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BACKGROUND

The Board of Supervisors authorized the Reston Master Plan Special Study on May 18, 2009 and directed staff to initiate Phase 1 of the study, which is a review of Comprehensive Plan recommendations pertaining to the areas around the three planned Reston Metrorail stations: Reston Town Center Station, Wiehle-Reston East Station and the Herndon Station. Phase II of the Reston Master Plan Special Study will review the wider Reston community including the Village Centers and selected commercial areas. In the fall of 2009, a community Task Force was appointed for the Phase I effort by the Board of Supervisors, which included representatives of Reston resident groups, owners of commercial property in the study area and other interested members of the community. Working with staff, the Task Force was charged with evaluating existing Comprehensive Plan recommendations and identifying changes to guide future transit-oriented development (TOD) in the vicinity of the three Reston stations.

The Task Force and several sub-committees of the full Task Force met regularly from 2010 through 2013 to develop an approach to furthering TOD development at the stations. Subsequently, the Task Force worked with staff to develop their recommendations which were finalized at their meeting on October 29, 2013. A record of the Task Force effort including reports, presentations and documents prepared by the Task Force, staff and members of the community can be viewed on the study website: http://www.fairfaxcounty.gov/dpz/reston/

LOCATION AND CHARACTER OF THE AREA

The study area, identified in the current Area III volume of the Comprehensive Plan as the Reston-Herndon Suburban Center, is located approximately 20 miles west of Washington DC, seven miles west of Tysons Corner and six miles east of Washington Dulles International Airport. The area is over 1,700 acres and includes the Reston Town Center and three designated Transit Station Areas (TSA) around the three planned Metrorail stations within the Reston portion of the Dulles Corridor. The study area generally spans the section of the Dulles Airport Access and Toll Road (the Dulles Toll Road) between Hunter Mill Road on the southeast, the Virginia Department of Transportation owned storage facility on the northeast and to Centreville Road on the west. The southern boundary is generally Sunrise Valley Drive; the north is generally bounded by the Town of Herndon, Baron Cameron Avenue, and Sunset Hills Road.

Development within the Town Center and three TSAs vary in character from low to medium intensity office buildings along the Dulles Corridor, ranging in height from two to ten stories, to
the Reston Town Center area which is a high-intensity mixed-use area that includes office and residential buildings up to twenty-stories in height. The Town Center also includes retail, hotel, civic, and institutional uses within the mix of uses. The Wiehle-Reston East Metro station is included in Phase I of the new Silver Line extension, where service will start in early 2014. The remaining Reston Stations, Reston Town Center and Herndon, are in Phase II of the Silver Line extension where service is anticipated to start in 2018.

PLANNING HISTORY

Since the creation of the Reston-Herndon Suburban Center in 1992 during Fairfax County’s Planning Horizons effort, this area has been planned for a mix of office, retail and residential uses, with ancillary institutional uses, in a low to moderate intensity range. A core area was designated at the Reston Town Center to have a medium to high intensity range with a more urban environment. Transit stations were anticipated in the Dulles Toll Road right-of-way west of the Reston Parkway interchange and at Sunset Hills Road west of Hunter Mill Road. Subsequently, the planned stations’ general locations were adjusted and a third station was added.

In 2001, Comprehensive Plan Amendment S98-CW-4CP (adopted May 21, 2001) defined Transit Station Areas (TSAs) surrounding the three future stations within the Reston-Herndon Suburban Center shown in the Comprehensive Plan today. The general locations of the transit station platforms were established, along with planned intensities within a quarter and half mile of the transit station platforms. The area was to be served by either a Bus Rapid Transit or Metrorail system. More detailed urban design guidance was included for these TSAs.

In 2007, following further study, Amendment S01-CW-17CP (adopted August 6, 2007) specified that the Transit Station Areas would be served by Metrorail, after bus rapid transit was removed from consideration as a potential intermediate form of transit.

The County adopted Transit-Oriented Development (TOD) guidelines for inclusion in the Policy Plan in 2007. This new policy included the objective to encourage TOD growth near planned and existing rail transit stations.

In 2008, during the North County Area Plans Review process, 19 nominations to amend the Plan were submitted for property within the study area. The majority sought to change the Plan recommendations related to transit options. These transit-related nominations were deferred by the Planning Commission for inclusion in a larger planning study. On May 18, 2009, the Board of Supervisors authorized the Reston Master Plan Special Study and directed staff to initiate the first phase of the study (ST09-III-UP1(A)), which is a review of the Comprehensive Plan recommendations pertaining to the areas around the three Reston-Herndon Suburban Center Metrorail stations. A companion study was authorized in July 2009 to evaluate transit-related Plan recommendations for Land Units A and B of the Dulles Suburban Center, located
on the south side of the Innovation Center station. These planning studies were authorized in order to evaluate existing Comprehensive Plan land use guidance and identify appropriate changes, particularly with regard to transit-oriented development and consistency with newly adopted County TOD policies.

A list of Plan amendments proposed in the study area since 1993 is included as Attachment III.

**ADOPTED COMPREHENSIVE PLAN TEXT**

The Comprehensive Plan Map shows that the Reston Town Center portion of the study area is predominately designated as a Planned Residential Community. The rest of the study area is shown as planned for Office use and Mixed Use development. In addition to the Plan Map, extensive land use guidance is provided in the text of the Reston-Herndon Suburban Center section of the Comprehensive Plan.

The current Comprehensive Plan Land Use Map can be viewed online: [http://www.fairfaxcounty.gov/dpz/comprehensiveplan/](http://www.fairfaxcounty.gov/dpz/comprehensiveplan/)

The current Comprehensive Plan text encourages higher density transit-oriented, mixed-use development that is more urban in character and pedestrian and bicycle-friendly for areas within ½ mile of the planned Metrorail stations. Near the Wiehle-Reston East station, areas within the Suburban Center that are greater than ½ mile from the stations are planned to continue as locations for low-density office uses with a more suburban form. Near the Reston Town Center station, the areas within the Suburban Center that are greater than ½ mile from the station on the north side of the Toll Road are planned for higher density, mixed-use development that is more urban in form and function while the areas on the south side that are greater than ½ mile from the station are planned for low-density office use. The areas that are greater than ½ mile from the Herndon station are planned for either moderate density mixed-used development, including office, retail, residential and hotel or for moderate density residential uses (single family detached, attached and apartments).

The current Plan includes overall land use, urban design, streetscape, and transportation recommendations for the entire Suburban Center. Among the objectives of the current Plan are to:

- Create an improved sense of place and function;
- Create centralized areas of relatively more intense development;
- Encourage development of additional housing, including affordable units;
- Encourage mixed-use development;
- Maintain the integrity of adjacent existing residential areas;
- Develop a cohesive pedestrian system; and
- Develop mass transit options as well as other transportation strategies.
For the purpose of organizing land use recommendations for specific areas, the Reston-Herndon Suburban Center is divided into “land units” as shown on Figure 1. Recommendations for all of these land units were evaluated and reviewed during this study.

The current Plan’s Areawide Recommendations may be viewed in their entirety online:


The recommendations for individual Land Units may be viewed in their entirety online:


The Fairfax County Concept for Future Development designates the area as a Suburban Center with areas near planned Metrorail stations designated as Transit Station areas (TSA). TSA’s are planned to promote a land use pattern that supports Metrorail by encouraging a mix of uses in a compact, pedestrian-friendly urban form within walking distance of the rail station. Countywide guidelines for Transit-Oriented Development area located in the Policy Plan volume of the Comprehensive Plan as Appendix 11 beginning on page 33.

http://www.fairfaxcounty.gov/dpz/comprehensiveplan/policyplan/landuse.pdf#page=33

PROPOSED COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENT

The Board of Supervisors authorized this Plan amendment to consider changes to the Comprehensive Plan in light of the extension of Metrorail’s Silver Line along the Dulles Corridor. In conjunction with the Reston Master Plan Special Study Task Force, staff evaluated planning recommendations for these three station areas. The Plan amendment considers how to further Countywide goals for Transit-Oriented Development and achieve compact, higher intensity mixed use development in the three identified transit station areas.
The Task Force and several sub-committees of the full Task Force met regularly from 2010 through 2012 to develop an approach to furthering TOD development at the stations. Early in the process, the Task Force drafted a Vision Statement and Planning Principles that established a framework for the recommendations that would be developed. The vision is for a diverse community with broad choices in jobs, housing and lifestyle. The land use pattern that emerges from that vision is one with the greatest densities at the Reston Town Center and the three TOD areas roughly within ½ mile of the Metrorail stations. The envisioned uses include a broad mix of regional retail and other attractions as part of an enhanced urban Town Center that extends south to the Reston Town Center Station and strong local retail and a variety of amenities to characterize the Metrorail station areas. The station areas were to have an appropriate balance of residential uses and employment opportunities as has occurred in the Town Center.
A link to the Task Force Vision and Planning Principles is provided below:


Based on the Task Force vision and TOD principles, the Reston-Herndon Suburban Center designation is proposed to be replaced by three contiguous Transit Station Areas (TSA) as shown on Figure 2.

As analysis progressed, assumptions about areas of change and areas of no change also emerged. Closest to the stations, each TSA was considered to have a core area designated as a TOD district which was considered as an area of change. These would include a more urban form with a complementary mix of uses at generally higher development intensities than the rest of the TSA, which is divided into non-TOD districts. The objective for the TOD districts is to create transit-focused and pedestrian-oriented neighborhoods within ½ mile of the stations. The objective for the non-TOD districts is to generally maintain their existing character and mix of uses. The exception is the Town Center North District which is planned to develop with a more urban form with a complementary mix of uses at a higher intensity than currently exists.

Consistent with the framework established by the Task Force vision, staff developed land use scenarios for the study area that were the basis to evaluate transportation and other public facility impacts. By encouraging mixed use in the TSA’s, the scenarios resulted in an increase in the residential component and reduction in overall amount of planned office use. The scenarios assumed regional retail in the Town Center and support retail uses elsewhere in the study area to provide convenience for residents, employees and visitors and minimize automobile trips.

The scenario went through several iterations with the Task Force during the process. For the purposes of thorough and complete impact analyses, the Route 28 Station-South study area (Innovation Center Station) was also included in these analyses. Scenarios underwent impact analyses by service providers such as the County’s Department of Transportation, Park Authority, Fire and Rescue, and Public Schools.
The last two iterations of scenarios, Scenarios E and G, as shown on Figure 3, were evaluated in more detail. They are compared with the current Plan buildout. Compared with the current Plan buildout, Scenario E and G both reduced the commercial (primarily office) square footage and increased housing while slightly increasing the overall level of development.

Note: To inform subsequent scenarios, an additional Scenario F was analyzed along with Scenario E, with the same amount of commercial use, but double the number of residential units.

The results of the impact analysis showed that Scenario E’s jobs-housing ratio of 5.5:1, while an improvement over the current Plan’s jobs-housing ratio of 8.8:1 could be further improved through the provision of additional housing while further limiting the commercial uses. The resulting Scenario G provided for a jobs-housing ratio of 4.3:1. This final scenario also maintains the Reston-wide jobs-housing ratio of approximately 2.5:1.

Housing

Countywide TOD policies promote a balanced mix of uses in station areas to ensure the efficient use of transit and promote increased ridership during peak and off-peak travel periods. The current Comprehensive Plan for this area has a disproportionate commercial component as shown on Figure 3. Iterations of land use scenarios considered during the study improved the jobs/housing balance by increasing the residential component and reducing the planned commercial uses. Staff’s assessment of the development potential resulting from the current
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**Figure 3**

Land Use Scenarios
(Reston Phase One Study Area)
plan for the Reston study area is that it could result in a jobs/housing ratio of 8.8 jobs to every household. The proposed Plan improves that ratio greatly by achieving a ratio of 4.3 jobs per household. This provides housing opportunities near transit while promoting the creation of vibrant mixed-use centers.

Provision of a range of housing opportunities, which includes housing for different income levels, is consistent with the objectives of County TOD policy. This policy ensures that housing within TODs is accessible to those most dependent on public transportation including older adults, persons with disabilities and persons with limited income. Staff and the Task Force evaluated a strategy for development between a 1.0 and 4.0 FAR that would seek a proportional 12 to 18 percent of total residential units as affordable Workforce Dwelling Units (WDU). In this concept, 20 percent of total residential units would be sought as WDU’s for development above a 4.0 FAR.

During the Tysons Corner study, a contribution of $3 per non-residential square foot for affordable housing was recommended for new development in that area. The Board of Supervisors then directed staff and the Planning Commission to evaluate what contribution should be sought from non-residential development in other mixed-use centers. The replanning of this area provides an opportunity to affirm this approach that non-residential development in Transit Station Areas should contribute to the provision of affordable and workforce housing. Therefore, staff recommended that the Task Force consider a contribution of $3 per non-residential square foot for affordable housing in the TOD.

Urban Design

In order to have successful TOD, development needs to achieve a vibrant mix of uses with a high level of walkability. Staff and the Task Force gave extensive consideration to urban design guidelines to achieve a high-quality TOD environment, which are reflected in the recommended Comprehensive Plan text. Design of the pedestrian realm includes those elements that affect the experience of people circulating on foot such as sidewalks, block pattern, streetscape, wayfinding, signage and public art. Building and site design includes the arrangement and character of the buildings that frame the pedestrian realm such as with building mass, height, articulation, fenestration and transparency, and parking design. In addition, open space guidelines were included, with a goal of 20 percent publicly accessible open space throughout the TSA’s.

Transportation

The Reston-Herndon Suburban Center and the Route 28 Station-South studies took place in anticipation of the opening of the Silver Line Metrorail stations along the Dulles Corridor. For the purpose of evaluating transportation impacts, the studies were combined for the transportation analyses. The analyses of the transportation network examined existing
The key finding of the analysis is that the impact of the Scenario G is notably improved from the current Comprehensive Plan, as well as Scenario E. These improvements include less vehicle trips and improved connectivity. This improvement is the result of proposed road connections and a more balanced flow of traffic into and out of the study area due to an improved jobs to housing ratio. Figure 4 below compares the performance of intersections in the Dulles Corridor and demonstrates that Scenario G, has less delay at intersections overall.

Compared to the current Plan, shown in Figure 4 as COG Round 8 estimated forecast for 2030, non-gateway intersections improve by 33 percent. Gateway intersections, or those that are key entrances to the study area, improve by approximately 12 percent.
Detailed information on the transportation analysis is contained in the County’s report to the Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT). This report is titled, “Chapter 527 Submittal for Fairfax County Comprehensive Plan Amendment, Dulles Corridor Study” and is available online. The section that begins on page 16 describes the assessment of the potential impact on the transportation system. Also provided are links to VDOT’s comments on the study and the Fairfax County Department of Transportation’s (FCDOT) response.

Chapter 527 Submittal for Fairfax County Comprehensive Plan Amendment, Dulles Corridor Study:


Dulles Corridor Study – Transportation Study Final Report:


VDOT Review of Dulles Corridor Transportation Study:


FCDOT Response to VDOT Review of Dulles Corridor Transportation Study:


Fairfax County’s TOD policy is to create multimodal, walkable environments in TOD locations. As such, an overall intersection level of service (LOS) E is an acceptable goal used for intersections within a half-mile of a Metro Station, which is a generally considered to be a TOD area. This proposed change in the LOS goal (currently LOS D) is proposed to be applied to the Reston Transit Station Areas. The County is moving towards a LOS E goal for all TOD areas within the County to promote slower speeds and encourage the use of transportation modes other than an automobile.

The analysis of the transportation network resulted in recommended improvements that are included in the proposed Plan. Provision of transportation improvements, such as transit and a street grid that accommodates all modes of transportation, are key features of the Plan. Emphasis is placed on focusing land use toward the Metrorail stations, which is one aspect of creating a successful TOD environment. To maximize the efficiency of the transportation system, the Plan includes Transportation Demand Management (TDM) recommendations to
reduce peak hour single occupancy vehicle trips in the TOD areas. Transportation recommendations also include provisions for regular monitoring of development and transportation system effectiveness at least every 5 years.

A tiered approach was used to evaluate and recommend improvements based on the transportation study results. The approach is to first apply and exhaust mitigation measures with the lowest cost and impact. If problems still persist, further mitigation measures are applied. The following sequential steps were applied in the tiered approach:

1. Signal Optimization: Before turn lanes were added or other improvements considered, the phasing of signals were optimized.
2. Lane Function: Using the existing right-of-way and approach lanes at an intersection, the lane configuration was evaluated to see if changing the approach lanes could alleviate a failing intersection or a severely failing movement at an intersection.
3. Intersection Control: The intersection control was evaluated to see if adding a signal to an intersection could mitigate problem movements. However, all the intersections analyzed are already signalized.
4. Additional Lanes: If the first three steps did not mitigate a failing intersection, then the addition of one or more exclusive turn lanes were evaluated for inclusion. At some intersections, particularly those close to Metro stations, not all turn lanes that could alleviate a failing intersection were added as mitigation measures. This was done to maintain a balance between walkability and the movement and speed of vehicles and to accommodate all modes of transportation.
5. Another approach that will be evaluated in more detail is the addition of links to the grid of streets which might be able to divert some traffic away from problematic intersection by creating alternative paths for vehicles. FCDOT will conduct a detailed analysis of the grid of streets to address the intersections that are still failing that could possibly be mitigated with additional street connections at the conclusion of this planning study.

The transportation analysis assumed four levels for the road network. The first is the existing network, the second is planned transportation improvements as shown on the Transportation Plan Map, the third is transportation improvements that could occur due to others (Metropolitan Washington Airports Authority (MWAA) improvements at the Dulles Toll Road on/off ramps), and the fourth is transportation improvements that would be needed to mitigate the impact of proposed growth resulting from the proposed Plan Amendments.

The Transportation Plan Map currently shows the following improvements:

- Route 28 - 10 lanes with HOV. One HOV lane per direction is included within the ten-lane proposed width;
- Rock Hill Road Overpass;
- Extend Sunrise Valley south of Frying Pan Road to Park Center Road;
• Frying Pan Road - 6 lanes between Route 28 and Centreville;
• Fairfax County Parkway - 6 lanes with HOV;
• Fox Mill Road - 4 lanes from Reston Parkway to Monroe Street;
• West Ox Road - 4 lanes from Lawyers Road to Centreville Road;
• Monroe Street - 4 lanes from West Ox Road to the Town of Herndon;
• Town Center Parkway Underpass;
• Reston Parkway – 6 lanes from Sunrise Valley Drive to Baron Cameron Avenue; and
• Overpass (4-lane bridge) across the Dulles Toll Road from Sunset Hills Road to Sunrise Valley Drive approximately at Soapstone Drive. Referred to as the Soapstone Overpass.

The third level of transportation improvements were the Dulles Toll Road on/off ramp improvements that were included in the analysis. The fourth and final set of transportation improvements are those needed to mitigate the proposed increase in density associated with Plan Amendments as well as the background traffic. These improvements are in addition to the aforementioned establishment of a grid of streets, intersection improvements, trip reduction goals and context-sensitive design of streets. The transportation improvement considered to be added to the Comprehensive Plan and the Transportation Plan Map for the Reston study area are:

• Grade separation at Fairfax County Parkway and Sunrise Valley Drive. An alternative improvement can be considered as identified through a more detailed study;
• Town Center Parkway Underpass (4-lane tunnel) from Town Center Parkway and Sunset Hills Road to Sunrise Valley Drive west of Edmund Halley Drive – alignment and number of lanes refined;
• Extend Pinecrest Road from South Lakes Drive to Sunrise Valley Drive;
• Reston Parkway – 6 lanes from Sunrise Valley Drive to South Lakes; and
• Overpass (4-lane bridge) across the Dulles Toll Road from Sunset Hills Road to Sunrise Valley Drive approximately at South Lakes Drive. Referred to as the South Lakes Overpass.

A further effort looked at the planned widening of Reston Parkway from New Dominion Parkway to Baron Cameron Avenue, which is currently a 4-lane road but is shown on the Fairfax County Transportation Plan to be improved to 6-lanes. The purpose was to determine if 6 lanes were needed on this section of the road or if future growth could be accommodated with 4 lanes. Based on the subsequent analysis, it was determined that the existing 4-lane section could accommodate the future traffic provided that other major crossings of the Dulles Toll Road, as proposed in the analysis, are constructed. Reston Parkway from the Dulles Toll Road north to New Dominion Parkway is already a 6-lane road. The transportation improvement to 6 lanes for Reston Parkway from the Dulles Toll Road to Baron Cameron Avenue is proposed to be removed from the Transportation Plan.
The appropriate links to the Study and Findings of Reston Parkway 4-lanes vs. 6-lanes from New Dominion Parkway to Baron Cameron Avenue are noted below:


**Environment**

TSA’s are mixed-use centers with some of the County’s highest planned intensities and offer considerable opportunity to improve upon past stormwater management practices. As such, new development should provide more stormwater management and water quality control measures as well as construct green buildings with new development.

Stormwater management measures focus on protecting downstream receiving waters by reducing stormwater runoff from existing and future impervious surfaces. The goal is to reduce the total runoff volume or significantly delay its entry into the stream system. In an urban setting, it is important to have clear guidance when designing higher intensity buildings. The Task Force considered Plan guidance with specific approaches for stormwater management while including flexibility to take other approaches that may be more effective on a specific site.

Development should be guided by resource conservation, green building and noise goals and objectives found in the Policy Plan. The proposed Plan encourages development to follow County green policies with additional guidance that non-residential development should pursue LEED Silver certification.

Noise sensitive uses could be affected by proximity to the Dulles Toll Road and Metrorail. Since the County has broader planning goals to create a vibrant environment, which includes housing, it may be appropriate for sites near the Dulles Toll Road and Metrorail to be developed with residential or other noise sensitive uses. Design approaches that attenuate noise impacts should be taken to minimize exposure to high noise levels.

**Public Facilities**

In January 2013 representatives of County agencies and private utilities were asked to analyze facility needs based on land use Scenario G. The findings of these analyses was that most public facilities located in and around the study area have adequate capacity to accommodate the proposed additional development. However, schools and parks would need expansions or modifications to continue providing adequate service.
Parks, Recreation, and Cultural Amenities: Urbanizing areas such as Reston TOD areas will have different park types than other parts of the county. To address such circumstances, the Urban Parks Framework was adopted by the Board of Supervisors and added to the Policy Plan in May of 2013. In addition to urban park amenities recommended by the framework, future development should offset the need for active recreation. This area of Reston has multiple park, recreation, and cultural amenity providers (e.g., Fairfax County Park Authority, Reston Association, Reston Community Center, Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority). The determination of needs generated by Scenario G assumes the combined resources of these public providers.

Schools: Fairfax County Public Schools has indicated that the envisioned growth in the study area and nearby neighborhoods may trigger the need for 2 elementary schools. One of schools would be located in the Town Center North District. The other would be located in the Central Sunrise Valley District. The envisioned growth within the three Reston TSA’s when combined with the Innovation Center TSA will require a new middle and high school. The new high school should be located within or proximate to Land Unit A of the Dulles Suburban Center. The provision of any future facilities would need to be coordinated with the rate that planned development occurs.

For this and other urbanizing areas, providing adequate public facilities may in some cases require innovative urban solutions such as locating public facilities within buildings serving other uses.

RECOMMENDATION

Plan Amendment ST09-III-UP1(A) proposes revisions to the Comprehensive Plan for the Reston-Herndon Suburban Center and this area’s TSAs. The Suburban Center is proposed to be dissolved into three contiguous Transit Station Areas. Each TSA is proposed to have a TOD district which is planned with the highest intensities. Much of the area outside of the TOD districts are areas proposed to maintain their existing character, uses and intensity. The proposed Plan amendment also provides recommendations for creating a multi-modal transportation system, environmental stewardship, urban parks and recreation and schools. Further guidance is proposed to address urban design with an emphasis on creating a high-quality urban environment that highly walkable. Changes to other sections of the Comprehensive Plan to reflect the above revisions are also proposed.

Attachments
Attachment I: Recommended Plan Text of the Reston Master Plan Special Study Task Force and Staff
Attachment II: Comments of the Reston Master Plan Special Study Task Force and Staff on Draft Version 10 Plan Text
Attachment III: List of Previous Plan Amendments 1993 to present day
Recommended Plan Text of the Reston Master Plan Special Study Task Force and Staff
Reston Transit Station Areas
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RESTON TRANSIT STATION AREAS

OVERVIEW

Reston is located in the northwestern quadrant of Fairfax County, approximately 20 miles west of Washington DC, seven miles west of Tysons and six miles east of Washington Dulles International Airport. It is a community of approximately 6,700 acres and is bisected by the Dulles Airport Access Road and Dulles Toll Road (DAAR, Route 267) (see Figure 1). The community will be served by three Metrorail Silver Line stations: the Reston Town Center Station, the Wiehle-Reston East Station and the Herndon Station, as shown on Figure 2. For purposes of the Comprehensive Plan, the areas around these stations are designated as Transit Station Areas (TSAs), as shown on Figure 3. The Vision for Reston articulated below and the associated Planning Principles should apply to the whole community of Reston. The other guidance in this section is designed to apply only to the Transit Station Areas.

The Wiehle-Reston East and Reston Town Center TSAs are located along both sides of the DAAR from the Virginia Department of Transportation owned storage facility to the east, Hunter Mill Road on the southeast and Fairfax County Parkway on the west. The Herndon TSA is located along the south side of the DAAR and is bounded by Fairfax County Parkway on the east, Fox Mill Road and Sunrise Valley Drive on the south, and Centreville Road on the west. Land to the north of the Herndon Station is within the Town of Herndon.

The character of development within these three TSAs varies greatly. Development includes office parks at varying development intensities* from low intensity office parks with buildings of two and three-stories and mostly surface parking, to medium intensity office buildings of 5-10 stories with above-grade structured parking, to the Reston Town Center, a high-intensity mixed-use area that includes office and residential buildings of up to twenty-stories, to residential neighborhoods at various densities* in the Reston Town Center TSA (e.g. West Market) and the Herndon TSA (e.g. Great Oak).

* As defined in the Comprehensive Plan Glossary.
Figure 1. Reston Master Plan Special Study Area

Reston Master Plan
Special Study Boundary

- Proposed Herndon Metro Station
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Figure 2. Reston Transit Station Areas Locator Map
The TSAs together make up the County’s second largest office market and, given their proximity to Washington Dulles International Airport and the excellent regional access provided by the Metro’s Silver Line and the DAAR, are appropriate for a variety of residential and employment land uses.

Each TSA has within it a core area that has been designated for Transit-Oriented Development (TOD). These TOD areas or districts are adjacent to the future rail stations and are planned to transition to a more urban form and include a complementary mix of uses at higher development intensities than that planned for the other areas in the TSAs.

The planning objectives for these TOD districts are to create a transit-focused neighborhood within ½ mile of the transit station that will encourage pedestrian activity to enliven the area throughout the day and evening and where the emphasis will be on creating places and connections that are safe, comfortable and attractive for pedestrians and bicyclists. These objectives will result in the evolution of the existing commercial areas along the DAAR, including those formerly designated as the Reston Center for Industry and Government\(^1\), into truly viable pedestrian-oriented neighborhoods.

\(^1\) The Reston Center for Industry and Government consisted of commercially and industrially zoned properties that were planned for office and R&D use and where covenants on the land prohibited residential and hotel uses. These covenants were voluntarily terminated by landowners in 2011.
CONCEPT FOR FUTURE DEVELOPMENT

The Concept for Future Development’s policy direction focuses employment growth into designated Mixed-Use Centers. The Concept identifies these three future Metro stations (Reston Town Center, Wiehle-Reston East and Herndon) as Transit Station Areas along the Dulles Corridor. The purpose of the Transit Station Area designation is to optimize development opportunities associated with the availability of mass transit while maintaining the stability of existing land uses outside of the Transit Station Areas. Transit Station Areas allow a mixture of residential, office, retail and other commercial uses and may provide opportunities for joint public-private development.

PLANNING HISTORY

The Reston community was planned and has developed as one of the nation’s landmark new towns. The Reston Master Plan was initially adopted in July 1962 and specified locations for residential, recreational, and civic uses as well as an employment center in the geographic center of the community. The Reston Master Plan, comprised of a Land Use Map, Community Facilities Map and Transportation Map, is incorporated by reference into the Fairfax County Comprehensive Plan and has continued to serve as a general guide for development within Reston from 1962 to the present day.

In 1991, the Reston-Herndon Suburban Center was established as part of the Fairfax Planning Horizons process, a major revision of the policy and land use recommendations of the County’s Comprehensive Plan. Suburban centers are designed to be employment centers along major arterial roads and to encourage a mix of office, hotel, support retail and residential uses in a low to medium-intensity setting with designated core areas of higher intensity and a more urban form. The Reston-Herndon Suburban Center developed over time with primarily office uses in traditional suburban office parks stretched along the length of the DAAR west of Hunter Mill Road. These office parks include both low-density buildings with surface parking and moderate-density areas with a mix of commercial uses, including community serving uses such as restaurants and childcare facilities, with structured parking. The highest density area is the mixed-use core successfully developed at the Reston Town Center, which includes office, retail, hotel and residences, and an urban streetscape with a grid of streets, ground level retail to promote activity at the street level and public gathering spaces that serve local employees and residents as well as the broader community. With this Plan Amendment, the area previously designated as the Reston-Herndon Suburban Center will no longer be described as a single Suburban Center but rather as three Transit Station Areas (TSAs) located within Reston. This change fosters transit-oriented development at the three Metrorail stations and emphasize the connection between these TSAs and the larger Reston community.

A guiding concept at Reston’s founding was that residents should have the opportunity to work close to where they lived. The Reston Master Plan designated most of the area contained within the three TSAs for office and research and development use. From the 1960s until 2011, much of the land within Reston along the Dulles Corridor was subject to restrictive covenants, which limited residential and hotel uses. The covenants were voluntarily lifted in 2011 by
property owners for the area known as the Reston Center for Industry and Government, creating the opportunity for the desired mixed-use development in the TSAs.

**Reston Master Plan Special Study Task Force**

In October 2009, the Fairfax County Board of Supervisors established the Reston Master Plan Special Study Task Force to work with County planning staff to review current plan guidance related to the community of Reston in the Fairfax County Comprehensive Plan and make recommendations to the Planning Commission and Board regarding appropriate changes to the Comprehensive Plan.

The Task Force included over 40 members and its membership comprised representatives from multiple community organizations, including the Reston Association, the Reston Citizens Association, the Reston Community Center, the Greater Reston Chamber of Commerce, and the Reston Planning and Zoning Committee, as well as commercial property owners and residents. The Task Force developed the following Vision statement and Planning Principles to help guide future development in Reston.

**VISION FOR RESTON**

Reston has since its inception been envisioned to be a place to live, work and play. It will continue to evolve over the next four or five decades into a community with an even greater variety of opportunities to do so. As Reston evolves, it is important to respect the characteristics that have helped to define Reston from its inception. A foundational characteristic is a commitment to encouraging a strong sense of community, through design as well as the provision of a wide variety of community amenities. The design character should be enhanced in the future by integrating gathering places of varying types and sizes throughout new development. In the Village Centers, a central plaza is planned to be a key feature of any future redevelopment. In the Wiehle-Reston East and Reston Town Center TSAs, at least one plaza should be provided on both the north and south side of the DAAR in close proximity to the transit station and with ground-level retail along the plaza, while in the Herndon TSA, a plaza should be provided on the south side of the DAAR at or near the transit station and with ground-level retail along the plaza.

The Policy Plan provides guidance for establishing green neighborhood and building practices. The planning and design of development within the Transit Station Areas should be guided by green neighborhood principles on place making, creating pedestrian oriented connections, energy conservation, and preservation of natural resources. Non-residential development should be planned and designed to achieve LEED silver or equivalent standards, at a minimum, in light of the level of redevelopment proposed for the TSAs. Residential development should also be guided by the Policy
Plan objectives for natural resource conservation, green building, and green neighborhood practices. A broad range of practices can be pursued in support of and in addition to green neighborhood and building certification.

The following examples of energy and ecologically conscious approaches to green neighborhood and building design that should be encouraged within Reston are outlined in the following:

- **Place making:**
  - Orientation of plazas and other public spaces to provide adequate solar access
  - Provision of a mix of uses at each transit station area (TSA) to minimize walking distances between uses
  - Provision of housing for all ages and incomes in close proximity to the transit stations to minimize walking distances
  - Use of site design principles for the orientation of streets and blocks to maximize solar access
  - Provision for outstanding architecture and public art for place making

- **Pedestrian connections:**
  - Provision for enhanced linkages to transit stations
  - Provision of tree-lined and shaded streets (streetscape) to improve the character of pedestrian experience
  - Provision of a grid of local streets to provide a variety of opportunities for access to transit
  - Use of bikeways and trails to improve access to recreation areas and the transit stations
  - Provision to link the northern and southern areas of Reston through the transit station areas

- **Energy conservation (see Environmental Stewardship section):**
  - Provision of green and cool roof systems
  - Use of thermal and photovoltaic energy systems
  - Incorporation of passive cooling through proper shading and ventilation
  - Use of ground source heat pump heating and cooling systems for building conditioning and hot water requirements
  - Reduction of water consumption, including re-use of gray water where allowed
  - Use of radiant floor heating and cooling
  - Provision of wind turbines as an energy source
  - Recycling of materials and maximize use of locally produced materials
  - Use of cool roof systems or light reflecting roof surfaces
  - Use of light shades that provide shading for glass and direct sunlight deep into building spaces for daylighting

- **Preservation of natural resources:**
  - Protection of wetlands
  - Preservation of streams and buffer areas especially in the headwaters of streams
  - Enhancement and protection of lakes and ponds
- Use of closely spaced street trees and landscaping in open space areas to increase tree canopy in the TSAs
- Provision for enhanced stormwater management
- Conservation of existing forest areas in accordance with the Fairfax County policies

Development within the TSAs can become better integrated into the fabric of the larger Reston community by providing future TSA residents and employees access and robust connectivity to existing community amenities, including the lakes and the network of trails throughout Reston. This can best be achieved through incorporation into the existing Reston Association or the Reston Town Center Association. Each of these entities has indicated a willingness to include these new developments in their associations.

A second foundational characteristic of Reston has been a commitment to preserve natural areas and integrate open space throughout the community. The sustainable design of the community lends itself to the preservation of parkland, natural areas, clean lakes and walking trails. In the TSAs, this characteristic should be acknowledged via incorporation of a Reston-specific urban landscape. This landscape should preserve trees and existing landscaping in key, designated locations and incorporates more trees and plantings in the streetscape of selected new streets as further described in the Urban Design and Placemaking section.

Another key Reston characteristic is an emphasis on pedestrian and bicycle connectivity. Future development in the TSAs should augment this connectivity by providing appropriate links within and between the TSAs as well as multiple links to the existing Reston trail system in the areas adjacent to the TSAs.

