Report of the Advisory Citizens Committee on the 2011 Reapportionment of the

Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County, Virginia



Sharon Bulova, Chairman

John C. Cook, Braddock District

John W. Foust, Dranesville District

Catherine M. Hudgins, Hunter Mill District

> Jeff C. McKay, Lee District

Penelope A. Gross, Vice Chairman, Mason District

Gerald W. Hyland, Mount Vernon District

Linda Q. Smyth, Providence District

Pat Herrity, Springfield District

Michael R. Frey, Sully District

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	Letter to Board of Supervisors from Katherine K. Hanley, C	
	Chairman	1
2.	Current Supervisor Districts	12
	2.1 Map of current supervisor districts	
	2.2 Summarized population counts by district	14
	2.3 Fairfax County Code chapter 7, Article 2	15
	2.4 Map and listing of current voting precincts	18
	2.5 Summarized population counts by precinct	20
3.	Proposed Reapportionment Plans	29
	3.1 Committee Plan 9A1	
	3.2 Committee Plan 9A2	
	3.3 Committee Plan 9A3	
	3.4 Committee Plan 9A4	
	3.5 Committee Plan 9A5	
	3.6 Committee Plan 9A6	
	3.7 Committee Plan 9A7	77
	3.8 Committee Plan 9A8	85
	3.9 Committee Plan 9A9	93
	3.10 Committee Plan 9A10	101
	3.11 Committee Plan 9A11	109
	3.12 Committee Plan 9A12	117
	3.13 Committee Plan 9B1	125
	3.14 Committee Plan 9B2	133
	3.15 Committee Plan 9B3	141
	3.16 Committee Plan 9B4	149
	3.17 Committee Plan 9B5	157
	3.18 Committee Plan 9B6	165
	3.19 Committee Plan 9B7	173
	3.20 Committee Plan 10A1	181
	3.21 Committee Plan 10A2	190
	3.22 Committee Plan 11A1	199
	3.23 Public Plan 1	208
	3.24 Public Plan 2	217
	3.25 Public Plan 3	226
4	County Staff Supporting Advisory Citizens Committee	235



County of Fairfax, Virginia

To protect and enrich the quality of life for the people, neighborhoods and diverse communities of Fairfax County

March 28, 2011

Dear Madam Chairman and Members of the Board:

On behalf of the Advisory Citizens Committee on the 2011 Reapportionment of the Board of Supervisors, I am pleased to present for your consideration 22 plans developed by the members of the Committee and three plans developed by members of the public.

The Board established the Committee to develop recommendations on how the Board might reapportion the nine supervisor districts. State law, as well as federal and state constitutional principles, requires the Board to redistrict every ten years using official decennial Census data. To assist the Board in this endeavor, and to ensure broad public participation, the Board appointed as members of the Committee 21 County residents representing each supervisor district, the Democratic and Republican parties, the Fairfax County Chamber of Commerce, the Federation of Citizens Associations, the League of Women Voters, the African-American community, the Hispanic community, and the Asian/Pacific Islander community, as well as the County at large. A list of the Committee members is attached.

The Committee held seven meetings and one workshop. The time available to the County for redistricting this year is very limited, and the Committee had to complete its work quickly to leave sufficient time for the Board to consider the Committee's work, adopt a redistricting plan, and complete the legal steps required before state and local primary elections are conducted later this year. Therefore, the Committee began work even before the release of the Census data. The first meeting, held on January 18 in the Alternate Emergency Operations Center, featured: (1) a welcome and information from Supervisor Jeff McKay, the Chairman of the Board's Legislative Committee, (2) a retrospective review of the history of district representation and redistricting in Fairfax County, (3) a briefing by staff on the requirements established by federal and state law and by the Board, and (4) an overview of the demographic data the Committee would use to prepare proposed redistricting plans. At the second meeting, on February 1, Committee members learned to use the mapping and group decision software the County provided for the task. By the third meeting, on February 15, the Committee had received the Census data, had learned that the ideal district size to achieve proportional representation among nine districts was 120,192 persons, and had the statistics showing the distribution of the population, including minority populations, among the districts. Therefore, at the third meeting, the Committee members set about preparing plans. A summary of Fairfax County demographic data is attached.

Members, Board of Supervisors March 28, 2011 Page 2

All meetings except for the first were held in a training room operated by the Department of Information Technology. The room was well-suited to the Committee's purposes, with computer terminals and desk space for each Committee member, two large SmartBoard screens for displaying maps and other documents being discussed, and sufficient room for members of the public to attend and observe. The 2010 census data was used by the Committee's mapping software so that each time a district boundary was altered, the population and demographic impacts were immediately visible. For each proposed district, the software automatically calculated the total population, the deviation from the ideal population, and racial minority populations. All Committee meetings were open and advertised to the public, as required by the Virginia Freedom of Information Act. Several visitors attended and observed each Committee meeting.

Each proposed plan in this Report includes five parts. First, each plan includes a map showing the proposed composition of each district. Second, a summary sheet shows the precincts and population the plan proposes to move, along with basic statistical information. Third, summarized population counts are provided for each district in the proposed plan. Fourth, each plan includes a completed questionnaire that Committee members used to serve as a checklist of the legal requirements and the requirements established by the Board in the Resolution it adopted on November 16, 2010. Finally, each plan is accompanied by a proposed ordinance that would effect the plan. Using the questionnaires, each Committee member/author analyzed whether the districts in his or her proposed plan has a maximum population deviation of less than 10 percent, whether the plan creates a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service, whether the plan utilizes existing precinct boundaries and Census block boundaries, whether the districts proposed are compact and contiguous, and whether the plans protect minority voting interests. Additionally, the questionnaire includes a question on whether each proposed plan will increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs, a factor that the Committee agreed should be considered as well.

During the process, the Committee members further refined certain guiding principles. The Committee agreed that its primary concern was to cause minimum disruption to the existing district patterns of service. Many neighborhoods and communities have built relationships with their district Supervisors and those who represent their districts on County Boards and Commissions. Those interactions are important for civic involvement and participation. The Committee recognized that because Congressional, State Senate, and House of Delegate districts all will be changing, it is important to minimize further confusion for residents. Additionally, the Committee agreed that none of the plans forwarded to the Board of Supervisors would move a Supervisor or School Board district member out of his or her district, nor would any of the plans put two Supervisors in the same district. The Committee considered the disruption and cost if district government centers were moved into another district and agreed that none of the plans would include moving district offices out of the district.

Members, Board of Supervisors March 28, 2011 Page 3

Whenever possible, the Committee focused carefully on not unnecessarily breaking up communities of interest, including dividing neighborhoods and civic/homeowners' associations. Not all of the plans are completely successful in meeting this goal. The Committee agreed that the Towns of Herndon, Vienna, and Clifton, and the boundaries of Reston would not be divided. All the forwarded plans meet that goal, although the Committee noted that the boundary between Nottoway and Vienna #6 precincts should be redrawn so that the small portion of the Town of Vienna now in Nottoway precinct is in Vienna #6 precinct instead. The Committee also considered reuniting communities of interest that were divided in previous redistrictings, particularly if the earlier division had created very small precincts that are costly to operate. Other concerns discussed by the Committee included continuity of the Occoquan watershed, high school pyramids, the "Mixing Bowl," and Tysons Corner. We also heard about the need to consolidate small precincts, such as Graham and Greenway, to maximize resources, precluding dividing them into separate districts.

The plans developed by the Committee and presented in this Report include 19 nine-district plans, two ten-district plans, and one eleven-district plan. The Committee agreed that keeping nine districts is preferable because it is far less disruptive and it is more economical. In fact, the majority of the plans in group 9A below make no changes to Sully District or Lee District. Nevertheless, the Committee agreed to send forward the ten- and eleven-district plans to prompt thought and discussion about the possible need to consider increasing the size of the Board in the future, depending on the County's growth.

The Board of Supervisors solicited plans from the general public as well as from the Committee. In order to facilitate the preparation of such plans, the County's website included a redistricting page with mapping files, Census data by precinct and district, and a questionnaire similar to that used by the Committee members. Therefore, this Report includes three plans submitted by members of the public in addition to the 22 plans developed by the members of the Committee. We have included the publicly submitted maps and questionnaires in this Report, but note that none of these plans adhere to the Committee's guiding principles.

The plans are presented as follows:

<u>Group I</u>: Plans in this group, designated 9A_, move 9 or fewer precincts. They are presented in an order that starts with the plan that moves the fewest precincts. Plan Nos. 3 and 4 were each separately submitted by two Committee members, so only one map is included for each. Group I includes 12 plans designated 9A1 through 9A12.

<u>Group II</u>: Plans in this group, designated 9B_, move 10 or more precincts. Group II includes 7 plans designated 9B1 through 9B7.

<u>Group III</u>: Plans in this group, designated 10A_ or 11A_, create additional districts. Group III includes plans 10A1, 10A2, and 11A1.

Members, Board of Supervisors March 28, 2011 Page 4

Public plans: Plans submitted by members of the public.

Finally, the Committee wishes to thank all of the County staff that made this process work so efficiently. Under redistricting veteran Michael Long's leadership, joined by Erin Ward, various agencies had been preparing long before the Committee meetings started and the census data arrived. Special thanks to Mike Liddle, Matthew Miller, Anne Pollack, and Sandy Woiak of the Department of Information Technology, who willingly explained several times how to make the redistricting software work, and to Tom Conry for supporting their efforts. Anne Cahill, another redistricting veteran, provided crucial demographic information and insight. Judy Flaig, from the Office of Elections, pitched in to put up signs and move chairs, along with providing her precinct expertise. Of course the Office of Public Affairs helped to involve and inform the public. Please note the attached list of those who supported this effort. All of them deserve our thanks and appreciation.

Although the Census numbers revealed that Fairfax County has not had as large or as uneven growth as in previous decades and technology has greatly improved, redistricting is still a challenge. The required timelines this year make it even more so. On behalf of the Committee, thank you for giving us this important and interesting opportunity.

Sincerely,

Katherine K. Hanley, Chairman

fatherine f. Kanley

Attachments: List of Committee members

Summary of Fairfax County demographic data

Advisory Citizens Committee on the 2011 Reapportionment of the Board of Supervisors

Member Name

The Honorable Katherine K. Hanley, Chairman

Mr. Walter L. Alcorn

Mr. Christopher Thomas Craig

Mr. C. Denver Lovett Mr. William E. Sudow Mr. Scott T. Robinson

Mr. Robert Schwaninger

Mr. Paul E. Krizek Ms. Carrie Nixon

Mr. Paul J. Liberty Mr. Ralph Hubbard

Ms. Kristin Cabral Mr. David Thomas

Mr. Owen Shortt

Mr. David L. Temple, Jr.

Mr. Jay Bhandari

Mr. Michael Kwon Ms. Fran Fisher

Mr. Robert Jackson

Ms. Bettie Baca

Ms. Anne S. Kanter

Representing

At-Large

At-Large

Braddock District Hunter Mill District

Dranesville District

Lee District

Mason District

Mount Vernon District

Providence District

Springfield District
Sully District

Democratic Party

Republican Party

African-American Community

African-American Community

Asian/Pacific Islander Community

Asian/Pacific Islander Community

Fairfax County Chamber of Commerce


Federation of Citizens Associations

Hispanic Community

League of Women Voters

5 Attachment 1


Fairfax County Demographics



Fairfax County is an urban county that ranks among the top two percent of counties nationwide in terms of population size and density. The county, with a 2010 population of 1,081,726 persons, has more residents than eight states – Alaska, Delaware, Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota, Rhode Island, Vermont and Wyoming. Since 2000, Fairfax County's


population increased by 112,000 persons or 11.5 percent. The growth by supervisor district over the past ten years was uneven. The Braddock Supervisor District population grew the most slowly, increasing by only 5.4 percent or 5,717 persons, while the Hunter Mill Supervisor District experienced the most rapid growth, increasing 15.6 percent or 17,056 persons. Currently, the Mason Supervisor District, which also experienced slow growth (6.0 percent), has the lowest population, 109,326 persons. The Mount Vernon Supervisor District which grew 13.6 percent has the largest population (127,501 persons). If all nine supervisor districts were equal in population size, each would have 120,192 residents.

Fairfax County also is racially and ethnically diverse. Over 45 percent of the county's total population is a racial or ethnic minority. Over the past decade, all of the net population growth in Fairfax County was from racial and ethnic minorities. Unlike many jurisdictions, Fairfax County does not have a predominate




minority group and the county's minorities are widely dispersed geographically. Based on data from the Census Bureau's American Community Surveys, Fairfax County's Asian and Hispanic residents represent many different cultures. Ancestry from a single country does not form a predominate majority in either group. Countywide, 17.5 percent of the population is Asian, 15.6 percent is Hispanic and 9.2 percent is Black/African American.


Among the county's 232 voting precincts, only two precincts contain an Asian population that comprises more than 45 percent of the precinct's total population and only four precincts contain a Hispanic population that exceeds 45 percent of the precinct's population. No precinct in Fairfax County contains a Black/African American population that comprises more than 45 percent of the precinct's total population. By supervisor district, Sully Supervisor District contains the largest proportion of Asian residents (23.5 percent), Mason Supervisor District contains the largest proportion of Hispanic residents (29.3 percent), and Lee (17.5 percent) and Mount Vernon (17.3 percent) Supervisor Districts contain the largest proportions of Black/African American residents.




prepared by Fairfax County Separtment of Neighborhood and Community Services, February 2011.



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File, prepared by Fairfax County **9** per Pepartment of Neighborhood and Community Services, February 2011.




Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File, prepared by Fairfax County 10 partment of Neighborhood and Community Services, February 2011.



Current Supervisor Districts





Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 120,192

Supervisor District	Deviation from Target Population	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Race	Hispanic or Latino
Braddock Percent of total	-7.3%	111,430	73,219 <i>65.7%</i>	6,593 <i>5</i> .9%	369 0.3%	20,791 <i>18.7%</i>	10,458 9.4%	17,530 <i>15.7%</i>
Dranesville Percent of total	-3.8%	115,586	81,963 <i>70.9%</i>	4,884 <i>4.2%</i>	360 <i>0.</i> 3%	18,267 <i>15.8%</i>	10,112	15,122 <i>13.1%</i>
Hunter Mill Percent of total	5.3%	126,594	84,989 <i>67.1%</i>	9,727 7.7%	353 0.3%	21,736 <i>17.2%</i>	9,789 <i>7.7%</i>	13,096 <i>10.3%</i>
Lee Percent of total	-1.8%	117,984	62,721 <i>5</i> 3.2%	20,616 <i>17.5%</i>	618 <i>0.5%</i>	15,958 <i>13.5%</i>	18,071 <i>15</i> .3%	27,668 23.5%
Mason Percent of total	-9.0%	109,326	58,975 53.9%	12,220 <i>11.2%</i>	668 0.6%	18,513 <i>16.9%</i>	18,950 <i>17.3%</i>	32,058 29.3%
Mount Vernon Percent of total	6.1%	127,501	77,931 <i>61.1%</i>	22,111 <i>17.</i> 3%	463 0.4%	14,012 <i>11.0%</i>	12,984 <i>10.2%</i>	18,292 <i>14.3%</i>
Providence Percent of total	2.6%	123,327	75,028 <i>60.8%</i>	7,063 <i>5.7%</i>	467 0.4%	28,387 23.0%	12,382 10.0%	19,131 <i>15.5%</i>
Springfield Percent of total	4.6%	125,775	86,463 <i>68.7%</i>	8,217 <i>6.5%</i>	283 0.2%	22,845 18.2%	7,967 <i>6.3%</i>	10,977 8.7%
Sully Percent of total	3.3%	124,203	76,701 <i>61.8%</i>	7,787 6.3%	303 0.2%	29,152 23.5%	10,260 8.3%	14,608 <i>11.8%</i>
Fairfax County Percent of total		1,081,726	677,990 <i>62.7%</i>	99,218 9.2%	3,884 <i>0.4%</i>	189,661 <i>17.5%</i>	110,973 <i>10.</i> 3%	168,482 <i>15</i> .6%

Summarized Population Counts by Current Supervisor Districts

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE CHAPTER 7

ARTICLE 2. - Election Districts for the Board of Supervisors.

Section 7-2-1. - Composition of the Board of Supervisors.

The Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County, Virginia ("Board"), shall be composed of one elected at-large chairman and nine members who are elected from single-member supervisor election districts.

Section 7-2-2. - Election District of the Chairman.

The Chairman of the Board shall be elected in accordance with state law by the qualified voters of the County.

Section 7-2-3. - Election Districts of the members of the Board.

Except for the Chairman whose election shall be controlled by Section 7-2-2, supra, the members of the Board shall be elected in accordance with state law from single-member election districts by the qualified voters of those districts. There shall be nine election districts that shall be named: Braddock, Hunter Mill, Dranesville, Lee, Mason, Mount Vernon, Providence, Springfield, and Sully.

Section 7-2-4. - Braddock District.

The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae, Bristow, Burke Centre, Cardinal, Chapel, Fairview, Heritage, Keene Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch, North Springfield No. 1, North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde Creek, Olley, Ravensworth, Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield, and Woodson.

Section 7-2-5. - Hunter Mill District.

The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron Glen, Coates, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade, Hunters Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3, South Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No. 4, Vienna No. 6, Westbriar, and Wolftrap.

Section 7-2-6. - Dranesville District.

The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge, Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, Colvin, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville, Great Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory, Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, McLean, Pimmit, Salona, Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Sugarland, Westhampton, and Westmoreland.

Section 7-2-7. - Lee District.

The Lee District shall consist of these election precincts: Bush Hill, Cameron, Clermont, Crestwood, Fairfield, Franconia, Garfield, Greenspring, Groveton, Hayfield, Huntley, Island Creek, Kingstowne, Lane, Lynbrook, Mount Eagle, Pioneer, Rose Hill, Van Dorn, Villages, Virginia Hills, and Wilton.

Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.

The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft, Belvedere, Bren Mar, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Glen Forest No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer, Leewood, Lincolnia, Masonville, Parklawn, Poe, Ravenwood, Ridgelea, Saint Albans, Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No. 1, Westlawn, Weyanoke, Whittier, and Willston.

Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.

The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall, Hunt, Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station, Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood, Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.

Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.

The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Fairfax Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is surrounded by Fairfax City), Fort Buffalo, Freedom Hill, Graham, Greenway, Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak Marr, Oakton, Penderbrook, Pine Ridge, Pine Spring, Price, Shreve, Stenwood, Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, Walker, Walnut Hill No. 2, and Woodburn.

Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.


The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke, Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, Eagle View, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax Station, Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Irving, Monument, Newgate North, Newgate South, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, Popes Head, Sangster, Silverbrook, South Run, Valley, West Springfield, White Oaks, Willow Springs, and Woodyard.

Section 7-2-12. - Sully District.

The Sully District shall consist of these election precincts: Brookfield, Bull Run, Centre Ridge, Centreville, Cub Run, Deer Park, Dulles, Franklin, Green Trails, Kinross, Lees Corner East, Lees Corner West, London Towne East, London Towne West, Navy, Old Mill, Poplar Tree, Powell, Rocky Run, Stone, Vale, Virginia Run, and Waples Mill.

Section 7-2-13. - General provisions.

All references to election precincts shall refer to those precincts, together with the descriptions and maps of the boundaries and polling places for each of those precincts, which were adopted by the Board of Supervisors on March 24, 2003, as amended on March 8, 2004, March 21, 2005, March 27, 2006, March 26, 2007, September 10, 2007, March 10, 2008, January 12, 2009, March 9, 2010, and July 27, 2010, and kept on file with the Clerk to the Board of Supervisors. Whenever a road, a stream, or other physical feature describes the boundary of a precinct, the center of such road, stream, or physical feature shall be the dividing line between that precinct and any adjoining precinct.



Voting Precinct Index - Fairfax County, Virginia March 2011

Number	Precinct Name
102	Bristow
104	Chapel
105	Fairview
106	Heritage
108	Kings Park
109	Olde Creek
110	North Springfield # 1
111	North Springfield # 2
113	Oak Hill
115	Ravensworth
116	Wakefield
117	Woodson
118	Lake Braddock
119	Laurel
120	Sideburn
121	Villa
122	Long Branch
123	Robinson
124	Olley
125	Signal Hill
126	Bonnie Brae
127	Burke Centre
128	Cardinal
129	Keene Mill
130	Terra Centre
202	Flint Hill
203	Floris
208	Reston #1
209	Reston #2
213	Vienna #1
214	Vienna #2
216	Vienna #4
218	Vienna #6
219	Westbriar
220	Dogwood
221	Hunters Woods
222	Reston #3
223	Glade
224	South Lakes
225	Terraset
226	Wolftrap
227	Sunrise Valley
229	Fox Mill
233	North Point
	4111
234	Aldrin
234 235	Frying Pan Stuart

Number	Precinct Name
237	McNair
238	Cameron Glen
239	Coates
301	Chain Bridge
302	Chesterbrook
303	Churchill
304	Cooper
305	El Nido
306	Great Falls
307	Haycock
309	Kenmore
310	Kirby
311	Langley
312	Longfellow
314	McLean
315	Pimmit
316	Salona
317	Westhampton
318	Westmoreland
319	Herndon #1
320	Herndon #2
321	Clearview
322	Forestville
323	Shouse
324	Herndon #3
325	Hutchison
327	Sugarland
328	Hickory
329	Seneca
330	Colvin
331	Spring Hill
401	Bush Hill
402	Cameron
404	Franconia
405	Groveton
406	Hayfield
408	Mount Eagle
409	Pioneer
410	Rose Hill
411	Virginia Hills
413	Fairfield
415	Crestwood
417	Garfield
418	Lynbrook
419	Lane
420	Villages
421	Kingstowne

Number	Precinct Name
422	Van Dorn
423	Clermont
424	Huntley
425	Wilton
426	Greenspring
427	Island Creek
501	Baileys
502	Barcroft
503	Belvedere
505	Glen Forest
506	Holmes #1
507	Lincolnia
508	Masonville
510	Parklawn
511	Ravenwood
512	Sleepy Hollow
513	Saint Albans
515	Westlawn
516	Weyanoke
517	Willston
518	Columbia
519	Hummer
520	Skyline
521	Brook Hill
522	Camelot
523	Poe
524	Whittier
525	Walnut Hill # 1
526	Bren Mar
527	Edsall
528	Ridgelea
529	Glen Forest #2
530	Holmes #2
531	Leewood
601	Belle Haven
602	Belleview
604	Bucknell
605	Fort Hunt
606	Hollin Hall
607	Huntington
608	Kirkside Marlan
609	Marlan
610	Sherwood
611 612	Stratford Waynewood
	*
613	Westgate
614	Whitman

615 Woodley 616 Gunston 617 Lorton 618 Newington 619 Belvoir 621 Grosvenor 622 Lorton Station 623 Alban 624 Hunt 625 Lorton Center 626 Saratoga 627 Woodlawn 628 Laurel Hill 629 South County 700 Fairfax Court 701 Blake 703 Fort Buffalo 704 Freedom Hill 705 Graham 706 Greenway 707 Mantua 708 Marshall 709 Mosby 710 Pine Spring 711 Price 712 Shreve 713 Timber Lane 714 Walker 717 Woodburn 718 Pine Ridge 719 Stenwood </th <th>Number</th> <th>Precinct Name</th>	Number	Precinct Name
616 Gunston 617 Lorton 618 Newington 619 Belvoir 621 Grosvenor 622 Lorton Station 623 Alban 624 Hunt 625 Lorton Center 626 Saratoga 627 Woodlawn 628 Laurel Hill 629 South County 700 Fairfax Court 701 Blake 703 Fort Buffalo 704 Freedom Hill 705 Graham 706 Greenway 707 Mantua 708 Marshall 709 Mosby 710 Pine Spring 711 Price 712 Shreve 713 Timber Lane 714 Walker 717 Woodburn 718 Pine Ridge 719 Stenwood 720 Thoreau </td <td>615</td> <td>Woodley</td>	615	Woodley
618 Newington 619 Belvoir 621 Grosvenor 622 Lorton Station 623 Alban 624 Hunt 625 Lorton Center 626 Saratoga 627 Woodlawn 628 Laurel Hill 629 South County 700 Fairfax Court 701 Blake 703 Fort Buffalo 704 Freedom Hill 705 Graham 706 Greenway 707 Mantua 708 Marshall 709 Mosby 710 Pine Spring 711 Price 712 Shreve 713 Timber Lane 714 Walker 717 Woodburn 718 Pine Ridge 719 Stenwood 720 Thoreau 721 Merrifield 722 Oakto		_
618 Newington 619 Belvoir 621 Grosvenor 622 Lorton Station 623 Alban 624 Hunt 625 Lorton Center 626 Saratoga 627 Woodlawn 628 Laurel Hill 629 South County 700 Fairfax Court 701 Blake 703 Fort Buffalo 704 Freedom Hill 705 Graham 706 Greenway 707 Mantua 708 Marshall 709 Mosby 710 Pine Spring 711 Price 712 Shreve 713 Timber Lane 714 Walker 717 Woodburn 718 Pine Ridge 719 Stenwood 720 Thoreau 721 Merrifield 722 Oakto	617	Lorton
619 Belvoir 621 Grosvenor 622 Lorton Station 623 Alban 624 Hunt 625 Lorton Center 626 Saratoga 627 Woodlawn 628 Laurel Hill 629 South County 700 Fairfax Court 701 Blake 703 Fort Buffalo 704 Freedom Hill 705 Graham 706 Greenway 707 Mantua 708 Marshall 709 Mosby 710 Pine Spring 711 Price 712 Shreve 713 Timber Lane 714 Walker 717 Woodburn 718 Pine Ridge 719 Stenwood 720 Thoreau 721 Merrifield 722 Oakton 728 Walnut H	618	
622 Lorton Station 623 Alban 624 Hunt 625 Lorton Center 626 Saratoga 627 Woodlawn 628 Laurel Hill 629 South County 700 Fairfax Court 701 Blake 703 Fort Buffalo 704 Freedom Hill 705 Graham 706 Greenway 707 Mantua 708 Marshall 709 Mosby 710 Pine Spring 711 Price 712 Shreve 713 Timber Lane 714 Walker 717 Woodburn 718 Pine Ridge 719 Stenwood 720 Thoreau 721 Merrifield 722 Oakton 723 Walnut Hill # 2 729 Nottoway 730 P	619	
622 Lorton Station 623 Alban 624 Hunt 625 Lorton Center 626 Saratoga 627 Woodlawn 628 Laurel Hill 629 South County 700 Fairfax Court 701 Blake 703 Fort Buffalo 704 Freedom Hill 705 Graham 706 Greenway 707 Mantua 708 Marshall 709 Mosby 710 Pine Spring 711 Price 712 Shreve 713 Timber Lane 714 Walker 717 Woodburn 718 Pine Ridge 719 Stenwood 720 Thoreau 721 Merrifield 722 Oakton 723 Walnut Hill # 2 729 Nottoway 730 P	621	Grosvenor
623 Alban 624 Hunt 625 Lorton Center 626 Saratoga 627 Woodlawn 628 Laurel Hill 629 South County 700 Fairfax Court 701 Blake 703 Fort Buffalo 704 Freedom Hill 705 Graham 706 Greenway 707 Mantua 708 Marshall 709 Mosby 710 Pine Spring 711 Price 712 Shreve 713 Timber Lane 714 Walker 717 Woodburn 718 Pine Ridge 719 Stenwood 720 Thoreau 721 Merrifield 722 Oakton 723 Walnut Hill # 2 729 Nottoway 730 Penderbrook 731 Tyso		
624 Hunt 625 Lorton Center 626 Saratoga 627 Woodlawn 628 Laurel Hill 629 South County 700 Fairfax Court 701 Blake 703 Fort Buffalo 704 Freedom Hill 705 Graham 706 Greenway 707 Mantua 708 Marshall 709 Mosby 710 Pine Spring 711 Price 712 Shreve 713 Timber Lane 714 Walker 717 Woodburn 718 Pine Ridge 719 Stenwood 720 Thoreau 721 Merrifield 722 Oakton 723 Walnut Hill # 2 729 Nottoway 730 Penderbrook 731 Tysons 732 Oak		
626 Saratoga 627 Woodlawn 628 Laurel Hill 629 South County 700 Fairfax Court 701 Blake 703 Fort Buffalo 704 Freedom Hill 705 Graham 706 Greenway 707 Mantua 708 Marshall 709 Mosby 710 Pine Spring 711 Price 712 Shreve 713 Timber Lane 714 Walker 717 Woodburn 718 Pine Ridge 719 Stenwood 720 Thoreau 721 Merrifield 722 Magarity 723 Oakton 724 Walnut Hill # 2 725 Nottoway 730 Penderbrook 731 Tysons 732 Oak Marr 733 Kilm		Hunt
627 Woodlawn 628 Laurel Hill 629 South County 700 Fairfax Court 701 Blake 703 Fort Buffalo 704 Freedom Hill 705 Graham 706 Greenway 707 Mantua 708 Marshall 709 Mosby 710 Pine Spring 711 Price 712 Shreve 713 Timber Lane 714 Walker 717 Woodburn 718 Pine Ridge 719 Stenwood 720 Thoreau 721 Merrifield 722 Oakton 728 Walnut Hill # 2 729 Nottoway 730 Penderbrook 731 Tysons 732 Oak Marr 733 Kilmer 801 Burke 803 Clifton </td <td>625</td> <td>Lorton Center</td>	625	Lorton Center
627 Woodlawn 628 Laurel Hill 629 South County 700 Fairfax Court 701 Blake 703 Fort Buffalo 704 Freedom Hill 705 Graham 706 Greenway 707 Mantua 708 Marshall 709 Mosby 710 Pine Spring 711 Price 712 Shreve 713 Timber Lane 714 Walker 717 Woodburn 718 Pine Ridge 719 Stenwood 720 Thoreau 721 Merrifield 726 Magarity 727 Oakton 728 Walnut Hill # 2 729 Nottoway 730 Penderbrook 731 Tysons 732 Oak Marr 733 Kilmer 801 Burke<	626	Saratoga
629 South County 700 Fairfax Court 701 Blake 703 Fort Buffalo 704 Freedom Hill 705 Graham 706 Greenway 707 Mantua 708 Marshall 709 Mosby 710 Pine Spring 711 Price 712 Shreve 713 Timber Lane 714 Walker 717 Woodburn 718 Pine Ridge 719 Stenwood 720 Thoreau 721 Merrifield 726 Magarity 727 Oakton 728 Walnut Hill # 2 729 Nottoway 730 Penderbrook 731 Tysons 732 Oak Marr 733 Kilmer 801 Burke 803 Clifton 805 Fairfax Stati	627	
629 South County 700 Fairfax Court 701 Blake 703 Fort Buffalo 704 Freedom Hill 705 Graham 706 Greenway 707 Mantua 708 Marshall 709 Mosby 710 Pine Spring 711 Price 712 Shreve 713 Timber Lane 714 Walker 717 Woodburn 718 Pine Ridge 719 Stenwood 720 Thoreau 721 Merrifield 726 Magarity 727 Oakton 728 Walnut Hill # 2 729 Nottoway 730 Penderbrook 731 Tysons 732 Oak Marr 733 Kilmer 801 Burke 803 Clifton 805 Fairfax Stati	628	Laurel Hill
700 Fairfax Court 701 Blake 703 Fort Buffalo 704 Freedom Hill 705 Graham 706 Greenway 707 Mantua 708 Marshall 709 Mosby 710 Pine Spring 711 Price 712 Shreve 713 Timber Lane 714 Walker 717 Woodburn 718 Pine Ridge 719 Stenwood 720 Thoreau 721 Merrifield 726 Magarity 727 Oakton 728 Walnut Hill # 2 729 Nottoway 730 Penderbrook 731 Tysons 732 Oak Marr 733 Kilmer 801 Burke 803 Clifton 805 Fairfax Station 811 Pohick <td>629</td> <td></td>	629	
701 Blake 703 Fort Buffalo 704 Freedom Hill 705 Graham 706 Greenway 707 Mantua 708 Marshall 709 Mosby 710 Pine Spring 711 Price 712 Shreve 713 Timber Lane 714 Walker 717 Woodburn 718 Pine Ridge 719 Stenwood 720 Thoreau 721 Merrifield 726 Magarity 727 Oakton 728 Walnut Hill # 2 729 Nottoway 730 Penderbrook 731 Tysons 732 Oak Marr 733 Kilmer 801 Burke 803 Clifton 805 Fairfax Station 811 Pohick 812 Valley <		
703 Fort Buffalo 704 Freedom Hill 705 Graham 706 Greenway 707 Mantua 708 Marshall 709 Mosby 710 Pine Spring 711 Price 712 Shreve 713 Timber Lane 714 Walker 717 Woodburn 718 Pine Ridge 719 Stenwood 720 Thoreau 721 Merrifield 726 Magarity 727 Oakton 728 Walnut Hill # 2 729 Nottoway 730 Penderbrook 731 Tysons 732 Oak Marr 733 Kilmer 801 Burke 803 Clifton 805 Fairfax Station 811 Pohick 812 Valley		
704 Freedom Hill 705 Graham 706 Greenway 707 Mantua 708 Marshall 709 Mosby 710 Pine Spring 711 Price 712 Shreve 713 Timber Lane 714 Walker 717 Woodburn 718 Pine Ridge 719 Stenwood 720 Thoreau 721 Merrifield 726 Magarity 727 Oakton 728 Walnut Hill # 2 729 Nottoway 730 Penderbrook 731 Tysons 732 Oak Marr 733 Kilmer 801 Burke 803 Clifton 805 Fairfax Station 811 Pohick Valley	703	
705 Graham 706 Greenway 707 Mantua 708 Marshall 709 Mosby 710 Pine Spring 711 Price 712 Shreve 713 Timber Lane 714 Walker 717 Woodburn 718 Pine Ridge 719 Stenwood 720 Thoreau 721 Merrifield 726 Magarity 727 Oakton 728 Walnut Hill # 2 729 Nottoway 730 Penderbrook 731 Tysons 732 Oak Marr 733 Kilmer 801 Burke 803 Clifton 805 Fairfax Station 811 Pohick 812 Valley	704	Freedom Hill
707 Mantua 708 Marshall 709 Mosby 710 Pine Spring 711 Price 712 Shreve 713 Timber Lane 714 Walker 717 Woodburn 718 Pine Ridge 719 Stenwood 720 Thoreau 721 Merrifield 726 Magarity 727 Oakton 728 Walnut Hill # 2 729 Nottoway 730 Penderbrook 731 Tysons 732 Oak Marr 733 Kilmer 801 Burke 803 Clifton 805 Fairfax Station 811 Pohick 812 Valley		
707 Mantua 708 Marshall 709 Mosby 710 Pine Spring 711 Price 712 Shreve 713 Timber Lane 714 Walker 717 Woodburn 718 Pine Ridge 719 Stenwood 720 Thoreau 721 Merrifield 726 Magarity 727 Oakton 728 Walnut Hill # 2 729 Nottoway 730 Penderbrook 731 Tysons 732 Oak Marr 733 Kilmer 801 Burke 803 Clifton 805 Fairfax Station 811 Pohick 812 Valley	706	Greenway
709 Mosby 710 Pine Spring 711 Price 712 Shreve 713 Timber Lane 714 Walker 717 Woodburn 718 Pine Ridge 719 Stenwood 720 Thoreau 721 Merrifield 726 Magarity 727 Oakton 728 Walnut Hill # 2 729 Nottoway 730 Penderbrook 731 Tysons 732 Oak Marr 733 Kilmer 801 Burke 803 Clifton 805 Fairfax Station 811 Pohick 812 Valley	707	
710 Pine Spring 711 Price 712 Shreve 713 Timber Lane 714 Walker 717 Woodburn 718 Pine Ridge 719 Stenwood 720 Thoreau 721 Merrifield 726 Magarity 727 Oakton 728 Walnut Hill # 2 729 Nottoway 730 Penderbrook 731 Tysons 732 Oak Marr 733 Kilmer 801 Burke 803 Clifton 805 Fairfax Station 811 Pohick 812 Valley	708	Marshall
710 Pine Spring 711 Price 712 Shreve 713 Timber Lane 714 Walker 717 Woodburn 718 Pine Ridge 719 Stenwood 720 Thoreau 721 Merrifield 726 Magarity 727 Oakton 728 Walnut Hill # 2 729 Nottoway 730 Penderbrook 731 Tysons 732 Oak Marr 733 Kilmer 801 Burke 803 Clifton 805 Fairfax Station 811 Pohick 812 Valley	709	Mosby
711 Price 712 Shreve 713 Timber Lane 714 Walker 717 Woodburn 718 Pine Ridge 719 Stenwood 720 Thoreau 721 Merrifield 726 Magarity 727 Oakton 728 Walnut Hill # 2 729 Nottoway 730 Penderbrook 731 Tysons 732 Oak Marr 733 Kilmer 801 Burke 803 Clifton 805 Fairfax Station 811 Pohick 812 Valley	710	Pine Spring
713 Timber Lane 714 Walker 717 Woodburn 718 Pine Ridge 719 Stenwood 720 Thoreau 721 Merrifield 726 Magarity 727 Oakton 728 Walnut Hill # 2 729 Nottoway 730 Penderbrook 731 Tysons 732 Oak Marr 733 Kilmer 801 Burke 803 Clifton 805 Fairfax Station 811 Pohick 812 Valley	711	
714 Walker 717 Woodburn 718 Pine Ridge 719 Stenwood 720 Thoreau 721 Merrifield 726 Magarity 727 Oakton 728 Walnut Hill # 2 729 Nottoway 730 Penderbrook 731 Tysons 732 Oak Marr 733 Kilmer 801 Burke 803 Clifton 805 Fairfax Station 811 Pohick 812 Valley	712	Shreve
717 Woodburn 718 Pine Ridge 719 Stenwood 720 Thoreau 721 Merrifield 726 Magarity 727 Oakton 728 Walnut Hill # 2 729 Nottoway 730 Penderbrook 731 Tysons 732 Oak Marr 733 Kilmer 801 Burke 803 Clifton 805 Fairfax Station 811 Pohick 812 Valley	713	Timber Lane
718 Pine Ridge 719 Stenwood 720 Thoreau 721 Merrifield 726 Magarity 727 Oakton 728 Walnut Hill # 2 729 Nottoway 730 Penderbrook 731 Tysons 732 Oak Marr 733 Kilmer 801 Burke 803 Clifton 805 Fairfax Station 811 Pohick 812 Valley		Walker
719 Stenwood 720 Thoreau 721 Merrifield 726 Magarity 727 Oakton 728 Walnut Hill # 2 729 Nottoway 730 Penderbrook 731 Tysons 732 Oak Marr 733 Kilmer 801 Burke 803 Clifton 805 Fairfax Station 811 Pohick 812 Valley	717	Woodburn
720 Thoreau 721 Merrifield 726 Magarity 727 Oakton 728 Walnut Hill # 2 729 Nottoway 730 Penderbrook 731 Tysons 732 Oak Marr 733 Kilmer 801 Burke 803 Clifton 805 Fairfax Station 811 Pohick 812 Valley		Pine Ridge
721 Merrifield 726 Magarity 727 Oakton 728 Walnut Hill # 2 729 Nottoway 730 Penderbrook 731 Tysons 732 Oak Marr 733 Kilmer 801 Burke 803 Clifton 805 Fairfax Station 811 Pohick 812 Valley	719	Stenwood
726 Magarity 727 Oakton 728 Walnut Hill # 2 729 Nottoway 730 Penderbrook 731 Tysons 732 Oak Marr 733 Kilmer 801 Burke 803 Clifton 805 Fairfax Station 811 Pohick 812 Valley		Thoreau
727 Oakton 728 Walnut Hill # 2 729 Nottoway 730 Penderbrook 731 Tysons 732 Oak Marr 733 Kilmer 801 Burke 803 Clifton 805 Fairfax Station 811 Pohick 812 Valley		
728 Walnut Hill # 2 729 Nottoway 730 Penderbrook 731 Tysons 732 Oak Marr 733 Kilmer 801 Burke 803 Clifton 805 Fairfax Station 811 Pohick 812 Valley		Magarity
729 Nottoway 730 Penderbrook 731 Tysons 732 Oak Marr 733 Kilmer 801 Burke 803 Clifton 805 Fairfax Station 811 Pohick 812 Valley		
730 Penderbrook 731 Tysons 732 Oak Marr 733 Kilmer 801 Burke 803 Clifton 805 Fairfax Station 811 Pohick 812 Valley	728	
731 Tysons 732 Oak Marr 733 Kilmer 801 Burke 803 Clifton 805 Fairfax Station 811 Pohick 812 Valley		
732 Oak Marr 733 Kilmer 801 Burke 803 Clifton 805 Fairfax Station 811 Pohick 812 Valley		Penderbrook
733 Kilmer 801 Burke 803 Clifton 805 Fairfax Station 811 Pohick 812 Valley		Tysons
733 Kilmer 801 Burke 803 Clifton 805 Fairfax Station 811 Pohick 812 Valley	732	Oak Marr
803 Clifton 805 Fairfax Station 811 Pohick 812 Valley	733	Kilmer
805 Fairfax Station 811 Pohick 812 Valley		
811 Pohick 812 Valley		
812 Valley	805	
815 Woodyard		
	815	Woodyard

