



County of Fairfax, Virginia

MEMORANDUM

DATE: April 11, 2016
TO: Board of Supervisors
FROM: Joseph M. Mondoro, Chief Financial Officer
SUBJECT: Responses to BOS Budget Questions – Package 6

Attached for your review is Package 6 of responses to Board questions on the FY 2017 budget. Please note that questions received as part of the LOBs process are being processed separately.

If you have any questions or need additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me. The following responses are included in this package:

Question Number	Question	Supervisor	Pages
	<i>Budget Questions 1-7 answered in package 1 dated 2/17/16</i>		<i>1-48</i>
	<i>Budget Questions 8-22 answered in package 2 dated 3/18/16</i>		<i>49-81</i>
	<i>Budget Questions 23-28 answered in package 3 dated 3/29/16</i>		<i>82-89</i>
	<i>Budget Questions 29-32 answered in package 4 dated 4/1/16</i>		<i>90-96</i>
	<i>Budget Questions 33-36 answered in package 5 dated 4/8/16</i>		<i>97-112</i>
Budget-37	Please provide square foot by employee calculations for each county facility that is primarily office support (Government Center, Herrity, Pennino, and Transportation lease space for example). Please provide a list of large non-office spaces with the corresponding square footage. However, typical office conference rooms etc. should be included in the calculations not excluded. Please provide the numbers including an allocation for large non office spaces (Board Room, Forum for example) and without.	Herrity	113-117
Budget-38	Please provide an update on athletic fees compared to other surrounding jurisdictions.	Herrity	118
Budget-39	Please provide a summary reference document with the proposed Capital Improvement Plan numbers that will allow the Board to know specifically what they are voting on.	Cook/Foust	119-121
Budget-40	Please provide an update on the assessment studies noted in the Infrastructure Financing Committee Report associated with capital improvements.	Cook	122-124
Budget-41	Please provide a list of all FCPS extracurricular programs (such as summer learning camps, voluntary enrichment programs, etc.) that charge fees, what the fees are, and a breakout of how much of each program cost the fees cover.	McKay	125-127
Budget-42	Please explain how transportation is provided for students who voluntarily pupil place out of their boundary school for academics, enrichment, language immersion, advanced academics, or other voluntary reasons. Please also provide a chart that shows how many students do this.	McKay	128-129

Attachment



County of Fairfax, Virginia

MEMORANDUM

cc: Edward L. Long Jr., County Executive
Patricia Harrison, Deputy County Executive
David J. Molchany, Deputy County Executive
David M. Rohrer, Deputy County Executive
Robert A. Stalzer, Deputy County Executive

Response to Questions on the FY 2017 Budget

Request By: Supervisor Herrity

Question: Please provide square foot by employee calculations for each county facility that is primarily office support (Government Center, Herrity, Pennino, and Transportation lease space for example). Please provide a list of large non-office spaces with the corresponding square footage. However, typical office conference rooms etc. should be included in the calculations not excluded. Please provide the numbers including an allocation for large non office spaces (Board Room, Forum for example) and without.

Response: The following charts provide the methodology for calculating square footage per employee at the seven major administrative buildings, both owned (Government Center, Herrity, Pennino and South County) and leased (Heritage II, Heritage III and Centerpointe II Department of Transportation) by the County. Each chart provides the exterior and interior gross square footage per building, with non-administrative office functions deleted from the interior gross square footage. The adjusted useable square footage is then divided by the number of staff in each building to provide a square footage per person per building. The total County average Useable Square Feet (USF) per person for administrative office space is 182.7. As a comparison, the USF per employee for GSA is 190 and the private sector is 153.

Major County Owned Administrative Buildings Herrity Building

247,000		Exterior Gross Square Feet	
242,656		Interior Gross Square Feet	
Non Administrative Office Areas Deleted			
Location	SF Deducted	Space Deducted	Space Detail
Building	25,249	Building Common Areas	Stairs, Elevators, Mechanical Rooms, Public Toilets, and other building Core Elements Level 2 - 5
	24,376	Primary Circulation	Primary Circulation Level 2 - 5 (lobby area)
Level 1	24,265	Entire Level	Deli, Credit Union, Large Conference Room, Loading Dock
Level 2	6,122	211 / 230 238 - 252	Permits Waiting Area DPZ Counters and High Density File System
Level 3	3,472	304 & 326	Plan Storage Rooms
Level 4	-	Level 4	Office space only
Level 5	4,228	503, 508, 555, 557, 560	Service Counter, Permit Plans & Records
Level 6	2,272	603 - 606	Multipurpose Shared Conference Rooms (non-typical)
		619	Bulk Storage
		639	File Storage
Level 7	2,302	704 & 705	Conference & Kitchen area
		711	Parks Computer Room
Level 8	1,836	802 - 805	Multi-purpose Shared Conference Rooms (non-typical)
Level 9	1,624	940	Parks Board Room and Conference Room (non-typical)
Level 10	2,838	1004	Computer Training Rooms
Totals	98,584	Total SF to deduct from Interior Gross Square Feet	
	144,072	Adjusted Useable Square Feet	
	784	Number of staff	
	183.77	Useable Square Feet per person	

