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Introduction

The purpose of the Fairfax County Profile is to present to the citizen, the elected official, and the staff of the County government, a compilation of statistical information descriptive of Fairfax County. The Profile should be used as a reference document by citizens questioning the need for particular action and by elected officials expressing the need for a particular action.

The data are presented on a Countywide basis, but in certain instances they are available in smaller area aggregations. Whenever possible, they are presented for different points in time and in comparison with other jurisdictions. Much presentation of the data attempts to respond to frequently asked questions received by agencies of the County government. Nearly all of the data are from secondary sources rather than having been collected and processed by the County. A major source of the data has been the U.S. Census for 1950, 1960, and 1970; attention has been paid to properly documenting all sources of information and the user is encouraged to consult the source for verification of the data or clarification of a data category.

There are limitations to the data presented here. Inconsistencies are likely to be found in some of the tabulations from the U.S. Census describing housing characteristics—the source of these differences is in the "allocation" process used by the Bureau of the Census to allocate sample characteristics to the total population. Data presented on the number of housing units authorized by building permits are reported from two different sources, the County and the U.S. Census Bureau—while the data are similar, they are not exactly consistent.

Suggestions or questions should be directed to the Office of Research and Statistics (691-3341).

This second edition of the Fairfax County Profile updates the previous compilation of data through 1973 and 1974, where possible. In addition, some analytic, demographic tables and charts have been added in an effort to add meaning to the documented trends. Tables have also been added to other sections where new information has become available.
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I. POPULATION
SUMMARY OF MAJOR POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS
FAIRFAX COUNTY, 1950-1975

o Fairfax County added 150,340 people during the 1950's, 206,124 people were added during the 1960's and estimated 97,000 people have been added through January, 1975.

o Growth rate in Fairfax County was highest in the 1950's. During the decade of the 1950's, Fairfax grew by 153 percent. The decade of the 1960's saw a growth of 83 percent and growth from 1970 to 1975 is estimated at 21 percent increase.

o More than 70 percent of the growth in the 1960's is accounted for by in-migration. Natural increase during the decade was 54,718. During the 1970's, natural increase in population is slowing as birth rates decline. The decade of the 1970's should, therefore, show an even larger percent of total growth attributable to in-migration.

o The large in-migrations into Fairfax County have significantly changed the County's age profile. Large increases in the age groups 15-24, 45-54 and 55-64 have occurred. Increases significantly less than the County growth rate occurred in age groups under 5 and 35-44.

o Pre-school children under five years of age increased at a slower rate than any other category--22 percent. The numerical increase of this age group was larger during the decade of the 1950's than during the decade of the 1960's. In the 1960's this age group increased by 6,251 individuals while during the 1950's it increased by 18,960. Elementary school enrollment has declined since FY 1971. In this regard, Fairfax County reflects a national trend for declining birth rates.

o The age group 5-14 was most numerous in the 1960 and 1970 Census accounting for 24 percent of the total population in both years. Increases in the age group 5-14 during the 1950's accounted for 30 percent of total growth, in the 1960's, 23 percent of total growth was in this age group. During the 1970's growth in this age group can be expected to decrease as the small portion of the population below age five ages. The large number of persons in this age category in 1970--108,291--represents a "bulge" in the age profile of the County; this bulge will move through the age profile over time, increasing the number of teens and college students during the 1970's.

o Age group 15-24 grew at a rate twice the total County growth rate--166 percent. This age group increased by 46,186 persons in the 1960's but only by 14,355 persons in the 1950's. During the coming decade these 77,203 persons will be entering the labor force, seeking housing independent of their parents, going to college, and forming families. Family formations mean children, but given the current low birth rate the impact of a large number of children will be reduced.
Age groups 25-34 and 35-44 grew at a rate less than the total County growth rate. 32,249 individuals were added to the age group 35-44 in the 1950's while the 1960's saw an addition of only 19,667. The parent age group increased at a slower rate than the school age group. In the 1950's parents (age group 35-44) outnumbered the school age group (15-24); in the 1960's the reverse was true.

Age groups 45-54, 55-64 showed substantial increases in the 1960's. This segment of the population represented only 13 percent of the entire County population in the 1950 and 1960 Census. The 1970 Census indicated that these age groups increased to contain 18 percent of the total County population. These age groups are typically the peak earning and tax paying groups. A probable consequence of this increase in these age groups is Fairfax County's comparably high median family income in the region.

The elderly, age 65 and over, have increased about the same rate as total County growth during the 1960's. During the 1950's this age group increased at a rate somewhat less than total County growth rate. It is expected that this age group will increase in the 1970's due to the substantial increases noted in age group 55-64.

The decade of the 1960's produced significant changes in household composition. There was a dramatic increase in the number of one-person households—an increase of 293 percent. Two-person households increased by 148 percent. Larger sized households increased at rates far less than indicated by the total increase in households. The increase in smaller household sizes, whether caused by a decreasing birth rate, later formation of families, or the nature of the labor market, has produced a significant demand for apartments and condominiums.

A corollary to decreasing household size is an increase in the percentage of persons in unmarried categories, especially in the number of never married persons 14 years old and over.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Magisterial District</th>
<th>Population In Households</th>
<th>Population in Military and Group Quarters</th>
<th>Total Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Centreville</td>
<td>90,000</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>91,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dranesville</td>
<td>68,000</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>68,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annandale</td>
<td>62,000</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>62,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee</td>
<td>62,000</td>
<td>6,026</td>
<td>68,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mason</td>
<td>60,000</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>60,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
<td>58,000</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>58,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providence</td>
<td>67,000</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>67,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Springfield</td>
<td>69,000</td>
<td>8,877</td>
<td>78,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total County</strong></td>
<td><strong>536,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>16,010</strong></td>
<td><strong>552,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**

1. All numbers are rounded to the nearest thousand.
2. Based on most recent counts of group quarters population.
3. Includes the Town of Vienna.
4. Includes the Town of Herndon.
5. Includes the part of Fort Belvoir in Lee District.
6. Includes the Town of Clifton.
7. Includes Lorton and the part of Fort Belvoir in Springfield District.
8. Excludes the Cities of Fairfax and Falls Church.

Data on population in households derived from a housing unit count performed in July, 1973. The following Countywide factors were the average numbers used to convert housing units into estimated population:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Persons/DU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single Family</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duplex</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Townhouses</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile Homes</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garden Apartments</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevator Apartments</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiplex</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Population by census tract and subcensus tract is available.
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Table No. 2

FAIRFAX COUNTY POPULATION
1900-1992

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1900</td>
<td>18,580</td>
<td>1962</td>
<td>276,000</td>
<td>1970</td>
<td>455,021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1910</td>
<td>20,536</td>
<td>1963</td>
<td>292,000</td>
<td>1971</td>
<td>486,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1920</td>
<td>21,943</td>
<td>1964</td>
<td>314,000</td>
<td>1972</td>
<td>502,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1930</td>
<td>25,264</td>
<td>1965</td>
<td>329,000</td>
<td>1973</td>
<td>523,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1940</td>
<td>40,929</td>
<td>1966</td>
<td>350,000</td>
<td>1974</td>
<td>540,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1950</td>
<td>98,557</td>
<td>1967</td>
<td>379,000</td>
<td>1975</td>
<td>552,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1960</td>
<td>248,897</td>
<td>1968</td>
<td>409,000</td>
<td>1984</td>
<td>710,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1961</td>
<td>259,000</td>
<td>1969</td>
<td>432,000</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>819,100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources:
### Table No. 3

**POPULATION GROWTH, 1900 to 1970**

Washington SMSA, Fairfax, Montgomery and Prince George's Counties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Washington SMSA</strong></td>
<td>411,665</td>
<td>478,594</td>
<td>606,119</td>
<td>706,001</td>
<td>1,006,014</td>
<td>+501,834</td>
<td>+568,762</td>
<td>+2,861,123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+66,929</td>
<td>+127,525</td>
<td>+99,882</td>
<td>+300,014</td>
<td>+50%</td>
<td>+38%</td>
<td>+38%</td>
<td>+2,449,458</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+16%</td>
<td>+2%</td>
<td>+17%</td>
<td>+43%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fairfax County</strong></td>
<td>18,580</td>
<td>20,536</td>
<td>21,943</td>
<td>25,264</td>
<td>40,929</td>
<td>+57,628</td>
<td>+150,340</td>
<td>455,021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+1,956</td>
<td>+1,407</td>
<td>+3,321</td>
<td>+6,665</td>
<td>+141%</td>
<td>+133%</td>
<td>+34,706</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+11%</td>
<td>+7%</td>
<td>+15%</td>
<td>+62%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Montgomery County</strong></td>
<td>30,451</td>
<td>32,089</td>
<td>34,921</td>
<td>49,206</td>
<td>83,192</td>
<td>+80,489</td>
<td>+176,527</td>
<td>522,809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+1,638</td>
<td>+2,832</td>
<td>+14,285</td>
<td>+34,706</td>
<td>+96%</td>
<td>+107%</td>
<td>+492,358</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+5%</td>
<td>+9%</td>
<td>+41%</td>
<td>+71%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prince George's County</strong></td>
<td>29,898</td>
<td>36,147</td>
<td>43,347</td>
<td>60,095</td>
<td>89,490</td>
<td>+104,692</td>
<td>+163,213</td>
<td>660,567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+6,249</td>
<td>+7,200</td>
<td>+16,748</td>
<td>+29,395</td>
<td>+11%</td>
<td>+84%</td>
<td>+630,669</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+21%</td>
<td>+20%</td>
<td>+39%</td>
<td>+49%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Notes:

1. All data are based on the 1970 definition of the Washington Metropolitan area.
2. Fairfax County figures exclude city of Falls Church starting in 1950 and exclude city of Fairfax starting in 1960.
Population Growth 1900-1970
Fairfax, Montgomery, & Prince George's Counties

Source: See Table 3, Population Growth 1900-1970.
Table No. 4

SIZE OF THE POPULATION, 1960 and 1970
Washington SMSA, All Suburbs, Fairfax, Montgomery, and Prince George's Counties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington SMSA</td>
<td>2,076,610</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>2,861,123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington Suburbs</td>
<td>1,312,654</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2,104,613</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County</td>
<td>248,897</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>455,021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery County</td>
<td>340,928</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>522,809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince George's County</td>
<td>357,395</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>660,567</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Note: 1. The increase for the suburbs alone was larger than for the metropolitan area as a whole because the population of the central city, the District of Columbia, declined by more than 7,400 persons between 1960 and 1970.
### Table No. 5

**NATURAL INCREASE AND NET IN-MIGRATION, 1960 to 1970**

Washington SMSA, Fairfax, Montgomery, and Prince George's Counties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Jurisdiction</th>
<th>Total Population Increase</th>
<th>Natural Increase</th>
<th>Net In-migration</th>
<th>Percent Net In-migration of Total Increase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Washington SMSA</td>
<td>797,033</td>
<td>380,359</td>
<td>416,674</td>
<td>52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County</td>
<td>206,124</td>
<td>54,718</td>
<td>151,406</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery County</td>
<td>181,881</td>
<td>54,982</td>
<td>126,899</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince George's County</td>
<td>303,172</td>
<td>102,526</td>
<td>200,646</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Fairfax County Live Births</th>
<th>Fairfax County Deaths</th>
<th>Fairfax County Natural Increase</th>
<th>Fairfax County Birth Rate</th>
<th>United States Birth Rate</th>
<th>Fairfax County Death Rate</th>
<th>United States Death Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1960</td>
<td>6.512</td>
<td>1,041</td>
<td>5,471</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>6.661</td>
<td>1,352</td>
<td>5,309</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966</td>
<td>6.818</td>
<td>1,461</td>
<td>5,357</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967</td>
<td>6.935</td>
<td>1,584</td>
<td>5,351</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968</td>
<td>7.280</td>
<td>1,701</td>
<td>5,579</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969</td>
<td>7.732</td>
<td>1,716</td>
<td>6,016</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>7.815</td>
<td>1,829</td>
<td>5,986</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td>7.275</td>
<td>1,873</td>
<td>5,402</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>6,508</td>
<td>1,988</td>
<td>4,520</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973</td>
<td>6,450</td>
<td>1,971</td>
<td>4,479</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: Virginia State Department of Health, Bureau of Vital Resources and Health Statistics.

Note: The Fairfax County birth and death rates shown above are based on estimated population figures supplied by Virginia State Department of Health, Bureau of Vital Records and Statistics, which differ from the population estimates of Fairfax County.
BIRTH RATE BY AGE OF MOTHER
FAIRFAX COUNTY
1965, 1970

## ESTIMATED NET IN-MIGRATION
### FAIRFAX COUNTY, 1960-1973

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Total Population Increase</th>
<th>Natural Increase</th>
<th>Estimated Net In-Migration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1960</td>
<td>13,000</td>
<td>5,471</td>
<td>7,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1961</td>
<td>17,000</td>
<td>5,286</td>
<td>11,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1962</td>
<td>18,000</td>
<td>5,148</td>
<td>12,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1963</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>5,447</td>
<td>14,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964</td>
<td>16,000</td>
<td>5,754</td>
<td>10,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>21,000</td>
<td>5,309</td>
<td>15,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966</td>
<td>29,000</td>
<td>5,357</td>
<td>23,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>5,351</td>
<td>24,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968</td>
<td>23,000</td>
<td>5,579</td>
<td>17,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969</td>
<td>38,000</td>
<td>6,016</td>
<td>32,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>16,000</td>
<td>5,986</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td>16,000</td>
<td>5,402</td>
<td>10,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>21,000</td>
<td>4,520</td>
<td>16,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973</td>
<td>17,000</td>
<td>4,479</td>
<td>12,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** Natural Increase from Table 6, Page 15. Total Annual Population Increase from Table 2, Page 10. Estimated Net Migration is total annual increase minus natural increase.

**Note:** These figures are distorted because the April 1, 1970 population was used in the calculation, inflating the 1969-1970 increase and deflating the 1970-1971 increase.
AGE SEX PYRAMID OF MIGRANTS AND NON MIGRANTS
FAIRFAX COUNTY
1970

SOURCE: FAIRFAX COUNTY, OFFICE OF RESEARCH AND STATISTICS
**Calculation of Net Migration of Fairfax County by Age Groups: 1960-1970**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>1960 Census Population</th>
<th>1970 Census Population</th>
<th>Net Migration</th>
<th>Adjusted Migration</th>
<th>Average Annual Migration Rate</th>
<th>Percent Distribution</th>
<th>IMD$^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>(6)</td>
<td>(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MALE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-4</td>
<td>17,272</td>
<td>20,919</td>
<td>4,541</td>
<td>4,486</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>6.21</td>
<td>10.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-9</td>
<td>18,750</td>
<td>26,552</td>
<td>8,867</td>
<td>8,759</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>12.12</td>
<td>11.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-4</td>
<td>10-14</td>
<td>28,721</td>
<td>11,117</td>
<td>10,981</td>
<td>47.3</td>
<td>15.20</td>
<td>11.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-9</td>
<td>15-19</td>
<td>22,036</td>
<td>4,676</td>
<td>4,619</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>6.39</td>
<td>11.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-14</td>
<td>20-24</td>
<td>17,310</td>
<td>3,849</td>
<td>3,802</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>5.26</td>
<td>8.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-19</td>
<td>25-29</td>
<td>16,191</td>
<td>7,469</td>
<td>7,575</td>
<td>61.1</td>
<td>10.48</td>
<td>5.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-24</td>
<td>30-34</td>
<td>15,122</td>
<td>7,456</td>
<td>7,365</td>
<td>64.4</td>
<td>10.19</td>
<td>4.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-29</td>
<td>35-39</td>
<td>16,438</td>
<td>9,097</td>
<td>8,986</td>
<td>75.2</td>
<td>12.43</td>
<td>4.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-39</td>
<td>40-44</td>
<td>17,114</td>
<td>7,280</td>
<td>7,191</td>
<td>53.2</td>
<td>9.95</td>
<td>6.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-39</td>
<td>45-49</td>
<td>16,824</td>
<td>4,597</td>
<td>4,541</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>6.28</td>
<td>7.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-44</td>
<td>50-54</td>
<td>13,420</td>
<td>2,305</td>
<td>2,277</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>7.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-49</td>
<td>55-59</td>
<td>7,824</td>
<td>1,050</td>
<td>1,037</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>4.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-54</td>
<td>60-64</td>
<td>5,020</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>2.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-59</td>
<td>65-69</td>
<td>2,181</td>
<td>-153</td>
<td>-155</td>
<td>-6.8</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
<td>1.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-64</td>
<td>70-74</td>
<td>1,277</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td>75+</td>
<td>1,573</td>
<td>466</td>
<td>466</td>
<td>34.3</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>128,233</td>
<td>228,116</td>
<td>73,160</td>
<td>72,263</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| FEMALE |                        |                        |               |                    |                               |                      |         |       |
|--------|------------------------|------------------------|---------------|                    |                               |                      |         |       |
| 0-4   | 15,608                 | 19,807                 | 4,855         | 4,796              | 27.5                          | 6.07                 | 10.18   | -40.37|
| 5-9   | 16,965                 | 25,561                 | 9,390         | 9,275              | 44.3                          | 11.73                | 11.01   | 6.54  |
| 0-4   | 10-14                  | 27,457                 | 10,493        | 10,365             | 46.5                          | 13.11                | 11.55   | 13.51 |
| 5-9   | 15,387                 | 20,898                 | 4,129         | 4,078              | 21.6                          | 5.16                 | 11.42   | -54.82|
| 10-14 | 20-24                  | 16,959                 | 3,667         | 3,622              | 23.9                          | 4.58                 | 9.05    | -49.39|
| 15-19 | 25-29                  | 17,566                 | 10,531        | 10,402             | 84.1                          | 13.16                | 4.79    | 174.74|
| 20-24 | 30-34                  | 16,047                 | 10,667        | 10,537             | 97.8                          | 13.33                | 3.66    | 264.21|
| 25-29 | 35-39                  | 16,715                 | 8,648         | 8,542              | 68.6                          | 10.81                | 5.49    | 96.90 |
| 30-34 | 40-44                  | 17,381                 | 7,017         | 6,931              | 49.8                          | 8.77                 | 7.36    | 19.16 |
| 35-39 | 45-49                  | 17,463                 | 4,563         | 4,507              | 29.8                          | 5.70                 | 8.74    | -34.78|
| 40-44 | 50-54                  | 17,494                 | 2,102         | 2,155              | 20.7                          | 2.73                 | 6.34    | -56.94|
| 45-49 | 55-59                  | 6,711                  | 1,028         | 1,015              | 16.4                          | 1.28                 | 3.87    | -66.93|
| 50-54 | 60-64                  | 6,409                  | 545           | 538                | 13.3                          | 0.68                 | 2.56    | -73.44|
| 55-59 | 65-69                  | 2,774                  | 375           | 375                | 14.5                          | 0.47                 | 1.63    | -71.17|
| 60-64 | 70-74                  | 2,202                  | 579           | 572                | 29.9                          | 0.72                 | 1.10    | -34.55|
| 65+   | 75+                    | 3,630                  | 1,334         | 1,334              | 45.0                          | 1.69                 | 1.55    | 9.03  |
| Total | 120,664                | 226,905                | 79,044        | 79,044             | 42.2                          | 100.00               | 100.00  | 0.00  |

---

**Notes:**
1. Adjusted with the plus-minus proportionate procedure.
2. Index of migration differential.

**Source:** Office of Research and Statistics, Fairfax County, Virginia.
Table No. 9

PLACE OF RESIDENCE IN 1965; POPULATION FIVE YEARS AND OVER, 1970
Washington SMSA and Fairfax County

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Place of Residence in 1965</th>
<th>Fairfax County's Percent of Population Five Years and Over</th>
<th>Washington SMSA Percent of Population Five Years and Over</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Same House as in 1970</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Different House from 1970:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Same County</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elsewhere in same State</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northeast</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Central</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abroad</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moved, 1965 Residence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>not reported in 1970</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### FAIRFAX COUNTY: LENGTH OF RESIDENCE BY TYPE OF TENURE, 1970

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year Moved To Fairfax County</th>
<th>Percent of Households by Tenure</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Owner Occupied</td>
<td>Renter Occupied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969-1970</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>54.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>20.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965-1967</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1960-1964</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1950-1959</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1949 or earlier</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total:</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under 5</td>
<td>14,495</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>34,475</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>40,726</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-9</td>
<td>10,098</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>33,902</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>52,113</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-14</td>
<td>6,299</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>27,034</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>56,178</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-19</td>
<td>6,464</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>15,881</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>42,934</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-24</td>
<td>8,198</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13,136</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>34,269</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-29</td>
<td>10,638</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15,602</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>33,757</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-34</td>
<td>10,938</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>21,106</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>31,169</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-39</td>
<td>9,018</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>26,303</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>33,153</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-44</td>
<td>6,714</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>21,678</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>34,495</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-49</td>
<td>4,511</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13,788</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>34,227</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-54</td>
<td>3,340</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9,046</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>24,914</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-59</td>
<td>2,459</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5,841</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>14,535</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-64</td>
<td>1,825</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3,845</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>8,877</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 &amp; over</td>
<td>3,560</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7,260</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>13,674</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
<th>98,557</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>248,897</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>153</th>
<th>455,021</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>83</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median Age</td>
<td></td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table No. 12

CHANGE IN THE POPULATION AGE DISTRIBUTION

FAIRFAX COUNTY, 1960 to 1970

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under 5</td>
<td>34,475</td>
<td>40,726</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-14</td>
<td>60,936</td>
<td>108,291</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-24</td>
<td>29,017</td>
<td>77,203</td>
<td>166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>36,708</td>
<td>64,926</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>47,981</td>
<td>67,648</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-54</td>
<td>22,834</td>
<td>59,141</td>
<td>159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-64</td>
<td>9,686</td>
<td>23,412</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 &amp; over</td>
<td>7,260</td>
<td>13,674</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>248,897</td>
<td>455,021</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median Age</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Population Age Profile, Fairfax County
1950-1960-1970

Source: See Table 11, Change in Population Age Distribution, Fairfax County, 1950-1960-1970.
Table No. 13

CHANGES IN CHILD AND SCHOOL AGE GROUPS, 1960 to 1970
Washington SMSA, Fairfax, Montgomery, and Prince George's Counties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Percent of Total</td>
<td>Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under 5 Age Group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington SMSA</td>
<td>246,276</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>255,218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County</td>
<td>34,475</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>40,726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery County</td>
<td>42,299</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>43,074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince George's County</td>
<td>48,687</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>69,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 to 14 Age Group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington SMSA</td>
<td>399,315</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>576,087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County</td>
<td>60,936</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>108,291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery County</td>
<td>79,701</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>112,707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince George's County</td>
<td>77,187</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>142,278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 to 24 Age Group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington SMSA</td>
<td>285,921</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>524,359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County</td>
<td>29,017</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>77,203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery County</td>
<td>36,496</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>84,387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince George's County</td>
<td>51,888</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>129,112</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1960</td>
<td>1970</td>
<td>Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34 Age Group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington SMSA</td>
<td>301,560</td>
<td>438,050</td>
<td>136,490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County</td>
<td>36,708</td>
<td>64,926</td>
<td>28,218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery County</td>
<td>45,128</td>
<td>69,402</td>
<td>24,274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince George's County</td>
<td>54,635</td>
<td>111,097</td>
<td>56,462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44 Age Group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington SMSA</td>
<td>323,263</td>
<td>355,499</td>
<td>32,236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County</td>
<td>47,981</td>
<td>67,648</td>
<td>19,667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery County</td>
<td>58,623</td>
<td>69,943</td>
<td>11,320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince George's County</td>
<td>55,028</td>
<td>79,252</td>
<td>24,224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-54 Age Group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington SMSA</td>
<td>240,956</td>
<td>330,198</td>
<td>89,242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County</td>
<td>22,834</td>
<td>59,141</td>
<td>36,307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery County</td>
<td>39,669</td>
<td>69,331</td>
<td>29,662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince George's County</td>
<td>35,236</td>
<td>65,962</td>
<td>30,726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-64 Age Group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington SMSA</td>
<td>150,500</td>
<td>209,813</td>
<td>59,313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County</td>
<td>9,686</td>
<td>23,412</td>
<td>13,726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery County</td>
<td>21,049</td>
<td>41,346</td>
<td>20,306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince George's County</td>
<td>19,757</td>
<td>36,947</td>
<td>17,190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-64 Age Group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington SMSA</td>
<td>1,016,279</td>
<td>1,333,560</td>
<td>317,281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County</td>
<td>117,209</td>
<td>215,127</td>
<td>97,918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery County</td>
<td>164,469</td>
<td>250,022</td>
<td>85,553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince George's County</td>
<td>164,656</td>
<td>293,258</td>
<td>128,602</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table No. 15

CHANGES IN MARITAL STATUS, 1960 to 1970

POPULATION 14 YEARS AND OVER

Washington SMSA, Fairfax, Montgomery and Prince George's Counties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of Total</td>
<td>Percent of Total</td>
<td>Number of Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Now Married</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington SMSA</td>
<td>916,362</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>1,225,579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County</td>
<td>121,552</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>218,268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery County</td>
<td>162,339</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>245,193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince George's County</td>
<td>166,281</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>299,460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never Married</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington SMSA</td>
<td>350,921</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>590,663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County</td>
<td>34,665</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>76,893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery County</td>
<td>43,197</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>96,174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince George's County</td>
<td>49,828</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>117,393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widowed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington SMSA</td>
<td>101,932</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>125,469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County</td>
<td>5,926</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10,770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery County</td>
<td>12,659</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>21,253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince George's County</td>
<td>12,097</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>21,069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divorced</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington SMSA</td>
<td>41,114</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>70,734</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County</td>
<td>2,455</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6,448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery County</td>
<td>3,684</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9,366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince George's County</td>
<td>4,508</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12,240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington SMSA</td>
<td>48,926</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>72,710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County</td>
<td>1,920</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4,580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery County</td>
<td>2,711</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6,394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince George's County</td>
<td>4,211</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11,474</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table No. 16

FAMILY CHARACTERISTICS
FAIRFAX COUNTY, 1960-1970

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1960</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>1970</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Married Couples</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With Children Under 6</td>
<td>26,739</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>33,092</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With Own Children Under 18</td>
<td>44,880</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>72,173</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Children Ever Born</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women Ever Married, 35-44</td>
<td>23,802</td>
<td></td>
<td>33,337</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children per 1,000 Women Ever Married</td>
<td>2,426</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,827</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Under 18</strong></td>
<td>112,502</td>
<td></td>
<td>179,329</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living with Both Parents</td>
<td>105,668</td>
<td></td>
<td>163,087</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of Total</td>
<td>93.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>90.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table No. 17

INCREASE IN ONE-PERSON HOUSEHOLDS AND THE NUMBER OF UNRELATED INDIVIDUALS

FAIRFAX COUNTY, 1960-1970

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1960</th>
<th>1970</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Population</td>
<td>248,897</td>
<td>455,021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Unrelated Individuals</td>
<td>11,707</td>
<td>23,811</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of Unrelated Individuals</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Number of Occupied Households</td>
<td>65,245</td>
<td>126,516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of One-Person Households</td>
<td>2,537</td>
<td>9,972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of One-Person Households</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources:
HOUSEHOLD SIZE IN AREA JURISDICTIONS 1970

FAIRFAX COUNTY

MONTGOMERY COUNTY

PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY

WSMSA

SOURCE: BASED ON 1970 U.S. CENSUS OF POPULATION AND HOUSING, PHC(i)-226
Table No. 18

REGIONAL POPULATION AGE CHARACTERISTICS, 1970

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Jurisdiction</th>
<th>Under 5</th>
<th>5-14</th>
<th>15-64</th>
<th>65+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>District of Columbia</td>
<td>59,735</td>
<td>128,646</td>
<td>497,326</td>
<td>70,803</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland Area</td>
<td>112,324</td>
<td>254,985</td>
<td>756,779</td>
<td>59,288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery County</td>
<td>43,074</td>
<td>112,707</td>
<td>334,409</td>
<td>32,619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockville</td>
<td>4,273</td>
<td>10,497</td>
<td>25,123</td>
<td>1,671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Takoma Park</td>
<td>1,367</td>
<td>2,480</td>
<td>12,714</td>
<td>1,894</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince George's County</td>
<td>69,250</td>
<td>142,278</td>
<td>422,370</td>
<td>26,669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowie</td>
<td>4,455</td>
<td>10,707</td>
<td>19,221</td>
<td>645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Park</td>
<td>1,404</td>
<td>3,376</td>
<td>20,511</td>
<td>865</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenbelt</td>
<td>2,351</td>
<td>2,833</td>
<td>12,419</td>
<td>596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia Area</td>
<td>83,159</td>
<td>192,456</td>
<td>603,814</td>
<td>41,808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexandria</td>
<td>9,843</td>
<td>16,190</td>
<td>77,554</td>
<td>7,351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arlington County</td>
<td>11,946</td>
<td>22,493</td>
<td>126,239</td>
<td>13,606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax City</td>
<td>2,093</td>
<td>5,282</td>
<td>13,847</td>
<td>748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County</td>
<td>40,726</td>
<td>108,291</td>
<td>292,330</td>
<td>13,674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falls Church</td>
<td>894</td>
<td>1,873</td>
<td>7,180</td>
<td>825</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loudoun County</td>
<td>3,881</td>
<td>8,650</td>
<td>21,783</td>
<td>2,836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince William County</td>
<td>13,776</td>
<td>29,677</td>
<td>64,881</td>
<td>2,768</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington SMSA</td>
<td>255,218</td>
<td>576,087</td>
<td>1,857,919</td>
<td>171,899</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table No. 19

