

**MINUTES OF
FAIRFAX COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION
WEDNESDAY, JUNE 16, 2021**

PRESENT: Peter F. Murphy, Chairman, Springfield District
John C. Ulfelder, Vice Chairman, Dranesville District
Timothy J. Sargeant, Secretary, Commissioner At-Large
Mary D. Cortina, Braddock District
John A. Carter, Hunter Mill District
Daniel G. Lagana, Lee District
Walter C. Clarke, Mount Vernon District
Phillip A. Niedzielski-Eichner, Providence District
Candice Bennett, Commissioner At-Large
Andres Jimenez, Commissioner At-Large

ABSENT: Julie M. Strandlie, Parliamentarian, Mason District
Evelyn S. Spain, Sully District

//

The meeting was called to order at 7:31 p.m., by Chairman Peter F. Murphy, in the Board Auditorium of the Fairfax County Government Center, 12000 Government Center Parkway, Fairfax, Virginia 22035.

//

Chairman Murphy announced that citizens had the option to participate in the public hearing either in-person or electronically, due to the current state of emergency caused by the COVID-19 pandemic. Chairman Murphy stated that social distancing and masks were required for individuals who were not fully vaccinated. He added that the mask mandate no longer applied to individuals who were fully vaccinated, two weeks out from the second dose of Moderna and Pfizer or the single dose of Johnson & Johnson vaccine.

//

COMMISSION MATTERS

Chairman Murphy relinquished duties of the Chair to Vice Chairman Ulfelder.

//

Commissioner Murphy announced that due to an affidavit issue, AF 2021-SP-001, Rebecca Crump, scheduled for a public hearing on June 16, 2021, was administratively moved to July 14, 2021, and readvertised accordingly.

//

Chairman Murphy resumed duties of the chair.

//

ZONING ORDINANCE AMENDMENT - PROPOSED ZONING ORDINANCE AMENDMENT RE: HISTORIC OVERLAY DISTRICTS – STATE CODE REVISIONS
(Decision Only) (Public Hearing on this amendment was held on June 9, 2021)

Commissioner Sargeant MOVED THE FOLLOWING:

- THE PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMEND TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, THE ADOPTION OF THE PROPOSED ZONING ORDINANCE AMENDMENT ENTITLED HISTORIC OVERLAY DISTRICTS AND STATE CODE REVISIONS, AS ADVERTISED AND AS SET FORTH IN THE STAFF REPORT DATED MAY 19, 2021, WITH THE FOLLOWING SUBSTITUTE FOR SUB-SECTION 34101.19.C(4), AS SET FORTH IN THE DOCUMENT ENTITLED PROPOSED REVISION TO SUB-SECTION 3101.19.C(4), AND DATED JUNE 15, 2021, TO READ AS FOLLOWS:
 - IN ASSOCIATION WITH THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT, THE BOARD MAY IMPOSE OR ACCEPT ANY CONDITION IT DEEMED NECESSARY TO ENSURE ANY PROPOSED USE WILL SATISFY THE GENERAL AND ADDITIONAL STANDARDS APPLICABLE TO THIS HOD. THIS MAY INCLUDE A CONDITION TO ALLOW PUBLIC ACCESS TO WELLINGTON AT RIVER FARM HOD AND ITS LANDMARK BUILDINGS, STRUCTURES, OR LAND TO THE EXTENT PERMITTED BY LOCAL, STATE, OR FEDERAL LAW; AND
- THE PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMEND TO THE BOARD THAT THE AMENDMENT BECOME EFFECTIVE AT 12:01 A.M, JULY 1, 2021.

Commissioner Ulfelder seconded the motions, which carried by a vote of 10-0. Commissioners Spain and Strandlie were absent from the meeting.

