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Appendix A Data Development

## Data Development

Fairfax County Department of Transportation (FCDOT) conducted a phasing analysis for previously recommended transportation improvements. The transportation study area is shown in Figure A-1 and extends beyond the Seven Corners Community Business Center (CBC) and into the City of Falls Church and Arlington County. The study area was roughly bounded by Cherry Street to the west, Broad Street/Roosevelt Street/Wilson Boulevard/Arlington Boulevard to the north, Patrick Henry Drive to the east, and the Sleepy Hollow and Ravenwood Park neighborhoods to the south.

The transportation study area was multi-jurisdictional and included intersections that are within the City of Falls Church and close to the Arlington County line. Additionally, Arlington County controls some traffic signals that are within Fairfax County to provide traffic signal coordination.

Figure A-1: Seven Corners Study Area


## ATYPICAL TRAVEL VOLUMES

The initial data collection plan for this effort was to collect turning movement counts at the study intersections and tube counts at a few select locations in September 2020. Following the COVID-19 shutdown and the resulting economic slowdown, the Seven Corners project team was concerned about how and if travel demand would recover. At the time of data collection in Fall 2020, case rates were high, and Fairfax County Public Schools elected to begin the school year virtually. As such, the Seven Corners project team determined that it was unlikely that travel patterns would be back to "normal" for data collection.

## APPROACH TO DEVELOPING TRAVEL VOLUMES

Considering the likelihood that COVID-19 pandemic response would persist, the project team began considering a new approach to develop travel volumes. Historic turning-movement counts in the study area were identified from the previous study as well as from available counts from 2018 and 2019. The objective of this memorandum is to summarize the available data and describe the proposed volume development approach in the absence of reliable data in the study area.

## AVAILABLE TRAFFIC DATA

The project team reached out to traffic engineering staff at Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT), Arlington County, and the City of Falls Church to obtain any recent peak hour turning movement counts that have been conducted in the study area. VDOT provided weekday AM, weekday PM, and Saturday data at 12 intersections. Arlington County provided data for two additional study intersections. Counts from Arlington County and VDOT had been conducted in May 2018 and September 2019, respectively, providing the project team with relatively recent data. The City of Falls Church did not have any recent traffic counts available for the study area.

The project team also revisited 2013 data collected during the previous Seven Corners Transportation Study. Between May 2018/September 2019 and 2013 counts, peak hour turning-movement counts are available at all the signalized intersections in the study area. A Count Location Summary is included as Figure A-2 and shows the study intersections with their respective data collection dates. Intersection lists are also provided in Appendix A1 at the end of this document.

For intersections with 2018/2019 counts, a comparison of intersection volumes was conducted with the 2013 counts to understand growth patterns in the study area. The volume changes from 2013 to 2018/2019 are summarized in Table A-1. Note that these changes are presented as both number of vehicles and as a percentage. Overall, the data shows that volumes typically grew from 2013 to 2018/2019 within the study area.


Table A-1: Intersection Volume Comparison (2013 to 2018/2019)

| Int. Number | Intersection Name | Total Intersection - AM Peak Hour | Total Intersection - AM Peak Hour | Total Intersection - PM Peak Hour | Total Intersectio n - PM <br> Peak Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Int. } \\ \text { Number } \end{gathered}$ | Intersection Name | Difference | \% Change | Difference | \% Change |
| 1 | S Cherry Street/Arlington Boulevard (US 50) | 450 | 9\% | 493 | 11\% |
| 10 | Castle Road \&Thorne Road/Leesburg Pike (VA 7) | 408 | 12\% | 816 | 23\% |
| 11 | Seven Corners Center/Leesburg Pike (VA 7) | 815 | 29\% | 1130 | 36\% |
| 12 | Patrick Henry Drive/Leesburg Pike (VA 7) | 892 | 28\% | 840 | 23\% |
| 14 | Patrick Henry Drive/Arlington Boulevard (US 50) | -215 | -3\% | -766 | -12\% |
| 15 | John Marshall Drive/Patrick Henry Drive \& Willston Drive | 633 | 95\% | 252 | 20\% |
| 16 | John Marshall Drive \& N. McKinley Road/Wilson Boulevard | 124 | 8\% | -54 | -3\% |
| 17 | Peyton Randolph Drive/Wilson Boulevard | 650 | 44\% | 724 | 44\% |
| 18 | Roosevelt Boulevard/Wilson Boulevard | 439 | 18\% | 364 | 15\% |
| 20 | Arlington Blvd WB/Wilson Blvd | -60 | -3\% | -115 | -5\% |
| 21 | Sleepy Hollow Rd/Wilson Blvd/Broad St/Arlington Blvd EB | 95 | 2\% | 400 | 10\% |
| 22 | Broad St WB/Arlington Blvd WB | 21 | 1\% | -265 | -12\% |
| 23 | Broad St EB/Arlington Blvd WB | -311 | -14\% | -663 | -26\% |
| 24 | Broad St EB/Hillwood Ave | -898 | -35\% | -851 | -34\% |

