

---

THE FAIRFAX COUNTY ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW BOARD

Fairfax County Government Center  
12000 Government Center Pkwy., Fairfax  
Room 232  
6:30 PM  
6:30 p.m. meeting start

**Members Present:**

Christopher Daniel, Chairman  
Jason Zellman, Vice Chairman  
John A. Burns, FAIA, FAPT, Treasurer  
Gabriela Hammack  
Samantha Huang, AIA, LEED AP  
Stephen Kulinski, AIA  
Rachael Mangum\*  
Elise Murray  
Kaye Orr, AIA  
Joseph Plumpe, PLA

**Members Absent:**

Ali Akbar Sohanguhpurwala

**Staff Present:**

Denice Dressel, *Heritage  
Resources Branch Chief*  
Daniel White,  
*ARB Administrator*  
Jonathan Kaplan,  
*Heritage Resources Planner*  
Ryan Johnson,  
*Recording Secretary*

*\*Arrived after the commencement of  
meeting.*

Mr. Daniel opened the December 12, 2024, meeting of the Architectural Review Board (ARB) at 6:31 p.m. Mr. Daniel started the meeting with a motion related to public comment time limitations.

**Public Comment**

The ARB needs to determine how long each member of the public will be able to speak during the public comment periods. The public will be allowed to comment after the consent agenda items and after each action item, as is standard ARB practice.

**Mr. Kulinski moved, and was seconded by Ms. Orr, that each member of the public will have 3 minutes to speak. The motion passed unanimously.**

Mr. Daniel stated that the meeting is being recorded, and will be posted online within 10 days.

**READING OF STATEMENT OF PURPOSE AND INTENT OF HOD'S**

**Mr. Zellman read the opening Statement of Purpose.**

**APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA- Chair**

**Mr. Kulinski moved to approve the agenda, as submitted. Ms. Orr seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.**

Mr. Daniel mentioned that presentation time should be limited to 8 minutes for first presentations, and 3 minutes for resubmissions.

**INTRODUCTION/RECOGNITION OF GUESTS: Staff. None.**

**Mr. Daniel thanked Mr. Plumpe for bringing cookies and wished everyone happy holidays.**

**CONSENT CALENDAR ACTION ITEMS: None.**

**ITEMS FOR ACTION:**

1. **ARB-24-HOL-31 – 2404 Elba Ct. Deck** located at 2404 Elba Ct., Alexandria, tax map 0933 16 0004, in the Hollin Hills HOD. The applicant is proposing the construction of a deck. Anthony Calabro represents the application. **PLUS # ARB-2024-MV-00052. Mount Vernon District.**

**\*\*Mr. Burns read his disclosure statement. See Attachment 1.\*\***

**Presentation/Discussion**

- Mr. Calabro presented. Proposed deck on south side of home, and will be situated closest to street. The deck is replacing existing deck in same area. New 14' x by 14' deck- steps down to grade. Composite decking material. Grayish color with weathered oak pattern. Aluminum railing, with cable link system in between rails. Mr. Calabro presented a physical sample of the aforementioned materials.
- **Mr. Daniel asked for public comment. None.**
- **Mr. Daniel asked for Member comments:**
  - Mr. Kulinski asked when the existing deck was removed. The applicant was unsure. Mr. Kulinski asked about an inconsistency- there is an intermediate post shown on the physical sample, and the submitted drawings show 7 sets of rails with no intermediate support. The response from the applicant was that the intermediate support would be provided.
  - Mr. Kulinski asked about color of proposed posts. Applicant responded matte white. Cable railing will be aluminum. Mr. Kulinski also asked about the height of railing. Applicant responded 36 inches.
  - Mr. Zellman asked if there is anything else not shown on drawings that would be different. The applicant responded that there is a secondary handrail inside of the steps.

