## REQUIREMENTS FOR MINOR ADDITIONS IN RESOURCE PROTECTION AREAS

The attached form is for use by property owners in Fairfax County proposing an encroachment into a Resource Protection Area (RPA) for construction of a minor addition to an existing home that will result in no more than 2,500 sq. ft. of land disturbance.

RPAs are the corridors of environmentally sensitive land that lie alongside or near the shorelines of streams, rivers, and other waterways. In their natural condition, RPAs protect water quality. RPAs filter pollutants out of stormwater runoff, reduce the volume of stormwater runoff, prevent erosion, and perform other important biological and ecological functions. RPAs help to safeguard the quality of our rivers and streams and contribute to the health of the Chesapeake Bay. RPAs were established under the county's Chesapeake Bay Preservation Ordinance which was adopted in response to state regulations. Under the current ordinance, RPAs are required to be designated around all water bodies with perennial flow. Perennial flow means that water flows in the stream or other water body year- round during a year of normal precipitation. It is important to note that RPAs must be designated regardless of the presence of existing uses, encroachments, and prior vegetation clearing. RPAs are identified as 1993 RPAs or 2003 RPAs depending on the time that ordinance provisions first required their designation.

RPAs generally are areas into which development may not encroach. For those lots that have areas of RPA on them, the ordinance provides relief from the full effects of the RPA restrictions through the administrative exception provisions that allow minor additions to be added to existing homes that were constructed prior to adoption of the ordinance. This relief is provided to the current owners of these homes because the regulations were not in effect at the time the homes were built and the original builders did not have the opportunity to plan the location of these homes to avoid future conflicts with the RPA. At the same time, the county is required to ensure that any encroachment is the minimum necessary and that steps are taken to reduce the impacts of the encroachment on water quality. This is accomplished through the submission of a Water Quality Impact Assessment and the pro rata share payment, based on the increase in impervious area, which goes towards the construction of off-site drainage improvements identified in the county's drainage improvement plan.

A minor addition is an addition that has a footprint no larger than 1,000 sq. ft. or 2% of the lot area up to a maximum of 2,500 sq. ft. whichever is greater. The above are cumulative totals for the new impervious area that may be added to the lot under all minor addition exceptions. To be eligible to add a minor addition that encroaches into a 1993 RPA, the home must have been constructed prior to July 1, 1993. To be eligible to add a minor addition that encroaches into a 2003 RPA, the home must have been constructed prior to November 18, 2003. In determining eligibility, the date of construction of the home is the date that the Residential Use Permit (RUP) for the home was issued.

The state regulations on which the county's ordinance is based do not permit patios or detached structures such as detached garages, gazebos, or swimming pools to be treated as minor additions. The construction, in RPAs, of detached structures and additions that do not meet the eligibility requirements is still possible, but would be subject to an exception process requiring a public hearing. In addition, under certain limited circumstances, administrative approval of new decks for existing homes constructed in RPAs under the ordinance's loss of buildable area provisions may be granted.

Use the attached form to apply for an exception to permit construction of a qualifying minor addition to an existing home that will result in no more than 2,500 sq. ft. of land disturbance. It incorporates both an exception request and a Water Quality Impact Assessment. If your proposed addition qualifies as a minor addition but results in a disturbed area greater than 2,500 sq. ft., a grading plan will be required and you will follow a different submission process for your exception request and Water Quality Impact Assessment.

For further information, contact a stormwater engineer in Site Development and Inspections Division (SDID), Herrity Building - 5th floor, 12055 Government Center Parkway, Fairfax, VA 22035, telephone: 703- 324-1720, TTY 711.

If you are unsure whether your property contains an RPA, RPA maps may be viewed on the county's website at www.fairfaxcounty.gov/landdevelopment/chesapeake-bay-preservation-ordinance. You will also find additional information on ordinance requirements and the RPA mapping process on this site.