From its beginning in 1964, the planned community of Reston has lacked a special place where one can go to remember and memorialize loved ones. A Memorial Garden of Reflection is a community facility that should be developed. A clear vision for such a facility has emerged through the work of the Initiative for Public Art – Reston (IPAR):

- It should be a universal and inclusive place, where Restonians can remember and reflect upon the passing of loved ones. It should respect and support the many ways in which people choose to acknowledge the passing of life. And, its design should provide a setting for tranquil reflection, contemplation, meditation, inspiration and healing.
The selection of an appropriate site would be key to the success of a Memorial Garden. Candidate sites could include existing parks, open space, stream valleys or other available property owned by Fairfax County, Reston Association or other entities. Candidate sites could also be property that is currently owned by a developer, but acquired through a development agreement, land swap, proffer or other means. It could be clustered and integrated with other community uses. Efforts to develop a Memorial Garden of Reflection include facilitating community engagement, establishing design criteria, considering location opportunities and requirements, exploring governance options, and determining financing for its development and operations in accordance with the principles and processes described in the Reston Public Art Master Plan that is incorporated here by reference.

Finally, public art has been a component of the effort to achieve quality urban design in Reston since the community’s inception. In order to continue to realize the goal of making Reston a vibrant place to live, work and play, public art should be encouraged in future development in Reston. Additional guidance is provided in the Urban Design and Placemaking section and the Urban Parks Recreation Facilities and Cultural Facilities section.

One of the goals for the TSAs is to help achieve a better balance within Reston between the available jobs and the housing opportunities near those jobs. A benefit of an improved balance is a more efficient use of the overall transportation network and better functioning of the street network as compared to a community with a greater imbalance. Much of the future employment and residential growth is planned to occur in the three TSAs, with a significant proportion of the new growth planned for the TOD areas located within ½ mile of the transit station.

The Reston Master Plan Special Study Task Force prepared the following Vision statement and Planning Principles to articulate its approach in preparing recommendations to guide this future evolution.

**Vision Statement**

Reston will be a complete community designed for the 21st century with broad choices in jobs, housing, and lifestyles for an increasingly diverse residential population. To achieve this vision:

- Planning will take full advantage of the Metrorail Silver Line Extension. Metrorail will connect to the Washington Metropolitan Region and Washington Dulles International Airport and will be complemented by improved station area connectivity, a strong local and regional bus network, complete streets that serve pedestrians, bicyclists and transit users, and a network of trails.
The community’s greatest densities will be at the three Metro station areas. A broad mix of regional retail and other attractions will be part of an enhanced urban center at the Town Center and strong local retail and a variety of amenities will characterize the other Metro station areas and village centers. To address congestion, the station areas will have an appropriate balance of residential uses and employment opportunities.

- A full range of housing choices will be provided for households of all incomes and needs.
- Employment opportunities will build upon the existing mix of international and national corporations, professional associations, centers for advanced technology, research and development companies, and local services.
- A strong institutional component will include a major hospital center, a regional government center, a new 21st century regional public library, a major fine and performing arts center, other civic and cultural uses, and public and private educational institutions of higher learning.
- Planning will emphasize protection of natural areas and the environment and the development of an array of cultural, educational, and recreational opportunities.

### Planning Principles

Planning will consider Reston as a comprehensive unit. Development projects will be evaluated based on their ability to meet the planning principles and the particular character of each area, as well as their specific impacts on the surrounding neighborhoods. The following principles will guide development of Reston as a complete community for the 21st century.

1. **Excellence in planning, urban design, and architecture will be community hallmarks.**
   
   The community will continue to strive to achieve excellence in planning and urban design, architecture, gathering places such as plazas connection with the natural environment, compatibility of uses, livability, and the integration of high-quality public art as distinguishing features of the Reston community.

2. **Planning will provide for environmental sustainability and green technology.**
   
   Natural resources and ecosystems, including natural areas, will be protected and restored. Adverse impacts on the environment (land, water, and air) will be minimized, and best practices will be used to protect environmentally sensitive areas. Green neighborhood and building practices will meet high standards. Tree canopy will continue to be an important component of the Reston visual experience.

3. **Development will be phased with infrastructure.**
   
   The phasing and funding of the expansion and modification of adequate transportation infrastructure and programs, and other infrastructure components such as schools, parks, and other public facilities should occur with development.
4. **Reston will continue to offer a mix of urban and suburban life styles.**
The Metro Silver Line extension will add opportunities for transit-oriented development to Reston’s already diverse and unique community. In terms of emphasis:

- **The Metro Station areas** will be livable urban places, with densities that step down from the Town Center to the other station areas. The station areas will also be the areas of highest commercial and residential intensity in the community.

- **The village centers** are important community gathering spaces that include a mix of locally serving retail, a residential component, and employment opportunities. Redevelopment to augment and enhance the village centers will be pedestrian-oriented, should include a plaza as a central element and provide adequate transition to surrounding neighborhoods. Convenient public transportation options should link the village centers and the transit stations.

- **Residential neighborhoods** will continue to provide a variety of housing types serving all income levels. Appropriate transitions will be provided between new development and all residential neighborhoods.

5. **The rail corridor will be transformed.**
Over time it will become an area with robust, livable, walkable mixed-use communities having an appropriate balance between residential and non-residential uses. Each of the transit station areas will have a distinct character to meet multiple community needs. Town Center will be a livable regional urban center and destination with the community’s highest densities and major shopping and cultural features to attract visitors. Wiehle-Reston East and Herndon will be urban transit neighborhoods. Special consideration for higher educational uses should be encouraged for the Wiehle-Reston East station. At the Herndon station, a special focus should be placed on its central environmental (wetlands) feature. The highest densities will be concentrated within ¼ mile of the rail stations, tapering down somewhat within ½ mile to maximize the use of rail. Residential and non-residential populations in each transit station area will be balanced to further maximize rail use and reduce dependence on automobiles. Future air rights development around the stations should be pursued to enhance development opportunities, encourage transit use, and improve north-south connectivity across the DAAR.

6. **Reston will become a more vibrant employment center.**
From its inception, Reston has provided a place for a spectrum of companies, from local to international, of varying sizes. Future development and redevelopment should continue to promote a broad range of opportunities for a robust and diverse business, advanced technology, educational, and research community.

7. **Housing will be provided for all ages and incomes.**
Reston will accommodate people of all ages, physical abilities, and economic circumstances, and households of all sizes and stages of family life.
8. **Connectivity and mobility will be strengthened.**
   A range of high-quality transportation facilities—including roads, bridges, tunnels, sidewalks, bikeways, trails, strengthened and expanded bus and shuttle services, and Metro will link the residential community and resident workers with activity centers, employment, open spaces, parks, schools, and civic, cultural and recreational facilities. New bridges and tunnels across the DAAR near the stations are a high priority to increase mobility on the existing road network. A robust transit system, expanded pedestrian and bicycle networks and transportation demand management strategies will also help reduce reliance on the automobile while increasing community mobility.

9. **High quality public open spaces will be required.**
   Abundant open space and a range of recreational and cultural opportunities are essential components of the high quality of life in Reston. The transit station areas and village centers should include a variety of public spaces such as a large urban central park, recreational facilities, urban plazas and greens, pocket parks, playgrounds, and other public amenities within easy walking distance for area residents, workers and visitors. Larger active recreation areas appropriate to Reston’s residential and commercial populations should be provided outside of the transit corridor.

10. **Public participation in planning and zoning will continue to be the community’s foundation.**
    Local participation should remain a hallmark of the planning and zoning processes as Reston continues to evolve as a complete community for the 21st century over several decades. The cumulative impacts of development and redevelopment should be routinely assessed and evaluated.

---

**PLANNING HORIZON**

The evolution of Reston’s Transit Station Areas is planned to occur over a period of 40 years or more. This Comprehensive Plan guidance is designed to guide redevelopment over the next 25-30 years and is in line with growth forecasts for housing and employment to 2040. The Plan seeks to achieve transit-oriented, compact, higher-intensity mixed use development adjacent to and in close proximity to the three transit stations to accommodate future growth in a manner that best utilizes the investment being made in the extension of the Metrorail Silver Line to the
Washington Dulles International Airport and beyond into Loudoun County to the west. As development occurs, it will be monitored and additional planning efforts will be identified as needed to update the Plan so that it continues to provide the appropriate guidance needed to achieve the community’s stated vision.

AREAWIDE RECOMMENDATIONS

These Areawide recommendations are designed to help achieve the future vision for the Reston TSAs. These recommendations present a framework for the specific District recommendations that follow. In addition, they provide guidance on areawide issues that apply to multiple TSA Districts and in some cases, all of the TSA Districts. The recommendations focus on land use, urban design, transportation, environmental stewardship, parks and recreation facilities, public facilities and implementation.

LAND USE

The overall land use approach for the TSAs envisions a change from the current pattern of low to medium density office parks to a mixed-use pattern that balances office, residential, retail, hotel, civic, and institutional uses in a pedestrian and bicycle-friendly environment, particularly in the areas closest to the stations. The employment areas farther away from the stations will continue to provide excellent locations for office development to occur as well as other complementary uses, such as data centers and research and development uses. The recommendations encourage a more urban, transit-oriented development pattern, with the objective of creating a walkable activity center at each station. The areas closest to the stations should consist of a mix of uses to include employment, housing and services to meet the needs of daily living. As noted earlier, achieving this vision will be a long-term process. Therefore, the land use section also includes guidance on land use compatibility, land use flexibility, incremental redevelopment as well as new development.

A key element in creating a more urban fabric in the TSAs will be the introduction of new streets to provide a more grid-like pattern to the road network that will enhance pedestrian and vehicular circulation around the stations. Another important element will be the introduction of new urban parks of various sizes and a well-connected public open space network. In addition, public gathering spaces and public uses will be located in the TSAs so as to continue to meet the needs of the Reston community.

Transit Station Areas Land Use Concept

The land use concept for the TSAs is informed by experience in the Washington Metropolitan area that shows that a higher proportion of residents within walking distance of a Metro station will use transit as compared with workers. In addition, residents are willing to walk further to transit than workers will. Therefore, to best take advantage of transit, the land use concept places an emphasis on locating the significant majority of new office uses in mixed use developments in TOD Districts located within a safe, comfortable and reasonably direct ¼ mile walk of the Metro stations. The predominant use in new development to be located in TOD areas
between ¼ and ½ mile of the stations should be multifamily housing in order to realize the objective of achieving an improved jobs-to-housing balance in Reston.

This approach of differentiating the emphasis of new development types in areas closest to the stations versus areas more removed from the stations formed the basis of the TOD district-specific land use categories described below. Exceptions to this approach should only be considered to facilitate the provision of significant new public infrastructure such as the planned new crossings of the DAAR. In those instances, new office uses above those already zoned may be considered for areas between ¼ and ½ mile of the stations.

**Land Use Categories**

The following land use categories indicate a general character of the mix of uses for a given area, as shown on Figure 4; however, the appropriate mix for any given project will be evaluated on a case-by-case basis during the rezoning/development review process. A primary goal in the TOD areas is to generate pedestrian activity throughout the day and well into the evening. It should be noted that the appropriate mix for a proposed development (redevelopment) will be affected by the other TOD and non-TOD development that has already occurred or been approved within the TSA.

Initial development proposals in a TOD District should conform to the recommended mix for the land use categories within the TOD District or include a higher proportion of residential use than specified. Coordinated development plans may be submitted as described below in the Development Review Performance Objectives. Projects that encompass multiple land use categories may be granted flexibility in the location of proposed uses as long as they achieve TOD objectives and contribute to the character recommended for the subject area.

The Land Use categories are as follows:

**Transit Station Mixed Use:** These areas are located close to the Metro stations and generally include the parcels within a safe, comfortable and reasonably direct ¼ mile walk from the station. They are planned for a balanced mix of office, hotel, retail, institutional (including civic) and residential uses. The long-term goal is for each Transit Station Mixed Use area (vs. individual projects) to achieve 50 percent non-residential uses and 50 percent residential uses on the basis of approved square footage.

**Residential Mixed Use:** These areas are a safe, comfortable and reasonably direct walk of ¼ - ½ mile from the Metro station platforms. In some instances, the areas in this category may be slightly further than ½ mile from a station due to redevelopment opportunities available under already approved development plans. These areas are planned primarily for a mix of existing office uses and new residential uses and new commercial uses other than office uses. The long-term goal is for each Residential Mixed Use area (vs. individual projects) to achieve 75 percent residential uses on the basis of approved square footage.

**Town Center Urban Core Mixed Use:** This area is planned for a mix of uses including office, retail, hotel and residential.
Town Center North Mixed Use: This area is planned for institutional uses along with residential, office, medical uses, hotel, civic, and support retail uses. Various County agencies will continue to constitute a significant civic presence in this area.

Mixed Use: These areas are planned for a mix of uses including office, retail, institutional, hotel and residential uses.

Office: These areas are planned almost exclusively for office uses, including research and development (R & D) uses and industrial flex space. Supporting retail and service uses, such as hotels and restaurants, are also encouraged in these areas.

Residential: These areas are planned almost exclusively for residential uses, including multi-family housing and townhouses. Supporting retail uses are allowed and should be compatible with the character of the neighborhood.

Public Facilities/Government/Institutional: These areas are planned for public uses, such as a library, school, fire station, or government offices. In addition, they may be planned for private institutional uses such as hospitals or colleges or universities. The Conceptual Land Use map identifies existing public or institutional uses in the TSAs. Planned public or institutional uses are discussed in the District Recommendations.

Major Open Space Amenities: These areas are planned for major, centrally located open spaces. These areas may include urban parks or spaces, such as plazas or greens with a variety of recreational and/or cultural uses, or may include natural habitat such as the Sunrise Valley Wetlands Nature Park. In instances when intensity credit is given for dedicating land for a park or open space, the land use mix applied to the intensity credit should be consistent with the land use category of an adjacent area. Additional guidance on parks and open space can be found in the Parks, Recreation Facilities and Cultural Facilities section and the Urban Design section.

The land use concept for each TSA divides the TSA into a TOD District and one or more Non-TOD Districts, as shown on Figure 5. Some of these Districts have been divided into Subdistricts for the purpose of organizing land use recommendations. The three TOD Districts are located around the station platforms, are planned for the highest intensities within each TSA and are envisioned to become vibrant neighborhoods, each with its own distinct character.
Figure 5. Transit Station Area Districts
A general description of each TOD District is provided below.

**TOD Districts**

The three TOD Districts are as follows:

**Wiehle Station District:** The Wiehle Station TOD District will be an urban transit neighborhood that will serve as a signature gateway to Reston. It should include a robust residential component and new office development should be focused in the area closest to the station as shown on the Conceptual Land Use Map. The district is envisioned to develop a more prominent educational focus by adding to the existing base of institutions of higher learning in the district. As noted in the Overview, this district does not extend to the south of Sunrise Valley Drive.

**Reston Town Center Station District:** The Reston Town Center Station TOD District should be Reston’s “downtown” station with significant residential and commercial components to complement existing development in the Reston Town Center. New office uses should be concentrated close to the station as shown on the Conceptual Land Use Map. This district has two sub-districts.

The North TOD Sub-district is planned to be an extension of the existing Town Center urban core with a comparable urban form and similar high-density residential and commercial functions. It is also envisioned to improve connectivity for pedestrians and bicyclists from the Metrorail station to the Reston Town Center core.

The South TOD Sub-district is planned to develop in a manner that is complementary to the Town Center on the north of the DAAR but not as a continuation of the Town Center core. Consequently, it is envisioned to develop with a somewhat lower overall intensity in the planning horizon of this Plan.

**Herndon Station District:** The Herndon Station TOD District is located on the south side of the DAAR and the station. It is envisioned to be an urban transit neighborhood adjacent to the publicly accessible wetlands located along Sunrise Valley Drive. New office uses should be concentrated close to the station as shown on the Conceptual Land Use Map.

**Non-TOD Districts**

The Non-TOD Districts vary in character and the mix of uses present within each. These districts, unless otherwise noted, should maintain their existing character, uses and zoned intensities due to their proximity to existing residential neighborhoods outside of the TSAs. The nine Non-TOD Districts are briefly described below, from east to west.

**Reston East District:** This district is developed almost exclusively with low-density office parks. This district serves as a transition to low-density residential neighborhoods to the south of Sunrise Valley Drive and west of Lake Fairfax Business Center and Hunter Mill Road.
Sunset Hills District: This district is located between the Wiehle Station and Reston Town Center Station TOD Districts on the north side of the DAAR. It includes the Plaza America office and retail center as well as office development north of Sunset Hills Road. It is envisioned that this area will serve as a transition between the two adjacent TOD Districts. Redevelopment and new infill development will be less intense than the adjacent TOD Districts and should focus on adding residential uses.

Old Reston Avenue District: This district includes an office campus, smaller scale offices uses, and several auto-oriented uses in a more typical suburban land use pattern and a residential building at the corner of Reston Parkway and Sunset Hills Road with a more urban form and site layout.

Town Center Urban Core District: This district is the mixed use “downtown” of Reston. It has an urban form, is pedestrian-oriented and provides two key publicly-accessible gathering spaces.

Town Center North District: This district is situated to the north of the Reston Town Center urban core and south of Baron Cameron Drive. It currently includes the North County Governmental Center, medical facilities, human services offices and elderly housing. The future land use pattern in this district should incorporate significant new residential development and new non-residential uses to complement the existing and planned public uses and the concentration of employment in the Reston Town Center and a significant new open space to serve as a defining element in the organization of a new, more urban pattern of blocks. The future land use pattern should also allow for a transition from the urban core of the Town Center to the low density commercial use along the north side of Baron Cameron Drive and the adjacent residential neighborhoods. This district has two subdistricts.

Town Center West District: This district contains a variety of residential and commercials uses to the west of the Town Center core, including Reston Hospital, two residential neighborhoods and a concentration of automobile-oriented retail uses along Sunset Hills Road. This district is envisioned to continue to generally serve these same functions over the planning horizon of this Plan.

Central Sunrise Valley District: This district includes areas to the north and south of Sunrise Valley Drive between Fairfax County Parkway on the west and Reston Parkway on the east. The United States Geological Survey’s headquarters, which includes a significant amount of undeveloped land, is located on two large parcels located within this district. Other uses include several office parks with 2-5 story buildings, a mini-storage facility, and a data center.

Woodland Park/Great Oak District: This district is at the western boundary of the Herndon Transit Station Area and includes Woodland Park, a major mixed use development with office, hotel, retail uses (including a grocery store) and multi-family residential development. It also includes the Great Oak subdivision, which includes single family detached units, and townhouses.
Planned Development Potential

To achieve the progression of the Reston TOD Districts from suburban office parks to more urban neighborhoods with convenient, safe, appealing pedestrian environments, it will be necessary to strategically locate additional density in a fashion that maximizes the use of Metrorail and other transit options. The land use concept for the TSAs links density to transit accessibility based on how far people are typically willing to walk to get to/from rail mass transit. Expressed as floor area ratio (FAR), the proposed levels of density are primarily based on proximity to the Metrorail stations. Development is planned to be most intense in the areas closest to the stations and less intense at the edges. See specific density guidance in the District Recommendations.

There are multiple combinations of uses that can create the active, vibrant pedestrian-oriented places that are desired for the TOD districts. For the purposes of determining future public infrastructure needs, a preferred “future” for the TOD districts was quantified and analyzed. The amount of development associated with this future land use scenario was useful in establishing target amounts of future development for the TSAs. These target development levels represent a useful benchmark for planning purposes – once development is nearing these levels, future study will be needed to re-evaluate the current Plan recommendations.

The ratio of jobs per household was considered in the development of the planned development potential. The Reston community (as shown on Figure 1) currently has approximately 2.55 jobs per household. A specific objective of this Plan is for the new development potential in the TSAs to maintain this ratio at approximately 2.5 jobs per household as measured across the entire community. The amount of development potential described above, if fully realized, will maintain the desired ratio.

The target development level established for the three TSAs is approximately 28,000 new and existing residential units and approximately 30 million square feet of new and existing office uses. Development to be counted toward this target amount includes existing uses, currently approved but unbuilt uses and any new uses that are approved through a rezoning or a special exception process. The impact analysis assessed approximately 80 percent of the maximum zoning potential as the level of development that is likely to be realized over the planning horizon. The target development for each TSA is described in the District Recommendations. The following table compares the 2010 existing land use levels to the planned development potential.
The development potential shown in the table above is based on quantification of the land use recommendations. The land use recommendations for each district provide flexibility for a change of land uses within certain parameters. For example, some areas are encouraged to include more housing when there is a corresponding reduction in office use. Additional retail uses are encouraged when they contribute to the area’s vibrancy and convenience. Additional hotel uses are encouraged because they can support retail uses and pedestrian activity and also result in fewer peak hour trips than office uses. Generally the Plan seeks to encourage a vibrant mix of uses that are balanced with the infrastructure needs.

Monitoring the potential impacts of office development is important since office uses currently represent the significant majority of existing uses and have high peak period vehicle trip generation characteristics. New uses other than offices that have a significant impact on peak period trips should also be managed carefully and may be counted toward the office development level.

The Transportation section of the Areawide Recommendations discusses the monitoring activities that will be necessary to track development performance. Monitoring will be essential to future planning efforts. A particular condition to be monitored is the achievement of transportation improvements needed to mitigate the impacts of new development.

**Development Review Performance Objectives**

All development proposals within the TSAs will be evaluated for the extent to which they meet or contribute to the following objectives.

- **Achieve High Quality Site Design and Architecture** – Excellent site design in the TSAs should continue the Reston traditions of emphasizing community gathering places, integrating access to the natural environment when possible, and providing public art. In addition, there should be an emphasis on environmentally sustainable design and practices with non-residential development achieving U.S. Green Building Council’s Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) Silver certification or the equivalent, at a minimum. Residential development should be guided by the Fairfax County Policy Plan objectives on Resource Conservation and Green Building Practices. See additional guidance in the Environmental Stewardship and Urban Design sections.
- **Provide Pedestrian and Bicycle Connectivity throughout the Transit Station Areas** – New pedestrian and bicycle connections should be provided through complete streets within the TSAs and new or extended trails on both sides of the DAAR connecting the three Metrorail stations. Pedestrian and bicycle crossings of existing streets should be improved to increase pedestrian and bicyclists’ safety, visibility and convenience. Several existing streets act as major barriers to pedestrian and bicycle movement and are identified for specific improvements within the District Recommendations. In addition, connections should be made from the Metrorail stations to the existing community trail network. See additional guidance in the Transportation section.

- **Provide Urban Parks and other Recreational Amenities throughout the Transit Station Areas** – Local-serving urban parks, recreational and cultural amenities including but not limited to plazas, trails and public art should be provided throughout the TSAs in order to serve local leisure and recreation needs. Membership in Reston Association will serve to meet a portion of the identified park and recreation needs. The exact number of urban parks and other amenities, their sizes and distribution will be determined by the amount and type of new development and provided in accordance with the guidance in the Urban Parks, Recreation Facilities and Cultural Facilities section.

- **Achieve Greater Housing Diversity** – Future development should ensure that a diversity of housing is available in the TSAs. The residential component of mixed-use development should meet the needs of a variety of households such as families and seniors. Most of the new housing is envisioned to be multifamily to achieve the desired urban form. However, urban townhouses may be appropriate in some locations.

  To ensure the provision of adequate affordable housing, future development should meet county policies on affordable housing. All projects that seek to utilize the redevelopment option in the District Recommendations should contribute toward the creation of affordable housing as described below.

  o Development proposals with a residential component should meet the provisions of the Affordable Dwelling Unit Ordinance (ADU) when applicable.

  o For the Policy Plan’s Workforce Housing Policy, proposals with a residential component seeking up to a 1.0 FAR should meet the current policy objective of 12 percent of total units as Workforce Dwelling Units (WDU). Proposals for development above a 1.0 FAR should provide WDUs according to the Guidelines for the Provision of Workforce Housing found in Appendix 1 of the Housing section of the Policy Plan (including the opportunity to realize bonus market rate units) but with an increasing proportion of WDUs as the development intensity increases, as shown in the following table. The residential use should integrate a variety of households such as families, senior housing and residential studio units. Bonus units (or bonus square footage when applicable), as provided for in the WDU policy, are excluded from the planned intensity. Cash contributions in lieu of providing WDUs are not desired.
Non-residential development in the TOD districts should contribute a minimum of $3.00 per nonresidential square foot on total new development intensity. This amount is to be adjusted annually based on the Consumer Price Index and may be contributed to a housing trust fund that will be used to create affordable and workforce housing opportunities near Metrorail stations. The contribution may be made over a period of time to be determined at the time of rezoning at a rate of at least 25 cents per non-residential square foot. Such developments may provide an equivalent contribution of land or affordable units in lieu of a cash contribution. Non-residential contributions could also be used to fund affordable housing opportunities in the TOD districts through a partnership. If non-residential floor area is achieved through a bonus for providing WDUs, the bonus floor area should not be included when calculating the contribution amount.

Ground level retail located in office, hotel, and residential buildings should also not be included when calculating the contribution amount. In addition, educational uses should not be included when calculating the contribution amount only when a firm commitment has been made that such a use will be included in the proposed mix of uses.

- **Provide Office Uses in Strategic Locations** – New office uses at higher intensities should be located within approximately ¼ mile of the Metrorail station, as shown on the Conceptual Land Use Map, to maximize use of transit by future office workers and it should be demonstrated that proposed site layouts achieve a safe, comfortable and reasonably direct walk for employees. In selected circumstances, increased office intensity may be considered for parcels outside of the ¼ mile radius if it will facilitate the provision of new public infrastructure, such as a new crossing of the DAAR, or other critical public facilities, and a
safe, comfortable and reasonably direct walk can be achieved. See additional guidance in the District Recommendations below.

- **Provide Public Uses** – Public uses such as a library, fire station or recreation center, that are integrated into a building may also generate activity in off-peak hours and are encouraged so as to further diversify the type of uses in the TSAs. In instances where space for a public use in a private development is requested in a Transit-Oriented Development (TOD) District, the square footage associated with these uses will not be included in the overall calculation of the proposed FAR for the purposes of determining conformance of a mixed-use proposal with the applicable FAR specified in the District Recommendations. However, this square footage will be considered in all other aspects of site development and traffic impact analysis. In addition, these public uses may be exempted from the non-residential use category for the purposes of determining the appropriate mix of uses specified in the Transit Station Mixed Use and Residential Mixed Use categories in a proposal, provided that a firm commitment is made to provide these uses.

- **Provide Retail, Hotel Uses and Institutional Uses** – Retail uses on the ground floor of mixed-use buildings are encouraged in all TSAs to allow employees and residents in each TSA to carry out daily activities with minimal need to use single-occupancy vehicles. However, free-standing retail uses are strongly discouraged in the TSA. Such uses are typically not compatible with the urban form desired in the TSAs and frequently draw vehicle trips to an area. Consequently, retail uses should be integrated into buildings containing other uses.

  Hotel uses are encouraged in all TSAs because they generate potential transit users and pedestrian traffic and have less impact on the road network. In addition, hotels can contribute to the objective of generating pedestrian activity throughout the day and into the evening.

  Specific institutional uses such as houses of worship and public/civic uses that are integrated into a building may also generate activity in off-peak hours and are encouraged so as to further diversify the type of uses in the TSAs.

  Hotel uses (excluding meeting spaces), retail and the institutional uses specified above will be exempted from the non-residential use category for the purposes of determining the appropriate mix of uses specified in the Transit Station Mixed Use and Residential Mixed Use land use categories in a proposal provided that a firm commitment is made in proffers to provide these uses.

  To encourage the institutional uses specified above as part of mixed use development in the TSAs, the square footage associated with this use will not be included in the overall calculation of the proposed FAR for the purposes of determining conformance of a mixed-use proposal with the applicable FAR specified in the District Recommendations, provided that it does not constitute more than one-quarter of the total development. However, this square footage will be considered in all other aspects of site development and traffic impact analysis.

- **Encourage Coordinated Development Plans** - For development proposals requesting increased intensity above the base plan recommendation, consolidation or coordinated development plans are encouraged. Coordinated development plans refer to two or more concurrent and contiguous development applications that demonstrate coordination of site design, building locations, urban design, open space amenities and signage, inter-parcel access where appropriate, roadway realignment or improvements, and parking facilities. When coordinated development plans are used in lieu of, or in addition to substantial consolidation, development proposals will need to ensure that projects function in a
compatible, well-designed, efficient manner; compatible with development on adjacent properties; reflect coordinated phasing of improvements as needed (for example, providing links in a street grid); consistent with the overall intent of the land use concept to achieve a desired urban form and mix of uses; and do not preclude adjacent parcels from developing in conformance with the Plan.

- **Encourage Educational Institution(s)** – There is a desire for additional educational institutions (specifically institutions of higher learning) to complement the other uses planned for the TSAs in addition to providing continuing education opportunities for residents and employees. The Wiehle-Reston East TOD District has been identified as the preferred location for developing a major presence by one or more institutions of higher learning. To encourage public and not-for-profit education uses in the TSAs, space devoted to this use will not be included in the overall calculation of the proposed FAR for the purposes of determining conformance of a mixed-use proposal with the applicable FAR specified in the District Recommendations, provided that it doesn’t constitute more than one third of total development. However, this square footage will be considered in all other aspects of site development and traffic impact analysis.

- **Accommodate Existing Uses and Buildings** - In some instances, existing development may not be consistent with the long-term vision for the TSAs. This Plan is not intended to interfere with the continuation of existing land uses or buildings. If improvements to the open space or road network that are identified in the Plan are not feasible due to an existing building’s location on the site, alternative streetscape and other design improvements intended to implement the Plan’s vision may be considered.

- **Protect Existing Low Density Residential Areas** – The majority of existing residential communities adjacent to the TSAs are low density neighborhoods comprised of single family detached homes and townhomes. In most instances, these communities are separated from the TSAs by major roadways. Appropriate design measures such as reduced building height and massing for new development closest to these existing neighborhoods should be utilized to help define the limits of the TSAs. Parking in TSAs should be managed to avoid spillover parking in existing residential areas. Residential Permit Parking Districts may be necessary near the Metro stations.

**TOD District Intensity**

Mixed-use development may be approved through a rezoning up to a maximum FAR as specified in the District Recommendations below. The recommendations regarding planned intensity are based on an analysis that has identified the measures necessary to mitigate the impacts of the planned intensity on the transportation network and other public facilities.

In some cases, additional intensity may be necessary to provide an additional incentive for redevelopment or provision of needed infrastructure. This “bonus” development intensity, up to an additional 0.5 FAR above the FARs described in the District Recommendations, may be approved in the TOD Districts. Any areas that have previously approved development above the FARs described in the District Recommendations are not eligible for bonus development intensity.
Proposals requesting bonus development intensity should provide clear benefits above and beyond those identified in the Development Review Performance Objectives. Specifically, consideration will be given to proposals that achieve a combination of two or more of the following additional development objectives in a manner that demonstrates a better functioning transit-oriented development environment as compared to what can be achieved under the Redevelopment Options in the District Recommendations.

- Contribute to realizing significant infrastructure needs by providing a contribution of land or building space for a major public facility need such as a crossing of the DAAR, a school, or a large urban park.
- Lower vehicle trips in the TOD districts by providing a firm commitment to additional TDM measures so as to further reduce trips on a proportional basis to the increase in FAR being requested beyond the percentages shown in in the Transportation section.
- Achieve a greater diversity in housing in the TOD districts by providing a firm commitment to offer Workforce Dwelling Units to families making 60 percent and 70 percent of Area Median Income or by providing more Workforce Dwelling Units on a proportional basis to the increase in FAR being requested.
- Achieve a higher standard of site design via parcel consolidation with two or more owners that results in a logical assemblage of parcels that realize TOD objectives and is of sufficient size to allow projects to function in a compatible, well-designed and efficient manner. In general, any unconsolidated parcels should still be able to develop in a manner that supports the planning objectives of the Comprehensive Plan or should represent stable development.

Non-TOD District Intensity

Many portions of Non-TOD Districts are planned for office use. In some instances, new development can be added under the existing approved zoning. In other cases, infill new development or redevelopment is planned. Specific guidance for the six Non-TOD Districts can be found in the District Recommendations.

Phasing Development

Phasing to Transportation Improvements and Programs

The amount of new development planned for the Reston TSAs will require significant transportation improvements and changes in travel patterns. Planned roadway improvements, including several new crossings of the DAAR, are necessary to enhance circulation and access in the area and help relieve congestion at key intersections. Improvements to transit and to pedestrian and bicycle networks are also needed to encourage travel by these modes. The provision of such infrastructure and the achievement of trip reduction objectives should occur in concert with future growth. Additional guidance on phasing to transportation improvements is in the Transportation section.
Phasing to Public Facilities

The public facilities needed to serve the planned development will be constructed throughout the planning horizon as the need arises. However, it is critical that space for most, if not all, of these facilities be secured within the first 10-20 years of the Plan’s implementation. Providing these facilities in concert with future employment and residential growth will present a challenge. Development proposals should commit to provide land and/or space for public facilities as early as possible to help ensure that locations are available when needed to provide the appropriate public facilities to support the growth in employment and number of new residents.

Phasing Site Development

It is anticipated that some development projects in the TSAs will be phased over time. Each phase of a development proposal seeking rezoning approval should be reviewed for conformance with the overall vision, with careful consideration given to interim conditions. Priorities that should be addressed in the earliest phases of site development plans include critical links within the street grid, parks and open space, a balanced mix of uses, pedestrian access to the Metro stations, and the integration of development with the station entrances. Developments should be phased so as to create interim conditions that are still attractive and inviting for pedestrians.

Interim conditions that will enhance the desired urban character of the TSAs are encouraged for the portions of a project that will not be built until later phases. Examples include green space or a low intensity temporary use with an urban form. It may also be acceptable to maintain existing uses as long as they do not preclude the achievement of other priorities, such as the street grid. Additional guidance on interim conditions is in the Urban Design section.

URBAN DESIGN AND PLACEMAKING

Reston is a complete community with a distinct physical character in its neighborhoods and village centers. That character is largely defined by the clustering of homes and businesses in a manner that allows for ample open space and the integration of wooded areas into neighborhoods and around commercial development. The TSAs, located along the central east-west spine of Reston, have more variety in their character. The existing Reston Town Center urban core, anchored by Fountain Plaza, has a truly urban form and design. Other areas are much more suburban in form, with large surface parking lots and a development pattern that is very challenging for pedestrians.

A key goal in the transition of this central part of Reston is to create new transit-oriented neighborhoods in each TOD district located within ½ mile of each transit station (in areas that are designated as Transit Station Mixed Use or Residential Mixed Use land use categories as shown on Figure 5). These TOD neighborhoods will be urban in form and easily accessible by pedestrians of all types and bicyclists of all skill levels. In addition, they should incorporate design and landscaping elements to connect these neighborhoods physically (e.g. via connections...
to the existing trail network) and visually (via common design approaches and elements) to each other and to the larger Reston community.

Urban design is the discipline that guides the appearance, arrangement, and functional elements of the physical environment, with a particular emphasis on public spaces. An urban environment is comprised of many elements including streets, blocks, open spaces, pedestrian areas, and buildings. The following recommendations provide guidance for each of these elements, with a particular emphasis on creating a high-quality urban environment that is walkable and pedestrian-friendly and are applicable to all areas of the TSAs.

These Plan recommendations should be used in conjunction with any Urban Design Guidelines as may be endorsed by the Board of Supervisors subsequent to the adoption of this Plan. In addition, these recommendations are intended to complement the existing urban design guidelines of the Reston Town Center Association that apply to properties located in the Town Center. All development in the TSAs located in areas not covered by the RTCA urban design guidelines should be reviewed by the Reston Association Design Review Board (DRB) and the Reston Planning and Zoning Committee.