- Mr. Kulinski asked about the bottom rail. The applicant responded that the bottom rail is not shown on the drawings, but it will be included.
- Mr. Burns asked if the deck flooring is at same elevation as the deck that was removed. The response from applicant was yes.
- Mr. Burns was concerned that many items were not shown on the submitted drawings with this application, and that there should be an elaborate condition that addresses the discrepancies.
- Ms. Hammack asked if the front deck is going to match the existing deck on the rear. The applicant responded no.
- Ms. Murray asked if there were stairs on the plan. The applicant responded yes.
- Mr. Daniel stated that at workshop, the ARB had requested more detailed drawings.
- Mr. Daniel stated that the motion could have modification for staff review.

**Mr. Zellman moved, and was seconded by Ms. Murray, that the ARB approve action item ARB-24-HOL-31, located at 2404 Elba Ct., Alexandria, tax map 0933 16 0004, in the Hollin Hills HOD, for the proposed deck construction, as submitted and presented at the Error! Reference source not found., ARB meeting, with the following modification:**

- **That applicant submit drawings to Staff that show intermediate post, bottom rail, and stair secondary interior handrail as was described at the December 12, 2024 ARB meeting.**

**Upon review of the materials, the proposal is found to meet the requirements of Zoning Ordinance 3101-HISTORIC OVERLAY DISTRICTS. The motion passed unanimously.**

2. **ARB-24-HUN-01 – 7121 Harrison Ln. Addition** located at 7121 Harrison Ln., Alexandria, tax map 0924 01 0006, in the Huntley HOD. The applicant is proposing the construction of a garage addition with a second story storage room. Kirk Guillory represents the application. **PLUS # ARB-2024-FR-00051. Franconia District.**

**Presentation/Discussion**

- Mr. Guillory- 2 items : garage is now flush with plane of existing house, and front gable facing the street has been reduced to center it perfectly above garage door, making it diminutive to main house. Same light fixtures on existing home will be used on addition. Shutter size changed to functionally enclose window. Corbels were changed to address workshop feedback.
- Ms. Hammack stated that the changes have made the composition excellent, and would support.

- Mr. Burns stated that there is no roof overhang over the south side, but the original house does have an overhang. The applicant stated that because of the setback reduction issues, they played it safe.
- Mr. Daniel stated that the brackets on the side elevation did not seem necessary.
- Mr. Kulinski reiterated that the corbels should go.
- The homeowner stated that she likes the corbels and they are used elsewhere on the existing home. The brackets on the plane side of the addition should not be removed.
- Mr. Burns stated that the brackets are not going to be directly visible unless someone is standing right there.
- Ms. Hammack asked if the applicant had heard from neighboring property. Homeowner responded no.
- Mr. Kulinski asked about the corbels- would it match existing or would it be curved as shown on drawings. Applicant responded it to match existing.
- Ms. Orr asked about the brackets shown on the plans on the front elevation, curly Q. The plans don't match the existing brackets.

**Ms. Hammack moved, and was seconded by Ms. Mangum , that the ARB approve action item ARB-24-HUN-01, located at 7121 Harrison Ln. Alexandria, tax map 0924 01 0006, in the Huntley HOD, for the proposed addition, as submitted and presented at the Error! Reference source not found., ARB meeting, with the following modification:**

- **All new brackets installed as part of the proposed addition must either re-use existing brackets, as shown in the color photographs presented at the December 12, 2024, meeting, or install new brackets that are substantially similar.**

**Upon review of the materials, the proposal is found to meet the requirements of Zoning Ordinance 3101-HISTORIC OVERLAY DISTRICTS.**

**Mr. Daniel called for a roll-call vote:**

- **Ms. Hammack- Aye**
- **Mr. Burns- Aye**
- **Ms. Orr- Aye**
- **Mr. Zellman- Aye**
- **Mr. Daniel- Aye**
- **Mr. Kulinski- Aye**
- **Mr. Plumpe- Aye**
- **Ms. Murray- Aye**
- **Ms. Huang- Aye**
- **Ms. Mangum- Aye**

**The motion passed 10-0.**

3. **ARB-24-HOL-25 – 2405 Nemeth Ct. Addition** located at 2405 Nemeth Ct Alexandria, tax map 0933 12 0011, in the Hollin Hills HOD. The applicant is proposing a one-story addition. Scott Sterl represents the application. **PLUS # ARB-2024-MV-00045. Mount Vernon District.**