Overall, the counts are relatively consistent between 2013 and 2018/2019 and align with the expectations given marginal changes in land use and the transportation network in the study area. Most intersections experience some growth during both peak periods that is primarily attributed to the increase in traffic volumes in the off-peak direction. As shown in Figure A-3, during the weekday AM peak hour most growth appears to be happening in the westbound direction, which is the off-peak direction. This is to be expected, given vehicle capacity is typically constrained in the peak direction.

There were also areas and intersections where counts were somewhat inconsistent and needed further consideration. One intersection was identified for additional consideration and future review of ADT data. For example, at the Arlington Blvd WB/Wilson Blvd intersection (Intersection 508), it appears the right-turn volume from Wilson Blvd to Arlington Blvd WB decreased noticeably from 2013 to 2018/2019. This is depicted
in Figure A-4.
Overall, the turning-movement counts received provide adequate support for an approach to develop turning-movement volumes for the study area. In addition to using the turning-movement counts mentioned above as data sources, other supplementary data sources helped verify and develop volumes. This included data from StreetLight, INRIX,' and the Fairfax County Travel Demand Model. An overview of the proposed volume development approach is provided in the next section.

[^0]Figure A-3: Weekday AM Westbound Growth from 2013 to 2019


Figure A-4: Weekday PM Change in Volumes at the Intersection of Arlington Blvd WB/Wilson Blvd Intersection based on the 2013 and 2019 Data


## VOLUME DEVELOPMENT APPROACH

Before beginning volume development, the project team reviewed recent travel demand and travel patterns compared to a relatively "normal" condition before COVID-19. The project team compared INRIX and StreetLight data for late June in both 2019 and 2020. Conducting this comparison helped validate the assumption that traffic patterns were substantially low, and that travel would unlikely return to "normal" conditions by the time data would need to be collected.

Following that analysis, the following steps were used to develop volumes at the study intersections:

1. Use available turning movement count data obtained from VDOT and Arlington County. These volumes served as the basis for estimating turning-movement counts at intersections without recent
counts since data at these locations were recently collected (2018/2019). For intersections where data was collected in 2013 for the previous study and that are adjacent to the VDOT/Arlington County intersections without any intersection or major driveway in between, the 2013 volumes were adjusted to match the 2018/2019 volumes.
2. Develop link volumes based on the input and output volumes from the VDOT and Arlington County intersections. As discussed above, the adjusted volumes for the 2013 intersections were also used to develop link volumes.
3. Estimate turning-movement counts at intersections without 2018/2019 counts based on the input and output volumes. Turn proportions were required in addition to the link volumes to estimate intersection volumes. Turn proportions were developed using the following data sources:
a. StreetLight Data from 2019 before COVID-19
b. Travel Demand Model
c. 2013 turning movement counts
4. Balance volumes at the study intersections.

## TYPICAL EXISTING VOLUME

Peak hour volume for typical existing conditions is provided by the intersection movement in Figure A-5.

Figure A-5: Peak Hour Volume by Movement for 2018/19 Typical Existing Conditions AM and PM



Typical Existing Volumes
AM Peak (PM Peak)


## APPENDIX A1 - DATA SOURCES OF STUDY INTERSECTIONS

Intersections where VDOT provided traffic data:

| Int. Number |  |
| :---: | :--- |
|  |  |
|  | S Cherry Street/Arlington Boulevard (US 50) |
| 10 | Castle Road \&Thorne Road/Leesburg Pike (VA 7) |
| 11 | Seven Corners Center/Leesburg Pike (VA 7) |
| 12 | Patrick Henry Drive/Leesburg Pike (VA 7) |
| 15 | John Marshall Drive/Patrick Henry Drive \& Willston Drive |
| 17 | Peyton Randolph Drive/Wilson Boulevard |
| 18 | Roosevelt Boulevard/Wilson Boulevard |
| 20 | Arlington Blvd WB/Wilson Blvd |
| 21 | Sleepy Hollow Rd/Wilson Blvd/Broad St/Arlington Blvd EB |
| 22 | Broad St WB/Arlington Blvd WB |
| 23 | Broad St EB/Arlington Blvd WB |
| 24 | Broad St EB/Hillwood Ave |