Government Center Building

	1,126,603	Exterior Gross Square Feet (Includes Parking Levels)	
	1,119,655	Interior Gross Square Feet (Includes Parking Levels)	
Non Administrative Office Areas Deleted			
Location	SF Deducted	Space Deducted	Space Detail
Building	58,929	Building Common Areas	Stairs, Elevators, Mechanical Rooms, Public Toilets, and other building Core Elements
	79,718	Primary Circulation	Primary Circulation (lobby area)
	99,113	All Levels	Atrium, Forum, & Board Auditorium (penetrates multiple levels)
	200,739	P1	Parking
	197,869	P2	Parking
Level 1	10,021	114	Fitness Center
	4,132	119	GIS Front Counter
	16,609	120	Cafeteria
	1,371	120C	Cafeteria Conference Room
	1,960	123	Branch Library
	1,867	133	Cable Communication TV Editing Rooms
	4,210	151	DIT PC Assembly Area
	4,829	151.03	AEOC
	5,811	160	BOS Offices
	725	162	Dispute Resolution
	1,380	170	HR Training Rooms
	18,782	137 - 139	Mail & Print Services
	944	156	DIT Mobility Center
20,079	167	DIT Data Center (including staff area)	
Level 2	1,364	223 -221	Tax Admin Counter
	900	233	Tax Admin Assistance
	12,531	222 -230	Conference Center
	1,448	247	Training Area
	1,024	266	Credit Union
	3,300	Thru out	Kitchens & Coffee Bars
Level 3	2,046	315 - 317	Elections ballot assembly areas
	648	358	Wastewater Service Center
	4,894	366	DIT Training Rooms
	2,448	Thru out	Kitchens & Coffee Bars
Level 4	2,448	Thru out	Kitchens & Coffee Bars
Level 5	680	561	DMB Library
	2,275	Thru out	Kitchens & Coffee Bars
Totals	765,094	Total SF to deduct from Interior Gross Square Feet	
	354,561	Adjusted Useable Square Feet	
	1,903	Number of staff	
	186.32	Useable Square Feet per person	

Pennino Building

281,810		Exterior Gross Square Feet	
270,653		Interior Gross Square Feet	
Non Administrative Office Areas Deleted			
Location	SF Deducted	Space Deducted	Space Detail
Building	23,840	Building Common Areas	Stairs, Elevators, Mechanical Rooms, Public Toilets, and other building Core Elements
	21,314	Primary Circulation	Primary Circulation (lobby area)
Level 1	27,065	Entire Level	DFS Daycare Center & Loading Dock.
Level 2 - 10	-	Administrative Office Space	Office Space only
Totals	72,219	Total SF to deduct from Interior Gross Square Feet	
	198,434	Adjusted Useable Square Feet	
	1,130	Number of staff	
	175.61	Useable Square Feet per person	

South County Building

160,833		Exterior Gross Square Feet	
157,203		Interior Gross Square Feet	
Non Administrative Office Areas Deleted			
Location	SF Deducted	Space Deducted	Space Detail
Building	11,676	Building Common Areas	Stairs, Elevators, Mechanical Rooms, Public Toilets, and other building Core Elements Level 2 - 5
	10,435	Primary Circulation	Primary Circulation Level 2 - 5 (lobby area)
Level 1	32,929	Entire Level	All non-office space - Adult Day Care, Juvenile Court, Access Fairfax
Level 2	12,984	Suite 233 - 239 Rooms 217 - 221	Health Dept. Clinic Conference Center
Level 3	19,151	Suite 301 & 305 Suite 309 Suite 321 Suite 327	Affordable Health Clinic DNCS Teen Center DNCS Senior Center DFS Skill Source Class Room and Business Center
Level 4	8,346	Suite 401 Suite 407 Suite 419	Benefits & Self Sufficiency Interview Rooms & Conference room (non-typical) Office for Children Waiting and Telephone stations for public Mental Health Youth & Family Therapy Rooms, Conference Rooms Interview Rooms, Play Rooms Observation Rooms & Group Room
Level 5	7,887	Suite 505 & 507 Suite 515 Suite 525 & 527	NCS & DFS Waiting and Conference/Interview rooms CSB Youth Day Treatment Group Rooms, waiting area, class rooms & toilets. Housing Waiting, Interview Rooms , Play Room and Conference Rooms (non-typical)
Totals	103,408	Total SF to deduct from Interior Gross Square Feet	
	53,795	Adjusted Useable Square Feet	
	364	Number of staff	
	147.79	Useable Square Feet per person	

