<u>Report</u>	Panel Recommendation	FCPD Action	Status (as determined by the Panel)
CRP-20-20 and CRP- 20-21 (Published May 10, 2021)	The FCPD should consider how officers respond to incidents where the scene does not match the description in a 911 call, provide the necessary training to officers on these situations, and whether they can investigate calls as potential false police reports.	FCPD has taken this recommendation under consideration and will research best practices to ensure General Order 520.3 (Hostage/Barricaded Persons) reflects these suggestions in future revisions.	Under Review by FCPD
CRP-20-20 and CRP- 20-21 (Published May 10, 2021)	The FCPD should review and revise General Order 601.4 regarding how officers identify and announce themselves when responding to domestic service calls, clarify the situations when they are permitted to delay in announcing or otherwise identifying themselves, and ensure that officers are properly trained in implementing a revised general order.	Current version of 601.4 Section IV, Subsection C, states officers "shall identify themselves as police officers, explain the reason for their presence, and request entry into the home."  Officers are also expected to use proper discretion when circumstances indicate or suggest there are potential weapons involved in an event, or a potential subject could be looking to ambush an officer arriving at a domestic event, as domestic events constitute highly unpredictable and dangerous events for responding officers.  All officers are required to acknowledge General Order revisions via Power DMS. Supervisors are required to ensure officers under their guise are up to date on new policies	Implemented by FCPD  Panel requests that the FCPD consider adding the explanatory sentence highlighted to the next version of the General Order.

		training initiatives as necessary to ensure compliance.	
CRP-20-20 and CRP- 20-21 (Published May 10, 2021)	The FCPD should consider how it can better communicate with Complainants the outcomes of its investigations, including whether such communication should go beyond standard disposition letters.	Complaints in all formats are accepted by the FCPD and proper acknowledgment is provided at the time of receipt that the complaint will be investigated and followed-up on. Investigating supervisors are expected to inform complainants that their cases will be investigated thoroughly and as expeditiously as possible depending upon the nature and complexity of the specific allegation(s). Once an investigation has concluded, supervisors are expected to notify complainants as such and note the case is under a review and action process at the command level. Disposition letters are sent once the investigation has resolved, with a thorough recitation of the facts and circumstances of the allegation, as well as a recitation of FCPD investigative findings. These letters also include contact information for appeals to both CRP and the Office of the Independent Police Auditor. Any inquiry regarding case status during the investigative process from a complainant is required to be responded to in a timely manner.	FCPD explanation is not wholly responsive  Panel recognizes the FCPD's efforts to improve the disposition letters but requests the FCPD to consider specific circumstances when letters can be followed up with an alternative method of communication, like a phone call to complainants.

CRP-20-19 and CRP- 20-27 (Published February 9, 2021)	The FCPD should create a policy requiring all district station interviews be recorded.	FCPD General Order 501.2 covers Investigative Responsibilities but makes no reference to recording of interviews. Since the last revision (04-01-13), all district station interview rooms have been equipped with audio/video technology. Inprogress revisions to this General Order will note that all investigating officers/detectives ensure interviews are recorded unless unusual/unforeseen circumstances (ex: technology failures, interviews in outdoor environments) exist. Also, it should be noted that officers equipped with body-worn cameras are required to activate them during any rendering of police service unless unusual circumstances exist (ex: hospital, schools, bathrooms) or whenever interviewing victims of sexual assault for their privacy	Implemented by FCPD
CRP-20-19	The FCPD should ensure that all FCPD Officers are	All officers are required to	Implemented by FCPD
and CRP- 20-27 (Published February 9, 2021)	informed of its policy 501.2 Investigative Responsibilities.	acknowledge via PowerDMS signature any revisions to Department policy, to include General Orders, SOPs, and Department-wide Command Staff Memorandums. These signatures are audited and any officer failing to acknowledge is notified via their direct supervisor. As	,