**Presentation/Discussion**

- Mr. Sterl stated that he had corrected the window frame issue given previous ARB feedback. Hollin Hills DRB preferred 2 x 6 with fixed glass. Found a window that is 1.5” wide. Pre-finished, aluminum, more energy efficient.
- Ms. Hammack asked the applicant if he preferred the Andersen option. The applicant responded that he is basically presenting what the DRB recommended.
- **Daniel asked for public comment. None.**
- **Members:**
  - Mr. Burns asked about the Andersen option- need to have the ability to review the window design. Windows are a big deal in Hollin Hills- an option that may be more energy efficient and meets the needs of the community needs to be reviewed.
  - Mr. Plumpe asked if it would be possible to bring a sample to next meeting.
  - Mr. White stated that the new window was downloaded to the meeting materials and uploaded on Tuesday.
  - Mr. Burns asked about the square edge of the windows, and it could potentially have a “storefront” look, so this needs to be looked at carefully.
  - The applicant displayed the Quartz design.
  - Applicant wants the vote to happen with the current Andersen windows- the window option (Quartz) was not provided in time for the Arb to consider.

**Mr. Kulinski moved, and was seconded by Ms. Mangum, that the ARB approve action item ARB-24-HOL-25, located at 2405 Nemeth Ct., Alexandria, tax map 0933 12 0011, in the Hollin Hills HOD, for the proposed addition, as submitted and presented at the Error! Reference source not found., ARB meeting. Upon review of the materials, the proposal is found to meet the requirements of Zoning Ordinance 3101- HISTORIC OVERLAY DISTRICTS. The motion passed 9-0-1, with Mr. Plumpe abstaining.**

**ITEMS FOR WORKSHOP SESSION:**

1. **ARB-24-HOL-32WS – 2205 Glasgow Rd. Solar** located at 2205 Glasgow Rd. Alexandria, tax map 0933 04 0230, in the Hollin Hills HOD. The applicant is proposing the installation of twenty-four (24) roof-mounted solar panels. David Coker

represents the application. **PLUS # ARBWK-2024-MV-00023. Mount Vernon District.**

**Presentation/Discussion**

- David and Cathy Coker co-presented. Displayed the HOD vicinity map. Solar panels are on back edge of roof. Front edge of roof faces Glasgow Rd. The solar panels occupy almost all of back roof. 24 panels. Battery is 3.5” by 20”. 7.8” deep. Battery view will be obscured by existing shed. Solar equipment will be installed near home’s existing electrical equipment. Showed photos from surrounding homes and the street.

**Member Comments:**

- Mr. Zellman thanked applicants, and asked where is the conduit. Will it be running down exterior of home, or will it be interior. Applicants responded that it will be running down the wall nearby existing power lines along the rear of the house. Mr. Zellman stated the chosen location is great.
- Ms. Orr stated that the profile of the solar panel should be provided- that is the type of detail needed for an action item. How is it mounted on the roof.
- Ms. Hammack thanked applicants for a thorough presentation, but she was more concerned about what can be seen from public ROW. She stated that the visibility from the neighboring properties seems reasonable.
- Ms. Murray stated that there was a recent solar panel ARB approval in Hollin Hills from a nearby- Mr. White could send to applicant.
- Mr. Burns stated there are some differences between that property (the previous ARB approval mentioned by Ms. Murray) and this one, this one is situated better for sunlight, and the relationship to the street is not as pronounced. The plane of the simple roof makes this an easier case. Mr. Burns also repeated that the ARB needs to know where the electrical lines will go, for the final action item.
- Mr. Daniel mentioned that a drawing of the side of the house- with the exact dimensions, or a photograph showing the dimensions and boxes, should be provided for the action item.
- Mr. Zellman reiterated that the design guidelines will need to be consulted.

