

# APPROVED MINUTES

March 11, 2021

---

## THE FAIRFAX COUNTY ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW BOARD

### Virtual Meeting- Using Webex Fairfax County Platform 6:30 p.m. meeting start

#### Members Present:

Christopher Daniel, Chairman  
Jason Zellman, Vice Chairman  
Michele Aubry, Treasurer  
John A. Burns, FAIA  
Kaye Orr, AIA  
Elise Murray  
Samantha Huang  
Joseph Plumpe, ASLA\*

#### Members Excused:

Susan Notkins, AIA  
Mike McReynolds  
Steve Kulinski

#### Staff Present:

Nicole Brannan,  
*Senior Historic Preservation  
Planner*  
Kyra Davis,  
*Planning Technician*  
Ryan Johnson,  
*Recording Secretary*

*\*Arrived after the commencement of  
meeting.*

**Mr. Daniel opened the March 11, 2021 meeting of the Architectural Review Board (ARB) at 6:32 p.m. using the Webex Fairfax County Platform. Mr. Daniel started the meeting with emergency motions related to the COVID-19 pandemic.**

#### **COVID-19 SPECIAL MOTIONS (Summary)**

1. A quorum of the ARB must be participating remotely;
2. A vote to ensure that each member of the ARB may be adequately heard and that all members can hear each other;
3. A vote to verify that the usual FOIA procedures cannot be implemented safely or practically;
4. A vote to verify that every item on the agenda is either related to the emergency or necessary to assure continuity in government, or both; and
5. Public comment time limitations.

#### **COVID-19 SPECIAL MOTIONS (Motions)**

**Mr. Daniel so moved:**

To conduct this meeting wholly electronically and to effectuate both the emergency procedures authorized by FOIA the ARB needs to make certain findings and determinations for the record. It's a bit cumbersome, so I ask you in advance for your patience.

#### **1. Audibility of Members' Voices**

First, because each member of this ARB is participating in this meeting from a separate location, we must verify that a quorum of members is participating, and that each member's voice is clear, audible, and at an appropriate volume for all of the other members. Accordingly, I am going to conduct a roll call, and ask each ARB member participating in this meeting to state your name and the location from which you are participating. I ask that each of you pay close attention to ensure that you can hear each of your colleagues. Following this roll call, we will vote to establish that every member can hear every other member.

(Mr. Daniel continued with a roll call of Members, in accordance with above instructions):

- **Ms. Aubry- aye, private residence, can hear**
- **Mr. Burns- aye, private residence, can hear**
- **Ms. Huang- aye, private residence, can hear**
- **Mr. Kulinski- no response, not present**
- **Ms. Murray- aye, private residence, can hear**
- **Ms. Notkins- no response, not present**
- **Ms. Orr- aye, private residence, can hear**
- **Mr. Plumpe- no response, not present**
- **Mr. Zellman- aye, private residence, can hear**
- **Mr. McReynolds- no response, not present**
- **Mr. Daniel- aye, private residence, can hear**

Mr. Daniel passed the virtual gavel to the Vice-Chairman (Mr. Zellman), so that he could be heard to make the requisite motion.

**Mr. Daniel moved that every member that is present can be clearly heard. The motion was seconded by Ms. Murray, and passed unanimously.**

## **2. Quorum of ARB members-**

**Mr. Daniel so moved:**

As determined by the roll call, 7 members of the ARB are present and therefore satisfy the ARB quorum minimum. The ARB by-laws state that a minimum of 6 members are required to determine a quorum.

**The motion was seconded by Mr. Burns, and passed unanimously.**

## **3. Need for an Electronic Meeting**

**Mr. Daniel so moved:**

Third, having established that each member's voice may be heard by every other member, we must next establish the nature of the emergency that compels these emergency procedures, the fact that we are meeting electronically, what type of electronic communication is being used, and how we have arranged for public access to this meeting. Therefore, I move that the State of Emergency caused by the COVID-19 pandemic makes it unsafe for this ARB to physically

assemble and unsafe for the public to physically attend any such meeting, and that as such, FOIA's usual procedures, which require the physical assembly of the ARB and the physical presence of the public, cannot be implemented safely or practically. I further move that ARB may conduct this meeting electronically through Webex, a county virtual meeting platform and available for access through the ARB county website or through phone at: 1-866-799-3293 with Access code: 129 890 6619. It is so moved.