825 Cherry Run 827 Irving 833 White Oaks 838 Sangster 839 Silverbrook 840 West Springfield 841 Popes Head 842 Parkway 843 Fairlakes 844 Centerpointe 845 Fountainhead 846 Greenbriar East 847 Greenbriar West 848 Fair Oaks 849 Newgate North 850 South Run 851 Willow Springs 852 Monument 853 Eagle View 854 Newgate South 901 Centre Ridge 902 Brookfield 903 Cub Run 904 Dulles 905 Franklin 908 Kinross 910 London Towne East 911 Navy 913 Rocky Run 914 Vale	Number	Precinct Name
825 Cherry Run 827 Irving 833 White Oaks 838 Sangster 839 Silverbrook 840 West Springfield 841 Popes Head 842 Parkway 843 Fairlakes 844 Centerpointe 845 Fountainhead 846 Greenbriar East 847 Greenbriar West 848 Fair Oaks 849 Newgate North 850 South Run 851 Willow Springs 852 Monument 853 Eagle View 854 Newgate South 901 Centre Ridge 902 Brookfield 903 Cub Run 904 Dulles 905 Franklin 908 Kinross 910 London Towne East 911 Navy 913 Rocky Run 914 Vale 915 Virginia Run 916 Waples Mill 917 Stone 918 Centreville 919 Green Trails 920 Lees Corner East 921 Deer Park 923 Bull Run 924 London Towne West 925 Old Mill	824	Orange
827 Irving 833 White Oaks 838 Sangster 839 Silverbrook 840 West Springfield 841 Popes Head 842 Parkway 843 Fairlakes 844 Centerpointe 845 Fountainhead 846 Greenbriar East 847 Greenbriar West 848 Fair Oaks 849 Newgate North 850 South Run 851 Willow Springs 852 Monument 853 Eagle View 854 Newgate South 901 Centre Ridge 902 Brookfield 903 Cub Run 904 Dulles 905 Franklin 908 Kinross 910 London Towne East 911 Navy 913 Rocky Run 914 Vale 915 Virginia Run	825	Cherry Run
833 White Oaks 838 Sangster 839 Silverbrook 840 West Springfield 841 Popes Head 842 Parkway 843 Fairlakes 844 Centerpointe 845 Fountainhead 846 Greenbriar East 847 Greenbriar West 848 Fair Oaks 849 Newgate North 850 South Run 851 Willow Springs 852 Monument 853 Eagle View 854 Newgate South 901 Centre Ridge 902 Brookfield 903 Cub Run 904 Dulles 905 Franklin 908 Kinross 910 London Towne East 911 Navy 913 Rocky Run 914 Vale 915 Virginia Run 916 Waples Mill 917 Stone 918 Centreville 919 Green Trails 920 Lees Corner East 921 Deer Park 923 Bull Run 924 London Towne West 925 Old Mill	827	
839 Silverbrook 840 West Springfield 841 Popes Head 842 Parkway 843 Fairlakes 844 Centerpointe 845 Fountainhead 846 Greenbriar East 847 Greenbriar West 848 Fair Oaks 849 Newgate North 850 South Run 851 Willow Springs 852 Monument 853 Eagle View 854 Newgate South 901 Centre Ridge 902 Brookfield 903 Cub Run 904 Dulles 905 Franklin 908 Kinross 910 London Towne East 911 Navy 913 Rocky Run 914 Vale 915 Virginia Run 916 Waples Mill 917 Stone 918 Centreville <td></td> <td></td>		
839 Silverbrook 840 West Springfield 841 Popes Head 842 Parkway 843 Fairlakes 844 Centerpointe 845 Fountainhead 846 Greenbriar East 847 Greenbriar West 848 Fair Oaks 849 Newgate North 850 South Run 851 Willow Springs 852 Monument 853 Eagle View 854 Newgate South 901 Centre Ridge 902 Brookfield 903 Cub Run 904 Dulles 905 Franklin 908 Kinross 910 London Towne East 911 Navy 913 Rocky Run 914 Vale 915 Virginia Run 916 Waples Mill 917 Stone 918 Centreville <td>838</td> <td>Sangster</td>	838	Sangster
840 West Springfield 841 Popes Head 842 Parkway 843 Fairlakes 844 Centerpointe 845 Fountainhead 846 Greenbriar East 847 Greenbriar West 848 Fair Oaks 849 Newgate North 850 South Run 851 Willow Springs 852 Monument 853 Eagle View 854 Newgate South 901 Centre Ridge 902 Brookfield 903 Cub Run 904 Dulles 905 Franklin 908 Kinross 910 London Towne East 911 Navy 913 Rocky Run 914 Vale 915 Virginia Run 916 Waples Mill 917 Stone 918 Centreville 919 Green Trails <td>839</td> <td></td>	839	
841 Popes Head 842 Parkway 843 Fairlakes 844 Centerpointe 845 Fountainhead 846 Greenbriar East 847 Greenbriar West 848 Fair Oaks 849 Newgate North 850 South Run 851 Willow Springs 852 Monument 853 Eagle View 854 Newgate South 901 Centre Ridge 902 Brookfield 903 Cub Run 904 Dulles 905 Franklin 908 Kinross 910 London Towne East 911 Navy 913 Rocky Run 914 Vale 915 Virginia Run 916 Waples Mill 917 Stone 918 Centreville 919 Green Trails 920 Lees Corner East <td>840</td> <td></td>	840	
842 Parkway 843 Fairlakes 844 Centerpointe 845 Fountainhead 846 Greenbriar East 847 Greenbriar West 848 Fair Oaks 849 Newgate North 850 South Run 851 Willow Springs 852 Monument 853 Eagle View 854 Newgate South 901 Centre Ridge 902 Brookfield 903 Cub Run 904 Dulles 905 Franklin 908 Kinross 910 London Towne East 911 Navy 913 Rocky Run 914 Vale 915 Virginia Run 916 Waples Mill 917 Stone 918 Centreville 919 Green Trails 920 Lees Corner East 921 Deer Park <td>841</td> <td>Popes Head</td>	841	Popes Head
844 Centerpointe 845 Fountainhead 846 Greenbriar East 847 Greenbriar West 848 Fair Oaks 849 Newgate North 850 South Run 851 Willow Springs 852 Monument 853 Eagle View 854 Newgate South 901 Centre Ridge 902 Brookfield 903 Cub Run 904 Dulles 905 Franklin 908 Kinross 910 London Towne East 911 Navy 913 Rocky Run 914 Vale 915 Virginia Run 916 Waples Mill 917 Stone 918 Centreville 919 Green Trails 920 Lees Corner East 921 Deer Park 923 Bull Run 924 London Towne West	842	
845 Fountainhead 846 Greenbriar East 847 Greenbriar West 848 Fair Oaks 849 Newgate North 850 South Run 851 Willow Springs 852 Monument 853 Eagle View 854 Newgate South 901 Centre Ridge 902 Brookfield 903 Cub Run 904 Dulles 905 Franklin 908 Kinross 910 London Towne East 911 Navy 913 Rocky Run 914 Vale 915 Virginia Run 916 Waples Mill 917 Stone 918 Centreville 919 Green Trails 920 Lees Corner East 921 Deer Park 923 Bull Run 924 London Towne West 925 Old Mill 926 Trinity	843	Fairlakes
846 Greenbriar East 847 Greenbriar West 848 Fair Oaks 849 Newgate North 850 South Run 851 Willow Springs 852 Monument 853 Eagle View 854 Newgate South 901 Centre Ridge 902 Brookfield 903 Cub Run 904 Dulles 905 Franklin 908 Kinross 910 London Towne East 911 Navy 913 Rocky Run 914 Vale 915 Virginia Run 916 Waples Mill 917 Stone 918 Centreville 919 Green Trails 920 Lees Corner East 921 Deer Park 923 Bull Run 924 London Towne West 925 Old Mill 926 Trinity <td>844</td> <td>Centerpointe</td>	844	Centerpointe
847 Greenbriar West 848 Fair Oaks 849 Newgate North 850 South Run 851 Willow Springs 852 Monument 853 Eagle View 854 Newgate South 901 Centre Ridge 902 Brookfield 903 Cub Run 904 Dulles 905 Franklin 908 Kinross 910 London Towne East 911 Navy 913 Rocky Run 914 Vale 915 Virginia Run 916 Waples Mill 917 Stone 918 Centreville 919 Green Trails 920 Lees Corner East 921 Deer Park 923 Bull Run 924 London Towne West 925 Old Mill 926 Trinity 927 Lees Corner West <td>845</td> <td></td>	845	
848 Fair Oaks 849 Newgate North 850 South Run 851 Willow Springs 852 Monument 853 Eagle View 854 Newgate South 901 Centre Ridge 902 Brookfield 903 Cub Run 904 Dulles 905 Franklin 908 Kinross 910 London Towne East 911 Navy 913 Rocky Run 914 Vale 915 Virginia Run 916 Waples Mill 917 Stone 918 Centreville 919 Green Trails 920 Lees Corner East 921 Deer Park 923 Bull Run 924 London Towne West 925 Old Mill 926 Trinity 927 Lees Corner West	846	Greenbriar East
848 Fair Oaks 849 Newgate North 850 South Run 851 Willow Springs 852 Monument 853 Eagle View 854 Newgate South 901 Centre Ridge 902 Brookfield 903 Cub Run 904 Dulles 905 Franklin 908 Kinross 910 London Towne East 911 Navy 913 Rocky Run 914 Vale 915 Virginia Run 916 Waples Mill 917 Stone 918 Centreville 919 Green Trails 920 Lees Corner East 921 Deer Park 923 Bull Run 924 London Towne West 925 Old Mill 926 Trinity 927 Lees Corner West	847	
849 Newgate North 850 South Run 851 Willow Springs 852 Monument 853 Eagle View 854 Newgate South 901 Centre Ridge 902 Brookfield 903 Cub Run 904 Dulles 905 Franklin 908 Kinross 910 London Towne East 911 Navy 913 Rocky Run 914 Vale 915 Virginia Run 916 Waples Mill 917 Stone 918 Centreville 919 Green Trails 920 Lees Corner East 921 Deer Park 923 Bull Run 924 London Towne West 925 Old Mill 926 Trinity 927 Lees Corner West	848	
850 South Run 851 Willow Springs 852 Monument 853 Eagle View 854 Newgate South 901 Centre Ridge 902 Brookfield 903 Cub Run 904 Dulles 905 Franklin 908 Kinross 910 London Towne East 911 Navy 913 Rocky Run 914 Vale 915 Virginia Run 916 Waples Mill 917 Stone 918 Centreville 919 Green Trails 920 Lees Corner East 921 Deer Park 923 Bull Run 924 London Towne West 925 Old Mill 926 Trinity 927 Lees Corner West	849	
851 Willow Springs 852 Monument 853 Eagle View 854 Newgate South 901 Centre Ridge 902 Brookfield 903 Cub Run 904 Dulles 905 Franklin 908 Kinross 910 London Towne East 911 Navy 913 Rocky Run 914 Vale 915 Virginia Run 916 Waples Mill 917 Stone 918 Centreville 919 Green Trails 920 Lees Corner East 921 Deer Park 923 Bull Run 924 London Towne West 925 Old Mill 926 Trinity 927 Lees Corner West	850	South Run
852 Monument 853 Eagle View 854 Newgate South 901 Centre Ridge 902 Brookfield 903 Cub Run 904 Dulles 905 Franklin 908 Kinross 910 London Towne East 911 Navy 913 Rocky Run 914 Vale 915 Virginia Run 916 Waples Mill 917 Stone 918 Centreville 919 Green Trails 920 Lees Corner East 921 Deer Park 923 Bull Run 924 London Towne West 925 Old Mill 926 Trinity 927 Lees Corner West	851	Willow Springs
854 Newgate South 901 Centre Ridge 902 Brookfield 903 Cub Run 904 Dulles 905 Franklin 908 Kinross 910 London Towne East 911 Navy 913 Rocky Run 914 Vale 915 Virginia Run 916 Waples Mill 917 Stone 918 Centreville 919 Green Trails 920 Lees Corner East 921 Deer Park 923 Bull Run 924 London Towne West 925 Old Mill 926 Trinity 927 Lees Corner West	852	
854 Newgate South 901 Centre Ridge 902 Brookfield 903 Cub Run 904 Dulles 905 Franklin 908 Kinross 910 London Towne East 911 Navy 913 Rocky Run 914 Vale 915 Virginia Run 916 Waples Mill 917 Stone 918 Centreville 919 Green Trails 920 Lees Corner East 921 Deer Park 923 Bull Run 924 London Towne West 925 Old Mill 926 Trinity 927 Lees Corner West	853	Eagle View
902 Brookfield 903 Cub Run 904 Dulles 905 Franklin 908 Kinross 910 London Towne East 911 Navy 913 Rocky Run 914 Vale 915 Virginia Run 916 Waples Mill 917 Stone 918 Centreville 919 Green Trails 920 Lees Corner East 921 Deer Park 923 Bull Run 924 London Towne West 925 Old Mill 926 Trinity 927 Lees Corner West	854	
903 Cub Run 904 Dulles 905 Franklin 908 Kinross 910 London Towne East 911 Navy 913 Rocky Run 914 Vale 915 Virginia Run 916 Waples Mill 917 Stone 918 Centreville 919 Green Trails 920 Lees Corner East 921 Deer Park 923 Bull Run 924 London Towne West 925 Old Mill 926 Trinity 927 Lees Corner West	901	Centre Ridge
904 Dulles 905 Franklin 908 Kinross 910 London Towne East 911 Navy 913 Rocky Run 914 Vale 915 Virginia Run 916 Waples Mill 917 Stone 918 Centreville 919 Green Trails 920 Lees Corner East 921 Deer Park 923 Bull Run 924 London Towne West 925 Old Mill 926 Trinity 927 Lees Corner West	902	Brookfield
905 Franklin 908 Kinross 910 London Towne East 911 Navy 913 Rocky Run 914 Vale 915 Virginia Run 916 Waples Mill 917 Stone 918 Centreville 919 Green Trails 920 Lees Corner East 921 Deer Park 923 Bull Run 924 London Towne West 925 Old Mill 926 Trinity 927 Lees Corner West	903	Cub Run
908 Kinross 910 London Towne East 911 Navy 913 Rocky Run 914 Vale 915 Virginia Run 916 Waples Mill 917 Stone 918 Centreville 919 Green Trails 920 Lees Corner East 921 Deer Park 923 Bull Run 924 London Towne West 925 Old Mill 926 Trinity 927 Lees Corner West	904	Dulles
910 London Towne East 911 Navy 913 Rocky Run 914 Vale 915 Virginia Run 916 Waples Mill 917 Stone 918 Centreville 919 Green Trails 920 Lees Corner East 921 Deer Park 923 Bull Run 924 London Towne West 925 Old Mill 926 Trinity 927 Lees Corner West	905	Franklin
911 Navy 913 Rocky Run 914 Vale 915 Virginia Run 916 Waples Mill 917 Stone 918 Centreville 919 Green Trails 920 Lees Corner East 921 Deer Park 923 Bull Run 924 London Towne West 925 Old Mill 926 Trinity 927 Lees Corner West	908	Kinross
913 Rocky Run 914 Vale 915 Virginia Run 916 Waples Mill 917 Stone 918 Centreville 919 Green Trails 920 Lees Corner East 921 Deer Park 923 Bull Run 924 London Towne West 925 Old Mill 926 Trinity 927 Lees Corner West	910	London Towne East
914 Vale 915 Virginia Run 916 Waples Mill 917 Stone 918 Centreville 919 Green Trails 920 Lees Corner East 921 Deer Park 923 Bull Run 924 London Towne West 925 Old Mill 926 Trinity 927 Lees Corner West	911	Navy
915 Virginia Run 916 Waples Mill 917 Stone 918 Centreville 919 Green Trails 920 Lees Corner East 921 Deer Park 923 Bull Run 924 London Towne West 925 Old Mill 926 Trinity 927 Lees Corner West	913	
916 Waples Mill 917 Stone 918 Centreville 919 Green Trails 920 Lees Corner East 921 Deer Park 923 Bull Run 924 London Towne West 925 Old Mill 926 Trinity 927 Lees Corner West		
917 Stone 918 Centreville 919 Green Trails 920 Lees Corner East 921 Deer Park 923 Bull Run 924 London Towne West 925 Old Mill 926 Trinity 927 Lees Corner West	915	
918 Centreville 919 Green Trails 920 Lees Corner East 921 Deer Park 923 Bull Run 924 London Towne West 925 Old Mill 926 Trinity 927 Lees Corner West	916	Waples Mill
919 Green Trails 920 Lees Corner East 921 Deer Park 923 Bull Run 924 London Towne West 925 Old Mill 926 Trinity 927 Lees Corner West	917	
920 Lees Corner East 921 Deer Park 923 Bull Run 924 London Towne West 925 Old Mill 926 Trinity 927 Lees Corner West		
920 Lees Corner East 921 Deer Park 923 Bull Run 924 London Towne West 925 Old Mill 926 Trinity 927 Lees Corner West	919	Green Trails
923 Bull Run 924 London Towne West 925 Old Mill 926 Trinity 927 Lees Corner West	920	Lees Corner East
924 London Towne West 925 Old Mill 926 Trinity 927 Lees Corner West	921	Deer Park
925 Old Mill 926 Trinity 927 Lees Corner West	923	
926 Trinity 927 Lees Corner West	924	London Towne West
927 Lees Corner West	925	Old Mill
	926	
928 Poplar Tree		
	928	Poplar Tree

Summarized Population Counts by Voting Precinct

Precinct Number	Precinct	Current Supervisor District	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Race	Hispanic or Latino
102	BRISTOW	Braddock	5,503	2,137	752	21	1,551	1,042	1,753
104	CHAPEL	Braddock	3,156	2,447	81	8	446	174	294
105	FAIRVIEW	Braddock	6,948	4,901	433	8	982	624	786
106	HERITAGE	Braddock	9,805	4,211	821	74	2,803	1,896	3,640
108	KINGS PARK	Braddock	4,333	3,089	179	11	697	357	699
109	OLDE CREEK	Braddock	3,262	2,482	75	15	562	128	310
110	NO. SPRINGFIELD #1	Braddock	3,674	2,300	148	10	902	314	643
111	NO. SPRINGFIELD #2	Braddock	3,626	1,815	309	35	650	817	1,258
113	OAK HILL	Braddock	3,019	2,245	61	4	614	95	196
115	RAVENSWORTH	Braddock	2,466	1,640	61	6	534	225	355
116	WAKEFIELD	Braddock	2,966	2,395	64	5	407	95	205
117	WOODSON	Braddock	7,314	4,939	741	12	1,123	499	474
118	LAKE BRADDOCK	Braddock	6,805	4,495	386	25	1,264	635	1,362
119	LAUREL	Braddock	5,611	3,966	332	8	875	430	593
120	SIDEBURN	Braddock	4,130	3,399	124	4	407	196	304
121	VILLA	Braddock	3,204	1,978	204	29	648	345	516
122	LONG BRANCH	Braddock	2,198	1,655	45	3	422	73	126
123	ROBINSON	Braddock	6,304	4,332	312	14	1,230	416	612
124	OLLEY	Braddock	2,651	1,893	52	4	599	103	157
125	SIGNAL HILL	Braddock	4,257	3,152	110	11	784	200	344
126	BONNIE BRAE	Braddock	3,378	2,158	247	1	691	281	433
127	BURKE CENTRE	Braddock	7,071	4,896	436	20	1,143	576	1,043
128	CARDINAL	Braddock	3,794	2,569	351	18	492	364	583
129	KEENE MILL	Braddock	2,648	1,759	120	6	438	325	459
130	TERRA CENTRE	Braddock	3,307	2,366	149	17	527	248	385

Precinct Number	Precinct	Current Supervisor District	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Race	Hispanic or Latino
202	FLINT HILL	Hunter Mill	5,932	4,577	150	21	720	464	610
203	FLORIS	Hunter Mill	5,204	3,421	174	9	1,391	209	188
208	RESTON #1	Hunter Mill	4,738	2,942	626	17	710	443	573
209	RESTON #2	Hunter Mill	4,703	3,197	737	5	418	346	441
213	VIENNA #1	Hunter Mill	5,496	4,416	110	3	674	293	413
214	VIENNA #2	Hunter Mill	4,158	3,414	66	10	482	186	260
216	VIENNA #4	Hunter Mill	2,997	1,777	92	21	367	740	1,015
218	VIENNA #6	Hunter Mill	2,853	2,100	220	14	329	190	187
219	WESTBRIAR	Hunter Mill	5,268	3,800	166	6	1,050	246	309
220	DOGWOOD	Hunter Mill	7,658	3,580	1,241	76	1,129	1,632	2,331
221	HUNTERS WOODS	Hunter Mill	3,302	2,859	132	4	197	110	133
222	RESTON #3	Hunter Mill	3,240	2,241	366	11	282	340	535
223	GLADE	Hunter Mill	5,132	3,814	416	5	531	366	401
224	SOUTH LAKES	Hunter Mill	5,684	4,711	277	14	424	258	283
225	TERRASET	Hunter Mill	6,940	4,148	909	18	948	917	1,673
226	WOLFTRAP	Hunter Mill	4,460	3,932	34	5	370	119	181
227	SUNRISE VALLEY	Hunter Mill	2,378	1,973	53	2	266	84	124
229	FOX MILL	Hunter Mill	6,062	4,641	209	17	889	306	319
233	NORTH POINT	Hunter Mill	6,986	5,598	347	14	681	346	476
234	ALDRIN	Hunter Mill	6,352	5,095	272	11	721	253	295
235	FRYING PAN	Hunter Mill	5,436	2,182	369	18	2,569	298	264
236	STUART	Hunter Mill	1,828	1,404	118	1	191	114	143
237	MCNAIR	Hunter Mill	7,557	3,034	1,043	26	2,877	577	788
238	CAMERON GLEN	Hunter Mill	4,836	3,607	301	12	665	251	299
239	COATES	Hunter Mill	7,394	2,526	1,299	13	2,855	701	855

	Precinct	Current Supervisor District	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Race	Hispanic or Latino
301	CHAIN BRIDGE	Dranesville	4,726	3,715	73	5	805	128	233
302	CHESTERBROOK	Dranesville	3,258	2,911	45	5	189	108	155
303	CHURCHILL	Dranesville	2,178	1,585	35	7	449	102	123
304	COOPER	Dranesville	2,993	2,347	70	2	446	128	109
305	EL NIDO	Dranesville	3,154	2,643	43	7	342	119	142
306	GREAT FALLS	Dranesville	2,525	2,193	29	4	200	99	84
307	HAYCOCK	Dranesville	3,369	2,780	49	4	384	152	158
309	KENMORE	Dranesville	4,966	3,616	140	5	1,037	168	178
310	KIRBY	Dranesville	3,043	2,343	41	-	516	143	158
311	LANGLEY	Dranesville	2,736	2,264	38	8	356	70	138
312	LONGFELLOW	Dranesville	3,861	2,894	74	7	717	169	200
314	MCLEAN	Dranesville	3,382	2,571	82	1	569	159	199
315	PIMMIT	Dranesville	5,254	3,601	214	50	790	599	1,174
316	SALONA	Dranesville	3,623	3,052	98	4	352	117	190
317	WESTHAMPTON	Dranesville	3,095	2,494	36	6	426	133	158
318	WESTMORELAND	Dranesville	2,030	1,550	24	2	351	103	132
319	HERNDON #1	Dranesville	6,684	4,014	538	41	1,135	956	1,476
320	HERNDON #2	Dranesville	8,600	4,155	807	59	1,433	2,146	3,434
321	CLEARVIEW	Dranesville	5,784	4,480	252	17	686	349	462
322	FORESTVILLE	Dranesville	4,234	3,444	74	6	527	183	167
323	SHOUSE	Dranesville	3,059	2,193	73	6	704	83	80
324	HERNDON #3	Dranesville	8,008	3,641	878	54	1,602	1,833	2,916
325	HUTCHISON	Dranesville	5,843	2,733	682	40	1,220	1,168	2,116
327	SUGARLAND	Dranesville	4,261	3,065	193	5	691	307	309
328	HICKORY	Dranesville	4,221	3,323	63	2	675	158	150
329	SENECA	Dranesville	4,447	3,464	114	5	683	181	202
330	COLVIN	Dranesville	3,240	2,507	76	2	493	162	163
331	SPRING HILL	Dranesville	3,012	2,385	43	6	489	89	116

Precinct Number	Precinct	Current Supervisor District	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Race	Hispanic or Latino
401	BUSH HILL	Lee	4,703	3,357	501	5	529	311	548
402	CAMERON	Lee	1,650	1,042	167	15	121	305	430
404	FRANCONIA	Lee	5,616	3,440	881	23	923	349	518
405	GROVETON	Lee	14,159	4,966	4,214	85	1,235	3,659	5,896
406	HAYFIELD	Lee	3,836	2,990	413	11	232	190	293
408	MOUNT EAGLE	Lee	2,327	1,534	190	14	159	430	778
409	PIONEER	Lee	9,105	4,331	1,384	43	2,040	1,307	1,972
410	ROSE HILL	Lee	6,812	4,354	812	24	838	784	1,073
411	VIRGINIA HILLS	Lee	2,270	1,502	147	11	204	406	844
413	FAIRFIELD	Lee	12,582	3,644	4,514	109	844	3,471	5,207
415	CRESTWOOD	Lee	4,910	2,422	203	62	1,036	1,187	1,913
417	GARFIELD	Lee	6,213	2,579	601	27	2,189	817	1,038
418	LYNBROOK	Lee	4,867	1,886	260	58	1,110	1,553	2,245
419	LANE	Lee	5,322	2,970	1,019	23	796	514	894
420	VILLAGES	Lee	5,421	3,436	952	17	615	401	510
421	KINGSTOWNE	Lee	5,771	3,542	1,047	14	748	420	536
422	VAN DORN	Lee	5,613	3,205	1,193	11	771	433	624
423	CLERMONT	Lee	3,829	2,760	277	12	354	426	639
424	HUNTLEY	Lee	3,677	2,386	552	12	396	331	435
425	WILTON	Lee	3,398	1,955	641	20	248	534	922
426	GREENSPRING	Lee	2,010	1,948	15	1	40	6	13
427	ISLAND CREEK	Lee	3,893	2,472	633	21	530	237	340

Precinct Number	Precinct	Current Supervisor District	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Race	Hispanic or Latino
501	BAILEYS	Mason	7,072	3,249	1,504	39	765	1,515	2,544
502	BARCROFT	Mason	4,040	3,216	83	4	404	333	580
503	BELVEDERE	Mason	2,307	1,761	42	5	192	307	582
505	GLEN FOREST #1	Mason	3,981	2,096	606	22	484	773	1,388
506	HOLMES #1_8TH	Mason	5,521	2,363	100	160	437	2,461	4,655
507	LINCOLNIA	Mason	6,215	3,023	1,348	27	858	959	1,667
508	MASONVILLE	Mason	3,049	1,965	79	7	633	365	712
510	PARKLAWN	Mason	3,395	2,041	206	6	644	498	930
511	RAVENWOOD	Mason	2,326	1,731	74	8	303	210	367
512	SLEEPY HOLLOW	Mason	1,978	1,440	45	9	286	198	337
513	SAINT ALBANS	Mason	3,711	2,499	323	17	556	316	613
515	WESTLAWN	Mason	3,016	1,560	78	21	585	772	1,332
516	WEYANOKE	Mason	6,152	2,199	1,629	34	1,052	1,238	1,971
517	WILLSTON	Mason	6,123	2,571	467	74	1,154	1,857	3,125
518	COLUMBIA	Mason	6,502	2,641	540	43	1,583	1,695	2,533
519	HUMMER	Mason	2,779	1,584	185	9	774	227	366
520	SKYLINE	Mason	6,588	4,057	1,376	19	693	443	653
521	BROOK HILL	Mason	3,774	2,040	304	18	996	416	797
522	CAMELOT	Mason	1,788	1,514	43	4	157	70	115
523	POE	Mason	4,239	2,367	491	22	892	467	769
524	WHITTIER	Mason	4,390	2,129	208	25	993	1,035	1,729
525	WALNUT HILL #1	Mason	1,464	679	33	8	500	244	414
526	BREN MAR	Mason	6,116	2,930	1,468	42	829	847	1,328
527	EDSALL	Mason	2,851	1,619	192	12	700	328	478
528	RIDGELEA	Mason	1,627	1,163	41	4	358	61	73
529	GLEN FOREST #2	Mason	3,439	1,775	202	12	727	723	1,014
530	HOLMES #2	Mason	3,400	2,035	359	9	555	442	772
531	LEEWOOD	Mason	1,483	728	194	8	403	150	214