## CHESAPEAKE BAY PRESERVATION ORDINANCE EXCEPTION REQUEST FORM AND WATER QUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT FOR MINOR ADDITIONS

Building Permit Number:		Exception Number:	
Tax Map Number:		District:	
Owner Name(s):			
Property Street Address:			
	e existing house. Also, includ	d a 10-foot perimeter to the fo e a 10-foot-wide access path	otprint of the addition on the from the disturbed area of the
Proposed Work	Disturbed Area in RPA (sq. ft.)	Disturbed Area Outside of RPA (sq. ft.)	Total Disturbed Area (sq. ft.)
Addition			
Construction Access			
New Drainfield (if required)			
New Utility Connections (if required)			
Totals			
*Is the total of all dis	sturbed areas > 2,500 sq. ft.	?	
	· •	No (continue)	
Floodplain Information:			
☐ Is floodplain preser	t on the property?		
O Yes O No			
If Yes; O 100's	Stream Buffer O FEMA	Floodplain O County M	apped Floodplain
☐ Is a floodplain use	determination required?		
O Yes O No			
Project Information: (ch	eck all boxes that apply)		
Attach copy of hous	se location plat showing propo	osed construction	
Description of work	(e.g. build deck over existing	lawn area; build 2 story addi	tions with garage)
Resource Protectio	n Area (RPA) boundary and o	date of house construction (se	elect one below)
O 1993 RPA	O 2003 RPA O Y	/ear Built:	
		RPA or 2003 RPA) [§118-5-	5(a)]
		November 17, 2003 (2003 RI	
	• •	, ,	, 10
The proposed project is	not eligible for a "Minor Addi	tions" exception if:	
	-	use was constructed after Jun	e 30. 1993:
<del></del>	-	use was constructed after Nov	
<del></del>	•	ative impervious area for all m	
		•	_
than the larger	or 1,000 sq. it. or 2 % or the ic	ot area (up to a maximum of 2	,500 Sq. n.).
<ul><li>Has an exception for O No</li></ul>	or a minor addition been gran	ted at any time in the past for	this property?
O Yes, Exception N	lumber / Date:		
☐ Cumulative impervi	ous area <b>in RPA</b> for all for m	inor addition exceptions < tha	n 1,000 sq. ft. or 2% of lot area
up to 2,500 sq. ft.?			
Lot Area (sq. ft.)	Lot Area (	sq. ft.) x 0.02 =	
		O Pervious O Imp	
		— hrough the deck to a pervious	

☐ Are	a of new addition (sq. ft.) = sum all impervious areas:	
_	Area of new addition	
_	Area of new attached deck (impervious)	,
	Area added under prior exception (Exception Number  Subtotal	)
-	Subtract the area of any existing impervious surfaces to be pervious surfaces and any existing impervious surfaces or impervious deck is placed (include in description of work)	
_	Net cumulative impervious area in RPA	
Is the pro	posed project eligible for a "Minor Additions" exception?	
-	/es (continue) O No (STOP)	
	er information, contact a Stormwater Engineer in Site Development and Insp 5th floor, 12055 Government Center Parkway, Fairfax, VA 22035, or by pho	
Water Qu	ality Impact Assessment:	
a) Displa	ay the boundaries of the RPA (check one):	
	RPA boundary shown on house location plat $\hfill \square$ CBPA map attached	with lot identified
Otl	ner Map (describe)	
includ	ay and describe the location and nature of the proposed encroachment into ling any clearing, grading, impervious surfaces, structures, utilities, and severy of house location plat showing proposed construction attached?	
0,	res O No	
	ny clearing or grading proposed other than removal of existing lawn, patio,	or maintained landscaping?
If th	ne answer is yes, describe the vegetation to be removed (e.g. number, sizeds):	ze, and type of trees or area of
	de justification for the proposed encroachment into and/or impacts to the RI	 PA.
,	you reasonably avoid locating the addition in the RPA?	· · ·
	/es (STOP) O No (continue)	
entire	briefly describe why it is not practical to locate the proposed encroachment lot located in RPA, house has RPA on all sides, location outside of RPA wcks, existing utility easements constrain location, etc.)	ould not meet minimum yard
d) Desc	ibe the extent and nature of any proposed disturbance or disruption of wetl	ands
exception	ertify that the information provided above is true and correct to the best of to the requirements of the Chesapeake Bay Preservation Ordinance under to be allowed to construct the addition shown on the attached plat/grading	r Section 118-5-5, Minor
Applicant	Name (Print):	ner   Contractor   Other
Signature	:Date:_	
	Pro-Rata Share Computation for County Use Only	,
New Impe	ervious Area: (ft²) / 43,560 ft²/ac. x Rate: (	\$ per acre) = \$
•	Share is not required for attached decks constructed over existing maintain pass through the deck and no additional impervious area is created.)	ned areas where rainfall is
Except	ion No □ § 118-5-5(a) □ § 118-5-5(b)	
[Include	exception number and code reference on Building Permit application.] ew fee required for exception or WQIA	
Recommo	ended for approval by:	
		Date:
WQIA Re	viewer – SDID (Print Name) (Signature)	
Approved	by:	Date:
	Customer and Technical Support Center	