		mentioned above, General Order 501.2 is under revision and once completed will necessitate officer acknowledgement.	
CRP-20-19 and CRP- 20-27 (Published February 9, 2021)	The FCPD should encourage the Fairfax County Sheriff to record and preserve video taken from inside the Fairfax County Adult Detention Center.	As the FCSO constitutes a separate agency run via an elected official (Sheriff Stacey Kincaid), it would be inappropriate for FCPD to make best practices recommendations to her agency. CRP recommendations on FCSO policies and practices should be made directly to the FCSO by the Panel.	Not Implemented by FCPD.  The Panel understands that the Sheriff is an elected official, but given the degree to which the FCPD does ultimately interact with and work with the Sherriff's office, we think such encouragement is entirely appropriate.
CRP-19-29 (Published October 23, 2020)	"The FCPD should develop objective criteria and processes to evaluate allegations of bias or profiling (as pertains to race, ethnicity, sexuality, religion or sexual orientation) in internal investigations of complaints against officers. These criteria may include (1) searching the officer's public social media profiles; (2) interviewing coworkers in the officer's unit and other potential witnesses; (3) quantitatively and/or qualitatively analyzing data (by trained analysts) from community contacts, stops, searches and arrests; and (4) comparing the circumstances and claims of the current complaint to any prior complaints.	<ol> <li>All Internal Affairs investigations receive an open-source social media inquiry as of April 1, 2020.</li> <li>General Order 301, Internal Investigations, states that witnesses shall be interviewed if they would assist in an investigation. Regulation 201.3, Obedience to Laws, Regulations, and Training, as it pertains to Regulation 201.5, Reporting Violation, states any employee shall immediately report any</li> </ol>	1) Implemented by FCPD.  2) Not Implemented by FCPD. Presently being reviewed by the FCPD following the January 26, 2021 decision by the Board of Supervisors in CRP-29-19 directing the FCPD to take further action,

Quantitative analysis of data should not be limited to descriptive analyses, but when appropriate, should include bivariate and multivariate analyses to ensure that appropriate variables are considered. The investigation file should contain a clear evaluation and summary of the officer's actions under each of the criteria listed above."

- violation, including bias-based policing.
- Arrests and traffic statistics are publicly shared on the FCPD website. IAB is in the process of procuring a Management Analyst to perform quantitative and qualitative analysis of public safety data.
- including conducting interviews with the officer's co-workers.
- 3) Pending further analysis by the FCPD. Data analysis conducted for investigations must include quantitative and qualitative analysis of community contacts and stops by officers, as well an analysis of publicly shared data on arrests and traffic statistics.
- 4) To ensure qualitative analysis, consistency and thoroughness, the administrative due process includes several levels of review up to the Chief of Police in each administrative investigation. These levels of review include prior consideration of sustained allegations against the subject employee, and appropriate action to be taken for further sustained violations of patterns of conduct. Use of criterion of "circumstances and claims of the current
- 4) FCPD explanation is responsive.

		complaint to any prior complaints" is subjectively vague and non-definitive as it pertains to whether or not an officer engaged in either unlawful or procedurally violative conduct.	
CRP-19-29 (Published October 23, 2020)	"All community contacts, stops, searches and arrests by the FCPD should be entered into the data management system. Data analysis of an officer's community contacts, stops, searches and arrests should be broken down by the race and ethnicity of community members. Data on community contacts should be broken down as follows: (1) community contacts that remain consensual for the duration of the encounter; (2) community contacts that evolve into detentions by virtue of reasonable suspicion; and (3) community contacts that evolve into detentions by virtue of probable cause. Officers should also enter into the data base the reasons for the community contact, stop, search or arrest. Such rationale should be coded (i.e., by a particular violation of law, type of behavior, appearance, time, place, etc.). If a community contact evolves into a detention, the officer should enter into the data base the reasons for such detention."	General Order 603.4, Police Community Member Contacts, and General Order 601, Arrest Procedures, requires specific documentation regarding all community member contacts, including voluntary contacts. FCPD is currently in the process of upgrading agency record management systems which will further enhance tracking.	Pending upgrade to FCPD's data management system.
CRP-19-29 (Published October 23, 2020)	"Data analysis of an officer's community contacts, stops, searches and arrests should be compared and contrasted with comparable data from the district	For all bias allegations, the Internal Affairs Bureau conducts an 18-month examination of the officer's arrests and citations. This data is compared	Pending upgrade to FCPD's data management system.