POPULATION IN A REGIONAL SETTING, 1970

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Negro</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>District of Columbia</td>
<td>756,510</td>
<td>209,272</td>
<td>537,712</td>
<td>9,526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland Area</td>
<td>1,183,376</td>
<td>1,055,410</td>
<td>113,359</td>
<td>14,607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery County</td>
<td>522,809</td>
<td>493,934</td>
<td>21,551</td>
<td>7,324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockville</td>
<td>41,564</td>
<td>38,371</td>
<td>2,838</td>
<td>355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Takoma Park</td>
<td>18,455</td>
<td>15,716</td>
<td>2,297</td>
<td>442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince George's County</td>
<td>660,567</td>
<td>561,476</td>
<td>91,808</td>
<td>7,283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowie</td>
<td>35,028</td>
<td>33,912</td>
<td>866</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Park</td>
<td>26,156</td>
<td>24,950</td>
<td>854</td>
<td>352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenbelt</td>
<td>18,199</td>
<td>17,823</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia Area</td>
<td>921,237</td>
<td>860,221</td>
<td>52,674</td>
<td>8,342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexandria</td>
<td>110,938</td>
<td>94,233</td>
<td>15,644</td>
<td>1,061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arlington County</td>
<td>174,284</td>
<td>161,329</td>
<td>10,076</td>
<td>2,879</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax City</td>
<td>21,970</td>
<td>21,509</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County</td>
<td>455,021</td>
<td>435,737</td>
<td>15,859</td>
<td>3,425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falls Church</td>
<td>10,772</td>
<td>10,532</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loudoun County</td>
<td>37,150</td>
<td>32,443</td>
<td>4,648</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince William County</td>
<td>111,102</td>
<td>104,438</td>
<td>5,925</td>
<td>739</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington SMSA</td>
<td>2,861,123</td>
<td>2,124,903</td>
<td>703,745</td>
<td>32,475</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table No. 20

POPULATION BY RACE
FAIRFAX COUNTY, 1950-1960-1970

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race</th>
<th>1950</th>
<th>1960</th>
<th>1970</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>88,712</td>
<td>236,164</td>
<td>435,737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negro</td>
<td>9,700</td>
<td>11,941</td>
<td>15,859</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>894</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filipino</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Other</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>1,165</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total: 98,557 248,897 455,021

Sources:
### Table No. 21

#### Persons In Lorton and Fort Belvoir

**Fairfax County**

**1960, 1970**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1960</th>
<th>1970</th>
<th>Average Annual Growth Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Persons in Lorton and Fort Belvoir</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>4,202</td>
<td>4,589</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Black</td>
<td>(27.44)</td>
<td>(26.36)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>11,109</td>
<td>12,452</td>
<td>1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Population Less Persons in Lorton and Fort Belvoir</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>7,517</td>
<td>11,270</td>
<td>4.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Black</td>
<td>(3.22)</td>
<td>(2.58)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>225,055</td>
<td>423,285</td>
<td>6.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** Based on data from U.S. Census of Population and Housing, 1970, Census Tracts, PHC(1)-226, and U.S. Census of Population and Housing, 1960, Census Tracts, PHC(1)-166.
**Table No. 22**

**POPULATION PROFILE, 1970:**

**Bailey's Planning District**

### I. TOTAL POPULATION AND DENSITY

Total Population: 30,112
- Male: 14,444
- Female: 15,668
- Black: 1,865
- White: 27,907
- Percent Black: 6.19
- Population Density: 8.12

### II. AGE-SEX DISTRIBUTION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Male Number</th>
<th>Male Percent</th>
<th>Female Number</th>
<th>Female Percent</th>
<th>Dependency Ratio</th>
<th>Proportion of Youth</th>
<th>Proportion of Aged</th>
<th>Median Age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-4</td>
<td>1,270</td>
<td>8.79</td>
<td>1,201</td>
<td>7.67</td>
<td>24.99</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-9</td>
<td>1,204</td>
<td>8.34</td>
<td>1,124</td>
<td>7.17</td>
<td>8.35</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-14</td>
<td>1,418</td>
<td>9.82</td>
<td>1,308</td>
<td>8.35</td>
<td>11.87</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-19</td>
<td>1,322</td>
<td>9.15</td>
<td>1,448</td>
<td>9.24</td>
<td>13.35</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-24</td>
<td>1,485</td>
<td>10.28</td>
<td>1,860</td>
<td>11.87</td>
<td>12.31</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>2,075</td>
<td>14.37</td>
<td>2,092</td>
<td>13.35</td>
<td>16.12</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>1,598</td>
<td>11.06</td>
<td>1,928</td>
<td>12.31</td>
<td>16.12</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-54</td>
<td>2,263</td>
<td>15.67</td>
<td>2,526</td>
<td>16.12</td>
<td>16.12</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-64</td>
<td>1,290</td>
<td>8.93</td>
<td>1,248</td>
<td>7.96</td>
<td>7.96</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>933</td>
<td>5.96</td>
<td>5.96</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>14,444</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>15,668</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>24.99</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### III. FERTILITY INDICATOR

Child-Woman Ratio: 286

### IV. MIGRATION

#### Place of Residence in 1965 compared to 1970:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residence in 1965</th>
<th>Percent of Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Same house as in 1970</td>
<td>40.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Different house</td>
<td>39.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In other part of SMSA</td>
<td>23.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside of SMSA</td>
<td>22.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North and West</td>
<td>12.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>9.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abroad</td>
<td>5.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### V. HOUSING INDICATORS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Housing Tenure</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Owner Occupied Units</td>
<td>4,049</td>
<td>37.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooperatives &amp; Condominiums</td>
<td>6,471</td>
<td>60.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renter Occupied Units</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>2.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td></td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Household Size

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Housing Tenure</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One Person Units</td>
<td>1,832</td>
<td>17.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two Person Units</td>
<td>3,382</td>
<td>32.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three Person Units</td>
<td>2,176</td>
<td>20.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four Person Units</td>
<td>1,700</td>
<td>16.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Five Person Units</td>
<td>844</td>
<td>8.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Six or More Person Units</td>
<td>586</td>
<td>5.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Units w/Roomers, Boarders, Lodgers</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Occupied Units</td>
<td>10,520</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Median Household Size

2.51

### VI. MARITAL STATUS OF POPULATION 14 AND OVER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marital Status</th>
<th>Male Number</th>
<th>Male Percent</th>
<th>Female Number</th>
<th>Female Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>10,866</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>12,306</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>2,834</td>
<td>26.08</td>
<td>2,865</td>
<td>23.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>7,361</td>
<td>70.50</td>
<td>7,351</td>
<td>64.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### VII. INCOME

Median 1969 Income: $14,748

Source: Compiled from U.S. Census data by the Fairfax County Office of Research and Statistics.

### VIII. EDUCATION

Median Years of School Completed: 12.91
Table No. 22 (cont.)

POPULATION PROFILE, 1970:
Lincolnia Planning District

I TOTAL POPULATION AND DENSITY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Population: 11,123</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male: 5,336 Black: 294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female: 5,787 White: 10,733</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population Density: 6.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

II AGE-SEX DISTRIBUTION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Age Indicators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dependency Ratio: 482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Proportion of Youth: 29.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Proportion of Aged: 3.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Median Age:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Male: 26.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Female: 27.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

III FERTILITY INDICATOR

Child-Woman Ratio: 368

IV MIGRATION

Place of Residence in 1965 compared to 1970:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residence in 1965</th>
<th>Percent of Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Same house as in 1970</td>
<td>32.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Different house</td>
<td>67.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In other part of SMSA</td>
<td>24.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside of SMSA</td>
<td>32.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North and West</td>
<td>13.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>5.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V HOUSING INDICATORS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Housing Tenure</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Owner Occupied Units</td>
<td>7,350</td>
<td>37.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooperatives &amp; Condominiums</td>
<td>1,153</td>
<td>59.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renter Occupied Units</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>2.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Household Size</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One Person Units</td>
<td>929</td>
<td>9.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two Person Units</td>
<td>1,116</td>
<td>31.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three Person Units</td>
<td>813</td>
<td>23.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four Person Units</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>20.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Five Person Units</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>8.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Six or More Person Units</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>6.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Units w/Roomers, Boarders, Lodgers</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>1.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Occupied Units</td>
<td>3,503</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VI. MARITAL STATUS OF POPULATION 14 AND OVER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marital Status</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3,731</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>771</td>
<td>20.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>2,877</td>
<td>77.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VII INCOME

Median 1969 Income: $14,271

VIII EDUCATION

Median Years of School Completed: 12.95
### Table No. 22 (cont.)

**POPULATION PROFILE, 1970:**

**Jefferson Planning District**

#### I. TOTAL POPULATION AND DENSITY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Population:</th>
<th>40,989</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male:</td>
<td>19,928</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female:</td>
<td>21,061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black:</td>
<td>2,168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White:</td>
<td>38,530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Black:</td>
<td>5.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population Density:</td>
<td>7.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### II. AGE-SEX DISTRIBUTION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Age Indicators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-4</td>
<td>2,121</td>
<td>7,117</td>
<td>Total: 19,928</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-9</td>
<td>2,726</td>
<td>3,195</td>
<td>Percent: 10.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-19</td>
<td>2,725</td>
<td>2,043</td>
<td>Percent: 11.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-24</td>
<td>1,740</td>
<td>1,776</td>
<td>Dependency Ratio: 536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>1,348</td>
<td>7,038</td>
<td>Proportion of Youth: 31.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>2,508</td>
<td>7,598</td>
<td>Proportion of Aged: 3.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-54</td>
<td>2,457</td>
<td>2,648</td>
<td>Median Age:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-64</td>
<td>1,287</td>
<td>1,189</td>
<td>Male - 25.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>858</td>
<td>Female - 26.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### III. FERTILITY INDICATOR

Child-Woman Ratio: 371

#### IV. MIGRATION

Place of Residence in 1965 compared to 1970:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residence in 1965</th>
<th>Percent of Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Same house as in 1970</td>
<td>44.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Different house</td>
<td>55.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In other part of SMSA</td>
<td>25.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside of SMSA</td>
<td>21.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North and West</td>
<td>11.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>9.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abroad</td>
<td>4.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### V. HOUSING INDICATORS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Housing Tenure</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Owner Occupied Units</td>
<td>6,891</td>
<td>55.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooperatives &amp; Condominiums</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renter Occupied Units</td>
<td>5,459</td>
<td>43.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>1.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Household Size</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One Person Units</td>
<td>1,174</td>
<td>9.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two Person Units</td>
<td>3,330</td>
<td>26.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three Person Units</td>
<td>2,679</td>
<td>21.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four Person Units</td>
<td>2,587</td>
<td>20.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Five Person Units</td>
<td>1,403</td>
<td>11.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Six or More Person Units</td>
<td>1,164</td>
<td>9.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Units w/Roomers, Boarders, Lodgers</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>1.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Occupied Units</td>
<td>12,350</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### VI. MARITAL STATUS OF POPULATION 14 AND OVER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>13,770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>3,147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>10,208</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### VII. INCOME

Median 1969 Income: $14,334

#### VIII. EDUCATION

Median Years of School Completed: 12.71

Source: Compiled from U.S. Census data by the Fairfax County Office of Research and Statistics.
Table No. 22 (cont.)

POPULATION PROFILE, 1970:
Annandale Planning District

I TOTAL POPULATION AND DENSITY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>30,850</td>
<td>62.63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>31,785</td>
<td>60.72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>62,078</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Black</td>
<td>.33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

II AGE-SEX DISTRIBUTION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Male Number</th>
<th>Male Percent</th>
<th>Female Number</th>
<th>Female Percent</th>
<th>Dependency Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-4</td>
<td>2,734</td>
<td>8.86</td>
<td>2,558</td>
<td>8.05</td>
<td>592</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-9</td>
<td>3,822</td>
<td>12.39</td>
<td>3,878</td>
<td>12.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-14</td>
<td>4,543</td>
<td>14.73</td>
<td>4,235</td>
<td>13.32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-19</td>
<td>3,080</td>
<td>9.98</td>
<td>2,978</td>
<td>9.37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-24</td>
<td>1,380</td>
<td>4.47</td>
<td>1,819</td>
<td>5.72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>3,484</td>
<td>11.29</td>
<td>4,303</td>
<td>13.54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>5,282</td>
<td>17.12</td>
<td>5,509</td>
<td>17.33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-54</td>
<td>4,546</td>
<td>14.74</td>
<td>4,218</td>
<td>13.27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-64</td>
<td>1,465</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>1,275</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>1,012</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>30,850</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>31,785</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

III FERTILITY INDICATOR

Child-Woman Ratio: 311

IV MIGRATION

Place of Residence in 1965 compared to 1970:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residence in 1965</th>
<th>Percent of Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Same house as in 1970</td>
<td>39.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Different house</td>
<td>60.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In other part of SMSA</td>
<td>21.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside of SMSA</td>
<td>29.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North and West</td>
<td>18.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>10.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abroad</td>
<td>5.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V HOUSING INDICATORS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Housing Tenure</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Owner Occupied Units</td>
<td>11,812</td>
<td>67.83</td>
<td>1,005</td>
<td>5.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooperatives &amp; Condominiums</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renter Occupied Units</td>
<td>5,256</td>
<td>30.18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VI MARITAL STATUS OF POPULATION 14 AND OVER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Male Number</th>
<th>Male Percent</th>
<th>Female Number</th>
<th>Female Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>20,603</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>21,915</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>5,126</td>
<td>24.88</td>
<td>4,817</td>
<td>21.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>15,469</td>
<td>73.14</td>
<td>17,325</td>
<td>77.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VII INCOME

Median 1969 Income: $17,534

VIII EDUCATION

Median Years of School Completed: 13.95

Source: Compiled from U.S. Census data by the Fairfax County Office of Research and Statistics.
Table No. 22 (cont.)

POPULATION PROFILE, 1970:
Vienna Planning District

I TOTAL POPULATION AND DENSITY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Population: 38,381</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male: 18,749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female: 19,632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black: 992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White: 37,153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Black: 2.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population Density: 3.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

II AGE-SEX DISTRIBUTION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Age Indicators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-4</td>
<td>1,614</td>
<td>8.61</td>
<td>1,561</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-9</td>
<td>2,397</td>
<td>12.78</td>
<td>2,495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-14</td>
<td>2,814</td>
<td>15.01</td>
<td>2,733</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-19</td>
<td>1,939</td>
<td>10.34</td>
<td>1,947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-24</td>
<td>769</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>2,012</td>
<td>10.73</td>
<td>2,560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>3,100</td>
<td>16.53</td>
<td>3,249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-54</td>
<td>2,606</td>
<td>13.91</td>
<td>2,320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-64</td>
<td>979</td>
<td>5.22</td>
<td>929</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>18,749</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>19,632</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

III FERTILITY INDICATOR

Child-Woman Ratio: 317

IV MIGRATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Place of Residence in 1965 compared to 1970:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Residence in 1965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Same house as in 1970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Different house</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In other part of SMSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside of SMSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North and West</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abroad</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V HOUSING INDICATORS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Housing Tenure</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Household Size</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Owner Occupied Units</td>
<td>7,613</td>
<td>72.46</td>
<td>One Person Units</td>
<td>623</td>
<td>6.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooperatives &amp; Condominiums</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Two Person Units</td>
<td>2,018</td>
<td>20.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renter Occupied Units</td>
<td>2,437</td>
<td>23.19</td>
<td>Three Person Units</td>
<td>1,889</td>
<td>18.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td>457</td>
<td>4.35</td>
<td>Four Person Units</td>
<td>2,343</td>
<td>23.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Five Person Units</td>
<td>1,663</td>
<td>16.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Six or More Person Units</td>
<td>1,516</td>
<td>15.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Units w/Roomers, Boarders, Lodgers</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>All Occupied Units</td>
<td>10,022</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Median Household Size</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VI MARITAL STATUS OF POPULATION 14 AND OVER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>12,480</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>3,235</td>
<td>25.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>8,890</td>
<td>71.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VII INCOME

Median 1969 Income: $16,812

VIII EDUCATION

Median Years of School Completed: 12.84

Source: Compiled from U.S. Census data by the Fairfax County Office of Research and Statistics.
Table No. 22 (cont.)

POPULATION PROFILE, 1970:

McLean Planning District

I TOTAL POPULATION AND DENSITY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Population: 46,866</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male: 22,855 Black: 517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female: 24,011 White: 45,923</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AGE-SEX DISTRIBUTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total: 22,855 100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

III FERTILITY INDICATOR

Child-Woman Ratio: 283

IV MIGRATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Place of Residence in 1965 compared to 1970</th>
<th>Percent of Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Same house as in 1970</td>
<td>39.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Different house</td>
<td>60.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In other part of SMSA</td>
<td>17.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside of SMSA</td>
<td>19.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North and West</td>
<td>11.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>7.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abroad</td>
<td>4.77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V HOUSING INDICATORS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Housing Tenure</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Household Size</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Owner Occupied Units</td>
<td>9,555</td>
<td>71.81</td>
<td>One Person Units</td>
<td>813</td>
<td>6.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooperatives &amp; Condominiums</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Two Person Units</td>
<td>3,106</td>
<td>24.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renter Occupied Units</td>
<td>3,328</td>
<td>25.01</td>
<td>Three Person Units</td>
<td>2,543</td>
<td>20.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td>424</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>Four Person Units</td>
<td>2,835</td>
<td>22.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Five Person Units</td>
<td>1,781</td>
<td>14.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Six or More Person Units</td>
<td>1,628</td>
<td>12.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Units w/Roomers, Boarders, Lodgers</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>1.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>All Occupied Units</td>
<td>12,704</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Median Household Size</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VI MARITAL STATUS OF POPULATION 14 AND OVER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>16,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>4,297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>11,303</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VII INCOME

Median 1969 Income: $18,791

Source: Compiled from U.S. Census data by the Fairfax County Office of Research and Statistics.

VIII EDUCATION

Median Years of School Completed: 13.93
Table No. 22 (cont.)

POPULATION PROFILE, 1970:
Fairfax Planning District

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>I TOTAL POPULATION AND DENSITY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Population: 22,889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male: 11,377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female: 11,611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black: 334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White: 22,722</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Black: 1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population Density: 1.79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>II AGE-SEX DISTRIBUTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total: 11,377</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>III FERTILITY INDICATOR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Child-Woman Ratio: 339</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IV MIGRATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Residence in 1965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Same house as in 1970: 31.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Different house: 68.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In other part of SMSA: 25.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside ofSMSA: 31.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North and West: 18.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South: 12.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abroad: 4.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V HOUSING INDICATORS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Housing Tenure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner Occupied Units: 4,073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooperatives &amp; Condominiums</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renter Occupied Units: 2,425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median Household Size: 3.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VI MARITAL STATUS OF POPULATION 14 AND OVER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total: 7,893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single: 1,807</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married: 5,746</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Female                                      |
| Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Total: 8,219                                | 100.00  |
| Single: 1,794                               | 21.83   |
| Married: 5,862                              | 71.82   |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VII INCOME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median 1969 Income: $17,169</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VIII EDUCATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median Years of School Completed: 13.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table No. 22 (cont.)

POPULATION PROFILE, 1970:
Pohick Planning District

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TOTAL POPULATION AND DENSITY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Population: 14,902</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male: 7,482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female: 7,420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black: 634</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White: 14,212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Black: 4.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population Density: .31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AGE-SEX DISTRIBUTION</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Age Indicators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-4</td>
<td>672</td>
<td>8.98</td>
<td>611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-9</td>
<td>1,145</td>
<td>15.30</td>
<td>1,045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-14</td>
<td>1,179</td>
<td>15.76</td>
<td>1,105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-19</td>
<td>716</td>
<td>9.57</td>
<td>734</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-24</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>748</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>1,050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>1,399</td>
<td>18.70</td>
<td>1,323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-54</td>
<td>877</td>
<td>11.72</td>
<td>760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-64</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>4.71</td>
<td>285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>7,482</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>7,420</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FERTILITY INDICATOR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Child-Woman Ratio: 335</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MIGRATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Place of Residence in 1965 compared to 1970:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residence in 1965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Same house as in 1970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Different house</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In other part of SMSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside of SMSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North and West</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abroad</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HOUSING INDICATORS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Housing Tenure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner Occupied Units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooperatives &amp; Condominiums</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renter Occupied Units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Household Size | Number | Percent |
| One Person Units | 146 | 4.00 |
| Two Person Units | 538 | 14.75 |
| Three Person Units | 650 | 17.82 |
| Four Person Units | 999 | 27.39 |
| Five Person Units | 665 | 18.78 |
| Six or More Person Units | 632 | 17.33 |
| Units w/Roomers, Boarders, Lodgers | 59 | 1.62 |
| All Occupied Units | 3,648 | 100.00 |
| Median Household Size | 3.99 |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MARITAL STATUS OF POPULATION 14 AND OVER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INCOME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median 1969 Income: $15,828</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EDUCATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median Years of School Completed: 12.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Compiled from U.S. Census data by the Fairfax County Office of Research and Statistics.
Table No. 22 (cont.)

POPULATION PROFILE, 1970:

Bull Run Planning District

I TOTAL POPULATION AND DENSITY

Total Population: 14,006
Male: 7,074  Black: 455  Percent Black: 3.24
Female: 6,932  White: 13,474  Population Density: 0.50

II AGE-SEX DISTRIBUTION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Male Number</th>
<th>Male Percent</th>
<th>Female Number</th>
<th>Female Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-4</td>
<td>1,051</td>
<td>14.86</td>
<td>970</td>
<td>13.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-9</td>
<td>1,003</td>
<td>14.18</td>
<td>941</td>
<td>13.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-14</td>
<td>770</td>
<td>10.88</td>
<td>751</td>
<td>10.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-19</td>
<td>445</td>
<td>6.29</td>
<td>403</td>
<td>5.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-24</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>4.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>1,534</td>
<td>21.69</td>
<td>1,689</td>
<td>24.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>1,095</td>
<td>15.48</td>
<td>912</td>
<td>13.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-54</td>
<td>545</td>
<td>7.70</td>
<td>516</td>
<td>7.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-64</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>3.91</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>3.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>2.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>7,074</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>6,932</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

III FERTILITY INDICATOR

Child-Woman Ratio: 560

IV MIGRATION

Place of Residence in 1965 compared to 1970:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residence In 1965</th>
<th>Percent of Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Same house as in 1970</td>
<td>20.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Different house</td>
<td>79.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In other part of SMSA</td>
<td>32.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside of SMSA</td>
<td>33.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North and West</td>
<td>20.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>13.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abroad</td>
<td>3.97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V HOUSING INDICATORS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Housing Tenure</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Owner Occupied Units</td>
<td>3,248</td>
<td>82.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooperatives &amp; Condominiums</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renter Occupied Units</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>9.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>7.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Occupied Units</td>
<td>3,638</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Household Size</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One Person Units</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>3.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two Person Units</td>
<td>669</td>
<td>18.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three Person Units</td>
<td>792</td>
<td>21.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four Person Units</td>
<td>1,013</td>
<td>27.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Five Person Units</td>
<td>593</td>
<td>16.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Six or More Person Units</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>12.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Units w/Roomers, Boarders, Lodgers</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Occupied Units</td>
<td>3,638</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Median Household Size | 3.73 |

VI MARITAL STATUS OF POPULATION 14 AND OVER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Male Number</th>
<th>Male Percent</th>
<th>Female Number</th>
<th>Female Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>4,412</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>4,399</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>834</td>
<td>18.90</td>
<td>665</td>
<td>15.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>3,464</td>
<td>78.51</td>
<td>3,468</td>
<td>78.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VII INCOME

Median 1969 Income: $15,250

VIII EDUCATION

Median Years of School Completed: 12.86

Source: Compiled from U.S. Census data by the Fairfax County Office of Research and Statistics.
### Table No. 22 (cont.)

#### POPULATION PROFILE, 1970:
Upper Potomac Planning District

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Housing Tenure</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Owner Occupied Units</td>
<td>3,603</td>
<td>57.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooperatives &amp; Condominiums</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renter Occupied Units</td>
<td>1,959</td>
<td>31.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td>677</td>
<td>10.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Household Size</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One Person Units</td>
<td>477</td>
<td>8.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two Person Units</td>
<td>1,247</td>
<td>22.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three Person Units</td>
<td>1,039</td>
<td>18.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four Person Units</td>
<td>1,301</td>
<td>23.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Five Person Units</td>
<td>825</td>
<td>14.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Six or More Person Units</td>
<td>674</td>
<td>12.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Units w/Roomers, Boarders, Lodgers</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>1.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Occupied Units</td>
<td>5,562</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Median Household Size           | 3.52   | -       |

#### IV MIGRATION

Place of Residence in 1965 compared to 1970:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residence in 1965</th>
<th>Percent of Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Same house as in 1970</td>
<td>29.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Different house</td>
<td>70.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In other part of SMSA</td>
<td>32.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside of SMSA</td>
<td>29.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North and West</td>
<td>17.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>12.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abroad</td>
<td>3.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### VII INCOME

Median 1969 Income: $15,915

### VIII EDUCATION

Median Years of School Completed: 13.65
Table No. 22 (cont.)

POPULATION PROFILE, 1970:
Rose Hill Planning District

I. TOTAL POPULATION AND DENSITY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Population: 21,859</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male: 10,926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female: 10,934</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

II. AGE-SEX DISTRIBUTION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-4</td>
<td>939</td>
<td>8.59</td>
<td>894</td>
<td>8.18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-9</td>
<td>1,366</td>
<td>12.50</td>
<td>1,292</td>
<td>11.82</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-14</td>
<td>1,637</td>
<td>14.98</td>
<td>1,498</td>
<td>13.70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-17</td>
<td>1,137</td>
<td>10.41</td>
<td>1,062</td>
<td>9.71</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-24</td>
<td>527</td>
<td>4.82</td>
<td>654</td>
<td>5.98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>1,350</td>
<td>12.36</td>
<td>1,576</td>
<td>14.41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>1,761</td>
<td>16.12</td>
<td>1,832</td>
<td>16.76</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-54</td>
<td>1,472</td>
<td>13.47</td>
<td>1,344</td>
<td>12.29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-64</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>4.95</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>3.97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total:</td>
<td>10,926</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>10,934</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

III. FERTILITY INDICATOR

Chile-Woman Ratio: 311

IV. MIGRATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Place of Residence in 1965 compared to 1970:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Residence in 1965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Same house as in 1970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Different house</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In other part of SMSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside of SMSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North and West</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abroad</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V. HOUSING INDICATORS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Housing Tenure</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Owner Occupied Units</td>
<td>4,571</td>
<td>76.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooperatives &amp; Condominiums</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renter Occupied Units</td>
<td>1,277</td>
<td>21.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>2.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household Size</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One Person Units</td>
<td>1,178</td>
<td>20.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two Person Units</td>
<td>1,216</td>
<td>20.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three Person Units</td>
<td>1,416</td>
<td>24.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four Person Units</td>
<td>1,416</td>
<td>24.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Five Person Units</td>
<td>945</td>
<td>16.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Six or More Person Units</td>
<td>781</td>
<td>13.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Units w/Rooomers, Boarders, Lodgers</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Occupied Units</td>
<td>5,848</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median Household Size</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VI. MARITAL STATUS OF POPULATION 14 AND OVER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>7,287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>1,863</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>5,423</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VII. INCOME

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Median 1969 Income: $14,102</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Source: Compiled from U.S. Census data by the Fairfax County Office of Research and Statistics.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VIII. EDUCATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Median Years of School Completed: 12.52</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Table No. 22 (cont.)

POPULATION PROFILE, 1970:
Springfield Planning District

I TOTAL POPULATION AND DENSITY

Total Population: 32,970
Male: 16,274  Black: 75  Percent Black: .23
Female: 16,696  White: 32,466  Population Density: 3.56

II AGE-SEX DISTRIBUTION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Age Indicators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-4</td>
<td>1,486</td>
<td>9.13</td>
<td>1,418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-9</td>
<td>2,238</td>
<td>13.75</td>
<td>2,152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-14</td>
<td>2,418</td>
<td>14.86</td>
<td>2,372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-19</td>
<td>1,652</td>
<td>10.15</td>
<td>1,589</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-24</td>
<td>559</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>779</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>1,911</td>
<td>11.74</td>
<td>2,467</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>2,909</td>
<td>17.88</td>
<td>2,980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-54</td>
<td>2,259</td>
<td>13.88</td>
<td>2,005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-64</td>
<td>609</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>16,274</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>16,696</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

III FERTILITY INDICATOR

Child-Woman Ratio: 322

IV MIGRATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Place of Residence in 1965 compared to 1970:</th>
<th>Percent of Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Same house as in 1970</td>
<td>35.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Different house</td>
<td>64.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In other part of SMSA</td>
<td>24.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside of SMSA</td>
<td>30.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North and West</td>
<td>17.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>13.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abroad</td>
<td>5.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V HOUSING INDICATORS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Housing Tenure</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Household Size</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Owner Occupied Units</td>
<td>6,364</td>
<td>71.80</td>
<td>One Person Units</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>4.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooperatives &amp; Condominiums</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>Two Person Units</td>
<td>1,695</td>
<td>19.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renter Occupied Units</td>
<td>2,305</td>
<td>26.00</td>
<td>Three Person Units</td>
<td>1,720</td>
<td>19.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>Four Person Units</td>
<td>2,271</td>
<td>26.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Five Person Units</td>
<td>1,464</td>
<td>16.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Six or More Person Units</td>
<td>1,141</td>
<td>13.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Units w/Roomers, Boarders, Lodgers</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>All Occupied Units</td>
<td>8,670</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Median Household Size</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VI MARITAL STATUS OF POPULATION 14 AND OVER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>10,344</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>2,551</td>
<td>24.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>7,819</td>
<td>74.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VII INCOME

Median 1969 Income: $16,835

Source: Compiled from U.S. Census data by the Fairfax County Office of Research and Statistics.

VIII EDUCATION

Median Years of School Completed: 13.29
Table No. 22 (cont.)

