

## **APPENDICES**

Appendix A: Protection Area Review and Additional Site Data.....	ii
Appendix B: Perennial Stream Field Identification Protocol .....	iii
Appendix C: Perennial Stream Field Data Sheet.....	xx
Appendix D: Glossary of Terms .....	xxii
Appendix E: List of Acronyms and Abbreviations.....	xxv

# Appendix A

## Resource Protection Area Review and Additional Site Data

### Overview

---

#### Introduction

Since the adoption of the November 2003 Chesapeake Bay Preservation Area Maps, Stormwater Planning Division staff have received numerous inquiries as to the perenniality of some streams located near areas of new development.

This document is a compilation of information for each of the contentious sites and provides explanations for the determinations.

---

#### Contents

The contents of this appendix include information for:

Topic	See Page
Burkes Spring Branch	A-2
Cedarest Street	A-7
Cinder Bed Road	A-11
Crimmins Lane	A-15
Hidden Creek Drive	A-19
Harrison Lane	A-24
Lewinsville Park	A-28
Wedderburn Property	A-32

---

#### Contact

For any questions or concerns, please contact:

**Watershed Planning and Assessment Branch**

Stormwater Planning Division  
Department of Public Works and Environmental Services

703-324-5500

[www.fairfaxcounty.gov/dpwes/stormwater/](http://www.fairfaxcounty.gov/dpwes/stormwater/)

---

# Burke's Spring Branch / Stockwell Manor & Frase Property

---

**Contacts**

- Frank Crandall, Dranesville District citizen, Environmental Quality Advisory Council (EQAC) member
- Cathy Saunders, Dranesville District citizen, Founder of Friends of Burke's Spring Branch

---

**Location** Burke's spring is located south of Hutchinson Road near the major intersection of Great Falls Street and Idylwood Road.

Supervisor District:  
Dranesville Watershed: Pimmit  
Run  
Tax Map: 40-2  
Rezoning Case: RZ/FDP 2003-DR-031

---

**Concern** Disagreement with the County's initial designation of Burke's Spring Branch. Data were submitted to Environmental Quality Advisory Council (EQAC) suggesting that Burke's Spring Branch was perennial. EQAC passed a resolution stating that the stream was perennial.

---

**County's Initial Designation** Non-perennial channel

---

**Explanation** Initially Burke's Spring Branch was examined from the road culvert at Hutchison Street downstream to the confluence of Burke's Spring Branch and the unnamed tributary that flows along the east side of the Haycock Longfellow Neighborhood Park. The stream channel was found to be dry throughout and was summarily characterized as being intermittent in nature.

These observations were taken during a non-drought period when conditions were extremely moist. Based on the field protocol and absence of flow during this period the assessed stream reach was classified as intermittent (not perennial). Based on these findings, the stream reach above Hutchison Street was not assessed at that time.

---

*Continued on next page*

# Burke's Spring Branch / Stockwell Manor & Frase Property, Continued

**Explanation**  
(continued)

As part of a rezoning case (RZ/FDP2003-DR-031) for the Frase Property/Stockwell Manor site, a second assessment of Burke's Spring Branch was conducted by staff on 10/17/03. The region was still experiencing extremely moist conditions at the time of this site visit.

The stream reach was classified as non-perennial based on a lack of geomorphologic (physical) stream features, absence of low chroma soils and a benthic macroinvertebrate community structure that was more characteristic of intermittent streams. Flow was present throughout the reach and the adjacent spring.

The macroinvertebrate community was characteristic of an intermittent stream with snails and *Amphipoda* (scuds). *Hydropsychidae* (net-spinning caddisflies), a more perennial species, were observed but were not dominant or present throughout much of the reach.

Based on these observations as well as downstream intermittent stream conditions, it was the professional judgment of staff that the stream reach is non-perennial.

**Timeline**

Date	Action	Initials
8/12/03	RPA report submitted to SWPD by Wetland Studies and Solutions, Inc. (WSSI)	WSSI
9/11/03	Initial Stream Survey of Burke's Spring Branch	GE, CG
10/17/03	Site visit of Burke's Spring	GE, CG
3/15/04	Memo to BOS from DPZ	JZ
3/18/04	Memo to Nancy Hopkins, Planning Commission from SWPD	CB
8/30/04	QC Survey of Burke's Spring Branch	LG, SC

*Continued on next page*

## Burke's Spring Branch / Stockwell Manor & Frase Property, Continued

---

**Final Outcome** Based on numerous site visits and the dry stream conditions observed downstream of the spring, the stream remains classified as non-perennial. Flow subsides approximately 200 feet downstream of where the spring enters the stream. The spring itself was observed to be flowing during each site visit. The flow from the spring passes through a small wetland before entering the stream. Although the spring appears to be a continuous source of water to the stream, it is not enough to sustain surface flow throughout the channel even under wet hydrologic conditions.

It should be noted that as part of the rezoning process staff, EQAC and local residents worked with the land developer to preserve the spring and portions of the riparian buffer.

---

*Continued on next page*

## Burke's Spring Branch / Stockwell Manor & Frase Property, Continued

---

### Images

The photographs below were taken on October 17, 2003 and August 30, 2004, respectively.




Looking upstream at dry channel of Burkes Spring Branch behind townhouses on Brooks Square Place.



Looking upstream at Burkes Spring Branch approximately 100 feet above Hutchinson Street.

---




New RPA Adopted in 2003  
2,376 linear feet

RPA Adopted in 1993

SUBJECT STREAM

	Perennial Streams		1993 RPA
	Non-Perennial Streams		2003 RPA



Burke's Spring Branch  
Stream: Tributary to Pimmit Run  
Watershed: Pimmit Run  
Dranesville District, Tax Map - 40-2

# Cedarest Street

---

**Contact**

- Melanie Connolly, John Laing Homes
- Stephen Rottenborn, Wetland Studies and Solutions, Inc. (WSSI)

---

**Location**

Tributary of Bear Branch

Supervisor District: Providence  
Watershed: Accotink Creek  
Tax Map: 48-4  
Rezoning Case: RZ 2002-PR-047

---

**Concern**

Disagreement with the County’s initial designation of the stream. An RPA study was submitted by WSSI suggesting that the stream was not perennial. Staff testimony before the BOS clarified that the RPA study was denied because of insufficient evidence proving the stream does not flow.

---

**County’s Initial Designation**

Perennial

---

**Explanation**

Upstream limits of the perennial/Intermittent transition zone found approximately where stream enters parcel 048-4 ((1)) 33A. The stream floodplain widens and the stream meanders below this point. Hydric soils, wetland vegetation, and strong hydrologic and geomorphologic indicators of perennality predominate throughout reach. Biological indicators were weak, but may be attributed to degraded habitat and water quality conditions locally (stormwater effects).

---

**Timeline**

Date	Action	Initials
6/2/03	Memo to DPZ from SWPD	
6/3/03	Initial Stream Survey	SC, DD, EH
9/12/03	WSSI RPA study submitted	WSSI

---

*Continued on next page*



## Cedarest Street, Continued

---

### Timeline (continued)

<b>Date</b>	<b>Action</b>	<b>Initials</b>
8/19/03	Second Stream Survey	DD, GE
10/1/03	Memo to WSSI from SWPD	
6/7/04	SWPD testimony before BOS	MM, DL
8/10/04	QC Stream Survey	SC, DD

---

**Final Outcome** Perennial

---


*Continued on next page*

## Cedarest Street, Continued

---

### Images

The photographs below were taken on August 10, 2004.





Looking upstream at channel along 2848 Cedarest Road.



Looking downstream at perennial channel below Cedarest Road.

---



Cedarest Lane Property  
Stream: Unnamed Tributary to Bear Branch  
Watershed: Accotink Creek  
Providence District, Tax Maps 48-2, 48-4

# Cinder Bed Road

---

**Contact**

- Mr. Jang Lee, property owner
- Laura Geise, Wetland Studies and Solutions, Inc. (WSSI)

---

**Location**

Tributary to Long Branch of Accotink Creek

Supervisor District: Lee  
Watershed: Accotink Creek  
Tax Map: 99-2  
Rezoning Case: RZ 2003-LE-010, RZ 2003-LE-015

---

**Concern**

Disagreement with the County’s initial designation of the stream. In June 2003, WSSI provided a stream assessment to DPWES for the stream behind 7813 Cinder Bed Road. WSSI evaluated the stream using both the Fairfax County Perennial Stream Field Identification Protocol and the North Carolina Department of the Environment and Natural Resources—Division of Water Quality Stream Assessment Method to determine if the stream is perennial or not. Using Fairfax County’s protocol, the stream in question received a non-perennial score of 21.5 by WSSI; 5.0 points for hydrology, 14.0 points for geomorphology, 2.0 points for soils, and 0.5 for biology.

---

**County’s Initial Designation**

Perennial

---

**Explanation**

In June of 2003, ecologists Shannon Curtis and Danielle Derwin were sent to the Cinder Bed property to assess if the stream was perennial. The stream received a score of 28.5; 8.5 points for hydrology; 17.0 points for geomorphology, 2.0 points for soil, 1.0 point for vegetation, and no points for biology. This stream was determined to be perennial and it was noted that the stream has been drastically degraded by incision and sedimentation caused by unmanaged stormwater from upstream. Because of this the score may be lower than it would have been since geomorphology and biology have been affected.

