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Chairman and Members of the Board of Supervisors
County of Fairfax
Fairfax, Virginia 22035

February 23, 2021

Chairman and Board Members,

I am pleased to forward for your review and consideration the FY 2022–FY 2026 Advertised Capital Improvement Program (With Future Fiscal Years to FY 2031). The Capital Improvement Program (CIP) is released concurrently with the FY 2022 Advertised Budget Plan. During the development of this year’s CIP, the following objectives were accomplished:

- Reviewed the Bond Referendum Plan;
- Reviewed the County’s debt capacity and conducted an analysis of debt service requirements, sales limitations, and debt ratios;
- Developed the annual General Fund Supported Capital Program;
- Continued to implement projects using the Capital Sinking Fund;
- Reviewed the Stormwater Service District, developing an FY 2022 program with no recommended increase in the service district rate;
- Continued to explore shared use and co-location opportunities;
- Provided a Summary of Changes from the FY 2021 CIP, and
- Identified future challenges and efforts underway to improve the CIP.

I believe the County’s proposed CIP reflects a program which provides specific project planning and a clear financing plan. Although this plan requires annual evaluation, it does provide a specific facility roadmap for the future.

Respectfully submitted,

Bryan J. Hill
County Executive

Office of the County Executive
12000 Government Center Parkway, Suite 552
Fairfax, VA 22035-0066
703-324-2531, TTY 703-222-5494, Fax 703-324-3956
www.fairfaxcounty.gov
As part of the development of the FY 2022 – FY 2026 Capital Improvement Program (CIP), staff continued to meet with County agencies to discuss and re-prioritize future CIP project requirements. Each year current and proposed projects are reevaluated in terms of projected costs and timing. The majority of projects in the CIP requiring financing, are those projects supported by the General Obligation Bond Program. The CIP includes a Bond Referendum Plan for both County and Fairfax County Public Schools (FCPS) referenda. FCPS Referenda are proposed in fall 2021 (FY 2022), fall 2023 (FY 2024) and fall 2025 (FY 2026). County Referenda are proposed in fall 2022 (FY 2023) and fall 2024 (FY 2025). The Referendum Plan continues the approved level of support for FCPS, with referenda of $360 million every two years, directly linked to the current approved bond sales limit of $180 million per year.

A review of the County’s debt capacity is conducted annually. The CIP is analyzed for adherence to the Ten Principles of Sound Financial Management, specifically as it relates to several debt ratios. As of June 30, 2020, the ratio of debt to taxable property value was 1.06 percent, well below the 3 percent limit and the ratio of debt to General Fund disbursements was 7.47 percent, well below the 10 percent limit. These two self-imposed limits are designed to maintain a balance between essential operating program expenditures and those for capital needs while preserving the County’s AAA credit rating. The debt to General Fund disbursements chart is based on the projected percentage of anticipated debt requirements to conservatively forecasted revenues at a 2 percent growth rate.
Although these projected debt ratios are well below the Board’s self-imposed limits, the Ten Principles of Sound Financial Management also sets an annual $300 million bond sale limit. The Board has agreed to an annual sale amount for FCPS of $180 million, with the remaining $120 million dedicated to all other County requirements. This annual sales limit is beginning to present some capacity challenges which are expected to continue into future years. The County sales limit has been level at $120 million since FY 2007; however, Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority (WMATA) requirements have increased significantly. The capital contribution to WMATA for Metro capital requirements in 2011 required a bond sale of $20 million. The January 2021 bond sale for WMATA was $42 million, more than double what it was 10 years ago. Requirements are projected to further increase in future years as well.

Additionally, more complex colocation projects are taking longer to complete, delaying bond sales, and creating a backlog of sale requirements. Most recently, existing Library and Public Safety bonds have required a 2-year extension from the Circuit Court to provide a total of 10 years in which to sell the bonds after the initial date of the voter approved referendum. Many efficiencies are gained from collocating facilities; however, coordination of financing can create challenges. For example, the Kingstowne Complex combines the Kingstowne Regional Library (2020 Bond), the Franconia Police Station (2015 Bond), the Lee District Supervisor’s Office, the Franconia Museum, an Active Adult Center, and a childcare center into one comprehensive facility. Bond sales associated with the 2015 Public Safety Bond Referendum have been delayed as coordination of the project changed cashflow timing requirements. At the Lorton Library, the colocation of the Lorton Community Center (2016 bond) at the same site also resulted in adjustments to bond sale timing. Lastly, the Reston Library (2012 bond) is part of the overall master plan to reconfigure and provide integrated redevelopment of approximately 50 acres known as Reston Town Center North (RTCN). Development concepts for the proposed site are still being reviewed and have delayed the sale of the 2012 bonds.

These issues are in addition to the capacity concerns voiced by FCPS, who have expressed interest in increasing the $180 million Schools sale limit (last increased in FY 2019).

Staff will be working over the next year with the newly formed Joint Board of Supervisors/School Board CIP Committee and discussing ideas for both the County and FCPS capital programs. It is anticipated that staff will be reviewing the entire debt program, including debt capacity, bonding

![Debt Ratio Projections](chart.png)
versus paydown options, timing and sizing of future referenda, and the assumptions used in future year CIP projections.

Certainly, even if the Bond Program remains within all of the County’s self-imposed debt ratios, the affordability of the debt service payments is crucial. Funding debt service for both the County and FCPS capital programs is only one of the many operational demands on the County budget. In these uncertain economic times, it will be necessary to reevaluate all aspects of the Bond Program moving forward. It may also be necessary to review both the timing and size of future referenda in order to maintain affordability of the program.

Bond sales continue to benefit from the County’s triple-A bond rating. On January 26, 2021, the County conducted a General Obligation bond sale at an interest rate of 1.23 percent. This borrowing rate represents the lowest interest rate ever received for a new money bond deal in the County’s history. It is also 77 basis points below the previous low interest rate of 2.00 percent that the County received in January 2020. In preparation for the January 2021 bond sale, the County requested a bond rating from Standard and Poor’s Corporation, Moody’s Investors Service, and Fitch Ratings. The County’s triple-A bond rating and stable outlook were affirmed by all three agencies. In addition, staff continues to actively review existing debt for refunding opportunities, and to explore various other forms of financing. Other financing opportunities such as Green Bonds and low interest loans via the Virginia Clean Water Revolving Loan Fund (VCWRLF) are currently being reviewed.

The review of the County Bond Program must also include a review of the Paydown Program and an examination of the County’s commitment to cash funded projects. The County’s Paydown Program has been level for several years. The proposed FY 2022 General Fund Supported Capital Program is slightly higher than the FY 2021 Adopted Budget Plan level of $18,073,045. FY 2022 funding of $18,678,045 represents a net increase of $605,000 from the FY 2021 Adopted Budget Plan to support additional funding for maintenance of 44 FCPS synthetic turf fields previously approved by the Board of Supervisors. Decreased requirements in other projects have allowed for an increase in funding for environmental projects. The total General Fund capital program includes an amount of $13,188,045 for commitments, contributions, and facility maintenance and $5,490,000 for Paydown projects. The Paydown program has been redesigned at the request of the Board of Supervisors to exclude those projects that are on-going maintenance projects or annual contributions. Paydown only includes infrastructure replacement and upgrades, ADA compliance, athletic field improvements and other facility improvements. In addition, funding of $6,282,000 for infrastructure replacement and upgrade projects is proposed to be funded as part of a future quarterly review. In recent years, it has been the Board of Supervisors’ practice to fund some or all of the annual infrastructure replacement and upgrade project requirements using one-time funding as available as part of budget quarterly reviews. The following table provides a summary of both categories within the General Fund Supported Capital Program.
**FY 2022 – FY 2026 Capital Improvement Program Summary**

In addition to funding approved at budget quarterly reviews, the Board has allocated available year-end funds to the Capital Sinking Fund. The Capital Sinking Fund is populated each year as part of the Carryover Review based on 20 percent of available year-end balances. Funding provides for infrastructure replacement and upgrades, such as replacement roofs, electrical systems, HVAC and reinvestment in trails, pedestrian bridges, and other infrastructure requirements. The approved Capital Sinking Fund allocation applied to the FY 2021 Paydown Program was $15.5 million, significantly increasing the total available for paydown projects in FY 2021.

In April 2013, the County and School Board formed a joint committee, the Infrastructure Financing Committee (IFC), to collaborate and review both the County and School’s Capital Programs and infrastructure upgrade requirements. One of the recommendations contained in the IFC’s Final Report was the establishment of a capital sinking fund. The Capital Sinking Fund was first funded as part of the FY 2014 Carryover Review. Since then, the Board of Supervisors has approved funding annually at each Carryover Review. The formula for the allocation of these dollars was also approved by the Board and includes 55 percent for FMD, 20 percent for Parks, 10 percent for Walkways, 10 percent for County-owned Roads and 5 percent for revitalization improvements. The allocation percentages are reviewed annually and can be adjusted by the Board to address changes in requirements. A total of $64,767,161 to date has been dedicated to capital sinking funds and allocated for infrastructure replacement and upgrades in the following areas:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY 2022 Capital Construction/Paydown Summary*</th>
<th>Commitments, Contributions, and Facility Maintenance</th>
<th>Paydown</th>
<th>Total General Fund Support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Athletic Field Maintenance and Sports Projects</td>
<td>$5,040,338</td>
<td>$1,700,000</td>
<td>$6,740,338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park Authority Inspections, Maintenance, and Infrastructure Upgrades</td>
<td>$960,000</td>
<td>$1,740,000</td>
<td>$2,700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Initiatives</td>
<td>$1,298,767</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,298,767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revitalization Maintenance</td>
<td>$1,410,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,410,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payments and Contributions/On-going Development Efforts</td>
<td>$4,478,940</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$4,478,940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrades</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADA Improvements</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$350,000</td>
<td>$350,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinvestment, Repair and Emergency Maintenance of County Roads and Walkways</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,500,000</td>
<td>$1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developer Defaults</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total General Fund Support</strong></td>
<td><strong>$13,188,045</strong></td>
<td><strong>$5,490,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$18,678,045</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Reflects General Fund support. Other funding sources such as dedicated revenue and bond funding are not included in these totals.

In April 2013, the County and School Board formed a joint committee, the Infrastructure Financing Committee (IFC), to collaborate and review both the County and School’s Capital Programs and infrastructure upgrade requirements. One of the recommendations contained in the IFC’s Final Report was the establishment of a capital sinking fund. The Capital Sinking Fund was first funded as part of the FY 2014 Carryover Review. Since then, the Board of Supervisors has approved funding annually at each Carryover Review. The formula for the allocation of these dollars was also approved by the Board and includes 55 percent for FMD, 20 percent for Parks, 10 percent for Walkways, 10 percent for County-owned Roads and 5 percent for revitalization improvements. The allocation percentages are reviewed annually and can be adjusted by the Board to address changes in requirements. A total of $64,767,161 to date has been dedicated to capital sinking funds and allocated for infrastructure replacement and upgrades in the following areas:

**Capital Sinking Funds**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commitments, Contributions, and Facility Maintenance</th>
<th>Paydown</th>
<th>Total General Fund Support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Athletic Field Maintenance and Sports Projects</td>
<td>$5,040,338</td>
<td>$1,700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park Authority Inspections, Maintenance, and Infrastructure Upgrades</td>
<td>$960,000</td>
<td>$1,740,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Initiatives</td>
<td>$1,298,767</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revitalization Maintenance</td>
<td>$1,410,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payments and Contributions/On-going Development Efforts</td>
<td>$4,478,940</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrades</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADA Improvements</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$350,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinvestment, Repair and Emergency Maintenance of County Roads and Walkways</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developer Defaults</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total General Fund Support</strong></td>
<td><strong>$13,188,045</strong></td>
<td><strong>$5,490,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Reflects General Fund support. Other funding sources such as dedicated revenue and bond funding are not included in these totals.

In addition to funding approved at budget quarterly reviews, the Board has allocated available year-end funds to the Capital Sinking Fund. The Capital Sinking Fund is populated each year as part of the Carryover Review based on 20 percent of available year-end balances. Funding provides for infrastructure replacement and upgrades, such as replacement roofs, electrical systems, HVAC and reinvestment in trails, pedestrian bridges, and other infrastructure requirements. The approved Capital Sinking Fund allocation applied to the FY 2021 Paydown Program was $15.5 million, significantly increasing the total available for paydown projects in FY 2021.
The Capital Sinking Fund allocations have enabled agencies to continue much of the important annual replacement and upgrade work required for infrastructure and facilities throughout the County. Status updates regarding the use of additional sinking funds are provided to the Board of Supervisors periodically.

In FY 2022, the stormwater service rate is recommended to remain at the FY 2021 approved level of $0.0325 per $100 of assessed real estate value. This Stormwater service district provides a dedicated funding source for both operating and capital project requirements, by levying a service rate per $100 of assessed real estate value. Since the establishment of this service district in FY 2010, staff has made significant progress in the implementation of watershed master plans, public outreach efforts, stormwater monitoring activities, water quality improvements, and flood mitigation. In addition, staff has made progress in operational maintenance programs related to existing storm drainage infrastructure such as stormwater conveyance systems and regulatory requirements. An ultimate rate of $0.0400 per $100 of assessed value has been estimated to be required to fully support the stormwater program in the future; however, staff is currently evaluating the long-term requirements for the program to address other community needs.

Some of the additional community needs under evaluation include debt service to support the Board’s approval of the dredging of Lake Accotink, the anticipation of additional flooding mitigation requirements, and strengthening the role and financial support for the implementation of stormwater requirements associated with FCPS sites under renovation. This enhanced program may require incremental changes to the rate over time and may result in a higher ultimate rate to fully support the program. Staff will be evaluating these requirements, as well as the staffing to support them, and analyzing the impact of increased real estate values and revenue projections on the program.

Shared Use/Co-location Opportunities

Several colocation and shared use projects are now underway, and County and School staff continue to explore future opportunities. A list of future potential shared use facilities has been updated and included in the CIP. This list is sorted by both projected project implementation year (project timeline) and by Supervisory District. Colocation sites offer a way to maximize limited space, locate complementary programs and services together, reduce reliance on leased space, address gaps in service delivery, and improve efficiencies. Additional co-location opportunities are being evaluated and staff will return to the Board of Supervisors with recommendations as opportunities arise. Some of the projects underway include:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FMD</td>
<td>$35,621,941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>$12,953,433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walkways</td>
<td>$7,831,140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads</td>
<td>$5,703,864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revitalization</td>
<td>$2,656,783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total to Date</td>
<td>$64,767,161</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Original Mount Vernon High School:
A variety of programs and functions are being reviewed for possible inclusion in the phased redevelopment of the Original Mount Vernon High School site, as coordinated with the Mount Vernon and Lee District communities. The building was constructed in 1939 and the programming and design phase of the project is underway for the adaptive reuse of the site. The project provides potential opportunities for the community through a wide range of programs and spaces. Immediate occupancy for the use of the gym began in fall 2016. The Fire Marshal and FCPS have occupied a portion of the building since July 2017, to improve service delivery in the South County area, and the Teen/Senior Program from the Gerry Hyland Government Center relocated to the space near the gym in spring 2020.

Lorton Complex:
The expansion and renovation of the existing Lorton Community Library has been designed for co-location with the Lorton Community Center which was approved by the voters as part of the 2016 Human Services and Community Development Bond Referendum. The site will also provide for space associated with the Lorton Community Action Center and the Lorton Senior Center. One site will provide a multiple-agency building, maximizing the use of the space, providing efficiencies, and leveraging synergies among community services.

Kingstowne Complex:
Staff is currently developing a design to co-locate the Franconia Police Station, the Lee District Supervisor’s Office and the Franconia Museum, with the Kingstowne Library, an Active Adult Center and a childcare center into one comprehensive facility. The design will also include garage parking and a County fueling station. The Kingstowne Library and Active Adult Center are currently occupying leased space. The Franconia Police Station and District Supervisor’s Office were approved by the voters as part of the fall 2015 Public Safety Bond Referendum. Co-location of these facilities at this site will support a single, multi-agency building and maximize the use of the space, provide efficiencies, and enhance services for the community. The co-location also allows the Police Station and Supervisor’s Office to stay in place during construction without the need for temporary space or disruptions to operations.

Early Childhood Education Initiatives:
As facilities are renovated or new facilities are planned, staff is evaluating the possibility of including Early Childhood Education space. There are currently plans to include Early Childhood Education space at the Original Mount Vernon High School site and the Kingstowne Complex. Additional sites are being evaluated for Board consideration.

Wastewater and Stormwater Facility:
This co-located facility will combine the functions of the Stormwater Planning Division, Maintenance and Stormwater Management Division, Wastewater Planning and Monitoring Division, and Wastewater Collection Division to maximize efficiencies of all operations. The Maintenance and Stormwater Management Division’s current site is restricted, and the facility is inadequate, outdated, and cannot accommodate the current and future staff required to support the increased scope of the stormwater program. The new facility will also address building and space deficiencies at the current Wastewater Collection facility. It is anticipated that EDA bonds will finance the facility and Stormwater and Wastewater revenues will proportionately provide for the annual debt service requirements. This project is currently in design with construction scheduled to begin in summer 2021.
Other shared complexes in the planning stages include the Reston Town Center North redevelopment, the Judicial Complex redevelopment, the development of the Herndon Monroe Park and Ride/Herndon Monroe Metro Station Garage site, and several sites proposed for Affordable Housing.

In addition to the annual updates to capital projects approved by the Board of Supervisors as part of budget quarterly reviews and minor adjustments to bond project cashflow timelines based on actual project progress, the following changes are noted since the adoption of the FY 2021 – FY 2025 Adopted Capital Improvement Program.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Summary of Changes from FY 2021 CIP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Changes to the FY 2021 CIP</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>General Fund Capital Program</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Self-Supporting Fund Capital Programs</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Police Facility Security</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Judicial Complex Redevelopment</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RTCN Parking Garage</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bond projects moved to 5-year period</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Capital Sinking Fund</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stormwater/Wastewater (SW/WW) Facility</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The CIP evaluation process is evolving to include not only infrastructure conditions, regulatory mandates, and project readiness factors, but also County values which will be identified through the Strategic Planning process and other social factors, such as equity and economic opportunity. County and FCPS staff will continue to identify opportunities for shared space/multi-use facilities and work to strengthen the links between the county-wide strategic planning effort currently underway and its relationship to the CIP. The CIP process will also continue to include the One Fairfax Initiative in all decision making. One Fairfax, a joint social and racial equity policy of the Fairfax County Board of Supervisors and School Board is an important factor in determining future capital projects. Staff is also providing on-going project monitoring and will identify additional project funding which may be required to adjust previously approved budgets for building projects in order to support the Board of Supervisors' updated Sustainable Development Policy requirements.

Finally, the Joint Board of Supervisors /School Board CIP Committee was established to review both County and FCPS CIP information sharing, prioritizations, and planning. This group is comprised of two members of the County Board and two members of the School Board, as well as two ex-officio members from the Planning Commission. It is anticipated that the group will propose recommendations for both Boards to consider when developing future CIPs.
Introduction

Capital improvement programming is a guide toward the efficient and effective provision of public facilities. Programming capital facilities over time can promote better use of the County's limited financial resources and assist in the coordination of public and private development. In addition, the programming process is valuable as a means of coordinating and taking advantage of joint planning and development of facilities where possible. By looking beyond year-to-year budgeting and projecting what, where, when and how capital investments should be made, capital programming enables public organizations to maintain an effective level of service for both the present and future population.

The Capital Improvement Program ("CIP")

The result of this continuing programming process is the Capital Improvement Program - the CIP - which is the County's five-year roadmap for creating, maintaining, and funding present and future infrastructure requirements. The Capital Improvement Program addresses the County's needs relating to the acquisition, expansion and rehabilitation of facilities and systems. The CIP serves as a planning instrument to identify needed capital projects and coordinate the financing and timing of improvements in a way that maximizes the return to the public. It provides a planned and programmed approach to utilizing the County's financial resources in the most responsive and efficient manner to meet its service and facility needs. It serves as a "blueprint" for the future of the community and is a dynamic tool, not a static document.

The underlying strategy of the CIP is to plan for land acquisition, construction, and maintenance of public facilities necessary for the safe and efficient provision of public services in accordance with broad policies and objectives adopted in the County's Comprehensive Plan. A critical element of a balanced CIP is the provision of funds to both preserve or enhance existing facilities and provide new assets to respond to changing service needs and community growth. While the program serves as a long-range plan, it is reviewed and revised annually based on current circumstances and opportunities. Priorities may be changed due to funding opportunities or circumstances that cause a more rapid deterioration of an asset. Projects may be revised for significant costing variances.

The CIP is primarily a planning document. As such, it is subject to change each year as the needs of the community become more defined and projects move closer to final implementation. The adoption of the Capital Improvement Program is neither a commitment to a particular project nor a limitation to a particular cost. As a basic tool for scheduling anticipated capital projects and capital financing, the CIP is a key element in planning and controlling future debt service requirements. For this reason, the CIP includes some projects where needs have been defined, but specific solutions or funding amounts have not been identified.

When adopted, the CIP provides the framework for the County Executive, the Planning Commission, and the Board of Supervisors for managing bond sales, and conducting project and investment planning. Fairfax County's CIP includes not only a 5-year plan but a future outlook that includes a glance at the potential long-term requirements beyond the current 5-year period.

Fairfax County, Virginia: FY 2022 – FY 2026 Advertised CIP - 1
CIP Linkages

The comprehensive capital project planning process has three essential components:

- The Comprehensive Plan (Long-term Element, 20-25 years)
- The Capital Improvement Program (Mid-term Element, 5-10 years)
- The Capital Budget (Short-term Element, 1 year)

The Comprehensive Plan is a component of the planning process, or a generalized model of the future, that expresses policy directions for a 20-25 year period. The Comprehensive Plan and the CIP are mutually supportive - the Plan identifies those areas suitable for development, as well as the public investment they will require, and the CIP translates those requirements into capital projects designed to support the goals and policies of the Comprehensive Plan. This ensures that necessary public facilities are planned in a time frame concurrent with private development. By providing a realistic schedule for the provision of facilities, orderly development in the best interests of the citizens of Fairfax County can be achieved.

Many projects recommended for implementation in the Plan are not included in the five-year CIP period, but may be incorporated into the CIP as existing needs are met and additional growth occurs. The extent to which growth either does or does not occur in a given area will influence both the timing and scope of capital projects. While it is a desired goal to minimize public facility deficiencies, it is equally desirable that only those projects with an identified need be constructed.

The Annual Capital Budget serves to appropriate funds for specific facilities, equipment, and improvements. For projects supported by the General Fund, the first year included in the CIP reflects the approved annual capital budget funding level. Funding for subsequent years in the program are included for planning purposes only and do not receive ultimate expenditure authority until they are analyzed and incorporated into the annual Capital Budget and approved by the Board of Supervisors. In general, General Obligation Bond funded projects and projects supported by other financing, are reflected in the 5-year program with the cashflow required for spending reflected in each year. The CIP is a “rolling” process and subsequent year items in the CIP are evaluated annually and advanced each fiscal year.

The Legal Basis for the CIP

The CIP is prepared pursuant to Article 5 of Section 15.2-2239 of the Code of Virginia, as amended, which reads:

“A local planning commission may, and at the direction of the governing body shall, prepare and revise annually a capital improvement program based on the comprehensive plan of the locality for a period not to exceed the ensuing five years. The commission shall submit the program annually to the governing body, or to the chief administrative officer or other official charged with preparation of the budget for the locality, at such time as it or he shall direct. The capital improvement program shall include the commission’s recommendations and estimates of cost of the facilities and the means of financing them, to be undertaken in the ensuing fiscal year and in a period not to exceed the next four years, as the basis of the capital budget for the locality. In the preparation of its capital budget recommendations, the commission shall consult with the chief administrative officer or other executive head of the government of the locality, the heads of departments and interested citizens and organizations and shall hold such public hearings as it deems necessary.”
The capital program and budget are the result of an ongoing infrastructure planning process. Infrastructure planning decisions must be made with regard to both existing and new facilities and equipment. For existing facilities, the planning process addresses appropriate capital renewal strategies and repair-versus-replacement of facilities. New service demands are also considered as they often affect capital facility requirements. Planning for the five-year Capital Improvement Program period, and the subsequent five years, includes linking the Public Facilities Plan portion of the Comprehensive Plan to the capital requirements, conducting needs assessments and allowing for flexibility to take advantage of opportunities for capital investment.

Recommendations for the appropriate funding and phasing of projects are coordinated with the respective agencies and the County Executive’s Office, and an Advertised Program is developed. The Advertised Capital Improvement Program is presented to the Fairfax County Planning Commission in March, at which time a workshop with agencies and public hearings are held. After completing its review of the Advertised Program, the Planning Commission forwards its recommendations to the Board of Supervisors for consideration. The Board of Supervisors holds public hearings on the Advertised CIP, concurrent with the County’s Annual Budget hearings, then adjusts and adopts the Program in April.
A CIP Review team is responsible annually for reviewing capital project requests and providing recommendations to the County Executive. This team is comprised of technical staff from the Office of the County Executive, the Department of Management and Budget, the Department of Planning and Development, and the Department of Public Works and Environmental Services. This team also conducts an in-depth analysis of the impact of the Capital Program on cash flow and bonding requirements, as well as the County’s ability to finance, process, design, and ultimately maintain projects. The team meets regularly throughout the year.

The overall goal of the CIP Review Team is to develop CIP recommendations that:

- Preserve the past, by investing in the continued upgrade of County assets and infrastructure;
- Protect the present with improvements to County facilities; and
- Plan for the future.

Projects most often are forwarded to the team by a sponsoring department, which is responsible for their implementation. In proposing a five-year capital plan, the CIP Team considers the feasibility of all proposed capital projects by evaluating their necessity, priority, location, cost, and method of financing, availability of federal and state aid and the necessary investment in the County’s infrastructure. The CIP is developed annually using the following 10 Principles of Capital Improvement Planning.

1. The Board of Supervisors’ goals and the adopted Comprehensive Plan, specifically the Land Use Plan and the Policy Plan, are the basis for capital planning in Fairfax County. The Capital Improvement Program (CIP) shall execute the goals and objectives of the adopted Comprehensive Plan for Fairfax County.

2. Pursuant to Section 15.2-2239 of the Code of Virginia, the Planning Commission shall review and recommend annually the County’s Capital Improvement Program based on the adopted Comprehensive Plan for the consideration of the governing body. Public participation in the CIP process is essential and shall continue to be encouraged.

3. Criteria consistent with the Comprehensive Plan, and with the principles stated herein, shall be established to guide the selection and prioritization of CIP projects.

4. The development of the CIP shall be guided by the principles of life cycle planning to ensure that long-term maintenance, renewal, and replacement requirements are adequately addressed to protect the County's investment and maximize the useful life of facilities. The County shall allocate an appropriate amount of its general operating, special revenue, enterprise, and other funds to finance ongoing infrastructure maintenance, renewal, and replacement of facilities. Facilities are defined to include all fixed installations constructed and/or maintained with public funds, including buildings and structures, utilities, and related improvements.

5. The CIP shall include the fiscal impact of each project and identify unfunded capital requirements to adequately anticipate resource requirements and capacity to provide services beyond the planning period.

6. The CIP shall support the County’s efforts to promote economic vitality and high quality of life. The CIP should recognize the revenue generating and/or cost avoiding value of making public
infrastructure improvements to spur private reinvestment and revitalization in support of County land use policy.

7. The CIP shall support the County’s efforts to encourage the development of affordable and effective multi-use public facilities as feasible.

8. The CIP shall be developed to provide facilities that are cost effective, consistent with appropriate best practice standards, community standards and expectations of useful life.

9. The County will endeavor to execute the projects as approved and scheduled in the CIP. Value Engineering principles will continue to be applied to appropriate capital projects. Changes in project scope, cost and scheduling will be subject to close scrutiny.

10. The CIP shall be guided by the County’s adopted Ten Principles of Sound Financial Management.

Capital Project Evaluation Questions

Project Urgency
- What are the most urgent projects and why?
- Is the project needed to respond to state or federal mandates?
- Will the project improve unsatisfactory environmental, health and safety conditions?
- What will happen if the project is not built?
- Does the project accommodate increases in demand for service?

Project Readiness
- Are project-related research and planning completed?
- Are all approvals, permits or similar requirements ready?
- Have affected citizens received notice and briefings?
- Are the appropriate departments ready to move on the project?
- Is the project compatible with the implementation of the other proposed projects?

Project Phasing
- Is the project suitable for separating into different phases?
- Is the project timing affected because funds are not readily available from outside sources?
- Does the project have a net impact on the operating budget and on which Fiscal Years?
- Does the project preserve previous capital investments or restore a capital facility to adequate operating condition?

Planning Questions
- Is the project consistent with the Comprehensive Plan?
- Can projects of similar use or purpose be co-located at one location?
- Does the project increase the efficiency of the service delivery?
- What are the number and types of persons likely to benefit from the project?
- Will any groups be adversely affected by the project?
- What geographic areas does the project serve?
- Does the project promote equity?
As capital projects are identified, the above evaluation questions are used as an assessment tool in concert with the Criteria for Recommending Future Capital Projects regarding the immediate, near term, long term, or future timing of project implementation.

**Criteria for Recommending Capital Projects**

The following criteria are intended to guide decision making and may be adjusted as necessary. All capital projects must support the goals established by the Board of Supervisors and the adopted Comprehensive Plan and conform to specified standards mentioned in the Plan. Other County or best practice standards may be cited so long as they are not in conflict with the Comprehensive Plan or Board directives. Projects are categorized based on priority and recommended for appropriate funding sources (i.e., general funds, bonds, special revenue funds) according to their criticality or other standards as recommended by the staff, Board of Supervisors, School Board, Planning Commission, or other advisory body. Actual project commencement and completion are subject to identification of resources and annual appropriation by the Board of Supervisors.

**Near Term**

Projects are anticipated to be moved to the 5-year plan within 1–3 years. Many of these projects are scheduled for funding as part of the County Bond Referendum Plan. Examples of such projects may exhibit the following criteria:

- Eliminate an immediate threat to personal and public safety.
- Alleviate immediate threats to property or the environment.
- Respond to a court order or comply with approved Federal or State legislation.
- Have significant Federal/State commitment or significant private sector investment.
- Preserve existing resources or realize significant return on investment.
- Preserve previous capital investment or restore capital facilities to adequate operating condition.
- Respond to Federal or State mandates in compliance with extended implementation schedules.
- Generate significant revenue, are self-supporting or generate cost avoidance (return on investment and/or improved efficiency).
- Alleviate existing overcrowded conditions that directly contribute to the deterioration of quality public services.
- Generate private reinvestment and revitalization.
- Have significant public expectations as demonstrated by development proffers or other Board action.
- Support the County's efforts to encourage development of affordable and effective multi-use public facilities.
- Promote equity.

**Long Term**

Projects may be moved to the 5 Year plan within 4–5 years. Some of these projects are scheduled in the County Bond Referendum Plan and some are included in the Future Projects Lists and Details. Examples of such projects may exhibit the following criteria:

- Accommodate projected increases in demand for public services and facilities.
- Maintain support for public services identified by citizens or appointed Boards and Commissions as a priority in furtherance of the goals and objectives established by the Comprehensive Plan.
- Meet new program goals or respond to new technology.
- Fulfill long term plans to preserve capital investments.
One Fairfax

The CIP evaluation process is evolving to include not only infrastructure conditions, regulatory mandates, and project readiness factors, but County values which will be identified through the Strategic Planning process and other social factors, such as equity and economic opportunity. The CIP project prioritization process will strive to include the One Fairfax Initiative in all decision making.

One Fairfax is a joint social and racial equity policy of the Fairfax County Board of Supervisors and School Board. It commits the county and schools to intentionally consider equity when making policies or delivering programs and services. It's a declaration that all residents deserve an equitable opportunity to succeed—regardless of their race, color, sex, nationality, sexual orientation, religion, disability, income or where they live. Each Fairfax County Government agency has established committees comprised of employees who are committed to applying an equity lens to all programs across the County.

Fairfax County Draft Strategic Plan

County staff have been engaged in a strategic planning effort to focus on community-based outcomes and priorities, and to “Shape the Future Together.” The countywide strategic planning effort is critical for the future to focus County priorities, addressing the needs of our growing community, changing our directed service delivery model and balancing finances. The community strategic plan approach, which clearly defines and measures goals, supplemented by a renewed focus on data, will be designed to frame the conversations about future opportunities. The development of the Budget and the CIP will support the mission of the strategic plan and support a more enhanced alignment of resources and strategies. It is envisioned that Fairfax County will be guided by a set of overarching principles which will influence how the county makes decisions, sets policies, allocates resources, implements strategies, and measures results. The six guiding principles proposed include: Access, Affordability, Collaboration and Engagement, Innovation, Placemaking, and Sustainability. These guiding principles will help achieve the nine priority area outcomes outlined in this strategic plan. The nine priority areas proposed in draft form are as follows:

- Cultural and Recreational Opportunities
- Economic Opportunity
- Effective and Efficient Government
- Empowerment and Support for Residents Facing Vulnerability
- Health and Environment
- Housing and Neighborhood Livability
- Lifelong Education and Learning
- Mobility and Transportation
- Safety and Security
The Infrastructure Financing Committee (IFC), a joint School Board/County Board Committee, established in April 2013, approved the use of common definitions for various capital projects, operational maintenance, and infrastructure replacement and upgrades. These definitions are used in the development of both the County and FCPS Capital Improvement Programs.

**Operations and Maintenance**

The recurring, day-to-day, periodic, or scheduled maintenance/repairs required to preserve, control deterioration, and provide for the basic operation of a facility. This type of maintenance is routine, recurring, and is based on frequency schedules, responding to service requests, or through periodic inspection and correction efforts. Operations and Maintenance efforts are an essential part of the ongoing care and up-keep of any facility. Operations and Maintenance is typically funded through operational budgets. Examples of Operations and Maintenance include:

- Janitorial – custodial services, trash removal
- Electrical – power malfunctions, replacement light bulbs, elevator/escalator repairs
- Plumbing – dripping faucets, clogged pipes
- Painting – painting walls
- Carpentry – broken doors, ceiling tile replacement, replacement windows
- Mechanical systems – replacing filters, belts on HVAC equipment
- Replacement – gym floors, carpet tiles, roof top HVAC components, field lighting
- Upgrades – some improvements to meet American with Disability Act (ADA) standards

**Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrades**

Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrades refers to the planned replacement of building subsystems that have reached the end of their useful life. These systems, once replaced, will have an average life cycle of 20 years or more. Without significant reinvestment in facility subsystems, older facilities can fall into a state of ever-decreasing condition and functionality, and the maintenance and repair costs necessary to operate the facilities increase. Currently these types of Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrades are funded within operational budgets or financed using municipal bonds. Examples of Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrades include:

- Roof Replacement
- Electrical System Replacement
- HVAC Replacements
- Plumbing Systems Replacements
- Replacement Windows
- Parking Lot Resurfacing
- Fire Alarm System Replacements
- Sprinkler Systems
- Emergency Generator Replacements
- Elevator Replacement
Renovations
Renovations are performed on a facility in order to replace all subsystems which have outlived their useful life, as well as alter, modernize, expand, or remodel the existing space. Renovations also may improve or modernize the operations and functions of the facility and bring it up to current code standards. Renovations are typically financed through municipal bonds.

New Construction
New Construction refers to the construction of a new facility or expansion of an existing facility with no other renovation work performed on the existing building. New Construction is typically financed through municipal bonds.

Current Project Lists
The CIP includes a comprehensive listing of all projects contained in the 5-Year CIP Period. The lists of projects in the 5-Year CIP Period are available by function and by Supervisor District.

Public Private Partnerships
This section of the Capital Improvement Program (CIP) consolidates all projects partially or wholly financed through partnership agreements. Most of these partnerships are with private entities, however, some may include regional, state, or federal partners. The capital facilities referred to in this section represent multiple program areas but may not have been previously included in the CIP, as one of the advantages of the Public Private Partnership (P3) process is to accomplish the construction of needed facilities sooner than the normal process and funding availability would allow. Procurements involving some form of partnership with private or public entities have provided great benefits to the County in education, transportation, public safety, and other functions. Projects with these types of partnerships are presented in this section to provide a more comprehensive view of partnership activity in the County. Since the passage of the Public Private Partnership for Educational Facilities and Infrastructure Act (PPEA) in 2002, the County has been engaged in various analyses and negotiations of significant capital projects, both solicited and unsolicited.

Countywide Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrades
This section provides a compilation of the Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrade requirements associated with the various program areas contained in the Capital Improvement Program (CIP). Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrades is the planned replacement of building subsystems such as roofs, electrical systems, HVAC systems and plumbing systems that have reached the end of their useful life. As the County infrastructure ages, more frequent replacement and upgrades are required. Fairfax County’s inventory of infrastructure includes not only government buildings, but housing units, miles of walkways and sewer pipe and many facilities such as residential facilities and fire stations that operate 24/7, 365 days per year. Infrastructure replacement and upgrades are prioritized based on life safety concerns, repair history and availability of replacement parts.

Environmental Sustainability
This section describes Environmental Improvement Projects approved as part of the Environmental Improvement Program (EIP), projects approved as part of the Board of Supervisors’ Operational Energy Strategy and the on-going sustainability policy for building construction.

Components of the CIP

Capital Improvement Programming
Functional Program Areas
Each functional area contains program goals. Within most functional area, separate sections denote current initiatives and issues, links to the Comprehensive Plan and specific project descriptions. Because of the length of time required to plan, design and construct capital projects, the CIP encompasses historic and anticipated future costs for each project. Specifically identified are the costs for the current fiscal year (the Capital Budget), and anticipated costs for each of the four succeeding fiscal years. These five years, the current budgeted or expended amounts, and the anticipated future costs, provide the total estimated cost of each project. Following the project descriptions and justification statements, a cost summary chart has been included which depicts each project’s timeline. These funding schedules indicate the total cost of each project and the amounts scheduled over the five-year CIP period. In addition, these tables show the source of funding for each project. Many of the functional program areas also contain a County map that identifies locations for those projects with a selected or fixed site.

Future Project Lists and Details
The CIP also includes a listing of future potential projects “Beyond the 5-Year CIP Period”. Lists are also available by function and by Supervisor District. For each potential project beyond the 5-year period, a Project Detail Sheet has been developed which includes the description and justification for the project, and potential operational costs (if known). These Detail Sheets include cost estimates which are based on preliminary project descriptions provided by the requesting agency, and include all estimated costs for land acquisition, permits and inspections, project management and project engineering, consultant design, construction, utilities, fixed equipment, and information technology infrastructure. No preliminary scoping and concept work has been completed for these projects and estimates are often estimated in today’s dollars. Therefore, each estimate is considered an “Estimate - No Scope, No Inflation” (ENSNI).

Potential Shared-Use Opportunities List
This list is provided to facilitate the planning of potential shared Fairfax County Public School (FCPS) and Fairfax County Government facilities. Lists are available by Supervisory District and by year.

Operational Budget Impacts of the CIP
This section of the CIP provides rough estimates for operational costs associated with current and future CIP projects. These estimates are in FY 2022 dollars, with no inflation applied. It is anticipated that all of these budget estimates will be reviewed in more detail as facility conceptual designs are completed.
Capital Improvement Programming

The following diagram depicts the evolution of a capital project from inception to approval in the CIP, to construction completion. Project concepts and facility planning are developed in response to need and identification in the Comprehensive Plan. In addition, projects can be initiated by citizen groups or the Board of Supervisors. Capital project requests are submitted by County agencies and reviewed by the CIP team, the County Executive, the Planning Commission, and the Board of Supervisors. Once a project has been included in the CIP and approved in the annual budget the following phases are executed:

- **Project Development**: The County identifies project requirements and defines a project's work scope by conducting feasibility studies, data collection, preliminary design, cost estimates and assessments of alternatives.

- **Land Acquisition**: Alternative sites are evaluated, and acquisition of land occurs. Costs incurred include purchase, easements, and right-of-way costs. This can also include surveys, appraisals, environmental audits, permitting, legal costs, maps, charts, aerial photography, and other costs.

- **Design Phases – Architectural Consulting, Schematic Design and Design Development**: Programmatic review and negotiations are conducted with architectural consultants. The design of the project is initiated in accordance with the scope of work set forth in the project development phase. These phases include professional consultant work, legal and technical documentation, constructability review, data collection, advertising, assessment of alternatives related to project design, construction management services and bid reviews. Before construction can occur, many projects will require review at various County levels to establish the extent and exact location of the facility. This review in some cases involves a public hearing before the County Planning Commission pursuant to Section 15.2-2232 of the Code of Virginia, as amended, to determine whether the project is substantially in accord with the County’s adopted Comprehensive Plan. After this review stage the specific requirements and details of each project can be finalized. Also, in order to place heightened awareness on security, an inter-departmental team is identified to provide guidance on security issues at proposed new County facilities. As such, the principals of Crime Prevention through Environmental Design are applied in the design phase of all future County facilities.

- **Construction Phases**: This includes all construction related tasks required to place a project in service. This may include final design, project construction contracts, professional and technical assistance, advertising, legal and technical documentation costs, inspection, testing, permitting and utility coordination. At the conclusion of these phases, the project is complete and ready for operation.

County staff administer all of these project phases including in-house project management, contract supervision, technical reviews, construction management, construction inspection, technical specifications, surveying and mapping.
Capital Improvement Program
Evolution of Projects

**Project Concepts Developed in Response to Need Identification**
- Comprehensive Plan
- Land Use Characteristics
- Program Development
- Mandates
- Board Initiatives
- Citizen Initiatives

**Preliminary Evaluation**
- Development of Facility Requirements
- Preliminary Cost Estimate
- Inter-agency Coordination

**Under Review**
- County Executive
- Planning Commission
- BOS

**Competition for Resources**

**Included in Approved 5-Year CIP Period**

**Project Development**
- Feasibility Study
- Project Scoping
- Site Selection

**Land Acquisition**
- Location
- Acreage

**Architectural Consultant**
- Negotiations
- Programmatic Review
- Contract Award

**Schematic Design**
- Conceptual Drawings
- Zoning
- Public Hearings

**Design Development**
- Value Engineering
- Coordination with County Agencies

**Construction Documents**
- Detail Design
- Permit Application
- Utility Coordination

**Bid Advertisement**
- Construction
- Warranty Period
- Project Completion
The FY 2022-2026 Capital Improvement Program (CIP) represents the best estimate of new and existing project funding required over the next five years. The CIP continues the scheduling of those projects included in the FY 2021 Adopted Program and ensures that the ultimate completion of high priority projects is consistent with the County’s fiscal policies and guidelines. A summary table of the entire program showing the five-year costs by each functional CIP area is included in Table A of this section. The entire CIP, including all program areas, totals $11.336 billion, including $10.459 billion in County managed projects and $0.877 billion in Non-County managed projects. Non-County projects include the Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority Program and the Water Supply Program. The entire $11.336 billion program includes, $1.828 billion budgeted or anticipated to be expended through FY 2021, $6.508 billion scheduled over the FY 2022 – FY 2026 period, and $3.000 billion projected in the FY 2027 – FY 2031 period.

The development of the FY 2022 capital program has been guided by both the need for capital improvements and fiscal conditions. The five-year program is funded from General Obligation Bond sales, pay-as-you-go or current year financing from the General Fund (paydown), as well as other sources of financing such as federal funds, revenue bonds and sewer system revenues.

The project descriptions contained in the CIP reflect current estimates of total project costs, including land acquisition, building specifications and design. As implementation of each project nears the capital budget year, these costs are more specifically defined. In some cases, total project costs cannot be listed or identified in the CIP until certain feasibility or cost studies are completed.

The CIP is governed by the Ten Principles of Sound Financial Management adopted by the Board of Supervisors. These principles endorse a set of policies designed to contribute to the County's fiscal management and maintain the County's "triple A" bond rating. The County has maintained its superior rating in large part due to its firm adherence to these policies. The County's exceptional "triple A" bond rating gives its bonds an unusually high level of marketability and results in the County being able to borrow for needed capital improvements at low interest rates, thus realizing significant savings now and in the future for the citizens of Fairfax County. The County's fiscal policies stress the close relationship between the planning and budgetary process.

The policy guidelines enumerated in the Ten Principles of Sound Financial Management also express the intent of the Board of Supervisors to encourage greater industrial development in the County and to minimize the issuance of underlying indebtedness by towns and districts located within the County. It is County policy to balance the need for public facilities, as expressed by the countywide land use plan, with the fiscal capacity of the County to provide for those needs. The CIP, submitted annually to the Board of Supervisors, is the vehicle through which the stated need for public facilities is analyzed against the County’s ability to pay and stay within its self-imposed debt guidelines as articulated in the Ten Principles of Sound Financial Management. The CIP is supported...
largely through long-term borrowing that is budgeted annually in debt service or from General Fund revenues on a pay-as-you-go basis.

Several relationships between debt, expenditures, and the tax base have been developed by the municipal finance community. The two which are given particular emphasis are the ratio of expenditures for debt service to total General Fund disbursements and the ratio of net debt to the market value of taxable property. The former indicates the level of present (and future) expenditures necessary to support past borrowing while the latter ratio gives an indication of a municipality's ability to generate sufficient revenue to retire its existing (and projected) debt. These ratios have been incorporated into the Ten Principles of Sound Financial Management. Both of these guidelines—net debt to market value to be below 3 percent and debt service to General Fund disbursements to be below 10 percent—are fully recognized by the proposed 5-year CIP.

The following graphic and charts reflect the County's ability to maintain the self-imposed debt ratios outlined in the Ten Principles of Sound Financial Management. The debt service is a percentage of market value remains well below the 3 percent guideline. The below graph shows that the ratio of debt service to General Fund disbursements remains below 10 percent and is projected to be maintained at this level.
Fiscal Policies and Summary Charts

Net Debt as a Percentage of Market Value of Taxable Property

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Net Bonded Indebtedness⁴</th>
<th>Estimated Market Value⁵</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$2,918,416,000</td>
<td>$253,512,049,641</td>
<td>1.15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>2,889,935,000</td>
<td>262,356,806,422</td>
<td>1.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>2,887,545,000</td>
<td>271,808,067,475</td>
<td>1.06%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021 (Est.)</td>
<td>3,002,395,000</td>
<td>280,879,545,191</td>
<td>1.07%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022 (Est.)</td>
<td>3,249,980,000</td>
<td>288,177,804,069</td>
<td>1.07%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ The amount includes outstanding General Obligation Bonds and other tax supported debt obligations. Sources: FY 2018 to FY 2020 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report and Fairfax County Department of Tax Administration; FY 2021 and FY 2022 Fairfax County Department of Management and Budget and Department of Tax Administration.

² Source: Fairfax County Department of Tax Administration and the Department of Management and Budget.

Debt Service Requirements as Percentage of Combined General Fund Disbursements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Debt Service Requirements¹</th>
<th>General Fund Disbursements²</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$337,076,503</td>
<td>$4,112,554,168</td>
<td>8.20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>345,310,490</td>
<td>4,300,483,841</td>
<td>8.03%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>332,256,636</td>
<td>4,449,864,870</td>
<td>7.47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021 (Est.)</td>
<td>353,191,344</td>
<td>4,708,954,562</td>
<td>7.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022 (Est.)</td>
<td>353,113,315</td>
<td>4,488,427,184</td>
<td>7.87%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ The amount includes total principal and interest payments on the County’s outstanding tax supported debt obligations, including General Obligation Bonds, Economic Development Authority bonds, and other tax supported debt obligations budgeted in other funds. Sources: FY 2018 to FY 2020 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report; FY 2021 and FY 2022 Fairfax County Department of Management and Budget. The FY 2020 actual reflects a notable decrease due to the final payoff of two outstanding County debt issuances (Herrity and Pennino Administrative buildings and Capital Renewal loan).

² Sources: FY 2018 to FY 2020 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report; FY 2021 and FY 2022 Fairfax County Department of Management and Budget. The FY 2020 actual and FY 2021 estimate reflect the inclusion of County’s respective expenses and remaining appropriation of its $200.2 million in federal stimulus CARES Coronavirus Relief Funds.

From time to time, the Board of Supervisors has amended the Ten Principles of Sound Financial Management in order to address changing economic conditions and management practices. The following includes the most current version of the Ten Principles of Sound Financial Management as of April 24, 2018:

1. **Planning Policy.** The planning system in the County will continue as a dynamic process, which is synchronized with the capital improvement program, capital budget and operating budget. The County’s land use plans shall not be allowed to become static. There will continue to be periodic reviews of the plans at least every five years. Small area plans shall not be modified without consideration of contiguous plans. The Capital Improvement Program will be structured to implement plans for new and expanded capital facilities as contained in the County’s Comprehensive Plan and other facility plans. The Capital Improvement Program will also include support for periodic reinvestment in aging capital and technology infrastructure sufficient to ensure no loss of service and continued safety of operation.
2. **Annual Budget Plans.** Annual budgets shall continue to show fiscal restraint. Annual budgets will be balanced between projected total funds available and total disbursements including established reserves.

   a. A Managed Reserve shall be maintained in the General Fund at a level sufficient to provide for temporary financing of critical unforeseen disbursements of a catastrophic emergency nature. The reserve will be maintained at a level of not less than four percent of total General Fund disbursements in any given fiscal year.

   b. A Revenue Stabilization Fund (RSF) shall be maintained in addition to the managed reserve at a level sufficient to permit orderly adjustment to changes resulting from curtailment of revenue. This Fund shall be maintained at five percent of total General Fund disbursements in any given fiscal year. Use of the RSF should only occur in times of severe economic stress. Accordingly, a withdrawal from the RSF will not be made unless the projected revenues reflect a decrease of more than 1.5 percent from the current year estimate and any such withdrawal may not exceed one half of the RSF fund balance in that year. A drawdown of this Fund should be accompanied with expenditure reductions.

   c. An Economic Opportunity Reserve shall be established in addition to the Managed Reserve and the Revenue Stabilization Fund. This reserve is meant to stimulate economic growth and will provide for strategic investment opportunities that are identified as priorities by the Board of Supervisors. When fully funded, this reserve will equal one percent of total General Fund disbursements in any given fiscal year. Funding for this reserve would only occur after the Managed Reserve and the Revenue Stabilization Fund are fully funded at their new levels of four percent and five percent, respectively. Criteria for funding, utilization, and replenishment of the reserve will be developed and presented to the Board of Supervisors for approval. The criteria for use will include financial modeling analysis (e.g. cost-benefit, etc.) to determine the fiscal impact to the County of the proposed investment opportunity and will require approval from the Board of Supervisors for any use.

   d. Budgetary adjustments which propose to use available general funds identified at quarterly reviews should be minimized to address only critical issues. The use of non-recurring funds should only be directed to capital expenditures to the extent possible.

   e. The budget shall include funds for cyclic and scheduled replacement or rehabilitation of equipment and other property in order to minimize disruption of budgetary planning from irregularly scheduled monetary demands.

3. **Cash Balances.** It is imperative that positive cash balances exist in the General Fund at the end of each fiscal year. If an operating deficit appears to be forthcoming in the current fiscal year wherein total disbursements will exceed the total funds available, the Board will take appropriate action to balance revenues and expenditures as necessary so as to end each fiscal year with a positive cash balance.

4. **Debt Ratios.** The County’s debt ratios shall be maintained at the following levels:

   a. Net debt as a percentage of estimated market value shall be less than 3 percent.

   b. Debt service expenditures as a percentage of General Fund disbursements shall not exceed 10 percent. The County will continue to emphasize pay-as-you-go capital financing. Financing capital projects from current revenues is indicative of the County’s intent to use purposeful restraint in incurring long-term debt.
c. For planning purposes annual bond sales shall be structured such that the County’s debt burden shall not exceed the 3 and 10 percent limits. To that end sales of General Obligation Bonds and general obligation supported debt will be managed so as not to exceed a target of $300 million per year, or $1.500 billion over five years, with a technical limit of $325 million in any given year. Excluded from this cap are refunding bonds, revenue bonds or other non-General Fund supported debt.

d. For purposes of this principle, debt of the General Fund incurred subject to annual appropriation shall be treated on a par with general obligation debt and included in the calculation of debt ratio limits. Excluded from the cap are leases secured by equipment, operating leases, and capital leases with no net impact to the General Fund.

e. Use of variable rate debt is authorized in order to increase the County’s financial flexibility, provide opportunities for interest rate savings, and help the County manage its balance sheet through better matching of assets and liabilities. Debt policies shall stipulate that variable rate debt is appropriate to use when it achieves a specific objective consistent with the County’s overall financial strategies; however, the County must determine if the use of any such debt is appropriate and warranted given the potential benefit, risks, and objectives of the County. The County will not use variable rate debt solely for the purpose of earning arbitrage pending the disbursement of bond proceeds.

f. For purposes of this principle, payments for equipment or other business property, except real estate, purchased through long-term lease-purchase payment plans secured by the equipment will be considered to be operating expenses of the County. Annual General Fund payments for such leases shall not exceed 3 percent of the annual General Fund disbursements, net of the School transfer. Annual equipment lease-purchase payments by the Schools and other governmental entities of the County should not exceed 3 percent of their respective disbursements.

5. **Cash Management.** The County’s cash management policies shall reflect a primary focus of ensuring the safety of public assets while maintaining needed liquidity and achieving a favorable return on investment. These policies have been certified by external professional review as fully conforming to the recognized best practices in the industry. As an essential element of a sound and professional financial management process, the policies and practices of this system shall receive the continued support of all County agencies and component units.

6. **Internal Controls.** A comprehensive system of financial internal controls shall be maintained in order to protect the County’s assets and sustain the integrity of the County’s financial systems. Managers at all levels shall be responsible for implementing sound controls and for regularly monitoring and measuring their effectiveness.

7. **Performance Measurement.** To ensure Fairfax County remains a high performing organization all efforts shall be made to improve the productivity of the County’s programs and its employees through performance measurement. The County is committed to continuous improvement of productivity and service through analysis and measurement of actual performance objectives and customer feedback.

8. **Reducing Duplication.** A continuing effort shall be made to reduce duplicative functions within the County government and its autonomous and semi-autonomous agencies, particularly those that receive appropriations from the General Fund. To that end, business process redesign and reorganization will be encouraged whenever increased efficiency or effectiveness can be demonstrated.
9. **Underlying Debt and Moral Obligations.** Debt related to but not directly supported by the County’s General Fund shall be closely monitored and controlled to the extent possible, including revenue bonds of agencies supported by the General Fund, the use of the County’s moral obligation, and underlying debt.

   a. A moral obligation exists when the Board of Supervisors has made a commitment to support the debt of another jurisdiction to prevent a potential default, and the County is not otherwise responsible or obligated to pay the annual debt service. The County’s moral obligation will be authorized only under the most controlled circumstances and secured by extremely tight covenants to protect the credit of the County. The County’s moral obligation shall only be used to enhance the credit worthiness of an agency of the County or regional partnership for an essential project, and only after the most stringent safeguards have been employed to reduce the risk and protect the financial integrity of the County.

   b. Underlying debt includes tax-supported debt issued by towns or districts in the County, which debt is not an obligation of the County, but nevertheless adds to the debt burden of the taxpayers within those jurisdictions in the County. The issuance of underlying debt, insofar as it is under the control of the Board of Supervisors, will be carefully analyzed for fiscal soundness, the additional burden placed on taxpayers, and the potential risk to the General Fund for any explicit or implicit moral obligation.

10. **Diversified Economy.** Fairfax County must continue to diversify its economic base by encouraging commercial and, in particular, industrial employment and associated revenues. Such business and industry must be in accord with the plans and ordinances of the County.

---

**Financing the CIP**

There are a number of funding sources available for financing the proposed capital program. These range from direct County contributions such as the General Fund and bond sale proceeds to state and federal grants. In the CIP project tables the following major funding sources are identified:

**Sources of Funding**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Payments from the proceeds of the sale of General Obligation Bonds. These bonds must be authorized at referendum by County voters and pledge the full faith and credit of the County to their repayment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Direct payment from current County revenues; General Fund.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Payments from state or direct state participation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Federal grants in aid for specific projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR</td>
<td>Sewer Revenues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Special Service District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTF</td>
<td>Housing Trust Funds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>Other sources of funding, such as a reimbursable contribution or a gift</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>Undetermined, funding to be identified</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The County has developed a policy of funding major facility projects through the sale of General Obligation Bonds. This allows the cost of the facility to be spread over a number of years so that each generation of taxpayers contributes a proportionate share for the use of these long-term investments. By selectively utilizing bond financing, the County has also been able to benefit from its preferred borrowing status to minimize the impacts of inflation on construction costs. As shown in Table C, the 20-year History of Referenda, past County referenda have focused primarily on new construction. As the County ages, the focus has shifted to renovation and expansion projects. Table D in this section includes the current bond referenda approved by the voters for specific functional areas.

Table E represents the debt capacity affordable within the constraints of declining revenue projections while maintaining the ratio of debt service to General Fund Disbursements below the 10 percent guideline established by the Board of Supervisors. The bond program will continue to provide a very healthy level of approximately $2.3 billion (including EDA facility bonds) of capital construction over the next five years. A debt capacity analysis and review of bond sales is conducted every year in conjunction with the CIP. For planning purposes, potential future bond referenda and specific project plans are reflected in Table F. The projected capacity for new referenda is reviewed and updated each year.

Although a number of options are available for financing the proposed capital improvement program, including bond proceeds and grants, it is the policy of the County to balance the use of the funding sources against the ability to utilize current revenue or pay-as-you-go financing. While major capital facility projects are funded through the sale of general obligation bonds, the Board of Supervisors, through its Ten Principles of Sound Financial Management, continues to emphasize the importance of maintaining a balance between pay-as-you-go financing and bond financing for capital projects. Financing capital projects from current revenues indicates the County’s intent to restrain long-term debt. No explicit level or percentage has been adopted for capital projects from current revenues as a portion of either overall capital costs or of the total operating budget. The decision for using current revenues to fund a capital project is based on the merits of the particular project. In FY 2022, an amount of $18.68 million is supported by the General Fund for capital projects. This includes an amount of $13.19 million for commitments, contributions, and facility maintenance and $5.49 million for Paydown projects. The Paydown program has been redesigned at the request of the Board of Supervisors to exclude those projects that are on-going maintenance projects or annual contributions. Paydown now includes infrastructure replacement and upgrades, ADA compliance, athletic fields, and other capital improvements. In general, the FY 2022 Paydown and General Fund Supported Capital Program includes funding to provide for the most critical projects including, but not limited to, the following:

**General Fund Supported Capital Improvements:**
- Park building and structures reinvestment to fund such items as: repairs/replacements to roofs, electrical and lighting systems, security and fire alarms, and HVAC equipment
- Reinvestment and repairs to County-owned Roads and Walkways
- Commitments, Contributions and Facility Maintenance
- Park grounds maintenance; and minor routine preventive maintenance
- Athletic field maintenance at both park and school fields
- Funding for initiatives that directly support the Board of Supervisors Environmental Agenda
- Additional payments and obligations such as the County’s annual contribution to the Northern Virginia Community College capital program, the Fairfax County Public Schools SACC program, and the payments necessary to purchase the conservation easement at the Salona property.
In October 2005, Fairfax County adopted revised guidelines for review of unsolicited Public-Private Educational Facilities and Infrastructure Act (PPEA) proposals. The Guidelines state that a “Core Team” will be convened by the Director of Purchasing to:

1. Determine if the unsolicited proposal constitutes a “qualifying project” under the PPEA; and
2. Determine if the proposed project serves the “public purpose” by determining that:
   a. There is a public need for, or benefit derived from the qualifying project of the type the private entity purposes as a qualifying project;
   b. The estimated cost of the qualifying project is reasonable in relation to similar facilities; and
   c. The private entities plan will result in a timely acquisition, design, construction, improvement, renovation, expansion, equipping, maintenance, operation, implementation, or installation of the qualifying project.

Since that time, the County staff has gained experience with the procedures and is now recommending that further guidance be given to the Core Team, the initial reviewers of the unsolicited PPEA proposals. This guidance provides additional project screening criteria and is primarily aimed at assisting the County in determining the desirability of the PPEA project in light of the County’s current CIP, the affordability of the project within debt guidelines and the unique benefits of the project’s financial proposal being provided to the County. In FY 2008, the following criteria were adopted as a management initiative guideline for determining when a PPEA project should be pursued or rejected. It is anticipated that other refinements, including any required legislative updates to the PPEA evaluation and review process will be developed and presented to the Board of Supervisors as needed.

Revised PPEA Guidelines

1. Determine if the project has already been identified as a Board priority and included in the 10-year Capital Improvement Program (CIP) to address current and future needs. If included in the CIP, what is its priority ranking in comparison to other projects requested by the appropriate department?
   a. Review the proposed land use to assure it is consistent with the Board’s intended use of the property; and
   b. Review the proposed land use to assure that the land is not needed for another higher priority public use by the County.
2. Determine if the financial proposal involves asset exchange, replacement of operating leases or will require budgetary resources in addition to those currently identified in the budget.
3. Determine if timing is of the essence to take advantage of the opportunity presented in cases where favorable market or developmental conditions are not likely to be repeated or be present again at the project’s current projected start date.
4. Determine if proposals to accelerate projects will interfere or otherwise detract from resources allocated to projects currently identified in the CIP for earlier completion.
5. Determine if any debt created for financing the proposal can be accommodated within the County’s current debt guidelines and ascertain the projected impact on the approved CIP.

Projects that can demonstrate a positive impact response to all five questions will be given preference for further development. It may be necessary to engage outside professional evaluation to assist County staff in performing any aspect of the evaluation of PPEA proposals, particularly those that are complex or to complete an evaluation in a timely manner. Compensation for such professional assistance is expected to be paid first from the review fee accompanying each proposal.
On September 24, 2007 the Board of Supervisors adopted a resolution to affirm cooperation between the Fairfax County Board of Supervisors and the Fairfax County School Board to coordinate planning and delivery of space for public and school services in their respective facilities. In order for administrative, maintenance, and educational facilities to provide services in the most cost effective, efficient, and customer friendly manner possible, collocation of services within both County and School buildings offers the potential to reduce administrative, construction, and maintenance costs. The resolution is as follows:

- WHEREAS, the Fairfax County Board of Supervisors and the Fairfax County School Board have a history of cooperative agreements concerning use of school facilities for community recreational programs; and

- WHEREAS, the Fairfax County Government and the Fairfax County Public Schools each own and construct numerous administrative, maintenance, and educational facilities; and,

- WHEREAS, the Fairfax County Government and the Fairfax County Public Schools conduct similar and compatible functions within the respective facilities; and,

- WHEREAS, it is the desire of the Fairfax County Board of Supervisors and the Fairfax County School Board to provide services in the most cost effective, efficient, and customer friendly manner possible; and

- WHEREAS, collocation of services within buildings offers the potential to reduce administrative, construction, and maintenance costs; and

- WHEREAS, the County and the Schools cooperate in the development of the annual Capital Improvement Program, including allocation of resources; now, therefore, be it

- RESOLVED, County and School staff will establish processes and procedures to ensure that appropriate information about service delivery requirements, needs, and opportunities are shared between the two organizations, and

- RESOLVED FURTHER, Both staffs will give due consideration of such joint and compatible uses during development of the County and Schools Capital Improvement Program; and

- RESOLVED FURTHER, the Fairfax County Park Authority will be invited to share such information and give due consideration for joint and compatible uses during the development of its own Capital Improvement Program for the mutual benefit of all three parties.

County, School and Park Authority staff have begun working together during the development of this year’s CIP to consider joint and compatible uses for recommendation to both Boards. Staff continues to develop plans to formalize this approach in order to share and consider the mutual benefit of all three parties.
### Table A

#### Program Cost Summaries

($000's)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program</th>
<th>Budgeted or Expended Through FY 2021</th>
<th>FY 2022</th>
<th>FY 2023</th>
<th>FY 2024</th>
<th>FY 2025</th>
<th>FY 2026</th>
<th>Total FY 2022 - FY 2026</th>
<th>Total FY 2027 - FY 2031</th>
<th>Total Project Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>County Managed Programs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County Public Schools</td>
<td>$550,393</td>
<td>$215,212</td>
<td>$231,970</td>
<td>$198,453</td>
<td>$235,616</td>
<td>$246,289</td>
<td>$1,127,540</td>
<td>$813,395</td>
<td>$2,491,328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County Park Authority</td>
<td>132,706</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>26,077</td>
<td>28,431</td>
<td>61,434</td>
<td>35,216</td>
<td>176,158</td>
<td>150,692</td>
<td>459,556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revitalization and Neighborhood Improv.</td>
<td>8,231</td>
<td>1,755</td>
<td>1,875</td>
<td>1,875</td>
<td>1,875</td>
<td>1,875</td>
<td>9,255</td>
<td>9,125</td>
<td>26,611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athletic Field Program</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>8,215</td>
<td>8,215</td>
<td>8,215</td>
<td>8,215</td>
<td>41,075</td>
<td>41,075</td>
<td>82,150</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing and Community Development</td>
<td>76,370</td>
<td>14,201</td>
<td>19,303</td>
<td>37,631</td>
<td>25,631</td>
<td>137,397</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>213,767</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Human Services</td>
<td>43,561</td>
<td>27,273</td>
<td>26,250</td>
<td>38,050</td>
<td>49,850</td>
<td>177,973</td>
<td>274,700</td>
<td>496,234</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety</td>
<td>95,505</td>
<td>60,842</td>
<td>67,845</td>
<td>73,975</td>
<td>49,940</td>
<td>301,562</td>
<td>14,950</td>
<td>412,017</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Court Facilities</td>
<td>15,489</td>
<td>22,600</td>
<td>25,600</td>
<td>3,300</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>69,100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>84,589</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries</td>
<td>7,222</td>
<td>8,375</td>
<td>12,430</td>
<td>46,940</td>
<td>21,260</td>
<td>10,930</td>
<td>99,935</td>
<td>111,757</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrades</td>
<td>38,986</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15,300</td>
<td>15,300</td>
<td>15,300</td>
<td>61,200</td>
<td>76,500</td>
<td>176,686</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Facilities and Programs</td>
<td>35,727</td>
<td>26,334</td>
<td>54,507</td>
<td>49,753</td>
<td>45,902</td>
<td>240,776</td>
<td>162,865</td>
<td>439,636</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental and Energy Programs</td>
<td>22,384</td>
<td>4,598</td>
<td>6,600</td>
<td>4,800</td>
<td>27,398</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>64,782</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid Waste</td>
<td>28,432</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>28,432</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Sewers</td>
<td>173,444</td>
<td>139,914</td>
<td>197,562</td>
<td>227,678</td>
<td>220,005</td>
<td>214,521</td>
<td>999,680</td>
<td>693,532</td>
<td>1,866,656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater Management</td>
<td>18,333</td>
<td>149,811</td>
<td>63,911</td>
<td>71,911</td>
<td>74,511</td>
<td>434,655</td>
<td>372,555</td>
<td>825,543</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation Initiatives</td>
<td>572,481</td>
<td>426,366</td>
<td>419,623</td>
<td>418,921</td>
<td>421,621</td>
<td>2,106,452</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2,678,933</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sub Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,819,264</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,130,496</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,177,068</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,254,360</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,243,631</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,204,601</strong></td>
<td><strong>$6,010,156</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2,628,989</strong></td>
<td><strong>$10,458,409</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-County Managed Programs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>$3,095</td>
<td>$3,151</td>
<td>$3,246</td>
<td>$3,343</td>
<td>$15,835</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$15,835</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Supply</td>
<td>8,196</td>
<td>85,137</td>
<td>102,160</td>
<td>104,544</td>
<td>96,990</td>
<td>93,196</td>
<td>482,027</td>
<td>371,435</td>
<td>861,658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sub Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$8,196</strong></td>
<td><strong>$88,137</strong></td>
<td><strong>$105,255</strong></td>
<td><strong>$107,695</strong></td>
<td><strong>$100,236</strong></td>
<td><strong>$96,539</strong></td>
<td><strong>$497,862</strong></td>
<td><strong>$371,435</strong></td>
<td><strong>$877,493</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,827,460</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,218,633</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,282,323</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,362,055</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,343,867</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,301,140</strong></td>
<td><strong>$6,508,018</strong></td>
<td><strong>$3,000,424</strong></td>
<td><strong>$11,335,902</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: A "C" in the 'Budgeted or Expended' column denotes a continuing program.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Paydown (Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrades/Capital Improvements)</th>
<th>FY 2022</th>
<th>FY 2023</th>
<th>FY 2024</th>
<th>FY 2025</th>
<th>FY 2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADA Compliance - Parks</td>
<td>$1.500</td>
<td>$0.300</td>
<td>$0.300</td>
<td>$0.300</td>
<td>$0.300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADA Compliance - FMD</td>
<td>1.200</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>0.300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADA Compliance - Housing</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks - Building/Structures Reinvestment</td>
<td>4.816</td>
<td>0.925</td>
<td>0.944</td>
<td>0.963</td>
<td>0.982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks - Infrastructure/Amenities Upgrades</td>
<td>4.241</td>
<td>0.815</td>
<td>0.831</td>
<td>0.848</td>
<td>0.865</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athletic Fields - FCPS Lighting</td>
<td>1.250</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>0.250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athletic Services Fee - Turf Field Replacement</td>
<td>7.250</td>
<td>1.450</td>
<td>1.450</td>
<td>1.450</td>
<td>1.450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developer Defaults</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>0.200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrades</td>
<td>60.000</td>
<td>15.000</td>
<td>15.000</td>
<td>15.000</td>
<td>15.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinvestment and Repairs to Walkways</td>
<td>4.000</td>
<td>0.800</td>
<td>0.800</td>
<td>0.800</td>
<td>0.800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinvestment and Repairs to County Roads</td>
<td>3.500</td>
<td>0.700</td>
<td>0.700</td>
<td>0.700</td>
<td>0.700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal Paydown</strong></td>
<td><strong>$5.490</strong></td>
<td><strong>$20.825</strong></td>
<td><strong>$20.861</strong></td>
<td><strong>$20.897</strong></td>
<td><strong>$20.934</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commitments, Contributions and Facility Maintenance</th>
<th>FY 2022</th>
<th>FY 2023</th>
<th>FY 2024</th>
<th>FY 2025</th>
<th>FY 2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parks - Preventative Maintenance and Inspections</td>
<td>$2.520</td>
<td>$0.484</td>
<td>$0.494</td>
<td>$0.504</td>
<td>$0.514</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks - Grounds Maintenance</td>
<td>2.480</td>
<td>0.476</td>
<td>0.486</td>
<td>0.496</td>
<td>0.506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athletic Field Maintenance (Parks)</td>
<td>13.500</td>
<td>2.700</td>
<td>2.700</td>
<td>2.700</td>
<td>2.700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athletic Services Fee - Diamond Field Maintenance</td>
<td>3.750</td>
<td>0.750</td>
<td>0.750</td>
<td>0.750</td>
<td>0.750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athletic Fields - APRT Amenity Maintenance</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athletic Services Fee - Sports Scholarships</td>
<td>0.375</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survey Control Network Monumentiation</td>
<td>0.475</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>0.095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salona Property Payment</td>
<td>3.211</td>
<td>0.761</td>
<td>0.734</td>
<td>0.707</td>
<td>0.680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revitalization Maintenance</td>
<td>7.050</td>
<td>1.410</td>
<td>1.410</td>
<td>1.410</td>
<td>1.410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payment of Interest on Bond Deposits</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SACC Contribution</td>
<td>5.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOVA Community College Contribution</td>
<td>12.865</td>
<td>2.573</td>
<td>2.573</td>
<td>2.573</td>
<td>2.573</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Agenda Initiatives</td>
<td>6.499</td>
<td>1.299</td>
<td>1.300</td>
<td>1.300</td>
<td>1.300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal Commitments</strong></td>
<td><strong>$13.188</strong></td>
<td><strong>$13.182</strong></td>
<td><strong>$13.175</strong></td>
<td><strong>$13.168</strong></td>
<td><strong>$12.837</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total</th>
<th>FY 2022</th>
<th>FY 2023</th>
<th>FY 2024</th>
<th>FY 2025</th>
<th>FY 2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$18.678</td>
<td>$34.007</td>
<td>$34.036</td>
<td>$34.065</td>
<td>$33.771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Schools</td>
<td>Metro/Roads</td>
<td>Public Safety</td>
<td>County Parks</td>
<td>Regional Parks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>$160.00</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
<td>$12.00</td>
<td>$90.00</td>
<td>$79.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>$360.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$182.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$315.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$120.00</td>
<td>$94.70</td>
<td>$12.30</td>
<td>$85.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$310.00</td>
<td>$151.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>$250.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$55.00</td>
<td>$63.00</td>
<td>$12.00</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>$252.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>$120.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>$232.58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>$65.00</td>
<td>$12.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>$365.20</td>
<td>$110.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td></td>
<td>$125.00</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>$246.33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>$165.00</td>
<td>$65.00</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td>$52.50</td>
<td>$32.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>$290.61</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>$60.00</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>$377.96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>$297.21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td></td>
<td>$99.92</td>
<td>$75.00</td>
<td>$12.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>$232.85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>$204.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>$140.13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>$130.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$3,874.67</td>
<td>$905.00</td>
<td>$672.92</td>
<td>$507.70</td>
<td>$70.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 The 2007 School Referendum totaled $365,200,000 of which $315,200,000 was for school improvement needs and $50,000,000 was for a County vehicle maintenance facility for school buses and other County vehicles. The maintenance facility will be funded from the County’s capacity allocation, as approved by the Board of Supervisors on May 7, 2007.
### Table D

**General Obligation Bonds**  
**Authorized but Unissued Status**  
($ in millions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Most Recent Bond Issues Approved by Voters</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Sold in Previous Years</th>
<th>Sold January 2021</th>
<th>Authorized but Unissued</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Public Schools</strong></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>315.000</td>
<td>5.810</td>
<td>157.340</td>
<td>151.850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>360.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>360.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>County Parks</strong></td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>94.700</td>
<td>19.280</td>
<td>13.850</td>
<td>61.570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>100.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>100.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>No Va Regional Park Authority</strong></td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>12.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>3.000</td>
<td>9.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Human Services/Community Development</strong></td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>85.000</td>
<td>8.400</td>
<td>3.000</td>
<td>73.600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>79.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>79.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Public Safety</strong></td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>55.000</td>
<td>36.490</td>
<td>18.510</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>151.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>5.490</td>
<td>145.510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>182.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>182.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Road Construction</strong></td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>100.000</td>
<td>29.860</td>
<td>15.000</td>
<td>55.140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Library Facilities</strong></td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>25.000</td>
<td>15.000</td>
<td>2.000</td>
<td>8.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>90.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>90.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transportation (WMATA)</strong></td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>120.000</td>
<td>100.900</td>
<td>19.100</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>160.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>16.900</td>
<td>143.100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,928.700</td>
<td>$215.740</td>
<td>$254.190</td>
<td>$1,458.770</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table E

**Referendum and Debt Capacity Analysis**

**Current Bond Program**

($ in millions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Unissued FY 2021</th>
<th>FY 2022</th>
<th>FY 2023</th>
<th>FY 2024</th>
<th>FY 2025</th>
<th>FY 2026</th>
<th>2022-2026 Total</th>
<th>2027-2031 Project</th>
<th>Remaining Balance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>County General Obligation (GO) Bonds</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries (2012)</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries (2020)</td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads (2014)</td>
<td>70.14</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>19.00</td>
<td>24.14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WMATA (2016)</td>
<td>19.10</td>
<td>19.10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WMATA (2020)</td>
<td>160.00</td>
<td>16.90</td>
<td>39.00</td>
<td>40.30</td>
<td>41.50</td>
<td>22.30</td>
<td>143.10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety Facilities (2012)</td>
<td>18.51</td>
<td>18.51</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety Facilities (2015)</td>
<td>151.00</td>
<td>5.49</td>
<td>38.00</td>
<td>33.00</td>
<td>29.00</td>
<td>45.51</td>
<td>145.51</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety (2018)</td>
<td>182.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17.00</td>
<td>21.00</td>
<td>29.00</td>
<td>35.40</td>
<td>102.40</td>
<td>79.60</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCPA (2018)</td>
<td>75.42</td>
<td>13.85</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>6.57</td>
<td>61.57</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCPA (2020)</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>33.43</td>
<td>66.57</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority (2020)</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Services (2016)</td>
<td>76.60</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>28.60</td>
<td>73.60</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Services (2020)</td>
<td>79.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>69.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal County General Obligation Bonds</strong></td>
<td>1,043.77</td>
<td>96.85</td>
<td>120.00</td>
<td>150.30</td>
<td>156.64</td>
<td>148.81</td>
<td>106.00</td>
<td>681.75</td>
<td>265.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Schools General Obligation Bonds</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schools (2017)</td>
<td>309.19</td>
<td>157.34</td>
<td>151.85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>151.85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schools (2019)</td>
<td>360.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>28.15</td>
<td>180.00</td>
<td>151.85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>360.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal Schools General Obligation Bonds</strong></td>
<td>669.19</td>
<td>157.34</td>
<td>180.00</td>
<td>180.00</td>
<td>151.85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>511.85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total General Obligation Bonds</strong></td>
<td>1,712.96</td>
<td>254.19</td>
<td>323.00</td>
<td>381.50</td>
<td>369.40</td>
<td>225.81</td>
<td>162.50</td>
<td>1,462.30</td>
<td>551.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other Financing Support (OFS)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reston Town Center Library and Community Space (EDA)</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>13.00</td>
<td>12.50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>29.50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reston Town Center Shelter and Human Services Center</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reston Town Center Recreation Center*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reston Town Center Parking Garage</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Original Mount Vernon High School Building Renovation (EDA)</td>
<td>14.00</td>
<td>44.00</td>
<td>28.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>86.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Original Mount Vernon High School Site Development (EDA)*</td>
<td>13.50</td>
<td>13.50</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater/Wastewater Administration (EDA)</td>
<td>88.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sports Complex Opportunities*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affordable Housing Development Opportunities*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East County Human Services Center (EDA)*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willston Multi-Cultural Center*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemdon Station - Transit Oriented Development*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judicial Center Complex Redevelopment</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>34.00</td>
<td>33.00</td>
<td>94.20</td>
<td>125.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workhouse Campus Development Opportunities</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Accotink - VRA or EDA</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30.50</td>
<td>30.50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal Other Financing Support</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>88.00</td>
<td>23.00</td>
<td>51.20</td>
<td>61.00</td>
<td>77.00</td>
<td>56.50</td>
<td>268.70</td>
<td>286.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Current Program (GO + OFS)</strong></td>
<td>1,712.96</td>
<td>342.19</td>
<td>323.00</td>
<td>381.50</td>
<td>369.40</td>
<td>225.81</td>
<td>162.50</td>
<td>1,462.30</td>
<td>551.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The timing of specific bond funding has not been determined.

