AGENCY 06 - Department of Finance

FY 2010 LINES OF BUSINESS (LOBs) REDUCTION OPTIONS

OVERALL AGENCY LOB REDUCTION TARGET = \$1,442,601

| LOB INFORMATION | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|------|---|--|---------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|---------------|---|--|
| Reduction Priority | LOB# | LOB Title | LOB Reduction Description | LOB Position Reduction | LOB SYE Reduction | TOTAL Reduction (REVENUE) | NET Reduction | IMPACT | |
| 1 | 01 | Financial Control & Compliance | Eliminate non-certification training | 0 | 0.0 | \$0 | \$10,000 | Negatively affects readiness to implement evolving professional standards, to introduce new techniques and technoloogies, to develop succession leadership, and to provide non-monetary incentive for staff retention. | |
| 1 | 03 | Accounting & Financial Reporting | Eliminate non-certification training | 0 | 0.0 | \$0 | \$1,500 | Negatively affects readiness to implement evolving professional standards, to introduce new techniques and technoloogies, to develop succession leadership, and to provide non-monetary incentive for staff retention. | |
| 1 | 04 | Payment of Countywide Obligations | Eliminate non-certification training | 0 | 0.0 | \$0 | \$4,500 | Negatively affects readiness to implement evolving professional standards, to introduce new techniques and technoloogies, to develop succession leadership, and to provide non-monetary incentive for staff retention. | |
| 1 | 02 | Investing and Cash Flow Management | Eliminate non-certification training | 0 | 0.0 | \$0 | \$2,000 | Negatively affects readiness to implement evolving professional standards, to introduce new techniques and technoloogies, to develop succession leadership, and to provide non-monetary incentive for staff retention. | |
| 2 | 03 | Accounting & Financial Reporting | Reduce admin support in department operations | 1 | 1.0 | \$0 | \$33,000 | Inefficient use of supervisory staff. | |
| 3 | 03 | Accounting & Financial Reporting | Reduce funding in excess of negotiated pricing for audit services | 0 | 0.0 | \$0 | \$180,000 | No negative impact foreseen. | |
| 4 | 01 | Financial Control & Compliance | Terminate effort to convert payments to vendors from checks to ACH transfers | 1 | 1.0 | \$0 | \$62,000 | Expanded use of commercial (off-the-shelf) applications calls for greater diligence in maintaining system security and user controls. There is likely to be some degradation of control; delays in addressing application outages (particularly critical in investment operations); and slower response to customer needs. New projects will experience delayed implementation. | |
| 5 | 01 | Financial Control & Compliance | Eliminate central management of systems security, admin, and IT project oversight | 1 | 1.0 | \$0 | \$112,000 | Inability to deliver labor-saving PC applications to finance operations both in the Department of Finance and to agencies across the county. | |
| 6 | 03 | Payment of Countywide Obligations | Eliminate development of PC applications for use by decentralized finance operations | 1 | 1.0 | \$0 | \$38,000 | Lost opportunities to capture cost-savings by converting payments from checks to electronic payments. | |
| 7 | 01 | Financial Control & Compliance | Eliminate outreach program that offers assistance to decentralized finance operations and monitors compliance with County policy | 6 | 6.0 | \$0 | \$359,000 | Monitoring compliance with County policy and internal controls will be greatly reduced. Instance of abuses or improprieties will not surface as promptly; expanded use of credit card revenue collections will be delayed; financial information generated at the agency level will be more suseptible to error; external audit costs may rise without DOF early identification of issues; undetected process flaws may lead to material findings by external auditors. | |