2. **ARB-24-LOR-13WS – 9030 Power House Rd. Alterations** located in the 9030 Power House Rd Lorton, tax map 1071 09 H1, in the Lorton/Laurel Hill MOA Eligible District. The applicant is proposing the adaptive reuse of building P-12, the former dining hall, into a climbing gym operated by Sport Rock. Proposed exterior alterations include the placement of HVAC units and associated wall penetrations, installation of entrance signage, and the construction of an outdoor climbing structure on an expanded concrete patio within the quadrangle on the south side of the building. Jack Perkins and Michael Nanney represent the application. **PLUS # ARBWK-2024-MV-00022. Mount Vernon District.**

**Presentation/Discussion:**

- Mr. Perkins presented. Building P-12. Sport Rock- first professional climbing gym. Over 30 years in business. 4<sup>th</sup> location in the D.C. metro area. The building is over 9,000 sf. Ceiling heights are upwards of 19 ft. Building used to be a dining hall. Seeking VDHR tax credits. Made a preliminary presentation to VDHR- expecting to receive approval of application within the next few weeks, as it has been in for 30 days. Mr. Nanney is the CEO of Sport Rock. 7,000 members. Joined by Bob Dunning- Dunning Group Architects. Local firm. Modifications will be done on parking lot side of P-12. Retail is complimentary. Lots of building-mounted signage for the tenants. P-12 structure- awning element has been added, brick color has been changed- Intentional design change to differentiate old vs new brick. Side court area. Proposing the parking lot facing elevation as the building entrance elevation.
- 4 primary changes: 1. Exterior signage. 2. Exterior equipment- buildings don't have HVAC, and they need new HVAC. 3. Restore existing lightwell in rear of building to bring light in to structure. 4. Recreational element on the playground side.
- Signage- building- mounted illuminated signage incorporating corporate logo. Complimentary.
- HVAC Mechanical equipment- 6 DRF units (outdoor for heat pumps)- cannot be located inside buildings. Box type units- 4 ft. high, 4 ft. length, 22 inch wide- sitting on pads outside. (3 proposed locations- Putting all 6 units near front (facing retail center), or 6 units near left rear (west), or 6 near left right (east). Showed precedent units at existing childcare. Units sit on concrete pads. Penetrations – white piping, black electrical conduit.
- Lightwell- currently dirt is filled in window well. Would like to excavate the dirt and put a safety grate that would match the black material that is elsewhere on the site. Add window.
- Recreational area- outdoor boulder. Existing concrete patio- extend concrete closer back to building to get a bigger surface area. Outdoor bouldering element- enclosed by fencing- 8' high spear point aluminum fence. Framed climbing element. Sun and weather canopy- spans across the top of the boulder element. Stretched fabric option.

**Member Comments:**

- Proposed signage: Mr. Daniel mentioned there is an approved sign plan for the area. Mr. Daniel asked could the sign be bigger given the area where its going. Applicant stated that could be considered.
- Ms. Hammack mentioned the sign should not be any larger. Ms. Hammack mentioned maybe a logo or sign could be placed within interior, and behind large expanse of the wall. Ms. Hammack also asked if HVAC would be just for the proposed rock-climbing gym, and the applicant responded yes.

- Mr. Burns asked what are the character-defining structures for this building. What is the baseline for our review. This information should be highlighted in the action item.
- Mr. Burns asked how many HVAC are needed. The applicant responded 6 in total. Basically 3 pairs of 2 units.
- Mr. Plumpe stated that the proposed outdoor platform is using a public space for a private use- central patio area. Mr. Plumpe asked about potentially expanding the lightwell- put the HVAC below-grade.
- Mr. Zellman mentioned legally we have allowed HVAC structures above-grade elsewhere in the site.
- A discussion ensued about the HVAC locations.
- Ms. Murray asked about the iron fencing- VDHR is wed to iron fencing, although the ARB might be OK with wood.
- Mr. Burns mentioned to keep the HVAC in one location. Mr. Burns noted to possibly put the HVAC in the front area closest to the building.
- Mr. Plumpe mentioned maybe work with the Workhouse Arts foundation to make it artistic. Art-like fence also.
- Ms. Hammack thinks the black fence is too visually oppressive. Does not like the stretched canopy. Maybe something more integral to the building could be considered.
- Ms. Huang suggested to remove the canopy, or detach it from the building. Make it freestanding. Also stated that the fencing should not block the existing sidewalk.
- Mr. Burns mentioned these are large buildings- maybe re-do the perspectives- what is the outdoor boulder compared to the building. Put people in the rendering.
- Ms. Orr asked about the trash location. Mr. Perkins stated that the trash enclosure is plan southwest towards perimeter of site.
- Mr. Burns asked if the BOS oversight with the MOA includes interior components. Mr. White responded no.
- Mr. Plumpe asked what about the roof plan- vents, etc. Is there a way to show that detail.