POPULATION PROFILE, 1970:
Mount Vernon Planning District

I. TOTAL POPULATION AND DENSITY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Population: 76,774</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male: 37,485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female: 39,289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black: 2,698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White: 73,466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Black: 3.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population Density: 6.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

II. AGE-SEX DISTRIBUTION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Male Number</th>
<th>Male Percent</th>
<th>Female Number</th>
<th>Female Percent</th>
<th>Dependency Ratio</th>
<th>Proportion of Youth</th>
<th>Proportion of Aged</th>
<th>Median Age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-4</td>
<td>3,498</td>
<td>9.33</td>
<td>3,328</td>
<td>8.47</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>31.25</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>25.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-9</td>
<td>4,102</td>
<td>10.94</td>
<td>4,065</td>
<td>10.35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-14</td>
<td>4,603</td>
<td>12.28</td>
<td>4,395</td>
<td>11.19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-19</td>
<td>3,546</td>
<td>9.46</td>
<td>3,665</td>
<td>9.33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-24</td>
<td>2,791</td>
<td>7.45</td>
<td>3,953</td>
<td>10.06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>5,266</td>
<td>14.05</td>
<td>5,467</td>
<td>13.91</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>5,125</td>
<td>13.67</td>
<td>5,497</td>
<td>13.99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-54</td>
<td>5,326</td>
<td>14.21</td>
<td>5,399</td>
<td>13.74</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-64</td>
<td>2,374</td>
<td>6.33</td>
<td>2,108</td>
<td>5.36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td>854</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>1,412</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>37,485</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>39,289</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

III. FERTILITY INDICATOR

| Child-Woman Ratio: 317 |

IV. MIGRATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Place of Residence in 1965 compared to 1970:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Residence in 1965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Same house as in 1970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Different house</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In other part of SMSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside of SMSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North and West</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abroad</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V. HOUSING INDICATORS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Housing Tenure</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Owner Occupied Units</td>
<td>13,991</td>
<td>59.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooperatives &amp; Condominiums</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renter Occupied Units</td>
<td>9,024</td>
<td>38.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>2.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household Size</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One Person Units</td>
<td>2,197</td>
<td>9.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two Person Units</td>
<td>6,312</td>
<td>27.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three Person Units</td>
<td>4,857</td>
<td>21.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four Person Units</td>
<td>4,692</td>
<td>20.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Five Person Units</td>
<td>2,741</td>
<td>11.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Six or More Person Units</td>
<td>2,276</td>
<td>9.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Units w/Roomers, Boarders, Lodgers</td>
<td>424</td>
<td>1.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Occupied Units</td>
<td>23,015</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median Household Size</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VI. MARITAL STATUS OF POPULATION 14 AND OVER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>26,225</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>6,444</td>
<td>24.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>19,028</td>
<td>72.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>28,419</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>6,080</td>
<td>21.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>19,884</td>
<td>69.97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VII. INCOME

Median 1969 Income: $14,305

VIII. EDUCATION

Median Years of School Completed: 12.79

Source: Compiled from U.S. Census Data by the Fairfax County Office of Research and Statistics.
### POPULATION PROFILE, 1970: Lower Potomac Planning District

#### I TOTAL POPULATION AND DENSITY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>15,187</td>
<td>Black: 3,903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>6,310</td>
<td>White: 16,420</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percent Black: 18.16

Population Density: 0.89

### II AGE-SEX DISTRIBUTION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-4</td>
<td>968</td>
<td>898</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-9</td>
<td>949</td>
<td>820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-14</td>
<td>725</td>
<td>691</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-19</td>
<td>1,646</td>
<td>517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-24</td>
<td>5,548</td>
<td>864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>2,747</td>
<td>1,293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>1,493</td>
<td>698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-54</td>
<td>695</td>
<td>311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-64</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>15,187</td>
<td>6,310</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dependency Ratio: 326

Proportion of Youth: 23.49

Proportion of Aged: 1.09

Median Age:
- Male: 22.4
- Female: 21.1

### III FERTILITY INDICATOR

Child-Woman Ratio: 377

### IV MIGRATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Place of Residence in 1965 compared to 1970:</th>
<th>Percent of Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Same house as in 1970</td>
<td>14.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Different house</td>
<td>85.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In other part of SMSA</td>
<td>17.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside of SMSA</td>
<td>47.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North and West</td>
<td>27.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>20.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abroad</td>
<td>8.81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### V HOUSING INDICATORS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Housing Tenure</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Owner Occupied Units</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>23.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooperatives &amp; Condominiums</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renter Occupied Units</td>
<td>2,431</td>
<td>72.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>3.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### VI MARITAL STATUS OF POPULATION 14 AND OVER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marital Status</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>12,875</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>6,678</td>
<td>52.69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>5,601</td>
<td>44.19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marital Status</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>4,019</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>731</td>
<td>18.19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>3,288</td>
<td>78.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### VII INCOME

Median 1969 Income: $8,756

### VIII EDUCATION

Median Years of School Completed: 12.34
SUMMARY OF HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS AND CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY

FAIRFAX COUNTY

The housing stock increased by 161 percent in the 1950's, 89 percent in the 1960's, and from 1970 to 1975 increased by 30 percent. Committed and anticipated growth could add another 31 percent to the housing stock. Total planned numerical increase in housing units is approximately equal to total growth experienced between 1950 and 1970.

The anticipated growth in the number of housing units will be comprised of 36 percent apartments, 32 percent townhouses, and 32 percent single family units. In the 1960's, 40 percent of the growth was in structures of five units or more while in the 1950's, only 5 percent of growth was in structures of five units or more.

A significant increase in the number of renter occupied households occurred from 1960 to 1970 as could be expected from the increase in multifamily structures. Revision in subdivision law has allowed condominium ownership of apartments; as of June, 1974, nearly 9 percent of the County's rental units were condominiums. This institutional change will further change the ratio of owner to renter occupied units in the future.

One, two, and three-person households comprised 52.6 percent of all households in 1970, but only 42.9 percent of all households in 1960. One-person households increased by 293 percent from 1960 to 1970. Two-person households increased by 148 percent over the same period. The complement of this fact was a slowing down of the increase in large family sizes.

Median housing value increased 55 percent in the 1950's, 88 percent in the 1960's, and has increased approximately 47 percent since 1970 -- the estimated mid-1974 median housing value is $55,000. Median gross rent also increased by 42 percent in the 1950's and by 64 percent in the 1960's.

The number of housing units authorized through building permits has fluctuated widely since 1970. A very large component of this increase has been in single family units. The number of units authorized in the highest year (1972) was more than twice that in the lowest (1970); 1974 promises to show a substantial reduction in the number of units authorized. A very large component of this increase was in single family units, a significant factor in the expected demography of future population.
II. HOUSING
### Table No. 23

**HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS IN A REGIONAL SETTING, 1970**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Units in Structure</th>
<th>Total Year-round Units</th>
<th>One Unit</th>
<th>Two or More Units</th>
<th>Mobile Home or Trailer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>District of Columbia</strong></td>
<td>278,374</td>
<td>102,110</td>
<td>175,680</td>
<td>584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maryland Area</strong></td>
<td>361,524</td>
<td>221,676</td>
<td>137,847</td>
<td>2,001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Montgomery County</strong></td>
<td>161,313</td>
<td>110,330</td>
<td>50,427</td>
<td>556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rockville</strong></td>
<td>11,648</td>
<td>8,349</td>
<td>3,291</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Takoma Park</strong></td>
<td>7,127</td>
<td>2,818</td>
<td>4,301</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prince George's County</strong></td>
<td>200,211</td>
<td>111,346</td>
<td>87,420</td>
<td>1,445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bowie</strong></td>
<td>8,423</td>
<td>8,391</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>College Park</strong></td>
<td>5,113</td>
<td>3,791</td>
<td>1,285</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Greenbelt</strong></td>
<td>6,519</td>
<td>2,147</td>
<td>4,368</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Virginia Area</strong></td>
<td>297,638</td>
<td>182,145</td>
<td>111,837</td>
<td>3,656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Alexandria</strong></td>
<td>44,395</td>
<td>17,042</td>
<td>27,076</td>
<td>277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Arlington County</strong></td>
<td>71,226</td>
<td>29,533</td>
<td>41,620</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fairfax City</strong></td>
<td>6,261</td>
<td>4,364</td>
<td>1,826</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fairfax County</strong></td>
<td>130,793</td>
<td>95,310</td>
<td>33,803</td>
<td>1,680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Falls Church</strong></td>
<td>3,762</td>
<td>2,439</td>
<td>1,319</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Loudoun County</strong></td>
<td>11,329</td>
<td>10,172</td>
<td>927</td>
<td>230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prince William County</strong></td>
<td>29,872</td>
<td>23,285</td>
<td>5,266</td>
<td>1,321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Washington SMSA</strong></td>
<td>937,536</td>
<td>505,931</td>
<td>425,364</td>
<td>6,241</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>All Dwelling Units</strong></td>
<td>26,558</td>
<td>69,184</td>
<td>130,707</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Occupied Units</td>
<td>24,317</td>
<td>65,245</td>
<td>126,516</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner Occupied</td>
<td>15,791</td>
<td>49,933</td>
<td>81,532</td>
<td>64.4</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renter Occupied</td>
<td>8,526</td>
<td>15,312</td>
<td>44,984</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td>194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type of Structure</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-Family Detached</td>
<td>19,011</td>
<td>57,823</td>
<td>95,866</td>
<td>73.3</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-Family Attached</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>2,972</td>
<td>1,320</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 &amp; 2-Family Semidetached</td>
<td>1,112</td>
<td>554</td>
<td>1,695</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Family</td>
<td>812</td>
<td>554</td>
<td>1,695</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-4 Family</td>
<td>1,048</td>
<td>686</td>
<td>1,405</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 or More</td>
<td>3,534</td>
<td>5,708</td>
<td>30,482</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>434</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trailers</td>
<td>968</td>
<td>1,438</td>
<td>1,695</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>All Dwelling Units</strong></td>
<td>26,558</td>
<td>69,184</td>
<td>130,707</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of Persons</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-Person Household</td>
<td>905</td>
<td>2,537</td>
<td>9,972</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Person Household</td>
<td>5,670</td>
<td>12,343</td>
<td>30,624</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-Person Household</td>
<td>5,988</td>
<td>13,091</td>
<td>25,915</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-Person Household</td>
<td>5,904</td>
<td>16,703</td>
<td>28,279</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-Person Household</td>
<td>3,043</td>
<td>11,137</td>
<td>17,401</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-Person Household</td>
<td>1,403</td>
<td>5,468</td>
<td>8,454</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-Person Household</td>
<td>657</td>
<td>2,235</td>
<td>3,889</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 or More</td>
<td>747</td>
<td>1,731</td>
<td>1,982</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Median Number of Persons</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Occupied Units</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner-Occupied</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renter-Occupied</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Note: 1950 Housing Count includes Fairfax City.
## Table No. 25

### HOUSEHOLDS BY TYPE, AGE OF HEAD, TENURE AND RACE OF HEAD

**FAIRFAX COUNTY, 1970**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Household</th>
<th>Age of Household Head</th>
<th>Tenure and Race of Head</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total Occupied Owner Occupied Renter Occupied Negro Occupied American Occupied Spanish</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Housing Housing Housing Housing Housing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Husband Wife Family</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;25 years</td>
<td>6,120</td>
<td>657</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-29</td>
<td>11,990</td>
<td>4,073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-34</td>
<td>13,074</td>
<td>8,057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>31,143</td>
<td>23,973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-64</td>
<td>39,033</td>
<td>33,066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;64</td>
<td>3,529</td>
<td>2,781</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Male Head of Family</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;65 years</td>
<td>1,603</td>
<td>958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;64</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Female Head of Family</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;65 years</td>
<td>6,947</td>
<td>3,527</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;64</td>
<td>599</td>
<td>414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Male Primary Individual</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;65 years</td>
<td>4,574</td>
<td>1,162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;64</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Female Primary Individual</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;65 years</td>
<td>5,843</td>
<td>1,773</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;64</td>
<td>1,504</td>
<td>767</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments, U.S. Bureau of the Census, 4th Count Housing Summary Tape, Table 38. These data are available by Census Tract.
**Table No. 26**

HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD AGE 62 AND OVER BY

TYPE, TENURE AND RACE OF HEAD

FAIRFAX COUNTY, 1970

Tenure and Race of Head

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Household</th>
<th>Total Occupied Households</th>
<th>Owner Occupied Households</th>
<th>Renter Occupied Households</th>
<th>Negro Occupied Households</th>
<th>Spanish American Occupied Households</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Husband Wife Family</td>
<td>5,656</td>
<td>4,551</td>
<td>1,105</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Male Head of Family</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Female Head of Family</td>
<td>739</td>
<td>507</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Male Primary Individual</td>
<td>559</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Female Primary Individual</td>
<td>1,852</td>
<td>968</td>
<td>884</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments, U.S. Bureau of the Census, 4th Count Housing Summary Tape, Table 39. This data is available by Census Tract.
Table No. 27

HOUSEHOLDS BY TYPE, AGE OF HEAD, YEAR STRUCTURE BUILT, TENURE AND RACE OF HEAD
FAIRFAX COUNTY, 1970

**Total Occupied Households**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Household Type</th>
<th>Age of Head</th>
<th>1969-</th>
<th>1965-</th>
<th>1964-</th>
<th>1959-</th>
<th>1949-</th>
<th>Before</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Husband Wife Family</td>
<td>&lt;30 years</td>
<td>1,351</td>
<td>5,915</td>
<td>4,179</td>
<td>4,323</td>
<td>1,697</td>
<td>645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30-44</td>
<td>3,447</td>
<td>12,164</td>
<td>10,637</td>
<td>13,945</td>
<td>2,603</td>
<td>1,421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45-64</td>
<td>1,077</td>
<td>7,121</td>
<td>9,631</td>
<td>15,847</td>
<td>4,063</td>
<td>1,794</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>65 yrs &amp; over</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>1,351</td>
<td>658</td>
<td>681</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Other Family</td>
<td></td>
<td>324</td>
<td>2,175</td>
<td>2,191</td>
<td>2,890</td>
<td>1,093</td>
<td>607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Primary Individual</td>
<td></td>
<td>576</td>
<td>3,527</td>
<td>2,994</td>
<td>2,684</td>
<td>1,613</td>
<td>953</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Owner Occupied Households**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Household Type</th>
<th>Age of Head</th>
<th>1969-</th>
<th>1965-</th>
<th>1964-</th>
<th>1959-</th>
<th>1949-</th>
<th>Before</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Husband Wife Family</td>
<td>&lt;30 years</td>
<td>645</td>
<td>938</td>
<td>754</td>
<td>1,832</td>
<td>433</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30-44</td>
<td>2,915</td>
<td>8,438</td>
<td>7,597</td>
<td>10,907</td>
<td>1,442</td>
<td>731</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45-64</td>
<td>904</td>
<td>5,782</td>
<td>8,108</td>
<td>14,068</td>
<td>3,321</td>
<td>1,383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>65 yrs &amp; over</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>1,185</td>
<td>538</td>
<td>625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Other Family</td>
<td></td>
<td>140</td>
<td>778</td>
<td>995</td>
<td>2,096</td>
<td>588</td>
<td>391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Primary Individual</td>
<td></td>
<td>180</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>1,585</td>
<td>703</td>
<td>617</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments, U.S. Bureau of the Census, 4th Count Housing Summary Tape, Table 108. This data is available by Census Tract.
### Table No. 27

**HOUSEHOLDS BY TYPE, AGE OF HEAD, YEAR STRUCTURE BUILT, TENURE AND RACE OF HEAD**

**FAIRFAX COUNTY, 1970**

**Total Occupied Households**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Household Type</th>
<th>&lt;30 years</th>
<th>30-44</th>
<th>45-64</th>
<th>65 yrs &amp; over</th>
<th>1970</th>
<th>1968</th>
<th>1964</th>
<th>1959</th>
<th>1949</th>
<th>Before</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Husband Wife Family</td>
<td>706</td>
<td>532</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>706</td>
<td>4,977</td>
<td>3,425</td>
<td>2,491</td>
<td>1,264</td>
<td>517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30-44</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>532</td>
<td>3,726</td>
<td>3,040</td>
<td>3,038</td>
<td>1,161</td>
<td>690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45-64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>173</td>
<td>1,839</td>
<td>1,523</td>
<td>1,279</td>
<td>742</td>
<td>411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>65 yrs &amp; over</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Family</td>
<td>184</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>184</td>
<td>1,397</td>
<td>1,196</td>
<td>794</td>
<td>505</td>
<td>216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary Individual</td>
<td>396</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>396</td>
<td>3,154</td>
<td>2,515</td>
<td>1,099</td>
<td>910</td>
<td>336</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Owner Occupied Households**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Household Type</th>
<th>&lt;30 years</th>
<th>30-44</th>
<th>45-64</th>
<th>65 yrs &amp; over</th>
<th>1970</th>
<th>1968</th>
<th>1964</th>
<th>1959</th>
<th>1949</th>
<th>Before</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Husband Wife Family</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30-44</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45-64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>34</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>65 yrs &amp; over</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Family</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary Individual</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments, U.S. Bureau of the Census, 4th Count Housing Summary Tape, Table 108. This data is available by Census Tract.
### Households Income by Household Type and Age of Household Head

**Fairfax County, 1970**

#### Total Occupied Households

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Household Type</th>
<th>Age of Head</th>
<th>&lt; $2,000</th>
<th>$2,000- $3,000-</th>
<th>$5,000- $7,000-</th>
<th>$10,000- $15,000-</th>
<th>&gt; $24,999</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Husband &amp; Wife</strong></td>
<td>&lt; 30</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>1,195</td>
<td>1,868</td>
<td>4,366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30-44</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>1,426</td>
<td>3,871</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45-64</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>478</td>
<td>775</td>
<td>2,306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>65 years +</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other Family</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,279</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>939</td>
<td>1,189</td>
<td>1,761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Primary Individual</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,716</td>
<td>834</td>
<td>1,503</td>
<td>1,999</td>
<td>2,759</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Owner Occupied Households

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Household Type</th>
<th>Age of Head</th>
<th>&lt; $2,000</th>
<th>$2,000- $3,000-</th>
<th>$5,000- $7,000-</th>
<th>$10,000- $15,000-</th>
<th>&gt; $24,999</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Husband &amp; Wife</strong></td>
<td>&lt; 30</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30-44</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>424</td>
<td>1,911</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45-64</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>1,629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>65 years +</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>447</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other Family</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>221</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Primary Individual</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>637</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>686</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments, U.S. Bureau of the Census, 4th Count Housing Summary Tape, Table 110. This data is available by Census Tract.*
Table No. 28

HOUSEHOLD INCOME BY HOUSEHOLD TYPE
AND AGE OF HOUSEHOLD HEAD
FAIRFAX COUNTY, 1970

RENTER OCCUPIED HOUSEHOLDS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HOUSEHOLD TYPE</th>
<th>AGE OF HEAD</th>
<th>&lt;$2,000</th>
<th>$2,000-4,999</th>
<th>$5,000-7,000</th>
<th>$10,000-14,999</th>
<th>$15,000-24,999</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Husband &amp; Wife</td>
<td>&lt;30</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>1,081</td>
<td>1,655</td>
<td>4,532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30-44</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>1,002</td>
<td>1,960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45-64</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>677</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>65 years +</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Family</td>
<td></td>
<td>502</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>576</td>
<td>784</td>
<td>901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary Individual</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,079</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>1,095</td>
<td>1,536</td>
<td>2,073</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NEGRO OCCUPIED HOUSEHOLDS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HOUSEHOLD TYPE</th>
<th>AGE OF HEAD</th>
<th>&lt;$2,000</th>
<th>$2,000-4,999</th>
<th>$5,000-7,000</th>
<th>$10,000-14,999</th>
<th>$15,000-24,999</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Husband &amp; Wife</td>
<td>&lt;30</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30-44</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45-64</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>65 years +</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Family</td>
<td></td>
<td>112</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary Individual</td>
<td></td>
<td>120</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments, U.S. Bureau of the Census, 4th Count Housing Summary Tape, Table 110. This data is available by Census Tract.
Table No. 29

NUMBER OF PERSONS, YEAR STRUCTURE BUILT,
TENURE AND RACE OF HEAD
FAIRFAX COUNTY, 1970

TOTAL OCCUPIED HOUSEHOLDS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 person</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>2,777</td>
<td>2,408</td>
<td>2,142</td>
<td>1,382</td>
<td>808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 persons</td>
<td>1,602</td>
<td>7,836</td>
<td>6,614</td>
<td>9,424</td>
<td>3,268</td>
<td>1,880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 persons</td>
<td>1,363</td>
<td>6,459</td>
<td>5,902</td>
<td>8,762</td>
<td>2,356</td>
<td>1,073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 persons</td>
<td>1,684</td>
<td>6,921</td>
<td>6,985</td>
<td>9,473</td>
<td>2,279</td>
<td>937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 persons</td>
<td>952</td>
<td>4,358</td>
<td>4,239</td>
<td>5,900</td>
<td>1,328</td>
<td>624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 persons+</td>
<td>772</td>
<td>2,890</td>
<td>3,931</td>
<td>4,839</td>
<td>1,141</td>
<td>779</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OWNER OCCUPIED HOUSEHOLDS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 person</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>1,297</td>
<td>599</td>
<td>530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 persons</td>
<td>897</td>
<td>2,225</td>
<td>3,087</td>
<td>7,377</td>
<td>2,149</td>
<td>1,384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 persons</td>
<td>906</td>
<td>2,930</td>
<td>3,398</td>
<td>6,896</td>
<td>1,394</td>
<td>633</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 persons</td>
<td>1,387</td>
<td>4,657</td>
<td>4,894</td>
<td>7,482</td>
<td>1,371</td>
<td>585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 persons</td>
<td>814</td>
<td>3,455</td>
<td>3,151</td>
<td>4,754</td>
<td>765</td>
<td>389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 persons+</td>
<td>673</td>
<td>2,388</td>
<td>3,234</td>
<td>3,867</td>
<td>747</td>
<td>354</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments, U.S. Bureau of the Census, 4th Count Housing Summary Tape, Table 113. This data is available by Census Tract.
### NUMBER OF PERSONS, YEAR STRUCTURE BUILT, TENURE AND RACE OF HEAD
**FAIRFAX COUNTY, 1970**

#### RENTER OCCUPIED HOUSEHOLDS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 person</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>2,470</td>
<td>1,993</td>
<td>845</td>
<td>783</td>
<td>278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 persons</td>
<td>705</td>
<td>5,611</td>
<td>3,527</td>
<td>2,047</td>
<td>1,119</td>
<td>496</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 persons</td>
<td>457</td>
<td>3,529</td>
<td>2,504</td>
<td>1,866</td>
<td>962</td>
<td>440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 persons</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>2,264</td>
<td>2,091</td>
<td>1,991</td>
<td>908</td>
<td>352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 persons</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>903</td>
<td>1,088</td>
<td>1,146</td>
<td>563</td>
<td>235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 persons +</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>697</td>
<td>972</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>425</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### NEGRO OCCUPIED HOUSEHOLDS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 person</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 persons</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 persons</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 persons</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 persons</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 persons +</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments, U.S. Bureau of the Census, *4th Count Housing Summary Tape*, Table 113. This data is available by Census Tract.
Table No. 30

NUMBER OF PERSONS, YEAR HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD MOVED INTO UNIT, TENURE AND RACE OF HEAD

FAIRFAX COUNTY, 1970

TOTAL OCCUPIED HOUSEHOLDS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 person</td>
<td>3,900</td>
<td>2,145</td>
<td>889</td>
<td>967</td>
<td>1,068</td>
<td>653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 persons</td>
<td>10,235</td>
<td>6,035</td>
<td>2,974</td>
<td>4,382</td>
<td>5,073</td>
<td>2,016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 persons</td>
<td>7,992</td>
<td>6,388</td>
<td>2,946</td>
<td>3,884</td>
<td>3,870</td>
<td>785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 persons</td>
<td>7,261</td>
<td>7,897</td>
<td>4,186</td>
<td>5,392</td>
<td>3,027</td>
<td>502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 persons</td>
<td>4,132</td>
<td>4,647</td>
<td>2,806</td>
<td>3,596</td>
<td>2,042</td>
<td>226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 persons +</td>
<td>2,856</td>
<td>3,638</td>
<td>2,222</td>
<td>3,698</td>
<td>1,651</td>
<td>235</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OWNER OCCUPIED HOUSEHOLDS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 person</td>
<td>469</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>908</td>
<td>606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 persons</td>
<td>2,133</td>
<td>2,315</td>
<td>2,043</td>
<td>3,833</td>
<td>4,794</td>
<td>1,919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 persons</td>
<td>2,520</td>
<td>3,215</td>
<td>2,252</td>
<td>3,598</td>
<td>3,715</td>
<td>738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 persons</td>
<td>3,305</td>
<td>5,151</td>
<td>3,530</td>
<td>5,043</td>
<td>2,976</td>
<td>484</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 persons</td>
<td>2,111</td>
<td>3,457</td>
<td>2,422</td>
<td>3,341</td>
<td>1,951</td>
<td>226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 persons +</td>
<td>1,497</td>
<td>2,535</td>
<td>1,958</td>
<td>3,440</td>
<td>1,572</td>
<td>215</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments, U.S. Bureau of the Census, 4th Count Housing Summary Tape, Table 116. This data is available by Census Tract.
Table No. 30

NUMBER OF PERSONS, YEAR HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD MOVED INTO UNIT, TENURE AND RACE OF HEAD

FAIRFAX COUNTY, 1970

RENTER OCCUPIED HOUSEHOLDS

YEAR HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD MOVED INTO UNIT

|----------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NUMBER OF PERSONS</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 person</td>
<td>3,431</td>
<td>2,017</td>
<td>648</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 persons</td>
<td>8,102</td>
<td>3,720</td>
<td>931</td>
<td>549</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 persons</td>
<td>5,472</td>
<td>3,173</td>
<td>694</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 persons</td>
<td>3,956</td>
<td>2,746</td>
<td>656</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 persons</td>
<td>2,021</td>
<td>1,190</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 persons +</td>
<td>1,359</td>
<td>1,103</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NEGRO OCCUPIED HOUSEHOLDS

YEAR HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD MOVED INTO UNIT

|----------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NUMBER OF PERSONS</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 person</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 persons</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 persons</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 persons</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 persons</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 persons +</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments, U.S. Bureau of the Census, 4th Count Housing Summary Tape, Table 116. This data is available by Census Tract.
### Table No. 31

**NUMBER OF PERSONS PER HOUSEHOLD BY HOUSEHOLD INCOME**

**FAIRFAX COUNTY, 1970**

#### TOTAL OCCUPIED HOUSEHOLDS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HOUSEHOLD INCOME</th>
<th>&lt; $2,000</th>
<th>$2,000- $2,999</th>
<th>$3,000- $4,999</th>
<th>$5,000- $6,999</th>
<th>$7,000- $9,999</th>
<th>$10,000- $14,999</th>
<th>$15,000- &gt; $24,999</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 person</td>
<td>1,441</td>
<td>686</td>
<td>1,185</td>
<td>1,450</td>
<td>2,239</td>
<td>1,851</td>
<td>890</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 persons</td>
<td>1,016</td>
<td>614</td>
<td>1,686</td>
<td>2,390</td>
<td>4,436</td>
<td>7,077</td>
<td>9,611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 persons</td>
<td>681</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>1,002</td>
<td>1,503</td>
<td>3,395</td>
<td>6,468</td>
<td>8,984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 persons</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>585</td>
<td>1,184</td>
<td>2,888</td>
<td>7,402</td>
<td>11,377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 persons</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>544</td>
<td>1,643</td>
<td>4,535</td>
<td>7,390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 persons +</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>559</td>
<td>987</td>
<td>3,368</td>
<td>6,318</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### OWNER OCCUPIED HOUSEHOLDS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HOUSEHOLD INCOME</th>
<th>&lt; $2,000</th>
<th>$2,000- $2,999</th>
<th>$3,000- $4,999</th>
<th>$5,000- $6,999</th>
<th>$7,000- $9,999</th>
<th>$10,000- $14,999</th>
<th>$15,000- &gt; $24,999</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 person</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>423</td>
<td>575</td>
<td>672</td>
<td>356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 persons</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>583</td>
<td>725</td>
<td>1,739</td>
<td>3,415</td>
<td>6,685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 persons</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>1,320</td>
<td>3,455</td>
<td>7,019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 persons</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>1,299</td>
<td>4,971</td>
<td>9,402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 persons</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>790</td>
<td>3,268</td>
<td>6,268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 persons +</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>542</td>
<td>2,473</td>
<td>5,458</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments, U.S. Bureau of the Census, 4th Count Housing Summary Tape, Table 117. This data is available by Census Tract.
Table No. 31

NUMBER OF PERSONS PER HOUSEHOLD BY HOUSEHOLD INCOME

FAIRFAX COUNTY, 1970

RENTER OCCUPIED HOUSEHOLDS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HOUSEHOLD INCOME</th>
<th>&lt; $2,000</th>
<th>$2,000-$2,999</th>
<th>$3,000-$4,999</th>
<th>$5,000-$6,999</th>
<th>$7,000-$9,999</th>
<th>$10,000-$14,999</th>
<th>$15,000-$24,999</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 person</td>
<td>861</td>
<td>496</td>
<td>830</td>
<td>1,027</td>
<td>1,664</td>
<td>1,179</td>
<td>534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 persons</td>
<td>536</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>1,103</td>
<td>1,665</td>
<td>2,697</td>
<td>3,662</td>
<td>2,926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 persons</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>726</td>
<td>1,115</td>
<td>2,075</td>
<td>3,003</td>
<td>1,965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 persons</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>869</td>
<td>1,589</td>
<td>2,431</td>
<td>1,975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 persons</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>853</td>
<td>1,267</td>
<td>1,122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 persons +</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>445</td>
<td>895</td>
<td>860</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NEGRO OCCUPIED HOUSEHOLDS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HOUSEHOLD INCOME</th>
<th>&lt; $2,000</th>
<th>$2,000-$2,999</th>
<th>$3,000-$4,999</th>
<th>$5,000-$6,999</th>
<th>$7,000-$9,999</th>
<th>$10,000-$14,999</th>
<th>$15,000-$24,999</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 person</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 persons</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 persons</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 persons</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 persons</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 persons +</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments, U.S. Bureau of the Census, 4th Count Housing Summary Tape, Table 117. This data is available by Census Tract.
### Table No. 32

**REGIONAL MEDIAN HOUSING VALUES, 1970**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Jurisdiction</th>
<th>Median Value of Owner Occupied Housing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>District of Columbia</strong></td>
<td>$21,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maryland Area</strong></td>
<td>27,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery County</td>
<td>32,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockville</td>
<td>24,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Takoma Park</td>
<td>22,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince George's County</td>
<td>23,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowie</td>
<td>28,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Park</td>
<td>22,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenbelt</td>
<td>27,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Virginia Area</strong></td>
<td>31,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexandria</td>
<td>26,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arlington County</td>
<td>29,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax City</td>
<td>31,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County</td>
<td>35,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falls Church</td>
<td>29,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loudon</td>
<td>25,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince William</td>
<td>23,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Washington SMSA</strong></td>
<td>28,200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** U.S. Bureau of the Census, Census of Housing: 1970, General Housing Characteristics, Final Report series HC(1) for Virginia, Maryland, and Washington, D.C.
Table No. 33