//

FDPA 81-S-058-08-01/SEA 2009-SU-002/2232-Y20-6 - NEW CINGULAR WIRELESS PCS, LLC (D/B/A AT&T MOBILITY), TRUSTEES OF THE CENTREVILLE UNITED METHODIST CHURCH, AND CENTREVILLE DAY SCHOOL

Commissioner Bennett MOVED THAT THE PLANNING COMMISSION DEFER THE PUBLIC HEARING OF FDPA 81-S-058-08-01, SEA 2009-SU-002, AND 2232-Y20-6, TO A DATE CERTAIN OF JUNE 30, 2021.

Commissioner Ulfelder seconded the motion, which carried by a vote of 10-0. Commissioners Spain and Strandlie were absent from the meeting.

//

Commissioner Sargeant announced the Planning Commission received minutes for January and February 2021, and he intended to move the approval of those minutes at the June 30, 2021, meeting.

//

ORDER OF THE AGENDA

Secretary Sargeant established the following order of the agenda:

1. CSPA 85-C-088-03 - MICROSOFT CORPORATION
2. RZ 2020-BR-016 - TRUSTEES OF ANNANDALE UNITED METHODIST CHURCH
3. ZONING ORDINANCE AMENDMENT - PROPOSED ZONING ORDINANCE AMENDMENT TO CHAPTER 112.1 RE: SPECIAL PERMIT FEES AND OTHER MINOR REVISIONS
4. PA 2018-II-1M - WEST FALLS CHURCH TRANSIT STATION AREA (TSA) STUDY

This order was accepted without objection.

//

CSPA 85-C-088-03 - MICROSOFT CORPORATION – Appl. under Sect(s). 12-304 of the Zoning Ordinance to amend the previously approved Comprehensive Sign Plan associated with CSP 85-C-088 to permit sign modifications. Located on the S. side of Freedom Dr., E. side of Explorer St. and N. side of Market St. on approx. 1.06 ac. of land zoned PRC. Tax Map 17-1 ((16)) 13A. HUNTER MILL DISTRICT. PUBLIC HEARING.

There were no disclosures by Commission members.

Commissioner Carter made preliminary comments on the application after which he asked that Chairman Murphy ascertain whether there were any speakers for this application. There being none, he asked that presentations by staff and the applicant be waived, and the public hearing closed. No objections were expressed; therefore, Chairman Murphy closed the public hearing and recognized Commissioner Carter for action on this case.

//

Commissioner Carter MOVED THAT THE PLANNING COMMISSION APPROVE CSPA 85-C-088-03, SUBJECT TO THE DEVELOPMENT CONDITIONS DATED JUNE 2, 2021.

Commissioner Niedzielski-Eichner seconded the motion, which carried by a vote of 10-0. Commissioners Spain and Strandlie were absent from the meeting.

//

RZ 2020-BR-016 - TRUSTEES OF ANNANDALE UNITED METHODIST CHURCH – Appl. to rezone from C-5 and R-20 to C-5

to permit place of worship with community resource center with an overall Floor Area Ratio (FAR) of 0.22. Located on the W. side of Heritage Dr., S. of Patriot Dr., on approx. 1.77 ac. of land. Comp. Plan Rec: Public Facilities, Governmental and Institutional. Tax Map 70-2 ((1)) 2. BRADDOCK DISTRICT. PUBLIC HEARING.

There were no disclosures by Commission members.

Lynne J. Strobel, Applicant's Agent, Walsh, Colucci, Lubeley & Walsh, P.C., reaffirmed the affidavit dated June 7, 2021.

Brandon McCadden, Zoning Evaluation Division, Department of Planning and Development, presented the staff report, a copy is in the date file. He stated staff recommended approval of the RZ 2020-BR-016.

Ms. Strobel gave a presentation on the subject application.

There were no listed speakers, no comments or questions from the Commission, and staff had no closing remarks; therefore, Chairman Murphy closed the public hearing and recognized Commissioner Cortina for actions on the application.

//

Commissioner Cortina MOVED THAT THE PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF RZ 2020-BR-016 TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, SUBJECT TO THE EXECUTION OF PROFFERS CONSISTENT WITH THOSE DATED JUNE 1, 2021.

