

APPROVED MINUTES

July 8, 2021

THE FAIRFAX COUNTY ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW BOARD

Virtual Meeting- Using Webex Fairfax County Platform 6:30 p.m. meeting start

Members Present:

Christopher Daniel, Chairman
Jason Zellman, Vice Chairman
Michele Aubry, Treasurer
John A. Burns, FAIA
Kaye Orr
Elise Murray
Steve Kulinski
Joseph Plumpe, ASLA
Mike McReynolds

Members Excused:

Susan Notkins, AIA
Samantha Huang

Staff Present:

Laura Arseneau,
Branch Chief
Grace Davenport,
Heritage Resources Planner
Kyra Davis,
Planning Technician
Ryan Johnson,
Recording Secretary

**Arrived after the commencement of meeting.*

Mr. Daniel opened the July 8, 2021 meeting of the Architectural Review Board (ARB) at 6:31 p.m. using the Webex Fairfax County Platform. Mr. Daniel started the meeting with emergency motions related to the COVID-19 pandemic.

COVID-19 SPECIAL MOTIONS (Summary)

1. A quorum of the ARB must be participating remotely;
2. A vote to ensure that each member of the ARB may be adequately heard and that all members can hear each other;
3. A vote to verify that the usual FOIA procedures cannot be implemented safely or practically;
4. A vote to verify that every item on the agenda is either related to the emergency or necessary to assure continuity in government, or both; and
5. Public comment time limitations.

COVID-19 SPECIAL MOTIONS (Motions)

Mr. Daniel so moved:

To conduct this meeting wholly electronically and to effectuate both the emergency procedures authorized by FOIA the ARB needs to make certain findings and determinations for the record. It's a bit cumbersome, so I ask you in advance for your patience.

1. Audibility of Members' Voices

First, because each member of this ARB is participating in this meeting from a separate location, we must verify that a quorum of members is participating, and that each member's voice is clear, audible, and at an appropriate volume for all of the other members. Accordingly, I am going to conduct a roll call, and ask each ARB member participating in this meeting to state your name and the location from which you are participating. I ask that each of you pay close attention to ensure that you can hear each of your colleagues. Following this roll call, we will vote to establish that every member can hear every other member.

(Mr. Daniel continued with a roll call of Members, in accordance with above instructions):

- **Ms. Aubry- aye, private residence, can hear**
- **Mr. Burns- aye, private residence, can hear**
- **Ms. Huang- no response, not present**
- **Mr. Kulinski- aye, office, can hear**
- **Mr. McReynolds- aye, private residence, can hear**
- **Ms. Murray- aye, private residence, can hear**
- **Ms. Notkins- no response, not present**
- **Ms. Orr- aye, private residence, can hear**
- **Mr. Plumpe- aye, private residence, can hear**
- **Mr. Zellman- aye, private residence, can hear**
- **Mr. Daniel- aye, private residence, can hear**

Mr. Daniel passed the virtual gavel to Mr. Zellman so that he could be heard to make the requisite motion.

Mr. Daniel moved that every member that is present can be clearly heard. The motion was seconded by Ms. Murray, and passed unanimously.

2. Quorum of ARB members-

Mr. Daniel so moved:

As determined by the roll call, 9 members of the ARB are present and therefore satisfy the ARB quorum minimum. The ARB by-laws state that a minimum of 6 members are required to determine a quorum.

The motion was seconded by Ms. Murray, and passed unanimously.

3. Need for an Electronic Meeting

Mr. Daniel so moved:

Third, having established that each member's voice may be heard by every other member, we must next establish the nature of the emergency that compels these emergency procedures, the fact that we are meeting electronically, what type of electronic communication is being used, and how we have arranged for public access to this meeting. Therefore, I move that the State of Emergency caused by the COVID-19 pandemic makes it unsafe for this ARB to physically

assemble and unsafe for the public to physically attend any such meeting, and that as such, FOIA's usual procedures, which require the physical assembly of the ARB and the physical presence of the public, cannot be implemented safely or practically. I further move that the ARB may conduct this meeting electronically through Webex, a county virtual meeting platform and available for access through the ARB county website or through phone at: 1-844-621-3956 with Access code: 173 226 1227. It is so moved.

