - 1.7.1. *The plan does not increase cost for the future operation of the County.*
 - 1.7.2. Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?
- 1.8. Presentation of Plan and Comments
 - 1.8.1. *The plan provides for an important combination of a community of interest in placing Annandale High School within Mason District.*

**AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE
TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 9A6]

1
2

Draft of March 15, 2011

3 **AN ORDINANCE** to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by
4 amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10,
5 and 7-2-11, relating to the election districts of the members of the
6 Board of Supervisors.

7 **Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:**

8 **1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, and 7-2-11 are**
9 **amended and readopted as follows:**

10

11 **Section 7-2-4. - Braddock District.**

12

13 The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae,
14 ~~Bristow~~, Burke Centre, Cardinal, Chapel, Eagle View, Fairview, Heritage, Keene
15 Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch, Monument, North
16 Springfield No. 1, North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde Creek, Olley,
17 Ravensworth, Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield,
18 and Woodson.

19

20 **Section 7-2-5. - Hunter Mill District.**

21

22 The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron
23 Glen, ~~Coates~~, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade, Hunters
24 Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3, South
25 Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No.
26 4, Vienna No. 6, Westbriar, and Wolftrap.

27

28 **Section 7-2-6. - Dranesville District.**

29

30 The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge,
31 Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, Coates, Colvin, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville,
32 Great Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory,
33 Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, McLean, Pimmit, Salona,
34 Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Sugarland, Westhampton, and Westmoreland.

35

1 **Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.**

2
3 The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft,
4 | Belvedere, Bren Mar, Bristow, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Glen Forest
5 No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer, Leewood,
6 Lincolnia, Masonville, Parklawn, Poe, Ravenwood, Ridgelea, Saint Albans,
7 | Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Walnut Hill No. 2, Westlawn,
8 | Weyanoke, Whittier, ~~and Willston~~, and Woodburn.

9
10 **Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.**

11
12 The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle
13 Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall,
14 Hunt, Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station,
15 | Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, ~~South County~~, Stratford, Waynewood,
16 Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.

17
18 **Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.**

19
20 The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Fairfax
21 Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is
22 surrounded by Fairfax City), Fort Buffalo, Freedom Hill, Graham, Greenway,
23 Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak Marr,
24 Oakton, Penderbrook, Pine Ridge, Pine Spring, Price, Shreve, Stenwood,
25 | Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, and Walker, ~~Walnut Hill No. 2, and Woodburn~~.

26
27 **Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.**

28
29 The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke,
30 | Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, ~~Eagle View~~, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax
31 | Station, Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Irving, ~~Monument~~,
32 Newgate North, Newgate South, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, Popes Head,
33 | Sangster, Silverbrook, South County, South Run, Valley, West Springfield, White
34 Oaks, Willow Springs, and Woodyard.

1
2
3
4
5
6

2. That this ordinance shall become effective upon adoption, and it shall be enforced after satisfactory completion of the federal preclearance procedure provided by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.


GIVEN under my hand this _____ day of _____ 2011.

Nancy Vehrs
Clerk to the Board of Supervisors

\\s17prolaw01\Documents\104659\ECW\Correspondence\344210.doc



FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA



2011 Proposed Supervisor District Boundary Changes

9A7

Voting Precinct Boundaries



Proposed Supervisor Districts



- BRADDOCK
- DRANESVILLE
- HUNTER MILL
- LEE
- MASON
- MOUNT VERNON
- PROVIDENCE
- SPRINGFIELD
- SULLY

Note: This map is intended for reference purposes only. Fairfax County does not provide any guarantee of the accuracy or completeness regarding the map information.

Plan Datasheet –9A7

| | |
|------------------------------|--|
| Number of precincts moved: | 7 |
| Number of persons moved: | 31000 |
| Total deviation of the plan: | 7.95% |
| Range of plan deviation: | -4.08 to 3.87% |
| Precincts moved list: | Bristow, Eagle View, Flint Hill, Huntington, Irving, Walnut Hill #2, Woodburn. |

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 120,192

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 9A7

| Supervisor District | Deviation from Target Population | Total Population | White alone | Black or African American alone | American Indian and Alaska Native alone | Asian alone | Other Races | Hispanic or Latino |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|---|----------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Braddock | -4.1% | 115,288 | 77,640 | 6,469 | 370 | 20,880 | 9,929 | 16,626 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 67.3% | 5.6% | 0.3% | 18.1% | 8.6% | 14.4% |
| Dranesville | -3.8% | 115,586 | 81,963 | 4,884 | 360 | 18,267 | 10,112 | 15,122 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 70.9% | 4.2% | 0.3% | 15.8% | 8.7% | 13.1% |
| Hunter Mill | 0.4% | 120,662 | 80,412 | 9,577 | 332 | 21,016 | 9,325 | 12,486 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 66.6% | 7.9% | 0.3% | 17.4% | 7.7% | 10.3% |
| Lee | 3.0% | 123,768 | 66,389 | 21,382 | 637 | 16,506 | 18,854 | 28,788 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 53.6% | 17.3% | 0.5% | 13.3% | 15.2% | 23.3% |
| Mason | -0.8% | 119,249 | 64,006 | 13,166 | 742 | 20,973 | 20,362 | 34,466 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 53.7% | 11.0% | 0.6% | 17.6% | 17.1% | 28.9% |
| Mount Vernon | 1.3% | 121,717 | 74,263 | 21,345 | 444 | 13,464 | 12,201 | 17,172 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 61.0% | 17.5% | 0.4% | 11.1% | 10.0% | 14.1% |
| Providence | 3.9% | 124,839 | 76,711 | 7,019 | 435 | 28,198 | 12,476 | 19,086 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 61.4% | 5.6% | 0.3% | 22.6% | 10.0% | 15.3% |
| Springfield | -3.1% | 116,414 | 79,905 | 7,589 | 261 | 21,205 | 7,454 | 10,128 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 68.6% | 6.5% | 0.2% | 18.2% | 6.4% | 8.7% |
| Sully | 3.3% | 124,203 | 76,701 | 7,787 | 303 | 29,152 | 10,260 | 14,608 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 61.8% | 6.3% | 0.2% | 23.5% | 8.3% | 11.8% |
| Fairfax County | | 1,081,726 | 677,990 | 99,218 | 3,884 | 189,661 | 110,973 | 168,482 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 62.7% | 9.2% | 0.4% | 17.5% | 10.3% | 15.6% |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan 9A7

1. Legal Requirements and Plan Summary

1.1. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS

1.1.1. Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?

1.1.1.1. Yes

1.1.2. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?

1.1.2.1. 7.95%

1.2. MINIMAL DISRUPTION

1.2.1. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?

1.2.1.1. Yes

1.2.2. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.

1.2.2.1. N/A

1.3. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES

1.3.1. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?

1.3.1.1. Yes

1.3.2. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?

1.3.2.1. N/A

1.3.3. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?

1.3.3.1. N/A

1.4. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA

1.4.1. Does the plan considers established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.

1.4.1.1. Yes

1.4.2. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?

1.4.2.1. Yes

1.5. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY

1.5.1. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?

1.5.1.1. Yes

1.5.1.2. Yes

1.5.2. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?

1.5.2.1. Yes

1.6. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES

1.6.1. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?

1.6.1.1. No

1.6.2. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?

1.6.2.1. No

1.7. COST

1.7.1. Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?

1.7.1.1. No

Presentation of Plan and Comments

**AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE
TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 9A7]

1
2

Draft of March 15, 2011

3 **AN ORDINANCE** to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by
4 amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10,
5 and 7-2-11, relating to the election districts of the members of the
6 Board of Supervisors.

7 **Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:**

8 **1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, and 7-2-11 are**
9 **amended and readopted as follows:**

10

11 **Section 7-2-4. - Braddock District.**

12

13 The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae,
14 ~~Bristow~~, Burke Centre, Cardinal, Chapel, Eagle View, Fairview, Heritage, Irving,
15 Keene Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch, North Springfield
16 No. 1, North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde Creek, Olley, Ravensworth,
17 Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield, and Woodson.

18

19 **Section 7-2-5. - Hunter Mill District.**

20

21 The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron
22 ~~Glen~~, Coates, Dogwood, ~~Flint Hill~~, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade, Hunters
23 Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3, South
24 Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No.
25 4, Vienna No. 6, Westbriar, and Wolftrap.

26

27 **Section 7-2-7. - Lee District.**

28

29 The Lee District shall consist of these election precincts: Bush Hill, Cameron,
30 Clermont, Crestwood, Fairfield, Franconia, Garfield, Greenspring, Groveton,
31 ~~Hayfield~~, Huntington, Huntley, Island Creek, Kingstowne, Lane, Lynbrook, Mount
32 Eagle, Pioneer, Rose Hill, Van Dorn, Villages, Virginia Hills, and Wilton.

33

1 **Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.**

2
3 The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft,
4 | Belvedere, Bren Mar, Bristow, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Glen Forest
5 No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer, Leewood,
6 Lincolnia, Masonville, Parklawn, Poe, Ravenwood, Ridgelea, Saint Albans,
7 | Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Walnut Hill No. 2, Westlawn,
8 | Weyanoke, Whittier, ~~and Willston,~~ and Woodburn.

9
10 **Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.**

11
12 The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle
13 Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall,
14 | Hunt, ~~Huntington~~, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station,
15 Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood,
16 Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.

17
18 **Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.**

19
20 The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Fairfax
21 Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is
22 | surrounded by Fairfax City), Flint Hill, Fort Buffalo, Freedom Hill, Graham,
23 Greenway, Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak
24 Marr, Oakton, Penderbrook, Pine Ridge, Pine Spring, Price, Shreve, Stenwood,
25 | Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, and Walker, ~~Walnut Hill No. 2, and Woodburn.~~

26
27 **Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.**

28
29 The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke,
30 | Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, ~~Eagle View~~, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax
31 | Station, Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, ~~Irving~~, Monument,
32 Newgate North, Newgate South, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, Popes Head,
33 Sangster, Silverbrook, South Run, Valley, West Springfield, White Oaks, Willow
34 Springs, and Woodyard.

35
36
37 **2. That this ordinance shall become effective upon adoption, and it**
38 **shall be enforced after satisfactory completion of the federal preclearance**
39 **procedure provided by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as**
40 **amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.**
41

1


GIVEN under my hand this _____ day of _____ 2011.

Nancy Vehrs
Clerk to the Board of Supervisors

\\s17prolaw01\Documents\104659\ECW\Correspondence\344220.doc



FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA



2011 Proposed Supervisor District Boundary Changes

9A8

Voting Precinct Boundaries



Proposed Supervisor Districts



- BRADDOCK
- DRANESVILLE
- HUNTER MILL
- LEE
- MASON
- MOUNT VERNON
- PROVIDENCE
- SPRINGFIELD
- SULLY

Note: This map is intended for reference purposes only. Fairfax County does not provide any guarantee of the accuracy or completeness regarding the map information.

Plan Datasheet –9A8

| | |
|------------------------------|--|
| Number of precincts moved: | 7 |
| Number of persons moved: | 31282 |
| Total deviation of the plan: | 6.57% |
| Range of plan deviation: | -3.23 to 3.34% |
| Precincts moved list: | Bristow, Eagle View, Fort Buffalo, Hunt, Popes Head, Walnut Hill #2, Westbriar. |

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 120,192

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 9A8

| Supervisor District | Deviation from Target Population | Total Population | White alone | Black or African American alone | American Indian and Alaska Native alone | Asian alone | Other Races | Hispanic or Latino |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|---|----------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Braddock | -3.2% | 116,310 | 78,334 | 6,573 | 370 | 21,049 | 9,984 | 16,472 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 67.3% | 5.7% | 0.3% | 18.1% | 8.6% | 14.2% |
| Dranesville | 0.6% | 120,854 | 85,763 | 5,050 | 366 | 19,317 | 10,358 | 15,431 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 71.0% | 4.2% | 0.3% | 16.0% | 8.6% | 12.8% |
| Hunter Mill | 0.9% | 121,326 | 81,189 | 9,561 | 347 | 20,686 | 9,543 | 12,787 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 66.9% | 7.9% | 0.3% | 17.0% | 7.9% | 10.5% |
| Lee | -1.8% | 117,984 | 62,721 | 20,616 | 618 | 15,958 | 18,071 | 27,668 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 53.2% | 17.5% | 0.5% | 13.5% | 15.3% | 23.5% |
| Mason | -0.5% | 119,542 | 63,641 | 13,334 | 728 | 21,030 | 20,809 | 35,160 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 53.2% | 11.2% | 0.6% | 17.6% | 17.4% | 29.4% |
| Mount Vernon | 1.6% | 122,086 | 74,289 | 21,607 | 448 | 13,129 | 12,613 | 17,790 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 60.8% | 17.7% | 0.4% | 10.8% | 10.3% | 14.6% |
| Providence | -1.3% | 118,614 | 72,499 | 6,701 | 428 | 27,421 | 11,565 | 17,782 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 61.1% | 5.6% | 0.4% | 23.1% | 9.8% | 15.0% |
| Springfield | 0.5% | 120,807 | 82,853 | 7,989 | 276 | 21,919 | 7,770 | 10,784 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 68.6% | 6.6% | 0.2% | 18.1% | 6.4% | 8.9% |
| Sully | 3.3% | 124,203 | 76,701 | 7,787 | 303 | 29,152 | 10,260 | 14,608 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 61.8% | 6.3% | 0.2% | 23.5% | 8.3% | 11.8% |
| Fairfax County | | 1,081,726 | 677,990 | 99,218 | 3,884 | 189,661 | 110,973 | 168,482 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 62.7% | 9.2% | 0.4% | 17.5% | 10.3% | 15.6% |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan 9A8

1. Legal Requirements and Plan Summary

1.1. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS

1.1.1. Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?

1.1.1.1. Yes

1.1.2. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?

1.1.2.1. 6.56% (-3.32% to 3.34%)

1.2. MINIMAL DISRUPTION

1.2.1. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?

1.2.1.1. No Supervisors move.

1.2.2. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.

1.2.2.1. Same #

1.3. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES

1.3.1. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?

1.3.1.1. Yes

1.3.2. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?

1.3.2.1. N/A

1.3.3. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?

1.3.3.1. Yes

1.4. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA

1.4.1. Does the plan considers established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.

1.4.1.1. Yes

1.4.2. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?

1.4.2.1. Yes

1.5. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY

1.5.1. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?

1.5.1.1. Yes

1.5.2. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?

1.5.2.1. Yes except for the Judicial Center in Fairfax City that already exists.

1.6. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES

1.6.1. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?

1.6.1.1. No

1.6.2. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?

1.6.2.1. No

1.7. COST

1.7.1. Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?

1.7.1.1. Should be change

1.7.1.2. Should be no change

1.8. Presentation of Plan and Comments

*1.8.1. Mt Vernon Springfield Hunt 5415 BRADDOCK MASON Bristow 5503
SPRINGFIELD BRADDOCK Popes Head 4378 SPRINGFIELD BRADDOCK Eagle
View 6005 HUNTER-MILL DRANESVILLE Westbriar 5268 PROVIDENCE MASON
Fort Buffalo 3551 PROVIDENCE MASON Walnut Hill #2 1162 Total 31282*

*1.8.2. Mt Vernon Springfield Hunt 5415 BRADDOCK MASON Bristow 5503
SPRINGFIELD BRADDOCK Popes Head 4378 SPRINGFIELD BRADDOCK Eagle
View 6005 HUNTER-MILL DRANESVILLE Westbriar 5268 PROVIDENCE MASON
Fort Buffalo 3551 PROVIDENCE MASON Walnut Hill #2 1162 Total 31282*

1.8.3. 6 Precincts moved. [Staff note: plan author's stated number of precincts moved is incorrect; actual number is 7.]

**AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE
TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 9A8]

1
2

Draft of March 15, 2011

3 **AN ORDINANCE** to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by
4 amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10,
5 and 7-2-11, relating to the election districts of the members of the
6 Board of Supervisors.

7 **Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:**

8 **1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, and 7-2-11 are**
9 **amended and readopted as follows:**

10

11 **Section 7-2-4. - Braddock District.**

12

13 The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae,
14 | ~~Bristow~~, Burke Centre, Cardinal, Chapel, Eagle View, Fairview, Heritage, Keene
15 Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch, North Springfield No. 1,
16 | North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde Creek, Olley, Popes Head, Ravensworth,
17 Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield, and Woodson.

18

19 **Section 7-2-5. - Hunter Mill District.**

20

21 The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron
22 Glen, Coates, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade, Hunters
23 Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3, South
24 Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No.
25 | 4, Vienna No. 6, ~~Westbriar~~, and Wolftrap.

26

27 **Section 7-2-6. - Dranesville District.**

28

29 The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge,
30 Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, Colvin, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville, Great
31 Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory,
32 Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, McLean, Pimmit, Salona,
33 | Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Sugarland, Westbriar, Westhampton, and
34 Westmoreland.

35

1 **Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.**

2
3 The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft,
4 Belvedere, Bren Mar, Bristow, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Fort
5 Buffalo, Glen Forest No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2,
6 Hummer, Leewood, Lincolnia, Masonville, Parklawn, Poe, Ravenwood, Ridgelea,
7 Saint Albans, Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Walnut Hill No. 2,
8 Westlawn, Weyanoke, Whittier, and Willston.

9
10 **Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.**

11
12 The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle
13 Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall,
14 ~~Hunt~~, Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station,
15 Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood,
16 Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.

17
18 **Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.**

19
20 The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Fairfax
21 Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is
22 surrounded by Fairfax City), ~~Fort Buffalo~~, Freedom Hill, Graham, Greenway,
23 Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak Marr,
24 Oakton, Penderbrook, Pine Ridge, Pine Spring, Price, Shreve, Stenwood,
25 Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, Walker, ~~Walnut Hill No. 2~~, and Woodburn.

26
27 **Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.**

28
29 The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke,
30 Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, ~~Eagle View~~, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax
31 Station, Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Hunt, Irving,
32 Monument, Newgate North, Newgate South, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, ~~Popes~~
33 ~~Head~~, Sangster, Silverbrook, South Run, Valley, West Springfield, White Oaks,
34 Willow Springs, and Woodyard.

35

1 **2. That this ordinance shall become effective upon adoption, and it**
2 **shall be enforced after satisfactory completion of the federal preclearance**
3 **procedure provided by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as**
4 **amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.**

5


GIVEN under my hand this _____ day of _____ 2011.

Nancy Vehrs
Clerk to the Board of Supervisors

\\s17prolaw01\Documents\104659\ECW\Correspondence\344234.doc



FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA




2011 Proposed Supervisor District Boundary Changes

9A9

Voting Precinct Boundaries



Proposed Supervisor Districts



Note: This map is intended for reference purposes only. Fairfax County does not provide any guarantee of the accuracy or completeness regarding the map information.

Plan Datasheet –9A9

| | |
|------------------------------|---|
| Number of precincts moved: | 8 |
| Number of persons moved: | 38897 |
| Total deviation of the plan: | 7.78% |
| Range of plan deviation: | -4.44 to 3.34% |
| Precincts moved list: | Bristow, Coates, Colvin, Eagle View, Hunt, Monument, North Springfield #2, Walnut Hill #2. |

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 120,192

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 9A9

| Supervisor District | Deviation from Target Population | Total Population | White alone | Black or African American alone | American Indian and Alaska Native alone | Asian alone | Other Races | Hispanic or Latino |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|---|----------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Braddock | -4.4% | 114,858 | 76,713 | 6,705 | 339 | 21,727 | 9,374 | 15,504 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 66.8% | 5.8% | 0.3% | 18.9% | 8.2% | 13.5% |
| Dranesville | -0.4% | 119,740 | 81,982 | 6,107 | 371 | 20,629 | 10,651 | 15,814 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 68.5% | 5.1% | 0.3% | 17.2% | 8.9% | 13.2% |
| Hunter Mill | 1.9% | 122,440 | 84,970 | 8,504 | 342 | 19,374 | 9,250 | 12,404 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 69.4% | 6.9% | 0.3% | 15.8% | 7.6% | 10.1% |
| Lee | -1.8% | 117,984 | 62,721 | 20,616 | 618 | 15,958 | 18,071 | 27,668 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 53.2% | 17.5% | 0.5% | 13.5% | 15.3% | 23.5% |
| Mason | -0.5% | 119,617 | 63,763 | 13,296 | 742 | 20,902 | 20,914 | 35,274 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 53.3% | 11.1% | 0.6% | 17.5% | 17.5% | 29.5% |
| Mount Vernon | 1.6% | 122,086 | 74,289 | 21,607 | 448 | 13,129 | 12,613 | 17,790 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 60.8% | 17.7% | 0.4% | 10.8% | 10.3% | 14.6% |
| Providence | 1.6% | 122,165 | 74,192 | 7,048 | 449 | 28,199 | 12,277 | 18,926 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 60.7% | 5.8% | 0.4% | 23.1% | 10.0% | 15.5% |
| Springfield | -1.3% | 118,633 | 82,659 | 7,548 | 272 | 20,591 | 7,563 | 10,494 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 69.7% | 6.4% | 0.2% | 17.4% | 6.4% | 8.8% |
| Sully | 3.3% | 124,203 | 76,701 | 7,787 | 303 | 29,152 | 10,260 | 14,608 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 61.8% | 6.3% | 0.2% | 23.5% | 8.3% | 11.8% |
| Fairfax County | | 1,081,726 | 677,990 | 99,218 | 3,884 | 189,661 | 110,973 | 168,482 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 62.7% | 9.2% | 0.4% | 17.5% | 10.3% | 15.6% |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan 9A9

9. Legal Requirements and Plan Summary

9.1. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS

9.1.1. Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?

9.1.1.1. Yes.

9.1.2. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?

9.1.2.1. The deviation ranges between -4.4% to 3.3%.

9.2. MINIMAL DISRUPTION

9.2.1. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?

9.2.1.1. Yes.

9.2.2. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.

9.2.2.1. Non-applicable (plan keeps 9 magisterial districts).

9.3. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES

9.3.1. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?

9.3.1.1. Yes.

9.3.2. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?

9.3.2.1. Non-applicable.

9.3.3. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?

9.3.3.1. Non-applicable.

9.4. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA

9.4.1. Does the plan considers established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.

9.4.1.1. Yes.

9.4.2. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?

9.4.2.1. Yes.

9.5. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY

9.5.1. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?