	station where the incident occurred and the county as a whole. The data analysis should also take into account the racial and ethnic composition of each district as compared to the county overall."	to pertinent station demographics. The demographics of each district station and the County are publicly available in the IAB annual report.  FCPD is assessing capabilities of reviewing officer field contacts and searches in future RMS programs. Currently searches of these descriptions are limited to technological limitations inherent in I/LEADS RMS. With implementation of a new RMS in the future, the hope is that tracking of contacts and searches, the two recommended data points, will be more feasible, changing policy on how the Department tracks field contacts and searches.	
CRP-19-29 (Published October 23, 2020)	"For the purposes of investigations into allegations of bias or profiling, data analysis of the officer's community contacts, stops, searches and arrests should cover a period of 3-5 years, or if the officer has less tenure, for the duration of his service in the FCPD. If during the prescribed time period the officer has worked in different districts within the county, the review and analysis of the officer's community contacts, stops, searches and arrests should not be limited to the district where the officer is assigned at the moment, but rather should include all such encounters in every county district where the officer served during the time period."	Bias investigations include an 18-month statistical analysis of the officer's arrests and citations, comparing them with other officers at the same station. Historic database software is only capable of tracking certain data. System replacement and procurement will permit advances to add tracking fields and information categories.	Pending upgrade to FCPD's data management system.

Since November 2012, per policy, the FCPD explanation is FCPD has utilized an Early responsive. Identification System. Monitored incidents include administrative investigations (including cruiser crashes), initial inquiries, forced entries, de-arrests, off-duty traffic citations, off-duty civil and criminal court actions, use of force, and pursuits. Community contacts, stops, searches, and/or arrests are non-dispositive of whether "Like the efforts the FCPD has undertaken to analyze or not an officer has potentially and identify use of force incidents, the FCPD should CRP-19-29 engaged in bias-based policing which consider creating an early warning system to alert (Published FCPD has an absolute prohibition commanders as to whether an officer's community October 23. against its employees engaging in. contacts, stops, searches or arrests are excessive These actions are based upon legally 2020) and disproportionate for a particular race or ethnic defined standards of probable cause group." and reasonable suspicion, regardless of race or ethnicity. Where these legally defined standards are nonexistent, searches, stops, and arrests would be improper and ultimately unlawful. Where a complaint is made that any officer engaged in disproportionate policing, that complaint would automatically initiate an administrative investigation, which would account as stated above as a qualifying EIS event. This also includes supervisor audits and reviews of officer BWC and ICV

		footage to ensure stops, arrest, and searches are within FCPD policy.	
CRP-19-29 (Published October 23, 2020)	"The FCPD should retain an independent expert on implicit bias to examine all law enforcement policies, practices and training for the purpose of recommending evidence-based strategies to mitigate the impact of implicit bias on policing."	In addition to mandatory County and/or agency training on bias, the Fairfax County Police Department is currently engaging an outside independent expert to train implicit bias, the understanding of implicit bias; procedural justice; "trust building;" and detecting and addressing institutional and structural racism.  Independent subject matter experts on bias have lectured to Command Staff.  Bias and culture-based training has been offered to employees through	Training implemented. Further explanation is required as to the examination of all law enforcement policies and practices.
		academy and other venture partnerships.	
CRP-19-29 (Published October 23, 2020)	"Officers should receive implicit bias training on an annual basis."	The FCPD Equity Team and its Ambassadors will receive specialized independent bias-based training. This education will provide a unique, cutting-edge platform for organizations to build a foundational capacity to address or discuss equity gaps, race, equality, cultures, and unity. The independent expert will also train-the-trainer for annual	Implemented by FCPD.

		refresher courses on implicit bias, procedural justice, and <i>trust building</i> .	
2019 Annual Report (Published February 28, 2020)	"Where the evidence gathered during an Investigation into a Complaint of racial bias does not offer a raceneutral explanation for the conduct of the accused officer, the FCPD should continue to investigate seeking some explanation for the officer's conduct by obtaining reasonably available evidence that will corroborate either a race-neutral or race-biased explanation such as examining the officer's social media accounts and/or interviewing witnesses."	This recommendation is counter intuitive. Where there is no "raceneutral explanation," to explain officer conduct, then by default the conduct would fall within the purview of biasbased or discriminatory conduct and appropriate action would be taken swiftly by the Department. Where conduct falls within these parameters, all available investigatory measures will be taken to ascertain the root of why the action took place. The Fairfax County Police Department Internal Affairs Bureau conducts investigations into all complaints involving any allegation of perceived bias. Bias-based complaints will include obtaining all available evidence; such as, but not limited to, witness statements, videos, publicly available social media, statistics, reports, etc. Consistent with all investigations completed by the police department; any available evidence is thoroughly examined for appropriate response and lawful action.	FCPD explanation is responsive.  The Panel's recommendation is that the FCPD proactively continue to investigate to find corroborating evidence, if the available evidence does not offer a "raceneutral explanation" (including times when there simply is no explanation) for the conduct of the officer. The Panel recommends (see recommendation in CRP-19-29) that the FCPD develop objective criteria and processes to evaluate allegations of bias or profiling separate from its normal investigation processes.
CRP-19-11 (Published			Implemented by FCPD.