### NEW REFERENDA

($ in millions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Authorized but Unissued FY 2021</th>
<th>FY 2022</th>
<th>FY 2023</th>
<th>FY 2024</th>
<th>FY 2025</th>
<th>FY 2026</th>
<th>2022-2026 Total</th>
<th>2027-2031 Project</th>
<th>Remaining Balance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>New Schools Referenda</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schools (2021)</td>
<td>360.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>28.15</td>
<td>180.00</td>
<td>151.85</td>
<td>360.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schools (2023)</td>
<td>360.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>28.15</td>
<td>28.15</td>
<td>331.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal New Schools Referenda</strong></td>
<td>720.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>28.15</td>
<td>180.00</td>
<td>180.00</td>
<td>388.15</td>
<td>331.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>County New Referenda</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation - WMATA (2024)</td>
<td>180.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>23.40</td>
<td>47.80</td>
<td>71.20</td>
<td>108.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early Childhood Facilities (2022)</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority (2024)</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Services (2024)</td>
<td>64.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>6.40</td>
<td>6.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety (2022)</td>
<td>72.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>36.00</td>
<td>36.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal County New Referenda</strong></td>
<td>353.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>41.90</td>
<td>69.50</td>
<td>141.40</td>
<td>211.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total NEW Referenda (Schools &amp; County)</strong></td>
<td>1,073.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>43.15</td>
<td>221.90</td>
<td>249.50</td>
<td>529.55</td>
<td>543.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GRAND TOTAL: CURRENT + NEW</strong></td>
<td>2,785.96</td>
<td>342.19</td>
<td>323.00</td>
<td>396.50</td>
<td>412.64</td>
<td>447.71</td>
<td>412.00</td>
<td>1,991.85</td>
<td>1,095.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Debt Service as % of General Fund</strong></td>
<td>7.83%</td>
<td>7.88%</td>
<td>7.91%</td>
<td>8.24%</td>
<td>8.47%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The timing of specific bond funding has not been determined.

**County policy is Debt Service Expenditures as a percent of disbursements; above debt ratio projections are benchmarked against projected revenues.**
| Fire and Rescue                        | 2021 Bond Referendum | Cost | 2022 Bond Referendum | Cost | 2023 Bond Referendum | Cost | 2024 Bond Referendum | Cost | 2025 Bond Referendum | Cost | 2026 Bond Referendum | Cost | 2027 Bond Referendum | Cost | 2028 Bond Referendum | Cost | 2029 Bond Referendum | Cost | 2030 Bond Referendum | Cost | 2031 or Beyond | Cost |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------|------|----------------------|------|----------------------|------|----------------------|------|----------------------|------|----------------------|------|----------------------|------|----------------------|------|----------------------|------|----------------------|------|
| Woffl Performance Testing Center      | $21                  |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |
| Chantilly FS (1986)                   | $13                  |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |
| Fox Mill FS (1979)                    | $15                  |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |
| Oakton FS (1983)                      | $17                  |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |
|                                      |                      | $72  |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |
| Police                                |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |
| Mt. Vernon PS (1981)                  |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |
| West Springfield PS (1974)            |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |
| Sully PS (2002)                       |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |
|                                      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |
| Health and Human Services             |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |
| Early Childhood Facilities            | $25                  |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |
| Tim Harmon Campus: A New Beginning/  |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |
| Fairfax Detox (1994)                  |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |
| Cornerstones (1992)                   |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |
| Springfield Community Resource Center (new) |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |
|                                      | $25                  |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |
|                                      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |
|                                      |                      | $89  |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |                      |      |

Table F
County and Schools Bond Referendum Plan (in millions)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Library</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Library</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Library</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Library</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Library</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Library</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Parks</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Parks</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Parks</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Parks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>NARPA Contribution County Park Authority</td>
<td>$12</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>NARPA Contribution County Park Authority</td>
<td>$12</td>
<td>2023</td>
<td>NARPA Contribution County Park Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2024</td>
<td>NARPA Contribution County Park Authority</td>
<td>$12</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>NARPA Contribution County Park Authority</td>
<td>$12</td>
<td>2026</td>
<td>NARPA Contribution County Park Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2027</td>
<td>NARPA Contribution County Park Authority</td>
<td>$12</td>
<td>2028</td>
<td>NARPA Contribution County Park Authority</td>
<td>$12</td>
<td>2029</td>
<td>NARPA Contribution County Park Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2030</td>
<td>NARPA Contribution County Park Authority</td>
<td>$12</td>
<td>2031 or Beyond</td>
<td>NARPA Contribution County Park Authority</td>
<td>$12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Transportation</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Transportation</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Transportation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>Metro Contribution</td>
<td>$150</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>Roads</td>
<td>$100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2024</td>
<td>Roads</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>Metro Contribution</td>
<td>$180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2027</td>
<td>Metro Contribution</td>
<td>$180</td>
<td>2028</td>
<td>Roads</td>
<td>$100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2030</td>
<td>Roads</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>2031 or Beyond</td>
<td>Metro Contribution</td>
<td>$180</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>FCPs</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>FCPs</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>FCPs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>School Construction</td>
<td>$360</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>School Construction</td>
<td>$360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2024</td>
<td>School Construction</td>
<td>$360</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>School Construction</td>
<td>$360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2027</td>
<td>School Construction</td>
<td>$360</td>
<td>2028</td>
<td>School Construction</td>
<td>$360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2030</td>
<td>School Construction</td>
<td>$360</td>
<td>2031 or Beyond</td>
<td>School Construction</td>
<td>$360</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Total County</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Total School</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Total Referendum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td>$180</td>
<td>$180</td>
<td>$360</td>
<td>$360</td>
<td>$360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2024</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2025</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2026</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2027</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2028</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2029</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2030</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2031 or Beyond</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Regional funding discussions continue on a dedicated funding stream to address Metro capital priorities.
## 5-Year CIP Period: Projects by Function

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>District</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Athletic Field Program - Athletic Field Maintenance (Parks)</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athletic Field Program - Athletic Fields - APRT Amenity Maintenance</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athletic Field Program - Athletic Fields - FCPS Lighting</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athletic Field Program - Athletic Fields - Park Maintenance at FCPS</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athletic Field Program - Athletic Services Fee - Custodial Support</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athletic Field Program - Athletic Services Fee - Diamond Field Maintenance</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athletic Field Program - Athletic Services Fee - Sports Scholarships</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athletic Field Program - Athletic Services Fee - Turf Field Development</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athletic Field Program - Athletic Services Fee - Turf Field Replacement</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Court Facilities - ADC Security/Renovation - 2018</td>
<td>Providence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Court Facilities - Courtroom Renovations Bond Funded - 2012</td>
<td>Providence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Court Facilities - Courtroom Renovations Equipment/Furniture</td>
<td>Providence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental and Energy Program - Community - CECAP</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental and Energy Program - Community - EAF</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental and Energy Program - Community - Homewise Outreach Program</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental and Energy Program - Community - NVSWCD Intern Program</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental and Energy Program - EIP - DPMM Green Intern</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental and Energy Program - EIP - DPMM Supply Chain GHG Emissions</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental and Energy Program - EIP - DVS - Pollinator Meadows</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental and Energy Program - EIP - DVS - Water Fountains</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental and Energy Program - EIP - FCPA - Bike to Parks Pilot</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental and Energy Program - EIP - FCPA - IMA Program</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental and Energy Program - EIP - FCPA - Magnolia Bog Restoration</td>
<td>Mason</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental and Energy Program - EIP - FCPA - Meadow Restorations</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental and Energy Program - EIP - FCPA - Pool UV Replacement</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental and Energy Program - EIP - FCPA - Solar Panels Support</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental and Energy Program - EIP - FCPA - Sully Woodlands Center</td>
<td>Sully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental and Energy Program - EIP - FCPA - Watch the Green Grow</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental and Energy Program - EIP - FCPA - Water Smart Controls</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental and Energy Program - EIP - FEED - Composting Pilot</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental and Energy Program - EIP - FMD - Natural Landscaping</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental and Energy Program - EIP - NCS - Permeable Athletic Courts</td>
<td>Mason</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental and Energy Program - EIP - NVSWCD CAP Program</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental and Energy Program - Energy - FCPA - Historic Houses</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental and Energy Program - Energy - FCPA - Lighting</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental and Energy Program - Energy - FCPA - Unstaffed HVAC</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental and Energy Program - Energy Strategy - EV Stations</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental and Energy Program - OES - FCG - Energy Contracts (ESCO)</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental and Energy Program - OES - FCG - FMD Retrofits</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental and Energy Program - OES - FCG - LED Streetlights</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental and Energy Program - OES - FCPA - Lighting and Retrofits</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## 5-Year CIP Period: Projects by Function

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>District</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County Park Authority - ADA Compliance - Parks</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County Park Authority - Capital Sinking Fund for Parks</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County Park Authority - Community Parks/New Facilities - 2012</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County Park Authority - Existing Facility Renovations - 2012</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County Park Authority - Lake Accotink Dredging</td>
<td>Braddock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County Park Authority - Land Acquisition and Open Space - 2016</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County Park Authority - Land Acquisition and Park Development - 2020</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County Park Authority - Land Acquisition and Park Development - 2024</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County Park Authority - Land Acquisition and Stewardship - 2012</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County Park Authority - Natural and Cultural Resource Stewardship - 2016</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County Park Authority - New Park Development - 2016</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County Park Authority - Park Improvement Fund</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County Park Authority - Park Infrastructure Improvements - 2016</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County Park Authority - Park Renovations and Upgrades - 2016</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County Park Authority - Parks Building/Structures Reinvestment</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County Park Authority - Parks Grounds Maintenance</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County Park Authority - Parks Infrastructure/Amenities Upgrades</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County Park Authority - Parks Preventative Maintenance and Inspections</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County Park Authority - Sportsplex Study</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Facilities and Programs - Joint Venture Development</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Facilities and Programs - Judicial Complex Redevelopment</td>
<td>Providence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Facilities and Programs - NOVA Community College Contribution</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Facilities and Programs - Original Mount Vernon HS Building Renovation</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Facilities and Programs - Original Mount Vernon HS Site Development</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Facilities and Programs - Planning Initiatives</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Facilities and Programs - Public Facilities in Tysons</td>
<td>Dranesville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Facilities and Programs - RTCN Library and Community Space</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Facilities and Programs - RTCN Parking Garage</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Facilities and Programs - Salona Property Payment</td>
<td>Dranesville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Facilities and Programs - Workhouse Campus Improvements</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# 5-Year CIP Period: Projects by Function

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>District</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Health and Human Services - Community Center Courts Renovations</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Human Services - Community Center in Lee District</td>
<td>Lee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Human Services - Crossroads Renovation - 2020</td>
<td>Lee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Human Services - CSB Facility Retrofits</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Human Services - Early Childhood Education Initiatives</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Human Services - East County Health and Human Services Center</td>
<td>Mason</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Human Services - Eleanor Kennedy Shelter - 2016</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Human Services - Embry Rucker Shelter - 2016</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Human Services - Human Services Facilities Studies</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Human Services - Lorton Community Center - 2016</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Human Services - McLean Community Center</td>
<td>Dranesville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Human Services - Patrick Henry Shelter - 2016</td>
<td>Mason</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Human Services - Reston Community Center</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Human Services - RTCN Human Services Center</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Human Services - SACC Contribution</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Human Services - Springfield Community Resource Center - 2024</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Human Services - Sully Community Center - 2016</td>
<td>Sully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Human Services - Tim Harmon Campus Renovations - 2024</td>
<td>Sully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Human Services - Willard Health Center - 2020</td>
<td>Fairfax City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing and Community Development - ADA Compliance - Housing</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing and Community Development - ADU Acquisitions</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing and Community Development - Autumn Willow Senior Housing</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing and Community Development - Crescent Rehabilitation</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing and Community Development - Feasibility and Site Work Studies</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing and Community Development - Housing Blueprint Project (Affordable Housing)</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing and Community Development - Housing Route 50/West Ox Road</td>
<td>Sully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing and Community Development - Little River Glen I &amp; IV</td>
<td>Braddock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing and Community Development - Murraygate Village Apartments Renovation</td>
<td>Lee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing and Community Development - North Hill</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing and Community Development - Oakwood Senior Housing</td>
<td>Lee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing and Community Development - One University</td>
<td>Braddock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing and Community Development - Rehabilitation of FCRHA Properties</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing and Community Development - Reservation/Emergencies and Opportunities</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing and Community Development - Stonegate Renovation</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5-Year CIP Period: Projects by Function

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>District</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrades - ADA Compliance - FMD</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrades - Building Energy Management Systems</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrades - Capital Sinking Fund - FMD</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrades - Carpet Replacement</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrades - Electrical System Upgrades and Replacements</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrades - Elevator/Escalator Replacement</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrades - Emergency Building Repairs</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrades - Emergency Generator Replacement</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrades - Emergency Systems Failures</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrades - Fire Alarm System Replacements</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrades - HVAC System Upgrades and Replacement</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrades - MPSTOC County Support for Renewal</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrades - MPSTOC State Support for Renewal</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrades - Parking Lot and Garage Repairs</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrades - Roof Repairs and Waterproofing</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrades - Window Replacement</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries - Feasibility Studies - Library Facilities</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries - George Mason Regional Library - 2020</td>
<td>Mason</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries - Kingstowne Regional Library - 2020</td>
<td>Lee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries - Lorton Community Library</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries - Patrick Henry Community Library - 2020</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries - Reston Regional Library - 2012</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries - Sherwood Regional Library - 2020</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority - ADA Improvements</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority - Aldie Mill Historic Park</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority - Algonkian Regional Park</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority - Bull Run Regional Park</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority - Cameron Run Regional Park</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority - Carlyle House Historic Park</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority - Cattail Improvements</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority - Fountainhead Regional Park</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority - Golf Course Improvements</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority - Headquarters</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority - Hemlock Overlook Regional Park</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority - Land Acquisition</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority - Meadowlark Botanical Gardens</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority - Miscellaneous Improvements</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority - Occoquan Regional Park</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## 5-Year CIP Period: Projects by Function

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>District</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority - Park Development Support and Planning</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority - Pohick Bay Regional Park</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority - Roads and Parking</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority - Temple Hall Farm Regional Park</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority - Upton Hill Regional Park</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority - Vehicles and Equipment</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority - W&amp;OD Railroad Regional Park</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority - Waterpark Maintenance</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety - Feasibility Studies</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety Fire - Chantilly Fire Station - 2022</td>
<td>Sully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety Fire - Edsall Fire Station - 2015</td>
<td>Mason</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety Fire - Fairview Fire Station - 2018</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety Fire - Fox Mill Fire Station - 2022</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety Fire - Gunston Fire Station - 2018</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety Fire - Jefferson Fire Station - 2012</td>
<td>Mason</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety Fire - Lorton Volunteer Fire Station</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety Fire - Merrifield Fire Station - 2015</td>
<td>Providence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety Fire - Mount Vernon Fire Station - 2018</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety Fire - Oakton Fire Station - 2022</td>
<td>Providence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety Fire - Penn Daw Fire Station - 2015</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety Fire - Reston Fire Station - 2015</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety Fire - Scotts Run Fire Station</td>
<td>Providence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety Fire - Seven Corners Fire Station - 2018</td>
<td>Mason</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety Fire - Traffic Light Preemptive Devices</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety Fire - Tysons Fire Station</td>
<td>Providence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety Fire - Volunteer Fire Station - 2018</td>
<td>Mason</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety Fire - Welfit Performance Testing Center - 2022</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety Fire - Woodlawn Fire Station - 2015</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety Police - Criminal Justice Academy - 2018</td>
<td>Sully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety Police - Franconia Police Station - 2015</td>
<td>Lee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety Police - Mason Police Station - 2018</td>
<td>Mason</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety Police - Police Evidence Storage Annex - 2018</td>
<td>Providence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety Police - Police Facility Security Upgrades</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety Police - Police Heliport - 2015</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety Police - Police Tactical Operations - 2015</td>
<td>Mason</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety Police - South County Police Station/Animal Shelter - 2015</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## 5-Year CIP Period: Projects by Function

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>District</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Annandale Terrace Elementary</td>
<td>Mason</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Armstrong Elementary</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Belle View Elementary</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Bonnie Brae Elementary</td>
<td>Braddock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Braddock Elementary</td>
<td>Braddock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Bren Mar Park Elementary</td>
<td>Mason</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Brookfield Elementary</td>
<td>Sully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Centre Ridge Elementary</td>
<td>Sully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Centreville High</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Clearview Elementary</td>
<td>Dranesville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Cooper Middle</td>
<td>Dranesville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Crossfield Elementary</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Cub Run Elementary</td>
<td>Sully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Dranesville Elementary</td>
<td>Dranesville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Dunn Loring Elementary</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Falls Church High</td>
<td>Mason</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Four New and/or Repurposed Schools</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Fox Mill Elementary</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Franklin Middle</td>
<td>Sully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Frost Middle</td>
<td>Braddock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Future Western High</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Herndon Elementary</td>
<td>Dranesville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Herndon High</td>
<td>Dranesville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Hughes Middle</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Hybla Valley Elementary</td>
<td>Lee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Justice High Addition</td>
<td>Mason</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Lees Corner Elementary</td>
<td>Sully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Louise Archer Elementary</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Madison High Addition</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - McNair Upper (North West County) Elementary</td>
<td>Sully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Modular Relocations</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Mosby Woods Elementary</td>
<td>Providence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Oak Hill Elementary</td>
<td>Sully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Oakton High</td>
<td>Providence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Poplar Tree Elementary</td>
<td>Sully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Rocky Run Middle</td>
<td>Sully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Route 1 Elementary</td>
<td>Lee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Sangster Elementary</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## 5-Year CIP Period: Projects by Function

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>District</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Saratoga Elementary</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Silver Line Elementary</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Silverbrook Elementary</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Site Acquisition</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Twain Middle</td>
<td>Lee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Union Mill Elementary</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Virginia Run Elementary</td>
<td>Sully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Wakefield Forest Elementary</td>
<td>Braddock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Waples Mill Elementary</td>
<td>Providence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Washington Mill Elementary</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - West Potomac High Addition</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Willow Springs Elementary</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revitalization and Neighborhood Improvements - Capital Sinking Fund for Revitalization</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revitalization and Neighborhood Improvements - Developer Defaults</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revitalization and Neighborhood Improvements - Developer Streetlight Program</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revitalization and Neighborhood Improvements - District Capital Projects</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revitalization and Neighborhood Improvements - Emergency Directive/Grass Mowing</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revitalization and Neighborhood Improvements - Minor Streetlight Upgrades</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revitalization and Neighborhood Improvements - Payments of Interest on Bond Deposits</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revitalization and Neighborhood Improvements - Revitalization - Mason District</td>
<td>Mason</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revitalization and Neighborhood Improvements - Revitalization - Mclean</td>
<td>Dranesville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revitalization and Neighborhood Improvements - Revitalization - Springfield</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revitalization and Neighborhood Improvements - Revitalization Initiatives</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revitalization and Neighborhood Improvements - Revitalization Maintenance</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revitalization and Neighborhood Improvements - Strike Force Blight Abatement</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revitalization and Neighborhood Improvements - Survey Control Network Monumentation</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revitalization and Neighborhood Improvements - Revitalization - Richmond Highway</td>
<td>Lee/Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Sewers - AlexRenew Treatment Plant Improvements (County Share)</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Sewers - Arlington Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrade (County Share)</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Sewers - DC Water Blue Plains Treatment Plant Improvements (County Share)</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Sewers - Extension and Improvement Projects</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Sewers - Gravity Sewer Capacity Improvements</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Sewers - Gravity Sewer Conditional Improvements</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Sewers - NCPCP Rehabilitation and Replacement</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Sewers - Pumping Station Improvements</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Sewers - Sewer Metering Projects</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Sewers - Upper Occoquan Service Authority Treatment Plant Upgrade</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## 5-Year CIP Period: Projects by Function

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>District</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solid Waste - I-66 Basement Drainage Renovation</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid Waste - I-66 Environmental Compliance</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid Waste - I-66 Landfill Methane Gas Recovery</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid Waste - I-66 Permit and Receiving Center Renovation</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid Waste - I-66 Transport Study/Site Redevelopment</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid Waste - I-95 Landfill Closure</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid Waste - I-95 Landfill Environmental Compliance</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid Waste - I-95 Landfill Leachate Facility</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid Waste - I-95 Landfill Lot B Redesign</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid Waste - I-95 Landfill Methane Gas Recovery</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid Waste - I-95 Landfill New Service Road</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid Waste - I-95 Operations Building Renovations</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid Waste - I-95 Transfer/Materials Recovery Facility</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid Waste - Newington Refuse Facility Enhancements</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater Management Program - Conveyance System Inspection and Development</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater Management Program - Conveyance System Rehabilitation</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater Management Program - Dam and Facility Maintenance</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater Management Program - Dam Safety and Facility Rehabilitation</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater Management Program - Emergency and Flood Response Projects</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater Management Program - Lake Accotink Dredging</td>
<td>Braddock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater Management Program - Pro Rata Share Drainage Improvements</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater Management Program - Stormwater Allocation to Towns</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater Management Program - Stormwater Regulatory Program</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater Management Program - Stormwater Related Contributories</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater Management Program - Stormwater/Wastewater Facility</td>
<td>Braddock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater Management Program - Stormwater/Wastewater Facility Debt Service</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater Management Program - Stream and Water Quality Improvements</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater Management Program - Tree Preservation and Plantings</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## 5-Year CIP Period: Projects by Function

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>District</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transportation Initiatives - Board of Supervisors TPP</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation Initiatives - Capital Sinking Fund for County Roads</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation Initiatives - Capital Sinking Fund for Walkways</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation Initiatives - Contributed Roadway Improvements</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation Initiatives - District Walkway Projects</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation Initiatives - Dulles Rail Phase 2</td>
<td>Prov/HM/Dranes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation Initiatives - Herndon Monroe Area Development Study</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation Initiatives - Metro CIP</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation Initiatives - Reinvestment and Repairs to County Roads</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation Initiatives - Reinvestment and Repairs to Walkways</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation Initiatives - Trail Snow Removal Pilot</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation Initiatives - Transportation Planning Studies</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Supply - Additions, Extensions, and Betterments</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Supply - Extraordinary Maintenance and Repairs</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Supply - General and Administrative</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Supply - General Studies and Programs</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Supply - Potomac Stage IV General Plant Facilities</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Supply - Potomac Stage IV Transmission Facilities</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Supply - Subdivision and Other Development Projects</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Supply - System Integration - City of Falls Church &amp; City of Fairfax</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## 5-Year CIP Period: Projects by Supervisor District

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>District</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County Park Authority - Lake Accotink Dredging</td>
<td>Braddock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing and Community Development - Little River Glen I &amp; IV</td>
<td>Braddock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing and Community Development - One University</td>
<td>Braddock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Bonnie Brae Elementary</td>
<td>Braddock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Braddock Elementary</td>
<td>Braddock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Frost Middle</td>
<td>Braddock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Wakefield Forest Elementary</td>
<td>Braddock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater Management Program - Lake Accotink Dredging</td>
<td>Braddock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater Management Program - Stormwater/Wastewater Facility</td>
<td>Braddock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Countywide</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athletic Field Program - Athletic Field Maintenance (Parks)</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athletic Field Program - Athletic Fields - APRT Amenity Maintenance</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athletic Field Program - Athletic Fields - FCPS Lighting</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athletic Field Program - Athletic Fields - Park Maintenance at FCPS</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athletic Field Program - Athletic Services Fee - Custodial Support</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athletic Field Program - Athletic Services Fee - Diamond Field Maintenance</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athletic Field Program - Athletic Services Fee - Sports Scholarships</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athletic Field Program - Athletic Services Fee - Turf Field Development</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athletic Field Program - Athletic Services Fee - Turf Field Replacement</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental and Energy Program - Community - CECAP</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental and Energy Program - Community - EAF</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental and Energy Program - Community - Homewise Outreach Program</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental and Energy Program - Community - NVSWCD Intern Program</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental and Energy Program - EIP - DPMM Green Intern</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental and Energy Program - EIP - DPMM Supply Chain GHG Emissions</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental and Energy Program - EIP - DVS - Pollinator Meadows</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental and Energy Program - EIP - DVS - Water Fountains</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental and Energy Program - EIP - FCPA - Bike to Parks Pilot</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental and Energy Program - EIP - FCPA - IMA Program</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental and Energy Program - EIP - FCPA - Meadow Restorations</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental and Energy Program - EIP - FCPA - Pool UV Replacement</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental and Energy Program - EIP - FCPA - Solar Panels Support</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental and Energy Program - EIP - FCPA - Watch the Green Grow</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental and Energy Program - EIP - FCPA - Water Smart Controls</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental and Energy Program - EIP - FEEE - Composting Pilot</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental and Energy Program - EIP - FMD - Natural Landscaping</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental and Energy Program - EIP - NVSWCD CAP Program</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental and Energy Program - Energy - FCPA - Historic Houses</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental and Energy Program - Energy - FCPA - Lighting</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental and Energy Program - Energy - FCPA - Unstaffed HVAC</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental and Energy Program - Energy Strategy - EV Stations</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Fairfax County, Virginia: FY 2022 – FY 2026 Advertised CIP - 38*
## 5-Year CIP Period: Projects by Supervisor District

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>District</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Environmental and Energy Program - OES - FCG - Energy Contracts (ESCO)</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental and Energy Program - OES - FCG - FMD Retrofits</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental and Energy Program - OES - FCG - LED Streetlights</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental and Energy Program - OES - FCPA - Lighting and Retrofits</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County Park Authority - ADA Compliance - Parks</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County Park Authority - Capital Sinking Fund for Parks</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County Park Authority - Community Parks/New Facilities - 2012</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County Park Authority - Existing Facility Renovations - 2012</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County Park Authority - Land Acquisition and Open Space - 2016</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County Park Authority - Land Acquisition and Park Development - 2020</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County Park Authority - Land Acquisition and Park Development - 2024</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County Park Authority - Land Acquisition and Stewardship - 2012</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County Park Authority - Natural and Cultural Resource Stewardship - 2016</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County Park Authority - New Park Development - 2016</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County Park Authority - Park Improvement Fund</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County Park Authority - Park Infrastructure Improvements - 2016</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County Park Authority - Park Renovations and Upgrades - 2016</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County Park Authority - Parks Building/Structures Reinvestment</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County Park Authority - Parks Grounds Maintenance</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County Park Authority - Parks Infrastructure/Amenities Upgrades</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County Park Authority - Parks Preventative Maintenance and Inspections</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County Park Authority - Sportsplex Study</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Facilities and Programs - Joint Venture Development</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Facilities and Programs - NOVA Community College Contribution</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Facilities and Programs - Planning Initiatives</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Human Services - Community Center Courts Renovations</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Human Services - CSB Facility Retrofits</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Human Services - Early Childhood Education Initiatives</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Human Services - Human Services Facilities Studies</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Human Services - SACC Contribution</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing and Community Development - ADA Compliance - Housing</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing and Community Development - ADU Acquisitions</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing and Community Development - Feasibility and Site Work Studies</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing and Community Development - Housing Blueprint Project (Affordable Housing)</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing and Community Development - Rehabilitation of FCRHA Properties</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing and Community Development - Reservation/FCRHA Properties</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrades - ADA Compliance - FMD</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrades - Building Energy Management Systems</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrades - Capital Sinking Fund - FMD</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrades - Carpet Replacement</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrades - Electrical System Upgrades and Repairs</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrades - Elevator/Escalator Replacement</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrades - Emergency Building Repairs</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrades - Emergency Generator Replacement</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## 5-Year CIP Period: Projects by Supervisor District

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>District</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrades - Emergency Systems Failures</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrades - Fire Alarm System Replacements</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrades - HVAC System Upgrades and Replacement</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrades - Parking Lot and Garage Repairs</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrades - Roof Repairs and Waterproofing</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrades - Window Replacement</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries - Feasibility Studies - Library Facilities</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority - ADA Improvements</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority - Aldie Mill Historic Park</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority - Algonkian Regional Park</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority - Bull Run Regional Park</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority - Cameron Run Regional Park</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority - Carlyle House Historic Park</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority - Cattail Improvements</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority - Fountainhead Regional Park</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority - Golf Course Improvements</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority - Headquarters</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority - Hemlock Overlook Regional Park</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority - Land Acquisition</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority - Meadowlark Botanical Gardens</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority - Miscellaneous Improvements</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority - Occoquan Regional Park</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority - Park Development Support and Planning</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority - Pohick Bay Regional Park</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority - Roads and Parking</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority - Temple Hall Farm Regional Park</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority - Upton Hill Regional Park</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority - Vehicles and Equipment</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority - W&amp;OD Railroad Regional Park</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority - Waterpark Maintenance</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety - Feasibility Studies</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety Fire - Traffic Light Preemptive Devices</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety Police - Police Facility Security Upgrades</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revitalization and Neighborhood Improvements - Capital Sinking Fund for Revitalization</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revitalization and Neighborhood Improvements - Developer Defaults</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revitalization and Neighborhood Improvements - Developer Streetlight Program</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revitalization and Neighborhood Improvements - District Capital Projects</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revitalization and Neighborhood Improvements - Emergency Directive/Grass Mowing</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revitalization and Neighborhood Improvements - Minor Streetlight Upgrades</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revitalization and Neighborhood Improvements - Payments of Interest on Bond Deposits</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revitalization and Neighborhood Improvements - Revitalization Initiatives</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revitalization and Neighborhood Improvements - Revitalization Maintenance</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revitalization and Neighborhood Improvements - Strike Force Blight Abatement</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revitalization and Neighborhood Improvements - Survey Control Network Monumentation</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## 5-Year CIP Period: Projects by Supervisor District

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>District</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Sewers - AlexRenew Treatment Plant Improvements (County Share)</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Sewers - Arlington Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrade (County Share)</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Sewers - DC Water Blue Plains Treatment Plant Improvements (County Share)</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Sewers - Extension and Improvement Projects</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Sewers - Gravity Sewer Capacity Improvements</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Sewers - Gravity Sewer Conditional Improvements</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Sewers - Pumping Station Improvements</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Sewers - Sewer Metering Projects</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Sewers - Upper Occoquan Service Authority Treatment Plant Upgrade</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater Management Program - Conveyance System Inspection and Development</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater Management Program - Conveyance System Rehabilitation</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater Management Program - Dam and Facility Maintenance</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater Management Program - Dam Safety and Facility Rehabilitation</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater Management Program - Emergency and Flood Response Projects</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater Management Program - Pro Rata Share Drainage Improvements</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater Management Program - Stormwater Allocation to Towns</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater Management Program - Stormwater Regulatory Program</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater Management Program - Stormwater Related Contributories</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater Management Program - Stormwater/Wastewater Facility Debt Service</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater Management Program - Stream and Water Quality Improvements</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater Management Program - Tree Preservation and Plantings</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation Initiatives - Board of Supervisors TPP</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation Initiatives - Capital Sinking Fund for County Roads</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation Initiatives - Capital Sinking Fund for Walkways</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation Initiatives - Contributed Roadway Improvements</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation Initiatives - District Walkway Projects</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation Initiatives - Metro CIP</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation Initiatives - Reinvestment and Repairs to County Roads</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation Initiatives - Reinvestment and Repairs to Walkways</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation Initiatives - Trail Snow Removal Pilot</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation Initiatives - Transportation Planning Studies</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Supply - Additions, Extensions, and Betterments</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Supply - Extraordinary Maintenance and Repairs</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Supply - General and Administrative</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Supply - General Studies and Programs</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Supply - Potomac Stage IV General Plant Facilities</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Supply - Potomac Stage IV Transmission Facilities</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Supply - Subdivision and Other Development Projects</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Supply - System Integration - City of Falls Church &amp; City of Fairfax</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# 5-Year CIP Period: Projects by Supervisor District

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>District</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Government Facilities and Programs - Public Facilities in Tysons</td>
<td>Dranesville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Facilities and Programs - Salona Property Payment</td>
<td>Dranesville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Human Services - McLean Community Center</td>
<td>Dranesville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Clearview Elementary</td>
<td>Dranesville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Cooper Middle</td>
<td>Dranesville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Dranesville Elementary</td>
<td>Dranesville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Herndon Elementary</td>
<td>Dranesville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Herndon High</td>
<td>Dranesville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revitalization and Neighborhood Improvements - Revitalization - Mclean</td>
<td>Dranesville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Human Services - Willard Health Center - 2020</td>
<td>Fairfax City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Facilities and Programs - RTCN Library and Community Space</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Facilities and Programs - RTCN Parking Garage</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Human Services - Embry Rucker Shelter - 2016</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Human Services - Reston Community Center</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Human Services - RTCN Human Services Center</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing and Community Development - Crescent Rehabilitation</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing and Community Development - Stonegate Renovation</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries - Patrick Henry Community Library - 2020</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries - Reston Regional Library - 2012</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety Fire - Fox Mill Fire Station - 2022</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety Fire - Reston Fire Station - 2015</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Armstrong Elementary</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Crossfield Elementary</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Fox Mill Elementary</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Hughes Middle</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Louise Archer Elementary</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Madison High Addition</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation Initiatives - Herndon Monroe Area Development Study</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## 5-Year CIP Period: Projects by Supervisor District

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>District</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lee District</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Human Services - Community Center in Lee District</td>
<td>Lee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Human Services - Crossroads Renovation - 2020</td>
<td>Lee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing and Community Development - Murraygate Village Apartments Renovation</td>
<td>Lee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing and Community Development - Oakwood Senior Housing</td>
<td>Lee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries - Kingstowne Regional Library - 2020</td>
<td>Lee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety Police - Franconia Police Station - 2015</td>
<td>Lee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Hybla Valley Elementary</td>
<td>Lee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Route 1 Elementary</td>
<td>Lee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Twain Middle</td>
<td>Lee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revitalization and NeighborhoodRevitalization - Richmond Highway</td>
<td>Lee/Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mason District</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental and Energy Program - EIP - FCPA - Magnolia Bog Restoration</td>
<td>Mason</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental and Energy Program - EIP - NCS - Permeable Athletic Courts</td>
<td>Mason</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Human Services - East County Health and Human Services Center</td>
<td>Mason</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Human Services - Patrick Henry Shelter - 2016</td>
<td>Mason</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries - George Mason Regional Library - 2020</td>
<td>Mason</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety Fire - Edsall Fire Station - 2015</td>
<td>Mason</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety Fire - Jefferson Fire Station - 2012</td>
<td>Mason</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety Fire - Seven Corners Fire Station - 2018</td>
<td>Mason</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety Fire - Volunteer Fire Station - 2018</td>
<td>Mason</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety Police - Mason Police Station - 2018</td>
<td>Mason</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety Police - Police Tactical Operations - 2015</td>
<td>Mason</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Annandale Terrace Elementary</td>
<td>Mason</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Bren Mar Park Elementary</td>
<td>Mason</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Falls Church High</td>
<td>Mason</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Justice High Addition</td>
<td>Mason</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revitalization and Neighborhood Improvements - Revitalization - Mason District</td>
<td>Mason</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## 5-Year CIP Period: Projects by Supervisor District

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>District</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mount Vernon District</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Facilities and Programs - Original Mount Vernon HS Building Renovation</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Facilities and Programs - Original Mount Vernon HS Site Development</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Facilities and Programs - Workhouse Campus Improvements</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Human Services - Eleanor Kennedy Shelter - 2016</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Human Services - Lorton Community Center - 2016</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing and Community Development - North Hill</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries - Lorton Community Library</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries - Sherwood Regional Library - 2020</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety Fire - Gunston Fire Station - 2018</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety Fire - Lorton Volunteer Fire Station</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety Fire - Mount Vernon Fire Station - 2018</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety Fire - Penn Daw Fire Station - 2015</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety Fire - Woodlawn Fire Station - 2015</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety Police - South County Police Station/Animal Shelter - 2015</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Belle View Elementary</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Saratoga Elementary</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Washington Mill Elementary</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - West Potomac High Addition</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Sewers - NCPCP Rehabilitation and Replacement</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid Waste - I-95 Landfill Closure</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid Waste - I-95 Landfill Environmental Compliance</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid Waste - I-95 Landfill Leachate Facility</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid Waste - I-95 Landfill Lot B Redesign</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid Waste - I-95 Landfill Methane Gas Recovery</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid Waste - I-95 Landfill New Service Road</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid Waste - I-95 Operations Building Renovations</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid Waste - I-95 Transfer/Materials Recovery Facility</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid Waste - Newington Refuse Facility Enhancements</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## 5-Year CIP Period: Projects by Supervisor District

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>District</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Providence District</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Court Facilities - ADC Security/Renovation - 2018</td>
<td>Providence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Court Facilities - Courtroom Renovations Bond Funded - 2012</td>
<td>Providence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Court Facilities - Courtroom Renovations Equipment/Furniture</td>
<td>Providence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Facilities and Programs - Judicial Complex Redevelopment</td>
<td>Providence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety Fire - Merrifield Fire Station - 2015</td>
<td>Providence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety Fire - Oakton Fire Station - 2022</td>
<td>Providence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety Fire - Scotts Run Fire Station</td>
<td>Providence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety Fire - Tysons Fire Station</td>
<td>Providence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety Police - Police Evidence Storage Annex - 2018</td>
<td>Providence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Mosby Woods Elementary</td>
<td>Providence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Oakton High</td>
<td>Providence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Waples Mill Elementary</td>
<td>Providence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation Initiatives - Dulles Rail Phase 2</td>
<td>Prov/HM/Dranes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Springfield District</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Human Services - Springfield Community Resource Center - 2024</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing and Community Development - Autumn Willow Senior Housing</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrades - MPSTOC County Support for Renewal</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrades - MPSTOC State Support for Renewal</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety Fire - Fairview Fire Station - 2018</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety Police - Police Heliport - 2015</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Centreville High</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Sangster Elementary</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Silverbrook Elementary</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Union Mill Elementary</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Willow Springs Elementary</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revitalization and Neighborhood Improvements - Revitalization - Springfield</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid Waste - I-66 Basement Drainage Renovation</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid Waste - I-66 Environmental Compliance</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid Waste - I-66 Landfill Methane Gas Recovery</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid Waste - I-66 Permit and Receiving Center Renovation</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid Waste - I-66 Transport Study/Site Redevelopment</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## 5-Year CIP Period: Projects by Supervisor District

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>District</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sully District</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental and Energy Program - EIP - FCPA - Sully Woodlands Center</td>
<td>Sully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Human Services - Sully Community Center - 2016</td>
<td>Sully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Human Services - Tim Harmon Campus Renovaitons - 2024</td>
<td>Sully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing and Community Development - Housing Route 50/West Ox Road</td>
<td>Sully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety Fire - Chantilly Fire Station - 2022</td>
<td>Sully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety Police - Criminal Justice Academy - 2018</td>
<td>Sully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Brookfield Elementary</td>
<td>Sully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Centre Ridge Elementary</td>
<td>Sully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Cub Run Elementary</td>
<td>Sully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Franklin Middle</td>
<td>Sully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Lees Corner Elementary</td>
<td>Sully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - McNair Upper (North West County) Elementary</td>
<td>Sully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Oak Hill Elementary</td>
<td>Sully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Poplar Tree Elementary</td>
<td>Sully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Rocky Run Middle</td>
<td>Sully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Virginia Run Elementary</td>
<td>Sully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>To Be Determined</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety Fire - Welfit Performance Testing Center - 2022</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Dunn Loring Elementary</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Four New and/or Repurposed Schools</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Future Western High</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Modular Relocations</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Silver Line Elementary</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Site Acquisition</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CIP Project Locations

Summary
The link below provides a Fairfax County interactive map which includes a comprehensive view of all CIP project locations.

http://fairfaxcountygis.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=785a6a88edea4f039489dd4eb225b654

Projects are grouped into categories and contain status information. In addition, the projects can be filtered by Supervisory District.

How to Use
Navigate by either using the zoom +/- button or with your mouse scroll bar. Click, hold, and drag with your mouse to move around the map.

Click on the icons to view pop-up project information, including project name, category, status, and address.

- Use the Filter widget to filter by category, Supervisory District, and/or project status.
- Use the Bookmark widget to zoom to a specific supervisor district.
- Legend defines map symbols.
- Select Home to return back to the full county extent.

Use the search bar to search by address or place.
This section of the Capital Improvement Program (CIP) identifies projects partially or fully financed through partnership agreements or alternate financing methods. Most of these partnerships are with private entities, however, some include regional, state or federal partners.

The facilities referred to in this section represent multiple program areas, but may not have been originally included in the CIP, as one of the potential advantages of the Public Private Partnership (P3)/Joint Venture Development process is to accomplish the delivery of needed facilities sooner than the regular process and funding availability may allow. Marshalling resources and circumstances unique to each project allow them to move forward without affecting or detracting from resources available for other projects. P3s are one of the tools used to implement policies and goals to facilitate the Economic Success of Fairfax County. The focus of P3 projects is to create value, build community, and improve service delivery, three important elements to facilitate future economic success.

Procurements involving some form of partnership with private or public entities have provided benefits to the County in education, transportation, public safety, human services, and other functions. Projects that are being significantly funded through such partnerships are presented in this section to provide a more comprehensive view of partnership activity in the County.

In 1987, the Government Center, with the associated Pennino and Herrity buildings, was the first major capital project to be funded and completed through a public private partnership. Since then the County has used this method of financing significant projects to provide for needed facilities that could not be funded through the normal CIP process. Some of the County and School projects funded using EDA bonds include the South County Government Center, the South County Secondary School and the Laurel Hill Golf Course, the School Administration Building, the Merrifield Human Services Center, the Providence Community Center, the Public Safety and Transportation Operations Center (PSTOC) and the Lewinsville Senior Center and Child Care facility.

Several transportation projects have also completed through the use of public-private partnerships, or partnerships with regional or state entities, include the widening of Route 28, the West Ox Bus Operations Center, the VDOT Administration Building, Silver Line Phases I and II, the Herndon Station Garage and the Innovation Center Station Garage.

Since the passage of the Public-Private Educational Facilities and Infrastructure Act (PPEA) of 2002, the County has been engaged in various analyses and negotiations for significant capital projects, both solicited and unsolicited. The County continues to review and negotiate a number of projects and proposals that are expected to provide significant benefits when complete. Some of the active projects are detailed below:

1. **Rail to Dulles (Providence, Hunter Mill, Dranesville Districts):** The Rail to Dulles project includes the completion of a 23-mile extension of the Metro rail line, beginning between the East and West Falls Church Stations located along I-66, extending along the Dulles Connector Road (DCR) to Route 123, then through Tyson’s Corner to Route 7, turning west to reconnect with the Dulles International Airport Access Highway (DIAAH) and then to Dulles Airport and into Loudoun County. When complete, the new line will have eleven stations, including eight in Fairfax County. There will be four in Tysons, and stations at Wiehle Avenue, Reston Town Center, Herndon, and Innovation Center.
In 2008, the Commonwealth of Virginia completed the transfer of the Dulles Toll Road from state control to the Metropolitan Washington Airports Authority (MWAA), to manage construction of the rail line. The primary source of funding to complete the rail line will be toll road revenues (approximately 49 percent), with $900 million, or 15.8 percent, from the Federal government, 16.1 percent from Fairfax County, 4.8 percent from Loudoun County, 4.1 percent from MWAA airport revenues, and 10.1 percent from the Commonwealth of Virginia. A design build contract for Phase 1 was approved with Dulles Transit Partners under the state's PPTA authority. Final design and construction activities officially began in March 2009 upon approval and issuance of a Full Funding Grant Agreement (FFGA) by the Federal Transit Administration. The Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority (WMATA) began revenue operations for Phase 1 on July 26, 2014. Landowners petitioned the Board of Supervisors to establish a special district for the express purpose of providing funds for the construction of rail to Wiehle Avenue, assuming another tax district would be established to fund the portion of the rail line beyond Wiehle Avenue. In the fall of 2013, the County completed its $400 million payment for Phase 1 construction costs from the Phase 1 tax district through a combination of tax collections and bond proceeds. The current tax rate for the Phase 1 district is $0.09 cents per $100 of assessed value and will remain in effect until all debt service payments have been paid in full.

The Washington Metropolitan Airports Authority (MWAA) completed preliminary engineering and awarded the Phase 2 construction contract in May 2014. Phase 2 is estimated to cost $2.8 billion. Fairfax County and Loudoun County are funding the parking garages ($315 million) separately from the project. The County, in addition to the other local funding partners, approved a Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) in late 2011 to proceed with Phase 2 of the Project. The MOA explicitly recognizes that Fairfax County will pay no more than 16.1 percent of the total project cost as previously stated in the Funding Agreement. For Phase 2, landowners in the western part of the line petitioned the County to form a special district to provide up to $330 million of the County’s Phase 2 costs to take the project from Wiehle Avenue to Loudoun County. A special tax rate has been assessed to provide financing for construction at an initial tax rate of $0.05 per $100 of assessed value beginning in FY 2011, with annual increases of $0.05 up to a maximum of $0.20 per $100 of assessed valuation as incorporated in the FY 2014 through FY 2021 Adopted Budget Plans. The tax rate included in the FY 2022 Advertised Budget Plan remains at $0.20 per $100 of assessed value. When full revenue operations commence on Phase 2, which is tentatively planned by MWAA for fall 2021, the tax rate may be set at the level necessary to support the District's debt obligations per the terms of the district petition.

The balance of the total project funds owed by the County net of the two tax districts and regional transportation funding is approximately $187 million for both phases of the project. These funds are expected to be paid from future special Commercial and Industrial (C&I) tax revenues along with $10 million in 70 percent regional funding from the Northern Virginia Transportation Authority (NVTA). In addition, the Funding Partners closed on a United States Department of Transportation Infrastructure Finance and Innovation Act (TIFIA) loan. This loan offers competitive interest rates, unique financing provisions and will fund a majority of the Funding Partners remaining project costs. Fairfax County closed on its $403.3 million TIFIA loan in December 2014. This project is further referenced in the Transportation Initiatives section of the CIP.
2. **Herndon Monroe Area Development Study (Hunter Mill District):** Funding in the amount of $625,000 has been approved to support the master planning effort associated with County owned property at the west side of the Herndon Monroe Park and Ride facility/Herndon Monroe Metro Station Garage site. The goal of the study is to determine the development potential for the approximately 28-acre site and define possible conceptual development options for its use while maintaining the transportation assets. The study is underway with a consultant team of architects and land planners, civil and traffic engineers, and wetlands and environmental consultants. The team is evaluating opportunities for Transit Oriented Development concepts and options, consistent with the Comprehensive Plan goals on this site. The County will solicit development proposals by issuing a Request for Proposals in mid-2021. This project is further referenced in the Transportation Initiatives section of the CIP.

3. **Crescent Redevelopment (Hunter Mill District):** This project provides for repairs to facilitate the redevelopment of the county-owned Crescent Apartments site. The Crescent Apartments, a 181-unit apartment complex acquired by the County in FY 2006, and located adjacent to Lake Anne in Reston, near the Wiehle-Reston East Metrorail and the Reston Town Center, is managed by the FCRHA on behalf of the Board of Supervisors. This project is further referenced in the Housing and Community Development section of the CIP.

4. **North Hill (Mount Vernon District):** This project supports the development of the North Hill property, a 33-acre site owned by FCRHA located at the intersection of Richmond Highway and Dart Drive. The project is being developed through the PPEA process. The FCRHA closed on the deal with the developer in June 2020. Approximately one third of the property will be sold to a developer to create 175 for-sale market rate townhomes. The rest of the property will be developed to create 219 multi-family affordable workforce units, 60 affordable independent senior housing units, and a 12-acre public park. The townhouse land sale proceeds will be used to fund the infrastructure needed for the affordable rental development. This project is further referenced in the Housing and Community Development section of the CIP.

5. **Oakwood Senior Housing (Lee District):** This project has been identified for predevelopment activities associated with the development of a 6.2-acre site owned by the FCRHA located at the intersection of Oakwood Road and Van Dorn Street. A developer was selected in January 2019 through the PPEA process. The land use approval process is complete. The proposed development will produce 150 units of affordable independent senior housing. This project is further referenced in the Housing and Community Development section of the CIP.

6. **One University (Braddock District):** This project has been identified for redevelopment activities associated with the One University site, which is located at the intersection of Route 123 and University Drive. A developer was selected in July 2018 and the land use approval process is complete. The project was awarded Low Income Housing Tax Credits in June 2020. The proposed development includes replacing the existing affordable housing (Robinson Square) and the existing FCRHA facilities with the construction of 240 units of affordable housing and 333 units of student housing. This project is further referenced in the Housing and Community Development section of the CIP.
7. Autumn Willow Senior Housing (Springfield District): This project has been identified for predevelopment activities associated with the development of a 10.9-acre site owned by the FCRHA located at the intersection of Stringfellow Road and Autumn Willow Drive. A developer has been selected for the project under the Public Private Infrastructure and Education Act of 2002 (PPEA) process. The land use approval process was initiated by the developer in September 2020. The project scope is to develop 150 units of affordable independent senior housing. This project is further referenced in the Housing and Community Development section of the CIP.

8. Housing Route 50/West Ox Road (Sully District): This project has been identified for predevelopment activities. The Board of Supervisors has transferred the property to the FCRHA for the purpose of creating affordable housing. This project is further referenced in the Housing and Community Development section of the CIP.

9. Affordable Housing Development Opportunities (Countywide): Fairfax County and the FCRHA are actively pursuing additional opportunities throughout the County to expand the affordable and workforce housing stock to better serve low- and middle-income residents. Possible projects include opportunities to create additional rental units and for-sale units to address the growing shortage of affordable living units for County residents, families, and seniors. This project is further referenced in the Future Project Lists and Details section of the CIP.

10. East County Human Services Center (Mason District): This facility will provide enhanced service delivery to the residents of the eastern part of the County through consolidation of existing leased spaces and provide an integrated Health and Human Services site. Funding in the amount of $5,375,000 has been approved from 2004 Human Services Bonds remaining from completed projects to support initial studies, and pre-design activities. Site location options for East County Human Services Center are being evaluated including, repurposing of existing office buildings in the service area. It is anticipated that EDA bonds will finance the project. This project is further referenced in the Health and Human Services portion of the CIP.

11. Reston Town Center North (RTCN) Library and Community Spaces (Hunter Mill District): Approximately $39.5 million is proposed for a replacement Reston Regional Library, community space, and common site infrastructure as part of the overall master plan to reconfigure the properties into a grid of streets and provide integrated redevelopment of approximately 50 acres currently owned by Fairfax County and Inova. The plan addresses the development potential consistent with the needs of the community. Development concepts for the proposed County blocks, library location and procurement options including Public Private Partnerships are being reviewed. Funding of $10 million was approved as part of the 2012 Library Bond Referendum for the Library, and Economic Development Authority bond financing is anticipated to fund the remaining $29.5 million required to implement the Library and Community Spaces plan and the share of common site infrastructure. This project is further referenced in the Government Facilities and Programs section of the CIP.

12. Reston Town Center North (RTCN) Shelter and Human Services Center (Hunter Mill District): Approximately $162 million is proposed for the Reston Town Center North (RTCN) Human Services Complex including the replacement of the existing North County Health and Human Services Center, the replacement the existing Embry Rucker Shelter with an added supportive housing component, associated parking and site work and the required common site infrastructure. This project is part of an overall master plan for redevelopment of the approximately 50 acre property currently owned by Fairfax County and Inova. The Human
Service Center is proposed to support a consolidation of existing leased spaces into one Human Services Center and provide enhanced, integrated multi-disciplinary services to residents in the western part of the County. The North County Human Services Center replacement will be part of future phase development anticipated to be in a 5 to 10-year timeframe. An emergency shelter with additional supportive housing to replace the existing Embry Rucker Shelter is also part of the overall redevelopment master plan. Funding of $12 million was approved as part of the fall 2016 Human Services/Community Development Bond Referendum for the replacement of the Embry Rucker Shelter and it is anticipated that EDA bonds will finance the remaining requirement of $150 million to implement the project. This project is further referenced in the Health and Human Services section of the CIP.

13. Reston Town Center North (RTCN) RECenter (Hunter Mill District): As part of the development of the RTCN area, the Fairfax County Park Authority is considering the future development of a new RECenter to serve the high demand in the Reston area. The required funding for the facility and its associated block and common site infrastructure costs will be determined in the future. This RECenter may include facilities for indoor aquatics, fitness, sports and other recreation programs to meet the need of the surrounding community and will be determined by a future market-based study prior to development. This project is further referenced in the Future Project Lists and Details section of the CIP.

14. Reston Town Center North (RTCN) Parking Garage (Hunter Mill District): Approximately $35 million is estimated to support the design and construction of a parking garage to support the facilities planned at the RTCN complex. This project is further referenced in the Government Facilities and Programs section of the CIP.

15. Original Mount Vernon High School Building Renovation (Mount Vernon District): Approximately $86.65 million is estimated to fully fund the renovation of the Original Mount Vernon High School Building and associated site work. This project includes building studies, redevelopment master planning, design and construction for interim occupancies and the renovations and adaptive reuse of the historic Original Mount Vernon High School facility. The facility was vacated in 2016 at the conclusion of a long-term lease. The building was constructed in 1939 and the programming and design phase of the project is underway for the adaptive reuse potential to provide pathways to opportunity for the community through a wide range of programs and spaces. Immediate occupancy for the use of the gym began in fall 2016. The Fire Marshal and Fairfax County Public Schools have occupied a portion of the building since July 2017, to improve service delivery in the South County area and the Teen/Senior Program from the Gerry Hyland Government Center relocated to the space near the gym in spring 2020. Funding of $650,000 was previously approved, and both EDA bonds and historic tax credits are anticipated to fund the remaining $86 million. This project is further referenced in the Government Facilities and Programs section of the CIP.
16. Original Mount Vernon High School Site Development (Mount Vernon District): This project includes the redevelopment of the Original Mount Vernon High School site, and the adjacent Park Authority site and facilities. The County solicited development proposals in spring 2017, under the PPEA Guidelines, to develop a Master Plan and evaluated a range of development options with focus on programs to serve a wide range of community needs and opportunities. The initial master planning effort was completed in 2019 and priority was placed on the renovations and adaptive reuse of the historic high school facilities as the first phase of redevelopment. The balance of the site redevelopment will be reviewed at a future time in coordination with the Bus Rapid Transit project on Richmond Highway. This project is further referenced in the Government Facilities and Programs section of the CIP.

17. Judicial Complex Redevelopment (Providence District): A Master Plan study was completed in 2020 which evaluated the needs, constraints and opportunities on the Judicial Complex site following the demolition of the Massey Building. The Master Plan provides a strategic plan and an urban vision for the redevelopment of the Complex. The project is envisioned to be completed in phases to ensure the coordinated long-term implementation of the redevelopment of the 48 acre site. Public facility priorities include future Criminal Justice, Public Safety and Health & Human Services’ programs, as well as the restoration of the Historic Old Courthouse and grounds. County programs currently in nearby leased spaces, such as the Health Department and Office for Children are being evaluated for future inclusion in the redevelopment plans, and opportunities for public private partnerships will be assessed. Funding in the amount of $300,000 has been allocated to advance the planning and preliminary design of Building One on the Complex. Building One is proposed as a mixed-use facility and includes public safety, expanded court services programs, court support services currently located at the Burkholder Administrative Center, and the replacement of the Police Evidence Storage Facility. Future phases include development of Workforce Housing at the Burkholder Administrative Center site, a new Diversion and Community Re-Entry Center, demolition of the two 1950’s Old Courthouse wings and sallyport at the rear of the building and restoration of the affected site area, Old Courthouse building renewal with upgrades and replacement of outdated critical building systems, removal of the employee parking garage and modifications to the public parking garage. This project is further referenced in the Government Facilities and Programs section of the CIP.

18. Workhouse Campus Improvements (Mount Vernon District): Funding of $8.0 million has been identified for improvements at the Workhouse Campus of which $3.0 million is supported by the General Fund and $5.0 million will be supported by future EDA bonds. The overarching vision for the 50-acre Campus is to establish a widely recognized destination of choice, providing dynamic and engaging arts, cultural, educational, and community experiences with unique economic development opportunities. The county owned Campus contains numerous historic buildings formerly used to house and rehabilitate inmates. A number of buildings currently in use on the site have been renovated and are being adaptively reused as an arts center. The County is exploring the adaptive reuse potential for the remaining vacant campus buildings and the potential enhancement of the Ox Road Streetscape. Funding has been approved for the historic preservation and renovations for adaptive reuse of two buildings, identified as Workhouse-13 and Workhouse-15. This project is further referenced in the Government Facilities and Programs section of the CIP.
19. **Tysons Public Facilities: (TBD):** As part of the redevelopment of the Tysons area, the Department of Planning and Development is working with developers to proffer public facilities. The County has been successful in negotiating public facility proffers from a number of zoning applicants, including, but not limited to: a replacement Tysons Fire Station (#29), creation of a new Scotts Run Fire Station (Tysons East), a library, athletic fields, and meeting rooms/office space. Negotiations for additional facilities are on-going. Many of these facilities will be provided by the private sector either as part of their development or as a result of proffered commitments. The proffers are anticipated to primarily fund these projects. The construction of these facilities is dependent upon the progression of development in the area. This project is further referenced in the Future Project Lists and Details section of the CIP.

20. **Willston Multi-Cultural Center (Mason District):** The Willston Multi-Cultural Center is planned to be redeveloped in the future. The Seven Corners area plan envisions redevelopment around a mixed use, walkable community development. This project is further referenced in the Future Project Lists and Details section of the CIP.

21. **Sports Complex Opportunities (TBD):** In June 2017, the Board of Supervisors formed a Sports Tourism Task Force to look at potential ways to support the growing youth and adult sports market and build new sporting facilities for County residents. There may be potential partnerships with sporting leagues and non-profits to expand the capacity to host sport tourism events in Fairfax. These opportunities are in the early planning stages. This project is further referenced in the Future Project Lists and Details section of the CIP.

22. **Willard Health Center Campus Redevelopment (Fairfax City):** The County entered into an agreement with the City of Fairfax in August 2017 for a shared feasibility study of a joint redevelopment project. The study was completed in March 2019 and master plan concepts were developed to maximize the use of the County-owned Joseph Willard Health Center and JoAnne Jorgenson Laboratory, with the City-owned Sherwood Center and City of Fairfax Police Department sites, including improved parking, and vehicular and pedestrian circulation between the sites. The City is seeking ways to address the recreational needs of the community, as identified in their Green Acres Center Feasibility Study. The renovation of the Willard Health Center portion of this project was approved as part of the 2020 Human Services Bond Referendum. This project is further referenced in the Health and Human Services section of the CIP.

23. **Patrick Henry Library Partnership (Hunter Mill District):** Patrick Henry Community Library is one of the busiest community libraries, operating at a level of a small regional library. Replacement is required to upgrade building systems and infrastructure that are well beyond the end of their life cycle and to meet current and future operational needs of the Library System. In July 2020, Fairfax County entered into an agreement with the Town of Vienna for the proposed joint redevelopment to replace the library and provide additional structured parking to meet the needs of both the County and Town. The renovation of the Patrick Henry Library portion of this project was approved as part of the 2020 Library Bond Referendum. This project is further referenced in the Libraries section of the CIP.
This section provides a compilation of the Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrade requirements associated with the various program areas contained in the Capital Improvement Program (CIP). Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrades is the planned replacement of building subsystems such as roofs, electrical systems, HVAC systems, plumbing systems and other infrastructure that has reached the end of its useful life. Without significant reinvestment in building subsystems, older facilities can fall into a state of deterioration and the maintenance and repair costs necessary to keep them functional will increase. One of the primary roles in facility management is to maximize the life of facilities, avoid their obsolescence and provide for a planned program of repairs, improvements and restorations. Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrades projects also include the reinvestment required for stormwater facilities and conveyance pipes, sewer lines, parks, trails, and bus shelters. It is important to support the reinvestment and maintenance of spaces, structures and infrastructure in a routine, scheduled, or anticipated fashion to prevent failure and/or degradation. More importantly, this type of infrastructure replacement and upgrade work can reduce the potential for the exorbitant cost and inconvenience associated with unanticipated failures and safety concerns.

The Public Facilities Policy Plan within the Fairfax County Comprehensive Plan, includes the following established objectives:

- Construct and maintain facilities in accord with expected levels of service objectives and fiscal limitations.

Source: Fairfax County Comprehensive Plan, 2017 Edition; Policy Plan

As the County infrastructure ages, more frequent replacement and upgrades are required. Fairfax County’s inventory of infrastructure includes not only government buildings, but housing units, miles of walkways and sewer pipe and many facilities such as residential facilities and fire stations that operate 24/7, 365 days per year. Infrastructure replacement and upgrades are prioritized based on life safety concerns, repair history and availability of replacement parts. The following chart displays some of the types of infrastructure that the County is responsible for maintaining.
In the Spring of 2014, the Board of Supervisors and the School Board approved the Infrastructure Financing Committee’s Final Report and Recommendations regarding long-term maintenance plans for both the County and Schools. The Report contained many recommendations, one of which was to develop standard definitions. The Joint Board definition approved for Infrastructure Replacements and Upgrades is stated below:

**Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrades**

Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrades refers to the planned replacement of building subsystems that have reached the end of their useful life. These systems, once replaced, will have an average life cycle of 20 years or more. Without significant reinvestment in facility subsystems, older facilities can fall into a state of ever-decreasing condition and functionality, and the maintenance and repair costs necessary to operate the facilities increase. Currently these types of Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrades are funded within operational budgets or financed using municipal bonds. Examples of Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrades include:

- Roof Replacement
- Electrical System Replacement
- HVAC Replacements
- Plumbing Systems Replacements
- Replacement Windows
- Parking Lot Resurfacing
- Fire Alarm System Replacements
- Sprinkler Systems
- Emergency Generator Replacements
- Elevator Replacement

**Infrastructure Life Cycles**

For planning purposes, the County uses the following life cycle guidelines when projecting future replacement requirements. Some of the major work completed annually at County facilities includes the replacement of building subsystems: HVAC and electrical system repairs and replacement, roof repairs and waterproofing, carpet replacement, parking lot and garage repairs, window repairs/replacement, elevator/escalator repairs/replacement, fire alarm replacement and emergency generator replacement. Replacement of these building subsystems is based on not only age and lifecycle, but on repair history, safety concerns, and availability of replacement parts. The following chart includes the expected lifecycle of building infrastructure.
Countywide Infrastructure Replacement Requirements

General Guidelines for Expected Service Life of Building Subsystems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subsystem</th>
<th>Expected Service Life</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Electrical</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lighting</td>
<td>20 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generators</td>
<td>25 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service/Power</td>
<td>25 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire Alarms</td>
<td>15 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Plumbing</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pumps</td>
<td>15 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pipes and fittings</td>
<td>30 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixtures</td>
<td>30 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Finishes</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broadloom Carpet</td>
<td>7 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carpet Tiles</td>
<td>15 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systems Furniture</td>
<td>20 to 25 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HVAC</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment</td>
<td>20 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boilers</td>
<td>15 to 30 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Control Systems</td>
<td>7 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conveying Systems</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevator</td>
<td>25 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Escalator</td>
<td>25 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paving</td>
<td>15 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Roofs</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replacement</td>
<td>20 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Program Area Requirements

The sections that follow are grouped by specific capital program areas. Each program area has identified slightly different methodologies for categorizing projects, measuring the facility conditions and identifying funding sources. Many program areas are assessed on an annual basis and some have developed multi-year plans for maintaining infrastructure.

Each year, many County agencies prioritize and classify infrastructure replacement and upgrades projects into five categories. Projects are classified as Category F: urgent/safety related, or endangering life and/or property; Category D: critical systems beyond their useful life or in danger of possible failure; Category C: life-cycle repairs/replacements where repairs are no longer cost effective; Category B: repairs needed for improvements if funding is available, and Category A: good condition. Other County organizations have other methodologies for prioritization of projects, including the Fairfax County Public Schools (FCPS). FCPS uses a Comprehensive Investment Capital Plan (CICP) which provides an assessment index (AI) to prioritize capital asset renewal projects, based on the capital asset's useful life and criticality.

County Facility Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrades - FMD

The Facilities Management Department (FMD) currently provides support for evaluating facilities, identifying problems, developing costs estimates, establishing priorities and performing the upgrades required to county-owned buildings. Fairfax County will have a projected FY 2022 facility inventory of nearly 12 million square feet of space throughout the County (excluding schools, parks, and housing facilities). This inventory is expanding both with the addition of newly constructed facilities and with the acquisition of additional property. With such a large inventory, it is critical that a planned program of repairs and restorations be maintained. In addition, the age of a major portion of this inventory of facilities is reaching a point where major reinvestments are required in the building subsystems. The chart below includes the types of facilities managed by FMD.
FMD is currently responsible for an inventory of 257 buildings. Of this amount, 27 facilities are maintained by FMD, but Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrade work is performed by another entity, such as the Department of Housing and Community Development. As the inventory of County facilities age, reinvestment in buildings and building equipment becomes critical. Currently, 70 percent of the buildings for which FMD has responsibility for infrastructure replacement and upgrades are over 20 years old. Per industry standards, most building systems require replacement at 20 to 25 years of age. Infrastructure replacement and upgrades extend the serviceability and life of a building and provide for the continued effective, efficient, and safe operation of a building. These needs vary by building size and type, the extent of facility use, and maintenance management.
Many County facilities have outdated HVAC and electrical systems which are susceptible to failure or are highly inefficient energy users. Sites are identified and each individual project involves a two-step process normally requiring two years to complete design and construction. Roof repairs and waterproofing are conducted in priority order after an annual evaluation of the maintenance history. Based upon the results of that evaluation, critical requirements are prioritized, and a plan is established. Repairs and replacement of facility roofs are considered critical to avoid serious structural deterioration caused by water leaks. By addressing this problem in a comprehensive manner, a major backlog of roof problems can be avoided. Carpet replacement and parking lot resurfacing are evaluated annually and prioritized, based on the most critical requirements for high traffic areas. In addition, emergency generators and fire alarm systems are replaced based on equipment age, coupled with maintenance and performance history. Minor repairs and renovations are also conducted in priority order. These projects, usually generated by customer requests, are small projects which abate building obsolescence, improve facility efficiency and effectiveness and address major structural repairs.

Each year, FMD prioritizes and classifies infrastructure replacement and upgrades projects into five categories. Projects are classified as Category F: urgent/safety related, or endangering life and/or property; Category D: critical systems beyond their useful life or in danger of possible failure; Category C: life-cycle repairs/replacements where repairs are no longer cost effective; Category B: repairs needed for improvements if funding is available, and Category A: good condition.

**Acceptable levels of Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrades**

For many years, the requirement for County infrastructure replacement and upgrades has been estimated at $26 million per year. This estimate is based on collected assessment data, as well as industry standards (2 percent of the current replacement value). Based on current staffing levels, the complexity of many of the projects, and the timeline for completing replacement and upgrade projects, it is estimated that approximately $15 million per year would be a good funding goal.

The following chart includes both funded and unfunded infrastructure replacement and upgrade requirements identified to date at County owned facilities. This list totals approximately $115 million, of which $6.282 million will be considered for funding as part of a quarterly review during FY 2021. It should be noted that when facilities undergo full renovation, they are removed from this list reducing the outstanding requirements. In addition, this number is a moving target, as building systems and components can fail without notice and many buildings in the portfolio have not been assessed in over 15 years. In addition, many of facilities with category “F” and “D” upgrade projects identified may not be remediated for several years. The backlog requirements will continue to increase as capital components pass the end of their useful life.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Proposed FY 2021 Quarterly Review</th>
<th>Unfunded</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Asphalt and Paving</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$6,991,144</td>
<td>$6,991,144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Envelope</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$9,837,148</td>
<td>$9,837,148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$18,943,218</td>
<td>$18,943,218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevators and Escalators</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$5,120,298</td>
<td>$5,120,298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire Alarms and Fire</td>
<td>$502,000</td>
<td>$9,235,874</td>
<td>$9,737,874</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generators</td>
<td>$670,000</td>
<td>$596,132</td>
<td>$1,266,132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HVAC &amp; Building Automation</td>
<td>$2,825,000</td>
<td>$42,180,758</td>
<td>$45,005,758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interior &amp; Exterior Repairs</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>$4,445,945</td>
<td>$5,445,945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plumbing</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>$7,597,304</td>
<td>$7,747,304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roof</td>
<td>$1,135,000</td>
<td>$2,549,476</td>
<td>$3,684,476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking Garage</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,339,000</td>
<td>$1,339,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$6,282,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$108,836,297</strong></td>
<td><strong>$115,118,297</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Emergency System Failures Project**

In addition to the planned replacement of building systems, unplanned emergencies often occur. As part of the FY 2013 Carryover Review, the Board of Supervisors approved an amount of $5.0 million to support unexpected emergency system failures that occur at aging County facilities throughout the year. This project provides a source of funding for unforeseen emergency repairs and allows FMD to address projects not currently funded for which repairs are becoming more imminent. The Board makes every effort to replenish this fund annually as part of the Carryover Review in order to maintain this level of funding and address emergency repairs at facilities in the event of a major systems failure. Some examples of Emergency System Failure projects include: the replacement of a failed Uninterruptable Power Supply at the Jennings Courthouse, replacement of failed piping in the Pennino Building child care center kitchen, replacement of electrical service at West Ox Department of Vehicle Services Garage to correct safety issues, structural repairs to the Government Center cooling towers and platforms, replacement of a failed roof top unit at the Kings Park Library, and replacement of the failed/leaking roof at the Jennings Courthouse.
Fairfax County Public Schools (FCPS)

Fairfax County Public School (FCPS) maintains more than 27 million square feet of school buildings and office space. To date, FCPS has inventoried most major building systems, as well as the associated equipment, and developed analytics to identify life cycle expectations and optimize service by application of an effective maintenance and replacement strategy. Along with life cycle analysis, a process was established to assign a base condition and mission criticality rating to each asset. The base asset condition and criticality rating combine to provide an industry accepted asset assessment index (AI) value, which allows staff to prioritize resources for maintenance and replacement.

The core program provides the foundation to ensure proper Resource Stewardship but needs additional investment to engage a third party for comprehensive condition assessment of each asset. A recent review by the Office of the Auditor General recommended implementing a systemic assessment of all FCPS facilities over a 5-year period, or 20 percent of all facilities per year. FCPS met with the Facility Engineering Association (FEA) to review performing a comprehensive facility condition assessment and discuss similar programs that FEA provides to neighboring school divisions. For example, Montgomery County Public Schools initiated a program at the cost of $0.05 per square foot. Based on this pricing structure, it would cost an estimated $1.35 million to implement at FCPS.

While critical building systems and components have been inventoried at most FCPS facilities, except for the schools currently under renovation there are other capital assets that remain to be inventoried such as; finished flooring, plumbing fixtures, building’s exterior that will require capital investment to replace. Inventorying these assets will also increase existing financial requirements both in future needs and current deferred replacement backlog. Currently, the average asset age of capital assets inventoried is 17 years with 30 percent of these past their life cycle.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSET CATEGORY</th>
<th>TOTAL ASSETS</th>
<th>ASSETS PAST USEFUL LIFE</th>
<th>AVG. LIFE EXPECTANCY (YEARS)</th>
<th>AVG. ASSET AGE (YEARS)</th>
<th>EST. REPLACEMENT COST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADA ACCESSIBILITY</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>$569,249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASPHALT/PAVEMENT</td>
<td>1,300</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>$52,730,440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATHLETIC INFRASTRUCTURE</td>
<td>474</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>$68,609,037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENERGY MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>$168,559,594</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIRE SPRINKLER SYSTEMS</td>
<td>3,453</td>
<td>1,164</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>$16,729,749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HVAC INFRASTRUCTURE</td>
<td>25,071</td>
<td>8,285</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>$386,795,454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MECHANICAL/ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS</td>
<td>19,790</td>
<td>6,337</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>$283,139,884</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLAYGROUND SYSTEMS</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>$33,965,075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLUMBING SYSTEMS</td>
<td>2,115</td>
<td>591</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>$28,253,910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STRUCTURAL SYSTEMS</td>
<td>708</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>$42,208,475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grand Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>53,398</strong></td>
<td><strong>17,473</strong></td>
<td><strong>21</strong></td>
<td><strong>17</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,081,560,868</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FCPS has a robust capital replacement program including renovations, new schools and capacity enhancements. Beginning in FY 2016, the County has transferred $13.1 million annually to FCPS for capital infrastructure replacement in order to offset expenses previously funded by school bonds for facility infrastructure replacement. This $13.1 million transfer supports infrastructure replacement in school system facilities such as HVAC, ADA, security, roof replacement, athletic infrastructure, life safety systems, and asphalt paving.