Intersections where Arlington County provided data:

| Int. Number |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| 14 | Intersection Name |
| 16 | John Marshall Drive \& N McKinley Road/Wilson Boulevard |

Intersections where only 2013 data is available:

| Int. Number |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| 2 | S Cherry Street/Hillwood Avenue |
| 3 | S Cherry Street/E Broad Street |
| 5 | South Street/Arlington Boulevard side street (North side) |
| 6 | South Street \& S. Roosevelt Street/Hillwood Avenue |
| 7 | N Roosevelt Street/E. Broad Street (VA 7) |
| 13 | Arlington Boulevard service road/Arlington Boulevard (US 50) |
| 19 | Roosevelt Boulevard/N. Roosevelt Street |



Appendix B Traffic Analysis Methodology

## Traffic Analysis Methodology MULTI-RESOLUTION MODELING AND DYNAMIC TRAFFIC ASSIGNMENT (DTA)

The project team used a multi-resolution modeling approach coupled with a Dynamic Traffic Assignment (DTA) process to assess traffic conditions in the study area and then identify the phasing of the needed roadway improvements envisioned in the Fairfax County Comprehensive Plan. The team used a multiresolution modeling approach to develop all necessary inputs for a microsimulation model. The multiresolution modeling consisted of tools with different analysis resolutions. The essential components of the multi-resolution modeling approach included three major modeling approaches: macroscopic, mesoscopic, and microscopic. With the proposed approach, multi-resolution modeling integrated macroscopic travel demand model outputs with mesoscopic models to better represent travel patterns in the study area. The outputs from the mesoscopic models were then further processed and analyzed through the DTA in the microscopic model for detailed peak hour operational and queuing analysis for different phasing scenarios. Key components of the multi-resolution approach include the following:

- Macroscopic model: Fairfax County Department of Transportation (FCDOT) Travel Demand Model (TDM), calibrated and validated for the full county.
- Modeling Platform: Citilabs CUBE.
- Model Outputs: Initial roadway network and the static demand origin and destination (OD) tables for the study area.
- Mesoscopic model: VISUM model, calibrated and validated for the study area.
- Modeling Platform: PTV VISUM.
- Model Outputs: Refined roadway network and demand matrix.
- Microscopic model: VISSIM microsimulation, calibrated and validated for the study intersections, which is a subset of the study area.
- Modeling Platform: PTV VISSIM.
- Model Outputs: Static travel routes developed through dynamic traffic assignment (DTA) based on the refined demand matrix for existing conditions and various phasing scenarios.

Through the multi-resolution modeling process, the project team developed the inputs of the VISSIM microsimulation model, such as roadway network, vehicle inputs (i.e., demands), and static travel route. This enabled the detailed operations assessment of existing conditions and various phasing scenarios in VISSIM. The DTA played a critical role in assigning the OD demands into the roadway networks in response to the characteristics of different conceptual plans in the future phasing analysis. It is important to note that the mesoscopic modeling efforts and corresponding demand matrix correction application were only applied for the base year. The modeling procedures were different for base year and future years, as detailed below.

## MODELING APPROACH FOR BASE YEAR

Figure B-1 demonstrates the multi-resolution modeling approach for the base year. The FCDOT TDM provides inputs for the VISUM model, which includes a subarea network and the corresponding OD tables for the AM and PM peak hours. Through a demand matrix correction procedure, the VISUM model produced refined OD matrices that were then incorporated into the VISSIM model. The VISSIM model assigned the traffic demand between OD pairs onto the roadway network through a DTA procedure.

Figure B-1: Modeling Approach for Base Year


## MODELING APPROACH FOR FUTURE YEAR

The modeling approach for the future year, as shown in Figure B-2, was different from the one used for the base year. Instead of using the VISUM model, the project team applied the NCHRP 765 procedure to generate the refined OD matrices for the VISSIM models to analyze future year scenarios.

