

County of Fairfax, Virginia

To protect and enrich the quality of life for the people, neighborhoods and diverse communities of Fairfax County

JUN 12 2015

William P. and Maureen S. Sloan 1090 Cedrus Lane McLean, VA 22102

Subject:

1090 Cedrus Lane, McLean, VA 22102, PCL C1A, Peacock Station, Tax Map #019-2-

0000-C4, Dranesville District

Reference:

Resource Protection Area Exception Request #1131-WRPA-006-1 and Water Quality

Impact Assessment #1131-WQ-002-1

Dear Mrs. and Mr. Sloan:

Please find enclosed a copy of the Resolution adopted by the Exception Review Committee at its regular meeting held on June 3, 2015 approving 1131-WRPA-006-1 and 1131-WQ-002-1 under Section 118-6-9 of the Chesapeake Bay Preservation Ordinance (CBPO); a General Resource Protection Area (RPA) Encroachment, to allow a pond with paved area and pergola, patio, garage, driveway and pool to remain in the 1993 RPA.

Please be advised that the decision of the Exception Review Committee may be appealed to the Board of Supervisors in accordance with Article 8 of CBPO within 30 days from the date of the resolution.

If further assistance is desired, please contact Camylyn Lewis, Senior Engineer III (Stormwater), Environmental and Site Review Division at 703-324-1720 or Camylyn.lewis@fairfaxcounty.gov.

Sincerely,

Shahab Baig

Clerk to the Exception Review Committee

Enclosure

cc: Anne Kanter, Chairman, Exception Review Committee

Diane Johnson-Quinn, Chief, Zoning Administration Division, Department of Planning and

Zoning

Susan Langdon, Chief, Special Permit & Variance Evaluation Section, DPZ

Exception File



COUNTY OF FAIRFAX EXCEPTION RESOLUTION OF THE EXCEPTION REVIEW COMMITTEE

William P. and Maureen S. Sloan, 1131-WRPA-006-1 under Section 118-6-9 of the Chesapeake Bay Preservation Ordinance (CBPO) and 1131-WQ-002-1, 1090 Cedrus Lane, McLean. Exception to allow a pond with paved area and pergola, patio, and garage, driveway and pool to remain in a 1993 RPA. Dranesville District. Tax Map #019-2-09-0000 C4. At a regular meeting of the Exception Review Committee on June 3, 2015, Mr. Schnare moved that the Exception Review Committee adopt the following resolution:

WHEREAS, the Committee has made the findings that:

- a) The requested exception, as conditioned, is the minimum necessary to afford relief;
- b) Granting the exception will not confer upon the applicant any special privileges that are denied to other property owners who are similarly situated;
- c) The exception, as conditioned, is in harmony with the purpose and intent of the CBPO and is not of substantial detriment to water quality;
- d) The exception request is not based upon conditions or circumstances that are self-created or self-imposed; and
- e) Reasonable and appropriate conditions will be imposed that will prevent the allowed activity from causing a degredation of water quality.
- f) The water quality benefits resulting from the proposed facility or improvement exceed the associated water quality detriments.

Now, therefore, be it resolved that the Exception Review Committee **APPROVE** Exception Request 1131-WRPA-006-1 under Section 118-6-9 of the CBPO and 1131-WQ-002-1 to allow the encroachment into the RPA for a pond with paved area and pergola, patio, garage, driveway and pool subject to the conditions dated May 14th 2015, as follows:

- 1. This RPA Exception is granted for and runs with the land indicated in this application and is not transferable to other land.
- 2. This RPA Exception is granted only for the purposes, structures and/or uses indicated on the Plat approved with the application, as qualified by these development conditions.
- 3. Any plan submitted pursuant to this RPA Exception shall be in substantial conformance with the Plat titled "RPA Exception Plan, Peacock Station" prepared by Charles P. Johnson, Inc, signed and sealed April 1st 2015, which shows the improvements already added to the lot, and planting details (Plat), and the "RPA Delineation & Water Quality Impact Assessment Plan" prepared by Charles P. Johnson, Inc, signed and sealed April 3rd 2015, and these exception conditions.
- 4. In order that the project is in harmony with the purpose and intent of the CBPO, does not have a substantial detriment to water quality, and meets the additional performance criteria for RPAs, vegetated buffer area(s) using native vegetation shall be established in the disturbed areas within the RPA on the lot and shall be of a combined area of at least 7,530 square feet. The size, species, density and locations

Resource Protection Area Exception Request #1131-WRPA-006-1 and Water Quality Impact Assessment #1131-WQ-002-1

shall be consistent with the planting requirements of CBPO Section 118-3-3(f), or a vegetation plan that is equally effective in retarding runoff, preventing erosion, and filtering non-point source pollution from runoff, as determined by the Department of Public Works and Environmental Services (DPWES). The vegetation shall be randomly placed to achieve a relatively even spacing throughout the buffer. Notwithstanding any statements on the Plat and in the Water Quality Impact Assessment (WQIA), the size, species, density, and locations of the trees, shrubs, and groundcover will be subject to approval of the Director of the DPWES, and Urban Forest Management Division.

- 5. In order that the disturbed area within the RPA is the minimum necessary to afford relief, indigenous vegetation shall be preserved to the maximum extent possible. Any further encroachment into, and/or disturbance of, the RPA not shown on the approved Plat will be considered a violation of the CBPO and is subject to the penalties of the CBPO Article 9.
- 6. This RPA Exception shall automatically expire, without notice, 12 months after the date of approval, unless the necessary vegetated buffers have been established.

This approval, contingent on the above noted conditions, does not relieve the applicant from compliance with the provisions of any applicable Federal, State or County ordinances, regulations, or adopted standards. The applicant shall be responsible for obtaining the approval of any required plans and permits through established procedures, and this RPA Exception shall not be valid until this has been accomplished.

Anne Kanter seconded the motion which was carried by the committee members present: Howard Green, Glorida Banister, Stephen Kirby, Jim Chesley, Mary Cortina, and Frank Crandall.

A Copy Teste:

Shahab Baig

Clerk to the Exception Review Comittee