**The motion was seconded by Ms. Orr, and passed unanimously.**

**4. Need to dispense with FOIA's Usual Procedures to Assure Continuity in Government/Continue Operations**

**Mr. Daniel so moved:**

Finally, it is next required that all of the matters addressed on today's agenda are statutorily required or necessary to continue operations and the discharge of this Board's lawful purposes, duties, and responsibilities. It is so moved.

**The motion was seconded by Mr. Burns, and passed unanimously.**

**5. Public Comments:**

Mr. Daniel moved that the ARB needs to determine how long each member of the public will be able to speak during the public comment periods. The public will be allowed to comment after the consent agenda items and after each action item, as is standard ARB practice.

**Mr. Daniel moved that each member of the public will have 3 minutes to speak. The motion was seconded by Ms. Huang, and passed unanimously.**

Mr. Zellman handed the virtual gavel back to Mr. Daniel.

**VIRTUAL MEETING WEBEX INFORMATION- Staff (Nicole Brannan)**

- Ms. Brannan informed all attendees that the meeting is being recorded, and it will be posted online in 10 days. She directed attendees to use the Q&A box or chat function in the Webex Platform for inquiries and staff would monitor accordingly, and that attendees that are experiencing technical issues to please call Webex Technical Assistance at 1-866-799-3293.

**READING OF STATEMENT OF PURPOSE AND INTENT OF HOD'S**

**Ms. Orr read the opening Statement of Purpose.**

**APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA- Chair**

- **Mr. Burns moved to approve the agenda, as was updated by staff on March 10, 2021, and was seconded by Mr. Zellman. The motion passed unanimously.**

**INTRODUCTION/RECOGNITION OF GUESTS (Based on Webex attendees list)**

Laura Arseneau, Fairfax County

Denice Dressel, Fairfax County

Sarah Vonesh, EHT Tracerics  
Benjamin Walker  
Mila Antova  
Jack Perkins  
Rute Boye

**CONSENT CALENDAR ACTION ITEMS:** None.

**ITEMS FOR ACTION:**

- **ARB-21-SUL-01 – Install Illuminated Sign over building entrance** located at 3750 Centerview Drive, tax map 34-4 ((12)) 0009. The applicant is proposing to install an illuminated wall sign over the main building entrance. The sign is replacing a previously-installed illuminated sign. Mr. Gary Brent represents the application. **Sully District.**
  - **Presentation/Discussion**
    - Mr. Daniel reminded presenters of a general 8-minute maximum presentation time for new items, and a 3-minute maximum for repeat items.
    - Mr. Gary Brent presented:
      - The applicant is proposing to install a 48 sq. ft. internally illuminated sign that will read “JR Jenkins Restorations”. The tenant will be located in Suite 100, on the 1<sup>st</sup> floor. The sign is essentially replacing the previous tenant sign that was in similar location.
    - Mr. Daniel asked for public comments. None.
    - **Mr. Daniel asked ARB Members for comments:**
      - Ms. Aubry: No comments.
      - Mr. Burns: No comments. Appreciate the fact that there is no additional sign on the side of the building as the previous tenant had.
      - Ms. Huang: No comments.
      - Ms. Murray: No comments.
      - Ms. Orr: Looks fine.
      - Mr. Zellman: No comments.
      - Mr. Daniel: The motion should reflect that the sign meet the County’s requirements prior to installation.

**\*\* Mr. Plumpe joined the meeting at 6:46 p.m.\*\***

- Mr. Plumpe: No comments.