The other parts of the TSAs, outside of the TOD areas, are generally envisioned to retain their current or approved uses, intensities and form. The exception is a portion of the Town Center North District, which is also planned to develop into a more urban area.

**Urban Design Vision**

The Reston Master Plan Special Study Task Force articulated the following vision to help guide future development in the TSAs. Development and redevelopment should be of the highest caliber in terms of planning, architectural design, compatibility, and livability. Redeveloped areas should be designed as integral parts of the larger Reston community. High standards should be expected for neighborhood and building practices for all public and private development that incorporate best practices in placemaking (including but not limited to a mix of uses, integrated urban parks, variety in housing types, pedestrian connectivity), environmental protection and preservation (as appropriate for an urbanizing environment), and energy efficiency and conservation. Public art should be integrated into development and redevelopment in a manner consistent with the Reston Public Art Master Plan.

**Urban Design Principles**

Development in the TSAs should be guided by the following urban design principles, which also provide a framework for possible future urban design guidelines that may be endorsed by the Board of Supervisors.

**Enhance Local and Regional Identity**

- Advance Reston as Fairfax County’s premiere planned community. Key Reston characteristics include the provision of community gathering spaces to provide opportunities for social interaction; integrating nature and public art into the built
environment; providing residents attractive and useful connections between their homes and the other parts of the community.

- Continue the evolution of Reston’s core into several highly desirable, walkable, transit-oriented, mixed use urban environments centered around the transit stations.
- Maintain high standards for architecture and design which will create a unique identity for each TOD district discussed in the District Recommendations and supports the character of Reston as a whole.

**Establish a Sense of Place**

- Create unique and walkable TOD neighborhoods adjacent to the Metro stations and within the larger Reston community that build upon the success of the Reston Town Center.
- Encourage design elements that promote a distinct character for each TOD neighborhood, as well as common elements that contribute to a cohesive urban environment and are complementary to the larger Reston community.
- Encourage each TOD neighborhood to include tree-lined streets, a variety of urban parks, and public gathering places.

**Improve Connectivity**

- Increase the efficiency of vehicular, bicycle, and pedestrian movements within the TSAs through a well-designed multi-modal network.
- Create pedestrian and bicycle-friendly environments and connections that are safe, pleasant, and convenient.
- Maximize the benefits of transit in Reston by improving connectivity within and between the TSAs and with the surrounding community.

**Design Sustainable Environments**

- Encourage sustainable neighborhoods, buildings and infrastructure that meets the community’s present needs while preserving Reston’s essential character and ensuring the ability of future generations to meet their needs.
- Incorporate innovative and environmentally sensitive stormwater design into all new development and redevelopment.
- Restore and stabilize existing streams.

**Respect Surrounding Neighborhoods**

- Maintain the character and livability of residential neighborhoods adjacent to the TSAs.
- Concentrate the tallest buildings and highest land use intensities closest to Metro stations.
- Transition building heights to be compatible with lower density neighborhoods in the surrounding community.
Incorporate the Arts

- Include venues for performing arts and public art in a variety of spaces throughout the TSAs.
- Encourage developers to work with artists and arts organizations early in the project design process to successfully integrate the arts into their developments.
- Promote the provision of public art in the TSAs by establishing a dedicated funding source.

Urban Design Recommendations

The urban design recommendations expand upon these principles and provide direction for creating urban places within the area. They are organized into two sections, the Pedestrian Realm Recommendations, and the Building and Site Design Recommendations.

Pedestrian Realm Recommendations

The pedestrian realm consists of publicly accessible places where people circulate on foot. Sidewalks connect pedestrians to their homes, places of employment, retail establishments, restaurants, parks, plazas, trails, and other public places. It is the most visible space within the urban environment.

The pedestrian realm should be continuous but can vary in character depending upon adjacent uses and the scale of the street. The design of the pedestrian realm should be integrated with and complementary to adjacent land uses to create a safe and comfortable pedestrian and bicycle experience for all users. The following recommendations address important elements of the pedestrian realm, including Street and Block Pattern; Streetscape Design; Wayfinding and Signage; and Public Art.

Street and Block Pattern

A system of connected streets will be the primary organizing element of the area. In contrast to the existing pattern of large, suburban blocks, new development should create smaller blocks through an interconnected system of streets. This street system will be more walkable, provide travel choices for pedestrians and motorists, and have breaks in building massing to help create a built environment that is appropriately scaled for pedestrian activity.

These local streets will provide east-west travel alternatives for sections of Sunrise Valley Drive and Sunset Hills Road in order to help relieve congestion at key intersections with north-south streets. Blocks should not be longer than 600 feet; however, due to the configuration of existing streets, some blocks may be irregularly shaped and have block sides longer than 600 feet. When this occurs, a mid-block pedestrian connection, such as a pedestrian walkway, a service street with a sidewalk, or a publicly-accessible walkway through a building or a garage should be considered.

All proposals should provide for planned road improvements that follow the street types and grid of streets guidance in the Transportation section.
Streetscape Design

Attractive streetscapes include a well-designed street edge that contributes to area identity and provides a safe, high-quality pedestrian experience. The streetscape design should vary by the type of street and the adjacent land use, and should create a unifying theme along each of the streets to visually and physically link the various developments within the area. Streetscape design addresses the space between the building face and the curb. For an example of roadway design guidance on the space between the curb and its opposite curb, see the Transportation section.

Elements of streetscapes include sidewalks, street furniture, streetlights, trees and other plantings, paving, crosswalks, bus shelters, bicycle racks, public art, and seating areas. The purpose of these elements is to enhance the quality of the pedestrian environment. The integration of the Metro station entrances into the public realm is important to the success of the urban environment. The public realm at the station entrances should be attractive, highly visible, and able to safely accommodate high amounts of pedestrian activity.

Below are general recommendations for all streetscapes. These are followed by design recommendations for streetscapes along individual streets, which follow two approaches. For Reston Parkway, Sunset Hills Road, and Sunrise Valley Drive, general design recommendations are provided but flexibility, rather than strict adherence, should be used in implementing these recommendations to achieve a result that works best from the perspective of improving the pedestrian realm, increasing tree cover, maintaining quality vegetation and integrating new development with existing development. For new streets, design recommendations for streetscapes along each street type (Collectors and Local Streets) are provided.

General Streetscape Design Recommendations

Definition of Streetscape Zones

The streetscape is composed of three zones (see illustrated streetscape cross-sections below). The landscape amenity panel is located next to the curb and includes such things as trees, lighting, bus stops, bicycle racks, parking meters, and traffic signs. Along selected existing streets, the landscape amenity panel and sidewalk/trail for pedestrian movement are addressed as one zone to reflect existing conditions that are planned to remain.

The sidewalk is reserved for pedestrian movement and should not contain any street furniture or other elements that may impede pedestrian movement. The building zone is located between the sidewalk and the building façade; this space is intended to accommodate elements such as lawn, tree grates, outdoor dining, planters, screening, door swing, displays and building awnings. The character of the building zone is determined by the adjacent land use and building context.

Underground Utilities

Utilities and some stormwater infrastructure should be located, to the maximum extent possible, under sidewalks, parking lanes, or the building zone. They should not be located under
street trees unless there are no viable alternatives. Access panels should be placed so that pedestrian movement is not encumbered, preferably outside of the sidewalk area.

**Street Lighting**

Street lighting should maintain the overall character and quality of the area, provide adequate lighting levels that ensure public safety without creating glare or light spillage, and conform to County ordinances and adhere to LEED light pollution mitigation requirements. Street lighting should accommodate standard LED streetlights but innovative and distinctive lighting design should be encouraged on each development plan.

**Streetscape Maintenance**

Streetscape improvements may be provided on a combination of publicly owned right-of-way and private property. When the public right-of-way is utilized to provide streetscape improvements, commitments should be made by the adjacent property owner(s) to maintain the entire streetscape area. In addition, when the streetscape is not entirely within the right-of-way, additional right-of-way or a public access easement may need to be provided for the portion of the streetscape located on private property.

**Pedestrian Crossings**

Pedestrian crossings should be well-delineated, ADA-accessible and located at desirable crossing locations, including on all legs of an intersection whenever possible. Crossings at major streets should be highly visible and timed with signalized crossing systems. When locating street trees and other amenities in proximity to pedestrian crossings, safety and sight distance should be taken into consideration.

**Median Landscape Strip**

New streets in the area are not expected to include medians except where they would facilitate pedestrian crossings. Where medians are provided, they should be designed to create a safety island for pedestrians waiting to finish crossing and should be planted with attractive landscaping. When locating street trees and other amenities in the median, safety and sight distance should be taken into consideration.

**On-Street Parking**

Streetscapes with on-street parallel parking should have a small paved area adjacent to the curb known as a pedestrian refuge strip. The pedestrian refuge strip allows passengers to exit parked cars without having to step into planted areas.

**Planting in the Pedestrian Realm**

On new streets, street trees, when planted in rows, should be planted in an environment that promotes healthy root growth, and should be spaced no more than 40 feet apart, except on designated local streets where a Reston-specific streetscape calls for no more than 30 feet apart, irregularly spaced trees. See the Street Type-Specific Design Recommendations section below.
for a plan illustration. Only those varieties of trees that require little maintenance, are resistant to disease, and are adapted to extreme urban conditions should be used. In addition to trees, vegetation within planting strips should include supplemental plantings, such as ornamental shrubs, ground cover, flowering plants, and grasses. Supplemental plantings should occur in areas that are not impacted by access to vehicles parked on the street; hardscaped pedestrian access points between sidewalks and parked cars should be provided to protect the planting areas. Irrigation should be provided. Safety and sight distance should be taken into consideration.

Stormwater Infrastructure

Streetscape design should include innovative stormwater remediation design elements such as bio-retention, permeable pavements, and incorporation of water collection and storage.

Street Furniture and Other Elements

Street furniture selections, such as benches, refuse and recycling receptacles and bike racks, should be consistent within each district. Fixed streetscape elements should be located within the landscape amenity panel and not within the sidewalk area so as to minimize the disruption of pedestrian flow.

Fire Access Coordination

Given the urban character planned in the TOD areas, fire access may need to be provided along the street frontage of a building. In locations where buildings front local streets that utilize the Reston-specific streetscape with tree “thickets”, it is unlikely that fire access will be able to be provided from that street frontage. In these instances, fire access may be designed into interior plazas or provided from alleys or side streets. In order to ensure that goals related to both fire access and streetscape can be met, detailed site analysis should take place early in the development process to avoid conflicts between proposed streetscape features and fire access regulations. Development plans should demonstrate how the proposed streetscape, site design and other site features and amenities can be provided while meeting fire access requirements.

Streetscape Design Flexibility and Transitions

Consistent dimensions within each block should be promoted to avoid shifting pedestrian features or building frontages. However, variation from the streetscape guidance may be permitted 1) when the variation results in the continuation of an existing desired streetscape, 2) where pre-existing site constraints are present or 3) where infill or expansion of buildings or other existing features limit the ability of a development to satisfy all streetscape requirements. Variation from the streetscape guidance may be permitted as long as it results in an acceptable minimum sidewalk, landscape amenity panel and building zone width and an acceptable amount and location of trees and landscaping. In addition, it may be desirable for the new streetscape to relate to existing adjacent streetscape.
Street-Specific Design Recommendations

Reston Parkway Streetscape

Much of the development along Reston Parkway is envisioned to remain as built or approved. The existing and approved development provides varying types of streetscape design, some more consistent with the pedestrian realm recommendations than others. Reston Parkway will carry the largest volume of automobile traffic of all the streets passing through the TSAs and will also accommodate buses, bicycles and pedestrians. The Reston Parkway streetscape concept should provide a safe, comfortable and attractive environment for pedestrians and cyclists. Given the difference in the planned streetscape for Reston Parkway north of the DAAR as compared to south of the DAAR, these two street segments are addressed separately below.

Reston Parkway Streetscape North of the DAAR

The streetscape along Reston Parkway north of the DAAR is generally planned to continue the character established by existing and approved development.

Landscape amenity panel and Sidewalk Zone

The streetscape along the west side of Reston Parkway should be complementary to the streetscape for the approved development. A minimum 8-foot wide trail or sidewalk should be provided. Attractive street lighting should be provided to illuminate both the street and the sidewalk. Benches and shade trees should be located sporadically along the street.

The east side of Reston Parkway should include a combined landscape amenity panel and a minimum 8-foot wide trail or sidewalk to preserve the existing wide landscaped area with a multi-use asphalt trail that exists in front of the residential uses that front Reston Parkway from Sunset Hills Road to Temporary Road. This zone effectively separates pedestrians from vehicular travel lanes and provides benches and shades streets for pedestrians.

Building Zone

On the west side of Reston Parkway, the building zone will typically be for buildings that are not fronting on this street. A minimum 8-foot wide multi-use zone that accommodates a second row of trees and possibly additional plantings should be provided. Major shade trees should be planted in a manner to ensure that they have building clearance at their mature size. The trees within the building zone should be planted to achieve a staggered effect with those planted in the landscape amenity panel.

On the east side of Reston Parkway, the building zone for Reston Parkway should be complementary with the landscaping north of Bluemont Way and south of Stratford House Place. Shade trees should be planted in a manner to ensure that they have building clearance at their mature size. The trees within the building zone should be planted to achieve a staggered effect with those planted in the landscape amenity panel.

Reston Parkway Streetscape South of the DAAR

Landscape amenity panel

This zone should be a minimum of 8 feet wide; however, a 10-foot wide panel is encouraged. Street trees should be evenly spaced in ordered plantings. Vegetation may also
include shrubs and ground cover. Amenities such as bicycle racks and bus shelters should be provided as needed to serve the adjacent land uses. Attractive street lighting should be provided to illuminate both the street and the sidewalk.

**Sidewalk**

A minimum 8-foot wide sidewalk should be provided.

**Building Zone**

Typically the building zone for Reston Parkway south of the DAAR will be for buildings that are not fronting on this street. A minimum 8 foot wide multi-use zone that accommodates a second row of trees and possibly additional plantings should be provided. Major shade trees should be planted in a manner to ensure that they have building clearance at their mature size. The trees within the building zone should be planted to achieve a staggered effect with those planted in the landscape amenity panel.

**Sunset Hills Road Avenue, Sunrise Valley Drive and Wiehle Avenue Streetscape**

In selected locations, the existing streetscapes include mature trees, stands of trees, and other desirable landscaping features, as shown in Figure 8. To the extent possible, streetscapes should incorporate these features, particularly healthy mature trees, while accommodating the pedestrian activities generated by the adjacent land uses. See the Publicly Accessible Open Space section below for further information.

**Figure 8. Streetscape**
The following recommendations are provided for achieving the streetscape character for these streets:

**Landscape amenity panel**

This zone should be a minimum of 8 feet wide, with transitions in width as appropriate to existing segments. This zone should include supplemental plantings (to include shade and flowering trees, shrubs, and flowering plants) to create a greater feeling of separation from vehicular travel lanes. Street trees are appropriate when the landscape amenity panel is the minimum width specified above and should be evenly spaced in ordered plantings. It is not envisioned that these streets will have on-street parking so continuous planting areas are encouraged. Amenities such as bicycle racks and bus shelters should be provided as needed to serve the adjacent land uses. Attractive street lighting should be provided to illuminate both the street and the sidewalk.

**Sidewalk**

Sidewalks along these streets should be 8 feet wide.

**Building Zone**

The width of this zone should range from 4 to 12 feet. When ground-level retail is provided in a building, a portion of this building zone should be used for retail browsing or outdoor dining. Supplemental plantings (to include shade and flowering trees, shrubs, flowering plants, ground cover, and grasses) may be provided for buildings without retail uses.

**Collector and Local Street Streetscapes**

While collectors and local streets serve different functions from a traffic perspective, their streetscapes are similar. The character of the streetscapes should generally be determined by the pedestrian activities generated by the adjacent land uses rather than the classification of the street. See Figure 9 and Figure 10 below.

The following recommendations are provided for achieving the streetscape character for collectors, and local streets:

**Landscape amenity panel**

This zone should be a minimum of 8 feet wide. Street trees should be evenly spaced in ordered plantings. Vegetation may also include shrubs and ground cover. On-street parking is envisioned for these streets so a pedestrian step-out zone with a 2 foot width should be provided. Amenities such as bicycle racks and bus shelters should be provided as needed to serve the adjacent land uses. Attractive street lighting should be provided to illuminate both the street and the sidewalk.

**Sidewalk**

Sidewalks along collectors and local streets should be a minimum of 8 feet wide in areas where significant pedestrian activity is expected to occur. Sidewalks along local streets where pedestrian activity is expected to be less significant should be a minimum of 6 feet wide.
Figure 9.
Collector and Local Street Streetscape with Residential Building, Section

Note: This graphic depicts a residential building zone (8-12’). In commercial developments, the building zone will be smaller (4-8’).
Figure 10.
Collector and Local Street Streetscape with Residential Building, Plan
Building Zone

The width of this zone should range from 4 to 12 feet. When residential uses with direct access onto the street are located on the ground level, a building zone with a minimum 8-foot width should be provided to accommodate entry stairs or other design elements. When ground-level retail is provided in a building, a portion of this building zone should be used for retail browsing or outdoor dining. Supplemental plantings (to include shade and flowering trees, shrubs, flowering plants, ground cover, and grasses) may be provided for buildings without retail uses.

Reston-specific Local Street Streetscape

To strengthen the overall development quality and reflect the uniqueness of the landscape design character in Reston, certain local streets should incorporate an alternative, Reston-specific streetscape whose primary design characteristic is the creation of wide, thickly planted areas of irregularly spaced street trees as a contrast to the regularly spaced street trees lining the majority of streets. Ideally, this streetscape treatment would be applied on multiple blocks to create corridors with additional areas of tree cover. Coordination between development applications will be required to achieve this objective.

This streetscape will echo the aesthetic created by the existing areas of densely growing trees bordering streets throughout Reston’s residential areas and along selected segments of Sunrise Valley Drive and Wiehle Avenue. The streetscape also provides for additional environmental benefits due to the increased tree canopy (accomplished by the increased number and size of trees), and reduction in impervious surfaces.

In recognition that this streetscape’s unique design requires more land than other streetscape types, development may limit the application of this streetscape to a minimum of one block face per development block.

Landscape amenity panel

This zone should be a minimum of 12 feet wide. Street trees should be irregularly spaced, in relation to the curb and themselves, within a continuous tree space with open soil, with hardscaped pedestrian access points every 80 feet, recognizing the constraints of utility locations. There should be a maximum of 30 feet between trees. Vegetation to include shrubs, grasses and ground cover should be planted between the street trees. On-street parking is envisioned for these streets so a pedestrian step-out zone with a 2 foot width should be provided. Amenities such as bicycle racks and bus shelters should be provided as needed to serve the adjacent land uses. Attractive street lighting should be provided to illuminate both the street and the sidewalk.

Sidewalk

Sidewalks should be 6-8 feet wide.

Building Zone

This zone should range from 4 to 12 feet. When residential uses with direct entry to the street are located on the ground level, a building zone with a minimum 8-foot width should be provided to accommodate entry stairs or other design elements. When ground-level retail is
provided in a building, a portion of this building zone should be used for retail browsing or outdoor dining. Supplemental plantings (to include shade and flowering trees, shrubs, flowering plants, ground cover, and grasses) may be provided for buildings without retail uses. Clusters of trees may also be used as a landscape design element to emphasize building entries, feature corners or provide transitions between the sidewalk and publicly accessible open space

**Service Street Streetscapes**

Service streets are expected to provide access to parking, loading docks, waste management, utilities, and other back-of-house operations. While they do not primarily serve pedestrians, they should provide a minimum level of accessibility and safety for pedestrians where applicable. See Figure 11 below.

**Sidewalk**

A minimum 5-foot wide clear sidewalk should be provided adjacent to buildings. No poles, utilities, or other appurtenances should be located in the sidewalk clear area. Attractive street lighting should be provided to illuminate both the street and the sidewalk. In lieu of pole lights, attractive safety and wayfinding lighting may also be attached to the building face.

---

**Figure 11. Service Street Streetscape, Section**
**Wayfinding and Signage**

Signage is an important element that will contribute to the character of Reston TSAs. The two predominant signage types that will most contribute to place making are on-site signage (signs used to identify a place of business or a residential building); and wayfinding elements which are placed in the public realm and provide directional assistance or location information to pedestrians and motorists.

The quantity and quality of all signage should be considered in a comprehensive manner within a development but should also be complementary between neighborhoods and in most cases, the subdistrict, or district as a whole.

It is recommended that a Comprehensive Sign Plan (CSP) be submitted for all redevelopment applications. It is further recognized that the signage requested pursuant to such CSPs may deviate significantly from that permitted under the Zoning Ordinance’s standard regulations if it contributes to creating a defined character for a district or subdistrict.

All signage should be well-organized, neat, well-maintained, concise and legible. Signage should fit with the architectural style of the project, using complementary materials and colors, and ideally be incorporated into the architectural elements of structures.

As the character of districts and subdistricts emerges, and as parks, entertainment and dining areas are developed, wayfinding signage will help pedestrians and motorists navigate within each TSA and among TSAs.

Wayfinding elements are most effective when used on a subdistrict and district level and indicate routes and events throughout the TSAs. Public art that functions as signage may be considered if it contributes to creating a defined character for a district or subdistrict. A strategy for wayfinding throughout all three TSAs should be considered, and could act as a placemaking tool and help distinguish between the TSAs.

**Public Art**

Public art has been a component of the effort to achieve quality urban design in Reston since the community’s inception and is a distinguishing feature that contributes to the overall character of Reston. In order to continue to realize the goal of making Reston a vibrant place to live, work and play, public art should be encouraged in future development in Reston TSAs. Designated open spaces should also be encouraged to include public art.

The Reston community under the leadership of the Initiative for Public Art in Reston (IPAR) developed a Public Art Master Plan which establishes a process for planning and commissioning public art including community roles as well as collection management. The IPAR Plan also suggests working zones within which to focus efforts. The IPAR Plan should be used as a guide in the establishment of public art and as a resource for the review of new development and redevelopment proposals within the TSAs.
Building and Site Design Recommendations

Building and site design must support the pedestrian realm to create a vibrant urban environment. The pedestrian and public realm is framed by buildings and adjacent open spaces. It is the arrangement and character of the buildings, as well as the quality of the spaces in-between, that determine the quality of the urban form as a whole.

The following recommendations address Build-to Lines; Building Frontages; Active Uses; Building Mass; Step-Backs; Building Articulation; Fenestration and Transparency; Parking Design; and Building Height.

Build-to Lines

The build-to line is a theoretical line on the ground indicating where the facades of buildings should be located. It is located at the back of the building zone. The build-to line generally applies to the podium (or base) of the building and excludes building towers. The build-to line should be consistent within a block, unless it is intentionally varied to achieve facade articulation, streetscape uses such as outdoor dining, or other public open spaces. Consistent build-to lines ensure that the ground floors of all buildings on a block generally align with each other at the edge of the streetscape, providing a frame for the public realm but allowing architectural variation and interest in order to enhance pedestrian accessibility and convenience.

Proposed developments should adhere to a consistently established build-to line for each block. The location of the build-to lines will relate to the streetscape guidance, the intensity and activity of the land uses, and the desired relationship of pedestrians to these uses. The location of the build-to line may vary depending on the character of the street and the district.

Existing uses and buildings that do not conform to the build-to line established by new development (especially those that are a part of phased redevelopment plans) should investigate opportunities to create visual and physical linkages to conforming new buildings that address the pedestrian realm. These existing uses and buildings, whether in front of or behind the build-to line, may use walls, landscaping, or other architectural features to align with other buildings at the build-to line.

Building Frontages

The building frontage is the portion of the building that serves to define and enclose the pedestrian realm—and includes the podium (or base) of the building. It generally aligns with the build-to line and serves as a physical and visual boundary to the pedestrian realm and should therefore be visually engaging to the pedestrian and should provide appropriate, convenient access. Building frontages should engage pedestrians and avoid creating barriers, or the impression of disconnection from the surrounding neighborhood. This encourages an enlivened, engaged and conveniently accessed pedestrian realm.

In general, ground-floor commercial uses should be accessed directly from the adjacent public sidewalk or building zone. In the absence of significant existing elevation changes,
storefronts should be at the same grade as the sidewalk and building zone. Ground-floor residential uses, however, should be appropriately separated from the public sidewalk to distinguish the units and to provide a degree of privacy. This creates the opportunity for stoops, bays, porches or entries that establish a distinct transition between private residential developments and the pedestrian realm, while simultaneously providing convenient access.

If accessed directly from the public sidewalk, stairs should not impinge upon the pedestrian realm; they should be located wholly on private property so as to not affect pedestrian movement. In lower density areas, front yards should be shallow and characterized by entry gardens and terraces that encourage a direct relationship between the building and the pedestrian realm.

**Active Uses**

Active uses are those uses generally within the first or lowest floors of a building that are designed to be occupied and have direct relationships to the adjacent streetscape or open space. Active uses engage pedestrians and may include retail or service uses. They encourage pedestrian activity by engaging the interest of pedestrians along their walk. Active uses also provide for “eyes on the street”, creating a sense of safety for pedestrians.

The building frontage should include active uses, such as retail, at street level along the appropriate pedestrian corridors. Uses like loading docks, mechanical rooms, utility vaults, and exposed parking decks detract from the pedestrian experience and should be placed internal to the building envelope or facing service streets.

**Building Mass**

Building mass is the three-dimensional bulk of a building: height, width, and depth. Planned development in the TOD areas will be urban in nature, and new buildings will generally occupy a majority of the block and be multiple stories in height.

Sites should be designed with care to achieve the desired density goals, while remaining sensitive to the impact of development on the surrounding context. Attention to building mass in the design process will protect pedestrians’ access to light, and allow for access to light and privacy for other buildings. In addition, proper building mass should minimize long periods of shadow on the street, adjacent buildings and public open space.

**Step-Backs**

The pedestrian experience is greatly influenced by the height of the building along the sidewalk. Excessive height along the sidewalk can feel uncomfortable to pedestrians and discourage pedestrian movement through the space. Step-backs are one tool that can be used to create an appropriate proportion of street width to building height.

Building step-backs are created through the stepping back of the upper floors of a building from the build-to line to reduce its apparent mass at the street level (see Figure 12). As a result, pedestrians only perceive the first few floors of the building base, and not the full height
Figure 12. Step-back Illustration
of the tower. Step-backs can be used to reduce the impacts of shadows and increase the access of sunlight to the pedestrian realm. They can also reduce the visually disconcerting “tunnel” effect that sometimes occurs along streets that are lined with tall buildings.

Step-backs can vary by location and context. They can be used to add a measure of depth and complexity to the bulk of buildings. Step-backs may be necessary to ensure sunlight in certain locations, particularly as related to public open spaces. Solar shading analyses (also called sunlight or shadow studies) may be necessary to ensure that adjacent buildings will have adequate light. For buildings taller than four stories, the step-back may be located anywhere from four to eight stories above sidewalk level. Buildings four stories or less do not require a step-back. Step-backs should be reviewed in proposed developments to confirm the scale and proportion of the street section and their relationship to adjacent building heights and scale. In general, the goal is to create a street width to podium height ratio anywhere from 1:1 to 1:2.

Building Articulation

Building articulation is the variation in a building’s facade to provide changes in depth, patterning, or fenestration. Some elements of building articulation include rhythmic bays, planar breaks, window systems, entries, balconies and stoops. It can also include changes across building heights. This can include material, color and textures which express the ground floor, building podium and building tower. Building articulation can make buildings interesting and engaging to the pedestrian while simultaneously breaking down the scale of building facades to avoid large, monotonous areas of building wall. Certain types of articulation can also provide shade or orient pedestrians by defining entries.

Building articulation can vary by location and context. Buildings should include appropriate elements in order provide for an interesting and engaging pedestrian environment.

Fenestration and Transparency

Fenestration refers to the pattern of openings in a building facade typically through the use of windows, doors and other glazed areas. Transparency in the fenestration of appropriate ground floor uses can visually activate the pedestrian realm and allow for “eyes on the street” which can enhance the feeling of safety for pedestrians.

Where ground floor retail, commercial, community or other non-residential uses occur, the facade above bulkhead and below the finished elevation of the first floor ceiling should be largely transparent. Transparency should permit visibility from the sidewalk into a building and its active uses. Opaque, mirrored and translucent glass should be avoided and should not be considered “transparent.”

In residential buildings, the level of ground floor transparency may be lower for private uses, such as living areas. Residential lobbies and other common spaces should exhibit higher transparency and should provide a visual connection to the pedestrian realm.
Parking Design

Parking facilities should meet the following guidelines:

- Parking access should be designed to minimize conflicts between vehicles and pedestrians and to take into account pedestrian safety. This may include reducing the number of parking access points and minimizing the widths of ramps and curb cuts where they intersect with the sidewalk.
- Vehicular access to parking lots and parking garages should be limited to local streets or service streets when feasible.
- Parking access should always be designed to be attractive and coordinated with the site plan and architecture.
- Certain uses, such as retail, civic or entertainment, may require highly visible parking.
- Exterior and interior parking structure lighting design should provide adequate lighting levels that ensure public safety without creating glare and light spillage into adjacent structures, roads, and the pedestrian realm. All parking lot lighting should confirm to current LEED light pollution requirements and County ordinances.

Structured Parking

Underground parking is the least intrusive form of parking on the built environment and is the preferred method for providing parking in the TSAs. Above-grade structured parking, or podium parking, is also appropriate. Above-grade parking structures should be “wrapped” with active uses to the maximum extent possible. See Figure 13.

In some locations, exposed parking structures may be unavoidable. In such cases, careful architectural detailing, lighting, and landscaping should be employed along the building facades to mitigate the negative impacts of exposed parking levels. If a parking level is not wrapped with an active use, the façade should be designed so that it appears as an attractive architectural element. This is especially recommended if the façade of the building tower is at the same vertical plane as the façade of the building base, so the building will appear to be occupied space all the way to the ground floor.

Generally, the architecture of garages should be consistent with surrounding buildings. Efforts should be taken to place these structures facing service streets. Where garage, loading access, utility vaults and/or mechanical rooms must be provided on a non-service street, the extent should be minimized and architectural treatments and screening, as discussed above, should be provided.

New stand-alone above-grade parking structures are discouraged.

Surface Parking

It is the long term vision to avoid large surface parking lots. Surface parking detracts from the pedestrian experience and should be avoided. The exception to this guideline occurs in
portions of the Non-TOD Districts, where structured parking may not be economically feasible. Surface parking may also be considered for short term parking, such as 30 minute retail parking.

Figure 13. Example of Screened Parking
or for passenger drop-off and pick-up areas. In addition, as the area transitions to a more urban environment, surface parking may be considered on an interim basis.

When provided, surface parking lots should be located to the side or rear of the primary use and should contain pedestrian connections that lead to the front door of the associated building. They should be intensively landscaped, be well-lighted, and publicly visible for greater safety. Surface parking lots should provide low walls or fences at the back of the sidewalk or parallel to the adjacent build-to line to enclose and define the pedestrian realm. They also should be designed to contribute to site stormwater management by using elements such as planting areas and permeable paving in the parking stall area.

**On-Street Parking**

On-street parking makes sidewalks safer and provides necessary and sometimes more accessible short-term residential and retail parking, as well as activating streets. Many new streets within the TSAs should provide on-street parking (see Transportation section for additional guidance). Where on-street parking is provided, curb cuts for vehicular access should be minimized in order to increase pedestrian safety and maximize the number of on-street parking spaces.

**Building Height**

Building heights in the TSAs will reflect the proposed intensity pattern. The tallest buildings should generally be located within ¼ mile of the Metro station with heights stepping down gradually as the distance from the Metro station increases. In addition, building heights in proximity to the DAAR may be taller, however building heights will be lowest in locations adjacent to existing townhouses and those single-family residential neighborhoods outside of the TSAs. In the Reston Town Center Station TOD district, building heights may be comparable to or exceed those in the Town Center Urban Core. Careful design should protect view corridors and maintain access to sunlight in these sensitive locations.

The following are general recommendations regarding building height:

- Building heights and massing should respond to context, proximity to Metro or other neighborhood features, intended uses, and the Plan’s vision for specific locations. Buildings may be oriented to maximize their view potential, but their location and orientation should take into consideration uses in the immediate vicinity.
- The tallest buildings should be iconic in design and serve as identifying features that contribute to the quality of the skyline. Iconic architecture can be defined as buildings that are well-crafted, unique, distinguishable within their context, and complementary to the urban fabric. Iconic architecture should also advance the overall quality of design within the district.
- During the development review process, solar shading analyses (also called shadow studies) for all buildings should be provided to ensure that adjacent buildings and public spaces will have adequate access to sunlight.
Publicly Accessible Open Space

High quality open spaces of all types provide opportunities for spontaneous interaction and programmed activities as well as for introducing variability in the fabric of the built-environment. A variety of large and small publicly accessible open spaces should be available throughout the Reston community.

In some instances, such open spaces can be sited so as preserve, augment and/or enhance the natural environment. In certain parts of Reston’s TSAs, opportunities to preserve areas with existing trees should be sought to help connect these more urban areas to the larger fabric of Reston.

Definition of Publicly Accessible Open Space

For the purposes of this Plan, these spaces are to be for public enjoyment and may be either public or privately owned space to which public access is granted. They may include:

- environmentally sensitive areas, such as Resource Protection Areas (including wetlands, streams and stream buffers) and existing stands of trees;
- active recreation areas, such as large active play fields and smaller outdoor recreation areas for activities such as tennis and volleyball;
- designated privately owned, publicly-accessible open spaces, such as gardens, plazas, walkways, pathways, trails, urban parks, through-block connections, civic spaces, town squares, and a memorial sculpture garden; and
- other publicly owned and publicly accessible open spaces including small urban parks and civic spaces.

Publicly accessible open spaces do not include streets, parking and driveways or areas for vehicles, streetscape widths that are less than 12 feet wide, and roof top areas not readily accessible to the public. In some instances, publicly accessible open space may need to be identified by a wayfinding sign.

Calculation of Publicly Accessible Open Space

The following guidelines apply when considering the total amount of publicly accessible open space to be provided by any given project:

- The open space goal should be 20 percent of the net lot area (total lot area not including areas for public or private streets and 12 feet of the streetscape area). Flexibility in location should be used in applying this goal, recognizing that smaller open spaces are more appropriate and are generally used and enjoyed in the highest density areas. Some portions of the 20 percent goal may be more readily located in the immediate proximity of the transit station areas.
- The publicly accessible open space goal for each parcel may be met by open space located off-site and combined with other properties within the TSA to create larger public spaces (e.g. the proposed large civic green in the South TOD area of the Town Center TSA and the proposed green, linear park along Sunrise Valley Drive).
Publicly accessible open space may include active space such as an outdoor performance space, active recreation fields, public parks, and a memorial sculpture garden.

Flexibility on the percentage of open space may be granted for exceptional design and exceptional land dedications for public use.

