## FOUND IN THE ARCHIVES, no. 13 – October 2015 Fairfax Circuit Court Historic Records Center



Happy fall! In *Found in the Archives*, the Fairfax Circuit Court Historic Records Center seeks to highlight interesting and unique documents in our custody.

In this edition, we are going to examine the practice of dueling and its legality in Virginia, and more specifically, Fairfax County.

During the 18<sup>th</sup> and early 19<sup>th</sup> centuries in the United States, dueling – whereby two men arranged to fight each other, usually with swords or pistols, according to previously agreed-upon rules – was seen as an appropriate way to defend one's honor against insult or some other slight. The duel had been imported from England with the colonists, and became particularly engrained in southern culture up to the outbreak of the Civil War.

A duel followed a specific set of rules – the challenge was proposed by the offended party and accepted by the offender; seconds (assistants to the duelers) were chosen and a date and time selected; and the rules of engagement, including choice of weapon, identified. People of all classes used the duel as an accepted method for dealing with interpersonal conflict. The practice of dueling started to decline after two infamous duels – the Burr-Hamilton duel of 1804, in which Alexander Hamilton, former Treasurer of the United States, was killed by sitting Vice President Aaron Burr; and the Jackson-Dickenson duel of 1806, in which future United States President Andrew Jackson killed Charles Dickenson, a famous duelist. Jackson was wounded in the chest and the injury affected his health for the rest of his life.

Despite these prominent duels, which made headlines around the country, it was not until 1848 that the state of Virginia passed legislation outlawing duels. This law prevented individuals from all backgrounds from holding duels, but a further stipulation was made for those who sought government office:

"No person shall be capable of holding or being elected to any post of profit, trust, or emolument, civil or military, legislative, executive or judicial, under the government of this commonwealth, who, since the fourteenth day of March eighteen hundred and forty-eight, shall have fought, sent or accepted a challenge to fight, the probable issue of which might have been the death of either party; or shall have been knowingly the bearer of such challenge or acceptance; or been a second to either party; or shall have aided or assisted in such duel in any manner whatever.."<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> *Code of Virginia*, Title 5, Chapter XII, page 84, 1849.

Additionally, government officials took two oaths before beginning their duties: the first was an oath to the commonwealth of Virginia:

"I declare myself a citizen of the commonwealth of Virginia, and solemnly swear that that I will be faithful and true to the said commonwealth, and will support the constitution thereof, so long as I continue to be a citizen of the same. So help me God."<sup>2</sup>

The second oath was an anti-dueling oath:

"I swear that I have not, since the fourteenth of March eighteen hundred and forty-eight, fought in a duel, the probable issue of which might have been the death of the challenger or challenged, nor been knowingly the bearer of such challenge or acceptance, nor been a second to either party, nor in any manner aided or assisted in such duel, and that I will not be engaged or concerned directly or indirectly in or about any such duel, during my continuance in office. So help me God."<sup>3</sup>

Below is an example of the fidelity to the Commonwealth and anti-dueling oaths from 1915, signed by C. Vernon Ford, who had been elected County Commonwealth Attorney:

State of Yirg	mig, County of	Fair	bay	to-wit:
I, Lo.	Xenn	Jord, do	solemnly swear (or a	ffirm) that
I will support the	e Constitution of the	United States, and th	ne Constitution of th	ne State of
Virginia ordained	by the Convention w	which assembled in the	city of Richmond on	the twelfth
day of June, nine	eteen hundred and or	ne, and that b will fait	hfully and impartiall	y discharge
and perform all th	ne duties incumbent up	pon me as O Orano	mosculity	alt
of fante	w Cire	according to the bes	t of my ability. So he	elp melGod.
1 .	1 404.	according to the bes	mjosh	
I swear that	I have not, while a ci	tizen of this State, sinc	e the tenth day of Jul	ly, nineteen
hundred and two,	fought a duel with a	deadly weapon, or sen	t or accepted a challer	nge to fight
a duel with a dead	lly weapon, either wit	hin or beyond the boun	daries of this State, or	. knowingly
conveyed such ch	allenge, or aided or a	assisted in any manner	in fighting such duel	; and that
I will not fight a	duel with a deadly we	eapon, or send or accep	t a challenge to fight	a duel with
a deadly weapon,	either within or bey	ond the boundaries of	this State, or knowin	gly convey
such challenge, or	aid or assist in any	manner in fighting su	ch duel, during my c	ontinuance
in office. So help	me God.	6 vu	nmjn	1
I.Com	5 Cle	in and f	or the County	of
Tra . l	al vra	, do certify that C V	emer Fall	
on this	day of	, do certify that $C$ , $V$	D. 19 1. 5 personal	appeared
before me and too	k and subscribed the a	above oath	N	,
		JURC	hard Joy.	C. al.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The oath of fidelity to the Commonwealth is still part of the oath Virginia government officials take; *Code of Virginia*, Title 6, Chapter XIII, page 86, 1849.