Precinct Number	Precinct	Current Supervisor District	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Race	Hispanic or Latino
601	BELLE HAVEN	Mount Vernon	3,411	2,658	305	4	139	305	576
602	BELLEVIEW	Mount Vernon	2,762	2,418	143	10	83	108	180
604	BUCKNELL	Mount Vernon	7,242	3,343	1,977	33	526	1,363	1,985
605	FORT HUNT	Mount Vernon	2,822	2,592	42	5	89	94	121
606	HOLLIN HALL	Mount Vernon	2,414	2,275	34	4	34	67	100
607	HUNTINGTON	Mount Vernon	5,784	3,668	766	19	548	783	1,120
608	KIRKSIDE	Mount Vernon	2,705	1,969	361	5	209	161	269
609	MARLAN	Mount Vernon	2,939	2,365	262	15	99	198	360
610	SHERWOOD	Mount Vernon	4,412	2,700	1,170	18	268	256	332
611	STRATFORD	Mount Vernon	4,505	4,125	98	6	132	144	182
612	WAYNEWOOD	Mount Vernon	1,914	1,785	24	3	50	52	74
613	WESTGATE	Mount Vernon	4,162	3,540	232	8	220	162	209
614	WHITMAN	Mount Vernon	2,795	2,247	132	18	177	221	302
615	WOODLEY	Mount Vernon	5,459	3,094	1,224	28	431	682	1,159
616	GUNSTON	Mount Vernon	9,033	5,891	1,775	41	572	754	1,028
617	LORTON	Mount Vernon	4,353	1,307	1,709	21	763	553	840
618	NEWINGTON	Mount Vernon	7,713	4,805	935	35	1,106	832	1,242
619	BELVOIR	Mount Vernon	5,383	3,268	1,045	28	497	545	636
621	GROSVENOR	Mount Vernon	2,958	1,692	555	13	341	357	484
622	LORTON STATION	Mount Vernon	6,674	2,736	1,922	19	1,023	974	1,243
623	ALBAN	Mount Vernon	2,247	1,200	453	3	313	278	276
624	HUNT	Mount Vernon	5,415	3,642	504	15	883	371	502
625	LORTON CENTER	Mount Vernon	3,439	1,751	787	7	483	411	549
626	SARATOGA	Mount Vernon	7,745	4,585	1,101	20	1,171	868	1,210
627	WOODLAWN	Mount Vernon	8,222	3,340	2,483	59	831	1,509	2,383
628	LAUREL HILL	Mount Vernon	6,903	2,376	1,456	20	2,400	651	624
629	SOUTH COUNTY	Mount Vernon	4,090	2,559	616	6	624	285	306

Precinct Number	Precinct	Current Supervisor District	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Race	Hispanic or Latino
700	FAIRFAX COURT	Providence	1,252	708	472	18	41	13	321
701	BLAKE	Providence	8,118	4,905	465	34	1,738	976	1,331
703	FORT BUFFALO	Providence	3,551	1,693	347	21	778	712	1,144
704	FREEDOM HILL	Providence	3,338	2,382	65	1	714	176	185
705	GRAHAM	Providence	3,591	1,672	226	14	475	1,204	1,956
706	GREENWAY	Providence	3,059	1,919	120	6	377	637	1,017
707	MANTUA	Providence	3,021	2,518	37	17	379	70	114
708	MARSHALL	Providence	9,082	5,453	362	33	2,100	1,134	1,598
709	MOSBY	Providence	9,834	4,875	816	28	3,077	1,038	1,560
710	PINE SPRING	Providence	4,655	2,536	289	22	1,058	750	1,094
711	PRICE	Providence	3,407	2,201	135	3	885	183	286
712	SHREVE	Providence	1,927	1,565	40	3	206	113	160
713	TIMBER LANE	Providence	5,808	2,889	567	29	1,297	1,026	1,699
714	WALKER	Providence	5,681	2,993	339	22	1,897	430	888
717	WOODBURN	Providence	3,258	2,058	179	35	721	265	450
718	PINE RIDGE	Providence	4,160	2,447	327	26	839	521	922
719	STENWOOD	Providence	1,567	1,124	58	2	316	67	119
720	THOREAU	Providence	2,282	1,879	28	10	270	95	137
721	MERRIFIELD	Providence	7,173	3,412	431	47	2,583	700	1,050
726	MAGARITY	Providence	7,185	4,011	482	25	2,114	553	739
727	OAKTON	Providence	3,361	2,820	62	4	355	120	159
728	WALNUT HILL #2	Providence	1,162	836	15	18	188	105	205
729	NOTTOWAY	Providence	5,459	3,782	196	20	1,184	277	426
730	PENDERBROOK	Providence	5,316	3,378	367	13	1,260	298	498
731	TYSONS	Providence	5,025	3,191	188	5	1,333	308	343
732	OAK MARR	Providence	5,086	4,031	158	7	625	265	324
733	KILMER	Providence	5,969	3,750	292	4	1,577	346	406


		Current			Black or African	American Indian and Alaska			
Precinct		Supervisor	Total	White	American	Native	Asian	Other	Hispanic
Number	Precinct	District	Population	alone	alone	alone	alone	Race	or Latino
801	BURKE	Springfield	7,602	4,270	676	14	1,757	885	1,483
803	CLIFTON	Springfield	5,430	4,683	105	14	424	204	231
805	FAIRFAX STATION	Springfield	4,213	3,557	102	10	422	122	181
811	POHICK	Springfield	5,792	3,775	492	33	1,033	459	766
812	VALLEY	Springfield	4,283	2,845	357	18	753	310	469
815	WOODYARD	Springfield	2,747	2,407	76	6	200	58	103
824	ORANGE	Springfield	5,598	4,392	405	22	527	252	396
825	CHERRY RUN	Springfield	3,837	2,704	219	4	687	223	242
827	IRVING	Springfield	3,356	2,879	100	7	207	163	373
833	WHITE OAKS	Springfield	4,914	2,896	442	12	1,058	506	874
838	SANGSTER	Springfield	3,001	2,413	146	-	324	118	166
839	SILVERBROOK	Springfield	4,746	3,664	272	13	597	200	208
840	WEST SPRINGFIELD	Springfield	4,176	3,030	361	12	561	212	333
841	POPES HEAD	Springfield	4,378	3,573	204	7	376	218	219
842	PARKWAY	Springfield	3,144	2,228	141	1	604	170	215
843	FAIR LAKES	Springfield	5,210	3,276	418	9	1,214	293	411
844	CENTERPOINTE	Springfield	7,062	4,056	674	23	1,647	662	754
845	FOUNTAINHEAD	Springfield	4,864	3,884	216	9	572	183	189
846	GREENBRIAR EAST	Springfield	6,222	3,725	536	11	1,377	573	798
847	GREENBRIAR WEST	Springfield	4,069	2,597	237	7	811	417	561
848	FAIR OAKS	Springfield	2,957	1,476	219	3	1,067	192	227
849	NEWGATE NORTH	Springfield	2,384	1,772	111	4	353	144	143
850	SOUTH RUN	Springfield	2,047	1,535	100	5	330	77	104
851	WILLOW SPRINGS	Springfield	7,091	3,970	339	9	2,385	388	397
852	MONUMENT	Springfield	6,552	3,767	645	11	1,704	425	509
853	EAGLE VIEW	Springfield	6,005	3,679	528	15	1,433	350	476
854	NEWGATE SOUTH	Springfield	4,095	3,410	96	4	422	163	149

<u> </u>	Precinct	Current Supervisor District	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Race	Hispanic or Latino
901	CENTRE RIDGE	Sully	6,950	3,546	664	40	1,924	776	1,280
902	BROOKFIELD	Sully	8,055	3,997	797	26	1,990	1,245	2,037
903	CUB RUN	Sully	5,625	4,001	337	15	847	425	541
904	DULLES	Sully	3,090	2,292	121	3	242	432	717
905	FRANKLIN	Sully	4,758	3,484	181	10	862	221	296
908	KINROSS	Sully	6,314	4,786	167	8	1,132	221	283
910	LONDON TOWNE EAST	Sully	2,744	1,335	277	11	775	346	404
911	NAVY	Sully	5,054	3,347	229	7	1,281	190	294
913	ROCKY RUN	Sully	5,892	3,677	285	10	1,598	322	355
914	VALE	Sully	4,001	3,469	52	4	361	115	171
915	VIRGINIA RUN	Sully	3,678	2,904	169	5	427	173	177
916	WAPLES MILL	Sully	5,551	4,727	73	7	535	209	211
917	STONE	Sully	5,954	3,781	475	17	994	687	965
918	CENTREVILLE	Sully	8,175	4,328	599	29	2,290	929	1,290
919	GREEN TRAILS	Sully	8,076	4,497	710	31	2,130	708	1,047
920	LEES CORNER EAST	Sully	4,299	2,440	227	6	1,374	252	344
921	DEER PARK	Sully	4,876	3,549	195	14	777	341	463
923	BULL RUN	Sully	2,801	2,274	84	3	352	88	129
924	LONDON TOWNE WEST	Sully	5,844	2,942	770	24	1,053	1,055	1,824
925	OLD MILL	Sully	4,280	2,212	458	5	1,128	477	647
926	POWELL	Sully	8,564	3,145	501	6	4,389	523	568
927	LEES CORNER WEST	Sully	5,640	3,438	244	11	1,602	345	395
928	POPLAR TREE	Sully	3,982	2,530	172	11	1,089	180	170

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Proposed Reapportionment Plans





Plan Datasheet –9A1

Number of precincts moved: 4

Number of persons moved: 23475

Total deviation of the plan: 9.79%

Range of plan deviation: -4.46 to 5.33%

Precincts moved list: Bristow, Eagle View, Hunt, Monument.

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 120,192

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 9A1

Supervisor District	Deviation from Target Population	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Races	Hispanic or Latino
Braddock Percent of total	-1.4%	118,484	78,528 66.3%	7,014 5.9%	374 0.3%	22,377 18.9%	10,191 8.6%	16,762 <i>14.1%</i>
Dranesville Percent of total	-3.8%	115,586	81,963 <i>70.9%</i>	4,884 <i>4.2%</i>	360 <i>0.</i> 3%	18,267 <i>15</i> .8%	10,112 8.7%	15,122 <i>13.1%</i>
Hunter Mill Percent of total	5.3%	126,594	84,989 <i>67.1%</i>	9,727 <i>7.7%</i>	353 0.3%	21,736 <i>17.2%</i>	9,789 <i>7.7%</i>	13,096 <i>10.3%</i>
Lee Percent of total	-1.8%	117,984	62,721 <i>5</i> 3.2%	20,616 <i>17.5%</i>	618 <i>0.5</i> %	15,958 <i>13.5%</i>	18,071 <i>15.3%</i>	27,668 23.5%
Mason Percent of total	-4.5%	114,829	61,112 <i>5</i> 3.2%	12,972 <i>11.</i> 3%	689 <i>0.6</i> %	20,064 17.5%	19,992 <i>17.4%</i>	33,811 29.4%
Mount Vernon Percent of total	1.6%	122,086	74,289 <i>60.8%</i>	21,607 <i>17.7%</i>	448 0.4%	13,129 <i>10.8%</i>	12,613 <i>10.3%</i>	17,790 <i>14</i> .6%
Providence Percent of total	2.6%	123,327	75,028 <i>60.8%</i>	7,063 <i>5.7%</i>	467 0.4%	28,387 23.0%	12,382 10.0%	19,131 <i>15.5%</i>
Springfield Percent of total	-1.3%	118,633	82,659 <i>69.7%</i>	7,548 <i>6.4%</i>	272 0.2%	20,591 <i>17.4%</i>	7,563 <i>6.4%</i>	10,494 8.8%
Sully Percent of total	3.3%	124,203	76,701 <i>61.8%</i>	7,787 6.3%	303 0.2%	29,152 23.5%	10,260 8.3%	14,608 <i>11.8%</i>
Fairfax County Percent of total		1,081,726	677,990 62.7%	99,218 9.2%	3,884 <i>0.4%</i>	189,661 <i>17.5%</i>	110,973 <i>10.</i> 3%	168,482 <i>15.6</i> %

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan 9A1

1. Legal Requirements and Plan Summary

- 1.1. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS
- 1.1.1. *yes* Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?
- 1.1.1.1. yes
- 1.1.2. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?
- 1.1.2.1. less than 10%
- 1.2. MINIMAL DISRUPTION
- 1.2.1. the very least since only 4 precincts change.
- 1.2.2. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?
- 1.2.2.1. yes. only 4 precincts move
- 1.2.3. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.
- 1.3. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES
- 1.3.1. no change.
- 1.3.2. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?
- 1.3.2.1. yes.
- 1.3.3. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?
- 1.3.3.1. n/a
- 1.3.4. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?
- 1.3.4.1. n/a
- 1.4. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA
- 1.4.1. Does the plan considers established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.
- 1.4.1.1. yes.
- 1.4.2. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?
- 1.4.2.1. yes.
- 1.5. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY
- 1.5.1. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?
- 1.5.1.1. yes.
- 1.5.2. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?
- 1.5.2.1. yes.
- 1.6. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES
- 1.6.1. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?


- 1.6.1.1. no
- 1.6.2. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?
- 1.6.2.1. no
- 1.7. COST
- 1.7.1. Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?
- 1.7.1.1. no change.
- 1.8. Comments

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 9A1]

1	[CITIZENS ADVISORT COMMITTEE FEAR 9AT]
1 2	Draft of March 15, 2011
3 4 5	AN ORDINANCE to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, and 7-2-11, relating to the election districts of the members of the Board of Supervisors.
6	Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:
7 8 9	1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, and 7-2-11 are amended and readopted as follows:
10 11	Section 7-2-4 Braddock District.
12 13 14 15 16 17	The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae, Bristow, Burke Centre, Cardinal, Chapel, Eagle View, Fairview, Heritage, Keene Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch, Monument, North Springfield No. 1, North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde Creek, Olley, Ravensworth, Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield, and Woodson.
18 19 20	Section 7-2-8 Mason District.
21 22 23 24 25 26 27	The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft, Belvedere, Bren Mar, <u>Bristow</u> , Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Glen Forest No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer, Leewood, Lincolnia, Masonville, Parklawn, Poe, Ravenwood, Ridgelea, Saint Albans, Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Westlawn, Weyanoke, Whittier, and Willston.
28 29	Section 7-2-9 Mount Vernon District.
30 31 32 33 34 35	The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall, Hunt, Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station, Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood, Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.

1	Section 7-2-11 Springfield District.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke, Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, Eagle View, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax Station, Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Hunt, Irving, Monument, Newgate North, Newgate South, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, Popes Head, Sangster, Silverbrook, South Run, Valley, West Springfield, White Oaks, Willow Springs, and Woodyard.
10 11 12 13 14 15	2. That this ordinance shall become effective upon adoption, and it shall be enforced after satisfactory completion of the federal preclearance procedure provided by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.
13	GIVEN under my hand this day of 2011.
	Nancy Vehrs
	Clerk to the Board of Supervisors



<u>Plan Datasheet – 9A2</u>

Number of precincts moved: 5

Number of persons moved: 20662

Total deviation of the plan: 7.54%

Range of plan deviation: -3.33% to 4.21%

Precincts moved list: Alban, Eagle View, Fort Buffalo, Graham, Westbriar.

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 120,192

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 9A2

Supervisor District	Deviation from Target Population	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Races	Hispanic or Latino
Braddock Percent of total	-2.3%	117,435	76,898 <i>65.5%</i>	7,121 <i>6.1%</i>	384 0.3%	22,224 18.9%	10,808 9.2%	18,006 <i>15.3%</i>
Dranesville Percent of total	0.6%	120,854	85,763 <i>71.0%</i>	5,050 <i>4.2%</i>	366 <i>0.3%</i>	19,317 <i>16.0%</i>	10,358 <i>8.6%</i>	15,431 <i>12.8%</i>
Hunter Mill Percent of total	0.9%	121,326	81,189 <i>66.9%</i>	9,561 <i>7.9%</i>	347 0.3%	20,686 17.0%	9,543 7.9%	12,787 <i>10.5%</i>
Lee Percent of total	0.0%	120,231	63,921 <i>53.2%</i>	21,069 <i>17.5%</i>	621 <i>0.5%</i>	16,271 <i>13.5%</i>	18,349 <i>15.</i> 3%	27,944 23.2%
Mason Percent of total	-3.1%	116,468	62,340 <i>53.5%</i>	12,793 <i>11.0%</i>	703 0.6%	19,766 <i>17.0%</i>	20,866 17.9%	35,158 <i>30.2%</i>
Mount Vernon Percent of total	4.2%	125,254	76,731 <i>61.3%</i>	21,658 <i>17.3%</i>	460 <i>0.4%</i>	13,699 <i>10.9%</i>	12,706 <i>10.1%</i>	18,016 <i>14.4%</i>
Providence Percent of total	-3.3%	116,185	71,663 <i>61.7%</i>	6,490 5.6%	432 0.4%	27,134 23.4%	10,466 9.0%	16,031 <i>13.8%</i>
Springfield Percent of total	-0.4%	119,770	82,784 <i>69.1%</i>	7,689 <i>6.4%</i>	268 0.2%	21,412 <i>17</i> .9%	7,617 <i>6.4%</i>	10,501 8.8%
Sully Percent of total	3.3%	124,203	76,701 <i>61.8%</i>	7,787 6.3%	303 0.2%	29,152 23.5%	10,260 8.3%	14,608 <i>11.8%</i>
Fairfax County Percent of total		1,081,726	677,990 <i>62.7%</i>	99,218 9.2%	3,884 <i>0.4%</i>	189,661 <i>17.5%</i>	110,973 <i>10.3%</i>	168,482 <i>15.6%</i>

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan 9A2

- 1. Legal Requirements and Plan Summary
 - 1.1. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS
 - 1.1.1. Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?
 - 1.1.1.1. Yes
 - 1.1.2. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?
 - 1.1.2.1. +4.2
 - 1.1.2.2. -3.3%
 - 1.2. MINIMAL DISRUPTION
 - 1.2.1. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?
 - 1.2.1.1. Yes Only 5 Precincts were moved.
 - 1.2.2. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.
 - 1.2.2.1. No change
 - 1.3. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES
 - 1.3.1. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?
 - 1.3.1.1. Yes
 - 1.3.1.2. Yes
 - 1.3.2. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?
 - 1.3.2.1. Unsure at this point
 - 1.3.2.2. N/A
 - 1.3.3. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?
 - 1.3.3.1. No Towns Split
 - 1.3.3.2. Community of interest would be better preserved if Coates was moved to Dranesville and Hunter Mill retained Westbriar. This would also have the benefit of reducing the population of Sully closer to the 120,000 average.
 - 1.4. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA
 - 1.4.1. Does the plan considers established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.
 - 1.4.1.1. Yes
 - 1.4.2. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?
 - 1.4.2.1. Yes
 - 1.5. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY
 - 1.5.1. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?
 - 1.5.1.1. Yes
 - 1.5.2. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?
 - 1.5.2.1. Yes Providence exclave in Fairfax City still in Providence

- 1.6. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES
- 1.6.1. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?
- 1.6.1.1. Unsure at this point
- 1.6.1.2. No
- 1.6.2. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?
- 1.6.2.1. Unsure at this point
- 1.6.2.2. No
- 1.7. COST
- 1.7.1. Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?
- 1.7.1.1. This should have minimal impact on operating costs.
- 1.8. Presentation of Plan and Comments
- 1.8.1. The plan was designed to preserve existing district boundaries as much as possible but satisfying the deviation requirements with minimal disruption.
- 1.8.2. 20662 Moved. 5 Precincts Moved.

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 9A2]

1		[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 9A2]
1 2		Draft of March 18, 2011
3 4 5 6		AN ORDINANCE to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, and 7-2-11, relating to the election districts of the members of the Board of Supervisors.
7		Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:
8 9 10		1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, and 7-2-11 are amended and readopted as follows:
11		Section 7-2-4 Braddock District.
12 13 14 15 16 17		The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae, Bristow, Burke Centre, Cardinal, Chapel, <u>Eagle View</u> , Fairview, Heritage, Keene Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch, North Springfield No. 1, North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde Creek, Olley, Ravensworth, Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield, and Woodson.
18 19		Section 7-2-5 Hunter Mill District.
20 21 22 23 24 25 26		The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameror Glen, Coates, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade, Hunters Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3, South Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No. 4, Vienna No. 6, Westbriar, and Wolftrap.
27 28		Section 7-2-6 Dranesville District.
28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35	1	The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge, Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, Colvin, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville, Great Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory, Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, McLean, Pimmit, Salona, Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Sugarland, Westbriar, Westhampton, and Westmoreland.

Section 7-2-7. - Lee District.

1 2

3 The Lee District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Bush Hill,

Cameron, Clermont, Crestwood, Fairfield, Franconia, Garfield, Greenspring, 4

5 Groveton, Hayfield, Huntley, Island Creek, Kingstowne, Lane, Lynbrook, Mount

Eagle, Pioneer, Rose Hill, Van Dorn, Villages, Virginia Hills, and Wilton. 6

7

Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.

8 9

10 The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft,

Belvedere, Bren Mar, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Fort Buffalo, Glen 11 12

Forest No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Graham, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2,

13 Hummer, Leewood, Lincolnia, Masonville, Parklawn, Poe, Ravenwood, Ridgelea,

Saint Albans, Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Westlawn, Weyanoke,

Whittier, and Willston. 15

16 17

14

Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.

18

21

22

23

26

19 The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle

20 Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall,

Hunt, Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station,

Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood,

Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.

24 25

Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.

27 28

29

The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Fairfax

Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is

surrounded by Fairfax City), Fort Buffalo, Freedom Hill, Graham, Greenway,

Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak Marr, 30 31

Oakton, Penderbrook, Pine Ridge, Pine Spring, Price, Shreve, Stenwood,

Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, Walker, Walnut Hill No. 2, and Woodburn.

33 34

32

Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.

35

36 The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke.

Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, Eagle View, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax 37

38 Station, Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Irving, Monument,

39 Newgate North, Newgate South, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, Popes Head,

40 Sangster, Silverbrook, South Run, Valley, West Springfield, White Oaks, Willow

41 Springs, and Woodyard.


42

2 3 4 5 6	2. That this ordinance shall be enforced after satisfa procedure provided by Section amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.	actory completion o	of the federal preclearance
O	GIVEN under my hand this	day of	2011.

 $\verb|\s17prolaw01| Documents \\ 104659 \\ ECW \\ Correspondence \\ 344187. \\ documents \\ 104659 \\ ECW \\ Correspondence \\ 344187. \\ documents \\ 104659 \\ ECW \\ Correspondence \\ 344187. \\ documents \\ 104659 \\ ECW \\ Correspondence \\ 344187. \\ documents \\ 104659 \\ ECW \\ Correspondence \\ 104659 \\ ECW \\$

Nancy Vehrs

Clerk to the Board of Supervisors



Plan Datasheet –9A3

Number of precincts moved: 6

Number of persons moved: 32031

Total deviation of the plan: 6.84%

Range of plan deviation: -3.5 to 3.34%

Precincts moved list: Bristow, Coates, Eagle View, Hunt, Monument, Walnut Hill

#2.

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 120,192

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 9A3

Supervisor District	Deviation from Target Population	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Races	Hispanic or Latino
Braddock Percent of total	-1.4%	118,484	78,528 66.3%	7,014 5.9%	374 0.3%	22,377 18.9%	10,191 8.6%	16,762 <i>14.1%</i>
Dranesville Percent of total	2.3%	122,980	84,489 <i>68.7%</i>	6,183 <i>5.0%</i>	373 0.3%	21,122 <i>17.2%</i>	10,813 8.8%	15,977 <i>13.0%</i>
Hunter Mill Percent of total	-0.8%	119,200	82,463 69.2%	8,428 7.1%	340 <i>0.3%</i>	18,881 <i>15.8%</i>	9,088 7.6%	12,241 10.3%
Lee Percent of total	-1.8%	117,984	62,721 <i>5</i> 3.2%	20,616 <i>17.5%</i>	618 <i>0.5%</i>	15,958 <i>13.5%</i>	18,071 <i>15.</i> 3%	27,668 23.5%
Mason Percent of total	-3.5%	115,991	61,948 <i>53.4%</i>	12,987 <i>11.2%</i>	707 0.6%	20,252 17.5%	20,097 17.3%	34,016 29.3%
Mount Vernon Percent of total	1.6%	122,086	74,289 <i>60.8%</i>	21,607 <i>17.7%</i>	448 0.4%	13,129 <i>10.8%</i>	12,613 <i>10.3%</i>	17,790 <i>14</i> .6%
Providence Percent of total	1.6%	122,165	74,192 <i>60.7%</i>	7,048 5.8%	449 0.4%	28,199 23.1%	12,277 10.0%	18,926 <i>15.5%</i>
Springfield Percent of total	-1.3%	118,633	82,659 <i>69.7%</i>	7,548 6.4%	272 0.2%	20,591 <i>17.4%</i>	7,563 <i>6.4%</i>	10,494 8.8%
Sully Percent of total	3.3%	124,203	76,701 <i>61.8%</i>	7,787 6.3%	303 0.2%	29,152 23.5%	10,260 8.3%	14,608 11.8%
Fairfax County Percent of total		1,081,726	677,990 <i>62.7%</i>	99,218 9.2%	3,884 <i>0.4%</i>	189,661 <i>17.5%</i>	110,973 <i>10.3%</i>	168,482 <i>15.6%</i>

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan 9A3

- 3. Legal Requirements and Plan Summary
 - 3.1. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS
 - 3.1.1. Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?
 - 3.1.1.1. Yes
 - 3.1.2. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?
 - 3.1.2.1. -3.5 to +3.3
 - 3.2. MINIMAL DISRUPTION
 - 3.2.1. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?
 - 3.2.1.1. yes. Only 6 precincts are moved, including the very small Walnut Hill II
 - 3.2.2. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.
 - 3.3. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES
 - 3.3.1. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?
 - 3.3.1.1. Yes
 - 3.3.2. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?
 - 3.3.2.1. Used existing precinct boundaries, so I assume so.
 - 3.3.3. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?
 - 3.3.3.1. See previous comment.
 - 3.4. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA
 - 3.4.1. Does the plan considers established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.
 - 3.4.1.1. Yes. Particularly the reuniting of the Walnut Hill community and the return of Hunt to Springfield.
 - 3.4.2. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?
 - 3.4.2.1. None of the precincts moved are residences of members of the Board of Supervisors or the School Board.
 - 3.5. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY
 - 3.5.1. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?
 - 3.5.1.1. Yes
 - 3.5.2. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?
 - 3.5.2.1. Yes. The two precincts added to Braddock District are contiguous and minimze the number of supervisor districts bordering Fairfax City.
 - 3.6. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES
 - 3.6.1. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?
 - 3.6.1.1. No

- 3.6.2. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?
- 3.6.2.1. No
- 3.7. COST
- 3.7.1. Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?
- 3.7.1.1. No. By keeping nine Supervisor Districts there is no need to add the recurring costs of additional District offices and enlarging boards and commissions, particularly the School Board and the Planning Commission.
- 3.8. Presentation of Plan and Comments
- 3.8.1. This plan minimizes disruption for the citizens of Fairfax County in their relationship with their District Supervisors.

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 9A3]

1	[STILLING ADVISORY COMMITTEET EAR SAC]
1 2	Draft of March 15, 2011
3 4 5 6	AN ORDINANCE to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, and 7-2-11, relating to the election districts of the members of the Board of Supervisors.
7	Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:
8 9 10	1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, and 7-2-11 are amended and readopted as follows:
11 12	Section 7-2-4 Braddock District.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae, Bristow, Burke Centre, Cardinal, Chapel, Eagle View, Fairview, Heritage, Keene Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch, Monument, North Springfield No. 1, North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde Creek, Olley, Ravensworth, Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield, and Woodson.
20 21	Section 7-2-5 Hunter Mill District.
22 23 24 25 26 27	The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron Glen, Coates, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade, Hunters Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3, South Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No. 4, Vienna No. 6, Westbriar, and Wolftrap.
28 29	Section 7-2-6 Dranesville District.
30 31 32 33 34 35	The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge, Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, <u>Coates</u> , Colvin, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville, Great Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory, Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, McLean, Pimmit, Salona, Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Sugarland, Westhampton, and Westmoreland.

1 Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.

2

- 3 The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft,
- 4 Belvedere, Bren Mar, Bristow, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Glen Forest
- No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer, Leewood,
- Lincolnia, Masonville, Parklawn, Poe, Ravenwood, Ridgelea, Saint Albans, 6
- 7 Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Walnut Hill No. 2, Westlawn,
- 8 Weyanoke, Whittier, and Willston.

9 10

Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.

11 12

13

14

- The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall, Hunt, Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station,
- Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood, 15 16
 - Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.

17 18

Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.

19 20

21

22

- The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Fairfax Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is surrounded by Fairfax City), Fort Buffalo, Freedom Hill, Graham, Greenway,
- 23 Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak Marr,
- 24 Oakton, Penderbrook, Pine Ridge, Pine Spring, Price, Shreve, Stenwood,
- 25 Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, Walker, Walnut Hill No. 2, and Woodburn.


26 27

Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.

28

- 29 The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke, 30 Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, Eagle View, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax
- Station, Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Hunt, Irving, 31
- 32 Monument, Newgate North, Newgate South, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, Popes
- Head, Sangster, Silverbrook, South Run, Valley, West Springfield, White Oaks, 33
- 34 Willow Springs, and Woodyard.

35 36 \\s17prolaw01\Documents\104659\ECW\Correspondence\344194.doc



Plan Datasheet –9A4

Number of precincts moved: 7

Number of persons moved: 35271

Total deviation of the plan: 6.84%

Range of plan deviation: -3.5 to 3.34%

Precincts moved list: Bristow, Colvin, Coates, Eagle View, Hunt, Monument,

Walnut Hill #2.

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 9A4

120,192

Supervisor District	Deviation from Target Population	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Races	Hispanic or Latino
Braddock Percent of total	-1.4%	118,484	78,528 66.3%	7,014 5.9%	374 0.3%	22,377 18.9%	10,191 <i>8.6%</i>	16,762 <i>14.1%</i>
Dranesville Percent of total	-0.4%	119,740	81,982 <i>68.5%</i>	6,107 <i>5.1%</i>	371 0.3%	20,629 <i>17.2%</i>	10,651 8.9%	15,814 <i>13.2%</i>
Hunter Mill Percent of total	1.9%	122,440	84,970 69.4%	8,504 <i>6</i> .9%	342 0.3%	19,374 <i>15.8%</i>	9,250 7.6%	12,404 10.1%
Lee Percent of total	-1.8%	117,984	62,721 <i>5</i> 3.2%	20,616 <i>17.5%</i>	618 <i>0.5%</i>	15,958 <i>13.5%</i>	18,071 <i>15.3%</i>	27,668 23.5%
Mason Percent of total	-3.5%	115,991	61,948 <i>53.4%</i>	12,987 <i>11.2%</i>	707 0.6%	20,252 17.5%	20,097 17.3%	34,016 29.3%
Mount Vernon Percent of total	1.6%	122,086	74,289 <i>60.8%</i>	21,607 <i>17.7%</i>	448 0.4%	13,129 <i>10.8%</i>	12,613 <i>10.3%</i>	17,790 <i>14.</i> 6%
Providence Percent of total	1.6%	122,165	74,192 <i>60.7%</i>	7,048 5.8%	449 0.4%	28,199 23.1%	12,277 10.0%	18,926 <i>15.5%</i>
Springfield Percent of total	-1.3%	118,633	82,659 <i>69.7%</i>	7,548 <i>6.4%</i>	272 0.2%	20,591 <i>17.4%</i>	7,563 <i>6.4%</i>	10,494 8.8%
Sully Percent of total	3.3%	124,203	76,701 <i>61.8%</i>	7,787 6.3%	303 <i>0.2%</i>	29,152 23.5%	10,260 8.3%	14,608 11.8%
Fairfax County Percent of total		1,081,726	677,990 <i>62.7%</i>	99,218 9.2%	3,884 <i>0.4%</i>	189,661 <i>17.5%</i>	110,973 <i>10.3%</i>	168,482 <i>15.6</i> %

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan 9A4

- 4. Legal Requirements and Plan Summary
 - 4.1. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS
 - 4.1.1. Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?
 - 4.1.1.1. yes.
 - 4.1.2. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?
 - 4.1.2.1. yes.
 - 4.1.2.2. less than 10%.
 - 4.2. MINIMAL DISRUPTION
 - 4.2.1. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?
 - 4.2.1.1. yes.
 - 4.2.2. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.
 - 4.2.2.1. yes.
 - 4.2.2.2. n/a
 - 4.3. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES
 - 4.3.1. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?
 - 4.3.1.1. yes.
 - 4.3.2. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?
 - 4.3.2.1. n/a
 - 4.3.3. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?
 - 4.3.3.1. n/a
 - 4.4. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA
 - 4.4.1. Does the plan considers established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.
 - 4.4.1.1. yes.
 - 4.4.2. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?
 - 4.4.2.1. yes.
 - 4.5. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY
 - 4.5.1. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?
 - 4.5.1.1. yes.
 - 4.5.2. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?
 - 4.5.2.1. yes.
 - 4.6. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES
 - 4.6.1. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?
 - 4.6.1.1. no.

- 4.6.2. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?
- 4.6.2.1. no.
- 4.7. COST
- 4.7.1. Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?
- 4.7.1.1. It won't increase the cost.
- 4.8. Presentation of Plan and Comments
- 4.8.1. This is a minimal disruption plan with an emphasis on moving precincts that moved 10 years ago from one district back to that district.

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 9A4]

1	[OTTIZENO ADVIOCITI COMMITTIZE I EAR SA4]
1 2	Draft of March 15, 2011
3 4 5 6	AN ORDINANCE to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, and 7-2-11, relating to the election districts of the members of the Board of Supervisors.
7	Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:
8 9 10	1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, and 7-2-11 are amended and readopted as follows:
11 12	Section 7-2-4 Braddock District.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae, Bristow, Burke Centre, Cardinal, Chapel, Eagle View, Fairview, Heritage, Keene Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch, Monument, North Springfield No. 1, North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde Creek, Olley, Ravensworth, Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield, and Woodson.
20 21	Section 7-2-5 Hunter Mill District.
22 23 24 25 26 27	The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron Glen, Coates, Colvin, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade, Hunters Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3, South Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No. 4, Vienna No. 6, Westbriar, and Wolftrap.
28 29	Section 7-2-6 Dranesville District.
30 31 32 33 34 35	The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge, Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, <u>Coates, Colvin</u> , Cooper, El Nido, Forestville, Great Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory, Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, McLean, Pimmit, Salona, Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Sugarland, Westhampton, and Westmoreland.