9.5.1.1. Yes.

9.5.2. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?

9.5.2.1. Yes.

9.6. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES

9.6.1. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?

9.6.1.1. No.

9.6.2. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?

9.6.2.1. No.

9.7. COST

9.7.1. Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?

9.7.1.1. Neither (cost-neutral).

9.8. Presentation of Plan and Comments

**AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE
TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 9A9]

1
2

Draft of March 15, 2011

3 **AN ORDINANCE** to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by
4 amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, and 7-
5 2-11, relating to the election districts of the members of the Board
6 of Supervisors.

7 **Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:**

8 **1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, and 7-2-11 are**
9 **amended and readopted as follows:**

10

11 **Section 7-2-4. - Braddock District.**

12

13 The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae,
14 ~~Bristow~~, Burke Centre, Cardinal, Chapel, Eagle View, Fairview, Heritage, Keene
15 Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch, Monument, North
16 Springfield No. 1, ~~North Springfield No. 2~~, Oak Hill, Olde Creek, Olley,
17 Ravensworth, Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield,
18 and Woodson.

19

20 **Section 7-2-5. - Hunter Mill District.**

21

22 The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron
23 Glen, ~~Coates~~, Colvin, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade,
24 Hunters Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3,
25 South Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2,
26 Vienna No. 4, Vienna No. 6, Westbriar, and Wolftrap.

27

28 **Section 7-2-6. - Dranesville District.**

29

30 The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge,
31 Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, Coates, ~~Colvin~~, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville,
32 Great Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory,
33 Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, McLean, Pimmit, Salona,
34 Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Sugarland, Westhampton, and Westmoreland.

35

1 **Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.**

2
3 The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft,
4 | Belvedere, Bren Mar, Bristow, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Glen Forest
5 | No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer, Leewood,
6 | Lincolnia, Masonville, North Springfield No. 2, Parklawn, Poe, Ravenwood,
7 | Ridgelea, Saint Albans, Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Walnut Hill No.
8 | 2, Westlawn, Weyanoke, Whittier, and Willston.

9
10 **Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.**

11
12 The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle
13 Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall,
14 | ~~Hunt~~, Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station,
15 | Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood,
16 | Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.

17
18 **Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.**

19
20 The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Fairfax
21 Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is
22 surrounded by Fairfax City), Fort Buffalo, Freedom Hill, Graham, Greenway,
23 Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak Marr,
24 Oakton, Penderbrook, Pine Ridge, Pine Spring, Price, Shreve, Stenwood,
25 | Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, Walker, ~~Walnut Hill No. 2~~, and Woodburn.

26
27 **Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.**

28
29 The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke,
30 | Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, ~~Eagle View~~, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax
31 | Station, Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Hunt, Irving,
32 | ~~Monument~~, Newgate North, Newgate South, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, Popes
33 | Head, Sangster, Silverbrook, South Run, Valley, West Springfield, White Oaks,
34 | Willow Springs, and Woodyard.

35

1 **2. That this ordinance shall become effective upon adoption, and it**
2 **shall be enforced after satisfactory completion of the federal preclearance**
3 **procedure provided by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as**
4 **amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.**

5


GIVEN under my hand this _____ day of _____ 2011.

Nancy Vehrs
Clerk to the Board of Supervisors

\\s17prolaw01\Documents\104659\ECW\Correspondence\344248.doc



FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA



2011 Proposed Supervisor District Boundary Changes

9A10

Voting Precinct Boundaries



Proposed Supervisor Districts



Note: This map is intended for reference purposes only. Fairfax County does not provide any guarantee of the accuracy or completeness regarding the map information.

Plan Datasheet – 9A10

| | |
|------------------------------|--|
| Number of precincts moved: | 8 |
| Number of persons moved: | 36793 |
| Total deviation of the plan: | 3.43% |
| Range of plan deviation: | -2.04 to 1.39% |
| Precincts moved list: | Eagle View, Fort Buffalo, Grosvenor, Huntington, Lynbrook, Popes Head, Poplar Tree, Westbriar. |

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 120,192

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 9A10

| Supervisor District | Deviation from Target Population | Total Population | White alone | Black or African American alone | American Indian and Alaska Native alone | Asian alone | Other Races | Hispanic or Latino |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|---|----------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Braddock | 1.3% | 121,813 | 80,471 | 7,325 | 391 | 22,600 | 11,026 | 18,225 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 66.1% | 6.0% | 0.3% | 18.6% | 9.1% | 15.0% |
| Dranesville | 0.6% | 120,854 | 85,763 | 5,050 | 366 | 19,317 | 10,358 | 15,431 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 71.0% | 4.2% | 0.3% | 16.0% | 8.6% | 12.8% |
| Hunter Mill | 0.9% | 121,326 | 81,189 | 9,561 | 347 | 20,686 | 9,543 | 12,787 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 66.9% | 7.9% | 0.3% | 17.0% | 7.9% | 10.5% |
| Lee | 1.4% | 121,859 | 66,195 | 21,677 | 592 | 15,737 | 17,658 | 27,027 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 54.3% | 17.8% | 0.5% | 12.9% | 14.5% | 22.2% |
| Mason | -2.0% | 117,744 | 62,554 | 12,827 | 747 | 20,401 | 21,215 | 35,447 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 53.1% | 10.9% | 0.6% | 17.3% | 18.0% | 30.1% |
| Mount Vernon | -1.2% | 118,759 | 72,571 | 20,790 | 431 | 13,123 | 11,844 | 16,688 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 61.1% | 17.5% | 0.4% | 11.1% | 10.0% | 14.1% |
| Providence | -0.3% | 119,776 | 73,335 | 6,716 | 446 | 27,609 | 11,670 | 17,987 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 61.2% | 5.6% | 0.4% | 23.1% | 9.7% | 15.0% |
| Springfield | -0.7% | 119,374 | 81,741 | 7,657 | 272 | 22,125 | 7,579 | 10,452 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 68.5% | 6.4% | 0.2% | 18.5% | 6.3% | 8.8% |
| Sully | 0.0% | 120,221 | 74,171 | 7,615 | 292 | 28,063 | 10,080 | 14,438 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 61.7% | 6.3% | 0.2% | 23.3% | 8.4% | 12.0% |
| Fairfax County | | 1,081,726 | 677,990 | 99,218 | 3,884 | 189,661 | 110,973 | 168,482 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 62.7% | 9.2% | 0.4% | 17.5% | 10.3% | 15.6% |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan 9A10

1. Legal Requirements and Plan Summary

1.1. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS

1.1.1. Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?

1.1.1.1. The range of the deviation is less than 10 %

1.1.2. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?

1.1.2.1. -2 %

1.2. MINIMAL DISRUPTION

1.2.1. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?

1.2.2. *Yes, There is minimum disruption. The plan requires 7 moves. Most of the moves were around the boundaries of the districts.* [Staff note: plan author's stated number of precincts moved is incorrect; actual number is 8.]

1.2.3. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.

1.2.3.1. Same number of supervisor districts, nine

1.3. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES

1.3.1. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?

1.3.1.1. Yes

1.3.2. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?

1.3.2.1. Not applicable

1.3.3. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?

1.3.3.1. Yes

1.4. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA

1.4.1. Does the plan considers established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.

1.4.1.1. Yes

1.4.2. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?

1.4.2.1. Yes

1.5. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY

1.5.1. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?

1.5.1.1. Yes

1.5.2. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?

1.5.2.1. Yes

1.6. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES

1.6.1. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off

than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?

1.6.1.1. Yes, there will be a small regression.

1.6.2. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?

1.6.2.1. No.

1.7. COST

1.7.1. Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?

1.8. Presentation of Plan and Comments

1.8.1. There will no substantial increase in cost.

**AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE
TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 9A10]

1
2

Draft of March 15, 2011

3 **AN ORDINANCE** to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by
4 amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10,
5 7-2-11, and 7-2-12, relating to the election districts of the members
6 of the Board of Supervisors.

7 **Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:**

8 **1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, 7-2-11, and**
9 **7-2-12 are amended and readopted as follows:**

10

11 **Section 7-2-4. - Braddock District.**

12

13 The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae,
14 Bristow, Burke Centre, Cardinal, Chapel, Eagle View, Fairview, Heritage, Keene
15 Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch, North Springfield No. 1,
16 North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde Creek, Olley, Popes Head, Ravensworth,
17 Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield, and Woodson.

18

19 **Section 7-2-5. - Hunter Mill District.**

20

21 The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron
22 Glen, Coates, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade, Hunters
23 Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3, South
24 Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No.
25 4, Vienna No. 6, ~~Westbriar~~, and Wolftrap.

26

27 **Section 7-2-6. - Dranesville District.**

28

29 The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge,
30 Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, Colvin, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville, Great
31 Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory,
32 Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, McLean, Pimmit, Salona,
33 Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Sugarland, Westbriar, Westhampton, and
34 Westmoreland.

35

1 **Section 7-2-7. - Lee District.**

2
3 The Lee District shall consist of these election precincts: Bush Hill, Cameron,
4 Clermont, Crestwood, Fairfield, Franconia, Garfield, Greenspring, Grosvenor,
5 Groveton, Hayfield, Huntington, Huntley, Island Creek, Kingstowne, Lane,
6 ~~Lynbrook~~, Mount Eagle, Pioneer, Rose Hill, Van Dorn, Villages, Virginia Hills, and
7 Wilton.

8
9 **Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.**

10
11 The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft,
12 Belvedere, Bren Mar, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Fort Buffalo, Glen
13 Forest No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer,
14 Leewood, Lincolnia, Lynbrook, Masonville, Parklawn, Poe, Ravenwood,
15 Ridgelea, Saint Albans, Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Westlawn,
16 Weyanoke, Whittier, and Willston.

17
18 **Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.**

19
20 The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle
21 Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, ~~Grosvenor~~, Gunston, Hollin Hall,
22 Hunt, ~~Huntington~~, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station,
23 Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood,
24 Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.

25
26 **Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.**

27
28 The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Fairfax
29 Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is
30 surrounded by Fairfax City), ~~Fort Buffalo~~, Freedom Hill, Graham, Greenway,
31 Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak Marr,
32 Oakton, Penderbrook, Pine Ridge, Pine Spring, Price, Shreve, Stenwood,
33 Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, Walker, Walnut Hill No. 2, and Woodburn.

34
35 **Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.**

36
37 The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke,
38 Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, ~~Eagle View~~, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax
39 Station, Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Irving, Monument,
40 Newgate North, Newgate South, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, ~~Popes Head~~, Poplar
41 Tree, Sangster, Silverbrook, South Run, Valley, West Springfield, White Oaks,
42 Willow Springs, and Woodyard.

43

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14

Section 7-2-12. - Sully District.

The Sully District shall consist of these election precincts: Brookfield, Bull Run, Centre Ridge, Centreville, Cub Run, Deer Park, Dulles, Franklin, Green Trails, Kinross, Lees Corner East, Lees Corner West, London Towne East, London Towne West, Navy, Old Mill, ~~Poplar Tree~~, Powell, Rocky Run, Stone, Vale, Virginia Run, and Waples Mill.


2. That this ordinance shall become effective upon adoption, and it shall be enforced after satisfactory completion of the federal preclearance procedure provided by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.

GIVEN under my hand this _____ day of _____ 2011.

Nancy Vehrs
Clerk to the Board of Supervisors



FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA



2011 Proposed Supervisor District Boundary Changes

9A11

Voting Precinct Boundaries



Proposed Supervisor Districts



- BRADDOCK
- DRANESVILLE
- HUNTER MILL
- LEE
- MASON
- MOUNT VERNON
- PROVIDENCE
- SPRINGFIELD
- SULLY

Note: This map is intended for reference purposes only. Fairfax County does not provide any guarantee of the accuracy or completeness regarding the map information.

Plan Datasheet –9A11

| | |
|------------------------------|---|
| Number of precincts moved: | 9 |
| Number of persons moved: | 39779 |
| Total deviation of the plan: | 8.08% |
| Range of plan deviation: | -4.02 to 4.06% |
| Precincts moved list: | Cardinal, Coates, Eagle View, Fort Buffalo, Hunt, Keene Mill, Monument, Walnut Hill #2, Woodburn. |

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 120,192

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 9A11

| Supervisor District | Deviation from Target Population | Total Population | White alone | Black or African American alone | American Indian and Alaska Native alone | Asian alone | Other Races | Hispanic or Latino |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|---|----------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Braddock | -2.2% | 117,545 | 76,337 | 7,295 | 371 | 22,998 | 10,544 | 17,473 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 64.9% | 6.2% | 0.3% | 19.6% | 9.0% | 14.9% |
| Dranesville | 2.3% | 122,980 | 84,489 | 6,183 | 373 | 21,122 | 10,813 | 15,977 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 68.7% | 5.0% | 0.3% | 17.2% | 8.8% | 13.0% |
| Hunter Mill | -0.8% | 119,200 | 82,463 | 8,428 | 340 | 18,881 | 9,088 | 12,241 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 69.2% | 7.1% | 0.3% | 15.8% | 7.6% | 10.3% |
| Lee | -1.8% | 117,984 | 62,721 | 20,616 | 618 | 15,958 | 18,071 | 27,668 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 53.2% | 17.5% | 0.5% | 13.5% | 15.3% | 23.5% |
| Mason | -2.4% | 117,297 | 63,562 | 12,761 | 742 | 20,200 | 20,032 | 33,857 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 54.2% | 10.9% | 0.6% | 17.2% | 17.1% | 28.9% |
| Mount Vernon | 1.6% | 122,086 | 74,289 | 21,607 | 448 | 13,129 | 12,613 | 17,790 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 60.8% | 17.7% | 0.4% | 10.8% | 10.3% | 14.6% |
| Providence | -4.0% | 115,356 | 70,441 | 6,522 | 393 | 26,700 | 11,300 | 17,332 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 61.1% | 5.7% | 0.3% | 23.1% | 9.8% | 15.0% |
| Springfield | 4.1% | 125,075 | 86,987 | 8,019 | 296 | 21,521 | 8,252 | 11,536 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 69.5% | 6.4% | 0.2% | 17.2% | 6.6% | 9.2% |
| Sully | 3.3% | 124,203 | 76,701 | 7,787 | 303 | 29,152 | 10,260 | 14,608 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 61.8% | 6.3% | 0.2% | 23.5% | 8.3% | 11.8% |
| Fairfax County | | 1,081,726 | 677,990 | 99,218 | 3,884 | 189,661 | 110,973 | 168,482 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 62.7% | 9.2% | 0.4% | 17.5% | 10.3% | 15.6% |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan 9A11

11. Legal Requirements and Plan Summary

11.1. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS

11.1.1. Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?

11.1.1.1. Yes

11.1.2. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?

11.1.2.1. (4.0%) and 4.1%

11.2. MINIMAL DISRUPTION

11.2.1. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?

11.2.1.1. Yes

11.2.2. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.

11.2.2.1. N/A

11.3. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES

11.3.1. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?

11.3.1.1. Yes

11.3.2. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?

11.3.3. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?

11.4. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA

11.4.1. Does the plan consider established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.

11.4.1.1. Yes, especially moving the Cardinal and the Keene Mill precincts back into Springfield where they were for over 40 years

11.4.2. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?

11.4.2.1. Yes

11.5. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY

11.5.1. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?

11.5.1.1. No

11.5.2. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?

11.5.2.1. Yes

11.6. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES

11.6.1. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?

11.6.1.1. No

11.6.2. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large

and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?

11.6.2.1. *No*

11.7. COST

11.7.1. Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?

11.7.1.1. *Do not think so*

11.8. Presentation of Plan and Comments

11.8.1. *While there has been an overriding concern about moving precincts, this is the only chance for the next 10 years to right a wrong that occurred 10 years ago. In the 2001 reapportionment, Cardinal and Keene Mill precincts were ripped from the Springfield District and put into Braddock District. As you can see from a map, the Cardinal and Keene Mill communities are separated from the rest of the Braddock District by a physical boundary, a railroad track, and a virtual boundary, the West Springfield High School pyramid resulting in an isolation of a community and putting 1/3 of the West Springfield High School pyramid elementary schools in a separate magisterial district. In fact while the Board of Supervisors were splitting Cardinal and Keene Mill into a new magisterial district, those redistricting for Congressional seats reunited Cardinal with Keene Mill for the 2002 election, they had been in the 8th and 11th Congressional districts respectively, putting them in with the rest of the West Springfield area.*

11.9. (Type here to submit an idea.)

**AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE
TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 9A11]

1
2

Draft of March 15, 2011

3 **AN ORDINANCE** to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by
4 amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10,
5 and 7-2-11, relating to the election districts of the members of the
6 Board of Supervisors.

7 **Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:**

8 **1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, and 7-2-11 are**
9 **amended and readopted as follows:**

10

11 **Section 7-2-4. - Braddock District.**

12

13 The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae,
14 Bristow, Burke Centre, ~~Cardinal~~, Chapel, Eagle View, Fairview, Heritage, ~~Keene~~
15 ~~Mill~~, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch, Monument, North
16 Springfield No. 1, North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde Creek, Olley,
17 Ravensworth, Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield,
18 and Woodson.

19

20 **Section 7-2-5. - Hunter Mill District.**

21

22 The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron
23 Glen, ~~Coates~~, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade, Hunters
24 Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3, South
25 Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No.
26 4, Vienna No. 6, Westbriar, and Wolftrap.

27

28 **Section 7-2-6. - Dranesville District.**

29

30 The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge,
31 Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, Coates, Colvin, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville,
32 Great Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory,
33 Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, McLean, Pimmit, Salona,
34 Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Sugarland, Westhampton, and Westmoreland.

35

1 **Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.**

2
3 The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft,
4 | Belvedere, Bren Mar, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Fort Buffalo, Glen
5 Forest No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer,
6 Leewood, Lincolnia, Masonville, Parklawn, Poe, Ravenwood, Ridgelea, Saint
7 | Albans, Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Walnut Hill No. 2, Westlawn,
8 | Weyanoke, Whittier, ~~and Willston,~~ and Woodburn.

9
10 **Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.**

11
12 The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle
13 Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall,
14 | ~~Hunt~~, Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station,
15 Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood,
16 Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.

17
18 **Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.**

19
20 The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Fairfax
21 Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is
22 | surrounded by Fairfax City), ~~Fort Buffalo~~, Freedom Hill, Graham, Greenway,
23 Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak Marr,
24 Oakton, Penderbrook, Pine Ridge, Pine Spring, Price, Shreve, Stenwood,
25 | Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, and Walker, ~~Walnut Hill No. 2, and Woodburn.~~

26
27 **Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.**

28
29 | The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke, Cardinal,
30 Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, ~~Eagle View~~, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax
31 Station, Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Hunt, Irving,
32 | ~~Monument~~, Keene Mill, Newgate North, Newgate South, Orange, Parkway,
33 Pohick, Popes Head, Sangster, Silverbrook, South Run, Valley, West Springfield,
34 White Oaks, Willow Springs, and Woodyard.

1 **2. That this ordinance shall become effective upon adoption, and it**
2 **shall be enforced after satisfactory completion of the federal preclearance**
3 **procedure provided by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as**
4 **amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.**

5


GIVEN under my hand this _____ day of _____ 2011.

Nancy Vehrs
Clerk to the Board of Supervisors

\\s17prolaw01\Documents\104659\ECW\Correspondence\344262.doc



FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA




2011 Proposed Supervisor District Boundary Changes

9A12

Voting Precinct Boundaries



Proposed Supervisor Districts



Note: This map is intended for reference purposes only. Fairfax County does not provide any guarantee of the accuracy or completeness regarding the map information.

Plan Datasheet –9A12

| | |
|------------------------------|---|
| Number of precincts moved: | 9 |
| Number of persons moved: | 41774 |
| Total deviation of the plan: | 8.18% |
| Range of plan deviation: | -4.73 to 3.45% |
| Precincts moved list: | Eagle View, Fairfax Court, Hunt, Newington, Pine Ridge, Popes Head, Price, West Springfield, Westbriar. |

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 120,192

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 9A12

| Supervisor District | Deviation from Target Population | Total Population | White alone | Black or African American alone | American Indian and Alaska Native alone | Asian alone | Other Races | Hispanic or Latino |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|---|----------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Braddock | 2.4% | 123,065 | 81,179 | 7,797 | 409 | 22,641 | 11,039 | 18,546 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 66.0% | 6.3% | 0.3% | 18.4% | 9.0% | 15.1% |
| Dranesville | 0.6% | 120,854 | 85,763 | 5,050 | 366 | 19,317 | 10,358 | 15,431 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 71.0% | 4.2% | 0.3% | 16.0% | 8.6% | 12.8% |
| Hunter Mill | 0.9% | 121,326 | 81,189 | 9,561 | 347 | 20,686 | 9,543 | 12,787 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 66.9% | 7.9% | 0.3% | 17.0% | 7.9% | 10.5% |
| Lee | -1.8% | 117,984 | 62,721 | 20,616 | 618 | 15,958 | 18,071 | 27,668 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 53.2% | 17.5% | 0.5% | 13.5% | 15.3% | 23.5% |
| Mason | -2.7% | 116,893 | 63,623 | 12,682 | 697 | 20,237 | 19,654 | 33,266 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 54.4% | 10.8% | 0.6% | 17.3% | 16.8% | 28.5% |
| Mount Vernon | -1.4% | 118,549 | 72,514 | 21,033 | 425 | 12,584 | 11,993 | 16,881 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 61.2% | 17.7% | 0.4% | 10.6% | 10.1% | 14.2% |
| Providence | -4.7% | 114,508 | 69,672 | 6,129 | 420 | 26,622 | 11,665 | 17,602 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 60.8% | 5.4% | 0.4% | 23.2% | 10.2% | 15.4% |
| Springfield | 3.5% | 124,344 | 84,628 | 8,563 | 299 | 22,464 | 8,390 | 11,693 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 68.1% | 6.9% | 0.2% | 18.1% | 6.7% | 9.4% |
| Sully | 3.3% | 124,203 | 76,701 | 7,787 | 303 | 29,152 | 10,260 | 14,608 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 61.8% | 6.3% | 0.2% | 23.5% | 8.3% | 11.8% |
| Fairfax County | | 1,081,726 | 677,990 | 99,218 | 3,884 | 189,661 | 110,973 | 168,482 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 62.7% | 9.2% | 0.4% | 17.5% | 10.3% | 15.6% |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan 9A12

1. Legal Requirements and Plan Summary

1.1. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS

1.1.1. Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?