---

*Continued on next page*

## Cinder Bed Road, Continued

---

**Explanation**  
(continued)

On October 1, 2003, DPWES sent WSSI a memo indicating that the point of perenniality is well above the parcels on 7813 Cinder Bed Road and that the RPA will remain on the stream.

---

**Timeline**

<b>Date</b>	<b>Action</b>	<b>Initials</b>
6/3/03	Initial Stream survey	EH, DD, SC
6/26/03	Memo from WSSI to SWPD	
9/17/03	Second Stream Survey	LG, AP
10/1/03	Memo to WSSI from SWPD	
8/30/04	QC Stream Survey	DD, GE

---

**Final Outcome**

Perennial. RPA will remain.

---

*Continued on next page*

## Cinder Bed Road, Continued

---

### Images

Images taken on June 2, 2003.





Picture of perennial stream looking upstream.



Picture of perennial stream taken of downstream section looking upstream

---



Cinder Bed Road Property  
 Stream: Unnamed Tributary to Long Branch  
 Watershed: Accotink Creek  
 Lee District, Tax Map 99-2

# Crimmins Lane

---

**Contact**                   • Numerous residents living adjacent to the stream along Overbrook Street  
                                  • Frank Crandall, Dranesville District citizen, EQAC member

---

**Location**                An unnamed tributary of Pimmit Run that parallels Overbrook Street from  
Powhatan Street to Lily Pond Drive.

Supervisor District: Dranesville  
Watershed: Pimmit Run  
Tax Map: 41-1

---

**Concern**                Perenniality was not extended along the stream that parallels Overbrook  
Street. New development planned (004294-PL -01-1) for field along the  
northside of the stream.

---

**County's Initial  
Designation**           Perennial channel downstream from Lily Pond Dr.; Non-perennial channel  
parallel to Overbrook

---



**Explanation**

Chad Grupe and Gayle England assessed the stream flowing parallel to Overbrook Drive in September of 2003. It was designated perennial with an overall score of 29 (7.5 for hydrology, 14 for geomorphology, 3 for vegetation and a total of 4.5 for biology). After consideration by the ecologists who visited the site, three points were taken away from the survey because EPT were only found at the very bottom of the reach. Therefore the stream was moved to a “borderline” status and no RPA was given.

Several citizens from the area indicated that the stream is in fact perennial, and they would like to have protection for the stream.

On August 30, 2004, staff reassessed the stream as part of the scheduled QC process. The stream was broken into two reaches based on physical and biological conditions. The break point was defined at the location of a stormwater outfall at 6448 Overbrook Road. This outfall was observed to be flowing during all site visits under baseflow conditions indicating additional groundwater inputs. The stream’s floodplain widens below this point and there is a clear change in the biological community with the presence of Net-Spinning Caddisfly larvae (*Hydropsychidae*) and Blacknose Dace (*Rhinichthys atratulus*) fish were prevalent throughout the reach. This portion of the stream was classified as perennial. The stream channel above the stormwater outfall narrows lacking both physical characteristics and biological indicators of perennial flow. The upper stream reach remains classified as non-perennial.

---

*Continued on next page*

## Crimmins Lane, Continued

---

### Timeline

<b>Date</b>	<b>Action</b>	<b>Initials</b>
9/10/03	Stream Survey	CG, GE
6/24/04	Memo to Ian MacKay	CB
8/30/04	QC Survey	SC, LG
10/12/04	Second QC Site Visit	CG, MM
10/27/04	Email to Rosemary Ryan from Matt Meyers	MM

---

### Final Outcome

The perennial stream was extended upstream approximately 780 feet to a stormwater outfall located on 6448 Overbrook Road. This stream was found to have additional biological and physical indicators of perennial flow. The RPA has been added around this new perennial stream segment on the proposed amendments to the Chesapeake Bay Preservation Area maps.

---

*Continued on next page*

## Crimmins Lane, Continued

---

### Images

The photographs below were taken on September 10, 2003.





Looking upstream at channel towards Powhatan St.



Looking downstream at channel below Crimmins Lane.

---



Crimmins Lane Property  
 Stream: Unnamed Tributary to Pimmit Run  
 Watershed: Pimmit Run  
 Dranesville District, Tax Map 41-1

# Harrison Lane

---

**Contact**

- Keith Martin,
  - James Irre, PWS, McCarthy & Associates, Inc.
- 

**Location**

Headwater tributary to Barnyard Run

Supervisor District: Lee  
Watershed: Dogue Creek  
Tax Map: 92-2, 92-4

---

**Concern**

Disagreement with original 1993 RPA designation of the stream.

In April of 2003, Keith Martin questioned the designation of a stream parallel to Harrison Lane and located on tax map 92-2, in the Dogue Creek watershed. The stream in question is a solid blue line in the USGS 7.5 quadrangle map, and therefore had been protected since 1993. On their own, Mr. Martin and Mr. Irre surveyed the reach using the Fairfax County Stream Classification Protocol and determined the stream to be non-perennial.

During the November 6, 2003 Planning Commission meeting, Mr. Martin contested the RPA in that there was no original RPA on the map, and a new RPA to be adopted by the Board of Supervisors on November 17, 2003 would require for the new development to file an RPA exception in order to construct the road. On November 17, 2003, Keith Martin spoke at the Board of Supervisor meeting, again questioning the validity of the RPA on the stream. He argued that the stream is on top of a ridge and all the water flows down to Harrison Lane and this is not a characteristic of a RPA.

---

**County's Initial Designation**

Perennial. Stream is also a solid blue line on the USGS 7.5 quadrangle map and, therefore, was included on the original 1993 CBPA maps.

---

**Explanation**

To address the concern of both Mr. Martin and Mr. Irre, two ecologists (Shannon Curtis and Danielle Derwin) assessed the stream on April 21, 2003. It was determined that the stream was perennial upstream of the area in question, with a score of 39.0 points. The reach received 9.5 points for hydrology, 19.0 points for geomorphology, 3.0 points for soils, 3.5 points for vegetation, and 4.0 points for biology. Isopods, *Hydropsychidae*, and salamanders were found along the reach. It was also noted that the area scored by Mr. Martin and Mr. Irre was too short a reach of stream, which is not consistent with the procedure recommended to those who use the Fairfax County Stream Classification protocol.

In August of 2003, Williamsburg Environmental Group, Inc., consultants to the county, visited the area during the Fairfax County perennial stream project. Their findings were consistent with both the County's and the original USGS determinations.

On December 1, 2003, Ecologists Gayle England and Danielle Derwin, as well as Chris Lamond (FCPA) revisited the site to look at the hydrology at a dry time and found the stream flowing. Two additional visits were made upstream of the reach in August and October of 2004. During both visits, the stream was designated perennial.

---

*Continued on next page*

## Harrison Lane, Continued

---

### Timeline

<b>Date</b>	<b>Action</b>	<b>Initials</b>
4/21/03	Site visited in response to dispute	SC, DD
8/14/03	Site Survey	CP, SW
11/6/03	Planning Commission Meeting	
11/17/03	BOS Meeting	
12/1/03	Revisited site to address BOS concerns	GE, DD, CL
8/30/04	QC Survey	GC, DD
10/12/04	Final survey of stream	SC

---

**Final Outcome** 1993 RPA is correct and will remain.

---

*Continued on next page*

## Harrison Lane, Continued

---

### Images

The pictures below were taken on August 21, 2003 and April 21, 2003, respectively.




Picture taken looking upstream above Pollins Court.





Picture taken at the intersection of Harrison Lane and Pollins Court.

---





	Perennial Streams		1993 RPA
	Non-Perennial Streams		2003 RPA



Harrison Lane Property  
 Stream: Headwater Tributary to Barnyard Run  
 Watershed: Dogue Creek  
 Lee District, Tax Maps - 92-2, 92-4

# Hidden Creek Drive

---

**Contact** • Eula Bond, Dranesville District citizen

---

**Location** An unnamed tributary that originates beneath Dara Lane and flows in a westward direction through two in-line wet ponds before meeting with a larger unnamed tributary of Difficult Run.

Supervisor District: Dranesville  
Watershed: Difficult Run  
Tax Map: 19-2  
Approved Plan (August 3, 2004): 002443-PL -02-2

---

**Concern** A resident living along Hidden Creek Drive contacted SWPD staff for information related to the classification of a stream near her property. On the November 2003 Chesapeake Bay Preservation Area Map, neither the unnamed stream nor the two in-line wet ponds were included as Resource Protection Areas. Upon review of the field maps, it was recognized that the stream in question was unintentionally missed during the original survey of this area. The property at tax map number 0192((1))-0062 has an approved plan for development.

The headwaters of this stream are piped beneath the housing development at Dara Lane. The stream is piped again under the adjacent properties along Hidden Creek Drive, immediately downstream of the first wet pond before reaching its confluence with a larger un-named Difficult Run tributary. The remaining 300 foot upstream section of exposed stream straddles tax maps 19-2 and 19-4.

---

**County's Initial Designation** Perennial

---

**Explanation** The perennial stream determination was based on strong hydrologic, channel, streambed soils, and biological indicators that are characteristic of streams with perennial flow. These indicators include the presence of seeps and springs, which provide a consistent groundwater recharge to the stream, hydric soils, and numerous Net-Spinning Caddisflies.

