

Results-Based Accountability Performance Plan FY 2019, Quarter 3 Report to CPMT

	SUMMARY
Name of Work	Children's Services Act (CSA) for At-Risk Youth – Systems of Care
Agency	Human Services within the Department of Family Services (DFS)
Contact (Name, Phone, Email)	Patricia E. Arriaza, Management Analyst III, 703-324-8241, patricia.arriaza@fairfaxcounty.gov
<u>Purpose</u>	The Children's Services Act (CSA) for At-Risk Youth and Families is a law enacted in 1993 that establishes a single state pool of funds to purchase services for at- risk youth and their families. The state funds, combined with local community funds, are managed by local interagency teams who plan and oversee services to youth. The mission of the CSA is to create a collaborative system of services and funding that is child-centered, family-focused and community-based when addressing the strengths and needs of troubled and at-risk youth and their families in the Commonwealth.
<u>Customers</u>	At-risk youth between the ages of 0 to 21 and their families as defined by VA § 2.2-5212
Total Customers	Youth served: FY18: 1,311 ; FY17: 1,428 ; FY16: 1,494; FY15: 1,343; FY14: 1,200
Total Staff Year Equivalents (SYE)	FY2018: 10; FY2017: 10; FY2016: 10; FY2015: 10; FY2014: 10
Total Budget	FY 2018: \$38.6 million for CSA pooled funding; \$888,571 for program administration FY 2017: \$40.8 million for CSA pooled funding; \$903,305 for program administration FY 2016: \$41.9 million for CSA pooled funding; \$988,075 for program administration FY 2015: \$39.8 million for CSA pooled funding; \$947,889 for program administration FY 2014: \$38.0 million for CSA pooled funding; \$909,356 for program administration

	Summary of Annual and Quarterly ¹ Performance Measures									
	How Much Was Done?									
1.1	Total Youth Served Annually									
1.2.1	Annual CSA Pool-fund Expenditures									
1.2.2	Annual CSA Expenditures by Service Type									
	How Well Was It Done?									
2.1	Restrictiveness of Living Outcome Goal 1: Increase in percentage of youth participating in CSA who live in family settings.									
2.1.1	Number of youth in a long-term congregate care setting									
2.1.2	Percentage of youth participating in Intensive Care Coordination who are successfully prevented from entering residential or group home placement six months and twelve months after initiation of services									
2.2	Restrictiveness of Living Outcome Goal 2: Children participating in CSA living in congregate care are returned as quickly as possible to a family setting.									
2.2.1	Average number of days (length of stay) CSA participating children live in congregate care – measured in current setting and at post-discharge									
2.2.2	Number of youth entering long-term congregate care settings									
2.2.3	Number of youth exiting long-term congregate care settings									
2.2.4	Percentage of youth participating in Intensive Care Coordination who are successfully returned from residential or group home placement within three months of initiation of services									
2.3	Permanency Outcome Goal: Prevent entry into foster care for reasons other than maltreatment									
2.3.1	JDRDC and DFS data on Relief of Custody Petitions: # ROC petitions filed/# children entering foster care from ROC petitions									
2.3.2	Number of children entering foster care from CHINS petitions									

¹ Quarterly performance measures highlighted in green. FY 2019 Q3 CSA Systems of Care Report