The following chart includes both funded and unfunded infrastructure replacement requirements throughout FCPS. Any renovation project that has been bid for construction has not been included below; however, infrastructure replacements associated with renovation projects planned over the 5-10-year period are included here as many of these building components will require replacement prior to scheduled renovations. FCPS’ backlog requirements will continue to increase as capital components pass the end of their useful life.

### SUMMARY-FCPS FY 2022 REPLACEMENT AND UPGRADE REQUIREMENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>Funded FY22</th>
<th>Unfunded</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADA-Facilities</td>
<td>$1,250,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asphalt Capital</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>$8,016,237</td>
<td>$9,016,237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athletic Capital</td>
<td>$225,000</td>
<td>$5,898,078</td>
<td>$6,123,078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical Systems</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$22,200,602</td>
<td>$22,200,602</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health-Safety-ADA Equipment</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$19,864,784</td>
<td>$19,864,784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HVAC and Energy Management</td>
<td>$4,000,000</td>
<td>$123,286,677</td>
<td>$127,286,677</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Technology</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plumbing Systems</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roofing</td>
<td>$3,625,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$3,625,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety and Security</td>
<td>$600,000</td>
<td>$2,050,000</td>
<td>$2,650,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structural Capital</td>
<td>$400,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$13,100,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$181,316,378</strong></td>
<td><strong>$194,416,378</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lack of adequate funding for facilities maintenance is allowing systems to run past the useful life cycle, is inefficient, and introduces a myriad of other risks and higher maintenance costs. The lack of funding support for a capital asset replacement program significantly increases the risk of critical equipment failure, which can result in the potential disruption of instructional time, though OFM strives to minimize both factors.

According to the National Research Council (NCR) report titled Committing to the Cost of Ownership: The Maintenance and Repair of Public Building, the appropriate level of Maintenance and Repair spending should be, on average, in the range of 2 to 4 percent of Current Replacement Valve (CRV). FCPS’ CRV is estimated at $6.2 billion, but only receives funding totaling 0.4 percent of the CRV. This pattern of under-funded maintenance requirements has increased FCPS’ deferred backlog at an average rate of $10 million annually – ($110 million in FY 2016, $128 million in FY 2017, $142 million in FY 2018, $157 million in FY 2019, $162 million in FY 2020 and $179 million for FY 2021). A review of FCPS 10-year cash flow of $514,548,832 in End of Useful Life (EOUL) requirements, projects that FCPS will need an increase in the Infrastructure Replacement Funding and FCPS Major Maintenance allocation to keep pace and become good stewards of the capital asset program prior to renovations.
Park Authority Infrastructure
The Park Authority has been working to address the backlog of reinvestment requirements at deteriorating facilities, athletic courts, pedestrian bridges, parking lots, and trails located throughout the County. The Park Authority is responsible for 418 structures at 427 Parks with 58 percent of this total inventory over 30 years old. In addition, the Park Authority owns a total of 23,595 park acres which equates to over 9.3 percent of the land mass of Fairfax County. In 2016, Parks Count, which is the Park Authority Needs Assessment was completed and provides recommendations for capital investments in the park system based on a body of data that the Park Authority will continue using for years. The total projected need for the ten-year period was $939,161,000; that amount has been reduced to $744,461,000 due to the approval of $94,700,000 as part of the 2016 Park Bond Referendum and $100,000,000 as part of the 2020 Park Bond Referendum. The remaining needs of $744,461,000 are broken out into three strategic areas in five-year increments.

- Critical, “Repairing what we have” makes the most of existing resources with the primary goal being for FCPA to maintain services. The plan addresses deferred maintenance at existing parks and facilities. The Critical funding need is $82,691,424 over the next five years.

- Sustainable, “Upgrade Existing” looks at enhancing existing programs, beginning new alternative programs, or making other strategic changes that would require additional operational or capital funding. The Sustainable need for years 1-5 is $102,461,220, the need for years 6-10 is $164,282,756, for a total of $266,743,976.

- Visionary, “New, Significant Upgrades” includes new and expanded facilities to fully meet needs desired by the community and ensure that the Park Authority remains a preferred provider of park and recreation amenities. The Visionary need is $395,025,600 over the 10-year period, and if funding is made available in 1-5 years staff would accelerate visionary elements that include expansion and renovation of existing recreation centers and development of new athletic facilities.

Based on continual facility condition assessments, growing and shifting community needs and expectations, an ever-increasing amount of funding will be needed for capital maintenance and replacement of aging park assets in order to maximize the life of the existing facilities and to develop new facilities. The following table includes a total of $151,501,517 in estimated Park Authority Category “F” projects and Category “D” projects combined. In addition, the Park Authority realigned their renewal projects to the categories as defined by FMD, resulting in additional Category “C” items being reclassified as “Category D” based on many subsystems categorized as beyond their useful life or in danger of possible failure. The impact of failed/failing/beyond end of useful life includes higher cost of operations, difficulty to repair and maintain, availability of spare parts, unhappy visitors, inefficient energy usage, and deteriorated/compromised structural systems and elements.

To further safeguard and align with County practices, the Park Authority established a Capital Sinking Fund within their Park Improvement Fund. To date, the Park Authority has identified approximately $20 million in category D and F projects associated with 9 RECenters. This critical funding element of sustainability cannot be realized through charging of fees. It is anticipated that this sinking fund will assist with funding for lifecycle/capital renewal maintenance of the revenue facilities.
Athletic Field Infrastructure

The Athletic Field Program facilitates the development, maintenance, and replacement of athletic fields, including synthetic turf fields, throughout the County. The maintenance of athletic fields includes field lighting, fencing, irrigation, dugout covers, infield dirt, aeration and seeding. These maintenance efforts improve safety standards, enhance playing conditions and increase user satisfaction. Athletic field maintenance is funded by the General Fund and is supplemented by an Athletic Services Fee. Annual funding $8,215,338 has been included for the athletic field maintenance and sports program in FY 2022. This level of funding is supported by a General Fund transfer of $6,740,338 and revenue generated from the Athletic Services Fee in the amount of $1,475,000. Of the Athletic Services Fee total, $800,000 will be dedicated to the turf field replacement program, $275,000 will be dedicated to custodial support for indoor sports organizations, $250,000 will be dedicated to maintenance of school athletic fields, $75,000 will be dedicated to synthetic turf field development, and $75,000 will partially fund the Youth Sports Scholarship Program. The Athletic Service Fee revenue is based on a rate of $5.50 per participant per season and $15 for tournament team fees for diamond field users and indoor gym users and a rate of $8.00 per participant per season and $50 tournament team fees for rectangular fields users. The rate applied for rectangular field users specifically supports the turf field replacement fund.

Maintenance efforts include contracted services to improve the condition of 408 non-Park Authority athletic fields scheduled for community use at FCPS elementary schools, middle schools and centers; upgrades to athletic field lighting systems at middle and high schools; and the development and replacement of synthetic turf fields. A large portion of the program supports synthetic turf fields which allow for year-round use in most weather increasing playable hours, provide playing surfaces and conditions that are similar to grass fields, and eliminate the need for watering, mowing, and fertilizing. There are a total of 96 synthetic turf fields throughout the County, of which 24 are FCPS stadium fields and 72 are County park/FCPS non-stadium fields. Increased annual funding has been provided to begin to address the growing need for field replacement and to establish a 10-year replacement schedule. The first turf field replacement efforts began in 2013 for the first two fields developed. Most manufacturers provide an eight-year warranty for a properly maintained synthetic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Building/Structures</td>
<td>$58,111,413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreation/Playgrounds</td>
<td>$24,411,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trails</td>
<td>$12,169,992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads &amp; Parking Lots</td>
<td>$22,992,690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athletic Fields</td>
<td>$22,170,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lighting and Irrigation Systems</td>
<td>$3,330,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athletic Courts</td>
<td>$5,438,716</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment</td>
<td>$2,291,906</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire and Security</td>
<td>$585,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$151,501,517</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
turf field; however, it is a generally accepted practice to assume a life expectancy of the synthetic turf field of no more than 10 years. The current projected replacement cost per field is approximately $480,000. Based on the increased number of fields in the replacement fund and the average replacement cost of $480,000 per field, the annual contribution to fully fund this reserve is $3,450,000 assuming no increase in inventory or replacement cost.

The following chart outlines the 10-year Plan for turf field replacement at the current levels of both Athletic Service Fee revenue and General Fund support. The program includes the number of fields anticipated to be replaced per year and is fully funded through FY 2021. The level of funding support will need to be re-evaluated based on the projected unfunded balance starting in FY 2022. One-time budget allocations as well as long-term funding increases will be needed to keep the replacement plan fully funded.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>10-year Replacement Cycle</th>
<th>FY2021</th>
<th>FY2022</th>
<th>FY2023</th>
<th>FY2024</th>
<th>FY2025</th>
<th>FY2026</th>
<th>FY2027</th>
<th>FY2028</th>
<th>FY2029</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fund, Beginning Balance</td>
<td>$1,200,000</td>
<td>$1,285,000</td>
<td>$1,370,000</td>
<td>$1,455,000</td>
<td>$1,540,000</td>
<td>$1,625,000</td>
<td>$1,710,000</td>
<td>$1,795,000</td>
<td>$1,880,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replacement Fund Contribution</td>
<td>$2,250,000</td>
<td>$2,250,000</td>
<td>$2,250,000</td>
<td>$2,250,000</td>
<td>$2,250,000</td>
<td>$2,250,000</td>
<td>$2,250,000</td>
<td>$2,250,000</td>
<td>$2,250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Revenue (Matching Funds)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Available Funds</td>
<td>$4,450,000</td>
<td>$4,485,000</td>
<td>$4,520,000</td>
<td>$4,555,000</td>
<td>$4,590,000</td>
<td>$4,625,000</td>
<td>$4,660,000</td>
<td>$4,695,000</td>
<td>$4,730,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated # of Turf Field Replacements Required</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated Cost</td>
<td>$1,263,260</td>
<td>$1,308,260</td>
<td>$1,353,260</td>
<td>$1,398,260</td>
<td>$1,443,260</td>
<td>$1,488,260</td>
<td>$1,533,260</td>
<td>$1,578,260</td>
<td>$1,623,260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year End Fund Balance</td>
<td>$2,186,740</td>
<td>$2,176,740</td>
<td>$2,126,740</td>
<td>$2,076,740</td>
<td>$2,026,740</td>
<td>$1,976,740</td>
<td>$1,926,740</td>
<td>$1,876,740</td>
<td>$1,826,740</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Housing and Community Development (HCD) Facilities

HCD’s housing inventory includes seventy-five residential properties, ten group homes, one mobile home park covering 115 land lots leased by individual owners and two office buildings. The inventory is significant, housing approximately 21,948 residents in 2,238 apartments, 551 townhouses, 10 group homes and 115 mobile home lots. As evidenced in the graph below 65 percent of the properties are 31 years or older. Many infrastructure replacement and upgrade projects have been deferred due to increasingly less funding from HUD. Some of the major items that have been deferred include replacement of central boilers, HVAC systems, roofs, electrical systems, flooring, windows, and resurfacing of parking lots. Deferral of these items results in inefficient utility usage as well as higher future maintenance costs.
The following table identifies the top 14 Housing facility priorities including $0.837 million category “D” projects, which are scheduled to be funded in FY 2021. In addition, Housing and Community Development staff have identified $0.630 million category “C” projects that will require funding in FY 2022, totaling $1.467 million.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRIORITY</th>
<th>PROJECT TYPE</th>
<th>FACILITY</th>
<th>CATEGORY</th>
<th>EXISTING CONDITIONS/DEFICIENCIES</th>
<th>ESTIMATE</th>
<th>Funding Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Seal/strip parking lot</td>
<td>Rosedale Manor Apartments</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Critical Systems beyond their useful life</td>
<td>$71,431</td>
<td>FY 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Seal/strip parking lot, Replace vanity cabinets and medicine cabinets</td>
<td>Westford Townhomes</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Critical Systems beyond their useful life</td>
<td>$110,046</td>
<td>FY 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Replace laundromat water heaters</td>
<td>The Atrium Apartments</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Critical Systems beyond their useful life</td>
<td>$6,000</td>
<td>FY 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Seal/strip parking lot</td>
<td>Kingsley Park Townhomes</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Critical Systems beyond their useful life</td>
<td>$627,157</td>
<td>FY 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Seal/strip parking lot, Replace HVAC’s at the 1st floor, 2nd floor, and common area</td>
<td>Greenwood Apartments</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Critical Systems beyond their useful life</td>
<td>$627,157</td>
<td>FY 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$836,839</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Replace dumpster enclosures and VCT flooring, Paint entrance steel doors, Refurbish catwalks and garden area concrete</td>
<td>The Atrium Apartments</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Life cycle repairs where repairs are no longer cost effective</td>
<td>$52,233</td>
<td>FY 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Replace sliding glass doors and vinyl sidings</td>
<td>Ragan Oaks</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Life cycle repairs where repairs are no longer cost effective</td>
<td>$106,838</td>
<td>FY 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Replace 4 gas water heaters</td>
<td>Tavenner Lane Apartments</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Life cycle repairs where repairs are no longer cost effective</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>FY 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Repair concrete sidewalk</td>
<td>Westford Townhomes</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Life cycle repairs where repairs are no longer cost effective</td>
<td>$15,670</td>
<td>FY 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Replace metal fencing Paint exterior guardrails</td>
<td>Rosedale</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Life cycle repairs where repairs are no longer cost effective</td>
<td>$21,203</td>
<td>FY 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Replace rear sliding glass doors and front entrance doors</td>
<td>Waters Edge</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Life cycle repairs where repairs are no longer cost effective</td>
<td>$28,000</td>
<td>FY 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Replace 8 HVAC’s</td>
<td>Colchester Condominiums</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Life cycle repairs where repairs are no longer cost effective</td>
<td>$64,000</td>
<td>FY 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Paint exterior, Replace asphalt shingles</td>
<td>Greenwood Apartments</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Life cycle repairs where repairs are no longer cost effective</td>
<td>$270,000</td>
<td>FY 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Replace bathroom vinyl sheet flooring, replace entrance doors</td>
<td>Kingsley Park Townhomes</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Life cycle repairs where repairs are no longer cost effective</td>
<td>$114,240</td>
<td>FY 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$629,951</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,466,790</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Wastewater Infrastructure

There are 3 major infrastructure systems, within the Wastewater System: Pump Stations, the Noman Cole Pollution Control Treatment Plant, and Collections System. In any given year, the amount programmed for infrastructure replacement and upgrades in each area may vary based on specific project schedules, however, staff is attempting to manage the system on a programmatic basis over a ten-year period.

There are 63 wastewater pump stations. Each station typically has multiple pumps for peak flows and emergency backup, motors associated with the pumps, a backup generator, a force main, electrical control equipment, communication equipment for monitoring and remote operation, and a building or other housing structure. County staff monitor the condition of each asset at each pump station and attempt to schedule rehabilitation or replacement before failure. Each pump station is also monitored as a unit, and prior to replacing a major component, an in-depth review is completed to determine if rehabilitation or replacement of other component systems are required at the same time. The current 10-year financial plan (FY 2022-FY 2031) includes an average $37.4 million per year for reinvestment and rehabilitation of these facilities. This component of the system is currently fully funded.

At the Noman Cole Treatment Plant, there are over 4,000 assets monitored making up 32 major operating systems such as Odor Control, Primary Treatment and others. Each operating system consists of many components such as pumps and concrete tanks. Redundancy is built into all critical systems to allow for maintenance. The condition of each component is monitored during routine maintenance checks as well as by the age of system. Based on age and condition, reinvestment schedules are determined. Currently in the 10-year plan there is an average of $64.6 million per year programmed for treatment plant capital improvements, the majority is for replacement and rehabilitation. Based on current information, the appropriate reinvestment amounts are being funded.

The Collection system consists of approximately 3,200 miles of pipe and 94,000 manholes. Approximately 70 percent of the system is 30 years or older. In the late 1990s the County began a significant reinvestment program by relining existing pipes utilizing a trenchless technology called cured-in-place-pipe (CIPP). Approximately 20 percent of the system has been rehabilitated utilizing this technology, primarily on the smaller, 8" to 15" lines. In recent years, the program has been expanded to include inspection and rehabilitation of the larger trunk lines. The 10-year financial plan includes a continual increase in funding for collection system rehabilitation with an average of $42.3 million per year. With this gradual ramp up and based on current information, the appropriate reinvestment amounts are being funded. Failures in either the collection system, pump stations or treatment plant will likely result in discharges of untreated raw sewage into basements or streams, therefore, all three of these systems are considered critical. As a result, the wastewater rates are reviewed each year and the 10-year financial plan is reviewed and updated to ensure adequate funds are programmed to safely maintain and operate the systems.

At this time, based on current information, there is not a funding gap to safely operate the wastewater systems. Annual infrastructure replacement and upgrades throughout the Wastewater system are reflected below:
Countywide Infrastructure Replacement Requirements

It should be noted that the Sanitary Sewer System also has an established Capital Reinvestment Reserve which is intended to address both anticipated and unanticipated increases within the Capital Improvement Program. This reserve provides for significant rehabilitation and replacement of emergency infrastructure repairs. A reserve of 3.0 percent of the five-year capital plan is consistent with other utilities and is recommended by rating agencies. Based on the total five-year capital plan, an amount of $31 million would be required to reach 3.0 percent. The Sanitary Sewer System is currently maintaining a reserve of approximately $40 million.

Stormwater Infrastructure

There are two major infrastructure systems associated with the stormwater program, the conveyance system including pipes, manhole inlets and open channels; and the management facilities including bio retention, infiltration, wet and dry ponds, porous pavements, manufactured devices and other items used to improve water quality or manage water quantity. There are currently 1,500 miles of pipes and improved channels, and approximately 69,000 manholes and inlets. The pipes range in size from 12 to 84 inches and are made with reinforced concrete, corrugated metal, or plastic. The life of the system varies with the material type and the original construction practices, but the general estimated lifecycle is 50-75 years. A study indicated the County should invest an estimated $16 million per year in rehabilitating or replacing the existing system on about a 70-year cycle.

The County currently maintains approximately 2,400 stormwater management facilities ranging from small rain gardens to large flood control dams. Reinvestment projects vary in scope and size; many of them include replacement of plant materials as part of the treatment process for dredging the larger lakes and ponds. As the routine and life cycle operating procedures for many of these facilities are still being developed, a good life cycle cost model does not exist. The county has prepared estimates based on recent dredging experiences as well experiences with “green” infrastructure facilities and estimates an annual program expense of $16.6 million. Because the plant material is an active component of the “green” infrastructure and because routine maintenance relates directly to the life and function of the facility the $16.6 million estimate includes both annual operating expenses as well as capital expenses such as dredging and dam repair.

An ultimate rate of $0.0400 per $100 of assessed value had been estimated to be required to fully support the stormwater program in the future; however, staff is currently evaluating the long-term requirements for an expanded program. Some of the additional requirements under evaluation include debt service to support the Board’s approval of the dredging of Lake Accotink, the anticipation of additional flooding mitigation requirements, and strengthening the role and financial support for the implementation of stormwater requirements associated with Fairfax County Public Schools sites under renovation. This enhanced program may require incremental changes to the rate over time and may result in a higher ultimate rate to fully support the program. Staff will be evaluating these requirements, as well as the staffing to support them, and analyzing the impact of increased real estate values and revenue projections. Staff will return to the Board of Supervisors at a future Budget Committee meeting to define the needs and opportunities for the future.
Countywide Infrastructure Replacement Requirements

While staff continues to further evaluate the long-term requirements for the Stormwater Program, the FY 2022 rate is proposed to remain the same as the FY 2021 Adopted Budget Plan level of $0.0325 per $100 of assessed value. Actual revenue collected in recent years has been higher than projected as a result of increases in property values throughout the County. Based on capital project costs and projected revenues, no rate increase is recommended for FY 2022. It is anticipated that in the next several years, incremental rate increases will be required based on continued growth of stormwater facilities and infrastructure that must be inspected and maintained by the county, additional requirements in the new 2020 Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) permit and several of the enhancements noted above. On an annual basis, staff will continue to evaluate the program, analyze future requirements and develop Stormwater operational and capital resource needs.

Annual infrastructure replacement and upgrades for the County’s Stormwater Facilities are reflected below:

### INFRASTRUCTURE REPLACEMENT AND UPGRADE REQUIREMENTS: STORMWATER FACILITIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRIORITY</th>
<th>PROJECT TYPE</th>
<th>FACILITY</th>
<th>CATEGORY</th>
<th>EXISTING CONDITIONS/DEFICIENCIES</th>
<th>ESTIMATE</th>
<th>Funding Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Conveyance System</td>
<td>65,000 structures and 1,500 miles of pipes</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Lifecycle is 50-75 years</td>
<td>$16,000,000</td>
<td>Annual Requirement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Stormwater Management Facilities</td>
<td>2,400 county facilities including 20 state regulated dams and 5,300 private facilities</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Projected lifecycle is 35 years</td>
<td>$16,600,000</td>
<td>Annual Requirement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$32,600,000</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Revitalization Infrastructure

The Board of Supervisors defines five geographical boundaries as Commercial Revitalization Districts (CRDs): Annandale, Baileys/Seven Corners, McLean, Route 1, and Springfield. The County implements an ongoing enhanced maintenance program in all CRDs to improve the economic vitality, appearance, and function of the revitalization areas. Routine maintenance includes mowing, weeding, edging, mulching, pruning, leaf removal, litter pick-up, sidewalk/street sweeping, and monthly inspections of approximately 821,000 square feet of landscaped areas. Non-routine maintenance projects such as replacing/repairing pavers, sidewalks, street furnishing, sidewalk, landscaping, and bus shelters are completed as needed. Asset-based CRD projects such as sidewalk and bus shelter replacement utilize the sinking fund. Current projects include the Route 50 Pedestrian Bridge Stairwell Redesign to provide a safer, cleaner passage through a pedestrian bridge; the Springfield CRD Stormwater Pilot project evaluating the feasibility/options to implement a stormwater facility within the CRD streetscape; and replacing sidewalks in several CRDs.

The enhanced maintenance program is also implemented at assets constructed as part of the Tyson’s Silver Line. Plant maintenance, mowing, edging, mulching, weeding, and trash removal are routinely performed at 27 bioretention facilities, 145 tree boxes, and two commuter facilities. Commuter facility maintenance also includes asphalt repair/replacement and snow removal.

Staff continues enhancing the appearance, functionality, and sustainability of CRD streetscape and infrastructure and continues supporting the long-term goal of expanding the enhanced maintenance program to all streetscape and walkways within the entirety of each CRD boundary.
Transportation Infrastructure
The County maintains an assortment of transportation infrastructure that is beyond the service levels provided by VDOT. Some of these include bus shelters, street name signs, trails and sidewalks that provide pedestrian connections, and roads and service drives not maintained by VDOT.

Bus Shelters
The County maintains approximately 181 bus shelters. The infrastructure replacement and upgrade program focuses on repairs to damaged shelters. A fully funded program would include cleaning, trash collection and reinvestment. Some of the cleaning and trash collection is provided by the Office of the Sheriff’s Community Labor Force. Annual funding is used to address safety related issues. If there is not adequate funding to perform these tasks, damaged shelters are removed until funding is identified. Some shelters are in need of replacement and a sustainable program to replace shelters on a 20-year cycle estimating $20,000 per shelter, is $208,000. This level of funding would replace 104 shelters per year.

Street Signs
As part of the 911 emergency system all roads are required to have a name and street sign to assist emergency response personnel. These signs are not maintained by VDOT and are the responsibility of the County. There are approximately 40,000 signs at 20,000 intersections in the current inventory. The county currently replaces street signs only when they are damaged beyond repair. Over time signs lose their reflectivity and become more difficult to read at night. It is estimated that if the signs are replaced on a 20 year cycle the average annual capital cost would be $665,000.

Walkways
The County manages the infrastructure replacement and upgrades of 662 miles of walkways and 78 pedestrian bridges valued at an estimated $220 million. Projects are prioritized based on condition as well as pedestrian usage. In 2013, a Rinker Study was conducted in order to build an accurate inventory and condition assessment of County walkways and revealed that there were approximately 10 miles of trails in extremely poor condition requiring $3 million in initial reinvestment. The Sinking Fund allocation has provided $ 7.8 million to date for reinvestment in these most critical trail needs and continues to provide for trails that have since been identified as deteriorating. The Rinker Study did not include an assessment of pedestrian bridges and sinking fund allocations have enabled some progress in this area. However, pedestrian bridges are being inspected in accordance with National Bridge Inspection Standards to determine repair needs. Since 2013, sidewalk and trail repair and replacement has been on going. A re-assessment of trails and sidewalks is in the planning stages, using current technology and computer software. In addition, a 5-year plan was developed identifying annual emergency funds to increase over time to a level of $800,000. Annual critical repairs include the correction of safety and hazardous conditions such as damaged trail surfaces, retaining wall failures, handrail repairs, and the rehabilitation of bridges. FY 2022 funding of $800,000 has been included for reinvestment. Assuming an average service life of 50 years for concrete sidewalks and 25 years for asphalt and bridges, a fully funded reinvestment program is estimated at $5.4 million annually. In the last several years the sinking fund program has more than doubled the amount being invested in walkways and bridges.

County-Owned Roads
The County is responsible for emergency safety and road repairs to 38 miles of County-owned roads, service drives and County-owned stub streets which are currently not accepted in the Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT) highway system for maintenance. This infrastructure is valued at over $230 million. In 2015, a Rinker Study was conducted in order to build an accurate inventory and condition assessment of County-owned roads and service drives and identified an amount of $4
million in reinvestment funding required for the roadways with the most hazardous conditions. The Sinking Fund allocation has provided $5.7 million to date for reinvestment in the most critical needs and continues to provide for roads that have been identified as deteriorating. In addition, a 5-year plan was developed identifying annual emergency funds to increase over time to a level of $900,000. Annual funding supports pothole repair, drive surface overlays, subgrade repairs, curb and gutter repairs, traffic and pedestrian signage repairs, hazardous tree removal, grading, snow and ice control, minor ditching and stabilization of shoulders, and drainage facilities. Based on the pace of spending to date and project requirements, funding of $700,000 has been included for this program in FY 2022. A fully funded reinvestment program is estimated at $6.2 million annually.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Area</th>
<th>Annual Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bus Shelters</td>
<td>$208,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Street Signs</td>
<td>$665,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walkways</td>
<td>$5,400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County-owned Roads</td>
<td>$6,200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$12,473,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Capital Sinking Fund**

In April 2013, the County and School Board formed a joint committee, the Infrastructure Financing Committee (IFC), to collaborate and review both the County and School's Capital Improvement Program (CIP) and infrastructure upgrade requirements. One of the goals of the Committee was to develop long-term maintenance plans for both the County and Schools, including annual requirements and reserves. The committee conducted a comprehensive review of critical needs and approved recommendations to support the development of a sustainable financing plan to begin to address current and future capital requirements. One of the components of the Final IFC Report included support for a capital sinking fund which would be populated each year as part of the Carryover Review based on 20 percent of the available year end balances. Funding was to provide for infrastructure replacement and upgrades, such as replacement roofs, electrical systems, HVAC and other facility requirements. The Board of Supervisors first approved the establishment of the IFC recommended Capital Sinking Fund as part of the FY 2014 Carryover Review. The Board of Supervisors also approved the allocation of the total sinking fund based on specific percentages for each infrastructure area, including: 55 percent for FMD, 20 percent for Parks, 10 percent for walkways, 10 percent for County roads and service drives, and 5 percent for revitalization. At some Carryover Reviews, the Board has approved one-time changes to the allocation based on expenditures to date and work in progress. These funds support prioritized critical infrastructure replacement and upgrades projects throughout the County. The following table includes the allocation of Capital Sinking funds to date.
Countywide Infrastructure Replacement Requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Area</th>
<th>Total Allocated to Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FMD</td>
<td>$35,621,941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>$12,953,433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walkways</td>
<td>$7,831,140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Roads</td>
<td>$5,703,864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revitalization</td>
<td>$2,656,783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$64,767,161</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The breadth of the infrastructure upgrades and benefits of the sinking fund allocations can be seen in significant accomplishments throughout the County. Many projects have been initiated or completed in all of the program areas. Below are some examples of Capital Sinking Fund projects:

**Athletic Court Repairs**

Before

After

**Trail Repairs**

Before

After
Countywide Infrastructure Replacement Requirements

### Roof Replacement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Before</th>
<th>After</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Before Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="After Image" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Generator Replacement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Before</th>
<th>After</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image3" alt="Before Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image4" alt="After Image" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Road Repairs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Before</th>
<th>After</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image5" alt="Before Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image6" alt="After Image" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Public Schools

Goals

• To provide adequate and appropriate educational facilities that will accommodate the instructional programs for all Fairfax County students.

• To provide appropriate support facilities that will permit the school system to operate efficiently and cost effectively.
Fairfax County Public Schools (FCPS) determines the need for new facilities and additions to existing schools by comparing available capacity with projected student membership. Capacity is an estimate of the number of student spaces available within an educational facility and considers: (1) educational specifications for elementary, middle and high schools; (2) program requirements; and (3) appropriate pupil-teacher ratios. Variations in the educational programs offered within a school may cause its capacity to vary from year to year. A five-year membership projection set and facility assessment have not been produced this year due to changing conditions of the COVID-19 pandemic, a virtual start to the school year, and an ongoing planning for a return to school with social distancing which requires using all available rooms for core instruction.

The Education section of the Public Facilities Policy Plan within the Comprehensive Plan includes the following established objectives:

- Acquire sites for schools or educational facilities through negotiation, dedication, or condemnation. This may include the siting of schools or facilities in high density areas or on parcels of limited size.
- Distribute administration and maintenance facilities to conveniently serve the areas they support where feasible.
- Locate schools on sites which meet or exceed minimum state size guidelines where feasible.
- Design schools and educational facilities to allow for optimal site utilization while providing optimum service to, and compatibility with, the local community.
- Consider adaptive reuse of buildings for public schools and educational purposes.
- Encourage optimization of existing schools and other facilities, whenever possible and reasonable, to support educational and community objectives.

Source: Fairfax County Comprehensive Plan, 2017 Edition; Policy Plan- Public Facilities, Amended through 6-9-2020

In School Year (SY) 2020-2021, the total FCPS membership was 180,151 students, a decrease of 8,859 students from 189,010 in SY 2019-2020. By SY 2021-2022, the total FCPS membership is projected to be 190,634, an increase of 10,483 students. The projected SY 2021-2022 membership is based on the FCPS projections from fall 2019 as presented in FY 2021-2025 FCPS CIP for SY 2020-21.

Over the past five years (FY 2016 to FY 2020), construction was completed on two new elementary schools, fifteen elementary school renovations with additions, two middle school renovations with additions, two high school renovations with addition, one high school addition, and three modular additions. Currently FCPS is constructing two elementary school renovation with additions, two middle school renovations with additions, and two high school renovations with additions. Through this capital work, FCPS added more than 1.4 million square feet of space resulting in the removal of nearly 173 temporary classroom trailers. In addition, these projects have renovated nearly 3.4 million square feet of existing space. Renovations are aimed at ensuring that all schools, countywide, are safe, functional, and provide the facilities necessary to support current educational programs regardless of the age of the buildings, in addition to protecting the capital investment.
A School Bond Referendum was approved by County residents in November 2019 containing 18 projects. Continuing growth and renovation needs require approval of new School Bond referendums in the future. Additional information can be found at: https://www.fcps.edu/about-fcps/facilities-planning-future/capital-improvement-program.

On February 4, 2021, the School Board approved the FCPS FY 2022-2026 CIP with the following amendments and follow-on motions:

- I move to amend the main motion by:
  - Striking “Fairfax/Oakton ES (at Location TBD)” from page 40 and replacing with “Dunn Loring ES”
  - Striking “Fairfax/Oakton ES (at Location to be determined)” from page 41 and replacing with “Dunn Loring ES”
  - Striking “Hunter Mill” from page 42 and replacing with “Dunn Loring ES”
  - Striking “Fairfax/Oakton” from page 43 and replacing with “Dunn Loring/Falls Church/Tysons”
  - Striking “Fairfax/Oakton Area Elementary School is identified to relieve overcrowding in the Fairfax/Oakton area.” from page 44 and replacing with: “Dunn Loring Elementary School is identified to relieve overcrowding in the Dunn Loring/Falls Church/Tysons area.”

- I move to approve a follow-on motion directing the Superintendent or their designee/s to assist City of Fairfax Schools with addressing the unique capacity needs of Providence Elementary School.

- I move to approve a follow-on motion that the School Board direct the Superintendent to conduct a program audit of middle schools with grade six to determine if the current grade level configuration offers the most efficient use of facilities and/or meets instructional best practices.

- I move to approve a follow-on motion that the School Board direct the Superintendent to engage the Mason District community to obtain parental perspective on the placement of grade six in middle school.

- I move to direct the Superintendent to have the Instructional Services Department develop an educational program for the application within the already bonded Route 1 elementary school site, formally known as Pinewood Lakes elementary school site. I further move to have the project identified within the FY2022-26 CIP to be scheduled to initiate design of a new elementary school on this site within the next ten year period to ensure progressive movement in development of the program and provide much needed equitable opportunities within the Mt. Vernon area.
Current Project Descriptions

**New Construction**

1. **McNair Upper Elementary School (formerly North West County) (Hunter Mill District):** $34,820,983. Funded. Completed in FY 2022.

2. **Dunn Loring Elementary School (TBD):** $36,800,000. Funded. Estimated to be completed in FY 2026.

3. **Silver Line Elementary School (TBD):** $39,573,629. Partially Funded. Estimated to be completed by FY 2027.

4. **Future Western High School (TBD):** $157,707,000. Unfunded.

5. **Route 1 Elementary School (Lee District):** $21,169,348. Partially Funded.


**Capacity Enhancement**

7. **Modular Relocations (TBD):** $22,000,000. Partially Funded.

8. **West Potomac High School Addition (Mount Vernon District):** $34,360,813. Funded. Estimated to be completed in FY 2023.

9. **Justice High School Addition (Mason District):** $19,715,737. Funded. Estimated to be completed in FY 2024.


**Renovation Program – Elementary Schools**


27. Lees Corner Elementary School (Sully District): $35,998,583. Unfunded. Estimated to be completed in FY 2026.


30. Herndon Elementary School (Dranesville District): $42,211,421. Unfunded. Estimated to be completed in FY 2027.


32. Cub Run Elementary School (Sully District): $36,515,343. Unfunded. Estimated to be completed in FY 2028.
33. Union Mill Elementary School (Springfield District): $44,932,671. Unfunded. Estimated to be completed in FY 2028.

34. Centre Ridge Elementary School (Sully District): $43,474,313. Unfunded. Estimated to be completed in FY 2028.


37. Sangster Elementary School (Springfield District): $45,008,590. Unfunded. Estimated to be completed in FY 2029.

38. Saratoga Elementary School (Mount Vernon District): $45,258,914. Unfunded. Estimated to be completed in FY 2029.


**Middle School Renovation Program**


42. Cooper Middle School (Dranesville District): $51,807,267. Funded. Estimated to be completed in FY 2023.

43. Frost Middle School (Braddock District): $59,012,574. Funded. Estimated to be completed in FY 2024.

44. Franklin Middle School (Sully District): $71,411,764. Unfunded. Estimated to be completed in FY 2029.

45. Twain Middle School (Lee): $70,106,633. Unfunded. Estimated to be completed in FY 2030.
High School Renovation Program


48. Falls Church High School (Mason District): $142,591,088. Partially Funded. Estimated to be completed in FY 2026.


Site Acquisition

## Project Cost Summaries
### Public Schools
#### ($000's)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>Source of Funds</th>
<th>Budgeted or Expended Through FY 2021</th>
<th>FY 2022</th>
<th>FY 2023</th>
<th>FY 2024</th>
<th>FY 2025</th>
<th>FY 2026</th>
<th>Total FY 2022 - FY 2026</th>
<th>Total FY 2027 - FY 2031</th>
<th>Total Project Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>New Construction</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 McNair Upper (North West County) ES</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>$34,177</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$644</td>
<td>$34,821</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Dunn Loring ES</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>$700</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$12,000</td>
<td>$18,500</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
<td>$36,100</td>
<td>$36,800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Silver Line ES (location TBD)</td>
<td>U</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,171</td>
<td>$864</td>
<td>$5,942</td>
<td>$24,047</td>
<td></td>
<td>$32,024</td>
<td>$7,550</td>
<td>$39,574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Future Western HS</td>
<td>U</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,674</td>
<td>$2,674</td>
<td>$155,033</td>
<td>$157,707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Route 1 ES</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$21,169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 4 New and/or Repurposed Schools</td>
<td>U</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$160,506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Capacity Enhancement</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Modular Relocations</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>$8,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>$12,000</td>
<td>$22,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 West Potomac HS Addition</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>$8,984</td>
<td>$15,925</td>
<td>$9,452</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$25,377</td>
<td>$34,361</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Justice HS Addition</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>$2,796</td>
<td>$7,278</td>
<td>$8,315</td>
<td>$1,327</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$16,920</td>
<td>$19,716</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Madison HS Addition</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>$6,447</td>
<td>$14,269</td>
<td>$2,814</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$17,083</td>
<td>$23,530</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Elementary School Renovation Program</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Belle View</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>$27,011</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$27,011</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Annandale Terrace</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>$27,735</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$27,735</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Clearview</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>$26,211</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$26,211</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Silverbrook</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>$26,058</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$26,058</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Project Cost Summaries

## Public Schools

($000's)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>Project Number</th>
<th>Source of Funds</th>
<th>Budgeted or Expended Through FY 2021</th>
<th>FY 2022</th>
<th>FY 2023</th>
<th>FY 2024</th>
<th>FY 2025</th>
<th>FY 2026</th>
<th>Total FY 2022 - FY 2026</th>
<th>Total FY 2027 - FY 2031</th>
<th>Total Project Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hybla Valley</td>
<td>15 B</td>
<td>$14,842</td>
<td></td>
<td>$15,588</td>
<td>$3,035</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$18,623</td>
<td>$33,465</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington Mill</td>
<td>16 B</td>
<td>$10,336</td>
<td></td>
<td>$14,881</td>
<td>$4,787</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$19,668</td>
<td>$30,004</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Braddock</td>
<td>17 B</td>
<td>$9,294</td>
<td></td>
<td>$16,633</td>
<td>$9,710</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$26,343</td>
<td>$35,637</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fox Mill</td>
<td>18 B</td>
<td>$5,428</td>
<td></td>
<td>$13,791</td>
<td>$10,398</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$24,189</td>
<td>$29,617</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oak Hill</td>
<td>19 B</td>
<td>$2,469</td>
<td></td>
<td>$10,525</td>
<td>$14,948</td>
<td>$5,277</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$30,750</td>
<td>$33,219</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wakefield Forest</td>
<td>20 B</td>
<td>$1,166</td>
<td></td>
<td>$6,227</td>
<td>$18,820</td>
<td>$4,499</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$29,546</td>
<td>$30,712</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louise Archer</td>
<td>21 B</td>
<td>$1,729</td>
<td></td>
<td>$5,857</td>
<td>$17,941</td>
<td>$3,840</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$27,638</td>
<td>$29,367</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crossfield</td>
<td>22 B</td>
<td>$714</td>
<td></td>
<td>$714</td>
<td>$15,050</td>
<td>$12,624</td>
<td>$1,968</td>
<td></td>
<td>$30,356</td>
<td>$31,070</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mosby Woods</td>
<td>23 B</td>
<td>$505</td>
<td></td>
<td>$866</td>
<td>$18,458</td>
<td>$15,709</td>
<td>$2,370</td>
<td></td>
<td>$37,403</td>
<td>$37,908</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonnie Brae</td>
<td>24 B</td>
<td>$475</td>
<td></td>
<td>$814</td>
<td>$6,881</td>
<td>$22,226</td>
<td>$5,311</td>
<td></td>
<td>$35,232</td>
<td>$35,707</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bren Mar Park</td>
<td>25 U</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$720</td>
<td>$1,234</td>
<td>$10,144</td>
<td>$17,265</td>
<td>$2,264</td>
<td>$31,627</td>
<td>$31,627</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brookfield</td>
<td>26 U</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$878</td>
<td>$1,505</td>
<td>$6,681</td>
<td>$22,069</td>
<td>$7,588</td>
<td>$38,721</td>
<td>$38,721</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lees Corner</td>
<td>27 U</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$819</td>
<td>$1,405</td>
<td>$11,547</td>
<td>$19,654</td>
<td>$2,574</td>
<td>$35,999</td>
<td>$35,999</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armstrong</td>
<td>28 U</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,132</td>
<td>$1,234</td>
<td>$14,911</td>
<td>$13,598</td>
<td>$135</td>
<td>$31,010</td>
<td>$31,010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willow Springs</td>
<td>29 U</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$976</td>
<td>$1,537</td>
<td>$12,314</td>
<td>$20,956</td>
<td>$2,722</td>
<td>$38,426</td>
<td>$38,426</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herndon</td>
<td>30 U</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$966</td>
<td>$1,656</td>
<td>$13,538</td>
<td>$23,043</td>
<td></td>
<td>$39,203</td>
<td>$3,008</td>
<td>$42,211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dranesville</td>
<td>31 U</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,539</td>
<td>$1,539</td>
<td>$16,860</td>
<td>$18,375</td>
<td></td>
<td>$38,313</td>
<td>$160</td>
<td>$38,473</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Fairfax County, Virginia: FY 2022 – FY 2026 Advertised CIP - 85*
# Project Cost Summaries

**Public Schools**

($000's)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>Source of Funds</th>
<th>Budgeted or Expended Through FY 2021</th>
<th>FY 2022</th>
<th>FY 2023</th>
<th>FY 2024</th>
<th>FY 2025</th>
<th>FY 2026</th>
<th>Total FY 2022 - FY 2026</th>
<th>Total FY 2027 - FY 2031</th>
<th>Total Project Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>32 Cub Run</td>
<td>U</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,423</td>
<td>$1,423</td>
<td>$16,036</td>
<td>$18,882</td>
<td>$17,633</td>
<td>$36,515</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33 Union Mill</td>
<td>U</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,029</td>
<td>$1,764</td>
<td>$14,412</td>
<td>$17,205</td>
<td>$27,728</td>
<td>$44,933</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34 Centre Ridge</td>
<td>U</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,704</td>
<td>$1,704</td>
<td>$19,086</td>
<td>$22,494</td>
<td>$20,980</td>
<td>$43,474</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 Poplar Tree</td>
<td>U</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,583</td>
<td>$1,583</td>
<td>$17,336</td>
<td>$20,502</td>
<td>$19,059</td>
<td>$39,561</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 Waples Mill</td>
<td>U</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,761</td>
<td>$1,760</td>
<td>$19,239</td>
<td>$22,760</td>
<td>$21,148</td>
<td>$43,908</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37 Sangster</td>
<td>U</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,769</td>
<td>$1,815</td>
<td>$3,584</td>
<td>$41,425</td>
<td>$45,009</td>
<td>$45,009</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38 Saratoga</td>
<td>U</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,816</td>
<td>$1,815</td>
<td>$3,631</td>
<td>$41,628</td>
<td>$45,259</td>
<td>$45,259</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39 Virginia Run</td>
<td>U</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,714</td>
<td>$1,713</td>
<td>$3,427</td>
<td>$40,231</td>
<td>$43,658</td>
<td>$43,658</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Middle School Renovation Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>Source of Funds</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>FY 2022</th>
<th>FY 2023</th>
<th>FY 2024</th>
<th>FY 2025</th>
<th>FY 2026</th>
<th>Total FY 2022 - FY 2026</th>
<th>Total FY 2027 - FY 2031</th>
<th>Total Project Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40 Rocky Run</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>$48,859</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$48,859</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41 Hughes</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>$48,960</td>
<td>$3,214</td>
<td></td>
<td>$3,214</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$52,174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 Cooper</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>$20,127</td>
<td>$16,717</td>
<td>$14,963</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$51,807</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43 Frost</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>$10,474</td>
<td>$20,151</td>
<td>$20,028</td>
<td>$8,360</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$48,539</td>
<td></td>
<td>$59,013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44 Franklin</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>$1,307</td>
<td>$2,240</td>
<td>$2,240</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$5,787</td>
<td>$65,625</td>
<td>$71,412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 Twain</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>$2,198</td>
<td>$2,198</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$4,396</td>
<td>$65,710</td>
<td>$70,106</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## High School Renovation Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>Source of Funds</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>FY 2022</th>
<th>FY 2023</th>
<th>FY 2024</th>
<th>FY 2025</th>
<th>FY 2026</th>
<th>Total FY 2022 - FY 2026</th>
<th>Total FY 2027 - FY 2031</th>
<th>Total Project Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>46 Herndon</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>$106,308</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$106,308</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47 Oakton</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>$90,475</td>
<td>$18,753</td>
<td>$3,001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$21,754</td>
<td></td>
<td>$112,229</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Fairfax County, Virginia: FY 2022 – FY 2026 Advertised CIP - 86*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>Project Number</th>
<th>Source of Funds</th>
<th>Budgeted or Expended Through FY 2021</th>
<th>Total FY 2022 - FY 2026</th>
<th>Total FY 2027 - FY 2031</th>
<th>Total Project Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FY 2022</td>
<td>FY 2023</td>
<td>FY 2024</td>
<td>FY 2025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools Project Cost Summaries ($000's)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falls Church</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>$10,113</td>
<td>$20,352</td>
<td>$35,151</td>
<td>$35,002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centreville</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>$2,467</td>
<td>$4,127</td>
<td>$4,126</td>
<td>$15,268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Acquisition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>$2,500</td>
<td>$2,500</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$12,451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$550,393</td>
<td>$215,212</td>
<td>$231,970</td>
<td>$198,453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funded Project Costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$550,393</td>
<td>$175,863</td>
<td>$106,122</td>
<td>$32,828</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unfunded Project Costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$39,349</td>
<td>$125,848</td>
<td>$165,625</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Numbers in bold italics represent funded amounts. A “C” in the ‘Budgeted or Expended’ column denotes a continuing project.

Key: Source of Funds

B: Bonds
G: General Fund
S: State
F: Federal
X: Other
U: Undetermined
Parks

Fairfax County Park Authority Goals

- To provide residents with a park system that will meet their needs for a variety of recreational activities.
- To establish full opportunity for all residents and visitors to make constructive use of their leisure time through the provision of recreational and cultural programs within safe, accessible, and enjoyable parks.
- To serve as the primary public mechanism for the preservation of environmentally sensitive land and water resources and areas of historic significance.
- To preserve, restore and exhibit the County's historical heritage.
- To systematically provide for the long-range planning, acquisition and orderly development of a quality park system that keeps pace with the needs of an expanding population.

Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority (NOVA Parks) Goals

- To provide a diverse system of regional park lands and recreational facilities that meet the needs of a dynamic and growing population and enhance leisure time opportunities for Northern Virginians.
- To protect and balance development of regionally significant resources through acquisition and protection of exceptional natural, scenic, environmental, historic, cultural, recreational or aesthetic areas.
- To provide high quality park and recreation facilities by maintaining their integrity and quality with careful development, operation and maintenance practices.
- To provide leadership in the planning and coordination of regional park and recreation projects.
- To maintain sound fiscal management and long-term financial stability and solvency.
The Fairfax County Park Authority (FCPA) is directed by a twelve-member board appointed by the County Board of Supervisors. One member is appointed from each of the County's nine supervisory districts, and three are appointed at large. Since its establishment in 1950, the Authority has acquired 23,607 acres of parkland, including 427 individual parks. In the past, most of the funds to carry out capital acquisition and improvements were provided through bond referenda. Currently, more than half of the Park Authority operating funds are raised by revenue producing facilities in the system; additional funding for the operations and maintenance of parks is appropriated annually by the Board of Supervisors. Grants from the state and federal government supplement funds on a limited basis; however, gifts and donations from individuals, community organizations, corporations and foundations are an increasingly important source of funding for community improvements.

The Parks and Recreation Section of the Policy Plan within the Fairfax County Comprehensive Plan, includes the following established objectives:

- Identify and serve park and recreation needs through an integrated park system that provides open space, recreational services and facilities and stewardship of natural and cultural resources.
- Protect appropriate land areas in a natural state to ensure preservation of significant and sensitive natural resources.
- Protect and preserve significant cultural resources on parklands.
- Provide for current and future park and recreational needs through a combination of development of new and existing sites and the optimal use of all existing facilities.
- Ensure the long-term protection, preservation, and sustainability of park resources.
- Ensure the mitigation of adverse impacts to park and recreation facilities and service levels caused by growth and land development through the provision of proffers, conditions, contributions, commitments, and land dedication.

Source: Fairfax County Comprehensive Plan, 2017 Edition; Policy Plan- Parks and Recreation, Amended through 3-4-2014

In FY 2020, the cumulative level of parkland increased by 45 acres for a total of 23,595 acres. Fairfax County Park Authority acquired an additional 12 acres of land in FY 2021 for North Hill Park through a land transfer from the Fairfax County Redevelopment and Housing Authority; this brings the current total to 23,607 acres.

In addition to continuing land acquisition to work toward meeting the acreage standard established for acquisition of developable parkland, another one of the Park Authority's primary objectives over the CIP period is to complete construction already begun in County parks and to upgrade the various athletic fields and courts maintained by the Park Authority. An objective is also to sustain existing parks, facilities and natural resources. In addition, many park facilities are 20-30+ years old. Without a significant reinvestment in building and park infrastructure many of these older parks will fall into a state of deteriorating condition and functionality and repair/operating costs will increase.
Fairfax County Park Authority

Recently completed improvements include:

- **Athletic Field improvements**: In a partnership with Vienna Little League (VLL) converted existing turf field #1 at Nottoway Park to synthetic turf. Developed synthetic turf field at Larry Graves Park through funding by the City of Falls Church. The field has a 190-foot x 300 foot playing surface and is striped for two U-10 and four U-8 soccer fields, and a women’s lacrosse field, project also included landscape transitional screening and resurfacing the asphalt driveway. Lifecycle replacement of synthetic turf fields using the County’s Synthetic Turf Replacement Fund at Lee District field #4, Arrowbrook Park field #1, Greenbriar Park field #5, and diamond field #5 at Nottoway Park. Lighting improvements on fields include Ossian Hall Park, fields #1 and #2 by replacing old metal halide lighting fixtures and controls and replacing them with energy efficient LED fixtures and new controllers. Lifecycle replacement of irrigation systems on athletic fields includes Pineridge Park where irrigation of two baseball diamond fields, Tee-Ball field, and a rectangular field. Trailside Park irrigation replacement included three baseball diamond fields and a new irrigation building. Systems at both Pineridge and Trailside were over 30 years old.

- **Lighting Project Improvements**: South Run District Park tennis court lighting upgraded with energy efficient LED lighting; Stuart Road Park improvements included the replacement of tennis courts, parking lot and pathway lighting system with new LED lighting; at George Washington RECenter, upgraded existing exterior lighting and controls with LED lighting and astronomical time clocks to control operating hours efficiently, additional lights were installed to improve visibility behind the building and to illuminate the flag in front of the building. At Frying Pan Farm Park, replaced lighting in the Event Center with new LED lighting to not only provide energy savings but to also improve visibility. The conversion over to energy efficient LED not only provides energy efficiencies, but they also come with an estimated useful life of 40 years, vastly saving on operational/replacement cost.

- **Trail Improvements**: Trails continue to be one of the most used features of the Park system. Sally Ormsby Park Phase 1 trail improvements included the rehabilitation of an existing pedestrian trail in the Accotink Stream Valley and restoration of connectivity along the Gerry Connolly Cross County Trail. Accotink Stream Valley Park included the reconstruction of over 4,120 linear feet of 8’ wide asphalt trail as well as concrete fairweather crossing replacement and the installation of two large fiberglass bridges for pedestrian and light utility truck use, the trail elevation was raised significantly in low areas of the stream valley and reinforced with concrete treated aggregate shoulders to protect from flood waters, culverts were also added in low areas to promote passage of flood waters and to mitigate ponding. Also, in Accotink Stream Valley Park, improvements to the Cross-County Trail (CCT) between King Arthur Road and Woodburn Road. In Huntsman Park, upgraded approximately 450 linear feet of existing natural surface trail to 8’ wide asphalt trail with 1’ gravel shoulders, this project closed the trail loop between two existing asphalt trails in the park.
• Resource Improvements: Green Springs Garden Horticulture Center roof replacement and the Frying Pan Meeting House roof replacement are included in resource improvements. The Frying Pan roof was completed with new red cedar shingles to maintain the historic character of the building.

• Environmental Improvements: A Smart Irrigation System was installed at Green Spring Garden Park to take advantage of weather intelligence to allow for efficient water use for irrigation. The computer-controlled system will provide coverage for 36 zones covering planted areas in the park, the system also has a flow sensor for leak detection. Water leak detection systems were installed at South Run RECenter, Cub Run RECenter, Oak Marr RECenter, Lee District RECenter, Spring Hill RECenter, and Providence RECenter pools. The device will send water usage data to help monitor and send alerts for potential leaks. In a partnership with the Department of Public Works – Environmental Services (DPWES) using the Stormwater Services Fund, constructed bulk material storage structures at Greendale Golf and at Jefferson District Park. The structures prevent materials such as bulk sand, soil and mulch from running off into nearby streams during storm events.

• New Park Development: Design and development of a new interim urban park in Bailey’s Crossroads. The park is intended to be flexible and host a variety of programs and uses.

Other major Park Improvements: At Annandale Community Park, lifecycle upgrades to the shelter included new roof, paint, tile, mechanical systems, and replacement of all fixtures in the restrooms and pathway improvements to make the facility fully ADA compliant. At Nottoway Park, Mason District Park, and Tysons Pimmit Park, demolition of existing picnic shelter, slab and picnic tables and installation of a new accessible shelter, concrete slab, tables, and related amenities. Playground replacements at Wolf Trails Park and Tysons Woods Park; the sites were designed with a smaller footprint to reduce operational cost but offer the same amount of play value for the age groups. At Lee District Park, outdated shade structure was replaced, and playground equipment and new ADA sidewalk were installed. At Burke Lake Park, roadway reconstruction of the park entrance road from Burke Lake Road to a point just beyond the entrance to the maintenance shop; Manchester Lakes Park lifecycle improvements that included repaving the entrance drive, parking lot and walkways to make them fully ADA accessible.

The continuing urbanization of the County requires that the existing suburban park system be supplemented by parks that are more suitable for the urban context and provide appropriate functions, uses, amenities, visual form, ownership, and accessibility to various users of the urban environment. In 2013, the Board of Supervisors adopted a policy in the Comprehensive Plan that incorporates the Park Authority’s Urban Park Framework as official guidance to define urban park metrics, elements and types. The Urban Park Framework policy clarifies expectations for community decision makers and developers.
who seek to implement changes to existing development patterns and provide for park and recreation needs in these areas. Prior to 2010, there were almost 90 acres of publicly owned parkland in Tysons Corner and approved development commitments to add another 8.5 park acres. Major development applications approved since 2010 in Tysons have included commitments to add approximately 93 acres of publicly accessible park areas in Tysons. Collectively, the major rezoning applications approved in Tysons since 2010 generate a need for 12 new athletic fields under the maximum approved development levels. The equivalent of three athletic fields have been built and currently serve Tysons area users. Applicants have proffered to provide for this need through dedication of land areas, construction of facilities, and/or contribution of funds to Fairfax County to be used towards land acquisition and facility development. The first new synthetic turf athletic fields in Tysons, located on Jones Branch Drive, have been in use since spring of 2015. This includes one full size rectangle field and one youth/practice rectangle field. Upgrades to the existing youth baseball diamond located on the property of Westgate Elementary School adjacent to Westgate Park, including synthetic turf and expanded play area to serve a dual purpose as a youth rectangle field were completed in 2016 using proffered funds from Tysons redevelopment. Quantum Field, a full-size synthetic turf rectangle field located in Vienna, opened to the public on June 22, 2019. Several smaller, urban parks have also opened to the public in Tysons in recent years. A new 1.5-acre civic plaza, at the Boro development, near the Greensboro Metro Station was opened to the public in the last year. It is designed for community events and gatherings, as well as casual use and it includes a pop jet spray pad and children’s play equipment. Boro Park is privately owned and maintained but open to the public. The Mile, a 38-acre consolidation in the Tysons North District, was approved in fall 2019. It includes over 11 acres of public park space, with a five-acre Signature Central Park and numerous small-footprint recreational facilities (playgrounds, sport courts, splash pad, dog park, outdoor fitness equipment). Like Boro Park, all 11 acres will be open to the public, with private maintenance. The five-acre signature park is to be dedicated to the Fairfax County Park Authority and the developer has committed to continue to provide maintenance of the park while under public ownership.

Major development applications approved since 2015 in Reston have included commitments to add approximately 50 acres of publicly accessible urban parks in the Reston Transit Station Area (TSA). Collectively, the major rezoning applications approved in Reston since 2015 generate a need for the equivalent of 9 new athletic fields under the maximum approved development levels. Approximately $25 million has been committed in proffers to the Fairfax County Park Authority for the purchase of land, construction of new facilities, and/or improvements to existing athletic fields in the greater Reston area. In addition, one rezoning applicant has proffered to acquire and dedicate a seven-acre parcel in the Reston area to the Park Authority for the creation of a new public park with athletic facilities. Yet another rezoning applicant, at Isaac Newton Square, has proffered to construct a full-size rectangle field plus warm up area onsite and to dedicate the land and facility to the Fairfax County Park Authority.

The Park Authority will continue to make progress on building an urban park network in Tysons and Reston that will be a model for planning and implementing urban parks in other growth areas of the County, such as Baileys Crossroads, Seven Corners, Annandale, Merrifield, and Richmond Highway.

The implementation of the Laurel Hill Master Plan is continuing. Currently the Central Green portion of the park is under construction. Phase 1 of the project includes the development of three large picnic shelters, an asphalt loop road, parking, an accessible asphalt trail and storm water management facilities. Phase 2 of the project includes the installation of the fourth large picnic shelter and the design and implementation of the bathroom building, which includes the installation of the required sanitary lines. Engineering design work for the Heritage Recreation Area and the Heritage Area is under way. This work includes gathering site information for the creation of base maps which
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includes topography, existing site utilities and wetland information. Maintenance and land
management activities including meadow preservation work and invasive removal projects are
currently being implemented. Stabilization work has begun on the Barret House to prepare it for the
Residential Curator Program. A septic system, connection to public water and electric are currently
being implemented. The disc golf course has been modified to accommodate the residential
development that is currently under construction adjacent to the park.

A large portion of Fairfax County Park Authority projects are supported by General Obligation Bonds.
The completion of the 2016 Parks Count, which is the Park Authority Needs Assessment was
completed and provides recommendations for capital investments in the park system based on a
body of data that the Park Authority will continue using for years. The total projected need for the
ten-year period was $939,161,000; that amount has been reduced by $94,700,000 due to the
approval of the 2016 Park Bond Referendum and by $100,000,000 due to the 2020 Park Bond
Referendum. The remaining needs of $744,461,000 is broken out into three strategic areas in five-
year increments.

- Critical, “Repairing what we have” makes the most of existing resources with the
primary goal being for FCPA to maintain services. The plan addresses deferred
maintenance at existing parks and facilities. The Critical funding need is $82,691,424
over the next five years.

- Sustainable, “Upgrade Existing” looks at enhancing existing programs, beginning new
alternative programs, or making other strategic changes that would require additional
operational or capital funding. The Sustainable need for years 1-5 is $102,461,220 the
need for years 6-10 is $164,282,756, for a total of $266,743,976.

- Visionary, “New, Significant Upgrades” includes new and expanded facilities to fully
meet needs desired by the community and ensure that the Park Authority remains a
preferred provider of park and recreation amenities. The Visionary need is
$395,025,600 over the 10-year period, and if funding is made available in 1-5 years
staff would accelerate visionary elements that include expansion and renovation of
existing recreation centers and development of new athletic facilities.

The Park Authority’s RECenter system is now entering a new era due to the aging of existing facilities
that require lifecycle redevelopment along with potential expansion to continue to meet the needs of
the community and remain fiscally sustainable as an enterprise funded activity. The Park Authority
recently completed a System-wide Sustainability Plan for RECenters that identifies strategies to
maximize operational effectiveness, improve community responsiveness, and ultimately ensure the
long-term financial sustainability of the RECenter system through a series of capital improvements.
As part of the strategic recommendations each RECenter was assigned one of six “thematic”
decisions. These recommendations outline a course of action for capital improvements at each
RECenter to maximize the sustainability of the overall system. For instance, if the thematic
recommendation is “expand” for a RECenter, then a series of improvements that are termed as
“critical,” “core,” or “added value” that facilitate that theme are included in the strategic
recommendations. The plan also identifies the potential for development of a new RECenter in the
Reston area and a potential countywide sports complex. The plan recommends a three-phased
implementation approach for funding the proposed capital improvements starting with improvements
identified as critical first, followed by core improvements and then improvements identified as added
value in the last phase. The total budget for all improvements estimated in 2017 dollars including all
hard costs and soft costs is $195,800,000. With escalation included for a five-year period based on
starting the improvements in 2020 the estimated total project budget increases to $232,500,000.
The Park Authority is currently revising the Master Plan for Lake Accotink Park. As part of the master planning process the Park Authority initiated a lake sustainability study for Lake Accotink. The preliminary results of the study indicate that by 2025 the lake will have silted in unless remedial actions such as dredging of the lake occurs. As part of the public input process members of the community have indicated a desire to retain the aesthetic and recreational value of the lake in the future. The current recommended dredging strategy includes dredging to restore the lake to an average depth of 8-foot dewatering and disposal of dredged sediment off-site, and funding for routine maintenance dredging program to maintain the lake. The order of magnitude cost for dredging is $30,500,000, with an annualized maintenance dredging cost of $2,000,000.

Based on continual facility condition assessments, growing and shifting community needs and expectations, an ever-increasing amount of funding will be needed for capital maintenance of aging park assets in order to maximize the life of the existing facilities and to develop new facilities.

In addition, to fund additional facilities and land acquisition, funding will be necessary to operate, support, sustain and protect future years of county investment in existing facilities. As the county’s largest landowner, the Park Authority's stewardship responsibility is documented in its Natural Resource Management Plan (NRMP) and Cultural Resource Management Plan (CRMP). These plans identify issues, strategies and projects to protect County parkland and valuable natural and cultural resources. This effort meets the County's Vision of Practicing Environmental Stewardship and is supported in the Board of Supervisors’ Environmental Agenda. In addition, the Park Authority is charged with stewardship of all County cultural resources. These plans contain critical strategies for preventing the degradation of resources that cannot be reclaimed once lost.

The Park Authority also recently automated its asset tracking and maintenance scheduling system that relates to a Park Facility Condition Assessment and Lifecycle Replacement Schedule. This system is utilized to efficiently manage facility repairs and develop long-range facility life cycle plans, as well as aid in the forecasting of future capital renovations.

The Park Authority undertook an agency wide master planning process to create and set the direction of the Park Authority for the next five to ten years. The plan is called Great Parks, Great Communities Park and Recreation System Masterplan Plan. The plan, emphasizing six key goals related to stewardship, park maintenance, equitable provision of recreational opportunities, healthy lifestyles, organizational agility, and fiscal sustainability was approved by the Park Authority Board in December 2017.

**Strategic Plan**

On June 27, 2018, the Park Authority Board approved the FY 2019 – FY 2023 Strategic Plan, the plan serves as a road map that will take the Park Authority into the future. The strategic plan includes 12 objectives that are measurable and results-focused, and are supported by specific, achievable, and time-bound action steps for implementation. The 12 Strategic Objectives are:

- Increase the Park Authority’s land holdings based on a proactive and informed decision-making strategy
- Balance natural resources, cultural resources, recreational needs and equity in planning and developing a sustainable park system
- Position the Park Authority as the model organization for increasing physical activity and wellness in Fairfax County
Increase walkable access (1/4-mile or 5-minute-walk (urban) and 1/2-mile or 10-minute walk (countywide)) by County residents to park or facility entrances, or trailheads to connect people to nature and recreational experiences

Implement an asset management program to guide reinvestment, maintenance, and upgrades to infrastructure and capital equipment

Develop an IT structure that coordinates data management, analysis, technology and business needs to support the operations and goals of the Park Authority and enables sound decision making and transparency

Provide training and leadership development for employees at all levels to ensure a high-performing organization

Reinforce and sustain a culture of accountability to advance the mission and vision

Develop and implement an advocacy strategy to increase dedicated funding and resources from the County

Collaborate with the Park Foundation to increase annual donations and provide greater support to the Park Authority

Develop and adopt a comprehensive and cross-divisional approach to business planning to improve financial performance

Establish a sponsorship program to secure, sustain and continually increase alternative funding available for agency priorities

Current Project Descriptions

1. **ADA Compliance-Parks (Countywide):** This is a continuing project to address Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) compliance measures throughout County parks. On January 28, 2011, the Board of Supervisors signed a Settlement Agreement and committed to remediation of the DOJ identified ADA improvements as well as the required self-assessments at the remaining unaudited facilities. Remediation of the DOJ identified violations was required by July 2018 and this work is complete. Remediation of the violations identified in the self-assessments had no specific deadline, and the Park Authority continues to complete remediation work at several facilities. Effective August 6, 2018, Fairfax County was released from the ADA Settlement Agreement with the DOJ. Funding for annual ADA projects to support the continuation of improvements is required, as buildings and site conditions age. Funding in the amount of $300,000 has been included in FY 2022 for this project.
2. **Capital Sinking Fund for Parks (Countywide):** $12,953,433 has been allocated to date for the Capital Sinking Fund for parks. The Capital Sinking Fund was established as a direct result of the Infrastructure Financing Committee (IFC). The Board of Supervisors has approved the allocation of the Sinking Fund balances identified as part of each Carryover Review, based on the following percentage allocation: 55 percent for FMD, 20 percent for Parks, 10 percent for walkways, 10 percent for County maintained Roads and Service Drives, and 5 percent for revitalization. The Park Authority has initiated projects to begin to address the backlog of reinvestment requirements including trail, bridge and tennis court repairs throughout the County, parking lot and roadway repairs at Burke Lake Park, Lake Accotink Park, Manchester Lakes, Oak Marr and at Annandale Community courts and fields, and many other capital improvements such as repairs to the Nottoway fit stations and the Martin Luther King pool. In addition to General Fund support, an amount of $190,366 was received in revenue to support the culvert replacement project at Lake Accotink, therefore, the total funding approved for the sinking fund project is $13,143,799.

3. **Parks - Building/Structures Reinvestment (Countywide):** This is a continuing project to address Park Authority infrastructure replacement and upgrades at non-revenue producing parks, including roof, plumbing, electrical, lighting, security/fire systems, sprinklers and HVAC replacement. The facilities maintained include, but are not limited to rental properties, historic properties, nature centers, maintenance facilities, sheds, shelters and office buildings. Park priorities are based on the assessment of current repair needs including safety and health issues, facility protection, facility renewal and improved services. This program also provides for emergency repairs associated with the stabilization of newly acquired structures and the preservation of park historic sites. In FY 2022, an amount of $925,000 is included various roof replacements and/or major repairs to outdoor public restrooms and picnic shelters ($200,000); replacement of fire and security systems at historic sites, nature centers, and maintenance facilities including the addition of freeze and water monitoring sensors to several historic sites ($125,000); replacement of windows, doors, and siding at picnic shelters, outdoor restrooms, and historic sites ($150,000); replacement of HVAC equipment at nature centers, visitor centers, and maintenance shops ($250,000); and the stabilization or repairs of buildings at properties conferred to the Park Authority ($200,000).

4. **Parks - Grounds Maintenance (Countywide):** This is a continuing project to provide for routine mowing and other grounds maintenance, as well as arboreal services. Arboreal services are provided in response to Park staff and citizens’ requests and include pruning, removal and inspections of tree health within the parks. There has been a rise in staff responses to requests for the inspection and removal of hazardous or fallen trees within the parks and those that may pose a threat to private properties. In FY 2022, an amount of $476,000 is provided to fund annual requirements for grounds maintenance at non-revenue supported parks.
5. **Parks - Infrastructure/Amenities Upgrades (Countywide):** This is a continuing project to provide improvements and repairs to park facilities and amenities including tennis courts, picnic shelters, bridges and parking lots. In addition, funding provides for annual maintenance and storm related repairs to 334 miles of trails. In FY 2022 an amount of $815,000 is included for trail maintenance, infrastructure and amenities upgrades.

6. **Parks - Preventative Maintenance and Inspections (Countywide):** This is a continuing project to address routine repairs in non-revenue producing Park Authority buildings, structures and equipment. This maintenance includes the scheduled inspection and operational maintenance of HVAC, plumbing, electrical, security and fire alarm systems. Funding is critical in order to prevent the costly deterioration of facilities due to lack of preventative maintenance. Equipment maintenance includes routine and preventative maintenance on operating equipment such as mowers, tractors, utility vehicles and heavy construction equipment. In FY 2022, an amount of $484,000 is included for preventive maintenance and inspections for over 556,771 square feet at non-revenue supported Park Authority structures and buildings.

7. **Sportsplex Study (Countywide):** $300,000 has been approved to support a comprehensive analysis and feasibility study associated with a County Sportsplex Facility. The Sportsplex study will include the potential for both indoor and outdoor sports facilities at multiple locations within the County. The feasibility study will be conducted working with the Sports Tourism Task Force. The tasks which will be performed as part of the study include strategic objectives analysis, market analysis, national and regional benchmarking, facility programming and site selection recommendations, and the development of an Organizational Plan, Operations Plan, and Financial Plan, including, economic impact models.

---

**General Obligation Bonds and Other Financed Projects**

8. **Community Parks/New Facilities - 2012 (Countywide):** $7,285,000 has been approved for planning, design and construction of new parks or park facilities to implement approved master plans, meet community needs, or provide additional functionality. Projects include: development of Phase 1 of the park planned improvements per the master plan at Monticello Park, building the internal trail network and shelter at the John C. and Margaret K. White Gardens, providing Signage and Branding to develop a branding approach to identify Park Authority facilities, and improvements to continue the phased development of the Park at Laurel Hill.

9. **Existing Facility Renovations - 2012 (Countywide):** $46,556,673 has been approved to improve existing park facilities to maintain designed capacity or retrofit obsolete facilities and bring them up to contemporary standards and codes. Projects include renovation and upgrading infrastructure and other amenities at Lake Accotink, countywide playground equipment upgrades, and countywide trails.
10. Lake Accotink Dredging (Braddock District): $30,500,000 is estimated to support the dredging of Lake Accotink. The Park Authority is currently revising the Master Plan for Lake Accotink Park and as part of the master planning process, initiated a lake sustainability study for Lake Accotink. The preliminary results of the study indicate that the lake will have silted in by 2025, unless remedial actions such as dredging occur. As part of the public input process members of the community have indicated a desire to see the lake continue to operate as a recreational water feature in the future. The current recommended dredging strategy is to dredge the lake to an average depth of 8 feet, dewatering and disposal of sediment and funding for routine maintenance dredging program to maintain the lake. Funding options include the Virginia Clean Water Revolving Loan Fund (Fund) that is administered by the Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) or Fairfax County Economic Development Authority bonds. Funding for the initial dredging and future maintenance will be supported by the Stormwater Services Fund.

11. Land Acquisition and Open Space – 2016 (Countywide): $7,000,000 has been approved for acquisition of parkland and/or parkland rights and preservation of open space including easements, that are obtained through a variety of methods including fee simple purchase of real property, acquisition of trail easements and other types of easements, cost related to acceptance of dedications and donated properties, and structure demolition cost.

12. Land Acquisition and Park Development – 2020 (Countywide): $100,000,000 has been approved to fund deferred projects and adequately fund long-term projects identified in the Park Authority 10-Year Capital Plan. Projects will include land acquisition to ensure adequate parkland for future generations, new park facilities, and continued renovation and replacement of aging and well-used facilities. Increasingly, citizens recognize that parks contribute highly to their quality of life in Fairfax County. Shifting and expanding leisure interests increase the demand for parks and park facilities. The market driven availability of real estate properties with significant cultural resource has generated increased public interest and demand to protect resources from development. In addition to land acquisition and park development projects, the Park Authority adopted Natural Resource and Cultural Resource Management Plans that identify initiatives needed to provide essential stewardship efforts of environmental resources on parkland and cultural resources throughout the county.

13. Land Acquisition and Park Development – 2024 (Countywide): $100,000,000 would fund deferred projects and adequately fund long-term projects identified in the Park Authority 10-Year Capital Plan. Projects will include land acquisition to ensure adequate parkland for future generations, new park facilities, and continued renovation and replacement of aging and well-used facilities. Increasingly, citizens recognize that parks contribute highly to their quality of life in Fairfax County. Shifting and expanding leisure interests increases the demand for parks and park facilities. The market driven availability of real estate properties with significant cultural resource has generated increased public interest and demand to protect resources from development. In addition to land acquisition and park development projects, the Park Authority adopted Natural Resource and Cultural Resource Management Plans that identify initiatives needed to provide essential
stewardship efforts of environmental resources on parkland and cultural resources throughout the county.

14. **Land Acquisition and Stewardship - 2012 (Countywide):** $12,915,000 has been approved for acquisition of parkland and/or parkland rights, including easements. Stewardship includes projects that support the approved Natural and Cultural Resource Management Plans and/or county's environmental or cultural resource initiatives, Hidden Pond Nature Center for shelter and parking, and the Sully Woodlands Environmental Education Center. Additional projects include land acquisition, energy management, and Natural and Cultural Resources.

15. **Natural and Cultural Resource Stewardship – 2016 (Countywide):** $7,692,000 has been approved for planning, design and/or construction of capital projects which carry out the Park Authority’s stewardship mission, support the approved Natural and Cultural Resource Management Plans and/or County’s environmental or cultural resource initiatives. Projects include repairs and restoration to Colvin Run Mill Miller’s House and Millrace, funding to support Historic Structures Curator Program, a History and Archaeology Collections Facility to properly curate and store history, and Sully Historic Site restoration projects.

16. **New Park Development – 2016 (Countywide):** $19,820,000 has been approved for construction of new park facilities where none existed before to meet new demand or to provide additional functionality or enhance planned capacity to an existing facility or space. Projects include developing a local park in Baileys, an area of park service level deficiency, picnic shelters at Lee District Family Recreation Area, new Baseball Diamond Complex to support countywide use/tournaments, and Park Development at Laurel Hill Park.

17. **Park Improvement Fund (Countywide):** The Park Improvement Fund was established under the provisions of the Park Authority Act for improvements to the agency’s revenue-generating facilities and parks, as well as to various park sites. Through a combination of grants, proffers, easement fees, telecommunications leases, transfers from the Revenue and Operating Fund, and donations, this fund provides for park improvements. These funds are managed by projects that the Park Authority Board approves. Project funding is appropriated at the fiscal year-end, consistent with the level of revenue received during that fiscal year. The current Revised Budget for this Fund is $21,827,115.

18. **Park Infrastructure Improvements - 2016 (Countywide):** $7,000,000 has been redirected to support infrastructure improvements at Park properties throughout the County. This funding was approved as part of the 2016 Park Bond and was originally proposed to support renovations to the Events Center at the Workhouse Arts Center, which is now on hold.