Commissioner Clarke seconded the motion, which carried by a vote of 10-0. Commissioners Spain and Strandlie were absent from the meeting.

//

ZONING ORDINANCE AMENDMENT - PROPOSED ZONING
ORDINANCE AMENDMENT TO NEW CHAPTER 112.1 RE:
SPECIAL PERMIT FEES AND OTHER MINOR REVISIONS.

- (1) Establish a special permit application fee of \$435 [~~\$435~~-\$910], as authorized by *Virginia Code 15.2-2286(A)(6)*, for an Increase in Flagpole Height.
- (2) Establish a special permit application fee of \$910 [~~\$435~~-\$910], as authorized by *Virginia Code 15.2-2286(A)(6)*, for Increase in the Height of a Freestanding Accessory Structure; Increase in the Cumulative Square Footage of Freestanding Accessory Structures; and Accessory Structures on Through Lots.
- (3) Correct and restore the Use Table permissions to those of the previous Zoning Ordinance to allow Farmers Market by administrative permit in the R-A District; Single-Family Attached Dwellings in an Affordable Dwelling Unit Development by right in

PROPOSED ZONING ORDINANCE AMENDMENT TO NEW CHAPTER 112.1 RE:
SPECIAL PERMIT FEES AND OTHER MINOR REVISIONS

the R-2, R-3, and R-4 Districts; and Multifamily Dwellings in an Affordable Dwelling Unit Development by right in the R-5 and R-8 Districts.

- (4) Restore the provisions regulating at-grade attached or detached decks and patios in the front yard to those of the previous Zoning Ordinance, allowing an exemption from the limits on encroachment only in the side and rear yard.
- (5) Restore the provisions to those of the previous Zoning Ordinance requiring parking in low and medium density residential districts on a lot of 36,000 square feet or less to occur on a surfaced area in the front yard.
- (6) Restore the fees in the fee schedule for the application types as contained in the previous Zoning Ordinance: include Home Day Care Facility in the list of special exception applications with the current fee of \$435; include Reduction of Required Setbacks for Single-Family Lot in the list of special permits with the current fee of \$910; and clarify for the Amendment t Previously Approved and Currently Valid Applications that the Change in Permittee Only applies to special permits, and the With New Construction and With No New Construction fees.
- (7) Make other minor editorial revisions as needed.

COUNTYWIDE. PUBLIC HEARING.

Carmen Bishop, Zoning Administration Division, Department of Planning and Development, presented the staff report, a copy is in the date file. She stated staff recommended adoption of these items.

There was a discussion between Ms. Bishop; Casey Judge, Zoning Administration Division, Department of Planning and Development; and multiple Commissioners regarding the following:

- Staff's rationale for the application fee for services in contrast to tax fees;
- Correspondence received by the Planning Commission from citizens who voiced concerns that a flagpole tax was being imposed by Fairfax County and stated that there should not be a fee imposed on a flagpole higher than 25 feet;
- Clarification on the maximum height, structure, and regulation of a flagpole for the American flag versus other flags;
- Visuals provided for a 25-foot flagpole in conjunction with a flagpole installed on a lot with a two-story single-family dwelling;
- The average height of a flagpole for public schools throughout Fairfax County;

ZONING ORDINANCE AMENDMENT
PROPOSED ZONING ORDINANCE AMENDMENT TO NEW CHAPTER 112.1 RE:
SPECIAL PERMIT FEES AND OTHER MINOR REVISIONS

June 16, 2021

- Clarification on the reason for the permit review process;
- Examples from staff of items reviewed and included in a typical special permit review for a flagpole;
- Whether special permit reviews included overhead or underground utilities for easements;
- Why lower fees were not recommended; and
- Methods in place for tracking fees.

The discussion resulted in no changes to the application.

Chairman Murphy called the first listed speaker and recited rules for testimony.