---

*Continued on next page*

## Hidden Creek Drive, Continued

---

**Explanation**  
(continued)

The last significant rainfall, 72 hours prior to the August 17<sup>th</sup> survey, was recorded as 0.24 inches by the Colvin Run Police Station rain gauge (D2010). Both the Palmer Drought and Palmer Hydrological Drought Indices show that the region was in near normal hydrologic conditions during this period.

---

**Timeline**

<b>Date</b>	<b>Action</b>	<b>Initials</b>
7/04	Phone call from Eula Bond	MM
8/3/04	Development plan approved by Planning Commission	
8/12/04	Phone call from Eula Bond	LG
8/17/04	Initial stream survey	DD, LG

---

**Final Outcome**

Stream is perennial. Chesapeake Bay Preservation Area map will be revised.

---

*Continued on next page*

## Hidden Creek Drive, Continued

---

### Images

The photographs below were taken on August 17, 2004.





Looking downstream at unnamed tributary from edge of property at 9105 Dara Lane. Seeps located along right bank immediately to the right.



Looking downstream at the unnamed tributary from upstream section of reach.

---

---



Hidden Creek Drive  
 Stream: Unnamed Tributary to Difficult Run  
 Watershed: Difficult Run  
 Dranesville District, Tax Maps - 19-2, 19-4

# Lewinsville Park

---

**Contact**

- Speakers at BOS public hearing on November 17, 2003.
- Frank Crandall, Dranesville District citizen, Environmental Quality Advisory Council (EQAC) member

---

**Location**

Unnamed tributary flowing parallel to Chain Bridge Road, from a stormdrain outfall at the end of Mary Ellen Court through Lewinsville Park to Davidson Road.

Supervisor District: Dranesville District  
Watershed: Pimmit Run  
Tax Map: 30-3

---

**Concern**

Residents indicated the stream is not perennial and that they observed the channel to be dry occasionally. Frank Crandall provided data to staff suggesting stream may be perennial.

---

**County's Initial Designation**

Perennial

---

**Explanation**

Initially the stream was determined to be perennial. It was surveyed during a wet period and had strong hydrological and geomorphological characteristics. Because of stormwater inputs, limited biological indicators were present. This section was also designated as perennial in the 1955 Fairfax County Soil Survey.

When the stream was resurveyed during normal hydrological conditions in 2004, the stream contained isolated pools and lacked biological indicators. This finding called for the retraction of the RPA along this section of stream.

---

*Continued on next page*

## Lewinsville Park, Continued

---

### Timeline

<b>Date</b>	<b>Action</b>	<b>Initials</b>
9/17/03	Initial Field Survey	DD, GE
11/17/03	BOS Meeting	
12/1/03	Field Survey	DD, GE
8/30/04	QC Field Survey	SC, LG

---

**Final Outcome** Intermittent; RPA amended and submitted to the BOS for approval.

---

*Continued on next page*

## Lewinsville Park, Continued

---

### Images

The photographs below were taken on September 17, 2003 and August 30, 2004, respectively.




Looking downstream toward 1631 Chain Bridge Rd downstream of Lewinsville Park.



Looking downstream toward 1631 Chain Bridge Road downstream of Lewinsville Park.

---





New RPA Adopted in 2003  
1,670 linear feet

RPA Adopted in 1993

SUBJECT STREAM

Lewinsville Park

CHAIN BRIDGE ROAD


30-1

30-2

30-3

30-4

	Perennial Streams		1993 RPA
	Non-Perennial Streams		2003 RPA



Lewinsville Park  
Stream: Unnamed Tributary to Pim mit Run  
Watershed: Pim mit Run  
Drainseville District, Tax Map - 30-3

# Wedderburn Property

---

**Contact**

- Mike Rolband, Wetland Studies and Solutions, Inc.(WSSI)
  - James L. Perry, Elm Street Development
  - Mr. and Mrs. Leppin, Property Owners

---

**Location** An unnamed tributary to Bear Branch is located on the Wedderburn property from the WO&D trail to Aponi Road.

Supervisor District: Providence  
Watershed: Accotink Creek  
Tax Map: 39-3  
Rezoning Case: RZ 2003-PR-026

---

**Concern** Disagreement with point of perennality along stream. An initial RPA study submitted to DPWES suggested the stream was not perennial but the property contains jurisdictional wetlands. The study was denied due to insufficient data. A second RPA study was submitted and denied again due to insufficient data. Homeowner affidavits explaining that the channel doesn't flow were submitted to DPWES. A third RPA study containing photos showing a non-flowing channel on September 2, 2004, 12 days since a significant rainfall, were submitted and accepted. Staff visited the site September 13, 2004, 5 days after the last rainfall event and observed flow in the channel.

---

**County's Initial Designation** Perennial

---

**Explanation** Groundwater seeps were found along the length of the stream, gleyed soils and wetlands exist along lower end of the stream. An old springhouse structure is on the property suggesting historical groundwater input to system.

Resident also stated that the stream flows all the time except in periods of extreme drought, summer of 2002. Moderate geomorphological indicators were present in addition to perennial indicators such as *Hydropsychidae* larvae (Net-spinning Caddisfly).

---

*Continued on next page*

## Wedderburn Property, Continued

---

### Timeline

<b>Date</b>	<b>Action</b>	<b>Initials</b>
5/5/03	RPA study submitted to SWPD	WSSI
5/29/03	Initial Stream Survey	SC, GE
7/8/03	Second RPA study submitted to SWPD	WSSI
7/22/03	Site visit	SC, DD, AP, GE
7/31/03	Rezoning Application Review to DPZ from SWPD	CB
8/1/03	Memo from Mr. and Mrs. Leppin to DPWES	
8/14/03	Memo from Chairman of BOS to DPWES containing homeowner affidavits	BOS
9/5/03	Memo to Mr. and Mrs. Leppin from SWPD	CB
9/7/04	Third RPA study submitted	WSSI

---

### Final Outcome

Perennial stream was moved down stream to the property line based on the final RPA plan (002504-RPA-002) submitted by WSSI. The proposed amendments to the Chesapeake Bay Preservation Area maps will show the perennial stream and RPA revisions.

---

*Continued on next page*

## Wedderburn Property, Continued

---

### Images

The photographs below were taken on May 29, 2003 and July 22, 2003, respectively.





Looking upstream at stream in question, above Aponi Road.



Looking downstream towards Wedderburn property boundary.

---



Wedderbum Property  
 Stream: Headwater Tributary to Bear Branch  
 Watershed: Accotink Creek  
 Providence District, Tax Map - 39-3

## APPENDIX B: PERENNIAL STREAM FIELD IDENTIFICATION PROTOCOL



# Perennial Stream Field Identification Protocol



May 2003

This protocol defines procedures for making field determinations between perennial and intermittent streams. The protocol was developed to support fieldwork for the Fairfax County stream-mapping project. Several existing protocols were used to develop this protocol including:

- Virginia Chesapeake Bay Local Assistant Department's (CBLAD) "Very Rough Draft Guidance for Making Perennial vs. Intermittent Stream Determinations." December 2000.
- North Carolina Division of Water Quality's "Perennial Stream Reconnaissance Protocols" January 2000. Version 2.0. (<http://h2o.enr.state.nc.us/ncwetlands/strmfrrm.html>)
- Williamsburg Environmental Group, Inc. "Qualitative Field Procedures for Perennial Stream Determinations." [unpublished manuscript] Corresponding Author: D.A. DeBerry.
- U.S. Corps of Engineers Branch Guidance Letter No 95-01: Identification of Intermittent versus Ephemeral Streams—Not Ditches. October 1994.

The determination between perennial and intermittent streams is based on the combination of hydrological, physical and biological characteristics of the stream. Field indicators of these characteristics are classed as primary or secondary and ranked using a weighted, four-tiered scoring system similar to the current system developed by the North Carolina Division of Water Quality (NCDWQ). As discussed below, a stream reach is classified as perennial based on the overall score as well as supporting information such as long term flow monitoring, presence of certain aquatic organisms, or historic information.

### DEFINITIONS

**Perennial Stream** – A body of water flowing in a natural or man-made channel year-round, except during periods of drought. The term "water body with perennial flow" includes perennial streams, estuaries, and tidal embayments. Lakes and ponds that form the source of a perennial stream, or through which the perennial stream flows, are a part of the perennial stream. Generally, the water table is located above the streambed for most of the year and groundwater is the primary source for stream flow. In the absence of pollution or other manmade disturbances, a perennial stream is capable of supporting aquatic life.

**Intermittent Stream** – A body of water flowing in a natural or man-made channel that contains water for only part of the year. During the dry season and periods of drought, these streams will not exhibit flow. Geomorphological characteristics are not well defined and are often inconspicuous. In the absence of external limiting factors (pollution, thermal modifications, etc), biology is scarce and adapted to the wet and dry conditions of the fluctuating water level.

## DATA REVIEW

The following information should be reviewed prior to conducting a field reconnaissance.