1.1.1.1. Yes

1.1.2. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?

1.1.2.1. less than 10%

1.2. MINIMAL DISRUPTION

1.2.1. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?

1.2.1.1. Yes

1.2.2. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.

1.2.2.1. n/a

1.3. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES

1.3.1. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?

1.3.1.1. yes

1.3.2. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?

1.3.2.1. n/a

1.3.2.2. yes

1.3.3. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?

1.3.3.1. n/a

1.4. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA

1.4.1. Does the plan considers established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.

1.4.1.1. n/a

1.4.1.2. yes

1.4.2. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?

1.4.2.1. yes

1.5. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY

1.5.1. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?

1.5.1.1. yes

1.5.2. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?

1.5.2.1. yes

1.6. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES

1.6.1. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off

than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?

1.6.1.1. no

1.6.2. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?

1.6.2.1. no

1.7. COST

1.7.1. Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?

1.7.1.1. no

1.8. Presentation of Plan and Comments

1.9. 9A12 has 2 main features: (i) minimal disruption; (ii) Braddock picks up ONLY 2 precincts to its immediate west, and loses none; Braddock remains compact.

**AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE
TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 9A12]

1
2

Draft of March 15, 2011

3 **AN ORDINANCE** to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by
4 amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10,
5 and 7-2-11, relating to the election districts of the members of the
6 Board of Supervisors.

7 **Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:**

8 **1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, and 7-2-11 are**
9 **amended and readopted as follows:**

10

11 **Section 7-2-4. - Braddock District.**

12

13 The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae,
14 Bristow, Burke Centre, Cardinal, Chapel, Eagle View, Fairfax Court (that part of
15 Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is surrounded by
16 Fairfax City), Fairview, Heritage, Keene Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel,
17 Long Branch, North Springfield No. 1, North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde
18 Creek, Olley, Popes Head, Ravensworth, Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra
19 Centre, Villa, Wakefield, and Woodson.

20

21 **Section 7-2-5. - Hunter Mill District.**

22

23 The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron
24 Glen, Coates, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade, Hunters
25 Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3, South
26 Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No.
27 4, Vienna No. 6, ~~Westbar~~, and Wolftrap.

28

29 **Section 7-2-6. - Dranesville District.**

30

31 The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge,
32 Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, Colvin, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville, Great
33 Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory,
34 Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, McLean, Pimmit, Salona,

1 | Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Sugarland, Westbriar, Westhampton, and
2 | Westmoreland.

3
4 | **Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.**

5
6 | The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft,
7 | Belvedere, Bren Mar, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Glen Forest No. 1,
8 | Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer, Leewood, Lincolnia,
9 | Masonville, Parklawn, Pine Ridge, Poe, Price, Ravenwood, Ridgelea, Saint
10 | Albans, Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Westlawn, Weyanoke, Whittier,
11 | and Willston.

12
13 | **Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.**

14
15 | The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle
16 | Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall,
17 | ~~Hunt~~, Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station,
18 | Marlan, ~~Newington~~, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood,
19 | West Springfield, Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.

20
21 | **Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.**

22
23 | The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, ~~Fairfax~~
24 | ~~Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is~~
25 | ~~surrounded by Fairfax City)~~, Fort Buffalo, Freedom Hill, Graham, Greenway,
26 | Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak Marr,
27 | Oakton, Penderbrook, ~~Pine Ridge~~, Pine Spring, ~~Price~~, Shreve, Stenwood,
28 | Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, Walker, Walnut Hill No. 2, and Woodburn.

29
30 | **Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.**

31
32 | The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke,
33 | Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, ~~Eagle View~~, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax
34 | Station, Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Hunt, Irving,
35 | Monument, Newgate North, Newgate South, Newington, Orange, Parkway,
36 | Pohick, ~~Popes Head~~, Sangster, Silverbrook, South Run, Valley, ~~West Springfield~~,
37 | White Oaks, Willow Springs, and Woodyard.

1 **2. That this ordinance shall become effective upon adoption, and it**
2 **shall be enforced after satisfactory completion of the federal preclearance**
3 **procedure provided by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as**
4 **amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.**

5


GIVEN under my hand this _____ day of _____ 2011.

Nancy Vehrs
Clerk to the Board of Supervisors

\\s17prolaw01\Documents\104659\ECW\Correspondence\344265.doc



FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA




2011 Proposed Supervisor District Boundary Changes 9B1

Voting Precinct Boundaries



Proposed Supervisor Districts



Note: This map is intended for reference purposes only. Fairfax County does not provide any guarantee of the accuracy or completeness regarding the map information.

Plan Datasheet –9B1

| | |
|------------------------------|--|
| Number of precincts moved: | 11 |
| Number of persons moved: | 52298 |
| Total deviation of the plan: | 7.62% |
| Range of plan deviation: | -4.28 to 3.34% |
| Precincts moved list: | Bristow, Camelot, Coates, Eagle View, Fair Oaks, Heritage, Hunt, Monument, Ridgelea, South County, Walnut Hill #2. |

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 120,192

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 9B1

| Supervisor District | Deviation from Target Population | Total Population | White alone | Black or African American alone | American Indian and Alaska Native alone | Asian alone | Other Races | Hispanic or Latino |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|---|----------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Braddock | -4.3% | 115,051 | 78,470 | 6,496 | 311 | 21,156 | 8,618 | 13,537 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 68.2% | 5.6% | 0.3% | 18.4% | 7.5% | 11.8% |
| Dranesville | 2.3% | 122,980 | 84,489 | 6,183 | 373 | 21,122 | 10,813 | 15,977 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 68.7% | 5.0% | 0.3% | 17.2% | 8.8% | 13.0% |
| Hunter Mill | -0.8% | 119,200 | 82,463 | 8,428 | 340 | 18,881 | 9,088 | 12,241 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 69.2% | 7.1% | 0.3% | 15.8% | 7.6% | 10.3% |
| Lee | -1.8% | 117,984 | 62,721 | 20,616 | 618 | 15,958 | 18,071 | 27,668 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 53.2% | 17.5% | 0.5% | 13.5% | 15.3% | 23.5% |
| Mason | 1.8% | 122,381 | 63,482 | 13,724 | 773 | 22,540 | 21,862 | 37,468 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 51.9% | 11.2% | 0.6% | 18.4% | 17.9% | 30.6% |
| Mount Vernon | -1.8% | 117,996 | 71,730 | 20,991 | 442 | 12,505 | 12,328 | 17,484 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 60.8% | 17.8% | 0.4% | 10.6% | 10.4% | 14.8% |
| Providence | 1.6% | 122,165 | 74,192 | 7,048 | 449 | 28,199 | 12,277 | 18,926 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 60.7% | 5.8% | 0.4% | 23.1% | 10.0% | 15.5% |
| Springfield | -0.4% | 119,766 | 83,742 | 7,945 | 275 | 20,148 | 7,656 | 10,573 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 69.9% | 6.6% | 0.2% | 16.8% | 6.4% | 8.8% |
| Sully | 3.3% | 124,203 | 76,701 | 7,787 | 303 | 29,152 | 10,260 | 14,608 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 61.8% | 6.3% | 0.2% | 23.5% | 8.3% | 11.8% |
| Fairfax County | | 1,081,726 | 677,990 | 99,218 | 3,884 | 189,661 | 110,973 | 168,482 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 62.7% | 9.2% | 0.4% | 17.5% | 10.3% | 15.6% |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan – 9B1

1. Legal Requirements and Plan Summary

1.1. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS

1.1.1. Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?

1.1.1.1. (Type here to submit a comment.)

1.1.1.2. Yes

1.1.1.3. Yes

1.1.2. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?

1.1.2.1. Sully - 124,203 3.3% Deviation

1.1.2.2. Braddock 115,051 - 4.3% Deviation

1.2. (Type here to submit an idea.)

1.3. MINIMAL DISRUPTION

1.3.1. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?

1.3.1.1. Very little Disruption.

1.3.2. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.

1.3.2.1. NA

1.4. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES

1.4.1. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?

1.4.1.1. yes

1.4.2. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?

1.4.2.1. na

1.4.3. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?

1.4.3.1. na

1.5. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA

1.5.1. Does the plan considers established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.

1.5.1.1. yes

1.5.2. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?

1.5.2.1. yes

1.6. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY

1.6.1. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?

1.6.1.1. yes

1.6.2. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?

1.6.2.1. yes

1.7. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES

1.7.1. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off

than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?

1.7.1.1. no

1.7.2. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?

1.7.2.1. no

1.8. COST

1.8.1. No new Costs

1.8.2. Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?

1.8.2.1. Will not increase costs However up to Board if it will decrease costs

1.9. Presentation of Plan and Comments

1.9.1. NA

**AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE
TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 9B1]

1
2

Draft of March 15, 2011

3 **AN ORDINANCE** to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by
4 amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10,
5 and 7-2-11, relating to the election districts of the members of the
6 Board of Supervisors.

7 **Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:**

8 **1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, and 7-2-11 are**
9 **amended and readopted as follows:**

10

11 **Section 7-2-4. - Braddock District.**

12

13 The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae,
14 ~~Bristow~~, Burke Centre, Camelot, Cardinal, Chapel, Eagle View, Fair Oaks,
15 Fairview, ~~Heritage~~, Keene Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch,
16 Monument, North Springfield No. 1, North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde
17 Creek, Olley, Ravensworth, Ridgelea, Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra
18 Centre, Villa, Wakefield, and Woodson.

19

20 **Section 7-2-5. - Hunter Mill District.**

21

22 The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron
23 Glen, ~~Coates~~, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade, Hunters
24 Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3, South
25 Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No.
26 4, Vienna No. 6, Westbriar, and Wolftrap.

27

28 **Section 7-2-6. - Dranesville District.**

29

30 The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge,
31 Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, Coates, Colvin, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville,
32 Great Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory,
33 Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, McLean, Pimmit, Salona,
34 Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Sugarland, Westhampton, and Westmoreland.

35

1 **Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.**

2
3 The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft,
4 Belvedere, Bren Mar, Bristow, Brook Hill, ~~Camelot~~, Columbia, Edsall, Glen Forest
5 No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Heritage, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer,
6 Leewood, Lincolnia, Masonville, Parklawn, Poe, Ravenwood, ~~Ridgelea~~, Saint
7 Albans, Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Walnut Hill No. 2, Westlawn,
8 Weyanoke, Whittier, and Willston.

9
10 **Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.**

11
12 The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle
13 Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall,
14 ~~Hunt~~, Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station,
15 Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, ~~South County~~, Stratford, Waynewood,
16 Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.

17
18 **Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.**

19
20 The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Fairfax
21 Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is
22 surrounded by Fairfax City), Fort Buffalo, Freedom Hill, Graham, Greenway,
23 Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak Marr,
24 Oakton, Penderbrook, Pine Ridge, Pine Spring, Price, Shreve, Stenwood,
25 Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, Walker, ~~Walnut Hill No. 2~~, and Woodburn.

26
27 **Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.**

28
29 The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke,
30 Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, ~~Eagle View~~, Fair Lakes, ~~Fair Oaks~~, Fairfax
31 Station, Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Hunt, Irving,
32 ~~Monument~~, Newgate North, Newgate South, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, Popes
33 Head, Sangster, Silverbrook, South County, South Run, Valley, West Springfield,
34 White Oaks, Willow Springs, and Woodyard.

1 **2. That this ordinance shall become effective upon adoption, and it**
2 **shall be enforced after satisfactory completion of the federal preclearance**
3 **procedure provided by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as**
4 **amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.**

5


GIVEN under my hand this _____ day of _____ 2011.

Nancy Vehrs
Clerk to the Board of Supervisors

\\s17prolaw01\Documents\104659\ECW\Correspondence\344272.doc



FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA




2011 Proposed Supervisor District Boundary Changes

9B2

Voting Precinct Boundaries



Proposed Supervisor Districts



Note: This map is intended for reference purposes only. Fairfax County does not provide any guarantee of the accuracy or completeness regarding the map information.

Plan Datasheet –9B2

| | |
|------------------------------|---|
| Number of precincts moved: | 12 |
| Number of persons moved: | 54768 |
| Total deviation of the plan: | 8.09% |
| Range of plan deviation: | -4.73 to 3.36% |
| Precincts moved list: | Cardinal, Eagle View, Fairfax Court, Hunt, Keene Mill, Monument, Newington, Pine Ridge, Popes Head, Price, West Springfield, Westbriar. |

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 120,192

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 9B2

| Supervisor District | Deviation from Target Population | Total Population | White alone | Black or African American alone | American Indian and Alaska Native alone | Asian alone | Other Races | Hispanic or Latino |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|---|----------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Braddock | 2.5% | 123,175 | 80,618 | 7,971 | 396 | 23,415 | 10,775 | 18,013 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 65.4% | 6.5% | 0.3% | 19.0% | 8.7% | 14.6% |
| Dranesville | 0.6% | 120,854 | 85,763 | 5,050 | 366 | 19,317 | 10,358 | 15,431 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 71.0% | 4.2% | 0.3% | 16.0% | 8.6% | 12.8% |
| Hunter Mill | 0.9% | 121,326 | 81,189 | 9,561 | 347 | 20,686 | 9,543 | 12,787 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 66.9% | 7.9% | 0.3% | 17.0% | 7.9% | 10.5% |
| Lee | -1.8% | 117,984 | 62,721 | 20,616 | 618 | 15,958 | 18,071 | 27,668 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 53.2% | 17.5% | 0.5% | 13.5% | 15.3% | 23.5% |
| Mason | -2.7% | 116,893 | 63,623 | 12,682 | 697 | 20,237 | 19,654 | 33,266 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 54.4% | 10.8% | 0.6% | 17.3% | 16.8% | 28.5% |
| Mount Vernon | -1.4% | 118,549 | 72,514 | 21,033 | 425 | 12,584 | 11,993 | 16,881 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 61.2% | 17.7% | 0.4% | 10.6% | 10.1% | 14.2% |
| Providence | -4.7% | 114,508 | 69,672 | 6,129 | 420 | 26,622 | 11,665 | 17,602 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 60.8% | 5.4% | 0.4% | 23.2% | 10.2% | 15.4% |
| Springfield | 3.4% | 124,234 | 85,189 | 8,389 | 312 | 21,690 | 8,654 | 12,226 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 68.6% | 6.8% | 0.3% | 17.5% | 7.0% | 9.8% |
| Sully | 3.3% | 124,203 | 76,701 | 7,787 | 303 | 29,152 | 10,260 | 14,608 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 61.8% | 6.3% | 0.2% | 23.5% | 8.3% | 11.8% |
| Fairfax County | | 1,081,726 | 677,990 | 99,218 | 3,884 | 189,661 | 110,973 | 168,482 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 62.7% | 9.2% | 0.4% | 17.5% | 10.3% | 15.6% |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan - 9B2

1. Legal Requirements and Plan Summary

1.1. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS

1.1.1. Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?

1.1.1.1. yes

1.1.2. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?

1.1.2.1. less than 10%

1.1.3. less than 10%

1.2. MINIMAL DISRUPTION

1.2.1. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?

1.2.1.1. yes

1.2.2. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.

1.2.2.1. n/a

1.3. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES

1.3.1. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?

1.3.1.1. Yes

1.3.2. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?

1.3.2.1. n/a

1.3.3. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?

1.3.3.1. n/a

1.4. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA

1.4.1. Does the plan considers established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.

1.4.1.1. yes

1.4.2. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?

1.4.2.1. yes

1.5. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY

1.5.1. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?

1.5.2. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?

1.6. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES

1.6.1. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?

1.6.1.1. no.

1.6.2. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?

1.6.2.1. no.

1.7. COST

1.7.1. Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?

1.7.1.1. no effect.

1.8. Presentation of Plan and Comments

1.9. 9B2 has 4 main features: (i) minimal disruption; (ii) Bristow/heritage remain in their traditional Braddock location; (iii) Cardinal and Keene Mill return to Springfield; and (iv) Braddock picks up certain natural precincts to its immediate west, so the district remains very compact and logical.

**AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE
TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 9B2]

1
2

Draft of March 15, 2011

3 **AN ORDINANCE** to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by
4 amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10,
5 and 7-2-11, relating to the election districts of the members of the
6 Board of Supervisors.

7 **Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:**

8 **1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, and 7-2-11 are**
9 **amended and readopted as follows:**
10

11 **Section 7-2-4. - Braddock District.**

12

13 The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae,
14 Bristow, Burke Centre, ~~Cardinal~~, Chapel, Eagle View, Fairfax Court (that part of
15 Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is surrounded by
16 Fairfax City), Fairview, Heritage, ~~Keene Mill~~, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel,
17 Long Branch, Monument, North Springfield No. 1, North Springfield No. 2, Oak
18 Hill, Olde Creek, Olley, Popes Head, Ravensworth, Robinson, Sideburn, Signal
19 Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield, and Woodson.

20

21 **Section 7-2-5. - Hunter Mill District.**

22

23 The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron
24 Glen, Coates, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade, Hunters
25 Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3, South
26 Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No.
27 4, Vienna No. 6, ~~Westbar~~, and Wolftrap.

28

29 **Section 7-2-6. - Dranesville District.**

30

31 The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge,
32 Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, Colvin, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville, Great
33 Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory,
34 Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, McLean, Pimmit, Salona,

1 | Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Sugarland, Westbriar, Westhampton, and
2 | Westmoreland.

3
4 | **Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.**

5
6 | The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft,
7 | Belvedere, Bren Mar, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Glen Forest No. 1,
8 | Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer, Leewood, Lincolnia,
9 | Masonville, Parklawn, Pine Ridge, Poe, Price, Ravenwood, Ridgelea, Saint
10 | Albans, Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Westlawn, Weyanoke, Whittier,
11 | and Willston.

12
13 | **Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.**

14
15 | The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle
16 | Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall,
17 | ~~Hunt~~, Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station,
18 | Marlan, ~~Newington~~, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood,
19 | West Springfield, Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.

20
21 | **Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.**

22
23 | The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, ~~Fairfax~~
24 | ~~Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is~~
25 | ~~surrounded by Fairfax City)~~, Fort Buffalo, Freedom Hill, Graham, Greenway,
26 | Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak Marr,
27 | Oakton, Penderbrook, ~~Pine Ridge~~, Pine Spring, ~~Price~~, Shreve, Stenwood,
28 | Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, Walker, Walnut Hill No. 2, and Woodburn.

29
30 | **Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.**

31
32 | The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke, Cardinal,
33 | Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, ~~Eagle View~~, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax
34 | Station, Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Hunt, Irving, Keene
35 | Mill, ~~Monument~~, Newgate North, Newgate South, Newington, Orange, Parkway,
36 | Pohick, ~~Popes Head~~, Sangster, Silverbrook, South Run, Valley, ~~West Springfield~~,
37 | White Oaks, Willow Springs, and Woodyard.

1
2
3
4
5
6

2. That this ordinance shall become effective upon adoption, and it shall be enforced after satisfactory completion of the federal preclearance procedure provided by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.


GIVEN under my hand this _____ day of _____ 2011.

Nancy Vehrs
Clerk to the Board of Supervisors

\\s17prolaw01\Documents\104659\ECW\Correspondence\344278.doc



FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA



2011 Proposed Supervisor District Boundary Changes

9B3

Voting Precinct Boundaries



Proposed Supervisor Districts

- 
BRADDOCK
- 
DRANESVILLE
- 
HUNTER MILL
- 
LEE
- 
MASON
- 
MOUNT VERNON
- 
PROVIDENCE
- 
SPRINGFIELD
- 
SULLY

Note: This map is intended for reference purposes only. Fairfax County does not provide any guarantee of the accuracy or completeness regarding the map information.

Plan Datasheet –9B3

| | |
|------------------------------|--|
| Number of precincts moved: | 12 |
| Number of persons moved: | 50510 |
| Total deviation of the plan: | 2.94% |
| Range of plan deviation: | -1.62 to 1.32% |
| Precincts moved list: | Bristow, Crestwood, Irving, Lynbrook, Pine Ridge, Ridgelea, Vale, Walnut Hill #2, West Springfield, Westbriar, Woodburn, Woodlawn. |

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 120,192

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 9B3

| Supervisor District | Deviation from Target Population | Total Population | White alone | Black or African American alone | American Indian and Alaska Native alone | Asian alone | Other Races | Hispanic or Latino |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|---|----------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Braddock | -0.9% | 119,060 | 78,269 | 6,404 | 475 | 21,593 | 12,319 | 20,308 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 65.7% | 5.4% | 0.4% | 18.1% | 10.3% | 17.1% |
| Dranesville | 0.6% | 120,854 | 85,763 | 5,050 | 366 | 19,317 | 10,358 | 15,431 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 71.0% | 4.2% | 0.3% | 16.0% | 8.6% | 12.8% |
| Hunter Mill | 0.9% | 121,326 | 81,189 | 9,561 | 347 | 20,686 | 9,543 | 12,787 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 66.9% | 7.9% | 0.3% | 17.0% | 7.9% | 10.5% |
| Lee | 0.3% | 120,605 | 64,783 | 22,997 | 569 | 15,204 | 17,052 | 26,226 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 53.7% | 19.1% | 0.5% | 12.6% | 14.1% | 21.7% |
| Mason | 1.3% | 121,782 | 65,290 | 13,452 | 764 | 21,454 | 20,822 | 35,315 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 53.6% | 11.0% | 0.6% | 17.6% | 17.1% | 29.0% |
| Mount Vernon | -0.8% | 119,279 | 74,591 | 19,628 | 404 | 13,181 | 11,475 | 15,909 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 62.5% | 16.5% | 0.3% | 11.1% | 9.6% | 13.3% |
| Providence | 0.2% | 120,375 | 74,319 | 6,635 | 396 | 27,358 | 11,667 | 17,798 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 61.7% | 5.5% | 0.3% | 22.7% | 9.7% | 14.8% |
| Springfield | -1.6% | 118,243 | 80,554 | 7,756 | 264 | 22,077 | 7,592 | 10,271 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 68.1% | 6.6% | 0.2% | 18.7% | 6.4% | 8.7% |
| Sully | 0.0% | 120,202 | 73,232 | 7,735 | 299 | 28,791 | 10,145 | 14,437 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 60.9% | 6.4% | 0.2% | 24.0% | 8.4% | 12.0% |
| Fairfax County | | 1,081,726 | 677,990 | 99,218 | 3,884 | 189,661 | 110,973 | 168,482 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 62.7% | 9.2% | 0.4% | 17.5% | 10.3% | 15.6% |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan – 9B3

1. Legal Requirements and Plan Summary

1.1. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS

1.1.1. Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?