Figure B-2: Modeling Approach for the Future Year


## MACROSCOPIC MODEL

The project team used the FCDOT TDM for the macroscopic modeling application. The FCDOT TDM was developed based on the modeling structure of the Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments (MWCOG) regional travel demand model, but it includes a more detailed roadway network and zone structure within Fairfax County. As the starting point of this multi-resolution modeling application, the FCDOT TDM mainly provided the necessary inputs for the VISUM and VISSIM modeling efforts. It is important to note that comprehensive subarea model validation was not conducted for the study area within the FCDOT TDM. This validation step was conducted during the microscopic modeling efforts. The outputs retrieved from the FCDOT TDM for further modeling efforts included:

- Study area roadway network and link characteristics, such as number of lanes, speed limit, capacity, etc.: These are required inputs for mesoscopic assignment in VISUM.
- Study area OD matrices for the base year: These are used as the seed matrices for the demand matrix correction procedure for the purpose of providing a reasonable starting point for the demand.
- Study area OD matrices for future years: These are critical inputs of the NCHRP 765 procedure to develop the refined OD matrices for the future year VISSIM models.


## Model Assumptions

As discussed above, the FCDOT TDM was the basis for developing traffic inputs for both VISUM and VISSIM. The key assumptions and modifications associated with the TDM are summarized below.

## Analysis Years

- Base/Existing year: 2019
- Intermediate year: 2030
- Design year: 2045

It should be noted that at the time of our model development efforts, the base year of FCDOT TDM was 2015; while the base year of MWCOG model was 2019. The base year model in this study (i.e., 2019) was developed by replacing the current MWCOG inputs files (representing year-2015) in FCDOT TDM with the corresponding year-2019 MWCOG input files.

## Time Periods Modeled

Three time periods were included in the FCDOT TDM as listed below. In this study, only AM and PM period results were used.

- AM: 6 am-9 am
- PM: 3 pm-7 pm
- Off-peak: 9 am-3 pm and 7 pm-6 am


## Peak Period to Peak Hour Conversion Factors

To convert the peak period traffic volumes to the corresponding peak hour traffic volumes for both VISUM and VISSIM models, the following conversion factors were used:

- AM Peak Period to AM Peak Hour: 0.417
- PM Peak Period to PM Peak Hour: 0.294


## Land Use

- MWCOG Round 9.1 a socioeconomic data: no change was made for any traffic analysis zone (TAZ) within study area.


## Roadway Network

- Roadway Links: In the base model, some local street links were added or relocated to better represent the local street connections. In the future models, the project team reviewed the number of lanes of roadway links within study area and adjusted them to be consistent with the Constrained Long-Range Planning (CLRP) at the County's suggestion.
- Centroid: TAZs that are relatively large and covering multiple study intersections were split into multiple centroids.
- Centroid Connectors: The locations of some centroid connectors were adjusted to allocate the traffic in a more reasonable way. Within the same TAZs, new centroid connectors were added to better represent the local street connection.
- Speed and Capacity: No changes were made to link speed and capacity. The original values assumed in the FCDOT TDM were directly used in VISUM model.

The details of the roadway network adjustments are summarized in Appendix A1 at the end of this document.

## Mesoscopic Model

The project team used PTV VISUM to develop the mesoscopic model based on the outputs from the FCDOT TDM. The outputs of the VISUM model of the study area were used as the inputs for microscopic VISSIM model, which include:

- Study area roadway network: The roadway network, as shown in Figure B-3, was developed and refined based on the network obtained from FCDOT TDM. The refined network better represents the real-world roadway alignment and includes more details at intersections, such as turn bay length, intersection control types, turn restrictions, etc.

Refined base year study area OD matrices: Demand matrix correction was conducted through an origindestination matrix estimation (ODME) procedure in VISUM, which is discussed below.

Figure B-3: Roadway Network and Study Area Used in PTV VISUM


## Origin-Destination Matrix Estimation (ODME)

ODME was conducted in PTV VISUM to develop OD demand matrices for use in the microscopic VISSIM analysis. Using turning movement counts of study intersections as the targets, the OD matrices from the FCDOT TDM were iteratively adjusted until the convergence threshold was met. The ODME procedures are mainly the combination of Equilibrium Assignment (PrT Assignment in VISUM) and demand matrix correction (TflowFuzzy in VISUM). Figure C-4 demonstrates the general sequence of the ODME procedure.