- Existing Fairfax County GIS data layers for the generation of 1:250 scale field maps showing project area.
- USGS 7.5-minute quadrangle maps and current USDA Fairfax County Soil Survey.
- County aerial photographs.
- Current weather conditions including date of last rainfall and drought condition using the following sources of data:
  - ✓ Fairfax County Department of Public Works and Environmental Services currently maintains 10 rain gauge stations within the County (see Appendix A for relative locations).
  - ✓ Dulles Airport <http://weather.noaa.gov/weather/current/KIAD.html>
  - ✓ Regan National Airport <http://weather.noaa.gov/weather/current/KDCA.html>
  - ✓ Virginia State Climatology Office <http://climate.virginia.edu/>
  - ✓ Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation (DCR) Drought Monitor: <http://www.deq.state.va.us/info/drought.html>
  - ✓ U.S. Drought Monitor <http://www.drought.unl.edu/dm/index.html>
  - ✓ The National Weather Service <http://205.156.54.206/er/lwx/index.htm>

## FIELD RECONNAISSANCE

### General Procedures

- The field protocol was developed for use throughout the year, with an expected amount of redundancy to account for seasonal variation. March through May represents the optimal time period to observe key biological species and normal flow conditions. The dry season (July through September) represents the ideal time to observe stream flow. Streams that contain flow during the dry period are likely to be perennial assuming normal precipitation conditions. However, the final determination of perenniality should be based on an evaluation of the hydrological, physical, and biological field indicators defined below.
- Preliminary stream reaches should be identified on the generated maps prior to field observations. The maps should include all pertinent GIS data layers including streams, roads, building footprints, parcels, parking lots, RPAs, topography, stormwater structures, sanitary sewer structures, etc. By studying the maps before field investigations, more information can be ascertained about land uses and landscape characteristics in contributing drainage areas, as well as access issues and sampling logistics.
- Field reconnaissance should begin within the existing RPA or from the upstream point of flow to confirm the presence of a perennial stream. Proceed to a point where there is a significant change in the hydrological, geomorphological, or biological conditions of the stream.



**Figure 1:** Example of a headcut where perennial stream flow begins.

For example, a confluence with a flowing tributary. Document grade controls and headcuts on the 1:250-scale field map and on the field data sheet. Also document on the maps where flow begins and whether it is from a groundwater seep/spring or outfall. These features along with site scores and other reach characteristics will ultimately be used to determine the break point between perennial and intermittent stream reaches. It has been observed that flow may stop at a point and begin again some distance downstream. Therefore, reconnaissance should continue until obvious intermittent or ephemeral stream characteristics are noted (lack of strong evidence of continuous drainage channel, dry channel, etc.). After walking upstream and documenting the aforementioned features, investigators should then have a good idea where individual stream reach breaks lie. At this point sampling reaches may be established and subsequent data sheets filled out.

- Complete a data sheet for each catchment. Determinations are made on a representative stream reach by examining at least 200 feet and not a single point. A reach should have similar physical characteristics and may be bounded by an upstream and downstream tributary, grade control, other physical feature (headcut, pipe, etc), or an obvious change in channel characteristic (sinuosity, slope, etc). The upper limits of a reach will define the upper limits of a perennial stream. Document the location of the reach and site ID on the field map and data sheet. See Appendix B for a list of feature and reach codes.

### **Equipment**

- Camera
- 16 inch Oakfield probe or Dutch Auger
- Sharpshooter spade
- D-frame dip net/white sorting tray (optional, but may be necessary in Coastal Plains)
- Polarized sunglasses (optional)
- Munsell Soil Color Charts
- GIS-generated site maps (approximately 1 inch = 250 feet)
- Virginia Save Our Streams Benthic Macroinvertebrate Field Sheets:  
<http://www.sosva.com/downloads.htm>
- Vegetation Field Guides (Examples):
  - Harlow, William M. *Trees of the Eastern and Central United States and Canada*. New York: Dover Publications, Inc., 1942.
  - Hurley, Linda M. *Field Guide to the Submerged Aquatic Vegetation of Chesapeake Bay*. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 1992.
  - Magee, Dennis W. *Freshwater Wetlands, A Guide to Common Indicator Plants of the Northeast*. Amherst: The University of Massachusetts Press, 1981.
  - Newcomb, Lawrence. *Newcomb's Wildflower Guide*. Boston: Little, Brown and Company, 1977.
  - Petrides, George A. *Peterson Field Guides Series-A Field Guide to Trees and Shrubs, Northeastern and north-central United States and southeastern and south-central Canada*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin Company, 1958.
  - Tiner, Ralph W. *Field Guide to Nontidal Wetland Identification*. Cooperative Publication. Annapolis: Maryland Department of Natural Resources; Newton Corner: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 1998.

### **FIELD INDICATORS**

When assessing the field indicators, in addition to the individual descriptions given below, the amount of time and effort involved in locating and identifying the features described must be factored into each ranking. Use the following time/effort guidelines in conjunction with the detailed ranking parameters for



each indicator in assessing the strong, moderate, weak, or absent description and assigning the associated scores. *Note:* “strong” does not always mean a strong indication of perenniality. Some indicators, such as leaf litter in streambed, will receive a score of zero for “strong”.

*Strong* - Found easily and consistently throughout the reach.

*Moderate* - Found with little difficulty but not consistently throughout the reach.

*Weak* - Takes 10 or more minutes of extensive searching to find.

*Absent* - Indicator is not present.

## **Streamflow and Hydrology**

- 1. Presence or absence of flowing water, >48 hours since last rainfall:** Preferably, flow observations should be taken at least 48 hours after the last rainfall. Local weather data and drought information should be reviewed before evaluating flow conditions. See Data Review section, above, for weather data sources.

Perennial streams will have water in their channels year-round in the absence of drought conditions. If a stream exhibits flowing water in the height of the dry season (mid-summer through early fall), then it probably conveys water perennially. On the other hand, a stream that does not exhibit flow during periods of increased rainfall would indicate an intermittent or ephemeral flow. Flow is more readily observed in the riffles and very shallow, higher-velocity areas of the stream. Dropping a floating object on the water surface will aid in determining if flow is present.

*Strong* - Flow is highly evident throughout the reach. Moving water is easily seen in riffles and runs.

*Moderate* - Moving water is easily seen in riffle areas but not as evident throughout the runs.

*Weak* - Flow is barely discernable in areas of greatest gradient change (i.e. riffles) or floating object is necessary to observe flow.

*Absent* - Water present but there is no flow; dry channel with or without standing pools.

- 2. Presence of high groundwater table or seeps and springs:** Groundwater Table: The presence of a high groundwater table or discharge (i.e. seeps or springs) indicates a relatively reliable source of water to a nearby stream. Indicators of a high groundwater table include visual observation of inundation or soil saturation in the floodplain. Indicators of a high water table can be observed by digging a hole in the adjacent floodplain approximately two feet away from the streambed. The presence of water seeping into the hole (usually a slow process) or the presence of hydric soils indicates the presence of a high groundwater table. Use the *Munsell Soil Color Charts* book to determine the chroma of the soil matrix/mottles in the hole. Low chroma soils or mottled soils are good indicators of a high groundwater table\*. Hydric soils in the sides of a channel or headcut are also indicators of groundwater discharge. High groundwater tables are commonly found in the Coastal Plain as well as portions of the Triassic Basin within areas of low relief. Seeps: Seeps have water dripping or slowly flowing out from the ground or from the side of a hill or incised stream bank. Springs: Look for “mushy” or very wet and black decomposing leaf litter nearby in small depressions or natural drainage ways. Springs and seeps often are present at grade controls and headcuts. The presence of this indicator suggests that the stream is continually being recharged by a groundwater source unless during a period of drought. Score this category based on the abundance of these features observed within the reach.

*Strong* - Spring, seep or groundwater table is readily observable throughout reach.

*Moderate* - Springs, seeps or groundwater table are present, but not abundant throughout reach.

*Weak* - Indicators are present, but require considerable time to locate.

*Absent* - No springs or seeps present and no indication of a high groundwater table.

\*For more information on chroma and redox-morphic features, see following geomorphology section.

- 3. Leaf litter in streambed:** Are leaves (freshly fallen or older leaves that may be “blackish” in color and/or partially decomposed) accumulating in the streambed? Perennial streams (with deciduous riparian vegetation) should continuously transport plant material through the channel. Leaves and lighter debris will predominate throughout the length of non-perennial stream channels, whereas there will be little to no leaves present in the stronger flowing areas (riffles) with small accumulations on the upstream side of obstructions. This indicator may be hindered during autumn sampling in between rain events. This is a secondary hydrologic indicator. *Note the reversal of score on the data sheet.*

*Strong* - Abundant amount of leaf litter is present throughout the length of the stream.

*Moderate* - Leaf litter is present throughout most of the stream’s reach with some accumulation beginning on the upstream side of obstructions and in pools.

*Weak* - Leaf litter is present and is mostly located in small packs along the upstream side of obstructions and accumulated in pools.

*Absent* - Leaf litter is not present in the fast moving areas of the reach but there may be some present in the pools.

- 4. Drift lines or wrack lines:** Twigs, sticks, logs, leaves, trash, plastics, and any other floating materials piled up on the upstream side of obstructions in the stream, on the streambank, in overhanging branches, and/or in the floodplain indicate high stream flows. Unless downstream of a stormdrain, non-perennial streams usually exhibit fewer or no drift lines within their channels. This is a secondary hydrologic indicator of perenniality.

*Strong* - Large drift lines are prevalent along the upstream side of obstructions within the channel and the floodplain.