Major County Leased Administrative Office Buildings
Centerpointe Building

59,952		Rentable Square Feet	
Non Administrative Office Areas Deleted			
Location	SF Deducted	Space Deducted	Space Detail
Building	2,998	Core Factor & Primary Circulation	Stairs, Elevators, Mechanical Rooms, Public Toilets, and Primary Circulation and other Building Core Elements
Level 3, 4 & 5	-		Nothing deleted
Totals	2,998	Total SF to deduct from Interior Gross Square Feet	
	56,954	Adjusted Useable Square Feet	
	201	Number of staff	
	283.35	Useable Square Feet per person	

Heritage II

45,900		Rentable Square Feet	
Non Administrative Office Areas Deleted			
Location	SF Deducted	Space Deducted	Space Detail
Building	2,295	Core Factor & Primary Circulation	Stairs, Elevators, Mechanical Rooms, Public Toilets, and Primary Circulation and other Building Core Elements
Level 3	4,228	W300 - W311 W317 W346 W339 - W341	Self Sufficiency Resource Library, Phone & Info Carrels, Training Labs, Interview rooms & storage Self Sufficiency Equipment Storage and File area DFS Conference Room DFS , Work Room & Shared Storage
Level 4	5,102	W405 W406 W419A W422 W444	Child Development Computer Training Room, Waiting area Interview Room & Meeting area Children Youth and Families Visitation Rooms , Supply/Storage & Kitchen CD Video Conference Room CYF Storage, Lobby CD Conference, Supply, Mail Room & CD Storage and Safe
Level 5	3,460	W500 - W513 W531 - W533	Public Assistance Waiting, Play Area, Interview Rooms, Appeals Room & Carrels for Public Break Room & private toilets for public
Totals	15,085	Total SF to deduct from Interior Gross Square Feet	
	30,815	Adjusted Useable Square Feet	
	206	Number of staff	
	149.59	Useable Square Feet per person	

Heritage III

54,555		Rentable Square Feet	
Non Administrative Office Areas Deleted			
Location	SF Deducted	Space Deducted	Space Detail
Building	2,727	Core Factor & Primary Circulation	Stairs, Elevators, Mechanical Rooms, Public Toilets, and Primary Circulation and other Building Core Elements
Level 1	8,223	E100 - E113	Consumer Wellness Reception, Lounge, Pantry, Kitchen, Clothing Storage & Group Rooms
		E115 - E123	Conference Center
		E124 - E128	DIT & DAHS Workstations, Workroom & Mothers Room
Level 2	5,674	E200 - E220	CSB Waiting, Group Rooms, and Conference Rooms
		E246 - E255	CSB Group Rooms, and Conference Rooms
		E259 - E266	CSB Drug Testing Toilets
Level 3	6,144	E301A - E326.01	Health Department Waiting, Treatment room, Training Rooms, Demo Kitchen, Patient Toilet, CPR Training Room, Breast Feeding Room, Testing Carrels
		E332 - E334	Secure Storage and Work Rooms
		E347 - E349	HD Classroom, NIC & HD Large Conference Rooms
Level 4	4,076	E400 - E434	HD Clinic Waiting, Treatment Rooms, X-ray room & Negative Pressure Room
Totals	26,844	Total SF to deduct from Interior Gross Square Feet	
	27,711	Adjusted Useable Square Feet	
	154	Number of staff	
	179.94	Useable Square Feet per person	

Summary

866,342	GRAND TOTAL Adjusted Useable Square Feet of the seven major administrative buildings
4,742	GRAND TOTAL Number of staff of the seven major administrative buildings
182.70	Useable Square Feet per person of the seven major administrative buildings

Response to Questions on the FY 2017 Budget

Request By: Supervisor Herrity

Question: Please provide an update on athletic fees compared to other surrounding jurisdictions.

Response: Fairfax County's Athletic Fee is currently the lowest in the region. Even with the proposed FY 2017 increase, the fee would remain in line with other jurisdictions. This increase would apply to rectangular field users only (per participant, per season).

Jurisdiction	Application Fee
Prince William	\$24.00 youth/\$38 adults
Loudoun	\$12.50
Arlington	\$8.00
City of Alexandria	\$12.00
Fairfax County	\$5.50 Current/\$9.50 County Executive Proposed/ \$8.00 Athletic Council Proposed

About 4 percent of all athletic fee revenues are generated through tournament team fees. The County Executive's FY 2017 budget includes a proposal to increase tournament fees for rectangular field users only from \$15 to \$25 per team per tournament, which is in line with the current percentage. The Athletic Council proposal is to increase the tournament fees for rectangular field users from \$15 to \$50 per team, per tournament. It is difficult to compare jurisdictional fees for tournaments as some charge per field, some charge per hour, and none provide anywhere near the amount of turf field access that Fairfax is able to provide. Turf field access for tournaments is paramount as it guarantees play in the event of inclement weather.

Response to Questions on the FY 2017 Budget

Request By: Supervisor Cook and Supervisor Foust

Question: Please provide a summary reference document with the proposed Capital Improvement Plan numbers that will allow the Board to know specifically what they are voting on.