HOUSING VALUE, FAIRFAX COUNTY, 1950, 1960, 1970

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>1950</th>
<th>1960</th>
<th>1970</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Owner-Occupied</td>
<td>12,900</td>
<td>46,861</td>
<td>77,643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than $5,000</td>
<td>1,299</td>
<td>783</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5,000 to $9,999</td>
<td>2,483</td>
<td>1,989</td>
<td>425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10,000 to $14,999</td>
<td>4,967</td>
<td>9,659</td>
<td>1,071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$15,000 to $19,999</td>
<td>1,577</td>
<td>14,785</td>
<td>4,838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$20,000 to $24,999</td>
<td>1,407</td>
<td>10,027</td>
<td>8,433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$25,000 to $34,999</td>
<td></td>
<td>6,922</td>
<td>23,239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$35,000 or More</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,696</td>
<td>39,488</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>$12,048</td>
<td>$18,700</td>
<td>$35,300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Notes: 1. 1950 data is for owner-occupied, one-family dwellings only. Value category $20,000 to $24,999 includes all one-family dwellings valued at $20,000 or more.
2. 1960 data uses value categories $5,000 to $9,900 rather than $5,000 to $9,999.
3. 1970 data is for single-family dwellings only on less than 10 acres and with no business attached.
Table No. 34

VALUE, NUMBER OF BEDROOMS, TENURE AND RACE OF HEAD
FAIRFAX COUNTY, 1970

OWNER OCCUPIED HOUSEHOLDS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VALUE OF HOUSING UNIT</th>
<th>0-1 Bedroom</th>
<th>2 Bedrooms</th>
<th>3 Bedrooms</th>
<th>4 Bedrooms</th>
<th>5+ Bedrooms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;$5,000</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5,000-$9,999</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10,000-$14,999</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>498</td>
<td>411</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$15,000-$19,999</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>2,115</td>
<td>2,396</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$20,000-$24,999</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>1,256</td>
<td>5,562</td>
<td>1,182</td>
<td>158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$25,000-$34,999</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>1,527</td>
<td>14,711</td>
<td>5,641</td>
<td>1,158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;$34,999</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>726</td>
<td>10,761</td>
<td>20,617</td>
<td>7,121</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NEGRO OWNER OCCUPIED HOUSEHOLDS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VALUE OF HOUSING UNIT</th>
<th>0-1 Bedroom</th>
<th>2 Bedrooms</th>
<th>3 Bedrooms</th>
<th>4 Bedrooms</th>
<th>5+ Bedrooms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;$5,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5,000-$9,999</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10,000-$14,999</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$15,000-$19,999</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$20,000-$24,999</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$25,000-$34,999</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;$34,999</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments, U.S. Bureau of the Census, 4th Count Housing Summary Tape, Table 121. This data is available by Census Tract.
Table No. 35

VALUE, YEAR STRUCTURE BUILT, TENURE AND RACE OF HEAD

FAIRFAX COUNTY, 1970

OWNER OCCUPIED HOUSEHOLDS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VALUE OF HOUSING UNIT</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;$5,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5,000-$9,999</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>461</td>
<td>236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10,000-$14,999</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>2,732</td>
<td>1,435</td>
<td>509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$15,000-$19,999</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>561</td>
<td>5,536</td>
<td>1,548</td>
<td>563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$20,000-$24,999</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>2,429</td>
<td>4,581</td>
<td>12,817</td>
<td>1,795</td>
<td>692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$25,000-$34,999</td>
<td>3,606</td>
<td>12,514</td>
<td>12,018</td>
<td>9,074</td>
<td>1,184</td>
<td>1,092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;$34,999</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NEGRO OWNER OCCUPIED HOUSEHOLDS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VALUE OF HOUSING UNIT</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;$5,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5,000-$9,999</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10,000-$14,999</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$15,000-$19,999</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$20,000-$24,999</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$25,000-$34,999</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;$34,999</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments, U.S. Bureau of the Census, 4th Count Housing Summary Tape, Table 120. This data is available by Census Tract.
### Table No. 36

**VALUE OF HOUSING BY HOUSEHOLD INCOME**

**FAIRFAX COUNTY, 1970**

#### TOTAL OWNER OCCUPIED HOUSEHOLDS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HOUSING VALUE</th>
<th>&lt; $3,000</th>
<th>$3,000-$4,999</th>
<th>$5,000-$6,999</th>
<th>$7,000-$9,999</th>
<th>$10,000-$14,999</th>
<th>$15,000-$24,999</th>
<th>&gt; $24,999</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; $5,000</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5,000-$9,999</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10,000-$14,999</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$15,000-$19,999</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>1,015</td>
<td>1,664</td>
<td>1,149</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$20,000-$24,999</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>1,094</td>
<td>3,135</td>
<td>2,772</td>
<td>487</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$25,000-$34,999</td>
<td>547</td>
<td>424</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>1,748</td>
<td>6,908</td>
<td>10,395</td>
<td>2,686</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; $34,999</td>
<td>1,118</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>494</td>
<td>1,260</td>
<td>5,234</td>
<td>19,723</td>
<td>11,278</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### NEGRO OWNER OCCUPIED HOUSEHOLDS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HOUSING VALUE</th>
<th>&lt; $3,000</th>
<th>$3,000-$4,999</th>
<th>$5,000-$6,999</th>
<th>$7,000-$9,999</th>
<th>$10,000-$14,999</th>
<th>$15,000-$24,999</th>
<th>&gt; $24,999</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; $5,000</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5,000-$9,999</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10,000-$14,999</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$15,000-$19,999</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$20,000-$24,999</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$25,000-$34,999</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; $34,999</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments, U.S. Bureau of the Census, 4th Count Housing Summary Tape, Table 118. This data is available by Census Tract.
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### Table No. 37

**MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME AND MEDIAN VALUE OF OWNER- OCCUPIED HOUSES**

**FAIRFAX COUNTY -- 1950, 1960, 1970**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1950</th>
<th>1960</th>
<th>1970</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median Value of Houses</td>
<td>$12,048</td>
<td>$18,700</td>
<td>$35,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median Family Income</td>
<td>$ 4,199</td>
<td>$ 8,607</td>
<td>$15,707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio Median Value of Houses to Median Family Income</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>2.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sources:**

**Note:** Housing values from Table 33, page 66.
Table No. 38

DWELLING UNITS BY SALES PRICE DISTRIBUTION
FAIRFAX COUNTY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sales Price</th>
<th>Number of Units</th>
<th>Percent Distribution</th>
<th>Cumulative Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than $30,000</td>
<td>8,649</td>
<td>8,622</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$30,000-$35,000</td>
<td>6,067</td>
<td>4,657</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$35,000-$40,000</td>
<td>8,671</td>
<td>7,436</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$40,000-$45,000</td>
<td>12,465</td>
<td>10,899</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$45,000-$50,000</td>
<td>13,488</td>
<td>13,226</td>
<td>12.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$50,000-$55,000</td>
<td>15,465</td>
<td>14,793</td>
<td>13.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$55,000-$60,000</td>
<td>12,499</td>
<td>14,324</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$60,000-$70,000</td>
<td>17,634</td>
<td>21,631</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$70,000-$80,000</td>
<td>8,219</td>
<td>11,071</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$80,000-$90,000</td>
<td>3,757</td>
<td>5,490</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$90,000-$100,000</td>
<td>1,774</td>
<td>2,626</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than $100,000</td>
<td>3,374</td>
<td>4,468</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Median Sales Price--July, 1973: $52,200
January, 1974: $55,000


Notes: 1. More detailed information is available in the source cited.
2. Sales price is estimated present market value. Present market value was estimated from recent sales price information and the most current assessments. In order to estimate market value for all units as of the appropriate date, "price increase factors" were applied to the period between time of last sale or assessment and the reference data. Estimated annual rates of increase are: 1973, 15%; 1972, 12%; 1971, 9%; and 1970, 7%. 71
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gross Rent</th>
<th>1950</th>
<th>1960</th>
<th>1970</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than $30</td>
<td>516</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$30 to $39</td>
<td>714</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$40 to $59</td>
<td>1,157</td>
<td>697</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$60 to $79</td>
<td>3,494</td>
<td>5,250</td>
<td>1,393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$100 to $149</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,004</td>
<td>11,922</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$150 to $199</td>
<td>1,113</td>
<td>2,197</td>
<td>17,488</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$200 or More</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,064</td>
<td>11,171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rent Free or Not Reported</td>
<td>1,026</td>
<td>805</td>
<td>1,895</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Median Rent**

- 1950: $73.65
- 1960: $105
- 1970: $172

**Sources:** Op. Cit., Table 37, page 70.
### Table No. 40

**GROSS RENT, NUMBER OF BEDROOMS, TENURE AND RACE OF HEAD**  
**FAIRFAX COUNTY, 1970**

#### RENTER OCCUPIED HOUSEHOLDS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gross Monthly Rent</th>
<th>0 Bedroom</th>
<th>1 Bedroom</th>
<th>2 Bedrooms</th>
<th>3 Bedrooms</th>
<th>4+ Bedrooms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; $40</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$40-$59</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$60-$79</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$80-$99</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>687</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$100-$149</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>6,597</td>
<td>4,608</td>
<td>610</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$150-$199</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>4,375</td>
<td>10,746</td>
<td>2,021</td>
<td>241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$200 or more</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>1,859</td>
<td>5,611</td>
<td>3,620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Cash Rent</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>699</td>
<td>787</td>
<td>211</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### NEGRO RENTER OCCUPIED HOUSEHOLDS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gross Monthly Rent</th>
<th>0 Bedroom</th>
<th>1 Bedroom</th>
<th>2 Bedrooms</th>
<th>3 Bedrooms</th>
<th>4+ Bedrooms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; $40</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$40-$59</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$60-$79</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$80-$99</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$100-$149</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>481</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$150-$199</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$200 or more</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Cash Rent</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments, U.S. Bureau of the Census, 4th Count Housing Summary Tape, Table 130. This data is available by Census Tract.
Table No. 41

GROSS RENT, YEAR STRUCTURE BUILT,

TENURE AND RACE OF HEAD

FAIRFAX COUNTY, 1970

RENTER OCCUPIED HOUSEHOLDS

|----------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|

MONTHLY GROSS RENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monthly Gross Rent</th>
<th>$&lt;40</th>
<th>$40-$59</th>
<th>$60-$79</th>
<th>$80-$99</th>
<th>$100-$149</th>
<th>$150-$199</th>
<th>$200 or more</th>
<th>NO CASH RENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$40-$59</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$60-$79</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$80-$99</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$100-$149</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>2,947</td>
<td>3,353</td>
<td>2,417</td>
<td>2,248</td>
<td>759</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$150-$199</td>
<td>866</td>
<td>8,430</td>
<td>5,148</td>
<td>1,778</td>
<td>953</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$200 or more</td>
<td>933</td>
<td>3,511</td>
<td>2,839</td>
<td>3,021</td>
<td>597</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO CASH RENT</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>888</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>268</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NEGRO RENTER OCCUPIED HOUSEHOLDS

|----------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|

MONTHLY GROSS RENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monthly Gross Rent</th>
<th>$&lt;40</th>
<th>$40-$59</th>
<th>$60-$79</th>
<th>$80-$99</th>
<th>$100-$149</th>
<th>$150-$199</th>
<th>$200 or more</th>
<th>NO CASH RENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$40-$59</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$60-$79</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$80-$99</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$100-$149</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$150-$199</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$200 or more</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO CASH RENT</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments, U.S. Bureau of the Census, 4th Count Housing Summary Tape, Table 126. This data is available by Census Tract.
### Table No. 42

**GROSS MONTHLY RENT BY HOUSEHOLD INCOME**

**FAIRFAX COUNTY, 1970**

#### Total Renter Occupied Households

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Household Income</th>
<th>$&lt;2,000</th>
<th>$2,000-$2,999</th>
<th>$3,000-$4,999</th>
<th>$5,000-$6,999</th>
<th>$7,000-$9,999</th>
<th>$10,000-$14,999</th>
<th>$15,000-$24,999</th>
<th>$&gt;24,999</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;$2,000</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2,000-$3,000</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3,000-$5,000</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5,000-$7,000</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$7,000-$10,000</td>
<td>740</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>1,453</td>
<td>2,055</td>
<td>3,345</td>
<td>2,756</td>
<td>996</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10,000-$15,000</td>
<td>730</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>1,065</td>
<td>1,942</td>
<td>3,916</td>
<td>5,524</td>
<td>3,149</td>
<td>480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$15,000-$24,999</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>524</td>
<td>1,124</td>
<td>3,229</td>
<td>4,456</td>
<td>1,151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;$24,999</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>484</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Negro Renter Occupied Households

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Household Income</th>
<th>$&lt;2,000</th>
<th>$2,000-$2,999</th>
<th>$3,000-$4,999</th>
<th>$5,000-$6,999</th>
<th>$7,000-$9,999</th>
<th>$10,000-$14,999</th>
<th>$15,000-$24,999</th>
<th>$&gt;24,999</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;$2,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2,000-$3,000</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3,000-$5,000</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5,000-$7,000</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$7,000-$10,000</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10,000-$15,000</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$15,000-$24,999</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;$24,999</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments, U.S. Bureau of the Census, 4th Count Housing Summary Tape, Table 127. This data is available by Census Tract.
Table No. 43

GROSS RENT AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME

FAIRFAX COUNTY, 1970

TOTAL RENTER OCCUPIED HOUSEHOLDS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RENT AS A PERCENT OF INCOME</th>
<th>HOUSEHOLD INCOME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; $2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; $2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 10%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-14%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-19%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-24%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34%</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 34%</td>
<td>1,588</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Comp.</td>
<td>487</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NEGRO RENTER OCCUPIED HOUSEHOLDS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RENT AS A PERCENT OF INCOME</th>
<th>HOUSEHOLD INCOME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; $2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; $2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 10%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-14%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-19%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-24%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 34%</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Comp.</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments, U.S. Bureau of the Census, 4th Count Housing Summary Tape, Table 129. This data is available by Census Tract.
Table No. 44

HOUSEHOLDS BY TYPE, AGE OF HEAD, UNITS IN STRUCTURE, TENURE AND RACE OF HEAD
FAIRFAX COUNTY, 1970

Renter Occupied Households

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Household Type</th>
<th>Age of Head</th>
<th>Units in Structure</th>
<th>Trailer</th>
<th>Mobile Home</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Husband Wife Family</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,338</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 30</td>
<td>6,153</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30-44</td>
<td>3,053</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45-64</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>65 yrs &amp; over</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>. 39</td>
<td>. 333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Other Family</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,019</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Primary Individual</td>
<td></td>
<td>984</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>398</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Negro Renter Occupied Households

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Household Type</th>
<th>Age of Head</th>
<th>Units in Structure</th>
<th>Trailer</th>
<th>Mobile Home</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Husband Wife Family</td>
<td></td>
<td>92</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 30</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30-44</td>
<td>572</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45-64</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>65 yrs &amp; over</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Other Family</td>
<td></td>
<td>98</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments, U.S. Bureau of the Census, 4th Count Housing Summary Tape, Table 112. Data is available by Census Tract.
Table No. 45

APARTMENT RENTS COMPARED BY JURISDICTION, 1973

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Average Mix</th>
<th>Average Size (Sq. Ft.)</th>
<th>Average Monthly Rent</th>
<th>Monthly Rent Per Sq. Ft.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery County</td>
<td>7% Efficiency</td>
<td>565</td>
<td>$178</td>
<td>32c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30% 1-Bedroom</td>
<td>812</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>28c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50% 2-Bedroom</td>
<td>1,032</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>26c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13% 3-Bedroom</td>
<td>1,344</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>26c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince George's County</td>
<td>4% Efficiency</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>31c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35% 1-Bedroom</td>
<td>718</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>25c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51% 2-Bedroom</td>
<td>904</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>23c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10% 3-Bedroom</td>
<td>1,130</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>21c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington, DC</td>
<td>39% Efficiency</td>
<td>497</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>36c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>44% 1-Bedroom</td>
<td>704</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>32c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15% 2-Bedroom</td>
<td>1,084</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>30c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2% 3-Bedroom</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Virginia</td>
<td>17% Efficiency</td>
<td>575</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>32c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>37% 1-Bedroom</td>
<td>827</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>27c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>38% 2-Bedroom</td>
<td>1,039</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>26c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8% 3-Bedroom</td>
<td>1,461</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>26c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County</td>
<td>3% Efficiency</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40% 1-Bedroom</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50% 2-Bedroom</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7% 3-Bedroom</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: Fairfax County, Office of Research & Statistics.

Note: Walker and Dunlop information is based on a survey of 35,000 units in 80 rental projects. Only projects built after 1960 were surveyed with an average age of six years. Survey reflects March 1973 conditions.
Table No. 46

AVERAGE SALES/RENTAL VALUES OF HOMES
FAIRFAX COUNTY, 1960-1973

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Average Monthly Rental of Homes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1960</td>
<td>$155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1961</td>
<td>$160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1962</td>
<td>$165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1963</td>
<td>$175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964</td>
<td>$185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>$195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966</td>
<td>$215</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Average Sales Price of Homes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1960</td>
<td>$20,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1961</td>
<td>$21,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1962</td>
<td>$22,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1963</td>
<td>$24,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964</td>
<td>$25,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>$27,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966</td>
<td>$28,400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Department of Assessments, Fairfax County, Virginia.
There are 41,371 units in major apartment and townhouse rental projects in Fairfax County. This figure includes 3,947 units that are less than one year old, which are not included in the vacancy analysis because they have a higher vacancy rate (17.2%) than the older, established projects. Based on a 99% sample of the remaining units, there are 1,321 vacant units among the 36,942 surveyed. This results in a County vacancy rate of 3.6%, nearly twice that of last year. One reason for this increase is the addition of new units to the rental market. Another is the growing number of condominium units that are being rented by investor-owners. Although this vacancy rate is double that of last year, it is far below the 5 or 6% which would not be considered excessive in the high-turnover Washington area housing market.

This 3.6% vacancy figure includes one project which has an unusually high vacancy rate of 30.8%. If this development of over 400 units were excluded from the sample, the total rate for the County would be reduced to 3.2%. The same development had a vacancy rate of 17% last year.

Currently, there are 2,273 rental units under construction in the County. Most of these units represent the remainder of the 6,300 that were under construction last year. Since there were comparatively few new rental units started since the last survey, we can expect the vacancy rate to decline next year, as the demand surpasses the building rate of the past year.

Included in the 36,942 units surveyed are 262 townhouse units available for rent within the projects. This is 9% of the rental townhouses surveyed. Although these units are presently for rent, when the builder considers it feasible, they will be sold as condominiums.

The following table summarizes the rental housing inventory and vacancy rates for various sizes of units. It is interesting to note that units in elevator buildings, generally speaking, are experiencing the highest vacancy rates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Total No. Units</th>
<th>Units Vacant</th>
<th>Jan. 74 % Vacant</th>
<th>July 73 % Vacant</th>
<th>Dec. 71 % Vacant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency</td>
<td>Garden</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Elevator</td>
<td>774</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1,008</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Bedroom &amp; Den</td>
<td>Garden</td>
<td>10,375</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Elevator</td>
<td>3,051</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>15,426</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Bedroom &amp; Den</td>
<td>Garden</td>
<td>15,066</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Elevator</td>
<td>1,626</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>16,692</td>
<td>588</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Bedroom &amp; Den</td>
<td>Garden</td>
<td>2,411</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Elevator</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2,774</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Bedroom</td>
<td>Garden</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Elevator</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Townhouse</td>
<td>Townhouse</td>
<td>2,902</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>.5%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Total:</td>
<td>Garden</td>
<td>28,226</td>
<td>837</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Elevator</td>
<td>5,814</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Townhouse</td>
<td>2,902</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>.5%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>36,942</td>
<td>1,321</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY
Fairfax County, Virginia
Brief Summary

Some 107,007 proposed dwelling units were at various stages in the development process as identified in a compilation of construction plans and ongoing building activity completed in April, 1974. Completion of these units would increase the county housing inventory by 64%.

The proposed new dwelling units, as of the first quarter of 1974, fell into the following stages of completion:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage of Completion</th>
<th>Number of Units</th>
<th>% of Proposed Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under Construction</td>
<td>11,890</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outstanding Building Permits, Not Started</td>
<td>10,442</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plans Approved</td>
<td>9,218</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plans Under Review</td>
<td>21,661</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rezoned Recently</td>
<td>8,968</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rezoning: Likely*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rezoning: Likelihood Uncertain</td>
<td>30,258</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rezoning: Unlikely*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rezoning: Recently Denied or Withdrawn</td>
<td>14,570</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>107,007</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Of the total construction activity, 22,332 units (21%) represent Committed Growth, since building permits and sewer taps, where applicable, already have been issued. This component of future county growth is unaffected by current sewer moratoria.

The collection of proposed construction activity data confirms the trend toward more townhouse and multi-family housing in Fairfax County. While 60% (101,352 units) of the present housing inventory is single family detached, only 32% of the proposed housing is of this type.

Reports CA-5 through CA-8, and CA-10 summarize construction plans in detail. CA-11 through CA-14 provide a breakdown on building permit activity. Report CA-15 highlights recent trends in the development of new housing on septic tank systems.

The construction activity reports generally are compiled according to three basic structural housing types: single-family, townhouse, and apartment. The first category refers to single-family detached housing. The townhouse category covers townhouses and various multiplex structures. The apartment category includes garden apartment, low-rise, mid-rise, and high-rise units. The three structural types are not meant to suggest the tenure of occupancy, i.e. rental or owner-occupied.

* Due to the zoning process restrictions included in the Interim Development Control Ordinance, no judgements have been made as to the likelihood of pending rezoning applications being granted. For this reason, these categories are blank in this report.

### Table No. 49

**DWELLING UNITS BY TYPE AND LAND USE**

**Summarized by Magisterial District**

**Fairfax County, Virginia**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Magisterial District</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Single Family</th>
<th>Rental</th>
<th>Converted To</th>
<th>Business and Industrial</th>
<th>Ownership</th>
<th>Multi-family</th>
<th>Condominium</th>
<th>Mobile</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CENTREVILLE</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>10,297</td>
<td>677</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>3,480</td>
<td>692</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>1,023</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>21,721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRANESVILLE</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>14,297</td>
<td>7,962</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>748</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>17,477</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANNANDALE</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>12,912</td>
<td>3,881</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1,058</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>18,568</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEE</td>
<td>04</td>
<td>10,680</td>
<td>6,456</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1,338</td>
<td>1,223</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>979</td>
<td>19,240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MASON</td>
<td>05</td>
<td>10,264</td>
<td>10,110</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>403</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>20,929</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOUNT VERNON</td>
<td>06</td>
<td>11,286</td>
<td>5,704</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>1,138</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>673</td>
<td>19,548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROVIDENCE</td>
<td>07</td>
<td>10,582</td>
<td>9,649</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1,148</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>555</td>
<td>22,320</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPRINGFIELD</td>
<td>08</td>
<td>14,263</td>
<td>2,079</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3,267</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>20,279</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOWN OF CLIFTON</td>
<td>09</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>67</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOWH OF HERNDON</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1,009</td>
<td>628</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>292</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2,115</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOWN OF VIENNA</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4,018</td>
<td>751</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>122</td>
<td></td>
<td>36</td>
<td>4,969</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COUNTY TOTAL</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>101,352</td>
<td>43,841</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>12,530</td>
<td>3,584</td>
<td>788</td>
<td>2,693</td>
<td>2,436</td>
<td>167,241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERCENTAGE</td>
<td></td>
<td>60.5%</td>
<td>26.2%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: UDUP Parcel File, January, 1974

Notes:
1. Figures exclude housing located on Federal property.
2. Mobile home sites are included where applicable.
3. Excludes Town of Vienna.
4. Excludes Town of Clifton.
5. Codes such as LU-01 and LU-02 refer to Temporary Land Use Codes.
6. Dwelling units represented in this report include some units under construction. Such units are sufficiently near completion to warrant their inclusion in the dwelling unit inventory.

### SUMMARY OF RESIDENTIAL CONSTRUCTION

**ACTIVITY BY MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT**

**FAIRFAX COUNTY, AUGUST 1974**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Magisterial District</th>
<th>Proposed Dwelling Units¹</th>
<th>Total Proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Single Family</td>
<td>Townhouse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centreville</td>
<td>7,994</td>
<td>7,320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dranesville</td>
<td>8,014</td>
<td>1,684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annandale</td>
<td>942</td>
<td>1,696</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee</td>
<td>5,024</td>
<td>5,244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mason</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>537</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mt. Vernon</td>
<td>962</td>
<td>505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providence</td>
<td>906</td>
<td>2,720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Springfield</td>
<td>9,926</td>
<td>17,825</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>34,057</strong></td>
<td><strong>37,531</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PERCENT</strong></td>
<td><strong>32%</strong></td>
<td><strong>35%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** Standard Reports, Report CA-6, compiled from the files of the Urban Development Information System, Research Branch, Office of Research and Statistics, August, 1974.

**Note:** More detailed information available in the report cited.
Table No. 51

HOUSING STARTS FOR THE WASHINGTON, D.C. SMSA
1960-1974

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Single Family</th>
<th>Multifamily</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1960</td>
<td>10,843</td>
<td>9,373</td>
<td>20,216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1961</td>
<td>12,580</td>
<td>13,332</td>
<td>25,912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1962</td>
<td>13,044</td>
<td>24,402</td>
<td>37,446</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1963</td>
<td>12,948</td>
<td>28,154</td>
<td>41,102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964</td>
<td>14,018</td>
<td>32,543</td>
<td>46,561</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>14,870</td>
<td>33,388</td>
<td>48,258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966</td>
<td>11,150</td>
<td>30,570</td>
<td>41,720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967</td>
<td>12,847</td>
<td>12,427</td>
<td>25,274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968</td>
<td>14,993</td>
<td>10,509</td>
<td>25,502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969</td>
<td>16,082</td>
<td>9,183</td>
<td>25,264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>14,459</td>
<td>12,334</td>
<td>26,793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td>23,931</td>
<td>13,864</td>
<td>37,795</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>25,993</td>
<td>16,190</td>
<td>42,183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973</td>
<td>21,939</td>
<td>19,380</td>
<td>41,319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>16,000</td>
<td>31,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table No. 52

FAIRFAX COUNTY'S CHANGING SHARE OF REGIONAL CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY, 1970-1974

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Fairfax County</th>
<th>Alexandria</th>
<th>Montgomery County</th>
<th>Prince George's</th>
<th>Loudoun</th>
<th>Prince William</th>
<th>Charles County</th>
<th>Washington, D.C. SMSA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>8,003</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>8,291</td>
<td>5,255</td>
<td>645</td>
<td>2,579</td>
<td>27,688</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td>13,449</td>
<td>1,128</td>
<td>10,554</td>
<td>5,076</td>
<td>1,794</td>
<td>4,148</td>
<td>37,722</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>16,942</td>
<td>2,933</td>
<td>10,680</td>
<td>5,830</td>
<td>1,488</td>
<td>3,771</td>
<td>928</td>
<td>44,218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973</td>
<td>11,404</td>
<td>2,343</td>
<td>7,627</td>
<td>6,457</td>
<td>1,346</td>
<td>3,566</td>
<td>1,019</td>
<td>37,402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974</td>
<td>4,187</td>
<td>3,092</td>
<td>1,544</td>
<td>1,867</td>
<td>999</td>
<td>777</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>14,628</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Notes: 1 Data for 1972, 1973, and 1974 include Charles County.

                      2 First nine months of 1974 only.
### Table No. 53

**BUILDING PERMITS ISSUED**  
**FAIRFAX COUNTY**  
**1960-1974**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Estimated Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1960</td>
<td>4,562</td>
<td>$76,929,994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1961</td>
<td>4,529</td>
<td>74,437,676</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1962</td>
<td>4,730</td>
<td>89,069,606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1963</td>
<td>4,819</td>
<td>119,007,124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964</td>
<td>5,725</td>
<td>129,286,885</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>6,523</td>
<td>158,648,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966</td>
<td>6,704</td>
<td>176,672,821</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967</td>
<td>6,789</td>
<td>115,681,130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968</td>
<td>7,782</td>
<td>190,241,691</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969</td>
<td>8,919</td>
<td>196,009,695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>7,966</td>
<td>181,011,899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td>9,267</td>
<td>265,418,128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>10,647</td>
<td>346,518,737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973</td>
<td>12,497</td>
<td>375,339,297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974</td>
<td>12,952</td>
<td>376,225,180</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** Department of County Development, Fairfax County, Virginia

**Note:** Building permits are not equivalent to dwelling units.
Building Permit Activity, Fairfax County
All Housing Units, 1960-1973

SOURCE: DEPARTMENT OF COUNTY DEVELOPMENT, INSPECTIONS DIVISION, FAIRFAX COUNTY
Single-Family Housing Units Authorized
Fairfax County, 1960-1973

SOURCE: DEPARTMENT OF COUNTY DEVELOPMENT, INSPECTIONS DIVISION
FAIRFAX COUNTY
### Table No. 54

**RESIDENTIAL CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY,**
**UNITS AUTHORIZED BY BUILDING PERMITS**
**FAIRFAX COUNTY**
**1960-1974**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calendar Year</th>
<th>Single Family Units</th>
<th>Multi-Family Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Estimated Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1960</td>
<td>3,363</td>
<td>53,098,249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1961</td>
<td>3,421</td>
<td>54,835,456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1962</td>
<td>3,909</td>
<td>63,644,443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1963</td>
<td>4,211</td>
<td>71,993,942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964</td>
<td>4,311</td>
<td>76,441,933</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>5,090</td>
<td>88,761,762</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966</td>
<td>4,064</td>
<td>68,648,739</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967</td>
<td>4,631</td>
<td>83,144,406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968</td>
<td>5,164</td>
<td>98,592,764</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969</td>
<td>5,307</td>
<td>103,190,312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>4,363</td>
<td>100,012,997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td>7,643</td>
<td>152,019,360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>11,845</td>
<td>230,915,919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973</td>
<td>7,949</td>
<td>188,955,117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974</td>
<td>2,886</td>
<td>85,716,872</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** Department of County Development, Inspection Division, Fairfax County, Virginia.

**Note:** There is a discrepancy between Building Permit data reported by the Bureau of the Census, Table 52, and data recorded by the Fairfax County Department of County Development.