*Moderate* - Large drift lines are dispersed mostly within the stream channel.

*Weak* - Small drift lines are present within the stream channel.

*Absent* - No drift lines are present.

- 5. Sediment on debris or plants:** Are plants in the stream, on the streambank, or in the floodplain stained white, gray, red, or brown, with sediment? Look for silt/sand accumulating in thin layers on debris or rooted aquatic vegetation in the runs and pools. Be aware of upstream land-disturbing construction activities, which may contribute greater amounts of sediments to the stream channel, and can confound this indicator. Note these activities on the data sheet. This is a secondary hydrologic indicator.

*Strong* - Sediment found readily on plants and debris within the stream channel, on the streambank, and within the floodplain throughout the length of the stream.

*Moderate* - Sediment found on plants or debris within the stream channel although not prevalent along the stream. Mostly accumulating in pools.

*Weak* - Sediment is isolated in small amounts along the stream.

*Absent* - No sediment is present on plants or debris.

## **Geomorphology**

- 1. Riffle-Pool sequence:** A repeating sequence of riffle/pool (or riffle/run in lower-gradient streams) can be observed readily in perennial streams. This morphological feature is always present to some degree in higher gradient streams such as the piedmont streams that predominate much of Fairfax County. This is a result of sediment transport and the work of channel-shaping hydrologic forces.

**Riffle:** Shallow, turbulent areas along narrower portions of a stream where the water has a tendency to churn and flow rapidly. In smaller streams, riffles are defined as areas of a distinct change in gradient where flowing water can be observed. **Pool:** Areas of slow moving water, where the stream widens and deepens. Along the stream reach, take notice of the frequency between the riffles and pools. Keep in mind that because of higher gradients, riffles are more frequent in the Piedmont physiographic province than in the Coastal Plains and many parts of the Triassic Basin.

*Strong* - Demonstrated by an even and frequent number of riffles followed by pools along the entire reach. There is an obvious transition between riffles and pools.

*Moderate* - Represented by a less frequent number of riffles and pools. Distinguishing the transition between riffles and pools is difficult.

*Weak* - Streams show some flow but mostly have areas of pools or mostly areas of riffles.

*Absent* - There is no sequence exhibited, or there is no flow in the channel.

- 2. USDA Texture in stream bed/Substrate Sorting:** Observe the substrate comprising the bottom of the streambed. In pristine stream environments with a normal flow regime, substrate movement is highly dependent upon particle size; heavier substrate material (sand, gravel and cobbles) tends to remain in place while the finer silts and clays are transported quickly downstream. In urban and suburban areas, however, storm outfalls often drain runoff directly to the channel, and the highly erosive flash flows associated with heavy storm events remove all sized particles, and the channel quickly becomes incised. Although the distinction between the two situations should be kept in mind, the manner in which the remaining particles settle out will be consistent, and the question becomes, “is there an even distribution of various sized substrates throughout the reach or does partitioning occur (See Appendix C)?” The occurrence of depositional features will be infrequent in intermittent streams. Perennial streams, on the other hand, tend to exhibit correspondingly larger depositional features, with cobble/gravel/boulders being localized in riffles and runs, and with accumulations of fine sediments settling out in pools.

*Strong* - There is a clear distribution of various sized substrates. Depositional features are present, finer particles are absent or accumulate in pools, and larger particles are located in the riffles/runs.

*Moderate* - Various sized substrates are present but represented by a higher ratio of larger particles (cobble/gravel/rock). Small depositional features are present; small pools are accumulating some sediment.

*Weak* - Substrate sorting is not readily observed. There may be some small depositional features present on the downstream side of obstructions (large rocks, etc...).

*Absent* - Substrate sorting is absent. There are few depositional features.

- 3. Natural levees:** Levees develop when sand or silt is deposited relatively parallel to the top of the bank. These aid in the concentration of water in the channel during periods of high flow. They are represented as large “mounds,” “hills,” or broad low “ridges” that may be covered by vegetation or remain as bare areas. Scoring is based on the presence and length of the levee through the stream reach.
- 4. Sinuosity:** How much does the stream bend and curve? Is the channel meandering? Has the stream been straightened by human influence (i.e. piping, ditching, stormdrains, farming, roads, etc...). If so, is the stream beginning to meander around deposited sediments *within* its channelized banks? Sinuosity is the ratio of the stream channel length (SL) to the down-valley length (VL). The higher the ratio (SL/VL), the more sinuous the stream. Sinuosity is the result of the stream naturally dissipating its flow forces. Intermittent streams don’t have a constant flow regime, and as a result exhibit a significantly less sinuous channel morphology. While ranking, take into consideration the

size of the stream, which may also influence the stream wavelength. Sinuosity may be visually estimated, or approximated using a map and a map-wheel.

*Strong* - Ratio > 1.4. Stream has numerous, closely-spaced bends, very few straight sections.

*Moderate* - Ratio  $\leq$  1.4. Stream has good sinuosity with some straight sections.

*Weak* - Ratio  $\leq$  1.2. Stream has very few bends and mostly straight sections.

*Absent* - Ratio = 1.0. Stream is completely straight with no bends.

- 5. Active (or Relic) Floodplain:** Floodplains are relatively flat areas usually located outside of or adjacent to the stream bank that accumulate organic matter and alluvium deposited during flooding. An active floodplain shows characteristics such as drift lines and sediment deposited on the banks or surrounding plants, which may also be flattened by flowing water. In cases of severe channel incision (down-cutting) the stream's new floodplain may be restricted to within the channel itself, and its disconnected (relic) floodplain will be harder to see (outside of channel). In these instances, look for indicators along the sides and within the incised channel. In either case, there should be evidence of a floodplain if the stream has perennial flow.

*Strong* - The area displays all of the aforementioned characteristics.

*Moderate* - Most of the characteristics are apparent.

*Weak* - The floodplain is not obvious; however, some of the indicators are present.

*Absent* - The characteristics are not present.

- 6. Braided Channel:** Occurs in shallow, low gradient areas where abundant sediment has a tendency to build up, crosscutting the stream creating a braided pattern.

*Strong* - The stream displays a braided appearance with many crossings creating many "islands."

*Moderate* - The stream displays a braided pattern; however, it does not cross many times and only has a few "islands."

*Weak* - The braided pattern is present but the stream only crosses one or two times creating only one or two "islands."

*Absent* - The gradient is too high such that the water is flowing too quickly in order to create a braided channel.

- 7. Recent Alluvial Deposits:** Alluvium may be deposited as sand, silt, various sized cobble, and gravel. Observe whether or not there is any recent deposition or accumulation of these substrates within the stream channel (sand and point bars) or floodplain. The amount of alluvium deposited will indicate whether water is constantly pushing substrate downstream and will also determine ranking. Keep in mind that eroding stream channels influenced by stormwater drains/outfalls will likely score higher than natural channels for this indicator.

*Strong* - Large amounts of sand, silt, cobble, and/or gravel alluvium present in the channel and in the floodplain.

*Moderate* - Large to moderate amount of sand, silt, cobble, and/or gravel mostly present in the stream channel.

*Weak* - Small amounts of sand, silt, and/or small cobble present within the channel.

*Absent* - There are no sand or point bars present within the stream channel and no indication of overbank deposition within the floodplain.

- 8. Bank-full Bench present:** When a stream channel conveys perennial flow, the forces of channel scouring and deposition create certain distinct physical features, which can be readily observed. One of these features includes scoured areas along the bank above which the stream banks are much less eroded. Another feature is accumulations of sand or silt creating a bar or “bench” which may or may not be covered with vegetation. The former should be fairly continuous along the length of the stream’s banks and should be seen at roughly the same elevation as the top of any sediment bars (where the stream bank slope begins to increase dramatically). Please see Figure 2 below.



*Figure 2: Examples of bank-full elevation (bench) in a second order, perennial stream.*

Bank-full indicators imply that the channel experiences a relatively continuous hydrologic regime and is in dynamic equilibrium with the shaping forces of its water/sediment load. The flow regime, soils, and grade determine the bank-full width and morphology of the conveyance channel. The more obvious and continuous the bank-full features are throughout the reach, the higher the score should be.

*Strong* - Bank-full indicators are obvious throughout the sample reach.

*Moderate* - Indicators are present throughout most of the reach.

*Weak* - Indicators are infrequent along sampling reach.

*Absent* - Indications of a bank-full bench are completely lacking.

- 9. Continuous bed and bank:** Throughout the length of the stream, is the channel well defined by having a clearly discernable bank and streambed? The clarity of this indicator lessens upstream as the stream becomes ephemeral.

*Strong* - There is a continuous bed and bank throughout the length of the stream channel.

*Moderate* - The majority of the stream has a continuous bed and bank. However, there are obvious interruptions.

*Weak* - The majority of the stream has obvious interruptions in the continuity of bed and bank; however, there is still some representation of the bed and bank sequence.

*Absent* - There is little or no ability to distinguish between the bed and bank.

- 10. Second order or greater channel (rather than stream):** The higher the channel order the more likely the stream is to be perennial. Stream order should be based on available information and evaluated in the field. The primary map sources to be use include the Fairfax County Soil Survey and the most recent Fairfax County GIS hydrography data layer. Second order flowing streams are almost always perennial, while second order channels are usually in the intermittent/perennial zone. It is often difficult to evaluate stream order on channels starting at a stormwater outfall. Based on field observations, these channels are considered 1<sup>st</sup> order. However, a review of historic data such as the County Soil Survey may indicate that the order is greater.