1 Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.

2

- The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft,
- 4 Belvedere, Bren Mar, Bristow, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Glen Forest
- 5 No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer, Leewood,
- 6 Lincolnia, Masonville, Parklawn, Poe, Ravenwood, Ridgelea, Saint Albans,
- 7 | Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Walnut Hill No. 2, Westlawn,
- 8 Weyanoke, Whittier, and Willston.

9 10

Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.

11 12

13

- The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall,
- 14 Hunt, Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station,
- 15 Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood,
- Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.

17 18

Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.

19 20

- The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Fairfax
- Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is surrounded by Fairfax City), Fort Buffalo, Freedom Hill, Graham, Greenway,
- Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak Marr,
- Oakton, Penderbrook, Pine Ridge, Pine Spring, Price, Shreve, Stenwood,
- 25 | Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, Walker, Walnut Hill No. 2, and Woodburn.

26 27

Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.


28 29

- The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke,
- Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, Eagle View, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax Station, Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Hunt, Irving,
- 32 Monument, Newgate North, Newgate South, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, Popes
- Head, Sangster, Silverbrook, South Run, Valley, West Springfield, White Oaks,
- Willow Springs, and Woodyard.

35 36

mended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.		
GIVEN under my hand this	day of	2011.

\\s17prolaw01\Documents\104659\ECW\Correspondence\344202.doc



Plan Datasheet –9A5

Number of precincts moved: 7

Number of persons moved: 33946

Total deviation of the plan: 6.85%

Range of plan deviation: -3.5 to 3.34%

Precincts moved list: Bristow, Colvin, Coates, Eagle View, Monument, South

County, Walnut Hill #2.

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 120,192

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 9A5

Supervisor District	Deviation from Target Population	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Races	Hispanic or Latino
Braddock Percent of total	-1.4%	118,484	78,528 66.3%	7,014 5.9%	374 0.3%	22,377 18.9%	10,191 8.6%	16,762 <i>14.1%</i>
Dranesville Percent of total	-0.4%	119,740	81,982 <i>68.5%</i>	6,107 <i>5.1%</i>	371 <i>0.3</i> %	20,629 17.2%	10,651 <i>8</i> .9%	15,814 <i>13.2%</i>
Hunter Mill Percent of total	1.9%	122,440	84,970 69.4%	8,504 <i>6</i> .9%	342 0.3%	19,374 <i>15</i> .8%	9,250 7.6%	12,404 <i>10.1%</i>
Lee Percent of total	-1.8%	117,984	62,721 <i>53.2%</i>	20,616 <i>17.5%</i>	618 <i>0.5%</i>	15,958 <i>13.5%</i>	18,071 <i>15.3%</i>	27,668 <i>23.5%</i>
Mason Percent of total	-3.5%	115,991	61,948 <i>53.4%</i>	12,987 <i>11.2%</i>	707 0.6%	20,252 17.5%	20,097 17.3%	34,016 29.3%
Mount Vernon Percent of total	2.7%	123,411	75,372 61.1%	21,495 <i>17.4%</i>	457 0.4%	13,388 <i>10.8%</i>	12,699 <i>10.3%</i>	17,986 <i>14.6%</i>
Providence Percent of total	1.6%	122,165	74,192 <i>60.7%</i>	7,048 5.8%	449 0.4%	28,199 23.1%	12,277 10.0%	18,926 <i>15.5%</i>
Springfield Percent of total	-2.4%	117,308	81,576 <i>6</i> 9. <i>5%</i>	7,660 <i>6.5%</i>	263 0.2%	20,332 17.3%	7,477 6.4%	10,298 8.8%
Sully Percent of total	3.3%	124,203	76,701 <i>61.8%</i>	7,787 6.3%	303 <i>0.2%</i>	29,152 23.5%	10,260 8.3%	14,608 <i>11.8%</i>
Fairfax County Percent of total		1,081,726	677,990 <i>62.7%</i>	99,218 9.2%	3,884 <i>0.4%</i>	189,661 <i>17.5%</i>	110,973 <i>10.3%</i>	168,482 <i>15.6</i> %

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan 9A5

- 5. Legal Requirements and Plan Summary
 - 5.1. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS
 - 5.1.1. Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?
 - 5.1.1.1. Yes
 - 5.1.2. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?
 - 5.1.2.1. -3.5% to 3.3%
 - 5.2. MINIMAL DISRUPTION
 - 5.2.1. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?
 - 5.2.1.1. Yes, it moves only 7 precincts.
 - 5.2.2. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.
 - 5.2.2.1. N/A
 - 5.3. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES
 - 5.3.1. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?
 - 5.3.1.1. Yes
 - 5.3.2. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?
 - 5.3.2.1. N/A
 - 5.3.3. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?
 - 5.3.3.1. N/A
 - 5.4. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA
 - 5.4.1. Does the plan considers established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.
 - 5.4.1.1. Yes
 - 5.4.2. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?
 - 5.4.2.1. Yes
 - 5.5. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY
 - 5.5.1. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?
 - 5.5.1.1. Yes
 - 5.5.2. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?
 - 5.5.2.1. Yes
 - 5.6. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES
 - 5.6.1. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?
 - 5.6.1.1. No

- 5.6.2. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?
- 5.6.2.1. No
- 5.7. COST
- 5.7.1. Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?
- 5.7.1.1. Operating costs will be unaffected by this plan
- 5.8. Presentation of Plan and Comments

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 9A5]

1	[email: email: e
1 2	Draft of March 15, 2011
3 4 5 6	AN ORDINANCE to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, and 7-2-11, relating to the election districts of the members of the Board of Supervisors.
7	Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:
8 9 10	1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, and 7-2-11 are amended and readopted as follows:
11 12	Section 7-2-4 Braddock District.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae, Bristow, Burke Centre, Cardinal, Chapel, Eagle View, Fairview, Heritage, Keene Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch, Monument, North Springfield No. 1, North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde Creek, Olley, Ravensworth, Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield, and Woodson.
20 21	Section 7-2-5 Hunter Mill District.
22 23 24 25 26 27	The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron Glen, Coates, Colvin, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade, Hunters Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3, South Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No. 4, Vienna No. 6, Westbriar, and Wolftrap.
28 29	Section 7-2-6 Dranesville District.
30 31 32 33 34 35	The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge, Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, <u>Coates, Colvin, Cooper</u> , El Nido, Forestville, Great Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory, Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, McLean, Pimmit, Salona, Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Sugarland, Westhampton, and Westmoreland.

1 Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.

2

3 The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft,

4 Belvedere, Bren Mar, Bristow, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Glen Forest

No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer, Leewood,

Lincolnia, Masonville, Parklawn, Poe, Ravenwood, Ridgelea, Saint Albans, 6

Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Walnut Hill No. 2, Westlawn,

Weyanoke, Whittier, and Willston.

8 9 10

7

Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.

11 12

13

14

15

The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle

Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall,

Hunt, Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station,

Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood,

Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.

16 17 18

Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.

19 20

The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Fairfax

21 Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is 22

surrounded by Fairfax City), Fort Buffalo, Freedom Hill, Graham, Greenway,

Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak Marr,

Oakton, Penderbrook, Pine Ridge, Pine Spring, Price, Shreve, Stenwood,

Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, Walker, Walnut Hill No. 2, and Woodburn.

25 26 27

23

24

Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.

28 29

31

The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke,

30 Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, Eagle View, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax

Station, Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Irving, Monument,

32 Newgate North, Newgate South, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, Popes Head,

Sangster, Silverbrook, South County, South Run, Valley, West Springfield, White 33

34 Oaks, Willow Springs, and Woodyard.

35 36

37

38


39

2. That this ordinance shall become effective upon adoption, and it shall be enforced after satisfactory completion of the federal preclearance procedure provided by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.

40 41

GIVEN under my hand this	day of2011.
	Nancy Vehrs
	Clerk to the Board of Supervisors

\\s17prolaw01\Documents\104659\ECW\Correspondence\344204.doc



Plan Datasheet –9A6

Number of precincts moved: 7

Number of persons moved: 33964

Total deviation of the plan: 5.74%

Range of plan deviation: -2.4 to 3.34%

Precincts moved list: Bristow, Coates, Eagle View, Monument, South County,

Walnut Hill #2, Woodburn.

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 120,192

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 9A6

Supervisor District	Deviation from Target Population	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Races	Hispanic or Latino
Braddock Percent of total	-1.4%	118,484	78,528 66.3%	7,014 5.9%	374 0.3%	22,377 18.9%	10,191 8.6%	16,762 <i>14.1%</i>
Dranesville Percent of total	2.3%	122,980	84,489 <i>68.7%</i>	6,183 <i>5.0%</i>	373 0.3%	21,122 <i>17.2%</i>	10,813 <i>8.8%</i>	15,977 <i>13.0%</i>
Hunter Mill Percent of total	-0.8%	119,200	82,463 69.2%	8,428 7.1%	340 <i>0.3%</i>	18,881 <i>15.8%</i>	9,088 7.6%	12,241 <i>10.3%</i>
Lee Percent of total	-1.8%	117,984	62,721 <i>53.2%</i>	20,616 <i>17.5%</i>	618 <i>0.5%</i>	15,958 <i>13.5%</i>	18,071 <i>15.3%</i>	27,668 23.5%
Mason Percent of total	-0.8%	119,249	64,006 <i>53.7%</i>	13,166 <i>11.0%</i>	742 0.6%	20,973 17.6%	20,362 17.1%	34,466 28.9%
Mount Vernon Percent of total	2.7%	123,411	75,372 61.1%	21,495 <i>17.4%</i>	457 0.4%	13,388 <i>10.8%</i>	12,699 <i>10.3%</i>	17,986 <i>14.6%</i>
Providence Percent of total	-1.1%	118,907	72,134 <i>60.7%</i>	6,869 5.8%	414 0.3%	27,478 23.1%	12,012 <i>10.1%</i>	18,476 <i>15.5%</i>
Springfield Percent of total	-2.4%	117,308	81,576 <i>6</i> 9. <i>5%</i>	7,660 <i>6.5%</i>	263 0.2%	20,332 17.3%	7,477 6.4%	10,298 8.8%
Sully Percent of total	3.3%	124,203	76,701 <i>61.8%</i>	7,787 6.3%	303 0.2%	29,152 23.5%	10,260 8.3%	14,608 <i>11.8%</i>
Fairfax County Percent of total		1,081,726	677,990 <i>62.7%</i>	99,218 9.2%	3,884 <i>0.4%</i>	189,661 <i>17.5%</i>	110,973 <i>10.3%</i>	168,482 <i>15.6</i> %

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan 9A6

1. Legal Requirements and Plan Summary

- 1.1. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS
- 1.1.1. Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?
- 1.1.1.1. yes
- 1.1.2. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?
- 1.1.2.1. -2.4 to +2.7 [Staff note: plan author's stated deviation range is incorrect; actual range is -2.4 to 3.34%].
- 1.2. MINIMAL DISRUPTION
- 1.2.1. The plan is intended to reduce to a minimum the number of precincts affected.
- 1.2.2. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?
- 1.2.2.1. Yes, by attempting to move as few precincts as was practicable.
- 1.2.3. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.
- 1.2.3.1. The plan maintains the 9 districts.
- 1.3. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES
- 1.3.1. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?
- 1.3.1.1. Yes
- 1.3.2. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?
- 1.3.2.1. N/A
- 1.3.3. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?
- 1.4. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA
- 1.4.1. The plan meets the suggested criteria of the Board of Supervisors.
- 1.4.2. Does the plan considers established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.
- 1.4.3. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?
- 1.5. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY
- 1.5.1. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?
- 1.5.1.1. Yes
- 1.5.2. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?
- 1.5.2.1. Yes
- 1.6. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES
- 1.6.1. The plan provides better concentration and, therefore, representation of minorities within Mason District.
- 1.6.2. N/A
- 1.6.3. Edit: The plan provides better representation for Hispanic and African Americans within Mason District.

- 1.6.4. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?
- 1.6.5. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?
- 1.7. COST
- 1.7.1. The plan does not increase cost for the future operation of the County.
- 1.7.2. Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?
- 1.8. Presentation of Plan and Comments
- 1.8.1. The plan provides for an important combination of a community of interest in placing Annandale High School within Mason District.

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 9A6]

1	[OTTIZENO ADVIOORT COMMITTIZET EAR SAG]
1 2	Draft of March 15, 2011
3 4 5 6	AN ORDINANCE to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, and 7-2-11, relating to the election districts of the members of the Board of Supervisors.
7	Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:
8 9 10	1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, and 7-2-11 are amended and readopted as follows:
11 12	Section 7-2-4 Braddock District.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae, Bristow, Burke Centre, Cardinal, Chapel, Eagle View, Fairview, Heritage, Keene Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch, Monument, North Springfield No. 1, North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde Creek, Olley, Ravensworth, Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield, and Woodson.
20 21	Section 7-2-5 Hunter Mill District.
22 23 24 25 26 27	The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron Glen, Coates, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade, Hunters Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3, South Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No. 4, Vienna No. 6, Westbriar, and Wolftrap.
28 29	Section 7-2-6 Dranesville District.
30 31 32 33 34 35	The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge, Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, <u>Coates</u> , Colvin, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville, Great Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory, Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, McLean, Pimmit, Salona, Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Sugarland, Westhampton, and Westmoreland.

Section 7-2-8. - Mason District. The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft, Belvedere, Bren Mar, Bristow, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Glen Forest No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer, Leewood, Lincolnia, Masonville, Parklawn, Poe, Ravenwood, Ridgelea, Saint Albans, Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Walnut Hill No. 2, Westlawn, Weyanoke, Whittier, and-Willston, and Woodburn.

Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.

The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall, Hunt, Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station, Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood, Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.

Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.


The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Fairfax Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is surrounded by Fairfax City), Fort Buffalo, Freedom Hill, Graham, Greenway, Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak Marr, Oakton, Penderbrook, Pine Ridge, Pine Spring, Price, Shreve, Stenwood, Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, and Walker, Walnut Hill No. 2, and Woodburn.

Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.

 The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke, Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, Eagle View, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax Station, Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Irving, Monument, Newgate North, Newgate South, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, Popes Head, Sangster, Silverbrook, South County, South Run, Valley, West Springfield, White Oaks, Willow Springs, and Woodyard.

2. That this ordinance sha shall be enforced after satisfa procedure provided by Section nmended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.	actory completion	-
GIVEN under my hand this	day of	2011.
		Nancy Ve

 $\verb|\s17prolaw01| Documents \\ 104659 \\ ECW \\ Correspondence \\ 344210. \\ documents \\ 104659 \\ ECW \\ Correspondence \\ 344210. \\ documents \\ 104659 \\ ECW \\ Correspondence \\ 104659 \\ ECW \\ E$



Plan Datasheet –9A7

Number of precincts moved: 7

Number of persons moved: 31000

Total deviation of the plan: 7.95%

Range of plan deviation: -4.08 to 3.87%

Precincts moved list: Bristow, Eagle View, Flint Hill, Huntington, Irving, Walnut

Hill #2, Woodburn.

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 120,192

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 9A7

Supervisor District	Deviation from Target Population	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Races	Hispanic or Latino
Braddock Percent of total	-4.1%	115,288	77,640 67.3%	6,469 <i>5.6%</i>	370 0.3%	20,880 18.1%	9,929 8.6%	16,626 <i>14.4%</i>
Dranesville Percent of total	-3.8%	115,586	81,963 <i>70.9%</i>	4,884 <i>4.2%</i>	360 <i>0.</i> 3%	18,267 <i>15.8%</i>	10,112 8.7%	15,122 <i>13.1%</i>
Hunter Mill Percent of total	0.4%	120,662	80,412 66.6%	9,577 7.9%	332 0.3%	21,016 <i>17.4%</i>	9,325 7.7%	12,486 <i>10.3%</i>
Lee Percent of total	3.0%	123,768	66,389 <i>53.6%</i>	21,382 <i>17.3%</i>	637 <i>0.5%</i>	16,506 <i>13.3%</i>	18,854 <i>15.2%</i>	28,788 23.3%
Mason Percent of total	-0.8%	119,249	64,006 <i>53.7%</i>	13,166 <i>11.0%</i>	742 0.6%	20,973 17.6%	20,362 17.1%	34,466 28.9%
Mount Vernon Percent of total	1.3%	121,717	74,263 <i>61.0%</i>	21,345 <i>17.5%</i>	444 0.4%	13,464 <i>11.1%</i>	12,201 <i>10.0%</i>	17,172 <i>14.1%</i>
Providence Percent of total	3.9%	124,839	76,711 <i>61.4%</i>	7,019 <i>5</i> .6%	435 0.3%	28,198 22.6%	12,476 10.0%	19,086 <i>15.3%</i>
Springfield Percent of total	-3.1%	116,414	79,905 68.6%	7,589 <i>6.5%</i>	261 0.2%	21,205 <i>18.2%</i>	7,454 6.4%	10,128 <i>8.7%</i>
Sully Percent of total	3.3%	124,203	76,701 <i>61.8%</i>	7,787 6.3%	303 0.2%	29,152 23.5%	10,260 8.3%	14,608 <i>11.8%</i>
Fairfax County Percent of total		1,081,726	677,990 <i>62.7%</i>	99,218 9.2%	3,884 <i>0.4%</i>	189,661 <i>17.5%</i>	110,973 <i>10.</i> 3%	168,482 <i>15</i> .6%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan 9A7

- 1. Legal Requirements and Plan Summary
 - 1.1. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS
 - 1.1.1. Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?
 - 1.1.1.1. Yes
 - 1.1.2. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?
 - 1.1.2.1. 7.95%
 - 1.2. MINIMAL DISRUPTION
 - 1.2.1. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?
 - 1.2.1.1. Yes
 - 1.2.2. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.
 - 1.2.2.1. N/A
 - 1.3. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES
 - 1.3.1. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?
 - 1.3.1.1. Yes
 - 1.3.2. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?
 - 1.3.2.1. N/A
 - 1.3.3. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?
 - 1.3.3.1. N/A
 - 1.4. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA
 - 1.4.1. Does the plan considers established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.
 - 1.4.1.1. Yes
 - 1.4.2. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?
 - 1.4.2.1. Yes
 - 1.5. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY
 - 1.5.1. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?
 - 1.5.1.1. Yes
 - 1.5.1.2. Yes
 - 1.5.2. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?
 - 1.5.2.1. Yes
 - 1.6. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES
 - 1.6.1. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?
 - 1.6.1.1. No

- 1.6.2. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?
- 1.6.2.1. No
- 1.7. COST
- 1.7.1. Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?
- 1.7.1.1. No

Presentation of Plan and Comments

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 9A7]

1 2	Draft of March 15, 2011
_	2. a 6a. 6
3 4 5 6	AN ORDINANCE to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, and 7-2-11, relating to the election districts of the members of the Board of Supervisors.
7	Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:
8 9 10	1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, and 7-2-11 are amended and readopted as follows:
11	Section 7-2-4 Braddock District.
12 13 14 15 16 17	The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae, Bristow, Burke Centre, Cardinal, Chapel, Eagle View, Fairview, Heritage, Irving, Keene Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch, North Springfield No. 1, North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde Creek, Olley, Ravensworth, Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield, and Woodson.
18 19 20	Section 7-2-5 Hunter Mill District.
21 22 23 24 25	The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron Glen, Coates, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade, Hunters Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3, South Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No. 4, Vienna No. 6, Westbriar, and Wolftrap.
26 27	Section 7-2-7 Lee District.
28 29 30 31 32 33	The Lee District shall consist of these election precincts: Bush Hill, Cameron, Clermont, Crestwood, Fairfield, Franconia, Garfield, Greenspring, Groveton, Hayfield, <u>Huntington</u> , Huntley, Island Creek, Kingstowne, Lane, Lynbrook, Mount Eagle, Pioneer, Rose Hill, Van Dorn, Villages, Virginia Hills, and Wilton.

Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.

1 2

3 The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft,

4 Belvedere, Bren Mar, Bristow, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Glen Forest

No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer, Leewood,

6 Lincolnia, Masonville, Parklawn, Poe, Ravenwood, Ridgelea, Saint Albans,

Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Walnut Hill No. 2, Westlawn,

Weyanoke, Whittier, and Willston, and Woodburn.

8 9 10

7

Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.

11 12

13

15

The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall,

14 Hunt, Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station,

Marier Newigaton Coretors Charge of Court Courts Stratford Waynesses

Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood,

Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.

16 17 18

Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.

19 20

21

The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Fairfax Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is

22 | surrounded by Fairfax City), Flint Hill, Fort Buffalo, Freedom Hill, Graham,

Greenway, Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak

24 Marr, Oakton, Penderbrook, Pine Ridge, Pine Spring, Price, Shreve, Stenwood,

Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, and Walker, Walnut Hill No. 2, and Woodburn.

25 26 27

Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.

28 29

31

The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke,

30 | Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, Eagle View, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax

Station, Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Irving, Monument,

Newgate North, Newgate South, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, Popes Head,

33 Sangster, Silverbrook, South Run, Valley, West Springfield, White Oaks, Willow

34 Springs, and Woodyard.

35 36

37


38

39

2. That this ordinance shall become effective upon adoption, and it shall be enforced after satisfactory completion of the federal preclearance procedure provided by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as

40 **amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.** 41

1	GIVEN under my hand this	day of	2011.
			Nancy Vehrs
			Clerk to the Board of Supervisors



Plan Datasheet –9A8

Number of precincts moved: 7

Number of persons moved: 31282

Total deviation of the plan: 6.57%

Range of plan deviation: -3.23 to 3.34%

Precincts moved list: Bristow, Eagle View, Fort Buffalo, Hunt, Popes Head,

Walnut Hill #2, Westbriar.

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 120,192

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 9A8

Supervisor District	Deviation from Target Population	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Races	Hispanic or Latino
Braddock Percent of total	-3.2%	116,310	78,334 <i>67.3%</i>	6,573 <i>5.7%</i>	370 0.3%	21,049 18.1%	9,984 8.6%	16,472 <i>14.2%</i>
Dranesville Percent of total	0.6%	120,854	85,763 <i>71.0%</i>	5,050 <i>4.2%</i>	366 <i>0.</i> 3%	19,317 <i>16.0%</i>	10,358 <i>8.6%</i>	15,431 <i>12.8%</i>
Hunter Mill Percent of total	0.9%	121,326	81,189 <i>66.9%</i>	9,561 7.9%	347 0.3%	20,686 17.0%	9,543 7.9%	12,787 <i>10.5%</i>
Lee Percent of total	-1.8%	117,984	62,721 <i>5</i> 3.2%	20,616 <i>17.5%</i>	618 <i>0.5%</i>	15,958 <i>13.5%</i>	18,071 <i>15.3%</i>	27,668 23.5%
Mason Percent of total	-0.5%	119,542	63,641 <i>53.2%</i>	13,334 <i>11.2%</i>	728 0.6%	21,030 <i>17.6%</i>	20,809 17.4%	35,160 29.4%
Mount Vernon Percent of total	1.6%	122,086	74,289 <i>60.8%</i>	21,607 <i>17.7%</i>	448 0.4%	13,129 <i>10.8%</i>	12,613 <i>10.3%</i>	17,790 <i>14</i> .6%
Providence Percent of total	-1.3%	118,614	72,499 <i>61.1%</i>	6,701 <i>5.6%</i>	428 0.4%	27,421 23.1%	11,565 9.8%	17,782 <i>15.0%</i>
Springfield Percent of total	0.5%	120,807	82,853 68.6%	7,989 <i>6.6%</i>	276 0.2%	21,919 <i>18.1%</i>	7,770 6.4%	10,784 8.9%
Sully Percent of total	3.3%	124,203	76,701 <i>61.8%</i>	7,787 6.3%	303 0.2%	29,152 23.5%	10,260 8.3%	14,608 <i>11.8%</i>
Fairfax County Percent of total		1,081,726	677,990 <i>62.7%</i>	99,218 9.2%	3,884 <i>0.4%</i>	189,661 <i>17.5%</i>	110,973 <i>10.</i> 3%	168,482 <i>15.</i> 6%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan 9A8

1. Legal Requirements and Plan Summary

- 1.1. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS
- 1.1.1. Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?
- 1.1.1.1. Yes
- 1.1.2. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?
- 1.1.2.1. 6.56% (-3.32% to 3.34%)
- 1.2. MINIMAL DISRUPTION
- 1.2.1. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?
- 1.2.1.1. No Supervisors move.
- 1.2.2. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.
- 1.2.2.1. Same #
- 1.3. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES
- 1.3.1. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?
- 1.3.1.1. Yes
- 1.3.2. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?
- 1.3.2.1. N/A
- 1.3.3. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?
- 1.3.3.1. Yes
- 1.4. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA
- 1.4.1. Does the plan considers established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.
- 1.4.1.1. Yes
- 1.4.2. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?
- 1.4.2.1. Yes
- 1.5. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY
- 1.5.1. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?
- 1.5.1.1. Yes
- 1.5.2. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?
- 1.5.2.1. Yes except for the Judicial Center in Fairfax City that already exists.
- 1.6. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES
- 1.6.1. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?
- 1.6.1.1. No

- 1.6.2. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?
- 1.6.2.1. No
- 1.7. COST
- 1.7.1. Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?
- 1.7.1.1. Should be change
- 1.7.1.2. Should be no change
- 1.8. Presentation of Plan and Comments
- 1.8.1. Mt Vernon Springfield Hunt 5415 BRADDOCK MASON Bristow 5503 SPRINGFIELD BRADDOCK Popes Head 4378 SPRINGFIELD BRADDOCK Eagle View 6005 HUNTER-MILL DRANESVILLE Westbriar 5268 PROVIDENCE MASON Fort Buffalo 3551 PROVIDENCE MASON Walnut Hill #2 1162 Total 31282
- 1.8.2. Mt Vernon Springfield Hunt 5415 BRADDOCK MASON Bristow 5503 SPRINGFIELD BRADDOCK Popes Head 4378 SPRINGFIELD BRADDOCK Eagle View 6005 HUNTER-MILL DRANESVILLE Westbriar 5268 PROVIDENCE MASON Fort Buffalo 3551 PROVIDENCE MASON Walnut Hill #2 1162 Total 31282
- 1.8.3. 6 Precincts moved. [Staff note: plan author's stated number of precincts moved is incorrect; actual number is 7.]

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 9A8]

1 2	Draft of March 15, 2011
3 4 5 6	AN ORDINANCE to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, and 7-2-11, relating to the election districts of the members of the Board of Supervisors.
7	Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:
8 9 10	1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, and 7-2-11 are amended and readopted as follows:
11 12	Section 7-2-4 Braddock District.
13 14 15 16 17	The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae, Bristow, Burke Centre, Cardinal, Chapel, Eagle View, Fairview, Heritage, Keene Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch, North Springfield No. 1, North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde Creek, Olley, Popes Head, Ravensworth, Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield, and Woodson.
18 19	Section 7-2-5 Hunter Mill District.
20 21 22 23 24 25 26	The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron Glen, Coates, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade, Hunters Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3, South Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No. 4, Vienna No. 6, Westbriar, and Wolftrap.
27 28	Section 7-2-6 Dranesville District.
28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35	The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge, Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, Colvin, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville, Great Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory, Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, McLean, Pimmit, Salona, Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Sugarland, Westbriar, Westhampton, and Westmoreland.

Section 7-2-8. - Mason District. The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft, Belvedere, Bren Mar, Bristow, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Fort Buffalo, Glen Forest No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer, Leewood, Lincolnia, Masonville, Parklawn, Poe, Ravenwood, Ridgelea, Saint Albans, Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Walnut Hill No. 2, Westlawn, Weyanoke, Whittier, and Willston.

Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.

The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall, Hunt, Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station, Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood, Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.

Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.


The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Fairfax Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is surrounded by Fairfax City), Fort Buffalo, Freedom Hill, Graham, Greenway, Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak Marr, Oakton, Penderbrook, Pine Ridge, Pine Spring, Price, Shreve, Stenwood, Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, Walker, Walnut Hill No. 2, and Woodburn.

Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.

 The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke, Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, Eagle View, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax Station, Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Hunt, Irving, Monument, Newgate North, Newgate South, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, Popes Head, Sangster, Silverbrook, South Run, Valley, West Springfield, White Oaks, Willow Springs, and Woodyard.

shall be enforced after satisfa procedure provided by Section amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.	actory complet	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
GIVEN under my hand this	day of	2011.
		Nancy \ Clerk to the Board of Superv

\\s17prolaw01\Documents\104659\ECW\Correspondence\344234.doc



Plan Datasheet –9A9

Number of precincts moved: 8

Number of persons moved: 38897

Total deviation of the plan: 7.78%

Range of plan deviation: -4.44 to 3.34%

Precincts moved list: Bristow, Coates, Colvin, Eagle View, Hunt, Monument,

North Springfield #2, Walnut Hill #2.

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 9A9

120,192

Supervisor District	Deviation from Target Population	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Races	Hispanic or Latino
Braddock Percent of total	-4.4%	114,858	76,713 66.8%	6,705 <i>5.8%</i>	339 0.3%	21,727 18.9%	9,374 8.2%	15,504 <i>13.5%</i>
Dranesville Percent of total	-0.4%	119,740	81,982 <i>68.5%</i>	6,107 <i>5.1%</i>	371 0.3%	20,629 <i>17.2%</i>	10,651 8.9%	15,814 <i>13.2%</i>
Hunter Mill Percent of total	1.9%	122,440	84,970 69.4%	8,504 <i>6</i> .9%	342 0.3%	19,374 <i>15.8%</i>	9,250 7.6%	12,404 10.1%
Lee Percent of total	-1.8%	117,984	62,721 <i>5</i> 3.2%	20,616 <i>17.5%</i>	618 <i>0.5%</i>	15,958 <i>13.5%</i>	18,071 <i>15.3%</i>	27,668 23.5%
Mason Percent of total	-0.5%	119,617	63,763 <i>53.3%</i>	13,296 <i>11.1%</i>	742 0.6%	20,902 17.5%	20,914 <i>17.5</i> %	35,274 29.5%
Mount Vernon Percent of total	1.6%	122,086	74,289 <i>60.8%</i>	21,607 <i>17.7%</i>	448 0.4%	13,129 <i>10.8%</i>	12,613 <i>10.3%</i>	17,790 <i>14.6%</i>
Providence Percent of total	1.6%	122,165	74,192 <i>60.7%</i>	7,048 <i>5.8%</i>	449 0.4%	28,199 23.1%	12,277 10.0%	18,926 <i>15.5%</i>
Springfield Percent of total	-1.3%	118,633	82,659 <i>69.7%</i>	7,548 <i>6.4%</i>	272 0.2%	20,591 <i>17.4%</i>	7,563 <i>6.4%</i>	10,494 <i>8.8%</i>
Sully Percent of total	3.3%	124,203	76,701 <i>61.8%</i>	7,787 6.3%	303 <i>0.2%</i>	29,152 23.5%	10,260 8.3%	14,608 11.8%
Fairfax County Percent of total		1,081,726	677,990 <i>62.7%</i>	99,218 9.2%	3,884 <i>0.4%</i>	189,661 <i>17.5%</i>	110,973 <i>10.3%</i>	168,482 <i>15.6%</i>

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan 9A9

- 9. Legal Requirements and Plan Summary
 - 9.1. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS
 - 9.1.1. Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?
 - 9.1.1.1. Yes.
 - 9.1.2. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?
 - 9.1.2.1. The deviation ranges between -4.4% to 3.3%.
 - 9.2. MINIMAL DISRUPTION
 - 9.2.1. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?
 - 9.2.1.1. Yes.
 - 9.2.2. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.
 - 9.2.2.1. Non-applicable (plan keeps 9 magisterial districts).
 - 9.3. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES
 - 9.3.1. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?
 - 9.3.1.1. Yes.
 - 9.3.2. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?
 - 9.3.2.1. Non-applicable.
 - 9.3.3. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?
 - 9.3.3.1. Non-applicable.
 - 9.4. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA
 - 9.4.1. Does the plan considers established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.
 - 9.4.1.1. Yes.
 - 9.4.2. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?
 - 9.4.2.1. Yes.
 - 9.5. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY
 - 9.5.1. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?
 - 9.5.1.1. Yes.
 - 9.5.2. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?
 - 9.5.2.1. Yes.
 - 9.6. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES
 - 9.6.1. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?
 - 9.6.1.1. No.

- 9.6.2. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?
- 9.6.2.1. No.
- 9.7. COST
- 9.7.1. Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?
- 9.7.1.1. Neither (cost-neutral).
- 9.8. Presentation of Plan and Comments

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE **BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

1	[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 9A9]
1 2	Draft of March 15, 2011
3 4 5 6	AN ORDINANCE to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, and 7-2-11, relating to the election districts of the members of the Board of Supervisors.
7	Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:
8 9 10	1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, and 7-2-11 are amended and readopted as follows:
11	Section 7-2-4 Braddock District.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae, Bristow, Burke Centre, Cardinal, Chapel, Eagle View, Fairview, Heritage, Keene Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch, Monument, North Springfield No. 1, North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde Creek, Olley, Ravensworth, Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield, and Woodson.
20 21	Section 7-2-5 Hunter Mill District.
22 23 24 25 26 27	The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron Glen, Coates, Colvin, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade, Hunters Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3, South Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No. 4, Vienna No. 6, Westbriar, and Wolftrap.
28 29	Section 7-2-6 Dranesville District.
30 31 32 33 34 35	The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge, Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, <u>Coates, Colvin</u> , Cooper, El Nido, Forestville, Great Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory, Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, McLean, Pimmit, Salona, Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Sugarland, Westhampton, and Westmoreland.

Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.

The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft, Belvedere, Bren Mar, <u>Bristow</u>, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Glen Forest No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer, Leewood, Lincolnia, Masonville, <u>North Springfield No. 2</u>, Parklawn, Poe, Ravenwood, Ridgelea, Saint Albans, Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, <u>Walnut Hill No.</u> 2, Westlawn, Weyanoke, Whittier, and Willston.

Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.

The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall, Hunt, Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station, Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood, Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.

Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.


The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Fairfax Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is surrounded by Fairfax City), Fort Buffalo, Freedom Hill, Graham, Greenway, Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak Marr, Oakton, Penderbrook, Pine Ridge, Pine Spring, Price, Shreve, Stenwood, Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, Walker, Walnut Hill No. 2, and Woodburn.

Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.

The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke, Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, Eagle View, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax Station, Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Hunt, Irving, Monument, Newgate North, Newgate South, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, Popes Head, Sangster, Silverbrook, South Run, Valley, West Springfield, White Oaks, Willow Springs, and Woodyard.

mended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.		
GIVEN under my hand this	day of	2011.