- **Mr. Burns moved, and was seconded by Ms. Orr, that the ARB approve action item ARB-21-SUL-01 for the proposed installation of an illuminated sign over the building entrance located at 3750 Centerview Drive, tax map 34-4 ((12)) 0009 in the Sully Historic Overlay District, as submitted and presented at the March 11, 2021 ARB meeting subject to the following condition:**
  - **The Applicant must ensure compliance with all County requirements prior to installation.**

**Upon review of the materials, the proposal is found to meet requirements of Zoning Ordinance 7-200 HISTORIC OVERLAY DISTRICTS. The motion passed unanimously.**

- **ARB-05-LFK-02 Amendment (2) - Proposal for landscape to a single-family residential dwelling** located at 1000 Dogue Hill Lane, tax map 22-3 ((08)) 0004A. The applicant is proposing the installation of landscaping to complement the new addition approved by the ARB in September 2020 (ARB 05-LFK-02 Amendment). The applicant presented as a workshop item at the January ARB meeting. Mr. Jay Graham and Ms. Mila Antova represent the application. **Dranesville District.**
  - **Presentation/Discussion:**
    - Ms. Antova presented the changes since the workshop presentation in January:
      - Stair risers have been made higher, resulting in less stairs overall.
      - The fountain is now a convex orientation versus previous concave orientation.
      - The view from the solarium to the house has been enhanced.
      - Proposed drains at base of solarium to collect water, more proposed plantings to adequately collect water.
      - No invasive plants in latest design.
      - Lighting plan added more lighting detail:
        - Central trees and fountain will be up-lit, and stairs will have step lights. There will be a downlight on the trees which are situated on the higher part of the lot.
        - There will be wall wash lights.
        - There will be a up-light on a proposed urn.
        - Proposed lights will be shielded and directional, and full cut-off. All proposed lights will be LED.
      - The stone used for the patio pavement will match the existing stone on the house.
    - Mr. Daniel asked for public comments. None.
    - **Mr. Daniel asked ARB Members for comments:**
      - Ms. Aubry: Thanked the applicant for the presentation. Beautiful plan and seems to have no negative effect on the HOD.
      - Mr. Burns: Complimented the presenter on quality and professionalism and quality of the proposed work.
      - Ms. Huang: Thanked applicant for the presentation. No further comments.
      - Ms. Murray: No comments.
      - Ms. Orr: Thanked applicant for hard work. Looks fine.
      - Mr. Plumpe: Great design.
      - Mr. Zellman: No comments.
      - Mr. Daniel: No comments.
  - **Mr. Zellman moved, and was seconded by Ms. Aubry, that the ARB approve an amendment to action item ARB-05-LFK-02, for proposed landscaping associated with the solarium addition to the single-family residential dwelling located at 1000 Dogue Hill Lane, tax map 22-3 ((08)) 0004A in the Langley Fork Overlay District, as submitted and presented at the March 11, 2021 ARB meeting. Upon review of the**

**materials, the proposal is found to meet requirements of Zoning Ordinance 7-200 HISTORIC OVERLAY DISTRICTS. The motion passed unanimously.**

- **ARB-21-WEL-01 Proposal for a new Wellington at River Farm Historic Overlay District** located at 7931 East Boulevard Drive, Alexandria, VA 22308, tax map 102-2 ((1)) 20. The applicant is proposing a new county Historic Overlay District (HOD) known as Wellington at River Farm. On November 17, 2020, the Board of Supervisors authorized the consideration of a Comprehensive Plan amendment for a potential River Farm HOD in the Mount Vernon Planning District, Mount Vernon Supervisor District. A presentation was given by staff at the February ARB meeting. Ms. Laura Arseneau represents the application. **Mt. Vernon District.**
  - **Presentation/Discussion**
    - Ms. Laura Arseneau, Branch Chief, Heritage Resources Branch, Fairfax County Department of Planning & Development presented:
      - The property is immediately adjacent to G.W. Pkwy., and currently owned by the American Horticultural Society.
      - On 11/17/20- Board authorized staff to study the establishment of a HOD on the property
      - Project components: Zoning Ordinance Amendment, Comprehensive Plan Amendment, Board of Supervisors' Own Motion Rezoning, and Draft Design Guidelines.
        - EHT Traceries will draft guidelines. ARB is the authority for final approval of the guidelines.
      - Property and zoning information: 27.8 acres, zoned R-2, governed by a Special Exception granted for a public benefit association use.
      - Conservation easements- National Park easement on western portion to preserve viewshed along G.W. Pkwy.; Virginia Outdoors Association- easement on eastern portion to preserve viewshed towards Potomac River, unencumbered: 17.2 acres.
      - Surrounded by R-2 residential subdivisions, accessed through long driveway from East Blvd. Dr.
      - Period of significance background research:
        - George Washington ownership period: not a lot of information currently
        - Not a lot of information about who constructed the current house and when
        - Staff has decided that the period of significance is 1919-1973, during the residence of the Matheson family.
          - House was constructed in Colonial Revival architectural style: pedimented entry, symmetrical floorplan
        - American Horticultural Society purchased in 1973
          - 1981- orchard was added, 1983 children's garden, early 2000's meadow
          - The property is appreciated by the community for its park-like quality
        - 1969- listed on County Historic Inventory
      - Findings:

- 9 contributing structures and 1 feature that are contributing: manor house, ballroom, various caretaker houses, viewshed to river (feature)
  - Non-contributing: James Monroe gates, ha-ha wall, newer items such as driveway
  - Archaeological staff have determined great potential archaeological value, possible future modification of the HOD
- Proposed Zoning Ordinance Amendment
  - R-2 zoning limitations: Staff is proposing to allow all permitted, special permit, and special exception uses in R-2 with the exception of animal, vehicle, and industrial- related uses—all in an attempt to both allow flexibility and to prevent noncompatible uses.
    - Any proposed use must be compatible with existing structures
  - Height- 35’ max.
  - Rather than list out specific uses- the proposal will allow the Board to consider specific uses patterned after the alternative use of historic structures in the zMOD proposal- through a special exception.
  - Specific use limitations: encouraged to use existing historic resources, new structures must be in harmony, and compatible
  - No use/activity can result in removal from HOD or Historic Inventory designations
  - Rezoning to HOD and denote boundaries on zoning map
- Comprehensive Plan Amendment
  - Editorial to denote HOD boundaries on comp. plan map
  - No change to underlying land use: private recreation
- Draft design guidelines
  - Sarah Vonesh presented:
    - Similar format to other draft design guidelines recently presented to, and reviewed by ARB Members
    - EHT Traceries presented the draft guidelines to the public in February
    - Components of guidelines:
      - Introduction, overview of history and significance, timelines with specific important dates, boundaries, contributing and non-contributing structures, character-defining features, and district design guidelines
        - District-specific guidelines topic areas (similar to other HOD guideline topic areas presented by EHT Traceries):
          - Preservation
          - Compatibility of additions and/or new buildings
          - Landscape and archaeology
      - The district-specific guidelines are consistent with Sec. of the Interior standards, address best practice standards for repair, replacement
      - Examples of character-defining features: stone masonry, wall gardens, osage orange tree, traditional gardens, views to Potomac river
- Staff Recommendations (Ms. Arseneau resumed and concluded the presentation):
  - Establishment of the HOD