Interim Conditions

In many cases developments will be phased over time. In addition to demonstrating how projects will ultimately adhere to the Urban Design Principles contained in the Plan, phased developments should prepare plans and supporting graphics that demonstrate how all interim conditions will meet Plan objectives, including those related to urban design. Among other design considerations, these plans should:

- Provide pedestrian circulation that meets the connectivity goals of the Plan.
- Show how any interim parking facilities will adhere to parking design and phasing goals.
- Show how landscape and sustainable hardscape improvements will improve the aesthetic character of any existing or proposed interim uses.
- Show how interim stormwater facilities can be creatively incorporated into a high quality landscape design.
- Provide streetscape improvements that conform to Plan guidelines and that result in enhanced continuity of the streetscape design.
- Show how proposed public amenities such as open spaces and Urban Parks will be integrated into the site.

TRANSPORTATION

The vision for the three Reston TSAs promotes a mix of land uses served by a multi-modal transportation system. Various planned transportation improvements will facilitate this vision, while accommodating current and future commuters and residents within and around the transit stations. The improvements should 1) balance future land uses with supporting transportation infrastructure and services; 2) address the long term needs of the area, including significantly improving the infrastructure and facilities for transit, pedestrians and bicycles; and, 3) design a road network that accommodates all modes of transportation and includes a grid of streets in the TSAs to improve connectivity around the transit stations.

The following recommendations are intended to help improve circulation within, around, and through the TSAs. While the transportation recommendations support the development near the Metrorail stations, these recommendations also will facilitate regional travel through the area.

Land Use/Transportation Balance

Maintaining a balance between the land uses in the three TSAs and the supporting transportation system is essential in order to preserve accessibility in and around these areas as development occurs over time. To maintain a balance, the increase in development should be
coordinated with the provision of transportation infrastructure and specific programs to reduce vehicle trips

Within the TSAs, preference should be given to maintaining a high level of service for all modes including transit, vehicles, pedestrians, and bicyclists. To achieve this, consideration should be given to safety and security, direct pathways, topography, and the achievement of a balance between traffic delay and a pedestrian friendly environment. Impact studies should quantify the level of service (LOS) for all applicable modes by applying up-to-date standard techniques. It is the intent of these recommendations to maximize the future use of transit, bicycling and walking in these TSAs in the future. However, safe and efficient circulation for vehicles will still need to be provided within, through and around the TSAs.

**Monitoring System**

Maintaining a balance between land use and transportation is dependent on a number of factors, such as the provision of a grid of streets and a reduction in the number of vehicle trips. The necessary transportation infrastructure, modal split levels, and vehicle trip reduction levels to balance planned new development have been analyzed extensively based on known conditions at the time of developing this Plan guidance. However, these conditions may change in the future which could result in changes in the number, frequency or direction of vehicle trips. For this reason, it is essential to monitor total development and the resulting vehicle trips into and within the TSAs over time. This review should occur at least every five years or as needed based on the pace of new development.

**Pedestrian Mobility and Bicycle Facilities**

**Pedestrian Mobility**

The street network planning in the Reston TOD districts should provide a safe and comfortable environment for pedestrians while addressing mobility and access needs for all users (including emergency service, goods movement and utilities placement). The enhanced street network should provide a high level of connectivity within the TOD districts so that pedestrians, bicyclists, transit users, and vehicles can choose the most direct routes and access urban properties. In addition, improved connectivity should be provided between the TOD districts and other districts as well as between the TSAs and the adjoining areas outside the TSAs.

In addition, direct paths, such as trails or walkways, should be provided for pedestrians where additional street connections cannot be made or where a more enhanced pedestrian network is desirable.

**Bicycle Facilities**

Bicycle facilities should be provided consistent with **Figures 14-16**. In addition, specific bicycle facilities are described in the Street Types Guidelines under the Road Network and Circulation section below. In an effort to encourage bicycling in the TSAs, safe, secure, and
Figure 14. Bicycle Plan: Wiehle-Reston East Area
Figure 15. Bicycle Plan: Reston Town Center Area
Figure 16. Bicycle Plan: Herndon Area
convenient bike parking should be provided. The number of bike parking spaces should be determined based on the planned land uses.

Public Transportation

Metrorail

The introduction of Metrorail service along the Dulles Airport Access Road and Dulles Toll Road (DAAR, Route 267) is a key component to providing increased mobility and reducing vehicle dependency for employees and residents in the three TSAs. Focusing the highest density development, especially new office development, around the Metrorail stations is vital to promote the use of mass transit and achieving the vision for these TSAs.

Local Bus Service

Fairfax Connector bus service currently serves both local riders and people commuting from the TSAs to other employment centers. These routes will be modified to provide convenient and reliable feeder service from other parts of Reston as well as the outlying communities to the Metrorail stations. There will also be a need for effective service between the TOD areas and between areas on both sides of the DAAR. The Countywide Transit Development Plan provides details regarding planned adjustments to existing routes and new routes to be added.

Road Network and Circulation

The road network and circulation recommendations provide additional transportation guidance for development within the Wiehle-Reston East, Reston Town Center, and Herndon TSAs. As new streets are constructed, right-of-way should be provided for their ultimate configuration including pedestrian and bicycle facilities as identified in the Plan. The streets should provide a high level of connectivity and accommodate all modes of transportation to the fullest extent possible.

Balancing the competing needs of numerous stakeholders will be necessary from the earliest stages in the planning and design of transportation projects. The design of a facility should ensure safety and function appropriately for all users regardless of the mode of travel they choose. Flexibility in design may be considered to achieve Plan objectives.

Network Level of Service

An overall Level of Service (LOS) ‘E’ is the goal for the intersections within the street network Reston TSAs. In instances where a LOS E standard cannot be attained or in a TSA with planned development, remedies should be proposed to offset impacts using the tiered approach described below. The purpose of this tiered approach is to maintain a walkable environment and support implementation of the grid of streets, which is more typical of urban areas and improves mobility for pedestrians and bicyclists.
In the development review process, mitigation of problem locations should follow the following sequence:

1. First, determine whether increased operational efficiency is achievable without decreasing pedestrian walkability and safety.
2. If increased operational influence does not result in an acceptable level of service, additional turn and through lanes can be considered on condition that the level of walkability remains acceptable. However, exclusive turn lanes and/or through lanes will not be desirable in most cases since it will increase street widths at intersections and therefore work against an attractive environment for pedestrians.
3. In lieu of additional lanes, it is preferable to add links to the street grid where applicable with the goal of promoting the build out of the grid of streets. This strategy creates additional diversionary paths for vehicles and decreases the traffic at problem locations in the vicinity of a proposed development.
4. When step 3 is not achievable, decrease future site-generated traffic by (1) changing the mix of land use within the parameters of the applicable land use guidelines (e.g., replacing office or retail uses with residential use); (2) increasing transit use through provision of additional and improved services; and/or, (3) optimizing the application of TDM with measures that might include greater transit use, carpooling, ridesharing, walking and bicycling.
5. If the measures outlined in the previous two steps do not provide adequate such improvement of LOS, a development proposal or future phase of development may need to be conditioned on funding or completion of offsetting improvements. Financial contributions of significant value dedicated to addressing deficiencies in the TSA may be considered as an offsetting improvement. These contributions may not be used as a credit against other contributions toward off-site transportation improvements.

Transportation Demand Management

Transportation Demand Management (TDM) refers to a variety of strategies aimed at reducing the demand on the transportation system, particularly reducing single occupant vehicles during peak periods, and expanding the choices available to residents, employees, and visitors. Examples can be found in the County’s Policy Plan. The result is a more efficient use of the existing transportation system. TDM is a critical component in achieving the Plan’s goal of land use and transportation balance.

The objective of a successful TDM program for the TSAs is to reduce the number of single occupant vehicle trips. These reductions are based on Institute of Transportation Engineers’ (ITE) trip generation rates and are to fall within the ranges shown in the TDM Goals (See Figure 17). These goals are the ultimate objective once rail is operational and public transit is in place. The recommendations are for reductions of at least 35 percent for the areas within ¼ mile of the Metrorail stations and at least 30 percent for the areas between ¼ and ½ mile from the Metrorail stations. TDM Goals lower than those shown in Figure 17 may be considered, on an interim basis, prior to the opening of each Transit Station Area’s Metrorail Station.
A large component of TDM will be the implementation of formal TDM programs by the various stakeholders such as employers, apartment owners and homeowners associations within the TOD Districts. At a minimum, development proposals should include the following elements associated with their TDM program in addition to the minimum goals stated above:

1. Commitment to the trip reduction goals to be achieved at each phase of development and the measures to be used in the program.
2. TDM implementation plans with monitoring provisions.
3. Provision of remedies if a TDM plan fails to achieve its objective within a reasonable period of time, including restriction on the timing for future development.

**Road Transportation Improvements**

The following list of roadway network improvements are recommended to achieve the vision for the three TSAs and enhance connectivity through these areas by creating multiple and enhanced connections.

- Construct an enhanced street network (also referred to as a grid of streets) to increase connectivity
- Construct an overpass (4-lane bridge) across the DAAR from Sunset Hills Road to Sunrise Valley Drive approximately at Soapstone Drive (referred to as the Soapstone Overpass)
- Construct a Town Center Parkway Underpass (4-lane tunnel) from Town Center Parkway and Sunset Hills Road to Sunrise Valley Drive west of Edmund Halley Drive
- Install a grade-separated interchange at Fairfax County Parkway and Sunrise Valley Drive
- Construct an overpass (4-lane bridge) across the DAAR from Sunset Hills Road to Sunrise Valley Drive approximately at South Lakes Drive (referred to as the South Lakes Overpass)
- Improve Reston Parkway – 6 lanes from South Lakes Drive to the DAAR
- Improve Fox Mill Road - 4 lanes from Reston Parkway to Monroe Street
- Improve West Ox Road - 4 lanes from Lawyers Road to Centreville Road
- Improve Monroe Street - 4 lanes from West Ox Road to the Town of Herndon
• Extend Pinecrest Road from South Lakes Drive to Sunrise Valley Drive
• Improve Fairfax County Parkway - 6 lanes with High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) lane(s)

A fundamental purpose of the grid of streets is to increase connectivity in the TSAs. One benefit is the availability of alternative routes for vehicles, thereby reducing congestion. A conceptual enhanced street network is shown on Figures 18-20. In planning the grid of streets, consideration should be given to avoiding intersections with acute or awkward angles; minimizing exclusive turn lanes; and having block sizes generally within a 400 foot to 600 foot range. Any block longer than 600 feet should contain a mid-block pedestrian connection where possible.

In addition to the list above, intersection improvements may be required in order to ensure acceptable traffic operations. Each roadway improvement should be independently evaluated not only for its transportation utility from a cost-benefit perspective but also for its environmental implications, such as effects on storm water management, water quality, noise or parks, and also the roadway design and its integration into the area’s urban context.

Street Types

Street types respond to the needs of traffic from transit, pedestrians, bicycles, as well as vehicles. Street types in the TSAs have been identified and an overview of the features within the curb (the road and median) for each street type is included according to each type’s functional classification. The cross-section for each street type contains flexibility to be able to respond to particular needs in different locations. Streetscape diagrams are located in the Urban Design section.

The design guidelines for street types should be followed when providing new private or public roadway connections or when proposing improvements to the existing roadway network in the TSAs (to the extent consistent with applicable County standards). Minor Arterials primarily function as through traffic carriers. The collector streets collect traffic from the local streets and route them to arterials while the local streets allow internal circulation and connectivity within the area.

The existing and planned roadways in the TSAs and vicinity are categorized as follows according to the Fairfax County Guidelines for Functional Classification of Roadways. The guidance below is for roads associated with redevelopment or new development. Some of these characteristics may not be desirable due to the type of environment or be able to be implemented due to development constraints. Flexibility should be provided for roads that transition to existing roads. In addition, if new roads cross environmentally sensitive land there should be flexibility in road design. The Urban Design section includes the streetscape recommendations.
Figure 18. Conceptual Enhanced Street Network for Reston Study Area East

RESTON, WIEHLE-RESTON EAST TRANSIT STATION AREA
CONCEPTUAL STREET NETWORK

- High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) Lanes
- Widened or Improved Arterial Roadway (Number Indicates Proposed Number of Lanes including HOV or HOT Lanes)
- Construct Arterial on New Location
- Widened or Improved Collector (Number Indicates Proposed Number of Lanes)
- Construct Collector on New Location
- Construct or Improve Local Street (Number Indicates Proposed Number of Lanes)

NOTE: IMPROVEMENTS TO ARTERIAL FACILITIES SUBJECT TO COMPLETION OF CORRIDOR STUDIES. SEE DISCUSSION IN AREA PLAN OVERVIEW TEXT. FINAL ALIGNMENTS SUBJECT TO COMPLETION OF APPROPRIATE ENGINEERING STUDIES.

HOV LANES TO BE CONSIDERED IN PROJECT DEVELOPMENT. HOV LANES TO BE PROVIDED IF WARRANTED BASED ON DEMAND FORECASTS AND CORRIDOR STUDY.
Figure 19. Conceptual Enhanced Street Network for Reston Study Area Central
Figure 20. Conceptual Enhanced Street Network for Reston Study Area West

RESTON, HERNDON TRANSIT STATION AREA
CONCEPTUAL STREET NETWORK
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NOTE: IMPROVEMENTS TO ARTERIAL FACILITIES SUBJECT TO COMPLETION OF CORRIDOR STUDIES. SEE DISCUSSION IN AREA PLAN OVERVIEW TEXT. FINAL ALIGNMENTS SUBJECT TO COMPLETION OF APPROPRIATE ENGINEERING STUDIES.

HOV Lanes to be considered in project development. HOV Lanes to be provided if warranted based on demand forecasts and corridor study.
Minor Arterials–Type A

Reston Parkway is a minor arterial in the Reston Town Center TSA primarily carrying the longer-distance through traffic from adjacent areas such as the Town of Herndon and Loudoun County.

Curb to Curb Area:
- Median width of approximately 8 to 22 feet (may be wider for areas with frequent and/or heavy pedestrian crossings)
- 2-3 travel lanes per direction (11 feet for each lane)
- 5-6 foot on-road bike lane per direction, if found desirable
  - If an on-road bike lane cannot be provided, and biking is anticipated to occur on the road, then one extra wide travel lane per direction may be desirable, adjacent to the curb, to accommodate bikes (14 feet)
  - If bike facilities are not desirable within the curb to curb area due to the nature of the road, then they should be accommodated on a shared-use path adjacent to the road
- A target posted speed of 30-35 miles per hour is desirable for Reston Parkway.

Minor Arterials-Type B

Sunrise Valley Drive, Sunset Hills Road and Wiehle Avenue are Minor Arterials-Type B in and adjacent to the TSAs. These roadways carry shorter-distance through traffic, and carry less traffic volume than Principle Arterials (as defined in the Transportation section of the Policy Plan).

Curb to Curb Area:
- Median width of approximately 8 to 22 feet, if provided, to allow for safe pedestrian refuge
- 2 travel lanes per direction (11 feet for each lane)
- 5-6 foot on-road bike lane per direction
  - If an on-road bike lane is not provided, then one extra wide travel lane per direction may be desirable, adjacent to the curb, should be provided to accommodate bikes (14 feet). The lane should be marked or signs posted indicating that bikes are using the outside lane.
- 8 feet for on-street parallel parking if found desirable
- A target posted speed of 30 miles per hour is desirable for Sunset Hills Road, Sunrise Valley Drive and Wiehle Avenue.

Collector Streets

Town Center Parkway, Monroe Street, Hunter Mill Road and the future Soapstone Road, South Lakes Drive and Town Center Parkway extensions are collectors in and adjacent to the TSAs. These roadways route traffic to major and minor arterials from the local streets.
Curb to Curb Area:
- A median is not preferred; however, if provided the width should be approximately 14 to 22 feet
- 1 to 2 travel lanes per direction (11 feet for each lane)
- 5-6 foot on-road bike lane
  - If an on-road bike lane is not provided, then one extra wide travel lane per direction may be desirable, adjacent to the curb, should be provided to accommodate bikes (14 feet). The lane should be marked or signs posted indicating that bikes are using the outside lane.
- 8 feet for on-street parallel parking per direction
- A target posted speed of 30-miles per hour is desirable for Collectors. In some cases, 25 miles per hour may be desirable for Collectors.

**Local Streets (Local)**

Local streets in this area include the internal circulation roads and the new planned streets which connect the land uses to collector roads and allow internal circulation.

Curb to Curb Area:
- Medians are not desirable and should only be required when they are part of the urban design concept and the landscape or open space plan
- 1-2 travel lanes per direction (10-11 feet for each lane)
  - The outside lane is a shared travel lane between bicycles and vehicles. Local streets are low speed facilities that normally may not require bike lanes.
- 7-8 feet for on-street parking*
- A target posted speed of 25 miles per hour is desirable for Local Streets

**Parking Management**

To facilitate the achievement of TDM goals and encourage transit use, shared parking for uses which have different peak demand periods, instituting paid parking, or other parking reduction strategies are encouraged. Additionally, shared parking between similar uses with both existing and new buildings should be explored, especially if the existing use is over parked. These parking strategies can serve to reduce vehicle trips and increase the cost-effectiveness of the provision of parking. For development within a half mile of the Metrorail station, a parking plan should be submitted along with a development application that demonstrates that the amount of parking that is provided is sized to support the development. Provisions for parking reductions and other incentives to lower parking should be utilized if it is supported by the parking plan. The use of higher parking rates in the first phases of a development followed by lower parking rates in subsequent phases can be considered. Parking agreements with neighboring sites can be considered on an interim basis. Residential uses should take into account the number of bedrooms per unit when establishing the amount of parking to

* 7 feet for residential areas; 8 feet for mixed-use commercial areas.
supply. All non-residential uses should reduce their parking supply below the Countywide minimum.

For office space, a maximum parking rate should be:
- 2.1 spaces per 1,000 square feet within the Transit Station Mixed Use area
- 2.4 spaces per 1,000 square feet Residential Mixed Use

In instances where a higher office parking rate exists or is desired, a parking study, or other appropriate justification, can be submitted in order to consider a different rate for office use.

**Funding of Transportation Improvements and Services**

Funding these transportation improvements through Federal, State and County sources should be pursued; however, some combination of public and private sector funding will be necessary to cover the costs associated with these improvements and to expedite implementation. Additionally, these improvements may be implemented in stages by the private sector as development occurs. Further detailed examination of these funding options for each identified improvement and yet to be identified improvements is needed before a preferred funding approach is selected.

**ENVIRONMENTAL STEWARDSHIP**

Reston is a community founded on the integration of nature with developed areas and the stewardship of its wetlands, streams, lakes, forests, and other natural areas. Protecting, restoring and enhancing Reston’s diverse natural areas will remain a central planning principle and activity. Reston Association (RA), the Reston Town Center Association (RTCA), the North Virginia Park Authority (NVRPA), Fairfax County, homeowner associations, and individual property owners will plan and manage Reston’s natural resources with the following environmental stewardship planning goals in order to keep natural areas healthy and resilient:
- Protect the headwater areas and other environmentally sensitive areas through the implementation of innovative stormwater management practices.
- Restore and enhance the mature tree canopy and other natural areas.
- Establish high expectations regarding use of green technology and low impact development techniques for all buildings and neighborhoods.
- Provide noise attenuation measures as appropriate.

**Stormwater Management**

Future development offers considerable opportunities to improve upon past stormwater management practices in furtherance of efforts to protect and restore local streams and to reduce pollutant loads entering the Potomac River and Chesapeake Bay. Low impact development (LID) techniques of stormwater management can serve to reduce runoff volumes entering local streams and can more easily be incorporated within densely developed areas than more
traditional detention and retention ponds. These LID practices can include, but are not limited to, bioretention or biofiltration facilities (commonly known as rain gardens), vegetated swales, porous pavement, vegetated roofs, tree box filters and the collection and reuse of stormwater runoff.

Environmentally-friendly stormwater design should be an integral design principle that will be part of the conceptual stage of site development for all future development, recognizing that stormwater management measures may be phased with development. The stormwater design should first seek to minimize the effect of impervious cover, followed by the application of stormwater reuse, retention, detention, extended filtration and, where soils and infrastructure allow, infiltration to improve downstream waters. The incorporation of stormwater management strategies in parks and other open space areas may support this approach while providing recreational amenities, and there may be opportunities to incorporate LID practices within other open space areas.

Coordination of stormwater management controls among multiple development sites may also be effective in achieving stormwater management goals in an efficient manner. Stormwater management and water quality controls should be optimized for all future development projects consistent with the scale of such projects.

Stormwater quantity and quality control measures should be provided with the goal of reducing the total runoff volume or significantly delaying its entry into the stream system. In furtherance of stream protection and/or restoration through replication of natural hydrologic conditions, the emphasis should be on LID techniques that evapotranspire water, filter water through vegetation and/or soil, return water into the ground or reuse it.

LID techniques of stormwater management should also be incorporated into new and redesigned streets where allowed and practicable.

In addition, at a minimum the following guidelines should be followed for any application for which a floor area ratio (FAR) of 1.0 or more is proposed. Any development proposals in the TSAs should be reviewed on a case-by-case basis for the appropriate optimization of stormwater management and water quality controls, allowing for flexibility in specific approaches taken to achieve these guidelines.

1. For sites that have greater than 50 percent impervious cover in the existing condition, the total volume of runoff released from the site in the post-developed condition for the 2-year, 24-hour storm should be at least 25 percent less than the total volume of runoff released in the existing condition for the same storm. Furthermore, the peak runoff rate for the 2-year, 24-hour storm in the post-developed condition should be at least 25 percent less than the existing condition peak runoff rate for the same storm.

2. For sites that have 50 percent or less impervious cover in the existing condition, the total volume of runoff released as well as the peak release rate for the 1- and 2-year, 24-hour storm in the post-developed condition should be equal to or less than the total runoff volume and peak release rate in the existing condition for the same storm.
3. In addition to item 1 or 2 above, stormwater runoff associated with the development should be controlled such that either: (a) the total phosphorus load for the property is no greater than what would be required for new development pursuant to Virginia’s Stormwater Regulations/ the County’s Stormwater Management Ordinance; or (b) an equivalent level of water quality control is provided.

As an alternative to items 1, 2 and 3 above, stormwater management measures may be provided that are sufficient to attain the Rainwater Management credit of the most current version of Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design-New Construction (LEED-NC) or LEED-CS (Core & Shell) rating system (or equivalent of this/these credit(s)).

As an alternative to the minimum guidelines above, stormwater management measures and/or downstream improvements may be pursued to optimize site-specific stormwater management and/or stream protection/restoration efforts, consistent with the adopted watershed management plan(s) that is/are applicable to the site. Such efforts should be designed to protect downstream receiving waters by reducing stormwater runoff volumes and peak flows from existing and proposed impervious surfaces to the maximum extent practicable, consistent with watershed plan goals.

Natural Resources Management

Protection, enhancement and management of natural resources in the existing wetlands and streams in Reston are critical to the long term viability of those habitats.

Wetlands

Wetlands filter water and provide important habitat for native plants and animals. One notable wetlands habitat is the Sunrise Valley Wetlands Nature Park, which lies within ¼ mile of the Herndon Station. This privately owned land is a federally-mandated mitigation site established by Reston Land Corporation through a Conservation Covenant in July 1994. This site provides multiple ecosystems, including open water, marsh and upland forest that attract a wide range of wildlife. Recreational uses that are compatible with the environmental, public accessibility and educational objectives of the wetlands should be encouraged.

Streams and Buffer Areas

The Reston Association (RA) manages many of the stream valleys and lakes within Reston as part of its water resource program. Various tributaries have been negatively impacted by years of unchecked stormwater runoff, consumption of understory plants by deer, and encroachment by non-native invasive plant species. Generally, these streams suffer from numerous exposed utilities, particularly sewers; areas of severe stream bank erosion and many fallen trees; and a significant number of large sediment deposits. RA is implementing a long-term action plan for a Watershed Master Plan to restore the Glade, Snakeden Branch, and tributaries to Colvin Run in Reston.
Lakes and Ponds

Four constructed lakes, (Lake Anne, Thoreau, Audubon and Newport), cover 125 acres, provide valuable habitat for fish and aquatic plants, serve as visual amenities, and create recreation opportunities while also functioning as stormwater management facilities. These lakes are actively managed by RA for aquatic health, sediment, algae, and shoreline stabilization. In addition, Lake Fairfax, owned by the Fairfax County Park Authority, is located adjacent to Reston and also provides stormwater management and recreation. Smaller ponds provide stormwater management and have become important features of the Reston area. Additional tree canopy and shoreline stabilization should be considered to enhance these important features.

Environmental Enhancement

Environmental enhancement efforts should be encouraged and should include endeavors such as the planting of native species of vegetation in degraded open space areas, invasive plant control, deer management, stream restoration, and creating new natural areas where disturbed areas currently exist. These expanded natural areas could build on the stream valley parks, adding land that increases riparian buffers and enhances stream valley corridors. Natural areas outside of Resource Protection Areas could serve as nodes for human activity and greatly improve quality of life while relieving stress on existing riparian areas. Stream valley park expansions should not include large hardscape areas (other than trails) and resources management should drive park design.

Tree Canopy Goals

Trees provide numerous environmental and human health benefits and should be considered an essential element in the vision for development and redevelopment within Reston. Environmental benefits include stormwater management, energy conservation, and mitigation of ozone and carbon in the air. When clustered together, as in a park setting, trees provide habitat areas for wildlife. From an urban design perspective, street trees enhance aesthetics, provide shade and relief from the sun and other elements, and create a sense of safety and protection from street traffic and noise.

The recommendations to protect, restore and enhance the tree canopy include the following:

- Follow guidelines established in the Tree Action Plan: a 20-Year Strategic Plan to Conserve and Manage Fairfax County’s Urban Forest
- Consult Reston Association’s list of “banned” plants and “preferred” native plantings when selecting trees and other plants for landscaping.
- Avoid the overuse of one tree species along streets
- Replace existing trees preferably in the same watershed
- Expand the eradication program for invasive species
- Expand the planting program for native trees, seedings, and shrubs and wildflowers to ensure regeneration and resilience of natural areas
Green Buildings

The Policy Plan’s Environment section provides guidance for green building practices. Non-residential development in the TSAs should achieve LEED Silver certification or the equivalent, at a minimum, in light of the level of redevelopment potential proposed for the TSAs. Residential development should be guided by the Policy Plan objectives on Resource Conservation and Green Building Practices. Achievement of higher levels of LEED certification is also encouraged. A broad range of practices can be pursued in support of or in addition to green building certification.

The following are examples of energy and ecologically conscious approaches to building design that should be encouraged within Reston:

- Provision of green (vegetated) roofs
- Use of site and building design and orientation for passive solar heating and daylighting
- Use of thermal and/or photovoltaic solar energy systems
- Incorporation of passive cooling through proper shading and ventilation
- Use of ground source head pump heating and cooling systems for space conditioning and hot water requirements
- Reduction of water consumption, including the re-use of gray water where allowed
- Use of radiant floor heating
- Provision of roof-mounted wind turbines as an energy source
- Recycling of building materials and maximize the use of locally produced materials
- Use of light reflecting roof surfaces
- Use of outside light shades that provide shading for glass while also directing sunlight deep into interior building spaces

Noise Impacts

The Policy Plan recommends against new residential development in areas with projected highway noise exposures exceeding DNL 75 dBA, which is a day-night weighted average noise level. However, broader planning goals for the Reston TSAs may suggest that sites near major highways and Metrorail would be appropriate for residential development and/or other noise-sensitive uses, even when projected noise impacts may exceed DNL 75 dBA. Design approaches may be available that would shield noise-sensitive areas from these impacts; efforts should be taken to design noise-sensitive uses to minimize, if not avoid, the exposure of facades of noise-sensitive interior spaces to noise levels above DNL 75 dBA.

Where residential or other noise sensitive uses are proposed near rail and major highways, such proposals should only be considered with the provision of a noise study during the review of the development, appropriate commitments to noise mitigation measures, and, potentially, commitments to the provision of disclosure statements and a post-development noise study.
The noise study during development review should clearly define the noise levels impacting the proposed uses as a measure of dBA DNL. The noise study should include noise contours and/or noise impacts at each façade of each affected building with current noise levels and future noise levels based on a minimum 20-year traffic volume projection for the roadway and other transportation noise sources. In addition, the noise study should identify differing noise levels that may affect building facades at different elevations.

For those studies that indicate noise levels in excess of DNL 65 dBA on proposed noise sensitive uses, appropriate mitigation measures should be provided with the goal of achieving DNL 45 dBA for interior space and DNL 65 dBA for outdoor recreation areas. Attenuation may include siting and orientation of the noise sensitive use, as well as the use of appropriate building materials and noise barriers.

In areas where projected noise impacts at affected building facades will exceed DNL 75 dBA, and for dwelling units where outdoor spaces including balconies will be projected to be exposed to noise levels that exceed DNL 65 dBA, disclosure statements should be provided to potentially affected residents and users within the impacted uses or units, which clearly identify the mitigated and unmitigated noise levels for interior space and the noise levels for any affected balconies in addition to noise mitigation for interior space and outdoor recreational areas. When feasible, post-development noise studies should be conducted in order to provide for evaluations of noise mitigation measures.

URBAN PARKS, RECREATION FACILITIES AND CULTURAL FACILITIES

The growth and redevelopment planned for the three TSAs will increase the need for parks and open space, recreation facilities, and cultural amenities, all of which are essential components in creating places where residents and employees can live, work and play. A significant portion of the TSAs was formerly designated as the Reston Center for Industry and Government, which limited residential use and resulted in a development pattern with a minimal amount of existing park, recreation and cultural facilities. As a result, there is an existing deficit of park/recreation capacity within the boundaries of the TSAs. Growth and redevelopment planned for the TSAs will exacerbate existing deficits. The intent of this Section is to present recommendations to meet the need for urban parks, recreation and cultural facilities created by growth in the TSAs.

Need generated in the TSAs should primarily be met through the integration of urban parks, recreation, and cultural facilities within the mixed use developments of the TSAs. To supplement these parks and facilities, elements of the larger Reston area’s robust park and recreation system (outside of the TSAs) may be able to be improved to help meet the needs of future residents and employees. This opportunity to meet needs both within and beyond the TSAs can only be realized if adequate and accessible pedestrian and bicycle connections are created within the TSAs and between the TSAs and the existing extensive trail system in Reston.

Several public, quasi-public, non-profit, and private organizations currently provide park, recreation and cultural facilities and amenities to the Reston area. These include Fairfax County Park Authority (FCPA), Reston Association (RA), Reston Community Center (RCC), Northern
Virginia Regional Park Authority (NVRPA), Town of Herndon, YMCA, as well as others. This variety of providers offers a broad range of public benefits but it also requires a continued commitment to collaborative planning and implementation.

Parks provide visual relief in the urban landscape and are spaces for people to enjoy the outdoors and engage in recreation and leisure pursuits. Public open space is especially critical for residents of higher density housing who may lack access to private yards. A diverse park system contributes economic, social and health benefits by providing a high quality of life for residents in the transit-oriented areas and the surrounding community.

The new parks planned for the TSAs should range from places that support and foster social interaction to those that support sports and recreation activities. While many developments will include urban parks/plazas as amenities, contributions of recreational facilities will also be needed to ensure a park system that serves the wide range of needs that will exist in the TSAs. The provision of athletic facilities, particularly sports fields, is especially important and challenging. Creative approaches for providing for sports needs will be necessary, including use of technology and scheduling to increase existing and future facility capacities and integrating facilities within development areas, on rooftops, over stormwater detention facilities, in utility corridors and other alternative locations.

Urban Park Service Level Standards and Typology

The Urban Park Framework is in the Parks and Recreation section of the Policy Plan as Appendix 2. It was established to guide the creation of park systems in Fairfax County’s urbanizing and redevelopment areas and is to be used to guide park development. This framework provides service level standards, design guidelines and a typology of urban park types to guide the creation of urban park systems in Fairfax County.

Ideally, urban areas contain a complement of urban park types in order to serve local leisure needs; support environmental and sustainability goals; and contribute to the area’s sense of culture, liveliness, and identity. Urban park design elements may be combined in various ways to create a range of urban park types. While park types may be adjusted to fit an area’s specific needs and concept, there are five distinct types of urban parks, including pocket parks, common greens, civic plazas, recreation-focused urban parks and linear parks, as described in the Urban Parks Framework. The urban park typology strives to provide a comprehensive range of amenities and uses, such as pedestrian-oriented by-ways, large open spaces for civic gatherings, and other recreation-oriented opportunities for organized sports and informal play.

Park service level standards guide the provision of parkland and facilities relative to specific County needs and land use context. For urban areas, the parkland service level standard is based on population and employees. In urban areas, park size is typically less than five acres and often under ½ acre. Service area is generally within a 5-10 minute walking distance (or ¼ - ½ mile) from nearby offices, retail and residences. The urban parkland standard calls for 1.5 acres of urban park space per 1,000 residents and 1.0 acre of urban park space per 10,000 employees that is well integrated into the urban fabric and distinguished from site and public realm landscaping and streetscape features. A range of recreation facilities and park amenities
should be incorporated into the urban park spaces to serve the recreation and leisure needs of nearby residents, workers and visitors. The urban parkland standard determines the target acreage for a mixed use, urban area and relies on contributing future development to help meet these area-wide targets, working with public and private partners to integrate publicly-accessible urban parks into development projects.

**Elements of the Reston Transit Station Area Urban Park System**

A wide array of parks, recreation, and cultural amenities will be combined to form the area’s urban park system. During the course of the Reston Special Land Use Master Plan Study, eleven core needs for the urban park system were identified (See Figure 21).

Items on the list are those amenity types (indoor and outdoor) that have been identified as needed through the Reston Special Land Use Master Plan Study and by the three largest not-for-profit providers of parks, recreation, and cultural amenities in Reston – Fairfax County Park Authority (FCPA), Reston Association (RA), and Reston Community Center (RCC). These park, recreation, and cultural needs are either solely generated (or exacerbated) by the development planned in the TSAs. The listed amenity types are representative and not intended to limit the addition of new facility types to support emerging and evolving needs.

As noted earlier, the adopted population-based countywide service level standards established in the Parks and Recreation section of the Policy Plan form the basis of determination of how many facilities are needed. Adopted service level standards generally apply to playgrounds and courts. Adjusted service level standards for athletic fields account for expanded field capacity though use of lighting and synthetic turf and different use patterns. More guidance for the provision of active recreation is provided below. The need for the Memorial Garden of Reflection is a long standing community goal. The need to expand or add significant indoor facilities including an aquatic center, indoor tennis facility and performance center has been identified through various feasibility studies and community dialog. Trails are needed throughout and in a quantity sufficient to meet connectivity and recreation goals. Public art is also desired throughout. The Visual and Performing Arts section of the Policy Plan contains additional guidance.