1 1974 data includes only units authorized through November, 1974.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Total Value</th>
<th>Residential</th>
<th>Non-Residential</th>
<th>Repairs and Alterations Value</th>
<th>Mechanical</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All Permits</td>
<td>No. Units</td>
<td>Value</td>
<td>No. Permits</td>
<td>Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td>$265,418,128</td>
<td>10,433</td>
<td>$179,274,837</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>$58,297,040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>$346,518,737</td>
<td>14,400</td>
<td>$233,732,648</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>$77,764,644</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973</td>
<td>$375,339,297</td>
<td>15,704</td>
<td>$287,804,251</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>$37,261,015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974</td>
<td>$376,225,180</td>
<td>8,500</td>
<td>$192,388,172</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>$117,328,493</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Fairfax County, Department of Environmental Management.
# APPLICATIONS FOR SEPTIC TANK SYSTEMS


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>FY 1972</th>
<th>FY 1973</th>
<th>FY 1974</th>
<th>FY 1975</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>195 (Lower Potomac Moratoria)</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>221 (Cameron Run Moratoria)</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>123</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>433</td>
<td>155</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>115 (Blue Plains Allocations)</td>
<td>1,284</td>
<td>89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** Department of Health, Division of Environmental Health

**Note:** Imposition of sewer moratoria took place in May, October, and November of 1972.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Contract Rate (Percent)</th>
<th>Maturity</th>
<th>Loan To Price Ratio</th>
<th>Purchase Price</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New Homes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>5.76</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>73.9</td>
<td>25,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967</td>
<td>6.83</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>73.9</td>
<td>30,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969</td>
<td>7.66</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>72.8</td>
<td>34,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>8.27</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>71.7</td>
<td>35,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td>7.59</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>74.3</td>
<td>36,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972 March</td>
<td>7.38</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>76.2</td>
<td>37,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>June</td>
<td>7.41</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>37,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>September</td>
<td>7.43</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>36,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>December</td>
<td>7.51</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>37,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973 March</td>
<td>7.51</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>78.4</td>
<td>36,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>June</td>
<td>7.62</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>35,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>September</td>
<td>7.98</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>37,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>December</td>
<td>8.31</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>37,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974 March</td>
<td>8.43</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>77.3</td>
<td>39,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>June</td>
<td>8.65</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>39,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>September</td>
<td>8.97</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>42,400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Note: The Federal Home Loan Bank Board revised its sampling procedure beginning in 1973. Data beginning in 1973 are not comparable to earlier data.
### Table No. 58

**CHARACTERISTICS OF CONVENTIONAL FIRST MORTGAGE TRANSACTIONS ON SINGLE FAMILY HOMES - WASHINGTON, D.C.-MD.-VA. SMSA - 1973-1974**

**AVERAGE VALUES FOR THE WASHINGTON D.C., SMSA**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NEWLY BUILT HOMES</th>
<th>PREVIOUSLY OCCUPIED HOMES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Contract Interest Rate</td>
<td>Effective Rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>June</td>
<td>7.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sept.</td>
<td>8.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dec.</td>
<td>8.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974-March</td>
<td>8.16</td>
<td>8.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>June</td>
<td>8.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sept.</td>
<td>8.71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SOURCE:** Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments, Economic Indicators of the Washington Metropolitan Economy, April, 1974.


**NOTE:** Effective interest rates include fees related to the principal mortgage amount amortized over a ten-year period in addition to the contract interest rate.
III. EMPLOYMENT, LABOR FORCE, AND INDUSTRY
SUMMARY OF EMPLOYMENT, LABOR FORCE
AND INDUSTRY IN FAIRFAX COUNTY

- Resident labor force age 14 and over increased by 96% during the 1960's.
- Resident female labor force age 14 and over increased by 152% during the 1960's.
- Resident male labor force age 14 and over increased by 76% during the 1960's.
- Female labor force participation rate (the percent of the female population age 14 and over who are in the labor force) has increased from 28.2 percent in 1960 to 40.9 percent in 1970.
- Predominant occupation for County residents are Professional, Clerical and Managerial in order. Occupations in the Service area and the Professional area grew most rapidly from 1960 to 1970.
- In 1970, 34 percent of Fairfax County workers lived and worked in the County, 24 percent worked in Washington, D.C., 28 percent worked in Arlington, Alexandria, Falls Church or Fairfax City.
- Employment in Fairfax County estimated by place of work data increased by 137 percent from 1960 to 1970. The major components of this change were in non-manufacturing areas particularly government. Manufacturing employment, however, exhibited the highest rate of growth during the 1960's but is still a minor segment of overall County employment.
- Data collected by the Fairfax County Economic Development Authority shows strong employment increases in Research and Technical Manufacturing, Trade and Professional association, contract construction and "other" industrial services.
Table No. 59

LABOR FORCE (PLACE OF RESIDENCE) CHARACTERISTICS
FAIRFAX COUNTY, 1970

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Age 16 and Over</td>
<td>146,424</td>
<td>148,798</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In Labor Force</td>
<td>125,525</td>
<td>64,612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of Total</td>
<td>85.7</td>
<td>43.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civilian Labor Force</td>
<td>102,934</td>
<td>64,198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed</td>
<td>101,072</td>
<td>62,484</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>1,862</td>
<td>1,714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of Civilian Labor Force</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not in Labor Force</td>
<td>20,899</td>
<td>84,186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inmate of Institution</td>
<td>2,986</td>
<td>449</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enrolled in School</td>
<td>10,189</td>
<td>11,019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other: Under 65 Years</td>
<td>4,284</td>
<td>65,160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 Years and Over</td>
<td>3,440</td>
<td>7,558</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table No. 60

LABOR FORCE PARTICIPATION
FAIRFAX COUNTY, 1950-1960-1970

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1950</td>
<td>Persons 14 and Over</td>
<td>38,015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Labor Force</td>
<td>31,529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Labor Force Participation</td>
<td>82.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1960</td>
<td>Persons 14 and Over</td>
<td>85,555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Labor Force</td>
<td>72,118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Labor Force Participation</td>
<td>84.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>Persons 14 and Over</td>
<td>157,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Labor Force</td>
<td>126,911</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Labor Force Participation</td>
<td>80.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Note: 1970 labor force participation data was computed from Census sources.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>Percent in Labor Force</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 and 15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 and 17</td>
<td>25.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 and 19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 and 21</td>
<td>65.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 to 24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 to 34</td>
<td>84.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 to 44</td>
<td>93.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 to 64</td>
<td>88.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 &amp; over</td>
<td>32.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


### OCCUPATIONS OF FAIRFAX COUNTY RESIDENTS

FAIRFAX COUNTY, 1960-1970

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Professional, Technical</td>
<td>18,953</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>48,826</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>29,873</td>
<td>158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical</td>
<td>17,015</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>38,121</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>21,106</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Managers, Administrators</td>
<td>9,614</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>22,928</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13,314</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales Workers</td>
<td>6,271</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13,195</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6,924</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Craftsmen, Foremen</td>
<td>11,466</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15,841</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4,375</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Workers</td>
<td>4,547</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12,088</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7,541</td>
<td>166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operatives</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7,832</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2,832</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL CIVILIANS EMPLOYED</strong></td>
<td>79,785</td>
<td></td>
<td>163,556</td>
<td></td>
<td>83,771</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sources:**


## Table No. 63

### COMMUTING DATA FOR RESIDENT LABOR FORCE

**FAIRFAX COUNTY, 1970**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Place of Work</th>
<th>Resident Workers</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>White</td>
<td>Negro</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington, DC--CBD</td>
<td>15,098</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>15,378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remainder of Washington, DC</td>
<td>29,433</td>
<td>571</td>
<td>30,224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery County, Maryland</td>
<td>5,676</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>5,753</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince George's County, Maryland</td>
<td>4,071</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>4,168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arlington County, Virginia</td>
<td>28,277</td>
<td>581</td>
<td>29,023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Alexandria, Virginia</td>
<td>13,296</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>13,710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax City, Virginia</td>
<td>5,006</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>5,168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Falls Church, Virginia</td>
<td>3,688</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>3,892</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County, Virginia</td>
<td>59,658</td>
<td>2,681</td>
<td>62,816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loudoun County, Virginia</td>
<td>1,061</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1,084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince William County, Virginia</td>
<td>1,044</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1,082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baltimore City, Maryland</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baltimore County, Maryland</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anne Arundel County, Maryland</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howard County, Maryland</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remainder of Baltimore SMSA</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fauquier County, Virginia</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles County, Maryland</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stafford County, Virginia</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calvert County, Maryland</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elsewhere</td>
<td>2,855</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>2,949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>7,970</td>
<td>488</td>
<td>8,518</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>All Workers</strong></td>
<td><strong>178,094</strong></td>
<td><strong>5,503</strong></td>
<td><strong>184,769</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table No. 64

EMPLOYED AND UNEMPLOYED POPULATION
16 YEARS OLD AND OVER
IN THE WASHINGTON METROPOLITAN AREA, 1970

Employment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Place of Residence</th>
<th>Total Employed</th>
<th>Armed Forces</th>
<th>Civilian Employed</th>
<th>Civilian Unemployed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>District of Columbia</td>
<td>343,272</td>
<td>8,296</td>
<td>334,976</td>
<td>13,137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland Area</td>
<td>508,659</td>
<td>23,760</td>
<td>484,899</td>
<td>11,084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery County</td>
<td>221,466</td>
<td>5,972</td>
<td>215,494</td>
<td>4,509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockville</td>
<td>16,903</td>
<td>429</td>
<td>16,474</td>
<td>379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Takoma Park</td>
<td>9,650</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>9,328</td>
<td>242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince George's County</td>
<td>287,193</td>
<td>17,788</td>
<td>269,405</td>
<td>6,575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowie</td>
<td>11,539</td>
<td>659</td>
<td>10,880</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Park</td>
<td>10,676</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>10,599</td>
<td>386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenbelt</td>
<td>8,972</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>8,778</td>
<td>161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia Area</td>
<td>408,235</td>
<td>49,120</td>
<td>359,115</td>
<td>7,958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexandria, City of</td>
<td>56,653</td>
<td>4,874</td>
<td>51,779</td>
<td>1,032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arlington County</td>
<td>94,346</td>
<td>11,556</td>
<td>82,790</td>
<td>1,908</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax City</td>
<td>8,900</td>
<td>516</td>
<td>8,384</td>
<td>257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County</td>
<td>186,561</td>
<td>23,005</td>
<td>163,556</td>
<td>3,576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falls Church, City of</td>
<td>4,918</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>4,734</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loudoun County</td>
<td>14,509</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>14,339</td>
<td>301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince William County</td>
<td>42,348</td>
<td>8,815</td>
<td>33,533</td>
<td>805</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington SMSA</td>
<td>1,260,166</td>
<td>81,176</td>
<td>1,178,990</td>
<td>32,179</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


NOTE: 1 Includes Armed Forces and Civilian
### Table No. 66

**GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES BY PLACE OF RESIDENCE IN THE WASHINGTON METROPOLITAN AREA, 1970**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Place of Residence</th>
<th>Federal</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Local</th>
<th>Total Government</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>District of Columbia</strong></td>
<td>109,943</td>
<td>5,813</td>
<td>25,407</td>
<td>141,163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maryland Area</strong></td>
<td>124,964</td>
<td>13,997</td>
<td>40,843</td>
<td>179,804</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery County</td>
<td>52,859</td>
<td>3,237</td>
<td>16,520</td>
<td>72,616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockville</td>
<td>3,361</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>1,956</td>
<td>5,518</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Takoma Park</td>
<td>1,893</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>2,573</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prince George's County</strong></td>
<td>72,105</td>
<td>10,760</td>
<td>24,323</td>
<td>107,188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowie</td>
<td>4,092</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>992</td>
<td>5,345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Park</td>
<td>1,725</td>
<td>2,255</td>
<td>734</td>
<td>4,714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenbelt</td>
<td>2,246</td>
<td>694</td>
<td>969</td>
<td>3,909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Virginia Area</strong></td>
<td>105,367</td>
<td>4,557</td>
<td>29,878</td>
<td>139,802</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Alexandria</td>
<td>15,264</td>
<td>657</td>
<td>3,795</td>
<td>19,716</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arlington County</td>
<td>30,197</td>
<td>961</td>
<td>4,825</td>
<td>35,983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax City</td>
<td>1,830</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>1,194</td>
<td>3,203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County</td>
<td>47,945</td>
<td>1,800</td>
<td>14,502</td>
<td>64,247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Falls Church</td>
<td>1,370</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>1,749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loudoun County</td>
<td>2,043</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>1,298</td>
<td>3,565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince William County</td>
<td>6,718</td>
<td>695</td>
<td>3,927</td>
<td>11,340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Washington SMSA</strong></td>
<td>340,274</td>
<td>24,367</td>
<td>96,123</td>
<td>460,769</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments Tabulations from U.S. Bureau of the Census, 4th Count Census of Population Tape, Table 67.
Table No. 67

FEDERAL GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES BY PLACE OF RESIDENCE
AND RACE FOR METROPOLITAN WASHINGTON, 1970

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Place of Residence</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>Other Races</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>District of Columbia</td>
<td>109,943</td>
<td>32,523</td>
<td>76,453</td>
<td>967</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland Area</td>
<td>124,964</td>
<td>109,467</td>
<td>14,030</td>
<td>1,467</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery County</td>
<td>52,859</td>
<td>49,965</td>
<td>2,198</td>
<td>696</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockville</td>
<td>3,361</td>
<td>3,125</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Takoma Park</td>
<td>1,893</td>
<td>1,466</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince George's County</td>
<td>72,105</td>
<td>59,502</td>
<td>11,832</td>
<td>771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowie</td>
<td>4,092</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Park</td>
<td>1,725</td>
<td>1,637</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenbelt</td>
<td>2,246</td>
<td>2,214</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia Area</td>
<td>105,367</td>
<td>100,998</td>
<td>3,495</td>
<td>874</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Alexandria</td>
<td>15,24</td>
<td>14,165</td>
<td>976</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arlington County</td>
<td>30,197</td>
<td>28,680</td>
<td>1,142</td>
<td>375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax City</td>
<td>1,830</td>
<td>1,810</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County</td>
<td>47,945</td>
<td>46,643</td>
<td>959</td>
<td>343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Falls Church</td>
<td>1,370</td>
<td>1,351</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loudoun County</td>
<td>2,043</td>
<td>1,935</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince William County</td>
<td>6,718</td>
<td>6,414</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington SMSA</td>
<td>340,274</td>
<td>242,988</td>
<td>93,978</td>
<td>3,308</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments
Tabulation from U.S. Bureau of the Census, 4th Count Census of Population Tape, Table 67.
Table No. 68

NUMBER OF WORKERS BY WEEKS WORKED, AGE AND SEX
FAIRFAX COUNTY, 1969

### Male

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weeks Worked</th>
<th>16-24 Years</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>25-64 Years</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>65 Years &amp; Over</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50-52</td>
<td>9,699</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>91,339</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>1,168</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48-49</td>
<td>1,431</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4,299</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-47</td>
<td>2,236</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3,931</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27-39</td>
<td>2,921</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2,097</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-26</td>
<td>4,528</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1,435</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than 14</td>
<td>6,620</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1,237</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Didn't Work</td>
<td>6,372</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3,094</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3,019</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| TOTAL        | 33,807      | 100     | 107,432     | 100     | 5,185          | 100     |

### Female

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weeks Worked</th>
<th>16-24 Years</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>25-39 Years</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>40-59 Years</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>60 Years &amp; Over</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50-52</td>
<td>6,292</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>10,929</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>15,667</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1,491</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48-49</td>
<td>1,029</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1,086</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1,294</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-47</td>
<td>2,028</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2,916</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3,008</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>399</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27-39</td>
<td>2,647</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3,270</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2,959</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-26</td>
<td>3,976</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3,481</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2,283</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than 14</td>
<td>5,736</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3,653</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3,071</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>396</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Didn't Work</td>
<td>10,772</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>25,150</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>24,530</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>10,125</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| TOTAL        | 32,480      | 100     | 50,485      | 100     | 52,813       | 100     | 13,021         | 100     |

Table No. 69

WORK FORCE AND EMPLOYMENT (PLACE OF WORK)

FAIRFAX COUNTY, 1950-1972

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Civilian Work Force</th>
<th>Percent of Population</th>
<th>Employment</th>
<th>Percent of Work Force</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1950</td>
<td>22,518</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>21,407</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1960</td>
<td>42,222</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>39,958</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1961</td>
<td>43,476</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>40,804</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1962</td>
<td>45,519</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>42,931</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1963</td>
<td>50,299</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>47,240</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964</td>
<td>54,981</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>52,292</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>61,423</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>58,835</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966</td>
<td>72,890</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>70,750</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967</td>
<td>75,808</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>73,233</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968</td>
<td>87,569</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>84,987</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969</td>
<td>97,564</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>95,105</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>100,020</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>97,206</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td>104,523</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>100,399</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>116,817</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>112,646</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Note: Virginia Employment Commission (VEC) data differs from U.S. Bureau of the Census data. VEC reports employment and work force data on the basis of place of work. The Bureau of the Census reports work force and employment on the basis of place of residence. VEC, however, ceased publication of data by place of work as of 1972, so these data cannot be updated.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Civilian Work Force</td>
<td>22,518</td>
<td>42,222</td>
<td>100,020</td>
<td>104,907</td>
<td>116,817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployment</td>
<td>1,111</td>
<td>2,264</td>
<td>2,814</td>
<td>4,124</td>
<td>4,171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment</td>
<td>21,407</td>
<td>39,958</td>
<td>97,206</td>
<td>99,992</td>
<td>112,646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Manufacturing</td>
<td>16,112</td>
<td>29,888</td>
<td>78,686</td>
<td>82,540</td>
<td>95,134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wholesale and Retail</td>
<td>1,637</td>
<td>5,815</td>
<td>18,877</td>
<td>20,749</td>
<td>23,667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance, Insurance, and Real Estate</td>
<td>571</td>
<td>1,064</td>
<td>5,002</td>
<td>5,333</td>
<td>6,501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service</td>
<td>1,912</td>
<td>10,145</td>
<td>15,336</td>
<td>16,019</td>
<td>19,853</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government</td>
<td>9,931</td>
<td>8,474</td>
<td>29,646</td>
<td>30,032</td>
<td>31,349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Other Non-Agricultural</td>
<td>3,517</td>
<td>8,642</td>
<td>14,165</td>
<td>13,338</td>
<td>13,439</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>1,506</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>522</td>
<td>510</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Note: See notes, Table 69.
### Federal Civilian Employment (Place of Work)

**Fairfax County, 1968-1972**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Army</td>
<td>7,441</td>
<td>7,145</td>
<td>6,942</td>
<td>6,881</td>
<td>6,554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Post Office Department</td>
<td>566</td>
<td>596</td>
<td>618</td>
<td>624</td>
<td>2,455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Services Administration</td>
<td>513</td>
<td>484</td>
<td>474</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Commerce</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>441</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Transportation</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>663</td>
<td>609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of the Interior</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treasury Department</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Air Force</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Agriculture</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Health Education and Welfare</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Defense Agencies</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>301</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total:**

|       | 9,473 | 9,245 | 9,038 | 9,629 | 11,361 |

**Source:** U.S. Civil Service Commission, Annual Report of Federal Civilian Employment by Geographic Area, for cited years.
### EMPLOYMENT TRENDS OF INDUSTRIAL LAND USING ACTIVITIES
### IN FAIRFAX COUNTY, VIRGINIA 1963 THROUGH 1973

#### Number of Employees by Industry

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Research and Technical Manufacturing</th>
<th>National and International Trade and Professional Associations</th>
<th>Wholesale Distribution</th>
<th>Contract Construction</th>
<th>Other Manufacturing</th>
<th>Transportation and Warehousing</th>
<th>Other Industrial Services</th>
<th>Major Utility Installation</th>
<th>Grand Totals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1963</td>
<td>6,827</td>
<td></td>
<td>912</td>
<td>1,306</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9,045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964</td>
<td>7,065</td>
<td></td>
<td>966</td>
<td>1,361</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9,392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966</td>
<td>7,367</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,425</td>
<td>2,922</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11,714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968</td>
<td>10,837</td>
<td></td>
<td>3,164</td>
<td>2,275</td>
<td>2,655</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>-0-</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19,209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969</td>
<td>12,019</td>
<td></td>
<td>3,133</td>
<td>3,777</td>
<td>2,917</td>
<td>783</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>23,199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>12,040</td>
<td></td>
<td>3,603</td>
<td>4,611</td>
<td>2,464</td>
<td>1,048</td>
<td>819</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>24,849</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td>12,992</td>
<td></td>
<td>4,058</td>
<td>5,035</td>
<td>2,660</td>
<td>1,083</td>
<td>1,171</td>
<td>1,025</td>
<td>28,068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973</td>
<td>16,580</td>
<td></td>
<td>4,608</td>
<td>6,968</td>
<td>3,252</td>
<td>1,280</td>
<td>4,037</td>
<td>1,036</td>
<td>39,883</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Fairfax County Economic Development Authority, Fairfax, Virginia

Note: Form was expanded in 1968 in order to adequately account for the number of new and diverse firms entering the County. The National and International Trade and Professional Associations category until 1970 and Other Industrial Services until 1969 were distributed through the closest functional categories.

For the years 1963-1967, those numbers listed under Wholesale Distribution were included in a category called Wholesale and Warehousing.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural Services, Forestry and Fisheries</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mining</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract Construction</td>
<td>6,342</td>
<td>5,374</td>
<td>5,692</td>
<td>7,011</td>
<td>6,822</td>
<td>6,482</td>
<td>8,190</td>
<td>11,410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing</td>
<td>4,062</td>
<td>4,291</td>
<td>5,274</td>
<td>5,554</td>
<td>5,369</td>
<td>4,203</td>
<td>3,858</td>
<td>4,870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation and Other Public Utilities</td>
<td>1,375</td>
<td>1,415</td>
<td>1,839</td>
<td>1,803</td>
<td>2,120</td>
<td>3,206</td>
<td>2,506</td>
<td>2,749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wholesale Trade</td>
<td>1,410</td>
<td>1,232</td>
<td>1,509</td>
<td>1,560</td>
<td>2,111</td>
<td>2,455</td>
<td>2,866</td>
<td>3,331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail Trade</td>
<td>9,354</td>
<td>9,722</td>
<td>12,618</td>
<td>16,293</td>
<td>16,338</td>
<td>17,504</td>
<td>20,032</td>
<td>22,766</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance, Insurance and Real Estate</td>
<td>1,793</td>
<td>1,960</td>
<td>2,013</td>
<td>3,062</td>
<td>3,394</td>
<td>3,564</td>
<td>4,329</td>
<td>5,173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services</td>
<td>5,442</td>
<td>6,522</td>
<td>7,747</td>
<td>9,020</td>
<td>9,733</td>
<td>11,117</td>
<td>12,676</td>
<td>14,784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unclassified Establishments</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>469</td>
<td>398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL:</td>
<td>30,364</td>
<td>31,041</td>
<td>37,304</td>
<td>44,972</td>
<td>46,629</td>
<td>49,292</td>
<td>55,416</td>
<td>65,980</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, *County Business Patterns*, Table 2, for years cited.

Note: Excludes government employees, railroad employees, self-employed persons, etc.
### Table No. 74

**NUMBER OF INDUSTRIAL FIRMS LOCATED IN FAIRFAX COUNTY**  
**BY YEARS AND INDUSTRIAL GROUP, 1964-1973**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Research &amp; Technical Manufacturing</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>222</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National &amp; International Trade &amp; Professional Associations</td>
<td>-0-</td>
<td>-0-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wholesale Distribution</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>195</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract Construction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>51</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>141</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Manufacturing</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>83</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation &amp; Warehousing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Industrial Services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Utility Installation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** Economic Development Authority, Fairfax County, Virginia

**Note:** Form was expanded in 1968 in order to adequately account for the number of new and diverse firms entering the County.
### Table No. 75

**INDUSTRIAL GROWTH STATISTICAL SUMMARY**

**GRAND TOTALS, JUNE 30, 1973**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>No. of Firms</th>
<th>% of Total</th>
<th>Square Feet</th>
<th>% of Total</th>
<th>No. of Employees</th>
<th>% of Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Research &amp; Technical Manufacturing</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>4,034,156</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>16,580</td>
<td>42.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National &amp; International Trade</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>758,691</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>1,622</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&amp; Professional Associations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wholesale Distribution</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>2,840,851</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>4,608</td>
<td>11.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract Construction</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>578,594</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>6,968</td>
<td>17.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Manufacturing</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>1,277,678</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>3,252</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation &amp; Warehousing</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>1,244,638</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>1,280</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Industrial Services</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>495,138</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4,037</td>
<td>10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Utility Installation</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>.4</td>
<td>467,060</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>1,036</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td><strong>847</strong></td>
<td><strong>99.9</strong></td>
<td><strong>11,696,806</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.0</strong></td>
<td><strong>39,383</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.1</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>FISCAL YEAR 1973 INCREASE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Research &amp; Technical Manufacturing</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National &amp; International Trade</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&amp; Professional Associations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wholesale Distribution</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract Construction</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Manufacturing</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation &amp; Warehousing</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Industrial Services</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td><strong>125</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Historical Trends:**

Since 1964, the year the Industrial Authority was established:

- The number of firms has increased from 96 to 847, an increase of 782% and an average of 75 new firms per year;
- The number of industrially employed increased from 9,495 to 39,383, an increase of 315% and an average of 2,989 new industrial jobs per year.

**Source:** Directory of Business and Industry, Fairfax County, Virginia
Table No. 76

NUMBER OF BUSINESS ESTABLISHMENTS BY BUSINESS CLASSIFICATION
Fairfax County 1966-1973

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apparel</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Automotive</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>505</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>591</td>
<td>618</td>
<td>634</td>
<td>658</td>
<td>695</td>
<td>721</td>
<td>765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furniture, Home Furnishings, and Equipment</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Merchandise</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lumber and Building Supplies</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machinery, Equipment, and Supplies</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>632</td>
<td>947</td>
<td>1,059</td>
<td>1,146</td>
<td>1,318</td>
<td>1,493</td>
<td>1,675</td>
<td>1,833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hotels, Motels, etc.</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Miscellaneous and Unidentifiable</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTALS:**                                      | 1,969| 2,805| 3,057| 3,225| 3,528| 3,930| 4,260| 4,690|

Source: Commonwealth of Virginia, Department of Taxation, *Taxable Sales*, for cited years.
Table No. 77

TAXABLE SALES BY BUSINESS CLASSIFICATION, FAIRFAX COUNTY  
1970-1973

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apparel</td>
<td>$52,365,154</td>
<td>$56,632,892</td>
<td>$59,824,481</td>
<td>$79,874,195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Automotive</td>
<td>$29,403,557</td>
<td>$33,312,878</td>
<td>$36,552,865</td>
<td>$39,279,667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food</td>
<td>$229,010,106</td>
<td>$242,657,729</td>
<td>$267,343,184</td>
<td>$312,723,499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furniture Home Furnishings</td>
<td>$39,737,872</td>
<td>$35,858,849</td>
<td>$53,187,753</td>
<td>$60,250,362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Merchandise</td>
<td>$174,556,611</td>
<td>$189,452,089</td>
<td>$189,841,124</td>
<td>$254,837,287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lumber and Building Supplies</td>
<td>$82,280,425</td>
<td>$108,911,963</td>
<td>$135,206,186</td>
<td>$150,975,249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel</td>
<td>$5,756,570</td>
<td>$6,308,053</td>
<td>$6,252,986</td>
<td>$6,830,604</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machinery, Equipment and Supplies</td>
<td>$10,396,146</td>
<td>$12,782,649</td>
<td>$16,613,307</td>
<td>$21,755,283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>$51,973,749</td>
<td>$61,972,391</td>
<td>$74,217,221</td>
<td>$93,316,042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hotels, Motels</td>
<td>$6,494,458</td>
<td>$7,047,712</td>
<td>$8,324,538</td>
<td>$9,264,181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other, Not Identifiable</td>
<td>$3,935,712</td>
<td>$2,051,075</td>
<td>$1,725,050</td>
<td>$2,910,888</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total:</td>
<td>$685,909,042</td>
<td>$756,978,280</td>
<td>$849,088,695</td>
<td>$1,032,017,257</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Commonwealth of Virginia, Department of Taxation, Taxable Sales, for cited years.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kind of Business</th>
<th>Establishments (number)</th>
<th>Sales ($1,000)</th>
<th>Total Inventories End of Year 1972 ($1,000)</th>
<th>Payroll Entire Year 1972 ($1,000)</th>
<th>Paid Employees For Week Including Mar. 12, 1972 (number)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FAIRFAX COUNTY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wholesale Trade, Total</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>1,177,739</td>
<td>34,027</td>
<td>43,180</td>
<td>3,782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durable Goods</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>900,427</td>
<td>26,256</td>
<td>32,699</td>
<td>2,822</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor Vehicles &amp; Automotive Parts &amp; Supplies</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>610,687</td>
<td>3,889</td>
<td>4,676</td>
<td>438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furniture &amp; Home Furnishings</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8,794</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>660</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lumber &amp; Other Construction Materials</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>77,054</td>
<td>5,088</td>
<td>5,560</td>
<td>596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sporting, Recreational, Photographic, &amp; Hobby Goods, Toys, &amp; Supplies</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>(D)</td>
<td>(D)</td>
<td>(D)</td>
<td>(D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metals and Minerals, except Petroleum</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>406</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical Goods</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>50,019</td>
<td>1,786</td>
<td>3,126</td>
<td>273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardware, Plumbing, Heating Equipment, Supplies</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>16,895</td>
<td>1,923</td>
<td>1,512</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machinery, Equipment, and Supplies</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>120,443</td>
<td>10,232</td>
<td>15,937</td>
<td>1,129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Durable Goods</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>(D)</td>
<td>(D)</td>
<td>(D)</td>
<td>(D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nondurable Goods</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>277,312</td>
<td>7,771</td>
<td>10,481</td>
<td>960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paper and Paper Products</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>19,639</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>1,947</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drugs, Drug Proprietaries, Druggists’ Sundries</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>(D)</td>
<td>(D)</td>
<td>(D)</td>
<td>(D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apparel, Piece Goods, and Notions</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(D)</td>
<td>(D)</td>
<td>(D)</td>
<td>(D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groceries &amp; Related Products</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>41,461</td>
<td>1,046</td>
<td>3,423</td>
<td>316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farm-Product Raw Materials</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemicals &amp; Allied Products</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>(D)</td>
<td>(D)</td>
<td>(D)</td>
<td>(D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petroleum &amp; Petroleum Products</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>178,134</td>
<td>3,690</td>
<td>2,319</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beer, Wine, &amp; Distilled Alcoholic Beverages</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>(D)</td>
<td>(D)</td>
<td>(D)</td>
<td>(D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Nondurable Goods</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>15,428</td>
<td>1,883</td>
<td>1,508</td>
<td>194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WASHINGTON SMSA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wholesale Trade, Total</td>
<td>2,680</td>
<td>7,252,485</td>
<td>333,549</td>
<td>407,830</td>
<td>39,438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durable Goods</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1,857</td>
<td>5,032,763</td>
<td>241,284</td>
<td>283,608</td>
<td>26,323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor Vehicles &amp; Automotive Parts &amp; Supplies</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>2,093,086</td>
<td>47,968</td>
<td>40,493</td>
<td>3,255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furniture &amp; Home Furnishings</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>140,233</td>
<td>9,312</td>
<td>13,811</td>
<td>1,421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lumber &amp; Other Construction Materials</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>300,756</td>
<td>25,518</td>
<td>22,650</td>
<td>2,468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sporting, Recreational, Photographic, &amp; Hobby Goods, Toys, &amp; Supplies</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>155,206</td>
<td>14,022</td>
<td>16,833</td>
<td>1,369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metals and Minerals, except Petroleum</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>35,234</td>
<td>1,460</td>
<td>1,338</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical Goods</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>1,001,968</td>
<td>38,172</td>
<td>48,572</td>
<td>4,629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardware, Plumbing, Heating Equipment, Supplies</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>216,701</td>
<td>24,141</td>
<td>20,502</td>
<td>2,097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machinery, Equipment, and Supplies</td>
<td>566</td>
<td>1,000,258</td>
<td>68,926</td>
<td>111,283</td>
<td>8,778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Durable Goods</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>89,321</td>
<td>11,765</td>
<td>8,126</td>
<td>1,961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nondurable Goods</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>823</td>
<td>2,219,722</td>
<td>92,265</td>
<td>124,222</td>
<td>13,135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paper and Paper Products</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>174,752</td>
<td>9,950</td>
<td>18,352</td>
<td>1,719</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drugs, Drug Proprietaries, Druggists’ Sundries</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>91,682</td>
<td>5,991</td>
<td>5,889</td>
<td>646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apparel, Piece Goods, and Notions</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>47,555</td>
<td>3,731</td>
<td>2,940</td>
<td>408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groceries &amp; Related Products</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>702,998</td>
<td>16,900</td>
<td>42,111</td>
<td>9,962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farm-Product Raw Materials</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>46,312</td>
<td>4,925</td>
<td>987</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemicals &amp; Allied Products</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>58,853</td>
<td>598</td>
<td>2,970</td>
<td>286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petroleum &amp; Petroleum Products</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>585,239</td>
<td>9,768</td>
<td>12,672</td>
<td>1,440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beer, Wine, &amp; Distilled Alcoholic Beverages</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>242,743</td>
<td>23,668</td>
<td>17,910</td>
<td>1,533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Nondurable Goods</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>270,586</td>
<td>16,734</td>
<td>20,391</td>
<td>2,701</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Notes: An "establishment" is defined as a single physical location at which business is conducted and is not necessarily identical with a company or enterprise. (D) = Withheld to avoid disclosure.
Table No. 79