Figure B-4: Origin Destination Matrix Estimation (ODME) Procedures Used in this Study

## Origin-Destination Matrix Estimation : Running the Procedures



## MICROSCOPIC MODEL WITH DYNAMIC TRAFFIC ASSIGNMENT (DTA)

Microsimulation with a dynamic traffic assignment process is used to develop the microsimulation models that assess travel conditions for the various scenarios of phasing transportation network improvements. Based on the study area network and OD matrices from VISUM model, the following steps were completed to develop the VISSIM microsimulation model:

- Roadway network refinement: Roadway network was further refined so that microscopic details, such as detectors, signal heads, signal controllers, and stop/yield signs, were incorporated.
- Vehicle inputs and routing development: Vehicle inputs and routings were developed through the DTA module in VISSIM, which assigns the OD traffic into the roadway network.
- Model calibration: Comprehensive model calibration and validation were conducted for the VISSIM model. Various car-following models were developed to achieve desired roadway capacities at specific locations. The details of VISSIM model calibration are summarized in Appendix C VISSIM Model Calibration.


## Dynamic Traffic Assignment (DTA)

The dynamic traffic assignment process plays an important role in the microsimulation model. The DTA identifies trip routes between origins and destinations. The OD matrix obtained from the VISUM model provides the traffic demands between origins and destinations. However, the routes connecting origins and destinations and the corresponding splits are unknown because there are multiple routes available for most OD pairs in the study network. The DTA was used to identify the static routings-the route vehicles will follow
without further update during the simulation-for all the OD pairs based on OD matrix and the characteristics (such as link length, capacity, speed, etc.) of the roadway network. Through an iterative process, OD demands were assigned to the roadway network, and time-dependent system optimal routings were determined.

## Assumptions for DTA Application

The key assumptions associated with the DTA application are summarized below.

- Evaluation interval: 900 seconds.
- Vehicle compositions: $98 \%$ car and $2 \%$ HGV (i.e., heavy vehicles).
- Exponential smoothing factor: 0.2. The smoothing factor is used to calculate the smoothed travel time by integrating the travel time measured in current iteration and previous iterations.
- Path selection type: Decide at start only.
- Avoid long detours: 1.2. If the distance of an alternative path is $20 \%$ greater than the shortest path, this alternative path will not be considered. This helps avoid long detours in the DTA route selection process.


## Convergence of Dynamic Traffic Assignment

The dynamic traffic assignment process is iterative in that individual vehicles are assigned a best path for the current run based on the previous simulation run. It is best practice to start the DTA with reduced demand (for example, $50 \%$ of original demand) and the demand increases per iteration. This setting makes the network start with uncongested traffic conditions, therefore facilitating the best path search process for individual vehicles. The settings in this study for DTA convergence are shown in Figure B-5.

As shown in Figure B-5, the DTA in this study started with $50 \%$ of the actual traffic demand, and the demand increased by $5 \%$ for each simulation run. After 10 simulation runs, the traffic demand will increase to the original value. For all subsequent simulation runs, the DTA was operating with full demand.

Figure B-5: Dynamic Assignment and Simulation Settings


Depending on network complexity, the DTA may take varying numbers of simulation runs to reach default convergence condition. And in some cases, it may not be possible to reach default convergence in a reasonable amount of simulation runs. In this study, the system delay of each iteration was reviewed, and the preferred number of DTA runs were then determined. As demonstrated in Figure $\mathbf{B}-\mathbf{6}$, the system delay of the study area became relatively stable after the 54th DTA run. As a result, 60 DTA runs were conducted for this study to assure stable and accurate outputs from the DTA application.

Figure B-6: System Delay of DTA Runs


## POST PROCESSING OF DTA OUTPUTS

As the last step of the DTA application in this study, the project team made final adjustments to the VISSIM model in response to the DTA outputs. Signal timing usually requires adjusting the initial plans due to the traffic pattern changes between different phasing scenarios. The static vehicle routes from DTA outputs also require a comprehensive review. Sometimes, unrealistic vehicle routes are generated from the DTA, especially when multiple alternative paths are available between the ODs. Those routes need to be adjusted or removed from the model. After the final adjustments, the project team analyzed vehicular operations at the study intersections using the VISSIM model.