**Active Recreation Facilities**

Outdoor recreational areas should support and foster social interaction as well as team sports and individual recreation activities. Plan guidance for urban parks will be implemented as redevelopment occurs and should incorporate a variety of park amenities. Contributions of recreational facilities will also be needed to ensure a park system that serves the wider range of needs. Adopted Countywide recreation facility standards, adjusted for urban demographics and use patterns, will guide the service level enjoyed by residents, workers and visitors to Reston who will have a full range of leisure opportunities within convenient distances. Due to the size requirement for full size athletic fields, the provision of athletic fields is particularly challenging in the urban context, but an essential facility type where new fields and expanded capacity at existing fields will need to be added as redevelopment occurs.
## Figure 21. Core Needs for Reston Transit-Station Areas Urban Park System

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NEED</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trails</td>
<td>Non-motorized connections for recreational and transportation purposes, linking TSA areas with each other and to the rest of Reston community.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parkland</strong> (local)</td>
<td>A range of types of publicly-accessible urban parkland, including pocket parks, common greens, civic plazas, recreation-focused parks, and linear parks. Please see the Urban Parks Framework in the Policy Plan, Parks and Recreation section for detailed descriptions of urban park types and typical amenities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Playgrounds</td>
<td>Includes neighborhood-scale playgrounds as well as one destination playground.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sports courts</td>
<td>Multi-use and single-use hard-surfaced courts, incorporated into developments and local parks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athletic fields</td>
<td>Diamond and rectangle fields for a wide variety of scheduled and unscheduled sport play for all age groups. As appropriate, fields should have synthetic turf and lights to ensure maximum playing capacity. While land for new fields will be needed, capacity-enhancing upgrades to nearby athletic fields may also be an option.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog exercise areas and parks</td>
<td>Areas of varying sizes for on-leash and off-leash dog walking and exercise. Would include informal exercise areas (on-leash) as well as urban scale off-leash areas. Inclusion of a larger, more suburban scale off-leash area is also appropriate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memorial Garden of Reflection (outdoor)</td>
<td>An outdoor memorial sculpture garden, a special place where one can go to remember and memorialize loved ones.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public art</td>
<td>Incorporated as distinct features as well as part of architecture, public space, and amenities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indoor aquatic facility</td>
<td>Large-scale destination facility providing indoor aquatic and fitness recreation, to include family friendly pool with water features, and/or competitive swimming (50meter) as well as other indoor recreation facility features.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indoor tennis facility</td>
<td>Indoor tennis facility to accommodate recreational and competitive play.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indoor performance center</td>
<td>Performing arts venue that can support large-footprint music and dance organizations. Preferred location is within walking distance of a transit station (e.g., within Reston Town Center), near other entertainment activity (e.g., dining, retail) with opportunities for shared parking.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A goal of adding capacity equivalent to twelve athletic fields serving Reston should be achieved through development contributions of land and/or facilities. In general, 4.5 million square feet of mixed use development generates the need for one athletic field. Therefore, at least one new field should be added in each TSA. Enhancements to and redesign of nearby public park, school and Reston Association fields to increase capacity should also be strategies for serving the increased athletic field needs in Reston.

The provision of new athletic facilities that require larger land areas within Reston TSAs is especially important and challenging. Creative approaches to providing for sports needs in Reston will be necessary, including integrating facilities within development areas, on rooftops, over stormwater detention facilities, in utility corridors and other alternative locations. The rooftops of parking structures and other buildings in Reston will be considered as locations for some of the new athletic fields and courts that are needed to help meet the future demand for active recreation facilities. Field capacity can be expanded through innovative design features such as lighting and synthetic turf and through scheduling that provides for longer and more efficient use, and thereby achieving the addition of new fields and added capacity. Overlay and multi-use fields that accommodate multiple sports can reduce the amount of land needed. Adopted Countywide field standards are based on a majority of youth participants. It is anticipated that in Reston there will be a large number of adult field users. Corporate softball, flag football, kickball, soccer and adult baseball are anticipated adult needs. Other field sports, such as cricket, may also emerge as a greater need over the horizon of this plan and may need to be accommodated.

The conceptual graphic of the future park system, shown in Figure 22, generally highlights well-distributed parks and recreation opportunities connected through the W&OD trail on the north, mirrored by an east-west major trail connector on the south side of the Toll Road and north-south connectors through each TOD.

**Urban Park Implementation**

Creation of an urban park network is fundamental to the vision for the TSAs and to the successful redevelopment efforts around the transit stations. As a result of ownership patterns, urban park development will likely occur in a piecemeal pattern over time. Coordination and collaboration among landowners to create a connected system of needed park spaces is essential and is critical to creating vibrant, successful neighborhoods. A comprehensive system of urban parks, if properly implemented, will contribute to a sense of place and distinguish the area as a quality place to live, work, shop and visit. The Urban Parks Framework should be used to guide the design and location of the urban open space system. Adopted countywide recreation facility standards, adjusted for urban demographics and use patterns, will guide the service level enjoyed by residents, workers and visitors to the Reston transit area.
Figure 22. Conceptual Graphic of the Future Park System
In implementing elements of the urban park system, consideration should be given to factors including service areas and targets, core facility purpose, and access. Facilities serving a local neighborhood will look different and have different support facilities than a facility designed to serve an entire TSA or the larger Reston community. As an example, a local-serving playground might include a few pieces of play equipment, seating, special landscaping and pedestrian features; it might serve a cluster of residential buildings or a residential/office mixed use area. This playground might be used daily by residents and is most useful if accessible by walking. A larger-scale playground or athletic field that serves as a destination facility, would be designed to serve a broader area than a local-serving playground, and have a larger footprint. One would expect that visitors might walk, but would also bike, use transit, or drive to get to such a destination, and may not use daily, but would spend more time once there. Factors such as context/location, access, function/purpose, general length of stay, and amenities should be considered in order to inform provision of urban parks, recreation, and cultural amenities. The full set of design elements to be factored into implementation decisions are described in detail in the Design Elements table of the Urban Parks Framework (see Policy Plan, Parks and Recreation section).

Approaches to providing parks, recreation, and cultural amenities within the TSAs and extended transit corridor area should be creative and innovative – in keeping with the Reston community’s origins and character. Stakeholders, providers, and developers should be encouraged to work together to offer park, recreation, and cultural amenities in ways that are well-suited to the context of an urbanizing transit-oriented community. Parkland can be publicly owned, privately owned, or provided through public-private partnerships. Developers should anticipate providing local, neighborhood-serving, amenities (e.g., sports courts, playgrounds, dog exercise areas) as well as contributing to area-wide, broader-serving, amenities (e.g., athletic fields, destination playground, trails, indoor facilities).

Creativity in provision is highly encouraged. Creative urban park initiatives may include the use of building rooftops for park facilities; unique programming areas; recreation facilities and dedicated program space provided within commercial buildings, redevelopment at nearby parks, and forging new park-provider partnerships. Integration of indoor and outdoor facilities and program space with cooperative programming is highly encouraged. With any of these approaches, visual and physical accessibility to the public is essential.

During the course of the public planning process, several recurring themes related to parks, recreation, and cultural amenities within Reston were identified. These themes suggest specific opportunities (some geographic, some conceptual) to implement a parks system within the area.

- **East-West Connections:** Establishing east-west connections within the area is just as important for internal pedestrian and bike circulation as well as connections to the remainder of Reston. The Washington & Old Dominion Trail (W&OD) provides regional pedestrian and bike connectivity north of the DAAR, but a corresponding connection does not exist south of the DAAR. An east-west connection along Sunrise Valley Drive would create such a central pedestrian and bike connection south of the DAAR.
• **North-South Connections:** The creation and strengthening of north-south connections throughout the area will contribute greatly to the success of the parks system. These pedestrian and bike connections will provide access to amenities located on one or the other side of the DAAR. The connections become particularly critical in being able to connect the TSAs with the larger Reston community. To that end, north-south connections should be strengthened/enhanced or created along the axes created by the three metro stations, at a minimum. Any new north–south vehicular connections should also include pedestrian facilities.

• **Linear Parks:** Creating a variety of linked, multi-use parks will be central to the success of the redevelopment of the area. A combination of active and passive amenities linked (or adjacent) to central pedestrian and bike ways should be created. Using existing natural and stormwater features as a backbone for linear parks should also be considered.

  • *Sunrise Valley Corridor:* Several manmade water and natural features exist in the vicinity of the Sunrise Valley corridor and provide a particular opportunity to create small, semi-urban scale parks. Placing trails and clustered amenities such as fitness stations, playgrounds, or interpretive stations around existing or future features builds upon Reston’s existing infrastructure. It may allow double use of spaces – in some cases allowing stormwater management goals to be achieved simultaneously with recreation goals. In addition to realizing the vision of Sunrise Valley as an east-west connection south of the DAAR, it also places amenities in proximity to planned development.

  • *Washington & Old Dominion Regional Park:* The regional Washington & Old Dominion Trail (W&OD) runs through the study area north of the DAAR, providing opportunities for east-west pedestrian and bike travel. There is the potential to incorporate recreational waysides including, but not limited to seating areas and playgrounds. Incorporation of amenities has been done in other areas along the W&OD, such as Arlington, Falls Church, and Purcellville. There is also the opportunity to develop larger recreational or cultural facilities near the W&OD, such as gathering places or athletic facilities. Close collaboration with the Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority (NVRPA) as the area redevelops will help identify specific opportunities.

  • *Stormwater Parks:* The role and importance of water bodies and stormwater management features in Reston presents an opportunity to cluster amenities around these features and create a valued educational, recreational and/or cultural asset. There are opportunities to create enhanced stormwater parks throughout the area – as stand-alone parks or as a series of linked and linear parks. Parks of this type will incorporate active, passive, and/or nature and memorial elements into stormwater management features creating a multi-purpose community asset.

• **Clustered Community Uses:** The Reston Town Center North area currently contains many community uses – library, Hunter Mill Supervisor’s office, public safety, human services, and health related offices. In the future, it is anticipated that many of these uses may remain and there may be the option to further develop or add public amenities. Examples of possible amenities might include a signature community green or a flexible use, community gathering plaza and/or a destination playground. A redesign of the private and public uses in Reston Town Center North is anticipated offering opportunities to better integrate urban park features, recreation and cultural amenities. This area could offer mutually beneficial and
complementary community uses and provide a significant public benefit, connecting to and building on the community-focused nature of the adjacent Reston Town Center.

- **Integrating the TSAs:** The Reston community has expressed the desire to build connections and integrate the TSAs into the Reston community; parks, recreation, and cultural facilities are one means of achieving this goal. Some of the needs identified above may be more appropriate to a location outside of the TSAs and may in fact provide greater benefit in such locations by encouraging broader use (e.g., Memorial Garden of Reflection). To further this goal of integrating new residents in the TSAs into the larger community, new residents should have access to and use of the full suite of amenities that the Reston planned community offers and in a manner similar to what existing residents currently enjoy. Reston Association is the primary provider of local-serving parks and recreational amenities, Reston Community Center offers an array of cultural and indoor recreational amenities, and the Fairfax County Park Authority provides broader-serving public parks and recreational amenities. While inclusion in Reston Community Center services is a given due to the geography of small district 5, membership in Reston Association is not a given and should be encouraged. This will help achieve the goal of reintegration in a seamless and coordinated way that helps to off-sets impacts and meets the needs of new residents.

**PUBLIC FACILITIES**

This section pertains to the public facility needs to accommodate growth in the Transit Station Areas (TSAs). Providing adequate public facilities to serve the planned growth around the three transit stations will require the expansion or modification of existing facilities in some cases and the development of new facilities in other cases. The existing and future public facilities in the TSAs are described below. The provision of future facilities will need to be coordinated with the rate at which planned development occurs in these TSAs as well as in the surrounding Reston community.

**Schools**

The Reston TSAs are currently served by a total of 10 public schools. These include 6 elementary schools: Coates, Dogwood, Lake Anne, McNair, Sunrise Valley and Terraset. The TSAs are also served by two middle schools, Carson and Hughes, and two high schools, South Lakes and Westfield.

The growth envisioned in the TSAs over the next 20-30 years is projected to result in over 1,600 new elementary school students, 425 new middle school students and 880 new high school students living in these areas once all of the planned housing is built. Based on current planning approaches, this projected enrollment would result in a need for at least two new elementary school sites, together with capacity enhancements at existing facilities. In addition, the growth in these three TSAs plus additional growth at the Innovation Center Transit Station to the west are projected to significantly exceed the available capacity for middle school and high school students. As a result, one new middle school and one new high school, as well as capacity enhancements at existing facilities, will be needed to accommodate the projected increases in enrollment. A middle school and a high school located to the west of Reston, potentially in the Innovation Center area, would be well located to relieve overcrowding in
existing schools as well as serve planned growth. Similarly, an elementary school located in the Town Center North District and a second elementary school in the Central Sunrise Valley District would be appropriately located to accommodate planned growth.

During the development review process, developers should provide for additional school capacity to mitigate the impacts of new development. These contributions could be more traditional in nature, such as dedication of a school site, or may include more innovative urban solutions such as co-locating school facilities with parks or within mixed-use buildings.

**Fire & Rescue**

The TSAs are currently served by four Fire and Rescue stations. The Reston Fire and Rescue Station 25, located at 1820 Wiehle Avenue, serves virtually all of the Wiehle-Reston East TSA and the part of the Reston Town Center TSA located north of the DAAR. The south side of the Wiehle-Reston East TSA immediately along the DAAR is served by the Fox Mill Fire and Rescue Station 31, located at 2610 Reston Parkway. This station also serves all of the Reston Town Center Transit Station Area south of the DAAR as well as the southeastern portion of the Herndon Transit Station Area. The Frying Pan Fire and Rescue Station 36, located at 2660 West Ox Road, serves the southwestern portion of the Herndon TSA. Finally, the Herndon Fire and Rescue Station 4, located at 680 Spring Street, serves the northwest corner of the Reston Town Center TSA.

The planned increases in residential dwelling units and non-residential uses in the TSAs would result in excessive workloads of several of the existing stations. In order to maintain acceptable levels of service to the community, several of these facilities will need to be upgraded and a second Reston station will be needed in the future. A new station located in the North Town Center District would enable the Reston, Herndon and Fox Mill Fire and Rescue Stations to maintain acceptable levels of service to the community, even with the additional growth planned. The station is recommended to be the Fire and Rescue Department’s standard size of 14,500 square feet with a minimum of three apparatus bays to accommodate an engine, a transport unit, and a specialty unit. The new station should be designed and constructed as an urban facility located at the base of a government, commercial, residential building or parking structure.

An urban fire station would be anticipated to be two levels with the ground level dedicated to the apparatus bays for access to the road network and the second level dedicated to living accommodations. Secure on-site parking for operational personnel to support two 24-hour shifts should also be provided. The provision of this new station should be based on the projected needs as planned development being built.

Finally, the Herndon Station is approved for expansion to add two additional units, an engine and medic by 2015. The Reston Station currently only has vehicular access from Wiehle Avenue, which requires backing the units up into the site. To improve safety and traffic flow, future development should provide for unit access to the rear of the station. Subject to ongoing analysis, a second medic unit may be needed at Frying Pan Station in the future.
Library

The TSAs are served by the Reston Regional library, located in the Town Center North District at 11925 Bowman Towne Drive. This library facility is one of the most heavily used in the library system in terms of number of visits per year. Options are currently being evaluated to renovate and expand the library. Such a renovation and expansion will be planned to accommodate the future growth in the TSAs. As an alternative to renovation and expansion, new library incorporated into a mixed-use development within one of the TSAs is desirable.

IMPLEMENTATION

Achieving the Vision for the Reston TSAs will require an implementation approach that is comprehensive, flexible and innovative. A key component to achieving the place-making that is a primary objective of this Plan is utilizing a variety of tools, some only recently used in Fairfax County for the first time.

A number of strategies for implementation of this Plan are identified in this section.

Funding Strategies

Various options exist for funding the multiple public facility needs to support the desired new development in the TSAs. The feasibility of the options listed below or other options that may become available in the future should be assessed and the most appropriate tools should be identified for financing specific portions of the Plan as new and/or improved infrastructure is needed.

- Community Development Authorities (TSA-wide, TOD district level or subdistrict level)
- Tax Increment Financing
- Improvement Districts
- Public-private Partnerships
- Private Partnerships
- County, State and Federal funding
- Pro-rata contributions by landowners
- Other forms of borrowing and grants
- Parking fees

Regulatory Framework

Adjustments to current Regulatory tools, including amendments to the Zoning Ordinance, will be needed to implement the type and intensity of new development and to realize the overall urban design goals for the TSAs. In addition, other regulations and documents may need to be updated, such as the County’s Capital Improvement Plan, the County’s transportation demand management programs and the County’s Public Facilities Manual. It may also be necessary to seek legislative authority for new financing or land development strategies.
Public-Private Partnerships

A public-private partnership entails using public funds or activities to foster private investment and development activity that may not otherwise occur. A number of public infrastructure improvements will be needed to implement this Plan and public/private partnerships have proven to be a successful mechanism to help the County advance certain infrastructure projects. By using public investments strategically, Fairfax County can reinforce and leverage private sector investments to achieve the vision for the Reston TSAs.

Private Partnerships

Cooperation among landowners will be necessary to obtain land for public facilities, park and open space, and the grid of streets. These elements are necessary to the successful evolution of the TOD areas from a suburban environment to a more urban one and it will be incumbent on private property owners to contribute to that success.

Phasing

An evolving phasing plan linking future development to specific improvements will ensure that the desired urban infrastructure and public amenities, as well as transportation infrastructure, will occur as growth within the TSAs occurs. The goal of appropriate phasing is to balance projected development with infrastructure and public facility needs over time. Monitoring the approval of and actual construction of new development will ensure that the phasing plan stays current as needs are addressed and new ones identified.

DISTRICT RECOMMENDATIONS

This section of the Plan contains specific recommendations for the districts in the three Reston Transit Station Areas (TSAs) (see Figure 23). Three of the districts are designated as Transit-Oriented Development (TOD) districts given their proximity to the Metrorail station platforms. The other districts are designated as Non-TOD districts and typically will provide locations for existing uses at currently approved and/or planned densities.

The discussion of each Transit Station Area begins with a description of the TSA and a review of the districts and subdistricts in the TSA. Next, the location of the TOD district is described and the vision for the district is articulated. It is followed by a description of the Non-TOD districts in the TSA. Within each district or subdistrict is a paragraph entitled Base Plan, which generally describes the existing and/or approved uses and intensities for the area. Some subdistricts have a section entitled Redevelopment Option. This provides guidance on the land use mix and intensities to achieve the goals discussed in the Areawide Recommendations above.
Figure 23. Transit Station Districts
Local-serving amenities such as urban parks, trails, and public art should be provided throughout all the subdistricts and for all types of development and are guided by the Areawide text on Urban Parks, Recreation Facilities, and Cultural Facilities as well as the Urban Parks Framework in the Policy Plan.

TOD DISTRICT INTENSITY AND MIX OF USES

The TOD districts are planned as shown on the Conceptual Land Use Map (see Figure 4) in the Areawide Recommendations. The planned development intensity and the planned mix of uses for the TOD districts is based on whether an area is planned for the Transit Station Mixed Use or the Residential Mixed Use category.

The Transit Station Mixed Use area is the critical area for establishing the core of a compact, mixed-use, walkable transit-oriented environment and should provide a balanced mix of uses to include office, retail, hotel, institutional and public facility uses as well as new residential uses. These areas are planned for the highest development intensity in the TSAs. The planned development intensity for these areas is provided as a range of floor area ratios (FARs). The low end of the range is the minimum FAR that will be considered for redevelopment proposals within the Transit Station Mixed Use area. The high end of the range represents the FAR available for redevelopment on the parcels adjacent to the transit station entrance pavilions. Generally, the parcels that are not consolidated with or part of a coordinated development plan with one or more parcels adjacent to the transit station entrance pavilion are planned for the midpoint of the range. However, redevelopment proposals for these parcels with a higher proportion of residential use than office and other non-residential uses may realize an FAR above the midpoint of the range as described below. The Transit Station Mixed Use areas are planned for 50 percent residential and 50 percent non-residential uses.

The Residential Mixed Use area is generally planned for existing and approved office uses, significant new residential uses and new retail and hotel uses. The planned development intensity is provided as a maximum FAR and a maximum number of residential units. These areas are planned for 75 percent residential and 25 percent non-residential uses.

For initial proposals in the TOD areas that include at least two buildings, the percentages in the Conceptual Land Use categories should serve as a guide for individual developments. However, flexibility to adjust the percentages on a case-by-case basis may be considered for some initial proposals to develop or redevelop one building for small parcels in order to further other planning objectives. Once buildings encompassing a true mix of uses have been constructed in the TOD districts, additional flexibility in the mix of uses in a given proposal may be considered for subsequent approvals.

If a property or properties under common ownership that are proposed to be developed under a unified development plan are split between two Conceptual Land Use categories, the development intensity and mix of uses should be based on the proportion of area in each category. However, flexibility in the location of proposed uses may be granted as long as they achieve TOD objectives and contribute to the character recommended for the subject area. The desired balance between uses may not always be achievable, at least on an interim basis, due to
market demand or other economic factors. In such cases, appropriate commitments should be required to insure interim development does not alter the character of the TSA and that ultimately the mix of uses will be in place consistent with Plan guidance.

WIEHLE-RESTON EAST TRANSIT STATION AREA

The Wiehle-Reston East Transit Station Area is bounded on the north by Hidden Creek Country Club, Lake Fairfax Park and low-density residential neighborhoods to the north of Sunset Hills Road. On the north side of the DAAR, the TSA is bounded on the east by a Virginia Department of Transportation-owned storage facility. On the south side of the DAAR, it is bounded by Hunter Mill Road and to the south it is bounded by Sunrise Valley Drive. On the west and to the north of the DAAR, it is bounded by the Oracle campus and Old Reston Avenue while on the south of the DAAR, it is bounded by the Reston Square development.

Local-serving amenities including plazas, other urban parks, trails, and public art should be provided throughout the subdistrict to serve local leisure and recreation needs. The exact number of urban parks, their sizes and distribution will be determined by the amount and type of new development, in accordance with the Urban Parks Framework in the Policy Plan.

As described in the Areawide text on Urban Parks, Recreation Facilities, and Cultural Facilities, athletic field needs will be met through improvements to existing nearby fields as well as the construction of new fields. Contributions toward land and improvements sufficient to create one full-service athletic field in this TSA should be provided with development.

This TSA includes three districts: the Wiehle Station Transit-Oriented Development (TOD) District, the Reston East Non-TOD District and the Sunset Hills Non-TOD District. The Wiehle Station TOD District is further divided into the North Subdistrict and South Subdistrict.

Wiehle Station Transit-Oriented Development (TOD) District

The Wiehle Station TOD District is envisioned to evolve into an educationally-focused urban neighborhood with residential areas that are well-connected to transit via multiple new pedestrian-oriented streets. In the North Subdistrict, these streets are to be anchored by a new “main street,” Reston Station Boulevard (as extended), with ground floor retail providing a safe, varied and comfortable pedestrian environment.

The district’s retail uses are planned to have more of a local serving (as compared to regional serving) function. In addition, redevelopment should integrate urban parks that are linked by the new street grid to provide places for people of all ages to walk and enjoy outdoor spaces. It should also facilitate multiple links to two important nearby existing recreational amenities in the area, the W&OD trail and Lake Fairfax Park.

Guidance for evaluating development proposals in each subdistrict is contained in the Areawide Recommendations and the following subdistrict recommendations. Redevelopment options are dependent on the degree to which necessary public infrastructure can be provided and
Plan objectives and development conditions set forth in the Areawide and subdistrict guidance can be satisfied by development proposals.

**North Subdistrict**

The North TOD subdistrict is comprised of approximately 193 acres and is bounded by the Hidden Creek Country Club on the north, Lake Fairfax Park on the northeast, the DAAR on the south and the Plaza America shopping center on the west. Sunset Hills Road extends from east to west through the subdistrict with Wiehle Avenue being the primary north-south street, as shown on Figure 24.

Existing development in the area is predominantly suburban office parks housing a variety of office uses (including medical offices, educational uses, specifically Northern Virginia Community College and Marymount University, and banks), and retail and service-oriented uses (including fast food restaurants, childcare facilities and fitness businesses). Reston Fire and Rescue Station 25 is located in this district. Other development includes Reston Station, an approved but as yet unbuilt mixed-use development in the northwest quadrant of the intersection of Wiehle Avenue and the DAAR located on top of a seven-level County-owned and operated transit center and park-and-ride facility with 2,300 spaces for Metro parking.

**Base Plan**

The subdistrict is planned for office use at .50 FAR or residential use at up to 30 dwelling units per acre.

**Redevelopment Option**

The vision for this subdistrict is for significant redevelopment at higher intensities in a mix of mid-rise and high-rise buildings with more diverse land uses than currently exist and a wider array of support services. Residential buildings should front on tree-lined streets and be designed with inviting street level facades. Reston Station Boulevard is expected to be extended west to Plaza American and east across Wiehle Avenue to Michael Faraday Drive. This new street will serve as a “main street” for the subdistrict, supporting a concentration of ground level retail uses and other active uses, including plazas and other open spaces.

Redevelopment proposals that include land to the east of Wiehle Avenue should provide improved pedestrian and bicycle crossings of this street that will increase pedestrian and bicyclists’ safety, visibility and convenience. Crossings might include overpasses, underpasses or at-grade crossings. Each of these types of crossings can provide both benefits and drawbacks to creating a more hospitable pedestrian environment and depend upon the particular circumstances of each proposal.
Figure 24. Wiehle Station TOD District
The Transit Station Mixed Use area is planned for intensity within a 2.0 to 3.0 FAR range. The planned zoning target for office development in this subdistrict is 2.4 million square feet of existing, approved and new development. The planned zoning target for residential development is approximately 1,900 residential units. Development proposals should typically provide a mix of 50 percent non-residential use and 50 percent residential use. The approved mix of uses in the Reston Station development and the approved residential use adjacent to Reston Station present a reasonable opportunity to realize the desired Transit Station Mixed Use category mix of 50 percent non-residential uses and 50 percent residential uses. Individual developments may have flexibility to build more office use if other developments are built or rezoned with a use mix that contains proportionally less office. Ground level retail and support service uses are encouraged to add to the vibrancy and enhance the pedestrian environment. Support retail uses should be located in office, hotel or residential buildings and be complementary to other uses with the objective of allowing residents and employees to minimize daily automobile use.

The Residential Mixed Use area is planned for intensity up to 1.5 FAR, with the exception of the Isaac Newton Square area, see guidance below. The area is planned for predominantly residential uses (approximately 4,600 units) with a mix of other uses including office, hotel and supporting retail. Development proposals should typically be 75 percent residential use. The opportunity exists to achieve the Residential Mixed Use goal of 75 percent residential uses for the larger area if Isaac Newton Square redevelops in accordance with Plan guidance.

Isaac Newton Square is the area bounded by Wiehle Avenue, Sunset Hills Road and Hidden Creek golf course. It is planned for up to 2.0 FAR with a residential and hotel component on the order of 90 percent of new development (approximately 3,200 units of the 4,600 units in the Residential Mixed Use area). In light of the older, very low-density buildings, surface parking lots and undeveloped areas in this business park, a shift to a residential focus for this area can be achieved. Residential buildings should front on tree-lined streets and be designed with inviting street level facades. This area represents an opportunity to create a new residential neighborhood organized around a local-serving park. This park should be considered as a potential location for an athletic field to meet the need for the TSA. In addition, development along the W&OD trail should be oriented and designed in order to create connections to the park property. This regional asset should be assimilated as much as possible into the development pattern in order to create a more urban fabric for the park property. This would include plazas, greens and other public gathering spaces abutting the park property. Careful attention to design is necessary to maintain safe passage for through trail users and should be coordinated with the Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority.
Individual development may have flexibility to build more than the stated percentages if other developments are built or rezoned with a use mix that maintains these proportions for the area designated as Residential Mixed Use. Ground level retail and support service uses are encouraged to add to the vibrancy and enhance the pedestrian environment. Support retail uses should be located in office, hotel or residential buildings and be complementary to other uses with the objective of allowing residents and employees to minimize daily automobile use.

**South Subdistrict**

The South TOD subdistrict includes approximately 116 acres and is bounded by the DAAR on the north, Upper Lake Drive on the east, Sunrise Valley on the south and the Reston Heights mixed-use development on the west. Wiehle Avenue is the primary north-south street in the subdistrict, as shown on Figure 24.

Existing development in the area is predominantly suburban office parks housing typical office uses with limited retail and support service uses located on the ground floor of several office buildings. The Association Drive office park is notable in that it consists of ten low-density office buildings built in the 1970s and early 1980s that are owned by various professional associations and represent a prime redevelopment opportunity.

**Base Plan**

The subdistrict is planned for office use at .35 FAR or residential use at up to 30 dwelling units per acre.

**Redevelopment Option**

The vision for this subdistrict is for significant redevelopment at higher intensities in a mix of mid-rise and high-rise buildings with more diverse land uses than currently exist and a wider array of support services.

Redevelopment proposals that include land to the east of Wiehle Avenue should provide improved pedestrian and bicycle crossings of this street that will increase pedestrian and bicyclists’ safety, visibility and convenience. Crossings might include overpasses, underpasses or at-grade crossings. Each of these types of crossings can provide both benefits and drawbacks to creating a more hospitable pedestrian environment and depend upon the particular circumstances of each proposal.

Local-serving amenities including civic plazas, other urban parks, trails, and public art should be provided throughout the subdistrict to serve local leisure and recreation needs. The exact number of urban parks, their sizes and distribution will be determined by the amount and type of new development, in accordance with the Urban Parks Framework in the Policy Plan.

Existing manmade and natural features in the vicinity of Sunrise Valley Drive provide a particular opportunity to create small, semi-urban scale parks linked by trails and pedestrian facilities planned for the TSA. Opportunities to cluster amenities in nodes along existing natural and stormwater features should be used to form a connected park amenity.
The Transit Station Mixed Use area is planned for intensity within a 1.5 to 2.5 FAR. The planned zoning target for office development in this subdistrict is 1.6 million square feet of existing, approved and new development. The planned zoning target for residential development is approximately 1,500 residential units. Development proposals should typically provide a mix of 50 percent non-residential use and 50 percent residential use. However, the existing amount of office development in Commerce Executive Park and a lack of vacant land in this subdistrict presents a challenge to realizing the desired goal of the Transit Station Mixed Use designation of 50 percent non-residential uses and 50 percent residential uses. Individual developments may have flexibility to build more office use if other developments are built or rezoned with a use mix that contains proportionally less office. Ground level retail and support service uses are encouraged to add to the vibrancy and enhance the pedestrian environment. Support retail uses should be located in office, hotel or residential buildings and be complementary to other uses with the objective of allowing residents and employees to minimize daily automobile use.

The Residential Mixed Use area is planned for intensity up to 1.5 FAR. The area is planned for predominantly residential uses (approximately 1,100 units) with a mix of other uses including office, hotel and supporting retail. Development proposals should typically be 75 percent residential use. The existing dispersed pattern of development and relatively low intensity along Association Drive represents an important opportunity to achieve the goal for Residential Mixed Use designated areas of 75 percent residential uses. Individual development may have flexibility to vary from the stated percentages if other developments are built or rezoned with a use mix that maintains these proportions for the area designated for the Residential Mixed Use category. Ground level retail and support service uses are encouraged to add to the vibrancy and enhance the pedestrian environment. Support retail uses should be located in office, hotel or residential buildings and be complementary to other uses with the objective of allowing residents and employees to minimize daily automobile use.

The Residential Mixed Use area includes parcels along Roland Clarke Place that are zoned Planned Residential Community (PRC) and are designated on the Reston Master Plan as Convention/Conference Center uses. Two of the parcels (Tax Map 17-4 ((14)) (1A) 2 and 3) have an approval for office and retail uses at a 3.55 FAR. Under the Redevelopment Option, they are planned for a mix of uses to include office, retail, hotel and residential up to a 3.55 FAR with a minimum of 50 percent of the FAR as residential. The remaining parcels along Roland Clarke Place are planned for office uses at .35 FAR or residential use with support retail at up to 1.0 FAR.

**Reston East District**

The Reston East District is generally bounded by Lake Fairfax Park on the north, by the Equestrian Park subdivision on the northeast, by a Virginia Department of Transportation-owned parcel and Hunter Mill Road on the east, by Sunrise Valley Drive on the south and by the Michael Faraday Court and the Campus Commons office park on the west, as shown on Figure 25. It consists of approximately 276 acres and is bisected by the DAAR. Development on the north side of the DAAR includes Lake Fairfax Business Center which houses office uses, a data center and large fitness facility; an ice rink and a U.S. Post Office facility. Most of the office buildings on the south side of Sunset Hills Road were built in the 1990s and 2000s. They are
Figure 25. Reston East District
between 2-5 stories and are mostly served by surface parking although a few buildings do have structured parking.

Development on the south side of the DAAR consists of office uses located in 2-5 story buildings with support services, most of which have surface parking lots but a few office buildings built in the 1990s and 2000s are served by structured parking.

This district is planned to retain its employment activity focus, including office, light industrial, institutional and research and development (R&D) uses up to .50 FAR.

Local-serving amenities including plazas, other urban parks, trails, and public art should be provided throughout the district to serve local leisure and recreation needs. The exact number of urban parks, their sizes and distribution will be determined by the amount and type of new development, in accordance with the Urban Parks Framework in the Policy Plan.

Existing manmade and natural features in the vicinity of Sunrise Valley Drive provide a particular opportunity to create small, semi-urban scale parks linked by trails and pedestrian facilities planned for the TSA. Opportunities to cluster amenities in nodes along existing natural and stormwater features should be used to form a connected park amenity.

The northern portion of Michael Faraday Court (parcels identified with Tax Map 18-3 (5) 6, 7, 8 & 9) has older, low-rise buildings that are potential redevelopment sites and include the Skatequest ice rink, an important private community recreation facility, which should be preserved in any redevelopment of this area. To provide an incentive for this facility to continue operation after redevelopment of this area, a redevelopment option of residential use up to a 1.0 FAR is planned provided these parcels are consolidated, safe, convenient pedestrian access is provided to the W&OD trail and to the pedestrian network for the TOD district, and the ice rink is a component of the redevelopment.

**Sunset Hills District**

The Sunset Hills District consists of approximately 64 acres and is bounded on the north by residential neighborhoods served by North Shore Drive, on the east by the western edge of Hidden Creek Country Club, on the south by the DAAR and on the west by Old Reston Avenue and the Oracle campus, as shown in Figure 26.

Existing development includes office uses in medium and high-rise buildings (served by structured and surface parking) and retail uses in the Plaza America shopping center, which is served by surface parking. The office development to the north of Sunset Hills Road incorporates open space amenities into their stormwater retention facilities. It includes vacant land that has zoning approval for additional office use.

This district is planned for mixed use up to .50 FAR or office and retail uses at currently approved development intensities.
Figure 26. Sunset Hill District

Land Use Categories

- Purple: Transit Station Mixed Use
- Brown: Residential Mixed Use
- Light Blue: Public Facilities / Government / Institutional
- Light Purple: Mixed Use
- Pink: Office
- Pink Dashed: Town Center North Mixed Use
- Grey: Residential
- Pink Crosshatch: Town Center Urban Core Mixed Use

Legend

- Light Grey: Subject District
- Metrorail Station and Line

Sunset Hills District

Map Prepared by Fairfax County
Department of Planning and Zoning
10/17/2013

Scale: 700 Feet
Local-serving amenities such as pocket parks, trails, and public art should be provided throughout district and for all types of development and are guided by the Areawide text on Urban Parks, Recreation Facilities, and Cultural Facilities as well as the Urban Parks Framework in the Policy Plan. There may be an additional opportunity to provide an enhanced stormwater park in this district, to cluster amenities around the stormwater features and create a valued recreational and/or cultural asset.