## **PRESENTATIONS:**

1. **Update on Plan Amendment 2022-III-BR1 Centreville Planning Study** by Michael Burton, Department of Planning and Development, Monitoring and Plan Development Branch.

On October 25, 2022, the Board of Supervisors authorized the consideration of a Comprehensive Plan amendment for the Centreville Planning Area in the Sully Supervisor District. The adopted Plan for this area covers approximately 2,700 acres and includes recommendations for a wide range of land uses in the Centreville Area section of the Comprehensive Plan. The Board requested that staff review the Plan guidance for the Centreville Area and Suburban Center,



which has not been comprehensively reviewed in over 25 years. The study area encompasses the Centreville HOD. The study aims to advance inclusive community engagement recommendations and based on study findings, identify new Plan priorities, potential opportunities for redevelopment, and/or changes to the Comprehensive Plan. **Sully District.**

- **Michael Burton, Planner, DPD, presented:** Plan Amendment: Fall 2022- new planning principles and ideas- Study area map shown. Stringfellow Road to Stone Road, Braddock Road to Centreville Road. Purpose of the study is to encourage future growth in this area
- Study started in 2023. Staff has been talking with key stakeholders in the community. It has been about 30 years since the Comprehensive Plan language was updated. Community survey with 687 participants provided insight into the lived experience. Highlights: to protect park spaces, history, and have gathering spaces, walkability and alternative modes of transportation. Most of the area is developed with residential use. Exploded in 1980's.
- Task force- started in early 2024. 8 draft principles- public spaces, diversity, environmental sustainability, vibrant town center, continuum of housing needs, multi-modal tans, community institutional, historical/cultural.
- Community workshop Themes: walkability, central gathering space, opportunity areas
- Most opportunity areas are in the Route 29 area.
- Opportunity: activation of park space in the HOD. Currently, much is fenced-in and overgrown. One goal is to celebrate history and invite people into space. Character in area should reflect the HOD. Relationship of the commercial area to the HOD should be optimized.
- Specific recommendations will be coming in 2025.
- Discussed Parcel 54-4((4)) 2- preservation of Civil War artifacts.
- Late Summer 2025 before recommendations go before the BOS.

#### **Member Comments**

- Ms. Hammack stated the Centreville study is a lot of work, and thanked staff for their dedication.
- Mr. Daniel mentioned that he lived in Centreville once, within the Trinity area, and likes the fact that the plan is trying to energize the HOD.
- Ms. Murray mentioned FCPA owns a lot of the land near the HOD but it largely sits unused.
- Mr. Burns stated that the 2013 Reston Comprehensive Plan study could have been improved upon with greater emphasis on heritage resources.

He mentioned Isaac Newton Square, API Building, and asked if heritage resources (especially those outside of the HOD) have been identified. Staff responded that this issue is currently under review and more information is coming soon.

- Mr. Burns also asked about walkability. Mr. Burton stated it will be a challenge, because major thoroughfares divide the community.