## APPENDIX B1. ROADWAY NETWORK ADJUSTMENTS OF FCDOT TDM

## Adjustments

## Notes

Adjust the location of centroid of TAZ 1935
Add centroid connectors for TAZ 1935
Add centroid connectors for TAZ 1941
Add South St in the network

Adjust the centroid connectors for TAZ 1948
Split TAZ 1936 to 1936 and 3887
Add centroid connectors for both 1936 and 3887
Add a centroid connector for TAZ 1942
(Connecting to Sleepy Hollow RD)
Add a new centroid connector for TAZ 1943 and adjust the original connector

Add a new centroid connector for TAZ 3820
Add a new centroid connector for TAZ 3821
Adjust the location of centroid of TAZ 1947
Add centroid connectors for TAZ 1947 and adjust the original connectors

Add a new centroid connector for TAZ 1946
Add a new centroid connector for TAZ 3827 and adjust the original connector

Add centroid connectors for TAZ 1945

Split TAZ 1940 to 1940, 3889, and 3890
Add centroid connectors for 1940, 3889, and 3890
Add a centroid connector for TAZ 1938 and adjust the original connector

Split TAZ 1937 to 1937 and 3888
Add centroid connectors for both 1937 and 3888
Adjust the location of centroid of TAZ 1939

Add a centroid connector for TAZ 1939

Allocate the traffic in a more reasonable way
Better represents the local street connection
Better represents the local street connection
Complete the local street connection
Better represents the local street connection
Large TAZ covers multiple study intersections
Better represents the local street connection

Better represents the local street connection

Better represents the local street connection

Better represents the local street connection
Better represents the local street connection
Allocate the traffic in a more reasonable way Better represents the local street connection Better represents the local street connection Better represents the local street connection Better represents the local street connection Large TAZ covers multiple study intersections Better represents the local street connection Better represents the local street connection Large TAZ covers multiple study intersections Better represents the local street connection Allocate the traffic in a more reasonable way Better represents the local street connection

Split TAZ 3823 to 3823 and 3886

Add a centroid connector for 3886
Move the centroid connector of TAZ 3824

Add a new centroid connector for TAZ 3825
Add a new centroid connector for TAZ 3823

Add a new centroid connector for TAZ 3826
Add a new centroid connector for TAZ 1944

Add a new centroid connector for TAZ 1944
Relocate 16th St

Large TAZ covers multiple study intersections Better represents the local street connection Better represents the local street connection Better represents the local street connection Better represents the local street connection Better represents the local street connection Better represents the local street connection Better represents the local street connection Better represents the local street connection


## Appendix C VISSIM Model Calibration

## VISSIM Model Calibration

## INTRODUCTION

The project team used a VISSIM microsimulation model to assess traffic conditions in the study area and identify the phasing of the needed roadway improvements on key street links and intersections. This document summarizes the VISSIM model calibration efforts for the 2018/2019 typical travel conditions as well as the model development process, model calibration process, and calibration results.

## DATA COLLECTION SUMMARY

This section first summarizes the data collected for the VISSIM model development and model calibration. Then, this section discusses the required measures and calibration targets outlined in the Virginia Department of Transportation's (VDOT's) Traffic Operations and Safety Analysis Manual (TOSAM)².

## Traffic Volume Data

The development of the 2018/2019 typical conditions model required a proper calibration effort to closely replicate real-world conditions and accurately reflect field conditions. The initial data collection plan for this effort was to collect turning movement counts at the study intersections and tube counts at a few select locations in September 2020. Following the COVID-19 shutdown and the resulting economic slowdown, the team closely coordinated with FCDOT and VDOT to develop traffic volumes for this analysis based on the historic turning-movement counts collected by FCDOT, VDOT, and Arlington County. The team also coordinated with the City of Falls Church to confirm the City did not have additional turningmovement count data. To supplement the historic turning-movement counts, the team also used StreetLight ${ }^{3}$ data to verify traffic patterns at key intersections and obtain turn proportions at a few intersections where no historic data was available.

The historic turning-movement counts included data from 2013 (the previous Seven Corners Transportation Study) as well as 2018 and 2019 data collected by VDOT and Arlington County. Figure C-1 provides a count location summary and shows the study intersections with their respective data collection dates.

[^1]Figure C-1: Seven Corners Count Location Summary


For the volume development, the team used 2018 and 2019 turning movement counts as the basis since data was recently collected. For intersections where data was collected in 2013 that are adjacent to the 2018 and 2019 intersections without a major intersection or major driveway in between, the 2013 volumes were adjusted to match the 2018 and 2019 volumes. For intersections that do not have any volume data (typically unsignalized intersections), link volumes were first developed based on the input and output volumes. Then, the link volumes were supplemented by the Streetlight data from 2019 before the pandemic to obtain turn proportions and estimate turning-movement volumes. Finally, volume balancing was performed throughout the network. Detailed information on the volume development methodology can be found in Appendix A.