19. **Park Renovations and Upgrades – 2016 (Countywide):** $53,266,663 has been approved to fund repair and replacement/improvements to existing park facilities to maintain designed capacity or retrofit obsolete facilities and bring them up to contemporary standards and codes. Renovations can extend the design life of facilities and can include infrastructure additions and modifications. Projects include critical system-wide renovation and lifecycle needs such as playground replacements, lighting and irrigation systems, picnic shelters, roof replacements, parking, roads, entrances, RECenters – System-wide lifecycle replacements, the Mount Vernon RECcenter renewal/replacement, Area 1 Maintenance Facility Replacement, and Energy Management Enhancements.
## Project Cost Summaries
### Park Authority

($000's)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>Project Number</th>
<th>Source of Funds</th>
<th>Budgeted or Expended Through FY 2021</th>
<th>FY 2022</th>
<th>FY 2023</th>
<th>FY 2024</th>
<th>FY 2025</th>
<th>FY 2026</th>
<th>Total FY 2022 - FY 2026</th>
<th>Total FY 2027 - FY 2031</th>
<th>Total Project Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 ADA Compliance - Parks</td>
<td>PR-000083</td>
<td>G, C</td>
<td></td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Capital Sinking Fund for Parks</td>
<td>PR-000108</td>
<td>G, X</td>
<td>$13,144</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$13,144</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Parks - Building/Structures Reinvestment</td>
<td>PR-000109</td>
<td>G, C</td>
<td></td>
<td>$925</td>
<td>$944</td>
<td>$963</td>
<td>$982</td>
<td>$1,002</td>
<td>$4,816</td>
<td>$5,010</td>
<td>$9,826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Parks - Grounds Maintenance</td>
<td>2G51-006-000</td>
<td>G, C</td>
<td>$476</td>
<td>$486</td>
<td>$496</td>
<td>$506</td>
<td>$516</td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,480</td>
<td>$2,580</td>
<td>$5,060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Parks - Infrastructure/ Amenities Upgrades</td>
<td>PR-000110</td>
<td>G, C</td>
<td>$815</td>
<td>$831</td>
<td>$848</td>
<td>$865</td>
<td>$882</td>
<td></td>
<td>$4,241</td>
<td>$4,410</td>
<td>$8,651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Parks - Prevent. Maint. and Inspections</td>
<td>2G51-007-000</td>
<td>G, C</td>
<td>$484</td>
<td>$494</td>
<td>$504</td>
<td>$514</td>
<td>$524</td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,520</td>
<td>$4,192</td>
<td>$6,712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Sportsplex Study</td>
<td>2G51-044-000</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total General Fund</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$13,444</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>$3,055</td>
<td>$3,111</td>
<td>$3,167</td>
<td>$3,224</td>
<td>$15,557</td>
<td>$17,692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Community Parks/New Facilities - 2012</td>
<td>PR-000009</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>$6,285</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$7,285</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Existing Facility Renovations - 2012</td>
<td>PR-000091</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>$41,650</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>$907</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$3,907</td>
<td>$45,557</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Lake Accotink Dredging</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$30,500</td>
<td>$30,500</td>
<td>$30,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Land Acquisition and Open Space - 2016</td>
<td>PR-000077</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>$6,500</td>
<td>$400</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$7,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Land Acquisition/Park Development - 2020</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>$6,000</td>
<td>$8,000</td>
<td>$18,000</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$59,000</td>
<td>$41,000</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Land Acquisition/Park Development - 2024</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>$6,000</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Land Acquisition and Stewardship - 2012</td>
<td>PR-000093</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>$915</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,915</td>
<td>$12,915</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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## Project Cost Summaries

**Park Authority**

($000's)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>Project Number</th>
<th>Source of Funds</th>
<th>Budgeted or Expended Through FY 2021</th>
<th>FY 2022</th>
<th>FY 2023</th>
<th>FY 2024</th>
<th>FY 2025</th>
<th>FY 2026</th>
<th>Total FY 2022 - FY 2026</th>
<th>Total FY 2027 - FY 2031</th>
<th>Total Project Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Natural/Cultural Resource Stewardship - 2016</td>
<td>PR-000076</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>$2,500</td>
<td>$1,100</td>
<td>$1,100</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$992</td>
<td>$5,192</td>
<td>$7,692</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Park Development - 2016</td>
<td>PR-000079</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>$3,500</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
<td>$4,320</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$16,320</td>
<td>$19,820</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park Improvement Fund</td>
<td>800-C80300</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>$21,827</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$21,827</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park Infrastructure Improvements - 2016</td>
<td>PR-000134</td>
<td>G, B</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$6,000</td>
<td>$7,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park Renovations and Upgrades - 2016</td>
<td>PR-000078</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>$26,000</td>
<td>$7,500</td>
<td>$8,000</td>
<td>$9,000</td>
<td>$2,767</td>
<td></td>
<td>$27,267</td>
<td>$53,267</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$132,706</strong></td>
<td><strong>$25,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$26,077</strong></td>
<td><strong>$28,431</strong></td>
<td><strong>$61,434</strong></td>
<td><strong>$35,216</strong></td>
<td><strong>$176,158</strong></td>
<td><strong>$150,692</strong></td>
<td><strong>$459,556</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Numbers in bold italics represent funded amounts. A "C" in the 'Budgeted or Expended' column denotes a continuing project.

**Key:** Source of Funds

- B Bonds
- G General Fund
- S State
- F Federal
- X Other
- U Undetermined
The Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority (NOVA Parks) was founded in 1959 under the Virginia Park Authorities Act. Currently there are six jurisdictional members: the counties of Arlington, Fairfax and Loudoun and the cities of Alexandria, Fairfax and Falls Church. NOVA Parks enhances the communities of Northern Virginia and enriches the lives of their citizens through the conservation of regional natural and cultural resources. It provides diverse regional recreational and educational opportunities and fosters an understanding of the relationships between people and their environment. Regional parks supplement local facilities and are distinguished from county and local parks in that they are designed to appeal to and serve the broad based population of the entire Northern Virginia region, or may be of a size or scope that a single jurisdiction could not undertake alone. The Washington and Old Dominion (W&OD) Railroad Regional Park, which extends from Alexandria through Arlington, Falls Church, Fairfax and Loudoun, is just one example of many NOVA Parks facilities that have region wide characteristics.

NOVA Parks now owns, leases, and holds easements on 12,918 acres of land, of which 8,591 acres are in Fairfax County. The Regional Park system serves a population of 2 million people. Parklands within the system include: Aldie Mill, Battle of Upperville/Goose Creek Bridge, Carlyle House, Mount Defiance, Mount Zion, and Tinner Hill Historic Parks, Meadowlark Botanical Gardens, the W&OD Trail (Washington and Old Dominion Railroad Regional Park), Algonkian, Ball's Bluff Battlefield, Beavercreek Reservoir, Blue Ridge, Brambleton, Bull Run, Bull Run Marina, Cameron Run, Fountainhead, Gateway, Gilbert's Corner, Hemlock Overlook, Occoquan, Pohick Bay, Potomac Overlook, Red Rock, Sandy Run, Seneca, Springdale, Temple Hall Farm, Upton Hill, and White's Ford Regional Parks, the Upper Potomac properties, the Rust Sanctuary and Webb Sanctuary.

In its conservation role, NOVA Parks is involved in implementing portions of the Environmental Quality Corridors concept, which defines an open space land system designated for long term protection in the County. In this role, NOVA Parks places emphasis on acquisition of the shoreline properties along the Potomac, Bull Run and Occoquan Rivers, while the Fairfax County Park Authority (FCPA) concentrates on acquiring land along the County’s interior stream valleys.

From FY 2016 through FY 2020, NOVA Parks received $25,247,202 in support from its regional membership jurisdictions, plus an additional $42,465,577 in grants, donations, bonds, and other capital revenue, representing an actual capital program level of $67,712,779. Accomplishments during these years include, as examples: construction of a conservatory at Meadowlark Botanical Gardens; acquisition of the last privately owned land inside Pohick Bay Regional Park; groundbreaking for the dual pedestrian and cyclist trails on the W&OD in Falls Church; renovation of the Nature Center at Potomac Overlook Regional Park; planning for the new Beavercreek Reservoir Park and the Cameron Run Winter Village; construction of the Jean R. Packard Center and replacement of the seawall at Occoquan Regional Park; improvements to rowing facilities at Sandy Run; acquisition of a shoreline inholding at Pohick Bay Regional Park; acquisition of 150 acres for Springdale Regional Park, the Goose Creek Bridge, and additional land at Gilbert’s Corner; improvements to the Bull Run Festival of Lights; linkage of Gateway Park and local trails with the W&OD Trail; park entrance renovations at Meadowlark Botanical Gardens; upgrades to the campgrounds at Bull Run Regional Park; various trail improvements on the Washington & Old Dominion Railroad Regional Park; and ongoing renovations and upgrades to existing roads, parking, and restroom facilities.

A portion of the capital improvement program includes the repair and renovation of existing and aging facilities, such as roof replacements, road repairs, replacement of mechanical systems, and similar work to preserve and repair existing facilities. Additional elements of the CIP include land acquisition,
Americans with Disabilities Act improvements, energy improvements, expansion of existing facilities, and new features to meet the needs of the region.

Funds from Fairfax County to support NOVA Parks’ capital improvement program have historically been supported by General Obligation Bonds. NOVA Parks’ Capital Fund revenues consist primarily of capital appropriations received from the six-member jurisdictions. Fairfax County’s contribution for FY 2022 is proposed at $3.0 million. Funds for FY 2023 and beyond have not been formally allocated to specific projects and the following schedule lists only projects planned for FY 2022.

**Current Project Descriptions**

1. **ADA Improvements (Region-wide):** $400,000 for accessibility improvements throughout the park system.

2. **Aldie Mill Historic Park (Loudoun County):** $20,000 for millrace repair.

3. **Algonkian Regional Park (Loudoun County):** $165,000 for improvements and renovations to Volcano Island Waterpark, Woodlands building, and cottages.

4. **Bull Run Regional Park (Fairfax County):** $305,000 for Atlantis Waterpark renovations, seasonal event facility improvements, and campground upgrade.

5. **Cameron Run Regional Park (City of Alexandria):** $75,000 for seasonal event facility enhancement.

6. **Carlyle House Historic Park (City of Alexandria):** $100,000 for building repair and renovation.

7. **Cattail Improvements (Loudoun County):** $150,000 to begin new park entrance, parking improvements, trail development, and miscellaneous upon acquisition of new park site.

8. **Fountainhead Regional Park (Fairfax County):** $200,000 for marina renovations and mountain bike improvements.

9. **Golf Course Improvements (Region-wide):** $150,000 for capital improvements at all NOVA Parks golf courses.

10. **Headquarters (Fairfax County):** $110,000 for automated systems and building renovations.

11. **Hemlock Overlook Regional Park (Fairfax County):** $50,000 for facility renovations.

12. **Land Acquisition (Region-wide):** $400,000 for land and easement purchases, to be supplemented by grant funds.

13. **Meadowlark Botanical Gardens (Fairfax County):** $245,000 for Atrium renovations, garden development, hoop houses, and seasonal event facility improvements.

14. **Miscellaneous Improvements (Region-wide):** $430,000 for energy projects, tree plantings, facility improvements, interpretive and signage improvements, and other capital maintenance not specifically assigned to a particular public use facility or park location.
15. **Occoquan Regional Park (Fairfax County):** $100,000 for play features and retaining walls.

16. **Park Development Support and Planning (Region-wide):** $658,000 for project management, development, planning, and strategic initiatives.

17. **Pohick Bay Regional Park (Fairfax County):** $150,000 for water main extension, campground comfort station improvements, and master plan study.

18. **Roads and Parking (Region-wide):** $293,000 for improving and renovating roadways and parking areas throughout the park system.

19. **Temple Hall Farm Regional Park (Loudoun County):** $35,000 for seasonal event facility improvements.

20. **Upton Hill Regional Park (Fairfax and Arlington Counties):** $100,000 for miniature golf course renovation.

21. **Vehicles and Equipment (Region-wide):** $900,000 for capital equipment at all parks, central maintenance and headquarters.

22. **Waterpark Capital Maintenance (Region-wide):** $300,000 for renovations and repairs at all NOVA Parks waterparks.

23. **W&OD Railroad Regional Park (Fairfax, Arlington, Loudoun Counties, Cities of Fairfax and Falls Church):** $300,000 for various trail enhancements and repairs, to be supplemented by grant funds.
## Project Cost Summaries

### Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority

($000's)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>Source of Funds</th>
<th>Budgeted or Expended Through FY 2021</th>
<th>Total FY 2022 - FY 2026</th>
<th>Total FY 2027 - FY 2031</th>
<th>Total Project Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADA Improvements</td>
<td>B C</td>
<td>FY 2022 $400 FY 2023 $400 FY 2024 $400</td>
<td>$400</td>
<td>$400</td>
<td>$400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aldie Mill Historic Park (Loudoun County)</td>
<td>B C</td>
<td>FY 2022 $20 FY 2023 $20 FY 2024 $20</td>
<td>$20</td>
<td>$20</td>
<td>$20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algonkian Regional Park (Loudoun County)</td>
<td>B C</td>
<td>FY 2022 $165 FY 2023 $165 FY 2024 $165</td>
<td>$165</td>
<td>$165</td>
<td>$165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bull Run Regional Park (Fairfax County)</td>
<td>B C</td>
<td>FY 2022 $305 FY 2023 $305 FY 2024 $305</td>
<td>$305</td>
<td>$305</td>
<td>$305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cameron Run Reg. Park (City of Alexandria)</td>
<td>B C</td>
<td>FY 2022 $75 FY 2023 $75 FY 2024 $75</td>
<td>$75</td>
<td>$75</td>
<td>$75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlyle House Historic Park (City of Alexandria)</td>
<td>B C</td>
<td>FY 2022 $100 FY 2023 $100 FY 2024 $100</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>$100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cattail Improvements (Loudoun County)</td>
<td>B C</td>
<td>FY 2022 $150 FY 2023 $150 FY 2024 $150</td>
<td>$150</td>
<td>$150</td>
<td>$150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fountainhead Regional Park (Fairfax County)</td>
<td>B C</td>
<td>FY 2022 $200 FY 2023 $200 FY 2024 $200</td>
<td>$200</td>
<td>$200</td>
<td>$200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golf Course Improvements</td>
<td>B C</td>
<td>FY 2022 $150 FY 2023 $150 FY 2024 $150</td>
<td>$150</td>
<td>$150</td>
<td>$150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headquarters (Fairfax County)</td>
<td>B C</td>
<td>FY 2022 $110 FY 2023 $110 FY 2024 $110</td>
<td>$110</td>
<td>$110</td>
<td>$110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemlock Overlook Reg. Park (Fairfax County)</td>
<td>B C</td>
<td>FY 2022 $50 FY 2023 $50 FY 2024 $50</td>
<td>$50</td>
<td>$50</td>
<td>$50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Acquisition</td>
<td>B C</td>
<td>FY 2022 $400 FY 2023 $400 FY 2024 $400</td>
<td>$400</td>
<td>$400</td>
<td>$400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meadowlark Botanical Gardens (Fairfax County)</td>
<td>B C</td>
<td>FY 2022 $245 FY 2023 $245 FY 2024 $245</td>
<td>$245</td>
<td>$245</td>
<td>$245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Improvements</td>
<td>B C</td>
<td>FY 2022 $430 FY 2023 $430 FY 2024 $430</td>
<td>$430</td>
<td>$430</td>
<td>$430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occoquan Regional Park (Fairfax County)</td>
<td>B C</td>
<td>FY 2022 $100 FY 2023 $100 FY 2024 $100</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>$100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Title</td>
<td>Project Number</td>
<td>Source of Funds</td>
<td>Budgeted or Expended Through FY 2021</td>
<td>FY 2022</td>
<td>FY 2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park Development Support and Planning</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>B C</td>
<td></td>
<td>$658</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pohick Bay Regional Park (Fairfax County)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>B C</td>
<td></td>
<td>$150</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads and Parking</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>B C</td>
<td></td>
<td>$293</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temple Hall Farm Reg. Park (Loudoun County)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>B C</td>
<td></td>
<td>$35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upton Hill Regional Park (Fairfax and Arlington)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>B C</td>
<td></td>
<td>$100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicles and Equipment</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>B C</td>
<td></td>
<td>$900</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waterpark Maintenance</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>B C</td>
<td></td>
<td>$300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W&amp;OD Railroad Regional Park</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>B C</td>
<td></td>
<td>$300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL PROJECT COST</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$5,636</td>
<td>$5,477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL FAIRFAX COUNTY</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>$3,095</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Numbers in bold italics represent funded amounts. A "C" in the 'Budgeted or Expended' column denotes a continuing project.

Key: Source of Funds
- B Bonds
- G General Fund
- S State
- F Federal
- X Other
- U Undetermined
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Community Development

Revitalization and Neighborhood Improvements Goals

- To preserve and improve older commercial areas of the County and their respective residential communities.
- To create public/private partnerships which contribute to the economic vitality and viability of selected older commercial areas.
- To provide healthier and more competitive commercial establishments, more attractive and stabilized commercial centers, better services and improved shopping opportunities for the respective communities.
- To prevent the deterioration of older, stable neighborhoods.

Athletic Field Program Goals

- To Develop and maintain athletic fields at both Fairfax County Public Schools (FCPS) and Fairfax County Park Authority sites throughout the County.
Revitalization and Neighborhood Improvements

Revitalization is a major component of an overall strategy to strengthen the economic viability of the County’s older retail and business centers. Specifically, through the targeted efforts of the Revitalization Program, these areas will become more commercially competitive; offer better services and improved living, working, and shopping opportunities; and, become attractive locations for private reinvestment. In 1986, the Board of Supervisors established a Commercial Revitalization Program to improve the economic health of mature commercial areas in the County. Three revitalization areas were designated: Annandale, Bailey’s Crossroads/Seven Corners and Springfield. In 1995, the Richmond Highway Corridor was added to the revitalization program, followed by McLean, the Lake Anne Village Center (LAVC) and Merrifield in 1998. Also, in 1998, as part of the County’s continuing revitalization efforts, the Board adopted zoning overlay Commercial Revitalization Districts (CRDs) for five of the seven areas, retaining the LAVC and Merrifield as Commercial Revitalization Areas (CRAs). In the spring of 2018, the Board designated the Lincolnia Community Business Center (CBC). Each of the Revitalization Districts/Areas have been the subject of one or more planning studies. Implementation of recommendations in the studies is in different stages for each of the various areas. Among other things, the studies identify actions including capital projects that would support the revitalization of these areas.

This section also includes other neighborhood improvements including: the developer default program, streetlight improvements, emergency directives and the Neighborhood Improvement Capital Project Program. The Neighborhood Improvement Capital Project Program supports District specific unfunded capital needs, including minor capital repairs, streetlights, and/or walkways. Board members can fund or leverage grant funding to support capital projects within their District.

The Revitalization Section of the Policy Plan within the Fairfax County Comprehensive Plan includes the following established objectives:

- Fairfax County should establish or expand community reinvestment programs in its older commercial areas (and their adjacent neighborhoods) which have experienced or are on the verge of experiencing economic or infrastructure decline.

- Fairfax County should address long term infrastructure financing needs in designated Revitalization Areas recognizing that additional tax revenues are generated by revitalization projects.

- Fairfax County’s revitalization program should recognize market conditions and emphasize the use of private sector resources and capital investment, complemented by county and other public investment in services, programs, and infrastructure.


Revitalization Initiatives

A Commercial Revitalization Bond Referendum was approved in 1988 and included $22.3 million for commercial revitalization projects in six areas of the County - Annandale, Bailey’s Crossroads/Seven Corners, McLean, Springfield, the Richmond Highway Corridor and Vienna. The bonds have funded public improvement projects that have been completed or are nearing completion. Projects were determined by the County and the local communities and included improvements such as undergrounding utilities; roadway design and construction; and, streetscape improvements that consist of new paver sidewalks, street trees and plantings, street furnishings, signage, bus shelters, and land acquisition. Since 1988, this program has been supported primarily by the bond proceeds. However,
additional funds are required to implement the public improvement projects identified by the planning studies and to maintain existing improvements.

A variety of current revitalization program initiatives exist in addition to the specific capital projects described below. Among these are continuing efforts to identify creative financing mechanisms to support Fairfax County revitalization initiatives, programs, and projects. In April 2009, the Board created the Mosaic District Community Development Authority (CDA), the county’s first CDA that used Tax Increment Financing (TIF) to help fund the public infrastructure. Staff is also involved in public/private partnerships for projects such as the Original Mount Vernon High School, the North Hill redevelopment site on Richmond Highway, and the East County Center in the “Southeast Quadrant” of Bailey’s Crossroads, among others.

Staff continues to employ, monitor, and devise innovative strategies to implement a Board endorsed multi-year enhanced maintenance program for the Commercial Revitalization Districts (CRDs), pending available funding. The goal of the program is to prevent CRD infrastructure and aesthetic improvements from falling into a state of disrepair and to provide higher levels of service for grass cutting, landscaping, litter control, weed control, bus shelter maintenance, streetlight inspections, and street sweeping. A detailed inventory and conditions assessment, along with annual walk-throughs and on-going inspections are being used to identify and prioritize needed capital improvement projects. Funding allocated to the Capital Sinking Fund for Revitalization, will be used to support these capital improvements.

Recent Program Accomplishments

- **Annandale**: Staff has been working to implement many of the recommendations resulting from a 2016 Urban Land Institute Technical Assistance Panel, who conducted a brief intensive study of the core area of the Annandale Commercial Revitalization District (CRD) to provide short- to medium-term revitalization strategies to enhance the appearance, economic vitality, awareness, and promotion of Annandale. Staff implemented the creation of an interim or “pop-up” innovative park space in downtown Annandale on County-owned property located at 7200 Columbia Pike, and coordinated a monthly summer programming series on site to increase awareness of and use of the space. The goal of this effort is to begin to address the lack of community gathering spaces in the commercial district. The design vision for turning this county-owned open space into a permanent park with additional features and amenities was completed in 2019. The park land use for the site was approved by the Planning Commission in 2020, and an engineering analysis is anticipated in 2021. Additional funding and partnerships to implement the park vision continue to be pursued.

- **Bailey’s Crossroads and Seven Corners**: In fall 2019, a new interim park opened at the 2.94-acre county-owned property located at 5827 Columbia Pike in Bailey’s Crossroads. The initiative resulted from extensive inter-agency collaboration between the Fairfax County Park Authority, the Department of Public Works and Environmental Services, the Facilities Management Department, and the newly re-organized Department of Planning and Development. The interim park embodies the future vision to transform the CRD into a walkable, mixed-use urban center, and provides a new placemaking venue for the CRD. The park includes space for active and passive recreation and can support seasonal and temporary uses on site. Due to the pandemic in 2020, the opportunity to host programming at the site has been limited, but advertising to build community awareness of the new park should occur once restrictions for gatherings are lifted. Redevelopment activity also advanced in 2020 with the opening of Mission Lofts Apartments at 5600 Columbia Pike, and a proposal to convert three vacant office buildings in the Skyline
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Complex into live/work units. A new publicly accessible park space will also be built on site. These new projects should help bring additional vibrancy to the Baileys Crossroads CRD.

- **Lake Anne Village Center:** The Lake Anne Village Center (LAVC) was added to the Virginia Historic Landmarks Register in March 2017, and the National Register of Historic Places in June of 2017. The ongoing implementation of the 2011 LAVC Commercial Reinvestment Plan’s short-and long-term revitalization strategies, as well as the June 2015 Washington Plaza Design Charrette recommendations, have assisted Lake Anne Plaza in becoming a vibrant destination. New activities, special events, festivals, and ‘look and feel’ improvements have successfully introduced attendees to the unique Lake Anne merchants, and revitalization efforts have been bolstered by new business investment in LAVC, and reinvestment in existing businesses. The LAVC will also be home to a new affordable senior housing and market-rate townhouse project known as The New Lake Anne House. The project will replace the outdated Fellowship House buildings with a single 8-story, 240-unit affordable multi-family senior residential building and add 36 market-rate townhomes on the west side of Village Road at its intersection with North Shore Drive.

- **Lincolnia:** A three-phase Lincolnia Planning District Study concluded in July 2019 with an adopted Comprehensive Plan amendment for the area. The adopted Comprehensive Plan recommends a mix of uses and transportation network enhancements consistent with countywide goals for activity centers and establishes a vision for redevelopment and placemaking within the Lincolnia CBC. Public parks and open spaces within the CBC are envisioned to provide new recreational opportunities. The Board designated the area surrounding the intersection of Little River Turnpike (Route 236) and North Beauregard Street as a Community Business Center (CBC) and as a Commercial Revitalization Area (CRA) in an earlier amendment in 2018.

- **McLean:** In November 2016, the Board adopted a Plan Amendment that established a new high-density residential node in in the McLean CRD by increasing the intensity of properties along Beverly Road to a 3.0 Floor Area Ratio (FAR). In April 2018, the Board of Supervisors authorized a Comprehensive Plan Amendment to review the recommendations for the McLean Community Business Center (CBC) (Plan Amendment 2018-II-MI). In June 2018, a multi-faceted land use planning study commenced with the goal of developing implementable recommendations that reflect the vision of the McLean community and support the economic revitalization of downtown McLean. A Task Force was formed to advise the effort. The resulting Plan Amendment will be considered by the Board of Supervisors in early to mid-2021. Following Board adoption of a Plan amendment for the McLean CBC, work will begin on developing District Design Guidelines for the McLean CBC.

- **Merrifield:** Redevelopment activity in Merrifield continues to progress and diversify in both the Town Center and the Transit Station areas. The Town Center, with the development of the Mosaic District, has become an important gathering place for area residents and a destination for other residents seeking out the district’s restaurants, farmers market and weekend festivals. The plan to transform of the former Exxon-Mobil campus into Inova’s personalized medicine and research campus is underway, with 1.2 million square feet of adaptive reuse construction completed in 2019. The Board of Supervisors approved three Plan amendments for the Merrifield Suburban Center in September 2019, including the Inova site and for Fairview Park,
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North and South. The plan amendment allows for an additional 3.8 million square feet of development for the Inova Site while an FAR of 0.80 FAR to 1.0 was approved for Fairview Park, to increase the sustainability of an existing office park by adding new amenities, including retail, civic use and housing.

- **Richmond Highway**: The Board of Supervisors approved an amendment to the Comprehensive Plan for the Richmond Highway Corridor in March 2018 known as Embark Richmond Highway. Subsequent Plan Amendments and a transportation study (HATS) were approved in December 2020 for the North Gateway CBC. Together these plans are intended to improve multimodal transportation and increase high quality, transit-oriented development opportunities. To realize the area vision, a major corridor improvement project to widen Richmond Highway from four to six lanes between Sherwood Hall Lane and Jeff Todd Way including pedestrian and bicycle improvements is underway and will be completed in two phases. A second major capital project is also underway that will implement the Plan’s vision for a Bus Rapid Transit system from the Huntington Metrorail Station to Fort Belvoir in two phases, and to Prince William County in a third phase. Finally, staff prepared District Urban Design Guidelines for the Richmond Highway Corridor, which were endorsed by the Board of Supervisors in March of 2020. The Design Guidelines provide detailed urban design strategies and implementation solutions for future development proposals in the area. Additionally, the North Hill housing development and public-private partnership broke ground in the fall of 2020.

- **Springfield**: The Town Center, which reopened in 2014 after substantial reinvestment, is a catalyst for the redevelopment within the greater Springfield area. The 2020 opening of a new Transportation Security Administration (TSA) headquarters in Springfield bring more than 3,000 new employees to the area and is anticipated to further accelerate development surrounding the Town Center. Also, a district-wide branding effort has been launched to foster a more cohesive image for the area with a new logo and designs for a gateway sign system completed, and with permitting, fabrication and installation expected to be completed by the end of 2021. Within the CBC, the design of the Springfield Multi-Use Parking Garage is currently in progress, with construction contract awarding expected in early 2021 and a completion date of late 2023. A consultant-led study to develop detailed, complete street cross-sections and cost-estimates for the CRD and the Transit Station Area has been finalized. Staff continues to provide support to the Greater Springfield Chamber of Commerce, which is working to resolve bicycle, signage, and pedestrian safety issues in the CRD. Lastly, the installation of new, modern, and well-lit bus shelters within the CRD was completed in 2020.

**Other Neighborhood Improvements**

Other neighborhood improvement projects include streetlights and the implementation of sidewalks, curbs, gutters, curb ramps, pedestrian safety improvements and storm sewers in older neighborhoods. The County Streetlight Program is designed to respond to the need for additional community and roadway lighting. Currently, new streetlights are primarily installed as part of the County’s development process requirements. Depending on funding availability, streetlights may be installed at the County’s expense based on citizens’ requests. Lighting is also being provided on a limited number of trails/walkways, typically near Metro Stations/mass transit. Operating costs for streetlights are funded by the General Fund. In addition, as part of the FY 2016 Third Quarter Review, the Board of Supervisors approved a Neighborhood Improvement Capital Project Program. This Program supports District specific unfunded capital needs, including minor capital repairs, streetlights, and/or walkways. This program allows the Board of Supervisors to fund or leverage grant funding to support capital projects within their District.
1. **Capital Sinking Fund for Revitalization (Countywide):** $2,656,783 has been allocated to date for the capital sinking fund for Revitalization. The Capital Sinking Fund was established as a direct result of the Infrastructure Financing Committee (IFC). Funding for each sinking fund is approved annually as part of the Carryover Review and is allocated based on the following percentages: 55 percent for FMD, 20 percent for Parks, 10 percent for walkways, 10 percent for County maintained Roads and Service Drives, and 5 percent for revitalization maintenance. It is anticipated that these funds will be dedicated to sidewalk and bus shelter repairs and other required capital improvements in the CRDs.

2. **Developer Defaults (Countywide):** The Developer Default project is a continuing program for the purpose of completing private development projects on which developers have defaulted. There has been an increased level of activity for this program in recent years, and current projections suggest this trend will continue. FY 2022 funding totals $200,000 supported by the General Fund.

3. **Developer Streetlight Program (Countywide):** This is a continuing program to support the installation of streetlights throughout the County. The County coordinates with Dominion Virginia Power and NOVEC for the installation of the streetlights. Developers then make direct payments to the County. Upon completion of the installation, the streetlights are incorporated into the Fairfax County Streetlight Program inventory. This program is offset entirely by anticipated payments from developers and revenues received are appropriated at year end annually.

4. **District Capital Projects (Countywide):** This Program supports District specific unfunded capital needs, including minor capital repairs, streetlights, and/or walkways. Funding of $1,000,000 was approved for this Program as part of the FY 2016 Third Quarter Review and an amount of $100,000 was provided for each District and the Chairman. Board members can fund or leverage grant funding to support capital projects within their District. Funding for District capital projects is considered annually.

5. **Emergency Directives/Grass Mowing (Countywide):** This is a continuing project to support emergency property maintenance issues associated with increases in foreclosed properties in the County. Funding provides for abatement services of both emergency and non-emergency directives related to health and safety violations, grass mowing violations and graffiti removal. The Department of Code Compliance supports the community through programs pertaining to grass ordinances in order to investigate and resolve violations and concerns in both residential and commercial areas. Funding for this program varies from year to year.

6. **Minor Streetlight Upgrades (Countywide):** This program is for the upgrading of existing streetlights that do not meet current illumination standards for roadways, based on citizens’ requests.

7. **Payments of Interest on Bond Deposits (Countywide):** This project provides for payments to developers for interest earned on conservation bond deposits. The County requires developers to make deposits to ensure the conservation of existing natural resources. Upon satisfactory completion of the project, the developer is refunded the deposit with interest. Funding varies from year to year and is based on prior year actual expenditures and current interest rates. Funding of $50,000 is included to support the interest payment requirements in FY 2022.

8. **Revitalization Initiatives (Countywide):** This is an on-going project which provides for the continuation of revitalization activities, including consultant services to foster commercial revitalization and address program needs to implement recommendations of the Comprehensive Plan for the eight Revitalization Districts/Areas: Annandale, Baileys...
Crossroads/Seven Corners, Lake Anne, Lincolnia, McLean, Merrifield, Richmond Highway, and Springfield, as well as for other areas of strategic importance in the County.

9. **Revitalization Maintenance (Countywide):** This is an on-going project which provides for routine and non-routine maintenance in five major commercial revitalization districts (Annandale, Richmond Highway, Springfield, McLean and Baileys Crossroads/Seven Corners) and provide landscaping maintenance associated with the Tyson’s Corner Silver Line area. The goal of this program is to provide an enhanced level of infrastructure and right-of-way features in these urbanizing areas to facilitate pedestrian movements and create a “sense of place.” Routine maintenance in the commercial revitalization areas currently includes grass mowing, trash removal, street sweeping, fertilization, mulching of plant beds, weed control, and plant pruning. Non-routine maintenance includes asset maintenance or replacement (e.g., trees, plants, bicycle racks, area signs, street furniture, bus shelter, drinking fountains) to sustain the overall visual characteristics of the districts. Maintenance along the Silver Line also includes the upkeep of 27 water quality swales under the raised tracks located in VDOT right-of-way. Typical maintenance for the swales includes litter and sediment removal, vegetation care, and structural maintenance. Funding of $1,410,000 has been included to continue these efforts in FY 2022.

10. **Revitalization – Mason District (Mason District):** $450,074 remains available to continue improvement projects in the Mason area.

11. **Revitalization – McLean (Dranesville District):** $143,427 remains available to continue improvements in the McLean area.

12. **Revitalization - Richmond Highway (Lee/Mount Vernon Districts):** $78,277 remains for streetscape improvements and signage in the Richmond Highway Corridor revitalization area. The Southeast Fairfax Development Corporation (SFDC) initiated the Wayfinding project in order to improve signage, orient motorists along the Richmond Highway Corridor and help bolster community identity. Construction of Phase I of this project was completed in the summer of 2013. Phase II, consisting of three additional wayfinding signs for the southern end of the corridor as well as modifications to one existing sign, was completed in August 2017. Remaining funds may be retained for future repairs or to assist with future relocations of these signs as a result of road widening and/or Bus Rapid Transit projects.

13. **Revitalization – Springfield (Springfield District):** $203,844 remains available for the Springfield Branding/signage project. To raise the visibility and reputation of Springfield as a great place to live and do business, Fairfax County is working with the Greater Springfield Chamber of Commerce and other local community representatives to develop new “gateway” entrance signs welcoming visitors and shoppers into the Central Springfield commercial area. This branding initiative is a partnership between the County and the Greater Springfield Chamber of Commerce, Springfield Civic Association and Springfield Town Center.
14. **Strike Force Blight Abatement (Countywide):** This is a continuing project supported by the Department of Code Compliance which assists the community through programs pertaining to zoning, building, property maintenance, health, and fire codes as well as blight ordinances in order to investigate and resolve violations and concerns in both residential and commercial areas. As part of the FY 2009 Adopted Budget Plan budget guidelines, the Board of Supervisors directed that any revenue generated from zoning violation fines in excess of the baseline total of $122,215 be made available to support the activities of the Department of Code Compliance. Any revenues over this baseline are appropriated to this project at year-end.

15. **Survey Control Network Monumentation (Countywide):** This is a continuing project that supports the establishment, maintenance and publication of survey control monuments. These monuments, used by the private and public sector, are the terrestrial framework for geospatial control of surveying, mapping and land development projects. The survey control monuments provide the spatial control for the County GIS system. This monumentation work is necessary to assist Surveyors and Engineers in developing site plans in accordance with the requirements of the Fairfax County Public Facilities Manual. An amount of $95,000 is included in FY 2022 to support this program.
# Project Cost Summaries

## Revitalization and Neighborhood Improvements

($000's)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>Project Number</th>
<th>Source of Funds</th>
<th>Total FY 2022 - FY 2026</th>
<th>Total FY 2027 - FY 2031</th>
<th>Total Project Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Capital Sinking Fund for Revitalization</td>
<td>CR-000007</td>
<td>G $2,657</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$2,657</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Developer Defaults</td>
<td>G C</td>
<td>$200 $200 $200 $200 $200</td>
<td>$1,000 $1,000 $2,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Developer Streetlight Program</td>
<td>X $1,067</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,067</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 District Capital Projects</td>
<td>ST-000004 - ST-00013</td>
<td>$1,697</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,697</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Emergency Directives/Grass Mowing</td>
<td>G, X C</td>
<td>$100 $100 $100 $100 $100</td>
<td>$400 $500 $900</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Minor Streetlight Upgrades</td>
<td>G C</td>
<td>$20 $20 $20 $20 $20</td>
<td>$80 $100 $180</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Payments of Interest on Bond Deposits</td>
<td>G C</td>
<td>$50 $50 $50 $50 $50</td>
<td>$250 $250</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Revitalization Initiatives</td>
<td>G $870</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$870</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Revitalization Maintenance</td>
<td>G C $1,410</td>
<td>$1,410 $1,410 $1,410 $1,410 $1,410</td>
<td>$7,050 $7,050 $14,100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Revitalization - Mason District</td>
<td>CR-000014</td>
<td>G $450</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Revitalization and Neighborhood Improvements
($000's)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Source of Funds</th>
<th>Budgeted</th>
<th>Expended</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Revitalization - Mclean</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>$143</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Revitalization - Richmond Highway</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>$78</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Revitalization - Springfield</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>$203</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Strike Force Blight Abatement</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>$1,066</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Survey Control Network Monumentation</td>
<td>G, C</td>
<td>$95</td>
<td>$475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$95</td>
<td>$475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$95</td>
<td>$475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$95</td>
<td>$475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$9,255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$9,125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$26,611</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total: $8,231 | $1,755 | $1,875 | $1,875 | $1,875 | $1,875 | $9,255 | $9,125 | $26,611

Notes: Numbers in bold italics represent funded amounts. A "C" in the 'Budgeted or Expended' column denotes a continuing project.

### Key: Source of Funds
- **B** Bonds
- **G** General Fund
- **S** State
- **F** Federal
- **X** Other
- **U** Undetermined
Athletic Field Program

Program Description

The Athletic Field Program facilitates the development, maintenance, and replacement of athletic fields throughout the County. This program also includes synthetic turf fields, field lighting replacement and other sports programs. The Program represents a coordinated effort between the Park Authority, the Department of Neighborhood and Community Services (DNCS) and Fairfax County Public Schools (FCPS).

The Board of Supervisors has identified the maintenance and development of athletic fields at Fairfax County Public Schools and Park Authority properties as a critical requirement. In FY 2006, the Board approved the implementation of an Athletic Services Fee, dedicated primarily for the enhancement of maintenance of school athletic fields, the implementation of synthetic turf fields and custodial support for indoor sports organizations. A significant General Fund contribution to athletic field maintenance is approved annually as well.

The maintenance of athletic fields generally includes trash removal, provision of portable toilets, field lighting, fencing, irrigation, bleachers, and player benches, mowing, infield prepping and renovations, full service turf grass programs (fertilizer, soil amendments, soil samples, weed and insect control) for parks and high schools, and aeration and seeding for all other schools. Maintenance efforts also include a schedule for recently completed irrigation and lighting replacement projects at County/FCPA fields and irrigation systems on FCPS fields. Athletic field maintenance is funded by the General Fund and is supplemented by an Athletic Services Fee. These maintenance efforts improve safety standards, enhance playing conditions and increase user satisfaction. Funding in the amount of $8,215,338 has been included for the athletic field maintenance and sports program in FY 2022. This level of funding is supported by a General Fund transfer of $6,740,338 and revenue generated from the Athletic Services Fee in the amount of $1,475,000. Of the Athletic Services Fee total, $800,000 will be dedicated to the turf field replacement program, $275,000 will be dedicated to custodial support for indoor sports organizations, $250,000 will be dedicated to maintenance of school athletic fields, $75,000 will be dedicated to synthetic turf field development, and $75,000 will partially fund the Youth Sports Scholarship Program. The Athletic Service Fee revenue is based on a rate of $5.50 per participant per season and $15 for tournament team fees for diamond field users and indoor gym users, and a rate of $8.00 per participant per season and $50 tournament team fees for rectangular field users. The increase for rectangular field users will specifically support the turf field replacement fund.

There are 96 synthetic turf fields throughout the County, of which 24 are FCPS stadium fields and 72 are County Park/FCPS non-stadium fields. There are over 130,000 youth and adult participants (duplicated number) annually on rectangular fields that benefit from synthetic turf fields. Synthetic turf fields allow for year-round use in most weather which increases playable hours, provides playing surfaces and conditions that are similar to grass fields, and eliminates the need for watering, mowing, and fertilizing. Increased annual funding has been provided to begin to address the growing need for field replacement and to establish a 10-year replacement schedule. The first synthetic turf field replacement efforts began in 2013 for the first two fields developed. Most manufacturers provide an eight-year warranty for a properly maintained synthetic turf field; however, it is a generally accepted practice to assume a life expectancy of the synthetic turf field of no more than 10 years. The current projected replacement cost per field is approximately $480,000.
1. **Athletic Field Maintenance (Parks) (Countywide):** This is a continuing project to provide maintenance to athletic fields managed by FCPA. Funding is included for continued personnel and operating costs associated with the program including electricity for lighted facilities, maintenance of lighting systems, water for irrigated facilities, irrigation system maintenance, minor ball field repairs and capital equipment. This program is designed to improve playing conditions and to achieve safety standards. Funding of $2,700,000 for this project has been included in FY 2022. This project is supported entirely by the General Fund.

2. **Athletic Fields - APRT Amenity Maintenance (Countywide):** This project provides $50,000 annually to support routine maintenance of girls' softball field amenities on selected Fairfax County Public School sites. These amenities, such as dugouts, fencing and irrigation systems, were added or constructed by the County based on recommendations by the citizen-led Action Plan Review Team (APRT) in order to reduce disparities in the quality of fields assigned to boys' baseball and girls' softball organizations. Routine maintenance is necessary both to maintain equity and to ensure safety. This project is supported entirely by the General Fund.

3. **Athletic Fields - FCPS Lighting (Countywide):** This project provides for the continuous upgrades associated with athletic field lighting at Fairfax County Public Schools middle and high schools. Funding supports the replacement and repair schedule for existing lighting systems. The school system's Office of Design and Construction Services ensures lighting standards are maintained. Funding supports ongoing installation, replacement and repair projects, and is coordinated by the DNCS. Funding of $250,000 for this project has been included in FY 2022. This project is supported entirely by the General Fund.

4. **Athletic Fields - Park Maintenance at FCPS (Countywide):** This is a continuing project to provide for the mowing of athletic fields at FCPS elementary and middle schools. This project is designed to improve the quality of the school fields playing condition, improve safety standards and increase user satisfaction. The program provides for mowing of the fields, as well as the aeration and over-seeding of the fields. These services are provided by the FCPA, through established service contracts. Funding of $1,465,338 for this project has been included in FY 2022 which represents an increase of $605,000 from the FY 2021 Adopted Budget Plan. This increase represents a partnership with FCPS and supports one half of the costs associated with the Park Authority assuming maintenance of 44 FCPS fields as previously approved by the Board of Supervisors. FCPS will also fund one half of the cost. Given the high capabilities, scale of operations and level of expertise and knowledge by the Park Authority on the maintenance required for athletic fields, the transfer of maintenance responsibilities for 44 FCPS synthetic turf fields will help align all synthetic field maintenance under the Park Authority. This project is supported entirely by the General Fund.

5. **Athletic Services Fee - Custodial Support (Countywide):** This is a continuing project to provide custodial support for indoor gyms used by community-based indoor athletic organizations during their assigned primary scheduling season. Funding of $275,000 for this project has been included in FY 2022. This project is supported entirely by the Athletic Services Fee.
6. **Athletic Services Fee - Diamond Field Maintenance (Countywide):** This is a continuing project to supplement the level of maintenance by FCPA on athletic fields at FCPS sites, by providing a consistent mowing frequency schedule for high school diamond fields, as well as diamond field infield preparation twice a week for elementary, middle, and high school fields. This project also provides funding for post-season field treatment standards and a maintenance schedule for recently completed irrigation and lighting replacement projects at County/FCPA fields and irrigation systems on FCPS fields. Funding of $1,000,000 for this project has been included in FY 2022. This project is supported by $250,000 in the Athletic Services Fee revenue and $750,000 from the General Fund.

7. **Athletic Services Fee - Sports Scholarships (Countywide):** The Youth Sports Scholarship Program provides support for youth from low-income families who want to participate in community-based sports programs. Funding of $150,000 for this project is included in FY 2022, including $75,000 based on revenue generated from the Athletic Services Fee, and $75,000 supported by the General Fund.

8. **Athletic Services Fee - Turf Field Development (Countywide):** This is a continuing project to facilitate the development of synthetic turf fields at Park and FCPS sites. Funding of $75,000 for this project has been included in FY 2022. This project, supported entirely by the Athletic Services Fee, was previously funded in the amount of $200,000; however, funding of $125,000 was redirected to the Turf Field Replacement Program beginning FY 2017.

9. **Athletic Services Fee - Turf Field Replacement (Countywide):** This project provides for the establishment of a synthetic turf field replacement program. There are a total of 96 synthetic turf fields throughout the County, of which 24 are FCPS fields and 72 are County fields. Funding is required to begin to address the growing need for field replacement and to establish a replacement schedule over the next 10 years. The current projected replacement cost per field is approximately $480,000. Staff has developed a 10-year replacement plan for the current inventory; however, additional funding will be required in the future to continue the gradual replacement of turf fields as they reach the end of their useful life. An amount of $2,250,000 is included for the turf field replacement program in FY 2022, including $800,000 from the Athletic Services Fee revenue and $1,450,000 from the General Fund.
## Project Cost Summaries

**Athletic Field Program**

($000's)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Title Project Number</th>
<th>Source of Funds</th>
<th>Budgeted or Expended Through FY 2021</th>
<th>FY 2022</th>
<th>FY 2023</th>
<th>FY 2024</th>
<th>FY 2025</th>
<th>FY 2026</th>
<th>Total FY 2022 - FY 2026</th>
<th>Total FY 2027 - FY 2031</th>
<th>Total Project Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Athletic Field Maintenance (Parks) 2G51-002-000</td>
<td>G C</td>
<td>$2,700</td>
<td>$2,700</td>
<td>$2,700</td>
<td>$2,700</td>
<td>$2,700</td>
<td>$13,500</td>
<td>$13,500</td>
<td>$27,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Athletic Fields - APRT Amenity Maintenance 2G79-220-000</td>
<td>G C</td>
<td>$50</td>
<td>$50</td>
<td>$50</td>
<td>$50</td>
<td>$50</td>
<td>$250</td>
<td>$250</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Athletic Fields - FCPS Lighting PR-000082</td>
<td>G C</td>
<td>$250</td>
<td>$250</td>
<td>$250</td>
<td>$250</td>
<td>$250</td>
<td>$1,250</td>
<td>$1,250</td>
<td>$2,500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Athletic Field - Park Maintenance at FCPS 2G51-001-000</td>
<td>G C</td>
<td>$1,465</td>
<td>$1,465</td>
<td>$1,465</td>
<td>$1,465</td>
<td>$1,465</td>
<td>$7,325</td>
<td>$7,325</td>
<td>$14,650</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Athletic Services Fee - Custodial Support 2G79-219-000</td>
<td>X C</td>
<td>$275</td>
<td>$275</td>
<td>$275</td>
<td>$275</td>
<td>$275</td>
<td>$1,375</td>
<td>$1,375</td>
<td>$2,750</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Athletic Services Fee - Diamond Field Main. 2G51-003-000</td>
<td>G, X C</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Athletic Services Fee - Sports Scholarships 2G79-221-000</td>
<td>G, X C</td>
<td>$150</td>
<td>$150</td>
<td>$150</td>
<td>$150</td>
<td>$150</td>
<td>$750</td>
<td>$750</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Athletic Services Fee - Turf Field Development PR-000080</td>
<td>X C</td>
<td>$75</td>
<td>$75</td>
<td>$75</td>
<td>$75</td>
<td>$75</td>
<td>$375</td>
<td>$375</td>
<td>$750</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Athletic Services Fee - Turf Field Replacement PR-000097</td>
<td>G, X C</td>
<td>$2,250</td>
<td>$2,250</td>
<td>$2,250</td>
<td>$2,250</td>
<td>$2,250</td>
<td>$11,250</td>
<td>$11,250</td>
<td>$22,500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>$8,215</td>
<td>$8,215</td>
<td>$8,215</td>
<td>$8,215</td>
<td>$8,215</td>
<td>$41,075</td>
<td>$41,075</td>
<td>$82,150</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Numbers in bold italics represent funded amounts. A "C" in the 'Budgeted or Expended' column denotes a continuing project.

**Key: Source of Funds**

- B Bonds
- G General Fund
- S State
- F Federal
- X Other
- U Undetermined
Housing and Health and Human Services

**Housing and Community Development Goals**

- To develop, maintain, and preserve affordable housing and promote equal housing opportunity through the acquisition, renovation, and/or construction of affordable housing units.
- To develop affordable housing programs for persons with disabilities, homeless, and elderly which provide or have access to supportive services to facilitate independent living.
- To locate affordable housing as close as possible to employment opportunities, public transportation and community services.
- To promote economically balanced communities by developing affordable housing in all parts of the County.
- To maintain the quality of existing units in the Fairfax County Rental Program as they age in order to promote the stability of the neighborhoods in which they are located.
- To maximize the use of federal, state, non profit and private sector housing development programs and funding.

**Health and Human Services Goals**

- To provide community services as an alternative to institutional placements.
- To provide facilities and services which will enhance the physical health, mental health and social well-being of County citizens.
- To establish additional group homes which promote integration within the community for persons who have developmental disabilities.
- To provide facilities and services that will assist in the rehabilitation of individuals recovering from alcohol and drug abuse.
- To establish additional treatment facilities in new growth areas to accommodate the human services needs for local residents.
- To continue partnerships with Virginia Department of Medical Assistance Services for maximizing Medicaid revenues to fund clinical residential supports.
- To continue a commitment to privatization by working collaboratively with private service provider agencies for the delivery of residential support services.
- To support, promote and provide quality childcare and early childhood education services in Fairfax County.
The primary mission of the Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) is to act as the development and administrative agency for the Fairfax County Redevelopment and Housing Authority (FCRHA) and the Board of Supervisors in meeting the housing and community development needs of the County’s low- and moderate-income residents.

The Housing Section of the Policy Plan within the Fairfax County Comprehensive Plan includes the following established objectives:

- The county should increase the supply of affordable housing units each year by an amount that is equal to at least 12 percent of the total housing production in the County for the previous year. These units should serve the full range of incomes of households needing affordable housing and should include units for the disabled and handicapped.

- The county should encourage the provision of affordable housing in all parts of the county.

- The county should conserve stable neighborhoods and encourage rehabilitation and other initiatives that will help to revitalize and promote the stability of older neighborhoods.

- The county should maximize the use of federal and state housing assistance programs.

- The county should increase the supply of housing available to special populations, including the physically and mentally disabled, the homeless, and the low-income elderly.

Source: Fairfax County Comprehensive Plan, 2017 Edition; Policy Plan - Housing, Amended through 3-14-2017

Affordable housing is needed in the county to serve households with low- to moderate-income, in both the rental and homeownership markets. It has become increasingly difficult for many households to find price-appropriate housing in the county. Individuals and families with low income levels face the greatest challenge finding affordable housing. The Communitywide Housing Strategic Plan identified a need for an additional 15,000 net new housing units, affordable to households at 60 percent of the AMI and below, over the 15 years between 2018 and 2033. These households are critical members of the workforce and an essential component of the local economy. As such, it is essential to ensure availability of sufficient affordable housing, so these essential employees have an opportunity to live and work in county.

Further, the lack of affordable housing in the county is a leading cause of homelessness. The Board of Supervisors recognized this when they endorsed the Blueprint for Success: Strategic Directions for the Plan to Prevent and End Homelessness in the Fairfax-Falls Church Community in 2008. Much progress has been made since then in reducing the overall number of families and individuals experiencing homelessness in the county, yet vulnerable residents continue to be at risk of housing instability and homelessness.

In addition, price-appropriate housing must be available to county residents that have a disability. Individuals with disabilities are more likely to have extremely low-income and often face a compounded problem of finding housing that is affordable and has the accessibility features needed to live comfortably in the home. Similarly, for seniors who are on fixed income, many of whom have worked in the county throughout their careers and contributed to the local economy, have difficulty finding affordable housing with the necessary accessibility features.
Based on Fairfax County’s Five-Year Consolidated Plan for Fiscal Years 2016-2020, the county faces a deficit of more than 31,000 rental units affordable to individuals and families with low-income. Over time, the gap between the need and the supply will grow considerably without new approaches for expanded housing availability and affordability. By 2032, the county is expected to add more than 62,000 households. These household projections are based on the county’s analysis of recent growth and the capacity for residential development based on current land use and zoning. To support sustainable population growth and bolster the county’s economy, it is important to intentionally plan for price-appropriate housing to address the current housing gap and to meet the needs of the growing workforce.

In 2019, the Affordable Housing Resources Panel (AHRP), a group of citizens tasked by the Board of Supervisors to develop the recommendations for the second phase of the Communitywide Housing Strategic Plan, presented its report to the Board’s Budget Committee. Included among the AHRP’s recommendations were to: 1) produce a minimum of 5,000 new homes, as a floor, affordable to households earning up to 60 percent of AMI over the next fifteen years; 2) allocate the equivalent of one additional penny on the real estate tax rate to support this production starting in FY 2021; and 3) achieve no net loss of total “market affordable” rental apartments in Fairfax County, as feasible.

Key to achieving the goals set forth in the Communitywide Housing Strategic Plan is the FCRHA’s pipeline of affordable housing development projects, including the recently completed Murraygate Village Apartments Renovation (Lee District). Projects currently underway on FCRHA properties include Oakwood (Lee District), Little River Glen I and IV (Braddock District), One University (Braddock District), North Hill (Mount Vernon District), and Autumn Willow (Springfield District). In addition, as part of the Board’s Housing Blueprint funding, affordable housing projects that have been initiated by the private sector include: Arrowbrook (Dranesville District), which will generate 274 units, New Lake Anne House (Hunter Mill District) which includes 240 units of preservation and new units, and The Arden (Mount Vernon District), which will generate 126 units.

1. **ADA Compliance - Housing (Countywide):** $1,421,000 is the current estimated cost for the improvements/modifications needed for FCRHA properties in order to comply with the January 28, 2011 Americans with Disabilities Act settlement between the Board of Supervisors and the Department of Justice. The following projects were inspected and identified as having deficiencies: Braddock Glen; Leland House Group Home; Minerva Fisher Hall Group Home; Mondloch House Group Home; Patrick Street Group Home; Rolling Road Group Home; Sojourn House Group Home; 3700 Pender Drive; and Wedgewood Apartments. FY 2022 funding of $50,000 has been included to continue to implement improvements.

2. **ADU Acquisitions (Countywide):** $5,632,716 is available to fund the acquisition of for-sale Affordable Dwelling Units (ADUs) that would be placed in the Fairfax County Rental Program (FCRP). Funding for these acquisitions can be derived from multiple revenue sources within the Housing Trust Fund (HTF), however, an emphasis has been placed on utilizing revenues from proffered contributions.
3. Autumn Willow Senior Housing (Springfield District): $500,000 is available for predevelopment activities associated with the development of a 10.9-acre site owned by the FCRHA located at the intersection of Stringfellow Road and Autumn Willow Drive. A developer has been selected for the project under the Public Private Infrastructure and Education Act of 2002 (PPEA) process. The land use approval process was initiated by the developer in September 2020. The project scope is to develop 150 units of affordable independent senior housing.

4. Crescent Rehabilitation (Hunter Mill District): $600,000 is available to fund currently needed repairs and facilitate the redevelopment of the county-owned Crescent Apartments site. The project is anticipated to be implemented through the PPEA process in the future. The Crescent Apartments, a 181-unit apartment complex acquired by the County in FY 2006, and located adjacent to Lake Anne in Reston, near the Wiehle-Reston East Metrorail and the Reston Town Center is managed by the FCRHA on behalf of the Board of Supervisors.

5. Feasibility and Site Work Studies (Countywide): $2,364,163 is available to fund predevelopment due diligence activities needed to determine the feasibility of candidate sites for the creation of affordable senior and multifamily housing.

6. Housing Blueprint Project/Affordable Housing (Countywide): This is an ongoing project to fund the creation or preservation of affordable housing for special needs populations, low income working families, and to end homelessness.

7. Housing Route 50/West Ox Road (Sully District): $500,000 is available to fund the predevelopment activities for this project. The Board of Supervisors has transferred the property to the FCRHA for the purpose of creating affordable housing. The development of the site will be implemented through the PPEA process.

8. Little River Glen I & IV (Braddock District): $40,258,000 is estimated to construct 60 new affordable independent senior housing units and renovated the existing 120 affordable independent senior housing units located on land owned by the FCRHA. A financing plan for the project being prepared to identify funding strategy. The design of the 60 new units is underway. Planned renovation includes HVAC replacement, site improvement, building improvements, accessibility, and modernization.

9. Murraygate Village Apartments Renovation (Lee District): $46,713,661 was approved to renovate the 200-unit Murraygate Village apartment complex. The scope of the renovations included replacement of central heating and cooling systems with individual HVAC units in apartments, electrical system upgrades, accessibility improvements, kitchen and bathroom modernization, other miscellaneous enhancements, and minor site work. The renovations were completed in December 2020. Funding for the project was provided through: Penny for Affordable Housing Fund (Fund 30300); Housing Trust Fund (Fund 40300), LIHTC, seller take-back note, first mortgage, and deferred developer fees.
10. **North Hill (Mount Vernon District):** $15,057,000 was approved to support the development of the North Hill property, a 33-acre site owned by FCRHA located at the intersection of Richmond Highway and Dart Drive. The project is being developed through the PPEA process. The FCRHA closed on the deal with the developer in June 2020. Approximately one third of the property will be sold to a developer to create 175 for-sale market rate townhomes. The rest of the property will be developed to create 219 multi-family affordable workforce units, 60 affordable independent senior housing units, and a 12-acre public park. The townhouse land sale proceeds will be used to fund the infrastructure needed for the affordable rental development.

11. **Oakwood Senior Housing (Lee District):** $800,151 was approved for predevelopment activities associated with the development of a 6.2-acre site owned by the FCRHA located at the intersection of Oakwood Road and Van Dorn Street. A developer was selected in January 2019 through the PPEA process. The land use approval process is complete. The proposed development will produce 150 units of affordable independent senior housing.

12. **One University (Braddock District):** $600,000 was approved to support the redevelopment activities associated with the One University site, which is located at the intersection of Route 123 and University Drive. The project is being developed through the PPEA process. A developer was selected in July 2018 and the land use approval process is complete. The project was awarded Low Income Housing Tax Credits in June 2020. The proposed development includes replacing the existing affordable housing (Robinson Square) and the existing FCRHA facilities with the construction of 240 units of affordable housing and 333 units of student housing.

13. **Rehabilitation of FCRHA Properties (Countywide):** This is a continuing project to support the recurring maintenance and rehabilitation needs of FCRHA-owned properties. Resources for this project include: the Housing Trust Fund (Fund 40300), the federal Community Development Block Grant (Fund 50800), and the Federal HOME Investment Partnerships Program grant (Fund 50810). HCD updates the needs of the FCRHA properties annually and prioritizes them by accessibility modifications/improvements; energy efficiency improvements; site improvements, and modernization. Projects that may be rehabilitated in FY 2022 have undergone Physical/Capital Needs Assessment studies.

14. **Reservation/Emergencies and Opportunities (Countywide):** The Reserve for Emergencies and Opportunities project was first funded in FY 2002 within the HTF. The Reserve for Emergencies Project was established as a funding source that could be used to fill a gap when other funding/financing is not available. Recent examples include emergency HVAC and flooding repairs, funding for floods, and elevator and urgent capital and maintenance projects. Future uses of the funding is dependent on a variety of factors including major maintenance/capital work needs and urgent or emergency needs at the FCRHA properties.

15. **Stonegate Renovation (Hunter Mill District):** $47,000,000 is the estimated cost for extensive renovations of the 240-unit Stonegate Village Apartments Complex. The cost was estimated based on the updated Physical Needs Assessment (PNA) study completed in October 2020. Planned rehabilitation includes HVAC replacement, site improvement, building improvements, accessibility, modernization, and a laundry space addition. Funding the project will include LIHTC. However, a local funding source has not yet been identified.
## Project Cost Summaries

### Housing and Community Development

($000's)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>Project Number</th>
<th>Source of Funds</th>
<th>Budgeted or Expended Through FY 2021</th>
<th>FY 2022</th>
<th>FY 2023</th>
<th>FY 2024</th>
<th>FY 2025</th>
<th>FY 2026</th>
<th>Total FY 2022 - FY 2026</th>
<th>Total FY 2027 - FY 2031</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 ADA Compliance - Housing</td>
<td>HF-000036</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>$771</td>
<td>$50</td>
<td>$50</td>
<td>$50</td>
<td>$50</td>
<td>$50</td>
<td>$250</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HF-000115</td>
<td>HTF</td>
<td>$400</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 ADU Acquisitions</td>
<td>HF-00093</td>
<td>HTF</td>
<td>$4,733</td>
<td>$900</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$900</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Autumn Willow Senior Housing</td>
<td>HF-000157</td>
<td>HTF</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Crescent Rehabilitation</td>
<td>HF-000097</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Feasibility and Site Work Studies</td>
<td>2H38-210-000</td>
<td>HTF</td>
<td>$1,409</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2H38-226-000</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Housing Blueprint Project</td>
<td>2H38-180-000</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>$9,996</td>
<td>$9,996</td>
<td>$9,996</td>
<td>$9,996</td>
<td>$9,996</td>
<td>$9,996</td>
<td>$49,980</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Affordable Housing)</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>$9,996</td>
<td>$9,996</td>
<td>$9,996</td>
<td>$9,996</td>
<td>$9,996</td>
<td>$9,996</td>
<td>$49,980</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Housing Route 50/West Ox Road</td>
<td>HF-00055</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Little River Glen I &amp; IV</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$36,872</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HF-000116</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>$3,103</td>
<td>$2,672</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HF-000158</td>
<td>HTF</td>
<td>$283</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Murraygate Village Apt Renovation</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>$37,127</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2H38-194-000</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>$7,936</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2H38-222-000</td>
<td>HTF</td>
<td>$1,551</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 North Hill</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>$12,078</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2H38-072-000</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HF-000159</td>
<td>HTF</td>
<td>$1,518</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HF-000160</td>
<td>HTF</td>
<td>$461</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Project Cost Summaries

## Housing and Community Development

($000's)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>Project Number</th>
<th>Source of Funds</th>
<th>Budgeted or Expended Through FY 2021</th>
<th>FY 2022</th>
<th>FY 2023</th>
<th>FY 2024</th>
<th>FY 2025</th>
<th>FY 2026</th>
<th>Total FY 2022 - FY 2026</th>
<th>Total FY 2027 - FY 2031</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oakwood Senior Housing</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>$800</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One University</td>
<td>HTF</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rehabilitation of FCRHA</td>
<td>F C</td>
<td></td>
<td>$300 $300 $300 $300 $1,200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Properties</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2H38-068-000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reservation/Emergencies</td>
<td>HTF C</td>
<td></td>
<td>$285 $285 $285 $285 $1,140</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and Opportunities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2H38-065-000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stonegate Renovation</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$1,500 $6,000 $12,000 $15,000 $12,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$46,500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$76,370 $14,201 $19,303 $37,631 $40,631 $25,631</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$137,397 $0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Numbers in bold italics represent funded amounts. A "C" in the 'Budgeted or Expended' column denotes a continuing project.

Key: Source of Funds

B  - Bonds
G  - General Fund
S  - State
F  - Federal
X  - Other
U  - Undetermined
The Fairfax County Health and Human Services Organization (HHS) is a network of county agencies and community partners that support the well-being of all who live, work, and play in Fairfax County. HHS offers programs that range from immediate crisis intervention for emergency mental health needs to long-term programs designed to stabilize and strengthen Fairfax County residents. HHS aims to protect the vulnerable, help people and communities realize and strengthen their capacity for self-sufficiency, and ensure good outcomes through prevention and early intervention.

The Human Services Section of the Policy Plan within the Fairfax County Comprehensive Plan includes the following established objectives:

- Identify the most appropriate service delivery system options, and their impact on facilities for delivery of human services.
- Coordinate the planning and use of facilities with human service providers.
- Encourage the location of new facilities to increase their accessibility.
- Acquire sites which, given applicable location criteria, are appropriate for the facility’s specific purpose.
- Develop sufficient adult day health care centers.
- Provide adequate emergency housing services for individuals and families and for victims of familial abuse.
- Develop adequate transitional housing for homeless families.
- Provide for the before- and after-school childcare needs of 15 percent of children attending elementary schools.
- Develop adequate child development centers to ensure that low-income county families can achieve and maintain self-sufficiency.
- Locate senior centers according to the hierarchy established by the Senior Center Study.
- Provide for the residential needs of persons with mental illness, mental retardation, and substance abuse problems through small and large supervised and supported residential services located countywide.

Source: Fairfax County Comprehensive Plan, 2017 Edition; Policy Plan – Human Services, Amended through 3-4-2014

HHS agencies focus on needs in a variety of areas such as health care, mental health, disability services, income assistance, housing, homelessness, as well as protection and prevention services for anyone at-risk. HHS is comprised of the following County Departments and Agencies: Fairfax-Falls Church Community Services Board (CSB), Department of Family Services (DFS), Neighborhood and Community Services (NCS), Health Department (HD), Housing and Community Development (HCD), Office of Strategy Management for Health and Human Services (OSM), Juvenile and Domestic Relations District Court (JDRDC), McLean Community Center and Reston Community Center.
Fairfax County HHS Mission, Vision and Guiding Principles

**Mission**
We create opportunities for individuals and families to be safe, be healthy, and realize their potential.

**Vision**
We are the foundation of thriving people and communities.

**Guiding Principles**
We will succeed by using resources judiciously, considering return on investment and opportunity; addressing root causes and focusing on prevention; promoting equity and providing a voice for the vulnerable, and addressing disproportionate/disparate outcomes with a client-centered mindset.


Guided by the County's One Fairfax policy, the County's Strategic Plan, and the HHS Needs Assessment, HHS continues to focus on cross-cutting strategic initiatives, the broad community outcomes they support, and progress toward achieving them.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>HHS Needs Assessment</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The 2019 HHS Needs Assessment assessed the trends and data of the community, identified variations in need and highlighted opportunities to achieve equity in communities. Three crosscutting issues that impact the financial stability and social well-being of residents in the community were identified: economic inequities, transportation inequities, and health inequities.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>One Fairfax</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Opportunity varies depending on who you are and where you live in the county, and there is a widening gap between those at the highest rungs of the economic ladder and those who struggle to get by. HHS' cross-cutting initiatives contribute to transforming islands of disadvantage, areas where residents face economic, educational, health, housing, and other challenges, into Communities of Opportunity.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Strategic Plan Priorities</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HHS capital projects and urban planning initiatives focus on several priority areas identified in the County's Strategic Plan. These include: Cultural and Recreational Opportunities, Effective and Efficient Government, Empowerment and Support for Residents Facing Vulnerability, Health and Environment, Housing and Neighborhood Livability, and Safety and Security.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Changes in demographics, practices, and technologies have catalyzed HHS' movement towards a more fully integrated service delivery model. Through partnerships with the State, the County advances priorities recognizing that investments in critical human services programs save public funds by minimizing the need for more costly public services. In 2020, HHS legislative priorities included: Affordable Housing and Homelessness Prevention; Mental Health, Public Safety, and the Criminal Justice System; and Substance Use Disorder.
Through effective partnerships with County agencies, including the Department of Management and Budget, Department of Planning and Development and the Department of Public Works and Environmental Services, OSM facilitates improvements to HHS service delivery through capital facility and urban planning. HHS work in this area is guided by several unifying themes that include co-location, innovation in service delivery and building design, and equitable access to opportunity through land development.

**Co-location**

Over 100 County-owned and leased facilities support the delivery of HHS programs and services county-wide. HHS employs both a centralized and decentralized model for service delivery to meet a range of needs for different population groups. Given that individuals and families often experience multiple needs or participate in multiple programs and services, location reduces barriers that may otherwise limit or impede access. Through continued efforts to co-locate complimentary programs and services, HHS aims to maximize taxpayers' investments, reduce reliance on leased space by maximizing use of county-owned space, address gaps in service delivery, and improve efficiencies associated with service delivery. The level of service utilization, site accessibility, and cost of delivery for both staffing and facility operations are considerations for centralized service delivery, and decentralized sites. Some examples of on-going and future co-location efforts include:

**Community Health Centers**

Comprehensive health care in medical facilities is provided in three co-located facilities: Lake Anne Human Services Center, Merrifield Center and the Gerry Hyland Center. The county partners with community-based organizations designated as Federally Qualified Health Clinics to provide affordable medical, dental and behavioral health regardless of a client's ability to pay or health insurance coverage. As demand for affordable medical and dental services increases, HHS continues to monitor capacity and geographic areas of vulnerability in order to address gaps in service delivery. Several additional co-located sites are in consideration for future development.

**Kingstowne Complex**

This project includes the development of a co-located Kingstowne Regional Library, Franconia Police Station, District Supervisor's Office, the Franconia Museum, a Child Care Center, and an Active Adult Center. The Childcare Center and Active Adult Center will be operated by the Department of Neighborhood and Community Services (DNCS). The Active Adult Center expands senior programs and relocates the current programs from leased space.

**Original Mount Vernon High School Site**

Planning and design for this project continues in coordination with the Mount Vernon and Lee District communities to serve a wide range of community needs and opportunities that will facilitate the economic success of Fairfax County. DNCS currently provides community programs in the gym and the Teen/Senior Center program relocated from the South County Human Services Center. Additionally, to improve service delivery in the south county area, the Fire Marshall and FCPS have occupied space since July 2017.

**School Aged Child Care Centers**

School Aged Child Care (SACC) Center services are offered in 141 locations across the County, including most FCPS elementary schools. SACC provides fun, safe and educational care for children in kindergarten to sixth grade before school, after school and during school vacations. Children with special needs are fully included in all SACC centers. In addition, SACC programs at Key and Kilmer Centers serve youth, ages 5-21, with multiple types of disabilities. SACC offers a sliding fee scale for income eligible families.
**Diversion & Community Re-Entry Center**

A new Diversion & Community Re-Entry Center facility is planned to be included in a future phase of the Judicial Complex Redevelopment based on the recommended Judicial Complex Master Plan. The mission of the Diversion & Community Re-Entry Center is to divert individuals from incarceration, support individuals re-entering the community from jail, and provide housing options and resources for community members. The Center will reduce incarceration and reincarceration, enhance public safety, improve access to services, and increase affordable housing opportunities. The program is anticipated to be led by the Fairfax County-Falls Church Community Services Board and will provide collaboration with numerous agencies including: Sheriff’s Office, Courts, Court Services, State Probation and Parole, Housing & Community Development, Re-Entry Council, Department of Family Services, Veteran Affairs, as well as non-profits, faith-based and community-based organizations.

**Innovation in Building Design & Service Delivery**

HHS strives to provide a “One Story, One Time” experience through service delivery that is seamless, provides positive care access for clients and improves client outcomes. To achieve this, HHS has undertaken an extensive business integration initiative to transform the use of and envisions building design to be increasingly integrated, flexible, and responsive to the dynamic nature of HHS programs.

In the midst of the current coronavirus pandemic, flexibility in building design and the ability to efficiently reconfigure spaces has become even more critical. The coronavirus pandemic has also shifted thinking of building design and service provision to reduce community transmission of infectious disease. In addition to short-term flexibility to meet immediate client needs of infection control, long-term adjustments may be needed in the design, engineering, and placement of HHS facilities. This includes building design that enhances access and way-finding and is responsive to the health and well-being of vulnerable populations (trauma, crisis, persons with disabilities).

Service delivery must be flexible and responsive to changes in factors such as: programming priorities; county demographics; federal funding; and federal, state and local policy directives, regulations, and laws. During the coronavirus pandemic, HHS service delivery has focused on providing clients with assistance from a distance where possible. Additionally, HHS is launching a new initiative called the CareVan which will provide mobile service delivery in communities of high need and those most impacted by the coronavirus.

**Land Development Planning & Policies**

Public infrastructure for HHS services includes affordable housing, recreation, physical activity, health, and employment which all contribute to the well-being of residents and the livability of County neighborhoods. HHS’ participation in land development activities helps to ensure needs are met and that land development serves and benefits all residents of the County in ways that reduce or eliminate inequity. Equity in response to those who face barriers to opportunity includes investments in housing, workforce development, early childhood education, community and public safety, criminal justice, health services, and transportation.

Vulnerable populations and those receiving HHS services are often less likely to benefit from growth and land development in the County. Through involvement in the land development process and applying a health and equity lens to this body of work, HHS aims to ensure all community members have equitable access to the benefits of community improvements. This includes providing for affordable and accessible housing, as well as adequate infrastructure to support a range of transportation options, including transit access and safe walking routes. Older adults and individuals with disabilities who may need to rely on public transportation to remain independent are particularly
burdened when public transportation is neither affordable nor accessible. Additionally, the high cost of housing, coupled with the lack of affordable housing options, means that residents are very vulnerable when any significant life events occur.

Equitable access and service outcomes are tied to economic success for Fairfax County and its residents. Moreover, residents with equitable outcomes are more resilient and self-sufficient. By determining the appropriate placement of service sites based on target populations, unmet service needs, underserved areas, and service delivery gaps brought upon by population growth or changing community conditions, HHS strives to address the continuum of needs for all. In order to ensure these issues are addressed in land development, HHS has undertaken efforts to update the Comprehensive Plan sections related to Housing and Human Services.

Current Project Descriptions

1. **Community Center Courts Renovations (Countywide):** This is a continuing project to resurface and provide improvements to basketball and tennis courts at Community Centers throughout the County. In order to ensure the safety and usability of the courts, a repair and replacement schedule has been created for the 22 existing courts. For exterior courts this includes resurfacing each court every four years and complete replacement of each court every 12 years. The average lifespan of an exterior court is 10-15 years depending on the level of use, weather conditions, and other external/environmental factors. For interior courts this includes buffing/screening each court annually and sanding/repainting/replacing each court every 15 years. Having the courts routinely repaired and replaced helps maintain the safe conditions of the courts, allows the courts to remain open for public use, and provides a longer lifespan.

2. **Community Center in Lee District (Lee District):** In April 2020, Fairfax County purchased the Mount Vernon Athletic Club with plans to establish a multi-service community center to meet the immediate needs in the area. When complete, the center will provide recreation, youth programs and other equitable, accessible and effective resources for the community. The Fairfax County Redevelopment and Housing Authority (FCHRA) is currently coordinating the initial renovations and upgrades to the nearly 50-year-old facility. It is anticipated that the facility will be available for use in 2021 and will be operated by DNCS. Additionally, the Department of Public Works and Environmental Services (DPWES) is coordinating future renovations/new construction for NDCS’ ultimate uses on site. Funding was provided for initial programming and conceptual design of the facility which includes a community and childcare center, athletic field, and associated site improvements.

3. **Crossroads Renovation – 2020 (Lee District):** $21,000,000 to fund the renovation of the Crossroads facility. The Crossroads facility provides substance abuse and mental health treatment, counseling, vocation rehabilitation, psychiatric services, medication monitoring, drug testing, case management, and transition support toward independent living. The location supports an average daily census of 74 individuals and at any given time there are 50-60 individuals on the wait list. Typical program participation is 4–6 months in the primary treatment phase and 3-4 months in the supervised living phase. Facility updates will address outdated equipment, HVAC, plumbing, electrical and mechanical systems. Renovations will also include the addition of a clinic area, restrooms and storage, as well as improvements to the facility layout in order to meet changing care standards, improve operational efficiency, provide ADA accessible programming space, and reduce wait lists in the future. This project was approved as part of the proposed 2020 Community Health and Human Services Bond Referendum.
4. **CSB Facility Retrofits (Countywide):** $8,100,000 has been transferred from the Community Services Board to support the repurposing and reconfiguration of CSB facilities including the Boys Probation House (BPH) and space at the Merrifield Center. The BPH is a community-based, non-secure, 16-bed residential treatment program for male juvenile offenders. The number of offenders at the BPH has significantly declined in recent years and space has been identified for this Program at the Juvenile Detention Center Transitional Living Center. The movement of the BPH Program will allow for the relocation of a Mental Health Crisis Stabilization Program. These renovations are on target to be completed by early 2021. In addition, this funding supports the reconfiguration of space at the Merrifield Center based on the continued implementation of the multi-agency Diversion First Initiative, and the unfunded state-mandated STEP-VA initiative. The retrofit will allow the CSB, Police, and Sheriff Deputies to provide better services to individuals in crisis.