The motion was seconded by Mr. Burns, and passed unanimously.

4. Need to dispense with FOIA's Usual Procedures to Assure Continuity in Government/Continue Operations

Mr. Daniel so moved:

Finally, it is next required that all of the matters addressed on today's agenda are statutorily required or necessary to continue operations and the discharge of this Board's lawful purposes, duties, and responsibilities. It is so moved.

The motion was seconded by Ms. Orr, and passed unanimously.

5. Public Comments:

Mr. Daniel moved that the ARB needs to determine how long each member of the public will be able to speak during the public comment periods. The public will be allowed to comment after the consent agenda items and after each action item, as is standard ARB practice.

Mr. Daniel moved that each member of the public will have 3 minutes to speak. The motion was seconded by Mr. Kulinski, and passed unanimously.

Mr. Zellman handed the virtual gavel back to Mr. Daniel.

VIRTUAL MEETING WEBEX INFORMATION- Staff (Laura Arseneau)

- Ms. Arseneau informed all attendees that the meeting is being recorded, and it will be posted online within 10 days. The HH HOD workshop item will not be open for public comment, as it is a workshop item, but will be open for such at a future meeting date as an action item or consent item. She directed attendees to use the Q&A box or chat function in the Webex Platform for inquiries and staff would monitor accordingly, and that attendees that are experiencing technical issues to please call Webex Technical Assistance at 1-866-799-3293.

READING OF STATEMENT OF PURPOSE AND INTENT OF HOD'S

Ms. Aubry read the opening Statement of Purpose.

Mr. Daniel reminded presenters of a general 8-minute maximum presentation time for new items, and no time limitations for workshops.

APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA- Chair

- Mr. McReynolds moved, and was seconded by Mr. Kulinski, to adopt the agenda. Mr. Daniel made a friendly amendment to reflect the revised scope of Action Item ARB-21-WDL-02 Woodlawn Friends Meeting House Modifications located at 8990 Woodlawn Road, which is a proposal to repair and reinforce foundation, sills and base of studs along the eastern elevation. Mr. McReynolds accepted the friendly amendment, which was also accepted by Mr. Kulinski as the original seconder. The motion, as amended, passed unanimously.

INTRODUCTION/RECOGNITION OF GUESTS (Based on Webex attendees list)

John McGranahan
 William Smith
 Rose Guard
 Sergio Cappuccio
 Jay Scruggs
 Mike Mafi
 Steve Weir
 Martha Catlin
 Robert Fina

Ms. Arseneau introduced a new staff member, Grace Davenport, Historic Resources Planner, who will be helping with ARB and HOD-associated tasks. Ms. Davenport holds a Masters of Historic Preservation from the University of Maryland. Mr. Daniel welcomed Ms. Davenport.

CONSENT CALENDAR ACTION ITEMS: None

ITEMS FOR ACTION:

1. **ARB-21-WDL-02 – Woodlawn Friends Meeting House Modifications located at 8990 Woodlawn Road, in the Woodlawn Historic Overlay District, tax map number 109-2 ((01)) 0038.** The applicant is proposing to repair and reinforce foundation, sills and base of studs along the eastern elevation. The applicant previously came to the ARB with an action item in April 2021 regarding a permit to replace the porch only. Ms. Martha Catlin represents the application. **Mount Vernon District.**
 - o **Presentation/Discussion**
 - Mr. Daniel asked presenters if there was anything staff could pull up on the screen for presentation purposes. Ms. Catlin responded that she would direct staff accordingly as the presentation proceeded.
 - Ms. Catlin presented:
 - The Meeting House is a historic Quaker-style house located in the Woodlawn HOD. It is listed on the National Register, the Virginia Landmarks Register, and is recognized as a cultural landscape by the U.S. National Park Service.
 - After the ARB approval in April, work on the site began shortly thereafter. New issues then became evident:

- The foundation, sills, and base of studs along the eastern elevation, would need to be repaired and reinforced due to the proposed work.
 - The front porch posts would need to be structurally reinforced.
- Ms. Catlin thanked Mr. Burns and Mr. Kulinski for meeting on-site on Friday, June 11, and Tuesday, June 15 (which included a representative from the Virginia Department of Historic Resources) for an in-depth discussion and recommendations.
- Ms. Catlin stated that the reconstruction of the east foundation wall and sill, and deteriorated studs, must be prepared for wall integrity and the proper functioning of the foundation system.
- Design scope:
 - Designed to meet Secretary of Interior’s standards (specifically for structural systems)
 - The elements of the original construction method would survive
 - Load-bearing capacity would exceed original system
 - Proposed repairs to wood on foundation, sills, and studs would be with substitute composite (that does not visually alter the existing), be unobtrusively dated to guide future treatment, and would be unexposed and located within walls and spaces.
 - There would be careful removal of the original wood siding to allow boards to be repaired and retained.
- Mr. Daniel asked for public comment. None.
- Mr. Daniel asked ARB Members for comments:
 - Ms. Aubry: Thanked the applicant for mentioning the opinion of VDHR archaeologists as to previous disturbance, and asked the applicant to clarify.
 - Mr. Fina, the civil engineer on the project, clarified that the boorings showed no additional construction.
 - Mr. Burns: Had a question about a half-inch to 6 inch anchor bolt going into the pressure-treated beam. Mr. Fina responded that the wall will be built around the bolt given gravity concerns. Mr. Burns stated he was grateful to be involved in the on-site discussions and overall conversation about the project.
 - Mr. Kulinski: Stated he was grateful to be involved in the on-site discussions and overall conversation about the project.
 - Mr. McReynolds: Likes the long-term structural solution being presented here and its historical and archaeological sensitivity.
 - Ms. Murray: No comment.
 - Ms. Orr: Thanks for presentation and complimented Ms. Catlin on her presentation skills. No further comment.
 - Mr. Plumpe: Thanked the applicant, and Mr. Burns and Mr. Kulinski, for their involvement in the project.
 - Mr. Zellman: No additional comments.
 - Mr. Daniel read Ms. Huang’s comments in her absence: Appreciated that the proposed repair, and new structural material was not visible.

- Mr. Daniel: Thanked the applicant for the presentation.

Mr. Burns moved, and was seconded by Mr. Kulinski, that the ARB approve action item ARB-21-WDL-02 located at 8990 Woodlawn Road, tax map 109-2 ((1)) 38, in the Woodlawn Historic Overlay District, for the proposal to repair and reinforce foundation, sills and base of studs along the eastern elevation, as submitted and presented at the July 8, 2021 ARB meeting. Upon review of the materials, the proposal is found to meet requirements of Zoning Ordinance Section 3101-HISTORIC OVERLAY DISTRICTS. The motion passed unanimously.

2. **ARB 21-LFK-01- Vehicular Access to 6431 Georgetown Pike in the Langley Fork Historic Overlay District, tax map number 22-3 ((31)) 58.** The applicant is proposing to construct an asphalt driveway to access one residential property. The residential property is located outside of the boundary of the historic overlay district, but the driveway is located within the historic overlay district. Mr. Mike Mafi of the Building Group, Inc. represents the application. **Dranesville District.**

○ **Presentation/Discussion**

- Mr. Mafi presented:
 - The house is on the rear part of the lot, outside of the HOD. The plan is to shift the existing driveway to the left to have easy access to the house. The driveway will be built with same material (asphalt) as the existing.
- Mr. Daniel asked for public comment. None.
- Mr. Daniel asked for comments from the ARB Members:
 - Ms. Aubry: The HOD has notes that there are no known archaeological features in the district. Ms. Aubry mentioned that Ms. Arseneau checked with Park Authority staff and the amount of grading results in very little potential archaeological value. There will basically be no archaeological features impacted with this proposal.
 - Mr. Burns: Asked about what would happen to the existing fence along Georgetown Pike. Mr. Mafi responded that the fence would be removed. Plant materials would remain.
 - Mr. Kulinski: Asked about piers on the property (which are technically located within the HOD). Also asked for clarification of why the piers were not included in this application. Ms. Arseneau responded that if the structure (pier) doesn't rise to level of a building permit, then no ARB review is required.
 - Mr. Burns asked whether there were fence and gate height limitations. Ms. Arseneau responded that is in the Zoning Ordinance but would need to be looked at further by staff.
 - MKulinski moved that ARB approve as submitted,
 - Condition: that applicant brings to staff the design of gate and piers and staff may approve the design. Mr. McReynolds. Mr. Burns would like to thank applicant to remove. Kulinski and McReynolds.
 - Unanimous,