Opportunities to provide small-scale recreational waysides (e.g. seating areas, playgrounds) near the W&OD and in collaboration with NVRPA should be explored.

RESTON TOWN CENTER TRANSIT STATION AREA

The Reston Town Center Transit Station Area (TSA) is bounded on the north by Baron Cameron Drive, on the east by Reston Parkway, Old Reston Avenue and the Plaza America office development and development immediately west of Roland Clarke Place. To the south, the TSA is bounded by Sunrise Valley Drive, Reston Parkway, South Lakes Drive, and the residential neighborhoods south of the U.S. Geological Survey property. To the west, it is bounded by Fairfax County Parkway.

This TSA includes the Reston Town Center Station Transit-Oriented Development (TOD) District and six non-TOD Districts, specifically the Town Center Urban Core District, the Town Center North District, the Town Center West District, the Old Reston Avenue District, the Reston Heights District and the Central Sunrise Valley Drive District. The Reston Town Center Station TOD District is further divided into the North Subdistrict and South Subdistrict-and the Town Center North District is further divided into the East Fountain Drive Subdistrict and the West Fountain Drive Subdistrict.

Local-serving amenities including plazas, other urban parks, trails, and public art should be provided throughout the TSA to serve local leisure and recreation needs. The exact number of urban parks, their sizes and distribution will be determined by the amount and type of new development, in accordance with the Urban Parks Framework in the Policy Plan.

As described in the Areawide text on Urban Parks, Recreation Facilities, and Cultural Facilities, athletic field needs will be met through improvements to existing nearby fields as well as the construction of new fields. Contributions toward land and improvements sufficient to create one full-service athletic field in this TSA should be provided with development.

**Reston Town Center Station Transit-Oriented Development (TOD) District**

The Reston Town Center Station TOD District is envisioned to complement the existing Reston Town Center urban core with urban neighborhoods that are well-connected to transit via existing streets, which should have facilities added to become more pedestrian-friendly; new pedestrian-oriented streets; and new pedestrian-only connections. These neighborhoods should have a balanced mix of diverse uses including arts and entertainment uses similar to those already found in the Town Center urban core.
The district is divided into two subdistricts, as shown on Figure 27 - the North subdistrict and the South subdistrict. The vision for the North subdistrict is an extension of the Town Center core with a balanced mix of uses to include new office uses, destination retail uses and restaurants, a hotel with convention facilities, a significant residential component, one or more civic uses and ground floor uses that foster a varied and interesting pedestrian environment.

The vision for the South subdistrict is for a new urban neighborhood that complements the development in the North TOD subdistrict but at a lower intensity.

Guidance for evaluating development proposals in each subdistrict is contained in the Areawide Recommendations and the following subdistrict recommendations. Redevelopment options are dependent on the degree to which necessary public infrastructure can be provided and Plan objectives and development conditions set forth in the Areawide and subdistrict guidance can be satisfied by development proposals.

**North Subdistrict**

The North subdistrict is comprised of approximately 88 acres and is bounded by the W&OD trail on the north, Reston Parkway on the east, the DAAR on the south and the YMCA property on the west. Sunset Hills Road extends from east to west through the subdistrict with Reston Parkway and Town Center Parkway being the primary north-south streets. The subdistrict is strategically located between Reston Town Center urban core and the Reston Town Center Metro station.

Existing development includes several suburban office parks at intensities from .30 to .80 FAR located in buildings from 2-5 stories and served by both surface and structured parking. Other uses include an extended stay hotel, several restaurants and a vacant parcel that is currently being used as temporary surface parking lot.

**Base Plan**

The subdistrict is planned for a variety of uses, including office retail, residential and community-serving uses, at approved intensities of between .70 and 1.0 FAR or residential use at up to 30 dwelling units per acre.

**Redevelopment Option**

The vision for this subdistrict is for substantial redevelopment at higher intensities in predominantly mid- to high-rise buildings with more diverse land uses than currently exist and a wider array of support services. Redevelopment should create a series of urban plazas and parks to provide gathering places for people of all ages to enjoy festivals and community events.
Local-serving amenities including plazas, other urban parks, trails, and public art should be provided throughout the subdistrict to serve local leisure and recreation needs. The exact number of urban parks, their sizes and distribution will be determined by the amount and type of new development, in accordance with the Urban Parks Framework in the Policy Plan. Opportunities to provide small-scale recreational waysides (e.g. seating areas, playgrounds) or larger recreational or cultural facilities (e.g. gathering places) near the W&OD and in collaboration with NVRPA should be explored.

The Transit Station Mixed Use area is planned for intensity within a 3.0 to 4.0 FAR range. The planned zoning target for office development in this subdistrict is 3.0 million square feet of existing, approved and new development. The planned zoning target for residential development is approximately 2,600 residential units. Development proposals should typically provide a mix of 50 percent non-residential use and 50 percent residential use. The availability of vacant land in close proximity to the Metro station in this subdistrict presents an opportunity to realize the desired mix for Transit Station Mixed Use areas of 50 percent non-residential uses and 50 percent residential uses. Individual developments may have flexibility to build more office use if other developments are built or rezoned with a use mix that contains proportionally less office. Ground level retail and support service uses are encouraged to add to the vibrancy and enhance the pedestrian environment. Support retail uses should be located in office, hotel or residential buildings and be complementary to other uses with the objective of allowing residents and employees to minimize daily automobile use. Development should be organized around a large, signature community gathering space near the station entrance.

The Residential Mixed Use area in the North TOD subdistrict is bounded on the north by the W&OD trail and is planned for residential uses up to 1.5 FAR (approximately 500 units). Development proposals should typically be 75 percent residential use. The low density retail development with surface parking located along the western boundary of the subdistrict presents an opportunity for new residential development. Individual development may have flexibility to vary from the stated percentages if other developments are built or rezoned with a use mix that maintains these proportions for the area designated as the Residential Mixed Use category. Ground level retail and support service uses are encouraged to add to the vibrancy and enhance the pedestrian environment. Support retail uses should be located in office, hotel or residential buildings and be complementary to other uses with the objective of allowing residents and employees to minimize daily automobile use.

**South Subdistrict**

The South TOD subdistrict is comprised of approximately 107 acres and is bounded by the DAAR on the north, by Reston Parkway on the east, by Sunrise Valley Drive on the south and by a self-storage business on the west. Reston Parkway and Edmund Halley Drive are the primary north-south streets.

**Base Plan**

The subdistrict is planned for office use at .50 FAR or residential use at up to 30 dwelling units per acre.
**Redevelopment Option**

The vision for this subdistrict is for significant redevelopment at higher intensities in a mix of mid-rise and high-rise buildings with more diverse land uses than currently exist and a wider array of support services. Residential buildings should front on tree-lined streets and be designed with inviting street level facades. A larger-scale district serving park that includes cultural and recreational amenities should be located within this subdistrict to provide outdoor spaces, places to be active, and areas to enjoy community events. This park will serve as a gathering space and may include a concentration of ground level retail uses along its perimeter.

In addition, local-serving amenities including plazas, other urban parks, trails, and public art should be provided throughout the subdistrict to serve local leisure and recreation needs. The exact number of urban parks, their sizes and distribution will be determined by the amount and type of new development, in accordance with the Urban Parks Framework in the Policy Plan.

Existing manmade and natural features in the vicinity of Sunrise Valley Drive provide a particular opportunity to create small, semi-urban scale parks linked by trails and pedestrian facilities planned for the TSA. Opportunities to cluster amenities in nodes along existing natural and stormwater features should be used to form a connected park amenity.

The Transit Station Mixed Use area is planned for intensity within a 2.0 to 3.0 FAR range. The planned zoning target for office development in this subdistrict is 2.4 million square feet of existing, approved and new development. The planned zoning target for residential development is approximately 3,000 residential units. Development proposals should typically provide a mix of 50 percent non-residential use and 50 percent residential use. The existing development pattern, the presence of surface parking lots and availability of vacant land within close proximity to the Metro station presents an opportunity to realize the desired mix for Transit Station Mixed Use areas of 50 percent non-residential uses and 50 percent residential uses. Individual developments may have flexibility to build more office use if other developments are built or rezoned with a use mix that contains proportionally less office. Ground level retail and support service uses are encouraged to add to the vibrancy and enhance the pedestrian environment. Support retail uses should be located in office, hotel or residential buildings and be complementary to other uses with the objective of allowing residents and employees to minimize daily automobile use.

The Residential Mixed Use area in the South TOD subdistrict is planned for intensity up to a 1.5 FAR range. The area is planned for predominantly residential uses with a mix of other uses including office, hotel and supporting retail. Development proposals should typically be 75 percent residential use. The amount and location of current office buildings in this area designated as the Residential Mixed Use category present a challenge to achieve the goal of 75 percent residential uses. However, individual development may have flexibility in the stated mix percentages if other developments are built or rezoned with a use mix that maintains these proportions for the area designated as the Residential Mixed Use category. Ground level retail and support service uses are encouraged to add to the vibrancy and enhance the pedestrian environment. Support retail uses should be located in office, hotel or residential buildings and be complementary to other uses with the objective of allowing residents and employees to minimize daily automobile use.
**Town Center Urban Core District**

The Town Center Urban Core subdistrict is comprised of approximately 87 acres and is bounded by New Dominion Parkway on the north, Old Reston Avenue on the east, the W&OD trail on the south and Town Center Parkway on the west as shown on Figure 28.

Existing development includes the Reston Town Center, which has office, residential, retail and hotel uses. It also has an central plaza which serves as a significant community gathering place and an urban park which provides important green space and a location for active and passive recreation. On the east side of Reston Parkway is Stratford House, a multi-family residential community with a high-rise building and three low-rise buildings, is located on the east side of Reston Parkway and a three-story office building at the intersection of Temporary Road.

The part of the district to the west of Reston Parkway is planned for and developed with a variety of uses, including office, retail, residential and community-serving uses, at an approved intensity of up to .95 FAR for commercial uses. Residential uses do not have a maximum density. The part of the district to the east of Reston Parkway is planned for residential and/or hotel uses. The Stratford House development is planned for its currently approved density. The parcel with the three-story office building located at the corner of Reston Parkway and Temporary Road is planned for redevelopment to a development intensity that will result in a new building at a similar scale to the high-rise building in the Stratford House development.

This is planned to be realized by a residential use at up to a 3.0 FAR or a hotel use up to a 2.5 FAR.

Opportunities to provide small-scale recreational waysides (e.g. seating areas, playgrounds) or larger recreational or cultural facilities (e.g. gathering places) near the W&OD and in collaboration with NVRPA should be explored.

**Town Center North District**

The vision for the Town Center North District is as an extension of the Town Center Urban Core with a significant civic presence at a lower overall intensity and a tapering of height from south to north and east to west. This new urban neighborhood should have a grid of pedestrian-oriented streets that connect to the maximum extent possible with existing and planned streets.

The district is divided into two subdistricts: the West Fountain Drive subdistrict and the East Fountain Drive subdistrict, as shown on Figure 29.
Figure 29. Town Center North District
West Fountain Drive Subdistrict

The West Fountain Drive subdistrict is comprised of approximately 66 acres. The vision for this subdistrict is for significant redevelopment at higher intensities in a mix of mid-rise and high-rise buildings with more diverse land uses than currently exist and a wider array of support services. A major urban park and community-gathering space should be located in the center of the subdistrict and a key design feature in organizing the layout of the subdistrict. Residential buildings should front on tree-lined streets and be designed with inviting street level facades.

The subdistrict includes a broad variety of County and institutional uses. Civic uses including a regional library, the North County Governmental Center (the Supervisor’s offices and local police station), the Embry Rucker Shelter, a human services office building, a 30-unit townhouse development and a 5 acre public park. Other private uses include two residential uses - a low rise condominium along Taliesin Place in the southwest corner of the subdistrict and the Paramount, a high rise condominium in the southeast quadrant of the subdistrict - a child care center, a rehabilitation center, an assisted living facility and medical offices.

Within the subdistrict is a redevelopment area that includes all of the land bounded by Baron Cameron Avenue on the north, Fountain Drive on the east and Town Center Parkway on the west. This area also includes three parcels to the south of Bowman Towne Drive where the Embry Rucker Shelter and Reston Regional Library are located, as well as Tax Map 17-1 ((17)) 5A, a small vacant parcel in the southwest corner of Fountain Drive and Bowman Towne Drive.

The redevelopment area is planned for up to a .90 FAR for non-residential uses, which should include office, public, institutional, medical care, hotel, and retail uses, and a minimum of 1,000 residential units. The public uses may include public safety uses, libraries, shelters, schools, a recreation center, government offices, a performing arts center, and institutions of higher education. Some of the existing residential uses may maintain their current use, density and character.

The areas outside of the redevelopment area include the Winwood Child Center, which is planned for the previously approved intensity and mix of uses. The undeveloped parcel south of the Reston Regional Library should be considered for redevelopment only if it is consolidated with the adjacent parcel on which the library is located.

Local-serving amenities including plazas, other urban parks, trails, and public art should be provided throughout the subdistrict to serve local leisure and recreation needs. The exact number of urban parks, their sizes and distribution will be determined by the amount and type of new development, in accordance with the Urban Parks Framework in the Policy Plan.
**East Fountain Drive Subdistrict**

This subdistrict is approximately 29 acres and comprised of a mixture of residential, office, hotel, and retail uses. The subdistrict has an approved Planned Residential Community (PRC) plan for significant redevelopment (up to 790,000 square feet of non-residential uses and approximately 1,440 residential units) in a combination of mid-rise and high-rise buildings and with a diverse mix of land uses, including office, hotel, retail and residential uses.

The subdistrict is planned for approved uses and development intensities.

Local-serving amenities including plazas, other urban parks, trails, and public art should be provided throughout the subdistrict to serve local leisure and recreation needs. The exact number of urban parks, their sizes and distribution will be determined by the amount and type of new development, in accordance with the Urban Parks Framework in the Policy Plan.

Two new developments are proposed for this sub-district. The Spectrum development is approved for a mix of uses to include office, residential, and retail at an approved intensity of .67 FAR. The office tower replacing the Town Center Office Building is approved for a mix of uses to include office, retail and/or eating establishments at an approved intensity of 4.08 FAR.

**Town Center West District**

The Town Center West District consists of approximately 163 acres and is bounded on the north by Baron Cameron Avenue, on the east by Town Center Parkway, on the south by the DAAR and on the west by Fairfax County Parkway, as shown on Figure 30. It is planned for and developed with a diversity of uses including townhouses and multi-family housing, the Reston Hospital Center and associated medical office buildings, a YMCA facility, a Reston Association storage facility and a Target store. The district is planned to maintain existing character, uses and development intensities (.50-1.0 FAR).

Local-serving amenities including urban parks, trails, and public art should be provided throughout the subdistrict to serve local leisure and recreation needs. The exact number of urban parks, their sizes and distribution will be determined by the amount and type of new development, in accordance with the Urban Parks Framework in the Policy Plan.

Opportunities to provide small-scale recreational waysides (e.g. seating areas, playgrounds) or larger recreational or cultural facilities (e.g. gathering places) near the W&OD and in collaboration with NVRPA should be explored.

**Old Reston Avenue District**

The Old Reston Avenue District is comprised of approximately 44 acres and is bounded on the northwest by the Stratford House residential community, Old Reston Avenue on the east, the DAAR on the south and Reston Parkway on the west, as shown on Figure 31.
Figure 31. Old Reston Avenue District
Existing development includes office uses in medium and high-rise buildings (served by structured and surface parking), free-standing auto-oriented retail uses at the intersection of Old Reston Avenue and Sunset Hills Road, and the Carlton House residential condominium building.

The Old Reston Avenue district is planned for mixed use up to .50 FAR or office and retail uses at currently approved development intensities. The Oracle campus to the east of Reston Parkway is planned for office and residential uses at an already approved intensity of 1.11 FAR.

Local-serving amenities such as pocket parks, trails, and public art should be provided throughout district and for all types of development and are guided by the Areawide text on Urban Parks, Recreation Facilities, and Cultural Facilities as well as the Urban Parks Framework in the Policy Plan. There may be an additional opportunity to provide an enhanced stormwater park in this district, to cluster amenities around the stormwater features and create a valued recreational and/or cultural asset.

Opportunities to provide small-scale recreational waysides (e.g. seating areas, playgrounds) near the W&OD and in collaboration with NVRPA should be explored.

**Reston Heights District**

The Reston Heights District consists of approximately 35 acres and is bounded on the north by the DAAR, on the east by development along Roland Clarke Place, on the south by Sunrise Valley Drive and on the west by Reston Parkway, as shown on Figure 31. Existing development includes the Reston International Center and the surrounding Reston Heights mixed-use development and the Reston Sheraton and the adjacent Reston Square mixed-use development. Other uses include a mix of retail and office uses in a low density commercial area oriented toward Sunrise Valley Drive. The Reston Heights development that includes the Reston International Center is approved for a mix of uses to include office, residential, and retail uses at an approved intensity of 2.8 FAR. The Reston Square development is approved for a mixed use development including office, residential, hotel and retail at a 1.07 FAR and has largely been built as approved.

The district is planned for existing and approved uses and development intensities.
Central Sunrise Valley District

The Central Sunrise Valley District includes 205 acres and is generally bounded by the DAAR on the north, Edmund Halley Drive on the east, South Lakes Road on the south and the Fairfax County Parkway on the west, as shown on Figure 33.

It is planned for and developed with office and light industrial uses. The district includes the headquarters of the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS). The area west of the USGS and south of Sunrise Valley Drive is planned for light industrial use up to .35 FAR, while office use up to .50 FAR is appropriate for the balance of the district. For the area within ½ mile of the Reston Town Center station platform, residential use up to 30 dwelling units per acre is appropriate.

This district should be considered as a potential location for a future urban elementary school. The USGS site in particular should be evaluated for this use. At such time as the need for a second elementary school has been identified by Fairfax County Public Schools, this district should be re-evaluated for the possibility of additional development potential.

Local-serving amenities including plazas, other urban parks, trails, and public art should be provided throughout the subdistrict to serve local leisure and recreation needs. The exact number of urban parks, their sizes and distribution will be determined by the amount and type of new development, in accordance with the Urban Parks Framework in the Policy Plan.

Existing manmade and natural features in the vicinity of Sunrise Valley Drive provide a particular opportunity to create small, semi-urban scale parks linked by trails and pedestrian facilities planned for the TSA. Opportunities to cluster amenities in nodes along existing natural and stormwater features should be used to form a connected park amenity.
HERNDON TRANSIT STATION AREA

The Herndon Transit Station Area is bounded on the north by the DAAR, on the east by Fairfax County Parkway, on the south by Sunrise Valley Drive, Frying Pan Road and Fox Mill Road, and on the west by Centreville Road.

Local-serving amenities including plazas, other urban parks, trails, and public art should be provided throughout the districts to serve local leisure and recreation needs. The exact number of urban parks, their sizes and distribution will be determined by the amount and type of new development, in accordance with the Urban Parks Framework in the Policy Plan.

The existing Sunrise Valley Wetlands Nature Park provides a particular opportunity to link a publicly accessible natural habitat by trails and pedestrian facilities planned for the TSA to other small semi-urban scale parks along Sunrise Valley Drive in a connected park amenity.

As described in the Areawide text on Urban Parks, Recreation Facilities, and Cultural Facilities, athletic field needs will be met through improvements to existing nearby fields as well as the construction of new fields. Contributions toward improvements sufficient to upgrade at least one full-service athletic field in the vicinity of this TSA should be provided with development.

This TSA includes the Herndon Transit-Oriented Development (TOD) Station District and the Woodland Park/Great Oak District.

**Herndon Station Transit-Oriented Development (TOD) District**

The vision of the Herndon Station TOD District is for a moderate intensity urban neighborhood with a mix of uses including office, residential, and hotel, together with support retail and services, adjacent to a district-defining natural resource amenity. In addition, redevelopment should create pedestrian-friendly connections to one or more smaller urban plazas or parks to provide gathering places for people of all ages as well as places to walk and enjoy green spaces.

Guidance for evaluating development proposals in each district is contained in the Areawide Recommendations and the following district and subdistrict recommendations. Redevelopment options are dependent on the degree to which necessary public infrastructure can be provided and Plan objectives and development conditions set forth in the Areawide and subdistrict guidance can be satisfied by development proposals.

The Herndon Station District is comprised of approximately 126 acres and is generally bounded by the DAAR on the north, the Fairfax County Parkway on the east, Sunrise Valley Drive on the south and the Woodland Park office development on the west, as shown on Figure 34. Monroe Street is the primary north-south street in the district.
Existing development in the district is a combination of low rise suburban office buildings with surface parking and mid-rise office buildings with structured parking. The Herndon Park-and-Ride facility is located adjacent to the planned transit station platform. The four-level County-owned and operated transit center (for Fairfax Connector bus service) and park-and-ride facility has 1,700 spaces and will be expanded to provide 3,500 spaces for Metro parking. The Sunrise Valley Park Wildlife Habitat and Nature Preserve is an approximately 14 acre man-made publicly accessible wetland area in the district with a notable diversity of wildlife and a boardwalk facility that crosses the wetland. In addition, there is an approximately 21 acre vacant parcel in the northeastern corner of the Woodland Park mixed-use development along Monroe Street.

**Base Plan**

The subdistrict is planned for office use at .50 FAR or residential use at up to 30 dwelling units per acre. The parcel at the eastern end of Woodland Park is planned for mixed-use development up to .70 FAR and has an approved rezoning for approximately 1 million square feet of office use.

**Redevelopment Option**

The vision for this district is for redevelopment at higher intensities with more diverse land uses than currently exist and a wider array of support services.

Local-serving amenities including plazas, other urban parks, trails, and public art should be provided throughout the subdistrict to serve local leisure and recreation needs. The exact number of urban parks, their sizes and distribution will be determined by the amount and type of new development, in accordance with the Urban Parks Framework in the Policy Plan.

The Transit Station Mixed Use area is planned for intensity within a range of 1.5 to 2.5 FAR. The planned zoning target for office development in this subdistrict is 2.1 million square feet of existing, approved and new development. The planned zoning target for residential development is approximately 2,000 residential units. Development proposals should typically provide a mix of 50 percent non-residential use and 50 percent residential use. The availability of vacant land within close proximity to the Metro station presents an opportunity to realize the desired mix for Transit Station Mixed Use areas of 50 percent non-residential uses and 50 percent residential uses. Individual developments may have flexibility to build more office use if other developments are built or rezoned with a use mix that contains proportionally less office. Ground level retail and support service uses are encouraged to add to the vibrancy and enhance the pedestrian environment. Support retail uses should be located in office, hotel or residential buildings and be complementary to other uses with the objective of allowing residents and employees to minimize daily automobile use.

The Residential Mixed Use area is planned for intensity up to 1.5 FAR (approximately 2,700 units). The area is planned for predominantly residential uses with a mix of other uses including office, hotel and supporting retail. In addition, the wetlands area should be preserved. The availability of vacant land in this district creates an opportunity to achieve the Residential Mixed Use goal of 75 percent residential uses. Individual development may have flexibility to
vary from the stated percentages if other developments are built or rezoned with a use mix that maintains these proportions for the area designated as the Residential Mixed Use category. Ground level retail and support service uses are encouraged to add to the vibrancy and enhance the pedestrian environment. Support retail uses should be located in office, hotel or residential buildings and be complementary to other uses with the objective of allowing residents and employees to minimize daily automobile use.

Woodland Park/Great Oak District

The vision of the Woodland Park/Great Oak District is to maintain the character, uses and intensities/densities of existing development.

Woodland Park Subdistrict

The Woodland Park development consists of approximately 163 acres and is bounded by the DAAR on the north, Monroe Street on the east, Sunrise Valley Drive on the south and Centreville Road on the west, as shown on Figure 35. It is planned and developed as a major mixed-use development up to .70 FAR, with office, retail, hotel and residential uses. This area along the DAAR has high visibility and is appropriate for high quality development including corporate headquarters, hotels and office buildings. Mixed-use developments should create a viable, quality living environment with active recreation facilities and other amenities for residents. Residential development should be sited away from the DAAR and towards Sunrise Valley Drive. Support retail and service uses are appropriate in office, hotel or residential buildings.

Pedestrian connections throughout the area and to transit facilities should be provided. Clustering of buildings in a transit friendly design is encouraged, whereby development that is built prior to rail service can be clustered on a portion of the area so as not to preclude additional buildings and intensity in the future when rail is extended to this area. The overall design should seek to concentrate open space, to the extent possible, into common areas such as urban parks and plazas to provide visual focus and attractive outdoor spaces for residents and employees.

Local-serving amenities including plazas, other urban parks, trails, and public art should be provided throughout the district to serve local leisure and recreation needs. The exact number of urban parks, their sizes and distribution will be determined by the amount and type of new development, in accordance with the Urban Parks Framework in the Policy Plan.

Specifically, development in Woodland Park should incorporate recreational amenities for employees and residents, such as walking/jogging paths, exercise stations, and multi-use courts that are appropriate to the mix of potential users and their needs. The development of this area should incorporate a vehicular circulation network that is appropriate to the type and intensity of the ultimate uses and the pattern of subdivision.
Figure 35. Woodland Park/Great Oak District
Existing manmade and natural features in the vicinity of Sunrise Valley Drive provide a particular opportunity to create small, semi-urban scale parks linked by trails and pedestrian facilities planned for the TSA. Opportunities to cluster amenities in nodes along existing natural and stormwater features should be used to form a connected park amenity.

**Great Oak Subdistrict**

The area south of Sunrise Valley Drive includes approximately 99 acres and is bounded by Monroe Street on the east and Fox Mill Road on the south and west. The subdistrict, composed of 100 percent residential uses, includes the Great Oak subdivision, a development of townhouses and single family homes; the Woodland Park Apartments; and the Fox Mill Station condominiums. This area was previously zoned for industrial use and planned for office use with options for residential use to provide housing to complement the office uses in Woodland Park and to add to the diversity of housing types in this area.

As this area has been developed according to the residential Plan options, it is now planned for residential uses at the approved densities to maintain its existing character.
Comments of the Reston Master Plan Special Study Task Force and Staff on Draft Version 10 Plan Text
October 28, 2013

To: Fred Selden, Heidi Merkel, Faheem Darab, Richard Lambert
CC: Patty Nicoson
From: Bill Penniman
Re: Suggested amendments to Version 10

1. At a number of places, the draft says that first floor retail is “encouraged” in the TSAs. At the last meeting, Fred agreed that first floor retail should be required in some areas in order to achieve an active TOD environment and placemaking. Please amend the language to make this clear:
   “First floor retail is encouraged throughout the TSAs and will be required in some locations in order to achieve the Plan’s goals for placemaking in TSAs.”

2. Please add language encouraging privately operated circulator buses or shuttle buses as TDM measures:
   “Privately funded circulator or shuttle buses are encouraged as a form of TDM.”

3. In order to mitigate traffic in the Wiehle area, please add language
   “After the Metro stations open to the west, the County will re-evaluate the role of the Wiehle Station garage and consider re-purposing a portion of the garage for local uses rather than for Metro commuters (e.g., by lease or a modified system of pricing or access).”

4. Regarding the definition of “publicly accessible open space” (p.53) it should be made clear that the access is without discrimination against non-tenants:
   “For purposes of this Plan, these spaces are to be for public enjoyment and may be either public or privately owned space to which public access is granted without discrimination against non-tenants.”

5. Unless it is already clear from the “such as” phrase, please insert “recreation or performing arts center” in line 5 on p. 27, so the bullet would read:
“Contribute to realizing significant infrastructure needs by providing a contribution of land or building space for a major public facility need such as a crossing of the DAAR, a school, a recreation or performing arts center, or a large urban park.”

6. On modify the last two lines of p. 94 to state:
   “provided to the W&OD trail, to Lake Fairfax Park and to the pedestrian network for the TOD district, and the ice rink is a component of the redevelopment.”

7. I assume that the Task Force’s language on p. 25 is still open for possible inclusion.
Heidi, Richard, Faheem

While a significant amount of progress has been made on the draft plan text there are few areas that concern that remain for Greg Riegle and I, both as members of the Task Force and on behalf of a number of clients. This list is not intended to be exhaustive but represents the areas we had the most concern about.

Thank you for your time and effort on this and we look forward to the discussion tomorrow.

David Gill
McGuireWoods LLP
1750 Tysons Blvd., Suite 1800
Tysons, VA 22102-4215

Isaac Newton Square

1. As the draft plan language has crystallized our client, who is the owner and primarily landowner of Isaac Newton Square and has supported the effort of the Wiehle Subcommittee, is looking forward to helping realize the TOD vision in the current plan text in the near term. However the plan text has drifted from its original intent to provide the appropriate and consistent language regarding the potential public gathering space. To that end attached please find our suggested plan text to ensure that it mirrors the approach of the subcommittee, language in elsewhere in the plan related to similar open space amenities – namely Town Center South, and the goals of our client to provide a functional and vibrant community.

Area-wide Issues

Beyond the attached specific concerns, there are number of key area-wide policies considerations that we had as well.

2. Page 69-70 of Ver. 10 - The stormwater managements policy represents an increasingly fractured approach and idiosyncratic approach to stormwater management in the County and it is unclear why Reston should be treated different than any other area of the County. Further our clients would like to better understand the framework for the approach on stormwater management in the plan text, especially in relation to the imminent adoption of the new state standards.
3. **Urban townhomes as transitional use outside the residential mixed use areas** – Many of the areas outside the ½ mile of the Metro station do not have much incentive to enter the land use process as many are zoned at or above the current Comprehensive Plan recommendation in the draft plan text. This is a missed opportunity – I would propose offering the opportunity to utilize, on a limited basis, urban townhomes as a potential use for these properties. Townhomes would bring a different ownership to the area, namely homeowners who studies have demonstrated are willing to walk further to use transit and who are more invested in the community. Such townhomes could also be utilized to create appropriate edges and transitions from the TOD “core” to the established single family communities surrounding the TODs. And as demonstrated at the Mosaic in Merrifield there is the demand for transit friendly homes that are in walking distance to amenities. I would strongly encourage consideration of a follow-up motion to study the concept and ensure the opportunity is not missed.

4. **Pop-up Retail** – Similar to the NV Project Tysons, the draft plan lacks some policy guidance outlining support for limited “pop-up retail” when included as part of a zoning application. Such a provision would allow property owners to creatively address interim situations in a manner that furthers some near term plan goals without sacrificing the ultimate vision. I request some thought be given to its inclusion.

5. **Noise (Pg. 73 of Ver. 10)** – There may be a number of parks and open space that will need to be located near the DAAR, especially given the linear nature of many of the properties. Clarification that such uses would be permitted under the current noise policies should be encouraged.

6. **Reston Design Review Board** – The Comprehensive Plan rather is a public policy document and should not include broad statements related to the private contractual relationships between property owners. Similar language in the Plan does not exist for other PRC Areas of the County (Burke and Cardinal Forest) to require approval of a specific DRB and the County should not use the Comprehensive Plan to compel that a property join a specific private organization.
Revisions to Plan Text from David Gill, specific to Isaac Newton Square  

Wiehle TSA - Page 88 of 119 (Revisions in Bold and Underlined)

WIEHLE-RESTON EAST TRANSIT STATION AREA

The Wiehle-Reston East Transit Station Area is bounded on the north by Hidden Creek Country Club, Lake Fairfax Park and low-density residential neighborhoods to the north of Sunset Hills Road. On the north side of the DAAR, the TSA is bounded on the east by a Virginia Department of Transportation-owned storage facility. On the south side of the DAAR, it is bounded by Hunter Mill Road and to the south it is bounded by Sunrise Valley Drive. On the west and to the north of the DAAR, it is bounded by the Oracle campus and Old Reston Avenue while on the south of the DAAR, it is bounded by the Reston Square development.

Local-serving amenities including plazas, other urban parks, trails, and public art should be provided throughout the subdistrict to serve local leisure and recreation needs. The exact number of urban parks, their sizes and distribution will be determined by the amount and type of new development, in accordance with the Urban Parks Framework in the Policy Plan.

As described in the Areawide text on Urban Parks, Recreation Facilities, and Cultural Facilities, athletic field needs will be met through improvements to existing nearby fields as well as the construction of new fields. Contributions toward land and improvements sufficient to create one full-service athletic field in this to serve this TSA should be provided with new development.

This TSA includes three districts: the Wiehle Station Transit-Oriented Development (TOD) District, the Reston East Non-TOD District and the Sunset Hills Non-TOD District. The Wiehle Station TOD District is further divided into the North Subdistrict and South Subdistrict.

Wiehle Station Transit-Oriented Development (TOD) District (Pg. 91-2)

The Residential Mixed Use area is planned for intensity up to 1.5 FAR, with the exception of the Isaac Newton Square area, see guidance below. The area is planned for predominantly residential uses (approximately 4,600 units) with a mix of other uses including office, hotel and supporting retail. Development proposals should typically be 75 percent residential use. The opportunity exists to achieve the Residential Mixed Use goal of 75 percent residential uses for the larger area if Isaac Newton Square redevelops in accordance with Plan guidance.

Isaac Newton Square is the area bounded by Wiehle Avenue, Sunset Hills Road and Hidden Creek golf course. It is planned for up to 2.0 FAR with a residential and hotel component on the order of 90 percent of new development (approximately 3,200 units of the 4,600 units in the Residential Mixed Use area). In light of the older, very low-density buildings, surface parking lots and undeveloped areas in this business park, a shift to a residential focus for this area can be achieved. Residential buildings should front on tree-lined streets, consistent with urban streetscape.
standards, and be designed with inviting street level facades. This area represents an opportunity to create a new residential neighborhood organized around a local-serving park a public gathering space that is designed to meet the needs of the residents of this new neighborhood and also reflects adopted Urban Park standards. This park should be considered as a potential location for an athletic field to meet the need for the TSA. In addition, development along the W&OD trail should be oriented and designed in order to create connections to the park property. This regional asset should be assimilated as much as possible into the development pattern in order to create a more urban fabric for the park property [Please clarify as this appears to be inconsistent with the goals of creating a “through” east-west trail and the competing open space goals stated elsewhere, also unclear if intent is to orient development toward the trail or other internal open space areas]. This would include plazas, greens and other public gathering spaces abutting the park property. Careful attention to design is necessary to maintain safe passage for through trail users and should be coordinated with the Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority.

Individual development, including Isaac Newton Square, may have flexibility to build more than the stated percentages if other developments are built or rezoned with a use mix that maintains these proportions for the area designated as Residential Mixed Use. Ground level retail and support service uses are encouraged to add to the vibrancy and enhance the pedestrian environment. Support retail uses should be located in office, hotel or residential buildings and be complementary to other uses with the objective of allowing residents and employees to minimize daily automobile use.
Sent: Monday, October 28, 2013 2:37 PM  
To: Darab, Faheem  
Cc: Merkel, Heidi T.; Pemberton, Debbie; Selden, Fred; Lambert, Richard; 'Terry Maynard'  
Subject: RE: [RMPSS] Instructions for Tuesday Task Force Meeting

Version 10, like the previous versions, does not provide enough controls over development for the potential jobs-to-household ratio to be calculated. This has been my complaint for quite some time. I expect to be joined by many others in voting against the plan for this very reason. Richard Lambert has developed the information necessary for a complete specification for each subdistrict. His data should be incorporated into the Comp Plan. As the Comp Plan now stands, the specifications are adequate for Wiehle South, Wiehle North, Reston Town Center South and Reston Town Center North, but not any of the others. Each subdistrict should have, for example, the allowable FAR and percent non-residential GFA, or ways of calculating these values. After these additional specifications have been added, we on the Task Force must have time to calculate and discuss the potential jobs-to-household ratios.