- Declare buildings and structures to be preserved and protected
  - Within HOD boundary, staff declares 9 structures and 1 feature (Potomac River viewshed) as contributing, and 6 structures as non-contributing
  - Adopt Plan Amendment PA 2020-IC-MV1
  - Adopt Zoning Ordinance Amendment which establishes regulations for structures
  - Approve Rezoning RZ 2021-MV-001
  - Staff will conduct a further cultural landscape study and archaeological survey
- Mr. Daniel asked public for comments. None.
  - **Mr. Daniel asked ARB Members for comments:**
    - Ms. Aubry: Appreciates all of the efforts. Amazing that staff has put all this work into the proposed HOD.
      - Concerns:
        - Limitation on the period of significance. It should be beyond when the Mathesons owned the property.
        - Draft design guidelines are very lengthy and detailed for this HOD when compared to the other recently published draft design guidelines.
          - Ms. Arseneau responded to the limitation of the period of significance: the expedited nature of the Board request was one factor, and the quality of available data was another factor. The records of the built environment were inconsistent and sometimes inaccurate. What could be confirmed was the George Washington time period. The draft design guidelines acknowledge both the George Washington and the American Horticultural Society periods.
          - Ms. Vonesh responded as to the length of the draft guidelines. Since this is a new HOD, the design guidelines are a model for going forward, and staff has had more ability to access the property and get more information upfront as compared to some of the more established HOD's.
  - Mr. Burns:
    - Asked about the staff recommendation to prevent further encroachment into the HOD.
      - Ms. Arseneau responded that a buffer was not necessary given that the property (one property) is larger.
    - Mr. Burns asked who owned Lot 50- the long skinny lot on the western edge of the lot.
      - Ms. Arseneau responded it is owned by the Wellington HOA and is not a buildable lot.
    - Mr. Burns asked about a photo showing an airplane, and whether that would be in the design guidelines or just used in Ms. Vonesh's presentation. Ms. Vonesh confirmed that photo would not be in the design guidelines.



- Mr. Burns mentioned the Sec. of the Interior standards in the design guidelines and asked if there are any parts of the house where the restoration standards would be more appropriate.
  - Ms. Vonesh responded potentially the Lear 3-bay portion on western end of the house, but that the building was extensively changed after the Lear residence during the Matheson period. The majority of the interior finishes date from the Matheson period. Interior elements would not be subject to Sec. of the Interior standards.
- Ms. Huang: No comments.
- Ms. Murray: No comments.
- Ms. Orr: Surprised about the garage being considered a contributing structure with its round design. Ms. Arseneau reiterated that the period of significance is a wide range of 1919-1973. The garages on the property were built in the 1950's.
- Mr. Plumpe: Can't wait to see gardens.
- Mr. Zellman: Great work. No further comments.
- Mr. Daniel:
  - Sat in on the public meeting (about the draft guidelines and proposed HOD)
  - Recognizes the time constraints that staff had, but there may need to be amendments to the HOD in the near future given the property's potential for further cultural and archaeological value.
    - Ms. Arseneau responded that given staff's time constraint, there will likely be an amendment soon once more detail is known.
- Ms. Arseneau mentioned the HOD proposal goes before the **Planning Commission- 3/17, and before the Board of Supervisors on 4/13.**
- **Mr. Burns moved, and was seconded by Mr. Zellman, that the ARB recommend approval of action item ARB-21-WEL-01, a proposal for a new Wellington at River Farm Historic Overlay District, to include amendments to the Comprehensive Plan and Zoning Ordinance, and related Rezoning, as submitted and presented at the March 11, 2021 ARB meeting. Mr. Daniel made a friendly amendment that Staff further study the cultural and archaeological aspects of the proposed HOD, and such amendment was accepted by Mr. Burns and Mr. Zellman. Upon review of the materials, the proposal is found to meet requirements of Zoning Ordinance 7-200 HISTORIC OVERLAY DISTRICTS. The motion passed unanimously.**

**ITEM FOR WORKSHOP SESSION:**

**\*\*\*\*Mr. Burns recused himself at 8:04 p.m.\*\*\*\***

- **ARB 20-LOR-06 Amendment- Proposal retail dumpster enclosure for the retail store** located in the Laurel Hill Adaptive Reuse Area, tax map numbers 107-1-((09)). The architecture for the retail store was approved by the ARB at the January 14, 2021 meeting. This workshop is to discuss the proposal for the dumpster enclosure located in the northwest corner of the parcel.