Response: The Fairfax County Capital Improvement Program serves as the County's five-year roadmap for creating, maintaining and funding present and future infrastructure requirements. The CIP is primarily a planning document. As such, it is subject to change each year as the needs of the community become more defined and projects move closer to final implementation. The adoption of the Capital Improvement Program is neither a commitment to a particular project nor a limitation to a particular cost. As a basic tool for scheduling anticipated capital projects and capital financing, the CIP is a key element in planning and controlling future debt service requirements.

The Comprehensive Plan and the CIP are mutually supportive - the Comprehensive Plan identifies those areas suitable for development and the CIP translates those requirements into capital projects designed to support the goals and policies of the Comprehensive Plan. The Annual Capital Budget serves to appropriate funds for specific facilities and capital improvements. For projects supported by the General Fund (Paydown Projects), the first year included in the CIP reflects the proposed annual capital budget funding level. Funding for subsequent years in the program are included for planning purposes only and do not receive ultimate expenditure authority until they are analyzed and incorporated into the adopted annual Capital Budget. In general, General Obligation Bond funded projects and projects supported by other financing (wastewater revenues, solid waste revenues, Commercial & Industrial taxes supporting transportation projects), are reflected based on the cashflow required for spending in each year. Yearly General Obligation bond cashflows serve as the basis for developing the amount required for the County's annual bond sale. The CIP is a "rolling" process and subsequent year items in the CIP are evaluated annually and potentially advanced.

In developing the FY 2017 – FY 2021 Capital Improvement Program, staff focused on the following primary objectives:

- Reviewed and revised the long-range Bond Referendum Plan based on each program area's prioritized future project requirements. The long-range bond plan can be found on Page 28 of the CIP or at <http://www.fairfaxcounty.gov/dmb/fy2017/advertised/cip/cip.pdf#page=42>
- Reviewed the County's debt capacity in light of the proposed Bond Referendum Plan and conducted an analysis of debt service requirements, sales limitations, and debt ratios to manage all of these factors within projected funding availability and the County's Ten Principles of Sound Financial Management;
- Developed a Paydown Program including increased funding for critical Americans with Disabilities Act Compliance, Infrastructure Replacements and Upgrades, the Athletic Field and Sports Program, County Facility Planning and Reconfiguration Efforts, and other commitments;

- Developed a 10-year plan for funding turf field replacements, including an increase to the Athletic Service Fee and the General Fund contribution;
- Developed a plan for allocating the current balance of the Capital Sinking Reserve Fund to address reinvestment requirements for County Infrastructure. This proposal has been included in the *FY 2016 Third Quarter Review* for the Board of Supervisor's consideration;
- Enhanced the communication of the collaborative efforts underway on Public-Private Partnership and Joint Venture projects and included more details in the CIP on these complex projects; and
- Reviewed the 5-year Stormwater Service District Spending Plan, developing an FY 2017 program consistent with the recommended increase of ¼ penny in the tax rate per year to address increased stormwater management regulations.

When approving the FY 2017 – FY 2021 Capital Improvement Program, the Board of Supervisors will consider the major components highlighted below. The Board has the opportunity to change the CIP and/or the proposed capital budget at any time during their budget deliberation process or by introducing additional CIP motions.

Long-Range Bond Referendum Plan

The long-range Bond Referendum Plan is presented for planning purposes; however, the most immediate proposal is for a 2016 Bond Referendum in the amount of \$312 million. This Referendum would include \$85 million for Human Services/Community Development, \$107 million for Parks (for draft project list, see the response to LOBS Question and Answer #51 at http://www.fairfaxcounty.gov/dmb/lob/2016/q_a/51-lobs-bond-referenda.pdf), and Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority and \$120 million for Metro capital contribution. Approval of the CIP gives staff the authorization to develop a Bond Resolution for consideration and Board approval in the May/June 2016 timeframe. At that time, the Board will be asked to approve a bond resolution requesting the County Attorney to petition the Circuit Court to schedule the referenda on the November 2016 election day; and to authorize the preparation and distribution of an informational pamphlet on the proposed bond projects to be mailed to citizens. This approval is in the May/June timeframe in order to provide sufficient time to petition the Circuit Court to order special elections for the bond referendum, to complete the federal preclearance process, and prepare absentee ballots. The bond pamphlet is circulated to each Board member and the chairman in advance of its release to the public.

Paydown Program

The proposed FY 2017 Paydown Program totals \$28 million. The Paydown projects reflected in the CIP for FY 2017 will be approved as part of the FY 2017 Budget. All subsequent years in the CIP are considered planning amounts only and are subject to change based on further analysis and funding availability. Based on Board feedback, staff has modified the presentation of the Paydown Program in the table below, separating commitments, contributions, and facility maintenance from infrastructure replacement and upgrades, and more typical capital improvements. This breakdown is more in keeping with the definitions developed as part of the infrastructure Financing Committee (IFC). Staff will use this methodology when presenting the Paydown Program in the future.