5. **Early Childhood Education Initiatives (Countywide):** $100,350,000 is proposed for early childhood initiatives, including $350,000 previously approved by the Board of Supervisors for the renovation of space at the Annandale Christian Community for Action (ACCA) Child Development Center. In addition, bond funding of $25 million every other year beginning in fall 2022 is proposed for a total of $100 million to provide facilities to address Early Childhood Education needs throughout the County.

6. **East County Health and Human Services Center (Mason District):** This facility will provide enhanced service delivery to the residents of the eastern part of the County through consolidation of existing leased spaces and provide an integrated Health and Human Services site. Funding in the amount of $5,375,000 has been approved from 2004 Human Services Bonds remaining from completed projects to support initial studies, and pre-design activities. Site location options for East County Human Services Center are being evaluated including, repurposing of existing office buildings in the service area. It is anticipated that EDA bonds will finance the remaining funding required to fully fund the project.

7. **Eleanor Kennedy Shelter - 2016 (Mount Vernon District):** $12,000,000 to fund the renovation or replacement of the Eleanor Kennedy Shelter. The Eleanor Kennedy Shelter is an emergency homeless shelter located on the Fort Belvoir Military Reservation that is leased indefinitely to Fairfax County. The facility accommodates beds for 38 men and 12 women. The facility can also accommodate an additional 15 people, in a trailer, for overflow capacity year-round and another 10 during cold weather (hypothermia). A renovation and expansion or replacement of the Eleanor Kennedy Shelter is essential to meet the needs of the emergency homeless population within Fairfax County including many homeless veterans. Options under consideration include renovation and/or expansion of the existing two-level, approximately 11,000 square foot facility or design and construction of a new facility at a site to be determined. A feasibility study has been completed and options for the current site are being evaluated. Potential new sites for the facility are also being investigated. This project was approved as part of the 2016 Human Services/Community Development Bond Referendum.

8. **Embry Rucker Shelter– 2016 (Hunter Mill District):** $12,000,000 to fund the replacement of the Embry Rucker Shelter. The project provides for the replacement of the current shelter and will include 30 emergency beds for unaccompanied adults, accommodations for 10 families, spaces and beds for cold weather overflow (hypothermia), medical respite beds and 14 supportive housing units. The existing one story 11,000 square feet facility was constructed in 1987 and has had no major renovations. The shelter is located within the overall property master plan area that reconfigures and provides integrated redevelopment of approximately 50 acres
currently owned by Fairfax County and Inova at Reston Town Center North. The Office to Prevent and End Homelessness will leverage the property and services to provide a more cost-effective solution to reducing homelessness by adding new permanent housing units. This project was approved as part of the 2016 Human Services/Community Development Bond Referendum.

9. **Health and Human Services Facilities Studies (Countywide):** $997,765 has been previously approved to conduct feasibility studies at various Health and Human Services facilities. Funding of $148,673 remains in this project.

10. **Lorton Community Center - 2016 (Mount Vernon District):** $18,500,000 for construction of a community center, to include space for the Lorton Community Action Center and the Lorton Senior Center. The Lorton Senior Center is currently housed in leased space. This project is designed and collocated with the Lorton Library. Combined with the planned co-location of HHS services within the community center facility, this project aligns with the County’s strategic efforts to develop and promote multi-service sites. In addition, targeted youth programming is in great demand in the Lorton area and the presence of a community center will help meet that need. Construction for this project began in August 2020. This project was approved as part of the 2016 Human Service/Community Development Bond Referendum.

11. **McLean Community Center (Dranesville District):** $400,000 to support renovation projects at the Alden Theatre.

12. **Patrick Henry Shelter - 2016 (Mason District):** $12,000,000 to fund the replacement of the Patrick Henry Shelter. The existing Patrick Henry Shelter provides emergency 30-day accommodations to homeless families. It has the capacity to serve 9 families at a time. A new facility is required due to the age of the facility and normal wear and tear from everyday use of the building. In the new facility, the Office to Prevent and End Homelessness will leverage the property and services to provide a more effective solution to reducing homelessness by providing 16 permanent supportive housing units for families in lieu of the current emergency shelter model. The units will vary in size from two to four bedrooms in an approximately 25,000 square foot building. The project is currently in design with construction scheduled to start in Summer of 2021. This project was approved as part of the 2016 Human Services/Community Development Bond Referendum.

13. **Reston Community Center (Hunter Mill District):** $210,500 will support improvements to the rear parking lot and security cameras at the Hunter Woods facility, the restrooms at the Lake Anne facility, and rigging line and projector at CenterStage theatre.

14. **Reston Town Center North (RTCN) Human Services Center (Hunter Mill District):** $150 million is proposed for the Reston Town Center North (RTCN) Human Services Complex to replace the existing North County Health and Human Services Center. This project is part of an overall master plan for redevelopment of the approximately 50 acre property currently owned by Fairfax County and Inova. The Human Service Center is proposed to support a consolidation of existing leased spaces into one Human Services Center and provide enhanced, integrated multi-disciplinary services to residents in the western part of the County. The North County Human Services Center replacement will be part of future phase development anticipated to be in a 5 to 10-year timeframe. It is anticipated that EDA bonds will finance this project.
15. **SACC Contribution (Countywide):** This is a continuing project for which an annual contribution is funded to offset school operating and overhead costs associated with School-Age Child Care (SACC) centers. In FY 2022, funding of $1,000,000 is included for the County’s annual contribution.

16. **Springfield Community Resource Center – 2024 (Springfield District):** $25,000,000 is estimated for a new community center in the Springfield area. The Springfield District is the only County district without a dedicated older adult service site. Co-located services for all age populations in one location produces efficiencies and maximizes resources. Potential sites would be in close proximity to public transportation in the West Springfield Area. Programs operating in the Burke and West Springfield area, the “Center Without Walls”, serve over 800 residents annually, with 350 participants in offered session classes. There is a waitlist for classes and requests for additional programming that are unmet. A new facility would allow for program expansion to address ongoing unmet demand. Dedicated Fairfax County sponsored programs for youth in this area are also an identified community need. This location would serve as a co-located facility and provide after-school and weekend opportunities for youth living in this region. This project is planned as part of the 2024 Community Health and Human Services Bond Referendum.

17. **Sully Community Center - 2016 (Sully District):** $21,900,000 has been approved for construction of a new Sully Community Center, including $20,400,000 from Human Services Bonds and $1,500,000 from the Park Authority. The project will support the replacement of the Sully Senior Center and include an additional gym in partnership with the Park Authority. The current Sully Senior Center is located in the VDOT right-of-way that is part of a new interchange approved for construction by VDOT. The Senior Center was relocated to leased space until the new Center is completed. The Senior Center provides social, recreational, and health/wellness activities and programs for older adults. This location, combined with the planned co-location of services, including a Federally Qualified Health Clinic, aligns with the County’s strategic efforts to develop and promote multi-service sites. In addition, targeted youth programming is in great demand in the Sully area and the presence of a Community Center will help meet that need. Construction for this project began in the spring of 2020. This project was approved as part of the 2016 Human Service/Community Development Bond Referendum.

18. **Tim Harmon Campus Renovations - 2024 (Sully District):** $39,000,000 is estimated for renovations at the Tim Harmon Campus. This campus includes A New Beginning/Fairfax Detox and the Cornerstones Facility. A New Beginning and Fairfax Detox are two distinct programs located in a shared facility built in 1994. A New Beginning is an 8–12 week residential substance abuse treatment program for 35 adults that provides rehabilitation services to adults with substance use and co-occurring substance use and mental health disorders. Fairfax Detox Center is a residential facility that provides a supervised, structured, supportive and therapeutic environment for individuals to safely detoxify from alcohol and other drugs. The program is licensed for 32 beds. The Cornerstones Facility was built in 1992 and is a 16-bed residential treatment program and community reintegration program for adults with co-occurring substance use disorders and mental illness. On average, 4 -7 applicants wait 3 to 4 months for admission. Renovations are required to: replace outdated building systems, including obsolete food service equipment, plumbing and mechanical systems; address code compliance issues (including licensure, building code, HIPAA); adapt the building design for the changing program and service needs; integrate technology, and update the building design to be more efficient and withstand heavy use. Modifications to the facility would also include enlargement of common use space, storage capacity and modernization of facility security, including fencing, locks and
security systems. Reconfiguration and expansion of the medication suite would also improve secure access to medications and improve capacity to meet complex treatment regimens for patients with multiple conditions. Adding onsite supportive housing components with studio style units will allow independent living as a new component to the campus that is evidence-based and increases the potential for positive clinical outcomes for program clients. This project is planned as part of the 2024 Community Health and Human Services Bond Referendum.

19. Willard Health Center – 2020 (Fairfax City): $58,000,000 to fund the renovation or replacement of the County-owned Joseph Willard Health Center. The Joseph Willard Health Center (JWHC) is a licensed medical, nursing, dental, pharmacy, speech and hearing, and X-ray service facility. It houses the Fairfax County Health Department Vital Records division and the Fairfax County Infant & Toddler Connection (ITC) program. Located within the jurisdictional boundary of the City of Fairfax, this facility was included in the City’s Master Plan study of the Willard-Sherwood sites. Space reconfiguration, modification, and expansion is needed to meet current and future service demands. Because the JWHC is centrally located, it is the single site for several HD services namely, Pharmacy, AIDS Drug Assistance Program, and Central Reproduction. Essential services are provided at the facility in the event of emergencies or operational interruptions, such as the coronavirus pandemic. Building upgrades are critical to allow the HD to provide essential services that must be maintained at all times in the event of emergencies or operational interruptions. These essential services are vital to maintaining the overall health of residents and for prevention of disease outbreaks that could impact residents of Fairfax County. This project was approved as part of the 2020 Community Health and Human Services Bond Referendum.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>Project Number</th>
<th>Source of Funds</th>
<th>FY 2022</th>
<th>FY 2023</th>
<th>FY 2024</th>
<th>FY 2025</th>
<th>FY 2026</th>
<th>Total FY 2022 - FY 2026</th>
<th>Total FY 2027 - FY 2031</th>
<th>Total Project Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Community Center Courts Renovations</td>
<td>CC-000017</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>$350</td>
<td>$350</td>
<td>$350</td>
<td>$350</td>
<td>$1,400</td>
<td>$1,400</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Center in Lee District</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crossroads Renovation - 2020</td>
<td>HS-000050</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,900</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
<td>$6,000</td>
<td>$6,000</td>
<td>$19,800</td>
<td>$1,200</td>
<td>$21,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSB Facility Retrofits</td>
<td>HS-000038</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>$8,100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$8,100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early Childhood Education Initiatives</td>
<td>HS-000024</td>
<td>G, B</td>
<td>$350</td>
<td>$6,000</td>
<td>$6,000</td>
<td>$6,500</td>
<td>$6,500</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
<td>$100,350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East County Health &amp; Human Services Ctr.</td>
<td>HS-000004</td>
<td>G, X</td>
<td>$5,375</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>$5,375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eleanor Kennedy Shelter - 2016</td>
<td>HS-000019</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$1,700</td>
<td>$3,200</td>
<td>$200</td>
<td></td>
<td>$11,500</td>
<td>$12,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Embry Rucker Shelter - 2016</td>
<td>HS-000018</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>$400</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>$11,600</td>
<td>$12,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Services Facilities Studies</td>
<td>2G25-094-000</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>$998</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$998</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorton Community Center - 2016</td>
<td>HS-000020</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>$9,900</td>
<td>$5,500</td>
<td>$2,400</td>
<td>$700</td>
<td></td>
<td>$8,600</td>
<td>$18,500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McLean Community Center</td>
<td>CC-000006</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>$400</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$400</td>
<td>$400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patrick Henry Shelter - 2016</td>
<td>HS-000021</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$6,500</td>
<td>$4,200</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td></td>
<td>$11,000</td>
<td>$12,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reston Community Center</td>
<td>CC-000001, CC-000002, CC-000008</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>$211</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$211</td>
<td>$211</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTCN Human Services Center</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$13,500</td>
<td>$13,500</td>
<td>$136,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Title</td>
<td>Source of Funds</td>
<td>FY 2022</td>
<td>FY 2023</td>
<td>FY 2024</td>
<td>FY 2025</td>
<td>FY 2026</td>
<td>FY 2022 - FY 2026</td>
<td>FY 2027 - FY 2031</td>
<td>Total Project Estimate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SACC Contribution</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Springfield Community Resource Center - 2024</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>$21,000</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
<td>$21,000</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sully Community Center - 2016</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>$15,438</td>
<td>$6,462</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$6,462</td>
<td></td>
<td>$21,900</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tim Harmon Campus Renovations - 2024</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>$35,000</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
<td>$35,000</td>
<td>$39,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willard Health Center - 2020</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>$16,000</td>
<td>$19,000</td>
<td>$14,500</td>
<td>$55,500</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$58,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>$43,561</td>
<td>$27,273</td>
<td>$26,250</td>
<td>$36,550</td>
<td>$38,050</td>
<td>$177,973</td>
<td>$274,700</td>
<td>$496,234</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Numbers in bold italics represent funded amounts. A "C" in the 'Budgeted or Expended' column denotes a continuing project.

Key: Source of Funds
- B: Bonds
- G: General Fund
- S: State
- F: Federal
- X: Other
- U: Undetermined
Public Safety and Court Facilities

Public Safety Goals

• To protect persons and property by providing facilities that will aid in the enforcement of the laws of the Commonwealth of Virginia and Fairfax County.

• To provide facilities that will aid in the prevention of fires, the control and extinguishment of fire incidents and the provision of emergency medical services.

• To provide facilities that will aid in the development of effective training programs for public safety personnel.

• To provide facilities for the humane care, feeding and temporary shelter of stray or unwanted animals.

• To provide facilities that will ensure that the County’s public safety fleet is operated in a safe and cost effective manner.

Court Facilities Goals

• To provide facilities for the timely processing and adjudication of all cases referred to the 19th Judicial Circuit Court, General District Court and Juvenile and Domestic Relations District Court.

• To provide facilities for the immediate and adequate confinement of individuals who are awaiting trial or sentencing, or who are actually serving sentences of twelve months or less.

• To provide facilities for the accomplishment of efficient, effective and accredited residential care programs for juveniles.

• To provide the judicial system with a wide range of disposition alternatives so that confinement not only protects society but takes into account the nature of the offense and the cost of detention.

• To provide safe and secure judicial facilities for both the public and staff.
Fairfax County continues to demand the timely delivery of modern, efficient public safety services. The provision of an appropriate level of service requires facility improvements of three general types: construction of new facilities to provide improved service levels; construction of new facilities to replace temporary rented or substandard quarters; and renovation and/or expansion of existing facilities. Public Safety facilities include those associated with Fire and Rescue, Police, Animal Control and E-911 communications.

The Public Safety Section of the Policy Plan within the Fairfax County Comprehensive Plan includes the following established objectives:

- Maintain the high level of training provided to public safety officials, including but not limited to police officers, deputy sheriffs, fire and medical emergency personnel and animal wardens, so they either become or remain proficient and qualified in their duties.
- Enhance the operations elements of public safety officials with facilities to properly support the duties of sworn law enforcement officials, fire and emergency personnel and animal wardens.
- Locate police stations and facilities to provide the most efficient and expeditious law enforcement/protective service to the county as a whole and to the individual police districts.
- Maintain or establish facilities that allow Police Department personnel to operate at maximum effectiveness.
- Establish and maintain at a minimum, a seven-minute total response time coverage for fire and rescue emergencies to at least 95 percent of the county’s population.
- While adhering to constructing new full-service fire stations of a minimum 14,000 square feet, all efforts should be made to construct new stations to be compatible with the surrounding community.
- Safeguard the county’s investment and ensure appropriate positioning of the Fire and Rescue Department’s specialized emergency response equipment.
- Provide for convenience of Animal Services Division services to all county residents by maintaining the current shelter location on West Ox Road and providing an additional satellite shelter in the eastern half of the county.
- Continue to provide convenient pet adoptions, licensing, vaccinations, and education services to county residents and the necessary facilities for managing all phases of animal control and safety.

Program Initiatives

Public Safety facilities are generally supported by General Obligation Bonds, most recently by the 2015 and 2018 Public Safety Bond Referenda. The 2015 Referendum included support for the renovation/expansion or replacement of the Franconia Police Station, the Police Tactical Operations Facility at Pine Ridge and the replacement of the Emergency Vehicle Operations Center (EVOC) and K9 Center. These facilities were well beyond their useful life expectancy and undersized to meet the current functions/operations of the Police Department. In addition, the referendum supported a new Heliport and a new South County Police Station with a co-located full-service Animal Shelter. The 2018 Referendum included upgrades to the Mason District Police Station, the Criminal Justice Academy and the Police Department Annex (Property and Evidence), as well as the Mount Vernon, Gunston, Seven Corners, and Fairview Fire Stations and one volunteer station.
The projected growth of Tysons as part of Tysons Redevelopment requires a new Tysons District Police Station to provide urban-style police service for the area. Partnerships with other Fairfax County Agencies for collocated facilities are being explored.

Additionally, future plans for the police department include renovation/expansion or replacement of the Sully District Station, West Springfield District Station and the Mount Vernon District Station in order to upgrade aging building systems, take advantage of updated technologies, reconfigure the layout for efficiency and security, and resolve inadequate support and operational space. There are also plans for a new large specialty vehicle storage facility to replace existing leased space which is reaching capacity and does not meet security standards. It is anticipated that this facility will also house Fire and Rescue vehicles.

The Fire and Rescue Department’s Fire Station Location Master Plan served as the general plan for locating fire stations in the County. The Master Plan defined criteria such as population, incident activity, development types and densities, road networks, target hazards, and response times for determining where fire stations were needed. In addition, a Fire Station Condition Assessments study was completed for 11 volunteer-owned fire stations and 21 County-owned fire stations. The results of this assessment, in conjunction with the increasing demand to provide accommodations for the department’s female personnel, have been utilized to prioritize facility improvements as well as identify the need to replace existing stations.

In June 2010, the Fairfax County Board of Supervisors adopted the Tysons Corner Comprehensive Plan which includes a relocation of the existing Tysons Fire Station and identifies areas for additional fire stations to support development over the next twenty years. In 2011, a replacement of the existing Tysons Fire Station was negotiated as part of a development proffer. In early 2013, land as well as design and construction of a second fully functional fire station in the Tysons East area was negotiated through a development partnership to support growth in Tysons.

Long range plans for the Fire and Rescue Department include expanding existing fire stations or planning infill fire stations to meet the emergency service needs of projected high-density development throughout the County. The Fire and Rescue Department conducts research on response times and best practices in resource allocation to optimize service delivery, to prioritize funding for new fire stations and for renovating/expanding or replacing existing stations to meet future service demand.

The map that follows depicts the population densities as compared to the existing Fire Station locations. This map is one of the tools used to prioritize Fire and Rescue Department projects for future planning.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fire Station #</th>
<th>Fire Station Name</th>
<th>Fire Station #</th>
<th>Fire Station Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>McLean</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>West Annandale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Vienna</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Woodlawn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Herndon</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Reston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Franconia</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>Edsall Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Annandale</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>West Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Seven Corners</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Bailey’s Crossroads</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Tysons Corner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Penn Daw</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Merrifield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Great Falls</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Fox Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Dunn Loring</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Fairview</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Burke</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Oakton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Chantilly</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Pohick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Clifton</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Frying Pan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Centreville</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>Kingstowne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Jefferson</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>West Centreville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Lorton</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>North Point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Gunston</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Fairfax Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Fair Oaks</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>Crosspointe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>Wolftrap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>44</td>
<td>Scotts Run</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fairfax County, Virginia: FY 2022 – FY 2026 Advertised CIP - 144**
1. **Feasibility Studies (Countywide):** $441,487 has been approved to date to conduct feasibility studies at various Public Safety Facilities to determine the scope of renovations required.

**Fire and Rescue**

2. **Chantilly Fire Station – 2022 (Sully District):** $19,000,000 is estimated to be required for design and construction of a renovated/expanded or replacement 4-bay fire station. The existing three bay station, constructed in 1989, requires upgrades to major building systems, enhanced bunkrooms and locker facilities for female personnel, and an additional apparatus bay for future growth. Other building space deficiencies include personal protective gear locker area, shop and medical storage, and decontamination area. The Route 28 Corridor is targeted for high density development which will increase the demand for emergency medical and fire suppression services. This project is proposed as part of the 2022 Public Safety Bond Referendum.

3. **Edsall Fire Station - 2015 (Mason District):** $13,970,000 has been approved for design and construction of a renovated/expanded 4-bay fire station. Edsall Fire Station was constructed in 1974 and requires upgrades to building systems that are beyond the end of their life expectancy. In addition, the station’s 2 ½ apparatus bays are undersized, female living facilities are inadequate, and space is needed to conduct operations support functions. A larger fire station provides flexibility to add emergency units to meet future demand for services resulting from population growth and development in the surrounding areas. Construction of this project began in 2020 with completion scheduled for spring 2022. This project was approved as part of the 2015 Public Safety Bond Referendum.

4. **Fairview Fire Station - 2018 (Springfield District):** $16,000,000 has been approved for a renovated/expanded or replacement fire station with 3 drive through apparatus bays. This station was constructed in 1981 and requires upgrades to building systems, an additional apparatus bay, enhanced female living facilities, and space for support functions. The project also includes a temporary fire station off-site to maintain fire and rescue services during construction. This project was approved as part of the 2018 Public Safety Bond Referendum and is currently in the design phase.

5. **Fox Mill Fire Station – 2022 (Hunter Mill District):** $15,000,000 is estimated to be required to renovate/expand or replace the existing 2-bay fire station constructed in 1979. The station requires upgrades to major building systems and an additional apparatus bay to support future growth. In addition, female living facilities are inadequate, and space is needed to conduct operations support functions. The Fox Mill Fire Station’s response area includes Reston and the Route 28 corridor targeted for high density development in conjunction with the Silver Line Metrorail which will increase future demand for medical and fire suppression services. This project is proposed as part of the 2022 Public Safety Bond Referendum.

6. **Gunston Fire Station - 2018 (Mount Vernon District):** $13,000,000 has been approved for a renovated/expanded or replacement fire station with 4 drive through apparatus bays. This station was constructed in 1976 and requires major building systems upgrades to systems that are beyond the end of their life expectancy. In addition, apparatus bays are undersized, female living facilities are inadequate, and space is needed for water rescue resources as well as for operations support functions. This project was approved as part of the 2018 Public Safety Bond Referendum and is currently in the design phase.
7. **Jefferson Fire Station - 2012 (Mason District):** $15,575,000 for a new two-story replacement fire station with 5 apparatus bays at the site of the existing station. The original fire station, built in 1953, was a Volunteer station which has far exceeded its useful life cycle and needs to be replaced to meet operational requirements. The project also includes a temporary fire station off-site to maintain fire and rescue services during construction. The station is currently in construction and is scheduled to be completed by Spring of 2021. This project was approved as part of the 2012 Public Safety Bond Referendum.

8. **Lorton Volunteer Fire Station (Mount Vernon District):** $14,390,000 has been approved for a new, two-story, 4-bay Lorton Volunteer Fire Station, demolition of the existing fire station, a temporary fire station during construction, and site improvements to the 3.3-acre site. The original Volunteer fire station built in 1961 has exceeded its useful life cycle. Per an Agreement with the Lorton Volunteer Fire Department and the Board of Supervisors, a new County-owned replacement station including volunteer space will be constructed at the existing site. An agreement with the Volunteers to transfer ownership of the land and facility to the Board of Supervisors was approved on June 17, 2014. The project is currently in construction and is scheduled for completion in Summer 2021.

9. **Merrifield Fire Station - 2015 (Providence District):** $9,000,000 has been approved to renovate/expand the existing station which was constructed in 1979. Renovations are needed to replace building systems and to utilize space vacated by the Providence District Supervisor's office, now located at the Providence Community Center. The existing station lacks sufficient living facilities for female personnel, a physical fitness area, and space for operations support functions. Merrifield is one of the revitalization areas in the County targeted for commercial and residential development. The project is currently in the construction phase and is scheduled for completion in early 2022. This project was approved as part of the 2015 Public Safety Bond Referendum.

10. **Mount Vernon Fire Station - 2018 (Mount Vernon District):** $16,000,000 has been approved for a renovation/expansion or replacement fire station with 4 larger apparatus bays. This station was constructed in 1969 and requires major building system upgrades. In addition, apparatus bays are undersized, female living facilities are inadequate, and space is needed for support functions. The Mount Vernon response area includes the Richmond Highway Corridor which is one of the revitalization areas in the County targeted for commercial and residential development. This project was approved as part of the 2018 Public Safety Bond Referendum.

11. **Oakton Fire Station – 2022 (Providence District):** $17,000,000 is estimated to be required to renovate/expand or replace the existing 2½ bay fire station built in 1983. The station requires upgrades to major building systems, enhanced shower and locker facilities for female personnel, and an additional apparatus bay for future growth. Other building space deficiencies include personal protective gear storage, physical fitness area, telecommunications room, medical supply storage, and decontamination area. This project is proposed as part of the 2022 Public Safety Bond Referendum.

12. **Penn Daw Fire Station - 2015 (Mount Vernon District):** $15,400,000 is currently approved for a renovated/expanded or replacement fire station. The Penn Daw Fire Station was constructed in 1967 as a volunteer station and is one of the oldest and busiest in the County. The station’s apparatus bays are undersized with very low ceilings. In addition, the station lacks adequate living quarters for female personnel and requires replacement of building systems that have far
exceeded their life expectancy. The Penn Daw Fire Station’s response area covers the northern section of the Richmond Highway Corridor targeted for residential and commercial development which will increase the future demand for emergency medical and fire suppression services. Staff is currently exploring an alternate site to construct a larger fire station co-located with another county facility. This project was approved as part of the 2015 Public Safety Bond Referendum.

13. **Reston Fire Station - 2015 (Hunter Mill District):** $16,000,000 has been approved for a larger two-story replacement facility to address outdated infrastructure and critical operational space deficiencies. The existing 2½ bay station lacks sufficient space for existing equipment, operational support functions, adequate female living space and a workout facility to maintain physical fitness. Reston is one of the areas projected for high-density commercial and residential development. A larger replacement fire station will be constructed at the existing site to address future increased demand for emergency medical and fire suppression services. Station personnel have moved into an off-site temporary fire station. The station is in construction and is anticipated to be completed in winter of 2021. This project was approved as part of the 2015 Public Safety Bond Referendum.

14. **Scotts Run Fire Station (Providence District):** $9,947,232 is estimated to fund the design and construction of a new Scotts Run (Tysons East) Fire Station. Funding of $688,082 in proffer revenues has been received, $8,459,150 in additional proffer revenues is anticipated and $800,000 has been approved in County funds. These funds will support project management, construction management services and some station enhancements to be consistent with other fire stations in the County. The fire station is being designed and constructed as part of a negotiated proffer agreement and will meet future increased demand for emergency medical and fire suppression services in Tysons. The station is in construction and is scheduled to be operational in spring of 2021.

15. **Seven Corners Fire Station - 2018 (Mason District):** $13,000,000 has been approved for a renovated/expanded or replacement fire station with 3 apparatus bays. This station was constructed in 1977 and requires upgrades to major building systems. In addition, apparatus bays are undersized, female living facilities are inadequate, and space is needed for support functions. The Seven Corners station’s response area includes Baileys Crossroads which is projected to experience population growth and high-density development which will increase the demand for emergency medical and fire suppression services. This project was approved as part of the 2018 Public Safety Bond Referendum and is currently in the design phase.

16. **Traffic Light Preemptive Devices (Countywide):** $511,364 has been received in proffer revenue to date, associated with the Fire Department’s Emergency Vehicle Preemption (EVP) program. The EVP program provides for installation of vehicle preemption equipment on designated traffic signals along primary travel routes from the closest fire stations to a planned development. The goal of the EVP program is to improve response times to emergency incidents as well as safety for firefighters, residents, and visitors in Fairfax County.

17. **Tysons Fire Station (Providence District):** $1,417,152 has been received to date from negotiated proffer obligations to support the design of a 5-bay replacement Tysons Fire Station. Additional proffer funding for the fire station construction is anticipated as development in the designated Tysons area occurs. The existing 3-bay fire station, constructed in 1978, requires upgrades to major building systems, additional apparatus bays, enhanced living facilities for
female personnel, a physical fitness area, and storage for personnel protective gear and medical supplies. A larger replacement fire station will have capacity to add emergency response units and meet future increased demand for emergency medical and fire suppression services based on anticipated population growth and high-density development in Tysons. Staff is currently exploring the option to co-locate the fire station on the Tysons West Park Transit Site. The project is currently in the concept design phase and construction bidding is anticipated in Fall 2022. Staff continues to explore financing and timing options should a delay in proffer funding occur. The current Fire Station at Spring Hill Road remains in place and operations can continue from that station until such time as the replacement station is constructed.

18. **Volunteer Fire Station - 2018 (Mason):** $15,000,000 has been approved for a renovated/expanded or replacement volunteer fire station. This funding will support the West Annandale Station renovation and a temporary off-site station during construction. The original volunteer fire station built in 1970 has exceeded its useful life cycle and lacks female living facilities, personal protective gear storage, and space for support functions. Per an Agreement with the Annandale Volunteer Fire Department and the Board of Supervisors, a new County-owned replacement station including volunteer space will be constructed at the existing site. This project was approved as part of the 2018 Public Safety Bond Referendum and is currently in the design phase.

19. **Welfit Performance Testing Center - 2022 (TBD):** $21,000,000 is estimated to construct a permanent facility to relocate the Fire and Rescue Department’s Wellness-Fitness (Well-fit) Center which is currently in leased warehouse space. The Well-fit Center is used for the Candidate Physical Abilities Test (CPAT) to evaluate and test potential candidates in the recruitment process, for Work Performance Evaluations to evaluate physical conditioning of uniform personnel and to ensure operational readiness through physical performance assessments for personnel returning to full duty after recovering from an injury or illness. The facility would also include administrative offices for program management, peer fitness, physical therapy, as well as strength and conditioning. This project is proposed as part of the 2022 Public Safety Bond Referendum.

20. **Woodlawn Fire Station - 2015 (Mount Vernon District):** $12,775,000 has been approved to replace the existing 2½ bay station constructed in 1970 with a two story 4-bay station. The existing station has undersized apparatus bays, inadequate female living facilities, no space for operations support functions, and limited parking. The Woodlawn Fire Station's response area covers the southern section of the Richmond Highway Corridor targeted for increased development which will increase future demand for emergency medical and fire suppression services. The project is currently in the construction phase and is scheduled to be completed in early 2022. This project was approved as part of the 2015 Public Safety Bond Referendum.

**Police**

21. **Criminal Justice Academy – 2018 (Sully District):** $18,000,000 has been approved to renovate and upgrade the Criminal Justice Academy which currently provides training for 2,300 annual recruits and incumbents from the Fairfax County Police and Sheriff, and the towns of Herndon and Vienna. The current facility has outgrown the capability to provide innovative training to recruits and incumbent officers and the academic and scenario based training rooms do not meet the needs for today's training. In addition, some of the building systems and infrastructure are beyond the end of their life cycle. Building assessments, scoping and project
programming is underway. This project was approved as part of the 2018 Public Safety Bond Referendum.

22. **Emergency Vehicle Operations and K9 Center – 2015 (Sully District):** $12,000,000 to replace the existing Emergency Vehicle Operations Center (EVOC) and K9 Training facility. These two facilities are located on the same site and training space is housed in two double-wide trailers. Approximately 1,300 county police officers as well as new recruits are trained at the facility. The EVOC was built as a temporary structure in 1995 and suffers from inadequate building support systems, pipes that consistently freeze in the winter months, pest infestation and insufficient space for training and vehicle maintenance. The current K9 facility is a small shed with very limited capabilities or space. A new building will co-locate both the EVOC and the K9 sections and provide efficiencies of operations and reduced costs. Programming and conceptual design has been completed for this project. This project was approved as part of the fall 2015 Public Safety Bond Referendum and is currently in the design phase.

23. **Franconia Police Station – 2015 (Lee District):** $23,000,000 has been approved to renovate/expand or replace this facility which currently supports 130 officers and 30 non-sworn personnel serving approximately 51 square miles of the County. The facility was built in 1992 and houses the Lee District Supervisor’s Office and the Franconia Museum. The building systems have reached the end of their useful life and the facility currently lacks adequate interviews rooms, property evidence rooms, locker rooms, an exercise room, office space and parking is limited to support the specialty units conducting operations within the District. The staff and equipment operating out of the facility has far surpassed the size of the station. The current Franconia site is very constrained and does not accommodate the needs of the Police Station. Staff is currently developing a design to co-locate this Police Station, the Lee District Supervisor’s Office and the Franconia Museum, with the Kingstowne Library, and an Active Adult Center and childcare facility into one comprehensive facility on the site of the new Kingstowne Regional Library. The design will also include garage parking and a County fueling station. This project was approved as part of the 2015 Public Safety Bond Referendum.

24. **Mason Police Station – 2018 (Mason District):** $23,000,000 has been approved to renovate/expand or replace this facility. The building systems have reached the end of their useful life and the facility does not meet the current and future operational needs of the police and governmental center operations. The current facility, built in 1975, does not have adequate office, storage, workout, or interview spaces. This facility must operate 24/7 and does not currently support operations. This project was approved as part of the 2018 Public Safety Bond Referendum and is currently in the design phase.

25. **Police Evidence Storage Annex – 2018 (Providence District):** $18,000,000 has been approved to renovate/expand or relocate/replace the existing Evidence Storage Building which currently houses the Police Department’s Warrant Desk and main Property and Evidence Section. The second and third floors are not able to adequately support high density storage, so very little can be stored above the first level of the building. Expansion of the storage area for the Police Property and Evidence Section is critical. Many of the items stored are critical evidence for court presentations, and their preservation is paramount. Adequate climate controlled storage is needed to properly store this property in an organized manner. Strict accountability and oversight is necessary to meet accreditation standards. This project was approved as part of the 2018 Public Safety Bond Referendum.
26. **Police Facility Security Upgrades (Countywide):** The Police Department has identified a need for a study to assess the security upgrades that may be required at Police facilities. As the County grows, high rise development is encroaching on Police facilities creating new security concerns. Funding of $250,000 was approved as part of the *FY 2019 Third Quarter Review* to support security assessments and to determine the needs for enhancements. This will enable the Police Department to take advantage of the latest technologies while providing a consistent platform of security across all facilities. The study will develop a scope of work and associated costs related to each facility.

27. **Police Heliport - 2015 (Springfield District):** $14,100,000 has been approved for a new Heliport facility. The current facility was constructed in 1984 and no longer meets the needs of the specialized staff and equipment assigned to the 24/7 facility. The flight officers, pilots, paramedics and maintenance crews perform more than 150 helicopter missions per month and more than 80 medical evacuations per year. The existing helicopter equipment, locker, storage and training spaces are insufficient to meet current operational needs. The facility was constructed to house two small helicopters; however, the current twin engine helicopters require larger hangars. The project is currently in the construction phase and is scheduled to be completed in spring 2022. This project was approved as part of the 2015 Public Safety Bond Referendum.

28. **Police Tactical Operations - 2015 (Mason District):** $24,000,000 will support the replacement of the facility that houses the Police Department’s Operations Support Bureau (OSB) including the Traffic Division and Special Operations Division (SWAT, K9, bomb squad). The current facility was originally an elementary school that was renovated in 1985. Office space, training rooms and secure storage for specialty equipment is inadequate and space is required to support the 24/7 operations. This project was approved as part of the 2015 Public Safety Bond Referendum and is currently in the design phase.

29. **South County Police Station/Animal Shelter – 2015 (Mount Vernon District):** $33,700,000 will support a new Police Station with Animal Services in the southern portion of the County. The Franconia and West Springfield District Police Stations service the business and residential areas in the central and southern areas of Fairfax County. This additional Police Station will allow the department to organize smaller patrol areas and decrease response times throughout the County. The station will be co-located with a second Animal Shelter. Currently one Animal Shelter supports an estimated 5,500 companion animals and 2,000 wildlife animals each year. Animals in the south county area must be transported long distances to the current shelter. The South County Animal Shelter will offer services such as rabies clinics, pet adoptions, spray and neuter services, wildlife education and a volunteer program in a location convenient to this portion of the County. The project is currently in the construction phase. This project was approved as part of the 2015 Public Safety Bond Referendum.
## Project Cost Summaries

### Public Safety

($000's)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>Project Number</th>
<th>Source of Funds</th>
<th>Budgeted or Expended Through FY 2021</th>
<th>FY 2022</th>
<th>FY 2023</th>
<th>FY 2024</th>
<th>FY 2025</th>
<th>FY 2026</th>
<th>Total FY 2022 - FY 2026</th>
<th>Total FY 2027 - FY 2031</th>
<th>Total Project Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Feasibility Studies</td>
<td>G</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$441</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G25-103-000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$700</td>
<td>$800</td>
<td>$4,400</td>
<td>$9,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$14,900</td>
<td>$4,100</td>
<td>$19,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chantilly Fire Station - 2022</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$720</td>
<td>$1,900</td>
<td>$9,800</td>
<td>$2,550</td>
<td>$130</td>
<td>$15,100</td>
<td>$16,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FS-000017</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edsall Fire Station - 2015</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>$8,120</td>
<td>$5,050</td>
<td>$800</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$5,850</td>
<td>$13,970</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fox Mill Fire Station - 2022</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$700</td>
<td>$700</td>
<td>$3,400</td>
<td>$7,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$11,800</td>
<td>$3,200</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gunston Fire Station - 2018</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>$650</td>
<td>$1,300</td>
<td>$6,100</td>
<td>$4,300</td>
<td>$550</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td></td>
<td>$12,350</td>
<td>$13,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jefferson Fire Station - 2012</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>$15,575</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$15,575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorton Volunteer Fire Station</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>$14,390</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$14,390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merrifield Fire Station - 2015</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>$4,862</td>
<td>$4,138</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$9,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mount Vernon Fire Station - 2018</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$1,400</td>
<td>$6,900</td>
<td>$6,450</td>
<td></td>
<td>$15,700</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>$16,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oakton Fire Station - 2022</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$700</td>
<td>$800</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
<td>$7,700</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$13,200</td>
<td>$3,800</td>
<td>$17,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Project Cost Summaries

## Public Safety

($000's)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>Project Number</th>
<th>Budgeted or Expended Through FY 2021</th>
<th>FY 2022</th>
<th>FY 2023</th>
<th>FY 2024</th>
<th>FY 2025</th>
<th>FY 2026</th>
<th>Total FY 2022 - FY 2026</th>
<th>Total FY 2027 - FY 2031</th>
<th>Total Project Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12 Penn Daw Fire Station - 2015</td>
<td>B $4,650</td>
<td>$1,300 $6,120 $3,200 $130</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$10,750</td>
<td>$15,400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FS-000015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Reston Fire Station - 2015</td>
<td>B $8,600</td>
<td>$6,200 $1,200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$7,400</td>
<td>$16,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FS-000014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Scotts Run Fire Station</td>
<td>B, X $1,488</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,488</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FS-000043/FS-000079</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Seven Corners Fire Station - 2018</td>
<td>B $1,300</td>
<td>$4,850 $5,050 $1,700 $100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$11,700</td>
<td>$13,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FS-000056</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 Traffic Light Preemptive Devices</td>
<td>X $511</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$511</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PS-000008</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 Tysons Fire Station</td>
<td>X $1,417</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,417</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FS-000042</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 Volunteer Fire Station - 2018</td>
<td>B $980</td>
<td>$1,080 $2,350 $8,350 $2,180 $60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$14,020</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FS-000057</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 Wellfit- Performance Testing Center - 2022</td>
<td>B $0</td>
<td>$425 $1,225 $6,800 $9,600</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$18,050 $2,950</td>
<td>$21,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 Woodlawn Fire Station - 2015</td>
<td>B $9,321</td>
<td>$3,454</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$3,454</td>
<td>$12,775</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FS-000016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Project Cost Summaries

#### Public Safety

**($000's)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>Project Number</th>
<th>Source of Funds</th>
<th>Budgeted or Expended Through FY 2021</th>
<th>FY 2022</th>
<th>FY 2023</th>
<th>FY 2024</th>
<th>FY 2025</th>
<th>FY 2026</th>
<th>Total FY 2022 - FY 2026</th>
<th>Total FY 2027 - FY 2031</th>
<th>Total Project Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Police</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 Criminal Justice Academy - 2018</td>
<td>OP-000007</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>$750</td>
<td>$950</td>
<td>$5,500</td>
<td>$7,000</td>
<td>$3,300</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$17,250</td>
<td>$18,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 Emergency Vehicle Ops. and K9 Ctr - 2015</td>
<td>PS-000012</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>$1,450</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$900</td>
<td>$3,750</td>
<td>$5,700</td>
<td>$10,350</td>
<td>$200</td>
<td>$12,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 Franconia Police Station - 2015</td>
<td>PS-000013</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$1,400</td>
<td>$4,600</td>
<td>$6,250</td>
<td>$6,250</td>
<td>$3,100</td>
<td>$21,600</td>
<td>$400</td>
<td>$23,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 Mason Police Station - 2018</td>
<td>PS-000026</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>$800</td>
<td>$1,100</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$11,000</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td></td>
<td>$22,200</td>
<td></td>
<td>$23,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 Police Evidence Storage Annex - 2018</td>
<td>OP-000008</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>$350</td>
<td>$850</td>
<td>$3,200</td>
<td>$8,900</td>
<td>$4,200</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$17,650</td>
<td></td>
<td>$18,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 Police Facility Security Upgrades</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>$250</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 Police Heliport - 2015</td>
<td>PS-000010</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>$7,100</td>
<td>$6,900</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$7,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$14,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 Police Tactical Operations - 2015</td>
<td>PS-000011</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>$2,400</td>
<td>$4,600</td>
<td>$9,700</td>
<td>$6,850</td>
<td>$350</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>$21,600</td>
<td></td>
<td>$24,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 South Co Police St/Animal Shelter - 2015</td>
<td>PS-000009</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>$8,200</td>
<td>$16,500</td>
<td>$8,200</td>
<td>$800</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$25,500</td>
<td></td>
<td>$33,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$95,505</td>
<td>$60,842</td>
<td>$67,845</td>
<td>$73,975</td>
<td>$48,980</td>
<td>$301,562</td>
<td>$14,950</td>
<td>$412,017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** Numbers in bold italics represent funded amounts. A “C” in the ‘Budgeted or Expended’ column denotes a continuing project.

**Key: Source of Funds**

- B: Bonds
- G: General Fund
- S: State
- F: Federal
- X: Other
- U: Undetermined
The current focus of the County’s criminal justice system is to provide adequate court facilities and update aging jail facilities and security systems.

The Sheriff and Courts Sections of the Public Facilities Plan within the Fairfax County Comprehensive Plan, includes the following established objectives:

- Meet the State Department of Corrections rated capacity standards for Average Daily Population at the Adult Detention Center (ADC) and provide for sentenced offenders not incarcerated at the ADC.
- Provide facilities for inmates to serve their sentence with opportunities not otherwise available in confinement to include work release, education programs, rehabilitative programs in the community, and weekend community service as alternatives to incarceration.
- Maintain a central location for the main court system for the County to be convenient to all County residents.
- Maintain the efficient and expedient processing and adjudication of cases of the 19th Judicial Circuit Court and General District Court of Fairfax County by providing the necessary facilities to accomplish such actions.

Source: Fairfax County Comprehensive Plan, 2017 Edition; Policy Plan- Public Facilities, Amended through 4-9-2019

The Judicial Center is used by over 2,500 people daily and experiences significant wear to the public spaces and building systems. There are 26 courtrooms within the original portion of the Courthouse which were in need of renovations including improved lighting, ductwork realignment and technology upgrades to keep the rooms operational. Funding for the first four courtrooms was provided and the modifications are complete. An additional $20 million for the remaining 22 courtrooms was approved as part of the fall 2012 bond referendum. However, an amount of $4.0 million was redirected to the Jennings Courthouse Data Center to support critical and immediate requirements at the Courthouse. An amount of $5.0 million was approved by the voters as part of the November 2018 Public Safety Bond Referendum and will replace the redirected $4.0 million and support construction escalation associated with current market conditions. It is anticipated that additional escalation funding will be required in the future to complete all courtroom renovations.

A new Public Safety Headquarters (PSHQ) to replace the Massey Building opened to staff in fall 2017. All personnel have been relocated and the Massey Building and Massey Annex have been demolished. Funding has been provided for a Judicial Center Complex Master Planning project to evaluate potential land use alternatives for the future of the Massey building area and the entire Complex. The master planning effort will include assessment of priority County uses for the site, including future criminal justice, public safety and human services’ needs, as well as City of Fairfax and George Mason University interest in the site. Other County agencies currently in nearby lease space, such as the Health Department and Office for Children are being evaluated for inclusion in the Master Plan. In addition, the Courts and Community Services Board have requested a new Court Diversion First Center. Major areas of consideration will include the Massey Building site, the Old Courthouse, the Burkholder Administrative Center, and existing surface parking lots. The disposition of other County-owned real estate assets within the City of Fairfax at and near the Massey location,
may also be evaluated for opportunities, and the potential for public private partnerships redevelopment will be assessed.

The Adult Detention Center (ADC), in the same complex, is a three-building compound with beds for 1,343 inmates constructed in 1978, 1988 and 1998. The buildings are interconnected, but many of the building systems, including the security system, were not integrated as a single facility, or updated when the second and third buildings were added. While some upgrades and replacements have been completed in recent years, the majority of the systems and equipment have served beyond their expected useful lifetime. A major renovation project was approved by the voters as part of the 2018 Public Safety Bond Referendum.

1. **ADC Security/Renovation – 2018 (Providence District):** $62,000,000 is currently estimated for the renovation and security system replacement at the Adult Detention Center in addition to $510,000 which was previously approved for study and pre-design work. This facility has three wings (North, East and West), each constructed at different times. The North Wing is approximately 93,000 square feet and was constructed in 1989. The East Wing is approximately 106,000 square feet and was constructed in 1978 and the West Wing, the largest wing at approximately 310,000 square feet, was constructed in 1995. A mechanical, plumbing, and building systems assessment of each wing was conducted by a contracted engineering firm and the results indicated that most of the major building systems including plumbing, electrical, HVAC, and fire protection systems required replacement and/or upgrades. In addition, some exterior work is required including weather stripping and roof repairs. Based on the magnitude of the space, as well as the infrastructure upgrades and repairs required, this facility requires a full renovation. In addition, the security systems were last assessed in 2016 with studies concluding that the systems are outdated and no longer meet industry standards. System equipment repairs and maintenance have become nearly impossible as manufacturers no longer support the equipment and replacement parts are not available through standard vendors. Proposed improvements would integrate and upgrade mechanical and electronic security systems to enable Sheriff Deputies to be more proactive and avoid security issues. In order to minimize disruption to the inmates, the implementation of the security system will be conducted as part of the overall renovation project. General Fund support of approximately $17 million will be required for the security system software, cameras and equipment. This portion of the project is not yet funded. The General Obligation Bond funding of $45 million will support the capital improvement requirements. The bond portion of this project was approved by the voters as part of the 2018 Public Safety Bond Referendum.

2. **Courtroom Renovations Bond Funded - 2012 (Providence District):** $21,000,000 to renovate most of the existing courtrooms within Jennings Judicial Center building. These courtrooms require improved lighting, ductwork realignment and technology upgrades. Four of the 26 courtrooms were fully funded by the General Fund and the work is complete. The remaining 22 courtrooms were approved for funding in the amount of $20 million as part of the 2012 Public Safety Bond Referendum. However, an amount of $4.0 million was redirected to the Jennings Courthouse Data Center to support critical and immediate data center requirements. Of the 22 bond-funded courtrooms, a total of 11 courtrooms are complete and the construction of the next phase of 6 courtrooms has started. An amount of $5.0 million was approved by the voters as part of the 2018 Public Safety Bond Referendum and will replace the redirected $4.0 million and support construction escalation associated with current market conditions. It is anticipated that additional escalation funding will be required in the future to complete the remaining courtroom renovations.
3. **Courtroom Renovations Equipment/Furniture (Providence District):** This project is supported by the General Fund and provides loose furniture in the courtrooms as renovation work is complete. The 26 courtrooms in the Jennings Judicial Center are scheduled to be renovated over several years. Equipment and furniture requirements include attorney seating, juror seating, judge’s chairs, clerk’s chairs, court reporter’s chairs, deputy’s chairs, witness chairs, non-technical podiums, jury deliberation room tables and chairs, and victim/witness room tables and chairs.
### Project Cost Summaries

#### Court Facilities

($000's)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Title / Renovation</th>
<th>Source of Funds</th>
<th>Budgeted or Expended Through FY 2021</th>
<th>FY 2022</th>
<th>FY 2023</th>
<th>FY 2024</th>
<th>FY 2025</th>
<th>FY 2026</th>
<th>Total FY 2022 - FY 2026</th>
<th>Total FY 2027 - FY 2031</th>
<th>Total Project Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADC Security / Renovation - 2018</td>
<td>G, B</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
<td>$18,000</td>
<td>$23,000</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$58,000</td>
<td>$62,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD-000002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Courtroom Renovations - Bond Funded - 2012</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>$9,900</td>
<td>$4,600</td>
<td>$2,600</td>
<td>$2,600</td>
<td>$1,300</td>
<td></td>
<td>$11,100</td>
<td>$21,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CF-000003</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Courtroom Renovation Equipment / Furniture</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>$1,589</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,589</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,589</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G08-017-000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$15,489</strong></td>
<td><strong>$22,600</strong></td>
<td><strong>$25,600</strong></td>
<td><strong>$17,600</strong></td>
<td><strong>$3,300</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$69,100</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$84,589</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Numbers in bold italics represent funded amounts. A "C" in the 'Budgeted or Expended' column denotes a continuing project.

**Key:**
- **B**: Bonds
- **G**: General Fund
- **S**: State
- **F**: Federal
- **X**: Other
- **U**: Undetermined
Government Facilities

Libraries Goals

• To continue to provide a modern network of effective, relevant and efficient library services that are convenient and accessible for the changing population of Fairfax County.

• To locate library facilities to provide service to the greatest number of persons within designated service areas, and provide high visibility, safe and easy access, and ample size for the building, parking areas, landscaping and future expansion.

• To continually evaluate patron needs and usage, providing a basis for responsible library management decisions in the public interest.

Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrades Goals

• To provide for a planned series of renovations, improvements, and repairs that will maximize the useful life of County facilities.

• To modify County facilities and environmental control systems to increase energy utilization efficiency.

• To provide emergency repairs to County facilities and correct potential safety or structural hazards.

Government Facilities and Programs Goals

• To provide County vehicle maintenance facilities that are located on adequate and appropriate sites.

• To provide improvements and redevelopment at County owned facilities.

Environmental and Energy Program Goals

• To support the Board of Supervisors’ Environmental Agenda through annual environmental improvement projects.

• To support the Board of Supervisors’ Operational Energy Strategy and reduce energy use by 20 percent by 2029.

• To support Environmental Sustainability Strategies for capital projects.
Libraries

Fairfax County Public Library branches differ in size, collection, and customers served. The libraries all have one thing in common: a commitment to provide easy access to a multitude of resources for the education, entertainment, business and pleasure of Fairfax County, Town of Herndon, Vienna and City of Fairfax residents of all ages.

Fairfax County's Comprehensive Plan, Public Facilities Section includes the following established objectives:

- Locate library facilities to provide service to the greatest number of persons within designated service areas, and provide high visibility, safe and easy access, and ample size for the building, parking areas, landscaping and future expansion.
- Library facilities should be compatible with adjacent land uses and with the character of the surrounding community and should be sized to provide adequate space for the population to be served.
- Library facilities should sustain adequate levels of patronage.

Source: Fairfax County Comprehensive Plan, 2017 Edition; Policy Plan-Public Facilities, Amended through 4-9-2019

Changing demographics indicate a growing diversity among residents and among communities within Fairfax County. Expanding technologies offer new opportunities and users demand improved access to information resources and service delivery. The Library must provide a network of facilities that offer library services responding to the needs of the community in which each library is located, and it must provide system-wide mechanisms to share resources among branches. New facilities must be designed to utilize new technologies for information delivery, and existing facilities redesigned and renovated to maximize the use of space and incorporate modern technologies.

The Library Board of Trustees, whose members are appointed by the Board of Supervisors, the School Board and the City of Fairfax Council, are responsible for library policy. Planning is based on “Recommended Minimum Standards for Virginia Public Libraries,” published by the Library of Virginia, which sets basic requirements for receiving supplemental State Aid. The priority of construction projects is based on many factors, including the age and condition of buildings, projected population growth in the service area, usage patterns, insufficiencies at existing facilities and demand for library services in unserved areas of the County. Library projects have been primarily financed with General Obligation Bonds.

In the fall of 2020, the voters approved a bond referendum in the amount of $90 million to support four priority library facilities. These libraries include Kingstowne Regional, Patrick Henry Community, Sherwood Regional and George Mason Regional libraries. The Kingstowne Library site was previously purchased by the County to replace the existing leased space with a newly constructed library. Staff is currently developing the design to co-locate the Kingstowne Regional Library with the Franconia Police Station, the Lee District Supervisor’s Office, the Franconia Museum, an Active Adult Center and childcare facility into one comprehensive facility on this site. The design also includes garage parking, and a County fueling station. The Patrick Henry Library renovation will support a proposed joint development project between Fairfax County and the Town of Vienna to renovate the Library and provide additional parking structures for the Library and the town. Sherwood Regional and George Mason Regional Library renovations will support upgrades to all of the building systems,
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including roof and heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC) replacement, which have outlived their useful life and accommodate current operations and energy efficiency. In addition, the renovations provide a more efficient use of the available space, meet customers’ technological demands, and better serve students and young children. The quiet study areas and group study rooms are improved, with space to accommodate a higher number of public computers and wireless access.

1. **Feasibility Studies - Library Facilities (Countywide):** $527,998 has been previously approved to conduct feasibility studies to determine the scope for renovations of various libraries. Most recently, the Kingstowne Regional Library and the Lorton Library feasibility studies have been completed. A feasibility study for the Patrick Henry Library has been completed for the initial programming and conceptual design, and a joint agreement with the Town of Vienna for the design and construction of a shared structured parking was signed in 2020.

2. **George Mason Regional Library - 2020 (Mason District):** $15,000,000 has been approved to renovate and upgrade building systems and infrastructure that are well beyond the end of their life cycle and meet current and future operational needs of the Library System. While the population in the service area has not grown significantly, usage patterns at this location place it among the busiest six libraries in both door count and circulation. The present configuration is plagued with an antiquated layout that does not adequately reflect modern library design, usage or aesthetics. This location has suffered from recurring issues with the HVAC, inadequate electrical wiring to support device usage by customers, and a myriad of other facility related repairs/incidents. Based on the age and condition of the facility it is difficult to make significant changes to the overall layout or outfit the facility with the infrastructure required to support the technology requested by library customers. This project was approved as part of the 2020 Library Bond Referendum.

3. **Kingstowne Regional Library - 2020 (Lee District):** $36,500,000 has been approved for the Kingstowne Regional Library which is currently located in leased space within a shopping center. The leased space has significant challenges, including space constraints, ongoing issues with water leakage from tenants on the floor above, disruption to operations due to maintenance, and inadequate parking. A larger collection area, additional meeting rooms, and significant technology infrastructure upgrades are required to meet current and future operational needs of the Library system. The site for a new library was previously purchased by the County to replace the existing leased space with a newly constructed library. Staff is currently developing a design to co-locate the Kingstowne Regional Library with the Franconia Police Station, the Lee District Supervisor’s Office and the Franconia Museum, and an Active Adult Center and childcare facility into one comprehensive facility on this site. The design will also include garage parking and a County fueling station. Funding of $2.5 million was previously approved to begin the design of the Library project and $34 million was approved as part of the 2020 Library Bond Referendum.

4. **Lorton Community Library (Mount Vernon District):** $8,730,000 has been approved to renovate/expand the existing Lorton Community Library which was built in 1990. The existing facility requires renovations to meet the community needs and to upgrade building systems and infrastructure to meet current and future operational needs of the Library System. The expansion and renovation of the existing Lorton Library Lorton is co-located with the Lorton Community Center which was approved by the voters as part of the 2016 Human Services and Community

5. **Patrick Henry Community Library - 2020 (Hunter Mill District):** $23,000,000 has been approved for the County’s share of a joint development project between Fairfax County and the Town of Vienna for a replacement Library and additional parking structures for the Library and the town. Patrick Henry Community Library is one of the busiest community locations in the library system, operating at a level of a small regional. Upgrades are required to building systems and infrastructure that are well beyond the end of their life cycle to meet the current and future operational needs of the Library System. The building is one of the oldest, resulting in an antiquated layout that does not adequately reflect modern library design and usage. Based on the age and condition of the facility it is difficult to make significant changes to the overall layout or outfit the facility with the infrastructure required to support the technology requested by library customers. This project was approved as part of the 2020 Library Bond Referendum.

6. **Reston Regional Library - 2012 (Hunter Mill District):** $10,000,000 has been approved for the replacement of the Reston Regional Library. The existing facility was constructed in 1985 and is located within the overall master plan area that reconfigures and provides integrated redevelopment of approximately 50 acres currently owned by Fairfax County and Inova at Reston Town Center North (RTCN). A total of approximately $39.5 million is proposed for a replacement Reston Library, community space, and common infrastructure at RTCN. The plan addresses the development potential consistent with the needs of the community. Options for procurement and development concepts for the proposed County blocks and the library location are being reviewed. Funding of $10,000,000 was approved as part of the 2012 Library Bond Referendum for the Library and additional funding of $29.5 million will be required, including the County share of the library related infrastructure development and a Comprehensive Real Estate and Infrastructure Development Agreement with Inova. This Agreement will provide for the real estate exchange, and design and construction of the campus site infrastructure. Economic Development Authority bond financing is anticipated to fund the remaining $29.5 million required to implement the plan.

7. **Sherwood Regional Library - 2020 (Mount Vernon District):** $18,000,000 has been approved for the renovation of the Sherwood Regional Library. Renovation is required to upgrade building systems and infrastructure that are well beyond the end of their life cycle and meet current and future operational needs of the Library System. This Library is located off a main thoroughfare and is unique in that it services a large middle school population from the Walt Whitman Intermediate School, located next door. This location has suffered from recurring roofing problems, inadequate electrical wiring to support device usage by customers, and a myriad of other facility related repairs/incidents. In its present configuration, it is plagued with an antiquated layout that does not adequately reflect modern library design and usage. Based on the age and condition of the facility it is difficult to make significant changes to the overall layout or outfit the facility with the infrastructure required to support the technology requested by library customers. This project was approved as part of the 2020 Library Bond Referendum.
## Project Cost Summaries

**Libraries**

($000's)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>Project Number</th>
<th>Source of Funds</th>
<th>Budgeted or Expended Through FY 2021</th>
<th>Total FY 2022 - FY 2026</th>
<th>Total FY 2027 - FY 2031</th>
<th>Total Project Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Feasibility Studies - Library Facilities</td>
<td>5G25-011-000</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>$527</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$527</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George Mason Regional Library - 2020</td>
<td>LB-000016</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>$60</td>
<td>$800</td>
<td>$1,800</td>
<td>$9,440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kingstowne Regional Library - 2020</td>
<td>LB-000012</td>
<td>G, B</td>
<td>$925</td>
<td>$1,575</td>
<td>$4,600</td>
<td>$30,975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorton Community Library</td>
<td>LB-000010</td>
<td>G, B</td>
<td>$5,200</td>
<td>$3,200</td>
<td>$330</td>
<td>$3,530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patrick Henry Community Library - 2020</td>
<td>LB-000015</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>$50</td>
<td>$900</td>
<td>$2,600</td>
<td>$22,950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reston Regional Library - 2012</td>
<td>LB-000010</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>$400</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$9,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sherwood Regional Library - 2020</td>
<td>LB-000014</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>$60</td>
<td>$900</td>
<td>$2,100</td>
<td>$17,940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$7,222</strong></td>
<td><strong>$8,375</strong></td>
<td><strong>$12,430</strong></td>
<td><strong>$99,935</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Numbers in bold italics represent funded amounts. A "C" in the 'Budgeted or Expended' column denotes a continuing project.

**Key: Source of Funds**

- **B** Bonds
- **G** General Fund
- **S** State
- **F** Federal
- **X** Other
- **U** Undetermined
Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrades

One of the primary roles for facility management in both government and private industry is to provide for the long-term needs of the organization's capital assets. This maximizes the life of the facilities, avoids their obsolescence and provides for a planned program of repairs, improvements and restorations to make them suitable for organizational needs. Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrades is the planned replacement of building subsystems such as roofs, electrical systems, HVAC systems and plumbing systems that have reached the end of their useful life. Major renewal investment is required in facilities to replace old, obsolete building subsystems that have reached the end of their life cycle. Without significant reinvestment in building subsystems, older facilities will fall into a state of ever deteriorating condition and functionality and the maintenance and repair costs necessary to keep them functional will increase. This section also includes the upgrades associated with Americans with Disabilities (ADA) compliance at County facilities.

The Facilities Management Department (FMD) currently provides support for evaluating facilities, identifying problems, developing costs estimates, establishing priorities and performing required infrastructure replacement and upgrades. Some of the major work completed annually at County facilities includes the replacement of building subsystems such as roofs, electrical systems, heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC), plumbing systems, elevators, windows, carpets, parking lot resurfacing, fire alarms, fire suppression building automation systems, and emergency generators that have reached the end of their useful life. Without significant reinvestment in building subsystems, older facilities can fall into a state of ever-decreasing condition and functionality, and the maintenance and repair costs necessary to operate the facilities increase. Fairfax County will have a projected FY 2022 facility inventory of over 12 million square feet of space (excluding schools, parks, and housing facilities). This inventory continues to expand with the addition of newly constructed facilities, the renovation and expansion of existing facilities and the acquisition of additional property. With such a large inventory, it is critical that a planned program of repairs and restorations be maintained. In addition, the age of a large portion of this inventory of facilities is reaching a point where major reinvestments are required in the building subsystems.

Many County facilities have outdated HVAC and electrical systems that are susceptible to failure or are highly inefficient energy users. Projects are prioritized based on maintenance reports and availability of parts. Roof replacement, repairs, and waterproofing are conducted in priority order based on an evaluation of maintenance and performance history. Repairs and replacement of facility roofs are considered critical to avoid the serious structural deterioration that occurs from roof leaks. By addressing this problem in a comprehensive manner, a major backlog of roof problems can be avoided. In addition, emergency generators and fire alarm systems are replaced based on equipment age, coupled with maintenance and performance history. Critical emergency repairs and renovations are accomplished under the category of emergency building repairs. These small projects abate building obsolescence and improve the efficiency and effectiveness of facilities and facility systems. The following table outlines, in general, the expected service life of building subsystems used to project infrastructure replacement and upgrade requirements, coupled with the actual condition of the subsystem component:
Each year, the Facilities Management Department (FMD) prioritizes and classifies infrastructure replacement and upgrade projects into five categories. Projects are classified as Category F: urgent/safety related, or endangering life and/or property; Category D: critical systems beyond their useful life or in danger of possible failure; Category C: life-cycle repairs/replacements where repairs are no longer cost effective; Category B: repairs needed for improvements if funding is available, and Category A: good condition.

In April 2013, the County and School Board formed a joint committee, the Infrastructure Financing Committee (IFC), to collaborate and review both the County and School’s Capital Improvement Program (CIP) and infrastructure upgrade requirements. One of the goals of the Committee was to develop long-term maintenance plans for both the County and Schools, including annual requirements and reserves. The committee conducted a comprehensive review of critical needs and approved recommendations to support the development of a sustainable financing plan to begin to address current and future capital requirements. The Committee found the analysis of financial policy, the review of the condition of hundreds of facilities, and the scarce options for financing to be challenging. A Final Report was developed and approved by the Board of Supervisors on March 25, 2014, and the School Board on April 10, 2014. The Report included support for conducting capital needs assessments, new policy recommendations for capital financing, including a capital sinking fund and increased annual General Fund supported funding, the adoption of common definitions related to all types of maintenance, support for County and School joint use opportunities for facilities, and continued support for evaluating ways to further reduce capital costs.

The Board of Supervisors approved the establishment of the Capital Sinking Fund as part of the FY 2014 Carryover Review. To date, a total of $64,767,161 has been dedicated to capital sinking funds and allocated for infrastructure replacement and upgrades to the following areas: $35,621,941 for FMD, $12,953,433 for Parks, $7,831,140 for Walkways, $5,703,864 for County-owned Roads and $2,656,783 for Revitalization. Projects have been initiated in all of these program areas from the sinking fund allocation. FMD has initiated several larger scale projects with the $35,621,941 allocated to the Sinking Fund, including storm pipe and drains replacement at the Pennino Building Parking Garage and Herrity Building Parking Garage and replacement of the storm drainage piping, fittings and hangers at the Judicial Employee Parking Garage; HVAC system component replacement at the Chantilly Library, McLean Fire Station and Government Center; emergency back-
up generator replacement at the Kingstowne Fire Station; fire alarm system replacement at the Hollin Hall Senior Center, Oakton Library and Burke Center Library; and replacement of the main entrance doors at the Pennino Building.

In addition, as discussed with the IFC, the requirement for County infrastructure replacement and upgrades is estimated at $26 million per year. This estimate is based on current assessment data, as well as industry standards (2 percent of the current replacement value). Based on current staffing levels, the complexity of many of the projects, and the timeline for completing replacement and upgrade projects, it is estimated that approximately $15 million per year would be a good funding goal.

Due to budget constraints, there is no funding included in FY 2022 for Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrade projects. However, an amount of $6,282,000, representing the top priority category F projects, is proposed to be funded as part of a future quarterly review. In recent years, it has been the Board of Supervisors' practice to fund some or all of the infrastructure replacement and upgrade projects using one-time funding as available as part of quarterly reviews. These projects, all Category F, will address generator replacement, site work, fire alarm system replacement, HVAC system upgrades and building automation, and roof repairs and waterproofing. The table on the following pages provides specific project details of the projects that are proposed to be funded as part of a future quarterly review.

### FY 2022 Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrade Program

(Projects proposed to be funded as part of a future quarterly review)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Project Type</th>
<th>Facility</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Existing Conditions/Deficiencies</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1        | Fire Suppression | Pennino Building          | F        | • Increased maintenance required to keep system operational  
• System has exceeded its useful life  
• Unreliable critical building life safety equipment | $200,000  |
| 2        | Fire Alarm    | Reston Human Services Center | F        | • Maintenance and repairs no longer feasible  
• Parts difficult to obtain  
• Disruption to building operations/end users | $302,000  |
| 3        | Generator     | Juvenile Detention Center | F        | • Increased equipment failure  
• Old technology  
• Parts difficult to obtain  
• Disruption to building operations/end users | $550,000  |
| 4        | Plumbing      | Courthouse and Courthouse Expansion | F        | • Imminent failure  
• Unreliable equipment  
• Disruption to building operations/end users | $150,000  |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Project Type</th>
<th>Facility</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Existing Conditions/Deficiencies</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 5       | Roof         | North Point Fire Station | F        | • Maintenance and repairs no longer feasible  
• Disruption to building operations/end users  
• Increased utilities costs  
• Water leaks                                                                 | $500,000  |
| 6       | Roof         | Gartland Mental Health Center | F        | • Maintenance and repairs no longer feasible  
• Water leaks  
• Disruption to building operations/end users  
• Increased utilities costs                                                                 | $100,000  |
| 7       | HVAC/Plumbing | Bailey's Community Center | F        | • Imminent failure  
• Unreliable equipment  
• Disruption to building operations/end users  
• Increased costs and limited availability of parts                                                                 | $775,000  |
| 8       | Building Automation System | Gerry Hyland Government Center (South County Human Services Center) | F        | • Parts difficult to obtain  
• Unreliable equipment  
• Disruption to building operations/end users  
• Increased utilities costs                                                                 | $1,500,000 |
| 9       | HVAC         | Southgate Community Center | F        | • Increased maintenance costs  
• Imminent failure  
• Unreliable equipment  
• Disruption to building operations/end users  
• Increased costs and limited availability of parts                                                                 | $550,000  |
| 10      | Roof         | McLean Fire Station | F        | • Maintenance and repairs no longer feasible  
• Water leaks  
• Disruption to building operations/end users                                                                 | $535,000  |
| 11      | Generator    | Clifton Fire Station | F        | • System has reached its useful life  
• System no longer meets Virginia Department of Environmental Quality's requirements  
• Disruption to building operations/end users                                                                 | $120,000  |
In addition to the above projects identified as part of the FY 2022 plan, FMD has identified additional Category F projects in the amount of $18,058,000. Analysis of these requirements is conducted annually, and projects may shift categories, become an emergency and be funded by the emergency systems failures project, or be eliminated based on other changes, such as a proposed renovation project.

### Current Project Descriptions

1. **ADA Compliance - FMD (Countywide):** This is a continuing project to support County compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act at County-owned facilities. Funding for annual ADA projects to support the continuation of improvements is required, as buildings and site conditions age. For example, over time sidewalks or pavers may settle or erosion occurs changing the slope or creating gaps/obstructions, program usage changes can result in new physical barriers, or ADA entrance ramps for pedestrians can fail due to increased usage for the movement of bulk materials and equipment.

2. **Building Energy Management Systems (Countywide):** This is a continuing project to support the installation of Building Energy Management Systems (BEMS) within existing County facilities. BEMS control facility lighting, HVAC, bay door interlocking, and negative pressure room systems. Older facilities are retrofitted with these systems in order to increase energy efficiency.

3. **Capital Sinking Fund – FMD (Countywide):** $35,621,941 has been allocated to date for the capital sinking fund for FMD. The Capital Sinking Fund was established as a direct result of the Infrastructure Financing Committee (IFC). Funding for each sinking fund is approved annually as part of the Carryover Review and is allocated based on the following percentages: 55 percent for FMD, 20 percent for Parks, 10 percent for walkways, 10 percent for County maintained Roads and Service Drives, and 5 percent for revitalization maintenance.

4. **Carpet Replacement (Countywide):** This is a continuing project for carpet replacement at various County facilities where the existing carpet has deteriorated beyond repair or is in an unserviceable condition.

5. **Electrical System Upgrades and Replacements (Countywide):** This is a continuing project for the repair, renovation and upgrading of mechanical and electrical systems in various facilities throughout the County.
6. **Elevator/Escalator Replacement (Countywide):** This is a continuing project for the replacement and repairs of elevators throughout the County.

7. **Emergency Building Repairs (Countywide):** This is a continuing project to support minor, but critical repair, renovation, remodeling and upgrading of various facilities throughout the County. Requirements include abatement of health or safety hazards and emergency or unanticipated repairs to building exteriors.

8. **Emergency Generator Replacement (Countywide):** This is a continuing project for generator replacements at various sites throughout the County. Requirements are programmed based on equipment age coupled with maintenance and performance history.

9. **Emergency Systems Failures (Countywide):** This is a continuing project to support emergency repairs and replacements to County facilities in the event of a major systems failure, such as a large HVAC system or other unforeseen event. Currently, this is the County’s only source to deal with potential emergency system failures. Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrades funding is encumbered quickly because it is earmarked for specific projects. As a result, specific project balances are unavailable for emergencies. If a system failure should occur, there is the potential that a County facility may shut down, suspending services to residents and disrupting County business. Although the County’s emphasis on infrastructure replacement and preventative maintenance is intended to ensure these kinds of interruptions are avoided, this funding will enable potential disruptions to be corrected immediately.

10. **Fire Alarm System Replacements (Countywide):** This is a continuing project for the replacement of fire alarm systems based on age, difficulty in obtaining replacement parts, service and overall condition assessment. This program provides for the replacement of fire alarm systems which are 15 to 30 years old, have exceeded their useful life and experience frequent failure when tested.

11. **HVAC System Upgrades and Replacement (Countywide):** This is a continuing project for the repair, renovation and/or upgrading of Heating Ventilation and Air Conditioning (HVAC) systems in various facilities throughout the County. In general, the useful life of HVAC systems is 20 years; however, some systems fail earlier due to wear and tear, and often emergency repairs are costly based on difficulty in obtaining parts and additional code requirements.

12. **MPSTOC County Support for Renewal (Springfield District):** $2,529,403 is currently in reserve to begin to address future capital renewal requirements at the McConnell Public Safety Transportation Operations Center (MPSTOC). This funding is appropriated at the end of each year and supported by revenues received from the State. This revenue represents funding associated with the state reimbursement for their share of the operational costs at MPSTOC such as security, custodial, landscaping, maintenance, parking lot repairs and snow removal costs. The County pays for all operational requirements and the State reimburses the County annually for their share of these costs.

13. **MPSTOC State Support for Renewal (Springfield District):** $835,017 is currently in reserve to begin to address future capital renewal requirements at the McConnell Public Safety Transportation Operations Center (MPSTOC). This funding is appropriated at the end of each year and supported by revenues received from the State. This revenue represents the state’s annual installment of funds for their share of future repairs and renewal costs in order to avoid large budget increases for capital renewal requirements in
the future. This contribution is based on the industry standard of 2 percent of replacement value or $3.00 per square foot.

14. **Parking Lot and Garage Repairs (Countywide):** This is a continuing project for the repair and maintenance to parking lots and garages at various locations throughout the County. Parking lot surfaces are removed, the base re-compacted and a new surface course installed. In some cases, asphalt paving is milled down and resurfaced.

15. **Roof Repairs and Waterproofing (Countywide):** This is a continuing project for the repair and replacement of facility roofs and waterproofing systems at County buildings. Typically, roofs at County facilities range in warranty periods from 10 to 20 years.

16. **Window Replacement (Countywide):** This is a continuing project for the replacement or repair of windows where water is leaking into County buildings.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Title Project Number</th>
<th>Source of Funds</th>
<th>Budgeted or Expended Through FY 2021</th>
<th>FY 2022</th>
<th>FY 2023</th>
<th>FY 2024</th>
<th>FY 2025</th>
<th>FY 2026</th>
<th>Total FY 2022 - FY 2026</th>
<th>Total FY 2027 - FY 2031</th>
<th>Total Project Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADA Compliance - FMD GF-000001</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>$1,200</td>
<td>$1,200</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
<td>$2,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Energy Management Systems GF-000021</td>
<td>G</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,500</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
<td>$6,000</td>
<td>$6,000</td>
<td>$7,500</td>
<td>$13,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Sinking Fund - FMD GF-000029</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>$35,622</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$35,622</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carpet Replacement GF-000008</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>$2,500</td>
<td>$4,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical System Upgrades and Replace. GF-000017</td>
<td>G</td>
<td></td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>$2,500</td>
<td>$4,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevator/Escalator Replacement GF-000013</td>
<td>G</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$9,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Building Repairs GF-000008</td>
<td>G</td>
<td></td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>$2,500</td>
<td>$4,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Generator Replacement GF-000012</td>
<td>G</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$9,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Systems Failures 2G08-005-000</td>
<td>G</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$9,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire Alarm System Replacements GF-000009</td>
<td>G</td>
<td></td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>$2,500</td>
<td>$4,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HVAC System Upgrades and Replacement GF-000011</td>
<td>G</td>
<td></td>
<td>$6,500</td>
<td>$6,500</td>
<td>$6,500</td>
<td>$6,500</td>
<td>$26,000</td>
<td>$26,000</td>
<td>$32,500</td>
<td>$58,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Project Cost Summaries
### Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrades
($000's)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>Project Number</th>
<th>Source of Funds</th>
<th>Budgeted or Expended Through FY 2021</th>
<th>Total FY 2022 - FY 2026</th>
<th>Total FY 2027 - FY 2031</th>
<th>Total Project Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MPSTOC County Support for Renewal</td>
<td>2G08-008-000</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>$2,529</td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,529</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPSTOC State Support for Renewal</td>
<td>2G08-007-000</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>$835</td>
<td></td>
<td>$835</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking Lot and Garage Repairs</td>
<td>GF-000041</td>
<td>G, C</td>
<td>$1,200 $1,200 $1,200 $1,200 $4,800 $6,000 $10,800</td>
<td>$4,800 $6,000 $10,800</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roof Repairs and Waterproofing</td>
<td>GF-000010</td>
<td>G, C</td>
<td>$600 $600 $600 $600 $2,400 $3,000 $5,400</td>
<td>$2,400 $3,000 $5,400</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Window Replacement</td>
<td>2G08-006-000</td>
<td>G, C</td>
<td>$200 $200 $200 $200 $800 $1,000 $1,800</td>
<td>$800 $1,000 $1,800</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total: $38,986 $0 $15,300 $15,300 $15,300 $15,300 $61,200 $76,500 $176,686

Notes: Numbers in bold italics represent funded amounts. A "C" in the 'Budgeted or Expended' column denotes a continuing project.