**YES** - One or more first order channels are draining into the stream above sampling reach.  
**NO** – There are no first order inputs above sampling reach.

## Streambed Soils

The soils indicators described here were taken from the wetland delineation procedures set forth in the 1987 US Army COE Manual:

Environmental Laboratory. (1987). “Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual,” Technical Report Y-87-1, U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experimentation Station, Vicksburg, Miss.

1. **Redox-morphic features:** Iron found in the matrix of soil continuously inundated with water cannot come in contact with the oxygen in the air and thus stays in the reduced ferrous ( $\text{Fe}^{2+}$ ) valence state. This is seen as a grayish soil matrix. If the soil goes through a wetting/drying phase (as with intermittent or ephemeral streambeds), the iron will oxidize once in contact with atmospheric  $\text{O}_2$  to form the ferric ( $\text{Fe}^{3+}$ ) valence state. This is seen as the classic iron oxide or “rust” red color mottling within the matrix (Figure 3). This is a redox-morphic feature. Use a Dutch auger or Oakfield probe to obtain a 12 to 14-inch deep core of the streambed soil. This may be impossible in some very rocky-bottom streams. In this case try to bore in at an angle where the stream bank meets the substrate. If this fails, the soils indicators are not applicable (N/A) and should not be scored. Be sure to split the soil pedon apart in many places to look for these small pockets of oxidized soil iron. Sometimes “oxidized rhizospheres” or higher colored mottles surrounding root cavities in the soil will be easily observed. Tiny (<2 mm), hard manganese or iron concretions in the matrix are also redox-morphic features. In inundated soils and wetlands, redox-morphic features are absent. Redox-morphic features are usually absent, or very difficult to observe in high chroma soils. However, the absence of redox features in these soils is not an indicator of inundation. Caution must be used when scoring this indicator in non-gleyed soils. In sandy soils, redox-morphic features are uncommon or very difficult to identify. In these instances look for organic matter distributed evenly throughout the matrix. Organic matter is moved downward through sandy soils as the water table fluctuates. As a result, dark organic streaks can be seen in most ephemeral and intermittent stream soils, which contain substantial amounts of organic materials. When soil from a darker area is rubbed between the fingers, the organic matter will leave a stain.

*Scoring is ranked purely on the presence or absence of these features.*



**Figure 3:** Iron oxidized mottling of a gleyed soil matrix.



**Figure 4:** A high chroma soil matrix



**Figure 5:** Completely gleyed, low-chroma soil matrix.

2. **Chroma:** Mineral soils which are exposed to atmospheric oxygen in the soil profile will have some degree of oxidation occurring and as a result will have bright red, orange, or yellow matrix colors (Figure 4). Saturated soils, such as those found in the streambeds of perennial streams, have limited or no contact with O<sub>2</sub>, will remain reduced and subsequently have a very dull color chroma or may be gleyed completely (dull gray hues or chroma throughout soil ped [Figure 5]). The soil sample should be representative of the major stream bed/bank soil type observed throughout the sample reach. Use the Munsell Color Charts book to determine the chroma of the soil matrix. The soil matrix is defined as the dominant soil constituent (>50%). Low chroma values (<2) or gleyed soils indicate continual saturation, while brightly colored soils or mottles (>2) indicate only short periods of wetting, typical of intermittent or ephemeral streambed soils or upland soils.

*Strong* - Gleyed soils.

*Moderate* - Matrix chroma of 1.

*Weak* - Matrix chroma of 2.

*Absent* - Matrix chroma of 2 or greater.

## Vegetation

When ranking the presence of rooted aquatic plants in channel, periphyton/green algae, and iron oxidizing bacteria/fungi use the following:

*Strong* - Found easily and consistently throughout the reach.

*Moderate* - Found with little difficulty but not consistently throughout the reach.

*Weak* - Takes 10 or more minutes of extensive searching to find.

*Absent* - Indicator is not present.

1. **Rooted aquatic plants in channel:** Aquatic plants rooted in the substrate can be described as SAV and floating-leaved plants. Some of the most common found are water lilies (*Nymphaeaceae*). Use wetland plant/aquatic plant field identification guides for appropriate designations.
2. **Presence of Periphyton/Green Algae:** These forms of algae and aquatic mosses are attached to the substrate and are visible as a pigmented mass or film, or sometimes hairlike growths on submerged surfaces of rocks, logs, plants and any other structure within the stream channel. These life forms require an aquatic environment to persist. Periphyton growth is influenced by chemical disturbances such as increased nutrient (N and P) inputs and physical disturbances such as increased sunlight to the stream from riparian zone disturbances.
3. **Iron Oxidizing Bacteria/Fungi:** Iron oxidizing bacteria/fungi in streams derive energy by oxidizing iron, originating from groundwater, from the ferrous form (Fe<sup>2+</sup>) to the ferric form (Fe<sup>3+</sup>). In large amounts, iron-oxidizing bacteria/fungi discolor the stream substrate giving it a red appearance. In small amounts, it can be observed as an oily sheen on the water's surface. This indicates that the stream is being recharged from a groundwater source, and these features are most commonly seen at seeps or springs.
4. **Wetland plants in streambed:**  
The U.S. Army Corp of Engineers wetland delineation procedure utilizes a plant species classification system upon which soil moisture regimes can be inferred. This same system can be used to determine the duration of soil saturation in streams. All wetland designations are defined by *1988 National List of Vascular Plant Species That Occur in Wetlands*, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.\*\* Perennial indicator scores (0 through 3) corresponding to each class of vegetation are listed on the field data sheet.

SAV - (Submerged Aquatic Vegetation) grows completely underwater.

Example: Coontail (*Ceratophyllum demersum*)

Mostly OBL - Obligate wetland plants are almost always found in a wetland (estimated probability is greater than 99 percent) and includes any EAV (Emergent Aquatic Vegetation)

Examples: Skunk Cabbage (*Symplocarpus foetidus*), Cattail (*Typha spp.*)

Mostly FACW - Facultative wetland plants are mostly found in wetlands (estimated probability is 67 to 99 percent).

Example: Cardinal flower (*Lobelia cardinalis*)

Mostly FAC - Facultative plants are equally likely to occur in wetlands or non-wetlands (estimated probability is 34 to 66 percent).

Example: Southern Lady Fern (*Athyrium filix-femina*)

Mostly FACU (1 to 33% probability), UPL (0 – 1% probability), or no plants in streambed.

\*\*Reed, Jr., Porter B. 1988. National List of Plant Species That Occur in Wetlands: National Summary. U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service. Biol. Rep. 88 (24). 244 pp.

Has been updated to 1996 National Listing (1998 revision still pending approval).

Available at <http://www.nwi.fws.gov/bha>

USDA/NRCS 1994 synonymized checklist - PLANTS database:

Available at <http://plants.usda.gov/index.html>

## **Benthic Macroinvertebrates**

*When checking for the presence or absence of Benthic Macroinvertebrates, clams and crayfish, follow these procedures based on physiographic province.*

Turn over the rocks and other large substrate found in areas of visible flowing water, (i.e. riffles) and scan the undersides for benthic macroinvertebrates. Also observe the newly disturbed area where the rock once was for signs of movement. This method may be more suitable for the Piedmont and Triassic Basin provinces where riffles predominate. For the lower gradient Coastal Plain and other areas of slow moving water, benthic macroinvertebrates may be located in a variety of habitats including root wads, undercut banks, pools, leaf-packs, and submerged aquatic vegetation (SAV). Note that some benthic macroinvertebrates will make small debris/sand cases, which can be covered with periphyton and easily confused for excess debris picked up from the substrate.

All macroinvertebrates should be identified to order, using the Virginia Isaac Walton League Save Our Streams Bug ID Charts, available at <http://www.sosva.com/downloads.htm>. For Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, and Trichoptera (EPT), samples should be identified to the lowest taxonomic level possible and noted on the back of the field data sheet. Samples can be retained for further analysis in the laboratory. If clams, crayfish, or amphibians are found in the sample then also fill out the respective lines on the datasheet. Several samples should be taken to accurately assess the reach's benthic community.

*When ranking the presence of benthic macroinvertebrates and bivalves, use the following:*



*Strong* - Indicator is easily found in all samples.

*Moderate* - Only takes a few samples to locate indicator.

*Weak* - Sampling takes 10 minutes or more to locate indicator.

*Absent* - Indicator is not present.