The 2001 Lorton Correctional Complex MOA stipulates that the ARB review undertakings within the area eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places and that the area within the Eligible District is subject to review as stipulated in Section 7-200 of the Fairfax County Zoning Ordinance. Section 7-200 of the Zoning Ordinance stipulates that: 1) plans shall be referred to the ARB for its review and recommendation and 2) ARB approval shall be required prior to the issuance of building permits. The applicant is submitting materials to the Lorton Heritage Society and VDHR as required by the MOA, as well as Fairfax County Archaeological Collections Branch. Representatives from Elm Street Development will present the proposal. **Mount Vernon District**

- **Presentation/Discussion:**

- Mr. Jack Perkins presented that the architecture for the retail building and LIDL store already came before the ARB, but the trash enclosures were not included in that previous presentation.
  - The proposed enclosures will be identical to 3 other enclosures already on the campus. Board-on-board, 2 pairs of doors, 3-sided enclosure- no rear enclosure- the back of it will be very close to the 15' foot tall brick prison wall. It would have bollards on the back to prevent the dumpsters and other trash items from being pushed up against the historic wall.
- **Mr. Daniel asked ARB Members for comments:**
  - Ms. Aubry: No comments.
  - Ms. Huang: 3 side-enclosure, asked about further detail for bollards. The applicant did not have details at the moment other than what had been previously stated.
  - Ms. Murray: No comments.
  - Ms. Orr: Asked about the bollards. Curious how would it be clean with just the bollards behind there and the general tidiness of the space.
    - The applicant responded that the proposed design without the "back" was preferred because it makes it easier to maintain the area in between the trash area and the historic brick wall. It would be less confined.
  - Mr. Plumpe: Had a question if there were wheel stops for the parking lot. The presenter did not have a definitive answer but responded typically wheelstops are installed.
  - Mr. Zellman: No comments.
  - Mr. Daniel: No comments. Looks forward to submission.

\*\*\* Mr. Burns returned to the meeting at 8:15 p.m.\*\*\*

## PRESENTATION

- **Reston Survey**-Denice Dressel, Senior Heritage Resources Planner, Fairfax County Department of Planning and Development
  - Presentation/Discussion:
    - Ms. Dressel provided an update. The survey is now complete and posted on the Department webpage for Reston Survey.
    - Ms. Dressel provided a background for what led up to the Reston Survey.

- In 2016, DPD staff did a windshield survey of the Herndon Transit Station Area (TSA), Reston Town Center TSA, and Wiehle- Reston East TSA. The focus was architectural integrity, social or cultural significance for Reston, and potential properties for inclusion on the County Inventory of Historic Places (“Inventory”).
  - Reston Int’l Center, Sheraton Reston Hotel, The United States Geological Survey Building, Isaac Newton Square, the Atrium office condominium, and the Association Drive complex, and others, were noted at the time for potential inclusion on the Inventory.
- On April 14, 2016 and January 12, 2017- ARB requested that staff provide reconnaissance-level staff findings in the Reston area
  - Staff presented their findings in November of 2017
- Staff conducted a driving tour with the History Commission, ARB, and VDHR- which included many sites and the golf courses, and early clusters.
- Reston Survey (2020-2021)
  - Key points
    - National Register recommendations:
      - Hickory Cluster- architect: Goodman, hired by Robert E. Simon in early stages of the New Town of Reston, meets Criterion A and C
      - Waterview Cluster- architect: Clothiel Woodard Smith, Nov 30, 1965 article in Look Magazine-resembles a Mediterranean fishing village—her architecture firm was one of the largest women-owned firms in the U.S.
      - Coleson Cluster- 1966, architect: Clothiel Woodard Smith
      - Mediterranean Villa Cluster- architect: Robert W. Davis
      - Golf Course Island Cluster- 1966, architect: Louis Sauer
      - Ring Road subdivisions of single-family detached homes- 1960’s- 1970’s
      - Wainwright Cluster- architect: Pard- 1960’s high quality and affordable alternative to other clusters
      - Hidden Creek Golf Course- designer: Ed Ault, first of 2 projects in Reston- the first being a dam at Lake Anne- Criterion A, potentially Criterion C
      - Reston National Golf Course
      - Ken Bonner residence- 12146 Stirrup Road- one of the first SFD in Reston
    - Other significant properties:
      - Lake Anne Gulf Gas Station-1966- Conklin & Rossant
      - 3 properties less than 50 yrs old, but should be reevaluated when 50 yrs. age:
        - Fairway Cluster
        - Sheraton Reston Hotel