Fred Costello  
Task Force Member
October 28, 2013

Fred Selden
Fairfax County Department of Planning
12055 Government Center Parkway, Suite 730
Fairfax, VA 22030

Re: Reston Transit Station Areas Version 10 DRAFT Comprehensive Plan Text

Dear Fred:

As you know The JBG Companies owns several properties along the Toll Road Corridor in Reston and, as such, have a large stake in the new Comprehensive Plan for the Reston Transit Station Areas. While we are pleased with the progress of the progress that County Staff and the Task Force has made, we have several comments and concerns regarding the Version 10 Draft dated 10/24/13. At this point, we cannot support the Plan text as drafted. Our comments are as follows:

A major concern is the Calculation of Public Open Space as a percentage. A threshold as a percentage (20%) has absolutely no correlation to the quality of open space. Further, specific targets will likely have the opposite effect by limiting the ability for a site to create well-programmed quality open space in exchange for more space for the sake of hitting a target. We propose the language on public open space attached as Exhibit A.

Additionally, we are concerned with the current recommendations for a tiered approach to parking maximums. While we support parking maximums, providing sites outside of ¾ mile to Metro the ability to offer more parking provides those sites a strategic advantage in obtaining commercial leases. As a result, the tiered (2.1 ratio inside ¾ mile, 2.4 outside) acts to discourage Metro proximate development, and encourage development further from Metro. We strongly recommend that the maximum be universally applied at 2.4/1000 GSF irrespective of distance from Metro.

Urban Design Comments:
• On page 30, in the Urban Design Principles section, we recommend adding another principle called “Foster Walkability” with the following bullet points:
  • Reduce lane widths, and refuge, and slow traffic to provide for safe pedestrian crossing.
  • Line roads with trees and on-street parking to make walking seem safe.
• On page 30, in the Design Sustainable Environments section, please add a bullet point encouraging the retrofit of existing stormwater systems to improve quality and reduce quantity.
• On pages 31, final paragraph, second sentence, we recommend the mid-block crossing threshold 400 feet instead of 600 feet.
• On page 31, in the Pedestrian Realm Recommendations section, second paragraph, final sentence, consider addressing private areas such as outdoor dining areas when discussing elements of the pedestrian realm.
• Page 53, publicly accessible open space threshold is too high. We recommend alternative language as noted previously.

Transportation Comments:
• On page 60, in the Road Network and Circulation section, first paragraph, second sentence, strike "right-of-way" and insert "or existing streets are narrowed/ reconfigured, plans".
On page 60, in the Network Level of Service section, in the development review process sequence, we recommend adding options such as narrow lanes, add pedestrian refuge area, and single or multiple intersection retiming and sequencing.

On page 62, in the Road Transportation Improvements section, sixth bullet point, we recommend revising "6 lanes" to "4 lanes".

On page 62, in the Road Transportation Improvements section, we recommend including an additional bullet point stating: "Reduce street widths to accommodate pedestrian walkways on either side of road and to encourage safer street crossings."

On page 66 & 67 in the Minor Arterial A & B, we recommend an additional bullet point for each section: "Add trees to medians to promote slower traffic, better tree cover, and pedestrian safety."

Page 68, Parking Management. Parking maximums should be universal so as to not discourage Metro development as noted previously.

**Stormwater Management Concerns:**

The Stormwater Management policy on page 70 calls for regulation based off the Floor Area Ratio. The Commonwealth of Virginia is in the midst of updating the statewide stormwater quantity and control regulations based on 3 years of public and private sector expert input. This plan is scheduled to be implemented July 1, 2014. Given the statewide update, it is unnecessary to discuss stormwater management regulations and practices in the Comprehensive Plan, given the Site Plan process ensures that each development conforms to the Virginia Stormwater Management Regulation. Further, including language in an area plan almost assures conflict between Comp Plan language and language from the ordinance.

Absent complete deletion, the following are our specific comments:

- Increased density does not impact stormwater quantity and quality, thus stormwater mitigation should not be evaluated based on site FAR. We recommend that the language on page 69-70 be revised such that the level of storm water mitigation is tied to the individual characteristics of a site rather than density.
- In regards to the Wetlands section on page 71 please consider replacing the 3rd sentence with "The Transit Oriented Development (TOD) adjacent to the Herndon Station shall comply with the federal wetlands regulations and strive to maintain the mitigation site established by Reston Land Corporation in July 1994."

**Tree Canopy and Noise Management:**

- On page 72, in the Tree Canopy Goals section, we recommend the addition of language supporting trees in medians. This will help the tree canopy efforts area wide.
- On page 73, in the Noise Impacts section, first sentence, strike "against" and insert "that special care be taken for". ("The Policy Plan recommends that special care be taken for new residential development. ... ")
- Given the new development patterns expected along the Toll Road, the Comp Plan should acknowledge that building setback requirements along the Toll Road should be relaxed so long as noise mitigation methods are adhered to.

Best regards,

[Signature]

Greg Trenbrith
Principal
The JBG Companies
Exhibit A

Publicly Accessible Open Space

High quality open spaces of all types provide opportunities for spontaneous interaction and programmed activities as well as for introducing variability in the fabric of the built-environment. A variety of large and small publicly accessible open spaces should be available throughout the Reston community.

In some instances, such open spaces can be sited so as to preserve, augment and/or enhance the natural environment. In certain parts of Reston’s TSAs, opportunities to preserve areas with existing trees should be sought to help connect these more urban areas to the larger fabric of Reston.

Definition of Publicly Accessible Open Space

For the purposes of this Plan, these spaces are to be for public enjoyment and may be either publicly or privately owned space to which public access is granted. They may include:

- environmentally sensitive areas, such as Resource Protection Areas (including wetlands, streams and stream buffers) and existing stands of trees;
- active recreation areas, such as large active play fields and smaller outdoor recreation areas for activities such as tennis and volleyball;
- designated privately owned, publicly-accessible open spaces, such as gardens, plazas, walkways, pathways, trails, urban parks, through-block connections, civic spaces, town squares, and a memorial sculpture garden; and
- other publicly owned and publicly accessible open spaces including small urban parks and civic spaces.

Publicly accessible open spaces do not include streets, parking and driveways or areas for vehicles, unless these areas can be closed and programmed for public use (e.g. street fairs or farmer’s markets) sidewalk widths that are less than 12 feet wide, and roof top areas not readily accessible to the public. In some instances, publicly accessible open space may need to be identified by a wayfinding sign.

Calculation of Publicly Accessible Open Space

The following guidelines apply when considering the total amount of publicly accessible open space to be provided by any given project:

- The minimum open space should be 20 percent of the net lot area (total lot area not including areas for public or private streets and 12 feet of the sidewalk area unless they are designed to be able to be closed and used as public space). Flexibility in location should be used in applying this minimum, recognizing that smaller open spaces are more appropriate and generally used and enjoyed in the highest density areas. Some portions of the 20 percent minimum may be more readily located in the immediate proximity of the transit station areas or be made up by adjacent publicly owned adjacent accessible open space so long as the project provides for pedestrian connections to the space (e.g. the portion of the WO&D trail that runs along the frontage of a property).
- The minimum publicly accessible open space requirement for each parcel may be met by open space located off-site and combined with other properties within the TSA to create larger public spaces (e.g. the proposed large civic green in the South TOD area of the Town Center TSA and the proposed green, linear park along Sunrise Valley Drive).
- Publicly accessible open space may include active space such as an outdoor performance space, active recreation fields, public parks, and a memorial sculpture garden.
Faheem and Richard,

I see that the 10th draft now has the problematic statement (about the long term goals for ratios of mixed-uses in square footage terms) has remained unchanged and is now in the last 2 paragraphs on page 15.

I'm attaching again the last version of my recommendations with highlighting (Summary of it and my specific editing suggestion).

To reduce my argument for why this change should be made to the draft plan language to the simplest of terms, the current wording would have a long term goal that is likely to result in what almost no Restonian wants to have happen -- i.e., increasing peak period congestion due to an increasing ratio of jobs to resident labor force. The primary reason why this is likely to happen is because most knowledgeable people think that employment density (jobs per square foot of commercial square footage) is increasing and may continue to increase in the long term.

We want to start now increasing the ratio of resident labor force to jobs and to continue doing so in the long term in order decrease peak period congestion. That goal is contrary to the long term goal currently stated on page 15 of draft 10 of the Comp Plan language!

Joe Stowers

Mixed-Use Ratios in the Draft Comprehensive Plan
Issue #3 Discussed at the September 4 Report-Writing Committee
Joe Stowers September 10

Heidi, Patty, and others,

At our September 4 report writing committee meeting, in discussing the mix of uses for tiers 1 and 2, the meeting summary prepared by Patty Nicoson and sent out by Richard Lambert on Friday September 6 concludes by saying "The group concurred in leaving the ratios of office to residential within the quarter mile and half mile radii as proposed by staff in the draft Comprehensive Plan text."

In that discussion I tried hard to get the group to understand the recommendations I made in my suggested edits of the draft, but apparently without success.

The specific recommendations I made were not quoted by me at the meeting. However I would like to copy them here from my attached summary and detailed recommendations (note: my suggested changes from the 5th draft are highlighted below):

(1) On page 7 I am suggesting a different approach to balancing the relationship between jobs and housing based on the more basic known fact that jobs and resident labor force must be close to being equal within a community in order to reduce congestion to a minimum.

A specific **objective long-term goal** of approximately 2.5 1.6 jobs per household measured across the entire Reston community is established with this plan …, **based on the assumption that there will be approximately 1.6 persons in the resident labor force per household.**

**It is unlikely that this long-term goal can be achieved by 2030, but there should be gradual progress toward that goal in order to steadily reduce congestion and increase mobility by promoting walk-to-work trips and shorter trips to work.** The County should carefully measure Reston densities of persons per households, resident labor force per household, and jobs per square foot of commercial space periodically in order to keep reasonably accurate estimates of these values which are essential to achievement of this long-term goal.
Page 86 after paragraph 1: “Tier 1 is the … and should generally be planned for 50% non-residential and 50% residential and hotel uses.” (Discussion of the several areas of the TSAs are far too full of overly-precise targets for balance of uses. These prescriptions have their origins in small committees that seemed to be driven by debate about what was acceptable to developers or owners of non-residential properties and their lawyers. I am seriously concerned that the cumulative effect of all these statements will place severe constraints on desired residential development. This material should be countered by references back to the long-term goal of balance of uses in the introduction to the “Vision for Reston” section on page 7 as I recommended to be modified.)

(There should also be some strong language about the desire to create several urban residential neighborhoods of significant size in each TSA). Thank you for considering my suggestions!

Joe Stowers

Reston Transit Station Areas
Draft 5 Comprehensive Plan Text July 29, 2013
Joe Stowers

Summary of Most Important Recommendations
(Note: For ease of identifying paragraphs, I have counted continuing paragraphs at the tops of pages as paragraph #1)

(1) On page 7 I am suggesting a different approach to balancing the relationship between jobs and housing based on the more basic known fact that jobs and resident labor force must be close to being equal within a community in order to reduce congestion to a minimum.
(2) On page 8 bullet 2 and page 10 paragraph 2 I am suggesting editing that would recognize that some of the community's highest density might be in areas other than around the rail stations, such as in village centers.
(3) Suggested edits that emphasize balancing employment growth with more residential development in order to reduce increasing congestion, on page 17 paragraph 4, page 19 paragraph 1, page 19 paragraph 4, page 56 paragraph 2, page 68 paragraph 4, page 86 paragraph 1, page 99 paragraph 5, and page 101 paragraph 5
(4) On page 52 paragraph 3 I am suggesting editing calling attention to the fact height limit must be increased in parts of North Town Center in order to prevent ugly massing of many buildings of relatively high density and uniform mid-rise heights.
(5) On page 59 paragraph 3 I am suggesting editing the recommendation of RA’s TAC to not give priority to improvements that facilitate movements crossing the toll road at existing intersections because other recommendations focus on that high priority and because it is impracticable to implement TAC’s recommendation.
(6) On page 63 a new paragraph following the existing last paragraph on that page, I am suggesting some edits to RA TAC’s recommendation regarding the increasing density of employees per square foot of commercial space, but these suggestions are basically clean-ups in wording that would not significantly change the meaning of TAC's recommendation.
(7) On page 59 bullet 8, I am suggesting an edit that would eliminate from the Comp Plan recommended unnecessary widening of Reston Parkway north of the New Dominion Parkway intersection because the development that is planned that might impact traffic on that section of Reston Parkway is a good balance of retail, office, and residential development
that will have minimal impact on vehicle traffic growth because so many of those projects will create much higher proportion of internal traffic than most conventional single use projects.

(8) I'm suggesting edits that will put more emphasis on ped-bike improvements:

- Page 13 paragraph 3
- Page 44 paragraph 1
- Page 46 paragraph 2
- Page 54 paragraph 3
- Pages 55-56 paragraphs 5 & 1
- Page 56 paragraph 4
- Page 58 paragraph 2
- Page 59 paragraph 1
- Page 62 bullet 5
- Page 63 bullet 2
- Page 78 bullet 2

(9) On page 80, I am suggesting the addition of language that asks the County to recommend improved connection across the toll road between the existing Herndon-Monroe park and rider facility which will be modified to serve the Herndon rail station so that there will be connectivity across the toll road.

(10) On page 98 paragraph 3, I am suggesting that consideration should be given to creating an historic park in the area of the century old remains of the old town of Wiehle -- a recommendation that I made in the late 1990s that was widely supported but never implemented.

Full List of Suggested Edits August 27, 2013

Page 3 paragraph 3: “... and residential buildings of up to and over twenty- stories.” (There are 3 buildings in the Mid-Town condo that are 22 to 23 stories high and one 24 story building in Town Center North that has been approved by the County but not yet built.)

Page 6 paragraph 2: “... to restrictive covenants, which limited residential, retail, and hotel uses.” (The covenants restricted retail to limited-support businesses – much less than is now allowed.)

Page 7 paragraph 3: “The One of the goals of this Plan is to achieve a better balance within Reston between the jobs available and the housing opportunities resident labor force near those jobs. A specific objective long-term goal of approximately 2.5 1.6 jobs per household measured across the entire Reston community is established with this plan ... , based on the assumption that there will be approximately 1.6 persons in the resident labor force per household.

It is unlikely that this long-term goal can be achieved by 2030, but there should be gradual progress toward that goal in order to steadily reduce congestion and increase mobility by promoting walk-to-work trips and shorter trips to work. The County should carefully measure Reston densities of persons per households, resident labor force per household, and jobs per square foot of commercial space periodically in order to keep reasonably accurate estimates of these values which are essential to achievement of this long-term goal.

Page 8 bullet 2: “The community’s greatest densities will be primarily at the three Metro station areas, although small amounts of these greater densities should be located in the village centers.” ... “To address congestion, the station areas will have an appropriate balance of residential uses and employment opportunities ... “as defined above.

Page 9 bullet 1: “...a major fine arts and performing arts center..."
Page 10 bullet 1: “The Metro Station areas will be livable urban places, with densities that typically step down from the Town Center to the other outer parts of the station areas. The station areas will also be the primary areas of highest commercial and residential intensity in the community.”

Page 10 bullet 2: “Convenient public transportation options should link the village centers, other village centers, and the transit stations.”

Page 10 paragraph 2: “Town Center will be a livable regional urban center and destination with the a high proportion of the community’s highest residential and employment densities and major shopping and cultural features to attract visitors.”

Page 13 paragraph 1: “These Agreawide recommendations…”

Page 13 paragraph 2: “The employment areas farther away from the stations will continue to provide excellent locations for office development and other types of commercial development to occur as well as other complementary uses, such as data centers-job training facilities and research and development uses.” (Data centers do not belong in the core of transit station areas because they do not contribute to the objectives of TODs, but a job training organization has proven to an excellent element of Town Center’s pedestrian-oriented mixed-use environment.) ... “The areas closest to the stations should consist of a mix of uses to include employment, housing, retail, and services to meet the needs of daily living.”

Page 13 paragraph 3: “…introduction of new complete streets to provide a more grid-like pattern to the road network that will enhance pedestrian, bicycle, and vehicular circulation…”

Page 17 paragraph 3: “…south of Baron Cameron Drive Avenue.” ... “…north side of Baron Cameron Drive Avenue…”

Page 17 paragraph 4: “…over the planning horizon of this Plan” with the possibility of some increase in residential development of higher density in order to provide a better overall balance between housing and jobs overall in the Town Center area.

Page 18 paragraph 3: “…appropriate mix for proposed development or {redevelopment} will be affected…”

Page 19 paragraph 1: “…area (vs. individual projects) to achieve 50% move gradually closer to the desired balance between residential and hotel uses on the basis of approved square footage as defined in the introduction to the Vision for Reston.”

Page 19 paragraph 2: “...or approximately between ¼ and within ½ mile from the Metro station platforms.” The long term goal is for each Residential Mixed Use area (vs. individual projects) to achieve 75% residential and hotel uses on the basis of approved square footage.

Page 19 paragraph 3: “…office, retail, hotel, and residential.” (Please correct this punctuation throughout the document.)
Page 19 paragraph 4: “This area is planned for institutional and residential uses along with residential office and supporting retail uses. Various County services and agencies will be the central component for development in this area.”

Page 19 paragraph 5: “...include office, retail, institutional, hotel, and residential uses.”

Page 19 paragraph 6: “These areas are planned almost exclusively for office and other commercial uses,...”

Page 19 paragraph 7: “…residential uses, including multi-family housing, single family detached units, and townhouses.”

Page 19 paragraph 8: “…can be found in either the Parks, Recreation Facilities, and Cultural Facilities section.”

Page 40 paragraph 1: “Avenue Minor Arterial, Collector, and Local Street Streetscapes – while avenues minor arterials, collectors and local streets...” "...Sunrise Valley Drive, Sunset Hills Road, Wiehle Avenue, Monroe Street, and Reston Station Boulevard.” (The term “avenue” is not defined or used, to the best of my knowledge in any classification of roads or streets in either the US or elsewhere, whereas “minor arterial” is a near universally used road and street classification term.)

Page 40 paragraph 2: “...the streetscape character for avenues minor arterials, collectors, and local streets.”

Page 40 paragraph 3: “...along avenues minor arterials and collectors...” ”...

Page 40 paragraph 5: “...should be used for retail browsing, outdoor seating, or outdoor dining.”

Page 41: “Avenue Minor Arterial/Collector” (add a 4-foot-wide bike lane about 3 feet to the right of the parking lane and label it underneath as “Bike Lane or wider shared lane)

Page 42: “Avenue Minor Arterial/Collector”

Page 43 paragraph 1: “...and other back-of-housebuilding operations. While they do not primarily serve pedestrians and bicyclists, they should provide a minimum level of accessibility and safety for pedestrians, where applicable.”

Page 44 paragraph 1: “Building and site design must support the pedestrian and bicyclist realm to create a vibrant urban environment. The pedestrian and bicyclist public realm is framed by...”

Page 46 paragraph 2: “Active uses engage pedestrians and bicyclists and may include retail or service uses. They encourage pedestrian and bicyclist activity by engaging the interest of pedestrians along their walk or ride ... along the appropriate pedestrian and bicyclist corridors such as boulevards, avenues minor arterials, collectors, and local streets.”
Page 46 paragraph 3: “Building mass is the three-dimensional bulk of a building or group of buildings: height, width, and depth... as well as such means of reducing bulkiness by varying building heights and breaking up wide facades using setbacks.

Page 46 paragraph 4: “As a result, pedestrians and bicyclists only perceive...”

Page 52 paragraph 2: “Many avenues—minor arterials, collectors, and local streets within the TSAs...”

Page 52 paragraph 3: “In the Reston Town Center TOD district, building heights may be comparable to or exceed those in Town Center Urban Core.” In Town Center North current allowable height limits must be increased in order to prevent ugly massing of many buildings of relatively high density and uniform heights of about 10 to 12 floors.

Page 54 paragraph 1: Change name of “South TOD” area to be consistent with defined areas.

Page 54 last paragraph and page 55 paragraph 1: “The vision for... the improvements should (1) balance... (3) design a road... all modes of transportation, and (4) adopt and implement a design management program designed to increase overall mobility and reduce congestion.”

Page 55 paragraph 2: “The following recommendations are intended to help improve circulation and mobility within, around, and through TSAs. These recommendations also will facilitate regional community travel though the area.”

Page 55 paragraph 3: “Maintaining a balance between the land uses in... specific programs to reduce vehicle trips and improve mobility.”

Page 55-56 paragraphs 5 & 1: “Maintaining a balance between land use and transportation... it is essential to monitor... the amount of built and approved new development and the resulting vehicle trips movement into and within the TSAs over time.”

Page 56 paragraph 2: “Metrorail – The introduction of... focusing the highest density development, especially new office development, and a balancing amount of residential development, around the Metrorail stations...”

Page 56 paragraph 4: “The road network... As new streets are constructed, right of way should be provided for their ultimate configuration, including and pedestrian and bicycle facilities should be provided at the start... as identified in the Plan.”

Page 57 paragraph 1: “An overall... in instances where a LOS E standard cannot be attained or in a TSA with planned development, remedies should be proposed...”

Page 58 paragraph 2: “The objective of a successful TDM program for the TSAs is to increase overall mobility and to reduce the number of single occupant vehicle trips. These goals are the ultimate objective once rail is operational and public transit is in place.”

Page 59 paragraph 1: “Indication of the vehicle trip reduction goals...”
Page 59 paragraph 3: “A fundamental purpose ... is to improve general north-south connectivity within and around TOD areas. Crossings of the toll road should be given the highest priority for transportation improvements for both TOD and transit station areas.” (RA’s TAC suggested adding this last sentence that I disagree with. Several other recommendations in the proposed Comprehensive Plan amendments address improvements in crossings of the toll road. Improvements in existing intersections should have more general priority as stated here.)

Page 59 bullet 8: “Reston Parkway – 6 lanes from south of South Lakes Drive to Baron Cameron Avenue north of New Dominion Parkway.” (This is a modification of the current Comprehensive Plan language. No widening north of New Dominion Parkway is needed to serve existing and planned development. However, the three through lanes in each direction should be continued through the New Dominion intersection, as is currently provided, and through the South Lakes Drive intersection, when future widening is added to, and south of, the Toll Road in order to alleviate traffic congestion in the future.

Page 59 bullet 9: “Overpass (4 lane bridge) across the Crossing of the Dulles Toll Road from Sunset Hills Road to Sunrise Valley Drive approximately at as an extension of Soapstone Drive (referred to as the Soapstone Overpass Connector.” (This is far more than an overpass.)

Page 59 bullet 10: “Overpass (4 lane bridge) across Crossing of the Dulles Toll Road from Sunset Hills Road to Sunrise Valley Drive approximately at as an extension of South Lakes Drive (referred to as the South Lakes Overpass Connector.” (This is also far more than an overpass.)

Page 59 bullet 11: “Implement an enhanced street network (also referred to as a grid of streets) to increase connectivity”

Page 60 paragraph 3: “Street Types – Street types respond to ... Street types in the TSAs have been identified and an overview of the features within between the curbs (the road and median) for each street type....”

Page 61 “Table T1”: (Proposed changes in the table: Eliminate first row in body of table (there is no need to distinguish different categories of Minor Arterials of Type A. Centreville Road does not primarily carry longer distance through traffic; the section of Centreville Road that is adjacent to the Herndon TSA primarily serves traffic with an origin or destination in Herndon.)

Page 61 paragraph 3: (Eliminate this explanation for the reason stated above regarding Table T1.)

Page 61 new paragraph 3: “1. Minor Arterials (Boulevards) – Reston Parkway is a minor arterial in the Reston Town Center TSA primarily carrying the longer distance through traffic to and from adjacent Town Center and areas such in north and south Reston as well as between north and south Reston, the Town of Herndon to the west and Loudoun County in the northwest.”
Page 61 paragraph 4 bullet 1: “Median width of approximately up to 14-15 to 22 feet may be wider for areas with frequent pedestrian crossings.” (It does not make sense to me to have medians wider than about 15 feet anywhere along Reston Parkway or any other place in the TSAs except possibly where they are currently wider and where the Plan should provide for future widening.)

Page 62 bullet 2: “1 extra wide ... bikes (14 feet)” (A shared lane should not be wider than 10 ½ or 11 feet. However, if a bike lane can be added and is desired the location with respect to the curb or parking lane should be specified and the width of the bike lane should be specified, as distinct from the adjacent general travel lane. Also note that if lanes are narrowed to 10½ or 11 feet, it should not be difficult to add 4 or 5 foot wide bike lanes.)

Page 62 paragraph 1: “2. Minor arterials ... These roadways carry shorter-distance through traffic, and carry less ... than Principle [sic] Arterials.” (The only one of those three listed Minor Arterials that carry significant amounts of through traffic is Wiehle Avenue. Also many urban Minor Arterials carry higher volumes of traffic than Principal Arterials in rural areas. Functional Classifications of streets and highways have nothing to do with traffic volumes.)

Page 62 bullet 3: “Curb to Curb Area: Median width of approximately 14-15 feet ... pedestrian refuge.” (Fifteen feet is much more than needed.)

Page 62 bullet 5: “8 feet for ... if found desirable for pedestrian and bike safety or driver convenience”

Page 62 bullet 6: “5 feet on-road ... per direction.” (4 feet may be OK?)

Page 62 paragraph 4: “3. Collector Streets ... Hunter Mill Road, and the ... Soapstone Drive, and ....”

Page 62 bullet 7: “A median ... should be no more than approximately 14-15 to 22-feet”

Page 62 paragraph 6: “4. 5. Local streets ... the new planned complete streets ... collector streets and allow provide internal circulation.”

Page 63 bullet 2: “Local streets ... bike lanes or shared lane designation.”

Page 63 end of last paragraph on page: The language in quotes below was suggested by RA’s TAC, except for my proposed edits.

“There has been research indicating an increase in the amount of workers employees per gross square foot of commercial space. As more workers employees enter a development, parking availability may decreases and traffic congestion grows. The TAC encourages the Task Force to take the growing increase in workers employees per square foot into consideration. The ongoing use of the commercial buildings should be monitored by Fairfax County to ensure the number of workers employees is consistent with the task force recommendations. The County should monitor the employee density changes that take place over time in the future
and revise the Comprehensive Plan language based on the impacts that these density changes are expected to create

Page 64 Table T3: “Target Parking Rates per Dwelling Unit for areas within ...” (Source? Are these too high for TODs? How do they compare with adopted targets for Tysons?)

Page 65 paragraph 1: “Funding of Transportation ... is selected.” (Should this not be expanded and updated to cover recent County experience in Tysons?)

Page 68 paragraph 4: “Wetlands – Wetlands ... ¼ mile of the Herndon Station” (Is this from the station platform or from the park-and-ride facility? It may be much more than ¼ mile from the platform.) ... “Recreational uses ... should be encouraged; however, medium to high density residential development around the wetland pond is also desirable.”

Page 69 Paragraph 1: “Lakes and Ponds... Audubon, ... algae, and shoreline stabilization, and various measures of water quality.”

Page 73 paragraph 1: “Several ... offers a wide range of public benefits...”

Page 73 paragraph 2: “Parks ...access to private yards or common amenities such as tot lots and neighborhood playgrounds.” ...

Page 74 paragraph 2: “Park Service ... retail, and residences. ... The urban parkland ... for a mixed-use, urban area ... proposals.”

Page 74 paragraph 3: “A wide array ... During the course ... Reston Special Land Use Master Plan Special Study ... as need through the Reston Special Land Use Master Plan Special Study and by the three four largest ... , Reston Association (RA), and Reston Community Center (RCC), and the Reston Town Center Association.”

Page 78 bullet 1: “... The Washington and Old Dominion (W&OD) Trail (W&OD)...”

Page 78 bullet 2: “... Any new north-south ...pedestrian and bicycle facilities.”

Page 80 paragraph 1: “TSAs and many ... Reston Association is ... amenities: Reston amenities; ...While inclusion in ... Reston Association or the Reston Town Center Association is the primary...”

Page 80 “Draft Parks, Recreation, and Culture Map:” (The lack of a north-south connection across the Toll Road jumps out at a reader in the Herndon Station area. It is critical that Fairfax County does much more to coordinate planning for linkages and other considerations in this area. For a starter, I have done a fair bit of work in analyzing the possible re-use of the existing ramp across the Toll Road as a pedestrian-bicycle and/or general purpose connection, and would like to share this with County staff. I will do this by Thursday.)

Page 81 paragraph 2: “The Reston TSAs are ... Lake Anne, Forest Edge?, ... Sunrise Valley? ...”
Page 86 after paragraph 1: “Tier 1 is the ... and should generally be planned for 50% non-residential and 50% residential and hotel uses.” (Discussion of the several areas of the TSAs are far too full of overly-precise targets for balance of uses. These prescriptions have their origins in small committees that seemed to be driven by debate about what was acceptable to developers or owners of non-residential properties and their lawyers. I am seriously concerned that the cumulative effect of all these statements will place severe constraints on desired residential development. This material should be countered by references back to the long-term goal of balance of uses in the introduction to the “Vision for Reston” section on page 7 as I recommended to be modified.)

(There should also be some strong language about the desire to create several urban residential neighborhoods of significant size in each TSA).

Page 92 paragraph 5: “The Reston Town Center ... Baron Cameron Drive Avenue, ...”

Page 98 paragraph 3 : After paragraph 3 add new paragraph ... This subdistrict is a candidate for creation of an historic park that might include the old Wiehle Town Hall, the old Sunset Hills rail station, the remaining two Wiehle century-old residential buildings, the scenic area around the two ponds, and the gazebo. Such a recommendation was included in the Reston 2000 document, was widely supported, but never acted upon.

Page 98 paragraph 5 below. (See the recommendations for change in height limits in the design guidelines above. Please add a reference here to that recommendation.)

Page 98 near bottom of last paragraph: “... southeast quadrant of the subdistrict, a child care center, .... “

Page 99 paragraph 1: “Most of the subdistrict ... density, and character. .... consolidated with plans for the adjacent parcel... “

Page 99 paragraph 5: add new paragraph below: (See the recommendations for change in height limits in the design guidelines above. Please add a reference here also.)

Page 99 end of paragraph 5: “... uses, and intensities (.50-1.0 FAR), and may be suitable for increased density in order to help balance residential and commercial development in the overall Town Center area.”

Page 101 paragraph 5: “Tier 2 is planned for ... In addition the wetlands area should be preserved, although it is suitable for surrounding medium to high density residential development.
Hi Richard and others,
Here are my comments for the draft 10. I am just making the deadline--whew!
Thanks,
Judith Pew

Comments on Draft 10 from Judith Pew

- P 7 Under heading of Vision, would reword to say “an important plaza is planned to be key” This gives some leeway to the needs of each village center.
- P 17 under mixed use add “and indoor sports uses”
- P 26 At the bottom of the Protect Existing paragraph add “adequate parking in residential TOD areas will be provided for quality of life and for household growth.”
- P 33 Who will take care of this public landscaping in medians? Right now public maintenance people mow medians. This landscaping is much more involved and costs more.
- P 38 In Landscape Amenity Panel under vegetation between street and sidewalk comment is as follows: If private, easy, if public how will this be maintained under budget? Even with 2 foot width a disabled person will have difficulty getting through vegetation to sidewalk or have trouble walking down street to opening in vegetation.
- P 54 Agree with 20 percent.
- P 56 Because no mention is made of north/south mass transportation in this document a category should be added at the bottom of the page. “Future Plans Future plans/studies should be made for north-south cross county light rail. Fairfax County Parkway would bring it near Herndon Station/RTC and RTC Station for transfers. Reston would then become a transportation hub.”
• P 68 Parking should not have max rates. The vision states “vibrant employment” and with no cross county mass transit this is prevented without adequate parking. Take out the reference “For office space, a max parking rate should be 2.1 space etc. etc.”
• P 72 I agree with adding the word “protect”.
• P 73 Add this line at the end of Noise Impacts: “In condos and townhouses noise abatement should be provided between units. “
• P 76 At the end of the page under elements of reston transit another heading should be added. A new heading labeled Public/Private Partnerships should be added. Under that heading it would state following: “Indoor sports facilities such as indoor pools, tennis courts and rec centers can be achieved through public/private partnerships in areas near public transportation on the lower floors of commercial space in areas such as North Town Center and Woodland Park near Herndon Station.
• P 77 Under chart heading of athletic fields at end of description, add following sentence: “Existing athletic fields should be protected.”
• P 77 Under chart heading of Indoor aquatic facility, at end add following sentence: “This can be a public/private partnership in lower floors of buildings near transportation and in TOD areas.”
• P 77 Under Indoor tennis facility put same as above in this category.
• P 81 Under the North-South Connections, heading add following: Bike/pedestrian cross county routes can be designed using existing RA trails, planned crossings of the Dulles Access Road along with other critical crossings such as from Reston Heights across the Reston Parkway and a bike/ped only crossing from Reston Heights to Plaza America to increase north-south connectivity and encourage bicycle and pedestrian activity.
• There is no mention in this document of the Herndon Station and the Reston Station. The Reston Station is the main urban station and there are no plans mentioned for anything more that one or two bus drop off locations. There should be mention of the needs of an urban station such as bus bays, convenience stores, taxi bays and rain protected walkways across Sunset Hills. Because this station area is so small, a public/private partnership may be needed here.
Hi Richard -- sorry to be so picky, but could you substitute the attached Memorial Garden photo on p. 10 of version 10, please? The one with the chairs has been described as looking like "a waiting room for Heaven." No kidding. Your laugh for the day. Thanks for your consideration.

Kohann

The attached is described as:
The Shir Hadash Memorial garden offers members a permanent way to honor the memory of loved ones. Designed by artists Richard Deutsch and Larry Kirkland, the garden is a beautiful, serene, outdoor space featuring a spiral walkway, lovely garden spots and elegant curved granite walls. The garden is designed to be a tranquil oasis where families come to quietly reflect and remember loved ones. Names of loved-ones are normally engraved twice a year. On Sukkot and Shavuot, following the Yizkor service, a special ceremony is held in the memorial garden. In addition to the reading of psalms and prayers, families are given the opportunity to share memories of those loved ones whose names have recently been inscribed on the memorial garden walls.

Photo: http://www.shirhadash.org/worship/memorialgarden.html

*****************************************************************************
Below are some suggested edits for your consideration. A few are things we have discussed before, while a few are new. See you tomorrow night.

Mark C. Looney
Cooley LLP • One Freedom Square • Reston Town Center
11951 Freedom Drive • Reston, VA 20190-5656
Direct: 703 456 8652 Fax: 703 456 8100 Cell: 703 475 3555

Cooley’s Reston Real Estate Team has been recognized with a #1 Ranking in Northern Virginia by Chambers USA in 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012 & 2013
http://www.chambersandpartners.com/usa/Editorial/99204

Stormwater Management (Page 69-70):

1. Consider removing the specific guidance in items 1 – 3 in the fifth paragraph on Page 69 and instead articulate the goals for stormwater management with references to the pending Virginia Stormwater Management Regulations. Although I understand they are generally consistent, there would be considerable issues if the Comp Plan conflicted with the Virginia regulations as they are ultimately approved. Further, the new policy essentially suggests that we are foregoing any SWM benefits offered by Reston’s 5 lakes – which were built for just that purpose – and instead converting them to amenities. Millions have been invested in the lakes as SWM facilities only to now say property owners must address everything on site.