Mr. Kulinski moved, and was seconded by Mr. McReynolds, that the ARB recommend approval on item ARB 21-LFK-01 located at 6431 Georgetown Pike, tax map 22-3 ((31)) 58, in

the Langley Fork Historic Overlay District, as submitted and presented at the July 8, 2021 ARB meeting, subject to the following conditions:

- That the applicant submit gate and pier design to staff; and
- Staff may approve the gate and pier design, if appropriate.

Upon review of the materials, the proposal is found to meet requirements of Zoning Ordinance Section 3101-HISTORIC OVERLAY DISTRICTS. The motion passed unanimously.

ITEMS FOR WORKSHOP SESSION:

3. **ARB 21-SUL-02 Dulles Discovery South Parking located between Route 28 and Centreville Road in the Sully Historic Overlay District at tax map numbers 34-2 ((1)) 2C2pt, 2D2pt, and 2E1pt.** The proposal is related to the zoning application PCA/CDPA/FDPA 2017-SU-011 and proposes a new Support Building (58,993 sf) and to reduce the height of building DD5 and its related gross floor area. John McGranahan of Hunton Andrews Kurth represents the application. **Sully District.**

- Presentation/Discussion
 - Mr. McGranahan, Sergio Cappuccio and Mr. William Smith presented:
 - Background: The project site is near the intersection of Rt. 28 and Air and Space Museum Pkwy. The Dulles Discovery South site was originally approved in 2010 for office buildings. In 2017, the proposed building heights were changed with a zoning action.
 - Design Context:
 - The site is a ¼ mile from the historic farm house on Sully Plantation.
 - Rural character
 - Effective tree buffer conceals views from historic farmhouse outward. Other buildings will not be visible from the grounds of Sully, contributing to the rural character.
 - Mostly surface parking now. The proposed building would reduce impervious area and place additional landscaping around the existing cemetery.
 - The architect looked at the area farms and realized that vernacular architecture would not be possible given the utilitarian function of the proposed building. However, there will be some conversation between this building and area buildings with regard to the proposed colors and textures, which will be similar. For example, the barns have a masonry wall anchor, and that design theme will be carried over to this building.
 - Proposed Design:
 - Muted tones
 - 27 foot-tall building, LEED Certified. The west façade will contain a visually-strong masonry wall anchor. The siding will mostly consist of concrete with brown railings that resemble the wood siding on barns.
 - Accentuated entrance with wood beams and canopy
 - Vertical mullions of the building resemble the birch trees.
 - **Mr. Daniel asked ARB Members for comments:**

- Ms. Aubry: Concerned that the support building would be constructed adjacent to the cemetery.
 - The response from the applicant was that the cemetery would not be impacted. Suggested elevations showing impact on cemetery.
 - Ms. Aubry then asked about whether the cemetery would be fenced and whether there were tombstones.
 - Mr. William Smith responded that the proffers for the zoning case requires an ornamental fence and existing signage, but unfortunately very little of the tombstone(s) remain(s).
- Mr. Burns: Appreciates lower building height and incorporation of the landscaping around the cemetery. Intrigued about the architectural direction as to how the design refers to local structures and incorporates their materials but not their actual form. Had a question about DD5 (a building shown on the plan) and the proposed parking garage. Mr. Smith responded that DD5 is currently under construction.
- Mr. Kulinski: Likes tree buffer and the lower height of building, as well as the vertical pre-cast panels which help to break down the scale of the building. Sees a lot of positives.
- Mr. McReynolds: Likes tree buffer and plantings, and protection of the cemetery.
- Ms. Murray: Impressed with the architecture of the whole campus, and appreciates that this proposal will break up some of the “sea of asphalt”.
- Ms. Orr: Likes that the proposal is sensitive to the HOD in choices of materials and height.
- Mr. Plumpe- Overall nice design. No further comments.
- Mr. Zellman- Likes design and went on tour of the site.
- No submitted comments from Ms. Huang on this item.
- Mr. Daniel- Appreciated how the applicant connected with the design guidelines in preparation for their presentation.