\\s17prolaw01\Documents\104659\ECW\Correspondence\344248.doc



<u>Plan Datasheet – 9A10</u>

Number of precincts moved: 8

Number of persons moved: 36793

Total deviation of the plan: 3.43%

Range of plan deviation: -2.04 to 1.39%

Precincts moved list: Eagle View, Fort Buffalo, Grosvenor, Huntington,

Lynbrook, Popes Head, Poplar Tree, Westbriar.

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 120,192

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 9A10

Supervisor District	Deviation from Target Population	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Races	Hispanic or Latino
Braddock Percent of total	1.3%	121,813	80,471 66.1%	7,325 6.0%	391 <i>0.3%</i>	22,600 18.6%	11,026 9.1%	18,225 <i>15.0%</i>
Dranesville Percent of total	0.6%	120,854	85,763 <i>71.0%</i>	5,050 <i>4.2%</i>	366 <i>0.</i> 3%	19,317 <i>16.0%</i>	10,358 <i>8.6%</i>	15,431 <i>12.8%</i>
Hunter Mill Percent of total	0.9%	121,326	81,189 <i>66.9%</i>	9,561 <i>7.9%</i>	347 0.3%	20,686 17.0%	9,543 7.9%	12,787 <i>10.5%</i>
Lee Percent of total	1.4%	121,859	66,195 <i>54.3%</i>	21,677 <i>17.8</i> %	592 0.5%	15,737 <i>12</i> .9%	17,658 <i>14.5%</i>	27,027 22.2%
Mason Percent of total	-2.0%	117,744	62,554 53.1%	12,827 10.9%	747 0.6%	20,401 17.3%	21,215 18.0%	35,447 30.1%
Mount Vernon Percent of total	-1.2%	118,759	72,571 <i>61.1%</i>	20,790 <i>17.5%</i>	431 <i>0.4%</i>	13,123 <i>11.1%</i>	11,844 <i>10.0%</i>	16,688 <i>14.1%</i>
Providence Percent of total	-0.3%	119,776	73,335 <i>61.2%</i>	6,716 <i>5</i> .6%	446 0.4%	27,609 23.1%	11,670 9.7%	17,987 <i>15.0%</i>
Springfield Percent of total	-0.7%	119,374	81,741 <i>68.5%</i>	7,657 6.4%	272 0.2%	22,125 <i>18.5%</i>	7,579 6.3%	10,452 8.8%
Sully Percent of total	0.0%	120,221	74,171 61.7%	7,615 6.3%	292 0.2%	28,063 23.3%	10,080 8.4%	14,438 12.0%
Fairfax County Percent of total		1,081,726	677,990 62.7%	99,218 9.2%	3,884 <i>0.4%</i>	189,661 <i>17.5%</i>	110,973 <i>10.</i> 3%	168,482 <i>15.6</i> %

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan 9A10

1. Legal Requirements and Plan Summary

- 1.1. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS
- 1.1.1. Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?
- 1.1.1.1. The range of the deviation is less than 10 %
- 1.1.2. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?
- 1.1.2.1. -2 %
- 1.2. MINIMAL DISRUPTION
- 1.2.1. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?
- 1.2.2. Yes, There is minimum disruption. The plan requires 7 moves. Most of the moves were around the boundaries of the districts. [Staff note: plan author's stated number of precincts moved is incorrect; actual number is 8.]
- 1.2.3. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.
- 1.2.3.1. Same number of supervisor districts, nine
- 1.3. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES
- 1.3.1. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?
- 1.3.1.1. Yes
- 1.3.2. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?
- 1.3.2.1. Not applicable
- 1.3.3. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?
- 1.3.3.1. Yes
- 1.4. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA
- 1.4.1. Does the plan considers established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.
- 1.4.1.1. Yes
- 1.4.2. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?
- 1.4.2.1. Yes
- 1.5. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY
- 1.5.1. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?
- 1.5.1.1. Yes
- 1.5.2. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?
- 1.5.2.1. Yes
- 1.6. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES
- 1.6.1. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off

than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?

- 1.6.1.1. Yes, there will be a small regression.
- 1.6.2. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?
- 1.6.2.1. No.
- 1.7. COST
- 1.7.1. Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?
- 1.8. Presentation of Plan and Comments
- 1.8.1. There will no substantial increase in cost.

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 9A10]

1		•
2		Draft of March 15, 2011
3		AN ORDINANCE to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by
4		amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10,
5		7-2-11, and 7-2-12, relating to the election districts of the members
6		of the Board of Supervisors.
_		
7		Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:
8		1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, 7-2-11, and
9		7-2-12 are amended and readopted as follows:
10		
11		Section 7-2-4 Braddock District.
12		
13	1	The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae,
14 15		Bristow, Burke Centre, Cardinal, Chapel, <u>Eagle View</u> , Fairview, Heritage, Keene Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch, North Springfield No. 1,
16	1	North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde Creek, Olley, <u>Popes Head,</u> Ravensworth,
17	ļ	Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield, and Woodson.
18		
19		Section 7-2-5 Hunter Mill District.
20 21		The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron
22		Glen, Coates, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade, Hunters
23		Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3, South
24		Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No.
25		4, Vienna No. 6, Westbriar, and Wolftrap.
2627		Section 7-2-6 Dranesville District.
28		Section 7-2-0 Dranesvine District.
29		The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge,
30		Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, Colvin, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville, Great
31		Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory,
32	ı	Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, McLean, Pimmit, Salona,
33 34		Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Sugarland, <u>Westbriar</u> , Westhampton, and Westmoreland.
35		westinordand.

Section 7-2-7. - Lee District.

1 2

The Lee District shall consist of these election precincts: Bush Hill, Cameron, Clermont, Crestwood, Fairfield, Franconia, Garfield, Greenspring, Grosvenor,

Groveton, Hayfield, <u>Huntington,</u> Huntley, Island Creek, Kingstowne, Lane,

6 Lynbrook, Mount Eagle, Pioneer, Rose Hill, Van Dorn, Villages, Virginia Hills, and Wilton.

Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.

The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft,
 Belvedere, Bren Mar, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, <u>Fort Buffalo, Glen</u>
 Forest No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer,
 Leewood, Lincolnia, <u>Lynbrook, Masonville, Parklawn, Poe, Ravenwood,</u>
 Ridgelea, Saint Albans, Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Westlawn,

Ridgelea, Saint Albans, Skyline, SWeyanoke, Whittier, and Willston.

Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.

The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall, Hunt, Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station, Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood, Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.

Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.


 The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Fairfax Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is surrounded by Fairfax City), Fort Buffalo, Freedom Hill, Graham, Greenway, Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak Marr, Oakton, Penderbrook, Pine Ridge, Pine Spring, Price, Shreve, Stenwood, Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, Walker, Walnut Hill No. 2, and Woodburn.

Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.

The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke,
Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, Eagle View, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax
Station, Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Irving, Monument,
Newgate North, Newgate South, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, Popes Head, Poplar
Tree, Sangster, Silverbrook, South Run, Valley, West Springfield, White Oaks,
Willow Springs, and Woodyard.

1	
2	Section 7-2-12 Sully District.
3	
4	The Sully District shall consist of these election precincts: Brookfield, Bull Run,
5	Centre Ridge, Centreville, Cub Run, Deer Park, Dulles, Franklin, Green Trails,
6	Kinross, Lees Corner East, Lees Corner West, London Towne East, London
7	Towne West, Navy, Old Mill, Poplar Tree, Powell, Rocky Run, Stone, Vale,
8	Virginia Run, and Waples Mill.
9	
10	2. That this ordinance shall become effective upon adoption, and it
11	shall be enforced after satisfactory completion of the federal preclearance
12	procedure provided by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as
13	amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.
14	
	GIVEN under my hand this day of 2011.
	N1
	Nancy Vehrs
	Clerk to the Board of Supervisors

\\s17prolaw01\Documents\104659\ECW\Correspondence\344253.doc



Plan Datasheet -9A11

Number of precincts moved: 9

Number of persons moved: 39779

Total deviation of the plan: 8.08%

Range of plan deviation: -4.02 to 4.06%

Precincts moved list: Cardinal, Coates, Eagle View, Fort Buffalo, Hunt, Keene

Mill, Monument, Walnut Hill #2, Woodburn.

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 120,192

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 9A11

Supervisor District	Deviation from Target Population	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Races	Hispanic or Latino
Braddock Percent of total	-2.2%	117,545	76,337 <i>64.9%</i>	7,295 6.2%	371 0.3%	22,998 19.6%	10,544 9.0%	17,473 <i>14.</i> 9%
Dranesville Percent of total	2.3%	122,980	84,489 <i>68.7%</i>	6,183 <i>5.0%</i>	373 0.3%	21,122 <i>17.2%</i>	10,813 <i>8.8%</i>	15,977 <i>13.0%</i>
Hunter Mill Percent of total	-0.8%	119,200	82,463 69.2%	8,428 7.1%	340 0.3%	18,881 <i>15.8%</i>	9,088 7.6%	12,241 <i>10.3%</i>
Lee Percent of total	-1.8%	117,984	62,721 <i>5</i> 3.2%	20,616 <i>17.5%</i>	618 <i>0.5%</i>	15,958 <i>13.5%</i>	18,071 <i>15.</i> 3%	27,668 23.5%
Mason Percent of total	-2.4%	117,297	63,562 <i>54.2%</i>	12,761 <i>10</i> .9%	742 0.6%	20,200 17.2%	20,032 17.1%	33,857 28.9%
Mount Vernon Percent of total	1.6%	122,086	74,289 <i>60.8%</i>	21,607 <i>17.7%</i>	448 0.4%	13,129 <i>10.8%</i>	12,613 <i>10.3%</i>	17,790 <i>14.6%</i>
Providence Percent of total	-4.0%	115,356	70,441 <i>61.1%</i>	6,522 5.7%	393 0.3%	26,700 23.1%	11,300 9.8%	17,332 <i>15.0%</i>
Springfield Percent of total	4.1%	125,075	86,987 <i>69.5%</i>	8,019 <i>6.4%</i>	296 0.2%	21,521 <i>17.2%</i>	8,252 6.6%	11,536 9.2%
Sully Percent of total	3.3%	124,203	76,701 <i>61.8%</i>	7,787 6.3%	303 <i>0.2%</i>	29,152 23.5%	10,260 8.3%	14,608 <i>11.8%</i>
Fairfax County Percent of total		1,081,726	677,990 <i>62.7%</i>	99,218 9.2%	3,884 <i>0.4%</i>	189,661 <i>17.5%</i>	110,973 <i>10.3%</i>	168,482 <i>15.6%</i>

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan 9A11

11.Legal Requirements and Plan Summary

- 11.1. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS
- 11.1.1. Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?
- 11.1.1.1. Yes
- 11.1.2. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?
- 11.1.2.1. (4.0%) and 4.1%
- 11.2. MINIMAL DISRUPTION
- 11.2.1. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?
- 11.2.1.1. Yes
- 11.2.2. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.
- 11.2.2.1. N/A
- 11.3. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES
- 11.3.1. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?
- 11.3.1.1. Yes
- 11.3.2. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?
- 11.3.3. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?
- 11.4. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA
- 11.4.1. Does the plan considers established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.
- 11.4.1.1. Yes, especially moving the Cardinal and the Keene Mill precincts back into Springfield where they were for over 40 years
- 11.4.2. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?
- 11.4.2.1. Yes
- 11.5. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY
- 11.5.1. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?
- 11.5.1.1. No
- 11.5.2. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?
- 11.5.2.1. Yes
- 11.6. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES
- 11.6.1. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?
- 11.6.1.1. No
- 11.6.2. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large

and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?

11.6.2.1. No

11.7. COST

- 11.7.1. Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?
- 11.7.1.1. Do not think so
- 11.8. Presentation of Plan and Comments
- 11.8.1. While there has been an overriding concern about moving precincts, this is the only chance for the next 10 years to right a wrong that occurred 10 years ago. In the 2001 reapportionment, Cardinal and Keene Mill precincts were ripped from the Springfield District and put into Braddock District. As you can see from a map, the Cardinal and Keene Mill communities are separated from the rest of the Braddock District by a physical boundary, a railroad track, and a virtual boundary, the West Springfield High School pyramid resulting in an isolation of a community and putting 1/3 of the West Springfield High School pyramid elementary schools in a separate magisterial district. In fact while the Board of Supervisors were splitting Cardinal and Keene Mill into a new magisterial district, those redistricting for Congressional seats reunited Cardinal with Keene Mill for the 2002 election, they had been in the 8th and 11th Congressional districts respectively, putting them in with the rest of the West Springfield area.
- 11.9. (Type here to submit an idea.)

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 9A11]

1	[0]
1 2	Draft of March 15, 2011
3 4 5 6	AN ORDINANCE to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, and 7-2-11, relating to the election districts of the members of the Board of Supervisors.
7	Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:
8 9 10	1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, and 7-2-11 are amended and readopted as follows:
11 12	Section 7-2-4 Braddock District.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae, Bristow, Burke Centre, Cardinal, Chapel, Eagle View, Fairview, Heritage, Keene Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch, Monument, North Springfield No. 1, North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde Creek, Olley, Ravensworth, Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield, and Woodson.
20 21	Section 7-2-5 Hunter Mill District.
22 23 24 25 26 27	The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron Glen, Coates, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade, Hunters Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3, South Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No. 4, Vienna No. 6, Westbriar, and Wolftrap.
28 29	Section 7-2-6 Dranesville District.
30 31 32 33 34 35	The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge, Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, <u>Coates</u> , Colvin, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville, Great Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory, Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, McLean, Pimmit, Salona, Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Sugarland, Westhampton, and Westmoreland.

Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.

1 2

The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft,
Belvedere, Bren Mar, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Fort Buffalo, Glen
Forest No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer,
Leewood, Lincolnia, Masonville, Parklawn, Poe, Ravenwood, Ridgelea, Saint
Albans, Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Walnut Hill No. 2, Westlawn,
Weyanoke, Whittier, and-Willston, and Woodburn.

Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.

The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall, Hunt, Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station, Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood, Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.

Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.


The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Fairfax Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is surrounded by Fairfax City), Fort Buffalo, Freedom Hill, Graham, Greenway, Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak Marr, Oakton, Penderbrook, Pine Ridge, Pine Spring, Price, Shreve, Stenwood, Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, and Walker, Walnut Hill No. 2, and Woodburn.

Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.

The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke, <u>Cardinal</u>, Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, <u>Eagle View</u>, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax Station, Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, <u>Hunt</u>, Irving, <u>Monument</u>, <u>Keene Mill</u>, Newgate North, Newgate South, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, Popes Head, Sangster, Silverbrook, South Run, Valley, West Springfield, White Oaks, Willow Springs, and Woodyard.

mended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.		
GIVEN under my hand this	day of	2011.

\\s17prolaw01\Documents\104659\ECW\Correspondence\344262.doc



Plan Datasheet -9A12

Number of precincts moved: 9

Number of persons moved: 41774

Total deviation of the plan: 8.18%

Range of plan deviation: -4.73 to 3.45%

Precincts moved list: Eagle View, Fairfax Court, Hunt, Newington, Pine Ridge,

Popes Head, Price, West Springfield, Westbriar.

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 120,192

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 9A12

Supervisor District	Deviation from Target Population	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Races	Hispanic or Latino
Braddock Percent of total	2.4%	123,065	81,179 <i>66.0%</i>	7,797 6.3%	409 0.3%	22,641 18.4%	11,039 9.0%	18,546 <i>15.1%</i>
Dranesville Percent of total	0.6%	120,854	85,763 <i>71.0%</i>	5,050 <i>4.2%</i>	366 <i>0.</i> 3%	19,317 <i>16.0%</i>	10,358 <i>8</i> .6%	15,431 <i>12.8%</i>
Hunter Mill Percent of total	0.9%	121,326	81,189 <i>66.9%</i>	9,561 <i>7.9%</i>	347 0.3%	20,686 17.0%	9,543 7.9%	12,787 <i>10.5%</i>
Lee Percent of total	-1.8%	117,984	62,721 <i>5</i> 3.2%	20,616 <i>17.5%</i>	618 <i>0.5%</i>	15,958 <i>13.5%</i>	18,071 <i>15.3%</i>	27,668 23.5%
Mason Percent of total	-2.7%	116,893	63,623 <i>54.4%</i>	12,682 <i>10.8%</i>	697 <i>0.6%</i>	20,237 17.3%	19,654 <i>16.8%</i>	33,266 28.5%
Mount Vernon Percent of total	-1.4%	118,549	72,514 <i>61.2%</i>	21,033 <i>17.7%</i>	425 0.4%	12,584 <i>10.6%</i>	11,993 <i>10.1%</i>	16,881 <i>14</i> .2%
Providence Percent of total	-4.7%	114,508	69,672 60.8%	6,129 <i>5.4%</i>	420 0.4%	26,622 23.2%	11,665 <i>10.2%</i>	17,602 <i>15.4%</i>
Springfield Percent of total	3.5%	124,344	84,628 <i>68.1%</i>	8,563 <i>6</i> .9%	299 0.2%	22,464 18.1%	8,390 <i>6.7%</i>	11,693 9.4%
Sully Percent of total	3.3%	124,203	76,701 <i>61.8%</i>	7,787 6.3%	303 0.2%	29,152 23.5%	10,260 8.3%	14,608 <i>11.8%</i>
Fairfax County Percent of total		1,081,726	677,990 <i>62.7%</i>	99,218 9.2%	3,884 <i>0.4%</i>	189,661 <i>17.5%</i>	110,973 <i>10.</i> 3%	168,482 <i>15.</i> 6%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan 9A12

1. Legal Requirements and Plan Summary

- 1.1. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS
- 1.1.1. Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?
- 1.1.1.1. Yes
- 1.1.2. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?
- 1.1.2.1. less than 10%
- 1.2. MINIMAL DISRUPTION
- 1.2.1. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?
- 1.2.1.1. Yes
- 1.2.2. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.
- 1.2.2.1. n/a
- 1.3. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES
- 1.3.1. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?
- 1.3.1.1. yes
- 1.3.2. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?
- 1.3.2.1. n/a
- 1.3.2.2. yes
- 1.3.3. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?
- 1.3.3.1. n/a
- 1.4. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA
- 1.4.1. Does the plan considers established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.
- 1.4.1.1. n/a
- 1.4.1.2. yes
- 1.4.2. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?
- 1.4.2.1. yes
- 1.5. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY
- 1.5.1. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?
- 1.5.1.1. yes
- 1.5.2. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?
- 1.5.2.1. yes
- 1.6. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES
- 1.6.1. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off

than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?

1.6.1.1. no

1.6.2. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?

1.6.2.1. no

- 1.7. COST
- 1.7.1. Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?

1.7.1.1. no

- 1.8. Presentation of Plan and Comments
- 1.9. 9A12 has 2 main features: (i) minimal disruption; (ii) Braddock picks up ONLY 2 precincts to its immediate west, and loses none; Braddock remains compact.

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 9A12]

1	[0=1.0.15.100
1 2	Draft of March 15, 2011
_	Drait of Maron 10, 2011
3 4 5 6	AN ORDINANCE to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, and 7-2-11, relating to the election districts of the members of the Board of Supervisors.
7	Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:
8 9 10	1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, and 7-2-11 are amended and readopted as follows:
11 12	Section 7-2-4 Braddock District.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae, Bristow, Burke Centre, Cardinal, Chapel, <u>Eagle View, Fairfax Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is surrounded by Fairfax City)</u> , Fairview, Heritage, Keene Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch, North Springfield No. 1, North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde Creek, Olley, <u>Popes Head</u> , Ravensworth, Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield, and Woodson.
21	Section 7-2-5 Hunter Mill District.
22 23 24 25 26 27	The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron Glen, Coates, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade, Hunters Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3, South Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No. 4, Vienna No. 6, Westbriar, and Wolftrap.
29	Section 7-2-6 Dranesville District.
30 31 32 33 34	The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge, Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, Colvin, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville, Great Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory, Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, McLean, Pimmit, Salona,

Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Sugarland, <u>Westbriar,</u> Westhampton, and Westmoreland.

Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.

The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft, Belvedere, Bren Mar, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Glen Forest No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer, Leewood, Lincolnia, Masonville, Parklawn, Pine Ridge, Poe, Price, Ravenwood, Ridgelea, Saint Albans, Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Westlawn, Weyanoke, Whittier, and Willston.

Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.

The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall, Hunt, Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station, Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood, West Springfield, Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.

Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.


The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Fairfax Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is surrounded by Fairfax City), Fort Buffalo, Freedom Hill, Graham, Greenway, Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak Marr, Oakton, Penderbrook, Pine Ridge, Pine Spring, Price, Shreve, Stenwood, Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, Walker, Walnut Hill No. 2, and Woodburn.

Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.

The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke, Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, Eagle View, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax Station, Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Hunt, Irving, Monument, Newgate North, Newgate South, Newington, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, Popes Head, Sangster, Silverbrook, South Run, Valley, West Springfield, White Oaks, Willow Springs, and Woodyard.

mended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.		
GIVEN under my hand this	day of	2011.

\\s17prolaw01\Documents\104659\ECW\Correspondence\344265.doc



Plan Datasheet –9B1

Number of precincts moved: 11

Number of persons moved: 52298

Total deviation of the plan: 7.62%

Range of plan deviation: -4.28 to 3.34%

Precincts moved list: Bristow, Camelot, Coates, Eagle View, Fair Oaks, Heritage,

Hunt, Monument, Ridgelea, South County, Walnut Hill #2.

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 9B1

120,192

Supervisor District	Deviation from Target Population	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Races	Hispanic or Latino
Braddock Percent of total	-4.3%	115,051	78,470 68.2%	6,496 <i>5.6%</i>	311 <i>0.3%</i>	21,156 <i>18.4%</i>	8,618 7.5%	13,537 <i>11.8%</i>
Dranesville Percent of total	2.3%	122,980	84,489 <i>68.7%</i>	6,183 <i>5.0%</i>	373 0.3%	21,122 <i>17.2%</i>	10,813 8.8%	15,977 <i>13.0%</i>
Hunter Mill Percent of total	-0.8%	119,200	82,463 69.2%	8,428 7.1%	340 <i>0.3%</i>	18,881 <i>15.8%</i>	9,088 7.6%	12,241 <i>10.3%</i>
Lee Percent of total	-1.8%	117,984	62,721 <i>5</i> 3.2%	20,616 <i>17.5%</i>	618 <i>0.5%</i>	15,958 <i>13.5%</i>	18,071 <i>15</i> .3%	27,668 23.5%
Mason Percent of total	1.8%	122,381	63,482 <i>51.</i> 9%	13,724 <i>11.2%</i>	773 0.6%	22,540 18.4%	21,862 17.9%	37,468 <i>30.6%</i>
Mount Vernon Percent of total	-1.8%	117,996	71,730 <i>60.8%</i>	20,991 <i>17.8%</i>	442 0.4%	12,505 <i>10.6%</i>	12,328 <i>10.4%</i>	17,484 <i>14</i> .8%
Providence Percent of total	1.6%	122,165	74,192 <i>60.7%</i>	7,048 5.8%	449 0.4%	28,199 23.1%	12,277 10.0%	18,926 <i>15.5%</i>
Springfield Percent of total	-0.4%	119,766	83,742 69.9%	7,945 6.6%	275 0.2%	20,148 <i>16.8%</i>	7,656 <i>6.4%</i>	10,573 8.8%
Sully Percent of total	3.3%	124,203	76,701 <i>61.8%</i>	7,787 6.3%	303 0.2%	29,152 23.5%	10,260 8.3%	14,608 11.8%
Fairfax County Percent of total		1,081,726	677,990 <i>62.7%</i>	99,218 9.2%	3,884 <i>0.4%</i>	189,661 <i>17.5%</i>	110,973 <i>10.3%</i>	168,482 <i>15.6%</i>

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan - 9B1

1. Legal Requirements and Plan Summary

- 1.1. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS
- 1.1.1. Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?
- 1.1.1.1. (Type here to submit a comment.)
- 1.1.1.2. Yes
- 1.1.1.3. Yes
- 1.1.2. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?
- 1.1.2.1. Sully 124,203 3.3% Deviation
- 1.1.2.2. Braddock 115,051 4.3% Deviation
- 1.2. (Type here to submit an idea.)
- 1.3. MINIMAL DISRUPTION
- 1.3.1. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?
- 1.3.1.1. Very little Disruption.
- 1.3.2. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.
- 1.3.2.1. NA
- 1.4. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES
- 1.4.1. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?
- 1411 ves
- 1.4.2. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?
- 1.4.2.1. na
- 1.4.3. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?
- 1.4.3.1. na
- 1.5. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA
- 1.5.1. Does the plan considers established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.
- 1.5.1.1. yes
- 1.5.2. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?
- 1.5.2.1. ves
- 1.6. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY
- 1.6.1. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?
- 1.6.1.1. yes
- 1.6.2. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?
- 1.6.2.1. yes
- 1.7. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES
- 1.7.1. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off

than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?

- 1.7.1.1. no
- 1.7.2. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?
- 1.7.2.1. no
- 1.8. COST
- 1.8.1. No new Costs
- 1.8.2. Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?
- 1.8.2.1. Will not increase costs However up to Board if it will decrease costs
- 1.9. Presentation of Plan and Comments
- 1.9.1. NA

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE **BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

1	[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 9B1]
1 2	Draft of March 15, 2011
3 4 5 6	AN ORDINANCE to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, and 7-2-11, relating to the election districts of the members of the Board of Supervisors.
7	Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:
8 9 10	1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, and 7-2-11 are amended and readopted as follows:
11	Section 7-2-4 Braddock District.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae, Bristow, Burke Centre, Camelot, Cardinal, Chapel, Eagle View, Fair Oaks, Fairview, Heritage, Keene Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch Monument, North Springfield No. 1, North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde Creek, Olley, Ravensworth, Ridgelea, Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield, and Woodson.
19 20 21	Section 7-2-5 Hunter Mill District.
22 23 24 25 26 27	The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron Glen, Coates, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade, Hunters Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3, South Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No. 4, Vienna No. 6, Westbriar, and Wolftrap.
28 29	Section 7-2-6 Dranesville District.
30 31 32 33 34 35	The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge, Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, <u>Coates</u> , Colvin, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville, Great Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory, Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, McLean, Pimmit, Salona, Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Sugarland, Westhampton, and Westmoreland.

Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.

 The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft, Belvedere, Bren Mar, <u>Bristow</u>, Brook Hill, <u>Camelot</u>, Columbia, Edsall, Glen Forest No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, <u>Heritage</u>, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer, Leewood, Lincolnia, Masonville, Parklawn, Poe, Ravenwood, <u>Ridgelea</u>, Saint Albans, Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, <u>Walnut Hill No. 2</u>, Westlawn, Weyanoke, Whittier, and Willston.

Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.

The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall, Hunt, Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station, Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood, Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.

Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.


The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Fairfax Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is surrounded by Fairfax City), Fort Buffalo, Freedom Hill, Graham, Greenway, Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak Marr, Oakton, Penderbrook, Pine Ridge, Pine Spring, Price, Shreve, Stenwood, Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, Walker, Walnut Hill No. 2, and Woodburn.

Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.

The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke, Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, Eagle View, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax Station, Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Hunt, Irving, Monument, Newgate North, Newgate South, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, Popes Head, Sangster, Silverbrook, South County, South Run, Valley, West Springfield, White Oaks, Willow Springs, and Woodyard.

mended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.		
GIVEN under my hand this	day of	2011.

\\s17prolaw01\Documents\104659\ECW\Correspondence\344272.doc



Plan Datasheet –9B2

Number of precincts moved: 12

Number of persons moved: 54768

Total deviation of the plan: 8.09%

Range of plan deviation: -4.73 to 3.36%

Precincts moved list: Cardinal, Eagle View, Fairfax Court, Hunt, Keene Mill,

Monument, Newington, Pine Ridge, Popes Head, Price,

West Springfield, Westbriar.

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 9B2

120,192

Supervisor District	Deviation from Target Population	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Races	Hispanic or Latino
Braddock Percent of total	2.5%	123,175	80,618 <i>65.4%</i>	7,971 <i>6.5%</i>	396 <i>0.3%</i>	23,415 19.0%	10,775 8.7%	18,013 <i>14</i> .6%
Dranesville Percent of total	0.6%	120,854	85,763 <i>71.0%</i>	5,050 <i>4.2%</i>	366 0.3%	19,317 <i>16.0%</i>	10,358 <i>8.6</i> %	15,431 <i>12.8%</i>
Hunter Mill Percent of total	0.9%	121,326	81,189 <i>66.9%</i>	9,561 <i>7.9%</i>	347 0.3%	20,686 17.0%	9,543 7.9%	12,787 10.5%
Lee Percent of total	-1.8%	117,984	62,721 <i>5</i> 3.2%	20,616 <i>17.5%</i>	618 <i>0.5%</i>	15,958 <i>13.5%</i>	18,071 <i>15.3%</i>	27,668 23.5%
Mason Percent of total	-2.7%	116,893	63,623 <i>54.4%</i>	12,682 <i>10.8%</i>	697 0.6%	20,237 17.3%	19,654 <i>16.8%</i>	33,266 28.5%
Mount Vernon Percent of total	-1.4%	118,549	72,514 <i>61.2%</i>	21,033 <i>17.7%</i>	425 0.4%	12,584 <i>10.6%</i>	11,993 <i>10.1%</i>	16,881 <i>14.2%</i>
Providence Percent of total	-4.7%	114,508	69,672 <i>60.8%</i>	6,129 <i>5.4%</i>	420 0.4%	26,622 23.2%	11,665 <i>10.2%</i>	17,602 <i>15.4%</i>
Springfield Percent of total	3.4%	124,234	85,189 <i>68.6%</i>	8,389 <i>6.8%</i>	312 0.3%	21,690 <i>17.5%</i>	8,654 7.0%	12,226 9.8%
Sully Percent of total	3.3%	124,203	76,701 <i>61.8%</i>	7,787 6.3%	303 <i>0.2%</i>	29,152 23.5%	10,260 8.3%	14,608 11.8%
Fairfax County Percent of total		1,081,726	677,990 <i>62.7%</i>	99,218 9.2%	3,884 <i>0.4%</i>	189,661 <i>17.5%</i>	110,973 <i>10.3%</i>	168,482 <i>15.6%</i>

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan - 9B2

1. Legal Requirements and Plan Summary

- 1.1. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS
- 1.1.1. Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?
- 1.1.1.1. yes
- 1.1.2. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?
- 1.1.2.1. less than 10%
- 1.1.3. less than 10%
- 1.2. MINIMAL DISRUPTION
- 1.2.1. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?
- 1.2.1.1. yes
- 1.2.2. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.
- 1.2.2.1. n/a
- 1.3. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES
- 1.3.1. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?
- 1.3.1.1. Yes
- 1.3.2. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?
- 1.3.2.1. n/a
- 1.3.3. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?
- 1.3.3.1. n/a
- 1.4. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA
- 1.4.1. Does the plan considers established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.
- 1.4.1.1. ves
- 1.4.2. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?
- 1.4.2.1. ves
- 1.5. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY
- 1.5.1. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?
- 1.5.2. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?
- 1.6. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES
- 1.6.1. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?
- 1.6.1.1. no.

- 1.6.2. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?
- 1.6.2.1. no.
- 1.7. COST
- 1.7.1. Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?
- 1.7.1.1. no effect.
- 1.8. Presentation of Plan and Comments
- 1.9. 9B2 has 4 main features: (i) minimal disruption; (ii) Bristow/heritage remain in their traditional Braddock location; (iii) Cardinal and Keene Mill return to Springfield; and (iv) Braddock picks up certain natural precincts to its immediate west, so the district remains very compact and logical.

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 9B2]

1	[CITIZENS ADVISOR I COMMITTEE FEAR 9B2]
1 2	Draft of March 15, 2011
3 4 5 6	AN ORDINANCE to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, and 7-2-11, relating to the election districts of the members of the Board of Supervisors.
7	Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:
8 9 10	1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, and 7-2-11 are amended and readopted as follows:
11	Section 7-2-4 Braddock District.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae, Bristow, Burke Centre, Cardinal, Chapel, Eagle View, Fairfax Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is surrounded by Fairfax City), Fairview, Heritage, Keene Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch, Monument, North Springfield No. 1, North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde Creek, Olley, Popes Head, Ravensworth, Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield, and Woodson.
21	Section 7-2-5 Hunter Mill District.
22 23 24 25 26 27	The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron Glen, Coates, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade, Hunters Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3, South Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No. 4, Vienna No. 6, Westbriar, and Wolftrap.
29 30	Section 7-2-6 Dranesville District.
31 32 33 34	The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge, Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, Colvin, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville, Great Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory, Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, McLean, Pimmit, Salona,

1 | Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Sugarland, <u>Westbriar</u>, Westhampton, and 2 Westmoreland.

Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.

The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft, Belvedere, Bren Mar, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Glen Forest No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer, Leewood, Lincolnia, Masonville, Parklawn, Pine Ridge, Poe, Price, Ravenwood, Ridgelea, Saint Albans, Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Westlawn, Weyanoke, Whittier, and Willston.

Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.

The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall, Hunt, Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station, Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood, West Springfield, Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.

Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.


The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Fairfax Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is surrounded by Fairfax City), Fort Buffalo, Freedom Hill, Graham, Greenway, Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak Marr, Oakton, Penderbrook, Pine Ridge, Pine Spring, Price, Shreve, Stenwood, Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, Walker, Walnut Hill No. 2, and Woodburn.

Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.

The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke, <u>Cardinal</u>, Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, <u>Eagle View</u>, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax Station, Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, <u>Hunt</u>, Irving, <u>Keene Mill</u>, <u>Monument</u>, Newgate North, Newgate South, <u>Newington</u>, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, <u>Popes Head</u>, Sangster, Silverbrook, South Run, Valley, <u>West Springfield</u>, White Oaks, Willow Springs, and Woodyard.

	actory compl	ffective upon adoption, and it etion of the federal preclearance oting Rights Act of 1965, as
GIVEN under my hand this	day of	2011.
		Nancy Vehrs Clerk to the Board of Supervisors

\\s17prolaw01\Documents\104659\ECW\Correspondence\344278.doc



Plan Datasheet –9B3

Number of precincts moved: 12

Number of persons moved: 50510

Total deviation of the plan: 2.94%

Range of plan deviation: -1.62 to 1.32%

Precincts moved list: Bristow, Crestwood, Irving, Lynbrook, Pine Ridge, Ridgelea,

Vale, Walnut Hill #2, West Springfield, Westbriar,

Woodburn, Woodlawn.