2. FCPS is submitting an application for the proposed redevelopment of the Dunn Loring Elementary School site, at 2334 Gallows Rd. The proposal includes the demolition of the existing historic Dunn Loring Elementary School building, listed in the Inventory of Historic Sites, and construction of a new elementary school on the parcel. The application is scheduled to appear before the Planning Commission in January 2025 and the Board of Supervisors in early 2025. **Providence District.**

- Tom Lee, SAMA Architects. There have been 6 meetings with the community. The rezoning process is ongoing. Ultimate goal is to open by 2028. Demo existing building and build new building. No phased development. No children on site until completion. Mr. Lee displayed imagery of the existing site at Idylwood Rd. and Gallows Rd. Existing bldg. is about 42,000 s.f., and is low-slung and sprawling. Significant slope along site. ADA compliance is an issue. Current building does not have space to meet teaching standards.
- Proposed 4-story building option chosen. Graded around the building- retaining walls near property lines. Kiss-and-ride. Bus loop. Loading dock is screened from Gallows Rd. at lower elevation. Modular playgrounds. Public shared use trail down Gallows Rd. and Idylwood Rd. Access to all outdoor playing elements and teaching spaces.
- Miscellaneous design features: There is a long kiss-and-ride loop- can queue up to 50 cars; Bus loop can accommodate 14 buses; Proposed brick matches the existing facility; Maintained white mullions window design as in existing facility; Library canopy on 2<sup>nd</sup> floor- also protects lower-level bus drop off area; Building will be cut in to the site, and the lower elevation helps to block headlights and vehicle sounds; Tapered upper floors with smaller footprint.
- Historic resources outreach: GDP (generalized development plan), have committed to some proffers.
  - Applicant is currently in-process of doing PHASE I Archaeological survey. If warranted, a Phase II survey will be conducted.
  - Photo documentation, submission to DPD Heritage Resources, Virginia Room, etc.

- Interpretive exhibit- history of the site, important figures, interpretive wayside markers- work with History Commission.
- Interpretive display location interior of building.
- Internal Federal works plaque-will be committed to Virginia Room
- Salvage a minimum of 50 bricks- incorporate into an accessory structure or bench.
- Work with EHT Traceries on interpretive sign.

## **Member Comments**

- Ms. Hammack asked how long the building has been used as an administrative office. There was not a definitive response provided.
- Mr. Daniel stated that since 2022, engagement with DPD Heritage Resources, did not occur, since there was not a requirement to come to the ARB. Mr. Daniel also stated that, at this point, the design is set.
- Ms. Murray stated that she is also on the History Commission, and objects to the cavalier demolition of the building.
- Ms. Hammack is not happy about the building being torn down. She stated that there does not seem to be a reason to keep bricks. She likes the idea of the interior installation about the history of the site.
- Ms. Hammack also stated that the building checks all the boxes (for a good preservation story)- it is part of the Federal Works era, and intact.
- Mr. Burns stated that the presentation is about the new school and how it meets the County needs, and the associated mitigation measures- bricks and plaques. Mr. Burns is more concerned about the indifference to the current plan of FCPS system-wide when it comes to heritage resources. The County had a post-war boom on school buildings, and given how important the schools are to the history of the County, it merits further consideration and analysis. What is the school system doing to address the built heritage. Is this the last school standing from that era.
- Scott Ishback, Director of Construction for FCPS, stated that there are some heritage-focused success stories, such as the Louise Archer School. Wants to repair relationship between ARB and FCPS.
- Mr. Burns mentioned that more historic buildings and information needs to be on the FCPS website.
- Ms. Hammack mentioned that this could be handled more like a contextual analysis- an entire preservationist contextual study – inventory of existing school buildings.
- Ms. Huang asked was there a feasibility study conducted that could have included heritage resources at a much earlier point, perhaps even leading to a recommendation that the school façade could be maintained.

- Ms. Mangum asked about the proffers program, and whether something could be built into it to require an earlier analysis of historic context.
- Mr. Daniel stated that the ARB is a great knowledge base that can be consulted regarding historic matters and design. Proffers in this case are inadequate. The Preservation Plan has a bare minimum recommendation. The ARB can go beyond these items with their recommendations. The County can do great design. There should even have been an ethnography, starting with the freed people that had to give up their land to have this school originally built.
- Mr. Daniel stated that there really needs to be a strong proffer about interpretive design.
- Mr. Daniel asked about potentially locating interpretive elements on the proposed retaining wall along the rear of the property. Mr. Ishback responded that there are maintenance issues regarding placing interpretive materials along there.
- ARB will convey to new ARB Chair that the proffers are inadequate.