4. ARB 21-HOL-01 – Proposed Hollin Hills Historic Overlay District located between Fort Hunt Road and Sherwood Hall Lane in Alexandria. The proposal is related to the forthcoming zoning application related to the proposal for the historic overlay district, which is concurrent with a zoning ordinance amendment and a comprehensive plan amendment (PA 2018-IV-MV4). Staff proposes that the HH HOD contain 291.27 acres and consists of 493 properties, the majority of which are residential. Staff proposes that the suburban neighborhood is a significant heritage resource in Fairfax County due to the contemporary architecture of the dwellings in the suburban neighborhood as well as the unique site design of each property which preserved the existing topography and landscaping. The neighborhood is on the National Register of Historic Places and on the county Inventory of historic sites. The rezoning application is scheduled for public hearings at the Planning Commission and the Board of Supervisors in fall 2021. Laura Arseneau from DPD- Heritage Resources represents the application. **Mount Vernon District.**

- **Presentation/Discussion**

- Ms. Arseneau presented:

- There was a Board of Supervisors’ motion almost 3 years ago for staff to look into the possibility of a historic overlay district for Hollin Hills.
- Hollin Hills historical significance:
 - Modern architecture (aesthetic, sloping roofs, sculptural brick chimneys, large expanses of glass)
 - Landscape and siting (landscape urbanism- homes built into existing landscape)
 - Placed on the National Register in September 2013
 - Placed on the County Inventory in September 1972- one year after subdivision was finished
- Processing of the Hollin Hills HOD:
 - Staff completed scoping with the Work Group in December 2020
 - Staff findings:
 - Staff has confirmed the boundaries, contributing and non-contributing structures of the potential HOD.
 - Information will be presented in staff reports regarding the Zoning Ordinance and Comprehensive Plan amendments, and the rezoning to the historic overlay district.
 - There are 493 total properties, mostly zoned R-2, 2 dwelling units per acre, and most are private properties.
 - 454 contributing
 - 39 non-contributing:
 - 30 SFD
 - 2 BOS- owned properties
 - 7 FCPA- owned properties (FCPA asked to be used as a buffer)
 - The older part of the neighborhood is in the northern portion
 - Staff determinations about contributing vs non-contributing:
 - Is property in National Register listing?
 - Has the property been modified since its National Register listing?
 - If modified, does the property still retain the defining characteristics?
 - Did the modification diminish historic integrity?
 - Zoning Ordinance Amendment: proposes new HOD, and proposed uses will mostly refer to the underlying R-1 and R-2 District regulations. There will be added purpose of district and additional standards associated specifically with the HOD.
 - Comprehensive Plan Amendment: upgraded from mentioning the listings to providing justification.
 - Design Guidelines- dated June 22, will be included in staff report for the HOD.