RETAIL SALES PER CAPITA
FAIRFAX COUNTY INCLUDING FAIRFAX CITY
1960-1973

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Retail Sales</th>
<th>Retail Sales per Capita</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1960</td>
<td>262,482</td>
<td>$ 152,431,000</td>
<td>$ 581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1961</td>
<td>272,788</td>
<td>175,753,000</td>
<td>644</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1962</td>
<td>290,090</td>
<td>202,643,000</td>
<td>699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1963</td>
<td>308,489</td>
<td>233,974,000</td>
<td>758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964</td>
<td>330,337</td>
<td>272,579,000</td>
<td>825</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>347,520</td>
<td>317,554,000</td>
<td>914</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966</td>
<td>371,469</td>
<td>369,950,000</td>
<td>996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967</td>
<td>400,805</td>
<td>431,634,000</td>
<td>1,077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968</td>
<td>431,667</td>
<td>572,372,000</td>
<td>1,326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969</td>
<td>455,182</td>
<td>688,570,000</td>
<td>1,513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>476,991</td>
<td>765,933,000</td>
<td>1,606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td>490,026</td>
<td>826,635,000</td>
<td>1,687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>525,056</td>
<td>1,005,387,000</td>
<td>1,915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973</td>
<td>528,000</td>
<td>1,062,976,000</td>
<td>2,013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Population: Fairfax County Office of Research and Statistics.
### Retail Sales Estimates by Type of Establishment

**Fairfax County, Including Fairfax City, 1965-1973**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Retail Sales ($000)</td>
<td>$372,429</td>
<td>$428,891</td>
<td>$485,664</td>
<td>$572,372</td>
<td>$688,570</td>
<td>$765,933</td>
<td>$826,635</td>
<td>$1,005,387</td>
<td>$1,245,609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food</td>
<td>110,217</td>
<td>125,817</td>
<td>139,243</td>
<td>160,331</td>
<td>197,234</td>
<td>224,934</td>
<td>235,343</td>
<td>268,788</td>
<td>354,276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eating &amp; Drinking Places</td>
<td>20,103</td>
<td>23,709</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Merchandise</td>
<td>51,905</td>
<td>62,919</td>
<td>74,264</td>
<td>89,640</td>
<td>129,090</td>
<td>147,273</td>
<td>161,807</td>
<td>197,379</td>
<td>201,360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apparel</td>
<td>18,770</td>
<td>22,267</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furniture &amp; Household Appliances</td>
<td>14,086</td>
<td>16,570</td>
<td>19,081</td>
<td>22,563</td>
<td>31,852</td>
<td>34,203</td>
<td>37,072</td>
<td>55,679</td>
<td>64,316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Automotive</td>
<td>66,799</td>
<td>73,275</td>
<td>80,442</td>
<td>98,354</td>
<td>96,137</td>
<td>96,137</td>
<td>119,411</td>
<td>156,621</td>
<td>179,860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas Station</td>
<td>33,312</td>
<td>37,689</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lumber, Building Materials &amp; Hardware</td>
<td>14,839</td>
<td>16,234</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drugs</td>
<td>22,487</td>
<td>26,231</td>
<td>30,802</td>
<td>35,867</td>
<td>40,702</td>
<td>46,390</td>
<td>46,734</td>
<td>50,501</td>
<td>71,749</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Note: 1. The years 1970 to 1973 show Fairfax County separated out under the figure for Fairfax County and Fairfax City combined. Previous to 1970, disaggregated data are not available.
Table No. 81

PROPORTION OF ACREAGES ZONED FOR COMMERICAL AND INDUSTRIAL USES

FAIRFAX COUNTY, 1964-1974

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Acres Zoned Commercial and Industrial</th>
<th>Percent of Total Acreage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1964</td>
<td>5,793</td>
<td>2.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>6,106</td>
<td>2.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966</td>
<td>6,272</td>
<td>2.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967</td>
<td>6,512</td>
<td>2.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968</td>
<td>6,780</td>
<td>2.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969</td>
<td>7,450</td>
<td>2.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>7,707</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td>8,270</td>
<td>3.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973</td>
<td>8,668</td>
<td>3.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974</td>
<td>9,271</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


2. Volume 1, Presentation of Alternatives, 5 Year Countywide Development Program, Fairfax County, Virginia, August 7, 1972. (For 1971 data.)


Note: Total County acreage is estimated to be 257,240 acres.
### Table No. 82

**Proportion of Assessed Value of Real Estate for Property Zoned for Commercial and Industrial Uses**

*Fairfax County, FY 1963 - FY 1974*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1963</td>
<td>9.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964</td>
<td>10.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>10.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966</td>
<td>12.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967</td>
<td>12.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968</td>
<td>12.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969</td>
<td>12.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>15.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td>14.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>16.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973</td>
<td>16.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974</td>
<td>15.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sources:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gross</td>
<td>Gross</td>
<td>Gross</td>
<td>Gross</td>
<td>Gross</td>
<td>Gross</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bldgs</td>
<td>Floor</td>
<td>Bldgs</td>
<td>Floor</td>
<td>Bldgs</td>
<td>Floor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Area</td>
<td>Area</td>
<td>Area</td>
<td>Area</td>
<td>Area</td>
<td>Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reston-Herndon</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5,888</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16,389</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>578,551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tysons Corner</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>206,456</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1,050,473</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>424,205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McLean</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>59,840</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>38,449</td>
<td></td>
<td>98,289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vienna</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>19,285</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>76,541</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>85,656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jefferson-Seven Corners</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20,640</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>163,604</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>262,422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merrifield</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13,200</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10,392</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>231,813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baileys Crossroads</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>233,437</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>371,213</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>191,168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annandale</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>33,832</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>19,680</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>109,364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Springfield</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20,375</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>69,503</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>72,841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Areas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>40,978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>288,474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>94,954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>424,406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5,888</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>357,158</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>1,038,243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>71</td>
<td>2,909,211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>526,719</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>148</td>
<td>4,837,219</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Shopping Centers and Office Buildings, Fairfax County, Virginia. Prepared by Division of Planning, Department of Planning and Financial Management, County of Fairfax, Virginia.
SUMMARY OF INCOME CHARACTERISTICS
FAIRFAX COUNTY

- Median family income in Fairfax County is among the highest in the nation.
- Median family income for Negro families in 1969 was only half that of the median for all families.
- Fairfax County taxable income reported to the Commonwealth increased 288 percent from 1962 to 1971.
- Fairfax County's effective household buying income reported in a trade magazine is second in the nation for 1972.
- Despite the high median family income in the County, the Census for 1970 shows 4,000 families with incomes below the poverty level.
- In the Washington, D.C. SMSA, most of the poverty level families work; only 17.2 percent receive their income from public assistance.
- A large percentage of poverty level families have a female head of household.
- In the Washington, D.C. SMSA, there is a clear relation between income and education -- workers having some graduate school training earn over twice the amount of high school graduates.
- Working women in the Washington, D.C. SMSA had 1969 median incomes less than half that of their male counterparts. Given the same educational level, working women earned from 40 to 70 percent of their male counterparts.
IV. INCOME
Table No. 84

FAMILY INCOME, FAIRFAX COUNTY, 1949, 1959, 1969

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income Level</th>
<th>1949</th>
<th>1959</th>
<th>1969</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of Families</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Number of Families</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Families</td>
<td>23,785</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>62,141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under $1,000</td>
<td>1,410</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>1,010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,000-1,999</td>
<td>1,875</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,000-2,999</td>
<td>3,005</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>1,632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,000-3,999</td>
<td>4,020</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>2,591</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,000-4,999</td>
<td>3,100</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>3,679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5,000-5,999</td>
<td>2,660</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>4,917</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,000-6,999</td>
<td>2,200</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>5,820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7,000-7,999</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6,574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8,000-8,999</td>
<td>2,615</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>6,407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9,000-9,999</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5,077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10,000-11,999</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17,462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12,000-14,999</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15,000-24,999</td>
<td>1,100</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>5,006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25,000-49,999</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50,000 &amp; Over</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>1,800</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>$4,199</td>
<td></td>
<td>$8,607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median Families &amp; Unrelated Individuals</td>
<td>$3,446</td>
<td></td>
<td>$7,769</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources:

### INCOME QUINTILES: 1959 to 1969

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowest Fifth</td>
<td>Under $6,998</td>
<td>Under $9,435</td>
<td>34.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Fifth</td>
<td>$6,998 to $9,693</td>
<td>$9,435 to $14,612</td>
<td>50.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third Fifth</td>
<td>$9,693 to $12,446</td>
<td>$14,612 to $18,336</td>
<td>47.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fourth Fifth</td>
<td>$12,446 to $16,852</td>
<td>$18,336 to $23,594</td>
<td>42.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest Fifth</td>
<td>Over $16,852</td>
<td>Over $23,594</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington SMSA:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowest Fifth</td>
<td>Under $5,486</td>
<td>Under $7,216</td>
<td>31.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Fifth</td>
<td>$5,486 to $8,251</td>
<td>$7,216 to $11,934</td>
<td>44.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third Fifth</td>
<td>$8,251 to $11,063</td>
<td>$11,934 to $16,599</td>
<td>50.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fourth Fifth</td>
<td>$11,063 to $15,851</td>
<td>$16,599 to $23,644</td>
<td>49.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest Fifth</td>
<td>Over $15,851</td>
<td>Over $23,644</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowest Fifth</td>
<td>Under $2,783</td>
<td>Under $4,645</td>
<td>66.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Fifth</td>
<td>$2,783 to $5,052</td>
<td>$4,645 to $7,628</td>
<td>50.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third Fifth</td>
<td>$5,052 to $7,291</td>
<td>$7,628 to $10,309</td>
<td>41.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fourth Fifth</td>
<td>$7,291 to $10,876</td>
<td>$10,309 to $11,980</td>
<td>10.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest Fifth</td>
<td>Over $10,876</td>
<td>Over $11,980</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Office of Research and Statistics, Fairfax County, Virginia.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income Level</th>
<th>1959</th>
<th>1969</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of Non-White Families</td>
<td>Percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Families</td>
<td>1,831</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under $1,000</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,000-1,999</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,000-2,999</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,000-3,999</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,000-4,999</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5,000-5,999</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,000-6,999</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7,000-7,999</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8,000-8,999</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9,000-9,999</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10,000 and over</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Not Reported

Median Mean: $4,045, $8,004, $15,074

Median Family & Unrelated Individual Income: $2,808, $5,832, $12,685


### Table No. 87

**COMPARISON OF MINORITY INCOMES TO ALL COUNTY INCOMES**

**FAIRFAX COUNTY, 1949-1959-1969**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A. Median Family Income</th>
<th>Percent of Change</th>
<th>Percent of Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1949</strong></td>
<td><strong>1959</strong></td>
<td><strong>1949-1959</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>$4,199</td>
<td>$8,607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negro</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$4,045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of All</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>47.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spanish Speaking</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of All</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B. Median Family and Unrelated Individual Income</th>
<th>Percent of change</th>
<th>Percent of change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1949</strong></td>
<td><strong>1959</strong></td>
<td><strong>1949-1959</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>$3,446</td>
<td>$7,796</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negro</td>
<td>$1,481</td>
<td>$2,808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of All</td>
<td>42.9%</td>
<td>36.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spanish Speaking</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of All</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sources:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income Level and Jurisdiction</th>
<th>Number of Families</th>
<th>Change 1959-1969</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1959</td>
<td>1969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$15,000 and Over</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington SMSA</td>
<td>108,662</td>
<td>273,251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County</td>
<td>15,227</td>
<td>60,111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery County</td>
<td>37,015</td>
<td>74,121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince George's County</td>
<td>12,861</td>
<td>56,173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$8,000-$14,999</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington SMSA</td>
<td>196,688</td>
<td>252,112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County</td>
<td>28,237</td>
<td>37,453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery County</td>
<td>28,733</td>
<td>40,369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince George's County</td>
<td>44,026</td>
<td>72,075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$4,000-$7,999</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington SMSA</td>
<td>131,361</td>
<td>110,941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County</td>
<td>10,966</td>
<td>11,410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery County</td>
<td>14,441</td>
<td>11,810</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince George's County</td>
<td>23,627</td>
<td>25,332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under $4,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington SMSA</td>
<td>59,159</td>
<td>53,805</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County</td>
<td>4,534</td>
<td>5,103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery County</td>
<td>5,083</td>
<td>5,631</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince George's County</td>
<td>6,939</td>
<td>9,820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Families</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington SMSA</td>
<td>495,870</td>
<td>690,109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County</td>
<td>58,964</td>
<td>114,077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery County</td>
<td>85,272</td>
<td>131,931</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince George's County</td>
<td>87,453</td>
<td>163,400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Note: Income reported in the Censuses of 1960 and 1970 reflect income earned in 1959 and 1969.
Table No. 89

TAXABLE INCOME PER CAPITA FOR VIRGINIA STATE INCOME TAX
FAIRFAX COUNTY, 1962-1972

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Taxable Income</th>
<th>Taxable Income Per Capita</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1962</td>
<td>275,746</td>
<td>$402,402,755</td>
<td>$1,459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1963</td>
<td>292,387</td>
<td>480,655,330</td>
<td>1,640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964</td>
<td>313,686</td>
<td>565,418,693</td>
<td>1,802</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>329,455</td>
<td>647,122,112</td>
<td>1,964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966</td>
<td>350,469</td>
<td>757,056,179</td>
<td>2,160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967</td>
<td>379,055</td>
<td>855,109,688</td>
<td>2,256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968</td>
<td>409,267</td>
<td>1,005,072,006</td>
<td>2,456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969</td>
<td>432,482</td>
<td>1,218,634,140</td>
<td>2,818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>455,021</td>
<td>1,406,109,073</td>
<td>3,090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td>486,000</td>
<td>1,562,477,813</td>
<td>3,215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>502,000</td>
<td>1,690,419,414</td>
<td>3,367</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: 1. Office of Research and Statistics, Fairfax County, Virginia.
2. Virginia Department of Taxation, Report to the Governor of Virginia, Fiscal Years 1964 through 1970.
3. Department of Taxation, Commonwealth of Virginia.

Note: 1 Beginning in 1972, the tax law of Commonwealth of Virginia conformed more closely to a federal definition of taxable income.
Table No. 90

EFFECTIVE BUYING INCOME CHARACTERISTICS
FAIRFAX COUNTY, 1960-1973

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Per Household Effective Buying Income</th>
<th>Per Capita Effective Buying Income</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1960</td>
<td>248,897</td>
<td>$9,194</td>
<td>$2,512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>329,455</td>
<td>12,155</td>
<td>2,982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966</td>
<td>350,469</td>
<td>12,477</td>
<td>3,081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967</td>
<td>379,055</td>
<td>14,334</td>
<td>3,650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968</td>
<td>409,267</td>
<td>15,234</td>
<td>3,928</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969</td>
<td>432,482</td>
<td>14,761</td>
<td>3,844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>455,021</td>
<td>15,740</td>
<td>4,384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td>486,000</td>
<td>16,840</td>
<td>4,702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>502,000</td>
<td>18,293</td>
<td>5,292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973</td>
<td>523,000</td>
<td>20,130</td>
<td>5,920</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Note: Effective Buying Income is personal income (wages, salaries, interest, dividends, profits and property income) minus federal, state, and local taxes. Generally it is equivalent to "disposable personal income."

Survey of Current Business (April 1975)
Table No. 91

EFFECTIVE BUYING INCOME BY HOUSEHOLDS
FAIRFAX COUNTY, 1965-1973

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Effective Buying Income (EBI) Estimates Per Household</th>
<th>Percent Distribution of EBI Per Household</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$0-2,999</td>
<td>$3,000-4,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>$12,155</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966</td>
<td>$12,477</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967</td>
<td>$14,334</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968</td>
<td>$15,234</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969</td>
<td>$14,761</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>$15,740</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td>$16,840</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>$18,293</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973</td>
<td>$20,130</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Note: Effective Buying Income (EBI) represents income after taxes.
Table No. 92

INCOME AND POVERTY STATUS, FAIRFAX COUNTY, 1969

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Families with 1969 Incomes less than Poverty Level</td>
<td>4,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of all Families</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Poverty Level Family Income</td>
<td>$1,608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Receiving Public Assistance Income</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Size of Poverty Level Families</td>
<td>3.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poverty Level Families with Female Head</td>
<td>1,654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Poverty Level Families with Female Head</td>
<td>41.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Persons with 1969 Incomes less than Poverty Level</td>
<td>18,619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of all Persons</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of Poverty Level Persons Age 65 and Over</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negro Families with 1969 Incomes less than Poverty Level</td>
<td>429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of all Negro Families</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Poverty Level Negro Family Income</td>
<td>$2,505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Receiving Public Assistance</td>
<td>11.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poverty Level Negro Families with Female Head</td>
<td>177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Poverty Level Negro Families with Female Head</td>
<td>41.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Families of Spanish Language with 1969 Incomes less than Poverty Level</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of all Spanish Language Families</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Poverty Level Income for Spanish Language Families</td>
<td>$2,207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Receiving Public Assistance</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poverty Level Spanish Language Families with Female Head</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Poverty Level Spanish Language Families with Female Head</td>
<td>20.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Notes:
1. The concept of poverty status as defined by the Bureau of the Census is based on the cost of a nutritionally adequate food plan determined by the Department of Agriculture and adjusted by such factors as family size, sex of the family head, number of children under 18, and farm and non-farm residence.
2. Income reported in the Census of 1970 reflects income earned in 1969.
Table No. 93

WASHINGTON, DC-MARYLAND-VIRGINIA, SMSA: TYPE OF INCOME IN 1969 FOR FAMILIES WITH INCOMES BELOW THE POVERTY LEVEL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percent of Aggregate Family Income:</th>
<th>All Families With Income Below Poverty Level</th>
<th>Families with Male Head</th>
<th>Families with Female Head</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Aggregate Income</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earnings</td>
<td>67.1</td>
<td>77.8</td>
<td>52.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Security</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Assistance Income</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>27.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Income</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percent of Families Receiving Income of Specified Type:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Income</th>
<th>All Families</th>
<th>Families with Male Head</th>
<th>Families with Female Head</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Earnings</td>
<td>63.0</td>
<td>72.2</td>
<td>52.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Security</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Assistance</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>28.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Income</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>15.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Notes: 1. The concept of poverty status as defined by the Bureau of the Census is based on the cost of a nutritionally adequate food plan determined by the Department of Agriculture and adjusted by such factors as family size, sex of the family head, number of children under 18, and farm and non-farm residence.

2. Income reported in the Census of 1970 reflects income earned in 1969.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Income</th>
<th>Number of Families</th>
<th>Percent of Families</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Earnings</td>
<td>2,654</td>
<td>78.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Security</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>15.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Assistance</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Note: Income reported in the Census of 1970 reflects income earned in 1969.
Table No. 95

MALES 16 YEARS OLD AND OVER IN THE EXPERIENCED LABOR FORCE

BY EARNINGS AND OCCUPATION, FAIRFAX COUNTY, 1969

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Earnings</th>
<th>Total Experience</th>
<th>Civilian Labor Force</th>
<th>Professionals, Managers</th>
<th>Craftsmen</th>
<th>Operatives</th>
<th>Non-Farm Labor</th>
<th>Farm Management</th>
<th>Farm Labor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No Earnings</td>
<td>938</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1-999</td>
<td>4,290</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>522</td>
<td>671</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,000-1,999</td>
<td>3,405</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,000-2,999</td>
<td>2,363</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,000-3,999</td>
<td>2,459</td>
<td>569</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,000-4,999</td>
<td>2,660</td>
<td>699</td>
<td>543</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5,000-5,999</td>
<td>3,388</td>
<td>933</td>
<td>812</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,000-6,999</td>
<td>4,347</td>
<td>1,090</td>
<td>1,196</td>
<td>503</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7,000-7,999</td>
<td>5,774</td>
<td>1,564</td>
<td>1,562</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8,000-8,999</td>
<td>6,618</td>
<td>2,142</td>
<td>1,853</td>
<td>684</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9,000-9,999</td>
<td>5,863</td>
<td>2,044</td>
<td>1,707</td>
<td>469</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10,000-14,999</td>
<td>25,419</td>
<td>14,218</td>
<td>5,153</td>
<td>923</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15,000-24,999</td>
<td>28,233</td>
<td>23,277</td>
<td>1,173</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25,000 &amp; Over</td>
<td>7,072</td>
<td>6,093</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median Earnings</td>
<td>$11,923</td>
<td>$15,954</td>
<td>$9,235</td>
<td>$6,653</td>
<td>$3,492</td>
<td>$4,944</td>
<td>$4,300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources:

Note: Income reported in the Census of 1970 reflects income earned in 1969.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Elementary School</th>
<th>High School</th>
<th>College</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Less than 5 Years</td>
<td>5 to 7 Years</td>
<td>8 Years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total With Income:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male 18 and Over, Median Income</td>
<td>$4,079</td>
<td>$5,616</td>
<td>$6,405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female 18 and Over, Median Income</td>
<td>1,612</td>
<td>1,900</td>
<td>2,316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Negro With Income:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male 18 and Over, Median Income</td>
<td>3,877</td>
<td>5,014</td>
<td>5,279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female 18 and Over, Median Income</td>
<td>1,533</td>
<td>1,924</td>
<td>2,403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Persons of Spanish Language:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male 18 and Over, Median Income</td>
<td>4,276</td>
<td>5,715</td>
<td>5,524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female 18 and Over, Median Income</td>
<td>$2,475</td>
<td>$2,450</td>
<td>$2,927</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Note: Income reported in the Census of 1970 reflects income earned in 1969.
Table No. 97

CONSUMER PRICE INDEX, U.S. CITY AVERAGE
AND WASHINGTON, SMSA AVERAGE 1963-1974
(1967=100)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YEAR</th>
<th>CONSUMER PRICE INDEX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U.S. City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1963</td>
<td>91.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964</td>
<td>92.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>94.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966</td>
<td>97.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968</td>
<td>104.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969</td>
<td>109.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>116.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td>121.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972 Feb</td>
<td>123.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>124.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug.</td>
<td>125.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov.</td>
<td>126.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973 Feb</td>
<td>128.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>131.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug.</td>
<td>135.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov.</td>
<td>137.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974 Feb</td>
<td>141.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>146.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug.</td>
<td>150.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table No. 97

**CONSUMER PRICE INDEX, U.S. CITY AVERAGE AND WASHINGTON, SMSA AVERAGE 1963-1974**

*(1967=100)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YEAR</th>
<th>CONSUMER PRICE INDEX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U.S. City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1963</td>
<td>91.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964</td>
<td>92.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>94.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966</td>
<td>97.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968</td>
<td>104.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969</td>
<td>109.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>116.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td>121.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972 Feb.</td>
<td>123.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>124.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug.</td>
<td>125.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov.</td>
<td>126.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973 Feb.</td>
<td>128.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>131.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug.</td>
<td>135.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov.</td>
<td>137.5 120.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974 Feb.</td>
<td>141.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>146.0 145.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug.</td>
<td>150.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov.</td>
<td>154.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YEAR</th>
<th>CONSUMER PRICE INDEX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1975 Feb.</td>
<td>157.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>159.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug.</td>
<td>162.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CONSUMER PRICE INDEX
U.S. CITY AVERAGE AND WASHINGTON SMSA AVERAGE
1960 THROUGH 1974
(1967 = 100)

SUMMARY OF REVENUE AND EXPENDITURES,
FAIRFAX COUNTY

- Fairfax County revenues excluding bond sales have increased more than six times from FY1962 to FY1973.

- Local sources of revenue comprise more than 70 percent of total revenue excluding bond sales. The major source for local revenue is the property tax, but its importance has decreased relative to other local sources of revenue.

- Revenue from the Commonwealth has remained comparatively constant at 20 percent of total revenue. Federal revenue has been less significant, but increased in importance in FY1973 because of the revenue sharing program.

- Fairfax County total general operating expenditures (excluding debt service and capital expenses) increased more than seven times for the eleven-year period FY1962-FY1973. School Board operating expenditures increased six times over the same time period.

- The assessed value of all property has more than tripled since FY1962.

- The assessed value of real property has been increasing at an annual rate from 16 to 17 percent.

- The number of vehicle tags issued has tripled since 1960.
V. REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES
REVENUE SOURCES FOR ALL FUNDS,
FAIRFAX COUNTY, FY 1963 - FY 1973

Source: See Table 98, Revenue Sources for All Funds, Fairfax County, FY 1962 - FY 1973.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FISCAL YEAR</th>
<th>TOTAL REVENUE ALL SOURCES</th>
<th>TOTAL REVENUE FROM LOCAL SOURCES</th>
<th>REVENUE FROM PROPERTY TAXES</th>
<th>OTHER LOCAL SOURCES</th>
<th>REVENUE FROM COMMONWEALTH</th>
<th>REVENUE FROM FEDERAL GOVERNMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1962</td>
<td>43,736</td>
<td>30,213</td>
<td>22,194</td>
<td>8,019</td>
<td>8,664</td>
<td>4,859</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1963</td>
<td>51,085</td>
<td>34,630</td>
<td>25,300</td>
<td>9,330</td>
<td>9,450</td>
<td>7,005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964</td>
<td>59,982</td>
<td>42,275</td>
<td>31,292</td>
<td>10,983</td>
<td>10,412</td>
<td>7,295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>67,428</td>
<td>49,865</td>
<td>36,119</td>
<td>13,746</td>
<td>12,285</td>
<td>5,278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966</td>
<td>83,842</td>
<td>59,720</td>
<td>44,442</td>
<td>15,278</td>
<td>13,550</td>
<td>10,572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967</td>
<td>97,372</td>
<td>67,042</td>
<td>47,127</td>
<td>19,915</td>
<td>19,945</td>
<td>10,384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968</td>
<td>120,051</td>
<td>81,875</td>
<td>53,999</td>
<td>28,476</td>
<td>25,802</td>
<td>12,374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969</td>
<td>149,843</td>
<td>100,167</td>
<td>63,585</td>
<td>36,582</td>
<td>33,077</td>
<td>16,599</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>173,068</td>
<td>119,763</td>
<td>74,073</td>
<td>45,690</td>
<td>38,391</td>
<td>14,914</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td>199,620</td>
<td>140,926</td>
<td>86,220</td>
<td>54,706</td>
<td>41,302</td>
<td>17,391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>238,014</td>
<td>174,429</td>
<td>97,500</td>
<td>76,929</td>
<td>47,469</td>
<td>16,116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973</td>
<td>289,414</td>
<td>208,437</td>
<td>114,111</td>
<td>94,326</td>
<td>54,469</td>
<td>26,508</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


NOTES: - Property Taxes include real and personal property.