---

**Key:**

- **B** Bonds
- **G** General Fund
- **S** State
- **F** Federal
- **X** Other
- **U** Undetermined
Government Facilities and Programs

Program Description

This section includes renovations and expansions of existing County facilities including, the Judicial Complex, Laurel Hill, the original Mount Vernon High School, and redevelopment plans in North County and the Southeastern portion of the County. This section also includes the County’s annual capital contribution to the Northern Virginia Community College, payments associated with the purchase of the Salona property and other planning initiatives.

Vehicle Services

The Department of Vehicle Services (DVS) has four maintenance facilities and operates 53 County fuel sites: The Jermantown and West Ox facilities are located in the western part of the County, and the Newington and Alban facilities are in the southeastern part. These facilities provide timely, responsive, and efficient vehicle maintenance, repair and road-side services at competitive prices. Future projects may include a DVS maintenance facility in the north/northwestern part of the County and an alternative fueling site for County vehicles.

Laurel Hill

Laurel Hill, once the location of the former District of Columbia Department of Corrections Facility, was purchased by the County in 2002, and includes approximately 2,340 acres of land. Although some land north and south of Silverbrook Road is developed with residential use, most of the Laurel Hill area is under public ownership and is planned for or being developed with passive park, active recreation, public safety uses, and adaptive reuse of historic structures and spaces with a mix of uses. In addition, land has been developed with public facilities such as public schools, public infrastructure such as roadway widening and improvements, and a major greenway trail system.

Several projects are planned or underway for the Laurel Hill area:

- Fairfax County and the Workhouse Arts Foundation continue to work collaboratively to evaluate and implement initiatives to accelerate the adaptive reuse of the historic Workhouse with a mix of uses that complement and enhance the vibrant arts center activities. On January 25, 2020, the Lucy Burns Museum opened to the public marking another milestone in historic structure rehabilitation at the Workhouse Campus.

- Phase I of the transformation of the historic former Reformatory and Penitentiary buildings is complete (now known as “Liberty”), creating a new residential community on the former prison grounds. Phase I opened in spring of 2017 and residential units are occupied. Phase II construction began in October 2018 and is ongoing. It includes the renovation of prison buildings and construction of neighborhood-serving retail, residential, and office uses for the site.

- The County continues to evaluate and maintain historic structures on the site including the Laurel Hill House and the former Physician’s House. The Comprehensive Plan recommends that the Laurel Hill House and its gardens be designated as a heritage resource area within the Countywide Park. The former Physician's House is located on Board property identified for public safety use and plans are under review for construction of the new South County Police Station and Animal Shelter. The Physician's House will be retained as part of the project. The ultimate use and responsibility for both houses is still to be determined.

- The Laurel Hill area contains land designated for public safety and public facility uses. Public safety uses identified include a new co-located Police Station and Animal Shelter. In addition, the Fire Department Urban Search and Rescue training facility at the former Youth Center site
was approved in October 2019. Other public facilities could support the needs of solid waste, water, schools, public safety, or other County needs as identified and approved.

The Fairfax County Park Authority continues to work with several interested user groups to plan, develop and utilize some of the large park areas in Laurel Hill as well as provide capital funded improvements in accordance with the publicly adopted Conceptual Development Plan. These include the following:

- On-going maintenance of hiking/biking trails and the equestrian facility, mowing, and invasive plant removal;
- Implementation of Central Green Phase 2 Area Site and Natural Resource Management Plan. Phase 1 has been completed;
- Building stabilization/repair of historic buildings/houses (including Stempson and Barrett House, Resident Curator locations);
- On-going coordination with Adaptive Re-Use project - Liberty at Laurel Crest;
- On-going work on the conversion of building W-35 adjacent to the Workhouse Arts Center for use as the Archeological and Museum Collections storage facility; and
- Coordination with DPWES for construction of the South County Public Safety/Animal Shelter project.

Other Government Facilities and Programs

Other Government Facilities and Programs include the County’s annual capital contributions to the Northern Virginia Community College, and commitment to the purchase of the Salona conservation easement, as well as planning for several joint venture development projects. Major on-going projects include the concept planning and evaluation of future capital projects such as the site of the Massey Building and Judicial Complex and the Original Mount Vernon High School complex.

1. **Joint Venture Development (Countywide):** $650,000 supports negotiations, development agreements, valuation, due diligence work, studies, and staff time associated with projects that are not yet funded, as well as design support, financial consultation, and real estate development for the evaluation of joint venture/public private partnership project proposals. These projects are highly complex and require a significant amount of concept planning prior to the project’s acceptance and approval for financing.

2. **Judicial Complex Redevelopment (Providence District):** A Master Plan study was completed in 2020 which evaluated the needs, constraints and opportunities on the Judicial Complex site following the demolition of the Massey Building. The Master Plan provides a strategic plan and an urban vision for the redevelopment of the Complex. The project is envisioned to be completed in phases to ensure the coordinated long-term implementation of the redevelopment of the 48 acre site. Public facility priorities include future Criminal Justice, Public Safety and Health & Human Services’ programs, as well as the restoration of the Historic Old Courthouse and grounds. County programs currently in nearby leased spaces, such as the Health Department and Office for Children are being evaluated for future inclusion in the redevelopment plans, and opportunities for public private partnerships will be assessed. Funding in the amount of $300,000 has been allocated to advance the planning and preliminary design of Building One on the Complex. Building One is proposed...
as a mixed-use facility and includes public safety, expanded court services programs, court support services currently located at the Burkholder Administrative Center, and the replacement of the Police Evidence Storage Facility. Future phases include development of Workforce Housing at the Burkholder Administrative Center site, a new Diversion and Community Re-Entry Center, demolition of the two 1950’s Old Courthouse wings and sallyport at the rear of the building and restoration of the affected site area, Old Courthouse building renewal with upgrades and replacement of outdated critical building systems, removal of the employee parking garage and modifications to the public parking garage. Total cost estimates for each phase of the project are still being developed.

3. NOVA Community College Contribution (Countywide): $2,572,937 is included for Fairfax County’s capital contribution to the Northern Virginia Community College (NVCC). FY 2022 funding is based on a rate of $2.25 per capita and represents no change from the FY 2021 Adopted Budget Plan rate. This rate is applied to the population figure provided by the Weldon Cooper Center. Beginning in FY 2021, the NVCC had proposed, and Fairfax County supported, redirecting $0.50 of the capital contribution rate from the capital program to the operational program to support a new skilled workforce initiative. In FY 2022, the entire capital contribution will support the Online Early College High School Initiative with the exception of $0.50 which will support IT exams and certificates to match the needs of regional employers. The Online Early College Program will offer Fairfax County Public School (FCPS) high school seniors the opportunity to enroll in up to two concurrent college-credit courses. Funding for capital construction projects will continue using balances that exist from previous year’s jurisdictional contributions.

4. Original Mount Vernon High School Building Renovation (Mount Vernon District): $86,650,000 is estimated to fully fund the renovation of the existing Mount Vernon High School Building and associated site work. This project includes building studies, redevelopment master planning, design and construction for interim occupancies and the renovations and adaptive reuse of the historic Original Mount Vernon High School facility. The facility was vacated in 2016 at the conclusion of a long-term lease. The building was constructed in 1939 and the programming and design phase of the project is underway for the adaptive reuse potential to provide pathways to opportunity for the community through a wide range of programs and spaces. Immediate occupancy for the use of the gym began in fall 2016. The Fire Marshal and Fairfax County Public Schools have occupied a portion of the building since July 2017, to improve service delivery in the South County area and the Teen/Senior Program from the Gerry Hyland Government Center relocated to the space near the gym in spring 2020. Funding of $650,000 was previously approved, and both EDA bonds and historic tax credits are anticipated to fund the remaining $86 million.

5. Original Mount Vernon High School Site Development (Mount Vernon District): This project includes the redevelopment of the Original Mount Vernon High School site, and the adjacent Park Authority site and facilities. The County solicited development proposals in spring 2017, under the PPEA Guidelines, to develop a Master Plan and evaluated a range of development options with focus on programs to serve a wide range of community needs and opportunities. The initial master planning effort was completed in 2019 and priority was placed on the renovations and adaptive reuse of the historic high school facilities as the first phase of redevelopment. The balance of the site redevelopment will be reviewed at a future time in coordination with the Bus Rapid Transit project on Richmond Highway.
6. **Planning Initiatives (Countywide):** $250,000 has been approved to date to support planning initiatives that arise throughout the fiscal year. This funding will provide for consultant studies associated with planning development projects and potential development opportunities.

7. **Public Facilities in Tysons (Dranesville District):** $3,875,520 has been allocated to public facilities in the Tysons area. This funding is associated with the proceed from the sale of Spring Hill substation land parcels to Dominion Energy, as approved by the Board of Supervisors on September 24, 2019.

8. **Reston Town Center North (RTCN) Library and Community Spaces (Hunter Mill District):** Approximately $39.5 million is proposed for a replacement Reston Regional Library, community space, and common site infrastructure as part of the overall master plan to reconfigure the properties into a grid of streets and provide integrated redevelopment of approximately 50 acres currently owned by Fairfax County and Inova. The plan addresses the development potential consistent with the needs of the community. Development concepts for the proposed County blocks, library location and procurement options including Public Private Partnerships are being reviewed. Funding of $10 million was approved as part of the 2012 Library Bond Referendum for the Library, and Economic Development Authority bond financing is anticipated to fund the remaining $29.5 million required to implement the Library and Community Spaces plan and the share of common site infrastructure.

9. **Reston Town Center North (RTCN) Parking Garage (Hunter Mill District):** Approximately $35 million is estimated to support the design and construction of a parking garage to support the facilities planned at the RTCN complex.

10. **Salona Property Payment (Dranesville District):** $761,003 is included to support the annual payment for the Salona property based on the Board of Supervisors’ approval of the purchase of the conservation easement on September 26, 2005. The total cost of the property is $18.2 million with payments scheduled through FY 2026.

11. **Workhouse Campus Improvements (Mount Vernon District):** $8,000,000 has been identified for improvements at the Workhouse Campus. Funding of $3,000,000 was previously approved from the General Fund and $5,000,000 is anticipated to be supported by EDA bonds. The overarching vision for the 50-acre Campus is to establish a widely recognized destination of choice, providing dynamic and engaging arts, cultural, educational, and community experiences with unique economic development opportunities. The county owned Campus contains numerous historic buildings formerly used to house and rehabilitate inmates. A number of buildings currently in use on the site have been renovated and are being adaptively reused as an arts center. The County is exploring the adaptive reuse potential for the remaining vacant campus buildings and the potential enhancement of the Ox Road Streetscape. Funding has been approved for the historic preservation and renovations for adaptive reuse of two buildings, identified as Workhouse-13 and Workhouse-15.
## Project Cost Summaries

### Government Facilities and Programs

($000's)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>Project Number</th>
<th>Source of Funds</th>
<th>Budgeted or Expended Through FY 2021</th>
<th>FY 2022</th>
<th>FY 2023</th>
<th>FY 2024</th>
<th>FY 2025</th>
<th>FY 2026</th>
<th>Total FY 2022 - FY 2026</th>
<th>Total FY 2027 - FY 2031</th>
<th>Total Project Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Joint Venture Development</td>
<td>G 2G25-085-000</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>$650</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$650</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$650</td>
<td>$650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Judicial Complex Redevelopment</td>
<td>G GF-000066</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
<td>$3,200</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>$34,000</td>
<td>$33,000</td>
<td>$94,200</td>
<td>$125,000</td>
<td>$219,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 NOVA Community College Contribution</td>
<td>G NOVA-000006</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>$2,573</td>
<td>$2,573</td>
<td>$2,573</td>
<td>$2,573</td>
<td>$2,573</td>
<td>$2,573</td>
<td>$12,865</td>
<td>$12,865</td>
<td>$25,730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Original Mount Vernon HS Building Renovation</td>
<td>2G25-102-000</td>
<td>G, X</td>
<td>$12,650</td>
<td>$14,000</td>
<td>$44,000</td>
<td>$28,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$86,000</td>
<td>$98,650</td>
<td>$98,650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Original Mount Vernon HS Site Development</td>
<td>B 2G25-013-000</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Planning Initiatives</td>
<td>G 2G02-025-000</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>$250</td>
<td>$250</td>
<td>$250</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$250</td>
<td>$250</td>
<td>$250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Public Facilities in Tysons</td>
<td>X GF-000062</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>$3,876</td>
<td>$3,876</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$3,876</td>
<td>$3,876</td>
<td>$3,876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 RTCN Library and Community Spaces</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
<td>$13,000</td>
<td>$12,500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$29,500</td>
<td>$29,500</td>
<td>$29,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 RTCN Parking Garage</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$35,000</td>
<td>$35,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Salona Property Payment</td>
<td>G 2G06-001-000</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>$15,001</td>
<td>$761</td>
<td>$734</td>
<td>$707</td>
<td>$680</td>
<td>$329</td>
<td>$3,211</td>
<td>$18,212</td>
<td>$18,212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Workhouse Campus Improvements</td>
<td>G GF-000019</td>
<td>G, X</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$8,000</td>
<td>$8,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$35,727</strong></td>
<td>$26,334</td>
<td>$54,507</td>
<td>$64,280</td>
<td>$49,753</td>
<td>$45,902</td>
<td>$240,776</td>
<td>$162,865</td>
<td>$439,368</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Numbers in bold italics represent funded amounts. A "C" in the ‘Budgeted or Expended’ column denotes a continuing project.

### Key: Source of Funds

- B Bonds
- G General Fund
- S State
- F Federal
- X Other
- U Undetermined
Environmental and Energy Program

This section includes environmental and energy strategy projects and describes the on-going Sustainability Policy for building construction.

The Environment Section of the Policy Plan within the Fairfax County Comprehensive Plan includes the following established objectives:

- Improve the identification and mitigation of environmental impacts, and the monitoring and enforcement of environmental policies as applied to land disturbing activities.
- Design and construct buildings and associated landscapes to use energy and water resources efficiently and to minimize short- and long-term negative impacts on the environment and building occupants.

Source: 2017 Edition of the Fairfax County Comprehensive Plan, Policy Plan - Environment, Amended through 12-3-2019

The Fairfax County Board of Supervisors has set the framework for the county’s environmental initiatives through its vision, goals, policies, and ordinances and has dedicated considerable resources to support implementing these initiatives. The county’s Environmental Vision helps to guide environmental sustainability initiatives, strategies, policies, and programs. Two key principles direct the vision: the conservation of limited natural resources, and a commitment to providing the resources needed to protect the environment. The vision is connected to how the county provides services, and it focuses on seven core service areas: Land Use, Transportation, Water, Waste Management, Parks and Ecological Resources, Climate and Energy, and Environmental Stewardship.

Each year Environmental Improvement Plan (EIP) projects are selected based on a project selection process supported by the Environmental Quality Advisory Council (EQAC), which includes the application of specific project criteria, review of proposals from county agencies, and identification of projects for funding. Some of the programs approved for funding have previously included the Park Authority’s Invasive Management Area (IMA) Program, the Green Purchasing Program, the Watershed Protection and Energy Conservation Matching Grant Program, outreach and education programs, the installation of web-based smart technologies to limit water consumption, and natural landscaping projects.

Environmental Initiatives

The county established two committees to facilitate collaboration and coordination regarding environmental initiatives, the Environmental Coordinating Committee (ECC) and the Energy Efficiency and Conservation Coordinating Committee (EECCC). Both committees develop recommendations on policy and practice related to the environment and energy efficiency. Recognizing that federal and state legislation and administrative proceedings may affect county policies, the ECC and EECCC keep abreast of the status of relevant legislation and administrative proceedings. Both committees also coordinate closely with the county’s Environmental Quality Advisory Council (EQAC), which is an independent, board-appointed advisory committee. EQAC is tasked with reporting the state of the environment in Fairfax County and in recommending a variety of policy and programmatic actions that the board can take in support of the environment.
Operational Energy Strategy

In July 2018, the Board of Supervisors adopted an Operational Energy Strategy. This Energy Strategy is intended to further the objectives of the Board’s Environmental Vision by providing goals, targets, and actions in each of the following 10 focus areas: Energy Use and Efficiency, Water Use and Efficiency, Green Building, Innovative Energy Solutions, Electric Vehicles, Goods and Services, Waste Management, Awareness and Engagement, Utility Cost Management, and Reporting and Collaboration. The Energy Strategy promotes cost effective solutions and an energy-conscious culture for county government agencies and employees. The resulting reductions in energy use will help mitigate escalating energy costs and promote a more sustainable future for Fairfax County.

The Energy Strategy is designed to advance the county’s goal of reducing energy use 20 percent by 2029. This reduction in energy use will help mitigate escalating energy costs and promote a “greener” future for the county. Some of the projects being implemented through the Energy Strategy Program include replacing incandescent or fluorescent lighting with LED lighting, reducing water use at county facilities, installing solar panels at county facilities, and optimizing resource conservation by increasing recycling rates. All of these projects are designed to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, lower utility bills for county buildings and promote an energy-conscious culture within the county’s workplace. The 10-year investment for this goal is approximately $45 million, however, by year seven, savings generated will essentially pay for the projects. The projected annual energy savings are 264 million kBtu and the simple Return on Investment is $82 million over 10 years. The first set of funded projects included lighting retrofits at existing county and park facilities to LED lighting and the conversion of streetlights throughout the county to LED lighting. In addition to saving electricity, the benefits of LED lighting retrofit projects include reductions in greenhouse gas emissions and the need for less frequent lighting maintenance and replacement.

Sustainable Development Policy

In September 2020, the Board of Supervisors adopted an updated green building and sustainable development policy for county facilities. This updated policy is designed to demonstrate an increased commitment to environmental, economic, and social stewardship through sustainable development practices for county facilities and buildings. The policy also provides a framework within which to yield cost savings to county taxpayers through reduced operating costs; to provide healthy work environments for county employees and visitors to county facilities; to protect, conserve and enhance the region’s environmental resources; and to help establish a community standard of sustainable development for Fairfax County. Since the adoption of the original policy in 2008, thirty-three county buildings have been designed and constructed under the sustainable development policy, and the LEED® program of the U.S. Green Building Council. Of these 33 buildings, 15 are certified as LEED Gold buildings, with the remaining 18 certified as LEED Silver, all meeting or exceeding the prior policy goal of LEED Silver.

The Policy update establishes a new baseline of Gold Certification under the United States Green Building Council’s (USGBC) Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) program with a plan for transition to Net Zero Energy (NZE). The Policy update also establishes minimum energy performance improvement levels and associated reductions in fossil fuel consumption and Greenhouse Gas (GHG) emissions for capital building projects. Other goals include:

- Achieve LEED Gold Certification.
- Include solar and Electric Vehicle (EV) readiness.
- Provide an on-site renewable energy generation component, as practicable, with off-site renewable energy generation as a supplement.
• Achieve a minimum 30 percent energy performance improvement for new construction, and a 25 percent energy performance improvement for major renovations.
• Achieve a reduction in GHG emissions of 32 percent for new construction, and 24 percent for major renovation.

In addition, the updated Policy provides for incremental strengthening of the energy performance improvement criteria and the reduction in GHG emissions in future years, with a target of achieving Net Zero Energy (NZE) eligibility by FY 2031, at the latest.

This policy directs appropriate departments to incorporate the use of the Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) rating system into the design, construction, renovation, and operation of county facilities and buildings. New facility construction, additions, and renovations with an occupied area greater than 10,000 square feet shall be designed and built under the LEED program, shall be guided by a LEED Accredited Professional, and shall strive to achieve LEED certification of at least the Gold rating level under the most recent version of the LEED rating system, and achieve energy performance goals. Design and project management teams are encouraged to meet LEED ratings beyond the Gold level, if practicable.

The updated Policy also incorporates a commitment to an ongoing program for monitoring and analysis of actual building energy performance data; identifying existing buildings as priority candidates for systems recommissioning; recommissioning of priority buildings with initial focus on buildings previously certified by LEED; and for more proactive management of building systems and controls.

Sustainability Rating Systems
LEED provides a holistic approach to sustainability. Some of the key benefits of LEED include:

• **Financial**: Lower operating costs, lower life cycle costs, and increased building value.
• **Environmental**: Greenhouse gas emission reductions, energy and water savings, waste diversion from landfill, conservation of natural resources, air and water quality improvement, biodiversity and ecosystem protection.
• **Social/Cognitive**: Increased cognitive function, focused and applied activity level, crisis response, increased user productivity and satisfaction, public relations and community benefits.

In addition, the county tracks infrastructure projects under the Envision Rating System for sustainable practices. The Huntington Levee project received Bronze Certification, and the Disinfection System Replacement project received Gold Certification under Envision. County garages follow the Parksmart Sustainability Rating System.

Sustainable Strategies for Capital Projects
Early in the design phase, the county addresses energy and explores cost-effective opportunities to reduce energy consumption and greenhouse gas emissions in four holistic interconnected elements: Energy Demand, Energy Efficiency, Renewable Energy and Ongoing Energy Performance.
• **Energy Demand** – County strategies that are utilized to reduce the building’s energy demand include the following:

  o **Design and Energy Goals**: Set targets to establish building performance indicators.

  o **Size the Building Appropriately**: The building serves its function and reduces unproductive energy demand.

  o **Utilize Free Energy**: Orient the building to reduce the building envelope losses and gains, utilize natural ventilation, solar and daylighting.

  o **Building Envelope**: Design buildings with a well-designed envelope assembly that reduces heating or cooling losses through the thermal envelope, thereby reducing energy demand. Furthermore, the building envelope performance for some county projects may include Envelope Commissioning which verifies that the performance meets the design requirements.

  o **Monitor Building Energy Consumption**: Buildings are provided with advanced energy metering via the building automation and energy management system(s) to capture real-time performance data.

• **Energy Efficiency** – The efforts to reduce building energy demand provide the foundation for energy efficiency within the supporting systems and end users. County strategies to achieve energy efficiency improvements include the following:

  o **Utilize Passive Design Opportunities**: The use of natural resources from sun and wind to heat, cool and illuminate a building without additional energy.

  o **Install High Performance Building Systems**: During the design, decisions are made to invest in energy efficient technologies. To date, such systems have been implemented in county buildings as follows: Variable Air Volume (VAV) HVAC Systems with Control Strategies, Variable Refrigerant Flow (VRF) HVAC Systems, Energy Recovery Systems, Geothermal Systems, Indoor and Outdoor LED lighting systems and Lighting controls (Systems that actively track building occupancy lighting needs and harvest nature daylighting to reduce lighting fixture demand and energy consumption via sensors and can communicate to central lighting controls.) Recent projects that have incorporated high efficiency VRF systems are Lewinsville Center and Woodrow Wilson Library. Advanced lighting control systems have been installed in Tysons Pimmit Library and Public Safety Headquarters.

  o **Specify High Efficiency Appliances**: All county appliances are specified as Energy Star Certified.

  o **Utilize Energy Simulation**: Energy Modeling software is used during the design to determine and prioritize energy efficiency measures and system types.

  o **Monitor and Verify Building Performance**: Commissioning and or Enhanced Commissioning is a project requirement for the county which provides an independent
authority to verify the operation of all systems meets the design, installation requirements, and future operation.

- **Renewable Energy** – Efforts to reduce demand and increase efficiency to meet the building’s energy needs.
  - All projects are analyzed early in design to determine the feasibility of solar photovoltaic systems.
  - The county has awarded contracts to vendors for solar Power Purchase Agreement (PPA) services and is working with the vendors on the implementation and O&M phases.
  - A solar photovoltaic (PV) system was installed on the roof of the Stringfellow Park and Ride facility. There are nine buildings currently in design with infrastructure for PV systems.
  - Infrastructure for EV Charging Stations is being phased into the building design which will provide stations for building occupants, and visitors.

- **Ongoing Energy Performance/Post Occupancy** – To maintain all efforts made during design and construction, it is critical to be mindful of the post occupancy activities that maintain energy performance targets.
  - **Continuous and Retro Commissioning:** Continues to confirm the building is performing as designed or to support future occupancy changes by the end user.
  - **Provide Staff Training:** O&M personnel are trained properly on new building system technologies.
  - **Create Incentives for Occupants:** Promote energy efficient strategies with occupants.

**Water Conservation**
The conservation and creative reuse of water are important in addressing overall water use reduction in buildings and on sites. The following strategies are evaluated early in the design process:

- **Plumbing Fixtures:** Fixtures such as showerheads, toilets and faucets are specified as low flow since they utilize low gallons per minute of water. The low flow requirement has been incorporated in the design guidelines for all current and future projects. Some recently completed projects with low flow fixtures are Lewinsville Center, Tysons Pimmit Library, John Marshall Library, McLean Community Center and Reston Police Station and Governmental Center.

- **Rainwater Harvesting:** Storage tanks or cisterns can hold rainwater that can be utilized for non-potable uses, such as irrigation. The feasibility of incorporating a rainwater harvesting system is evaluated during the design of new construction projects.

- **Water Re-Use:** Strategies to reuse wastewater for non-potable uses will be evaluated for new construction projects that are in early design.
Environmental and Energy Program

- **Natural Landscaping:** New construction projects are designed to follow the county's natural landscaping policy, with a goal to minimize resource consumption, reduce stormwater runoff, increase the habitat value of the site and increase soil and plant health. A few projects that currently incorporate natural landscaping are Lorton Community Center and Library, Sully Community Center, Lorton Fire Station and the Stormwater Wastewater facility.

- **Irrigation:** The use of natural landscaping and native species reduces the need for irrigation, thereby reducing outdoor water usage. Exterior hose bibs are provided at strategic places around the building in lieu of an automatic irrigation system, in all new construction projects.

- **Green Roofs:** An early feasibility study of the use of green roofs is included in each project scope. Green roofs have been installed at the West Ox Bus Operations Center, Merrifield Center, Dolley Madison Library, Great Falls Volunteer Fire Station, Providence Community Center, Herndon Fire Station and the Public Safety Headquarters. Green roofs minimize heating and air conditioning costs, in addition to reducing stormwater runoff.

**Sustainable Sites**
Focusing on sustainable strategies is key to maintaining the environment surrounding the building, vital relationships among buildings and ecosystems, restoring project site elements, integrating the site with local and regional ecosystems and preserving the biodiversity that natural systems rely on.

- **Parking Reduction and Public Transportation:** Reducing the parking footprint can minimize the environmental harms associated with impervious areas, including automobile dependence, land consumption and rainwater runoff. Location and available alternate transportation options are evaluated for each project site during design. Potential reduction in parking requirements are developed, depending on user needs. Parking reduction was recently sought and approved for the Lorton Community Center and Library project.

- **Low Impact Development (LID):** Green Infrastructure and LID reduce rainwater runoff volume and improve water quality by replicating the natural hydrology and water balance of the site. Approaches and techniques for LID involve minimizing disturbed areas on the site, limiting the amount of impervious cover on the site and infiltrating, filtering, storing, evaporating or detaining rainwater runoff at or close to its source. Strategies for stormwater management including bioswales, dry ponds and infiltration trenches, and going above and beyond minimum code requirements, are analyzed early in the design process. Recent examples include Sully Community Center, the Stormwater Wastewater facility, and the South County Police Station and Animal Shelter.

**Indoor Environmental Quality**
Green buildings with good indoor environmental quality promote the health and comfort of building occupants. High quality indoor environments also enhance productivity, decrease absenteeism and increase the building value.

- **Low VOC:** Design guidelines for all projects require use of products that have low volatile organic compounds.

- **Green Cleaning:** Green cleaning products and procedures are practiced, minimizing negative impact and protecting the health of employees.
Daylighting: All new projects strive to optimize natural daylighting strategies to enhance the indoor lighting quality.

Materials and Resources and Waste Management
Sustainably produced materials and waste reduction, reuse and recycling strategies help in minimizing embodied energy and impacts associated with the extraction, processing, transport, maintenance, and disposal of building materials. Project requirements emphasize the use of locally produced materials and staff must develop and implement a construction and demolition waste management plan with a goal to reduce waste disposed of in landfills and incineration facilities by recovering, reusing and recycling materials.

Innovation in Design
Other sustainable strategies employed on projects include options such as bird friendly design, involving articulation of facades and a combination of opaque and transparent materials to reduce bird collisions.

The county's green building policy is intended to reduce the consumption of non-renewable resources, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, minimize waste, and foster healthy, productive environments. Some examples of recently completed CIP projects that have achieved many of the green building policy objectives include:

- **Public Safety Headquarters (PSHQ):** Achieved LEED Gold certification. The PSHQ accommodates both Police and Fire and Rescue Department administrative staff to maximize shared resources among first responder agencies that often work together in the field. This building uses LED lighting throughout. Other sustainable design strategies include: Green roofs, permeable pavements and stormwater management features that work together to slow down, filter, absorb and purify rain water as it leaves the site, a 25,000-gallon tank that harvests water runoff and reuses it for on-site irrigation, daylight harvesting sensors that take advantage of natural lighting and adjust indoor light levels depending on the amount of sunlight available, use of low-flow plumbing fixtures and recycled materials, and the use of low VOC emitting materials to improve interior air quality.

- **Lewinsville Redevelopment Project:** Achieved LEED Silver certification. The project provides services to community members of varying generations that include a Senior Center, Adult Day Health Care Center and two Childcare providers. The building provides a comfortable balance of spacious design and flexible multi-purpose spaces for seniors and school age children. LED lighting along with occupancy sensors are provided to reduce energy consumption. Solar tubes provide natural lighting to the common lounge area while daylight harvesting sensors adjust indoor light levels in the dining areas and multi-purpose rooms. Other sustainable design strategies include: an energy efficient VRF mechanical system, recycled building materials and regionally sourced materials, use of low flow plumbing fixtures, low VOC emitting interior finishes to improve indoor air quality for the occupants, and use of native planting species for landscaping to eliminate the need for irrigation.
Environmental and Energy Program

Current Project Descriptions

1. **Community-wide Energy and Climate Action Plan (CECAP) (Countywide):** $750,000 has been approved to date, to support the development of phases I and II of the Community-Wide Energy and Climate Action Plan (CECAP). This funding will provide for consulting services for technical analysis and plan development as well as outreach materials. The consulting services will support community outreach and engagement, including facilitating meetings and developing an interactive, online web presence. The community-wide greenhouse gas inventory will be updated, climate mitigation actions tied to inventory and community priorities will be developed, and a long-form technical report, summary documents and online resources will be produced.

2. **Community – EAF (Countywide):** $525,000 has been approved to date for the Energy Action Fairfax (EAF) residential energy education and outreach program, including EAF’s LED Light Bulb Exchange Program. In the spring of 2018, EAF hosted seven LED Lightbulb Exchanges throughout the County in conjunction with the Fairfax County Public Library system. The LEDs that EAF distributed in 2018 were 60W equivalent, warm white and ENERGY STAR® certified. These LEDs use 85 percent less energy than a comparable incandescent bulb and 33 percent less energy than a compact fluorescent light (CFL). In total, 8,000 LEDs were distributed in 2018 to approximately 1,600 people at seven events. Based on an average home’s lighting consumption, use of these LEDs will result in annual avoidance of nearly 200,000 kilowatt hours (kWh) and energy savings of $22,000. The avoided electricity use equates to approximately 280,000 pounds of carbon dioxide not being released into the atmosphere – about the same as taking 28 passenger vehicles off the road.

3. **Community - HomeWise Outreach Program (Countywide):** $184,000 for the HomeWise energy education and outreach program. HomeWise is intended to educate, empower, and enable low- and moderate-income residents to lower their utility bills by reducing their energy and water use. The program emphasizes relationship-building between qualified volunteers and specific communities in the County where energy-efficiency improvements and changes to daily behaviors are likely to have the greatest impact. The program also includes an educational component focused on school-age children to help them make smart choices about their resource use starting at a young age. FY 2022 funding of $88,000 has been included for this program.

4. **Community - NVSWCD Intern Program (Countywide):** This is an annual project that supports the award-winning spring outreach programs. These programs reach thousands of people and have a deep impact on many youth and adults. Programs supported by spring interns include classroom presentations, outdoor learning experiences, outreach events and festivals, high school Envirothon competitions, rain barrel workshops, seedling sales, high school science fair project judging, stream monitoring, Enviroscape trainings, storm drain marking, the Sustainable Garden Tour and more.
5. **EIP - DPMM - Green Intern (Countywide):** $25,000 to support limited term staff to assist in developing environmental initiatives applicable to the county’s procurement process. Fairfax County maintains an electronic Contract Register of over 2,000 active contracts and emphasizing environmental attributes such as recycling, energy efficiency, durability and reduced toxicity during the procurement process can contribute to the purchase of green products, creating fiscal and environmental savings. The intern will also pursue Zero Waste Certification for the Springfield Logistics Center. The certification process serves as a foundational element from which facilities can achieve cost avoidance, support sustainable initiatives, and improve material life cycles. If certified, Fairfax County would become one of the first jurisdictions with a certified public facility. FY 2022 funding of $15,000 has been included for this program.

6. **EIP - DPMM - Supply Chain GHG Emissions (Countywide):** $50,000 will support an analysis of the greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions related to the County’s purchasing expenditures, or “spend.” This expenditure is about $1 billion annually and spans hundreds of sectors and thousands of suppliers. Using spend data from FY 2021, this project will develop a detailed understanding of the environmental impacts of the County’s supply chain and inform staff as it develops programs and policies to improve the County’s procurement-related environmental footprint. Department of Purchasing and Material Management staff expect that, once measured, the County’s supply chain impacts will present a number of opportunities for climate impact reductions that can improve the environment as well as the community’s health and well-being. FY 2022 funding of $50,000 has been included for this program.

7. **EIP - DVS - Pollinator Meadow (Countywide):** $45,515 has been previously approved for the conversion of gravel surfaces to pollinator meadows. The funding supports Phase II of the DVS Pollinator Meadow at the Alban Road maintenance facility, a 5.5-acre site within the lower Accotink Creek watershed. This second phase will convert a 16,000 square foot area of highly compacted gravel surface to a pollinator meadow, thereby reducing stormwater run-off, sediment and other pollutants while extending the native habitat for birds and other pollinators. Phase I of this project received funding in FY 2018.

8. **EIP - DVS - Water Fountains (Countywide):** $36,400 will support the purchase and installation of four water-bottle filling stations at convenient locations within the Newington and West Ox vehicle maintenance facilities. A water bottle filling station is a hands-free way of filling a refillable bottle with tap water, ensuring that both employees and customers awaiting repairs have a healthy hydration option. The bottle-filling stations will replace older drinking fountains that are not being used due to health concerns or because they have fallen into disrepair. It is anticipated that the stations will reduce waste by reducing or eliminating the need for staff and customers to bring their own water and soda bottles, most of which are disposable and end up in the trash. The water bottle-filling stations are expected to include a ticker that will allow staff to track the number of disposable bottles saved by using the filling station. FY 2022 funding of $36,400 has been included for this project.

9. **EIP – FCPA - Bike to Parks Pilot (Countywide):** $60,000 has been approved to date for the “Bike to Parks” pilot program. This program will provide for the installation of bike racks in recreational areas, promoting biking as a safe and reliable transportation choice for recreational destinations. The Park Authority will add 60 bike racks in approximately 15 parks and RECenters that are near Countywide trails in two high density revitalization areas, Annandale and Richmond Highway. In addition to the bike racks installation at the
collaboratively identified locations, this project will include public outreach, and targeted improvements such as adding bike lanes and connections at appropriate locations and adding signage and wayfinding systems from major regional trails to the bicycle parking locations at park entrances.

10. **EIP - FCPA - IMA Program (Countywide):** This is a continuing program managed by the Park Authority to provide for the removal of invasive plants from park properties. The program is volunteer supported and helps to restore hundreds of acres of important natural areas and protect tree canopies. More than 22,000 trained volunteer leaders have contributed 80,000 hours of service since the program’s inception in 2005, improving over 1,000 acres of parkland. This funding will support the ecological integrity of additional natural areas and prevent further degradation of their native communities. Funding of $300,000 is included in FY 2022 for the IMA.

11. **EIP - FCPA - Magnolia Bog Restoration (Mason District):** $86,000 will support 1.25 acres of wetland restoration at Green Springs Garden, specifically the restoration of a magnolia bog. This type of bog is a rare geologic feature known to occur only in Virginia, Maryland, and the District. The magnolia bog at Green Springs Garden is one of only 11 known occurrences in Virginia, making its restoration and preservation of particular significance. The bog is located adjacent to a pedestrian trail at Green Springs Garden, offering unique interpretative and educational opportunities for visitors. Restoration activities will include the design and installation of a rock structure to stabilize soil and protect the bog’s hydrologic integrity, as well as the removal of non-native invasive plants and installation of native plants. Project partners will include Friends of Green Springs, Earth Sangha, and the Virginia Native Plant Society. Funding of $86,000 is included in FY 2022 for the restoration.

12. **EIP - FCPA - Meadow Restorations (Countywide):** $319,460 will support the restoration of meadows. The restorations will establish native plant diversity and provide support to pollinators and native birds by removing non-native invasive plants, remedying prior inappropriate management choices, and improving habitat. Funding of $75,160 is included in FY 2022 to fund the restoration of three acres of meadows at Lake Fairfax and one-half acre at Green Springs Garden.

13. **EIP - FCPA - Pool UV Replacement (Countywide):** $46,400 will provide for the installation of an Advanced Oxidation Process (AOP) treatment system at a Park Authority pool to replace the existing ultraviolet (UV) water treatment system. An AOP treatment system improves air quality while yielding both electricity and chlorine cost savings. An AOP unit is more efficient than a UV unit, which reduces the chlorine demand for the pool system, thereby reducing patron and lifeguard exposure when using or maintaining the pool. The improved air quality, which is the primary benefit of an AOP treatment system, is expected to reduce complaints from pool patrons and frequent users such as swim teams. AOP treatment systems require periodic cartridge replacement, but the cartridge replacement costs are offset by cost savings associated with reduced electricity and chlorine consumption. Funding of $46,400 is included in FY 2022 for this project.
14. EIP - FCPA - Solar Panels Support (Countywide): $50,000 has been allocated to date to provide support for the installation of solar panels at both County and Park Authority facilities.

15. EIP - FCPA - Sully Woodlands Center (Sully District): $250,000 has been previously approved to support energy efficiency and renewable energy systems at the Sully Woodlands Stewardship Education Center. The Stewardship Education Center will be an indoor/outdoor, state-of-the-art interpretive center, providing educational and visitor services in environmental stewardship, natural and cultural resource management activities, and land management of the Sully Woodlands region. A major goal of the program is to achieve net positive energy usage, meaning the facility must produce more energy than it uses. The building will be used as a demonstration tool educating the public about sustainable features used on the facility that could also be used at their homes.

16. EIP - FCPA - Watch the Green Grow (Countywide): $41,500 has been previously approved for the Watch the Green Grow pilot program. This is an outreach and education program with the overarching goal of creating buffers surrounding natural areas by encouraging green behaviors on private property. The outcome will be a web map “snapshot” of stewardship activities of an informed citizenry that actively and voluntarily engages in behaviors that protect and enhance Fairfax County’s natural areas and wildlife corridors. This project is designed as a public education project to increase residents’ awareness of the value of public green spaces (especially wildlife corridors) and lead them to adopt small but important stewardship behaviors that will help buffer these places from urbanization stressors like invasive plants.

17. EIP - FCPA - Water Smart Controls (Countywide): $138,000 has been previously approved for the installation of water smart web-based irrigation controllers at Green Spring Gardens. More than 30 acres are watered at Green Spring Gardens using 400 feet of hose and oscillating sprinklers. The current practice leads to an excess of inefficiencies. The new irrigation controller uses local weather data to automatically adjust watering times and saves water consumption by watering only when needed. The manufacturer estimates that smart irrigation technology can save 40 percent on water consumption.

18. EIP - FEEE - Composting Pilot (Countywide): $92,800 will support the County two County composting projects. One project supports a Composting Pilot Program at Fairfax County government offices, managed by an employee volunteer group. Each department participating in the pilot will receive a compost bin to place in its office kitchenette. On a weekly basis, a private composting company will remove the bins for off-site composting and provide clean bins. A second project supports a pilot composting program that is being developed by DPWES’s Solid Waste Management Program (SWMP). SWMP plans to implement a pilot drop-off program for residential food scraps, with initial drop-off locations near the existing residential recycling drop-off centers at the I-66 Transfer Station and the I-95 Landfill Complex. The drop-off composting sites will include an enclosure that can hold up to 12 64-gallon carts, to be serviced up to three times per week by the selected contractor(s). SWMP efforts to help educate residents about the new program will include the creation and distribution of fact sheets, the development of an instructional video, and community presentations. FY 2022 funding of $80,800 has been included for these two composting projects.
19. **EIP - FMD - Natural Landscaping (Countywide):** $335,000 will provide for a multi-phase, multi-year demonstration project that reimagines the Government Center grounds while creating inviting, comfortable and aesthetically pleasing outdoor spaces with ample shade and a unifying plant palette. An amount of $130,000 is included in FY 2022 for Phase II of a natural landscaping initiative at the Government Center.

20. **EIP - NCS - Permeable Athletic Courts (Mason District):** $156,000 has been approved to support the installation of a permeable basketball court at the Baileys Community Center. The outdoor basketball court is regularly used by Head Start students and community members. Replacing the existing worn and cracked court surface with a permeable asphalt surface will support stormwater planning goals, while also establishing an educational resource about watersheds and stormwater planning. The funding supports all costs related to the court replacement, including the installation of the permeable surface and equipment for a full basketball court.

21. **EIP - NVSWCD CAP Program (Countywide):** This is a continuing program, managed by the Northern Virginia Soil and Water Conservation District (NVSWCD). The Watershed Protection and Energy Conservation Matching Grant Program, or “Conservation Assistance Program,” is intended to support energy education, and outreach initiatives and promote community engagement regarding sustainability and conservation issues. The program provides financial incentives to empower civic associations, places of worship and homeowners, through their associations, to implement on-the-ground sustainability projects. The initiative builds on current programs that provide technical assistance, hands-on support, outreach and education to Fairfax County homeowners and residents. Projects will improve water quality, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and conserve energy and water. The funding provides support for materials and printing, matching grants, outreach and education, site assessments, and inspections. An amount of $75,000 is included for this initiative in FY 2022.

22. **Energy - FCPA - Historic Houses (Countywide):** $127,500 will provide for efficiency improvements at selected vacant historic houses maintained by Parks. These historic houses are among the 30 properties being considered for the Resident Curator Program. Under this program, a resident curator assumes responsibility for building rehabilitation in exchange for the right to occupy the property. HVAC inefficiencies and building envelope issues in these houses lead to excessive utility bills and increased maintenance needs while the houses remain unoccupied; they also discourage potential curators from program participation. Making energy improvements in these houses prior to inclusion in the Resident Curator Program addresses both issues. Energy improvements include adding insulation to crawlspace and attics, adding weather-stripping and interior storm windows, and upgrading HVAC systems and controls. FY 2022 funding of $127,500 has been included for this project.

23. **Energy - FCPA - Lighting (Countywide):** $1,147,856 has been approved for lighting retrofits and upgrades at Fairfax County Park Authority facilities for energy efficiency and conservation. Lighting will be upgraded to LED fixtures and lighting controls will be installed to manage operating hours more efficiently. These energy saving retrofits will reduce approximately 80 percent of energy usage, improve lighting, reduce greenhouse gas emissions and contribute to the dark sky’s initiative.
24. Energy - FCPA - Unstaffed HVAC (Countywide): $45,000 has been approved for the purchase and installation of HVAC controls at park facilities that are not staffed. These controls will prevent heaters and ventilation fans from working at the same time, will establish set points for heating and cooling, and will prevent the public and unauthorized employees from adjusting the settings. In FY 2019, a pilot installation of HVAC controls at Poplar Tree Park resulted in electricity use dropping by approximately 25 percent in the five-month period following installation.

25. Energy Strategy - EV Stations (Countywide): $1,646,000 will support the Board’s updated Environmental Vision, adopted in 2017, which includes objectives intended to reduce both the county’s operational use of energy from fossil fuel sources and the greenhouse gas emissions associated with that energy use. It also supports the Operational Energy Strategy, which envisions transitioning from gasoline-powered passenger vehicles to hybrid-electric and electric vehicles. Consistent with the Board’s policy and strategic direction, in 2020 the county awarded a contract for the purchase of Level II commercial electric vehicle charging stations (EVCS) and software that allows the Department of Vehicle Services to manage usage, set rates, receive payment, bill county fleet drivers for electricity usage, and run sustainability reports. An amount of $146,192 is included in FY 2022 to support EVCS program.

26. OES- FCG - Energy Contracts (ESCO) (Countywide): $2,363,823 has been approved to support a pilot ESCO contract at select county facilities. ESCOs offer comprehensive energy saving solutions by performing building assessments, identifying energy saving upgrades, estimating potential savings, implementing the upgrades, and verifying the savings.

27. OES - FCG - FMD Retrofits (Countywide): $8,985,000 has been approved to date to support cost-effective, energy-efficient, innovative technologies at county facilities. A reduction in energy use will help mitigate escalating energy costs and promote a “greener” future for the county. Some of the projects identified to date include replacing incandescent or fluorescent lighting with LED lighting, reducing water use at county facilities, installing solar panels at county facilities and optimizing resource conservation by increasing recycling rates. All of these projects are designed to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, lower utility bills for county buildings and promote an energy-conscious culture within the county’s workplace. The Board of Supervisors endorsed the goal of reducing energy use 20 percent in the county by 2029. The 10-year investment for this goal is approximately $45 million, however, by year seven, savings generated by the investment will essentially pay for the projects. The annual energy savings are 264 million kBtu and the simple Return on Investment is $82 million over 10 years. Annual funding for this program is typically included at year end. An amount of $42,315 is included in FY 2022 to fund a pilot Energy Efficient Replacement Fund. This fund will assist County departments in purchasing more efficient appliances and equipment when there is a cost premium, and they cannot afford to choose the most efficient option.

28. OES - FCG - LED Streetlights (Countywide): $9,000,000 is estimated to support the five-year LED streetlight conversion plan. The goal of the plan is to convert more than 56,000 existing mercury vapor, high pressure sodium and metal halide fixtures to Light Emitting Diodes (LED) streetlights. The new LED streetlights are “Smart City Capable” with features being incorporated through added hardware and software upgrades. This cost of the conversion plan is expected to be partially offset by projected savings in utility costs. It is anticipated that after conversion is completed on all streetlights, approximately $1.4 million...
in savings will be realized annually. In addition, conversion of these streetlights will remove 32.4 million pounds of carbon dioxide equivalent emissions annually. Finally, conversion will result in reduced maintenance costs given the longer life of LED lighting, result in higher quality lighting, and allow for dimming and automated outage reporting once smart technologies are implemented. Annual funding for this program is typically included at year end.

29. OES - FCPA - Lighting and Retrofits (Countywide): $2,150,800 has been approved to date to support the Board of Supervisor’s Energy Strategy by implementing cost-effective, energy-efficient, innovative technologies, at park facilities. This project represents the Park Authority portion of the Board of Supervisors endorsed goal of reducing energy use 20 percent in the county by 2029. The 10-year investment for this goal is approximately $45 million, however, by year seven, savings generated by the investment will essentially pay for the projects. Annual funding for this program is typically included at year end.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>Project Number</th>
<th>Source of Funds</th>
<th>Budgeted or Expended Through FY 2021</th>
<th>FY 2022</th>
<th>FY 2023</th>
<th>FY 2024</th>
<th>FY 2025</th>
<th>FY 2026</th>
<th>Total FY 2022 - FY 2026</th>
<th>Total FY 2027 - FY 2031</th>
<th>Total Project Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Community - CECAP</td>
<td>2G02-033-000</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>$750</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community - EAF</td>
<td>2G02-030-000</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>$525</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community - HomeWise Outreach Program</td>
<td>GF-000057</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>$96</td>
<td>$88</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community - NVSWCD Intern Program</td>
<td>2G02-031-000</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>$7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIP - DPMM - Green Intern</td>
<td>2G02-028-000</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>$10</td>
<td>$15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIP - DPMM - Supply Chain GHG Emissions</td>
<td>2G02-037-000</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIP - DVS - Pollinator Meadow</td>
<td>GF-000060</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>$46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIP - DVS - Water Fountains</td>
<td>GF-000067</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIP – FCPA - Bike to Parks Pilot</td>
<td>PR-000140</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>$60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIP - FCPA - IMA Program</td>
<td>2G51-046-000</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIP - FCPA - Magnolia Bog Restoration</td>
<td>PR-000130</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>86</td>
<td>86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIP - FCPA - Meadow Restorations</td>
<td>PR-000131</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>$244</td>
<td>$75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>75</td>
<td>319</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIP - FCPA - Pool UV Replacement</td>
<td>PR-000143</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>46</td>
<td>46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIP - FCPA - Solar Panels Support</td>
<td>2G51-047-000</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>$50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIP - FCPA - Sully Woodlands Center</td>
<td>PR-000139</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>$250</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>250</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIP - FCPA - Watch the Green Grow</td>
<td>2G51-045-000</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>$42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIP - FCPA - Water Smart Controls</td>
<td>PR-000138</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>$138</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Project Cost Summaries

## Environmental and Energy Programs

($000's)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>Project Number</th>
<th>Source of Funds</th>
<th>Budgeted or Expended Through FY 2021</th>
<th>FY 2022</th>
<th>FY 2023</th>
<th>FY 2024</th>
<th>FY 2025</th>
<th>FY 2026</th>
<th>Total FY 2022 - FY 2026</th>
<th>Total FY 2027 - FY 2031</th>
<th>Total Project Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EIP - FEEE - Composting Pilot</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>$12</td>
<td>$81</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$81</td>
<td>$93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GF-000058</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIP - FMD - Natural Landscaping</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>$205</td>
<td>$130</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$130</td>
<td>$335</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GF-000059</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIP - NCS - Permeable Athletic Courts</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>$156</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$156</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GF-000059</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIP - NVSWCD CAP Program</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>G</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$75</td>
<td>$75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GF-000059</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy - FCPA - Historic Houses</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>G</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$128</td>
<td>$128</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR-000128</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy - FCPA - Lighting</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>$1,148</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR-000135</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy - FCPA - Unstaffed HVAC</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>$45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR-000129</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Strategy - EV Stations</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$146</td>
<td>$1,646</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GF-000063</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OES-FCG - Energy Contracts (ESCO)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>$2,364</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$2,364</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2G02-035-000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OES - FCG - FMD Retrofits</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>$8,985</td>
<td>$42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$12,042</td>
<td>$12,000</td>
<td>$33,027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GF-000064</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OES - FCG - LED Streetlights</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>$3,600</td>
<td>$1,800</td>
<td>$1,800</td>
<td>$1,800</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$5,400</td>
<td>$9,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GF-000065</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OES - FCPA - Lighting and Retrofits</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>$2,151</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
<td>$7,500</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>$12,651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR-000136</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$22,384</td>
<td>$4,598</td>
<td>$6,600</td>
<td>$6,600</td>
<td>$4,800</td>
<td>$4,800</td>
<td>$27,398</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Numbers in bold italics represent funded amounts. A "C" in the 'Budgeted or Expended' column denotes a continuing project.

**Key:** Source of Funds

- **B** Bonds
- **G** General Fund
- **S** State
- **F** Federal
- **X** Other
- **U** Undetermined
Utility Services

Solid Waste Goals

- To provide efficient and economical refuse collection, recycling and disposal services.
- To provide facilities for the sanitary, efficient and economical reception and transportation of solid waste generated in Fairfax County.
- To reduce the volume of solid waste stream through the implementation of recycling and waste reduction programs.
- To provide for the operation of sanitary waste disposal facilities, utilizing the most economically viable and environmentally acceptable methods available.

Sanitary Sewers Goals

- To provide treatment facilities that meet applicable effluent discharge standards in the most cost-effective manner possible.
- To provide a system of conveyance and treatment facilities that is responsive to the development goals of the adopted Comprehensive Plan.
- To carry out the necessary renovation and improvements that will permit the entire system to function at a high level of efficiency.

Stormwater Management Goals

- To provide a system of drainage facilities that prevents or minimizes property damage, traffic disruption and stream degradation in an efficient, cost-effective and environmentally sound manner.

Water Supply Goals

- To provide the facilities to treat, transmit, and distribute a safe and adequate water supply.
The Solid Waste Management Program provides refuse collection, recycling, and disposal services for County businesses and residents.

Fairfax County's Comprehensive Plan Policy Plan Public Facilities Solid Waste and Recycling Section includes the following established objectives:

- Provide conveniently located solid waste management facilities and operations, while ensuring that these facilities area compatible with adjacent land uses.
- Provide an efficient, cost effective, and environmentally sound, comprehensive solid waste management system that meets the current and future needs of the county.
- Provide a waste reduction and recycling program readily available to all, that meets the current and future needs of the County.

Source: 2017 Edition of the Fairfax County Comprehensive Plan- Public Facilities, Amended through 4-9-2019

The County Solid Waste Management Program operates several facilities: The Solid Waste Transfer Station at the I-66 Solid Waste Management Complex on West Ox Road, the I-95 Solid Waste Management Complex in Lorton and the Newington Collection Operations Facility.

Both County and private hauler collection vehicles deposit their waste at the I-66 Solid Waste Transfer Station where it is consolidated into tractor trailers for transfer to the Covanta Fairfax Inc. (CFI) facility in Lorton and other disposal facilities such as the Prince William County Landfill. The I-66 facility also includes a closed municipal landfill and a recycling and disposal center for County residents and businesses.

The I-95 Solid Waste Management Complex contains a functioning ash landfill, a closed municipal waste landfill, and a recycling and disposal center providing services to residents and businesses. The I-95 Ash Landfill is used for the disposal of ash generated by Covanta’s waste to energy facilities at the I-95 Landfill and in Alexandria and Arlington. Additionally, ash generated from the combustion of biosolids (sewage sludge) at Fairfax County’s Noman Cole wastewater treatment plant is also deposited into the I-95 Ash Landfill.

The Newington Collection Operations Facility provides for refuse and recycling collection vehicles and ancillary equipment. The Newington Complex currently houses the County’s collection fleet along with administrative facilities for personnel. Infrastructure costs are paid by refuse collection fees charged to approximately 42,347 residential County customers. About half of the County customers also receive curbside vacuum leaf collection service in the fall for an additional fee of $0.012 per $100 of assessed value of the home and property.

The County's current twenty-year Solid Waste Management Plan was adopted by the Board of Supervisors in 2004 and has been updated every 5-6 years since. The latest update was submitted to the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality in May 2020.
This Plan is required by state regulation administered by the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality and provides a framework for implementing solid waste management programs and facilities and demonstrates how the county will manage waste and recyclables for a 20-year period.

### 1. I-66 Basement Drainage Renovation (Springfield District):

$650,000 has been approved to provide for the repair and retrofit of the tipping floor drainage system under the I-66 Transfer Station. This drainage system processes all liquids produced from the tipping floor and any additional substances generated through the transportation of solid waste. Currently, the liquid is collected in floor drains located in the loading area of the basement. These drains are connected to an oil-sand separator located in the floor of the building and then moved to a grinder pump that pumps all collected material to the sewer force main located in front of the Transfer Station. The goal of this project is to assess the condition of the line connecting to the force main, repair if damaged, clean all laterals to the oil-sand separator, and to replace the existing pumping system. In addition, the entire lower roadways within the building will be resurfaced, as this has never been done during the life of the facility. The long-term goal of this project is to solidify the facility's compliance with Solid Waste Regulations.

### 2. I-66 Environmental Compliance (Springfield District):

$1,250,669 has been approved to fund environmental management activities for the I-66 landfill which was closed in 1982. The work will include repairing areas which have settled due to decomposition of waste, operating and maintaining the landfill gas system that provides fuel for heating at several structures, conducting groundwater corrective action, installing a low-permeability cap on the existing slopes and improving the storm water management system. There are current ongoing projects to remediate landfill gas migration. A groundwater treatment system is also being designed and installed to prevent off-site migration of impacted groundwater.

### 3. I-66 Landfill Methane Gas Recovery (Springfield District):

$1,000,000 is estimated to fund the installation and reconstruction of the methane gas extraction system at the I-66 facility, including collection wells, flares, compressor skids, and pipes. This project is a multi-phase project and may run across several fiscal years. During winter months the recovered methane is being utilized to produce heat for several areas on site as well as maintenance garages for DVS and FCDOT. This project is necessary as the current system is aging.

### 4. I-66 Permit and Receiving Center Renovation (Springfield District):

$2,902,638 has been approved to fund renovations to the existing building and transfer facility at the I-66 Transfer Station. The renovation work includes replacement of the motor control center, renovation to the bathroom facilities, modifications to the existing permit office and scale house, new high-bay doors and other related modifications necessary to meet present needs and building codes.

### 5. I-66 Transport Study/Site Redevelopment (Springfield District):

$2,903,623 has been approved to provide the design, construction, reconstruction, and retrofit of the I-66 Transfer Station’s existing traffic flow patterns, citizen’s drop-off area, and the Household Hazardous Waste (HHW) Collections Facility. Improvements are needed to help prevent mixing of commercial and citizen’s vehicles for safety. The recycling roll-offs prior to the citizen’s scales will be moved, and the existing pavement replaced with greenspace, both for aesthetics and stormwater pre-treatment. The HHW facility handles the majority of all household hazardous waste processed within Fairfax County. The current facility is aging and in need of renovation and reconstruction to meet ever increasing Household Hazardous Waste Collection Regulations and to provide safe disposal of substances collected. Additionally, the original use of the facility was to only collect HHW five days a week. Service days have recently been increased to seven.
days a week due to the ever-growing volume processing demand of HHW within Fairfax County. Initial phases will include areas directly in front of the transfer building and a relocated commercial truck scale facility.

6. **I-95 Landfill Closure (Mount Vernon District):** $2,440,098 has been approved to meet all state and federal regulations for placing the synthetic cap on the Area Three Lined Landfill unit and repairing or reconstructing the cap on the Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) unit at the I-95 Landfill. Four phases of closure will occur in the Area Three Lined Landfill (ATLL) unit and consist of capping the landfill with a flexible membrane liner and/or low permeability soil to prevent the water infiltration from run-on and other sources. Modifications are proposed to the capped areas of the MSW unit to accommodate modernization of the Residential Disposal and Recycling Center and to make more storage areas available at the site for recyclables and other beneficial uses. This is an ongoing effort as various areas of the landfill are filled to final grades.

7. **I-95 Landfill Environmental Compliance (Mount Vernon District):** $1,559,536 has been approved to support two environmental initiatives associated with the I-95 complex. The first initiative will provide for the continuation of ground water investigations and remediation efforts in accordance with the ground water Corrective Action Plan for the I-95 Landfill. This initiative will provide funding in the event that additional corrective measures are needed. Investigations and corrective action efforts may involve installation of ground water monitoring wells, injection of products intended to reduce concentrations of constituents of concern, and/or recover and treat ground water, among other alternatives. The second initiative will provide for Stormwater Improvements. Most of the existing storm water detention basins that manage stormwater flow at the I-95 landfill will be reconstructed or renovated with retrofits installed to improve stormwater flow and water quality discharge. This initiative includes assessment of the existing stormwater network, recommendations for improvements, design, regulatory support, construction and construction management. These improvements are required to comply with Virginia Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit requirements.

8. **I-95 Landfill Leachate Facility (Mount Vernon District):** $4,310,478 to date has been approved for the leachate collection system. A major project was completed in FY 2017 that included additional force mains, controls, upgraded pumping, landfill de-watering efforts, pretreatment, treatment and storage facilities to process fluids collected in the leachate collection system installed at the Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) and ash disposal areas of the landfill. This project continues to address minor improvements to the system.

9. **I-95 Landfill Lot B Redesign (Mount Vernon District):** $1,750,000 has been approved for design, construction, reconstruction and retrofit of the I-95 landfill’s existing Lot B area which is used for various residential solid waste drop-off activities. The area currently handles recyclables, solid waste, mulch/yard waste, household hazardous waste, white goods, and also encompasses other site activities such as vehicle fueling, washing, and maintenance. The goal of this project is to expand the paved area and re-arrange activities to allow for safer unloading and loading activities. A longer term future goal is to implement a covered structure within Lot B to further improve conditions for the residents, minimize operational nuisances such as contact...
stormwater and wind-blown dust and litter, and to provide for new waste processing equipment and methods (baler, sorting system, etc.) to maximize recycling revenue and diversion rates.

10. I-95 Landfill Methane Gas Recovery (Mount Vernon District): $2,309,232 has been approved for the installation and reconstruction of the methane gas extraction system at the I-95 Landfill, including collection wells and pipes. This project is a multi-phase project. All of the recovered methane is being utilized to produce electricity for sale to Dominion Virginia Power, to replace natural gas used as fuel to combust hydrocarbons created during thermal treatment of biosolids at the Noman M. Cole, Jr. Pollution Control Plant, and to replace propane used to heat the I-95 Landfill maintenance shop during the winter. The major landfill gas piping replacement project that began in FY 2015 has been completed.

11. I-95 Landfill New Service Road (Mount Vernon District): $1,500,000 is estimated to be required for the redesign and rebuilding of I-95 Landfill's existing entrance road which is the primary access point for residential disposal and recycling customers and commercial haulers. This project will separate public and commercial customer traffic to address safety concerns and improve the operational flow of traffic through the facility.

12. I-95 Operations Building Renovations (Mount Vernon District): $498,952 has been approved to fund infrastructure improvements to the existing I-95 Landfill Operations facility. These improvements include replacing worn and leaking roofing, upgrading faulty HVAC controls, replacing entrance doors, adding entry security features, improving the locker rooms, rearranging interior walls/offices, and replacing flooring. The project is a multi-phase project over several years that will not expand the footprint of the existing site.

13. I-95 Transfer/Materials Recovery Facility (Mount Vernon District): $2,500,000 has been approved for the design and construction of an enclosed facility to handle general waste and recycling efforts at the I-95 Complex. This building will include two major components: a concrete based floor and walls/a fabric structure to enclose the facility. The base of the structure provides push walls for dumping and loading activities as well as sound suppression. The fabric structure provides protection from the elements, natural lighting and dumping clearance inside the structure.

14. Newington Refuse Facility Enhancements (Mount Vernon District): $2,855,630 has been approved to fund infrastructure improvements to the existing Newington Operations facility. These improvements include replacing worn and leaking roofing, metal siding and gutters on the main building and the pole barn, upgrading faulty HVAC controls, replacing four obsolete garage doors, improving the men's locker room and replacing tile flooring in the main building. The project is a multi-phase project over several years that will not expand the footprint of the existing site.
## Project Cost Summaries

### Solid Waste

($000's)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>Project Number</th>
<th>Source of Funds</th>
<th>Budgeted or Expended Through FY 2021</th>
<th>FY 2022</th>
<th>FY 2023</th>
<th>FY 2024</th>
<th>FY 2025</th>
<th>FY 2026</th>
<th>Total FY 2022 - FY 2026</th>
<th>Total FY 2027 - FY 2031</th>
<th>Total Project Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I-66 Basement Drainage Renovation</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>SW-0000023</td>
<td>$650</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-66 Environmental Compliance</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>SW-000013</td>
<td>$1,251</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-66 Landfill Methane Gas Recovery</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>SW-000029</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-66 Permit/Receiving Center Renovation</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>SW-000011</td>
<td>$2,903</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$2,903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-66 Transport Study/Site Redevelopment</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>SW-000024</td>
<td>$2,904</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$2,904</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-95 Landfill Closure</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>SW-000019</td>
<td>$2,440</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$2,440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-95 Landfill Environmental Compliance</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>SW-000016</td>
<td>$1,560</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-95 Landfill Leachate Facility</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>SW-000018</td>
<td>$4,310</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$4,310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-95 Landfill Lot B Redesign</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>SW-000020</td>
<td>$1,750</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,750</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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## Project Cost Summaries

### Solid Waste

($000's)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>Project Number</th>
<th>Source of Funds</th>
<th>Budgeted or Expended Through FY 2021</th>
<th>Total FY 2022 - FY 2026</th>
<th>Total FY 2027 - FY 2031</th>
<th>Total Project Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I-95 Landfill Methane Gas Recovery</td>
<td>SW-000014</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>$2,309</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$2,309</td>
<td>$2,309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-95 Landfill New Service Road</td>
<td>SW-000027</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-95 Operations Building Renovations</td>
<td>SW-000015</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>$499</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$499</td>
<td>$499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-95 Transfer/Materials Recovery Facility</td>
<td>SW-000022</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>$2,500</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$2,500</td>
<td>$2,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newington Refuse Facility Enhancements</td>
<td>SW-000001</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>$2,856</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$2,856</td>
<td>$2,856</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$28,432</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$28,432</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Numbers in bold italics represent funded amounts. A “C” in the ‘Budgeted or Expended’ column denotes a continuing project.

Key: Source of Funds

- B Bonds
- G General Fund
- S State
- F Federal
- X Other
- U Undetermined
Sanitary Sewers

Fairfax County provides sewer service to its residents and businesses through a system of approximately 3,300 miles of sewer lines, 63 pumping stations, 57 metering stations and one treatment plant owned and operated by the County. Additional treatment plant capacity is provided by contractual agreements with the District of Columbia Water (DC Water), Alexandria Renew Enterprises (AlexRenew), Arlington County, Upper Occoquan Service Authority (UOSA), Loudoun Water, Prince William County Service Authority and Colchester Utilities.

The Sanitary Sewer Section of the Policy Plan within the Fairfax County Comprehensive Plan includes the following established objectives:

- Provide public sewer in accord with the approved sewer service area and in support of the county’s land use objectives.
- Maintain a system of conveyance and treatment facilities that is responsive and compatible with the development and environmental goals of the county and provide necessary renovations and improvements that will permit the entire system to function at a high level of efficiency.

Source: 2017 Edition of the Fairfax County Comprehensive Plan- Public Facilities, Amended through 4-9-2019

The current capital program generally supports the following County initiatives:

- Providing sufficient treatment plant capacity to ensure that projected residential and nonresidential growth can be accommodated over the planning period.
- Improving the effluent quality of County-owned and treatment by contract wastewater treatment facilities to comply with increasingly stringent discharge limitations, such as those mandated by the Chesapeake Bay Program.
- Ensuring a sufficient capital re-investment rate for the rehabilitation and replacement of existing County assets to ensure cost effective long-term operations and provision of adequate service levels.

Financing of the capital program for the sanitary sewer system has historically been derived from three sources: system revenues, the sale of revenue bonds and grant funding. The County has generally used system revenues on a “pay as you go” basis to fund the majority of capital improvements. This has particularly been true for “recurring” capital projects, such as capital replacement and rehabilitation projects, extension and improvement (E&I) projects and general system improvements. For major capital initiatives, such as system expansion and regulatory compliance projects, the County has funded the projects through the use of sewer revenue bonds, payable solely from the revenues of the Integrated Sewer System and hence not general obligations of Fairfax County. The County actively manages its outstanding debt, refinancing to take advantage of lower interest rates, or retiring debt to manage its debt coverage. While federal and state grants were extensively utilized to fund the construction programs of the 1970’s and 1980’s, the financial burden of current programs fall heavily on the County due to scarcity of federal and state grant funds. The County has received limited state grant funding to help offset the cost of compliance with the Chesapeake Bay Program.
Approximately 95 percent of the System's revenues are derived from charges to new and existing customers through availability charges, base charges, and sewer service charges. New customers to the System are charged a one-time availability charge per new connection for access to the System. Existing customers pay sewer service charges, which are based upon the actual water consumption during the winter quarter, and base charges, which are assessed quarterly and provide for a more equitable rate structure by recovering a portion of the program's costs. Availability charges, base charges and sewer service charges are established by the Fairfax County Board of Supervisors. Since 1979, the Board has used the five-year financial projection of system expenses, revenues, and available cash balances to determine the appropriate level of availability charges and sewer service charges. The available cash balance reflects the projected sources and uses of funds by new and existing customers. The system allocates operating revenues and expenses, debt service and capital outlay between existing users and new users of the System. The remaining 5 percent of system revenues are derived primarily from sale of service to wholesale users such as Arlington County, Loudoun Water, the Cities of Fairfax and Falls Church, the Towns of Herndon and Vienna and Ft. Belvoir.

The FY 2022 proposed increases to both the Sewer Service Charge and Base Charge will change the annual average customer bill from $655.80 in FY 2021 to $702.00, a cost increase of $46.20 per year or 7.0 percent. The Sewer Service Charge will increase from $7.28 to $7.72 per 1,000 gallons of water consumed, based on Fairfax County’s residential winter quarter average consumption of 18,000 gallons. The Base Charge will increase from $32.91 per quarter to $36.54 per quarter.

The County has issued sewer revenue bonds to provide funds for expanding treatment facility capacity at both County-owned and County-contracted facilities. Specifically, the County issued revenue bond debt for the following treatment plant expansions and upgrades:

- In June 2001 and June 2002, a total of $90 million in State Revolving Fund/Virginia Resources Authority debt was issued to support the County’s share of plant upgrades at the Alexandria Renew Enterprises treatment plant.

- In June 2009, $152.3 million in revenue bond debt was issued to support the County’s share of the plant upgrades at DC Water, Arlington County, Alexandria Renew Enterprise as well as the County owned treatment plant to comply with the nitrogen discharge limits as defined in the Chesapeake Bay Program.

- In August 2012, $90.7 million in revenue bond debt was issued to support the County’s share of the plant upgrades at DC Water, Alexandria Renew Enterprises as well as the County owned treatment plant to comply with the enhanced nutrient discharge limits as defined in the Chesapeake Bay Program.

- In April 2014, $61.8 million was issued in revenue refunding bonds to take advantage of the lower interest rates to refund $69.8 million outstanding 2004 bonds.

- In May 2016, $164.5 million was issued in revenue refunding bonds to take advantage of the lower interest rates to refund $123.1 million outstanding 2009 bonds and $46.7 million outstanding 2012 bonds.

- In June 2017, $85.8 million was issued in revenue bond debt to provide funds for additions, extensions and improvements to the Fairfax County’s sewage collection, and treatment systems including the County’s Noman M. Cole, Jr. Pollution Control Plant, paying capital improvement
costs allocable to the County at other regional treatment facilities that provide service to the County and, if necessary, purchasing additional capacity.

In addition to this County-issued debt, as of June 30, 2020, the County is responsible for $230.6 million in debt to support the expansion and upgrade of the UOSA treatment plant. A regional facility, UOSA issues its own bonds that are used to finance the expansion and upgrade projects. The participating members of UOSA, (Fairfax County, Prince William County Service Authority, City of Manassas, and Manassas Park) are responsible for the debt service on the UOSA bonds based on capacity owned at the facility.

Looking to the future, a balance must be found between the following three major issues facing the integrated sewer system: (1) the necessity of maintaining high levels of water quality (including meeting more stringent nutrient limits), (2) maintaining capacity to accommodate growth within the County, and (3) achieving these two goals within financial resources. To a similar end, consideration must be given to inspecting, repairing, and maintaining the system at acceptable service levels. In most instances, annual expenditures for system upkeep will enable the County to avoid costly, major rehabilitation in the future.

Fairfax County’s current treatment capacity is projected to be sufficient through 2045. The following summarizes the status of the County’s treatment capacity.

**Noman M. Cole, Jr. Pollution Control Plant**

The Noman M. Cole, Jr., Pollution Control Plant (NCPCP) serves the Accotink, Pohick, Long Branch, Little Hunting Creek and Dogue Creek drainage basins. In addition to flows originating within the County, the plant also treats sewage from the City of Fairfax, Fort Belvoir, and part of the Town of Vienna. The NCPCP was put online in 1970 with an initial design capacity of 18 million gallons daily (MGD), which was subsequently increased to a rating of 36 MGD of advanced treatment in 1978, 54 MGD in 1995 and again increased to a rating of 67 MGD in 2005. The Chesapeake Bay water quality program requires reductions in the amount of nutrient pollutants discharged from wastewater treatment facilities. In December 2004, the state notified the County that the renewal of County’s Virginia Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (VPDES) permit includes a requirement that nutrient removal be performed using “State of the Art” technology and meet a waste load allocation (cap) for the nitrogen and phosphorus nutrients. A phased approach was used to renovate and upgrade current plant facilities to accommodate these more stringent nutrient discharge requirements.

**Blue Plains**

With a current capacity of 370 MGD, the District of Columbia Water (DC Water) treatment plant at Blue Plains is the largest plant in the area. In addition to the District of Columbia, it treats flows from Maryland, Virginia, and several federal installations. Wastewater flows originating in the Sugarland Run, Horsepen Creek, Difficult Run, Scotts Run, Dead Run, Turkey Run and Pimmit Run watersheds are treated at Blue Plains. Fairfax County is presently allocated 31 MGD at the plant. Blue Plains has gone through a major renovation of the chemical addition, nitrogen removal and sludge disposal systems over the past several years. The County’s flows to Blue Plains will be continually monitored to see if any additional capacity will be required at Blue Plains or from Loudoun Water; or if the diverting of flow from the Blue Plains service area with the Difficult Run Pump Station to the NCPCP service area will be sufficient to stay within the County's allocation of 31 MGD.
**Alexandria Renew Enterprises (AlexRenew)**  
The Cameron Run and Belle Haven watersheds and a portion of the City of Falls Church are served by the Alexandria treatment plant. The Alexandria plant is owned and operated by AlexRenew. Fairfax County is allotted 32.4 MGD of total capacity of 54 MGD. By activating the Braddock Road and Keene Mill Road pumping stations, the County has the capability to divert flow from the Accotink watershed (currently served by the Noman Cole Plant) to AlexRenew. These diversions will increase the County's wastewater management alternatives in the entire eastern portion of the County by off-loading flows from the NCPB and Blue Plains Treatment Plant to the AlexRenew plant. As with other treatment plants in the area, additional facilities have been constructed at AlexRenew to enhance the removal of nitrogen using "State of the Art" technology. AlexRenew will be constructing new facilities to process wet weather flows during heavy storms to avoid sanitary sewer overflows. Fairfax County will be responsible for its pro rata share of these costs.

**Arlington County Pollution Control Plant**  
The Arlington County pollution control plant serves the portion of Fairfax County within the Four Mile Run watershed. The plant has been upgraded to comply with the water quality standards for nitrogen removal and expanded to 40 MGD. The Arlington plant currently receives approximately 2.0 MGD of flow from Fairfax County. The County's contractual capacity is 3.0 MGD.

**Upper Occoquan Service Authority**  
The southwestern part of Fairfax County is served by a regional plant owned and operated by the Upper Occoquan Service Authority (UOSA). This plant became operational in 1978 and replaced five small treatment plants in Fairfax County (Greenbriar, Big Rocky Run, Flatlick Run, Upper Cub Run and Middle Cub Run) and six in Prince William County. This plant was originally certified to operate at 15 MGD. Fairfax County's initial 30.83 percent share of the plant was increased to 36.33 percent in 1978 with the purchase of additional capacity from Manassas Park. When the plant expanded to 54 MGD, the County's share increased to 51.1 percent. However, the County has sold some of its capacity to other UOSA users and decreased its share to 40.9 percent. The following summarizes the County's capacity in the plant:

- Original plant capacity of 15 MGD- County capacity of 5.45 MGD.
- Plant capacity expansion to 27 MGD- County capacity of 9.915 MGD.
- Additional plant capacity expansion to 54 MGD- County capacity of 27.6 MGD.
- The County sold 3.0 MGD of capacity to other UOSA users in January 2008, which reduced County capacity to 24.6 MGD.
- The County sold 2.0 MGD of capacity to other UOSA users in January 2010, which reduced County capacity to 22.6 MGD.
- The County sold 0.5 MGD of capacity to other UOSA users in January 2019, which reduced County capacity to 22.1 MGD.