Angela M. Boyer, 12001 Thomas Avenue, Great Falls, recommended the following:

- That staff provide full transparency on the reasons for the additional fee for oversized flagpoles; and
- That the Commission provide assurance that the additional fee was not a restriction of freedom of speech and the citizens devoted right to support the country.

There was a discussion between Ms. Bishop and Commissioner Niedzielski-Eichner regarding whether someone with an existing flagpole would be impacted by the new regulations. Ms. Bishop stated that there was no impact on existing residential flagpoles.

There were no further speakers, no additional comments or questions from the Commission, and staff had no closing remarks; therefore, Chairman Murphy closed the public hearing and recognized Commissioner Bennet for actions on these items.

//

Commissioner Bennett MOVED THE FOLLOWING:

- THE PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMEND TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, ADOPTION OF THE PROPOSED ZONING ORDINANCE AMENDMENT TO NEW CHAPTER 112.1, REGARDING SPECIAL PERMIT FEES AND OTHER MINOR REVISIONS, AS SET FORTH IN THE STAFF REPORT DATED MAY 18, 2021, INCLUDING THE FOLLOWING FOR ADVERTISED OPTIONS:

PROPOSED ZONING ORDINANCE AMENDMENT TO NEW CHAPTER 112.1 RE:
SPECIAL PERMIT FEES AND OTHER MINOR REVISIONS

- THE SPECIAL PERMIT FEE OF \$435 FOR AN INCREASE IN FLAGPOLE HEIGHT AND A SPECIAL PERMIT FEE OF \$910 FOR ACCESSORY STRUCTURES ON THROUGH LOTS;
- INCREASE IN THE CUMULATIVE SQUARE FOOTAGE OF FREESTANDING ACCESSORY STRUCTURES;
- AN INCREASE IN THE HEIGHT OF A FREESTANDING ACCESSORY STRUCTURE; AND
- THE AMENDMENT BECOME EFFECTIVE AT 12:01 A.M. THE DAY FOLLOWING ADOPTION.

Commissioner Ulfelder seconded the motions, which carried by a vote of 10-0. Commissioners Spain and Strandlie were absent from the meeting.

//

PA 2018-II-1M - WEST FALLS CHURCH TRANSIT STATION AREA (TSA) STUDY – concerns approx. 31.5 ac. generally located at 7040, 7044, 7048, 7054, 6922, and 6920 Haycock Road, Falls Church, and 7210 Leesburg Pike, located at the West Falls Church-VT/UVA Metrorail station. (Tax Map # 40-3 ((1)) 83, 94 92, 92A, and 93C; 40-4 ((1)) 13, 40-4 ((2)) 1 and 2) in the Dranesville Supervisor District. Tax Map # 40-3 ((1)) 83, 84; 40-4 ((1))13; 40-4 ((2)) 1 and 2 are planned public facilities at the base and intermediate levels, with an option for development at 30 du/ac. Tax Map 40-3((1))92A has an option for 25 du/ac. Tax Map 40-3((1))92 is planned for residential use up to a total of 40 dwelling units, with an option for up to 43,800 gross square feet of office/retail use. An additional option plans Tax Map # 40-3 ((1))92 and 92A for up to 240,000 square feet of institutional use. The amendment will consider base level recommendation for public facilities use, and an option for a mix of uses consisting of multifamily and single-family attached residential, office, and retail uses up to 0.96 FAR on Tax Map#40-4 ((1)) 13; 40-4 ((2)) 1, 2; 40-3 ((1)) 83 and 84. For Tax Map #40-3 ((1)) 92 and 92A the amendment will consider institutional use at the base level, as it is currently developed, and an option for a mix of uses consisting of institutional, office, retail, and multifamily residential uses up to 2.5 FAR. Plan recommendations relating to the transportation network, environment, urban design, parks and recreation, affordable housing may also be modified.
DRANESVILLE DISTRICT. PUBLIC HEARING.

Bryan Botello, Planning Division, Department of Planning and Development, presented the staff report, a copy is in the date file. He stated staff recommended adoption of the proposed plan amendment.