- Revenue sources are not total income sources for the County; excluded are bond sales and other "non-revenue" items.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1962</td>
<td>9,104</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>1,580</td>
<td>726</td>
<td>1,367</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>2,366</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>1,800</td>
<td>24,346</td>
<td>17,472</td>
<td>778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1963</td>
<td>11,132</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>1,818</td>
<td>858</td>
<td>1,510</td>
<td>551</td>
<td>2,921</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>646</td>
<td>2,238</td>
<td>28,516</td>
<td>21,816</td>
<td>874</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964</td>
<td>12,384</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>1,972</td>
<td>957</td>
<td>1,696</td>
<td>589</td>
<td>3,141</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>765</td>
<td>2,615</td>
<td>34,268</td>
<td>26,513</td>
<td>1,067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>14,949</td>
<td>639</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>2,311</td>
<td>1,208</td>
<td>2,033</td>
<td>669</td>
<td>3,510</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>933</td>
<td>3,299</td>
<td>40,140</td>
<td>31,395</td>
<td>1,181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966</td>
<td>17,467</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>2,620</td>
<td>1,411</td>
<td>2,371</td>
<td>824</td>
<td>3,901</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,123</td>
<td>4,002</td>
<td>47,614</td>
<td>37,533</td>
<td>1,224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967</td>
<td>21,114</td>
<td>929</td>
<td>468</td>
<td>3,099</td>
<td>1,750</td>
<td>2,659</td>
<td>880</td>
<td>4,504</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,330</td>
<td>5,495</td>
<td>54,840</td>
<td>43,347</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968</td>
<td>25,815</td>
<td>1,124</td>
<td>585</td>
<td>3,756</td>
<td>2,200</td>
<td>3,336</td>
<td>1,001</td>
<td>5,631</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,561</td>
<td>6,621</td>
<td>67,062</td>
<td>50,877</td>
<td>1,658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969</td>
<td>31,191</td>
<td>1,452</td>
<td>699</td>
<td>4,606</td>
<td>2,764</td>
<td>4,120</td>
<td>1,198</td>
<td>4,657</td>
<td>1,612</td>
<td>1,667</td>
<td>8,416</td>
<td>84,538</td>
<td>63,585</td>
<td>2,139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>37,063</td>
<td>2,062</td>
<td>857</td>
<td>5,536</td>
<td>3,543</td>
<td>4,828</td>
<td>1,574</td>
<td>4,803</td>
<td>2,547</td>
<td>1,909</td>
<td>9,404</td>
<td>103,754</td>
<td>76,452</td>
<td>2,378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td>48,285</td>
<td>2,418</td>
<td>1,159</td>
<td>6,008</td>
<td>4,239</td>
<td>6,408</td>
<td>1,612</td>
<td>6,265</td>
<td>2,816</td>
<td>2,813</td>
<td>14,547</td>
<td>118,422</td>
<td>86,541</td>
<td>2,129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>59,057</td>
<td>8,546</td>
<td>1,569</td>
<td>7,433</td>
<td>5,252</td>
<td>8,354</td>
<td>1,965</td>
<td>8,680</td>
<td>3,289</td>
<td>3,192</td>
<td>10,777</td>
<td>130,207</td>
<td>94,770</td>
<td>1,844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973</td>
<td>68,992</td>
<td>7,318</td>
<td>1,880</td>
<td>9,696</td>
<td>6,589</td>
<td>9,382</td>
<td>2,135</td>
<td>11,049</td>
<td>3,770</td>
<td>3,510</td>
<td>13,663</td>
<td>145,182</td>
<td>103,487</td>
<td>3,695</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SOURCE: County of Fairfax, Virginia, Report on Audit, Schedules B-1 and B-2 for FY 1962 - FY 1967
County of Fairfax, Virginia, Accountant's Report, Financial Statement and Supplementary Data, FY 1968-FY 1973

NOTES: 1 Operating Expenditures do not include debt service or capital expenditures.
2 Fiscal Year 1962 school operating expenses were adjusted to reflect the removal of vehicle replacement costs.
3 County Administrative costs in FY 1972 contain employee benefits in personnel costs for the first time. These costs were formerly in the other operating expenditures column.
4 Prior to 1969, some of the functions of County Development were included within Public Works.
Table No.100

LONG TERM INDEBTEDNESS, FAIRFAX COUNTY

FY 1962-FY 1973

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FISCAL YEAR</th>
<th>TOTAL LONG TERM INDEBTEDNESS</th>
<th>SELF-SUPPORTING DEBT&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>NET DEBT&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1962</td>
<td>83,933,050</td>
<td>18,985,000</td>
<td>64,948,050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1963</td>
<td>86,793,920</td>
<td>18,770,000</td>
<td>68,023,920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964</td>
<td>102,279,790</td>
<td>28,515,000</td>
<td>73,764,790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>110,590,460</td>
<td>29,910,000</td>
<td>80,680,460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966</td>
<td>128,483,580</td>
<td>29,350,000</td>
<td>99,133,580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967</td>
<td>167,011,850</td>
<td>48,755,000</td>
<td>118,256,850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968</td>
<td>189,397,620</td>
<td>48,470,000</td>
<td>140,927,620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969</td>
<td>210,755,140</td>
<td>47,740,000</td>
<td>163,015,140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>217,601,485</td>
<td>46,975,000</td>
<td>170,626,485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td>291,606,680</td>
<td>76,145,000</td>
<td>215,461,680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>325,342,650</td>
<td>75,270,000</td>
<td>250,072,650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973</td>
<td>338,708,455</td>
<td>73,800,000</td>
<td>264,908,455</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


NOTE: 1 Self-Supporting Debt is paid by means of service charges and not from the general fund. Included in this category are sanitary district sewer bonds and integrated sewer system sewer bonds.

2 Net Debt is the difference between total long-term indebtedness and self-supporting debt. Net Debt is paid from the general fund.
GENERAL GOVERNMENT AND SCHOOL OPERATING EXPENDITURES
FAIRFAX COUNTY, FY 1963 – FY 1973

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Net Debt</th>
<th>Net Debt Per Capita</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1962</td>
<td>275,746</td>
<td>64,948,050</td>
<td>236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1963</td>
<td>292,387</td>
<td>68,023,920</td>
<td>233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964</td>
<td>313,868</td>
<td>73,764,790</td>
<td>235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>329,455</td>
<td>80,680,460</td>
<td>245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966</td>
<td>350,469</td>
<td>99,133,580</td>
<td>283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967</td>
<td>379,055</td>
<td>118,256,850</td>
<td>312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968</td>
<td>409,267</td>
<td>140,927,620</td>
<td>344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969</td>
<td>432,482</td>
<td>163,015,140</td>
<td>377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>455,021</td>
<td>170,626,485</td>
<td>375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td>486,000</td>
<td>215,461,680</td>
<td>443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>501,000</td>
<td>250,072,650</td>
<td>499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973</td>
<td>523,000</td>
<td>264,908,455</td>
<td>507</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: See Table 100, Long Term Indebtedness, Fairfax County, FY 1962-FY 1973.
Table No. 102

DEBT SERVICE EXPENDITURES AS A PROPORTION OF TOTAL EXPENDITURES, FAIRFAX COUNTY, FY 1965-FY 1973

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Total Expenditures</th>
<th>Debt Service&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Percent of Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>$ 86,199,466</td>
<td>$ 6,629,980</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966</td>
<td>$ 104,566,212</td>
<td>$ 7,441,638</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967</td>
<td>$ 123,133,390</td>
<td>$ 8,882,465</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968</td>
<td>$ 143,873,560</td>
<td>$ 10,846,639</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969</td>
<td>$ 184,232,020</td>
<td>$ 13,438,135</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>$ 207,910,560</td>
<td>$ 16,244,506</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td>$ 237,648,611</td>
<td>$ 17,120,451</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>$ 262,367,324</td>
<td>$ 21,952,294</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973 (est.)</td>
<td>$ 293,711,779</td>
<td>$ 25,007,063</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- County of Fairfax, Virginia, Accountant’s Report Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, for FY 1968-1972
- FAMIS Computer Report, Fairfax County, 1973

Note: <sup>1</sup> Includes total debt services to County and Schools only.
### Table No. 103

**ASSESSED VALUATION OF ALL TAXABLE PROPERTY**  
**FAIRFAX COUNTY, FY 1962-FY 1975**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Period</th>
<th>Real Property</th>
<th>Personal Property</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1962</td>
<td>551,478,850</td>
<td>95,713,008</td>
<td>62,597,638</td>
<td>709,789,496</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1963</td>
<td>560,136,059</td>
<td>100,955,679</td>
<td>64,669,824</td>
<td>725,761,562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964</td>
<td>622,597,379</td>
<td>115,624,355</td>
<td>71,491,300</td>
<td>809,713,034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>710,645,639</td>
<td>136,445,558</td>
<td>85,105,891</td>
<td>932,197,088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966</td>
<td>816,618,265</td>
<td>161,801,515</td>
<td>92,664,242</td>
<td>1,071,084,022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967</td>
<td>955,973,293</td>
<td>132,501,000</td>
<td>65,383,418</td>
<td>1,153,857,711</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968</td>
<td>1,082,444,867</td>
<td>147,662,999</td>
<td>72,057,626</td>
<td>1,302,165,492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969</td>
<td>1,204,347,685</td>
<td>174,547,681</td>
<td>74,829,234</td>
<td>1,543,724,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>1,423,561,909</td>
<td>206,556,457</td>
<td>83,177,743</td>
<td>1,713,296,109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td>1,641,749,466</td>
<td>237,650,250</td>
<td>94,346,408</td>
<td>1,973,746,124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>1,836,488,713</td>
<td>274,798,214</td>
<td>108,500,174</td>
<td>2,219,787,119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973</td>
<td>2,164,570,383</td>
<td>323,449,861</td>
<td>119,941,391</td>
<td>2,607,961,635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974</td>
<td>2,521,272,021</td>
<td>353,992,264</td>
<td>124,593,421</td>
<td>2,999,857,706</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1975 (est.)</td>
<td>2,903,706,646</td>
<td>410,060,000</td>
<td>127,109,700</td>
<td>3,434,861,670</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:**  

**Note:** Assessed values are as of June 30 for the cited years.
## ASSESSED VALUE OF REAL ESTATE IN FAIRFAX COUNTY BY ZONING CATEGORY 1965, 1970 - 1974

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YEAR</th>
<th>TOTAL ASSESSED VALUE</th>
<th>RESIDENTIAL</th>
<th>COMMERCIAL</th>
<th>INDUSTRIAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>781,732,484</td>
<td>682,953,609</td>
<td>77,381,160</td>
<td>21,397,715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>1,571,211,641</td>
<td>1,342,879,536</td>
<td>166,534,665</td>
<td>61,797,440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td>1,765,343,681</td>
<td>1,517,314,456</td>
<td>180,155,290</td>
<td>67,873,935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>2,068,288,561</td>
<td>1,721,921,321</td>
<td>246,016,525</td>
<td>100,350,715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974</td>
<td>2,784,068,771</td>
<td>2,367,635,011</td>
<td>297,325,935</td>
<td>119,107,825</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PERCENT INCREASE IN TOTAL REAL ESTATE ASSESSMENTS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Percent Increase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1965-1973</td>
<td>207%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970-1971</td>
<td>12.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971-1972</td>
<td>17.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972-1973</td>
<td>16.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973-1974</td>
<td>16.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: County of Fairfax, Assessments Office

Note: All assessed values are as of January 1st for the years cited.
# COMPARISON OF ASSESSED VALUATION OF ALL TAXABLE PROPERTY TO NET DEBT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Period</th>
<th>Total Assessed Valuation</th>
<th>Net Debt</th>
<th>Ratio of Assessed Valuation to Net Debt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1962</td>
<td>709,789,496</td>
<td>64,948,050</td>
<td>10.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1963</td>
<td>725,761,562</td>
<td>68,023,920</td>
<td>10.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964</td>
<td>809,713,034</td>
<td>73,764,790</td>
<td>10.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>932,197,088</td>
<td>80,680,460</td>
<td>11.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966</td>
<td>1,071,084,022</td>
<td>99,133,580</td>
<td>10.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967</td>
<td>1,153,857,711</td>
<td>118,256,850</td>
<td>9.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968</td>
<td>1,302,165,492</td>
<td>140,927,620</td>
<td>9.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969</td>
<td>1,543,724,600</td>
<td>163,015,140</td>
<td>9.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>1,713,296,109</td>
<td>170,626,485</td>
<td>10.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td>1,973,746,124</td>
<td>215,461,680</td>
<td>9.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>2,219,787,119</td>
<td>250,072,650</td>
<td>8.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973</td>
<td>2,604,063,572</td>
<td>264,908,455</td>
<td>9.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: See Table 100, Long Term Indebtedness, and Table 103, Assessed Valuation of All Taxable Property, FY1962-FY1975.
Table No. 106

PERSONAL AND REAL TAX COLLECTION, FAIRFAX COUNTY, FY 1960 - FY 1973

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FISCAL YEAR</th>
<th>TOTAL TAX LEVY</th>
<th>COLLECTION OF CURRENT TAXES</th>
<th>% OF LEVY COLLECTED</th>
<th>COLLECTION OF BACK TAXES</th>
<th>TOTAL COLLECTION OF CURRENT &amp; BACK TAXES</th>
<th>% OF CURRENT &amp; BACK TAXES COLLECTED TO TAX LEVY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1960</td>
<td>17,720,139</td>
<td>17,128,955</td>
<td>96.66</td>
<td>371,283</td>
<td>17,500,239</td>
<td>98.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1961</td>
<td>20,001,979</td>
<td>19,389,359</td>
<td>96.94</td>
<td>435,901</td>
<td>19,825,260</td>
<td>99.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1963</td>
<td>25,434,189</td>
<td>24,751,171</td>
<td>97.31</td>
<td>598,396</td>
<td>25,349,567</td>
<td>99.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964</td>
<td>31,485,534</td>
<td>30,694,436</td>
<td>97.49</td>
<td>640,742</td>
<td>31,335,178</td>
<td>99.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>36,191,769</td>
<td>35,484,210</td>
<td>98.04</td>
<td>694,864</td>
<td>36,179,074</td>
<td>99.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966</td>
<td>44,820,624</td>
<td>43,841,950</td>
<td>97.81</td>
<td>655,618</td>
<td>44,497,569</td>
<td>99.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967</td>
<td>47,781,706</td>
<td>46,444,991</td>
<td>97.20</td>
<td>716,070</td>
<td>47,161,062</td>
<td>98.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969</td>
<td>63,850,101</td>
<td>62,385,859</td>
<td>97.71</td>
<td>1,086,193</td>
<td>63,472,053</td>
<td>99.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>75,238,892</td>
<td>72,878,146</td>
<td>96.86</td>
<td>1,083,763</td>
<td>73,961,909</td>
<td>98.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td>86,592,905</td>
<td>84,095,085</td>
<td>97.12</td>
<td>1,946,279</td>
<td>86,041,364</td>
<td>99.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>97,334,385</td>
<td>95,251,065</td>
<td>97.85</td>
<td>2,148,868</td>
<td>97,392,111</td>
<td>100.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973</td>
<td>114,230,381</td>
<td>112,023,948</td>
<td>98.06</td>
<td>1,911,140</td>
<td>113,935,088</td>
<td>99.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SOURCE: County of Fairfax, Virginia, Report on Audit for FY 1960-FY 1967
County of Fairfax, Virginia, Accountants' Report, Financial Statements and Summary Data, for FY 1968-1973
Table No. 107

COMPARISON OF
RATES OF COLLECTION OF CURRENT TAXES TO THE GENERAL FUND
and
REAL PROPERTY TAX RATE,
FAIRFAX COUNTY
1960-1975

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Collection Rate</th>
<th>Real Property Tax Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1960</td>
<td>96.66</td>
<td>3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1961</td>
<td>96.94</td>
<td>3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1962</td>
<td>96.97</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1963</td>
<td>97.31</td>
<td>3.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964</td>
<td>97.49</td>
<td>3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>98.04</td>
<td>3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966</td>
<td>97.81</td>
<td>4.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967</td>
<td>97.20</td>
<td>4.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968</td>
<td>97.45</td>
<td>4.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969</td>
<td>97.71</td>
<td>4.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>96.86</td>
<td>4.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td>97.12</td>
<td>4.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>97.85</td>
<td>4.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973</td>
<td>97.9</td>
<td>4.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974</td>
<td>97.0</td>
<td>4.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1975</td>
<td>97.6</td>
<td>4.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Accountants' Report, County of Fairfax, Virginia (1960-1972)
Approved Fiscal Plans, Fairfax County, Virginia (1962-1975)
Office of Management and Budget, Fairfax County, Virginia
### Table No. 108

**VEHICLE TAG SALES, FAIRFAX COUNTY**

**1960-1973**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YEAR</th>
<th>REGULAR</th>
<th>FIRE</th>
<th>MOTORCYCLE</th>
<th>MILITARY</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1960</td>
<td>80,612</td>
<td>805</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>81,958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1961</td>
<td>87,928</td>
<td>787</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>89,342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1962</td>
<td>96,710</td>
<td>791</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>98,182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1963</td>
<td>107,633</td>
<td>837</td>
<td>452</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>109,287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964</td>
<td>117,755</td>
<td>865</td>
<td>607</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>119,603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>129,348</td>
<td>888</td>
<td>975</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>131,675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966</td>
<td>138,318</td>
<td>925</td>
<td>1,364</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>141,039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967</td>
<td>142,092</td>
<td>974</td>
<td>1,516</td>
<td>16,605</td>
<td>163,139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968</td>
<td>154,612</td>
<td>1,116</td>
<td>1,695</td>
<td>17,296</td>
<td>176,936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969</td>
<td>169,242</td>
<td>1,061</td>
<td>1,919</td>
<td>21,724</td>
<td>196,129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>181,193</td>
<td>1,125</td>
<td>2,307</td>
<td>21,678</td>
<td>208,805</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td>202,931</td>
<td>1,026</td>
<td>2,998</td>
<td>23,145</td>
<td>244,184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>227,497</td>
<td>964</td>
<td>3,550</td>
<td>25,211</td>
<td>271,744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973</td>
<td>234,436</td>
<td>794</td>
<td>4,250</td>
<td>24,594</td>
<td>277,473</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SOURCE:** Fairfax County Office of Finance, Department of Budget and Financial Management.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YEAR</th>
<th>POPULATION</th>
<th>NUMBER OF RETURNS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1962</td>
<td>275,746</td>
<td>83,232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1963</td>
<td>292,387</td>
<td>95,008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964</td>
<td>313,686</td>
<td>104,321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>329,455</td>
<td>113,331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966</td>
<td>350,469</td>
<td>129,285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967</td>
<td>379,055</td>
<td>139,903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968</td>
<td>409,267</td>
<td>153,225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969</td>
<td>432,482</td>
<td>173,176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>455,021</td>
<td>183,222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td>486,000</td>
<td>192,528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>502,000</td>
<td>168,033</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SOURCES:** Virginia Department of Taxation, Report to the Governor of Virginia, Fiscal Year 1964 through 1970.

Department of Taxation, Commonwealth of Virginia (For 1971 data).

**NOTES:**

1. Beginning in 1972, Virginia law allowed certain married couples to file joint returns, whereas previously, that had been disallowed. Without this change, 197,790 returns would have been filed.

1972 Taxes collected in April, 1973; information not available at time of publication.
Table No. 110

MAJOR STATE AND LOCAL TAX BURDENS FOR A FAMILY OF FOUR RESIDING IN SELECTED WASHINGTON METRO AREA JURISDICTIONS 1973-1974

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income (State)</th>
<th>Fairfax County</th>
<th>Alexandria</th>
<th>Arlington County</th>
<th>Falls Church</th>
<th>Fairfax City</th>
<th>Prince William County</th>
<th>District of Columbia</th>
<th>Montgomery County, Md.</th>
<th>Prince Georges County, Md.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County</td>
<td>$585</td>
<td>$585</td>
<td>$585</td>
<td>$585</td>
<td>$585</td>
<td>$585</td>
<td>$762</td>
<td>$900</td>
<td>$900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real Estate</td>
<td>722</td>
<td>840</td>
<td>643</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>836</td>
<td>651</td>
<td>769</td>
<td>886</td>
<td>1,021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales (State)</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal Property</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>236</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utility</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$1,824</td>
<td>$1,941</td>
<td>$1,663</td>
<td>$1,666</td>
<td>$1,848</td>
<td>$1,735</td>
<td>$1,807</td>
<td>$1,994</td>
<td>$2,108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of Annual Income</td>
<td>9.1 %</td>
<td>9.7 %</td>
<td>8.3 %</td>
<td>8.3 %</td>
<td>9.2 %</td>
<td>8.7 %</td>
<td>9.0 %</td>
<td>10.0 %</td>
<td>10.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rank</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Office of Management and Budget, Fairfax County, Virginia.
Table No. 111

COMPARISON OF TAXES FOR HOMEOWNER IN METROPOLITAN AREA
1973-1974

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Jurisdiction</th>
<th>Real Estate</th>
<th>Effective Rate</th>
<th>Personal Property</th>
<th>Utility Tax</th>
<th>Sales</th>
<th>Income</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County</td>
<td>$4.30 per $100</td>
<td>40% of market</td>
<td>1.72%</td>
<td>$4.30 per $100 (Blue Book)</td>
<td>4% State Tax</td>
<td>None for locality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District of Columbia</td>
<td>$3.32 per $100</td>
<td>55% of market</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>No Personal Property on automobiles</td>
<td>2% Take out Food</td>
<td>District Rate Schedule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery County</td>
<td>$3.52 per $100</td>
<td>60% of market</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>No Personal Property on automobiles</td>
<td>4% State Tax</td>
<td>50% Piggyback Income Tax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince Georges County</td>
<td>$4.05 per $100</td>
<td>60% of market</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>No Personal Property on automobiles</td>
<td>4% State Tax</td>
<td>50% Piggyback Income Tax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arlington County</td>
<td>$3.83 per $100</td>
<td>40% of market</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>$4.90 per $100 (Blue Book)</td>
<td>4% State Tax</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince William County</td>
<td>$4.70 per $100</td>
<td>33% of market</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>$5.65 per $100 (Blue Book)</td>
<td>4% State Tax</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Falls Church</td>
<td>$3.00 per $100</td>
<td>50% of market</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>$4.21 per $100 (Blue Book)</td>
<td>4% State Tax</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Alexandria</td>
<td>$4.00 per $100</td>
<td>50% of market</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>$5.20 per $100 (Blue Book)</td>
<td>4% State Tax</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Office of Management and Budget, Fairfax County, Virginia.
VI. EDUCATION
SUMMARY OF EDUCATION CHARACTERISTICS,
FAIRFAX COUNTY

In 1950, 17.4 percent of male residents age 25 years old and over had completed 4 years of college but by 1970, 41.5 percent had completed 4 years of college. Female residents age 25 and over who had completed 4 years of college grew from 11.4 percent to 19.4 percent.

Annual increases in enrollment in Fairfax County public schools have slowed to be nearly insignificant since the 1971-1972 school year. The enrollment in higher grades is continuing to increase while many of the lower grades are declining in enrollment.

Average annual increase in cost of education per pupil has been approximately 10 percent per year since school year 1963-1964.
### Table No. 112

YEARS OF SCHOOL COMPLETED FOR RESIDENTS AGE 25 YEARS AND OVER BY SEX

FAIRFAX COUNTY, 1950-1960-1970

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1950</th>
<th>1960</th>
<th>1970</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male, 25 Years Old and Over</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No School Years Completed</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>419</td>
<td>427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elementary: 1 to 4 years</td>
<td>2,010</td>
<td>2,254</td>
<td>1,641</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 and 6 years</td>
<td>2,565</td>
<td>3,070</td>
<td>5,332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 years</td>
<td>2,545</td>
<td>2,951</td>
<td>4,305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 years</td>
<td>2,695</td>
<td>4,305</td>
<td>4,542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High School: 1 to 3 years</td>
<td>4,600</td>
<td>9,730</td>
<td>11,763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 years</td>
<td>4,950</td>
<td>14,930</td>
<td>25,637</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College: 1 to 3 years</td>
<td>3,155</td>
<td>10,111</td>
<td>16,511</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 years</td>
<td>4,935</td>
<td>18,680</td>
<td>46,764</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Years not Reported</td>
<td>990</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median School Years Completed</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1950</th>
<th>1960</th>
<th>1970</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female, 25 years Old and Over</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No School Years Completed</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elementary: 1 to 4 years</td>
<td>1,105</td>
<td>1,039</td>
<td>936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 and 6 years</td>
<td>1,820</td>
<td>2,229</td>
<td>4,538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 years</td>
<td>1,840</td>
<td>2,462</td>
<td>4,704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 years</td>
<td>2,025</td>
<td>4,242</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High School: 1 to 3 years</td>
<td>3,940</td>
<td>9,665</td>
<td>14,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 years</td>
<td>6,935</td>
<td>27,740</td>
<td>46,318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College: 1 to 3 years</td>
<td>3,400</td>
<td>11,116</td>
<td>22,650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 years</td>
<td>2,830</td>
<td>8,960</td>
<td>22,529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Years not Reported</td>
<td>660</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median School Years Completed</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School Year</th>
<th>Elementary Projected</th>
<th>Elementary Actual</th>
<th>Intermediate Projected</th>
<th>Intermediate Actual</th>
<th>High Projected</th>
<th>High Actual</th>
<th>Special Education Projected</th>
<th>Special Education Actual</th>
<th>Total Projected</th>
<th>Total Actual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1960-61</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>35,305</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>10,988</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>13,507</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>59,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1961-62</td>
<td>37,576</td>
<td>37,616</td>
<td>11,053</td>
<td>11,863</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>19,262</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>65,765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1962-63</td>
<td>39,746</td>
<td>40,594</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>12,708</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>72,564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1963-64</td>
<td>43,449</td>
<td>43,982</td>
<td>14,284</td>
<td>13,779</td>
<td>21,900</td>
<td>22,956</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>79,633</td>
<td>80,717</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964-65</td>
<td>47,277</td>
<td>47,724</td>
<td>14,621</td>
<td>14,734</td>
<td>24,368</td>
<td>26,030</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>86,666</td>
<td>88,488</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965-66</td>
<td>52,425</td>
<td>50,661</td>
<td>15,138</td>
<td>16,043</td>
<td>28,242</td>
<td>28,098</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>95,805</td>
<td>94,802</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966-67</td>
<td>54,147</td>
<td>53,214</td>
<td>17,568</td>
<td>17,515</td>
<td>30,796</td>
<td>30,329</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>102,511</td>
<td>101,058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967-68</td>
<td>54,966</td>
<td>55,732</td>
<td>18,284</td>
<td>18,783</td>
<td>32,330</td>
<td>32,854</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>105,580</td>
<td>107,369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td>65,710</td>
<td>66,169</td>
<td>19,648</td>
<td>20,182</td>
<td>35,720</td>
<td>35,726</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>121,078</td>
<td>122,077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>70,803</td>
<td>70,181</td>
<td>20,922</td>
<td>21,508</td>
<td>38,615</td>
<td>38,290</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>130,340</td>
<td>129,979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>72,470</td>
<td>70,800</td>
<td>22,286</td>
<td>22,048</td>
<td>40,671</td>
<td>40,514</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>135,427</td>
<td>133,362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971-72</td>
<td>71,813</td>
<td>71,001</td>
<td>22,349</td>
<td>22,514</td>
<td>42,390</td>
<td>42,433</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>136,552</td>
<td>135,948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972-73</td>
<td>70,945</td>
<td>69,402</td>
<td>22,990</td>
<td>22,908</td>
<td>44,245</td>
<td>43,470</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>138,180</td>
<td>135,780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974-75</td>
<td>65,751</td>
<td>66,370</td>
<td>22,591</td>
<td>22,571</td>
<td>44,626</td>
<td>44,626</td>
<td>2,380</td>
<td>2,762</td>
<td>135,340</td>
<td>136,360</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Fairfax County Public Schools, Office of Statistical Support.

Notes: N/A = information not available.

Starting with school year 1973-74, the special education enrollment is tabulated separately.
Table No. 114

PUBLIC SCHOOL ENROLLMENT, FAIRFAX COUNTY,

September 9, 1974

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grades</th>
<th>Regular Enrollment</th>
<th>Head Start Enrollment</th>
<th>Kindergarten Enrollment</th>
<th>Special Education</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-6</td>
<td>57,447</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>8,742</td>
<td>2,154</td>
<td>68,524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-8</td>
<td>22,571</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>257</td>
<td>22,828</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-12</td>
<td>44,626</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>351</td>
<td>44,977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>124,644</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>8,742</td>
<td>2,762</td>
<td>136,329</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Fairfax County Public Schools, Office of Statistical Support.
Table No. 115

SCHOOL ENROLLMENTS
FAIRFAX COUNTY, 1968-1974

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School Year</th>
<th>Public Schools</th>
<th>George Mason University</th>
<th>All Campuses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1968-1969</td>
<td>122,077</td>
<td>1,445</td>
<td>5,271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969-1970</td>
<td>129,979</td>
<td>1,890</td>
<td>7,629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970-1971</td>
<td>133,362</td>
<td>2,390</td>
<td>9,718</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971-1972</td>
<td>135,948</td>
<td>3,110</td>
<td>12,047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972-1973</td>
<td>135,780</td>
<td>4,125</td>
<td>13,974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973-1974</td>
<td>135,839</td>
<td>4,926</td>
<td>17,261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974-1975</td>
<td>136,360</td>
<td>6,124</td>
<td>21,439</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: 1. Fairfax County Public Schools, Office of Statistics.
2. George Mason University, Office of the Registrar.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School Year</th>
<th>Cost Per Pupil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1963-1964</td>
<td>$438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964-1965</td>
<td>464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965-1966</td>
<td>513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966-1967</td>
<td>560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967-1968</td>
<td>635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968-1969</td>
<td>692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969-1970</td>
<td>774</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970-1971</td>
<td>855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971-1972</td>
<td>917</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972-1973</td>
<td>1,021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973-1974</td>
<td>1,151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974-1975</td>
<td>1,316</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Fairfax County Public Schools, Office of Statistics.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kindergarten</td>
<td>50:1</td>
<td>50:1</td>
<td>50:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 1</td>
<td>28:1</td>
<td>28:1</td>
<td>28:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grades 3-6</td>
<td>30:1</td>
<td>30:1</td>
<td>30:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grades 7 and 8</td>
<td>22.5:1</td>
<td>22.5:1</td>
<td>22.5:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grades 9-12</td>
<td>22.3:1</td>
<td>22.3:1</td>
<td>22.3:1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School Year</th>
<th>Teacher's Average Salary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1972-1973</td>
<td>$12,288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973-1974</td>
<td>$12,930 (Estimated)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974-1975</td>
<td>$13,392</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Fairfax County Public Schools, Office of Statistics.
Table No. 118

TOTAL NUMBER OF PUBLIC SCHOOLS BY YEAR AND LEVEL

FAIRFAX COUNTY, 1960-1974

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School Year</th>
<th>Elementary</th>
<th>Intermediate</th>
<th>Secondary</th>
<th>Special Education Centers</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1960-1961</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1961-1962</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1962-1963</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1963-1964</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964-1965</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965-1966</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966-1967</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967-1968</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968-1969</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969-1970</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970-1971</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971-1972</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972-1973</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973-1974</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974-1975</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Fairfax County Public Schools, Office of Statistics.