- 1. Benthic Macroinvertebrates:** The larval stages of most aquatic insects are good indicators that the stream is perennial because they require a continuous aquatic habitat until maturity. Crayfish and other crustaceans, as well as aquatic worms and snails are also included under this indicator. The existence of crayfish can also be detected by the presence of “crayfish chimneys” (an extruded tunnel of clay) seen on the stream banks. Follow the sampling/identification procedures detailed above. When scoring, take note of the quantity as well as the diversity of your macroinvertebrate sample. Because some of the species observed are not strict indicators of a constant aquatic regime, this is a secondary indicator of perenniality.
- 2. Bivalves:** Clams require a constant aquatic environment in order to survive. Incorporate the search for bivalves while looking for other benthic macroinvertebrates. This indicator also includes any empty shells found on stream banks and within the channel.
- 3. Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, and Trichoptera (EPT) taxa:** The larval stages of many species of these three orders require a period of at least a year, submerged in a constantly flowing aquatic environment before reaching maturity and therefore are commonly associated with perennial streams. Studies conducted by North Carolina State University have found that benthic samples collected in intermittent streams frequently display crustaceans (crayfish, isopods, and amphipods) as the dominant order. Downstream, where the stream has perennial characteristics, EPT taxa were collected. In highly urbanized areas, these indicators may be absent due to the degraded nature of the stream and, therefore, cannot be used to evaluate perennial or intermittent flow conditions. North Carolina State University is continuing to work on a list of specific genera that exhibit aquatic larval stages requiring a year before maturity. West Virginia’s Department of Environmental Protection also maintains a list of macroinvertebrate species that have an extended aquatic life stage. These lists should be consulted (family or genus level ID) before applying points to the reach score, because some genera, such as the Baetis mayflies, are very ephemeral in their aquatic life stages.

*Presence/Absence*

## Vertebrates

*When ranking the presence of all vertebrates, use the following:*

*Strong* - Indicator is readily visible in all prime habitats.

*Moderate* - Indicator is evident in smaller numbers. Some prime habitat is not occupied.

*Weak* - Indicator is not readily visible, requires 10 or more minutes to locate. Very sparse.

*Absent* - Indicator is not found.

- 1. Fish:** The drastically fluctuating water levels of intermittent streams provide unstable and stressful habitat conditions for fish communities. Only a small number of species will opportunistically inhabit available areas within intermittent streams. Therefore, the presence of fish is used as a secondary indicator of perenniality. When looking for fish, all available habitats should be observed, including pools, riffles, root clumps, and other obstructions (to greatly reduce surface glare, the use of polarized sunglasses is recommended). In small streams, the majority of species usually inhabit pools and runs. Fish should be easily observed within a minute or two. Also, fish will seek cover once alerted to your presence, so be sure to look for them slightly ahead of where you are walking along the stream. Again, check several areas along stream sampling reach.

2. **Amphibians:** Newts, frogs, salamanders, and tadpoles can be found under rocks, on stream banks, and on the bottom of the stream channel. They may also appear in the benthic sample. Frogs will alert you of their presence by jumping into the water for cover, usually following an audible “squeak.” Frogs and tadpoles typically inhabit the shallow, slower moving waters of the pools and near the sides of the bank. Amphibian eggs, also included as a minor indicator, can be located on the bottom of rocks and in or on other submerged debris. They are usually observed in gelatinous clumps or strings of eggs. Frog eggs will be much more prevalent in the springtime. Identify the species of amphibian or describe in detail the characteristics observed. A persistent water regime is not an exclusive requirement for all amphibious species; therefore, this is a secondary indicator of perenniality.

## **Overall Score Interpretation**

The final determination of whether a stream reach is perennial is based on a preponderance of information including the total score, supporting information, and professional judgment. Based on the results of the pilot survey conducted in the fall of 2001 and spring of 2002, a minimum total score of 25 was set as a guideline for classifying a stream as perennial. Higher scores indicate that a channel has more perennial characteristics. Streams with lower scores can be classified as perennial; however, other supporting information such as biological indicators should be used in making the final determination.

The total score can be affected by seasonal or hydrologic conditions as well as man-made impacts associated with activities in the watershed. For example, a reach may score less in drought conditions due to the lack of biological and/or certain hydrologic indicators. However, a reach may score higher on certain indicators, such as drift lines and alluvial deposits, if directly below a stormwater outfall. The final determination of perenniality must take these factors into account. If a stream is recognized as borderline, reaches upstream and downstream should be assessed to better evaluate the changes in stream classifications along a channel. Additional supporting information can be used with the total score to make the final determination. This supporting information includes:

Observation of flow: Observation of flow under certain seasonal or hydrologic conditions can directly support classifying a stream reach as intermittent or perennial.

Conditions supporting a perennial stream classification include:

- Stream reaches with flow during the dry season (July through September) or periods of drought are likely perennial. The longer the period from the last rainfall the stronger the presence of flow supports the perennial stream determination. Although the presence of flow during a drought indicates perennial conditions, care must be taken in evaluating the upper limits of perenniality because some perennial streams may only contain isolated pools of water or be dry during periods of drought.

Key biological indicators: As discussed under the biological criteria, the presence of aquatic organisms whose life cycle requires residency in flowing water for extended periods (especially those one year or greater) is a strong indication that a stream reach is perennial. A qualified aquatic biologist/environmental scientist should evaluate the presence and abundance of such macroinvertebrate and vertebrate species before determining the final stream classification.


Other supporting information: Other data to be considered in determining the final stream classification include:

- Information provided by a long-term resident and/or local professional who has observed the stream during the various seasons and hydrologic conditions.
- Review of historic information such as aerial photography or the Fairfax County Soil Survey. Based on the pilot field surveys and initial countywide surveys, many of the streams shown as perennial (solid lines) on the County Soil Survey have been determined to be perennial using the field protocol.

Professional judgment should be used in conjunction with the total score and supporting information in making the final determination.

### APPENDIX A.

#### FAIRFAX COUNTY-DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS AND ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, WASTEWATER MANAGEMENT DIVISION'S RAIN GAUGE STATION LOCATIONS



**APPENDIX B.****FEATURE/REACH CODES****FEATURES WITHIN REACH**

<b><i>TYPE</i></b>	<b><i>CODE</i></b>
Beaver Dam	BDM
Concrete Ditch (trickle ditch)	CCD
Construction Activity	CON
Damaged Structure or Utility	DAM
Does Not Exist	DNE
Dry Pond Here	DPD
Dry Pond Intake	DPI
Dry Pond Outfall	DPO
Fish Present	FSH
Flow stops (point of drying)	POD
Grade Control (natural or artificial)	GRC
Grassy Drainage Swale	GSW
Groundwater Seep or Spring	GSP
Headcut	HCT
Non-Perennial Channel (Eph/Int) + date	NPC
Other (make comments)	OTH
Picture taken here + date	PIC
Point of Flow	POF
Rip-Rap Channel	RRC
Road Culvert	RCU
Roadside Ditch	RSD
Standing Pools Only (no connecting flow)	POL
Stormwater Drain (Inlet)	SDI
Stormwater Drain (Outfall)	SDO
Stream No longer Exists (gone or piped)	NLE
Unsurveyed Area	UNS
Utility Easement (state type if necessary)	ESM
Utility or Path Crossing	XNG
Wetland or Marshy Area	WTL
Wet Pond Here	WPD
Wet Pond Intake	WPI
Wet Pond Outfall	WPO

**REACH END POINTS**

<b><i>TYPE</i></b>	<b><i>CODE</i></b>
Arbitrary Point Chosen	ARB
Beaver Dam	BDM
Channel Changes	CCH
Dry Pond Intake	DPI
Dry Pond Outfall	DPO
Grade Control (natural or artificial)	GRC
Groundwater, Spring or Seep	GSP
Headcut	HCT
Other (make comments)	OTH
Point of Flow	POF
Property Boundary (public or private)	PBY
Resource Protection Area	RPA
Road Culvert	RCU
Stormwater Drain (Inlet)	SDI
Stormwater Drain (Outfall)	SDO
Tributary (or Confluence)	TRB
Utility Easement (state type if necessary)	ESM
Utility or Path Crossing	XNG
Wetland or Marshy Area	WTL
Wet Pond Intake	WPI
Wet Pond Outfall	WPO

## APPENDIX C.

### PARTICLE SIZE CLASSIFICATION AND DESCRIPTION

Adapted from *Stream Hydrology-An Introduction for Ecologists*  
Nancy D. Gordon, Thomas A. McMahon, Brian L. Finlayson

<u>Classification</u>	<u>Description</u>
Silt/Clay	Size range is less than 0.06 mm
Sand	Size range is 0.06 - 2 mm
Gravel	Size range is 2-4 mm
Pebble	Size range is 4-64 mm
Cobble	Size range is 64-256 mm
Boulder	Size range is greater than 256 mm
Bedrock	

**APPENDIX C: PERENNIAL STREAM FIELD DATA SHEET**

<b>Site ID:</b> _____		<b>Total Score:</b> _____			
Date: _____		Recorder: _____			
Time: _____		Evaluators: _____			
<b>Field Indicators:</b>					
<b>I.) Streamflow and Hydrology</b>					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1.) Presence or absence of flowing water and > 48 hrs since last rainfall	0	1	2	3	
2.) Presence of high groundwater table or seeps and springs	0	1	2	3	
3.) Leaf litter in streambed	1.5	1	0.5	0	
4.) Drift lines	0	0.5	1	1.5	
5.) Sediment on debris or plants	0	0.5	1	1.5	
<b>Total Streamflow and Hydrology Points:</b> _____					
<b>II.) Geomorphology</b>					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1.) Riffle-pool sequence	0	1	2	3	
2.) Substrate Sorting (USDA texture)	0	1	2	3	
3.) Natural Levees	0	1	2	3	
4.) Sinuosity	0	1	2	3	
5.) Active or Relic Floodplain	0	1	2	3	
6.) Braided Channel	0	1	2	3	
7.) Recent Alluvial Deposits	0	1	2	3	
8.) Bankfull Bench present	0	1	2	3	
9.) Continuous Bed and Bank	0	1	2	3	
10.) 2nd order or greater channel present	<b>Yes = 3</b>		<b>No = 0</b>		
<b>Total Geomorphology Points:</b> _____					
<b>III.) Streambed Soils</b>					
1.) Redoximorphic features present in sides of channel or head cut.	<b>Present = 0</b>		<b>Absent = 1.5</b>		
2.) Chroma	gleyed = 3	1 = 2	2 = 1	> 2 = 0	
<b>Total Streambed Soils Points:</b> _____					
<b>IV.) Vegetation</b>					
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1.) Rooted AQUATIC Plants in Streambed	0	1	2	3	
2.) Presence of Periphyton/green algae	0	1	2	3	
3.) Iron Oxidizing Bacteria/Fungus	0	0.5	1	1.5	
4.) Wetland Plants in Streambed (Skip if no plants present in streambed)					
SAV = 3	Mostly OBL =	Mostly FACW = 1	Mostly FAC = 0.5	Mostly FACU, UPL, or None = 0	
<b>Total Vegetation Points:</b> _____					
<b>Comments:</b>					
Front Page Total _____ points					