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 9B3

120,192

Supervisor District	Deviation from Target Population	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Races	Hispanic or Latino
Braddock Percent of total	-0.9%	119,060	78,269 <i>65.7%</i>	6,404 <i>5.4</i> %	475 0.4%	21,593 18.1%	12,319 <i>10.3%</i>	20,308 <i>17.1%</i>
Dranesville Percent of total	0.6%	120,854	85,763 71.0%	5,050 <i>4.2%</i>	366 <i>0.3%</i>	19,317 <i>16.0%</i>	10,358 8.6%	15,431 <i>12.8%</i>
Hunter Mill Percent of total	0.9%	121,326	81,189 <i>66.9%</i>	9,561 7.9%	347 0.3%	20,686 17.0%	9,543 7.9%	12,787 10.5%
Lee Percent of total	0.3%	120,605	64,783 <i>53.7%</i>	22,997 19.1%	569 <i>0.5%</i>	15,204 <i>12.6%</i>	17,052 <i>14.1%</i>	26,226 21.7%
Mason Percent of total	1.3%	121,782	65,290 53.6%	13,452 <i>11.0%</i>	764 0.6%	21,454 <i>17.6%</i>	20,822 17.1%	35,315 29.0%
Mount Vernon Percent of total	-0.8%	119,279	74,591 <i>62.5%</i>	19,628 <i>16.5%</i>	404 0.3%	13,181 <i>11.1%</i>	11,475 9.6%	15,909 <i>13.3%</i>
Providence Percent of total	0.2%	120,375	74,319 <i>61.7%</i>	6,635 <i>5.5%</i>	396 0.3%	27,358 22.7%	11,667 9.7%	17,798 <i>14.8</i> %
Springfield Percent of total	-1.6%	118,243	80,554 <i>68.1%</i>	7,756 6.6%	264 0.2%	22,077 18.7%	7,592 6.4%	10,271 8.7%
Sully Percent of total	0.0%	120,202	73,232 60.9%	7,735 6.4%	299 0.2%	28,791 24.0%	10,145 8.4%	14,437 12.0%
Fairfax County Percent of total		1,081,726	677,990 <i>62.7%</i>	99,218 9.2%	3,884 <i>0.4%</i>	189,661 <i>17.5%</i>	110,973 <i>10.3%</i>	168,482 <i>15.6%</i>

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan - 9B3

1. Legal Requirements and Plan Summary

- 1.1. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS
- 1.1.1. Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?
- 1.1.1.1. Yes.
- 1.1.2. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?
- 1.1.2.1. -1949 (Springfield) to +1590 (Mason)
- 1.2. MINIMAL DISRUPTION
- 1.2.1. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?
- 1.2.1.1. Yes.
- 1.2.2. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.
- 1.2.2.1. N/A.
- 1.3. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES
- 1.3.1. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?
- 1.3.1.1. Yes.
- 1.3.2. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?
- 1.3.2.1. N/A.
- 1.3.3. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?
- 1.3.3.1. N/A.
- 1.4. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA
- 1.4.1. Does the plan considers established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.
- 1.4.1.1. Designed for minimual change except for that necessary to reflect population changes and make districts close in population.
- 1.4.2. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?
- 1.4.2.1. Yes.
- 1.5. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY
- 1.5.1. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?
- 1.5.1.1. Yes.
- 1.5.2. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?
- 1.5.2.1. Yes.
- 1.6. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES
- 1.6.1. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?
- 1.6.1.1. No.

- 1.6.2. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?
- 1.6.2.1. No.
- 1.7. COST
- 1.7.1. Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?
- 1.7.1.1. Not significant.
- 1.8. Presentation of Plan and Comments

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 9B3]

1	[0
1 2	Draft of March 15, 2011
3 4 5 6	AN ORDINANCE to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, 7-2-11, and 7-2-12, relating to the election districts of the members of the Board of Supervisors.
7	Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:
8 9 10	1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, 7-2-11, and 7-2-12 are amended and readopted as follows:
11 12	Section 7-2-4 Braddock District.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae, Bristow, Burke Centre, Cardinal, Chapel, Crestwood, Fairview, Heritage, Irving, Keene Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch, Lynbrook, North Springfield No. 1, North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde Creek, Olley, Ravensworth, Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield, and Woodson.
20 21	Section 7-2-5 Hunter Mill District.
21 22 23 24 25 26	The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron Glen, Coates, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade, Hunters Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3, South Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No. 4, Vienna No. 6, Westbriar, and Wolftrap.
28 29	Section 7-2-6 Dranesville District.
30 31 32 33 34 35	The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge, Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, Colvin, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville, Great Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory, Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, McLean, Pimmit, Salona, Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Sugarland, Westbriar, Westhampton, and Westmoreland.

Section 7-2-7. - Lee District.

 The Lee District shall consist of these election precincts: Bush Hill, Cameron, Clermont, Crestwood, Fairfield, Franconia, Garfield, Greenspring, Groveton, Hayfield, Huntley, Island Creek, Kingstowne, Lane, Lynbrook, Mount Eagle, Pioneer, Rose Hill, Van Dorn, Villages, Virginia Hills, and West Springfield, Wilton, and Woodlawn.

Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.

The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft, Belvedere, Bren Mar, <u>Bristow</u>, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Glen Forest No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer, Leewood, Lincolnia, Masonville, Parklawn, <u>Pine Ridge</u>, Poe, Ravenwood, <u>Ridgelea</u>, Saint Albans, Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, <u>Walnut Hill No. 2</u>, Westlawn, Weyanoke, Whittier, <u>and-Willston</u>, and Woodburn.

Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.

The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall, Hunt, Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station, Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood, Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.


Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.

The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Fairfax Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is surrounded by Fairfax City), Fort Buffalo, Freedom Hill, Graham, Greenway, Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak Marr, Oakton, Penderbrook, Pine Ridge, Pine Spring, Price, Ridgelea, Shreve, Stenwood, Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, Vale, and Walker, Walnut Hill No. 2, and Woodburn.

Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.

The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke,
Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, Eagle View, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax
Station, Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Irving, Monument,
Newgate North, Newgate South, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, Popes Head,
Sangster, Silverbrook, South Run, Valley, West Springfield, White Oaks, Willow Springs, and Woodyard.

1	Section 7-2-12 Sully District	-		
2 3 4 5 6 7	The Sully District shall consist of Centre Ridge, Centreville, Cub F Kinross, Lees Corner East, Lees Towne West, Navy, Old Mill, Po Virginia Run, and Waples Mill.	Run, Deer Parl s Corner West	k, Dulles, Frankli , London Towne	in, Green Trails, East, London
8 9 10 11 12 13	2. That this ordinance sha shall be enforced after satisfa procedure provided by Sectio amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.	ctory comple	tion of the fede	ral preclearance
13	GIVEN under my hand this	day of		_ 2011.
				Nancy Vehrs
			Clerk to the Bo	oard of Supervisors



Plan Datasheet –9B4

Number of precincts moved: 13

Number of persons moved: 61968

Total deviation of the plan: 7.02%

Range of plan deviation: -3.83 to 3.19%

Precincts moved list: Bristow, Camelot, Eagle View, Fair Oaks, Floris, Green

Trails, Greenspring, Heritage, Hunt, Keene Mill,

Monument, Popes Head, Ridgelea.

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 9B4

120,192

Supervisor District	Deviation from Target Population	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Races	Hispanic or Latino
Braddock Percent of total	-2.8%	116,781	80,284 <i>68.7%</i>	6,580 <i>5.6%</i>	312 0.3%	21,094 18.1%	8,511 7.3%	13,297 <i>11.4%</i>
Dranesville Percent of total	-3.8%	115,586	81,963 <i>70.9%</i>	4,884 <i>4.2%</i>	360 <i>0.3%</i>	18,267 <i>15.8%</i>	10,112 8.7%	15,122 <i>13.1%</i>
Hunter Mill Percent of total	1.0%	121,390	81,568 <i>67.2%</i>	9,553 7.9%	344 0.3%	20,345 16.8%	9,580 7.9%	12,908 <i>10.6%</i>
Lee Percent of total	-3.5%	115,974	60,773 <i>52.4%</i>	20,601 <i>17.8%</i>	617 <i>0.5%</i>	15,918 <i>13.7%</i>	18,065 <i>15.6%</i>	27,655 23.8%
Mason Percent of total	0.9%	121,219	62,646 <i>51.7%</i>	13,709 <i>11.</i> 3%	755 0.6%	22,352 18.4%	21,757 <i>17.</i> 9%	37,263 <i>30.7%</i>
Mount Vernon Percent of total	1.6%	122,086	74,289 <i>60.8%</i>	21,607 <i>17.7%</i>	448 0.4%	13,129 <i>10.8%</i>	12,613 <i>10.3%</i>	17,790 <i>14</i> .6%
Providence Percent of total	2.6%	123,327	75,028 <i>60.8%</i>	7,063 5.7%	467 0.4%	28,387 23.0%	12,382 10.0%	19,131 <i>15.5%</i>
Springfield Percent of total	3.2%	124,032	85,814 69.2%	7,970 6.4%	300 <i>0.2%</i>	21,756 <i>17.5%</i>	8,192 6.6%	11,567 9.3%
Sully Percent of total	0.9%	121,331	75,625 62.3%	7,251 6.0%	281 0.2%	28,413 23.4%	9,761 8.0%	13,749 11.3%
Fairfax County Percent of total		1,081,726	677,990 <i>62.7%</i>	99,218 9.2%	3,884 <i>0.4%</i>	189,661 <i>17.5%</i>	110,973 <i>10.3%</i>	168,482 <i>15</i> .6%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan - 9B4

1.0 Legal Requirements and Plan Summary

- 1.1. EOUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS
- 1.1.1. Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?
- 1.1.1.1. yes
- 1.1.2. 9B4 has four main features: (i) Minimal disruption; (ii) Braddock maintains its compactness; (iii) Bristow AND Heritage two Annandale precients are moved from Braddock to to Mason to maintain an Annandale revitalization community of interest; (iii) Braddock picks up Camelot and Ridgelea, a community of interest with the northeast corner of Braddock (i.e., Chapel and Wakefield); and (iv) Braddock picks up 4 Springfield precincts to its immediate West creating a logical and compact district.
- 1.1.3. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?
- 1.1.3.1. less than 10%
- 1.2. MINIMAL DISRUPTION
- 1.2.1. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?
- 1.2.1.1. yes
- 1.2.2. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.
- 1.2.2.1. n/a
- 1.3. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES
- 1.3.1. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?
- 1.3.1.1. yes
- 1.3.2. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?
- 1.3.2.1. n/a
- 1.3.3. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?
- 1.3.3.1. n/a
- 1.4. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA
- 1.4.1. Does the plan considers established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.
- 1.4.1.1. yes
- 1.4.2. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?
- 1.4.2.1. yes
- 1.5. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY
- 1.5.1. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?
- 1.5.1.1. yes
- 1.5.2. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?
- 1.5.2.1. yes

- 1.6. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES
- 1.6.1. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively? 1.6.1.1. no.
- 1.6.2. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?
- 1.6.2.1. no
- 1.7. COST
- 1.7.1. Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?
- 1.7.1.1. stay the same.
- 1.8. Presentation of Plan and Comments
- 1.9. 9B4 has 4 main features: (i) Braddock remains compact; (ii) Mason becomes more compact and logical; (iii) minimal disruption; (iv) Braddock picks up Camelot and Ridglea from Mason, establishing a natural community of interest with Chapel and Wakefield already in Braddock, and Mason picks up Heritage and Bristow, a natural community of interest with Annandale/Mason precincts

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 9B4]

1	[OFFICE ADVIOURY COMMITTEET EAR OD4]
1 2	Draft of March 15, 2011
3 4 5 6	AN ORDINANCE to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-11, and 7-2-12, relating to the election districts of the members of the Board of Supervisors.
7	Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:
8 9 10	1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-11, and 7-2-12 are amended and readopted as follows:
11 12	Section 7-2-4 Braddock District.
13 14 15 16 17 18	The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae, Bristow, Burke Centre, Camelot, Cardinal, Chapel, Eagle View, Fair Oaks, Fairview, Heritage, Keene Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch Monument, North Springfield No. 1, North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde Creek, Olley, Popes Head, Ravensworth, Ridgelea, Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield, and Woodson.
19 20	Section 7-2-5 Hunter Mill District.
21 22 23 24 25 26 27	The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron Glen, Coates, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade, Hunters Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3, South Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No. 4, Vienna No. 6, Westbriar, and Wolftrap.
28 29	Section 7-2-7 Lee District.
30 31 32 33	The Lee District shall consist of these election precincts: Bush Hill, Cameron, Clermont, Crestwood, Fairfield, Franconia, Garfield, Greenspring, Groveton, Hayfield, Huntley, Island Creek, Kingstowne, Lane, Lynbrook, Mount Eagle, Pioneer, Rose Hill, Van Dorn, Villages, Virginia Hills, and Wilton.

Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.

 The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft, Belvedere, Bristow, Bren Mar, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Glen Forest No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Heritage, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer, Leewood, Lincolnia, Masonville, Parklawn, Poe, Ravenwood, Ridgelea, Saint Albans, Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Westlawn, Weyanoke, Whittier, and Willston.

Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.

The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall, Hunt, Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station, Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood, Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.

Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.


The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke, Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, Eagle View, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax Station, Fountainhead, Green Trails, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Greenspring, Hunt, Irving, Keene Mill, Monument, Newgate North, Newgate South, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, Popes Head, Sangster, Silverbrook, South Run, Valley, West Springfield, White Oaks, Willow Springs, and Woodyard.

Section 7-2-12. - Sully District.

 The Sully District shall consist of these election precincts: Brookfield, Bull Run, Centre Ridge, Centreville, Cub Run, Deer Park, Dulles, Floris, Franklin, Green Trails, Kinross, Lees Corner East, Lees Corner West, London Towne East, London Towne West, Navy, Old Mill, Poplar Tree, Powell, Rocky Run, Stone, Vale, Virginia Run, and Waples Mill.

mended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.		
GIVEN under my hand this	day of	2011.

\\s17prolaw01\Documents\104659\ECW\Correspondence\344282.doc



Plan Datasheet -9B5

Number of precincts moved: 18

Number of persons moved: 79467

Total deviation of the plan: 2.71%

Range of plan deviation: -1.62 to 1.09%

Precincts moved list: Colvin, Crestwood, Flint Hill, Fox Mill, Irving, Lynbrook,

Magarity, North Springfield #1, Pine Ridge, Ridgelea, Shouse, Tysons, Vale, Walnut Hill #2, Waples Mill, West

Springfield, Woodburn, Woodlawn.

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 9B5

120,192

Supervisor District	Deviation from Target Population	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Races	Hispanic or Latino
Braddock Percent of total	0.6%	120,889	78,106 <i>64.6%</i>	7,008 5.8%	486 0.4%	22,242 18.4%	13,047 <i>10.8%</i>	21,418 <i>17.7%</i>
Dranesville Percent of total	1.1%	121,497	84,465 69.5%	5,405 <i>4.4%</i>	382 0.3%	20,517 <i>16.9%</i>	10,728 8.8%	15,961 <i>13.1%</i>
Hunter Mill Percent of total	0.6%	120,899	80,471 66.6%	9,517 7.9%	323 0.3%	21,324 17.6%	9,264 7.7%	12,410 <i>10</i> .3%
Lee Percent of total	0.3%	120,605	64,783 <i>53.7%</i>	22,997 19.1%	569 <i>0.5%</i>	15,204 <i>12.6%</i>	17,052 <i>14.1%</i>	26,226 21.7%
Mason Percent of total	-0.2%	119,953	65,453 <i>54.6%</i>	12,848 <i>10.7%</i>	753 0.6%	20,805 17.3%	20,094 16.8%	34,205 28.5%
Mount Vernon Percent of total	-0.8%	119,279	74,591 <i>62.5%</i>	19,628 <i>16.5%</i>	404 0.3%	13,181 <i>11.1%</i>	11,475 9.6%	15,909 <i>13.3%</i>
Providence Percent of total	-0.5%	119,648	76,421 63.9%	6,188 <i>5</i> .2%	394 0.3%	25,166 21.0%	11,479 9.6%	17,537 <i>14.7%</i>
Springfield Percent of total	-1.6%	118,243	80,554 <i>68.1%</i>	7,756 6.6%	264 0.2%	22,077 18.7%	7,592 6.4%	10,271 8.7%
Sully Percent of total	0.4%	120,713	73,146 60.6%	7,871 6.5%	309 0.3%	29,145 24.1%	10,242 8.5%	14,545 12.0%
Fairfax County Percent of total		1,081,726	677,990 <i>62.7%</i>	99,218 9.2%	3,884 <i>0.4%</i>	189,661 <i>17.5%</i>	110,973 <i>10.3%</i>	168,482 <i>15.6</i> %

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan - 9B5

1. Legal Requirements and Plan Summary

- 1.1. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS
- 1.1.1. Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?
- 1.1.1.1. Yes.
- 1.1.2. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?
- 1.1.2.1. -1949
- 1.1.2.2. +1305
- 1.2. MINIMAL DISRUPTION
- 1.2.1. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?
- 1.2.1.1. Designed to create some disruption in the Tyson's Corner region.
- 1.2.2. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.
- 1.2.2.1. 9 Districts no change.
- 1.3. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES
- 1.3.1. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?
- 1.3.1.1. Yes.
- 1.3.2. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?
- 1.3.2.1. Yes.
- 1.3.3. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?
- 1.3.3.1. Yes.
- 1.4. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA
- 1.4.1. Does the plan considers established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.
- 1.4.1.1. Development of Tyson's Corner will have a major impact on Providence, Hunter Mill and Dranesville. My plan gives existing Supervisors for these distrticts a strong voice in Tyson's development. It provides the residents of those communities with input to the process.
- 1.4.2. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?
- 1.4.2.1. Yes.
- 1.5. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY
- 1.5.1. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?
- 1.5.1.1. Yes.
- 1.5.1.2. Yes.
- 1.5.1.3. Yes.
- 1.5.2. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?
- 1.5.2.1. Yes.
- 1.6. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES

- 1.6.1. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?
- 1.6.1.1. No.
- 1.6.2. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?
- 1.6.2.1. No.
- 1.7. COST
- 1.7.1. Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?
- 1.7.1.1. same answer as tysons
- 1.7.1.2. Development of Tyson's Corner will have a major impact on Providence, Hunter Mill and Dranesville. My plan gives existing Supervisors for these distrticts a strong voice in Tyson's development. It provides the residents of those communities with input to the process.
- 1.8. Presentation of Plan and Comments

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 9B5]

1	[0
1 2	Draft of March 16, 2011
2	Diant of Maron 10, 2011
3 4 5 6	AN ORDINANCE to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, 7-2-11, and 7-2-12, relating to the election districts of the members of the Board of Supervisors.
7	Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:
8 9 10	1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, 7-2-11, and 7-2-12 are amended and readopted as follows:
11 12	Section 7-2-4 Braddock District.
13 14 15 16 17 18	The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae, Bristow, Burke Centre, Cardinal, Chapel, <u>Crestwood</u> , Fairview, Heritage, <u>Irving</u> , Keene Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch, <u>Lynbrook</u> , North Springfield No. 1, North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde Creek, Olley, Ravensworth, Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield, and Woodson.
20 21	Section 7-2-5 Hunter Mill District.
22 23 24 25 26 27	The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron Glen, Coates, Colvin, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade, Hunters Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3, Shouse, South Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No. 4, Vienna No. 6, Westbriar, and Wolftrap.
28 29	Section 7-2-6 Dranesville District.
30 31 32 33 34 35	The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge, Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, Colvin, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville, Great Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory, Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, Magarity, McLean, Pimmit, Salona, Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Sugarland, Tysons, Westhampton, and Westmoreland.

Section 7-2-7. - Lee District.

The Lee District shall consist of these election precincts: Bush Hill, Cameron, Clermont, Crestwood, Fairfield, Franconia, Garfield, Greenspring, Groveton, Hayfield, Huntley, Island Creek, Kingstowne, Lane, Lynbrook, Mount Eagle, Pioneer, Rose Hill, Van Dorn, Villages, Virginia Hills, West Springfield, and Wilton, and Woodlawn,.

Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.

The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft, Belvedere, Bren Mar, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Glen Forest No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer, Leewood, Lincolnia, Masonville, North Springfield No. 1, Parklawn, Pine Ridge, Poe, Ravenwood, Ridgelea, Saint Albans, Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Walnut Hill No. 2, Westlawn, Weyanoke, Whittier, and Willston, and Woodburn.

Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.

The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall, Hunt, Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station, Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood, Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.


Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.

 The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Fairfax Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is surrounded by Fairfax City), Flint Hill, Fort Buffalo, Freedom Hill, Graham, Greenway, Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak Marr, Oakton, Penderbrook, Pine Ridge, Pine Spring, Price, Ridgelea, Shreve, Stenwood, Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, Vale, Walker, Walnut Hill No. 2, and Woodburn and Waples Mill.

Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.

The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke,
Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, Eagle View, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax
Station, Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Irving, Monument,
Newgate North, Newgate South, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, Popes Head,
Sangster, Silverbrook, South Run, Valley, West Springfield, White Oaks, Willow Springs, and Woodyard.

1	Section 7-2-12 Sully District.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	The Sully District shall consist of these election precincts: Brookfield, Bull Run, Centre Ridge, Centreville, Cub Run, Deer Park, Dulles, <u>Fox Mill, Franklin, Green Trails, Kinross, Lees Corner East, Lees Corner West, London Towne East, London Towne West, Navy, Old Mill, Poplar Tree, Powell, Rocky Run, Stone, Vale, <u>and Virginia Run, and Waples Mill.</u></u>
9 10 11 12 13	2. That this ordinance shall become effective upon adoption, and it shall be enforced after satisfactory completion of the federal preclearance procedure provided by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.
13	GIVEN under my hand this day of 2011.
	Nancy Vehrs Clerk to the Board of Supervisors



Plan Datasheet -9B6

Number of precincts moved: 18

Number of persons moved: 70918

Total deviation of the plan: 1.94%

Range of plan deviation: -0.85 to 1.09%

Precincts moved list: Colvin, Crestwood, Fort Buffalo, Irving, Magarity, North

Springfield #1, Parkway, Ridgelea, Shouse, Tysons, Vale, Vienna #1, Vienna #2, Vienna #4, Vienna #6, Walnut Hill

#2, Woodburn, Woodlawn.

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 9B6

120,192

Supervisor District	Deviation from Target Population	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Races	Hispanic or Latino
Braddock Percent of total	-0.9%	119,166	78,448 65.8%	6,889 <i>5.8%</i>	429 0.4%	21,736 <i>18.2%</i>	11,664 9.8%	19,388 <i>16.3%</i>
Dranesville Percent of total	1.1%	121,497	84,465 69.5%	5,405 <i>4.4%</i>	382 0.3%	20,517 <i>16</i> .9%	10,728 8.8%	15,961 <i>13.1%</i>
Hunter Mill Percent of total	1.0%	121,390	81,451 <i>67.1%</i>	9,440 7.8%	317 0.3%	21,442 17.7%	8,740 7.2%	11,635 9.6%
Lee Percent of total	0.9%	121,296	63,639 <i>52.5%</i>	22,896 18.9%	615 <i>0.5%</i>	15,753 <i>13.0%</i>	18,393 <i>15.2%</i>	28,138 23.2%
Mason Percent of total	-0.7%	119,344	64,699 <i>54.2%</i>	12,868 <i>10.8%</i>	748 0.6%	20,744 17.4%	20,285 17.0%	34,427 28.8%
Mount Vernon Percent of total	-0.8%	119,279	74,591 <i>62.5%</i>	19,628 <i>16.5%</i>	404 0.3%	13,181 <i>11.1%</i>	11,475 9.6%	15,909 <i>13.3%</i>
Providence Percent of total	0.1%	120,277	76,109 <i>63.3%</i>	6,381 <i>5</i> .3%	415 0.3%	25,463 21.2%	11,909 9.9%	18,198 <i>15.1%</i>
Springfield Percent of total	-0.8%	119,275	81,356 <i>68.2%</i>	7,976 <i>6.7%</i>	275 0.2%	22,034 18.5%	7,634 6.4%	10,389 <i>8.7%</i>
Sully Percent of total	0.0%	120,202	73,232 60.9%	7,735 6.4%	299 0.2%	28,791 24.0%	10,145 8.4%	14,437 12.0%
Fairfax County Percent of total		1,081,726	677,990 <i>62.7%</i>	99,218 9.2%	3,884 <i>0.4%</i>	189,661 <i>17.5%</i>	110,973 <i>10.3%</i>	168,482 <i>15.6%</i>

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan 9B6

- 1.1. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS
- 1.1.1. Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?
- 1.1.1.1. Yes
- 1.1.2. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?
- 1.1.2.1. 2331 residents
- 1.2. MINIMAL DISRUPTION
- 1.2.1. This plan does make significant changes to some existing districts in order to accommodate a request from a number of residents of the Town of Vienna to move from Hunter Mill to Providence. The plan attempts to make minimal changes to other districts, except as to accommodate changes in population.
- 1.2.2. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?
- 1.2.2.1. This plan does not make significant changes.
- 1.2.3. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.
- 1.3. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES
- 1.3.1. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?
- 1.3.1.1. yes
- 1.3.2. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?
- 1.3.2.1. N/A
- 1.3.3. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?
- 1.3.3.1. Question 3.1. Yes. Question 3.2 Not Applicable. Question 3.3 Not Applicable.
- 1.4. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA
- 1.4.1. Does the plan considers established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.
- 1.4.1.1. the plan attempts to minimize
- 1.4.2. 2.4.2. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?
- 1.4.2.1. Question 4.1 Yes, the plan attempts to minimize changes except for those necessary to move the Town of Vienna from Hunter Mill to Providence, as explained above.
- 1.4.2.2. Question 4.2. Does not affect any official not elected on an at-large basis.
- 1.5. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY
- 1.5.1. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?
- 1.5.1.1. Yes
- 1.5.2. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?
- 1.5.2.1. Yes
- 1.5.3. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off

- than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?
- 1.5.3.1. Question 5.1. Yes. Question 5.2. Yes. Question 5.3 No.
- 1.6. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES
- 1.6.1. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?
- 1.6.1.1. No
- 1.6.2. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?
- 1.6.2.1. Question 6.1. No. Question 6.1. No.
- 1.7. COST
- 1.7.1. Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?
- 1.7.1.1. Question 7.1. No. No new districts are created.
- 1.8. Comment
- 1.8.1. As noted above, this plan moves Vienna from Hunter Mill to Providence. A number of Vienna residents have indicated that they feel a stronger community of interest with Oakton and Merrifield, both of which are in Providence, than they do to Reston, which is in Hunter Mill. The desire of some Vienna residents to move to a different district should be considered. The result makes both Providence and Hunter Mill more compact. Also, the districts are extremely close in population, which gives county residents fair and equal treatment.

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 9B6]

1 2	Draft of March 16, 2011
3 4 5 6	AN ORDINANCE to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, 7-2-11, and 7-2-12, relating to the election districts of the members of the Board of Supervisors.
7	Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:
8 9 10	1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, 7-2-11, and 7-2-12 are amended and readopted as follows:
11	Section 7-2-4 Braddock District.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae, Bristow, Burke Centre, Cardinal, Chapel, <u>Crestwood</u> , Fairview, Heritage, <u>Irving</u> , Keene Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch, North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde Creek, Olley, <u>Parkway</u> , Ravensworth, Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield, and Woodson.
19 20	Section 7-2-5 Hunter Mill District.
21 22 23 24 25 26	The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron Glen, Coates, <u>Colvin</u> , Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade, Hunters Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3, <u>Shouse</u> , South Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, <u>Vale</u> , <u>Vienna No. 1</u> , <u>Vienna No. 2</u> , <u>Vienna No. 4</u> , <u>Vienna No. 6</u> , Westbriar, and Wolftrap.
28 29	Section 7-2-6 Dranesville District.
30 31 32 33 34 35	The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge, Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, Colvin, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville, Great Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory, Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, Magarity, McLean, Pimmit, Salona, Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Sugarland, Tysons, Westhampton, and Westmoreland

Section 7-2-7. - Lee District.

The Lee District shall consist of these election precincts: Bush Hill, Cameron, Clermont, Crestwood, Fairfield, Franconia, Garfield, Greenspring, Groveton, Hayfield, Huntley, Island Creek, Kingstowne, Lane, Lynbrook, Mount Eagle, Pioneer, Rose Hill, Van Dorn, Villages, Virginia Hills, and Wilton, and Woodlawn.

Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.

The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft, Belvedere, Bren Mar, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, <u>Fort Buffalo</u>, Glen Forest No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer, Leewood, Lincolnia, Masonville, <u>North Springfield No. 1</u>, Parklawn, Poe, Ravenwood, <u>Ridgelea</u>, Saint Albans, Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No. 1, Walnut Hill No. 2, Westlawn, Weyanoke, Whittier, <u>and-Willston</u>, and Woodburn.

Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.

The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall, Hunt, Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station, Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood, Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.


Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.

The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Fairfax Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is surrounded by Fairfax City), Fort Buffalo, Freedom Hill, Graham, Greenway, Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak Marr, Oakton, Penderbrook, Pine Ridge, Pine Spring, Price, Ridgelea, Shreve, Stenwood, Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No. 4, Vienna No. 6, and Walker, Walnut Hill No. 2, and Woodburn.

Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.

 The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke, Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, Eagle View, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax Station, Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Irving, Monument, Newgate North, Newgate South, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, Popes Head, Sangster, Silverbrook, South Run, Valley, West Springfield, White Oaks, Willow Springs, and Woodyard.

1	Section 7-2-12 Sully District	-		
2 3 4 5 6 7	The Sully District shall consist of Centre Ridge, Centreville, Cub F Kinross, Lees Corner East, Lees Towne West, Navy, Old Mill, Po Virginia Run, and Waples Mill.	Run, Deer Parl s Corner West	k, Dulles, Frankl , London Towne	in, Green Trails, East, London
8 9 10 11 12 13	2. That this ordinance sha shall be enforced after satisfa procedure provided by Sectio amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.	ctory comple	tion of the fede	ral preclearance
13	GIVEN under my hand this	day of		_ 2011.
				Nancy Vehrs
			Clerk to the Bo	oard of Supervisors



Plan Datasheet -9B7

Number of precincts moved: 38

Number of persons moved: 185669

Total deviation of the plan: 3.65%

Range of plan deviation: -1.32 to 2.33%

Precincts moved list: Bristow, Camelot, Centerpointe, Centreville, Coates, Colvin,

Crestwood, Fairlakes, Floris, Fort Buffalo, Frying Pan, Graham, Green Trails, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Hummer, Irving,

Lees Corner East, Lees Corner West, Lynbrook, Magarity, McNair, Navy, Penderbrook, Ridgelea, Trinity, Tysons, Vale, Vienna #1, Vienna #2, Vienna #4, Vienna #6, Walnut Hill #2, Waples Mill, West Springfield, Westhampton, Woodburn,

Woodlawn.

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 9B7

120,192

Supervisor District	Deviation from Target Population	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Races	Hispanic or Latino
Braddock Percent of total	-0.9%	119,060	78,269 <i>65.7%</i>	6,404 <i>5.4%</i>	475 0.4%	21,593 18.1%	12,319 <i>10.3%</i>	20,308 17.1%
Dranesville Percent of total	1.1%	121,461	84,164 <i>6</i> 9.3%	5,442 <i>4.5%</i>	382 0.3%	20,795 17.1%	10,678 <i>8.8%</i>	15,883 <i>13.1%</i>
Hunter Mill Percent of total	-1.3%	118,600	85,425 72.0%	7,622 6.4%	289 0.2%	17,098 <i>14.4%</i>	8,166 <i>6.9%</i>	11,202 9.4%
Lee Percent of total	0.3%	120,605	64,783 <i>53.7%</i>	22,997 19.1%	569 0.5%	15,204 <i>12.6%</i>	17,052 <i>14.1%</i>	26,226 21.7%
Mason Percent of total	0.0%	120,197	63,110 <i>52.5%</i>	13,470 <i>11.2%</i>	760 <i>0.6%</i>	20,937 17.4%	21,920 18.2%	37,012 30.8%
Mount Vernon Percent of total	-0.8%	119,279	74,591 <i>62.5%</i>	19,628 <i>16.5%</i>	404 0.3%	13,181 <i>11.1%</i>	11,475 9.6%	15,909 <i>13.3%</i>
Providence Percent of total	-1.0%	119,032	76,651 <i>64.4%</i>	6,052 <i>5.1%</i>	407 0.3%	25,085 21.1%	10,837 9.1%	16,383 <i>13.8%</i>
Springfield Percent of total	0.3%	120,495	78,870 <i>65.5%</i>	7,701 <i>6.4%</i>	280 0.2%	25,837 21.4%	7,807 6.5%	10,652 8.8%
Sully Percent of total	2.3%	122,997	72,127 58.6%	9,902 8.1%	318 <i>0.3%</i>	29,931 24.3%	10,719 8.7%	14,907 12.1%
Fairfax County Percent of total		1,081,726	677,990 <i>62.7%</i>	99,218 9.2%	3,884 <i>0.4%</i>	189,661 <i>17.5%</i>	110,973 <i>10.3%</i>	168,482 <i>15.6%</i>

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan - 9B7

1. Legal Requirements and Plan Summary

- 1.1. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS
- 1.1.1. Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?
- 1.1.1.1. yes
- 1.1.2. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?
- 1.1.2.1. -1914
- 1.1.3. 1.1 Yes 1.2 3639
- 1.2. MINIMAL DISRUPTION
- 1.2.1. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?
- 1.2.1.1. 1305
- 1.2.2. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.
- 1.2.2.1. This plan makes no major change of communities, but adjusts districts to reflecct new population figures and to make all districts close in population. It does divide Tysons Corner among three districts: Providence, Hunter Mill and Dranesville.
- 1.2.3. 2.1 Yes 2.2 Not Applicable.
- 1.3. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES
- 1.3.1. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?
- 1.3.1.1. yes
- 1.3.2. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?
- 1.3.2.1. yes
- 1.3.3. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?
- 1.3.3.1. ves
- 1.3.4. 3.1 Yes 3.2 Not Applicable. 3.3 Not Applicable
- 1.4. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA
- 1.4.1. Does the plan considers established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.
- 1.4.1.1. no
- 1.4.2. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?
- 1.4.2.1. no
- 1.4.3. 4.1 Yes 4.2 Yes
- 1.5. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY
- 1.5.1. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?
- 1.5.1.1. The development of Tysons Corner will have a major impact on surrounding communities, especially in the Providence, Hunter Mill and Dranesville areas. This plan reflects that fact and locates a portion of Tysons Corner in each district.