Note: Secondary schools include high schools (grades 9-12) and "secondary" schools (grades 7-12). There are currently four "secondary" schools in Fairfax County.
Table No. 119

AVERAGE NUMBER OF STUDENTS TRANSPORTED DAILY
AND THE NUMBER OF SCHOOL BUSES
FAIRFAX COUNTY, 1967-1974

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School Year</th>
<th>Students Transported</th>
<th>Number of Buses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1967-1968</td>
<td>64,293</td>
<td>512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968-1969</td>
<td>74,134</td>
<td>563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969-1970</td>
<td>79,193</td>
<td>596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970-1971</td>
<td>81,587</td>
<td>647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971-1972</td>
<td>81,390</td>
<td>635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972-1973</td>
<td>82,937</td>
<td>648</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973-1974</td>
<td>79,593</td>
<td>650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974-1975</td>
<td>80,194</td>
<td>671</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Fairfax County Public Schools, Office of Transportation.
## ACTUAL AND AUTHORIZED POLICE STRENGTH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Jurisdiction</th>
<th>Area in Square Miles</th>
<th>Sworn Authorized Strength - Police</th>
<th>Sworn Actual Strength - Police</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>District of Columbia</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>5,100</td>
<td>5,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery County</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>635</td>
<td>635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Takoma Park (part)</td>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince George's County</td>
<td>496</td>
<td>602</td>
<td>602</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laurel</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyattsville</td>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenbelt</td>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Takoma Park (part)</td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL MD. SUBURBS</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,314</td>
<td>1,314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vienna</td>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexandria</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arlington County</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax City</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>443</td>
<td>467</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falls Church</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loudoun County</td>
<td>517</td>
<td>Not Available</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince William County</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>Not Available</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL VA. SUBURBS</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,322</td>
<td>983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL SMSA</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,391</td>
<td>7,397</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SOURCE:** Serious Crime In the Metropolitan Area: Report and Analysis, Department of Public Safety, Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments, May 25, 1973.
VII. PUBLIC SAFETY
### Table No. 121

**DAILY POPULATION LEVELS**

**FAIRFAX COUNTY JAIL**

**APRIL AND JULY 1971, JANUARY-DECEMBER 1972**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Average Daily Population</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td>April</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>134-172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>July</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>130-159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>January</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>147-180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>February</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>133-161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>March</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>130-167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>April</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>139-165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>May</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>135-180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>June</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>126-186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>July</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>121-151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>August</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>115-146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>September</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>124-167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>October</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>114-146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>November</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>104-145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>December</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>87-160</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1972 Average 143 123-163

**Source:** Adult Detention Facility Requirements for Fairfax County, Criminal Justice Coordinating Council, April 1973.

**Note:** Average Daily Population includes all individuals held in the jail, regardless of length of stay.
Table No. 122

STATUS OF ADULT MALES BY OFFENDER TYPE AND LENGTH OF STAY
FAIRFAX COUNTY JAIL
APRIL - AUGUST 1972

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Offender Type</th>
<th>Length of Stay</th>
<th>Average High Day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Detained/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Awaiting Trial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FELONS</td>
<td>under 24 hours</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24 hrs to 5 days</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>over 5 days</td>
<td>34.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sub-Total</td>
<td>40.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISDEMEANANTS</td>
<td>under 24 hours</td>
<td>19.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24 hrs to 5 days</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>over 5 days</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sub-Total</td>
<td>30.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL:</td>
<td></td>
<td>70.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


NOTE: 1 Percentages based on average high adult male population of 147. Those individuals held pending transfer to a mental institution, and those awaiting transportation to a correctional facility in another jurisdiction are not included.
Table No. 123

AGE AND SEX OF OFFENDERS FOR THE
FAIRFAX COUNTY AVERAGE MONTHLY JAIL POPULATION
APRIL-AUGUST, 1972

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SEX</th>
<th>AGE OF OFFENDER</th>
<th>14-17</th>
<th>18-25</th>
<th>26-40</th>
<th>40+</th>
<th>Totals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>47.9%</td>
<td>24.2%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>92.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td></td>
<td>.4%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>50.9%</td>
<td>26.6%</td>
<td>18.6%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>District of Columbia</td>
<td>221/29</td>
<td>277/37</td>
<td>245/33</td>
<td>277/37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince George's County</td>
<td>39/6</td>
<td>35/4</td>
<td>55/8</td>
<td>52/7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery County</td>
<td>14/3</td>
<td>9/2</td>
<td>15/3</td>
<td>24/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexandria City</td>
<td>14/13</td>
<td>10/9</td>
<td>17/15</td>
<td>12/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arlington County</td>
<td>8/5</td>
<td>7/4</td>
<td>2/1</td>
<td>6/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County</td>
<td>17/4</td>
<td>15/3</td>
<td>13/3</td>
<td>17/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vienna City</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax City</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1/4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falls Church</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince William County</td>
<td>4/3</td>
<td>6/5</td>
<td>6/5</td>
<td>6/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loudoun County</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total: 317/11 360/12 354/12 394/13


Notes: 1 Includes Hyattsville, Greenbelt, Laurel.

2 Takoma Park counted totally in Montgomery County figures, though approximately one-third of the city is located within Prince George's County.
Table No. 125

RAPE IN THE D.C. SMSA BY 
VOLUME AND RATE PER 100,000, 1970, 1971, 1972, and 1973

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>District of Columbia</td>
<td>400/53</td>
<td>547/74</td>
<td>714/95</td>
<td>596/80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince George's County¹</td>
<td>120/18</td>
<td>117/17</td>
<td>186/27</td>
<td>194/25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery County²</td>
<td>29/6</td>
<td>57/10</td>
<td>81/14</td>
<td>90/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexandria City</td>
<td>31/28</td>
<td>51/45</td>
<td>30/26</td>
<td>59/51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arlington County</td>
<td>33/19</td>
<td>45/26</td>
<td>30/17</td>
<td>45/26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County</td>
<td>66/15</td>
<td>74/15</td>
<td>77/15</td>
<td>75/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vienna City</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax City</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4/17</td>
<td>2/9</td>
<td>1/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falls Church</td>
<td>5/46</td>
<td>1/9</td>
<td>3/28</td>
<td>1/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince William County</td>
<td>11/9</td>
<td>17/13</td>
<td>18/14</td>
<td>28/22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loudoun County</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total:                     | 695/24| 913/31| 1,144/38| 1,090/36


Notes: ¹ Includes Hyattsville, Greenbelt, Laurel.
² Takoma Park counted totally in Montgomery County figures, though approximately one-third of the city is located within Prince George's County.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>District of Columbia</td>
<td>11,816/1,562</td>
<td>11,201/1,512</td>
<td>7,751/1,036</td>
<td>7,176/959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince George's County</td>
<td>929/141</td>
<td>1,738/255</td>
<td>1,435/206</td>
<td>1,452/208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery County</td>
<td>340/65</td>
<td>414/76</td>
<td>422/74</td>
<td>544/96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexandria City</td>
<td>518/467</td>
<td>490/437</td>
<td>435/380</td>
<td>472/412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arlington County</td>
<td>211/121</td>
<td>245/142</td>
<td>181/104</td>
<td>180/103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County</td>
<td>222/49</td>
<td>259/53</td>
<td>346/67</td>
<td>347/67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vienna City</td>
<td>4/23</td>
<td>6/35</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>9/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax City</td>
<td>4/18</td>
<td>11/47</td>
<td>9/40</td>
<td>14/62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falls Church</td>
<td>24/222</td>
<td>14/130</td>
<td>27/250</td>
<td>25/231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince William County</td>
<td>9/7</td>
<td>33/26</td>
<td>43/33</td>
<td>50/39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loudoun County</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total:</strong></td>
<td><strong>14,077/486</strong></td>
<td><strong>14,411/488</strong></td>
<td><strong>10,650/350</strong></td>
<td><strong>10,269/342</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** Serious Crime in the Metropolitan Area: Report and Analysis, Department of Public Safety, Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments, May 25, 1973 and May 1, 1974.

**Notes:**
1. Includes Hyattsville, Greenbelt, Laurel.
2. Takoma Park counted totally in Montgomery County figures, though approximately one-third of the city is located within Prince George's County.
### Table No. 127

#### AGGRAVATED ASSAULT IN THE D.C. SMSA BY VOLUME AND RATE PER 100,000, 1970, 1971, 1972, and 1973

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>District of Columbia</td>
<td>4,089/541</td>
<td>3,978/537</td>
<td>3,924/525</td>
<td>3,591/480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince George's County</td>
<td>1,576/239</td>
<td>1,408/207</td>
<td>1,460/209</td>
<td>1,640/235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery County</td>
<td>190/36</td>
<td>247/45</td>
<td>259/46</td>
<td>311/55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexandria City</td>
<td>371/334</td>
<td>424/378</td>
<td>483/421</td>
<td>480/419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arlington County</td>
<td>919/527</td>
<td>979/569</td>
<td>969/555</td>
<td>119/68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County</td>
<td>377/83</td>
<td>256/53</td>
<td>198/38</td>
<td>200/39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vienna City</td>
<td>29/169</td>
<td>14/80</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>8/46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax City</td>
<td>44/200</td>
<td>21/90</td>
<td>31/139</td>
<td>21/94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falls Church</td>
<td>82/759</td>
<td>71/657</td>
<td>68/630</td>
<td>49/454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince William County</td>
<td>37/29</td>
<td>83/64</td>
<td>49/38</td>
<td>112/87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loudoun County</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>4/10</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>7,714/266</td>
<td>7,481/253</td>
<td>7,445/245</td>
<td>6,531/218</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Notes:  
1 Includes Hyattsville, Greenbelt, Laurel.  
2 Takoma Park counted totally in Montgomery County figures, though approximately one-third of the city is located within Prince George's County.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>District of Columbia</td>
<td>22,183/2,932</td>
<td>19,119/2,580</td>
<td>12,801/1,711</td>
<td>11,801/1,578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince George's County</td>
<td>5,706/864</td>
<td>6,969/1,024</td>
<td>6,567/941</td>
<td>7,339/1,052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery County</td>
<td>3,616/692</td>
<td>3,677/677</td>
<td>4,302/757</td>
<td>4,431/780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexandria City</td>
<td>1,663/1,499</td>
<td>1,864/1,663</td>
<td>1,861/1,624</td>
<td>2,094/1,852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arlington County</td>
<td>1,493/857</td>
<td>1,468/853</td>
<td>1,230/705</td>
<td>1,273/730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County</td>
<td>4,054/891</td>
<td>3,810/784</td>
<td>3,752/726</td>
<td>4,634/897</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vienna City</td>
<td>86/501</td>
<td>65/376</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>84/486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax City</td>
<td>129/587</td>
<td>171/731</td>
<td>145/650</td>
<td>199/892</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falls Church</td>
<td>179/1,657</td>
<td>101/935</td>
<td>94/870</td>
<td>116/1,074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince William County</td>
<td>382/296</td>
<td>666/516</td>
<td>700/543</td>
<td>959/743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loudoun County</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>112/280</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total:</strong></td>
<td><strong>39,491/1,362</strong></td>
<td><strong>37,910/1,283</strong></td>
<td><strong>31,564/1,039</strong></td>
<td><strong>32,930/1,098</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Notes:  
1 Includes Hyattsville, Greenbelt, Laurel.  
2 Takoma Park counted totally in Montgomery County figures, though approximately one-third of the city is located within Prince George's County.
Table No. 129

**AUTO THEFT IN THE D.C. SMSA BY VOLUME AND RATE PER 100,000, 1970, 1971, 1972, and 1973**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>District of Columbia</td>
<td>11,110/1,469</td>
<td>8,721/1,177</td>
<td>5,821/778</td>
<td>4,713/630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince George's County</td>
<td>3,655/553</td>
<td>4,212/619</td>
<td>4,627/663</td>
<td>4,583/657</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery County</td>
<td>1,728/331</td>
<td>1,787/329</td>
<td>2,011/354</td>
<td>2,273/400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexandria City</td>
<td>823/742</td>
<td>875/781</td>
<td>642/560</td>
<td>807/704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arlington County</td>
<td>1,061/609</td>
<td>830/483</td>
<td>680/390</td>
<td>651/373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County</td>
<td>2,001/440</td>
<td>2,259/465</td>
<td>2,375/460</td>
<td>2,300/445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vienna City</td>
<td>27/157</td>
<td>41/237</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>30/173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax City</td>
<td>160/728</td>
<td>230/983</td>
<td>154/691</td>
<td>171/767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falls Church</td>
<td>111/1,028</td>
<td>89/824</td>
<td>73/676</td>
<td>62/574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince William County</td>
<td>151/117</td>
<td>268/208</td>
<td>246/38</td>
<td>388/301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loudoun County</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>15/38</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL:</strong></td>
<td>20,827/718</td>
<td>19,312/653</td>
<td>16,644/548</td>
<td>15,978/533</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Notes: 1 Includes Hyattsville, Greenbelt, Laurel.

2 Takoma Park counted totally in Montgomery County figures, though approximately one-third of the city is located within Prince George's County.
### Larceny in the D.C. SMSA

#### Volume and Rate per 100,000, 1971, 1972, and 1973

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Jurisdiction</th>
<th>1971</th>
<th>1972</th>
<th>1973</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>District of Columbia</td>
<td>26,882/3,627</td>
<td>21,386/2,859</td>
<td>22,901/3,062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince George's County ¹</td>
<td>15,197/2,234</td>
<td>14,850/2,128</td>
<td>16,744/2,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery County ²</td>
<td>13,295/2,447</td>
<td>12,322/2,169</td>
<td>14,001/2,465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexandria City</td>
<td>4,979/4,442</td>
<td>4,252/3,710</td>
<td>4,292/3,745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arlington County</td>
<td>4,691/2,727</td>
<td>4,139/2,371</td>
<td>4,930/2,825</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County</td>
<td>12,384/2,548</td>
<td>11,075/2,143</td>
<td>12,843/2,485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vienna City</td>
<td>343/1,983</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>416/2,405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax City</td>
<td>907/3,876</td>
<td>851/3,816</td>
<td>1,035/4,641</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falls Church</td>
<td>773/7,157</td>
<td>747/6,917</td>
<td>689/6,380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince William County</td>
<td>1,390/1,076</td>
<td>1,560/1,209</td>
<td>2,158/1,672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loudoun County</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total:</strong></td>
<td><strong>80,841/2,773</strong></td>
<td><strong>71,182/2,374</strong></td>
<td><strong>80,009/2,668</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** Serious Crime in the Metropolitan Area: Report and Analysis, Department of Public Safety, Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments, May 25, 1973 and May 1, 1974.

**Notes:**

¹ Includes Hyattsville, Greenbelt, Laurel.

² Takoma Park counted totally in Montgomery County figures, though approximately one-third of the city is located within Prince George's County.
Table No. 131

FIRE AND LOSS AND FIRE FIGHTING ACTIVITIES,
FAIRFAX COUNTY, 1969-1973

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Fire Loss</th>
<th>Value of Property Involved in Fire</th>
<th>Fire Loss per Capita</th>
<th>Alarms of Fire per 1000 Persons</th>
<th>Building Fires per 1000 Persons</th>
<th>Outside Fires per 1000 Persons</th>
<th>Vehicle Fires per 1000 Persons</th>
<th>Malicious False Alarms per 1000 Persons</th>
<th>Average Loss per Building Fire</th>
<th>Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1969</td>
<td>$2,452,417</td>
<td>198.20</td>
<td>$5.40</td>
<td>11.91</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>.39</td>
<td>$1,619</td>
<td>454,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>$2,419,761</td>
<td>142.83</td>
<td>$5.09</td>
<td>10.98</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>.35</td>
<td>$1,788</td>
<td>475,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td>$2,594,996</td>
<td>127.03</td>
<td>$5.35</td>
<td>12.16</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>3.49</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>.71</td>
<td>$2,598</td>
<td>484,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>$3,501,574</td>
<td>537.99</td>
<td>$6.56</td>
<td>11.16</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>.61</td>
<td>$3,463</td>
<td>534,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973</td>
<td>$4,243,762</td>
<td>287.11</td>
<td>$7.53</td>
<td>12.42</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>.82</td>
<td>$3,890</td>
<td>563,800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YEAR</th>
<th>NUMBER OF AMBULANCE/RESCUE CALLS</th>
<th>PERCENT INCREASE YEAR TO YEAR</th>
<th>PERCENT INCREASE 5 YEAR PERIODS</th>
<th>PERCENT INCREASE 10 YEAR PERIOD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1962</td>
<td>8,901</td>
<td>7.12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1963</td>
<td>10,147</td>
<td>13.99</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964</td>
<td>10,843</td>
<td>6.85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>11,891</td>
<td>9.66</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966</td>
<td>13,024</td>
<td>9.52</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967</td>
<td>13,870</td>
<td>6.49</td>
<td>55.8</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968</td>
<td>15,366</td>
<td>10.78</td>
<td>51.4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969</td>
<td>15,899</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>46.6</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>16,965</td>
<td>6.70</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td>18,214</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>19,045</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>113.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973</td>
<td>20,986</td>
<td>10.19</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>106.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table No. 133

PERCENTAGES OF TOTAL BUILDING FIRES, FAIRFAX COUNTY

1970-1973

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PUBLIC ASSEMBLY</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDUCATION</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INSTITUTION</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESIDENCE</td>
<td>80.1</td>
<td>64.0</td>
<td>73.0</td>
<td>70.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STORE AND OFFICE</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BASIC INDUSTRY</td>
<td>.4</td>
<td>.5</td>
<td>.2</td>
<td>.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MANUFACTURE</td>
<td>.1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STORAGE</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPECIAL PROPERTY</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Note: Last four years of figures only, as comparison with previous years is not possible because the methods of classifying properties changed with inception of Field Incident Reports in 1970.
Table No. 134

FIRE LOSS BY TYPE OF OCCUPANCY, FAIRFAX COUNTY, 1969-1973

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Public Assembly</td>
<td>$67,620</td>
<td>$50,876</td>
<td>$241,727</td>
<td>$146,039</td>
<td>$197,934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>101,676</td>
<td>144,814</td>
<td>370,118</td>
<td>215,218</td>
<td>9,074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institutional</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>830</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>37,590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dwellings</td>
<td>1,184,899</td>
<td>1,469,623</td>
<td>1,259,236</td>
<td>1,654,455</td>
<td>2,000,724</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apartments</td>
<td>99,011</td>
<td>273,841</td>
<td>291,774</td>
<td>680,764</td>
<td>404,182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hotels, Motels</td>
<td>6,291</td>
<td>10,671</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>6,700</td>
<td>10,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Residential</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>902</td>
<td>64,109</td>
<td>9,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stores, Offices</td>
<td>698,309</td>
<td>81,688</td>
<td>259,287</td>
<td>358,208</td>
<td>1,045,858</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing</td>
<td>18,536</td>
<td>140,000</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>4,165</td>
<td>1,560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage</td>
<td>104,961</td>
<td>151,069</td>
<td>39,765</td>
<td>66,554</td>
<td>53,820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>34,132</td>
<td>14,189</td>
<td>33,825</td>
<td>192,807</td>
<td>357,806</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sub-Total</strong> (fires in bldgs.)</td>
<td>2,315,516</td>
<td>2,337,601</td>
<td>2,497,629</td>
<td>3,389,049</td>
<td>4,128,098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Motor Vehicles</strong></td>
<td>141,820</td>
<td>881,135</td>
<td>95,304</td>
<td>106,315</td>
<td>112,944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Outside</strong></td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1,025</td>
<td>2,063</td>
<td>6,210</td>
<td>2,720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Fire Loss</strong></td>
<td>$2,457,336</td>
<td>$2,419,761</td>
<td>$2,594,996</td>
<td>$3,501,574</td>
<td>$4,243,762</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VIII. MISCELLANEOUS
### AREA BY 1971 MAGISTERIAL DISTRICTS
#### FAIRFAX COUNTY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Magisterial District</th>
<th>Square Miles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annandale</td>
<td>18.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centreville</td>
<td>108.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dranesville</td>
<td>67.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee</td>
<td>35.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mason</td>
<td>13.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mt. Vernon</td>
<td>18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providence</td>
<td>20.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Springfield</td>
<td><strong>119.5</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL:</strong></td>
<td><strong>402.0</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Office of Comprehensive Planning, Fairfax County
Table No. 136

| Farm | Acres of Land by Irn • 211 | 910s | G Class | Summed le Class | Total | Amounts | Total |长相 | 元 | sum | Tabular | 結果 | 計算 | 元 | sum | 結果 | 計算 | 元 | sum | 結果 | 計算 | 元 | sum | 結果 | 計算 | 元 | sum | 結果 | 計算 | 元 | sum | 結果 | 計算 | 元 | sum | 結果 | 計算 | 元 | sum | 結果 | 計算 |
|------|-----------------------------|------|--------|--------------|-------|---------|-------|-----|-----|-----|--------|-------|---------|-------|-----|-----|-----|--------|-------|---------|-------|-----|-----|-----|--------|-------|---------|-------|-----|-----|-----|--------|-------|---------|-------|-----|-----|-----|--------|-------|---------|-------|-----|-----|-----|--------|
Table No. 136 (cont.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code Class</th>
<th>Code Change</th>
<th>Acres of Land By Zoning Class Summarized By Planning District</th>
<th>Fairfax County, Virginia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>300 L.</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Acadea B C D E F G H I J K L M N O P Q R S T U V W X Y Z</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 L.</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>44 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>410 L.</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>34 5 7 9 11 13 15 17 19 21 23 25 27 29 31 33 35 37 39 41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>430 L.</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>23 6 9 12 15 18 21 24 27 30 33 36 39 42 45 48 51 54 57 60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>450 L.</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>39 7 11 15 19 23 27 31 35 39 43 47 51 55 59 63 67 71 75 80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td>307 74 13 19 25 31 37 43 49 55 61 67 73 79 85 91 97 103 109 116</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total       | 10,191     | 2,778 | 6,140 | 17,240 | 5,108 | 7,145 | 16,198 | 17,138 | 17,408 | 48,072 | 8,212 | 9,129 | 47,847 | 13,170 | 247,600 | 100% |

Percentage  | 6.7%       | 1.5%  | 11.7% | 5.7%   | 5.3%  | 7.1%  | 10.3%  | 7.1%   | 9.9%   | 20.4%  | 4.6%  | 3.8%  | 19.9%  | 7.1%   | 100%    |

Source: UGIS Parcel File, January, 1974

Notes: 1 Functional descriptions of zoning class codes appear in Appendix A.
2 Figures may not add due to rounding.
3 The balance of the county's 237,000 acres are in roads, water and other areas not zoned.

Table No. 137

### Table No. 137 (cont.)

**ACRES OF VACANT LAND BY ZONING CLASS**

*Summarized by Planning District*

**Fairfax County, Virginia**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zone Class</th>
<th>ACR</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>J</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>000 - D</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>000 - C</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>000 - B</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>000 - A</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (Other)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals:</td>
<td>1,592</td>
<td>893</td>
<td>12,016</td>
<td>13,107</td>
<td>1,245</td>
<td>477</td>
<td>5,117</td>
<td>5,117</td>
<td>5,117</td>
<td>5,117</td>
<td>5,117</td>
<td>5,117</td>
<td>5,117</td>
<td>5,117</td>
<td>5,117</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** UDIS Parcel File, January, 1974.

**Notes:**
1. Functional descriptions of zoning class code appear in Appendix B.
2. Figures may not add due to rounding.

**SOURCE:** Standard Reports, compiled from the files of the Urban Development Information System, January, 1974. Prepared by: Research Branch, Office of Research and Statistics.
Table No. 138

AGRICULTURE IN FAIRFAX COUNTY
1964 AND 1969

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1964</th>
<th>1969</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Farms</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Size of Farm</td>
<td>148.5 acres</td>
<td>130.2 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land in Farms</td>
<td>32,369 acres</td>
<td>36,211 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Value of Land and Buildings Per Farm</td>
<td>$197,455</td>
<td>$267,007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market Value of All Agricultural Products Sold</td>
<td>$2,167,850</td>
<td>$2,538,384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Per Farm</td>
<td>$9,944</td>
<td>$9,130</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Farm Operators by Age Groups:  
- Under Age 25: 1964: -, 1969: 1
- 25-34: 1964: 8, 1969: 22
- Average Age: 1964: 56.4, 1969: 54.6


NOTE: The Cooperative Extension Service of Fairfax County can provide information on Soil Science, Horticulture, Plant Pathology, and Entomology.
## ELECTION SCHEDULE, FAIRFAX COUNTY, 1972-1982

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elected Officials</th>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Last Elected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>FAIRFAX COUNTY:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board of Supervisors</td>
<td>4 years</td>
<td>1971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commonwealth's Attorney</td>
<td>4 years</td>
<td>1971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheriff</td>
<td>4 years</td>
<td>1971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerk of the Court</td>
<td>8 years</td>
<td>1967</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governor (cannot serve two successive terms)</td>
<td>4 years</td>
<td>1969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lt. Governor</td>
<td>4 years</td>
<td>1969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attorney General</td>
<td>4 years</td>
<td>1969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Senators (5)</td>
<td>4 years</td>
<td>1971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Members of House of Delegates (10)</td>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>1971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UNITED STATES:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>President and Vice President</td>
<td>4 years</td>
<td>1968</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States Senators (2)</td>
<td>6 years</td>
<td>1966 1970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(staggered terms)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congressmen - 8th and 10th Districts</td>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>1970</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SOURCE:** Office of the Electoral Board and General Registrar, Fairfax County
Table No. 140

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>President</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vice President</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lt. Governor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attorney General</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congressman</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House of Delegates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Senator</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congressmen</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Senators</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House of Delegates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheriff</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commonwealth's Attorney</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chairman, Board of Supervisors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lt. Governor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chairman, Board of Supervisors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lt. Governor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attorney General</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Number of Registered Voters | 137,729 | 127,107 | 134,017 | 144,744 | 208,945 | 197,761 | 206,119 |
| Number Voting In General Election | 117,939 | 69,359 | 89,773 | 73,649 | 172,601 | 98,332 | 116,858 |
| Voter Turn-out (Percent) | 85.6% | 54.6% | 66.9% | 50.9% | 82.6% | 49.7% | 56.7% |
| Approximate Percent of Population Registered to Vote | 33.9% | 29.8% | 28.5% | 30.1% | 39.5% | 37.8% | 38.2% |

SOURCE: Office of the Electoral Board and General Registrar, Fairfax County.

NOTES: 1. Registration Books were purged in 1969 and 1973.
2. Election of Chairman of the Board of Supervisors in 1970 and 1972 was to fill an unexpired term.
3. Election of Lt. Governor in 1971 was to fill an unexpired term.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Magisterial District</th>
<th>1971 (July)</th>
<th>1972 (July)</th>
<th>1973 (July)</th>
<th>1974 (July)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annandale</td>
<td>17,906</td>
<td>21,361</td>
<td>24,344</td>
<td>25,041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centreville</td>
<td>18,787</td>
<td>26,387</td>
<td>33,028</td>
<td>35,048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dranesville</td>
<td>19,911</td>
<td>23,754</td>
<td>26,740</td>
<td>27,837</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee</td>
<td>12,581</td>
<td>15,276</td>
<td>17,579</td>
<td>18,058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mason</td>
<td>17,637</td>
<td>20,921</td>
<td>23,215</td>
<td>23,530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mount Vernon</td>
<td>16,288</td>
<td>19,581</td>
<td>21,936</td>
<td>22,511</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providence</td>
<td>17,391</td>
<td>20,659</td>
<td>24,563</td>
<td>24,952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Springfield</td>
<td>12,921</td>
<td>16,955</td>
<td>21,541</td>
<td>22,907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax County</td>
<td>133,422</td>
<td>164,894</td>
<td>192,946</td>
<td>199,884</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** Office of the Electoral Board and General Registrar, Fairfax County.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>FY-72</th>
<th>FY-73</th>
<th>% Change</th>
<th>FY-74</th>
<th>% Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Libraries</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7.14</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Bookmobiles</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Library Material Circ.</td>
<td>3,949,769</td>
<td>4,329,758</td>
<td>9.62</td>
<td>4,389,744</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Registered Borrowers</td>
<td>215,423</td>
<td>234,838</td>
<td>9.01</td>
<td>247,729</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New &amp; Renewed Registrations</td>
<td>79,774</td>
<td>84,941</td>
<td>6.47</td>
<td>83,187</td>
<td>-2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Questions Received</td>
<td>790,018</td>
<td>987,786</td>
<td>25.03</td>
<td>1,003,901</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periodical Requests</td>
<td>165,445</td>
<td>176,796</td>
<td>6.86</td>
<td>175,565</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Number of Books</td>
<td>792,709</td>
<td>933,010</td>
<td>17.69</td>
<td>1,038,802</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Increase of Books</td>
<td>63,760</td>
<td>140,301</td>
<td>120.00</td>
<td>105,792</td>
<td>-24.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Number of Book Titles</td>
<td>118,225</td>
<td>137,151</td>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>153,248</td>
<td>11.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Increase of Book Titles</td>
<td>12,079</td>
<td>18,926</td>
<td>56.6</td>
<td>16,097</td>
<td>-14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Number of Newspapers (Titles)</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>16.39</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Number of Periodicals (Titles)</td>
<td>1,025</td>
<td>1,301</td>
<td>26.92</td>
<td>1,402</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Number of Films</td>
<td>1,443</td>
<td>1,235</td>
<td>-14.42</td>
<td>1,276</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Number of Records</td>
<td>3,620</td>
<td>3,966</td>
<td>9.55</td>
<td>4,610</td>
<td>16.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Number of Special Music</td>
<td>18,852</td>
<td>9,480</td>
<td>-49.72</td>
<td>9,879</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Number of Talking Books &amp; Cassettes</td>
<td>1,027</td>
<td>2,858</td>
<td>178.28</td>
<td>4,189</td>
<td>46.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Books Per Capita</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>6.38</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Books Per Registered Borrower</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>3.97</td>
<td>15.07</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circulation Per Capita</td>
<td>7.34</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>-3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circulation Per Registered Borrowers</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>.54</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>-3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of Population that are Registered Borrowers</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td>41.2</td>
<td>.24</td>
<td>41.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circulation Per Volume</td>
<td>4.98</td>
<td>4.64</td>
<td>-6.9</td>
<td>4.23</td>
<td>-8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting Room Programs</td>
<td>3,037</td>
<td>5,017</td>
<td>65.19</td>
<td>6,207</td>
<td>23.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting Room Attendance</td>
<td>77,996</td>
<td>119,038</td>
<td>52.62</td>
<td>115,282</td>
<td>-3.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Fairfax County Library.