1.1.1.1. Yes.

1.1.2. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?

1.1.2.1. -1949 (Springfield) to +1590 (Mason)

1.2. MINIMAL DISRUPTION

1.2.1. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?

1.2.1.1. Yes.

1.2.2. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.

1.2.2.1. N/A.

1.3. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES

1.3.1. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?

1.3.1.1. Yes.

1.3.2. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?

1.3.2.1. N/A.

1.3.3. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?

1.3.3.1. N/A.

1.4. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA

1.4.1. Does the plan considers established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.

1.4.1.1. Designed for minimal change except for that necessary to reflect population changes and make districts close in population.

1.4.2. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?

1.4.2.1. Yes.

1.5. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY

1.5.1. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?

1.5.1.1. Yes.

1.5.2. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?

1.5.2.1. Yes.

1.6. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES

1.6.1. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?

1.6.1.1. No.

1.6.2. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?

1.6.2.1. No.

1.7. COST

1.7.1. Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?

1.7.1.1. Not significant.

1.8. Presentation of Plan and Comments

**AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE
TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 9B3]

1
2

Draft of March 15, 2011

3 **AN ORDINANCE** to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by
4 amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10,
5 7-2-11, and 7-2-12, relating to the election districts of the members
6 of the Board of Supervisors.

7 **Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:**

8 **1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, 7-2-11, and**
9 **7-2-12 are amended and readopted as follows:**

10

11 **Section 7-2-4. - Braddock District.**

12

13 The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae,
14 ~~Bristow~~, Burke Centre, Cardinal, Chapel, Crestwood, Fairview, Heritage, Irving,
15 Keene Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch, Lynbrook, North
16 Springfield No. 1, North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde Creek, Olley,
17 Ravensworth, Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield,
18 and Woodson.

19

20 **Section 7-2-5. - Hunter Mill District.**

21

22 The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron
23 Glen, Coates, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade, Hunters
24 Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3, South
25 Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No.
26 4, Vienna No. 6, ~~Westbriar~~, and Wolftrap.

27

28 **Section 7-2-6. - Dranesville District.**

29

30 The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge,
31 Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, Colvin, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville, Great
32 Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory,
33 Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, McLean, Pimmit, Salona,
34 Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Sugarland, Westbriar, Westhampton, and
35 Westmoreland.

1
2 **Section 7-2-7. - Lee District.**
3

4 The Lee District shall consist of these election precincts: Bush Hill, Cameron,
5 Clermont, ~~Crestwood~~, Fairfield, Franconia, Garfield, Greenspring, Groveton,
6 Hayfield, Huntley, Island Creek, Kingstowne, Lane, ~~Lynbrook~~, Mount Eagle,
7 Pioneer, Rose Hill, Van Dorn, Villages, Virginia Hills, and West Springfield,
8 Wilton, and Woodlawn.
9

10 **Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.**
11

12 The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft,
13 Belvedere, Bren Mar, Bristow, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Glen Forest
14 No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer, Leewood,
15 Lincolnia, Masonville, Parklawn, Pine Ridge, Poe, Ravenwood, ~~Ridgelea~~, Saint
16 Albans, Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Walnut Hill No. 2, Westlawn,
17 Weyanoke, Whittier, ~~and Willston~~, and Woodburn.
18

19 **Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.**
20

21 The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle
22 Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall,
23 Hunt, Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station,
24 Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood,
25 Westgate, Whitman, ~~Woodlawn~~, and Woodley.
26

27 **Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.**
28

29 The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Fairfax
30 Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is
31 surrounded by Fairfax City), Fort Buffalo, Freedom Hill, Graham, Greenway,
32 Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak Marr,
33 Oakton, Penderbrook, ~~Pine Ridge~~, Pine Spring, Price, Ridgelea, Shreve,
34 Stenwood, Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, Vale, and Walker, ~~Walnut Hill No. 2~~,
35 ~~and Woodburn~~.
36

37 **Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.**
38

39 The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke,
40 Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, Eagle View, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax
41 Station, Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, ~~Irving~~, Monument,
42 Newgate North, Newgate South, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, Popes Head,
43 Sangster, Silverbrook, South Run, Valley, ~~West Springfield~~, White Oaks, Willow
44 Springs, and Woodyard.
45

1 **Section 7-2-12. - Sully District.**

2

3 The Sully District shall consist of these election precincts: Brookfield, Bull Run,
4 Centre Ridge, Centreville, Cub Run, Deer Park, Dulles, Franklin, Green Trails,
5 Kinross, Lees Corner East, Lees Corner West, London Towne East, London
6 Towne West, Navy, Old Mill, Poplar Tree, Powell, Rocky Run, Stone, ~~Vale~~,
7 Virginia Run, and Waples Mill.

8

9 **2. That this ordinance shall become effective upon adoption, and it**
10 **shall be enforced after satisfactory completion of the federal preclearance**
11 **procedure provided by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as**
12 **amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.**

13


GIVEN under my hand this _____ day of _____ 2011.

Nancy Vehrs
Clerk to the Board of Supervisors

\\s17prolaw01\Documents\104659\ECW\Correspondence\344280.doc



FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA




2011 Proposed Supervisor District Boundary Changes

9B4

Voting Precinct Boundaries



Proposed Supervisor Districts



Note: This map is intended for reference purposes only. Fairfax County does not provide any guarantee of the accuracy or completeness regarding the map information.

Plan Datasheet –9B4

| | |
|------------------------------|---|
| Number of precincts moved: | 13 |
| Number of persons moved: | 61968 |
| Total deviation of the plan: | 7.02% |
| Range of plan deviation: | -3.83 to 3.19% |
| Precincts moved list: | Bristow, Camelot, Eagle View, Fair Oaks, Floris, Green Trails, Greenspring, Heritage, Hunt, Keene Mill, Monument, Popes Head, Ridgelea. |

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 120,192

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 9B4

| Supervisor District | Deviation from Target Population | Total Population | White alone | Black or African American alone | American Indian and Alaska Native alone | Asian alone | Other Races | Hispanic or Latino |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|---|----------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Braddock | -2.8% | 116,781 | 80,284 | 6,580 | 312 | 21,094 | 8,511 | 13,297 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 68.7% | 5.6% | 0.3% | 18.1% | 7.3% | 11.4% |
| Dranesville | -3.8% | 115,586 | 81,963 | 4,884 | 360 | 18,267 | 10,112 | 15,122 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 70.9% | 4.2% | 0.3% | 15.8% | 8.7% | 13.1% |
| Hunter Mill | 1.0% | 121,390 | 81,568 | 9,553 | 344 | 20,345 | 9,580 | 12,908 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 67.2% | 7.9% | 0.3% | 16.8% | 7.9% | 10.6% |
| Lee | -3.5% | 115,974 | 60,773 | 20,601 | 617 | 15,918 | 18,065 | 27,655 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 52.4% | 17.8% | 0.5% | 13.7% | 15.6% | 23.8% |
| Mason | 0.9% | 121,219 | 62,646 | 13,709 | 755 | 22,352 | 21,757 | 37,263 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 51.7% | 11.3% | 0.6% | 18.4% | 17.9% | 30.7% |
| Mount Vernon | 1.6% | 122,086 | 74,289 | 21,607 | 448 | 13,129 | 12,613 | 17,790 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 60.8% | 17.7% | 0.4% | 10.8% | 10.3% | 14.6% |
| Providence | 2.6% | 123,327 | 75,028 | 7,063 | 467 | 28,387 | 12,382 | 19,131 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 60.8% | 5.7% | 0.4% | 23.0% | 10.0% | 15.5% |
| Springfield | 3.2% | 124,032 | 85,814 | 7,970 | 300 | 21,756 | 8,192 | 11,567 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 69.2% | 6.4% | 0.2% | 17.5% | 6.6% | 9.3% |
| Sully | 0.9% | 121,331 | 75,625 | 7,251 | 281 | 28,413 | 9,761 | 13,749 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 62.3% | 6.0% | 0.2% | 23.4% | 8.0% | 11.3% |
| Fairfax County | | 1,081,726 | 677,990 | 99,218 | 3,884 | 189,661 | 110,973 | 168,482 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 62.7% | 9.2% | 0.4% | 17.5% | 10.3% | 15.6% |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan - 9B4

1.0 Legal Requirements and Plan Summary

1.1. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS

1.1.1. Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?

1.1.1.1. yes

1.1.2. 9B4 has four main features: (i) Minimal disruption; (ii) Braddock maintains its compactness; (iii) Bristow AND Heritage - two Annandale precincts - are moved from Braddock to to Mason to maintain an Annandale revitalization community of interest; (iii) Braddock picks up Camelot and Ridgelea, a community of interest with the northeast corner of Braddock (i.e., Chapel and Wakefield); and (iv) Braddock picks up 4 Springfield precincts to its immediate West creating a logical and compact district.

1.1.3. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?

1.1.3.1. less than 10%

1.2. MINIMAL DISRUPTION

1.2.1. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?

1.2.1.1. yes

1.2.2. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.

1.2.2.1. n/a

1.3. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES

1.3.1. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?

1.3.1.1. yes

1.3.2. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?

1.3.2.1. n/a

1.3.3. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?

1.3.3.1. n/a

1.4. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA

1.4.1. Does the plan considers established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.

1.4.1.1. yes

1.4.2. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?

1.4.2.1. yes

1.5. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY

1.5.1. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?

1.5.1.1. yes

1.5.2. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?

1.5.2.1. yes

1.6. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES

1.6.1. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?

1.6.1.1. no.

1.6.2. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?

1.6.2.1. no

1.7. COST

1.7.1. Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?

1.7.1.1. stay the same.

1.8. Presentation of Plan and Comments

1.9. 9B4 has 4 main features: (i) Braddock remains compact; (ii) Mason becomes more compact and logical; (iii) minimal disruption; (iv) Braddock picks up Camelot and Ridglea from Mason, establishing a natural community of interest with Chapel and Wakefield already in Braddock, and Mason picks up Heritage and Bristow, a natural community of interest with Annandale/Mason precincts

**AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE
TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 9B4]

1
2

Draft of March 15, 2011

3 **AN ORDINANCE** to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by
4 amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-11, and 7-
5 2-12, relating to the election districts of the members of the Board
6 of Supervisors.

7 **Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:**

8 **1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-11, and 7-2-12 are**
9 **amended and readopted as follows:**

10

11 **Section 7-2-4. - Braddock District.**

12

13 The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae,
14 ~~Bristow~~, Burke Centre, Camelot, Cardinal, Chapel, Eagle View, Fair Oaks,
15 Fairview, ~~Heritage~~, ~~Keene Mill~~, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch,
16 Monument, North Springfield No. 1, North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde
17 Creek, Olley, Popes Head, Ravensworth, Ridgelea, Robinson, Sideburn, Signal
18 Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield, and Woodson.

19

20 **Section 7-2-5. - Hunter Mill District.**

21

22 The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron
23 Glen, Coates, Dogwood, Flint Hill, ~~Flois~~, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade, Hunters
24 Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3, South
25 Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No.
26 4, Vienna No. 6, Westbriar, and Wolftrap.

27

28 **Section 7-2-7. - Lee District.**

29

30 The Lee District shall consist of these election precincts: Bush Hill, Cameron,
31 Clermont, Crestwood, Fairfield, Franconia, Garfield, ~~Greenspring~~, Groveton,
32 Hayfield, Huntley, Island Creek, Kingstowne, Lane, Lynbrook, Mount Eagle,
33 Pioneer, Rose Hill, Van Dorn, Villages, Virginia Hills, and Wilton.

34

1 **Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.**

2
3 The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft,
4 Belvedere, Bristow, Bren Mar, Brook Hill, ~~Camelot~~, Columbia, Edsall, Glen Forest
5 No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Heritage, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer,
6 Leewood, Lincolnia, Masonville, Parklawn, Poe, Ravenwood, ~~Ridgelea~~, Saint
7 Albans, Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Westlawn, Weyanoke, Whittier,
8 and Willston.

9
10 **Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.**

11
12 The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle
13 Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall,
14 ~~Hunt~~, Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station,
15 Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood,
16 Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.

17
18 **Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.**

19
20 The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke,
21 Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, ~~Eagle View~~, Fair Lakes, ~~Fair Oaks~~, Fairfax
22 Station, Fountainhead, Green Trails, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West,
23 Greenspring, ~~Hunt~~, Irving, Keene Mill, ~~Monument~~, Newgate North, Newgate
24 South, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, ~~Popes Head~~, Sangster, Silverbrook, South
25 Run, Valley, West Springfield, White Oaks, Willow Springs, and Woodyard.

26
27 **Section 7-2-12. - Sully District.**

28
29 The Sully District shall consist of these election precincts: Brookfield, Bull Run,
30 Centre Ridge, Centreville, Cub Run, Deer Park, Dulles, Floris, Franklin, ~~Green~~
31 ~~Trails~~, Kinross, Lees Corner East, Lees Corner West, London Towne East,
32 London Towne West, Navy, Old Mill, Poplar Tree, Powell, Rocky Run, Stone,
33 Vale, Virginia Run, and Waples Mill.

34

1 **2. That this ordinance shall become effective upon adoption, and it**
2 **shall be enforced after satisfactory completion of the federal preclearance**
3 **procedure provided by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as**
4 **amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.**

5


GIVEN under my hand this _____ day of _____ 2011.

Nancy Vehrs
Clerk to the Board of Supervisors

\\s17prolaw01\Documents\104659\ECW\Correspondence\344282.doc



FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA




2011 Proposed Supervisor District Boundary Changes

9B5

Voting Precinct Boundaries



Proposed Supervisor Districts



Note: This map is intended for reference purposes only. Fairfax County does not provide any guarantee of the accuracy or completeness regarding the map information.

Plan Datasheet –9B5

| | |
|------------------------------|---|
| Number of precincts moved: | 18 |
| Number of persons moved: | 79467 |
| Total deviation of the plan: | 2.71% |
| Range of plan deviation: | -1.62 to 1.09% |
| Precincts moved list: | Colvin, Crestwood, Flint Hill, Fox Mill, Irving, Lynbrook, Magarity, North Springfield #1, Pine Ridge, Ridgelea, Shouse, Tysons, Vale, Walnut Hill #2, Waples Mill, West Springfield, Woodburn, Woodlawn. |

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 120,192

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 9B5

| Supervisor District | Deviation from Target Population | Total Population | White alone | Black or African American alone | American Indian and Alaska Native alone | Asian alone | Other Races | Hispanic or Latino |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|---|----------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Braddock | 0.6% | 120,889 | 78,106 | 7,008 | 486 | 22,242 | 13,047 | 21,418 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 64.6% | 5.8% | 0.4% | 18.4% | 10.8% | 17.7% |
| Dranesville | 1.1% | 121,497 | 84,465 | 5,405 | 382 | 20,517 | 10,728 | 15,961 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 69.5% | 4.4% | 0.3% | 16.9% | 8.8% | 13.1% |
| Hunter Mill | 0.6% | 120,899 | 80,471 | 9,517 | 323 | 21,324 | 9,264 | 12,410 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 66.6% | 7.9% | 0.3% | 17.6% | 7.7% | 10.3% |
| Lee | 0.3% | 120,605 | 64,783 | 22,997 | 569 | 15,204 | 17,052 | 26,226 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 53.7% | 19.1% | 0.5% | 12.6% | 14.1% | 21.7% |
| Mason | -0.2% | 119,953 | 65,453 | 12,848 | 753 | 20,805 | 20,094 | 34,205 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 54.6% | 10.7% | 0.6% | 17.3% | 16.8% | 28.5% |
| Mount Vernon | -0.8% | 119,279 | 74,591 | 19,628 | 404 | 13,181 | 11,475 | 15,909 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 62.5% | 16.5% | 0.3% | 11.1% | 9.6% | 13.3% |
| Providence | -0.5% | 119,648 | 76,421 | 6,188 | 394 | 25,166 | 11,479 | 17,537 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 63.9% | 5.2% | 0.3% | 21.0% | 9.6% | 14.7% |
| Springfield | -1.6% | 118,243 | 80,554 | 7,756 | 264 | 22,077 | 7,592 | 10,271 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 68.1% | 6.6% | 0.2% | 18.7% | 6.4% | 8.7% |
| Sully | 0.4% | 120,713 | 73,146 | 7,871 | 309 | 29,145 | 10,242 | 14,545 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 60.6% | 6.5% | 0.3% | 24.1% | 8.5% | 12.0% |
| Fairfax County | | 1,081,726 | 677,990 | 99,218 | 3,884 | 189,661 | 110,973 | 168,482 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 62.7% | 9.2% | 0.4% | 17.5% | 10.3% | 15.6% |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan - 9B5

1. Legal Requirements and Plan Summary

1.1. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS

1.1.1. Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?

1.1.1.1. Yes.

1.1.2. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?

1.1.2.1. -1949

1.1.2.2. +1305

1.2. MINIMAL DISRUPTION

1.2.1. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?

1.2.1.1. Designed to create some disruption in the Tyson's Corner region.

1.2.2. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.

1.2.2.1. 9 Districts - no change.

1.3. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES

1.3.1. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?

1.3.1.1. Yes.

1.3.2. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?

1.3.2.1. Yes.

1.3.3. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?

1.3.3.1. Yes.

1.4. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA

1.4.1. Does the plan considers established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.

1.4.1.1. Development of Tyson's Corner will have a major impact on Providence, Hunter Mill and Dranesville. My plan gives existing Supervisors for these districts a strong voice in Tyson's development. It provides the residents of those communities with input to the process.

1.4.2. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?

1.4.2.1. Yes.

1.5. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY

1.5.1. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?

1.5.1.1. Yes.

1.5.1.2. Yes.

1.5.1.3. Yes.

1.5.2. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?

1.5.2.1. Yes.

1.6. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES

1.6.1. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?

1.6.1.1. No.

1.6.2. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?

1.6.2.1. No.

1.7. COST

1.7.1. Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?

1.7.1.1. same answer as tysons

1.7.1.2. Development of Tyson's Corner will have a major impact on Providence, Hunter Mill and Dranesville. My plan gives existing Supervisors for these districts a strong voice in Tyson's development. It provides the residents of those communities with input to the process.

1.8. Presentation of Plan and Comments

**AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE
TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 9B5]

1
2

Draft of March 16, 2011

3 **AN ORDINANCE** to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by
4 amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10,
5 7-2-11, and 7-2-12, relating to the election districts of the members
6 of the Board of Supervisors.

7 **Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:**

8 **1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, 7-2-11, and**
9 **7-2-12 are amended and readopted as follows:**

10

11 **Section 7-2-4. - Braddock District.**

12

13 The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae,
14 Bristow, Burke Centre, Cardinal, Chapel, Crestwood, Fairview, Heritage, Irving,
15 Keene Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch, Lynbrook, ~~North~~
16 ~~Springfield No. 1~~, North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde Creek, Olley,
17 Ravensworth, Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield,
18 and Woodson.

19

20 **Section 7-2-5. - Hunter Mill District.**

21

22 The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron
23 Glen, Coates, Colvin, Dogwood, ~~Flint Hill~~, Floris, ~~Fox Mill~~, Frying Pan, Glade,
24 Hunters Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3,
25 Shouse, South Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No.
26 2, Vienna No. 4, Vienna No. 6, Westbriar, and Wolftrap.

27

28 **Section 7-2-6. - Dranesville District.**

29

30 The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge,
31 Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, ~~Colvin~~, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville, Great
32 Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory,
33 Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, Magarity, McLean, Pimmit,
34 Salona, Seneca, ~~Shouse~~, Spring Hill, Sugarland, Tysons, Westhampton, and
35 Westmoreland.

1
2 **Section 7-2-7. - Lee District.**
3

4 The Lee District shall consist of these election precincts: Bush Hill, Cameron,
5 Clermont, ~~Crestwood~~, Fairfield, Franconia, Garfield, Greenspring, Groveton,
6 Hayfield, Huntley, Island Creek, Kingstowne, Lane, ~~Lynbrook~~, Mount Eagle,
7 Pioneer, Rose Hill, Van Dorn, Villages, Virginia Hills, West Springfield, and
8 Wilton, and Woodlawn.
9

10 **Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.**
11

12 The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft,
13 Belvedere, Bren Mar, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Glen Forest No. 1,
14 Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer, Leewood, Lincolnia,
15 Masonville, North Springfield No. 1, Parklawn, Pine Ridge, Poe, Ravenwood,
16 Ridgelea, ~~Saint Albans~~, Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Walnut Hill No.
17 2, Westlawn, Weyanoke, Whittier, ~~and Willston~~, and Woodburn.
18

19 **Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.**
20

21 The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle
22 Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall,
23 Hunt, Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station,
24 Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood,
25 Westgate, Whitman, ~~Woodlawn~~, and Woodley.
26

27 **Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.**
28

29 The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Fairfax
30 Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is
31 surrounded by Fairfax City), Flint Hill, Fort Buffalo, Freedom Hill, Graham,
32 Greenway, Kilmer, ~~Magarity~~, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak
33 Marr, Oakton, Penderbrook, ~~Pine Ridge~~, Pine Spring, Price, Ridgelea, Shreve,
34 Stenwood, Thoreau, Timber Lane, ~~Tysons~~, Vale, Walker, ~~Walnut Hill No. 2~~, and
35 Woodburn and Waples Mill.
36

37 **Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.**
38

39 The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke,
40 Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, Eagle View, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax
41 Station, Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, ~~Irving~~, Monument,
42 Newgate North, Newgate South, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, Popes Head,
43 Sangster, Silverbrook, South Run, Valley, ~~West Springfield~~, White Oaks, Willow
44 Springs, and Woodyard.
45

1 **Section 7-2-12. - Sully District.**

2

3 The Sully District shall consist of these election precincts: Brookfield, Bull Run,
4 Centre Ridge, Centreville, Cub Run, Deer Park, Dulles, Fox Mill, Franklin, Green
5 Trails, Kinross, Lees Corner East, Lees Corner West, London Towne East,
6 London Towne West, Navy, Old Mill, Poplar Tree, Powell, Rocky Run, Stone,
7 ~~Vale, and Virginia Run, and Waples Mill.~~

8

9 **2. That this ordinance shall become effective upon adoption, and it**
10 **shall be enforced after satisfactory completion of the federal preclearance**
11 **procedure provided by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as**
12 **amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.**

13


GIVEN under my hand this _____ day of _____ 2011.