<u>Commitments, Contributions and Facility Maintenance (45.2%)</u>	
Salona Purchase	\$891,600
Capital Contribution to Northern Virginia Community College	\$2,517,489
Contribution to FCPS for SACC	\$1,000,000
Laurel Hill (maintenance and security)	\$1,260,000
Commercial Revitalization Maintenance	\$1,210,000
Emergency Directives	\$100,000
Interest on conservation bonds/survey monumentation	\$125,000
Environmental Projects	\$535,000
Athletic Field Program Maintenance	\$4,435,338
Parks Inspections and Maintenance	\$960,000
Subtotal	\$13,034,427
<u>Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrades, Capital Improvements (54.8%)</u>	
FMD Replacement and Upgrades	\$5,000,000
Athletic Field Capital Improvements	\$1,700,000
Parks Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrades	\$949,000
ADA Compliance - Parks	\$2,000,000
ADA Compliance - FMD	\$2,370,000
Trails/Road Repairs	\$900,000
Planning projects in support of capital improvements (original MVHS, Massey demo, P3 projects)	\$2,700,000
Developer Defaults	\$200,000
Subtotal	\$15,819,000
Total	\$28,853,427

Athletic Field and Sports Program

The CIP includes projects that are supported by the Athletic Service Fee. In FY 2017 the budget proposal includes a proposal to increase fees to support a 10-Year Plan for turf field replacements. The Athletic Field projects reflected in the CIP for FY 2017 will be approved as part of the FY 2017 Budget. All subsequent years are considered planning amounts only and are subject to change based on further analysis and funding availability.

Allocation of Sinking Fund

The allocation of the sinking fund to specific project categories has been included in the *FY 2016 Third Quarter Review* for the Board of Supervisor's consideration. If approved as recommended, specific allocations will be reflected in the FY 2017 Adopted CIP.

Stormwater Services

The CIP includes projects supported by the Stormwater fee, such as Dam Rehabilitation, Conveyance System Rehabilitation, and Stream and Water Quality projects. The FY 2017 portion of the CIP is approved as part of the FY 2017 Budget. All subsequent years are considered planning amounts only and are subject to change based on further analysis and funding availability. Subsequent years in the CIP are based on the Board approved 5-year plan which includes an increase in the stormwater fee by ¼ penny each year.

Other projects (Wastewater, Solid Waste, Transportation)

The FY 2017 portion of the CIP is approved as part of the FY 2017 Budget. All subsequent years are considered planning amounts only and are subject to change based on further analysis and funding availability. In most cases, project funding and schedules are adjusted annually based on the most current information; however, changes in appropriation levels are approved by the Board at quarterly reviews or as part of the annual budget process.

Additional details about the FY 2017 CIP can be found in the County Executive's CIP Transmittal letter at the following link: <http://www.fairfaxcounty.gov/dmb/fy2017/advertised/cip/cex-cip-letter.pdf>.

Response to Questions on the FY 2017 Budget

Request By: Supervisor Cook

Question: Please provide an update on the assessment studies noted in the Infrastructure Financing Committee Report associated with capital improvements.

Response: On March 25, 2014 and April 10, 2014, the Board of Supervisors and the School Board, respectively, approved the Infrastructure Financing Committee (IFC) Report and Recommendations. The Report included the following language regarding facility assessments: “To better define total needs, condition assessments must first be conducted to enable staff to define and focus on the most pressing requirements. In that regard, the Committee recommends that the County provide funding in its *FY 2014 Third Quarter Review* for a full condition assessment of all County and Park facilities. School facilities assessments are up-to-date. Updated assessments would provide comprehensive facility condition evaluation and cost estimates.”

The IFC was focused on three areas for these assessments, including both annual and one-time funding for Parks facilities, County facilities and Human Services facilities.

County Infrastructure Assessments:

FMD conducts facility assessments in order to develop a baseline of current facility conditions and to develop a multi-year plan. This plan provides the foundation to replace major facility systems by providing cost estimates, facility condition information and life cycle analysis for component replacement. Assessments include a complete visual inspection of building subsystems such as roofs and HVAC systems and their component parts. In 2004, a comprehensive facilities assessment was conducted on 93 selected facilities, approximately 4.2 million square feet of space, representing the oldest facilities. This assessment represented approximately 54 percent of the entire inventory. The recommendation of Facilities Management Department (FMD) staff during the IFC discussions was to earmark \$500,000 annually to continue to fund building condition assessments. This would allow FMD to complete approximately 25 building subsystem assessments each year, providing an assessment of every building approximately once every 10 years. As part of the FY 2015 Advertised Budget Plan, funding in the amount of \$500,000 was proposed to support condition assessments designed to identify, locate, and quantify significant defects and required upgrades. In addition, these assessments would identify materials and system components required to remedy each physical deficiency. During their deliberations on the FY 2015 budget, the Board of Supervisors reduced funding for the Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrades Fund from \$8.0 million to \$2.7 million and funding for facility assessments was eliminated in the FY 2015 Adopted Budget Plan.