- Atrium- early example of office condos- central plaza modernism
  - Properties that merit further study
    - Fairway Apt.
    - Cameron Crescent Apartments
- Next steps
  - Adding properties that were recommended for National Register or further study onto County GIS layer for development review staff
  - Adding text and map to Comprehensive Plan for Reston
  - Intensive survey requirement information added to Comprehensive Plan for demolition or modifications
- **Mr. Daniel asked ARB Members for comments.**
  - Ms. Aubry: Thanked staff and asked would there be a way to make the GIS information more widely available to all County staff beyond Heritage Resources staff.
    - Ms. Dressel responded that she hesitates because staff in other review agencies should still come to Heritage Resources staff for input.
      - Mr. Daniel mentioned that he reiterated that point to the Reston Task Force.
  - Mr. Burns: mentioned he could find the Reston Survey on the County website but not others like Annandale.
    - Ms. Dressel responded that the other surveys were windshield-level, not reconnaissance- level like Reston. The data is not of a quality to publish it online.
    - Mr. Burns asked if there is a pin on the GIS for building permits even for by-right developments? Ms. Dressel responded not currently.
    - Mr. Burns stated that the next survey should include the Reston industrial corridor.
  - Ms. Huang: Commented that her office is in the Atrium. That building is special and she did not realize how special it was until she reviewed the Reston Survey.
  - Ms. Murray: No comments.
  - Ms. Orr: No comments.
  - Mr. Plumpe: No comments.
  - Mr. Zellman: Asked when there is a windshield survey identified but no actual data, what does the County have as justification to require land owners who are proposing rezonings or redevelopments to do surveys?
    - Ms. Dressel mentioned that in a rezoning, there are a lot of memos from various different agencies, and that usually land owners are compliant with those requests given all of the other agencies that also have comments, but she could check with the County Attorney.

- Mr. Daniel: Commented on how little the current Comprehensive Plan setup recognizes historic resources, and that there should be more data-sharing. The 50-year mark is a guideline. Reston went against the grain, and it seems odd to apply that timeframe to Reston.
    - Mr. Johnson mentioned that Zoning Administration Division staff in the Department of Planning and Development review demolition permits, and if a property is listed on the Inventory, there is coordination with staff in Heritage Resources.
  - Denice Dressel: African- American Grant Initiative:
    - The overall goal is to identify and recognize African-American historical resources in Fairfax County, and complete a clearinghouse inventory for all of this information.
    - The project is funded with a cost-sharing grant.
      - DHR manages the project using their contractors, and they are in the early phases in data collection. The grant application is due April 2, 2021
      - The History Commission has agreed to give \$7500 to the cause.
      - The Department of Planning and Development has agreed to give \$8000 to the cause.
    - Ms. Dressel is asking for a letter of support from the ARB and anything the ARB would see fit to provide for funding.
    - Mr. Daniel asked the ARB Members for comments.
      - Ms. Aubry: Supportive, but concerned about budget. She asked how would the additional funds allotted to EHT Tracerics be affected.
        - Ms. Brannan mentioned that the design guidelines are being paid for by DPD, and do not affect the ARB budget.
      - Mr. Burns: Supportive, but had a concern about taking money from what has been allotted for ARB trainings. Mr. Burns asked whether ARB Members have completed appropriate Certified Local Government (CLG) trainings.
        - Ms. Arseneau responded that not every ARB Member has turned in their CLG information, but the ARB budget currently has additional available funding for training activities.
          - Ms. Murray mentioned that the ARB budget is on a cash-basis and the ARB can fund whatever it chooses.
        - Mr. Burns asked about the County budget allotment to ARB, and Ms. Brannan confirmed \$8500 will be deposited in July 2021.
      - Ms. Huang: Supportive, as long as ARB can balance budget.
      - Ms. Murray: Supportive, but suggested an upper limit to the gift.
      - Ms. Orr: No further comment, but supportive.
      - Mr. Plumpe: Supportive
      - Mr. Zellman: Supportive
      - Mr. Daniel: Stated he originally thought the ARB should match the \$7500 from History Commission.
        - Ms. Dressel responded that \$20000 total was the hope.