Nancy Vehrs
Clerk to the Board of Supervisors

\\s17prolaw01\Documents\104659\ECW\Correspondence\344376.doc



FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA



2011 Proposed Supervisor District Boundary Changes

9B6

Voting Precinct Boundaries



Proposed Supervisor Districts

- 
BRADDOCK
- 
DRANESVILLE
- 
HUNTER MILL
- 
LEE
- 
MASON
- 
MOUNT VERNON
- 
PROVIDENCE
- 
SPRINGFIELD
- 
SULLY

Note: This map is intended for reference purposes only. Fairfax County does not provide any guarantee of the accuracy or completeness regarding the map information.

Plan Datasheet –9B6

| | |
|------------------------------|---|
| Number of precincts moved: | 18 |
| Number of persons moved: | 70918 |
| Total deviation of the plan: | 1.94% |
| Range of plan deviation: | -0.85 to 1.09% |
| Precincts moved list: | Colvin, Crestwood, Fort Buffalo, Irving, Magarity, North Springfield #1, Parkway, Ridgelea, Shouse, Tysons, Vale, Vienna #1, Vienna #2, Vienna #4, Vienna #6, Walnut Hill #2, Woodburn, Woodlawn. |

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 120,192

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 9B6

| Supervisor District | Deviation from Target Population | Total Population | White alone | Black or African American alone | American Indian and Alaska Native alone | Asian alone | Other Races | Hispanic or Latino |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|---|----------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Braddock | -0.9% | 119,166 | 78,448 | 6,889 | 429 | 21,736 | 11,664 | 19,388 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 65.8% | 5.8% | 0.4% | 18.2% | 9.8% | 16.3% |
| Dranesville | 1.1% | 121,497 | 84,465 | 5,405 | 382 | 20,517 | 10,728 | 15,961 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 69.5% | 4.4% | 0.3% | 16.9% | 8.8% | 13.1% |
| Hunter Mill | 1.0% | 121,390 | 81,451 | 9,440 | 317 | 21,442 | 8,740 | 11,635 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 67.1% | 7.8% | 0.3% | 17.7% | 7.2% | 9.6% |
| Lee | 0.9% | 121,296 | 63,639 | 22,896 | 615 | 15,753 | 18,393 | 28,138 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 52.5% | 18.9% | 0.5% | 13.0% | 15.2% | 23.2% |
| Mason | -0.7% | 119,344 | 64,699 | 12,868 | 748 | 20,744 | 20,285 | 34,427 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 54.2% | 10.8% | 0.6% | 17.4% | 17.0% | 28.8% |
| Mount Vernon | -0.8% | 119,279 | 74,591 | 19,628 | 404 | 13,181 | 11,475 | 15,909 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 62.5% | 16.5% | 0.3% | 11.1% | 9.6% | 13.3% |
| Providence | 0.1% | 120,277 | 76,109 | 6,381 | 415 | 25,463 | 11,909 | 18,198 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 63.3% | 5.3% | 0.3% | 21.2% | 9.9% | 15.1% |
| Springfield | -0.8% | 119,275 | 81,356 | 7,976 | 275 | 22,034 | 7,634 | 10,389 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 68.2% | 6.7% | 0.2% | 18.5% | 6.4% | 8.7% |
| Sully | 0.0% | 120,202 | 73,232 | 7,735 | 299 | 28,791 | 10,145 | 14,437 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 60.9% | 6.4% | 0.2% | 24.0% | 8.4% | 12.0% |
| Fairfax County | | 1,081,726 | 677,990 | 99,218 | 3,884 | 189,661 | 110,973 | 168,482 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 62.7% | 9.2% | 0.4% | 17.5% | 10.3% | 15.6% |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan 9B6

1.1. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS

1.1.1. Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?

1.1.1.1. Yes

1.1.2. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?

1.1.2.1. 2331 residents

1.2. MINIMAL DISRUPTION

1.2.1. This plan does make significant changes to some existing districts in order to accommodate a request from a number of residents of the Town of Vienna to move from Hunter Mill to Providence. The plan attempts to make minimal changes to other districts, except as to accommodate changes in population.

1.2.2. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?

1.2.2.1. This plan does not make significant changes.

1.2.3. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.

1.3. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES

1.3.1. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?

1.3.1.1. yes

1.3.2. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?

1.3.2.1. N/A

1.3.3. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?

1.3.3.1. Question 3.1. Yes. Question 3.2 Not Applicable. Question 3.3 Not Applicable.

1.4. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA

1.4.1. Does the plan considers established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.

1.4.1.1. the plan attempts to minimize

1.4.2. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?

1.4.2.1. Question 4.1 Yes, the plan attempts to minimize changes except for those necessary to move the Town of Vienna from Hunter Mill to Providence, as explained above.

1.4.2.2. Question 4.2. Does not affect any official not elected on an at-large basis.

1.5. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY

1.5.1. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?

1.5.1.1. Yes

1.5.2. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?

1.5.2.1. Yes

1.5.3. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off

than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?

1.5.3.1. Question 5.1. Yes. Question 5.2. Yes. Question 5.3 No.

1.6. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES

1.6.1. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?

1.6.1.1. No

1.6.2. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?

1.6.2.1. Question 6.1. No. Question 6.1. No.

1.7. COST

1.7.1. Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?

1.7.1.1. Question 7.1. No. No new districts are created.

1.8. Comment

1.8.1. As noted above, this plan moves Vienna from Hunter Mill to Providence. A number of Vienna residents have indicated that they feel a stronger community of interest with Oakton and Merrifield, both of which are in Providence, than they do to Reston, which is in Hunter Mill. The desire of some Vienna residents to move to a different district should be considered. The result makes both Providence and Hunter Mill more compact. Also, the districts are extremely close in population, which gives county residents fair and equal treatment.

**AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE
TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 9B6]

1
2

Draft of March 16, 2011

3 **AN ORDINANCE** to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by
4 amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10,
5 7-2-11, and 7-2-12, relating to the election districts of the members
6 of the Board of Supervisors.

7 **Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:**

8 **1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, 7-2-11, and**
9 **7-2-12 are amended and readopted as follows:**

10

11 **Section 7-2-4. - Braddock District.**

12

13 The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae,
14 Bristow, Burke Centre, Cardinal, Chapel, Crestwood, Fairview, Heritage, Irving,
15 Keene Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch, ~~North Springfield~~
16 ~~No. 1~~, North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde Creek, Olley, Parkway,
17 Ravensworth, Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield,
18 and Woodson.

19

20 **Section 7-2-5. - Hunter Mill District.**

21

22 The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron
23 Glen, Coates, Colvin, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade,
24 Hunters Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3,
25 Shouse, South Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vale, ~~Vienna No. 1~~,
26 ~~Vienna No. 2~~, ~~Vienna No. 4~~, ~~Vienna No. 6~~, Westbriar, and Wolftrap.

27

28 **Section 7-2-6. - Dranesville District.**

29

30 The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge,
31 Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, ~~Colvin~~, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville, Great
32 Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory,
33 Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, Magarity, McLean, Pimmit,
34 Salona, Seneca, ~~Shouse~~, Spring Hill, Sugarland, Tysons, Westhampton, and
35 Westmoreland.

1
2 **Section 7-2-7. - Lee District.**
3

4 The Lee District shall consist of these election precincts: Bush Hill, Cameron,
5 | Clermont, ~~Crestwood~~, Fairfield, Franconia, Garfield, Greenspring, Groveton,
6 Hayfield, Huntley, Island Creek, Kingstowne, Lane, Lynbrook, Mount Eagle,
7 | Pioneer, Rose Hill, Van Dorn, Villages, Virginia Hills, ~~and Wilton~~, and Woodlawn.

8
9 **Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.**
10

11 The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft,
12 | Belvedere, Bren Mar, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Fort Buffalo, Glen
13 Forest No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer,
14 | Leewood, Lincolnia, Masonville, North Springfield No. 1, Parklawn, Poe,
15 | Ravenwood, ~~Ridgelea~~, Saint Albans, Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1,
16 | Walnut Hill No. 2, Westlawn, Weyanoke, Whittier, ~~and Willston~~, and Woodburn.

17
18 **Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.**
19

20 The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle
21 Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall,
22 Hunt, Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station,
23 Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood,
24 | Westgate, Whitman, ~~Woodlawn~~, and Woodley.

25
26 **Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.**
27

28 The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Fairfax
29 Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is
30 | surrounded by Fairfax City), ~~Fort Buffalo~~, Freedom Hill, Graham, Greenway,
31 | Kilmer, ~~Magarity~~, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak Marr,
32 | Oakton, Penderbrook, Pine Ridge, Pine Spring, Price, Ridgelea, Shreve,
33 | Stenwood, Thoreau, Timber Lane, ~~Tysons~~, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna
34 | No. 4, Vienna No. 6, and Walker, ~~Walnut Hill No. 2, and Woodburn~~.

35
36 **Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.**
37

38 The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke,
39 Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, Eagle View, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax
40 | Station, Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, ~~Iving~~, Monument,
41 | Newgate North, Newgate South, Orange, ~~Parkway~~, Pohick, Popes Head,
42 Sangster, Silverbrook, South Run, Valley, West Springfield, White Oaks, Willow
43 Springs, and Woodyard.
44

1 **Section 7-2-12. - Sully District.**

2

3 The Sully District shall consist of these election precincts: Brookfield, Bull Run,
4 Centre Ridge, Centreville, Cub Run, Deer Park, Dulles, Franklin, Green Trails,
5 Kinross, Lees Corner East, Lees Corner West, London Towne East, London
6 Towne West, Navy, Old Mill, Poplar Tree, Powell, Rocky Run, Stone, ~~Vale~~,
7 Virginia Run, and Waples Mill.

8

9 **2. That this ordinance shall become effective upon adoption, and it**
10 **shall be enforced after satisfactory completion of the federal preclearance**
11 **procedure provided by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as**
12 **amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.**


13

GIVEN under my hand this _____ day of _____ 2011.

Nancy Vehrs
Clerk to the Board of Supervisors

\\s17prolaw01\Documents\104659\ECW\Correspondence\344502.doc

FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA




2011 Proposed Supervisor District Boundary Changes

9B7

Voting Precinct Boundaries



Proposed Supervisor Districts



Note: This map is intended for reference purposes only. Fairfax County does not provide any guarantee of the accuracy or completeness regarding the map information.

Plan Datasheet –9B7

| | |
|------------------------------|---|
| Number of precincts moved: | 38 |
| Number of persons moved: | 185669 |
| Total deviation of the plan: | 3.65% |
| Range of plan deviation: | -1.32 to 2.33% |
| Precincts moved list: | Bristow, Camelot, Centerpointe, Centreville, Coates, Colvin, Crestwood, Fairlakes, Floris, Fort Buffalo, Frying Pan, Graham, Green Trails, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Hummer, Irving, Lees Corner East, Lees Corner West, Lynbrook, Magarity, McNair, Navy, Penderbrook, Ridgelea, Trinity, Tysons, Vale, Vienna #1, Vienna #2, Vienna #4, Vienna #6, Walnut Hill # 2, Waples Mill, West Springfield, Westhampton, Woodburn, Woodlawn. |

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 120,192

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 9B7

| Supervisor District | Deviation from Target Population | Total Population | White alone | Black or African American alone | American Indian and Alaska Native alone | Asian alone | Other Races | Hispanic or Latino |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|---|----------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Braddock | -0.9% | 119,060 | 78,269 | 6,404 | 475 | 21,593 | 12,319 | 20,308 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 65.7% | 5.4% | 0.4% | 18.1% | 10.3% | 17.1% |
| Dranesville | 1.1% | 121,461 | 84,164 | 5,442 | 382 | 20,795 | 10,678 | 15,883 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 69.3% | 4.5% | 0.3% | 17.1% | 8.8% | 13.1% |
| Hunter Mill | -1.3% | 118,600 | 85,425 | 7,622 | 289 | 17,098 | 8,166 | 11,202 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 72.0% | 6.4% | 0.2% | 14.4% | 6.9% | 9.4% |
| Lee | 0.3% | 120,605 | 64,783 | 22,997 | 569 | 15,204 | 17,052 | 26,226 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 53.7% | 19.1% | 0.5% | 12.6% | 14.1% | 21.7% |
| Mason | 0.0% | 120,197 | 63,110 | 13,470 | 760 | 20,937 | 21,920 | 37,012 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 52.5% | 11.2% | 0.6% | 17.4% | 18.2% | 30.8% |
| Mount Vernon | -0.8% | 119,279 | 74,591 | 19,628 | 404 | 13,181 | 11,475 | 15,909 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 62.5% | 16.5% | 0.3% | 11.1% | 9.6% | 13.3% |
| Providence | -1.0% | 119,032 | 76,651 | 6,052 | 407 | 25,085 | 10,837 | 16,383 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 64.4% | 5.1% | 0.3% | 21.1% | 9.1% | 13.8% |
| Springfield | 0.3% | 120,495 | 78,870 | 7,701 | 280 | 25,837 | 7,807 | 10,652 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 65.5% | 6.4% | 0.2% | 21.4% | 6.5% | 8.8% |
| Sully | 2.3% | 122,997 | 72,127 | 9,902 | 318 | 29,931 | 10,719 | 14,907 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 58.6% | 8.1% | 0.3% | 24.3% | 8.7% | 12.1% |
| Fairfax County | | 1,081,726 | 677,990 | 99,218 | 3,884 | 189,661 | 110,973 | 168,482 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 62.7% | 9.2% | 0.4% | 17.5% | 10.3% | 15.6% |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan - 9B7

1. Legal Requirements and Plan Summary

1.1. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS

1.1.1. Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?

1.1.1.1. yes

1.1.2. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?

1.1.2.1. -1914

1.1.3. 1.1 Yes 1.2 3639

1.2. MINIMAL DISRUPTION

1.2.1. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?

1.2.1.1. 1305

1.2.2. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.

1.2.2.1. This plan makes no major change of communities, but adjusts districts to reflect new population figures and to make all districts close in population. It does divide Tysons Corner among three districts: Providence, Hunter Mill and Dranesville.

1.2.3. 2.1 Yes 2.2 Not Applicable.

1.3. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES

1.3.1. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?

1.3.1.1. yes

1.3.2. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?

1.3.2.1. yes

1.3.3. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?

1.3.3.1. yes

1.3.4. 3.1 Yes 3.2 Not Applicable. 3.3 Not Applicable

1.4. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA

1.4.1. Does the plan considers established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.

1.4.1.1. no

1.4.2. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?

1.4.2.1. no

1.4.3. 4.1 Yes 4.2 Yes

1.5. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY

1.5.1. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?

1.5.1.1. The development of Tysons Corner will have a major impact on surrounding communities, especially in the Providence, Hunter Mill and Dranesville areas. This plan reflects that fact and locates a portion of Tysons Corner in each district.

- That will provide residents of these communities with input and give affected supervisors a strong voice in Tysons development.*
- 1.5.2. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?
- 1.5.2.1. no change*
- 1.5.3. 6.1 Yes. 6.2 Yes
- 1.5.4. 7.1 No. 7.2 No
- 1.5.5. 8.1 No
- 1.5.6. Attempts to find minimal changes.
- 1.6. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES
- 1.6.1. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?
- 1.6.1.1. No change.*
- 1.6.2. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?
- 1.7. COST
- 1.7.1. Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?
- 1.7.1.1. No change.*
- 1.8. Presentation of Plan and Comments
- 1.8.1. *The development of Tysons Corner will have a major impact on surrounding communities, especially in the Providence, Hunter Mill and Dranesville areas. This plan reflects that fact and locates a portion of Tysons Corner in each district. That wil provide residents of these communities with input and give affected supervisors a strong voice in Tysons development.*

**AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE
TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 9B7]

1
2

Draft of March 16, 2011

3 **AN ORDINANCE** to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by
4 amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10,
5 7-2-11, and 7-2-12, relating to the election districts of the members
6 of the Board of Supervisors.

7 **Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:**

8 **1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, 7-2-11, and**
9 **7-2-12 are amended and readopted as follows:**

10

11 **Section 7-2-4. - Braddock District.**

12

13 The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae,
14 ~~Bristow~~, Burke Centre, Cardinal, Chapel, Crestwood, Fairview, Heritage, Irving,
15 Keene Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch, Lynbrook, North
16 Springfield No. 1, North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde Creek, Olley,
17 Ravensworth, Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield,
18 and Woodson.

19

20 **Section 7-2-5. - Hunter Mill District.**

21

22 The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron
23 Glen, ~~Coates~~, Colvin, Dogwood, Flint Hill, ~~Floris~~, Fox Mill, ~~Frying Pan~~, Glade,
24 Hunters Woods, Lees Corner East, Lees Corner West, ~~McNair~~, Navy, North
25 Point, Penderbrook, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3, South Lakes,
26 Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vale, ~~Vienna No. 1~~, ~~Vienna No. 2~~, ~~Vienna No. 4~~,
27 ~~Vienna No. 6~~, Waples Mill, Westbriar, and Wolftrap.

28

29 **Section 7-2-6. - Dranesville District.**

30

31 The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge,
32 Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, ~~Colvin~~, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville, Great
33 Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory,
34 Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, Magarity, McLean, Pimmit,

1 | Salona, Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Sugarland, Tysons, Westhampton, and
2 | Westmoreland.

3
4 | **Section 7-2-7. - Lee District.**

5
6 | The Lee District shall consist of these election precincts: Bush Hill, Cameron,
7 | Clermont, ~~Crestwood~~, Fairfield, Franconia, Garfield, Greenspring, Groveton,
8 | Hayfield, Huntley, Island Creek, Kingstowne, Lane, ~~Lynbrook~~, Mount Eagle,
9 | Pioneer, Rose Hill, Van Dorn, Villages, Virginia Hills, West Springfield, and
10 | Wilton, and Woodlawn.

11
12 | **Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.**

13
14 | The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft,
15 | Belvedere, Bren Mar, Bristow, Brook Hill, ~~Camelot~~, Columbia, Edsall, Fort
16 | Buffalo, Glen Forest No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Graham, Holmes No. 1, Holmes
17 | No. 2, ~~Hummer~~, Leewood, Lincolnia, Masonville, Parklawn, Poe, Ravenwood,
18 | ~~Ridgelea~~, Saint Albans, Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Walnut Hill No.
19 | 2, Westlawn, Weyanoke, Whittier, ~~and Willston~~, and Woodburn.

20
21 | **Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.**

22
23 | The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle
24 | Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall,
25 | Hunt, Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station,
26 | Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood,
27 | Westgate, Whitman, ~~Woodlawn~~, and Woodley.

28
29 | **Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.**

30
31 | The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Camelot,
32 | Fairfax Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex
33 | that is surrounded by Fairfax City), ~~Fort Buffalo~~, Freedom Hill, ~~Graham~~,
34 | Greenway, Hummer, Kilmer, ~~Magarity~~, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby,
35 | Nottoway, Oak Marr, Oakton, ~~Penderbrook~~, Pine Ridge, Pine Spring, Price,
36 | Ridgelea, Shreve, Stenwood, Thoreau, Timber Lane, ~~Tysons~~, Vienna No. 1,
37 | Vienna No. 2, Vienna No. 4, Vienna No. 6, Walker, and Westhampton ~~Walnut Hill~~
38 | ~~No. 2~~, and Woodburn.

39
40 | **Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.**

41
42 | The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke,
43 | ~~Centerpointe~~, Centreville, Cherry Run, Clifton, Eagle View, ~~Fair Lakes~~, Fair
44 | Oaks, Fairfax Station, Fountainhead, Green Trails, ~~Greenbriar East~~, ~~Greenbriar~~
45 | ~~West~~, ~~Irving~~, Monument, Newgate North, Newgate South, Orange, Parkway,

1 | Pohick, Popes Head, Powell, Sangster, Silverbrook, South Run, Valley, ~~West~~
2 | ~~Springfield~~, White Oaks, Willow Springs, and Woodyard.

3
4 | **Section 7-2-12. - Sully District.**

5
6 | The Sully District shall consist of these election precincts: Brookfield, Bull Run,
7 | Centerpointe, Centre Ridge, ~~Centreville~~, ~~Coates~~, Cub Run, Deer Park, Dulles,
8 | Fair Lakes, Floris, Franklin, Frying Pan, ~~Green Trails~~, Greenbriar East,
9 | Greenbriar West, Kinross, ~~Lees Corner East~~, ~~Lees Corner West~~, London Towne
10 | East, London Towne West, McNair, ~~Navy~~, Old Mill, Poplar Tree, ~~Powell~~, Rocky
11 | Run, Stone, ~~Vale~~, and Virginia Run, ~~and~~ Waples Mill.


12
13 | **2. That this ordinance shall become effective upon adoption, and it**
14 | **shall be enforced after satisfactory completion of the federal preclearance**
15 | **procedure provided by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as**
16 | **amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.**

17
GIVEN under my hand this _____ day of _____ 2011.

Nancy Vehrs
Clerk to the Board of Supervisors



FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA



2011 Proposed Supervisor District Boundary Changes

10A1

Voting Precinct Boundaries



Proposed Supervisor Districts



- BRADDOCK
- DRANESVILLE
- HUNTER MILL
- LEE
- MASON
- MOUNT VERNON
- NEW DISTRICT
- PROVIDENCE
- SPRINGFIELD
- SULLY

Note: This map is intended for reference purposes only. Fairfax County does not provide any guarantee of the accuracy or completeness regarding the map information.