Although, there was no dedicated funding for facility assessment studies, FMD has been able to use residual project balances, identified based on favorable construction bids, to continue their efforts to complete some building assessment studies. Since FY 2014, 49 studies have been completed. These studies have assisted in the categorization of building systems and prioritization for yearly funding. FMD prioritizes and classifies infrastructure replacement and upgrade projects into five categories: Category F,

urgent/safety related, or endangering life and/or property; Category D, critical systems beyond their useful life or in danger of possible failure; Category C, life-cycle repairs/replacements where repairs are no longer cost effective; Category B, repairs needed for improvements if funding is available, and Category A, good condition. A building with multiple Category F systems identified is recommended, often concurrently with the using agency, for renovation. Staff annually reviews building assessments in conjunction with the development of the CIP and Bond Referendum Plan.

FMD staff also identified funding requirements necessary to complete the Department of Justice Americans with Disability Act (ADA) building self-assessments. On January 28, 2011, the Board of Supervisors signed a settlement agreement with the Department of Justice (DOJ). Part of this agreement includes a requirement for the County to conduct self-assessments on the County buildings not assessed by the DOJ during their audit. Funding of \$630,000 was included in the *FY 2014 Third Quarter Review* and approved by the Board of Supervisors. This funding supported the self-assessment of 90 facilities not assessed by DOJ and these self- assessments are now complete. The results of the self-assessments have led to additional retrofits to facilities to ensure ADA compliance. These retrofits are still underway.

In addition, the requirements associated with the Department of Public Works and Environmental Services needs for infrastructure condition assessments were also presented to the IFC. One-time funding in the amount of \$500,000 was identified to conduct a condition assessment of County-owned roads, stub streets and service drives. This funding was included in the *FY 2014 Third Quarter Review* and approved by the Board of Supervisors. This study is complete and identifies an amount of \$4 million in reinvestment funding for the roadways with the most hazardous conditions, as well as increased annual funding for repairs and upkeep. It is anticipated that funding for the \$4 million reinvestment program will be funded over a 5-year period, with initial funding from the allocation of the Capital Sinking Fund, anticipated as part of the *FY 2016 Third Quarter Review*.

It should be noted that during the IFC discussions, a condition assessment of County sidewalks and trails was already underway. Funding of \$200,000 was approved by the Board of Supervisors as part of the FY 2013 Adopted Budget Plan, to perform a condition assessment of existing trails and sidewalks. This study is also complete and identifies approximately 10 miles of trails in extremely poor condition requiring \$3 million in reinvestment, as well as increased annual funding for repairs and upkeep. It is anticipated that funding for the \$3 million reinvestment program will be funded over a 3-year period, with initial funding from the allocation of the Capital Sinking Fund, anticipated as part of the *FY 2016 Third Quarter Review*.

Park Authority Infrastructure Assessments

The Park Authority identified a need for one-time funding of \$180,000 to conduct building condition assessments at the Park Authority's 9 ReCenters and \$110,000 annually to assess their remaining facilities approximately every 10 years. Shortly after the IFC discussions, the Mount Vernon ReCenter condition assessment was initiated based on previous funding approval and that study is now complete. The remaining 8 ReCenters, required funding for a comprehensive review of their building subsystems. Based on the timing of the Park Authority's scheduled comprehensive Needs Assessment, staff recommended including the 8 RECenter condition assessments within the Needs Assessment process. The completed RECenter facility assessments indicate a

need for a total of \$40.2 million in capital reinvestment for lifecycle systems replacements over the next five years for the all nine facilities.

A comprehensive Park Authority Needs Assessment was last completed in 2004. The current Needs Assessment utilizes community input and a statistically valid survey to determine community need for parks and recreation facilities and how Park Authority resources can be best used to meet community needs. The 2015 Needs Assessment is nearly complete including the facility assessments of the 8 remaining RECenters and will culminate in a needs-based system wide capital improvement framework to be used in capital resource allocations over the next ten years including the 2016 Park Bond. Several phases of the Needs Assessment results have been shared with the Park Authority Board. The Needs Assessment Consultant will share the Needs Assessment findings, recommendations and a 10-year Capital Improvement Framework with the Park Authority Board on April 13, 2016 and at a Public Open House on April 14, 2016. The Park Authority director has extended an offer to brief the BOS members individually at their request. The final Needs Assessment Report will be available later this Spring.

In addition to the one-time funding, an additional \$110,000 was identified as an annual requirement. This funding was not approved in FY 2015 based on limited resources; however, using existing resources, Park staff has been completing assessment of non-RECenter facilities and infrastructure and sharing the assessments with the Park Authority Board over the past 18 months. Facility assessments for 323 basketball and tennis courts, 169 Roadways, 247 Parking Lots, 92 Shelter and Outdoor Restroom Buildings, 205 Playgrounds, 298 Lighting Systems and Amusements such as carousels have been completed to date. All facilities were graded based on condition and life expectancy. Park staff is currently assessing trails and will begin assessing bridges later this year. The completed assessments are used to prioritize capital and maintenance funding. The results of the assessments have indicated a total deferred reinvestment of \$70 million through FY 2017 with another \$63 million reinvestment required to meet the planned need from FY2018-FY 2021.