2.3.3	Number of children entering foster care from delinquency petitions							
2.4	Fiscal Accountability Outcome Goal 1: Fairfax-Falls Church CSA leverages state and local fiscal resources to serve y efficiently	outh and families						
2.4.1	.4.1 Per capita cost per youth receiving CSA services							
2.4.2	Per capita cost per youth receiving residential/ group home services							
2.4.3	Annual per-child unit cost of residential/group home services							
2.5	Fiscal Accountability Outcome Goal 2: Fairfax-Falls Church is making maximum use of Medicaid as an alternative t funding	o CSA or locality						
2.5.1	Percentage of placements in Medicaid-enrolled facilities							
2.5.2	Percentage of Medicaid placements receiving Medicaid reimbursement							
2.6	Parent Satisfaction Survey							
2.6.1	Percent of parent survey respondents who are satisfied with CSA services							
	<u>Is Anyone Better Off</u> ?	Headline Measure (HM)						
3.1	Restrictiveness of Living Outcome Goal 1: Increase in percentage of children participating in CSA who live in family settings.							
3.1.1	Percentage of CSA youth who received only community-based services							
3.2	Permanency Outcome Goal: Prevent entry into foster care for reasons other than maltreatment.							
3.2.1	Percentage of children receiving CSA-funded services through the foster care prevention mandate who are successfully prevented from entering foster care							
3.2.2	Percentage of children with families participating in CSA-funded family partnership meetings through the foster care prevention mandate who are successfully prevented from entering foster care after the family partnership meeting							

3.3	Functional Outcome Goals: Child and Adolescent Needs and Strengths (CANS) outcomes improve for children served by the CSA system of care from initial assessment to second assessment.	
3.3.1	Percent of positive change in CANS outcomes by domain level of need	
3.4	Functional Outcome Goal 1: Children participating in CSA-funded services will experience a decline in behaviors that place themselves or others at risk.	
3.4.1	Percent of positive change in Child Risk Behavior by actionable rating	
3.5	Functional Outcome Goal 2: Children participating in CSA-funded services will experience a decline in behavioral or emotional symptoms that cause severe/dangerous problems.	
3.5.1	Percent of positive change in Behavioral/Emotional Needs by actionable rating	
3.6	Functional Outcome Goal 3: Children participating in CSA-funded services will experience an increase in identified strengths that are useful in addressing their needs and developing resiliency.	
3.6.1	Percent of positive change in Strength Domain by actionable strength	
3.7	Functional Outcome Goal 4: Needs and issues of parents/caregivers of children participating in CSA-funded services that negatively impact their care-giving capacity will be reduced.	
3.7.1	Percent of positive change in Planned Permanency Caregiver functioning by actionable need	

FY 2019 Q3										
How Well Measure	Number				Title	е				Value
	2.1	Restrictive in non-res		_	ne Goal 1: Ind	crease in pe	rcentage of	children pa	rticipating in	CSA who live
	2.1.1	Number of	f youth pla	ced in a lon	g-term congr	egate care s	etting			38
Graphs/Charts										
	60 T 50 - 40 -	57	Point in Ti	50	53	47	43	Placement 41	ts (90+ days)	38
	20 -	17 14	22 13 11	19 17 13	18 17 17	17 16 13	14 17 11	16 13 10	16 11 9	17 10 9
		2 3/31/2017 oster Care/Ad	2 6/30/2017 option —		1 12/31/2017 Il Education	3/31/2018 ——CHINS	6/30/2018Non-N	2 9/30/2018 Nandated	2 12/31/2018 —MHI local	2 3/31/2018 ——Total
Notes	Analysis:	The total poi	nt in time (count remai	ned the sam	e as the prev	vious quarte	r. Planned A	Action: Contin	nue to monitor.

FY 2019 Q3											
How Well Measure	Number	Title	Value								
	2.2	Restrictiveness of Living Outcome Goal 2: Children participating in CSA living in congregate care are returned as quickly as possible to a family setting.									
	2.2.1	Number of days CSA participating children live in congregate care before being returned to a family setting	175 days for youth with emotional /behavioral disabilities								
Graphs/Charts											
	Average LOS for Exiting Placements for Children with Emotional/Behavioral Problems 250 208 208 208										
	250 ————————————————————————————————————										
	201	185	175								
	150 —	152									
	100 —										
	50 —										
	3/2/2027	1201 1201 1201 1201 1201 1201 1201 1201	318 8111298 11228 11228 11228 11228 11229 11229								
Notes	within 6-9 placement	est practice indicates that youth with emotional/behavioral problems months [180-270 days]. The length of stay for youth with primarily emount (n=16) was 178 days at the end of the 3rd quarter (LOS ranged from 6 ge age being 17 years. Of the 16 exits, 8 were from Foster Care and Adon monitor.	otional/behavioral problems exiting 6 to 327 days). Ages ranged from 14 to 18,								