#### **BOARD AND STAFF ITEMS:**

- **Review and action on approval of previous months' minutes: November 14, 2024. Mr. Zellman moved, and was seconded by Mr. Kulinski and Ms. Orr, to approve the meeting minutes for the November 14, 2024, ARB meeting and pay the recording secretary, Ryan Johnson. The motion passed 9-0-1, with Mr. Burns abstaining.**

**Treasurer's Report:** Ending balance- no statement this month. Ms. Murray got reimbursed plus the clerk's fee per Mr. White.

#### **• Administrative:**

- **Officer Elections:** Nomination committee: Mr. Kulinski that the Nominating Committee submits the following nominations:
  - **Jason Zellman** for Chairperson; Mr. Daniel asked if there were any others, and then closed the respective nomination.
  - **Christopher Daniel** for Vice-Chairperson; Mr. Daniel asked if there were any others, and then closed the respective nomination.
  - **Rachael Mangum** for Treasurer; Mr. Daniel asked if there were any others, and then closed the respective nomination.

**Mr. Daniel stated that there is an uncontested slate of officers.**

**Ms. Hammack made motion for ARB to elect the aforementioned comprehensive slate of officers. The motion was seconded by Ms. Orr. The motion passed unanimously.**

#### **• Discussion/Update Reports:**

- **Mt. Gilead Carriage House- FCPA. Mr. White will email details.**

- **Project kickoff- Robinson. Post Modern Project.** 50/50 Grant funds and VDHR/DPD. Project may be complete by August 2025. Directed survey. Post Modern resources throughout the county. 1975 to 1995. Focus is primarily on commercial. Matrix and rubric- to help identify resources.
- **Midcentury Modern draft** – nearing completion. Send comments to Mr. White. Mr. Burns stated that many within the draft appeared to be mediocre buildings. Asked what is the date period.
- **Correspondence, Announcements: (Staff)**
  - **Heritage Resources Branch Update:** Mr. White is leaving the County- January 3. Moving to Hong Kong. Jonathan is working as Planner II- ARB Support. Laura Kviklys will be attending meetings as Planner III.
- **New/other business:**
  - Mr. Zellman congratulated Mr. Daniel on his work as ARB Chair and wished Mr. White well.

**Mr. Daniel made motion to adjourn at 10:27 p.m.**

*The ARB Administrator will stamp and sign copies of approved drawings or other application documents following the meeting at which approvals are granted, or at such time as drawings amended to reflect ARB actions are received by the administrator. Applicants may be required to submit additional copies of approved drawings or other application documents. Applicants may request copies of meeting minutes within 2 weeks of the meeting at which the ARB approved the minutes. Stamped drawings, letters from administrator documenting ARB action or copies of relevant minutes are required prior to projects being approved by county review and permitting agencies.*

*For further information contact, ARB Administrator, Fairfax County Department of Planning and Development (DPD), at (703) 324-13*

### **ATTACHMENT 1: Mr. John Burn's Disclosure Statement**

I, John Burns, under Virginia Code Sec. 2.2-3112(B)(1) and 2.2-3115(H), declare my personal interest in transactions brought before the Architectural Review Board involving the Hollin Hills HOD and specifically state the following for the December 12, 2024, ARB meeting:

- (i) Action items ARB-24-HOL-31 – 2404 Elba Court deck and ARB-24-HOL-25 – 2405 Nemeth Court addition, and workshop item ARB-24-HOL-32WS – 2205 Glasgow Road roof-mounted solar panels involve the Hollin Hills Historic Overlay District;
- (ii) The nature of my personal interest is that I own and reside in a home that is valued at over \$5,000\* and is located in the Hollin Hills HOD;
- (iii) I am a member of a group of three or more persons who are members of which are affected by the transaction; and
- (iv) I am able to participate in the transactions fairly, objectively, and in the public interest.