Human Services Facility Assessments

Lastly, funding of approximately \$1.5 million was identified as a requirement for Human Services facility condition assessment and feasibility studies. These facility assessments were re-evaluated and prioritized by staff and an amount of \$630,000 was identified and included in the *FY 2014 Third Quarter Review*. This funding was approved by the Board of Supervisors and supported studies of the following facilities: A New Beginnings, Cornerstones (Dual Diagnosis) and Crossroads residential facilities, the community shelters, and the Sully Community Center. The purpose of the feasibility studies was to assess the current building condition including the exterior, interior, mechanical/electrical/plumbing systems, as well as the overall site. The study also evaluated program and functional deficiencies and provided options for expansion and renovation to address the aging facilities and changing needs of the community. Concept designs with associated costs were prepared for each facility to aid in the planning and budget process and ultimately the implementations of the projects. The feasibility study for Sully Community Center focused on identifying a new site and developing an expanded building program and concept designs to replace the existing facility being demolished as part of the I-66/Route 28 interchange improvements. These studies have all been completed.

Response to Questions on the FY 2017 Budget

Request By: Supervisor McKay

Question: Please provide a list of all FCPS extracurricular programs (such as summer learning camps, voluntary enrichment programs, etc.) that charge fees, what the fees are, and a breakout of how much of each program cost the fees cover.

Response: The following response was prepared by Fairfax County Public Schools (FCPS):

The following chart provides a fee breakdown for all K-12 Enrichment programs and Summer Learning Enrichment programs. In FY 2017, the transfer from the School Operating Fund to the Summer School Fund will offset the cost of providing the Credit Recovery Academy and SOL Remediation program for FCPS high school students. All other programs included in the chart are self-supporting and receive no funding from the School Operating Fund. Fees are used to cover material expenses, teacher pay, and all administrative costs associated with the program. It should be noted that reduced fees are available to students eligible for free and reduced-price meals.

Course Name	Type	Fees
Summer Learning Enrichment Programs		
Credit Recovery Academy	FCPS Students	\$0
	Out-of-County	\$600
Elementary Institute for the Arts (E-IFTA)	In-County (Feb to Apr)	\$425
	In-County (Apr to Jun)	\$475
	Out-of-County (Feb to Apr)	\$475
	Out-of-County (Apr to Jun)	\$525
Institute for the Arts (IFTA)	In-County (Feb to Apr)	\$795
	In-County (Apr to Jun)	\$850
	Out-of-County (Feb to Apr)	\$975
	Out-of-County (Apr to Jun)	\$1,025
Online Campus	Full Fee	\$680
	Reduced-Price Meal Eligible	\$340
	Free Meal Eligible	\$68
	Out-of-County	\$780
Self-Directed Economics and Personal Finance	Full Fee	\$140
	Reduced-Price Meal Eligible	\$70
	Free Meal Eligible	\$14
SOL Remediation	FCPS Students	\$0
	Out-of-County	\$400
STEM Camp	In-County (Feb to Apr)	\$275
	In-County (Apr to May)	\$375
	Out-of-County (Feb to Apr)	\$350
	Out-of-County (Apr to May)	\$475
Tech Adventure Camp	In-County (Feb to Apr)	\$425
	In-County (Apr to Jun)	\$475
	Out-of-County (Feb to Apr)	\$475
	Out-of-County (Apr to Jun)	\$525
TJ Academic Summer School	Full-year Course	\$820
	Full-year Course Transportation	\$275
	Semester Course	\$420
	Semester Course Transportation	\$138
TJ Middle School Technology Institute	Full Fee	\$200
	Transportation (optional)	\$55
Driver Education		
Partners for Safe Teen Driving	Full Fee	\$30
Behind-The-Wheel	Full Fee	\$315
Two-Hour Make-Up Lab	Full Fee	\$60
Classroom Driver Education	Full Fee	\$229
Practice for New Drivers	Full Fee	\$119
Elementary Summer Camps		
Culinary Adventures Camp	Full Fee	\$244
Culinary Adventures All Day Camp	Full Fee	\$389
Creative Writing Camp	Full Fee	\$159
Chess Tips and Strategies Camp	Full Fee	\$164