## Speed Data

In addition to traffic volumes, speed data on critical segments within the study area were collected for the calibration of the VISSIM model. Similar to the volume data, the initial plan for the speed data collection was to use the floating car technique and supplement that with the speed data extracted from the Regional Integrated Transportation Information System (RITIS) platform. However, due to the COVID-19 impacts on traffic, speed data was collected on select segments only using the 2019 INRIX probe data from the RITIS platform. The speed data on these selected segments were processed to compare against simulated travel time and speed. Except for one segment, travel time segments in the VISSIM network were adjusted accordingly to match the INRIX segments for calibration purposes. The exception was for westbound Route 7, where the INRIX segment extended well beyond the study area modeled in VISSIM.

## VISSIM CALIBRATION TARGETS

The project team calibrated the typical conditions VISSIM models using the following guidance outlined in the VDOT's TOSAM:

- Simulated Traffic Volume: This compares VISSIM model throughputs at study intersections to developed traffic volumes.
- Simulated Travel Time and Speed: This compares simulated vehicle travel time and speed to field travel times and speed.
- Simulated Queue Length and Visual Verification: These compare simulated average queue length and field observations as well as qualitative traffic patterns observed in the field that have notable influence on the traffic operations in the study area (e.g., yielding behavior, etc.).

Table C-1 provides detailed information on calibration targets for the quantitative measures as documented in TOSAM. In close coordination with FCDOT, the project team decided to use simulated traffic volumes and simulated travel times to calibrate the typical conditions VISSIM models. Simulated queue lengths were not included since it was not possible to collect field queue data, due to the COVID-19 impacts. Additionally, for simulated traffic volumes, only movements that carry more than 20 vehicles per hour were included during calibration. This was because dynamic traffic assignment (DTA) was applied for vehicle routing in VISSIM to better capture the complexity of the network and create more realistic origindestination patterns. With the DTA approach, it is typically difficult to match volumes for very minor movements, even after the applied origin-destination matrix estimation (ODME) techniques (please see below for the ODME calibration details below). Therefore, movements that have less than 20 vehicles per hour were not included in the simulated traffic volume calibration.

Table C-1 Simulated Measures and Calibration Targets per TOSAM

| Simulated Measure | Calibration Threshold/Target |
| :--- | :--- |
| Simulated Traffic Volume (vehicles per hour) <br> $85 \%$ of the network links and/or turning movement, and a select number <br> of critical links and/or turning movements, as determined by the DTE or <br> his/her designee, shall meet the calibration thresholds. | Within $\pm 20 \%$ for $<100 \mathrm{vph}$ <br> Within $\pm 15 \%$ for $\geq 100 \mathrm{vph}$ to $<1000 \mathrm{vph}$ <br> Within $\pm 10 \%$ for $\geq 1000 \mathrm{vph}$ to $<5,000 \mathrm{vph}$ <br> Within $\pm 500 \mathrm{vph}$ for $\geq 5,000 \mathrm{vph}$ |
| Simulated Travel Time (seconds) <br> 85\% of the travel time routes and segments, or a select number of critical <br> routes and segments, as determined by the DTE or his/her designee, shall <br> meet the calibration thresholds. Travel time routes should be determined <br> in cooperation with the VDOT project manager based on project needs <br> and goals. | Within $\pm 30 \%$ for average observed travel <br> times on arterials |
| Simulated Queue Length (feet) <br> A select number of critical locations and/or movements, as determined by <br> the DTE or his/her designee, shall meet the calibration thresholds. | Visually acceptable maximum queue lengths <br> are represented at critical locations |

## CALIBRATION METHODOLOGY

## Simulation Run Time

A warm-up period of 15 minutes ( 900 seconds) was applied prior to the analysis period to allow for the model to populate with a sufficient number of vehicles to better represent field conditions. The 15-minute warm-up period ensures that all vehicles would be able to enter and exit the network when travelling from one end to another during the warm-up duration. The measures of effectiveness (MOEs) were not collected during the warm-up period.

The simulation run time was conducted for a one-hour peak period during the AM and PM peak periods, in addition to the 15-minute warm-up time:

- AM Peak Hour
- 7:15-7:30 AM: Warm-up
- 7:30-8:30 AM: Evaluation Period
- PM Peak Hour
- 4:45-5:00 PM: Warm-up
- 5:00-6:00 PM: Evaluation Period

The simulation run time used in the 2018 and 2019 typical conditions models remained the same in the future condition models. In addition, a simulation resolution of 10 was used in the typical condition models, and the same value was used in future analyses.