<b>V.) Benthic Macroinvertebrates</b>						
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong		
1.) Benthic Macroinvertebrates	0	0.5	1	1.5		
2.) Bivalves	0	1	2	3		
3.) EPT taxa	<b>Present = 3</b>		<b>Absent = 0</b>			
<b>Total Benthic Macroinvertebrates Points:</b> _____						
<b>VI.) Vertebrates</b>						
	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong		
1.) Fish	0	0.5	1	1.5		
2.) Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5		
<b>Total Vertebrates Points:</b> _____						
<b>Total Score:</b>						
<b>Benthics/Amphibians Found:</b>						
<b>Weather</b> Rain Gauge _____ Date of Last Rainfall _____ Rainfall Amount _____						
<b>Reach Description</b> Upstream: TRB HCT GRC RCU POF SDO ARB RPA Other: _____ Downstream: TRB HCT GRC RCU POF SDO ARB RPA Other: _____						
<b>Comments:</b>						
<b>Storm Network Connections and Watershed Observations</b>						
<b>Riparian Buffers Width</b>				<b>Riparian Buffer Comments</b>		
<b>LB:</b> Distance	>25 feet	26-50	51-75		76-100	100+
Cover type	Tree	Shrub	Herbaceous		Lawn	Other:
Dominant Species:						
<b>RB:</b> Distance	>25 feet	26-50	51-75		76-100	100+
Cover type	Tree	Shrub	Herbaceous	Lawn	Other:	
Dominant Species:						
<b>Other Observations and Comments:</b>						
Is the reach perennial?                      YES                      NO						
<b>Photo #</b>	<b>Direction (US, DS, L)</b>	<b>Notes</b>				



## APPENDIX D: GLOSSARY OF TERMS

“**Benthic Macroinvertebrate**” means an aquatic animal lacking a backbone and generally visible to the unaided eye.

“**Chesapeake Bay Act**” The Chesapeake Bay Preservation Act, commonly known as "The Bay Act" in Virginia, was adopted by the Virginia General Assembly in 1988. An Official Copy of the Act can be found at: <http://www.cblad.state.va.us/theact.cfm>

“**Chesapeake Bay Preservation Ordinance**” means Chapter 118 of the code of the County of Fairfax, Virginia. The purpose and intent of the Chapter is to encourage and promote: (1) the protection of existing high quality state waters; (2) the restoration of all other state waters to a condition or quality that will permit all reasonable public uses and will support the propagation and growth of all aquatic life, including game fish, which might reasonably be expected to inhabit them; (3) the safeguarding of the clean waters of the Commonwealth from pollution; (4) the prevention of any increase in pollution; (5) the reduction of existing pollution; and (6) water resource conservation in order to provide for the health, safety, and welfare of the present and future citizens of Fairfax County and the Commonwealth of Virginia.

“**Chesapeake Bay Local Assistance**” means the division of Department of Conservation and Recreation within the Commonwealth of Virginia that addresses the impact of land use upon the waters that feed the Chesapeake Bay. <http://www.cblad.state.va.us>.

“**Environmental Quality Corridor**” means lands designated under the Fairfax County Comprehensive Plan, 2003 Edition Policy Plan, Environment, Amended through 8-5-2002, Pages 12–13. Lands may be included within the EQC system if the land has a desirable or scarce habitat type, or one could be readily restored, or the land hosts a species of special interest; the segment of open space could become part of a corridor to facilitate the movement of wildlife; the land could become part of a green belt separating land uses, providing passive recreational opportunities to people; or preservation of this land would result in significant reductions to nonpoint source water pollution, and/or micro climate control, and/or reductions in noise.

“**Intermittent Stream**” means a body of water flowing in a natural or man-made channel that contains water for only part of the year. During the dry season and periods of drought, these streams will not exhibit flow. Geomorphological characteristics are not well defined and are often inconspicuous. In the absence of external limiting factors (pollution, thermal modifications, etc), biology is scarce and adapted to the wet and dry conditions of the fluctuating water level.

“**Non-perennial Channel**” means a stream that does not have flow at any time of the year during normal hydrologic conditions.

“**Nontidal wetlands**” means those wetlands other than tidal wetlands that are inundated or saturated by surface or ground water at a frequency and duration sufficient to support, and that

under normal circumstances do support, a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil conditions, as defined by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency pursuant to Sec. 404 of the Federal Clean Water Act, in 33 CFR 328.3b, dated November 13, 1986, or as subsequently amended.

**“Perennial Stream”** means a body of water flowing in a natural or man-made channel year-round, except during periods of drought. The term “water body with perennial flow” includes perennial streams, estuaries, and tidal embayments. Lakes and ponds that form the source of a perennial stream, or through which the perennial stream flows, are a part of the perennial stream. Generally, the water table is located above the streambed for most of the year and groundwater is the primary source for stream flow. In the absence of pollution or other manmade disturbances, a perennial stream is capable of supporting aquatic life.

**"Resource Management Area" or "RMA"** means that component of the Chesapeake Bay Preservation Area comprised of lands that, if improperly used or developed, have a potential for causing significant water quality degradation or for diminishing the functional value of the Resource Protection Area.

**"Resource Protection Area" or "RPA"** means that component of the Chesapeake Bay Preservation Area comprised of lands adjacent to water bodies with perennial flow that have an intrinsic water quality value due to the ecological and biological processes they perform or are sensitive to impacts that may result in significant degradation of the quality of state waters. In their natural condition, these lands provide for the removal, reduction, or assimilation of sediments, nutrients, and potentially harmful or toxic substances from runoff entering the Bay and its tributaries, and minimize the adverse effects of human activities on state waters and aquatic resources.

**"Tidal shores" or "shore"** means land contiguous to a tidal body of water between the mean low water level and the mean high water level.

**"Tidal wetlands"** means vegetated and nonvegetated wetlands as defined in Chapter 116, Wetlands Zoning Ordinance, of the Fairfax County Code.

**“Tributary Stream”** means any perennial stream that is so depicted on the U.S. geological Survey 7.5 minute topographical quadrangle map (scale 1:24,000).

**"Water body with perennial flow"** means a body of water flowing in a natural or man-made channel year-round, except during periods of drought. The term “water body with perennial flow” includes perennial streams, estuaries, and tidal embayments. A perennial stream means any stream that is both perennial and so depicted on the map of Chesapeake Bay Preservation Areas adopted by the Board of Supervisors pursuant to Section 118-1-9(a). Streams identified as perennial on the adopted map are based on field studies conducted by the Department of Public Works and Environmental Services. Lakes and ponds that form the source of a perennial stream, or through which the perennial stream flows, are a part of the perennial stream. The width of a perennial stream may be measured from top-of-bank to top-of-bank or at the Ordinary High Water Mark (OHWM) as defined by 33 CFR Part 328.3(e). The aerial extent of a pond or lake is

measured at the OHWM. Generally, the water table is located above the streambed for most of the year and groundwater is the primary source for stream flow. In the absence of pollution or other manmade disturbances, a perennial stream is capable of supporting aquatic life.

**“Watershed”** means an area of land that drains to a specific body of water such as a stream, lake, river, or bay.

## APPENDIX E: LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

<b>Bay Act</b>	Chesapeake Bay Preservation Act
<b>BOS</b>	Board of Supervisors
<b>CBLAB</b>	Chesapeake Bay Local Assistance Board
<b>CBLAD</b>	Chesapeake Bay Local Assistance Department
<b>CBPO</b>	Chesapeake Bay Preservation Ordinance
<b>EQC</b>	Environmental Quality Corridor
<b>DPWES</b>	Department of Public Works and Environmental Services
<b>DPZ</b>	Department of Planning and Zoning
<b>EPA</b>	Environmental Protection Agency
<b>EQAC</b>	Environmental Quality Advisory Council
<b>Ordinance</b>	Chesapeake Bay Preservation Ordinance
<b>QC</b>	Quality Control
<b>RMA</b>	Resource Management Area
<b>RPA</b>	Resource Protection Area
<b>SWPD</b>	Stormwater Planning Division
<b>USGS</b>	United States Geological Survey
<b>WSSI</b>	Wetlands Studies and Solution, Inc.