Computer Graphics Camp (Grades 3-5)	Full Fee	\$219
Sign Language FLEX Camp	Full Fee	\$194
Spanish, French, Chinese, & German FLEX Camp	Full Fee	\$194
Spanish, French, Chinese, & German FLEXtra Camp	Full Fee	\$194
Spanish, French, Chinese, German, & Japanese Language Immersion Camp	Full Fee	\$194
Foreign Language Experience (Grades K-6)		
Arabic, Hindi, Italian, Japanese, Chinese, French, & German (10 sessions)	Full Fee	\$159
Hindi & Chinese Level 3 (10 sessions)	Full Fee	\$159
Sign Language (10 sessions)	Full Fee	\$159
Arabic, Hindi, Italian, Japanese, Chinese, French, & German (15 sessions)	Full Fee	\$199
Arabic, Chinese, French, & German (15 sessions)	Full Fee	\$199
Sign Language (15 sessions)	Full Fee	\$199
Latin & Korean (20 sessions)	Full Fee	\$199
Latin (20 sessions)	Full Fee	\$199
Arabic, Hindi, Italian, Japanese, Chinese, French, & German (20 sessions)	Full Fee	\$225
Sign Language (20 sessions)	Full Fee	\$225
Global Language Opportunities Benefiting All Learners (Grades 1-6)		
GLOBAL German, Chinese, & French (10 sessions)	Full Fee	\$169
GLOBAL Chinese & French (10 sessions)	Full Fee	\$169
GLOBAL Spanish (10 sessions)	Full Fee	\$169
GLOBAL Arabic, German, Chinese, French, Japanese, & Spanish (20 sessions)	Full Fee	\$319
GLOBAL German, Chinese, French, & Spanish (20 sessions)	Full Fee	\$319
GLOBAL Spanish (20 sessions)	Full Fee	\$319
Secondary Enrichment (Grades 7-12)		
Computer Graphics for Teens	Full Fee	\$235
Effective Study Skills for Teens (Grades 6-8)	Full Fee	\$215
Young Writer's Workshop (Grades 6-8)	Full Fee	\$219
SAT Test Preparation	Full Fee	\$603
Leadership Skills for Teens	Full Fee	\$239
SAT Preparation - ONLINE	Full Fee	\$449
SAT Math Victory	Full Fee	\$329
SAT Critical Reading	Full Fee	\$329
SAT Writing	Full Fee	\$329
ACT Preparation - ONLINE	Full Fee	\$449
SAT Score Booster - ONLINE	Full Fee	\$199
TJHSST admissions Test Prep Seminar	Full Fee	\$335
PSAT Test Preparation	Full Fee	\$395
Introduction to Geometry	Full Fee	\$263
Introduction to Pre-Calculus	Full Fee	\$269
Cooking for Teens - Flavors of Spain	Full Fee	\$249
Cooking for Teens - Flavors of France	Full Fee	\$255
Spanish Immersion for Teens	Full Fee	\$320
Introduction to Spanish and Culture	Full Fee	\$319
Fundamental Skills of Algebra	Full Fee	\$269
Introductory Keyboarding for Teens	Full Fee	\$279
7th Grade Jumpstart: TJ Test Prep	Full Fee	\$323

Response to Questions on the FY 2017 Budget

Request By: Supervisor McKay

Question: Please explain how transportation is provided for students who voluntarily pupil place out of their boundary school for academics, enrichment, language immersion, advanced academics, or other voluntary reasons. Please also provide a chart that shows how many students do this.

Response: The following response was prepared by Fairfax County Public Schools (FCPS):

School Board-approved Regulation 8617 establishes student eligibility rules for riding school buses and guidelines for developing bus routes and schedules. FCPS provides transportation for students as indicated in the chart below. Otherwise, transportation is not provided for language immersion, enrichment courses such as Advance Placement (AP) or International Baccalaureate (IB), and other programs.

Programs	Number of Students (As of March 2016)
Academies	2,665
Advanced Academics	6,078
Elementary School Magnet	392
Thomas Jefferson	1,516
JROTC	Included within shuttle transportation runs, if any
Project Opportunity	55
Boundary Adjustment-Temporary	230
Exceptions to Ride	1,845

Academies

First session transportation is provided only in limited situations based on available resources and a minimum of five transported students. Second and third session transportation is provided by shuttle service to and from base schools with a minimum of five transported students.

Advanced Academics

Neighborhood transportation is provided to students who live within the program's boundary. Transportation for students outside the program boundary is not provided.

Elementary School Magnet

Students are picked up at several geographically dispersed elementary school sites around the county as designated annually by Transportation Services.

Thomas Jefferson High School for Science and Technology (TJHSST)

Students ride regular buses or walk to their base schools and then are shuttled to TJHSST. Students are transported home to neighborhood stops.

Junior Reserve Officers Training Corps (JROTC)

Neighborhood transportation is not provided. Limited shuttles to off-campus locations from JROTC sites are for short-term or one-time situations and will be provided based on available resources. JROTC numbers are included in the shuttle counts, such as Academies. No separate busing is provided.

Project Opportunity

Transportation is provided to Bryant and Mountain View. Based on available resources, transportation will be by shuttle to and from base schools or from neighborhood stops.

Boundary Adjustment

Per Regulation 2230, transportation is provided only for School Board-approved boundary phase-ins. No transportation is provided for reasons other than boundary adjustments.

Exceptions to Ride

When transportation is not provided, students may ride existing school buses if they can safely access an existing bus stop and if space is available. An exception-to-ride form (TR-52) must be completed by the parent or guardian and approved annually by Transportation Services.