- Ms. Brannan suggested if the motion is made to limit the money from coming just from training activities, it would be unnecessarily limiting.
- Ms. Murray suggested to just use the general term “carryover money” in the motion.
- **Ms. Murray moved, and was seconded by Mr. Burns, that the ARB direct staff to convey \$7500 of carryover funds from the prior fiscal year, to the Virginia Department of Historic Resources cost-sharing program for a historic survey of African-American resources in Fairfax County. Mr. Burns proposed a friendly amendment to a limit of \$5000.**
  - A discussion ensued:
    - Mr. Burns stated \$7500 is a considerable expense, and there may be other history-related initiatives for which the ARB may want to devote financial resources.
    - Ms. Murray stated her support for Mr. Burns’ friendly amendment, and Mr. Burns in turn supported the friendly amendment as the original seconder.
  - **The motion was called to question. The motion, as amended passed unanimously.**
- **Mr. Daniel will work with Ms. Dressel in drafting a letter from the ARB related to their support for the African-American survey grant effort.**

**BOARD AND STAFF ITEMS:**

- **Review and action on approval of previous months minutes** (February 2021) and authorization of payment to Recording Secretary.
  - Mr. Burns had 1 editorial comment: add that “no action was taken” and un-bold the section regarding the
  - **Mr. Zellman moved, and Mr. Burns seconded, to approve the February 2021 meeting minutes, with Mr. Burns’ stated editorial revisions, and to authorize payment to the Recording Secretary. The motion passed unanimously.**
- **Treasurer’s Report:** Staff – 3/9/2021 Balance – \$19,486.47. Ms. Brannan mentioned financial staff noted that a duplicate payment to the recording secretary for the December meeting will be rectified.
- **Administrative: None.**
- **Discussion/Update Reports:**
  - Richmond Highway BRT:
    - **Send ARB comments to Ms. Brannan by 3/15**, or inform Ms. Brannan if ARB wants to send a letter. Staff sent out information to ARB members 2 weeks ago.
    - Ms. Arseneau commended Ms. Brannan for her 2-day review.
  - Association Drive

- Adverse determination
- Consulting parties meeting will be end of March- Mr. Burns or somebody else from the ARB should attend.
- History Commission letter calling for advisory council for Soapstone. If there is interest from the ARB, let Ms. Brannan and/or Ms. Dressel know.
- Holmes Run Acres HOD
  - Second larger community meeting- 3/27- background, process timeline, and get feedback from community, all information is up on the Holmes Run Acres project webpage
- Hollin Hills HOD
  - Work group meeting- Monday, 3/29 consolidated comments from the 1/25 community meeting. Comments will be published within next week.
  - Mr. Burns asked if staff was aware of a group suing over the stream restoration.
  - Mr. Daniel stated that there should be cleaner motions in the future.
- Gum Springs: Denice Dressel
  - The Pride of FFX had open meeting on last Monday. Well-attended. Supervisor Storck would like a task force advisory group to guide the project, the task force has not happened yet.
- Rezoning Cases
  - Nicole Brannan- no specific updates, no ARB members had questions.
- **Correspondence, Announcements:** None.
- **Old Business:** None.
- **New/other business:**
  - Mr. Burns: APT meeting will be all-virtual.

**Mr. Burns made a motion to adjourn at 9:37 p.m.**

*The ARB Administrator will stamp and sign copies of approved drawings or other application documents following the meeting at which approvals are granted, or at such time as drawings amended to reflect ARB actions are received by the administrator. Applicants may be required to submit additional copies of approved drawings or other application documents. Applicants may request copies of meeting minutes within 2 weeks of the meeting at which the ARB approved the minutes. Stamped drawings, letters from administrator documenting ARB action or copies of relevant minutes are required prior to projects being approved by county review and permitting agencies.*

For further information contact, Nicole Brannan, Senior Historic Preservation Planner, Architectural Review Board Administrator, Fairfax County Department of Planning and Development (DPD), at 703/324-1380