Even with the sale of County capacity, the UOSA Plant is capable of handling anticipated flows from its contributory sheds through 2045.

**Loudoun Water**  
The northwestern part of Fairfax County is currently served by Blue Plains and NCPCP. To provide sufficient capacity for the anticipated growth in this area, the County purchased 1.0 MGD of capacity from the Loudoun Water in March of 2011. The flows in Blue Plains will be continually monitored to see if any additional capacity will be required from Loudoun Water in the planning period. Currently, the County is not utilizing its capacity at Loudoun Water. However, the use of this capacity is anticipated in the future as the County's flows approach its allocation at Blue Plains.
1. **Alexandria Renew Enterprises (AlexRenew) Treatment Plant Improvements (Countywide):** This is a continuing project that supports the County’s estimated share of improvements at the AlexRenew treatment plant. Fairfax County’s share is estimated to be $102,996,000 through FY 2031. This project includes the replacement and rehabilitation of existing treatment process facilities and facilities to handle wet weather flows in order to avoid sanitary sewer overflows.

2. **Arlington Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrade (Countywide):** This is a continuing project that supports the County’s estimated share of the Arlington Wastewater Treatment Plant upgrade costs. Fairfax County’s share is estimated to be $15,565,000 through FY 2031. Funding will provide for Fairfax’s portion for non-expansion capital improvements, technology enhancements, clarifier upgrades, a Bio-solids Master Plan, and the relining of a large diameter sewer line for the Four Mile Run interceptor which runs from Fairfax County to the Arlington plant.

3. **DC Water Blue Plains Treatment Plant Improvements (Countywide):** This is a continuing project that supports the County’s estimated share of upgrading the 370 MGD of capacity at the Blue Plains treatment plant. Fairfax County’s share is estimated to be $232,314,000 through FY 2031. This upgrade includes major plant renovations, including the chemical addition, flow control tunnels, and sludge disposal systems to meet the enhanced total nitrogen standards.

4. **Extension and Improvements Projects (Countywide):** This is a continuing project to support the extension of sanitary sewer to homes with failing septic systems located within the approved sewer service area. Failing septic systems can be a health hazard and to mitigate this hazard, the County extends sanitary sewer to these homes. Approximately $2,000,000 is anticipated to be required annually in the future.

5. **Gravity Sewer Conditional Improvements (Countywide):** This is a continuing project to support the replacement, rehabilitation, and investment in sewer lines. A continued increased effort to address large diameter sewer lines continues in order to prevent future pipe failures. An amount of $293,882,000 is estimated to be required through FY 2031.

6. **Noman M. Cole, Jr. Pollution Control Plant Rehabilitation and Replacement (Mount Vernon District):** This is a continuing project supporting the rehabilitation of the Noman Cole Pollution Control Plan. An amount of $645,923,000 is estimated to be required to continue the rehabilitation and replacement of the plant’s assets through FY 2031. Projects proposed to improve the plant’s assets include the following: replacement of and improvements to the existing biosolid facilities; replacement and upgrades to the facility’s electrical system including the motor control centers and electrical distribution centers; rehabilitation and upgrades to disinfection facilities; HVAC upgrades to the laboratory and administration buildings; rehabilitation and replacement of miscellaneous pumps, gates, and valves; rehabilitation of the various facilities; facility pilots, improvements, and additions to improve processes and facilities aimed at improving environmental compliance optimization and reliability, facility safety and security, operations and maintenance costs, and sustainability of the facility; Facility storm water improvements; and other rehabilitation and replacement projects related to the maintenance of the wastewater treatment facility assets.
7. **Pumping Station Improvements (Countywide):** This is a continuing project to support the replacement, rehabilitation, and upgrade of the System's pumping stations. An amount of $163,998,000 is estimated to be required through FY 2031. These improvements do not increase capacity of the stations but are related to addressing system upkeep or improving the stations to address service issues such as odor control.

8. **Gravity Sewer Capacity Improvements (Countywide):** This is a continuing project to support increasing the size of existing sewer lines and installing new sewer lines to serve development within the County. This is a proactive program to manage the strain placed on the current sewer system due to additional load as areas develop and redeveloped. An amount of $301,867,000 is estimated to be required for through FY 2031.

9. **Sewer Metering Projects (Countywide):** These meters support billing for actual flows, help identify excessive Inflow and Infiltration (I/I) and provide data required for billing other jurisdictions. An amount of $10,648,000 is estimated to be required to install and rehabilitate sewer meters through FY 2031.

10. **Upper Occoquan Service Authority Treatment Plant Upgrade (Countywide):** This is a continuing project to support the County’s share of plant upgrades associated with the Upper Occoquan Service Authority (UOSA). An amount of $80,463,000 is estimated to be required through FY 2031. Fund 69040, Sewer Bond Subordinate Debt Service, provides debt service funding for the UOSA Bond Series. The UOSA Bond Series is based on the County’s portion of the UOSA plant’s expansion and upgrades. Upgrades include plant renovations, specifically the nutrient cap project, filter press replacement, and re-carbonation clarifier improvements.
# Project Cost Summaries
## Sanitary Sewers
### ($000's)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>Source of Funds</th>
<th>Budgeted or Expended Through FY 2021</th>
<th>FY 2022</th>
<th>FY 2023</th>
<th>FY 2024</th>
<th>FY 2025</th>
<th>FY 2026</th>
<th>Total FY 2022 - FY 2026</th>
<th>Total FY 2027 - FY 2031</th>
<th>Total Project Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Alexandria Renew Enterprises (AlexRenew) Treatment Plant Imp.</td>
<td>SR, B C</td>
<td></td>
<td>$20,342</td>
<td>$14,090</td>
<td>$12,433</td>
<td>$11,966</td>
<td>$13,082</td>
<td>$71,913</td>
<td>$31,083</td>
<td>$102,996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Arlington Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrade</td>
<td>SR, B C</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,638</td>
<td>$1,574</td>
<td>$2,947</td>
<td>$3,747</td>
<td>$3,687</td>
<td>$13,593</td>
<td>$1,972</td>
<td>$15,565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. DC Water Blue Plains Treatment Plant Improvements</td>
<td>SR, B C</td>
<td></td>
<td>$21,668</td>
<td>$17,338</td>
<td>$20,986</td>
<td>$22,431</td>
<td>$24,621</td>
<td>$107,044</td>
<td>$125,270</td>
<td>$232,314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Extension &amp; Improvement Projects</td>
<td>SR C</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>$9,000</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$19,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Gravity Sewer Conditional Improvements</td>
<td>SR C</td>
<td></td>
<td>$24,500</td>
<td>$25,715</td>
<td>$25,053</td>
<td>$28,900</td>
<td>$34,408</td>
<td>$138,576</td>
<td>$155,306</td>
<td>$293,882</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Noman M. Cole, Jr. Pollution Control Plant Rehabilitation and Replacement</td>
<td>SR, B $163,715</td>
<td></td>
<td>$36,000</td>
<td>$70,325</td>
<td>$72,114</td>
<td>$67,710</td>
<td>$67,390</td>
<td>$313,539</td>
<td>$168,669</td>
<td>$645,923</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Pumping Station Improvements</td>
<td>SR C</td>
<td></td>
<td>$12,500</td>
<td>$14,087</td>
<td>$23,063</td>
<td>$14,742</td>
<td>$19,106</td>
<td>$83,498</td>
<td>$80,500</td>
<td>$163,998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Gravity Sewer Capacity Improvements</td>
<td>SR C</td>
<td></td>
<td>$11,000</td>
<td>$36,900</td>
<td>$57,609</td>
<td>$61,569</td>
<td>$47,805</td>
<td>$214,883</td>
<td>$86,984</td>
<td>$301,867</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Sewer Metering Projects</td>
<td>SR C</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$2,994</td>
<td>$1,815</td>
<td>$1,491</td>
<td>$848</td>
<td>$8,148</td>
<td>$2,500</td>
<td>$10,648</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Upper Occoquan Service Authority Treatment Plant Upgrade</td>
<td>SR, X $9,729</td>
<td></td>
<td>$10,266</td>
<td>$12,539</td>
<td>$9,658</td>
<td>$5,449</td>
<td>$1,574</td>
<td>$39,486</td>
<td>$31,248</td>
<td>$80,463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$173,444</td>
<td>$139,914</td>
<td>$197,562</td>
<td>$227,678</td>
<td>$220,005</td>
<td>$214,521</td>
<td>$999,680</td>
<td>$693,532</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Numbers in bold italics represent funded amounts. A "C" in the 'Budgeted or Expended' column denotes a continuing project.

Key: Source of Funds
- B Bonds
- G General Fund
- S State
- F Federal
- X Other
- U Undetermined
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Fairfax County's Stormwater Management program is managed on a comprehensive watershed basis and consists of: Regulatory Compliance, Dam Safety and Facility Rehabilitation, Stream and Water Quality, Emergency and Flood Control, Conveyance System Rehabilitation, contributory funding requirements and Operational Support.

The Public Facilities Drainage and Stormwater Management Section and the Environment Section of the Policy Plan within the Fairfax County Comprehensive Plan includes the following established objectives:

- Provide for a comprehensive drainage improvement and stormwater management program to maximize property protection and environmental benefits throughout the watershed.
- Provide a system of drainage facilities that prevents or minimizes structure flooding, stream degradation and traffic disruption in an efficient, cost effective and environmentally sound manner.
- Prevent and reduce pollution of surface and groundwater resources. Protect and restore the ecological integrity of streams in Fairfax County.
- Protect the Potomac Estuary and the Chesapeake Bay from the avoidable impacts of land use activities in Fairfax County.
- Identify, protect and enhance an integrated network of ecologically valuable land and surface waters for present and future residents of Fairfax County.

Source: 2017 Edition of the Fairfax County Comprehensive Plan- Public Facilities, Amended through 4-9- 2019; Environment (amended through 12-3-2019)

The long-range goal or mission for the stormwater program is dictated by the County’s need to preserve and restore the natural environment and water resources, while being in full compliance with all applicable federal and state laws and mandates. Many of the requirements are derived from the State’s Chesapeake Bay Initiatives, Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System Permit (MS4), and other Clean Water Act requirements and County ordinance and policies, such as the Water Supply Protection Overlay District. In order to comprehensively address program requirements and strategies for restoring water quality on a holistic basis, updated watershed management plans have been completed.

Watershed Planning and Implementation

Plans for all 30 County watersheds have been completed. Previously prepared watershed master plans developed during the 1970s did not reflect changes in stream conditions resulting from land use practices, water quality standards and environmental goals, most of which have evolved over the last 30 years. The watershed plans provide targeted strategies for addressing stream health given current and future land use practices and relative stream conditions.

Stream physical and biological degradation becomes apparent when the extent of impervious surfaces within a watershed area approaches 10 to 20 percent. High levels of degradation occur as imperviousness exceeds 20 percent. During previous decades, prior to implementation of modern stormwater controls, the County’s percent of imperviousness increased drastically which contributed to the current degraded conditions of many County streams. As depicted on graph A below, 60 percent of County stream monitoring sites in 2020 had impervious levels at or above 20 percent
Stormwater Management

In addition, 25 percent of the 40 sites monitored were between 10-20 percent impervious (moderate). As depicted on the graph B below, and based on the same 2020 stream monitoring, just 17.5 percent of the County’s streams are in good to excellent biological health condition. This condition is determined using an Index of Biological Integrity (IBI) which evaluates stream ecological health based on the community structure of bottom-dwelling aquatic invertebrates inhabiting the streams.

The Federal Clean Water Act and Virginia state laws require Fairfax County to meet water quality standards for surface streams. The County discharges stormwater from its storm drainage network into the waters of the state and must comply with all pertinent water quality standards and conditions established by the MS4 permit. The permit conditions require that the County have a comprehensive stormwater management program that includes inspection of existing stormwater facilities, watershed planning, public outreach, monitoring and implementation of practices to improve stormwater quality.

In addition to the MS4 permit requirements, Virginia and other signatory states to the Chesapeake Bay 2000 Agreement prepared “The Potomac River Tributary Strategies” in 2005 to set specific targets for reduction and capping of nutrients and sediment pollutants entering the Bay through its various tributaries and from both point source (e.g. wastewater treatment plants) and non-point source pollution. However, the Tributary Strategies are now replaced by the State’s Watershed Improvement Plans (WIP) in response to requirements for a Chesapeake Bay-wide Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL), established by the EPA in December 2010. The TMDL for the Chesapeake Bay has established a “pollution diet”, or pollution load reduction targets needed to remove the Bay from the impaired waters list. The requirements for Bay states and localities are also being driven by a Presidential Executive Order of May 2009 that called for more stringent actions, increased accountability and firm deadlines. The implementation phase of the TMDL is well on the way and Bay states have developed a Phase III WIP which was submitted to EPA in August 2019. The WIP involves increased measures tied to firmly established milestones and an ultimate implementation deadline of 2025. Through the stormwater program and other efforts, the County is doing its part to increase water pollution control measures in order to effectively improve local stream conditions, comply with increasing regulations and help restore the Chesapeake Bay.

While every effort has been made to accurately reflect the 5-year capital improvement plan for the stormwater program, there are currently multiple issues that are in various stages of the regulatory and permitting processes that will possibly have significant funding impacts on the Stormwater program. Increases in regulatory requirements associated with the reissuance of the next 5-year MS4 permit, updates to Chesapeake Bay-wide TMDL requirements as a result of the 2017 program...
assessments, and the Phase III WIP and State stormwater regulations impact the funding requirements on a continual basis. Unforeseen flood mitigation efforts resulting from County-wide flooding events require a significant investment to implement corrective actions and correct failing and deficient storm drainage systems that are impacting county residential and commercial properties. In addition to these funding impacts, the transfer of responsibility for the Fairfax County Public Schools MS4 permit program to the County represents added funding requirements to the stormwater program as well.

Additional, funding impacts to the stormwater program include long term stormwater management maintenance requirements of County facilities that are designed and built using innovative stormwater management systems, such as Low Impact Development Systems (LIDS), also called Green Stormwater Infrastructure (GSI). Past stormwater maintenance at County-owned and operated facilities traditionally consisted of maintenance of catch basins, storm pipes and surface ponds. However, to meet current stormwater quality requirements, more extensive and complex stormwater management systems are being implemented for the treatment of stormwater runoff. These water quality systems continue to require more complex operational and maintenance efforts to function properly and comply with the stormwater permit requirements. Without the proper ongoing maintenance, the systems will likely fail, requiring more extensive costs to reconstruct the systems to function as designed. As these water quality systems and stormwater facilities come online, funding will be needed to meet the recurring maintenance requirements.

**Financing the Stormwater Program**

The Board of Supervisors approved a special service district to support the Stormwater Management Program as part of the FY 2010 Adopted Budget Plan. This service district provides a dedicated funding source for both operating and capital project requirements by levying a service rate per $100 of assessed real estate value, as authorized by Code of Virginia Ann. Sections 15.2-2400. Since FY 2010, staff has made significant progress in the implementation of watershed master plans, public outreach efforts, stormwater monitoring activities, water quality and flood mitigation project implementation and operational maintenance programs related to existing storm drainage infrastructure including stormwater conveyance, and regulatory requirements.

An ultimate rate of $0.0400 per $100 of assessed value has been estimated to be required to fully support the stormwater program in the future; however, staff is currently evaluating the long-term requirements for the program to address other community needs. Some of the additional community needs under evaluation include debt service to support the Board’s approval of the dredging of Lake Accotink, the anticipation of additional flood mitigation requirements, and strengthening the role and financial support for the implementation of stormwater requirements associated with Fairfax County Public Schools sites under renovation. This enhanced program may require incremental changes to the rate over time and may result in a higher ultimate rate to fully support the program. Staff will be evaluating these requirements, as well as the staffing to support them, and analyzing the impact of increased real estate values and revenue projections.

While staff continues to further evaluate the impact of recent initiatives and the long-term requirements for the Stormwater Program, the FY 2022 rate will remain the same as the FY 2021 Adopted Budget Plan level of $0.0325 per $100 of assessed value. However, based on capital project costs and projected revenues, it is anticipated that in the next several years, incremental rate increases will be required based on continued growth of stormwater facilities and infrastructure that must be inspected and maintained by the county, the implementation of flood mitigation projects, and additional requirements in the forthcoming Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4)
permit. On an annual basis, staff will continue to evaluate the program, analyze future requirements, and develop Stormwater operational and capital resource needs.

The FY 2022 levy of $0.0325 will generate $87,175,738, supporting $24,240,283 for staff and operational costs; $61,810,455 for capital project implementation including, infrastructure reinvestment, regulatory requirements, dam safety, and contributory funding requirements; and $1,125,000 transferred to the General Fund to partially offset central support services such as Human Resources, Purchasing, Budget and other administrative services supported by the General Fund, which benefit this fund.

In summary, Stormwater funding is essential to protect public safety, preserve property values and support environmental mandates such as those aimed at protecting the Chesapeake Bay and the water quality of other local jurisdictional waterways. Projects include repairs to stormwater infrastructure, measures to improve water quality, such as stream stabilization, rehabilitation and safety upgrades of dams, repair and replacement of underground pipe systems and surface channels, structural flood proofing and Best Management Practices (BMP) site retrofits. This funding also supports increased public outreach efforts and stormwater monitoring activities. The approach to capital investment in stormwater management will be to improve infrastructure reinvestment cycles and increase capital project implementation schedules to responsibly manage stormwater runoff within Fairfax County, while maintaining compliance with increasing regulatory requirements and operational requirements. Focus will be provided to balance effectiveness and efficiencies through management of staff resources balanced with delivery of services through outsourced opportunities.

1. **Conveyance System Inspection and Development (Countywide):** This program provides inventory inspection and assessment services for storm drainage conveyance systems and stormwater drainage structures in the County. The County owns and operates approximately 1,500 miles of underground stormwater pipes and paved channels with an estimated replacement value of over $1 billion dollars. The County began performing internal inspections of the pipes in FY 2006. MS4 Permit regulations require inspection and maintenance of existing conveyance systems, as well as 65,000 stormwater structures, and a portion of the 7,000 regulated pipe outlets. Once the initial internal inspections are complete, the goal of this program is to inspect pipes on a 20-year cycle and rehabilitate pipes and improve outfall channels before total failure occurs. Funding of $2,000,000 has been included for inspections and development in FY 2022.

2. **Conveyance System Rehabilitation (Countywide):** This program provides repair and rehabilitation of storm drainage conveyance systems and stormwater drainage structures in the County. Acceptable industry standards indicate that one dollar re-invested in infrastructure saves seven dollars in the asset’s life and $70 dollars if asset failure occurs. Funding in the amount of $7,000,000 is included for conveyance system rehabilitation in FY 2022.
3. **Dam and Facility Maintenance (Countywide):** This program provides for inventory, inspections, operations and maintenance of all stormwater facilities within the County. There are currently approximately 2,400 stormwater management facilities in service that range in size from small rain gardens to large state regulated flood control dams. The County is responsible for inspecting both County owned and privately-owned facilities and for maintaining County owned facilities. This inventory increases annually and is projected to continually increase as new development and redevelopment sites occur in the county. This program improves dam safety by supporting annual inspections of 20 state-regulated dams and the Huntington Levee and by developing Emergency Action Plans required by the state. The Emergency Action Plans are updated annually. In addition, these plans include annual emergency drills and exercises, and flood monitoring for each dam. This initiative also includes the removal of sediment that occurs in both wet and dry stormwater management facilities to ensure that adequate capacity is maintained to treat the stormwater. The program results in approximately 50 projects annually that require design and construction management activities as well as contract management and maintenance responsibilities. Funding in the amount of $5,000,000 is included for dam maintenance in FY 2022.

4. **Dam Safety and Facility Rehabilitation (Countywide):** This program provides for capital repair and rehabilitation of stormwater management facilities in the County. The County currently owns and operates dams, green infrastructure facilities, and various types of other facilities such as underground detention and proprietary systems with an estimated replacement value of over $500 million. Funding in the amount of $6,000,000 is included for Dam Safety and Facility Rehabilitation in FY 2022.

5. **Emergency and Flood Response Projects (Countywide):** This program supports flood control projects for unanticipated flooding events that impact storm systems and structural flooding. The program provides annual funding for scoping, design, and construction activities related to flood mitigation projects. Funding in the amount of $5,000,000 is included for the Emergency and Flood Response Projects in FY 2022.

6. **Lake Accotink Dredging (Braddock District):** $5,000,000 has been allocated from stormwater funds to support the design phase of the dredging of Lake Accotink. Lake Accotink is a 55-acre lake surrounded by managed conservation areas, wetlands, deciduous and evergreen forests, and historic and pre-historic sites. Over 300,000 patrons visit the park annually to enjoy a variety of facilities and activities that vary with the season. Sediment from the upstream areas of the watershed has continued to be deposited in Lake Accotink over the years filling in the lake and limiting recreational use of the lake. The estimated additional cost for dredging including sediment disposal is $30,500,000 and is reflected in the Park Authority section of the CIP. Therefore, the total cost of the project is $35,050,000. As presented to the Board of Supervisors on October 29, 2019, staff has identified the option of a low interest loan via the Virginia Clean Water Revolving Loan Fund (VCWRLF) as the preferred funding mechanism to fund the dredging project costs. Future debt service costs associated with the loan will be funded by the Stormwater Services Fund.
7. **Pro Rata Share Drainage Improvements (Countywide):** This is a continuing Program which utilizes Pro Rata funds received from developer to support watershed planning, regional pond development and other drainage improvement projects. Contributions are received in accordance with the Pro Rata Share Program approved by the Board of Supervisors on December 16, 1991. The Pro Rata Share Program provides a funding source to correct drainage deficiencies by collecting a proportionate share of the total estimated cost of drainage improvements from the developers of the land. As projects are identified and prioritized during scheduled budgetary reviews, Pro Rata funds on deposit are appropriated.

8. **Stormwater Allocation to Towns (Countywide):** This project is a continuing project which provides for allocations to the Towns of Vienna and Herndon. On April 18, 2012, the State Legislature passed SB 227, which entitles the Towns of Herndon and Vienna to all revenues collected within their boundaries by Fairfax County’s stormwater service district. An agreement was developed for a coordinated program whereby the Towns remain part of the County’s service district and the County returns 25 percent of the revenue collected from properties within each town. This allows for the towns to provide services independently such as maintenance and operation of stormwater pipes, manholes, and catch basins. The remaining 75 percent remains with the County and the County takes on the responsibility for the Towns’ Chesapeake Bay TMDL requirements as well as other TMDL and MS4 requirements. This provides for an approach that is based on watersheds rather than on jurisdictional lines. Funding in the amount of $900,000 is included for the allocations to Vienna and Herndon in FY 2022.

9. **Stormwater Regulatory Program (Countywide):** This is a continuing program to support County operations to meet the conditions of a state issued MS4 Permit. Stormwater staff annually evaluates funding required to meet the increasing federal and state regulatory requirements pertaining to the MS4 Permit requirements, and State and Federal mandates associated with controlling water pollution delivered to local streams and the Chesapeake Bay. The MS4 Permit allows the County to discharge stormwater from its stormwater systems into state and federal waters. The County currently owns and/or operates approximately 15,000 outfalls, and 7,000 of these outfalls are regulated outfalls within the stormwater system that are governed by the permit. The current permit was issued to the County in April 2015. The permit requires the County to document the stormwater management facility inventory, enhance public outreach and education efforts, increase water quality monitoring efforts, provide stormwater management and stormwater control training to all County employees, and thoroughly document all of these enhanced efforts. The permit also requires the County to implement sufficient stormwater projects that will reduce the nutrients and sediment delivered to the Chesapeake Bay in compliance with the Chesapeake Bay TMDL implementation plan adopted by the State. Funding in the amount of $4,000,000 is included for the regulatory program in FY 2022.

10. **Stormwater Related Contributories (Countywide):** This project provides funding for contributions associated with the Northern Virginia Soil and Water Conservation District (NVSWCD), and the Occoquan Watershed Monitoring Program (OWMP). The NVSWCD is an independent subdivision of the Commonwealth of Virginia that provides leadership in the conservation and protection of Fairfax County’s soil and water resources. It is governed by a five-member Board of Directors - three members are elected every four years by the voters of Fairfax County and two members are appointed by the Virginia Soil and Water Conservation Board. Accordingly, the work of NVSWCD supports many of the environmental efforts set forth in the Board of Supervisors’ Environmental Excellence 20-year Vision Plan. The goal of the NVSWCD is to continue to improve the quality of the environment and general welfare of the citizens of Fairfax County by providing them with a means of dealing with soil, water conservation and related natural resource problems. It provides County agencies with...
Stormwater/Wastewater Facility (Braddock District): This project will provide funding for a Public Works complex to consolidate functions and operations and maximize efficiencies between the Stormwater and Wastewater Divisions. The Stormwater business area provides essential watershed planning, engineering design, project management, contracting, monitoring, and maintenance services for stormwater management, storm drainage, flood control, snow removal, water quality, commercial revitalization, county-maintained roads and walkways, trails, public street name signs, and other designated county infrastructure. Current program operations are conducted from various locations throughout the County and are inadequate and outdated. The Wastewater Collection Division operates out of the Freds Oak facility and provides for the sewer collection and conveyance system for the County. The new facility will collocate both functions. This project is currently in design with construction scheduled to begin in summer 2021. It is anticipated that the facility will be financed by EDA bonds with the Stormwater Services Fund and Wastewater Fund supporting the debt service.

12. Stormwater/Wastewater Facility Debt Service (Countywide): $5,000,000 is estimated for the annual debt service payments associated with the Public Works Complex for the Stormwater and Wastewater Divisions. It is anticipated that EDA bonds will be sold in June 2021 and debt payments will begin in FY 2022.

13. Stream and Water Quality Improvements (Countywide): This project supports water quality improvement necessary to mitigate the impacts to local streams and the Chesapeake Bay resulting from urban stormwater runoff. This includes water quality projects such as construction and retrofit of stormwater management ponds, implementation of low impact development techniques on stormwater facilities, stream restoration, and approximately 1,900 water quality projects identified in the completed Countywide Watershed Management Plans. This will aid in the reduction of bacteria, sediment and Polychlorinated Biphenyl (PCB) entering local streams in order to comply with federal Clean Water Act (CWA) water quality standards. It is estimated that over 80 percent of the streams in the County are considered to be from fair to very poor condition and likely do not meet CWA water quality standards. In addition, TMDL requirements for local streams and the Chesapeake Bay are the regulatory drivers by which pollutants entering impaired water bodies are reduced. The Chesapeake Bay TMDL was established by the EPA and requires that MS4 communities as well as other dischargers implement measures to significantly reduce the nitrogen, phosphorous and sediment loads entering waters draining to the Chesapeake Bay by 2025. MS4 Permit holders must achieve 35 percent of the required reductions in the current five-year permit cycle, and 60 percent of the required reductions in the next five-year permit cycle.
Stormwater Management

The Capital Improvement Program includes a gradual increase that will help meet these requirements. In addition, compliance with the Chesapeake Bay TMDL requires that the County undertake construction of new stormwater facilities and retrofit existing facilities and properties. The EPA is currently updating the Chesapeake Bay compliance requirements and it is anticipated that the update will result in changes to both the assigned targets as well as how projects are credited, which will likely impact future compliance estimates. In addition to being required to meet the Chesapeake Bay TMDL targets, the current MS4 Permit requires the County to develop and implement action plans to address local impairments. Most of the 1,900 watershed management plan projects contribute toward achieving the Chesapeake Bay and local stream TMDL requirements. Funding in the amount of $26,183,506 is included for stream and water quality Improvements in FY 2022.

14. Tree Preservation and Plantings (Countywide): This project provides for tree plantings throughout the County. Revenues collected through the land development process are appropriated at year end to support the tree preservation and planting program. Funding in the amount of $105,316 has been received to date.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>Project Number</th>
<th>Budgeted or Expended Through FY 2021</th>
<th>FY 2022</th>
<th>FY 2023</th>
<th>FY 2024</th>
<th>FY 2025</th>
<th>FY 2026</th>
<th>Total FY 2022 - FY 2026</th>
<th>Total FY 2027 - FY 2031</th>
<th>Total Project Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conveyance System Inspection and Dev.</td>
<td>2G25-028-000</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>$13,000</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>$28,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conveyance System Rehabilitation</td>
<td>SD-000034</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>$7,000</td>
<td>$8,000</td>
<td>$11,500</td>
<td>$13,000</td>
<td>$13,000</td>
<td>$52,500</td>
<td>$65,000</td>
<td>$117,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dam and Facility Maintenance</td>
<td>2G25-031-000</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dam Safety and Facility Rehabilitation</td>
<td>SD-000033</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>$6,000</td>
<td>$7,000</td>
<td>$10,500</td>
<td>$11,600</td>
<td>$11,600</td>
<td>$46,700</td>
<td>$58,000</td>
<td>$104,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency and Flood Response Projects</td>
<td>SD-000032</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Accotink Dredging</td>
<td>SD-000041</td>
<td></td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pro Rata Share Drainage Improvements</td>
<td>Fund 30090</td>
<td></td>
<td>$3,228</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$3,228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater Allocation to Towns</td>
<td>2G25-027-000</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>$900</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$4,900</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$9,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater Regulatory Program</td>
<td>2G25-006-000</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater Related Contributories</td>
<td>2G25-007-000, 2G25-008-000</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>$727</td>
<td>$727</td>
<td>$727</td>
<td>$727</td>
<td>$727</td>
<td>$3,635</td>
<td>$3,635</td>
<td>$7,270</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Project Cost Summaries

Stormwater Management

($000's)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>Project Number</th>
<th>Budgeted or Expended Through FY 2021</th>
<th>Total FY 2022 - FY 2026</th>
<th>Total FY 2027 - FY 2031</th>
<th>Total Project Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater/Wastewater Facility</td>
<td>SD-000039</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$88,000</td>
<td>$88,000</td>
<td>$98,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater/Wastewater Facility Debt Service</td>
<td>2G25-117-000</td>
<td><strong>C</strong> $5,000</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stream and Water Quality Improvements</td>
<td>SD-000031</td>
<td><strong>C</strong> $26,184</td>
<td>$130,920</td>
<td>$130,920</td>
<td>$261,840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree Preservation and Plantings</td>
<td>2G25-030-000</td>
<td>$105</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$18,333</strong></td>
<td><strong>$149,811</strong></td>
<td><strong>$63,911</strong></td>
<td><strong>$71,911</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Numbers in bold italics represent funded amounts. A "C" in the 'Budgeted or Expended' column denotes a continuing project.

Key: Source of Funds
- B Bonds
- G General Fund
- S State
- F Federal
- X Other
- U Undetermined

Fairfax County, Virginia: FY 2022 – FY 2026 Advertised CIP - 218
Residents of Fairfax County receive public water service from one of three water agencies: Fairfax Water, the Town of Vienna or the Town of Herndon. Fairfax Water owns and operates a full production and distribution system; the towns purchase water wholesale from Fairfax Water and operate their own distribution systems. Using recent estimated averages, Fairfax Water serves 97 percent of Fairfax County residents, the towns serve one percent, and the remaining two percent receive water from their own individual, private wells.

The Public Facilities Water Supply Section of the Policy Plan within the Fairfax County Comprehensive Plan includes the following established objectives:

- Locate sites, for adequate and appropriate facilities to treat, transmit and distribute a safe and adequate potable water supply, which conform to the land use goals of the Comprehensive Plan.
- Plan and provide for facilities to treat, transmit and distribute a safe and adequate potable water supply.

*Source: 2017 Edition of the Fairfax County Comprehensive Plan- Public Facilities, Amended through 4-9-2019*

While Fairfax County has neither direct administrative nor budgetary control over water suppliers, the importance of water facilities to County planning is recognized. The Board of Supervisors has entered into an agreement with Fairfax Water which requires Board approval of all capital projects undertaken by Fairfax Water. Fairfax Water projects included in this CIP represent a program guided by the objectives of the Comprehensive Plan and endorsed by the Board of Supervisors. Additional information can be found in Fairfax Water’s 2021 Ten Year Capital Improvement Program, which is available directly from Fairfax Water.

**Fairfax Water**

The principal sources of water for Fairfax Water are the Occoquan Reservoir and the Potomac River. The Occoquan Reservoir is impounded by a gravity type concrete dam across the Occoquan River, a few miles upstream of its confluence with the Potomac River. The dam was constructed in 1957. The drainage area of the Occoquan River above the dam is approximately 590 square miles. The dam impounds approximately 8.5 billion gallons of water when filled to the crest of the dam at Elevation 122 feet, mean sea level. The present Occoquan Reservoir supply has a safe yield of about 82.5 million gallons per day (MGD).

Treatment of water from the Occoquan Reservoir is provided by the 120 MGD Griffith Water Treatment Plant in Laurel Hill, placed in service in 2006. This facility applies various chemicals for coagulation, the control of taste and odors, fluoridation and disinfection. Construction of the intake structure on the Potomac River, raw water pumping station and the initial phase of the Corbalis Treatment Plant commenced in 1978 and was placed into operation in 1982. During 2008, construction of Stage III was completed, bringing total treatment capacity for this treatment plant to 225 MGD. Facilities are available for applying various chemicals for coagulation, control of taste and odors, fluoridation, and disinfection.

On January 3, 2014, Fairfax Water purchased the water systems previously owned and operated by the cities of Falls Church and Fairfax. As part of the agreement, Fairfax Water acquired Falls Church’s existing water supply contract with the Washington Aqueduct. Up to 31 MGD of finished water can be supplied to Fairfax Water by the Washington Aqueduct.
Thirty booster pumping stations are located within the distribution system to provide adequate pressure.

A total of 56 million gallons (MG) of distribution system storage is provided at 21 locations throughout Fairfax County, the City of Falls Church and the City of Fairfax; an additional 37 MG of treatment plant clear well storage is also available between the Corbalis and Griffith facilities. There are approximately 4,000 miles of water main up to 54 inches in diameter in the system.

Development of Fairfax Water’s supply, treatment, transmission and distribution facilities is conducted in accordance with a Ten Year Capital Improvement Program. Highlights of the current program include:

- **Distribution System Sustainability**: Increased reinvestment in the distribution system infrastructure to maintain a high level of service to customers.

- **Construction of various Transmission Improvements**: Transmission mains include, the Tysons East Transmission Main, the Sleepy Hollow Road Pump Station Transmission Main, the Powhatan Street Transmission Main and the Braddock Road Phase 2 Transmission Main. Various pumping station and storage improvements are also planned, including a new pump station and replacement storage tank in the Seven Corners area.

- **Central and Willard Road Maintenance Facilities**: Construction of replacement maintenance facilities to meet the existing and future public water service requirements of customers located in the central/eastern portion of Fairfax County, including McLean, Tysons, Merrifield, Baileys Crossroads, Seven Corners, and the Cities of Fairfax and Falls Church (Central) and western Fairfax County (Willard).

- **Source Water Protection Activities**: Fairfax Water continues to advocate for source water protection through support of the Occoquan Watershed Monitoring Program, Occoquan Nonpoint Source Program, the Potomac River Basin Drinking Water Source Protection Partnership, study of critical watershed areas, increased involvement in watershed and water quality issues and analysis of ongoing activities in the watershed.

### Current Project Descriptions

1. **Additions, Extensions and Betterments**: $123,172,000 for improvement and betterment of existing supply, treatment, transmission, distribution and general plant facilities associated with a specific project.

2. **Extraordinary Maintenance and Repairs**: $438,655,000 for maintenance and repairs, including $176,455,000 for extraordinary maintenance and major repair of supply, treatment, transmission and general plant facilities associated with a specific project, which includes the acquisition of property for and construction of a replacement central maintenance facility, and $262,200,000 to provide a sustainable distribution system through infrastructure reinvestment.

3. **General and Administrative**: $198,600,000 for expenses associated with administration and overhead. These expenses include materials and supplies; refund of advances; and costs associated with net revenue funded projects, but not attributed to a single project or program.

4. **General Studies and Programs**: $27,305,000 for general studies, programs, engineering and research pertaining to water quality, water supply and system development.
5. **Potomac Stage IV General Plant Facilities**: $3,790,000 for annual expenses attributed to administration, overhead and bond financing associated with development of the future Potomac River Water Supply Facilities funded by future bond issue and funds on hand.

6. **Potomac Stage IV Transmission Facilities**: $10,366,000 for the design and construction of the Tysons East Transmission Main from the Tysons Corner Pumping Station to the existing 24-inch water main on Magarity Road.

7. **Subdivision and Other Development Projects**: $10,550,000 for expenses associated with the review and approval of plans for water main installation associated with land development activities. This project also includes provisions for Fairfax Water inspection of water mains installed by land development contractors.

8. **System Integration – City of Falls Church & City of Fairfax**: $49,220,000 for transmission, distribution, pumping, and storage improvements to fully integrate the water system assets previously owned by the cities of Falls Church and Fairfax that became part of the Fairfax Water system on January 3, 2014.
## Project Cost Summaries

### Water Supply

($000's)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>Source of Funds</th>
<th>Budgeted or Expended Through FY 2021</th>
<th>Total FY 2022 - FY 2026</th>
<th>Total FY 2027 - FY 2031</th>
<th>Total Project Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Additions, Extensions and Betterments</td>
<td>SR C</td>
<td>$21,939 / $38,048 / $13,662 / $4,678 / $5,687</td>
<td>$84,014 / $39,158 / $123,172</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Extraordinary Maintenance and Repairs</td>
<td>SR C</td>
<td>$35,376 / $37,041 / $56,598 / $60,668 / $46,618</td>
<td>$236,301 / $202,354 / $438,655</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 General and Administrative</td>
<td>SR C</td>
<td>$19,130 / $19,280 / $19,530 / $19,700 / $19,930</td>
<td>$97,570 / $101,030 / $198,600</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 General Studies and Programs</td>
<td>SR C</td>
<td>$2,769 / $3,190 / $4,623 / $2,014 / $2,581</td>
<td>$15,177 / $12,128 / $27,305</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Potomac Stage IV General Plant Facilities</td>
<td>SR/B $2,090</td>
<td>$50 / $30</td>
<td>$80 / $1,620 / $3,790</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Potomac Stage IV Transmission Facilities</td>
<td>SR/B $6,106</td>
<td>$250 / $125</td>
<td>$375 / $3,885 / $10,366</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Subdivision and Other Development Projects</td>
<td>SR C</td>
<td>$1,010 / $1,020 / $1,030 / $1,040 / $1,050</td>
<td>$5,150 / $5,400 / $10,550</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 System Integration (Falls Church/Fairfax)</td>
<td>SR C</td>
<td>$4,913 / $3,281 / $9,101 / $8,735 / $17,330</td>
<td>$43,360 / $5,860 / $49,220</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>$8,196 / $85,137 / $102,160 / $104,544 / $96,990 / $93,196</td>
<td>$482,027 / $371,435 / $861,658</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Numbers in bold italics represent funded amounts. A "C" in the 'Budgeted or Expended' column denotes a continuing project.

**Key:**
- **B** Bonds
- **G** General Fund
- **S** State
- **F** Federal
- **X** Other
- **U** Undetermined
Transportation Initiatives

Transportation Initiatives Goals

- To provide long range transportation planning for new capacity roadway improvements.
- To identify potential locations for major transit facilities such as future rail stations and park and ride sites.
- To enhanced public transportation corridors which will require further study to identify the feasibility of alternative modes and levels of service.
- To provide a system of alternative transportation links between residential, educational and commercial activity centers oriented to the non-motorized user.
Transportation Initiatives

Transportation facilities and services in Fairfax County are primarily provided by the Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT) which owns, constructs, maintains and operates nearly all of the roads in Fairfax County, and by the Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority (WMATA) which provides the majority of all public transit service in the region. In addition to the transportation planning done by these two agencies, the Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments (COG) is responsible for ensuring regional compatibility of all transportation plans, a prerequisite for the expenditure of federal funds for any transportation project.

The Transportation Section of the Fairfax County's Comprehensive Plan includes the following established objectives:

- Provide for both through and local movement of people and goods via a multi-modal transportation system that provides transportation choices, reduces single-occupancy-vehicle (SOV) use and improves air quality.
- Increase use of public transportation and non-motorized transportation.
- Ensure that the roadway system provides adequate local access and capacity for through movements, consistent with financial, social, and environmental constraints and with the county's goal of reducing SOV use.
- Provide a comprehensive network of sidewalks, trails and on/off road bicycle routes as an integral element of the overall transportation network.
- Promote Transportation Demand Management (TDM) to support efficient use of the county's transportation system.
- Ensure that improvements to the transportation system are cost-effective and consistent with environmental, land use, social, and economic goals.
- Provide transportation facilities and services that minimize community disruption and adverse environmental impacts.
- Identify the funding needed for the county's transportation system and potential sources for that funding.
- Ensure safety for users of transportation facilities and services and for the general public.
- Maximize the operational efficiency of transportation facilities for all modes.
- Ensure that land use and transportation policies are complementary.
- Preserve land needed to accommodate planned transportation facilities.
- Review and update the Fairfax County Transportation Plan and Bicycle Master Plan once every five years.

Source: Fairfax County Comprehensive Plan, 2017 Edition; Policy Plan - Transportation, Amended through 3-20-2018

Transportation legislation and federal public transportation grants continue to change the way that Fairfax County programs and implements transportation projects.
Funding for the Transportation Priorities Plan (TPP)

On January 28, 2014, the Board approved the Transportation Priorities Plan (TPP). This plan, which established transportation priorities for six years, was the product of a dialogue that began at the Board’s retreat in February 2012. Following the Board’s retreat, there was a two-year effort, titled the “Countywide Dialogue on Transportation” (CDOT), which consisted of a project prioritization process that included a Benefit-Cost Analysis (BCA) for proposed projects, along with extensive public outreach and community input. The initial six-year plan was for FY 2015- FY 2020. Since 2014, the TPP has been updated with several factors to be included in the process which include:

- Providing updated costs and timelines for projects in the approved TPP;
- When possible, fully funding projects that were only partially funded in the original TPP;
- Ensuring the update is a continuation of the County’s transportation priorities (e.g., implementation of the County’s Comprehensive Plan, congestion reduction, multimodal solutions, the County’s Economic Success Strategic Plan, etc.);
- Evaluating potential new projects based on several selection criteria, including Benefit Cost Analysis, support for major activity centers, schools, parks and disadvantaged populations, and public input; and
- Noting most potential revenues sources when determining what is available to meet the needs of these projects. This provides for a more inclusive, comprehensive plan for transportation.

The latest TPP update was approved by the Board of Supervisors on December 3, 2019 for the six-year period of FY 2020- FY 2025. The proposed TPP includes approximately $3.04 billion in available funding through FY 2025. The tables below include the various sources of revenues and proposed allocations by project category.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Revenues</th>
<th>Total (in millions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Previous Allocations (Local, State, Federal, Regional)</td>
<td>$519.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>$952.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal</td>
<td>$314.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private</td>
<td>$181.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>$738.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>$330.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Expenses</strong></td>
<td><strong>$3,036.0</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Categories</th>
<th>Total (in millions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Major Roadway Capital (e.g. widenings, extensions, interchanges)</td>
<td>$1,626.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spot/Intersection Improvements</td>
<td>$185.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicycle and Pedestrian Projects</td>
<td>$215.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transit Capital and Operating</td>
<td>$837.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt Service</td>
<td>$78.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Support Needs</td>
<td>$68.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Transportation Needs (e.g. studies and planning, traffic calming, etc.)</td>
<td>$9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve/Contingency</td>
<td>$14.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Expenses</strong></td>
<td><strong>$3,036.0</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Transportation Initiatives

Revenues noted in the table above include most sources. Unlike the TPP the Board approved in January 2014, the FY 2020- FY 2025 TPP is more inclusive of transportation projects implemented in Fairfax County. It accounts for most revenues dedicated to transportation capital projects, whereas the FY 2015- FY 2020 TPP accounted only for available revenues (not previously approved for other projects). Since the Board’s adoption of the TPP on January 28, 2014, 100 projects have been completed. A complete list of projects for the TPP can be found at www.fairfaxcounty.gov/transportation/TPP.

Local/Regional

As authorized by the Virginia General Assembly, the Board of Supervisors has implemented a Commercial and Industrial (C&I) tax for transportation projects in Fairfax County. The Board has adopted a rate of 12.5 cents (the maximum allowed by the Code of Virginia), which is expected to generate $62 million in FY 2022. The C&I tax is one of the revenue sources utilized to fund projects within the TPP.

C&I tax revenues also fund Fairfax Connector transit service. Some of this service includes the operation of West Ox Division rush hour and midday service; support for increased frequencies on overcrowded priority bus routes; support of Transit Development Plan expansions of bus service hours at all three operating divisions; support of I-495 Express lanes service and the Tysons Circulator. Additional information can be found at: https://www.fairfaxcounty.gov/transportation/status-report.

In 2013, the General Assembly passed HB 2313, which provided additional revenues for transportation at the statewide and regional level. Of the funds collected, 70 percent are provided to the Northern Virginia Transportation Authority (NVTA) to be used on regional projects meeting certain criteria and 30 percent of the funds are distributed to individual localities to be spent on urban or secondary road construction, capital improvements that reduce congestion, projects included in NVTA’s regional transportation plan or for public transportation purposes. Localities are required to meet certain criteria to be able to receive all of the funds authorized by HB 2313.

In 2018, the General Assembly approved HB 1539 (Hugo)/SB 856 (Saslaw), which diverted $102 million, annually, from existing local and NVTA regional sources (approximately one-third of what HB 2313 originally authorized) to address Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority (WMATA) state of good repair needs. Through HB 1414 (Filler-Com)/SB 895 (Saslaw), the 2020 General Assembly successfully enacted legislation to provide $50 million in annual regional transportation funding to partially address the funding diversion enacted in 2018.

NVTA currently estimates $251 million in FY 2021 regional revenues, of which $176 million will be utilized for regional projects approved as part of NVTA’s Six Year Program (70 percent funds), and $75 million will be disbursed to the localities for them to allocate to eligible transportation projects (30 percent funds). Fairfax County should benefit from approximately 43 percent of these funds. The County’s share of the 30 percent funds is expected to be approximately $32 million, including the portion subsequently provided to the Towns of Herndon and Vienna. For a list of projects being
funding with local “30 percent” funds, please visit: https://thenovaauthority.org/programming/30-local-projects/.

NVTA adopted its FY 2020 - FY 2025 Six Year Program in July 2020. NVTA’s program included funding for several projects in Fairfax County including:

- Fairfax County Parkway Widening (Lee Highway (Route 29) to Nomes Court) - $37,400,000
- Rolling Road Widening (Hunter Village Drive to Old Keene Mill Road) - $27,700,000
- Richmond Highway Widening (Route 235 North to Route 235 South) - $120,387,962
- Soapstone Drive Extension (Sunset Hills Road to Sunrise Valley Drive) - $15,000,000
- Franconia-Springfield Passenger Rail Bypass - $22,958,821 (requested by the Virginia Department of Rail and Public Transportation)

More information on NVTA’s Six Year Program can be found here: https://thenovaauthority.org/fy2024-2025-six-year-program-update/.

Economic Development Authority (EDA) revenue bonds in the amount of $100 million were included in Fund 40010, County and Regional Transportation Projects, and are consistent with the Board of Supervisors TPP. Debt service on these bonds will be paid using Commercial and Industrial Tax revenues. To date, the sale of these bonds for project implementation has not been necessary as the fund has had sufficient cash in account to cover project expenses; however, the authorization is important to advance projects expeditiously.

Fairfax County currently has service districts created to support the advancement of transportation improvements: Route 28, Reston, and Tysons. In FY 2022, the Route 28, Reston, and Tysons Transportation Service Districts are expected to generate approximately $11.8 million, $2.4 million, and $8.6 million in tax revenues, respectively.

On November 4, 2014, voters approved a $100 million bond referendum for transportation. This referendum included funding for spot roadway intersection improvements, pedestrian improvements, and bicycle projects that were included in the TPP. For additional details, see:


The December 2019 Board approved TPP included $100 million in planned Fall 2024 General Obligation Bond funding. These funds are necessary for the advancement of the Board's transportation priorities.

Federal

In December 2015, Congress passed a new transportation authorization bill, entitled Fixing America’s Surface Transportation (FAST) Act. The FAST Act provides $305 billion for highway, transit and railway programs. Of that, $233 billion is for highways, $49 billion is for transit and $10 billion is dedicated to federal passenger rail. The remaining $13 billion will be used for other items related to transportation funding over the five-year period, such as helping to replenish the Highway Trust Fund. By the end of the bill’s five-year duration, highway investment will rise by 15 percent, transit funding will grow by nearly 18 percent, and federal passenger rail investment will remain flat. The bill also increases the amount of funding that is sub-allocated to metropolitan areas on the basis of population from the current 50 percent to 55 percent over five years. The bill reclassified TAP as the “Surface Transportation Program (STP) Set-Aside” and provides approximately $840 million per year.
Projects in Fairfax County are eligible to receive federal funding from a variety of funding programs, including the Regional Surface Transportation Program (RSTP), the Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) Program, and the Transportation Alternatives Set-Aide Program (TAP).

Federal law requires a rigorous air quality impact assessment of all transit and highway projects both at the programming level and at the specific project level. The CMAQ program provides a flexible funding source to State and local governments for transportation projects and programs to help meet federal clean air requirements. Funding provided through the CMAQ program is designed to assist states in attaining the federal air quality standards for ozone and carbon monoxide. RSTP funding may be used by states and localities for projects to preserve and improve the conditions and performance on any Federal-aid highway, bridge and tunnel project on any public road, pedestrian and bicycle infrastructure, and transit capital project. TAP funds are utilized for smaller-scale transportation projects such as pedestrian and bicycle facilities, recreational trails, and safe routes to school projects. Details for the RSTP and CMAQ programs can be found at: https://thenovaauthority.org/programming/cmaq-rstp/. Information regarding the TAP program can be found at: https://smartportal.virginiahb2.org/#/about/tap.

The Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requires all public and private providers of transportation services to provide accessible services to those with disabilities. These provisions impact transit and paratransit services operated by WMATA and Fairfax County. This changing regulatory and funding environment provides the County with special challenges and opportunities. One of the important results is increasing multimodal competition for project programming and implementation. In addition, air quality considerations may delay or scale back major roadway projects, while supporting short-term Transportation System Management (TSM) and Transportation Demand Management (TDM) solutions. Additional information can be found at https://www.fairfaxcounty.gov/transportation/tdp.

Per federal law, each Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) with a transportation management area of more than one million in population representing a nonattainment or maintenance area is required to develop and update biennially a performance plan to achieve air quality and congestion reduction targets. Currently, the National Capital Region, is in an Environmental Protection Agency Air Quality 8-Hour Ozone Maintenance Area. A CMAQ Outcomes Assessment Study for the program is also required. To support many of the federal transportation initiatives to reduce congestion and air pollution, the County and VDOT have advanced an ambitious multimodal program for interstates and primary arterials, which involves building High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) lanes, High Occupancy Toll (HOT) lanes, park-and-ride lots and new transit facilities. These improvements have significantly improved commuting for those who rideshare or use public transit. This has resulted in an appreciable increase in transit ridership which, in turn, lessened the demands on the area highways.

**Private**

Fairfax County receives private contributions from developers for roadway and transportation improvements throughout the County. Developer contributions are based on the developer contribution rate schedule for road improvements in the Fairfax Center, Centreville, Reston, and Tysons Areas. These area contributions will address the traffic impact of new development associated with growth resulting from the Comprehensive Plan. The contribution rate schedule is revised periodically by the Board of Supervisors based on the Consumer Price Index.

In November 2016, I-66 Mobility Partners was selected to deliver the Transform 66 Outside the Beltway project. The project is a public-private partnership between the Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT), the Department of Rail and Public Transportation (DRPT), and a private
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partner, Express Mobility Partners (EMP). The project will deliver $3.7 billion of transportation improvements in the I-66 corridor and transform I-66 into a multimodal corridor that moves more people by providing more reliable and new travel options.

The Transform 66 Outside the Beltway agreement also provided a $500 million concessionaire payment for additional transportation projects that will augment the effectiveness of the other I-66 improvements. In December 2017, the Commonwealth Transportation Board (CTB) approved the list of projects, including nine projects in Fairfax County, with a total award of $122,169,000. See http://www.transform66.org/ for more information.

State

The Commonwealth utilizes the Smart Scale process to prioritize project funding and the development of the Six-Year Improvement Program (SYIP). The Smart Scale process considers congestion mitigation, economic development, accessibility, safety, land use, and environmental quality to rank candidate projects. The CTB can weigh these factors differently in each of the Commonwealth's transportation districts; however, congestion mitigation must be weighted highest in Northern Virginia.

The Weighting Framework for Northern Virginia is:

- Congestion Mitigation (45%)
- Land Use Coordination (20%)
- Accessibility (15%)
- Environmental Quality (10%)
- Economic Development (5%)
- Safety (5%)

During the last round of funding, the Board Authorized the County to submit applications for ten projects listed in priority order below:

- Richmond Highway Widening (Sherwood Hall Lane to Mount Vernon Highway/Jeff Todd Way): $106.8 million
- Soapstone Drive Extension/Dulles Toll Road Overpass: $66.4 million
- Braddock Road Improvements Phase I (Southampton Drive to Ravensworth Road): $38.9 million
- Frontier Drive Extension (Franconia-Springfield Metrorail Station to Loisdale Road): $110.3 million
- Seven Corners Ring Road (Phase 1A/Segment 1A): $99.5 million
- Braddock Road and Old Lee Road Improvements: $16 million
- Route 7 Widening (Route 123 to I-495): $46.1 million
- Fairfax County Parkway Widening (Route 123 to Nomes Court): $96.9 million
- Route 7 Widening (I-495 to I-66): $57 million

The Commonwealth will announce which projects are recommended for funding in early 2021 and the CTB should adopt the approved list in June 2021, as part of the SYIP process. Because Smart Scale is a competitive process, there is no means to accurately forecast funding for projects in Fairfax County. As such, for planning purposes, FCDOT staff uses an average of previous awards in assuming revenues for the TPP, and CIP.
Transportation Initiatives

The Revenue Sharing program is administered by VDOT, in cooperation with the participating localities, as authorized by the Code of Virginia. The Program provides funding for use by a county, city, or town to construct, reconstruct, improve or maintain the highway systems. These funds must be equally matched by the locality. For Fairfax County, this program has been very successful in helping to fund some of the County's major road and transit projects. State law provides that the program shall receive up to $100 million in each fiscal year for improvements to the secondary and primary road systems, with these funds to be equally matched by locality funds. The Commonwealth Transportation Board has adopted a policy of providing a match of up to $10 million, through its Revenue Sharing Program, for roadway projects designated by a locality for improvement, construction or reconstruction. Additional information can be found at: http://www.virginiadot.org/business/resources/local_assistance/Fiscal_Year_2019-2020_Revenue_Sharing_allocations.pdf

State law also prioritizes project types for the Revenue Sharing Program, stating that priority will be given: first, to projects that have previously received Revenue Sharing funds; second, to projects that (i) meet a transportation need identified in the Statewide Transportation Plan or (ii) accelerate a project in a locality’s capital plan; and third, to projects that address pavement resurfacing and bridge rehabilitation projects where the maintenance needs analysis determines that the infrastructure does not meet the Department's maintenance performance targets.

While Revenue Sharing funds have historically been allocated to projects in the upcoming one or two years, revenue impacts from the COVID-19 pandemic have led to changes in the program. The Commonwealth’s updated FY 2021- FY 2022 budget includes language allowing the CTB to utilize Revenue Sharing Funds allocated in previous fiscal years not currently needed to support the project based on the project's current schedule. This will increase the funding available to the Commonwealth Transportation Fund for distribution to other transportation funds and programs so that projects can continue to move forward. New funding allocations to projects will likely not occur until the funding is fully restored to those projects from which Revenue Sharing was diverted, which is required to occur by FY 2024.

Public transportation in Fairfax County includes several different types of capital facilities programmed to move people effectively throughout the transportation network in the County and the region. Primary capital facilities include Metrorail, Metrobus, Fairfax Connector, commuter park and ride lots and commuter rail related projects. The County’s role with neighboring Virginia jurisdictions, the Washington, D.C. region and state and federal entities varies from project to project.

Funding for Public Transportation
Funding for public transportation in Fairfax County includes Federal Aid, State Aid, Northern Virginia Transportation District bonds, Northern Virginia motor fuels tax, County bonds, the County General Fund, the C&I tax, and NVTA local and regional revenues for transportation.

Metrorail
The Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority (WMATA) currently operates the 117 mile long mass transit rail system with 91 stations serving the National Capital Region. The following ten Metrorail stations are located in Fairfax County: West Falls Church-VT/UVA, Dunn Loring-Merrifield and Vienna-Fairfax/GMU Stations on the Orange line, the Franconia-Springfield Station on the Blue line; the Huntington Station on the Yellow line, and the McLean, Tysons Corner, Greensboro, Spring Hill, and Wiehle-Reston East Stations on the Silver Line. The Van Dorn Station on the Blue line is
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located in Alexandria, but also serves transit riders of Fairfax County. See https://www.fairfaxcounty.gov/transportation/projects/silver-line for more information.

WMATA Capital

As part of the federal Passenger Rail Investment and Improvement Act (PRIIA) of 2008, Congress authorized $1.5 billion for WMATA over ten years to address urgent capital needs, if the region provided $1.5 billion to match the federal funds. All three signatory jurisdictions (Virginia, Maryland, and the District of Columbia) passed the compact amendments required to receive the federal funding, and the non-Federal matches are in place. The capital funding is used to support areas such as: meeting safety requirements of the National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB), repairing aging rail track, investing in new rail cars, fixing broken escalators and elevators, and rehabilitating decaying rail stations and platforms.

The Capital Funding Agreement (CFA) was signed by the WMATA jurisdictions in 2010. The CFA included all the planned capital expenditures for Metrorail, Metrobus, and Paratransit for FY 2011 through FY 2016. This six-year capital funding plan is reviewed and updated annually. The CFA has been extended for one year each year since FY 2017 including FY 2021. WMATA funding jurisdictions are currently working on the next Capital Funding Agreement which should be a multi-year agreement. This agreement will provide for state of good repair needs in the Capital Improvement Program (CIP) at WMATA such as the purchase of new railcars, buses, and station capacity improvements in the core of the system.

Significant regional, state, and federal efforts were undertaken to secure an additional $500 million per year in capital funding for WMATA to bring the Metrorail system, in particular, back to a “State of Good Repair” and to keep it that way. In Virginia, the General Assembly passed HB 1539 / SB 856, the WMATA/Transit Funding Bill, which provides dedicated capital funding for WMATA as well as governance reforms.

The reforms and requirements (which WMATA has met to date) include:

- Restricting participation of alternates on the WMATA Board
- Withholding 35 percent of state funds if Virginia operating assistance increases by more than three percent annually
- Requiring WMATA to adopt a CIP and strategic plan
- Requiring a Performance Annual Report on the performance and condition of WMATA
- Requiring annual certification by NVTC of receipt of various items from WMATA

Dulles Corridor Rail Project

The extension of the Metrorail system to Tysons and Dulles International Airport (IAD) has been identified as a transportation priority for Fairfax County and the Commonwealth of Virginia for several decades. This project includes the completion of a 23-mile extension of the Metrorail line, beginning between the East and West Falls Church Stations through Tysons, Reston, Herndon, and then to Dulles Airport and into Loudoun County. When complete, the new line will have eleven stations, including eight in Fairfax County.

The project is being constructed in two phases. Phase 1, with a cost of $2.9 billion, begins at the Orange Line and extends the line to Wiehle Avenue in Reston. Phase 1 of the Silver Line was completed in July 2014. Phase 2 will complete the rail line through Dulles International Airport to its final stop at Ashburn Station in Loudoun County, including three more stations in Fairfax County, an airport station, two in Loudoun County, and a rail maintenance and storage facility at Dulles
International Airport. Phase 2 is estimated to cost $2.8 billion and is currently under construction. Fairfax County and Loudoun County are funding the parking garages separately from the project. The primary source of funding to complete the rail line is toll road revenues (50.9 percent), with $900 million, or 15.8 percent from the Federal government, 16.1 percent from Fairfax County, 4.8 percent from Loudoun County, 4.1 percent from MWAA airport revenues, and 10.1 percent from the Commonwealth of Virginia. A portion of Fairfax County’s share of Phase 1 and Phase 2 is funded by two special transportation improvement districts. The special tax districts will provide $400 million and $330 million of Fairfax County’s share for Phase 1 and 2, respectively.

The balance of the total project funds owed by Fairfax County, net of the two tax districts and regional transportation funding, is approximately $187 million for both phases of the project. These funds are expected to be paid from future Commercial and Industrial (C&I) tax revenues along with $10 million in 70 percent regional funding from the Northern Virginia Transportation Authority (NVTA). In addition, the Funding Partners closed on a United States Department of Transportation Infrastructure Finance and Innovation Act (TIFIA) loan. This loan offers competitive interest rates, and unique financing provisions and will fund a majority of the funding partners remaining project costs. Fairfax County closed on its $403.3 million TIFIA loan in December 2014. For more information on the funding breakdown for this project, visit the Dulles Corridor Metrorail Project website: [http://www.dullesmetro.com/](http://www.dullesmetro.com/) and [https://www.fairfaxcounty.gov/transportation/projects/silver-line](https://www.fairfaxcounty.gov/transportation/projects/silver-line).

### Commuter Rail

Fairfax County, as a member of the Northern Virginia Transportation Commission (NVTC), and in cooperation with the Potomac and Rappahannock Transportation Commission (PRTC), participates in the development of plans, budgets, agreements and capital projects for the operation of the Virginia Railway Express (VRE) commuter rail service. VRE operates peak period service on the CSX Transportation line from Spotsylvania to Union Station and on the Norfolk Southern Railway line from Broad Run to Union Station. Fairfax County has five stations in the system. Each of these facilities includes parking lots, station platforms, fare equipment and user amenities.

VRE’s System Plan 2040, which can be found on VRE’s website, ([www.vre.org](http://www.vre.org)), discusses the long-term capital and equipment needs for the VRE system, as well as various expansion options and associated capital requirements. VRE has been incrementally implementing these improvements since the initial Strategic Plan was adopted in 2004 including supplemental revisions as the system and needs in the northern Virginia, and Washington, D.C. regions change. The Plan evaluates potential service improvement and system expansion initiatives to determine the relative magnitude of benefits and costs, identify potential funding opportunities, and determine needed coordination and cooperation with regional transportation partners and stakeholders to ensure future capacity best meets regional travel needs.

The latest and most significant change to VRE’s strategic plan recently occurred with the establishment of the Virginia Passenger Rail Authority (VPRA) by the General Assembly effective July 1, 2020. The VPRA will function much like Virginia’s Commercial Space Flight, Port and Airport authorities, which operate under the auspices of the Commonwealth Transportation Board (CTB). VPRA’s status as an authority affords it some degree of independence, allowing it to craft long-term plans and maximize rail investments. The 15-member governing board includes the Virginia Railway Express’ (VRE) CEO, as an ex-officio member, and six individuals, three a piece, who reside within the jurisdictions represented by the Northern Virginia Transportation Commission and the Potomac and Rappahannock Transportation Commission.
Creation of the VPRA benefits VRE in several ways. Once an agreement between Virginia and CSX Transportation is finalized, VPRA will acquire and manage a substantial amount of track in the I-95 corridor, between Richmond and the Potomac River. The change in track ownership will allow VRE to add an additional round-trip train on both the Fredericksburg and Manassas lines.

Over the next 10 years, the VPRA will oversee construction of an additional 37 miles of track in the corridor, including a bypass at Franconia-Springfield and a second rail bridge over the Potomac, primarily for passenger trains, that will connect Arlington and Washington, D.C. The separation of freight and passenger trains will go a long way toward alleviating congestion. As a result, VRE will be able to run more trains with greater reliability. Additional service could be phased in beginning in 2026, as infrastructure improvements are completed.

In 2018, the Virginia General Assembly passed HB 1539/SB 856 which provides $15 million annually for VRE, called Commuter Rail Operating and Capital (C-ROC) fund, within the regional gas tax funds, which was imposed separately through SB 896/HB 768. VRE staff are currently in discussions with DRPT about a contribution to the Transforming Rail in Virginia program from VRE’s Commuter Rail Operating and Capital (C-ROC) funds. These discussions, which contemplate a combination of debt issuance proceeds and pay-as-you-go cash, will occur separately from, but in parallel with, VRE’s budget adoption process. VRE does not expect to recommend any further C-ROC commitments to specific VRE capital projects (currently $15 million is committed to the Crystal City Station and $30 million to the L’Enfant Station and Fourth Track, covering FY 2019-FY 2021 C-ROC funds) until these negotiations are resolved. However, still under consideration when these funds became available, the VRE Operations Board has agreed to the major criteria for the use of these funds, including:

- Projects that are not eligible for typical VRE capital funding sources (e.g., NVTA, SmartScale)
- Projects where a commitment of local funding could ‘unlock’ significant state or federal matching funds
- Projects that are necessary to allow for future capacity expansion
- Continued use of Capital Reserve to fund small cost/scope changes
- Replacement of major existing assets such as railcars

All of Fairfax County’s VRE stations (Burke Centre, Rolling Road, Lorton, Backlick and Franconia-Springfield) are affected by or will affect the system’s growth. Fairfax County continues to monitor the parking situations at all VRE Stations to identify any improvements required for safety and/or capacity. The County is also in partnership with VRE to extend all the station platforms within the County to accommodate longer train sets proposed by VRE. The latest station in the County to undergo a platform extension will be Rolling Road, with construction beginning in spring of 2021.

**Metrobus**

The WMATA Board of Directors payment policy requires local jurisdictions to pay their respective shares of the estimated operating costs of the bus system and capital costs for new buses, old vehicle refurbishment, maintenance facility modernizations, bus shelter installation and other miscellaneous improvements. The non-federal share of capital expenditures for the WMATA bus system are shared by Fairfax County and other local jurisdictions in the Washington metropolitan region. Under HB 1539 /SB 856, the WMATA/Transit Funding Bill, the annual subsidy the County owes to WMATA to support Metrobus operations in Fairfax County cannot rise more than three percent in a given year.
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**Fairfax Connector**

In 1985 the Fairfax Connector system began operations providing service to the Huntington Metrorail Station. This service consisted of ten routes with 33 transit buses. Fairfax Connector was created as a cost-effective public transportation system for Fairfax County to operate as an alternative to Metrobus. The system now provides service to approximately 29,000 weekday riders on 86 routes with 312 transit buses. Additional information can be found at: [https://www.fairfaxcounty.gov/transportation/connector/](https://www.fairfaxcounty.gov/transportation/connector/).

For the FY 2022 – FY 2026 time period, the Transit Services Division is looking to focus on two ongoing capital projects.

- **Transit Bus Procurements:** Beginning in FY 2022, the Connector will be receiving 34 buses as part of a fleet renewal program that plans on replacing 173 buses over the five year period based on the Connector vehicle replacement plan. The estimated total cost of replacing these buses over five years is $99.3 million. The buses will replace older ones that have logged close to or exceed 500,000 miles. The addition of the new buses will improve the reliability of the fleet and provide customers with the latest amenities and technologies.

- **Bus Mid-life Rebuilds:** $14.7 million is planned for this ongoing program. Major components within buses, such as the engine, transmission and radiator are replaced to increase reliability as the buses continue to service the riding public over their useful life. This type of preventative maintenance is designed to reduce the additional maintenance costs to replace vital systems within the bus before they fail. Mid-life replacement programs are considered to be a best practice within the transit industry to ensure the buses attain the end of the useful life with minimal maintenance expenses.

**Highways and Transit Facilities**

The Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT) is responsible for the construction and maintenance of roads in the interstate, primary and secondary highway systems. Funds are allocated for these purposes through federal and state laws, and various combinations of federal state fund matching are utilized for construction and maintenance. In recent years, VDOT’s primary focus has been on the programming of highway construction and improvements derived from the priorities for the interstate system and the state’s primary highway system aimed at accommodating traffic demands. In years past, the state has proposed studies to require the four largest counties to take over the construction and maintenance of these roads. However, no legislation requiring this proposal has passed the General Assembly.

In addition, implementing the Countywide TPP, based on the Comprehensive Plan, will provide guidance to the County concerning which projects should be submitted for funding for the allocation of state highway funds and the identification of projects to be funded by County bonds, and other sources of transportation revenues.

The Interstate and Primary Six Year Program (SYIP) is prepared annually by VDOT in conjunction with its annual budget and can be found at: [http://syip.virginiadot.org](http://syip.virginiadot.org). Smart Scale does not require the CTB to fund projects in order of their scoring or to select the highest scoring project. Additional consideration may be used to develop the SYIP, such as: public feedback; overall availability of funding and eligible uses of such funding; and project development considerations. VDOT holds public hearings each year and receives input from the Board of Supervisors in preparing and finalizing these project allocations. The allocation of funds to VDOT projects is the subject of public hearings held separately from the County CIP process. Although, in many cases, the County is not
funding the projects and has no direct responsibility for the construction and improvement of the road system, the provision of a road system to adequately serve the needs of the County is of major concern to Fairfax County and its citizens. Fairfax County staff is an integral part of the project team, developing, reviewing, and coordinating projects and studies from scoping through construction phases. To supplement the VDOT programs, other funds and programs have been established and are also included in the CIP. Examples of current road and transit projects include:

- **Herndon Metrorail Station Parking Garage:** The Herndon Metrorail Station Parking Garage is part of the Phase 2 Dulles Rail project and is in addition to the existing Herndon Monroe garage. Fairfax County is responsible for the design, construction, operations and maintenance of the garage which is required to be operational by the WMATA announced start date of revenue service for Phase 2. The new garage has approximately 2,000 parking spaces, bicycle amenities, pedestrian and vehicular bridges connecting to the existing garage, associated stormwater management, roadwork and transportation improvements. The project is complete. The total cost of $44.9 million was supported by C&I Tax revenues and parking revenue bond proceeds.

- **Innovation Center Metrorail Station Parking Garage:** The Innovation Center Metrorail Station Parking Garage is part of the Phase 2 Dulles Rail project and is required to be operational by the WMATA announced start date of revenue service for Phase 2. Fairfax County is responsible for the design, construction, operations and maintenance of the garage. The garage has approximately 2,100 parking spaces, bicycle amenities, and associated stormwater management, roadwork and transportation improvements. The garage is required to accommodate the anticipated parking requirements for the Metrorail station and is being planned and coordinated in partnership with adjacent property owners as part of a Transit Oriented Development. The project includes a real estate exchange, joint rezoning, and shared public-private site infrastructure. The project is complete. The total cost of $52.0 million was supported by Commercial and Industrial Tax revenues and parking revenue bond proceeds.

- **Springfield CBC Commuter Parking Garage:** The Springfield CBC Commuter Parking Garage is a new parking garage to accommodate approximately 1,000 commuter parking spaces and provide a bus transit location on the ground level. This multi-year project is currently in the construction bid phase with construction anticipated to begin in March 2021. The estimated total cost of this project is $63.8 million to be funded with federal, and local C&I tax funding sources. The Fairfax County Department of Transportation (FCDOT) has identified alternative, temporary parking for users of the existing park-and-ride lot until the new Springfield Garage is completed in the spring of 2023.

- **Fairfax Corner Commuter Parking Garage and Transit Center:** The Fairfax Corner Commuter Parking Garage and Transit Center is a new parking garage with a minimum of 820 parking spaces and will include a transit center of eight/twelve bus bays, a kiss-and-ride facility, one shuttle bus bay, bicycle storage, restroom facility, and Connector store. This project is currently in the design phase with construction anticipated to begin in fall 2021. The estimated total cost of this project is $43.0 million to be funded with Transform 66 Concession Funds and Local Funds.

- **Transit Centers:** $2,000,000 for two new transit centers for use by the general public and students.
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- **George Mason University (Sandy Creek Way):** 6 bus bays; bus shelters; benches; trash receptacles; and space for a possible future transit store. George Mason University administered the contract and the transit center was dedicated on March 15, 2018.

- **Northern Virginia Community College (NVCC):** FCDOT coordinated with the college on potential locations for the transit center and developed several conceptual designs; however, a suitable location has not been found, and the project has been cancelled.

- **Reston Metrorail Access Group (RMAG) Program:** This Program provides for the construction of missing sidewalk links and improvements to the pedestrian access at intersections located near Phase 2 of the Dulles Rail Metro stations.

- **Traffic Calming Program:** This program provides for the staff review of roads for traffic calming measures when requested by a Board member on behalf of a homeowners’ or civic association. Traffic calming employs the use of physical devices such as multi-way stop signs, speed humps, raised pedestrian crosswalks, median islands, or traffic circles to reduce the speed of traffic on a residential street.

- **Jefferson Manor Phase IIIA:** $4,000,000 will provide for road, sidewalk and storm drainage improvements on Albemarle Drive. Phase I (road and storm drainage improvements on Farmington Drive, Farnsworth Drive, part of Edgehill Drive design); Phase II-A (road and storm drainage improvements on Jefferson Drive, and Monticello Road) and Phase II-B (land acquisition, utility relocation and construction on Fort Drive) are complete. Phase IIIA is under construction with an anticipated completion date in Summer 2021.

- **Walkway Improvements in Tysons:** As part of the Transportation improvements in the Tysons area, several new trails are proposed that will connect residential areas south and east of Tysons with the new Silver Line Metrorail stations. Since these trails will potentially serve a high volume of pedestrian and bicycle users during periods of darkness, pedestrian scale lighting will be included as part of each project.