- That will provide residents of these communities with input and give affected supervisors a strong voice in Tysons development.
- 1.5.2. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?
- 1.5.2.1. no change
- 1.5.3. 6.1 Yes. 6.2 Yes
- 1.5.4. 7.1 No. 7.2 No.
- 1.5.5. 8.1 No
- 1.5.6. Attempts to find minimal changes.
- 1.6. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES
- 1.6.1. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?
- 1.6.1.1. No change.
- 1.6.2. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?
- 1.7. COST
- 1.7.1. Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?
- 1.7.1.1. No change.
- 1.8. Presentation of Plan and Comments
- 1.8.1. The development of Tyosns Corner will have a major impact on surrounding communities, especially in the Providence, Hunter Mill and Dranesville areas. This plan reflects that fact and locates a portion of Tysons Corner in each district. That wil provide residents of these communities with input and give affected supervisors a strong voice in Tysons development.

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 9B7]

4	[0=
1	Droft of March 16, 2011
2	Draft of March 16, 2011
3	AN ORDINANCE to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by
4	amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10,
5	7-2-11, and 7-2-12, relating to the election districts of the members
6	of the Board of Supervisors.
7	Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:
8	1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, 7-2-11, and
9	7-2-12 are amended and readopted as follows:
10	
11	Section 7-2-4 Braddock District.
12	
13	The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae,
14	Bristow, Burke Centre, Cardinal, Chapel, Crestwood, Fairview, Heritage, Irving,
15	Keene Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch, Lynbrook, North
16	Springfield No. 1, North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde Creek, Olley,
17	Ravensworth, Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield,
18	and Woodson.
19	
20	Section 7-2-5 Hunter Mill District.
21	
22	The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron
23	Glen, Coates, Colvin, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade,
24	Hunters Woods, Lees Corner East, Lees Corner West, McNair, Navy, North
25 26	Point, <u>Penderbrook</u> , Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3, South Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, <u>Vale</u> , <u>Vienna No. 1</u> , <u>Vienna No. 2</u> , <u>Vienna No. 4</u> ,
20 27	Vienna No. 6, Waples Mill, Westbriar, and Wolftrap.
27 28	vienna ivo. o, <u>vvapies iviili,</u> vvestbilai, and vvoiitiap.
29	Section 7-2-6 Dranesville District.
30	
31	The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge,
32	Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, Colvin, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville, Great
33	Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory,
34	Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, Magarity, McLean, Pimmit,

1 | Salona, Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Sugarland, <u>Tysons, Westhampton,</u> and Westmoreland.

Section 7-2-7. - Lee District.

The Lee District shall consist of these election precincts: Bush Hill, Cameron, Clermont, Crestwood, Fairfield, Franconia, Garfield, Greenspring, Groveton, Hayfield, Huntley, Island Creek, Kingstowne, Lane, Lynbrook, Mount Eagle, Pioneer, Rose Hill, Van Dorn, Villages, Virginia Hills, West Springfield, and Wilton, and Woodlawn.

Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.

The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft, Belvedere, Bren Mar, <u>Bristow</u>, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, <u>Fort Buffalo</u>, Glen Forest No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, <u>Graham</u>, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, <u>Hummer</u>, Leewood, Lincolnia, Masonville, Parklawn, Poe, Ravenwood, <u>Ridgelea</u>, Saint Albans, Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, <u>Walnut Hill No. 2</u>, Westlawn, Weyanoke, Whittier, <u>and</u>-Willston, <u>and Woodburn</u>.

Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.

The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall, Hunt, Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station, Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood, Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.


Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.

The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Camelot, Fairfax Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is surrounded by Fairfax City), Fort Buffalo, Freedom Hill, Graham, Greenway, Hummer, Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak Marr, Oakton, Penderbrook, Pine Ridge, Pine Spring, Price, Ridgelea, Shreve, Stenwood, Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, Vienna No. 1. Vienna No. 2, Vienna No. 4, Vienna No. 6, Walker, and Westhampton Walnut Hill No. 2, and Woodburn.

Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.

- The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke,
- 43 Centerpointe, Centreville, Cherry Run, Clifton, Eagle View, Fair Lakes, Fair
 44 Oaks, Fairfax Station, Fountainhead, Green Trails, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar
- 45 West, Irving, Monument, Newgate North, Newgate South, Orange, Parkway,

1	Pohick, Popes Head, Powell, Sangster, Silverbrook, South Run, Valley, West
2 3	Springfield, White Oaks, Willow Springs, and Woodyard.
4	Section 7-2-12 Sully District.
5	
6	The Sully District shall consist of these election precincts: Brookfield, Bull Run,
7	Centerpointe, Centre Ridge, Centreville, Coates, Cub Run, Deer Park, Dulles,
8	Fair Lakes, Floris, Franklin, Frying Pan, Green Trails, Greenbriar East,
9	<u>Greenbriar West,</u> Kinross, Lees Corner East, Lees Corner West, London Towne
10	East, London Towne West, <u>McNair, Navy,</u> Old Mill, Poplar Tree, Powell, Rocky
11	Run, Stone, Vale, <u>and</u> Virginia Run, and Waples Mill.
12	
13	2. That this ordinance shall become effective upon adoption, and it
14	shall be enforced after satisfactory completion of the federal preclearance
15	procedure provided by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as
16	amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.
17	GIVEN under my hand this day of 2011.
	Nancy Vehrs Clerk to the Board of Supervisors



Plan Datasheet - 10A1

Number of precincts moved: 55

Number of persons moved: 263705

Total deviation of the plan: 9.58%

Range of plan deviation: -5.17 to 4.41%

Precincts moved list: Alban, Bren Mar, Burke, Cameron, Cardinal. Centreville, Cherry

Run, Clermont, Coates, Edsall, Fairfax Court, Fairfield, Fairview,

Flint Hill, Floris, Fort Buffalo, Fountainhead, Green Trails, Groveton, Gunston, Haycock, Hunt, Irving, Keene Mill, Laurel Hill, Leewood, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station, Mount Eagle, Newington, Old Mill, Orange, Parkway, Penderbrook, Pimmit, Pine Ridge, Pohick, Poplar Tree, Price, Sangster, Saratoga, Sideburn, Silverbrook, South County, South Run, Valley, Villa, Walnut Hill # 2, West Springfield, Westhampton,

White Oaks, Wilton, Woodburn, Woodyard.

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 108,173

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 10A1

Supervisor District	Deviation from Target Population	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Races	Hispanic or Latino
Braddock Percent of total	-4.8%	102,967	65,792 63.9%	6,644 <i>6.5%</i>	339 0.3%	20,507 19.9%	9,685 9.4%	16,972 <i>16.5%</i>
Dranesville Percent of total	2.9%	111,262	75,614 <i>68.0%</i>	5,884 <i>5.</i> 3%	313 <i>0.3%</i>	19,522 <i>17.5%</i>	9,929 8.9%	14,487 <i>13.0%</i>
Hunter Mill Percent of total	-0.1%	108,064	74,465 68.9%	8,104 <i>7.5%</i>	310 <i>0.3</i> %	16,770 <i>15.5%</i>	8,415 7.8%	11,443 <i>10.6%</i>
Lee Percent of total	-3.4%	104,463	62,334 <i>5</i> 9.7%	13,399 <i>12.8%</i>	468 <i>0.4%</i>	16,627 <i>15</i> .9%	11,635 <i>11.1%</i>	17,564 <i>16.8%</i>
Mason Percent of total	2.6%	111,007	60,732 <i>54.7%</i>	11,234 <i>10.1%</i>	706 <i>0.6%</i>	19,107 <i>17.2%</i>	19,228 <i>17.</i> 3%	32,759 29.5%
Mount Vernon Percent of total	-0.3%	107,834	62,980 <i>58.4%</i>	20,856 19.3%	531 <i>0.5%</i>	7,635 7.1%	15,832 <i>14.7%</i>	24,344 22.6%
Providence Percent of total	4.4%	112,939	70,582 <i>62.5%</i>	5,520 <i>4.</i> 9%	393 0.3%	25,275 22.4%	11,169 9.9%	16,795 <i>14.</i> 9%
Springfield Percent of total	1.5%	109,779	70,764 <i>64.5%</i>	7,281 <i>6.6%</i>	257 0.2%	23,569 21.5%	7,908 7.2%	10,314 <i>9.4%</i>
Sully Percent of total	2.5%	110,826	71,132 <i>64.2%</i>	6,172 <i>5.6%</i>	257 0.2%	24,626 22.2%	8,639 7.8%	12,252 11.1%
New District Percent of total	-5.2%	102,585	63,595 <i>62.0%</i>	14,124 <i>1</i> 3.8%	310 <i>0</i> .3%	16,023 <i>15.6%</i>	8,533 <i>8.3%</i>	11,552 <i>11.3%</i>
Fairfax County Percent of total		1,081,726	677,990 <i>62.7%</i>	99,218 9.2%	3,884 <i>0.4%</i>	189,661 <i>17.5%</i>	110,973 <i>10.3%</i>	168,482 <i>15.6%</i>

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan 10A1

13.Legal Requirements and Plan Summary

- 13.1. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS
- 13.1.1. Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?
- 13.1.1.1. yes
- 13.1.2. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?
- *13.1.2.1. 9.6%*
- 13.2. MINIMAL DISRUPTION
- 13.2.1. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?
- 13.2.1.1. It adds a new district called Gunston.
- 13.2.2. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.
- 13.2.2.1. It creates a new Gunston District with as minimal disruption as possible under the circumstances.
- 13.3. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES
- 13.3.1. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?
- 13.3.1.1. yes.
- 13.3.2. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?
- 13.3.2.1. yes.
- 13.3.3. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?
- 13.4. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA
- 13.4.1. Does the plan considers established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.
- 13.4.1.1. Yes, it creates a new community around what was Lorton, Mason Neck and west along the Occaguon.
- 13.4.2. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?
- 13.4.2.1. Only one school board member is disrupted (Springfield is now in Gunston) and she is still in an unopposed district, the new one.
- 13.5. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY
- 13.5.1. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?
- *13.5.1.1. More compact.*
- 13.5.2. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?
- 13.5.2.1. yes.
- 13.6. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES
- 13.6.1. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?
- 13.6.1.1. No.

- 13.6.2. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?
- 13.6.2.1. The Mount Vernon district is now as close to a minority district as can be drawn.
- 13.7. COST
- 13.7.1. Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?
- *13.7.1.1. Increase.*
- 13.8. Presentation of Plan and Comments
- 13.8.1. This is a 10 district plan that creates a new South County district (Gunston) that makes most of the other districts far more compact.

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 10A1]

1	[OTTIZENO ADVIOUNT COMMITTEET EAR TOAT]
1 2	Draft of March 18, 2011
3 4 5 6 7	AN ORDINANCE to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by amending Sections 7-2-1, 7-2-3, 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, 7-2-11, and 7-2-12, and by adding a new Section 7-2-12.1, relating to the election districts of the members of the Board of Supervisors.
8	Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:
9 10 11 12	1. That Sections 7-2-1, 7-2-3, 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, 7-2-11, and 7-2-12 are amended and readopted and Section 7-2-12.1 is added as follows:
13	Section 7-2-1 Composition of the Board of Supervisors.
14 15 16 17 18 19	The Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County, Virginia ("Board"), shall be composed of one elected at-large chairman and nine ten members who are elected from single-member supervisor election districts.
20 21	Section 7-2-3 Election Districts of the members of the Board.
22 23 24 25 26 27 28	Except for the Chairman whose election shall be controlled by Section 7-2-2, supra, the members of the Board shall be elected in accordance with state law from single-member election districts by the qualified voters of those districts. There shall be nine-ten_election districts that shall be named: Braddock, Hunter Mill, Dranesville, Lee, Mason, Mount Vernon, Providence, Springfield, and Sully, and New District.
29 30	Section 7-2-4 Braddock District.
31 32 33 34 35	The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae, Bristow, Burke, Burke Centre, Cardinal, Chapel, Fairfax Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is surrounded by Fairfax City), Fairview, Heritage, Keene Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch, North Springfield No. 1, North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde Creek, Olley,

Price, Ravensworth, Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa,
 Wakefield, and Woodson.

Section 7-2-5. - Hunter Mill District.

The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron Glen, Coates, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade, Hunters Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3, South Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No. 4, Vienna No. 6, Westbriar, and Wolftrap.

Section 7-2-6. - Dranesville District.

The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge, Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, <u>Coates</u>, Colvin, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville, Great Falls, <u>Haycock</u>, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory, Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, McLean, <u>Pimmit</u>, Salona, Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Sugarland, <u>Westhampton</u>, and Westmoreland.

Section 7-2-7. - Lee District.

The Lee District shall consist of these election precincts: <u>Bren Mar, Bush Hill, Cameron, Cardinal, Clermont, Crestwood, Edsall, Fairfield, Franconia, Garfield, Greenspring, Groveton, Hayfield, Huntley, Irving, Island Creek, Keene Mill, Kingstowne, Lane, Leewood, Lynbrook, Mount Eagle, Pioneer, Rose Hill, Van Dorn, Villages, Virginia Hills, and West Springfieldand Wilton.</u>

Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.

The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft, Belvedere, Bren Mar, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Fort Buffalo, Glen Forest No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer, Leewood, Lincolnia, Masonville, Parklawn, Pine Ridge, Poe, Ravenwood, Ridgelea, Saint Albans, Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Walnut Hill No. 2, Westlawn, Weyanoke, Whittier, and Willston, and Woodburn.

Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.

The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Cameron, Clermont, Fairfield, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Groveton, Gunston, Hollin Hall, Hunt, Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station, Marlan, Mount Eagle, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood, Westgate, Whitman, Wilton, Woodlawn, and Woodley.

Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.

The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Fairfax Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is surrounded by Fairfax City), Fort Buffalo, Freedom Hill, Graham, Greenway, Haycock, Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak Marr, Oakton, Penderbrook, Pine Ridge, Pinmit, Pine Spring, Price, Shreve, Stenwood, Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, Walker, Walnut Hill No. 2, and Woodburn Westhampton.

Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.

The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke, Centerpointe, Centreville, Cherry Run, Clifton, Eagle View, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax Station, Fairview, Fountainhead, Green Trails, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Irving, Monument, Newgate North, Newgate South, Old Mill, Orange, Parkway, Penderbrook, Pohick, Popes Head, Poplar Tree, Sangster, Sideburn, Silverbrook, South Run, Valley, Villa, West Springfield, White Oaks, and Willow Springs, and Woodyard.

Section 7-2-12. - Sully District.


The Sully District shall consist of these election precincts: Brookfield, Bull Run, Centre Ridge, Centreville, Cub Run, Deer Park, Dulles, Flint Hill, Floris, Franklin, Green Trails, Kinross, Lees Corner East, Lees Corner West, London Towne East, London Towne West, Navy, Old Mill, Poplar Tree, Powell, Rocky Run, Stone, Vale, Virginia Run, and Waples Mill.

Section 7-2-12.1 - New District.

 The New District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Cherry Run, Fountainhead, Gunston, Hunt, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station, Newington, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, Sangster, Saratoga, Silverbrook, South County, South Run, Valley, White Oaks, and Woodyard.

2011.
Nancy Vel
)

\\s17prolaw01\Documents\104659\ECW\Correspondence\344541.doc



Plan Datasheet - 10A2

Number of precincts moved: 61

Number of persons moved: 298002

Total deviation of the plan: 4.98%

Range of plan deviation: -2.32 to 2.66%

Precincts moved list: Alban, Belvoir, Blake, Camelot, Cameron, Centerpointe,

Clermont, Crestwood, Eagle View, Fair Oaks, Fairfax Court, Fairfield, Fairlakes, Fairview, Flint Hill, Fort Buffalo, Green Trails,

Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Greenspring, Groveton,

Gunston, Hummer, Hunt, Huntley, Hutchison, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station, Lynbrook, Mantua, Monument,

Mount Eagle, Newington, Oak Marr, Oakton, Olde Creek,

Penderbrook, Popes Head, Price, Ridgelea, Rose Hill, Saratoga, Sideburn, South County, Terra Centre, Vale, Vienna #1, Vienna #2, Vienna #4, Vienna #6, Villa, Virginia Hills, Walnut Hill #2, Waples Mill, Westbriar, Westgate, Willow Springs, Wilton,

Woodson.

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 108,173

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 10A2

Supervisor District	Deviation from Target Population	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Races	Hispanic or Latino
Braddock Percent of total	-2.3%	105,664	66,442 62.9%	5,771 <i>5.5%</i>	441 0.4%	21,241 20.1%	11,769 <i>11.1%</i>	19,867 <i>18.8%</i>
Dranesville Percent of total	1.5%	109,743	79,230 72.2%	4,202 3.8%	320 <i>0.</i> 3%	17,047 <i>15.5%</i>	8,944 <i>8.1%</i>	13,006 <i>11.</i> 9%
Hunter Mill Percent of total	-2.3%	105,733	67,638 <i>64.0%</i>	9,605 <i>9.1%</i>	318 0.3%	19,334 <i>18.3%</i>	8,838 <i>8.4%</i>	12,418 <i>11.7%</i>
Lee Percent of total	1.2%	109,522	61,535 <i>56.2%</i>	19,720 <i>18.0%</i>	368 <i>0.3%</i>	17,439 <i>15</i> .9%	10,460 9.6%	14,194 <i>13.0%</i>
Mason Percent of total	-0.3%	107,845	57,243 53.1%	12,313 <i>11.4%</i>	690 <i>0.6%</i>	18,190 <i>16.9%</i>	19,409 <i>18.0%</i>	32,853 30.5%
Mount Vernon Percent of total	2.7%	111,048	64,414 <i>58.0%</i>	21,090 <i>19.0%</i>	542 0.5%	8,356 <i>7.5%</i>	16,646 <i>15.0%</i>	25,851 23.3%
Providence Percent of total	1.5%	109,825	67,445 <i>61.4%</i>	5,659 <i>5.2%</i>	386 <i>0.4%</i>	25,040 22.8%	11,295 <i>10.3%</i>	16,933 <i>15.4%</i>
Springfield Percent of total	1.4%	109,698	78,503 <i>71.6%</i>	7,163 <i>6.5%</i>	295 0.3%	16,499 <i>15.0%</i>	7,238 6.6%	10,600 9.7%
Sully Percent of total	-1.5%	106,575	64,008 <i>60.1%</i>	6,952 <i>6.5%</i>	261 0.2%	26,126 24.5%	9,228 8.7%	13,179 <i>12.4%</i>
New District Percent of total	-1.9%	106,073	71,532 <i>67.4%</i>	6,743 <i>6.4%</i>	263 <i>0.2%</i>	20,389 19.2%	7,146 <i>6.7%</i>	9,581 <i>9.0%</i>
Fairfax County Percent of total		1,081,726	677,990 62.7%	99,218 9.2%	3,884 <i>0.4%</i>	189,661 <i>17.5%</i>	110,973 <i>10.3%</i>	168,482 <i>15.6</i> %

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan 10A2

14.Legal Requirements and Plan Summary

- 14.1. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS
- 14.1.1. Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?
- 14.1.1.1. Yes. -2.32 to 2.66
- 14.1.2. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?
- 14.1.2.1. 4.98 5384 population
- 14.2. MINIMAL DISRUPTION
- 14.2.1. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?
- 14.2.1.1. It adds one district. All Current supervisors remain in their current districts.
- 14.2.2. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.
- 14.2.2.1. A new district is created west of the City of Fairfax, which has been an area of large population increase, It would be better served by having it's own supervisor.
- 14.3. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES
- 14.3.1. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?
- 14.3.1.1. Yes.
- 14.3.2. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?
- 14.3.2.1. N/A
- 14.3.3. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?
- 14.3.3.1. N/A
- 14.4. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA
- 14.4.1. Does the plan considers established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.
- 14.4.1.1. All existing towns are kept intact. The small tax district #5 is kept intact.
- 14.4.2. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?
- 14.4.2.1. Yes, no 2 supervisors are in the same district. No current supervisor is in the new district.
- 14.5. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY
- 14.5.1. The addition of a new district improves compactness in many districts.
- 14.5.2. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?
- 14.5.3. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?
- 14.6. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES
- 14.6.1. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off

than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?

- 14.6.1.1. I do not believe so.
- 14.6.2. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?
- 14.6.2.1. I do not believe so.
- 14.7. COST
- 14.7.1. Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?
- 14.7.1.1. This plan will increase costs. An additional magisterial office must be provided, as well as a supervisor's staff. Costs less than .01% of County budget.
- 14.8. Presentation of Plan and Comments

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 10A2]

1	[0]
1 2	Draft of March 16, 2011
3	AN ORDINANCE to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by
4	amending Sections 7-2-1, 7-2-3, 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7
5	2-9, 7-2-10, 7-2-11, and 7-2-12, and by adding a new Section 7-2-
6 7	12.1, relating to the election districts of the members of the Board of Supervisors.
,	or Supervisors.
8	Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:
9 10 11 12	1. That Sections 7-2-1, 7-2-3, 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, 7-2-11, and 7-2-12 are amended and readopted and Section 7-2-12.1 is added as follows:
13	Section 7-2-1 Composition of the Board of Supervisors.
14	
15	The Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County, Virginia ("Board"), shall be
16	composed of one elected at-large chairman and nine-ten members who are
17 18	elected from single-member supervisor election districts.
19	
20	Section 7-2-3 Election Districts of the members of the Board.
21	
22	Except for the Chairman whose election shall be controlled by Section 7-2-2,
23	supra, the members of the Board shall be elected in accordance with state law
24	from single-member election districts by the qualified voters of those districts.
25 26	There shall be <u>nine-ten</u> election districts that shall be named: Braddock, Hunter Mill, Dranesville, Lee, Mason, Mount Vernon, Providence, Springfield, and Sully,
20 27	and New District.
28	and Now Biothot.
29	Section 7-2-4 Braddock District.
30	
31	The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae,
32	Bristow, Burke Centre, Camelot, Cardinal, Chapel, Crestwood, Fairview,
33	Heritage, Hummer, Keene Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch
34	Lynbrook, Mantua, North Springfield No. 1, North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill,

Olde Creek, Olley, Price, Ravensworth, Ridgelea, Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, and Wakefield, and Woodson.

Section 7-2-5. - Hunter Mill District.

The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron Glen, Coates, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade, Hunters Woods, <u>Hutchison</u>, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3, South Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No. 4, Vienna No. 6, Westbriar, and Wolftrap.

Section 7-2-6. - Dranesville District.

 The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge, Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, Colvin, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville, Great Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory, Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, McLean, Pimmit, Salona, Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Sugarland, Westhampton, and Westmoreland.

Section 7-2-7. - Lee District.

The Lee District shall consist of these election precincts: <u>Alban, Belvoir, Bush Hill, Cameron, Clermont, Crestwood, Fairfield, Franconia, Garfield, Greenspring, Groveton, Gunston, Hayfield, Huntley, Island Creek, Kingstowne, Lane, Lynbrook, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station, Mount Eagle, Pioneer, Rose Hill, Saratoga, South County, Van Dorn, Villages, Virginia Hills, and Westgateand Wilton.</u>

Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.

The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft, Belvedere, Bren Mar, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Fort Buffalo, Glen Forest No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer, Leewood, Lincolnia, Masonville, Parklawn, Poe, Ravenwood, Ridgelea, Saint Albans, Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Walnut Hill No. 2, Westlawn, Weyanoke, Whittier, and Willston.

Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.

 The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Cameron, Clermont, Fairfield, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Groveton, Gunston, Hollin Hall, Hunt, Huntington, Huntley, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station, Marlan, Mount Eagle, Newington, Rose Hill, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Virginia Hills, Waynewood, Westgate, Whitman, Wilton, Woodlawn, and Woodley.

Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.

The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Fairfax Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is surrounded by Fairfax City), Fort Buffalo, Freedom Hill, Graham, Greenway, Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak Marr, Oakton, Penderbrook, Pine Ridge, Pine Spring, Price, Shreve, Stenwood, Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No. 4, Vienna No. 6, Walker, Walnut Hill No. 2, Westbriar, and Woodburn.

Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.

The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke, Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, Eagle View, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairview, Fairfax Station, Fountainhead, Green Trails, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Greenspring, Hunt, Irving, Monument, Newgate North, Newgate South, Newington, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, Popes Head, Sangster, Silverbrook, South Run, Terra Centre, Valley, West Springfield, White Oaks, Willow Springs, and Woodyard.

Section 7-2-12. - Sully District.


The Sully District shall consist of these election precincts: Brookfield, Bull Run, Centre Ridge, Centreville, Cub Run, Deer Park, Dulles, Franklin, Green Trails, Kinross, Lees Corner East, Lees Corner West, London Towne East, London Towne West, Navy, Old Mill, Poplar Tree, Powell, Rocky Run, Stone, Vale, and Virginia Run, and Waples Mill.

Section 7-2-12.1 - New District.

The New District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Centerpointe, Eagle View, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is surrounded by Fairfax City), Flint Hill, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Monument, Oak Marr, Oakton, Olde Creek, Penderbrook, Popes Head, Sideburn, Vale, Villa, Waples Mill, Willow Springs, and Woodson.

mended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.		
GIVEN under my hand this	day of	2011.

\\s17prolaw01\Documents\104659\ECW\Correspondence\344574.doc



Plan Datasheet -11A1

Number of precincts moved: 74

Number of persons moved: 373390

Total deviation of the plan: 8.22%

Range of plan deviation: -3.83 to 4.39%

Precincts moved list: Alban, Aldrin, Blake, Bonnie Brae, Burke Centre, Camelot,

Cameron Glen, Centerpointe, Centreville, Clifton, Coates, Columbia, Crestwood, Dogwood, Eagle View, Fair Oaks, Fairfax Court, Fairfax Station, Fairlakes, Fairview, Floris, Fountainhead, Franklin, Frying Pan, Garfield, Green Trails, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Greenspring, Herndon #1, Herndon #2, Herndon #3, Hummer, Hunt, Hutchison, Keene Mill, Kinross, Laurel, Lees Corner East, Lees Corner West, Lynbrook, Magarity, McNair, Monument, Navy, Newgate North, Newgate South,

Head, Price, Reston #1, Reston #2, Reston #3, Ridgelea, Robinson, Saratoga, Sideburn, South County, Stuart, Terra Centre, Tysons, Vale, Vienna #1, Vienna #2, Vienna #4, Vienna

Newington, North Point, Oak Marr, Oakton, Penderbrook, Popes

#6, Villa, Walnut Hill #2, Waples Mill, Willow Springs.

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 98,339

Supervisor District	Deviation from Target Population	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Races	Hispanic or Latino
Braddock Percent of total	-3.7%	94,709	56,875 60.1%	5,643 6.0%	445 0.5%	19,753 20.9%	11,993 <i>12.7%</i>	19,930 <i>21.0%</i>
Dranesville Percent of total	0.3%	98,661	74,622 75.6%	2,649 2.7%	196 <i>0.2%</i>	16,324 <i>16.5%</i>	4,870 <i>4.</i> 9%	6,262 <i>6.3%</i>
Hunter Mill Percent of total	4.4%	102,656	75,280 73.3%	4,571 <i>4.5%</i>	203 0.2%	16,520 <i>16.1%</i>	6,082 5.9%	8,339 <i>8.1%</i>
Lee Percent of total	1.7%	99,984	53,886 <i>53.9%</i>	19,537 <i>19.5%</i>	470 0.5%	11,583 <i>11.6%</i>	14,508 <i>14.5%</i>	22,459 22.5%
Mason Percent of total	-0.6%	97,792	52,909 <i>54.1%</i>	11,426 <i>11.7%</i>	626 <i>0.6%</i>	15,829 <i>16.2%</i>	17,002 <i>17.4%</i>	29,176 29.8%
Mount Vernon Percent of total	2.0%	100,291	61,140 <i>61.0%</i>	18,502 <i>18.4%</i>	384 <i>0.4%</i>	9,915 9.9%	10,350 <i>10.</i> 3%	14,756 <i>14.7%</i>
Providence Percent of total	0.6%	98,919	60,654 <i>61.3%</i>	5,207 5.3%	388 0.4%	21,700 21.9%	10,970 <i>11.1%</i>	16,800 <i>17.0%</i>
Springfield Percent of total	-3.8%	94,576	62,823 66.4%	8,604 <i>9.1%</i>	278 0.3%	15,443 <i>16.3%</i>	7,428 7.9%	11,099 <i>11.7%</i>
Sully Percent of total	3.7%	101,989	59,809 <i>58.6%</i>	7,509 <i>7.4%</i>	249 0.2%	25,019 24.5%	9,403 9.2%	13,198 <i>12.9%</i>
New District 1 Percent of total	-3.3%	95,067	53,370 <i>56.1%</i>	9,798 10.3%	407 0.4%	19,879 <i>20.9%</i>	11,613 <i>12.2%</i>	17,130 <i>18.0%</i>
New District 2 Percent of total	-1.3%	97,082	66,622 68.6%	5,772 5.9%	238 0.2%	17,696 18.2%	6,754 7.0%	9,333 9.6%
Fairfax County Percent of total		1,081,726	677,990 <i>62.7%</i>	99,218 9.2%	3,884 <i>0.4%</i>	189,661 <i>17.5%</i>	110,973 <i>10.3%</i>	168,482 <i>15</i> .6%

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Plan 11A1

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Committee Plan 11A1

11. Legal Requirements and Plan Summary

- a. EQUAL REPRESENTATION AMONG DISTRICTS
 - i. Does the plan have a maximum population deviation of less than 10% between supervisor election districts?
 - 1. Yes, from -4% to +4%.
 - ii. What is the deviation between the population of the smallest supervisor district and the population of the largest supervisor district?

 1. 8%. 8080 population variance.
- b. MINIMAL DISRUPTION
 - i. Does the plan create a minimum disruption of the existing supervisor district pattern of service?
 - 1. This plan creates 2 new districts. No two existing supervisors are in the same district.
 - ii. If the plan includes fewer or more than the current number of supervisor districts (9), describe how the change in the number of supervisor districts promotes continuity of the existing district pattern of service.
 - 1. Both new districts contain existing supervisor's residences and a large part of their former constituencies. There would be 2 new district supervisor elections.
- c. PRECINCT BOUNDARIES
 - i. Does the plan utilize only existing precinct boundaries?
 - 1. Yes
 - ii. If any portion of a supervisor district boundary is not an existing precinct boundary, does that supervisor district boundary follow a Census block boundary?
 - 1. N/A
 - iii. If it follows a Census block boundary, does it follow a clearly defined and clearly observable line?
 - 1. N/A

d. TRADITIONAL REDISTRICTING CRITERIA

- i. Does the plan considers established communities of interest? Such communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as ethnic, social, and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, are relevant to communities of interest.
 - 1. Yes. All existing towns are kept intact. Smaller districts, by their nature, can provide areas more responsive to communities of interest.
- ii. Does the plan consider incumbent representation on local public bodies?
 - 1. Not fully investigated. There will be 2 new positions on every magisterially-related boards and commissions. Some incumbents will be in new districts.
- e. COMPACTNESS AND CONTIGUITY
 - i. These districts are more compact than for either a 9 or 10 district plan.
 - ii. Are the supervisor districts as compact as the existing districts?

- iii. Is each supervisor district composed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces of geography (excluding the Fairfax County courthouse/jail complex in Fairfax City)?
- f. RACIAL/LANGUAGE MINORITIES
 - i. Will the plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial or language minority group (i.e., will it make members of such a group worse off than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?
 - 1. I do not believe so.
 - ii. Do any supervisor district lines split among two or more districts concentrations of members of a racial or language minority group that are large and compact enough so that they could constitute a majority in a single supervisor district?
 - 1. I do not believe so.
- g. COST
 - i. Would this plan increase or decrease the County's annual operating costs?
 - 1. It would increase the cost, but is aimed at increasing the accessibility of supervisors to a smaller number of constituents.
- h. Presentation of Plan and Comments

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

[CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PLAN 11A1]

1	
2	Draft of March 18, 2011
3	AN ORDINANCE to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by
	amending Sections 7-2-1, 7-2-3, 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-
4 5	2-9, 7-2-10, 7-2-11, and 7-2-12, and by adding new Sections
<i>5</i>	numbered 7-2-12.1 and 7-2-12.2, relating to the election districts of
7	the members of the Board of Supervisors.
1	the members of the board of Supervisors.
8	Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:
9	1. That Sections 7-2-1, 7-2-3, 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-
10	10, 7-2-11, and 7-2-12 are amended and readopted and Sections 7-2-12.1
11	and 7-2-12.2 are added as follows:
12	
13	Section 7-2-1 Composition of the Board of Supervisors.
14	
15	The Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County, Virginia ("Board"), shall be
16	composed of one elected at-large chairman and nine-eleven members who are
17	elected from single-member supervisor election districts.
18	
19	
20	Section 7-2-3 Election Districts of the members of the Board.
21	
22	Except for the Chairman whose election shall be controlled by Section 7-2-2,
23	supra, the members of the Board shall be elected in accordance with state law
24	from single-member election districts by the qualified voters of those districts.
25	There shall be nine eleven election districts that shall be named: Braddock,
26	Hunter Mill, Dranesville, Lee, Mason, Mount Vernon, Providence, Springfield, and
27	Sully, New District No. 1, and New District No. 2.
28	Section 7-2-4 Braddock District.
29 30	Section 7-2-4 Braddock District.
31	The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae,
32	Bristow, Burke Centre, Camelot, Cardinal, Chapel, Columbia, Crestwood,
33	Fairview, Heritage, Hummer, Keene Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel,
34	Long Branch, <u>Lynbrook, North Springfield No. 1, North Springfield No. 2, Oak</u>
J4	Long Branch, Lynbrook, North Sphrigheid No. 1, North Sphrigheid No. 2, Oak

Hill, Olde Creek, Olley, <u>Price</u>, Ravensworth, <u>Ridgelea</u>, Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield, and Woodson.

Section 7-2-5. - Hunter Mill District.

The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Blake, Cameron Glen, Coates, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Franklin, Frying Pan, Glade, Hunters Woods, Kinross, Lees Corner East, Lees Corner West, McNair, Navy, North Point, Oak Marr, Oakton, Penderbrook, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3, South Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vale, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No. 4, Vienna No. 6, Waples Mill, Westbriar, and Wolftrap.

Section 7-2-6. - Dranesville District.

The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge, Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, Colvin, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville, Great Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory, Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, Magarity, McLean, Pimmit, Salona, Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Sugarland, Tysons, Westhampton, and Westmoreland.

Section 7-2-7. - Lee District.

The Lee District shall consist of these election precincts: Bush Hill, Cameron, Clermont, Crestwood, Fairfield, Franconia, Garfield, Greenspring, Groveton, Hayfield, Huntley, Island Creek, Kingstowne, Lane, Lynbrook, Mount Eagle, Pioneer, Rose Hill, Van Dorn, Villages, Virginia Hills, and Wilton.

Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.

The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft, Belvedere, Bren Mar, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Glen Forest No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer, Leewood, Lincolnia, Masonville, Parklawn, Poe, Ravenwood, Ridgelea, Saint Albans, Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Walnut Hill No. 2, Westlawn, Weyanoke, Whittier, and Willston.

Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.

The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall, Hunt, Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station, Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood, Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.

Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.

The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Fairfax Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is surrounded by Fairfax City), Fort Buffalo, Freedom Hill, Graham, Greenway, Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak Marr, Oakton, Penderbrook, Pine Ridge, Pine Spring, Price, Shreve, Stenwood, Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No. 4, Vienna No. 6, Walker, Walnut Hill No. 2, and Woodburn.

Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.

 The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Burke, Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, Eagle View, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is surrounded by Fairfax City), Fairfax Station, Fountainhead, Garfield, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Greenspring, Hunt, Irving, Keene Mill, Monument, Newgate North, Newgate South, Newington, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, Popes Head, Sangster, Saratoga, Silverbrook, South County, South Run, Valley, West Springfield, White Oaks, Willow Springs, and Woodyard.

Section 7-2-12. - Sully District.

The Sully District shall consist of these election precincts: Brookfield, Bull Run, Centerpointe, Centre Ridge, Centreville, Cub Run, Deer Park, Dulles, Franklin, Fair Lakes, Green Trails, Kinross, Lees Corner East, Lees Corner West, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, London Towne East, London Towne West, Navy, Old Mill, Poplar Tree, Powell, Rocky Run, Stone, Vale, Virginia Run, and Waples Mill Willow Springs.

Section 7-2-12.1 - New District No. 1.


The New District No. 1 shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron Glen, Coates, Dogwood, Floris, Frying Pan, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hutchison, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3, and Stuart.

Section 7-2-12.2 – New District No. 2.

The New District No. 2 shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae, Burke Centre, Centreville, Clifton, Eagle View, Fair Oaks, Fairfax Station, Fairview, Fountainhead, Green Trails, Laurel, Monument, Newgate North, Newgate South, Popes Head, Robinson, Sideburn, Terra Centre, and Villa.

rocedure provided by Section mended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.		
IVEN under my hand this	day of	2011.
	_	Nancy

\\s17prolaw01\Documents\104659\ECW\Correspondence\344793.doc



<u>Datasheet – Public Plan 1</u>

Number of precincts moved: 17

Number of persons moved: 59,768

Total deviation of the plan: 4.2%

Range of plan deviation: -2.3% to 1.9%

Precincts moved list: Brook Hill, Crestwood, Fort Buffalo, Graham, Greenspring,

Grosvenor, Huntington, Leewood, Newgate North,

Newgate South, Pine Ridge, Stuart, Vale, Walnut Hill # 2,

Waples Mill, Westbriar, Woodburn

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 120,192

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Public Plan 1

Supervisor District	Deviation from Target Population	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Race	Hispanic or Latino
Braddock Percent of total	1.2%	121,597	78,409 <i>64.5%</i>	7,294 6.0%	457 0.4%	23,226 19.1%	12,211 <i>10.0%</i>	20,454 <i>16.8%</i>
Dranesville Percent of total	-2.3%	117,414	83,367 <i>71.0%</i>	5,002 <i>4.</i> 3%	361 <i>0.3%</i>	18,458 <i>15.7%</i>	10,226 <i>8.7%</i>	15,265 <i>13.0%</i>
Hunter Mill Percent of total	-0.6%	119,498	79,785 66.8%	9,443 7.9%	346 <i>0.3%</i>	20,495 17.2%	9,429 7.9%	12,644 <i>10.6%</i>
Lee Percent of total	-0.3%	119,806	63,711 <i>5</i> 3.2%	21,719 <i>18.1%</i>	587 <i>0.5%</i>	15,771 <i>13.2%</i>	18,018 <i>15.0%</i>	27,346 22.8%
Mason Percent of total	-0.3%	119,791	64,913 <i>54.2%</i>	12,816 <i>10.7%</i>	756 <i>0.6</i> %	20,115 <i>16.8%</i>	21,191 <i>17.7%</i>	35,724 29.8%
Mount Vernon Percent of total	-1.2%	118,759	72,571 <i>61.1%</i>	20,790 <i>17.5%</i>	431 <i>0.4%</i>	13,123 <i>11.1%</i>	11,844 <i>10.0%</i>	16,688 <i>14.1%</i>
Providence Percent of total	1.9%	122,425	78,318 <i>64.0%</i>	6,260 <i>5.1%</i>	370 0.3%	27,332 22.3%	10,145 <i>8.3%</i>	15,145 12.4%
Springfield Percent of total	0.9%	121,306	83,229 <i>68.6%</i>	8,025 <i>6.6%</i>	276 0.2%	22,110 <i>18.2%</i>	7,666 <i>6.3%</i>	10,698 <i>8.8%</i>
Sully Percent of total	0.8%	121,130	73,687 60.8%	7,869 <i>6.5%</i>	300 <i>0.2%</i>	29,031 24.0%	10,243 8.5%	14,518 12.0%
Fairfax County Percent of total		1,081,726	677,990 <i>62.7%</i>	99,218 9.2%	3,884 <i>0.4%</i>	189,661 <i>17.5%</i>	110,973 <i>10.3%</i>	168,482 <i>15.6%</i>

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Proposed Fairfax County Supervisor Districts: Public Plan 1

I. Equ	ıal R	epresentation Among S	Supervisor Districts	:	
	a.	Are all of the proposed population within +/- 5 column B of the 'Summ	%? (Population dev	viations are shown in	
		(Select one answer)	Yes	☐ No	
	b.	What is the population population? (Population the 'Summary by Super negative value.)	on deviations are sh	own in the fxdata.xls f	île in column B of
		-2.3%			
	c.	What is the population population? (Population the 'Summary by Super positive value.)	on deviations are sho	own in the fxdata.xls f	île in column B of
		1.9%			
II.	Mi	inimal Disruption:			
	a.	Please describe how yo supervisor district patter	-	minimize the disrupt	ion to the existing
	H I I N V H S S	BRADDOCK: Picks up IBraddock in 2000 Census DRANESVILLE: Popula LEE: picks up Huntingto MASON: Adds Fort Buffwas the most under popus PROVIDENCE: To make BPRINGFIELD: Gains COULLY: Gains Newgate HUNTER MILL and MT	s, it is not new territor ation adjusted with on and Grosvenor. falo, Graham, Wood lation. e up for effect of Ma Greenspring North and South	ory. ne additional precinct burn, Pine Ridge. Han son, picks up Westbr	(Stuart) nds are tied here, as Mason
III.	Co	ompactness and Contig	uity:		
	a.	Are the proposed super	rvisor districts as cor	npact as the existing s	supervisor districts?
		(Select one answer)	Yes	☐ No	

	pieces of geography (excluding Fairfax Court precinct)?						
		(Select one answer)	Yes	☐ No			
	c.	Is Fairfax Court precinct to the Fairfax City bound		sed supervisor district that is c	ontiguous		
		(Select one answer)	Yes	☐ No			
IV.	Federal Voting Rights Act:						
	a.	Will the proposed plan lead to a retrogression in the position of members of a racial, ethnic or language group (i.e. will it make members of such a group worse off than they had been before the change) with respect to their opportunity to exercise the electoral franchise effectively?					
		(Select one answer)	Yes	No No			
	b.	concentrations of membe	rs of a racial, ethnic	it among two or more districts or language group that are lar e a majority in a single supervi	ge and sor		
		(Select one answer)	Yes	No No			
V.	Co	Communities of Interest:					
	a.	Please describe how the proposed plan considers established communities of interest. Communities of interest include, but are not limited to, geographic areas with similar characteristics such as race, ethnic, social and cultural interest. Present and planned use of land and public facilities and existing geographical and political boundaries, including but not limited to incorporated town boundaries, also are relevant to communities of interest.					
		part of their neighborhood best example of a commu	d. Newgate North a nity of interest, as ld. Whittier, Walnu	be moved, they were moved al nd South were moved together the Newgate neighborhodds sh t Hill, and Woodburn are reun	This move is the are much more		

VI.	Cost:					
	a.					
		(Select one answer)				
VII.	Ot	ner Features:				
	a.	What other features of this proposed plan should be considered?				
		This plan features the most minimal amount of change I could achieve while keeping deviance within 2.5% - one half of the required target. Only Mason and Providence are expected to see significant shifts.				

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

[PUBLIC PLAN 1]

1	[
1 2	Draft of March 17, 2011				
_					
3 4 5 6	AN ORDINANCE to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, 7-2-11, and 7-2-12, relating to the election districts of the members of the Board of Supervisors.				
7	Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:				
8 9 10	1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, 7-2-11, and 7-2-12 are amended and readopted as follows:				
11 12	Section 7-2-4 Braddock District.				
13 14 15 16 17 18	The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae, Bristow, Brook Hill, Burke Centre, Cardinal, Chapel, Crestwood, Fairview, Heritage, Keene Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Leewood, Long Branch, North Springfield No. 1, North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde Creek, Olley, Ravensworth, Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield, and Woodson.				
19 20 21	Section 7-2-5 Hunter Mill District.				
22 23 24 25 26	The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron Glen, Coates, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade, Hunters Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3, South Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No. 4, Vienna No. 6, Westbriar, and Wolftrap.				
28 29	Section 7-2-6 Dranesville District.				
30 31 32 33 34	The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge, Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, Colvin, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville, Great Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory, Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, McLean, Pimmit, Salona, Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Stuart, Sugarland, Westhampton, and Westmoreland.				

Section 7-2-7. - Lee District.

The Lee District shall consist of these election precincts: Bush Hill, Cameron, Clermont, Crestwood, Fairfield, Franconia, Garfield, Greenspring, Grosvenor, Groveton, Hayfield, Huntington, Huntley, Island Creek, Kingstowne, Lane, Lynbrook, Mount Eagle, Pioneer, Rose Hill, Van Dorn, Villages, Virginia Hills, and Wilton.

Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.

The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft, Belvedere, Bren Mar, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Fort Buffalo, Glen Forest No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Graham, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer, Leewood, Lincolnia, Masonville, Parklawn, Pine Ridge, Poe, Ravenwood, Ridgelea, Saint Albans, Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No. 1, Walnut Hill No. 2, Westlawn, Weyanoke, Whittier, and Willston, and Woodburn.

Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.

The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall, Hunt, Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station, Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood, Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.

Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.


The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Fairfax Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is surrounded by Fairfax City), Fort Buffalo, Freedom Hill, Graham, Greenway, Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak Marr, Oakton, Penderbrook, Pine Ridge, Pine Spring, Price, Shreve, Stenwood, Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, Vale, Walker, Walnut Hill No. 2, Waples Mill, Westbriar, and Woodburn.

Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.

The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke, Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, Eagle View, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax Station, Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, <u>Greenspring</u>, Irving, Monument, <u>Newgate North</u>, <u>Newgate South</u>, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, Popes Head, Sangster, Silverbrook, South Run, Valley, West Springfield, White Oaks, Willow Springs, and Woodyard.

1	Section 7-2-12 Sully District	i .	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	The Sully District shall consist of Centre Ridge, Centreville, Cub Kinross, Lees Corner East, Lee Towne West, Navy, Newgate N Powell, Rocky Run, Stone, Vale	Run, Deer Park, s Corner West, I orth, Newgate S	Dulles, Franklin, Green Trails, London Towne East, London outh, Old Mill, Poplar Tree,
9 10 11 12 13		ctory completion	ctive upon adoption, and it on of the federal preclearance ng Rights Act of 1965, as
13	GIVEN under my hand this	day of	2011.
			Nancy Vehrs
			Clerk to the Board of Supervisors

\\s17prolaw01\Documents\104659\ECW\Correspondence\344842.doc



<u>Datasheet – Public Plan 2</u>

Number of precincts moved: 11

Number of persons moved: 38,410

Total deviation of the plan: 3.6%

Range of plan deviation: -2.3% to 1.3%

Precincts moved list: Greenspring, Huntington, Irving, Pine Ridge, Price, Stuart,

Vale, Walnut Hill #2, West Springfield, Westbriar,

Woodburn

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 120,192

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Public Plan 2

Supervisor District	Deviation from Target Population	Total Population	White alone	Black or African American alone	American Indian and Alaska Native alone	Asian alone	Other Race	Hispanic or Latino
Braddock Percent of total	0.6%	120,972	81,076 <i>67.0%</i>	7,069 <i>5.8%</i>	389 0.3%	21,599 <i>17.9%</i>	10,839 9.0%	18,249 <i>15.1%</i>
Dranesville Percent of total	-2.3%	117,414	83,367 <i>71.0%</i>	5,002 <i>4.</i> 3%	361 <i>0.3%</i>	18,458 <i>15.7%</i>	10,226 <i>8.7%</i>	15,265 <i>13.0%</i>
Hunter Mill Percent of total	-0.6%	119,498	79,785 <i>66.8%</i>	9,443 7.9%	346 0.3%	20,495 17.2%	9,429 7.9%	12,644 10.6%
Lee Percent of total	1.3%	121,758	64,441 <i>52.</i> 9%	21,367 <i>17.5%</i>	636 <i>0.5%</i>	16,466 <i>13.5%</i>	18,848 <i>15.5%</i>	28,775 23.6%
Mason Percent of total	0.9%	121,313	66,517 <i>54.8%</i>	12,876 <i>10.6%</i>	750 0.6%	21,146 <i>17.4</i> %	20,024 16.5%	33,921 28.0%
Mount Vernon Percent of total	1.3%	121,717	74,263 <i>61.0%</i>	21,345 <i>17.5%</i>	444 0.4%	13,464 <i>11.1%</i>	12,201 <i>10.0%</i>	17,172 <i>14.1%</i>
Providence Percent of total	0.3%	120,609	74,755 62.0%	6,625 <i>5.5%</i>	395 0.3%	27,165 22.5%	11,669 <i>9.7%</i>	17,748 <i>14.7%</i>
Springfield Percent of total	-1.6%	118,243	80,554 <i>68.1%</i>	7,756 6.6%	264 0.2%	22,077 18.7%	7,592 6.4%	10,271 8.7%
Sully Percent of total	0.0%	120,202	73,232 60.9%	7,735 6.4%	299 0.2%	28,791 24.0%	10,145 8.4%	14,437 12.0%
Fairfax County Percent of total		1,081,726	677,990 <i>62.7%</i>	99,218 9.2%	3,884 <i>0.4%</i>	189,661 <i>17.5%</i>	110,973 <i>10.3%</i>	168,482 <i>15.6%</i>

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Proposed Fairfax County Supervisor Districts: Public Plan 2

I.	Equal R	epresentation Among Supervisor Districts:
	a.	Are all of the proposed supervisor district population deviations from the target population within +/- 5%? (Population deviations are shown in the fxdata.xls file in column B of the 'Summary by Supervisor District' worksheet.)
		(Select one answer) \(\sum \text{Yes} \) \(\sup \text{No} \)
	b.	What is the population deviation of the proposed supervisor district with the smallest population? (Population deviations are shown in the fxdata.xls file in column B of the 'Summary by Supervisor District' worksheet. The value entered here should be a negative value.)
		-2.3
	c.	What is the population deviation of the proposed supervisor district with the largest population? (Population deviations are shown in the fxdata.xls file in column B of the 'Summary by Supervisor District' worksheet. The value entered here should be a positive value.)
		1.3
II.	Minimal	Disruption:
	a.	Please describe how you have attempted to minimize the disruption to the existing supervisor district patterns of service.
		We minimized disruption to existing supervisor patterns of service by only relocating precincts that already shared a significant border with the new precinct. We were also careful not to split communities. With the exception of the Providence district we only moved one or two precincts on the fringes in the other eight districts. Providence district sits in the center between districts with excessive population and those with tooo little population. A geographic boundary change is required to balance the population distribution and we feel that the least distruptive solution is to limit the significant shift to one district. Other alignments are certainly possible but we feel they would require significant disruption to two or more districts to achieve the same result.
III.	Compac	tness and Contiguity:
	a.	Are the proposed supervisor districts as compact as the existing supervisor districts?
		(Select one answer) \(\sum \text{Yes} \) \(\sup \text{No} \)

	b.	Is each proposed superv geography (excluding Fa		posed entirely of connected (contiguous) pieces o inct)?	t
		(Select one answer)	⊠ Yes	□ No	
	c.	Is Fairfax Court precinc Fairfax City boundary?	t assigned to a pro	oposed supervisor district that is contiguous to th	e
		(Select one answer)	⊠ Yes	☐ No	
IV.	Federal	Voting Rights Act:			
	a.	language group (i.e. will	l it make member	ssion in the position of members of a racial, ethnics of such a group worse off than they had been pportunity to exercise the electoral franchise	c or
		(Select one answer)	☐ Yes	⊠ No	
	b.		nic or language g	split among two or more districts concentrations group that are large and compact enough so that the pervisor district?	
		(Select one answer)	☐ Yes	⊠ No	
V.	Commu	nities of Interest:			
	a.	Communities of interest characteristics such as ra land and public facilities	include, but are ace, ethnic, social and existing geo	onsiders established communities of interest. not limited to, geographic areas with similar l and cultural interest. Present and planned use of ographical and political boundaries, including but also are relevant to communities of interest.	
		composition as the new population in each ethni	district; this is ev c group changes	to another district already share the same ethinic ridenced by the fact that the percentage of very little under our plan. The communities in the housing density, commerical development and	
			nange the districts	ed town boundaries with respect to which district s as they overlap with state delegate and senate daries.	

VI.	Cost:	Washidakis also in anno and anno akka sanakis anno kana anakis anno ka
	a.	Would this plan increase or decrease the county's annual operating costs?
		(Select one answer)
VII.	Other Fo	eatures: What other features of this proposed plan should be considered?
		We believe our plan resolves a major structural problem with current district boundaries. We discussed Providence district under item II above. Hunter Mill district is also a problem in that it includes Vienna #1, #2, #4 and #6. Maintaining Vienna as a community requires treating it as a block; it is large and moving it would result in signifiant disruption to both Hunter Mill and whereever Vienna was moved to. Braddock, Lee and Mason districts have the problem that they are fully developed and unless one changes the zoning to higher density housing they are limited in population growth. Mount Vernon and Springfield districts will continue to grow. Under our plan Braddock's and Lee's problems can be solved with minimal disruption by moving adjacent precincts from Springfield and Mount Vernon districts respectively; a move which helps them as well. Mason district is best fixed by distributing the excess population from Hunter Mill and Sully districts through Providence district. While this has a major impact on Providence this time it solves the problem for future redistricting in that Providence will have more borders with Sully and Hunter Mill thus there will be more flexibility to movie a single precinct to fix both Mason and Providence in the future.

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

[PUBLIC PLAN 2]

1	[. 052.0 . 2
1 2	Draft of March 17, 2011
3 4 5 6	AN ORDINANCE to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, 7-2-11, and 7-2-12, relating to the election districts of the members of the Board of Supervisors.
7	Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:
8 9 10	1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, 7-2-11, and 7-2-12 are amended and readopted as follows:
11 12	Section 7-2-4 Braddock District.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae, Bristow, Burke Centre, Cardinal, Chapel, Fairview, <u>Greenspring</u> , Heritage, <u>Irving</u> , Keene Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch, North Springfield No. 1, North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde Creek, Olley, Ravensworth, Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield, <u>West Springfield</u> , and Woodson.
20 21	Section 7-2-5 Hunter Mill District.
22 23 24 25 26 27	The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron Glen, Coates, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade, Hunters Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3, South Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No. 4, Vienna No. 6, Westbriar, and Wolftrap.
28 29	Section 7-2-6 Dranesville District.
30 31 32 33 34 35	The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge, Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, Colvin, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville, Great Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory, Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, McLean, Pimmit, Salona, Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Stuart, Sugarland, Westhampton, and Westmoreland.

Section 7-2-7. - Lee District.

The Lee District shall consist of these election precincts: Bush Hill, Cameron, Clermont, Crestwood, Fairfield, Franconia, Garfield, Greenspring, Groveton, Hayfield, Huntington, Huntley, Island Creek, Kingstowne, Lane, Lynbrook, Mount Eagle, Pioneer, Rose Hill, Van Dorn, Villages, Virginia Hills, and Wilton.

Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.

The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft, Belvedere, Bren Mar, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Glen Forest No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer, Leewood, Lincolnia, Masonville, Parklawn, Pine Ridge, Poe, Price, Ravenwood, Ridgelea, Saint Albans, Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Walnut Hill No. 2, Westlawn, Weyanoke, Whittier, and Willston, and Woodburn.

Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.

The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall, Hunt, Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station, Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood, Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.

Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.


The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Fairfax Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is surrounded by Fairfax City), Fort Buffalo, Freedom Hill, Graham, Greenway, Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak Marr, Oakton, Penderbrook, Pine Ridge, Pine Spring, Price, Shreve, Stenwood, Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, Vale, Walker, Walnut Hill No. 2, and Westbriarand Woodburn.

Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.

- The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke,
 Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, Eagle View, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax
- 40 | Station, Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Irving, Monument,
- Newgate North, Newgate South, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, Popes Head,
- 42 Sangster, Silverbrook, South Run, Valley, West Springfield, White Oaks, Willow Springs, and Woodyard.

1	Section 7-2-12 Sully District	-		
2 3 4 5 6 7	The Sully District shall consist of Centre Ridge, Centreville, Cub F Kinross, Lees Corner East, Lees Towne West, Navy, Old Mill, Po Virginia Run, and Waples Mill.	Run, Deer Parl s Corner West	k, Dulles, Frankl , London Towne	in, Green Trails, East, London
8 9 10 11 12 13	2. That this ordinance sha shall be enforced after satisfa procedure provided by Sectio amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.	ctory comple	tion of the fede	ral preclearance
13	GIVEN under my hand this	day of		_ 2011.
				Nancy Vehrs
			Clerk to the Bo	oard of Supervisors

\\s17prolaw01\Documents\104659\ECW\Correspondence\344843.doc



<u>Datasheet – Public Plan 3</u>

Number of precincts moved: 10

Number of persons moved: 38,480

Total deviation of the plan: 3.6%

Range of plan deviation: -2.3% to 1.3%

Precincts moved list: Burke, Greenspring, Huntington, Pine Ridge, Price, Stuart,

Vale, Walnut Hill # 2, Westbriar, Woodburn

Target Population Size for Supervisor Districts: 120,192

Summarized Population Counts by Supervisor District - Public Plan 3

O Biotoist	Deviation from Target	Total	White class	Black or African American	American Indian and Alaska	A - :	Other Base	Hispanic or
Supervisor District	Population	Population	White alone	alone		Asian alone	Other Race	Latino
Braddock Percent of total	-1.0%	119,032	77,489 <i>65.1%</i>	7,269 <i>6.1%</i>	383 <i>0.3%</i>	22,548 18.9%	11,343 9.5%	19,013 <i>16.0%</i>
Dranesville Percent of total	-2.3%	117,414	83,367 71.0%	5,002 <i>4.</i> 3%	361 <i>0.3%</i>	18,458 <i>15.7%</i>	10,226 <i>8.7%</i>	15,265 <i>13.0%</i>
Hunter Mill Percent of total	-0.6%	119,498	79,785 66.8%	9,443 7.9%	346 <i>0.3%</i>	20,495 17.2%	9,429 7.9%	12,644 <i>10.6%</i>
Lee Percent of total	1.3%	121,758	64,441 <i>52.</i> 9%	21,367 <i>17.5%</i>	636 <i>0.5%</i>	16,466 <i>13.5%</i>	18,848 <i>15.5%</i>	28,775 23.6%
Mason Percent of total	0.9%	121,313	66,517 <i>54.8%</i>	12,876 <i>10.6%</i>	750 <i>0.6</i> %	21,146 <i>17.4</i> %	20,024 16.5%	33,921 28.0%
Mount Vernon Percent of total	1.3%	121,717	74,263 <i>61.0%</i>	21,345 <i>17.5%</i>	444 0.4%	13,464 <i>11.1%</i>	12,201 <i>10.0%</i>	17,172 <i>14.1%</i>
Providence Percent of total	0.3%	120,609	74,755 62.0%	6,625 <i>5.5%</i>	395 0.3%	27,165 22.5%	11,669 9.7%	17,748 <i>14.7%</i>
Springfield Percent of total	0.0%	120,183	84,141 <i>70.0%</i>	7,556 <i>6.3%</i>	270 0.2%	21,128 <i>17.6%</i>	7,088 <i>5.</i> 9%	9,507 <i>7.</i> 9%
Sully Percent of total	0.0%	120,202	73,232 60.9%	7,735 6.4%	299 0.2%	28,791 24.0%	10,145 8.4%	14,437 12.0%
Fairfax County Percent of total		1,081,726	677,990 <i>62.7%</i>	99,218 9.2%	3,884 <i>0.4%</i>	189,661 <i>17.5%</i>	110,973 <i>10.3%</i>	168,482 <i>15</i> .6%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.

Proposed Fairfax County Supervisor Districts: Public Plan 3

I.	Equal R	epresentation Among Supervisor Districts:
	a.	Are all of the proposed supervisor district population deviations from the target population within +/- 5%? (Population deviations are shown in the fxdata.xls file in column B of the 'Summary by Supervisor District' worksheet.)
		(Select one answer)
	b.	What is the population deviation of the proposed supervisor district with the smallest population? (Population deviations are shown in the fxdata.xls file in column B of the 'Summary by Supervisor District' worksheet. The value entered here should be a negative value.)
		-2.3
	c.	What is the population deviation of the proposed supervisor district with the largest population? (Population deviations are shown in the fxdata.xls file in column B of the 'Summary by Supervisor District' worksheet. The value entered here should be a positive value.)
		1.3
II.	Minimal	Disruption:
	a.	Please describe how you have attempted to minimize the disruption to the existing supervisor district patterns of service.
		We minimized disruption to existing supervisor patterns of service by only relocating precincts that already shared a significant border with the new precinct. We were also careful not to split communities. With the exception of the Providence district we only moved one or two precincts on the fringes in the other eight districts. Providence district sits in the center between districts with excessive population and those with tooo little population. A geographic boundary change is required to balance the population distribution and we feel that the least distruptive solution is to limit the significant shift to one district. Other alignments are certainly possible but we feel they would require significant disruption to two or more districts to achieve the same result.
III.	Compac	tness and Contiguity:
	а.	Are the proposed supervisor districts as compact as the existing supervisor districts?
		(Select one answer) \(\sum \text{ Yes} \) No

	b.	Is each proposed superv geography (excluding F		osed entirely of connected (contig nct)?	uous) pieces of
		(Select one answer)	⊠ Yes	☐ No	
	c.	Is Fairfax Court precinc Fairfax City boundary?	et assigned to a pr	pposed supervisor district that is eq	ontiguous to the
		(Select one answer)	⊠ Yes	☐ No	
IV.	Federal	Voting Rights Act:			
	a.	language group (i.e. wil	l it make member	sion in the position of members of s of such a group worse off than the oportunity to exercise the electoral	ney had been
		(Select one answer)	Yes	⊠ No	
	b.		mic or language g	split among two or more districts roup that are large and compact entervisor district?	
		(Select one answer)	Yes	⊠ No	
V.	Commu	nities of Interest:			
	a.	Communities of interest characteristics such as r land and public facilitie	t include, but are ace, ethnic, socials and existing geo	nsiders established communities on not limited to, geographic areas wi and cultural interest. Present and graphical and political boundaries also are relevant to communities o	th similar planned use of , including but no
		composition as the new population in each ethnic	district; this is evic group changes	to another district already share the idenced by the fact that the percenvery little under our plan. The compousing density, commercial developments	tage of imunities in these
			hange the district	ed town boundaries with respect to as they overlap with state delegat daries.	

VI.	Cost:	Would this plan increase or	decrease the coun	ty's annual operating	costs?
		(Select one answer)	Increase	No change	Decrease
VII.	Other Fe	eatures: What other features of this p	aronosed alea show	uld be considered?	
	a.	We believe our plan resolve discussed Providence district that it includes Vienna #1, # treating it as a block; it is lat Hunter Mill and whereever. Braddock, Lee and Mason done changes the zoning to h Mount Vernon and Springfi and Lee's problems can be sfrom Springfield and Mount Mason district is best fixed districts through Providence solves the problem for futur Sully and Hunter Mill thus the both Mason and Providence	es a major structura et under item II abo £2, #4 and #6. Main rge and moving it Vienna was moved districts have the prigher density hous eld districts will co- solved with minima t Vernon districts in by distributing the ele district. While this er redistricting in the	al problem with current ove. Hunter Mill district that they are fixed they are limited in the continue to grow. Under all disruption by moving the excess population from that a major impact that Providence will have the continue to grow.	ict is also a problem in community requires iant disruption to both fully developed and unless a population growth. For our plan Braddock's ang adjacent precincts which helps them as well. For Hunter Mill and Sully on Providence this time it have more borders with

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND CHAPTER 7 OF THE FAIRFAX COUNTY CODE TO REAPPORTION THE ELECTION DISTRICTS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

[PUBLIC PLAN 3]

1	[i oblio i lait o]
1 2	Draft of March 17, 2011
3 4 5 6	AN ORDINANCE to amend Fairfax County Code Chapter 7, Elections, by amending Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, 7-2-11, and 7-2-12, relating to the election districts of the members of the Board of Supervisors.
7	Be it ordained by the Board of Supervisors of Fairfax County:
8 9 10	1. That Sections 7-2-4, 7-2-5, 7-2-6, 7-2-7, 7-2-8, 7-2-9, 7-2-10, 7-2-11, and 7-2-12 are amended and readopted as follows:
11 12	Section 7-2-4 Braddock District.
13 14 15 16 17	The Braddock District shall consist of these election precincts: Bonnie Brae, Bristow, <u>Burke</u> , Burke Centre, Cardinal, Chapel, Fairview, Heritage, Keene Mill, Kings Park, Lake Braddock, Laurel, Long Branch, North Springfield No. 1, North Springfield No. 2, Oak Hill, Olde Creek, Olley, Ravensworth, Robinson, Sideburn, Signal Hill, Terra Centre, Villa, Wakefield, and Woodson.
18 19	Section 7-2-5 Hunter Mill District.
20 21 22 23 24 25 26	The Hunter Mill District shall consist of these election precincts: Aldrin, Cameron Glen, Coates, Dogwood, Flint Hill, Floris, Fox Mill, Frying Pan, Glade, Hunters Woods, McNair, North Point, Reston No. 1, Reston No. 2, Reston No. 3, South Lakes, Stuart, Sunrise Valley, Terraset, Vienna No. 1, Vienna No. 2, Vienna No. 4, Vienna No. 6, Westbriar, and Wolftrap.
27 28	Section 7-2-6 Dranesville District.
29 30 31 32 33 34 35	The Dranesville District shall consist of these election precincts: Chain Bridge, Chesterbrook, Churchill, Clearview, Colvin, Cooper, El Nido, Forestville, Great Falls, Haycock, Herndon No. 1, Herndon No. 2, Herndon No. 3, Hickory, Hutchison, Kenmore, Kirby, Langley, Longfellow, McLean, Pimmit, Salona, Seneca, Shouse, Spring Hill, Stuart, Sugarland, Westhampton, and Westmoreland.

Section 7-2-7. - Lee District.

The Lee District shall consist of these election precincts: Bush Hill, Cameron, Clermont, Crestwood, Fairfield, Franconia, Garfield, Greenspring, Groveton, Hayfield, Huntington, Huntley, Island Creek, Kingstowne, Lane, Lynbrook, Mount Eagle, Pioneer, Rose Hill, Van Dorn, Villages, Virginia Hills, and Wilton.

Section 7-2-8. - Mason District.

The Mason District shall consist of these election precincts: Baileys, Barcroft, Belvedere, Bren Mar, Brook Hill, Camelot, Columbia, Edsall, Glen Forest No. 1, Glen Forest No. 2, Holmes No. 1, Holmes No. 2, Hummer, Leewood, Lincolnia, Masonville, Parklawn, Poe, Pine Ridge, Price, Ravenwood, Ridgelea, Saint Albans, Skyline, Sleepy Hollow, Walnut Hill No.1, Walnut Hill No. 2, Westlawn, Weyanoke, Whittier, and Willston, and Woodburn.

Section 7-2-9. - Mount Vernon District.

The Mount Vernon District shall consist of these election precincts: Alban, Belle Haven, Belleview, Belvoir, Bucknell, Fort Hunt, Grosvenor, Gunston, Hollin Hall, Hunt, Huntington, Kirkside, Laurel Hill, Lorton, Lorton Center, Lorton Station, Marlan, Newington, Saratoga, Sherwood, South County, Stratford, Waynewood, Westgate, Whitman, Woodlawn, and Woodley.

Section 7-2-10. - Providence District.

The Providence District shall consist of these election precincts: Blake, Fairfax Court (that part of Fairfax County containing the governmental complex that is surrounded by Fairfax City), Fort Buffalo, Freedom Hill, Graham, Greenway, Kilmer, Magarity, Mantua, Marshall, Merrifield, Mosby, Nottoway, Oak Marr, Oakton, Penderbrook, Pine Ridge, Pine Spring, Price, Shreve, Stenwood, Thoreau, Timber Lane, Tysons, Vale, Walker, Walnut Hill No. 2, and Westbriarand Woodburn.

Section 7-2-11. - Springfield District.

The Springfield District shall consist of these election precincts: Burke,
 Centerpointe, Cherry Run, Clifton, Eagle View, Fair Lakes, Fair Oaks, Fairfax
 Station, Fountainhead, Greenbriar East, Greenbriar West, Greenspring, Irving,
 Monument, Newgate North, Newgate South, Orange, Parkway, Pohick, Popes
 Head, Sangster, Silverbrook, South Run, Valley, West Springfield, White Oaks,
 Willow Springs, and Woodyard.

1	Section 7-2-12 Sully District.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	The Sully District shall consist of these election precincts: Brookfield, Bull Run, Centre Ridge, Centreville, Cub Run, Deer Park, Dulles, Franklin, Green Trails, Kinross, Lees Corner East, Lees Corner West, London Towne East, London Towne West, Navy, Old Mill, Poplar Tree, Powell, Rocky Run, Stone, Vale, Virginia Run, and Waples Mill.
9 10 11 12 13	2. That this ordinance shall become effective upon adoption, and it shall be enforced after satisfactory completion of the federal preclearance procedure provided by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973c.
13	GIVEN under my hand this day of 2011.
	Nancy Vehrs
	Clerk to the Board of Supervisors

County Staff Supporting Advisory Citizens Committee

Michael Long Office of the County Attorney

Erin Ward Office of the County Attorney

Anne Pickford Cahill Department of Neighborhood and Community Services

Anne M. Pollack Department of Human Resources

Thomas Conry Department of Information Technology

Michael Liddle Department of Information Technology

Matthew Miller Department of Information Technology

Sandy Woiak Department of Information Technology

James Callahan Department of Information Technology

Rita Noorzad Department of Information Technology

Edgardo Cortés Office of Elections

Judy Flaig Office of Elections

Sonia Skipper Office of Elections

Brian Worthy Office of Public Affairs

Greg Licamele Office of Public Affairs