There was a discussion between Mr. Botello; Timothy Kutz, Jr., Transportation Division, Fairfax County Department of Transportation; Robert Pikora, Transportation Planning Division, Fairfax County Department of Transportation; and multiple Commissioners regarding the following:

- The number of additional students generated by the development fell short of previous estimates;
- Pedestrian and bicyclist safety in nearby neighborhoods and outside of the proposed plan area, particularly on Haycock Road;
- The West Falls Church active transportation plan, what it entailed, outstanding concerns, the areas serviced, proposed pedestrian and bicyclist safety and accessible measures to and from the various transit stations, the plan's priorities for improvements within Fairfax County and surrounding areas, and the plan's funding mechanisms;
- Proposed improvement measures to curtail traffic congestion on roads parallel to Haycock Road;
- Concerns regarding the proposed height and density on the Virginia Tech site;
- The height of the existing Virginia Tech building neighboring to the Village Condominium site;
- Whether the Urban Street Standards approved for Tysons and Reston, was applicable to the proposed plan amendment;
- Adding language to the proposed plan that adequately defined safety and security;
- Adding language to the proposed plan that addressed the relationship between the trees, lights, width of the sidewalks, particularly along the road parallel to Haycock Road that went through two of the major open spaces;
- The County's plan to address affordable housing challenges in the proposed planned area;
- A request that staff revisit the affordable housing provisions for the proposed planned area; and
- The level of resources put into Metro stations and the County's obligation to maximize their use.

The discussion resulted staff's agreement to consider revisions to language in the proposed plan that enforced the need for public safety and security in the transit station area.

Chairman Murphy called the first listed speaker.

David Wuehrman, 2339 N. Oak Street, Falls Church, opposed the proposed plan amendment in current form and submitted the task force's report with recommended changes. A copy of Mr. Wuehrman's report and statement is in the date file.

Adrienne White, 6704 West Falls Church, Falls Church, representing Reclaim Fairfax County, opposed the proposed plan amendment because of the area's ongoing traffic gridlock, nonconfinement of truck traffic on Route 7, lack of enforcement of the speed limit in the residential area, vehicular stacking into the Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority (WMATA) site at the intersection of Haycock Road and Great Falls Street, overcrowding of schools, non-existent pedestrian access and safety, and the lack of open space.

Andrew Painter, 2200 Clarendon Boulevard, Arlington, representing Falls Church Gateway Partners, Metro LLC, supported the proposed plan amendment and stated the following:

- The proposed plan amendment was transformant and represented the nearly two generations of citizen-led planning efforts to bring positive change to an underutilized Metrorail station;
- The proposed plan capitalized on the tremendous public investment Fairfax County residents made in the Metrorail system;
- The proposed plan would increase ridership and maintain commuter accessibility;
- The draft plan represented an interjurisdictional planning effort;
- The proposed plan established appropriate heights and densities that offered protections to nearby residents;
- The proposed plan brought the Metrorail station area into alignment with the County's current policies on a host of fronts, which included affordable housing, new updated language that addressed open space in linear parks, stormwater management, and green infrastructure;
- The proposed plan amendment emphasized new pedestrian, bicycle, safety, and connections, and called for a series of transportation improvements across the WMATA and Virginia Tech sites; and
- Support for a follow-on study to prioritize improved accessibility in and around the Metrorail station.

Darren Ewing, 1800 Olney Road, Falls Church, representing Olney Park Citizens Association, supported the proposed plan amendment. A copy of Mr. Ewing's statement is in the date file.

Cheryl Smith, 7033 Haycock Road, Falls Church, representing Westfalls Condominium Association, supported the proposed plan amendment. A copy of Ms. Smith's statement is in the date file.

Phil Cooke, 1536 Hampton Hill Circle, opposed the proposed plan amendment. A copy of Mr. Cooke's YouTube video is available upon request through the Fairfax County Department of Cable and Consumer Services, Communications Productions (Channel 16).