- **Tysons Roadway Infrastructure:** The County’s Comprehensive Plan for Tysons envisions a transformation that will result in an urban center of approximately 113 million square feet of development by 2050. Several improvements to the existing roadway and transportation infrastructure are necessary to improve access to, and mobility within, the Tysons Urban Center. These improvements are identified as “Tysons-Wide” in Table 7 of the Comprehensive Plan and shown in the Table below. These projects include new access points from the Dulles Toll Road and expanded capacity to arterial roads. Projects included in the CIP are those that are programmed for the next ten years. Below is an excerpt from Table 7.
Transportation Infrastructure, Programs, and Services, as they Relate to the Level of Development in Tysons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Transportation Program or Infrastructure Project</th>
<th>Description of Transportation Program or Infrastructure Project</th>
<th>Area Served by Improvement</th>
<th>Origin of Transportation Program or Infrastructure Project</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>A. Transit and Pedestrian Improvements</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rail Transit Routes</td>
<td>Complete Phase I of Metrorail Silver Line</td>
<td>Tysons-wide/Countywide</td>
<td>Completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bus transit routes</td>
<td>Neighborhood bus routes; circulator bus routes serving Metrorail stations; express bus routes on I-66 and I-95/I-495</td>
<td>Tysons-wide/Countywide</td>
<td>Transit Development Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidewalks</td>
<td>Sidewalks to provide connections to developments within walking distance of rail stations</td>
<td>District</td>
<td>Tysons Vision TMSAMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B. Tysons-wide Road Improvements</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads – Connecting Bridge</td>
<td>Bridge connecting Jones Branch Drive to Scotts Crossing Road</td>
<td>Tysons-wide</td>
<td>Completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads – Arterial Widening</td>
<td>Widen Route 7 from 4 to 6 lanes from the Dulles Toll Road to Reston Avenue</td>
<td>Tysons-wide</td>
<td>Construction Stage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads – Arterial Widening</td>
<td>Widen VA 123 to 8 lanes from Route 7 to I-495</td>
<td>Tysons-wide</td>
<td>Planning Stage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads – Arterial Widening</td>
<td>Widen VA 123 from 4 to 6 lanes between Route 7 and Old Courthouse Road</td>
<td>Tysons-wide</td>
<td>Planning Stage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads – Arterial Widening</td>
<td>Widen Route 7 from 4 to 6 lanes between I-495 and I-66</td>
<td>Tysons-wide</td>
<td>Planning Stage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads – Arterial Widening</td>
<td>Widen Route 7 from 6 to 8 lanes from VA 123 to I-495</td>
<td>Tysons-wide</td>
<td>Planning Stage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads – Arterial Widening</td>
<td>Complete widening of Rt. 7 to 8 lanes from the Dulles Toll Road to Rt. 123</td>
<td>Tysons-wide</td>
<td>Programmed and Construction Completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads – Freeway Widening</td>
<td>Widen I-495 from 8 to 12 lanes to provide 4 HOT lanes between the Springfield Interchange and American Legion Bridge</td>
<td>Tysons-wide/Countywide</td>
<td>Programmed and Construction Completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads – Freeway Ramp</td>
<td>HOT ramp connecting to Jones Branch Drive</td>
<td>Tysons-wide</td>
<td>Programmed and Construction Completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads – Freeway Ramp</td>
<td>HOT ramp connecting to the Westpark Bridge</td>
<td>Tysons-wide</td>
<td>Programmed and Construction Completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads – Freeway Ramp</td>
<td>HOT ramp connecting to Rt. 7</td>
<td>Tysons-wide</td>
<td>Programmed and Construction Completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C. Grid of Streets</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads – Grid of Streets</td>
<td>Grid west of Westpark Drive</td>
<td>District</td>
<td>Planning Stage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads – Grid of Streets</td>
<td>Grid bounded by Gosnell Road, Route 7, and VA 123</td>
<td>District</td>
<td>Planning Stage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads – Grid of Streets</td>
<td>Grid connections to Greensboro Drive</td>
<td>District</td>
<td>Planning Stage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads – Grid of Streets</td>
<td>Grid of streets east of I-495</td>
<td>District</td>
<td>Planning Stage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>D. Miscellaneous Improvements</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicycle Access Points</td>
<td>Bicycle connections into and out of Tysons</td>
<td>Tysons-wide</td>
<td>Planning and Implementation; Partially Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads and Intersection Spot Improvements</td>
<td>Intersection improvements outside of Tysons as identified in the Neighborhood Traffic Impact Study and other studies</td>
<td>Tysons-wide</td>
<td>Planning Stage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metrorail Station Access</td>
<td>Access improvements as identified in the Tysons Metrorail Station Access Management Study</td>
<td>Tysons-wide</td>
<td>Planning and Implementation; Partially Complete</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Nationally and internationally, what has previously been referred to in the transportation industry typically as Bicycle/Pedestrian Initiatives, is now known as Active Transportation. Active Transportation is any self-propelled, human-powered mode of transportation, such as walking or bicycling, and recently, scootering. Physical inactivity is a major contributor to the steady rise in rates of obesity, diabetes, heart disease, stroke, and other chronic health conditions in the United States. Many Americans view walking and bicycling within their communities as unsafe due to heavy traffic and a scarcity of sidewalks, crosswalks, and bicycle facilities. Improving these elements encourages active transportation such as children biking to school or employees walking to work. Further, many Fairfax County residents cannot drive due to age, ability, or access to a motor vehicle. Safe and convenient opportunities for physically active travel also expand access to transportation networks for these individuals who cannot drive, while spurring investment in infrastructure to increase the comfort of the on-road experience and improve the appeal of active modes to all people.

Primary strategies used in Active Transportation include:

- Support Safe Routes to School (SRTS) programs to enable children to walk and bike to school safely.
- Construct a connected network of multi-use trails.
- Accommodate all roadway users with comprehensive street design measures such as “complete streets,” including sidewalks, bicycle lanes, and share-the-road signs that provide safe and convenient travel for all users of the roadway.
- Separate motor-vehicle traffic from non-motorized traffic with physical barriers, for instance, the construction of sidewalks, shared-use paths, and separated bike lanes or “cycletracks.”
- Prioritize infrastructure improvements near transit stops and public transportation stations.
- Provide safe and convenient bicycle and pedestrian connections to public parks and recreation areas.
- Promote safe roadway crossings through use of small block sizes, pedestrian refuge islands, and crosswalks.
- Provide streetscape amenities such as benches, landscaping, and lighting in Activity Centers.
- Encourage wayfinding with signs, maps, and landscape cues to direct pedestrians and bicyclists to the most direct route.
- Encourage bicycle parking at workplaces, transit stops, multi-family residences and retail stores.
- Encourage the development of street-level shopping and restaurants along pedestrian and bicycle routes.

Accepting this new comprehensive way of addressing this form of transportation mobility, the Board of Supervisors directed FCDOT to lead the effort to improve active transportation safety and mobility, including constructing bicycle and pedestrian facilities in high-priority areas of Fairfax County. In 2006, the Board endorsed a Ten-Year Funding Goal of $60 million for new bicycle and pedestrian projects. Through FY 2025, the Board has greatly exceeded this goal by selecting $536 million in high-priority bicycle and pedestrian improvement projects. A detailed description of Fairfax County’s Active Transportation Program can be found at:

1. **Board of Supervisors Transportation Priorities Plan (Countywide):** Funding of over $1.9 billion between FY 2022 and FY 2026 is anticipated to be supported by local, regional and state funding sources. These funds will provide for building new roads, sidewalks, bike lanes and transit facilities and improving existing roads, sidewalks, bike lanes and transit facilities. There are several major programs which support the TPP including:

   - **Reston Funding Plan (Hunter Mill District):** On February 28, 2017, the Board of Supervisors approved $2.27 billion for transportation infrastructure improvements to support recommendations in the Reston Phase I Comprehensive Plan Amendment. The proposed plan allocates roughly $1.2 billion of the improvements over 40 years from public funds, federal, state, local, and regional funds that are anticipated for countywide transportation projects. Approximately $1.07 billion of the improvement costs will be raised from private funds, sources of revenue that are generated within the Reston Transit Station Areas (TSA) and used exclusively for transportation projects in the Reston TSAs. Additional information can be found at: [https://www.fairfaxcounty.gov/transportation/study/reston-network-analysis](https://www.fairfaxcounty.gov/transportation/study/reston-network-analysis).

   - **Richmond Highway Bus Rapid Transit Project (Lee, Mount Vernon Districts):** The Richmond Highway Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) project includes the implementation of an approximate 7.4 mile new transit service extending along North Kings Highway / VA 241 and Richmond Highway / U.S. Route 1 from Huntington Metrorail Station in the north to U.S. Army Garrison Fort Belvoir in the south. The project includes the construction of new BRT-dedicated median lanes; nine BRT stations; streetscape improvements; and walkways and bicycle facilities. The project will operate in mixed traffic along North Kings Highway and dedicated lanes on Richmond Highway. Section 1 of the project is from Huntington Metro Station to the Sherwood Hall Lane, and Section 2 is from the Sherwood Hall Lane to Fort Belvoir. Both Sections are to be operational by 2030. The planning level cost estimate for the project is $730 million. Fairfax County has secured approximately $373.6 million of the project cost and continues to seek funding opportunities, such as the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) New Starts grant program.

   - **Tysons Transportation Funding Plan (Providence, Hunter Mill and Dranesville Districts):** On October 16, 2012, the Board of Supervisors approved $3.1 billion in public and private funding for transportation infrastructure improvements to support recommendations in the Tysons Comprehensive Plan. The proposed plan includes various transportation improvements including: a grid of streets network, neighborhood intersection improvements, major roadway projects in and around Tysons, and a transit circulator service. The Fairfax County share during the CIP period is $855 million.

2. **Capital Sinking Fund for County Roads (Countywide):** $5,703,864 has been allocated to date to the capital sinking fund for County roads. The Capital Sinking Fund was established as a direct result of the Infrastructure Financing Committee (IFC). Funding for each sinking fund is approved annually as part of the Carryover Review and is allocated based on the following percentages: 55 percent for FMD, 20 percent for Parks, 10 percent for walkways, 10 percent for County maintained Roads and Service Drives, and 5 percent for revitalization maintenance. The Sinking Fund for County Roads supported an amount of $4 million in reinvestment funding required for the roadways with the most hazardous conditions, as identified in the 2015 Rinker study. Reinvestment continues on prioritized road improvements.
3. **Capital Sinking Fund for Walkways (Countywide):** $7,831,140 has been allocated to date for the capital sinking fund for County Walkways. The Capital Sinking Fund was established as a direct result of the Infrastructure Financing Committee (IFC). Funding for each sinking fund is approved annually as part of the Carryover Review and is allocated based on the following percentages: 55 percent for FMD, 20 percent for Parks, 10 percent for walkways, 10 percent for County maintained Roads and Service Drives, and 5 percent for revitalization maintenance. The Sinking Fund for Walkways supported an amount of $3 million in reinvestment funding required for the walkways in the poorest condition, as identified in the 2013 Rinker study. In addition, the sinking fund is supporting the next level of reinvestment required for deteriorating trails throughout the County.

4. **Contributed Roadway Improvements Fund (Countywide):** This funding accounts for proffered developer contributions received for roadway and transportation improvements throughout the County. Contributions are based on the developer rate schedule for road improvements in the Fairfax Center, Centreville and Tysons Corner areas, as well as Tysons-Wide Developer Contributions and Tysons Grid of Streets Contributions. The rate schedule is revised periodically by the Board of Supervisors based on the Consumer Price Index. Project funding is appropriated at the fiscal year-end, consistent with the level of developer proffer revenue received during that fiscal year. Many different projects throughout the County are supported by this Program within the following major categories: primary and secondary road improvements, bridge design and construction, intersection/interchange improvements, signal improvements and transit improvements.

5. **District Walkway Projects (Countywide):** This Program supports District specific unfunded walkway improvements. Funding was approved for this Program for each District and the Chairman. Board members can fund or leverage grant funding to support walkway projects within their District.

6. **Dulles Rail Phase 2 (Providence, Hunter Mill, and Dranesville Districts):** A total of $527,351,000 has been approved for this project. See Dulles Corridor Rail section above.

7. **Herndon Monroe Area Development Study (Hunter Mill District):** $625,000 is currently available to support the master planning effort associated with County owned property at the west side of the Herndon Monroe Park and Ride facility/Herndon Monroe Metro Station Garage site. The goal of the study is to determine the development potential for the approximately 10-acre portion of the site and define possible conceptual development options for its use. The study is underway with a consultant team of architects and land planners, civil and traffic engineers, and wetlands and environmental consultants. The team is evaluating opportunities for Transit Oriented Development concepts and options, consistent with the Comprehensive Plan goals on this site.

8. **Metro CIP (Countywide):** These funds provide additional access to the existing Metrorail and Metrobus systems to meet growing demand. This program includes projects such as new rail cars and buses and additional parking facilities. The program also includes railcar rehabilitations, escalator overhauls, Metrorail platform repairs and station enhancements, as well as improvements to the existing system. This does not include the cost associated with the Dulles Rail Project. Fairfax County’s share of the Metro CIP is estimated at $215 million from FY 2022 to FY 2026. Amounts for FY 2021 and beyond are estimated for planning purposes only and will be updated annually. These estimated capital expenses are paid with County General Obligation Bonds.
9. **Reinvestment and Repairs to County Roads (Countywide):** This is a continuing project which supports the Emergency Road Repairs Program and the Road Maintenance Program. Staff prioritize funding for projects including emergency safety and road repairs to County-owned service drives and County-owned stub streets which are currently not accepted by the Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT) into the state highway system for maintenance. The County is responsible for 38 miles of roadways not maintained by VDOT. In 2015, a Rinker Study was conducted in order to build an accurate inventory and condition assessment of County-owned roads and service drives and identified an amount of $4 million in reinvestment funding required for the roadways with the most hazardous conditions. The Sinking Fund allocation has provided reinvestment in the most critical needs and continues to provide for roads that have been identified as deteriorating. In addition, a 5-year plan was developed identifying annual emergency funds to increase over time to a level of $900,000 by FY 2021. Annual funding supports pothole repair, drive surface overlays, subgrade repairs, curb and gutter repairs, traffic and pedestrian signage repairs, hazardous tree removal, grading, snow and ice control, minor ditching and stabilization of shoulders, and drainage facilities. Based on the pace of spending to date and project requirements, funding of $700,000 has been included in FY 2022 and is consistent with the FY 2021 Adopted Budget Plan.

10. **Reinvestment and Repairs to Walkways (Countywide):** This is an on-going project which provides for upgrading and emergency maintenance of existing trails. These upgrades to public standards address safety and hazardous conditions such as damaged trail surfaces, retaining wall failures, handrail repairs and rehabilitation of pedestrian bridges. Several older trails do not meet current standards, and projects have been designed to alleviate safety problems, including incorrect grades, steep slopes or obstructions (i.e., power poles/trees that are located too close to the trail). The Department of Public Works and Environmental Services (DPWES) and the Fairfax County Department of Transportation are responsible for the infrastructure replacement and upgrades of 662 miles of walkways and 78 pedestrian bridges. In 2013, a Rinker Study was conducted in order to build an accurate inventory and condition assessment of County walkways and revealed that there were approximately 10 miles of trails in extremely poor condition requiring $3 million in initial reinvestment. The Sinking Fund allocation has provided reinvestment in these most critical trail needs and continues to provide for trails that have since been identified as deteriorating. The Rinker Study did not include an assessment of pedestrian bridges and sinking fund allocations have enabled some progress in this area. In addition, a 5-year plan was developed identifying annual emergency funds to increase over time to a level of $800,000 by FY 2021. Funding of $800,000 has been included in FY 2022.

11. **Trail Snow Removal Pilot (Countywide):** $32,000 to support a pilot program associated with snow removal on County trails. This project will provide for snow removal on two trails serving the Tysons and Springfield Metro Stations. This level of funding supports a Priority 4 level of service, which includes the clearing of trails when snow depth is a minimum of 2 inches. In addition, snow removal will occur after the storm ends and after County facilities have been treated. This pilot program will help determine costs, service challenges and benefits associated with removal of snow from heavily used trails.
12. **Transportation Planning Studies (Countywide):** $1,164,484 has been approved to provide initial funding for transportation planning studies associated with the Lincolnia Planning District Phase II, Fairfax Center Area Phase II, and the Dulles Suburban Center; a construction feasibility study for a connector between Oakwood Road and Vine Street over I-495; and analysis and planning tools for travel demand forecasts used for transportation studies, Comprehensive Plan amendments, rezoning cases, corridor and subarea studies, and citizen requests.

**VDOT SIX-YEAR PROGRAM**

More Detailed information may be found on these projects using VDOT’s web site, at [www.virginiadot.org](http://www.virginiadot.org). Specific Fairfax County projects can be found by entering: Projects and Studies, Transportation Program, Transportation Financing, Six Year Improvement Program, with the following parameters, FY 21 Final, All Districts, Fairfax County and All Road Systems. Click on any individual project for the detailed information.
# Project Cost Summaries

## Transportation Initiatives

($000's)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>Project Number</th>
<th>Source of Funds</th>
<th>Budgeted or Expended Through FY 2021</th>
<th>FY 2022</th>
<th>FY 2023</th>
<th>FY 2024</th>
<th>FY 2025</th>
<th>FY 2026</th>
<th>Total FY 2022 - FY 2026</th>
<th>Total FY 2027 - FY 2031</th>
<th>Total Project Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Board of Supervisors TPP</td>
<td>X, B, F, S</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td>$374,421</td>
<td>$374,421</td>
<td>$374,421</td>
<td>$374,421</td>
<td>$374,421</td>
<td>$1,872,105</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Capital Sinking Fund for County Roads</td>
<td>G</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$5,704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Capital Sinking Fund for Walkways</td>
<td>G</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$7,831</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Contributed Roadway Improvements</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$40,486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. District Walkway Projects</td>
<td>G</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Dulles Rail Phase 2</td>
<td>X, B, F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$515,504</td>
<td>$9,645</td>
<td>$2,202</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$11,847</td>
<td>$527,351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Herndon Monroe Area Development Study</td>
<td>G</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Metro CIP *</td>
<td>B, S, U</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$215,000</td>
<td>$215,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Reinvestment and Repairs to County Roads</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$3,500</td>
<td>$3,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Reinvestment and Repairs to Walkways</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$4,000</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Trail Snow Removal Pilot</td>
<td>G</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Transportation Planning Studies</td>
<td>G</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$572,481</td>
<td>$426,366</td>
<td>$419,623</td>
<td>$418,921</td>
<td>$419,921</td>
<td>$421,621</td>
<td>$2,106,452</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Numbers in bold italics represent funded amounts. A "C" in the 'Budgeted or Expended' column denotes a continuing project.

Key: Source of Funds

- B: Bonds
- G: General Fund
- S: State
- F: Federal
- X: Other
- U: Undetermined
Beyond 5-Year Period: CIP Projects by Function

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>ENSNI</th>
<th>District</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Government Facilities and Programs - DVS Alternative Fuel Site</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Facilities and Programs - DVS North/Northwest Maintenance Facility</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Facilities and Programs - Performing Arts Center</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Facilities and Programs - Willston Multi-Cultural Center</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Mason</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing, Health and Human Services - Affordable Housing Opportunities</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries - Central Providence Area (Merrifield, Dunn Loring, Metro West) Library</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Providence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries - Centreville Regional Library Renovation</td>
<td>$15 million</td>
<td>Sully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries - Chantilly Regional Library/Technical Operations Renovation</td>
<td>$24 million</td>
<td>Sully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries - Herndon Fortnightly Community Library Renovation</td>
<td>$11 million</td>
<td>Dranesville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries - Kings Park Community Library Renovation</td>
<td>$11 million</td>
<td>Braddock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries - Tysons Library</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park Authority - Future Needs Assessment Implementation</td>
<td>$744 million</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park Authority - North County RECenter</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park Authority - RECenter System-wide Sustainability Plan</td>
<td>$233 million</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park Authority - Sports Complex Opportunities</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety : Fire and Rescue - Frying Pan Fire Station</td>
<td>$19 million</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety : Fire and Rescue - Pohick Fire Station</td>
<td>$15 million</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety : Fire and Rescue - Volunteer Fire Stations (2)</td>
<td>$45 million</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety : Police - Mount Vernon Police Station Renovation</td>
<td>$38 million</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety : Police - Police/Fire Large Vehicle Storage Facility</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety : Police - Sully Police Station Renovation</td>
<td>$40 million</td>
<td>Sully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety : Police - Tysons Police Station</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety : Police - West Springfield Police Station Renovation</td>
<td>$38 million</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revitalization and Neighborhood Improvements - Annandale Cultural Center</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revitalization and Neighborhood Improvements - County Conference Center</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revitalization and Neighborhood Improvements - Façade Improvements</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revitalization and Neighborhood Improvements - Tysons Public Facilities</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total : Beyond 5-Year CIP Period                                      $1,233 million

* ENSNI = Estimate, No Scope, No Inflation (for most projects)

Cost estimates for long term CIP projects are based on preliminary project descriptions provided by the requesting agency, and include all estimated costs for land acquisition, permits and inspections, project management and project engineering, consultant design, construction, utilities, fixed equipment and information technology infrastructure.
# Beyond 5-Year Period: CIP Projects by Supervisor District

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>ENSNI *</th>
<th>District</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Braddock District</strong></td>
<td>Libraries - Kings Park Community Library Renovation</td>
<td>$11 million</td>
<td>Braddock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Housing, Health and Human Services - Affordable Housing Opportunities</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Park Authority - Future Needs Assessment Implementation</td>
<td>$744 million</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Park Authority - RECenter System-wide Sustainability Plan</td>
<td>$233 million</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Revitalization and Neighborhood Improvements - Façade Improvements</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dranesville District</strong></td>
<td>Libraries - Herndon Fortnightly Community Library Renovation</td>
<td>$11 million</td>
<td>Dranesville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Park Authority - North County RECenter</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hunter Mill District</strong></td>
<td>Public Safety : Fire and Rescue - Frying Pan Fire Station</td>
<td>$19 million</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lee District</strong></td>
<td>None</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Lee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mason District</strong></td>
<td>Government Facilities and Programs - Willston Multi-Cultural Center</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Mason</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mount Vernon District</strong></td>
<td>Public Safety : Police - Mount Vernon Police Station Renovation</td>
<td>$38 million</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Providence District</strong></td>
<td>Libraries - Central Providence Area (Merrifield, Dunn Loring, Metro West) Library</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Providence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Springfield District</strong></td>
<td>Public Safety : Fire and Rescue - Pohick Fire Station</td>
<td>$15 million</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Public Safety : Police - West Springfield Police Station Renovation</td>
<td>$38 million</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Beyond 5-Year Period: CIP Projects by Supervisor District

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>ENSNI</th>
<th>District</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sully District</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries - Centreville Regional Library Renovation</td>
<td>$15 million</td>
<td>Sully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries - Chantilly Regional Library/Technical Operations Renovation</td>
<td>$24  million</td>
<td>Sully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety : Police - Sully Police Station Renovation</td>
<td>$40 million</td>
<td>Sully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>To Be Determined</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Facilities and Programs - DVS Alternative Fuel Site</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Facilities and Programs - DVS North/Northwest Maintenance Facility</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Facilities and Programs - Performing Arts Center</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries - Tysons Library</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park Authority - Sports Complex Opportunities</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety : Fire and Rescue - Volunteer Fire Stations (2)</td>
<td>$45 million</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety : Police - Police/Fire Large Vehicle Storage Facility</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety : Police - Tysons Police Station</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revitalization and Neighborhood Improvements - Annandale Cultural Center</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revitalization and Neighborhood Improvements - County Conference Center</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revitalization and Neighborhood Improvements - Tysons Public Facilities</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total : Beyond 5-Year CIP Period</strong></td>
<td>$1,233 million</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* ENSNI = Estimate, No Scope, No Inflation (for most projects)

Cost estimates for long term CIP projects are based on preliminary project descriptions provided by the requesting agency, and include all estimated costs for land acquisition, permits and inspections, project management and project engineering, consultant design, construction, utilities, fixed equipment and information technology infrastructure.
### Future Project Details

#### Government Facilities and Programs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>DVS Alternative Fuel Site</th>
<th>Supervisor District</th>
<th>TBD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New Facility or Renovation</td>
<td>New Facility</td>
<td>Total Project Estimate (ENSNI):</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Last Renovated</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Year Constructed</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Description/Justification:**
Alternate fuels (non-gasoline and diesel) are anticipated to be used by a portion of the County fleet in future years. The Alternate Fuel Site would provide a location for these alternate fuel sources to be provided to the vehicles.

**Operating Impact:**
Staff and Operational cost estimates would need to be developed to support a new Alternative Fuel Site.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>DVS North/Northwest Maintenance Facility</th>
<th>Supervisor District</th>
<th>TBD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New Facility or Renovation</td>
<td>New Facility</td>
<td>Total Project Estimate (ENSNI):</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Last Renovated</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Year Constructed</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Description/Justification:**
Due to the growth in the Tysons area, a new 12-16 bay Department of Vehicle Services (DVS) facility with a staff of approximately 40 is anticipated to be needed in the future. The site size is estimated at 8-12 acres. The facility could be co-located with another agency.

**Operating Impact:**
Staff and Operational cost estimates would need to be developed to support a new DVS Facility in the Northern portion of the County.
### Performing Arts Center

**Project Name:** Performing Arts Center  
**Supervisor District:** TBD  
**New Facility or Renovation:** New Facility  
**Total Project Estimate (ENSNI):** TBD  
**Year Last Renovated:** N/A  
**Year Constructed:** N/A  

**Description/Justification:**
This project would provide for a new facility to serve as a Performing Arts Center for the entire County. The Center would provide a multi-use performance space for a variety of performing arts, including dance, music and theatre. It is anticipated that the Center could be constructed and operated through a public/private partnership.

**Operating Impact:**
Staff and Operational cost estimates would need to be developed to support a new Performing Arts Center in the County.

### Willston Multi-Cultural Center

**Project Name:** Willston Multi-Cultural Center  
**Supervisor District:** Mason  
**New Facility or Renovation:** Redevelopment  
**Total Project Estimate (ENSNI):** TBD  
**Year Last Renovated:** N/A  
**Year Constructed:** 1951  

**Description/Justification:**
The Willston Multi-Cultural Center is planned to be redeveloped for educational, governmental, cultural or human services uses. The Seven Corners area plan envisions redevelopment around a mixed use, walkable community development. In addition, there may be interest in locating a school on the site. This project is in the early planning stages.

**Operating Impact:**
To Be Determined.
**Housing, Health and Human Services**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name:</th>
<th>Affordable Housing Opportunities</th>
<th>Supervisor District:</th>
<th>Countywide</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New Facility or Renovation:</td>
<td>New</td>
<td>Total Project Estimate (ENSNI):</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Last Renovated:</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Year Constructed:</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Description/Justification:**
The Fairfax County Redevelopment and Housing Authority (FCRHA) is actively pursuing multiple opportunities throughout Fairfax County to expand the affordable and workforce housing stock to better serve low- and middle-income residents. These possible projects include opportunities to create additional rental units and for-sale units to address the growing shortage of affordable living units for County residents, families, and seniors.

**Operating Impact:**
To Be Determined.

**Libraries**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name:</th>
<th>Central Providence Area (Merrifield, Dunn Loring, Metro West) Library</th>
<th>Supervisor District:</th>
<th>Providence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New Facility or Renovation:</td>
<td>New Facility</td>
<td>Total Project Estimate (ENSNI):</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Last Renovated:</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Year Constructed:</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Description/Justification:**
As part of the ongoing redevelopment in the Central Providence area, a new library is envisioned to support the rapid growth projected for this new urban area. Opportunities to include a library as part of a new development will be considered.

**Operating Impact:**
See the Operational Budget Impacts section of the CIP.
Future Project Details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name:</th>
<th>Centreville Regional Library</th>
<th>Supervisor District:</th>
<th>Sully</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New Facility or Renovation:</td>
<td>Renovation</td>
<td>Total Project Estimate (ENSNI):</td>
<td>$15,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Last Renovated:</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Year Constructed:</td>
<td>1991</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Description/Justification:**
The Centreville Regional Library opened in 1991. This location has suffered from recurring issues with the HVAC, flooding in the staff areas, inadequate electrical wiring to support device usage by customers, and a myriad of other facility related repairs/incidents. Based on the age and condition of the facility it is difficult to make significant changes to the overall layout or enhance the infrastructure required to support the technology requested by library customers. Carpets, furniture, and staff areas are worn and dated. Renovation is required to upgrade building systems and infrastructure that are well beyond the end of their life cycle and to meet current and future operational needs of the Library System. The present configuration does not adequately reflect modern library design, usage or aesthetics. For example, site lines within the library are interrupted and restrict visibility to many areas making it difficult to monitor activity and serve customers. This library currently serves a population of 98,182 and had an FY 2019 circulation of 385,462. The population served by this library is expected to increase slightly over the next five years.

**Operating Impact:**
See the Operational Budget Impacts section of the CIP.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name:</th>
<th>Chantilly Regional Library/Technical Ops</th>
<th>Supervisor District:</th>
<th>Sully</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New Facility or Renovation:</td>
<td>Renovation</td>
<td>Total Project Estimate (ENSNI):</td>
<td>$24,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Last Renovated:</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Year Constructed:</td>
<td>1993</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Description/Justification:**
The Chantilly Regional Library is one of the busiest locations in the Library system. Located off a major thoroughfare this Library is unique in that it services a large high school population from Chantilly High School which is located across the street. While the population in the service area has not grown significantly, it does serve a diverse population and has experienced significant changes in service delivery. This location has suffered from recurring roofing issues as well as inadequate electrical wiring to support device usage by customers. Based on the age and condition of the facility it is difficult to make significant changes to the overall layout or enhance the infrastructure required to support the technology requested by library customers. Renovations are required to upgrade building systems and infrastructure that are well beyond the end of their life cycle and meet current and future operational needs of the Library System. The present configuration does not adequately reflect modern library design, or usage. For example, site lines within the library restrict visibility to many areas making it difficult to monitor activity and serve customers. This library currently serves a population of 63,507 and had an FY 2019 circulation of 504,426. The population served by this library is expected to increase slightly over the next five years.

**Operating Impact:**
See the Operational Budget Impacts section of the CIP.
**Project Name:** Herndon Fortnightly Community Library  
**Supervisor District:** Dranesville  
**New Facility or Renovation:** Renovation  
**Total Project Estimate (ENSNI):** $11,000,000  
**Year Last Renovated:** N/A  
**Year Constructed:** 1995

**Description/Justification:**
The Herndon Fortnightly Community Library is located in the western portion of the County in the Town of Herndon. Renovation is required to upgrade building systems and infrastructure that are well beyond the end of their life cycle and meet current and future operational needs of the Library System. The building layout and footprint are difficult to change due to the interior design having been built in a circular pattern surrounding centrally located stairs splitting the functions into two sides. This location is also unique in that it is a two-story facility. Staffing is currently configured to support the second story operations (information and circulation) leaving the lower floor, which houses the community meeting rooms and rest rooms unmanned. Based on the age and condition of the facility it is difficult to make significant changes to the overall layout or enhance the infrastructure required to support the technology requested by library customers. Carpets, furniture, and staff areas are worn and dated. This Library currently serves a population of 22,095 and had an FY 2019 circulation of 145,764. The population served by this library is expected to increase slightly over the next five years.

**Operating Impact:**
See the Operational Budget Impacts section of the CIP.
Future Project Details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name:</th>
<th>Kings Park Community Library</th>
<th>Supervisor District:</th>
<th>Braddock</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New Facility or Renovation:</td>
<td>Renovation</td>
<td>Total Project Estimate (ENSNI):</td>
<td>$11,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Last Renovated:</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>Year Constructed:</td>
<td>1971</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Description/Justification:**
The Kings Park Community Library is the busiest community location in the library system operating at a level comparable to a small regional. Statistics for this location indicate the door count is 8.7 percent of the system’s total and its circulation is higher than any other community and greater than four regional libraries. Customers at this location are diverse and represent a cross-section of County population. Usage patterns indicate that of the locations in this cluster, this branch is a preferred destination of many customers. Based on the age and condition of the facility it is difficult to make significant changes to the overall layout or enhance the infrastructure required to support the technology requested by library customers. The high usage results in greater wear and tear. Carpets, furniture, and staff areas are worn and dated, and the HVAC is not efficient or effective. Renovations are required to upgrade building systems and infrastructure that are well beyond the end of their life cycle and meet current and future operational needs of the Library System. This library currently serves a population of 42,491 and had an FY 2019 circulation of 346,978. The population served by this library is expected to remain statistically unchanged over the next five years.

**Operating Impact:**
See the Operational Budget Impacts section of the CIP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name:</th>
<th>Tysons Library</th>
<th>Supervisor District:</th>
<th>TBD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New Facility or Renovation:</td>
<td>New Facility</td>
<td>Total Project Estimate (ENSNI):</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Last Renovated:</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Year Constructed:</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Description/Justification:**
A proffer has been approved for a new Tysons Library within a multi-use commercial retail/office building to address Library services needs for the rapid growth projected in the Tysons area. Additional funding may be needed to complete the facility. The timing of the facility is dependent on the progress of development in the Tysons area.

**Operating Impact:**
See the Operational Budget Impacts section of the CIP.
Description/Justification:
The 2016 Parks Count, which is the Park Authority Needs Assessment was completed and provides recommendations for capital investments in the park system based on a body of data that the Park Authority will continue using for years. The total projected need for the ten-year period was $939,161,000; that amount has been reduced by $94,700,000 due to the approval of the 2016 Park Bond Referendum and by $100,000,000 due to the approval of the 2020 Park Bond Referendum. The remaining needs of $744,461,000 is broken out into three strategic areas in five-year increments.

- Critical, “Repairing what we have” makes the most of existing resources with the primary goal being FCPA to maintain services. The plan addresses deferred maintenance at existing parks and facilities. The Critical funding need is $82,691,424 over the next five years.

- Sustainable, “Upgrade Existing” looks at enhancing existing programs, beginning new alternative programs, or making other strategic changes that would require additional operational or capital funding. The Sustainable need for years 1-5 is $102,461,220 the need for years 6-10 is $164,282,756, for a total of $266,743,976.

- Visionary, “New, Significant Upgrades” includes new and expanded facilities to fully meet needs desired by the community and ensure that the Park Authority remains a preferred provider of park and recreation amenities. The Visionary need is $395,025,600 over the 10-year period, and if funding is made available in 1-5 years staff would accelerate visionary elements that include expansion and renovation of existing recreation centers and development of new athletic facilities.

Operating Impact:
As the Park Authority evaluates the needs assessment data, projects that have additional financial impacts to the operating budget will be determined and calculated as to the anticipated amount of operational impact.
**Future Project Details**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name:</th>
<th>North County RECenter</th>
<th>Supervisor District:</th>
<th>Hunter Mill</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New Facility or Renovation:</td>
<td>New</td>
<td>Total Project Estimate (ENSNI):</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Last Renovated:</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Year Constructed:</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Description/Justification:**
As part of the development of the Reston Town Center North (RTCN) area, the Fairfax County Park Authority is considering the future development of a new RECenter to serve the high demand in the Reston area. This RECenter may include facilities for indoor aquatics, fitness, sports and other recreation programs to meet the need of the surrounding community as determined by a market-based study prior to development.

**Operating Impact:**
To Be Determined.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name:</th>
<th>RECenter System-Wide Sustainability Plan</th>
<th>Supervisor District:</th>
<th>Countywide</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New Facility or Renovation:</td>
<td>Renovation &amp; Potential Expansion</td>
<td>Total Project Estimate (ENSNI):</td>
<td>$232,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Last Renovated:</td>
<td>Varies – Multiple facilities</td>
<td>Year Constructed:</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Description/Justification:**
The Park Authority’s RECenter system is now entering a new era due to the aging of existing facilities that require lifecycle redevelopment along with potential expansion to continue to meet the needs of the community and remain fiscally sustainable as an enterprise funded activity. The Park Authority recently completed a System-wide Sustainability Plan for RECenters that identifies strategies to maximize operational effectiveness, improve community responsiveness, and ultimately ensure the long-term financial sustainability of the RECenter system through a series of capital improvements. As part of the strategic recommendations each RECenter was assigned one of six “thematic” decisions. These recommendations outline a course of action for capital improvements at each RECenter to maximize the sustainability of the overall system. For instance, if the thematic recommendation is “expand” for a RECenter, then a series of improvements that are termed as “critical,” “core,” or “added value” that facilitate that theme are included in the strategic recommendations. The plan also identifies the potential for development of a new RECenter in the Reston area and a potential countywide sports complex. The plan recommends a three-phased implementation approach for funding the proposed capital improvements starting with improvements identified as critical first, followed by core improvements and then improvements identified as added value in the last phase. The total budget for all improvements estimated in 2017 dollars including all hard costs and soft costs is $195,800,000. With escalation included for a five-year period based on starting the improvements in 2020 the estimated total project budget increases to $232,500,000.

**Operating Impact:**
To Be Determined.
Future Project Details

### Sports Complex

**Project Name:** Sports Complex Opportunities  
**Supervisor District:** TBD  
**New Facility or Renovation:** New  
**Total Project Estimate (ENSNI):** TBD  
**Year Last Renovated:** N/A  
**Year Constructed:** N/A

#### Description/Justification:
In June 2017, the Board of Supervisors formed a Sports Tourism Task Force to look at potential ways to support the growing youth and adult sports market and build new sporting facilities for County residents. There may be potential partnerships with sporting leagues and non-profits to expand the capacity to host sport tourism events in Fairfax. This project is in the early planning stages.

#### Operating Impact:
To Be Determined.

---

### Fire and Rescue

**Project Name:** Frying Pan Fire and Rescue Station #36  
**Supervisor District:** Hunter Mill  
**New Facility or Renovation:** Renovation/Expansion or Replacement  
**Total Project Estimate (ENSNI):** $19,000,000  
**Year Last Renovated:** N/A  
**Year Constructed:** 1988

#### Description/Justification:
- A renovation/expansion or replacement of the Frying Pan Fire and Rescue Station will be required upgrade major building systems and to meet the current and future operational needs of the Fire and Rescue Department. The existing three bay station, constructed in 1988, requires upgrades to major building systems, enhanced bunkrooms and locker facilities for female personnel, and an additional apparatus bay for future growth. Other building space deficiencies include personal protective gear locker areas, medical storage, and a decontamination area. The Route 28 Corridor is targeted for high density development which will increase the demand for emergency medical and fire suppression services. This project is proposed as part of the 2026 Public Safety Bond Referendum.

#### Operating Impact:
Frying Pan is an existing fire station, and no additional staffing is anticipated at this time. If the station is expanded during renovation, nominal increases in operating costs such as utilities may occur.
Future Project Details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name:</th>
<th>Pohick Fire and Rescue Station #35</th>
<th>Supervisor District:</th>
<th>Springfield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New Facility or Renovation:</td>
<td>Renovation/Expansion or Replacement</td>
<td>Total Project Estimate (ENSNI):</td>
<td>$15,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Last Renovated:</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Year Constructed:</td>
<td>1986</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Description/Justification:**
- A renovation/expansion or replacement of the Pohick Fire and Rescue Station will be required to upgrade major building systems and to meet the current and future operational needs of the Fire and Rescue Department. The existing 3 bay fire station was built in 1988 and requires upgrades to major building systems, a separate physical fitness area, enhanced shower and locker facilities for female personnel, personal protective gear storage, medical supply storage, and a decontamination area. The Springfield District is planned for population growth which will increase future demand for emergency medical and fire suppression services. This project is proposed as part of the 2026 Public Safety Bond Referendum.

**Operating Impact:**
Pohick is an existing fire station, and no additional staffing is anticipated at this time. If the station is expanded during renovation, nominal increases in operating costs such as utilities may occur.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name:</th>
<th>Volunteer Fire Stations (2)</th>
<th>Supervisor District:</th>
<th>TBD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New Facility or Renovation:</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Total Project Estimate (ENSNI):</td>
<td>$45,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Last Renovated:</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Year Constructed:</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Description/Justification:**
Based on transitions of the Bailey’s Crossroads Volunteer Fire Station, the Lorton Volunteer Fire Station and the West Annandale Volunteer Fire Station to Fairfax County, this project is included to plan for any future possible volunteer fire and rescue stations being transferred to Fairfax County. There are seven remaining volunteer fire and rescue stations, which are 40 years old or older. If a transfer were to occur, the building systems and infrastructure are expected to be well beyond the end of their life cycle and the station would require significant upgrades to meet the current fire and rescue operational needs. It is anticipated that four drive-through bay replacement stations with support areas and designated space for the volunteers would be required. The current CIP includes a planning factor of $42 million for 2 stations as part of the 2026 Bond Referendum. This is provided for planning purposes only and will depend on several factors and future bonding capacity.

**Operating Impact:**
A new Volunteer Station is a replacement for an existing volunteer station. All Volunteer-owned stations are staffed 24/7 by Fairfax County Fire and Rescue Department personnel. No additional staffing is anticipated at this time. If the station is expanded or replaced, nominal increases in operating costs, such as utilities may occur.
### Police Facilities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name:</th>
<th>Mount Vernon Police Station</th>
<th>Supervisor District:</th>
<th>Mount Vernon</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New Facility or Renovation:</td>
<td>Renovation</td>
<td>Total Project Estimate (ENSNI):</td>
<td>$38,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Last Renovated:</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Year Constructed:</td>
<td>1981</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Description/Justification:**

The Mount Vernon Police Station was originally constructed in 1981 and renovated in 2003 and houses the Mount Vernon District Supervisor’s Office. A renovation/expansion or replacement will be required to upgrade/replace outdated building systems and infrastructure that have exceeded their life expectancy. The current space layout is inefficient and will not be able to meet the future operational needs of the Station. The current layout also forces officers to transverse public spaces to go from one secure space to the other. Security systems and measures need to be upgraded or replaced to enable the Police to take advantage of the latest technologies.

**Operating Impact:**

Mount Vernon is an existing Police Station and no additional staffing is anticipated at this time. If the station is expanded during renovation, or replaced, nominal increases in operating costs, such as utilities may occur.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name:</th>
<th>Police/Fire and Rescue Large Vehicle Storage Facility</th>
<th>Supervisor District:</th>
<th>TBD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New Facility or Renovation:</td>
<td>New Facility</td>
<td>Total Project Estimate (ENSNI):</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Last Renovated:</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Year Constructed:</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Description/Justification:**

The police have a need for a vehicle storage facility with ample space for large specialty vehicles equipped with sensitive equipment. These vehicles must be stored indoors to protect the specialty equipment from environmental elements. The vehicles are currently stored in leased warehouse space co-located with the Fire and Rescue Department’s storage. The current space is nearing capacity and requires upgrades to meet current police standards and security measures.

**Operating Impact:**

To be Determined.
### Sully Police Station

**Project Name:** Sully Police Station  
**Supervisor District:** Sully  
**New Facility or Renovation:** Renovation  
**Total Project Estimate (ENSNI):** $40,000,000  
**Year Last Renovated:** N/A  
**Year Constructed:** 2002

**Description/Justification:**
The Sully Police Station was originally constructed in 2002 and houses the Sully District Supervisor's Office. A renovation/expansion or replacement is required to upgrade/replace outdated building systems and infrastructure that have exceeded their life expectancy. Additional expansion space and reconfiguring of existing space is required to support functional needs of the station due to operational changes since the building was constructed. Security systems and measures need to be upgraded or replaced to enable the Police to take advantage of the latest technologies.

**Operating Impact:**
Sully is an existing Police Station and no additional staffing is anticipated at this time. If the station is expanded during renovation, or replaced, nominal increases in operating costs, such as utilities may occur.

### Tysons Police Station

**Project Name:** Tysons Police Station  
**Supervisor District:** TBD  
**New Facility or Renovation:** New Facility  
**Total Project Estimate (ENSNI):** TBD  
**Year Last Renovated:** N/A  
**Year Constructed:** N/A

**Description/Justification:**
A new police station is planned as part of the Tysons Redevelopment. The facility will incorporate features of a new urban model police station given the verticality and density of the Tysons area. Attributes of the new urban station will include enhanced Neighborhood Patrol Units/Shopping Center, Criminal Investigative staff, and Crime Prevention Officers areas, as well as alternatives to patrol vehicles potentially utilizing segways, motorcycles, bicycles, electric carts, smart cars, etc. At this time, no site has been identified.

**Operating Impact:**
To be Determined.
**Project Name:** West Springfield Police Station

**Supervisor District:** Springfield

**New Facility or Renovation:** Renovation

**Total Project Estimate (ENSNI):** $38,000,000

**Year Last Renovated:** 2003

**Year Constructed:** 1974

**Description/Justification:**
The West Springfield Police Station was originally constructed in 1974 and last renovated in 2003 and houses the Springfield District Supervisor's Office. It is anticipated that a renovation/expansion or replacement will be required to upgrade/replace outdated building systems and infrastructure including the mechanical system and roof that will have exceeded their life expectancy. The project will also accommodate changes needed to address current police operational and functional requirements. Additionally, security systems and measures will need to be upgraded or replaced to enable the Police to take advantage of the latest technologies.

**Operating Impact:**
West Springfield is an existing Police Station and no additional staffing is anticipated at this time. If the station is expanded during renovation, or replaced, nominal increases in operating costs, such as utilities may occur.
### Revitalization and Neighborhood Improvements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Annandale Cultural Center</th>
<th>Supervisor District</th>
<th>TBD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New Facility or Renovation:</td>
<td>New Facility</td>
<td>Total Project Estimate (ENSNI):</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Last Renovated:</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Year Constructed:</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Description/Justification:**
This project entails establishing a public space in the Annandale Central Business District (CBD) that would serve as a civic gathering place for a wide variety of cultural events, community activities and professional meetings. The facility would create a sense of place in Annandale where the diverse communities represented by residents, businesses and property owners could share common ground. The Annandale Cultural Center could be stand-alone or part of a mixed-use project in the CBD. It is envisioned to be up to 50,000 square feet in size and contain large and small multi-purpose rooms, small administrative and professional office spaces, a kitchen, exhibit space, storage/locker facilities, and a lobby with a County presence. There is community interest in having a Cultural Center and currently there is not sufficient public space in Annandale to accommodate this need.

**Operating Impact:**
Staff and Operational costs estimates would need to be developed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>County Conference Center</th>
<th>Supervisor District</th>
<th>TBD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New Facility or Renovation:</td>
<td>New Facility</td>
<td>Total Project Estimate (ENSNI):</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Last Renovated:</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Year Constructed:</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Description/Justification:**
This project would include the establishment of a County Conference Center, most likely in the Tysons area, that would serve as a meeting venue for a wide variety of cultural and social events, community activities and professional meetings. Such a space would fulfill a need identified in a feasibility analysis by Conventions, Sports & Leisure (CSL) International in 2009. The Conference Center could be stand-alone, be built in conjunction with a hotel or be part of a mixed-use project. It is envisioned to be up to 100,000 square feet in size and contain approximately 50,000 square feet of meeting space and 50,000 square feet of support space. Construction and operation of the facility would most likely occur through a public/private partnership. Currently, Fairfax County does not have a conference facility sufficient to accommodate projected needs. Hotels such as the Sheraton Premier and Hilton in Tysons and the Westfields Conference Center accommodate some meeting space demand. Nevertheless, demand exceeds availability and county businesses and residents frequently travel outside of Fairfax County to host their events. The CSL report concluded that such a facility is warranted and that it would provide positive economic benefits to the retail and tourist sectors of the County’s economy.

**Operating Impact:**
Staff and Operational costs estimates would need to be developed.
### Future Project Details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name:</th>
<th>Facade Improvements</th>
<th>Supervisor District:</th>
<th>Countywide</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New Facility or Renovation:</td>
<td>New</td>
<td>Total Project Estimate (ENSNI):</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Last Renovated:</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Year Constructed:</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Description/Justification:**
Currently, this program operates in the Richmond Highway Commercial Revitalization District but could be expanded to include some or all of the County’s Commercial Revitalization Districts and Commercial Revitalization Areas (CRDs/CRAs). The County’s CRDs and CRAs are generally older commercial areas where many of the commercial properties are in need of aesthetic and/or functional improvements in order to remain competitive. Because these areas will redevelop slowly over time, interim improvements and reinvestment in existing commercial establishments help improve the overall investment climate and economic outlook for these CRD/CRA areas. The current program for the Richmond Highway CRD provides a matching grant of between $5,000 and $25,000 for façade and site improvements to commercial properties. The matching grant amount has not increased since the program’s inception in 2003. Consideration may need to be given to increasing the matching grant amount to account for inflation and to better incentivize property owners to participate in the program. The program is administered by both the Fairfax County Office of Community Revitalization (OCR) and the Southeast Fairfax Development Corporation (SFDC). The program also provides for reimbursement of some of the cost of design services for the development and illustration of a façade improvement project concept.

**Operating Impact:**
To Be Determined.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name:</th>
<th>Tysons Public Facilities</th>
<th>Supervisor District:</th>
<th>TBD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New Facility or Renovation:</td>
<td>New</td>
<td>Total Project Estimate (ENSNI):</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Last Renovated:</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Year Constructed:</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Description/Justification:**
As part of the redevelopment of the Tysons area, the Department of Planning and Zoning is working with developers to proffer public facilities. The County has been successful in negotiating public facility proffers from a number of zoning applicants, including, but not limited to: a replacement Tysons Fire Station (#29), creation of a new Scotts Run Fire Station (Tysons East), a library, athletic fields, and meeting rooms/office space. Negotiations for additional facilities are on-going. Many of these facilities will be provided by the private sector either as part of their development or as a result of proffered commitments. The proffers are anticipated to primarily fund these projects. The construction of these facilities is dependent upon the progression of development in the area.

**Operating Impact:**
Staff and Operational costs estimates would need to be developed.
Summary

This section of the CIP provides a list of both Fairfax County Public Schools (FCPS) projects and County projects in the 5-10-year period that have potential shared-used opportunities. This list was previously provided to both the Board of Supervisors and the School Board in fall 2017 and has been updated annually to reflect the latest information. This list is sorted by both Supervisory District and by Referendum year and does not include projects that are currently in the design or construction phase.
5-10 Year CIP Period: Potential Shared Use Opportunities by District *

*List does not include projects that are currently in the design or construction phase.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Referendum Year</th>
<th>District</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Libraries - Kings Park Community Library Renovation</td>
<td>2026</td>
<td>Braddock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing Development - Little River Glen IV</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Braddock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing Development - One University</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Braddock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dranesville District</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Dranesville Elementary</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>Dranesville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Herndon Elementary</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>Dranesville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Future Western High School</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>Dranesville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries - Herndon Fortnightly Community Library Renovation</td>
<td>2026</td>
<td>Dranesville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Pimmit Hills Repurpose</td>
<td>2027</td>
<td>Dranesville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fairfax City</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Human Services - Willard Health Center Renovation</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Fairfax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hunter Mill District</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries - Patrick Henry Library</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Armstrong Elementary</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety - Fox Mill Fire Station</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety - Frying Pan Fire Station</td>
<td>2026</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County Park Authority - North County RECenter</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Facilities and Programs - RTCN Library and Community Space</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Human Services - RTCN Shelter and Human Service Center</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing Development - Crescent Redevelopment</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing Development - Stonegate Renovation</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation Initiatives - Herndon Monroe Area Development</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lee District</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Human Services - Crossroads Renovation</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Lee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Twain Middle</td>
<td>2023</td>
<td>Lee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Route 1 Area Elementary</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>Lee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Virginia Hills Repurpose</td>
<td>2027</td>
<td>Lee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing Development - Murraygate Village Apartments Renovation</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Lee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing Development - Oakwood Senior Housing</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Lee</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 5-10 Year CIP Period: Potential Shared Use Opportunities by District *

*List does not include projects that are currently in the design or construction phase.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Referendum Year</th>
<th>District</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mason District</strong></td>
<td>Libraries - George Mason Regional Library Renovation</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Mason</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Public Schools - Bren Mar Park Elementary</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>Mason</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Government Facilities and Programs - Willston Multi-Cultural Center</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Mason</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Health and Human Services - East County Health and Human Services Center</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Mason</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mount Vernon District</strong></td>
<td>Public Safety - Penn Daw Fire Station</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Health and Human Services - Eleanor Kennedy Shelter Renovation</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Public Safety - Mount Vernon Fire Station</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Libraries - Sherwood Regional Library Renovation</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Public Schools - Saratoga Elementary</td>
<td>2023</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Public Safety - Mount Vernon Police Station Renovation</td>
<td>2026</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Government Facilities and Programs - Original Mount Vernon High School</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Housing Development - North Hill</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Providence District</strong></td>
<td>Public Safety - Oakton Fire Station Renovation</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>Providence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Public Schools - Waples Mill Elementary</td>
<td>2023</td>
<td>Providence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Public Schools - Tysons Elementary</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>Providence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Public Schools - Dunn Loring Repurpose</td>
<td>2027</td>
<td>Providence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Government Facilities and Programs - Judicial Center Redevelopment</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Providence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Libraries - Providence Area (Merrifield, Dunn Loring, Metro West) Library</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Providence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Springfield District</strong></td>
<td>Public Schools - Centreville High</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Public Schools - Willow Springs Elementary</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Public Schools - Sangster Elementary</td>
<td>2023</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Public Schools - Union Mill Elementary</td>
<td>2023</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Health and Human Services - Springfield Community Resource Center</td>
<td>2024</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Public Safety - Pohick Fire Station Renovation</td>
<td>2026</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Public Safety - West Springfield Police Station Renovation</td>
<td>2026</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Housing - Autumn Willow Senior Housing</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## 5-10 Year CIP Period: Potential Shared Use Opportunities by District *

*List does not include projects that are currently in the design or construction phase.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Referendum Year</th>
<th>District</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sully District</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Brookfield Elementary</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>Sully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Lee's Corner Elementary</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>Sully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety - Chantilly Fire Station Renovation</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>Sully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Centre Ridge Elementary</td>
<td>2023</td>
<td>Sully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Cub Run Elementary</td>
<td>2023</td>
<td>Sully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Franklin Middle</td>
<td>2023</td>
<td>Sully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Poplar Tree Elementary</td>
<td>2023</td>
<td>Sully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Virginia Run Elementary</td>
<td>2023</td>
<td>Sully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Human Services - Tim Harmon Campus Renovations</td>
<td>2024</td>
<td>Sully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries - Centreville Regional Library Renovation</td>
<td>2026</td>
<td>Sully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries - Chantilly Regional Library Renovation</td>
<td>2026</td>
<td>Sully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety - Sully Police Station Renovation</td>
<td>2026</td>
<td>Sully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing Development - Housing Route 50/West Ox Road</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Sully</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**To Be Determined**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Referendum Year</th>
<th>District</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Silver Line Elementary</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Site Acquisition</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety - Wellfit/Performance Testing Center</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety - Volunteer Fire Stations</td>
<td>2026</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County Park Authority - Sports Complex Opportunities</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Facilities and Programs - Performing Arts Center</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries - Tysons Library (partial proffer funding)</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety - Tysons Fire and Rescue Station Replacement (partial proffer funding)</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety - Tysons Police Station</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Modular Relocations</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revitalization - Annandale Cultural Center</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revitalization and Neighborhood Improvements - County Conference Center</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revitalization and Neighborhood Improvements - Tysons Redevelopment</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Countywide**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Referendum Year</th>
<th>District</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parks - Land Acquisition and Development</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Facilities and Programs - Joint Venture Development</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Human Services - Early Childhood Education Facilities</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing Development - Housing Blueprint Project (Affordable Housing)</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 5-10 Year CIP Period:

#### Potential Shared Use Opportunities by Year*

*List does not include projects that are currently in the design or construction phase.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Referendum Year</th>
<th>District</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety - Penn Daw Fire Station Renovation</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Human Services - Eleanor Kennedy Shelter Renovation</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety - Mount Vernon Fire Station Renovation</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Human Services - Crossroads Renovation</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Lee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Human Services - Willard Health Center Renovation</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Fairfax City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries - George Mason Regional Library Renovation</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Mason</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries - Patrick Henry Library</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries - Sherwood Regional Library Renovation</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks - Land Acquisition and Development</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Armstrong Elementary</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Bren Mar Park Elementary</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>Mason</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Brookfield Elementary</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>Sully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Centreville High</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Dranesville Elementary</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>Dranesville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Herndon Elementary</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>Dranesville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Lee’s Corner Elementary</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>Sully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Silver Line Elementary</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Site Acquisition</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Willow Springs Elementary</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety - Chantilly Fire Station Renovation</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>Sully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety - Fox Mill Fire Station Renovation</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety - Oakton Fire Station Renovation</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>Providence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety - Wellfit Performance Testing Center</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project</td>
<td>Referendum Year</td>
<td>District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Centre Ridge Elementary</td>
<td>2023</td>
<td>Sully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Cub Run Elementary</td>
<td>2023</td>
<td>Sully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Franklin Middle</td>
<td>2023</td>
<td>Sully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Poplar Tree Elementary</td>
<td>2023</td>
<td>Sully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Sangster Elementary</td>
<td>2023</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Saratoga Elementary</td>
<td>2023</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Twain Middle</td>
<td>2023</td>
<td>Lee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Union Mill Elementary</td>
<td>2023</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Virginia Run Elementary</td>
<td>2023</td>
<td>Sully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Waples Mill Elementary</td>
<td>2023</td>
<td>Providence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Human Services - Springfield Community Resource Center</td>
<td>2024</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Human Services - Tim Harmon Campus Renovation</td>
<td>2024</td>
<td>Sully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Future Western High School</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>Dranesville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Route 1 Area Elementary</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>Lee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Tysons Elementary</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>Providence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries - Centreville Regional Library Renovation</td>
<td>2026</td>
<td>Sully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries - Chantilly Regional Library Renovation</td>
<td>2026</td>
<td>Sully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries - Herndon Fortnightly Community Library Renovation</td>
<td>2026</td>
<td>Dranesville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries - Kings Park Community Library Renovation</td>
<td>2026</td>
<td>Braddock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety - Frying Pan Fire Station Renovation</td>
<td>2026</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety - Mount Vernon Police Station Renovation</td>
<td>2026</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety - Pohick Fire Station Renovation</td>
<td>2026</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety - Sully Police Station Renovation</td>
<td>2026</td>
<td>Sully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety - Volunteer Fire Stations</td>
<td>2026</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety - West Springfield Police Station Renovation</td>
<td>2026</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Dunn Loring Repurpose</td>
<td>2027</td>
<td>Providence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Pimmit Hills Repurpose</td>
<td>2027</td>
<td>Dranesville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Virginia Hills Repurpose</td>
<td>2027</td>
<td>Lee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project</td>
<td>Referendum Year</td>
<td>District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County Park Authority - North County RECerter</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County Park Authority - Sports Complex Opportunities</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Facilities and Programs - Joint Venture Development</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Facilities and Programs - Judicial Center Redevelopment</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Providence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Facilities and Programs - Original Mount Vernon High School</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Facilities and Programs - Performing Arts Center</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Facilities and Programs - RTCN Library/Community Space</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Facilities and Programs - Willston Multi-Cultural Center</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Mason</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Human Services - Early Childhood Education Facilities</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Human Services - East County Health and Human Services Center</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Mason</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Human Services - RTCN Shelter and Human Service Center</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing - Autumn Willow Senior Housing</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing Development - Crescent Redevelopment</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing Development - Housing Route 50/West Ox Road</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Sully</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing Development - Housing Blueprint Project (Affordable Housing)</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Countywide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing Development - Little River Glen IV</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Braddock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing Development - Murraygate Village Apartments Renovation</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Lee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing Development - North Hill</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing Development - Oakwood Senior Housing</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Lee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing Development - One University</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Braddock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing Development - Stonegate Renovation</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries - Providence Area (Merrifield, Dunn Loring, Metro West) Library</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Providence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries - Tysons Library (partial proffer funding)</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety - Tysons Police Station</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Schools - Modular Relocations</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revitalization - Annandale Cultural Center</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revitalization and Neighborhood Improvements - Conference Center</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revitalization and Neighborhood Improvements - Tysons Redevelopment</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation/Pedestrian Initiatives - Herndon Monroe Area Development</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Summary

This section of the CIP provides rough estimates for General Fund supported operational costs associated with current and future CIP projects. These estimates are in FY 2022 dollars, with no inflation applied. This list does not include Fairfax County Public School facilities and focuses on County new or renovated buildings, such as Public Safety, Library or Human Services facilities. Future facilities through the proposed fall 2026 Bond Referendum have been included; however, many facilities in the conceptual phase have not yet been included.

Facility square footage increases have been estimated using a blended annual operating factor to account for increased utilities, custodial, landscaping and maintenance costs. Future decisions may be required for several of these facilities; therefore, the operational budget impacts have not yet been determined. Agency estimates include potential additional staffing, equipment, and furnishings. In some cases, the budget estimates include both one-time startup costs and recurring operational costs and may have been included in the FY 2022 Advertised Budget Plan. Further analysis will be required for many of these estimates; however, this year’s CIP provides the identification of not just project design and construction costs, but the estimated operational General Fund budget impacts for each facility to more fully inform the Board of Supervisor’s decision making on capital facilities.

It is anticipated that budget estimates will be reviewed in more detail as facility conceptual designs are completed. Some facilities are being renovated to include projected future growth; however, no operational budget adjustments will be required for quite some time.
## Operational Expense Estimates For County CIP Projects

Projects estimated to be complete by FY 2031 (Bond Referendum through Fall 2026)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility**</th>
<th>Existing Square Footage</th>
<th>Proposed Square Footage</th>
<th>Estimated Increase in Square Footage</th>
<th>FMD Operational Requirements*</th>
<th>Agency Operational Requirements</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fire - Current CIP Projects</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chantilly Fire Station - 2022</td>
<td>10,942</td>
<td>15,500</td>
<td>4,558</td>
<td>$21,012</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$21,012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edsall Fire Station - 2015</td>
<td>8,327</td>
<td>14,159</td>
<td>5,832</td>
<td>$26,888</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$26,888</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairview Fire Station - 2018</td>
<td>8,200</td>
<td>13,500</td>
<td>5,300</td>
<td>$24,433</td>
<td>$1,170,980</td>
<td>$1,195,413</td>
<td>Staff and equipment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fox Mill Fire Station - 2022</td>
<td>9,000</td>
<td>12,500</td>
<td>3,500</td>
<td>$16,135</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$16,135</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gunston Fire Station - 2018</td>
<td>7,782</td>
<td>14,052</td>
<td>6,270</td>
<td>$28,905</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$28,905</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jefferson Fire Station - 2012</td>
<td>14,670</td>
<td>18,047</td>
<td>3,377</td>
<td>$15,568</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$15,568</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorton Volunteer Fire Station</td>
<td>17,219</td>
<td>23,780</td>
<td>6,561</td>
<td>$30,246</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$30,246</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merrifield Fire Station - 2018</td>
<td>11,662</td>
<td>11,894</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>$1,070</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$1,070</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mount Vernon Fire Station - 2018</td>
<td>9,000</td>
<td>15,500</td>
<td>6,500</td>
<td>$29,965</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$29,965</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oakton Fire Station - 2022</td>
<td>9,184</td>
<td>12,575</td>
<td>3,391</td>
<td>$15,633</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$15,633</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penn Daw Fire Station - 2015</td>
<td>15,700</td>
<td>17,000</td>
<td>1,300</td>
<td>$5,993</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$5,993</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reston Fire Station - 2015</td>
<td>7,750</td>
<td>17,386</td>
<td>9,636</td>
<td>$44,422</td>
<td>$4,360,507</td>
<td>$4,404,929</td>
<td>Staff and equipment (engine and medic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scotts Run (Tysons East) Fire Station</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13,842</td>
<td>13,842</td>
<td>$63,812</td>
<td>$2,831,346</td>
<td>$2,895,158</td>
<td>Staff and equipment for new station</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seven Corners Fire Station - 2018</td>
<td>8,600</td>
<td>13,800</td>
<td>5,200</td>
<td>$23,972</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$23,972</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tysons Fire Station Replacement</td>
<td>9,500</td>
<td>19,700</td>
<td>10,200</td>
<td>$47,022</td>
<td>$4,360,507</td>
<td>$4,407,529</td>
<td>Staff and equipment (engine and medic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volunteer Fire Station - 2018 (Annandale)</td>
<td>7,460</td>
<td>13,175</td>
<td>5,715</td>
<td>$26,346</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$26,346</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welfit Performance Testing - 2022</td>
<td>17,040</td>
<td>24,000</td>
<td>6,960</td>
<td>$32,086</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$32,086</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Fire - Future Projects | | | | | | | |
| Police - Current CIP Projects | | | | | | | |
| Criminal Justice Academy - 2018 | 110,000 | 96,000 | (14,000) | ($64,540) | $60,000 | ($4,540) | No staff increase projected |
| Emergency Vehicle Op. and K9 Center - 2015 | 3,000 | 15,000 | 12,000 | $55,320 | $50,000 | $105,320 | No staff increase projected |
| Franconia Police Station - 2015 | 25,000 | 32,327 | 7,327 | $33,777 | $750,000 | $783,777 | |
| Mason District Police Station - 2018 | 22,500 | 31,500 | 9,000 | $41,490 | $750,000 | $791,490 | |
| Police Evidence Storage Annex - 2018 | 27,650 | 30,000 | 2,350 | $10,834 | $1,517,989 | $1,528,823 | |
| Police Heliport - 2015 | 9,500 | 16,787 | 7,287 | $33,593 | $542,168 | $575,761 | |
| Police Tactical Operations - 2015 | 35,712 | 37,760 | 2,048 | $9,441 | $6,927,921 | $6,937,362 | |
| South County Police Station/Animal Shelter - 2015 | - | 52,572 | 52,572 | $242,357 | $750,000 | $992,357 | Entire Facility |
| - Animal Shelter | - | - | - | - | $2,275,860 | $2,275,860 | Staff, operational and equipment costs |
| - Police Station | - | - | - | - | $24,141,159 | $24,141,159 | Staff, operational and equipment costs |

| Police - Future Projects | | | | | | | |
| Tysons Police Station | - | TBD | TBD | TBD | TBD | TBD | |
| Mt Vernon Police Station - 2026 | 33,000 | TBD | TBD | TBD | TBD | TBD | |
| West Springfield Police Station - 2026 | 33,000 | TBD | TBD | TBD | TBD | TBD | |
| Sully Police Station | 31,297 | TBD | TBD | TBD | TBD | TBD | |
## Operational Expense Estimates For County CIP Projects

Projects estimated to be complete by FY 2031 (Bond Referendum through Fall 2026)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility**</th>
<th>Existing Square Footage</th>
<th>Proposed Square Footage</th>
<th>Estimated Increase in Square Footage</th>
<th>FMD Operational Requirements*</th>
<th>Agency Operational Requirements</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Health and Human Services - Current CIP Projects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crossroads Renovation - 2020</td>
<td>41,285</td>
<td>41,785</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>$2,305</td>
<td>$2,538,000</td>
<td>$2,540,305</td>
<td>Expenses for program relocation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early Childhood Education Initiatives 2022</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East County Health and Human Services Center</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eleanor Kennedy Shelter - 2016</td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td>23,000</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>$69,150</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>$69,150</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Embry Rucker Shelter - 2016</td>
<td>10,500</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>14,500</td>
<td>$66,845</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>$66,845</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorton Community Center - 2016</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>28,927</td>
<td>28,927</td>
<td>$133,353</td>
<td>$1,633,658</td>
<td>$1,767,011</td>
<td>Staff and equipment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patrick Henry Shelter (Supportive Housing) - 2016</td>
<td>9,500</td>
<td>23,822</td>
<td>14,322</td>
<td>$66,024</td>
<td>$367,000</td>
<td>$433,024</td>
<td>Equipment costs -supportive housing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTCN Human Services Center</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sully Community Center - 2016</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>37,874</td>
<td>37,874</td>
<td>$174,599</td>
<td>$1,919,428</td>
<td>$2,094,027</td>
<td>Staff and equipment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Center in Lee District</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>$230,500</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>$230,500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kingstowne Childcare/Senior Center</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>20,742</td>
<td>16,742</td>
<td>$77,181</td>
<td>$1,615,367</td>
<td>$1,692,548</td>
<td>Early childhood slots</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willard Health Center -2020</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>58,000</td>
<td>28,000</td>
<td>$129,080</td>
<td>$716,095</td>
<td>$845,175</td>
<td>Staff and operating - does not include furniture, exam tables, refrigerators, etc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Human Services - Future Projects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Springfield Community Resource Center - 2024</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>$138,300</td>
<td>$1,521,144</td>
<td>$1,659,444</td>
<td>Staffing and equipment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tim Harmon Campus: A New Beginning/Fairfax Detox, Cornerstones - 2024</td>
<td>43,052</td>
<td>55,052</td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td>$55,320</td>
<td>$2,050,289</td>
<td>$2,105,609</td>
<td>Staffing and equipment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diversion &amp; Community Re-Entry Center (Judicial Complex Redevelopment)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries - Current CIP Projects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries - George Mason Regional - 2020</td>
<td>28,800</td>
<td>28,800</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>No anticipated increases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries - Kingstowne Regional - 2020</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>36,012</td>
<td>21,012</td>
<td>$96,865</td>
<td>$895,000</td>
<td>$991,865</td>
<td>Anticipated increase from a community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries - Lorton Community - 2020</td>
<td>10,730</td>
<td>14,475</td>
<td>3,745</td>
<td>$17,264</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$17,264</td>
<td>No anticipated increases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries - Patrick Henry Library - 2020</td>
<td>13,800</td>
<td>21,000</td>
<td>7,200</td>
<td>$33,192</td>
<td>$272,000</td>
<td>$305,192</td>
<td>Includes potential second floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries - Reston Regional - 2012</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>39,500</td>
<td>9,500</td>
<td>$43,795</td>
<td>$335,000</td>
<td>$378,795</td>
<td>Includes potential second floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries - Sherwood Regional - 2020</td>
<td>37,600</td>
<td>37,600</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>No anticipated increases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries - Future CIP Projects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries - Central Providence Area</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>$69,150</td>
<td>1,475,000</td>
<td>1,544,150</td>
<td>New Library</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries - Centreville Regional - 2026</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>No anticipated increases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries - Chantilly Regional - 2026</td>
<td>52,000</td>
<td>52,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>No anticipated increases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries - Herndon Fortnightly - 2026</td>
<td>17,500</td>
<td>17,500</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>No anticipated increases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries - Kings Park Community - 2026</td>
<td>17,300</td>
<td>17,300</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>No anticipated increases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries - Tysons Library</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19,000</td>
<td>19,000</td>
<td>$87,590</td>
<td>1,475,000</td>
<td>1,562,590</td>
<td>New Library</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Based on FY 2021 blended operational rate for utilities, custodial, landscaping and maintenance costs.

** Does not include Fairfax County Public School projects.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glossary</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ad valorem</td>
<td>The application of a rate percent of value. Taxes are imposed at a rate percentage of the value of goods.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amortization of Debt</td>
<td>The process of paying the principal amount of an issue of securities by periodic payment either directly to security holders or to a sinking fund for the benefit of security holders.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amortization Schedule</td>
<td>A table showing the gradual repayment of an amount of indebtedness, such as a mortgage or bond, over a period of time. This table is often set up to show interest payments in addition to principal repayments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arbitrage</td>
<td>With respect to the issuance of municipal securities, arbitrage usually refers to the difference between the interest paid on the tax-exempt securities and the interest earned by investing the security proceeds in higher yielding taxable securities. Internal Revenue Service regulations govern arbitrage on the proceeds from issuance of governmental securities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assets</td>
<td>Resources owned or held by a government which have monetary value. Assets may be tangible or intangible and are expressed in terms of cost or some other value. Assets are probable future economic benefits obtained or controlled by the government as a result past transactions or events.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authorized but Unissued Bonds</td>
<td>Bonds authorized by the Board of Supervisors following a referendum, but not issued to the bond markets. Bonds approved after July 1, 1991 have a maximum of 10 years available by law in which to be issued.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond</td>
<td>A written promise to pay a designated sum of money (the principal) at a specific date in the future, along with periodic interest at a specified rate. The payments on bonds are identified as Debt Service. Bonds are generally used to obtain long term financing for capital improvements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond Proceeds</td>
<td>The money paid to the issuer by the purchaser or underwriter of a new issue of municipal securities. These moneys are used to finance the project or purpose for which the securities were issued and to pay certain costs of issuance as may be provided in the bond contract.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond Rating</td>
<td>A rating (made by an established bond rating company) from a schedule of grades indicating the probability of timely repayment of principal and interest on bonds issued.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond Referendum</td>
<td>A process whereby the voters of a governmental unit are given the opportunity to approve or disapprove a proposed issue of municipal securities. An election is most commonly required in connection with General Obligation Bonds. Requirements for voter approval may be imposed by constitution, statute or local ordinance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonded Indebtedness</td>
<td>Outstanding debt by issue of bonds which is repaid by ad valorem or other revenue.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budget</td>
<td>A plan for the acquisition and allocation of resources to accomplish specified purposes. The term may be used to describe special purpose fiscal plans or parts of a fiscal plan, such as “the budget of the Police Department,” “the Capital Budget” or “the School Board’s budget,” or it may relate to a fiscal plan for an entire jurisdiction, such as “the budget of Fairfax County.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Facilities</td>
<td>Fixed assets, such as buildings or land.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Improvement Program (CIP)</td>
<td>A plan for future capital project expenditures. The multi-year plan serves as a roadmap for creating, maintaining and funding present and future infrastructure requirements. The CIP serves as a planning instrument to identify needed capital projects and coordinate the financing and timing of these improvements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Project</td>
<td>Major construction, acquisition, or renovation activities which add value to a government’s physical assets or significantly increase their useful life.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Projects Funds</td>
<td>Funds, defined by the State Auditor of Public Accounts, which account for the acquisition and/or construction of major capital facilities or capital improvements other than sewers. These funds can include maintenance and renovation to capital facilities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comprehensive Plan</td>
<td>A long range and dynamic plan used by the Board of Supervisors, the Planning Commission, the Board of Zoning Appeals, County staff and the public to implement community goals and to guide decisions about the built and natural environment, as well as the conservation of cultural and heritage resources.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costs of Issuance</td>
<td>The expenses associated with the sale of a new issue of municipal securities, including such items as printing, legal and rating agency fees, and others.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt Limit</td>
<td>The maximum amount of debt which an issuer of municipal securities is permitted to incur under constitutional, statutory or charter provisions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt Service</td>
<td>The amount of money necessary to pay interest on an outstanding debt; the principal of maturing serial bonds and the required contributions to a sinking fund for term bonds. Debt service on bonds may be calculated on a calendar year, fiscal year, or bond fiscal year basis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt Service Fund</td>
<td>A fund established to account for the payment of general long-term debt; which includes principal and interest.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENSNI</td>
<td>Estimate, No Scope, No Inflation. Term used in the Fairfax County CIP to describe funding estimates for future capital projects which have not yet been scoped and are developed using today’s dollars without considering inflation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full Faith and Credit</td>
<td>A pledge of government’s taxing power to repay debt obligations that is binding against future Boards of Supervisors and taxpayers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>General Obligation Bond</strong></td>
<td>A bond which is secured by the full faith and credit of an issuer with taxing power. General Obligation Bonds issued by local units of government are typically secured by a pledge of the issuer’s ad valorem taxing power; General Obligation Bonds issued by states are generally based upon appropriations made by the state legislature for the purposes specified. Ad valorem taxes necessary to pay debt service on General Obligation Bonds are often not subject to the constitutional property tax millage limits. Such bonds constitute debts of the issuer and normally require approval by election prior to issuance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Infrastructure</strong></td>
<td>The physical assets of a government (e.g., streets, water, sewer, public buildings and parks).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Interest</strong></td>
<td>The amount paid by a borrower as compensation for the use of borrowed money. This amount is generally an annual percentage of the principal amount.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Issuing Bonds</strong></td>
<td>To “issue” bonds means to sell, deliver, and receive payment for bonds. The County may issue bonds throughout the year upon determining the amount of cash necessary to implement projects during that year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lease Purchase</strong></td>
<td>This method of financing allows the County to construct or acquire property and pay for it over a period of time by installment payments rather than an outright purchase. The time payments include an interest charge which is typically reduced because the lessor does not have to pay income tax on the interest revenue.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Long-Term Debt</strong></td>
<td>Debt with a maturity of more than one year after the date of issuance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pay-As-You-Go Financing</strong></td>
<td>The portion of capital outlay which is financed from current revenue, rather than by borrowing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Paydown Construction</strong></td>
<td>Capital construction funded with current year General Fund revenues as opposed to construction financed through the issuance of bonds. This is also referred to as “pay-as-you-go” construction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Per Capita Debt</strong></td>
<td>The amount of an issuing municipality’s outstanding debt divided by the population residing in the municipality. This is used as an indication of the issuer’s credit position since it can be used to compare the proportion of debt borne per resident with that borne by the residents of other municipalities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Principal</strong></td>
<td>The face amount of a security payable on the maturity date.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rating Agencies</strong></td>
<td>The organizations which provide publicly available ratings of the credit quality of issuers. The term is most often used to refer to the nationally recognized agencies, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Standard &amp; Poor’s Corporation, and Fitch Investors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Referendum</strong></td>
<td>A referendum is a means by which a legislative body requests the electorate to approve or reject proposals such as constitutional amendments, long-term borrowing; and other special laws.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Refunding</strong></td>
<td>A procedure whereby an issuer refines an outstanding bond issue by issuing new bonds. There are generally two major reasons for refunding: to reduce the issuer’s interest costs or to remove a burdensome or restrictive covenant imposed by the terms of the bonds being refinanced.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Sewer Funds (Enterprise Funds)

A group of self-sufficient enterprise funds that support the Wastewater Management Program. Revenues consist of bond sales, availability fees (a one-time fee paid before connection to the system and used to defray the cost of major plant and trunk construction), connection charges (a one-time fee to defray the cost of the lateral connection between a building and the trunk), service charges (quarterly fees based on water usage which defray operating costs and debt service), and interest on invested funds. Expenditures consist of construction costs, debt service and the cost of operating and maintaining the collection and treatment systems.

Short-Term Debt

Debt with a maturity of less than one year after the date of issuance.