- July 13, 2021: Board authorization
 - August 11, 2021: Staff report will be published
 - September 22, 2021: Planning Commission at 7:30 p.m.
 - October 19, 2021: Board of Supervisors at 4:00 p.m.
 - November 10, 2021: ARB action on final design guidelines.
- **Mr. Daniel asked ARB Members for comments:**
 - Ms. Aubry: Asked about feedback from ARB on design guidelines. Ms. Arseneau said get them to her as soon as possible.
 - Mr. Daniel mentioned there are 2 actions that concern the ARB: The establishment of the HOD and the final action on the design guidelines.
 - Mr. Burns: Asked why was there a list of non-contributing properties and whether staff had intended to provide justification. Also asked about houses that have been obfuscated from view by carports. Ms. Arseneau responded that she would be happy to share with Mr. Burns the specific information that went into the determination of contributing vs non-contributing. Mr. Burns said staff should be able to defend this information in the public form. Mr. Burns also mentioned that there is an existing covenant deed, specified by the FHA, that exterior changes have to be compatible with the existing design. Mr. Burns wanted to know how is that covenant resolved with the Sec. of the Interior standards. Ms. Arseneau responded that the covenants are not part of the ARB process. Mr. Burns mentioned that he property at Paul Spring Pkwy. and Rippon was flooding, and why would it be considered non-contributing. Ms. Arseneau mentioned that since the original house had been torn down, it was no longer contributing.
 - Mr. Kulinski: Stated that staff's presentation was very thorough, and mentioned that an aerial view of early Hollin Hills appeared peaceful.
 - Mr. McReynolds: Asked how does the homeowner [in the proposed HOD] feel about how the HOD will impact them being able to make changes to their property. Ms. Arseneau mentioned that the community did a survey and petitioned the BOS to get this project started. Sup. Storck has asked that a survey be done- unique for an action item. With regard to changes- only a building permit or site plan would trigger ARB review. With regard to property value, the industry perspective is that HOD's either increase or maintain the property values.
 - Mr, Kulinski mentioned a lot of architects live in Hollin Hills, and it gets a lot of good press.
 - Ms. Murray: Thanked specifically (by name) Ms. Laura Arseneau, Ms. Nicole Brannan, and Ms. Kyra Davis for their extensive work on the HOD.
 - Ms. Orr: Thanked staff, particularly Ms. Arseneau, for her thoroughness and politeness in public meetings.
 - Mr. Plumpe: Commented that Hollin Hills is one of the "little gems" of the County.

- Mr. Zellman: Commented that the HOD may not change much in terms of homeowners making changes to their property because of the HOA rules which are already design-specific. Example: Burke Centre HOA.
- Daniel- wholly unique HOD proposal. Thanked staff, would like more DPD (other than HR) staff to understand scope of ARB. Natl trust for HP has listed a lot of benefits for establishing HOD- property values remain stable, market volatility does not affect as much, improves quality of designs, encourages tourism. The concern is that this is a community, these are homeowners.
- **Ms. Arseneau – ARB may be in-person on Sep 9. Conf. Room 2 & 3 have been reserved.**

PRESENTATIONS

Mt. Vernon Memorial Trail at Dogue Creek, by Sonia Shahnaj of FCDOT.

- The proposal is partially within the Woodlawn Historic Overlay District.
- Ms. Shahnaj presented:
 - Background info: project is part of the BOS 2014 Transportation Priorities Plan. Located along Mt. Vernon Mem Hwy. The existing corridor lacks a continuous walkable and bikeable connection.
 - Project Scope: Missing segments from the George Washington Estate to Southwood Drive will be completed. 10' wide, 250 ft. asphalt shared use path on north side of Mt. Vernon Mem. Hwy. to be installed. New pedestrian bridge to cross Dogue Creek. New crosswalk and pedestrian signal near Grist Mill Park. The pedestrian bridge will be a steel bridge at same elevation as existing. A retaining wall will be needed. There will be stone pattern on the retaining wall and bridge. Trail will be maintained by VDOT except the portion in County Park.
 - Mr. Daniel asked ARB Members for comments:
 - Ms. Aubry: No questions or comments.
 - Mr. Burns: This is in the historic district. All of these approvals have already happened without consultation from the ARB. The incompatibility of the design to mimic the historic (faux stone) was not the best design choice. Perhaps a contemporary structure that does not compete with the historic area would have been a better choice. Curious as to if this will go through ARB review. The response was the only design review required was through VDOT (land use permit). No building or site plan County requirements.
 - Mr. Kulinski had a question about who is doing the engineering review. The response was that a litany of agencies at VDOT reviewed the engineering details.
 - Mr. McReynolds: No additional comments.
 - Ms. Murray: No additional comments.
 - Ms. Orr: No additional comments.
 - Mr. Plumpe: No additional comments.
 - Mr. Zellman: No additional comments.
 - Mr. Daniel- This should have undergone Section 106 for a permit in a HOD (consultation), and this unfortunately did not seek ARB comment. While although not at level of building permit/site plan from the County, in the future, the Section 106 Consulting Agency should involve the ARB.