FY 2019 Q3													
How Well Measure	Number			Т	itle				Value				
	2.2	Restrictiveness of Living Outcome Goal 2: Children participating in CSA living in congregate care are reas quickly as possible to a family setting.											
	2.2.1			participatinged to a family	ate care	2002 days fo	or youth with disabilities	developmenta S					
Graphs/Charts													
		Length of Stay (days in current placement): Residential and Group Home Placements for Children with Developmental Disability											
	2500 Residential and Group Home Placements for Children with Developmental Disability												
	2000 —					4746	2076		2001	2002			
	1500 —	1412	1503	1492	1626	1716		1630					
	1000 —												
	500 —												
	0 —	/31/2017	6/31/2017	9/30/2017	12/31/2017	3/31/2018	6/30/2018	9/30/2018	12/31/2018	3/31/2019			
<u>Notes</u>	is 250 to 3	,491 days	. The 6 place	ments are fr	•	at Benedict	ine, 3 at Graf	ton and 2 at		ys, range of LO ne ages range			

FY 2019 Q3													
How Well Measure													
	2.2	Restrictiveness of Living Outcome Goal 2: Children participating in CSA living in congregate care are returned as quickly as possible to a family setting.											
	2.2.2	Number of youth entering long-term congregate care settings 9											
	2.2.3	Number of youth exiting long-term congregate care settings 16											
Graphs/Charts													
		Entry and Exit into Long-term RTC and GH											
	15	13											
		12											
	10	11 11											
	5 —	7 7 7 7 7 6 6 6 6 6 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	4										
	0		2										
	_	v'17 Aug Sept Oct'17 Nov Dec'17Jan'18Feb'18 March Apr'18 May June July'18 Aug Sept Oct'18 Nov Dec'18Jan'19Feb'19 '17 '17 '17 '18 '18 '18 '19 '19 '18 ■ Entries RTC/GH ■ Exits RTC/GH	Mar '19										
Notes	successfu	There were 16 exits and 9 entries this quarter. Planned Action: Utilize ICC as a resource for youth to supul return to a community/family-based setting. Utilize Leland House and crisis stabilization services to me th intensive needs in the community, even during a crisis.	•										

FY 2019 Q3			
How Well	Number	Title	Value
<u>Measure</u>	2.2	e returned as quickly as	
	2.1.2	Percentage of youth participating in Intensive Care Coordination who are successfully prevented from entering residential or group home placement six months and twelve months after initiation of services	94% / 100%
	2.2.4	Percentage of youth participating in Intensive Care Coordination who are successfully returned from residential or group home placement within three months of initiation of services	75%
Graphs/ Charts		ICC Outcomes - Prevent Residential ICC Outcomes - Return	From Residential
		100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	75%. 50% 50% 0 0% 0% 3/3/13/138/10/18/13/13/13/13/13/13/13/13/13/13/13/13/13/
<u>Notes</u>	Analysis: 94	4% (16 of 17) of youth were maintained in the community 6 months after initiation of ICC services. 100 n the community 12 months after the initiation of ICC services. 75% (3 of 4) youth returned from reside initiation of ICC.	· , ,
		ction: Wraparound Fidelity Monitoring project will provide external fidelity review. ICC Stakeholder grostem implementation issues as needed.	up continues to meet to