Plan Datasheet - 10A1

| | |
|------------------------------|---|
| Number of precincts moved: | 55 |
| Number of persons moved: | 263705 |
| Total deviation of the plan: | 9.58% |
| Range of plan deviation: | -5.17 to 4.41% |
| Precincts moved list: | Alban, Bren Mar, Burke, Cameron, Cardinal, Centreville, Cherry Run, Clermont, Coates, Edsall, Fairfax Court, Fairfield, Fairview, Flint Hill, Floris, Fort Buffalo, Fountainhead, Green Trails, Groveton, Gunston, Haycock, Hunt, Irving, Keene Mill, Laurel Hill, Leewood, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station, Mount Eagle, Newington, Old Mill, Orange, Parkway, Penderbrook, Pimmit, Pine Ridge, Pohick, Poplar Tree, Price, Sangster, Saratoga, Sideburn, Silverbrook, South County, South Run, Valley, Villa, Walnut Hill # 2, West Springfield, Westhampton, White Oaks, Wilton, Woodburn, Woodyard. |

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 108,173

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 10A1

| Supervisor District | Deviation from Target Population | Total Population | White alone | Black or African American alone | American Indian and Alaska Native alone | Asian alone | Other Races | Hispanic or Latino |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|---|----------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Braddock | -4.8% | 102,967 | 65,792 | 6,644 | 339 | 20,507 | 9,685 | 16,972 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 63.9% | 6.5% | 0.3% | 19.9% | 9.4% | 16.5% |
| Dranesville | 2.9% | 111,262 | 75,614 | 5,884 | 313 | 19,522 | 9,929 | 14,487 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 68.0% | 5.3% | 0.3% | 17.5% | 8.9% | 13.0% |
| Hunter Mill | -0.1% | 108,064 | 74,465 | 8,104 | 310 | 16,770 | 8,415 | 11,443 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 68.9% | 7.5% | 0.3% | 15.5% | 7.8% | 10.6% |
| Lee | -3.4% | 104,463 | 62,334 | 13,399 | 468 | 16,627 | 11,635 | 17,564 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 59.7% | 12.8% | 0.4% | 15.9% | 11.1% | 16.8% |
| Mason | 2.6% | 111,007 | 60,732 | 11,234 | 706 | 19,107 | 19,228 | 32,759 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 54.7% | 10.1% | 0.6% | 17.2% | 17.3% | 29.5% |
| Mount Vernon | -0.3% | 107,834 | 62,980 | 20,856 | 531 | 7,635 | 15,832 | 24,344 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 58.4% | 19.3% | 0.5% | 7.1% | 14.7% | 22.6% |
| Providence | 4.4% | 112,939 | 70,582 | 5,520 | 393 | 25,275 | 11,169 | 16,795 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 62.5% | 4.9% | 0.3% | 22.4% | 9.9% | 14.9% |
| Springfield | 1.5% | 109,779 | 70,764 | 7,281 | 257 | 23,569 | 7,908 | 10,314 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 64.5% | 6.6% | 0.2% | 21.5% | 7.2% | 9.4% |
| Sully | 2.5% | 110,826 | 71,132 | 6,172 | 257 | 24,626 | 8,639 | 12,252 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 64.2% | 5.6% | 0.2% | 22.2% | 7.8% | 11.1% |
| New District | -5.2% | 102,585 | 63,595 | 14,124 | 310 | 16,023 | 8,533 | 11,552 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 62.0% | 13.8% | 0.3% | 15.6% | 8.3% | 11.3% |
| Fairfax County | | 1,081,726 | 677,990 | 99,218 | 3,884 | 189,661 | 110,973 | 168,482 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 62.7% | 9.2% | 0.4% | 17.5% | 10.3% | 15.6% |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan 10A1

13. Legal Requirements and Plan Summary

13.1. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS

13.1.1. Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?

13.1.1.1. yes

13.1.2. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?

13.1.2.1. 9.6%

13.2. MINIMAL DISRUPTION

13.2.1. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?

13.2.1.1. It adds a new district called Gunston.

13.2.2. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.

13.2.2.1. It creates a new Gunston District with as minimal disruption as possible under the circumstances.

13.3. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES

13.3.1. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?

13.3.1.1. yes.

13.3.2. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?

13.3.2.1. yes.

13.3.3. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?

13.4. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA

13.4.1. Does the plan consider established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.

13.4.1.1. Yes, it creates a new community around what was Lorton, Mason Neck and west along the Occaquon.

13.4.2. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?

13.4.2.1. Only one school board member is disrupted (Springfield is now in Gunston) and she is still in an unopposed district, the new one.

13.5. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY

13.5.1. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?

13.5.1.1. More compact.

13.5.2. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?

13.5.2.1. yes.

13.6. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES

13.6.1. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?

13.6.1.1. No.

13.6.2. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?

13.6.2.1. The Mount Vernon district is now as close to a minority district as can be drawn.

13.7. COST

13.7.1. Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?

13.7.1.1. Increase.

13.8. Presentation of Plan and Comments

13.8.1. This is a 10 district plan that creates a new South County district (Gunston) that makes most of the other districts far more compact.

**AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE
TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 10A1]

1
2

Draft of March 18, 2011

3 **AN ORDINANCE** to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by
4 amending Sections 7-2-1, 7-2-3, 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-
5 2-9, 7-2-10, 7-2-11, and 7-2-12, and by adding a new Section 7-2-
6 12.1, relating to the election districts of the members of the Board
7 of Supervisors.

8 **Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:**

9 **1. That Sections 7-2-1, 7-2-3, 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-**
10 **10, 7-2-11, and 7-2-12 are amended and readopted and Section 7-2-12.1 is**
11 **added as follows:**

12

13 **Section 7-2-1. - Composition of the Board of Supervisors.**

14

15 The Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County, Virginia ("Board"), shall be
16 composed of one elected at-large chairman and ~~nine~~ten members who are
17 elected from single-member supervisor election districts.

18

19

20 **Section 7-2-3. - Election Districts of the members of the Board.**

21

22 Except for the Chairman whose election shall be controlled by Section 7-2-2,
23 supra, the members of the Board shall be elected in accordance with state law
24 from single-member election districts by the qualified voters of those districts.

25 There shall be ~~nine~~ten election districts that shall be named: Braddock, Hunter
26 Mill, Dranesville, Lee, Mason, Mount Vernon, Providence, Springfield, ~~and Sully,~~
27 and New District.

28

29 **Section 7-2-4. - Braddock District.**

30

31 The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae,
32 Bristow, ~~Burke,~~ Burke Centre, ~~Cardinal,~~ Chapel, Fairfax Court (that part of Fairfax
33 County containing the governmental complex that is surrounded by Fairfax City),
34 Fairview, Heritage, ~~Keene Mill,~~ Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch,
35 North Springfield No. 1, North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde Creek, Olley,

1 | Price, Ravensworth, Robinson, ~~Sideburn~~, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, ~~Villa~~,
2 | Wakefield, and Woodson.

3
4 | **Section 7-2-5. - Hunter Mill District.**

5
6 | The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron
7 | Glen, ~~Coates~~, Dogwood, ~~Flint Hill~~, ~~Floris~~, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade, Hunters
8 | Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3, South
9 | Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No.
10 | 4, Vienna No. 6, Westbriar, and Wolftrap.

11
12 | **Section 7-2-6. - Dranesville District.**

13
14 | The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge,
15 | Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, Coates, Colvin, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville,
16 | Great Falls, ~~Haycock~~, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory,
17 | Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, McLean, ~~Pimmit~~, Salona,
18 | Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Sugarland, ~~Westhampton~~, and Westmoreland.

19
20 | **Section 7-2-7. - Lee District.**

21
22 | The Lee District shall consist of these election precincts: Bren Mar, Bush Hill,
23 | ~~Cameron~~, Cardinal, ~~Clermont~~, Crestwood, Edsall, ~~Fairfield~~, Franconia, Garfield,
24 | Greenspring, ~~Groveton~~, Hayfield, Huntley, Irving, Island Creek, Keene Mill,
25 | Kingstowne, Lane, Leewood, Lynbrook, ~~Mount Eagle~~, Pioneer, Rose Hill, Van
26 | Dorn, Villages, Virginia Hills, and West Springfield ~~and Wilton~~.

27
28 | **Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.**

29
30 | The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft,
31 | Belvedere, ~~Bren Mar~~, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, ~~Edsall~~, Fort Buffalo, Glen
32 | Forest No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer,
33 | ~~Leewood~~, Lincolnia, Masonville, Parklawn, Pine Ridge, Poe, Ravenwood,
34 | Ridgelea, Saint Albans, Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Walnut Hill No.
35 | 2, Westlawn, Weyanoke, Whittier, ~~and Willston~~, and Woodburn.

36
37 | **Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.**

38
39 | The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: ~~Alban~~, Belle
40 | Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Cameron, Clermont, Fairfield, Fort Hunt,
41 | Grosvenor, Groveton, ~~Gunston~~, Hollin Hall, ~~Hunt~~, Huntington, Kirkside, ~~Laurel~~
42 | Hill, ~~Lorton~~, ~~Lorton Center~~, ~~Lorton Station~~, Marlan, Mount Eagle, ~~Newington~~,
43 | ~~Saratoga~~, Sherwood, ~~South County~~, Stratford, Waynewood, Westgate, Whitman,
44 | Wilton, Woodlawn, and Woodley.

1 **Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.**

2
3 The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Fairfax
4 Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is
5 surrounded by Fairfax City), Fort Buffalo, Freedom Hill, Graham, Greenway,
6 Haycock, Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak
7 Marr, Oakton, ~~Penderbrook~~, ~~Pine Ridge~~, ~~Pimmit~~, Pine Spring, ~~Price~~, Shreve,
8 Stenwood, Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, Walker, ~~Walnut Hill No. 2~~, and
9 ~~Woodburn~~ Westhampton.

10
11 **Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.**

12
13 The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: ~~Burke~~,
14 Centerpointe, Centreville, ~~Cherry Run~~, Clifton, Eagle View, Fair Lakes, Fair
15 Oaks, Fairfax Station, Fairview, ~~Fountainhead~~, Green Trails, Greenbriar East,
16 Greenbriar West, ~~Irving~~, Monument, Newgate North, Newgate South, Old Mill,
17 ~~Orange~~, Parkway, ~~Penderbrook~~, ~~Pohick~~, Popes Head, Poplar Tree, ~~Sangster~~,
18 Sideburn, ~~Silverbrook~~, ~~South Run~~, ~~Valley~~, Villa, West Springfield, ~~White Oaks~~,
19 and Willow Springs, and ~~Woodyard~~.

20
21 **Section 7-2-12. - Sully District.**

22
23 The Sully District shall consist of these election precincts: Brookfield, Bull Run,
24 Centre Ridge, ~~Centreville~~, Cub Run, Deer Park, Dulles, Flint Hill, Floris, Franklin,
25 ~~Green Trails~~, Kinross, Lees Corner East, Lees Corner West, London Towne
26 East, London Towne West, Navy, ~~Old Mill~~, ~~Poplar Tree~~, Powell, Rocky Run,
27 Stone, Vale, Virginia Run, and Waples Mill.

28
29 **Section 7-2-12.1 – New District.**

30
31 The New District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Cherry Run,
32 Fountainhead, Gunston, Hunt, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station,
33 Newington, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, Sangster, Saratoga, Silverbrook, South
34 County, South Run, Valley, White Oaks, and Woodyard.

1 **2. That this ordinance shall become effective upon adoption, and it**
2 **shall be enforced after satisfactory completion of the federal preclearance**
3 **procedure provided by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as**
4 **amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.**

5


GIVEN under my hand this _____ day of _____ 2011.

Nancy Vehrs
Clerk to the Board of Supervisors

\\s17prolaw01\Documents\104659\ECW\Correspondence\344541.doc



FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA



2011 Proposed Supervisor District Boundary Changes

10A2

Voting Precinct Boundaries



Proposed Supervisor Districts



- BRADDOCK
- DRANESVILLE
- HUNTER MILL
- LEE
- MASON
- MOUNT VERNON
- NEW DISTRICT
- PROVIDENCE
- SPRINGFIELD
- SULLY

Note: This map is intended for reference purposes only. Fairfax County does not provide any guarantee of the accuracy or completeness regarding the map information.

Plan Datasheet - 10A2

| | |
|------------------------------|---|
| Number of precincts moved: | 61 |
| Number of persons moved: | 298002 |
| Total deviation of the plan: | 4.98% |
| Range of plan deviation: | -2.32 to 2.66% |
| Precincts moved list: | Alban, Belvoir, Blake, Camelot, Cameron, Centerpointe, Clermont, Crestwood, Eagle View, Fair Oaks, Fairfax Court, Fairfield, Fairlakes, Fairview, Flint Hill, Fort Buffalo, Green Trails, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Greenspring, Groveton, Gunston, Hummer, Hunt, Huntley, Hutchison, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station, Lynbrook, Mantua, Monument, Mount Eagle, Newington, Oak Marr, Oakton, Olde Creek, Penderbrook, Popes Head, Price, Ridgelea, Rose Hill, Saratoga, Sideburn, South County, Terra Centre, Vale, Vienna #1, Vienna #2, Vienna #4, Vienna #6, Villa, Virginia Hills, Walnut Hill # 2, Waples Mill, Westbriar, Westgate, Willow Springs, Wilton, Woodson. |

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 108,173

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 10A2

| Supervisor District | Deviation from Target Population | Total Population | White alone | Black or African American alone | American Indian and Alaska Native alone | Asian alone | Other Races | Hispanic or Latino |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|---|----------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Braddock | -2.3% | 105,664 | 66,442 | 5,771 | 441 | 21,241 | 11,769 | 19,867 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 62.9% | 5.5% | 0.4% | 20.1% | 11.1% | 18.8% |
| Dranesville | 1.5% | 109,743 | 79,230 | 4,202 | 320 | 17,047 | 8,944 | 13,006 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 72.2% | 3.8% | 0.3% | 15.5% | 8.1% | 11.9% |
| Hunter Mill | -2.3% | 105,733 | 67,638 | 9,605 | 318 | 19,334 | 8,838 | 12,418 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 64.0% | 9.1% | 0.3% | 18.3% | 8.4% | 11.7% |
| Lee | 1.2% | 109,522 | 61,535 | 19,720 | 368 | 17,439 | 10,460 | 14,194 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 56.2% | 18.0% | 0.3% | 15.9% | 9.6% | 13.0% |
| Mason | -0.3% | 107,845 | 57,243 | 12,313 | 690 | 18,190 | 19,409 | 32,853 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 53.1% | 11.4% | 0.6% | 16.9% | 18.0% | 30.5% |
| Mount Vernon | 2.7% | 111,048 | 64,414 | 21,090 | 542 | 8,356 | 16,646 | 25,851 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 58.0% | 19.0% | 0.5% | 7.5% | 15.0% | 23.3% |
| Providence | 1.5% | 109,825 | 67,445 | 5,659 | 386 | 25,040 | 11,295 | 16,933 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 61.4% | 5.2% | 0.4% | 22.8% | 10.3% | 15.4% |
| Springfield | 1.4% | 109,698 | 78,503 | 7,163 | 295 | 16,499 | 7,238 | 10,600 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 71.6% | 6.5% | 0.3% | 15.0% | 6.6% | 9.7% |
| Sully | -1.5% | 106,575 | 64,008 | 6,952 | 261 | 26,126 | 9,228 | 13,179 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 60.1% | 6.5% | 0.2% | 24.5% | 8.7% | 12.4% |
| New District | -1.9% | 106,073 | 71,532 | 6,743 | 263 | 20,389 | 7,146 | 9,581 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 67.4% | 6.4% | 0.2% | 19.2% | 6.7% | 9.0% |
| Fairfax County | | 1,081,726 | 677,990 | 99,218 | 3,884 | 189,661 | 110,973 | 168,482 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 62.7% | 9.2% | 0.4% | 17.5% | 10.3% | 15.6% |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan 10A2

14. Legal Requirements and Plan Summary

14.1. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS

14.1.1. Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?

14.1.1.1. Yes. -2.32 to 2.66

14.1.2. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?

14.1.2.1. 4.98 5384 population

14.2. MINIMAL DISRUPTION

14.2.1. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?

14.2.1.1. It adds one district. All Current supervisors remain in their current districts.

14.2.2. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.

14.2.2.1. A new district is created west of the City of Fairfax, which has been an area of large population increase, It would be better served by having it's own supervisor.

14.3. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES

14.3.1. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?

14.3.1.1. Yes.

14.3.2. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?

14.3.2.1. N/A

14.3.3. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?

14.3.3.1. N/A

14.4. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA

14.4.1. Does the plan considers established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.

14.4.1.1. All existing towns are kept intact. The small tax district #5 is kept intact.

14.4.2. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?

14.4.2.1. Yes, no 2 supervisors are in the same district. No current supervisor is in the new district.

14.5. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY

14.5.1. The addition of a new district improves compactness in many districts.

14.5.2. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?

14.5.3. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?

14.6. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES

14.6.1. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off

than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?

14.6.1.1. *I do not believe so.*

14.6.2. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?

14.6.2.1. *I do not believe so.*

14.7. COST

14.7.1. Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?

14.7.1.1. *This plan will increase costs. An additional magisterial office must be provided, as well as a supervisor's staff. Costs less than .01% of County budget.*

14.8. Presentation of Plan and Comments

**AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE
TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 10A2]

1
2

Draft of March 16, 2011

3 **AN ORDINANCE** to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by
4 amending Sections 7-2-1, 7-2-3, 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-
5 2-9, 7-2-10, 7-2-11, and 7-2-12, and by adding a new Section 7-2-
6 12.1, relating to the election districts of the members of the Board
7 of Supervisors.

8 **Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:**

9 **1. That Sections 7-2-1, 7-2-3, 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-**
10 **10, 7-2-11, and 7-2-12 are amended and readopted and Section 7-2-12.1 is**
11 **added as follows:**

12

13 **Section 7-2-1. - Composition of the Board of Supervisors.**

14

15 The Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County, Virginia ("Board"), shall be
16 composed of one elected at-large chairman and ~~nine~~ten members who are
17 elected from single-member supervisor election districts.

18

19

20 **Section 7-2-3. - Election Districts of the members of the Board.**

21

22 Except for the Chairman whose election shall be controlled by Section 7-2-2,
23 supra, the members of the Board shall be elected in accordance with state law
24 from single-member election districts by the qualified voters of those districts.

25 There shall be ~~nine~~ten election districts that shall be named: Braddock, Hunter
26 Mill, Dranesville, Lee, Mason, Mount Vernon, Providence, Springfield, ~~and Sully,~~
27 and New District.

28

29 **Section 7-2-4. - Braddock District.**

30

31 The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae,
32 Bristow, Burke Centre, Camelot, Cardinal, Chapel, Crestwood, Fairview,
33 Heritage, Hummer, Keene Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch,
34 Lynbrook, Mantua, North Springfield No. 1, North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill,

1 | ~~Olde Creek, Olley, Price, Ravensworth, Ridgelea, Robinson, Sideburn, Signal~~
2 | ~~Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, and Wakefield, and Woodson.~~

3
4 | **Section 7-2-5. - Hunter Mill District.**

5
6 | The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron
7 | Glen, Coates, Dogwood, ~~Flint Hill,~~ Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade, Hunters
8 | Woods, Hutchison, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No.
9 | 3, South Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, ~~Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2,~~
10 | ~~Vienna No. 4, Vienna No. 6, Westbriar,~~ and Wolftrap.

11
12 | **Section 7-2-6. - Dranesville District.**

13
14 | The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge,
15 | Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, Colvin, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville, Great
16 | Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory,
17 | ~~Hutchison,~~ Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, McLean, Pimmit, Salona,
18 | Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Sugarland, Westhampton, and Westmoreland.

19
20 | **Section 7-2-7. - Lee District.**

21
22 | The Lee District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belvoir, Bush
23 | Hill, Cameron, Clermont, Crestwood, Fairfield, Franconia, Garfield, Greenspring,
24 | ~~Groveton, Gunston, Hayfield, Huntley,~~ Island Creek, Kingstowne, Lane,
25 | Lynbrook, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station, Mount Eagle,
26 | Pioneer, Rose Hill, Saratoga, South County, Van Dorn, Villages, Virginia Hills,
27 | and Westgate and Wilton.

28
29 | **Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.**

30
31 | The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft,
32 | Belvedere, Bren Mar, Brook Hill, ~~Camelot,~~ Columbia, Edsall, Fort Buffalo, Glen
33 | Forest No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, ~~Hummer,~~
34 | Leewood, Lincolnia, Masonville, Parklawn, Poe, Ravenwood, ~~Ridgelea,~~ Saint
35 | Albans, Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Walnut Hill No. 2, Westlawn,
36 | Weyanoke, Whittier, and Willston.

37
38 | **Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.**

39
40 | The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: ~~Alban,~~ Belle
41 | Haven, Belleview, ~~Belvoir,~~ Bucknell, Cameron, Clermont, Fairfield, Fort Hunt,
42 | Grosvenor, Groveton, Gunston, Hollin Hall, ~~Hunt,~~ Huntington, Huntley, Kirkside,
43 | Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station, Marlan, Mount Eagle,
44 | Newington, Rose Hill, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Virginia
45 | Hills, Waynewood, Westgate, Whitman, Wilton, Woodlawn, and Woodley.

1 **Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.**

2
3 The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: ~~Blake, Fairfax~~
4 ~~Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is~~
5 ~~surrounded by Fairfax City), Fort Buffalo, Freedom Hill, Graham, Greenway,~~
6 ~~Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak Marr,~~
7 ~~Oakton, Penderbrook, Pine Ridge, Pine Spring, Price, Shreve, Stenwood,~~
8 ~~Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No. 4,~~
9 ~~Vienna No. 6, Walker, Walnut Hill No. 2, Westbriar, and Woodburn.~~

10
11 **Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.**

12
13 The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke,
14 ~~Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, Eagle View, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairview,~~
15 ~~Fairfax Station, Fountainhead, Green Trails, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West,~~
16 ~~Greenspring, Hunt, Irving, Monument, Newgate North, Newgate South,~~
17 ~~Newington, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, Popes Head, Sangster, Silverbrook, South~~
18 ~~Run, Terra Centre, Valley, West Springfield, White Oaks, Willow Springs, and~~
19 ~~Woodyard.~~

20
21 **Section 7-2-12. - Sully District.**

22
23 The Sully District shall consist of these election precincts: Brookfield, Bull Run,
24 ~~Centre Ridge, Centreville, Cub Run, Deer Park, Dulles, Franklin, Green Trails,~~
25 ~~Kinross, Lees Corner East, Lees Corner West, London Towne East, London~~
26 ~~Towne West, Navy, Old Mill, Poplar Tree, Powell, Rocky Run, Stone, Vale, and~~
27 ~~Virginia Run, and Waples Mill.~~

28
29 **Section 7-2-12.1 – New District.**

30
31 The New District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Centerpointe,
32 Eagle View, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax Court (that part of Fairfax County
33 containing the governmental complex that is surrounded by Fairfax City), Flint
34 Hill, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Monument, Oak Marr, Oakton, Olde
35 Creek, Penderbrook, Popes Head, Sideburn, Vale, Villa, Waples Mill, Willow
36 Springs, and Woodson.