- VDHR declined to review (not federally funded). A U.S. Army permit is required.
- Mr. Burns mentioned that on a nearby project ARB was notified and involved.

BOARD AND STAFF ITEMS:

- **Review and action on approval of previous months minutes** (June 2021) and authorization of payment to Recording Secretary.
 - **Mr. Zellman moved, and Mr. Kulinski seconded, to approve the June 2021 meeting minutes, and to authorize payment to the Recording Secretary. The motion passed unanimously.**
- **Treasurer’s Report:** Staff – no staff report at this time.
 - Updated budget from BOS- Budgeted amount went up from \$8200 to approx. \$10000.
- **Administrative:**
 - By-law updates- Mr. Daniel
 - Mr. Daniel sent by-law text updates to OCA for review, and has not heard back to date. No updates to provide. The by-laws update mostly concern how the ARB would participate in Section 106 reviews and member attendance.
 - Review and approval of ARB Remote Policy
 - Staff attached a draft policy for ARM Members to review.
 - Mr. Daniel mentioned possible policy of meeting in-person quorum first before allowing a qualifying reason for Members to remotely attend.
 - Mr. Zellman questioned the logistics regarding when (before or after quorum has been confirmed) to grant the request to allow remote attendance.
 - Ms. Arseneau clarified that the County is still technically in a state of emergency.
 - Mr. Zellman asked whether the presenters/public can still present virtually.
 - **The topic was tabled for further discussion. The September 9 meeting of the ARB may be in-person in Conference Rooms 2 and 3.**
- **Discussion/Update Reports:**
 - Crystal City Modern Architecture Discussion- Mr. Burns
 - In September- Arlington County is working with VCRIS on updates. Mr. Burns is volunteering with the survey, and would like other ARB Members, if interested, to join.
 - Mount Vernon Memorial Trail at Dogue Creek- Section 106 Consulting Party Request
 - Ms. Arseneau will contact Marc Holma at VDHR.
 - River Farm Update HOD

- The BOS deferred decision-only on June 22, 2021. The decision will be on July 27, 2021 (to approve the state legislative amendment) and to require public access to the site.
 - One University Drive- Section 106 Consulting Party Request
 - **Mr. Burns moved, and seconded by Ms. Murray, that the ARB notify the Board of Supervisors and the corresponding district supervisor, that the ARB would like to be a Section 106 consulting party for the Mount Vernon Memorial Trail at Dogue Creek/One University Drive project. The ARB will direct county staff to relay this request. Motion passed unanimously.**
 - **Ms. Arseneau will follow-up.**
 - Rezoning Cases- no new Heritage Resources cases.
 - Other? The Exceptional Design Awards will be held virtually this year (sometime in October). Please let Ms. Arseneau know if you want to work on that committee.
- **Correspondence, Announcements: (Staff)**
 - **Old Business**
- **New/other business:** Check with staff on getting access to Lunch and Learns on the new Zoning Ordinance.

Mr. Burns made a motion to adjourn at 10:09 p.m.

The ARB Administrator will stamp and sign copies of approved drawings or other application documents following the meeting at which approvals are granted, or at such time as drawings amended to reflect ARB actions are received by the administrator. Applicants may be required to submit additional copies of approved drawings or other application documents. Applicants may request copies of meeting minutes within 2 weeks of the meeting at which the ARB approved the minutes. Stamped drawings, letters from administrator documenting ARB action or copies of relevant minutes are required prior to projects being approved by county review and permitting agencies.

For further information contact, Laura Arseneau, Branch Chief, Heritage Resources Branch, Architectural Review Board Administrator, Fairfax County Department of Planning and Development (DPD), at 703/324-1380