January 15, 2020)	"With respect to obvious, known witnesses who are not interviewed, Investigation Reports should include an explanation for why such an interview failed to occur."	General Order 301, Internal Investigations, states that witnesses shall be interviewed if they would assist in an investigation of a complaint or incident. Commanders were reminded of this policy in a March 2020 Command Staff meeting. Furthermore, Bureau Commanders are responsible for ensuring all investigative tasks have been properly completed as an additional quality control and review oversight protocol.	
CRP-19-11 (Published January 15, 2020)	"FCPD civilian ride-a-long individuals should be tracked and recorded in all instances. A police ride-a-long individual should never be unknown such that when an incident containing alleged misconduct is investigated, the civilian witness cannot be determined."	General Order 430.3 sets policy and procedure for each Ride-Along to include maintenance of the application and required documentation for every Ride-Along. Commanders were reminded of this importance during a Command Staff meeting in March 2020.	Implemented by FCPD.
CRP-19-11 (Published January 15, 2020)	"The FCPD should implement a clear policy for what officers should do in situations where children are left unattended by detained individuals to make sure that such children are safe during such incidents."	FCPD policy requires officers to "preserve the sanctity of life" and, as community caretakers, officers must attend to the needs of any person who is unable to care for themselves as expeditiously as possible.  Regulation 201.6, Preservation of Peace and Protection of Life and Property, states:	Implemented by FCPD.

		T	
		"It shall be the duty of each sworn officer of the Department to:  • Preserve the public peace; • Protect life and property; and • Enforce and uphold the laws of the Commonwealth of Virginia and the ordinances of the County of Fairfax."  This policy requires officers to attend to children, and any other person who is left alone and unable to care for themselves, under their oath as a sworn officer to protect life.	
		Furthermore, officers are provided guidance from the Fairfax County Family Services Child Supervision Guidelines regarding unattended minors and children.	
CRP-18-27 (Published July 12, 2019)	"[T]he Panel recommends that in the future the Department refrain from publicly releasing [investigatory information pertaining to the Complainant's social media accounts], because it "discourages individuals from filing future complaints,	Respectfully disagree. Open source information is by definition, available publicly to all individuals and entities. Where an individual posts publicly available information of relevance to an investigation, the Department will	Implemented by FCPD, as the Panel understands the response to be to the Panel's prior belief that

	and it undermines community trust in the Panel." If the FCPD believes such information is relevant to the investigation, "that information should be included only in the Department's investigative file."	examine this content for relevancy as it pertains to either a criminal or administrative investigation. The Department does not publicly release the findings of administrative investigations, except in the rarest of occasions where, due to public request, the Department would be compelled to disclose whether an accused officer was found in violation of Department policy. The Department does not publicly post administrative investigatory information in any event, and that information is kept confidential within the Department unless subjected to court-ordered discovery or in accordance with the Code of Virginia. All of the information was obtained via public websites from a Google search. The information that was released was already publicly available on the internet.	this was "not implemented" because in fact the FCPD will not be publicly releasing social media information of complaints.
2018 Annual Report (Published March 21, 2019)	FCPD disposition letters to the complainant upon conclusion of FCPD investigations, "must contain sufficient, specific detail to provide complainant with a clear understanding of the scope of the FCPD investigation and the rationale for the FCPD findings."	The FCPD co-produced a disposition letter with members of the community. Commanders who author these letters were then trained on the new form in September. Since that time, the new form has been in use.	New format for more explanatory disposition letters has been adopted by the FCPD and is being implemented.