FY 2019 Q3		<u> </u>												
How Well Measure	Number Title Value Restrictiveness of Living Outcome Goal 2: Children participating in CSA living in congregate care are returned as quickly as													
<u>ivicasure</u>	possible to a family setting.													
	2.1.2	Percentage of youth participating in Intensive Care Coordination who are successfully prevented from entering residential or group home placement six months and twelve months after initiation of services												
	2.2.4	_				oordination who onths of initiation		ılly returned fr		ap Fairfax 0% IMFS 100 %				
<u>Graphs/</u> <u>Charts</u>					Fainfa 10	°C O	TV 2010 02							
	Wrap Fairfax ICC Outcomes FY 2019 Q3													
	150% — 100% —	100%94%	100%100%100%	100% 78% ^{87%}	100%92%	100%100%	91%100%	89%100%	91%100%	100% 100%				
	50% —				0%		50%	0%		0%				
	0%	3/31/17	6/30/17	9/30/17	12/30/17	3/31/18	6/30/18	9/30/2018	12/30/18	3/31/19				
			■ Ret	turn from RTC by	3 mos ■ Prev	ent RTC at 6 mont	hs Prevent	RTC at 12 months						
	UMFS ICC Outcomes FY 2019 Q3													
	150% —	100%	100%92%	100%100%	90%95%	100%100%	90%	100%94%	100%100%	100%89%100%				
	50% —	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	65%		0%	╫				
	0%	3/31/17	6/30/17	9/30/17	12/30/17	3/31/18	6/30/18	9/30/2018	12/30/18	3/31/19				
			■ Ret	turn from RTC by	3 mos ■ Prev	ent RTC at 6 mont	hs Prevent	RTC at 12 months						
<u>Notes</u>	(n=8) of y	outh remair		munity 12 mor		ained in the con nitiation of ICC	•							
		nmunity 12	•		•	6 months after 100% (n=3) you								

FY 2019 Q3												
How Well	Number											
<u>Measure</u>	2.3	Permanency Outcome Goal: Prevent entry into foster care for reasons other than m	altreatment									
	2.3.1	JDRDC and DFS data on Relief of Custody Petitions: # ROC petitions filed/# children entering foster care from ROC petitions	0 ROC filed / 0 entries									
	2.3.2	Number of children entering foster care from CHINS petitions	1									
	2.3.3	Number of children entering foster care from delinquency petitions	0									
Graphs/ Charts	10 —	Foster Care Entry: Relief of Custody Data										
	0	an - Mar '17 Apr - June '17 July - Sept '17 Oct - Dec '17 Jan - Mar '18 Apr - June '18 July - Sept '17 Oct - Dec '17 June '18 July - Sept '17 Oct - Dec '17 June '18 July - Sept '17 Oct - Dec '17 June '18 July - Sept '17 Oct - Dec '17 June '18 July - Sept '17 Oct - Dec '17 June '18 July - Sept '17 Oct - Dec '17 June '18 July - Sept '17 Oct - Dec '17 June '18 July - Sept '17 Oct - Dec '17 June '18 July - Sept '17 Oct - Dec '17 June '18 July - Sept '17 Oct - Dec '17 June '18 July - Sept '17 Oct - Dec '17 June '18 July - Sept '17 Oct - Dec '17 June '18 July - Sept '17 Oct - Dec '17 June '18 July - Sept '17 Oct - Dec '17 July - Dec '17 J	pt '18 Oct - Dec '18 Jan - Mar '19									
Notes	Analysis: 0	Relief of Custody (ROC) complaints were received. Planned Action : Continue to monit	or.									

How Well	Nı	umber					Title					Val	ue	
<u>Measure</u>		2.5		Fiscal Accountability Outcome Goal: Fairfax-Falls Church CSA leverages state and local fiscal resources to serve youth and families efficiently										
	2	2.5.1	1	Percentage	of placem	ents in Me	edicaid-enro	olled faciliti	es			74%		
	2	2.5.2	1	Percentage	of Medica	id placem	ents receivi	ng Medicai	d reimbur	sement		83	%	
Graphs/Charts			•											
	100% — 90% — 80% — 70% — 60% — 40% —	86%	79% 68%	83%	80%	73%	80%	79%	-Enrolled	73% 63%	82%	76% 73%	83%	
<u>Notes</u>	30% —	•			e with Med		olled provid	Medi		ents 6 (23 of 28)		caid eligible	3/31/19 2. 83% (19 couth did no	