2. Modify the fifth paragraph on Page 69 to remove the reference to minimum guidelines for any application proposing a 1.0 FAR or higher intensity. Stormwater volumes and qualities are independent of FAR. It appears the recommendations assume that development at higher FARs can absorb more expensive stormwater management measures, however, the stormwater impacts and cost of stormwater management measures are not driven by FAR. For example, if a development site currently has no SWM controls in place or the existing systems are inadequate, then any amount of new facilities will be an improvement, regardless of the development intensity.

3. Include in the fifth paragraph on Page 69 or elsewhere in the Stormwater Management section a statement that the new stormwater management guidance is to be applied and implemented only for the redevelopment portions of parcels and not areas where existing buildings are to remain. The application of these new guidelines to existing development is unlikely to be feasible without being cost prohibitive.

4. I think the Plan (Page 89) should acknowledge the County’s ownership of the Sunset Hills Road SWM pond near the Wiehle-Reston East station and spell out an expectation or requirement for the County to fix that pond. The extension of Reston Station Boulevard and the Soapstone crossing will certainly impact that pond, and the expense for remedying that situation (it floods because, as I understand it,
the culverts under Sunset Hills Road were closed when VDOT built the park-and-ride lot across the street) should not fall solely to property owners in that area.

Publicly Accessible Open Space (Page 54):
1. Remove the reference to a specific percentage requirement (20%) for publicly accessible open space in each development project. The goal of quality, usable, publicly accessible open space is a good one, but the specific percentage is too prescriptive and too high. Instead, consider setting a target for each TOD area, rather than site specific expectations. Greater flexibility should be provided with open space evaluated on a case-by-case basis, in recognition of other benefits an application may offer.

Urban Design and Placemaking (Page 29):

1. In the first full paragraph on Page 29, eliminate the recommendation for all development in the TSAs (outside Reston Town Center) to be reviewed by the Reston Association Design Review Board. Given the architectural design and site planning review by Fairfax County, the P&Z Committee and adjacent communities, it is unnecessary for an additional layer of review by the RA DRB. Further, the RA DRB has significantly less experience dealing with large-scale, mixed-use developments than does the RTCA DRB.

Urban Parks Implementation (Page 82):

1. In the section regarding Integrating the TSAs, the reference to encouraging member in Reston Association should be removed. The County cannot, and should not give the appearance that it can, deny a rezoning application due to an applicant’s unwillingness to join the Reston Association or the RTCA. The County is not authorized to compel membership in a private association as part of a zoning application. The Comprehensive Plan should at most recommend consultation with the Reston Association or RTCA regarding membership without establishing any policy position by the County.
Faheem,

Some minor comments, several of which are simply editorial cleanup--I expect they will be addressed, but will point out what I noticed anyway.

Great job on pulling all this together!

Regards,

Nick.

p. 9--second bullet. Delete "to meet standards."

p. 21--Figure 6. I do not see this table referenced in the text--it should be identified and explained.

p. 35--Reston Parkway Streetscape. 1st full paragraph states: "The Reston Parkway concept should provide a safe, comfortable and attractive environment for pedestrians and cyclists." However, it is not clear where cyclists fit in. And Figure 17 does not clarify this.

p. 35--Reston Parkway Streetscape north of the DAAR. 2nd paragraph stipulates that the streetscape should be complimentary to the approved Spectrum development. Not sure what this really means and why this need to be specified here--I don't see similar language elsewhere. What if it is not developed as proposed?

p. 38--Last paragraph. Refers to Figure 8?

p. 56--Bicycle Facilities. Should refer to Figures 16 - 18. These maps are difficult to follow and are not enough in and of themselves. The source should be identified, and the bicycle master plan referenced (even if it is not final).

p. 58--Figure 17. Legend is cut off.
Boston Properties’ Comments on DRAFT Comprehensive Plan Text

October 28th, 2013

1. Eliminate the requirement to provide 20% of a project’s net site area as “publicly accessible open space”, as well as the application of the County’s “Urban Parks Standard” in favor of encouraging the creative provision of usable open spaces and both active and passive recreation zones for residents, employees, and visitors. These two standards and their rigid application are counter to creating the kind of urban developments that are desired in close proximity to the future TSA’s in the Dulles Corridor. 20%, especially as defined, is not an urban open space standard. Similarly, and perhaps more poignantly, the application of what is referred to as an urban parks standard (but which is actually much more suburban in nature) to a dense development of the kind expected/desired in the TSA’s would result in as much as one third of the gross site area being reserved for park space. While open/park space is a critical component of project design in creating vibrant, livable urban places, the referenced standards will make that exceedingly difficult to accomplish and will serve to discourage otherwise highly desirable developments and/or redevelopments.

2. Remove all references to specific stormwater management standards in favor of referring to the pending Virginia Stormwater Management Regulations and/or the County’s Policy Plan, as the Comprehensive Plan is an inappropriate forum to introduce such an additional standard. Please also reference Mark Looney’s comments on this section of the Plan text, which I echo but will avoid restating.

3. Remove all references to future developments’ membership in a specific or any single property association in Reston. The Comprehensive Plan is an inappropriate vehicle to attempt to legislate, or even recommend, association membership in Reston.

4. Remove all references to future development being subject to any design review board, but specifically the RA DRB. The County’s onerous and detailed design review process stands alone in the vast majority of the County and is sufficient for Reston. Further, if former RCIG areas were to be subject to additional design review at all, the RTCA DRB would be a far more appropriate venue to review TOD developments, given its past review of Reston Town Center’s more urban-style and denser developments than exist in any part of the RA DRB’s jurisdiction.

5. Remove reference to Staff’s proposed language on encouraging retail and hotel development in the TSA’s in favor of the Task Force’s position. This debate is really about the peak hour trip generation profiles of the various uses. Since retail space and hotel rooms (not meeting space) have entirely different peak hour trip generation profiles than both office and residential, they should rightly be excluded from both FAR calculations as well as the determination of the appropriate mix (they would be
considered in traffic analyses). Finally, with respect to the stated goal of encouraging hotel and retail development (which is absolutely necessary, especially with retail), deducting these uses from the available commercial development potential on a site is counter productive, and isn’t necessary in relation to the traffic analysis.

6. Remove office parking maximums. Developers are already motivated – by profitability of their developments – to build as little parking as can reasonably be built in order to efficiently and effectively run their projects, and we have legitimate concerns as to whether the stated maximums will be sufficient to lease office space in the TSA’s (in both the short and long terms). TDM requirements will achieve trip reduction goals without being prescriptive as to a single method for implementation, but will still allow developers the flexibility to creatively meet the needs of their projects.

7. Either eliminate or provide a strong introduction to the “Building and Site Design Recommendations” section that states that nothing in the section is intended to be prescriptive or applied blindly to all developments. Attempting to achieve good architecture by requiring certain types of embellishments like so-called “step-backs” is exceedingly difficult and short-sighted, and really has no place in the Comprehensive Plan.

8. Part A: State that the LEED Silver requirement for commercial developments refers to the LEED standards as of the date of adoption of the Comp Plan language. LEED Silver is an appropriate standard today, but substantial risk lies in the future evolution of the LEED ratings. As more and more aspects of environmentally sustainable design become the norm, it will likely take more and more dramatic (and costly) efforts to achieve the various ratings under the LEED system. It is simply too difficult to predict what achieving the LEED Silver designation will require in 2020 or 2030, yet this Plan language would require that. Part B: Provide the flexibility that a development can be designed to achieve LEED Silver, but not actually submit for and/or achieve it. The LEED submission fees and consultant costs to achieve a LEED rating are substantial and are not always supported by the project’s economics. Secondly, LEED implementation provides the real possibility that a project could far exceed the necessary points for a certain rating, but not achieve the rating based on a technicality. This should not be viewed as a failure to achieve the requirement. General: Requiring a LEED standard should not – even unintentionally – impose undue hardships or cause otherwise desirable developments to become economically infeasible.
Heidi and Faheem,

Please see attached…

Mobile: 703-589-3582
Dear Ms. Merkel:

Although the Plan text continues to improve with each subsequent version, we are concerned that various components still need to be adequately addressed. In five of the six principles below, we list specific changes that we believe should be made to the Version 9 draft Plan text.

1. **Sustaining Reston:** We believe that Reston is one integrated community that includes all of the land within the Transit Station Areas. It is not two communities separated by the DAAR corridor. In order to integrate new development into the fabric of Reston, all new development should be incorporated into either RA or RTCA, according to their agreed upon membership boundaries.

   This principle has been addressed in the Plan text.

2. **Environmental Sustainability:** Consistent with Reston’s history of forward thinking on environmental stewardship and sustainability, we believe that future development in Reston should meet the highest feasible environmental standards, ranging from the protection or restoration of natural amenities, to the use of the best environmental practices in development design and materials.

   This principle has been addressed in the Plan text.

3. **Flexibility:** We appreciate the need for moderate flexibility in planning over a two-decade timeframe, but we do not support Plan language that would allow significant variation or increase from the density/intensity and land use mix objectives laid out in the Preferred Development Scenario (Scenario G).

   a. The Plan Text should be amended on page 21 to replace the word “above” with the word “herein” in the last sentence of the third paragraph. We believe that the Plan should reflect “maximum” rather than “target” development levels (Page 21).

   b. The 0.5 FAR “bonus” development intensity (page 26) should be allowed only within ¼ mile of a Metro Station platform. Furthermore, achieving a minimum of only two “additional development objectives” to warrant this “bonus” is too low a threshold. Objections numbered two and four are simply too easy to meet. Satisfying a minimum of three (3) “additional development objectives” should be the threshold. Unless the draft text is changed, the Preferred Development Scenario will be exceeded through the award of “bonuses,” without receiving significant infrastructure in return, resulting in an imbalance between land use and the public infrastructure needed to support it.

   c. In the new Planned Development Commercial (PDC) and Planned Residential Mixed Use (PRM) zonings, substantial conformance to the type, character, intensity, and density recommended in the Comprehensive Plan, is a zoning ordinance requirement rather than merely a guideline. See Section 16-101.1 of the Zoning Ordinance. Therefore, in order to enforce a maximum height requirement, it must be in the Plan text. Why are there are no building height limitations in the draft Plan Text (page 51)? Specific maximum heights, especially outside the TODs, are an important urban design tool that helps ensure that development “fits in” to existing neighborhoods. The building height guidelines contained on pages 51 and 53 are not enough guidance for the Non-TOD areas.

4. **Open Spaces, Parks, & Recreation:** In order to sustain Reston’s existing character and reputation as a model planned community, we believe the new Comprehensive Plan must assure the provision of adequate high-quality, publically accessible open space, parks, natural areas, and recreational facilities within the Phase 1 area, to accommodate Reston’s residents and employees.
a. Revise the final sentence in Planning Principle 9, High quality public open spaces will be required (page 13): “New additional active recreation facilities (indoor and outdoor), appropriate to Reston’s residential and commercial populations, should be provided.” The way it is currently written in the Plan text is in direct conflict with the language contained in the sections on URBAN PARKS, RECREATION FACILITIES AND CULTURAL FACILITIES and DISTRICT RECOMMENDATIONS.

b. We agree with the recommendations of the Park Authority in its October 1, 2013 memorandum, where it states “Comprehensive Plan language should recommend construction of new athletic fields within the study area with a preference for new field locations within or near each TSA.” We believe, however, that when new athletic field locations within the TSAs are not possible, they should be within walking distance (1/2-mile or 10 minutes) of the transit station areas and they should not supplant existing open space, park, or recreation space or facility uses. Language to this effect should be inserted into the Plan text. The Development Review Performance Objective concerning urban parks and other recreational amenities (top of pages 22-23) should accordingly read: “Although the exact number of parks, their individual features, facilities, and locations are not specifically determinable at this time, every effort should be made to meet at least the minimum requirements for park land space and facilities/features as applied to the Preferred Development Scenario under the Urban Parks Framework and the adopted Countywide service level standards established for park facilities (p. 21) identified in the Fairfax County Comprehensive Plan, Parks and Recreation Policy Plan. This space and related facilities should be distributed pro rata within each specific transit station area.”

c. Consistent with Point 4.C above, within each of the DISTRICT RECOMMENDATIONS (Wiehle-Reston East TSA—page 88, Wiehle-South Subdistrict—page 92, Reston East District—page 93, Reston Town Center TSA—page 96, Town Center North Subdistrict—page 100, Town Center South Subdistrict—page 101, West Fountain Drive Subdistrict—page 104, East Fountain Drive Subdistrict—page 106, Town Center West District—page 107, Central Sunrise Valley District—page 112, Herndon TSA—page 114, and Herndon Station TOD—page 114, Woodland Park Subdistrict—page 117), the vague language in the following sentence needs to be strengthened: “The exact number of urban parks, their sizes and distribution will be determined by the amount and type of new development, in accordance with the Urban Parks Framework in the Policy Plan.” In its place, the following sentence should be entered: “Although the exact number of parks, their sizes, facilities, and distribution cannot be specifically identified now, their development should be guided by the Urban Parks Framework and the adopted Countywide service level standards established for park facilities (p. 21) in the Fairfax County Comprehensive Plan, Parks and Recreation Policy Plan, as applied to the Preferred Development Scenario for the transit station area as a minimum expectation.”

d. The last sentence on page 76 active recreation facilities should be amended to read as follows: Due to the size requirement for some full-size athletic fields, the provision of these fields may be particularly challenging in the urban context, but are essential where new fields and expanded capacity at existing facilities are not sufficient to serve the new planned development.

e. The newly added sentence on p. 76 beginning “Adjusted service level standards for athletic fields...” needs to be dropped.

f. The newly added sub-section on “Active Recreational Facilities” needs significant adjustment to reflect the County’s commitment to its adopted athletic field standards in the Parks & Recreation Policy Plan. In particular:
   1. In the first paragraph:
      a. In lieu of the second sentence (beginning “Plan guidance...”), the following sentence should be substituted: “Although the exact number of athletic fields, their sizes, and distribution cannot be specifically identified now, planning for their development should be guided by the Urban Parks Framework and the adopted Countywide service level standards established for park facilities (p. 21) in the Fairfax County Parks and Recreation Policy Plan, as applied to the Preferred Development Scenario for the transit station area as a minimum expectation.”
      b. Drop the phrase “adjusted for urban demographics and use patterns” from the fourth sentence.
   2. In the second paragraph, top of p. 78:
a. The first sentence should read: “A goal of adding capacity equivalent to twenty-five athletic fields serving Reston’s station areas, consistent with adopted Countywide standards on a net basis, should be achieved through development contributions of land and/or facilities.

b. The second sentence re 4.5 million square feet of development per athletic field should be dropped. It is not an approved County standard.

c. The third sentence should read: “Therefore, at least ten new fields should be added to both the Reston Town Center and Wiehle station areas and five should be added to the Herndon station area consistent with adopted County standards and Reston’s needs.”

5. Mobility: We believe the people of Reston must have reasonable cross-community driving, biking and walking conditions, especially during peak periods, both within and near the Phase 1 area. The Transportation Study states that Metro will accommodate less than 10 percent of commuting trips. Therefore, the following are crucial to maintain a balance between land use and transportation: (1) Road, bicycle, pedestrian and bus transit improvements; (2) transportation demand management (TDM) measures; and (3) adherence to the Preferred Development Scenario’s mix of uses and maximum level of development cap.

a. Planning principle 8 on page 12, should clearly state multi-modal mobility.

b. VDOT’s review of the Fairfax County Transportation Study states “monitoring and phasing of future development as the transit-oriented development (TOD) areas and surrounding areas develop is essential to assure an ongoing balance between transportation services and travel demand.” The Plan text on pages 11 (planning principles 3. Development will be phased with infrastructure), 27 and 55 (land use/transportation balance and monitoring system) is strongly supported.

c. The Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) analyzed significantly less development than is allowed by the Preferred Development Scenario – 3,373 less dwellings and 2,076,143 less commercial gross floor area. We are extremely concerned that this undercounting will result in a misrepresentation of the traffic impacts to the Reston road network from the level of development allowed by the Plan text. It is likely that more than 80% of the allowed level of development will be built. If so, at what level will the local road network perform? This 80 percent undercounting is exacerbated by the fact that the Preferred Development Scenario does not count: (i) additional bonus residential densities allowed in the Plan (affordable and workforce housing); (ii) additional redevelopment within the corridor but outside the TODs; (iii) additional redevelopment which may arise in village centers from the Phase II Plan amendment; (iv) the 1/4 bonus intensity for institutional, ground floor retail and hotel uses; or, (v) the 0.5 FAR bonus within a TOD district. These five uncounted “bonus” development levels will surely result in many more vehicle trips than were assumed in the TIA. Land use and transportation will become unbalanced; even if all the recommended transportation improvements are completed!

d. The Plan text in the network level of service section on page 60 should explain how this “overall” rating of LOS “E” is calculated. Is it an average? We share VDOT’s concern that most of the individual intersection ratings r contained in the TIA appear worse than LOS “E.” If so, how can an overall goal of LOS “E” be met? We firmly believe that the second sentence of this section should state a goal of attaining a Level of Service (LOS) “E” or better at the gateway intersections of Sunrise Valley Drive and Sunset Hills Drive with the Fairfax County Parkway, Reston Parkway and Wiehle Avenue. The Level of Service at these six gateway intersections is crucial to the network level of service and cannot be "averaged."

e. The Plan text on page 33, which discusses pedestrian crossings, should also mention that “At major roadway intersections closest to Metrorail stations, grade separated crossings offer the safest and most congestion-reducing way to accommodate the large number of pedestrians and bicyclists accessing Metrorail.” This is important because the County’s response to VDOT comments admits that the signal timing used in its traffic analysis did not allow for sufficient pedestrian crossing phasing.
6. Implementation: We believe the people of Reston must have strong guarantees that the infrastructure needed to support the increased level of development and continue Reston’s high quality of life, will be completed concurrently with development, and is paid for, on a fair, pro rata basis, by those who will profit from the development.

a. The text of the Funding of Transportation Improvements and Services section (page 69), needs to more clearly state these Implementation principles. The Plan text should clearly state that infrastructure identified as necessary to support specific level of increased development should be put in place before or concurrently with such redevelopment and paid for on a fair, pro rata basis by those who will gain financially from it.

On Behalf of the Board of Directors of Reston Association,

__________________________________________________________
Ken Knueven, President
On Behalf of the Board of Directors of the Reston Citizens Association

__________________________________________________________
Colin Mills, President
On Behalf of the Board of Directors of the Alliance of Reston Clusters and Homeowners

__________________________________________________________
Gerald Volloy, President
cc: The Hon. Catherine M. Hudgins, Hunter Mill District Rep., Fairfax County Board of Supervisors
   The Hon. Frank A. de le Fe, Vice Chair, Fairfax County Planning Commission
   Ms. Patty Nicoson, Chair, Reston Master Plan Special Study Task Force
   Paul J. Kraucunas, P.E., VDOT
   Reston Association Board of Directors
   Reston Citizens Association Board of Directors
   ARCH Board of Directors
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All of my comments are related to John Carter’s “Green Neighborhood” text addition. I feel that many of his points are already covered in the body of the draft and thus superfluous. Those specific points that are not covered, should be incorporated into the Urban Design Principles to avoid repetition and ambiguities.

I also feel that many points are too prescriptive, especially in the Energy Conservation section which, if kept, should at least be renamed Sustainability as two of the points refer more to natural resources preservation than to energy conservation.

Rae F. Noritake, AIA
Principal

Draft 10 Comments
Rae Noritake, Task Force Alternate & Reston Town Center Sub-Committee Member.

Page 7-9 “The following Green Neighborhood text has been included by staff as provided by John Carter”

The Policy Plan provides guidance for establishing green neighborhood and building practices. The planning and design of development within the Transit Station Areas should be guided by green neighborhood principles on place making, creating pedestrian oriented connections, energy conservation, and preservation of natural resources. Non-residential development should be planned and designed to achieve LEED silver or equivalent standards, at a minimum, in light of the level of redevelopment proposed for the TSAs. Residential development should also be guided by the Policy Plan objectives for natural resource conservation, green building, and green neighborhood practices. A broad range of practices can be pursued in support of and in addition to green neighborhood and building certification.

The following examples of energy and ecologically conscious approaches to green neighborhood and building design that should be encouraged within Reston are outlined in the following:

- Place making:
  - Orientation of plazas and other public spaces to provide adequate solar access

Comment [RFN1]: Isn’t this a duplication of Urban Design Principles starting on Page 29 and other portions of the document? I think all this should be merged together into the Urban Design Principles to avoid repetition, conflicts and ambiguities. Also, many points, esp in the Energy Conservation section, is too prescriptive. This is a significant amount of additions & changes to incorporate into the text at this late date.

Comment [RFN2]: Incorporate with Pg 30 Establish a Sense of Place...
- Provision of a mix of uses at each transit station area (TSA) to minimize walking distances between uses
- Provision of housing for all ages and incomes in close proximity to the transit stations to minimize walking distances
- Use of site design principles for the orientation of streets and blocks to maximize solar access
- Provision for outstanding architecture and public art for place making
  - Pedestrian connections:
  - Provision for enhanced linkages to transit stations
  - Provision of tree-lined and shaded streets (streetscape) to improve the character of pedestrian experience
  - Provision of a grid of local streets to provide a variety of opportunities for access to transit
  - Use of bikeways and trails to improve access to recreation areas and the transit stations
  - Provision to link the northern and southern areas of Reston through the transit station areas
  - Energy conservation (see Environmental Stewardship section):
    - Provision of green and cool roof systems
    - Use of thermal and photovoltaic energy systems
    - Incorporation of passive cooling through proper shading and ventilation
    - Use of ground source heat pump heating and cooling systems for building conditioning and hot water requirements
    - Reduction of water consumption, including re-use of gray water where allowed
    - Use of radiant floor heating and cooling
    - Provision of wind turbines as an energy source
    - Recycling of materials and maximize use of locally produced materials
    - Use of cool roof systems or light reflecting roof surfaces
    - Use of light shades that provide shading for glass and direct sunlight deep into building spaces for daylighting
    - Preservation of natural resources:
      - Protection of wetlands
      - Preservation of streams and buffer areas especially in the headwaters of streams
      - Enhancement and protection of lakes and ponds
      - Use of closely spaced street trees and landscaping in open space areas to increase tree canopy in the TSAs - Provision for enhanced stormwater management to meet standards
      - Conservation of existing forest areas in accordance with the Fairfax County policies

Development within the TSAs can become better integrated into the fabric of the larger Reston community by providing future TSA residents and employees access and robust connectivity to existing community amenities, including the lakes and the network of trails throughout Reston. This can best be achieved through incorporation into the existing Reston Association or the Reston Town Center Association. Each of these entities has indicated a willingness to consider including these new developments in their associations.

A second foundational characteristic of Reston has been a commitment to preserve natural areas and integrate open space throughout the community. The sustainable design of the community lends itself to the preservation of parkland, natural areas, clean lakes and walking trails. In the TSAs, this characteristic should be acknowledged via incorporation of a Reston-specific urban landscape. This landscape should preserve trees and existing landscaping in key, designated
locations and incorporates more trees and plantings in the streetscape of selected new streets as further described in the Urban Design and Placemaking section.

Another key Reston characteristic is an emphasis on pedestrian and bicycle connectivity. Future development in the TSAs should augment this connectivity by providing appropriate links within and between the TSAs as well as multiple links to the existing Reston trail system in the areas adjacent to the TSAs.
From: Robert Walke
Sent: Monday, October 28, 2013 11:33 AM
To: Darab, Faheem; Lambert, Richard
Subject: RE: [RMPSS] Instructions for Tuesday Task Force Meeting
Attachments: 10 28 2013 storm water recommendations.docx

Good morning Faheem and Richard
I have enclosed recommended text edits for the storm water management portion of Version 10 locates on pages 69, 70 and 71. You may recall in numerous meetings the committee has expressed concern regarding the technical language of the earlier drafts. I recently became aware that the Rt 28 work group had similar concerns and they have made recommendations to revise the text on their document. The text they have proposed appears to be much more appropriate for a Comprehensive Plan text. I propose that we use their recommended language with the exception of one sentence that I have highlighted. See attached.
Please let me know if you have any questions.

Rob Walker
Reston Task Force Member

Reston Master Plan Task Force
Comments by Rob Walker Reston Task Force Member

The following is the current language in the Version 10 Draft (pages 69, 70 and 71) with proposed changes shown in red via track changes. The proposed wording is similar to the changes proposed by the Rt. 28 Work Group. The highlighted text is the sentence that I recommend be modified differently than the Rt. 28 work group recommendation.

Stormwater Management
Future development offers considerable opportunities to improve upon past stormwater management practices in furtherance of efforts to protect and restore local streams and to reduce pollutant loads entering the Potomac River and Chesapeake Bay. Low impact development (LID) techniques of stormwater management can serve to reduce runoff volumes entering local streams and can more easily be incorporated within densely developed areas than more traditional detention and retention ponds. These LID practices can include, but are not limited to, bioretention or biofiltration facilities (commonly known as rain gardens), vegetated swales, porous pavement, vegetated roofs, tree box filters and the collection and reuse of stormwater runoff.

Environmentally-friendly stormwater design should be an integral design principle that will be part of the conceptual stage of site development for all future development, recognizing that stormwater management measures may be phased with development. The stormwater design should first seek to minimize the effect of impervious cover, followed by the application of stormwater reuse, retention, detention, extended filtration and, where soils and infrastructure allow, infiltration to improve downstream waters. The incorporation of stormwater management strategies in parks and other open space areas may support this approach while providing recreational amenities, and there may be opportunities to incorporate LID practices within other open space areas.

Coordination of stormwater management controls among multiple development sites may also be effective in achieving stormwater management goals in an efficient manner. Stormwater management and water quality controls should be optimized for all future development projects consistent with the scale of such projects.

In addition, the following guidelines should be followed for any application for which a floor area ratio (FAR) of 1.0 or more is proposed. Any development proposals in the area should be reviewed on a case-by-case basis for the appropriate optimization of stormwater

Page 37 of 41
management and water quality controls allowing for flexibility in specific approaches taken to achieve these guidelines.

- Additional stormwater quantity and quality control measures should be provided with the goal of reducing the total runoff volume or significantly delaying its entry into the stream system. The emphasis should be on LID techniques that evapotranspire water, filter water through vegetation and/or soil, return water into the ground or reuse it.

- LID techniques of stormwater management should also be incorporated into new and redesigned streets where allowed and practicable.

Stormwater management measures and/or downstream improvements should be pursued to optimize site-specific stormwater management and stream protection/restoration needs, consistent with the adopted watershed management plan(s) that are applicable to the site. Such efforts should be designed to protect downstream receiving waters by reducing stormwater runoff volumes and peak flows from existing and proposed impervious surfaces to the maximum extent practicable, consistent with watershed plan goals.

Stormwater quantity and quality control measures should be provided with the goal of reducing the total runoff volume or significantly delaying its entry into the stream system. In furtherance of stream protection and/or restoration through replication of natural hydrologic conditions, the emphasis should be on LID techniques that evapotranspire water, filter water through vegetation and/or soil, return water into the ground or reuse it.

LID techniques of stormwater management should also be incorporated into new and redesigned streets where allowed and practicable.

In addition, at a minimum the following guidelines should be followed for any application for which a floor area ratio (FAR) of 1.0 or more is proposed. Any development proposals in the TSAs should be reviewed on a case-by-case basis for the appropriate optimization of stormwater management and water quality controls, allowing for flexibility in specific approaches taken to achieve these guidelines.

1. For sites that have greater than 50 percent impervious cover in the existing condition, the total volume of runoff released from the site in the post-developed condition for the 2-year, 24-hour storm should be at least 25 percent less than the total volume of runoff released in the existing condition for the same storm. Furthermore, the peak runoff rate for the 2-year, 24-hour storm in the post-developed condition should be at least 25 percent less than the existing condition peak runoff rate for the same storm.

2. For sites that have 50 percent or less impervious cover in the existing condition, the total volume of runoff released as well as the peak release rate for the 1- and 2-year, 24-hour storm in the post-developed condition should be equal to or less than the total runoff volume and peak release rate in the existing condition for the same storm.

3. In addition to item 1 or 2 above, stormwater runoff associated with the development should be controlled such that either: (a) the total phosphorus load for the property is no greater than what would be required for new development pursuant to Virginia’s Stormwater Regulations/ the County’s Stormwater Management Ordinance; or (b) an equivalent level of water quality control is provided.

As an alternative to items 1, 2 and 3 above, stormwater management measures may be provided that are sufficient to attain the Rainwater Management credit of the most current version of Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design New Construction (LEED-NC) or LEED-CS (Core & Shell) rating system (or equivalent of this/these credit(s)).
As an alternative to the minimum guidelines above, stormwater management measures and/or downstream improvements may be pursued to optimize site-specific stormwater management and/or stream protection/restoration efforts, consistent with the adopted watershed management plan(s) that is/are applicable to the site. Such efforts should be designed to protect downstream receiving waters by reducing stormwater runoff volumes and peak flows from existing and proposed impervious surfaces to the maximum extent practicable, consistent with watershed plan goals.
Staff Comments to Version 10

Page 4, Revise RCIG footnote:

The Reston Center for Industry and Government consisted of commercially and industrially zoned properties that were planned for office and R&D use and where covenants on the land limited retail use and prohibited residential and hotel uses. These covenants were voluntarily terminated by landowners in 2011.

Page 43, Modify the first sentence under Service Street Streetscapes:

Service streets are expected to provide access to parking, loading docks, waste management, utilities, and other back-of-house operations.

Page 45, Modify last sentence of the first paragraph under Wayfinding and Signage:

...provide directional assistance or location information (including street addresses) to pedestrians and motorists.

Page 48, delete the fifth sentence in the second paragraph under Step-backs:

Buildings four stories or less do not require a step-back.

Page 104, After the third sentence under West Fountain Drive Subdistrict, add reference a new figure showing the urban blocks and green space concept for the Town Center North Mixed Use Area.

A major urban park and community-gathering space should be located in the center of the subdistrict and a key design feature in organizing the layout of the subdistrict. A preliminary concept with potential street grid and central green space is shown on Figure X. This concept fosters the creation of several urban blocks that will form a logical extension of the development pattern established in the Town Center. Residential buildings should front on tree-lined streets and be designed with inviting street level facades.
Page 106, Modify the last sentence of the third paragraph:

The locations Some of the existing residential uses are planned to may maintain their current use, density and character.
List of Previous Plan Amendments
1993 to present day
**REVISED**

Comprehensive Plan Amendments for Reston-Herndon Suburban Center 1993 to Present Day

Board Authorized Plan Amendments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item Number</th>
<th>Acres</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Adoption Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S04-III-UP1</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
<td>Adopted</td>
<td>2003-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S98-III-UP1</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
<td>Adopted</td>
<td>1995-32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S99-III-UP1</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
<td>Withdrawn</td>
<td>Withdrawn by BOS on 2/5/2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S96-III-UP1</td>
<td>108.0</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
<td>Adopted</td>
<td>1995-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S94-III-UP4</td>
<td>108.0</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
<td>Adopted</td>
<td>1992-44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S93-III-UP1</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
<td>Adopted</td>
<td>1992-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S93-III-UP2</td>
<td>263.0</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
<td>Adopted</td>
<td>1992-34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Area Plans Review Nominations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item Number</th>
<th>Acres</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>APR Cycle</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Adoption Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>94-III-3UP</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>Deferred/Expired</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94-III-4UP</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>Withdrawn</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97-III-50UP</td>
<td>104.0</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
<td>1997-98 A</td>
<td>Adopted</td>
<td>1995-62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97-CW-30T</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
<td>1997-98 A</td>
<td>Adopted</td>
<td>1995-62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97-III-11UP</td>
<td>37.9</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
<td>1997-98 B</td>
<td>Withdrawn</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98-III-7UP</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
<td>1997-98 B</td>
<td>Withdrawn</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98-III-9UP</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
<td>1997-98 B</td>
<td>Withdrawn</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01-III-13UP</td>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
<td>2001 North</td>
<td>Denied</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08-III-4UP</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
<td>2008-09 North</td>
<td>Adopted</td>
<td>2007-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08-III-5UP</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
<td>2008-09 North</td>
<td>Adopted</td>
<td>2007-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08-III-9UP</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
<td>2008-09 North</td>
<td>Withdrawn</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08-III-14UP</td>
<td>40.9</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
<td>2008-09 North</td>
<td>Adopted</td>
<td>2007-15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2008 Area Plans Review Nominations Deferred to Special Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item Number</th>
<th>Acres</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>APR Cycle</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>08-III-36UP</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
<td>2008-09 North</td>
<td>DEF ST09-III-UP1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08-III-35UP</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
<td>2008-09 North</td>
<td>DEF ST09-III-UP1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08-III-42UP</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
<td>2008-09 North</td>
<td>DEF ST09-III-UP1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08-III-28UP</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
<td>2008-09 North</td>
<td>DEF ST09-III-UP1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08-III-31UP</td>
<td>46.3</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
<td>2008-09 North</td>
<td>DEF ST09-III-UP1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08-III-32UP</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
<td>2008-09 North</td>
<td>DEF ST09-III-UP1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08-III-33UP</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
<td>2008-09 North</td>
<td>DEF ST09-III-UP1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08-III-40UP</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
<td>2008-09 North</td>
<td>DEF ST09-III-UP1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08-III-23UP</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
<td>2008-09 North</td>
<td>DEF ST09-III-UP1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08-III-22UP</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
<td>2008-09 North</td>
<td>DEF ST09-III-UP1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item Number</td>
<td>Acres</td>
<td>District</td>
<td>APR Cycle</td>
<td>Outcome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08-III-29UP</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
<td>2008-09 North</td>
<td>DEF ST09-III-UP1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08-III-34UP</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
<td>2008-09 North</td>
<td>DEF ST09-III-UP1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08-III-38UP</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
<td>2008-09 North</td>
<td>DEF ST09-III-UP1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08-III-39UP</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
<td>2008-09 North</td>
<td>DEF ST09-III-UP1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08-III-24UP</td>
<td>67.6</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
<td>2008-09 North</td>
<td>DEF ST09-III-UP1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08-III-30UP</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
<td>2008-09 North</td>
<td>DEF ST09-III-UP1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08-III-37UP</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
<td>2008-09 North</td>
<td>DEF ST09-III-UP1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08-III-41UP</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
<td>2008-09 North</td>
<td>DEF ST09-III-UP1,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WITHDRAWN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08-III-8UP</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
<td>2008-09 North</td>
<td>DEF ST09-III-UP1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08-III-26UP</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
<td>2008-09 North</td>
<td>DEF ST09-III-UP1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08-III-27UP</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>Hunter Mill</td>
<td>2008-09 North</td>
<td>DEF ST09-III-UP1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Indicates APR items added to Attachment III since the Staff Report for ST09-III-UP1(A) was published.