The Fairfax Circuit Court Historic Records Center has one case file regarding dueling. In February 1885, Justice of the Peace J. R. Taylor issued the following warrant:

& Shrift of D'a ap bout to with 1 a much as as have 1 oard e no xuel. hias are about to adu en co w roi 121 mmonu N Pac and Comman m. 10 an a 0 10 D and anew un as he deal and to Leven an dersel und and seal this , 19 hand 1 Way

Commonwealth of Virginia vs. S. R. Donohoe and R. B. Tyler, February 19, 1885.

Here is a transcript of the order:

"To Sheriff of Said County Fairfax County, to wit:

For as much as I J. P. Taylor, a Justice of the said County, have good cause to suspect that S. B. Donohoe & R. B. Tyler are about to break the peace by being engaged in a duel. These are therefore in the name of the Commonwealth of Virginia to command you and each of you forthwith to apprehend and bring before me, the bodies of the said [S. R.] Donohoe & R. B. Tyler to answer in the premises, and to be dealt with as the law directs.

Given under my hand and seal this 19<sup>th</sup> day of February 1885.

[signed]

J. R. Taylor, J. P."

The Code of Virginia provided for Justice Taylor to act as he did:

"If any justice or judge have good cause to suspect, that any persons are about to be engaged in a duel, he may issue his warrant to bring them before him, and if he think proper to take from them a recognizance to keep the peace, he shall insert therein a condition, that they will not, during the time for which they may be bound, be concerned in a duel directly or indirectly."<sup>4</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> *Code of Virginia*, Chapter CXCL, page 726, 1849.

Justice Taylor must have felt that Donohoe and Tyler were not a serious threat to each other, as he did grant them bond. Below is Donohoe's bond (Tyler's is similar):

the condition of the about lecaging and is That the above bound 3.1k Shall Kupthe place toward mann low C an all not directh a concurre ju due uc Into hur duin y The about recoming Remain 02 hund 200 ani 9 Wallan lov

Transcript:

"The condition of the above recognizance is such that if the above bound S. R. Donohoe do and shall keep the peace towards all citizens of this commonwealth and especially towards R. B. Tyler for the span of one year from the date hereof, and shall not directly or indirectly be concerned in a duel with said R. B. Tyler or any other person during that period then the above recognizance shall be void, otherwise to remain in full force and virtue.

Taken and acknowledged before me the day and year above written, J. P. Taylor [February 19, 1995]"

The topic of dueling is an unusual one here at the Fairfax Circuit Court Historic Records Center. However rare dueling may have been in Fairfax County, these documents can shed light on a practice engrained in larger southern culture, and one which appears to have taken many decades to fade from public memory.

For more information about these and other records at the Fairfax Circuit Court, please email <u>historicalrecords@fairfaxcounty.gov</u> or call 703-246-4168.



# **OCTOBER 10, 2015**

# "The Return of Martha Washington's Will: A Centennial Celebration"

#### 1:00 pm to 2:00 pm, Fairfax Historic Courthouse

October 2015 marks the *100<sup>th</sup> Anniversary* of the return of Martha Washington's Will to the Fairfax Circuit Court, after its removal from the courthouse during the Civil War!

As part of the City of Fairfax Fall Festival, the Clerk of Court, John T. Frey, cordially invites you to attend a special presentation, held in the Historic Courthouse, featuring Fairfax Circuit Court Archivists Katrina Krempasky and Heather Bollinger. The Archivists will share the exciting (and somewhat unbelievable) history of the removal of the Will, its travels, and how, with the help of the Commonwealth of Virginia, Fairfax reacquired this important court document in October 1915.

## See historic Fairfax County court documents!

Showroom open 10 am to 3 pm

### **ON DISPLAY:**

Original pages from
George and Martha
Washington's Wills
Documents related to
the return of both
original Wills

Free and fun for all ages!

Archivists' Presentation in Historic Courtroom, 1-2 pm

#### FAIRFAX HISTORIC COURTHOUSE

4000 Chain Bridge Road Fairfax, VA 22030

Historic courthouse showroom and building open 10 am to 3 pm!