2018 Annual Report (Published March 21, 2019)	"Action Item 17, dated December 6, 2016 (p. 278), limits the Panel's ability to include salient facts in public reports. This restriction inhibits "the Panel's ability to achieve its purpose 'to enhance police legitimacy and to build and maintain public trust between the FCPD, the Board of Supervisors and the public."	During Quarterly Meetings, FCPD representatives coordinated with the CRP in preparation of the proposed Action Item that was adopted by the Board of Supervisors on September 24, 2019, giving the Panel the authority to disclose facts of the investigation in the Panel's Review Reports, with certain restrictions.	Action Item adopted by the Board of Supervisors on September 24, 2019, gives the Panel authority to disclose facts of the investigation in Review Reports with certain limited restrictions.
2018 Annual Report (Published March 21, 2019)	"The Panel suggests that the Board of Supervisors require a quarterly meeting among the Chiefs of Staff for the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors and the Chairman of the Public Safety Committee, the FCPD Chief, and the Chair and Vice-Chair of the Panel to review Panel comments and recommendations and discuss the implementation of the same.	The FCPD supports the quarterly meetings and the sharing of information regarding Panel comments and recommendations. These meetings began in June 2019 and are continuing to occur with FCPD staff present for each of them.	Implemented by FCPD
CRP-18-26 (Published March 8, 2019)	"During FCPD administrative investigations, where statistical evidence is used, [the Panel] recommends the Crime Analyst Unit (CAU) be consulted in the gathering, preparation and reporting of the statistical data."	The compilation of statistical evidence is the responsibility of the Analyst assigned to the Internal Affairs Bureau.	Implemented by FCPD

CRP-18-26 (Published March 8, 2019)	"The FCPD should make BWC and In-Car Video (ICV) footage available for viewing at Panel Review Meetings as requested by the Panel."	Requests for the Panel to view video and audio footage will be approved on a case-by-case basis.	FCPD explanation noted. The Chief has committed to review any Panel request for footage and determine whether to release of requested footage on a case-by-case basis.
CRP-18-26 (Published March 8, 2019)	"The Panel recommends that the FCPD ensures that individuals involved in incidents with FCPD officers which are subject to a complaint be provided with an opportunity to review the video footage of the incidents."	It has been the policy of the Police Department to allow complainants to view video footage consistent with Body Worn Camera Pilot Program SOP 18-506, Section VII, Paragraph B and General Order 430.8, In Car Video Program Procedures, Section IV, Paragraph C-5.	Implemented by FCPD
CRP-18-12 (Published January 9, 2019)	"The Panel recommends that FCPD periodically summarize and publish all FCPD discipline across the entire FCPD without specifically identifying the disciplined officer by name."	In keeping with our commitment to transparency, the FCPD annually publishes an Internal Affairs Bureau Statistical Report, which is made available both within and outside of the Department. IAB is currently researching best practices. Once a template is developed, it will be discussed with the County Attorney for legal review.  These reports are posted quarterly, and identify rank of the accused	Under Review by FCPD.

		officer, allegation, disciplinary measures implemented, and disposition.	
CRP-18-12 (Published January 9, 2019)	"The Panel recommends that the FCPD ensure that all concerns outlined in future Complaints be fully investigated and separately addressed in the Investigation Report." (Officer's demeanor was not explicitly discussed in the Investigation Report, even though it had been an issue in the Complaint)."	Complaints received by the FCPD are thoroughly investigated. As stated in your report, Major Reed assured the Civilian Review Panel (CRP) members that investigators take a holistic approach to ensure that all aspects of a complaint are addressed. Upon completion, all investigations are subject to a multilayer review. This investigative review may be conducted by Station Commanders, Bureau Commanders, Deputy Chiefs, and the Chief of Police to ensure accuracy and thoroughness.	FCPD explanation noted.
CRP-18-12 (Published January 9, 2019)	"The Panel recommends that the FCPD develop an efficient methodology to reintegrate some level of supervision over the submission of [FR300P accident report] forms [by FCPD officers]." The Panel concluded that the consequences for errors could be problematic, as certain insurance claims were initially denied based on erroneous information in the initial FR300P."	Under the Traffic Records Electronic Data System (TREDS) system, which is a VA State Program, when an officer submits an FR300P, a layered approval process begins. The first layer is the TREDS system itself, which provides a real-time review to ensure all required fields are populated. After the TREDS system review, the report is submitted for internal review by the FCPD Central Records Division. The Central	The Panel accepts explanation of FCPD regarding supervision under TREDS System.

		Records Division has received specialized training on TREDS and have the delegated authority to accept or reject accident reports if they are not in compliance. In addition, the Central Records Staff distributes error reports to supervisory staff to ensure quality control and accountability.	
CRP-17-10 (Published March 26, 2018)	"[T]he Complainant indicated in her statement to the Panel that, other than the Notification, she had not received any further explanation from the FCPD. The Panel recommends that the FCPD contact the complainant and offer her whatever additional explanation that is legally permissible and appropriate under the circumstances."	Letter signed by Station Commander was sent to the complainant indicating the officer's violation was addressed and how to seek additional recourse. Internal Affairs Bureau (IAB) personnel also had a phone conversation with the complainant to address their concerns.	Implemented by FCPD