Paul Rothstein, 7024 Falls Reach Drive, Falls Church, representing The Village Condominium Association, addressed concerns regarding the proposed plan amendment. A copy of Mr. Rothstein's statement is in the date file.

//

The Commission went into recess at 10:11 p.m. and reconvened in the Board Auditorium at 10:33 p.m.

//

Nina Albert, 600 5th Street NW, Washington, representing Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority, supported the proposed revisions to the plan amendment and stated the following:

- The proposed plan amendment was responsive to the stated concerns and reflected the community's aspirations for the site;
- The West Falls Church Metro station converted currently underutilized parking lots into 1,000,000 square feet of mixed-use development and created a cohesive plan among the adjacent projects led by the City of Falls Church and Virginia Tech;
- The Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority supported transit oriented development;
- The transit authority managed the region's most pressing challenges such as congestion, climate change, housing production, and transit ridership recovery;
- The proposed planned development for the three sites would increase daily ridership by 1,600 new riders;
- The increased ridership rates from the development could result to an estimated total of 4,100 riders per day;
- The proposed plan created a safe and attractive environment for pedestrians and bicyclist;
- The proposed plan created a seamless mixed-use neighborhood that had a strong sense of place and complemented the surrounding community;

WEST FALLS CHURCH TRANSIT STATION AREA (TSA) STUDY

- The proposed plan modernized the existing facilities and improved pick-up/drop-off activity at the station's entrance, while retaining the flexibility to meet future parking demand;
- The proposed plan provided access to jobs, retail, schools, and housing within walking distance; and
- The proposed plan reflected a shared vision of Fairfax County, the community, and Metro.

Bruce Wright, 2079 Cobblestone Lane, Reston, representing Fairfax Alliance for Better Bicycling, supported the proposed plan amendment and stated the following:

- The growth within Fairfax County should be concentrated within transit and activity centers to reduce the reliance on single occupancy vehicles and to allow for a walkable, bikeable, and other micro-mobility solutions;
- The number of pedestrian and bicyclist fatalities in the County was unacceptable;
- Traffic calming measures should be in place to assure the safety of pedestrians and bicyclists;
- Fairfax Alliance for Better Bicycling supported the formation of the West Falls Church active transportation plan;
- Funding should be available for both the planning and implementation of pedestrian and bicycle paths; and
- Concurred with Commissioner Carter's comments regarding a call for action that assessed how transit access for pedestrians and bicyclist could be improved, and the consequences of failing to act.

Stiven Foster, 2743 Gallows Road, Vienna, supported the proposed plan amendment because it provided a much better quality of life within a walkable community. The proposed plan amendment would address climate change concerns and would bring state of the art growth concept into the community. Mr. Foster added that the proposed redevelopment addressed and mitigated infrastructure concerns, called for greater production, active transportation improvements, and addressed safety concerns.

Commissioner Lagana suggested that staff evaluate the Eisenhower Avenue Widening and Roadway Improvement Project being enacted along Eisenhower Avenue, between Mill Road and Holland Lane. Commissioner Lagana also requested that staff follow-up regarding the ridership numbers cited by Ms. Albert in her testimony, and whether those numbers were within the existing plan for transit station area or was inclusive of the development that occurred within the City of Falls Church.

WEST FALLS CHURCH TRANSIT STATION AREA (TSA) STUDY

Mitch Bonanno, 7209 Holywell Lane, Falls Church, representing Chestnut Place Homeowners Association, supported the proposed plan amendment. A copy of Mr. Bonanno's statement is in the date file.

Stewart Schwartz, 2000 14th Street, Falls Church, representing Coalition for Smarter Growth, supported the proposed plan amendment. A copy of Mr. Schwartz's statement is in the date file.