1 **2. That this ordinance shall become effective upon adoption, and it**
2 **shall be enforced after satisfactory completion of the federal preclearance**
3 **procedure provided by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as**
4 **amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.**

5

GIVEN under my hand this _____ day of _____ 2011.

Nancy Vehrs
Clerk to the Board of Supervisors

\\s17prolaw01\Documents\104659\ECW\Correspondence\344574.doc

Plan Datasheet –11A1

Number of precincts moved: 74

Number of persons moved: 373390

Total deviation of the plan: 8.22%

Range of plan deviation: -3.83 to 4.39%

Precincts moved list: Alban, Aldrin, Blake, Bonnie Brae, Burke Centre, Camelot, Cameron Glen, Centerpointe, Centreville, Clifton, Coates, Columbia, Crestwood, Dogwood, Eagle View, Fair Oaks, Fairfax Court, Fairfax Station, Fairlakes, Fairview, Floris, Fountainhead, Franklin, Frying Pan, Garfield, Green Trails, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Greenspring, Herndon #1, Herndon #2, Herndon #3, Hummer, Hunt, Hutchison, Keene Mill, Kinross, Laurel, Lees Corner East, Lees Corner West, Lynbrook, Magarity, McNair, Monument, Navy, Newgate North, Newgate South, Newington, North Point, Oak Marr, Oakton, Penderbrook, Popes Head, Price, Reston #1, Reston #2, Reston #3, Ridgelea, Robinson, Saratoga, Sideburn, South County, Stuart, Terra Centre, Tysons, Vale, Vienna #1, Vienna #2, Vienna #4, Vienna #6, Villa, Walnut Hill # 2, Waples Mill, Willow Springs.

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 98,339

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 11A1

| Supervisor District | Deviation from Target Population | Total Population | White alone | Black or African American alone | American Indian and Alaska Native alone | Asian alone | Other Races | Hispanic or Latino |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|---|----------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Braddock | -3.7% | 94,709 | 56,875 | 5,643 | 445 | 19,753 | 11,993 | 19,930 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 60.1% | 6.0% | 0.5% | 20.9% | 12.7% | 21.0% |
| Dranesville | 0.3% | 98,661 | 74,622 | 2,649 | 196 | 16,324 | 4,870 | 6,262 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 75.6% | 2.7% | 0.2% | 16.5% | 4.9% | 6.3% |
| Hunter Mill | 4.4% | 102,656 | 75,280 | 4,571 | 203 | 16,520 | 6,082 | 8,339 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 73.3% | 4.5% | 0.2% | 16.1% | 5.9% | 8.1% |
| Lee | 1.7% | 99,984 | 53,886 | 19,537 | 470 | 11,583 | 14,508 | 22,459 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 53.9% | 19.5% | 0.5% | 11.6% | 14.5% | 22.5% |
| Mason | -0.6% | 97,792 | 52,909 | 11,426 | 626 | 15,829 | 17,002 | 29,176 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 54.1% | 11.7% | 0.6% | 16.2% | 17.4% | 29.8% |
| Mount Vernon | 2.0% | 100,291 | 61,140 | 18,502 | 384 | 9,915 | 10,350 | 14,756 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 61.0% | 18.4% | 0.4% | 9.9% | 10.3% | 14.7% |
| Providence | 0.6% | 98,919 | 60,654 | 5,207 | 388 | 21,700 | 10,970 | 16,800 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 61.3% | 5.3% | 0.4% | 21.9% | 11.1% | 17.0% |
| Springfield | -3.8% | 94,576 | 62,823 | 8,604 | 278 | 15,443 | 7,428 | 11,099 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 66.4% | 9.1% | 0.3% | 16.3% | 7.9% | 11.7% |
| Sully | 3.7% | 101,989 | 59,809 | 7,509 | 249 | 25,019 | 9,403 | 13,198 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 58.6% | 7.4% | 0.2% | 24.5% | 9.2% | 12.9% |
| New District 1 | -3.3% | 95,067 | 53,370 | 9,798 | 407 | 19,879 | 11,613 | 17,130 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 56.1% | 10.3% | 0.4% | 20.9% | 12.2% | 18.0% |
| New District 2 | -1.3% | 97,082 | 66,622 | 5,772 | 238 | 17,696 | 6,754 | 9,333 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 68.6% | 5.9% | 0.2% | 18.2% | 7.0% | 9.6% |
| Fairfax County | | 1,081,726 | 677,990 | 99,218 | 3,884 | 189,661 | 110,973 | 168,482 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 62.7% | 9.2% | 0.4% | 17.5% | 10.3% | 15.6% |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan 11A1

11. Legal Requirements and Plan Summary

a. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS

- i. Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?
 1. *Yes, from -4% to +4%.*
- ii. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?
 1. *8%. 8080 population variance.*

b. MINIMAL DISRUPTION

- i. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?
 1. *This plan creates 2 new districts. No two existing supervisors are in the same district.*
- ii. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.
 1. *Both new districts contain existing supervisor's residences and a large part of their former constituencies. There would be 2 new district supervisor elections.*

c. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES

- i. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?
 1. *Yes.*
- ii. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?
 1. *N/A*
- iii. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?
 1. *N/A*

d. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA

- i. Does the plan considers established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.
 1. *Yes. All existing towns are kept intact. Smaller districts, by their nature, can provide areas more responsive to communities of interest.*
- ii. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?
 1. *Not fully investigated. There will be 2 new positions on every magisterially-related boards and commissions. Some incumbents will be in new districts.*

e. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY

- i. *These districts are more compact than for either a 9 or 10 district plan.*
- ii. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?

- iii. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?
- f. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES
 - i. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?
 - 1. *I do not believe so.*
 - ii. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?
 - 1. *I do not believe so.*
- g. COST
 - i. Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?
 - 1. *It would increase the cost, but is aimed at increasing the accessibility of supervisors to a smaller number of constituents.*
- h. Presentation of Plan and Comments

**AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE
TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 11A1]

1
2

Draft of March 18, 2011

3 **AN ORDINANCE** to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by
4 amending Sections 7-2-1, 7-2-3, 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-
5 2-9, 7-2-10, 7-2-11, and 7-2-12, and by adding new Sections
6 numbered 7-2-12.1 and 7-2-12.2, relating to the election districts of
7 the members of the Board of Supervisors.

8 **Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:**

9 **1. That Sections 7-2-1, 7-2-3, 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-**
10 **10, 7-2-11, and 7-2-12 are amended and readopted and Sections 7-2-12.1**
11 **and 7-2-12.2 are added as follows:**

12
13 **Section 7-2-1. - Composition of the Board of Supervisors.**

14
15 The Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County, Virginia ("Board"), shall be
16 composed of one elected at-large chairman and ~~nine~~ eleven members who are
17 elected from single-member supervisor election districts.

18
19
20 **Section 7-2-3. - Election Districts of the members of the Board.**

21
22 Except for the Chairman whose election shall be controlled by Section 7-2-2,
23 supra, the members of the Board shall be elected in accordance with state law
24 from single-member election districts by the qualified voters of those districts.
25 There shall be ~~nine~~ eleven election districts that shall be named: Braddock,
26 Hunter Mill, Dranesville, Lee, Mason, Mount Vernon, Providence, Springfield, and
27 Sully, New District No. 1, and New District No. 2.

28
29 **Section 7-2-4. - Braddock District.**

30
31 The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: ~~Bonnie Brae,~~
32 ~~Bristow, Burke Centre, Camelot, Cardinal, Chapel, Columbia, Crestwood,~~
33 ~~Fairview, Heritage, Hummer, Keene Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel,~~
34 ~~Long Branch, Lynbrook, North Springfield No. 1, North Springfield No. 2, Oak~~

1 | Hill, Olde Creek, Olley, Price, Ravensworth, Ridgelea, Robinson, Sideburn,
2 | Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield, and Woodson.

3
4 | **Section 7-2-5. - Hunter Mill District.**

5
6 | The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Blake,
7 | Cameron Glen, Coates, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Franklin, Frying Pan,
8 | Glade, Hunters Woods, Kinross, Lees Corner East, Lees Corner West, McNair,
9 | Navy, North Point, Oak Marr, Oakton, Penderbrook, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2,
10 | Reston No. 3, South Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vale, Vienna No. 1,
11 | Vienna No. 2, Vienna No. 4, Vienna No. 6, Waples Mill, Westbriar, and Wolftrap.

12
13 | **Section 7-2-6. - Dranesville District.**

14
15 | The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge,
16 | Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, Colvin, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville, Great
17 | Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory,
18 | Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, Magarity, McLean, Pimmit,
19 | Salona, Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Sugarland, Tysons, Westhampton, and
20 | Westmoreland.

21
22 | **Section 7-2-7. - Lee District.**

23
24 | The Lee District shall consist of these election precincts: Bush Hill, Cameron,
25 | Clermont, Crestwood, Fairfield, Franconia, Garfield, Greenspring, Groveton,
26 | Hayfield, Huntley, Island Creek, Kingstowne, Lane, Lynbrook, Mount Eagle,
27 | Pioneer, Rose Hill, Van Dorn, Villages, Virginia Hills, and Wilton.

28
29 | **Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.**

30
31 | The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft,
32 | Belvedere, Bren Mar, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Glen Forest No. 1,
33 | Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer, Leewood, Lincolnia,
34 | Masonville, Parklawn, Poe, Ravenwood, Ridgelea, Saint Albans, Skyline, Sleepy
35 | Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Walnut Hill No. 2, Westlawn, Weyanoke, Whittier, and
36 | Willston.

37
38 | **Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.**

39
40 | The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle
41 | Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall,
42 | Hunt, Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station,
43 | Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood,
44 | Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.

1 **Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.**

2
3 The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: ~~Blake, Fairfax~~
4 ~~Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is~~
5 ~~surrounded by Fairfax City),~~ Fort Buffalo, Freedom Hill, Graham, Greenway,
6 Kilmer, ~~Magarity,~~ Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, ~~Oak Marr,~~
7 ~~Oakton, Penderbrook,~~ Pine Ridge, Pine Spring, ~~Price,~~ Shreve, Stenwood,
8 Thoreau, Timber Lane, ~~Tyson,~~ Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No. 4,
9 Vienna No. 6, Walker, Walnut Hill No. 2, and Woodburn.

10
11 **Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.**

12
13 The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Burke,
14 Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, Eagle View, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax
15 Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is
16 surrounded by Fairfax City), Fairfax Station, Fountainhead, Garfield, Greenbriar
17 East, Greenbriar West, Greenspring, Hunt, Irving, Keene Mill, Monument,
18 Newgate North, Newgate South, Newington, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, Popes
19 Head, Sangster, Saratoga, Silverbrook, South County, South Run, Valley, West
20 Springfield, White Oaks, Willow Springs, and Woodyard.

21
22 **Section 7-2-12. - Sully District.**

23
24 The Sully District shall consist of these election precincts: Brookfield, Bull Run,
25 Centerpointe, Centre Ridge, Centreville, Cub Run, Deer Park, Dulles, Franklin,
26 Fair Lakes, Green Trails, Kinross, Lees Corner East, Lees Corner West,
27 Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, London Towne East, London Towne West,
28 Navy, Old Mill, Poplar Tree, Powell, Rocky Run, Stone, Vale, Virginia Run, and
29 Waples Mill Willow Springs.

30
31 **Section 7-2-12.1 – New District No. 1.**

32
33 The New District No. 1 shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron
34 Glen, Coates, Dogwood, Floris, Frying Pan, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2,
35 Herndon No. 3, Hutchison, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2,
36 Reston No. 3, and Stuart.

37
38
39 **Section 7-2-12.2 – New District No. 2.**

40
41 The New District No. 2 shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae,
42 Burke Centre, Centreville, Clifton, Eagle View, Fair Oaks, Fairfax Station,
43 Fairview, Fountainhead, Green Trails, Laurel, Monument, Newgate North,
44 Newgate South, Popes Head, Robinson, Sideburn, Terra Centre, and Villa.

1 **2. That this ordinance shall become effective upon adoption, and it**
2 **shall be enforced after satisfactory completion of the federal preclearance**
3 **procedure provided by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as**
4 **amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.**

5


GIVEN under my hand this _____ day of _____ 2011.

Nancy Vehrs
Clerk to the Board of Supervisors

\\s17prolaw01\Documents\104659\ECW\Correspondence\344793.doc



FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA




2011 Proposed Supervisor District Boundary Changes *Public Plan 1*

Voting Precinct Boundaries



Proposed Supervisor Districts



Note: This map is intended for reference purposes only. Fairfax County does not provide any guarantee of the accuracy or completeness regarding the map information.

Datasheet – Public Plan 1

| | |
|------------------------------|---|
| Number of precincts moved: | 17 |
| Number of persons moved: | 59,768 |
| Total deviation of the plan: | 4.2% |
| Range of plan deviation: | -2.3% to 1.9% |
| Precincts moved list: | Brook Hill, Crestwood, Fort Buffalo, Graham, Greenspring, Grosvenor, Huntington, Leewood, Newgate North, Newgate South, Pine Ridge, Stuart, Vale, Walnut Hill # 2, Waples Mill, Westbriar, Woodburn |

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 120,192

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Public Plan 1

| Supervisor District | Deviation from Target Population | Total Population | White alone | Black or African American alone | American Indian and Alaska Native alone | Asian alone | Other Race | Hispanic or Latino |
|---|----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|---|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| Braddock <i>Percent of total</i> | 1.2% | 121,597 | 78,409 64.5% | 7,294 6.0% | 457 0.4% | 23,226 19.1% | 12,211 10.0% | 20,454 16.8% |
| Dranesville <i>Percent of total</i> | -2.3% | 117,414 | 83,367 71.0% | 5,002 4.3% | 361 0.3% | 18,458 15.7% | 10,226 8.7% | 15,265 13.0% |
| Hunter Mill <i>Percent of total</i> | -0.6% | 119,498 | 79,785 66.8% | 9,443 7.9% | 346 0.3% | 20,495 17.2% | 9,429 7.9% | 12,644 10.6% |
| Lee <i>Percent of total</i> | -0.3% | 119,806 | 63,711 53.2% | 21,719 18.1% | 587 0.5% | 15,771 13.2% | 18,018 15.0% | 27,346 22.8% |
| Mason <i>Percent of total</i> | -0.3% | 119,791 | 64,913 54.2% | 12,816 10.7% | 756 0.6% | 20,115 16.8% | 21,191 17.7% | 35,724 29.8% |
| Mount Vernon <i>Percent of total</i> | -1.2% | 118,759 | 72,571 61.1% | 20,790 17.5% | 431 0.4% | 13,123 11.1% | 11,844 10.0% | 16,688 14.1% |
| Providence <i>Percent of total</i> | 1.9% | 122,425 | 78,318 64.0% | 6,260 5.1% | 370 0.3% | 27,332 22.3% | 10,145 8.3% | 15,145 12.4% |
| Springfield <i>Percent of total</i> | 0.9% | 121,306 | 83,229 68.6% | 8,025 6.6% | 276 0.2% | 22,110 18.2% | 7,666 6.3% | 10,698 8.8% |
| Sully <i>Percent of total</i> | 0.8% | 121,130 | 73,687 60.8% | 7,869 6.5% | 300 0.2% | 29,031 24.0% | 10,243 8.5% | 14,518 12.0% |

Fairfax County 1,081,726 677,990 99,218 3,884 189,661 110,973 168,482
Percent of total 62.7% 9.2% 0.4% 17.5% 10.3% 15.6%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Proposed Fairfax County Supervisor Districts: Public Plan 1

I. Equal Representation Among Supervisor Districts:

- a. Are all of the proposed supervisor district population deviations from the target population within +/- 5%? (Population deviations are shown in the *fxdata.xls* file in column B of the 'Summary by Supervisor District' worksheet.)

(Select one answer)

Yes

No

- b. What is the population deviation of the proposed supervisor district with the smallest population? (Population deviations are shown in the *fxdata.xls* file in column B of the 'Summary by Supervisor District' worksheet. The value entered here should be a negative value.)

-2.3%

- c. What is the population deviation of the proposed supervisor district with the largest population? (Population deviations are shown in the *fxdata.xls* file in column B of the 'Summary by Supervisor District' worksheet. The value entered here should be a positive value.)

1.9%

II. Minimal Disruption:

- a. Please describe how you have attempted to minimize the disruption to the existing supervisor district patterns of service.

BRADDOCK: Picks up Brook Hill, Crestwood, Leewood. Leewood was taken out of Braddock in 2000 Census, it is not new territory.

DRANESVILLE: Population adjusted with one additional precinct (Stuart)

LEE: picks up Huntington and Grosvenor.

MASON: Adds Fort Buffalo, Graham, Woodburn, Pine Ridge. Hands are tied here, as Mason was the most under population.

PROVIDENCE: To make up for effect of Mason, picks up Westbriar, Vale, Waples Mill

SPRINGFIELD: Gains Greenspring

SULLY: Gains Newgate North and South

HUNTER MILL and MT VERNON gain no new territory.

III. Compactness and Contiguity:

- a. Are the proposed supervisor districts as compact as the existing supervisor districts?

(Select one answer)

Yes

No

- b. Is each proposed supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding Fairfax Court precinct)?

(Select one answer) Yes No

- c. Is Fairfax Court precinct assigned to a proposed supervisor district that is contiguous to the Fairfax City boundary?

(Select one answer) Yes No

IV. Federal Voting Rights Act:

- a. Will the proposed plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial, ethnic or language group (i.e. will it make members of such a group worse off than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?

(Select one answer) Yes No

- b. Do any proposed supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial, ethnic or language group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?

(Select one answer) Yes No

V. Communities of Interest:

- a. Please describe how the proposed plan considers established communities of interest. Communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as race, ethnic, social and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, also are relevant to communities of interest.

No towns were split. When precincts had to be moved, they were moved along with the other part of their neighborhood. Newgate North and South were moved together. This move is the best example of a community of interest, as the Newgate neighborhoods share much more with Sully than Springfield. Whittier, Walnut Hill, and Woodburn are reunited. Grosvernor and Huntington were moved together.

VI. Cost:

a. Would this plan increase or decrease the county's annual operating costs?

(Select one answer)

Increase

No change

Decrease

VII. Other Features:

a. What other features of this proposed plan should be considered?

This plan features the most minimal amount of change I could achieve while keeping deviance within 2.5% - one half of the required target. Only Mason and Providence are expected to see significant shifts.

**AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE
TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

[PUBLIC PLAN 1]

1
2

Draft of March 17, 2011

3 **AN ORDINANCE** to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by
4 amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10,
5 7-2-11, and 7-2-12, relating to the election districts of the members
6 of the Board of Supervisors.

7 **Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:**

8 **1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, 7-2-11, and**
9 **7-2-12 are amended and readopted as follows:**

10

11 **Section 7-2-4. - Braddock District.**

12

13 The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae,
14 Bristow, Brook Hill, Burke Centre, Cardinal, Chapel, Crestwood, Fairview,
15 Heritage, Keene Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Leewood, Long
16 Branch, North Springfield No. 1, North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde Creek,
17 Olley, Ravensworth, Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa,
18 Wakefield, and Woodson.

19

20 **Section 7-2-5. - Hunter Mill District.**

21

22 The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron
23 Glen, Coates, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade, Hunters
24 Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3, South
25 Lakes, ~~Stuart~~, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No.
26 4, Vienna No. 6, ~~Westbriar~~, and Wolftrap.

27

28 **Section 7-2-6. - Dranesville District.**

29

30 The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge,
31 Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, Colvin, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville, Great
32 Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory,
33 Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, McLean, Pimmit, Salona,
34 Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Stuart, Sugarland, Westhampton, and
35 Westmoreland.

1
2 **Section 7-2-7. - Lee District.**
3

4 The Lee District shall consist of these election precincts: Bush Hill, Cameron,
5 Clermont, ~~Crestwood~~, Fairfield, Franconia, Garfield, ~~Greenspring~~, Grosvenor,
6 Groveton, Hayfield, Huntington, Huntley, Island Creek, Kingstowne, Lane,
7 Lynbrook, Mount Eagle, Pioneer, Rose Hill, Van Dorn, Villages, Virginia Hills, and
8 Wilton.
9

10 **Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.**
11

12 The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft,
13 Belvedere, Bren Mar, ~~Brook Hill~~, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Fort Buffalo, Glen
14 Forest No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Graham, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2,
15 Hummer, ~~Leewood~~, Lincolnia, Masonville, Parklawn, Pine Ridge, Poe,
16 Ravenwood, Ridgelea, Saint Albans, Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1,
17 Walnut Hill No. 2, Westlawn, Weyanoke, Whittier, ~~and Willston~~, and Woodburn.
18

19 **Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.**
20

21 The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle
22 Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, ~~Grosvenor~~, Gunston, Hollin Hall,
23 Hunt, ~~Huntington~~, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station,
24 Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood,
25 Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.
26

27 **Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.**
28

29 The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Fairfax
30 Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is
31 surrounded by Fairfax City), ~~Fort Buffalo~~, Freedom Hill, ~~Graham~~, Greenway,
32 Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak Marr,
33 Oakton, Penderbrook, ~~Pine Ridge~~, Pine Spring, Price, Shreve, Stenwood,
34 Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, Vale, Walker, ~~Walnut Hill No. 2~~, Waples Mill,
35 Westbriar, ~~and Woodburn~~.
36

37 **Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.**
38

39 The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke,
40 Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, Eagle View, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax
41 Station, Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Greenspring, Irving,
42 Monument, ~~Newgate North~~, ~~Newgate South~~, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, Popes
43 Head, Sangster, Silverbrook, South Run, Valley, West Springfield, White Oaks,
44 Willow Springs, and Woodyard.
45

1 **Section 7-2-12. - Sully District.**

2

3 The Sully District shall consist of these election precincts: Brookfield, Bull Run,
4 Centre Ridge, Centreville, Cub Run, Deer Park, Dulles, Franklin, Green Trails,
5 Kinross, Lees Corner East, Lees Corner West, London Towne East, London
6 Towne West, Navy, Newgate North, Newgate South, Old Mill, Poplar Tree,
7 Powell, Rocky Run, Stone, ~~Vale, and Virginia Run, and Waples Mill.~~

8

9 **2. That this ordinance shall become effective upon adoption, and it**
10 **shall be enforced after satisfactory completion of the federal preclearance**
11 **procedure provided by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as**
12 **amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.**

13


GIVEN under my hand this _____ day of _____ 2011.