AGENCY 06 - Department of Finance

FY 2010 LINES OF BUSINESS (LOBs) REDUCTION OPTIONS

OVERALL AGENCY LOB REDUCTION TARGET = \$1,442,601

| LOB INFORMATION | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|---|---|--|---------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|---------------|--|--|
| Reduction Priority | LOB# | LOB Title | LOB Reduction Description | LOB Position Reduction | LOB SYE Reduction | TOTAL Reduction (REVENUE) | NET Reduction | IMPACT | |
| 8 | 03 | Accounting & Financial Reporting | Eliminate central oversight of federal and state grants | 1 | 1.0 | \$0 | \$68,000 | Timely receipt of grant funding (or loss of funds because of delayed or incomplete accountings) is likely. Loss of investment revenue from delayed receipt of grant reimbursements. | |
| 9 | 03 | Payment of Countywide Obligations | Terminate outsourced analysis and payment of utility bills | 1 | 1.0 | \$0 | \$114,000 | Return to labor-intensive, manual processing of utility bills by various agencies. Elimination of this centra payment capability will again place burden on agencies to devote staff time to review of bills, processing of individual invoices, and reconciliation of payments against account billings. | |
| 10 | 01 | Financial Control & Compliance | Terminate further development of data warehouse tool (DART) for financial reporting at the agency level | 1 | 1.0 | \$0 | \$58,000 | Loss of opportunity to expand a proven labor-saving capability to produce financial reports to the needs of individual managers. | |
| 11 | 03 | Accounting & Financial Reporting | Reduce effort to maintain current inventory records of capital assets | 1 | 1.0 | \$0 | \$58,000 | Inability to continuously monitor accuracy of a significant element of the County's financial statement. Errors and omissions will not be detected timely; year-end adjustments will divert staff time from other necessary tasks in completing financial reports; undetected erros may lead to audit findings. | |
| 12 | 01 | Financial Control & Compliance | Eliminate accounts receivable monitoring and collection assistance | 1 | 1.0 | \$0 | \$45,000 | Collections of amounts due the County will be delayed; delayed collections lead to uncollectibles; lost investment revenues on delayed/uncollected receivables. | |
| 13 | 04 | Payment of Countywide Obligations | Eliminate central audit of travel accountings | 1 | 1.0 | \$0 | \$36,000 | Responsibility for ensuring compliance with County travel policy will shift to agencies. Reduced oversight of this high-profile expenditure class is likely to lead to uneven application of travel guidelines, inadequat documentation of expenses, excess travel costs, and inadvertant, but objectionable practices. | |
| 14 | 03 | Accounting & Financial Reporting | Reduce monitoring of entries in the County's central accounting system | 1 | 1.0 | \$0 | \$48,000 | Delayed identification of erroneous or missing accounting entries. This can lead to higher audit costs, decreased confidence in financial statements, and findings of material weaknesses in reports to the public and to bond holders. | |
| 15 | 01 | Financial Control & Compliance | Reduce program of monitoring activity in bank accounts (exception analysis) | 1 | 1.0 | \$0 | \$47,000 | Delays will be experienced in resolving discrepancies between payments issued by the County and item posted to the bank acocunts. This can lead to unrecovered erroneous payments and excessive staff time to resolve issues. The County will locate fewer owners of uncashed checks, leading to higher rates of escheatment to the state and lower levels of public satisfaction with the County's level of serevice. | |
| 16 | 04 | Payment of Countywide Obligations | Reduce activity to validate vendor- supplied information (Form W-9) used for reporting to the IRS (Form 1099) | 1 | 1.0 | \$0 | \$49,000 | The County's vendor files, vital to making accurate payments to vendors, will experience an increased error rate. This expands the opportunities for incorrect and improper payments, fraudulent activity, and non-compliance with IRS year-end information reporting (Forms 1099.) Incorrect Form 1099 submisisons subject the County to cash penalties. | |
| 17 | 02 | Investing and Cash Flow Management | Eliminate internal capability to invest County funds (i.e., outsource to a funds manager) | 1 | 1.0 | \$0 | \$130,000 | Loss of the capability to direct investment of idle cash deprives management of the ability to conform investment strategy to the operating needs of the County. External management of investments may be highly counterproductive. Over the past ten years, the County's return on investments exceeded revenues that would have been achieved by external managers. In FY 2008 alone, the County earned in excess of \$4 million greater than would have been earned by LGIP investment of these funds. | |
| | TOTAL REDUCTION ACHIEVED = 15 PERCENT 20 20.0 \$0 \$1,455,000 | | | | | | | | |