Duane Clark, 6633 Beacon Lane, Falls Church, representing Beacon Hill Homeowners Association, requested that the Planning Commission develop tangible solutions to the West Falls Church Metro Area transit development revised Comprehensive Plan. Mr. Clark also stated the following:

- The Beacon Hill community encompassed the area bounded by Haycock Road and Beacon Lane, between Casemont Drive and Westmoreland Street, and was excluded from the task force, despite their close proximity from the development;
- Beacon Hill Homeowners Association's concerns included traffic congestion, inadequate bike paths, pedestrian safety, road safety, public facility impact, such as emergency services and overcrowding of the school system;
- The original task force study ignored the insufficient infrastructure along Haycock Road, north of Route-66, and focused only on the portion of Haycock Road between Route 7 and the Metro station;
- The increased traffic backup that bottlenecked on Haycock Road north of Route 66 resulted in the reduction of four lanes down to two;
- There were no existing bike lanes and haphazard asphalt trails that substituted for sidewalks, which created dangerous conditions for bikers and pedestrians;
- The impact the development would have on the police and fire rescue operations;
- The local school pyramid was highly sought after, and enrollment estimates reflected same;
- Beacon Hill Homeowners Association requested that the Planning Commission delay action on the proposed plan;
- Beacon Hill Homeowners Association recommended that an unbiased third party perform a traffic impact analysis;
- A recommendation that a safety analysis be performed to ensure the sidewalks, crosswalks, and bike lanes safely supported the commuters walking to and from the Metro station, along Haycock Road between Westmoreland Street and Route 7, in both directions;

WEST FALLS CHURCH TRANSIT STATION AREA (TSA) STUDY

- Recommend that the Fairfax County Public School system reviewed the residential units to be developed, confirm projected enrollment, and create a concrete plan that supported the students prior to the completion of the development; and
- A traffic infrastructure should be in place prior to development.

Douglas Stewart, 10822 Maple Street, Fairfax, representing Sierra Club Great Falls Group, supported the proposed plan amendment. A copy of Mr. Stewart's statement is in the date file.

Adam Thormahlen, 2352 Chadlington Road, Falls Church, supported the proposed plan amendment. A copy of Mr. Thormahlen's YouTube video is available upon request through the Fairfax County Department of Cable and Consumer Services, Communications Productions (Channel 16).

William Mugg, 7203 Holywell Lane, Falls Church, supported the proposed plan amendment. A copy of Mr. Mugg's YouTube video is available upon request through the Fairfax County Department of Cable and Consumer Services, Communications Productions (Channel 16).

William Hederman, 7210 Holywell Lane, Falls Church, supported the proposed plan amendment and emphasized the creation of a vibrant and central community linked to the Metro station, that also promoted growth to the area. Mr. Hederman supported the addition to Virginia Tech and added that it would keep the technical portion of the facility in Fairfax County.

There were no further speakers, no comments or questions from the Commission, and staff had no further closing remarks; therefore, Chairman Murphy closed the public hearing and recognized Commissioner Ulfelder for actions on this plan amendment.

//

Commissioner Ulfelder MOVED THAT THE PLANNING COMMISSION DEFER THE DECISION ONLY OF WEST FALLS CHURCH TSA PLAN AMENDMENT, 2018-II-1M, TO A DATE CERTAIN OF JUNE 30, 2021, WITH THE RECORD REMAINING OPEN FOR WRITTEN COMMENTS.

Commissioner Niedzielski-Eichner seconded the motion, which carried by a vote of 10-0. Commissioners Spain and Strandlie were absent from the meeting.

//

The meeting was adjourned at 11:20 p.m.
Peter F. Murphy, Chairman
Timothy J. Sargeant, Secretary

Audio and video recordings of this meeting are available at the Planning Commission Office,
12000 Government Center Parkway, Suite 552, Fairfax, VA 22035.

Minutes by: Samantha Lawrence

Approved on: November 3, 2021

Jacob Caporaletti

Jacob L. Caporaletti, Clerk to the
Fairfax County Planning Commission

County of Fairfax
Commonwealth of Virginia

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this 5 day of November 20 21, by
Jacob Caporaletti.

Timothy M. Sargeant

Signature of Notary

Notary registration number: 7114113

Commission expiration: January 31, 2024

Notary Seal