Nancy Vehrs
Clerk to the Board of Supervisors

\\s17prolaw01\Documents\104659\ECW\Correspondence\344842.doc



FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA




2011 Proposed Supervisor District Boundary Changes

Public Plan 2

Voting Precinct Boundaries



Proposed Supervisor Districts



Note: This map is intended for reference purposes only. Fairfax County does not provide any guarantee of the accuracy or completeness regarding the map information.

Datasheet – Public Plan 2

| | |
|------------------------------|---|
| Number of precincts moved: | 11 |
| Number of persons moved: | 38,410 |
| Total deviation of the plan: | 3.6% |
| Range of plan deviation: | -2.3% to 1.3% |
| Precincts moved list: | Greenspring, Huntington, Irving, Pine Ridge, Price, Stuart, Vale, Walnut Hill #2, West Springfield, Westbriar, Woodburn |

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 120,192

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Public Plan 2

| Supervisor District | Deviation from Target Population | Total Population | White alone | Black or African American alone | American Indian and Alaska Native alone | Asian alone | Other Race | Hispanic or Latino |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|---|----------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Braddock | 0.6% | 120,972 | 81,076 | 7,069 | 389 | 21,599 | 10,839 | 18,249 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 67.0% | 5.8% | 0.3% | 17.9% | 9.0% | 15.1% |
| Dranesville | -2.3% | 117,414 | 83,367 | 5,002 | 361 | 18,458 | 10,226 | 15,265 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 71.0% | 4.3% | 0.3% | 15.7% | 8.7% | 13.0% |
| Hunter Mill | -0.6% | 119,498 | 79,785 | 9,443 | 346 | 20,495 | 9,429 | 12,644 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 66.8% | 7.9% | 0.3% | 17.2% | 7.9% | 10.6% |
| Lee | 1.3% | 121,758 | 64,441 | 21,367 | 636 | 16,466 | 18,848 | 28,775 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 52.9% | 17.5% | 0.5% | 13.5% | 15.5% | 23.6% |
| Mason | 0.9% | 121,313 | 66,517 | 12,876 | 750 | 21,146 | 20,024 | 33,921 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 54.8% | 10.6% | 0.6% | 17.4% | 16.5% | 28.0% |
| Mount Vernon | 1.3% | 121,717 | 74,263 | 21,345 | 444 | 13,464 | 12,201 | 17,172 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 61.0% | 17.5% | 0.4% | 11.1% | 10.0% | 14.1% |
| Providence | 0.3% | 120,609 | 74,755 | 6,625 | 395 | 27,165 | 11,669 | 17,748 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 62.0% | 5.5% | 0.3% | 22.5% | 9.7% | 14.7% |
| Springfield | -1.6% | 118,243 | 80,554 | 7,756 | 264 | 22,077 | 7,592 | 10,271 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 68.1% | 6.6% | 0.2% | 18.7% | 6.4% | 8.7% |
| Sully | 0.0% | 120,202 | 73,232 | 7,735 | 299 | 28,791 | 10,145 | 14,437 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 60.9% | 6.4% | 0.2% | 24.0% | 8.4% | 12.0% |
| Fairfax County | | 1,081,726 | 677,990 | 99,218 | 3,884 | 189,661 | 110,973 | 168,482 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 62.7% | 9.2% | 0.4% | 17.5% | 10.3% | 15.6% |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Proposed Fairfax County Supervisor Districts: Public Plan 2

I. Equal Representation Among Supervisor Districts:

- a. Are all of the proposed supervisor district population deviations from the target population within +/- 5%? (*Population deviations are shown in the fxdata.xls file in column B of the 'Summary by Supervisor District' worksheet.*)

(Select one answer)

Yes

No

- b. What is the population deviation of the proposed supervisor district with the smallest population? (*Population deviations are shown in the fxdata.xls file in column B of the 'Summary by Supervisor District' worksheet. The value entered here should be a negative value.*)

-2.3

- c. What is the population deviation of the proposed supervisor district with the largest population? (*Population deviations are shown in the fxdata.xls file in column B of the 'Summary by Supervisor District' worksheet. The value entered here should be a positive value.*)

1.3

II. Minimal Disruption:

- a. Please describe how you have attempted to minimize the disruption to the existing supervisor district patterns of service.

We minimized disruption to existing supervisor patterns of service by only relocating precincts that already shared a significant border with the new precinct. We were also careful not to split communities. With the exception of the Providence district we only moved one or two precincts on the fringes in the other eight districts. Providence district sits in the center between districts with excessive population and those with tooo little population. A geographic boundary change is required to balance the population distribution and we feel that the least disruptive solution is to limit the significant shift to one district. Other alignments are certainly possible but we feel they would require significant disruption to two or more districts to achieve the same result.

III. Compactness and Contiguity:

- a. Are the proposed supervisor districts as compact as the existing supervisor districts?

(Select one answer)

Yes

No

- b. Is each proposed supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding Fairfax Court precinct)?

(Select one answer) Yes No

- c. Is Fairfax Court precinct assigned to a proposed supervisor district that is contiguous to the Fairfax City boundary?

(Select one answer) Yes No

IV. Federal Voting Rights Act:

- a. Will the proposed plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial, ethnic or language group (i.e. will it make members of such a group worse off than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?

(Select one answer) Yes No

- b. Do any proposed supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial, ethnic or language group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?

(Select one answer) Yes No

V. Communities of Interest:

- a. Please describe how the proposed plan considers established communities of interest. Communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as race, ethnic, social and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, also are relevant to communities of interest.

Each of the precincts we suggest moving to another district already share the same ethnic composition as the new district; this is evidenced by the fact that the percentage of population in each ethnic group changes very little under our plan. The communities in these precincts are also similar in terms of the housing density, commercial development and facilities.

Our plan does not change any incorporated town boundaries with respect to which district they are in nor does it change the districts as they overlap with state delegate and senate boundaries or federal congressional boundaries.

VI. Cost:

- a. Would this plan increase or decrease the county's annual operating costs?

(Select one answer)

Increase

No change

Decrease

VII. Other Features:

- a. What other features of this proposed plan should be considered?

We believe our plan resolves a major structural problem with current district boundaries. We discussed Providence district under item II above. Hunter Mill district is also a problem in that it includes Vienna #1, #2, #4 and #6. Maintaining Vienna as a community requires treating it as a block; it is large and moving it would result in significant disruption to both Hunter Mill and wherever Vienna was moved to.

Braddock, Lee and Mason districts have the problem that they are fully developed and unless one changes the zoning to higher density housing they are limited in population growth. Mount Vernon and Springfield districts will continue to grow. Under our plan Braddock's and Lee's problems can be solved with minimal disruption by moving adjacent precincts from Springfield and Mount Vernon districts respectively; a move which helps them as well. Mason district is best fixed by distributing the excess population from Hunter Mill and Sully districts through Providence district. While this has a major impact on Providence this time it solves the problem for future redistricting in that Providence will have more borders with Sully and Hunter Mill thus there will be more flexibility to move a single precinct to fix both Mason and Providence in the future.

**AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE
TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

[PUBLIC PLAN 2]

1
2

Draft of March 17, 2011

3 **AN ORDINANCE** to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by
4 amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10,
5 7-2-11, and 7-2-12, relating to the election districts of the members
6 of the Board of Supervisors.

7 **Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:**

8 **1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, 7-2-11, and**
9 **7-2-12 are amended and readopted as follows:**

10

11 **Section 7-2-4. - Braddock District.**

12

13 The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae,
14 Bristow, Burke Centre, Cardinal, Chapel, Fairview, Greenspring, Heritage, Irving,
15 Keene Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch, North Springfield
16 No. 1, North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde Creek, Olley, Ravensworth,
17 Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield, West Springfield,
18 and Woodson.

19

20 **Section 7-2-5. - Hunter Mill District.**

21

22 The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron
23 Glen, Coates, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade, Hunters
24 Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3, South
25 Lakes, ~~Stuart~~, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No.
26 4, Vienna No. 6, ~~Westbriar~~, and Wolftrap.

27

28 **Section 7-2-6. - Dranesville District.**

29

30 The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge,
31 Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, Colvin, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville, Great
32 Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory,
33 Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, McLean, Pimmit, Salona,
34 Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Stuart, Sugarland, Westhampton, and
35 Westmoreland.

1
2 **Section 7-2-7. - Lee District.**
3

4 The Lee District shall consist of these election precincts: Bush Hill, Cameron,
5 Clermont, Crestwood, Fairfield, Franconia, Garfield, ~~Greenspring~~, Groveton,
6 Hayfield, Huntington, Huntley, Island Creek, Kingstowne, Lane, Lynbrook, Mount
7 Eagle, Pioneer, Rose Hill, Van Dorn, Villages, Virginia Hills, and Wilton.
8

9 **Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.**
10

11 The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft,
12 Belvedere, Bren Mar, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Glen Forest No. 1,
13 Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer, Leewood, Lincolnia,
14 Masonville, Parklawn, Pine Ridge, Poe, Price, Ravenwood, Ridgelea, Saint
15 Albans, Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Walnut Hill No. 2, Westlawn,
16 Weyanoke, Whittier, ~~and Willston~~, and Woodburn.
17

18 **Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.**
19

20 The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle
21 Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall,
22 Hunt, ~~Huntington~~, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station,
23 Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood,
24 Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.
25

26 **Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.**
27

28 The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Fairfax
29 Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is
30 surrounded by Fairfax City), Fort Buffalo, Freedom Hill, Graham, Greenway,
31 Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak Marr,
32 Oakton, Penderbrook, ~~Pine Ridge~~, Pine Spring, ~~Price~~, Shreve, Stenwood,
33 Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, Vale, Walker, ~~Walnut Hill No. 2~~, and
34 Westbriar ~~and Woodburn~~.
35

36 **Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.**
37

38 The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke,
39 Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, Eagle View, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax
40 Station, Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, ~~Irving~~, Monument,
41 Newgate North, Newgate South, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, Popes Head,
42 Sangster, Silverbrook, South Run, Valley, ~~West Springfield~~, White Oaks, Willow
43 Springs, and Woodyard.
44

1 **Section 7-2-12. - Sully District.**

2
3 The Sully District shall consist of these election precincts: Brookfield, Bull Run,
4 Centre Ridge, Centreville, Cub Run, Deer Park, Dulles, Franklin, Green Trails,
5 Kinross, Lees Corner East, Lees Corner West, London Towne East, London
6 Towne West, Navy, Old Mill, Poplar Tree, Powell, Rocky Run, Stone, ~~Vale~~,
7 Virginia Run, and Waples Mill.

8
9 **2. That this ordinance shall become effective upon adoption, and it**
10 **shall be enforced after satisfactory completion of the federal preclearance**
11 **procedure provided by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as**
12 **amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.**


13 GIVEN under my hand this _____ day of _____ 2011.

Nancy Vehrs
Clerk to the Board of Supervisors

\\s17prolaw01\Documents\104659\ECW\Correspondence\344843.doc



FAIRFAX COUNTY VIRGINIA




2011 Proposed Supervisor District Boundary Changes *Public Plan 3*

Voting Precinct Boundaries



Proposed Supervisor Districts



Note: This map is intended for reference purposes only. Fairfax County does not provide any guarantee of the accuracy or completeness regarding the map information.

Datasheet – Public Plan 3

| | |
|------------------------------|---|
| Number of precincts moved: | 10 |
| Number of persons moved: | 38,480 |
| Total deviation of the plan: | 3.6% |
| Range of plan deviation: | -2.3% to 1.3% |
| Precincts moved list: | Burke, Greenspring, Huntington, Pine Ridge, Price, Stuart, Vale, Walnut Hill # 2, Westbriar, Woodburn |

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 120,192

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Public Plan 3

| Supervisor District | Deviation from Target Population | Total Population | White alone | Black or African American alone | American Indian and Alaska Native alone | Asian alone | Other Race | Hispanic or Latino |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|---|----------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Braddock | -1.0% | 119,032 | 77,489 | 7,269 | 383 | 22,548 | 11,343 | 19,013 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 65.1% | 6.1% | 0.3% | 18.9% | 9.5% | 16.0% |
| Dranesville | -2.3% | 117,414 | 83,367 | 5,002 | 361 | 18,458 | 10,226 | 15,265 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 71.0% | 4.3% | 0.3% | 15.7% | 8.7% | 13.0% |
| Hunter Mill | -0.6% | 119,498 | 79,785 | 9,443 | 346 | 20,495 | 9,429 | 12,644 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 66.8% | 7.9% | 0.3% | 17.2% | 7.9% | 10.6% |
| Lee | 1.3% | 121,758 | 64,441 | 21,367 | 636 | 16,466 | 18,848 | 28,775 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 52.9% | 17.5% | 0.5% | 13.5% | 15.5% | 23.6% |
| Mason | 0.9% | 121,313 | 66,517 | 12,876 | 750 | 21,146 | 20,024 | 33,921 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 54.8% | 10.6% | 0.6% | 17.4% | 16.5% | 28.0% |
| Mount Vernon | 1.3% | 121,717 | 74,263 | 21,345 | 444 | 13,464 | 12,201 | 17,172 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 61.0% | 17.5% | 0.4% | 11.1% | 10.0% | 14.1% |
| Providence | 0.3% | 120,609 | 74,755 | 6,625 | 395 | 27,165 | 11,669 | 17,748 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 62.0% | 5.5% | 0.3% | 22.5% | 9.7% | 14.7% |
| Springfield | 0.0% | 120,183 | 84,141 | 7,556 | 270 | 21,128 | 7,088 | 9,507 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 70.0% | 6.3% | 0.2% | 17.6% | 5.9% | 7.9% |
| Sully | 0.0% | 120,202 | 73,232 | 7,735 | 299 | 28,791 | 10,145 | 14,437 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 60.9% | 6.4% | 0.2% | 24.0% | 8.4% | 12.0% |
| Fairfax County | | 1,081,726 | 677,990 | 99,218 | 3,884 | 189,661 | 110,973 | 168,482 |
| <i>Percent of total</i> | | | 62.7% | 9.2% | 0.4% | 17.5% | 10.3% | 15.6% |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Proposed Fairfax County Supervisor Districts: Public Plan 3

I. Equal Representation Among Supervisor Districts:

- a. Are all of the proposed supervisor district population deviations from the target population within +/- 5%? (*Population deviations are shown in the fxdata.xls file in column B of the 'Summary by Supervisor District' worksheet.*)

(Select one answer)

Yes

No

- b. What is the population deviation of the proposed supervisor district with the smallest population? (*Population deviations are shown in the fxdata.xls file in column B of the 'Summary by Supervisor District' worksheet. The value entered here should be a negative value.*)

-2.3

- c. What is the population deviation of the proposed supervisor district with the largest population? (*Population deviations are shown in the fxdata.xls file in column B of the 'Summary by Supervisor District' worksheet. The value entered here should be a positive value.*)

1.3

II. Minimal Disruption:

- a. Please describe how you have attempted to minimize the disruption to the existing supervisor district patterns of service.

We minimized disruption to existing supervisor patterns of service by only relocating precincts that already shared a significant border with the new precinct. We were also careful not to split communities. With the exception of the Providence district we only moved one or two precincts on the fringes in the other eight districts. Providence district sits in the center between districts with excessive population and those with tooo little population. A geographic boundary change is required to balance the population distribution and we feel that the least disruptive solution is to limit the significant shift to one district. Other alignments are certainly possible but we feel they would require significant disruption to two or more districts to achieve the same result.

III. Compactness and Contiguity:

- a. Are the proposed supervisor districts as compact as the existing supervisor districts?

(Select one answer)

Yes

No

- b. Is each proposed supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding Fairfax Court precinct)?

(Select one answer) Yes No

- c. Is Fairfax Court precinct assigned to a proposed supervisor district that is contiguous to the Fairfax City boundary?

(Select one answer) Yes No

IV. Federal Voting Rights Act:

- a. Will the proposed plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial, ethnic or language group (i.e. will it make members of such a group worse off than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?

(Select one answer) Yes No

- b. Do any proposed supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial, ethnic or language group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?

(Select one answer) Yes No

V. Communities of Interest:

- a. Please describe how the proposed plan considers established communities of interest. Communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as race, ethnic, social and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, also are relevant to communities of interest.

Each of the precincts we suggest moving to another district already share the same ethnic composition as the new district; this is evidenced by the fact that the percentage of population in each ethnic group changes very little under our plan. The communities in these precincts are also similar in terms of the housing density, commercial development and facilities.

Our plan does not change any incorporated town boundaries with respect to which district they are in nor does it change the districts as they overlap with state delegate and senate boundaries or federal congressional boundaries.

VI. Cost:

- a. Would this plan increase or decrease the county's annual operating costs?

(Select one answer)

Increase

No change

Decrease

VII. Other Features:

- a. What other features of this proposed plan should be considered?

We believe our plan resolves a major structural problem with current district boundaries. We discussed Providence district under item II above. Hunter Mill district is also a problem in that it includes Vienna #1, #2, #4 and #6. Maintaining Vienna as a community requires treating it as a block; it is large and moving it would result in significant disruption to both Hunter Mill and wherever Vienna was moved to.

Braddock, Lee and Mason districts have the problem that they are fully developed and unless one changes the zoning to higher density housing they are limited in population growth. Mount Vernon and Springfield districts will continue to grow. Under our plan Braddock's and Lee's problems can be solved with minimal disruption by moving adjacent precincts from Springfield and Mount Vernon districts respectively; a move which helps them as well. Mason district is best fixed by distributing the excess population from Hunter Mill and Sully districts through Providence district. While this has a major impact on Providence this time it solves the problem for future redistricting in that Providence will have more borders with Sully and Hunter Mill thus there will be more flexibility to move a single precinct to fix both Mason and Providence in the future.

**AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE
TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

[PUBLIC PLAN 3]

1
2

Draft of March 17, 2011

3 **AN ORDINANCE** to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by
4 amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10,
5 7-2-11, and 7-2-12, relating to the election districts of the members
6 of the Board of Supervisors.

7 **Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:**

8 **1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, 7-2-11, and**
9 **7-2-12 are amended and readopted as follows:**

10

11 **Section 7-2-4. - Braddock District.**

12

13 The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae,
14 Bristow, Burke, Burke Centre, Cardinal, Chapel, Fairview, Heritage, Keene Mill,
15 Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch, North Springfield No. 1, North
16 Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde Creek, Olley, Ravensworth, Robinson, Sideburn,
17 Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield, and Woodson.

18

19 **Section 7-2-5. - Hunter Mill District.**

20

21 The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron
22 Glen, Coates, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade, Hunters
23 Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3, South
24 Lakes, ~~Stuart~~, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No.
25 4, Vienna No. 6, ~~Westbriar~~, and Wolftrap.

26

27 **Section 7-2-6. - Dranesville District.**

28

29 The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge,
30 Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, Colvin, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville, Great
31 Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory,
32 Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, McLean, Pimmit, Salona,
33 Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Stuart, Sugarland, Westhampton, and
34 Westmoreland.

35

1 **Section 7-2-7. - Lee District.**

2
3 The Lee District shall consist of these election precincts: Bush Hill, Cameron,
4 Clermont, Crestwood, Fairfield, Franconia, Garfield, ~~Greenspring~~, Groveton,
5 Hayfield, Huntington, Huntley, Island Creek, Kingstowne, Lane, Lynbrook, Mount
6 Eagle, Pioneer, Rose Hill, Van Dorn, Villages, Virginia Hills, and Wilton.

7
8 **Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.**

9
10 The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft,
11 Belvedere, Bren Mar, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Glen Forest No. 1,
12 Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer, Leewood, Lincolnia,
13 Masonville, Parklawn, Poe, Pine Ridge, Price, Ravenwood, Ridgelea, Saint
14 Albans, Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Walnut Hill No. 2, Westlawn,
15 Weyanoke, Whittier, ~~and Willston~~, and Woodburn.

16
17 **Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.**

18
19 The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle
20 Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall,
21 Hunt, ~~Huntington~~, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station,
22 Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood,
23 Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.

24
25 **Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.**

26
27 The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Fairfax
28 Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is
29 surrounded by Fairfax City), Fort Buffalo, Freedom Hill, Graham, Greenway,
30 Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak Marr,
31 Oakton, Penderbrook, ~~Pine Ridge~~, Pine Spring, ~~Price~~, Shreve, Stenwood,
32 Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, Vale, Walker, ~~Walnut Hill No. 2~~, and
33 Westbriar ~~and Woodburn~~.

34
35 **Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.**

36
37 The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: ~~Burke~~,
38 Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, Eagle View, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax
39 Station, Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Greenspring, Irving,
40 Monument, Newgate North, Newgate South, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, Popes
41 Head, Sangster, Silverbrook, South Run, Valley, West Springfield, White Oaks,
42 Willow Springs, and Woodyard.

1 **Section 7-2-12. - Sully District.**

2
3 The Sully District shall consist of these election precincts: Brookfield, Bull Run,
4 Centre Ridge, Centreville, Cub Run, Deer Park, Dulles, Franklin, Green Trails,
5 Kinross, Lees Corner East, Lees Corner West, London Towne East, London
6 Towne West, Navy, Old Mill, Poplar Tree, Powell, Rocky Run, Stone, ~~Vale~~,
7 Virginia Run, and Waples Mill.

8
9 **2. That this ordinance shall become effective upon adoption, and it**
10 **shall be enforced after satisfactory completion of the federal preclearance**
11 **procedure provided by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as**
12 **amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.**

13 GIVEN under my hand this _____ day of _____ 2011.

Nancy Vehrs
Clerk to the Board of Supervisors

\\s17prolaw01\Documents\104659\ECW\Correspondence\344844.doc