## Sample Size Determination

The simulation model should run multiple times with different random seeds to capture the impact of the stochastic nature of the model on the results and obtain statistically reliable model outputs. Determining and applying the appropriate number of simulation runs is crucial to developing accurate results. The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) developed a statistical process to guide the selection of the appropriate number of simulation runs. To assist the application of the FHWA approach, the VDOT Sample Size Determination Tool is used as suggested in TOSAM to determine the required number of simulation runs in this study.

An initial 10 simulation runs were performed with different random seed numbers. After the first 10 runs, the selected MOEs of each run were entered into the calculation engine. The team used speed as the MOE to determine the necessary number of simulation runs. The adequacy of the number of runs was assessed by the tool for AM and PM peak hours as shown in Figure C-2 and Figure C-3. Based on the outputs of the sample size determination tool, it required 10 simulation runs for both AM and PM periods, respectively. The same number of simulation runs were used in both typical and future condition models.

Figure C-2 Sample Size Calculation for the AM Peak Hour


Figure C-3 Sample Size Calculation for the PM Peak Hour


## Driving Behavior Adjustments

Field visits indicated aggressive lane changing and car-following behavior, particularly around the interchange, due to the high levels of congestion experienced. These observations were also validated by the initial VISSIM results that indicated considerably lower travel speeds around the interchange, with the default driving behavior compared to the field speeds obtained using the INRIX data. As a result, VISSIM's default driving behavior was adjusted around the interchange.

Table C-2 provides a summary of driving behavior adjustments used for the select links for the AM and PM VISSIM models.

Table C-2 Summary of Adjustments to VISSIM's Default Driving Behavior around the Interchange for Model Calibration

| Model Parameters | VISSIM Default | Adjusted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Car Following - Average standstill distance (ft) | 6.56 | 4.66 |
| Car Following - Additive part of safety distance | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Car Following - Multiplicative part of safety distance | 3.00 | 2.80 |
| Lane Change - Minimum clearance (feet) | 1.64 | 1.10 |
| Lane Change - Safety distance reduction factor | 0.6 | -13.84 |
| Lane Change - Maximum deceleration for cooperative |  |  |
| braking (ft/s²) |  |  |

## CALIBRATION RESULTS

This section provides a summary of the calibration results for the typical AM and PM VISSIM models.

## Origin-Destination Matrix Estimation (ODME)

An origin-destination matrix estimation (ODME) was completed to develop an origin-destination (O-D) demand matrix for use in the VISSIM analysis. The ODME was conducted in PTV VISUM with following steps:

1. Retrieve roadway network and seed matrices (demand matrices) for the study area from Fairfax County Travel Demand Model.
2. Refine the roadway network characteristics, such as number of lanes, free-flow speed, link capacity, node control and turn lanes.
3. Import turning movement counts of study intersections as the targets of ODME process.
4. Run the ODME procedures, which is mainly the combination of Equilibrium Assignment (PrT Assignment in VISUM) and demand matrix correction (TflowFuzzy in VISUM). Figure C-4 demonstrates the general sequence of ODME procedures.

Figure C-4 Origin Destination Matrix Estimation (ODME) Procedures

## Origin-Destination Matrix Estimation : Running the Procedures



The project team used about 350 turning-movement counts for AM and PM ODME. The performance of ODME is shown in Figure C-5 and Figure C-6 for the AM and PM peak hours, respectively. ODME procedures for the AM and PM peak hours were completed when R-squared, which is a measure for goodness-of-fit, reached 0.99. In general, the simulated volumes became close to the field turning movement counts for most cases after the ODME procedures. Some instances are relatively off-target, especially for the PM peak hour. Considering the complexity of the study network, the team decided that these locations could be adjusted during the calibration of the VISSIM model, if necessary.

Figure C-5 ODME Performance for the AM Peak Hour


| $-\cdots$ Regression |
| :--- |
| - Target value |
|  |
| NumObs 354 |
| AvgObs 380 |
| \%RMSE 18 |
| R2 0.99 |
| Slope 1.00 |
| YInt -4.75 |
| MeanRelError\% 13 |

Figure C-6 ODME Performance for the PM Peak Hour

---- Regression

- Target value

NumObs 350
AvgObs 394 \%RMSE 18 R2 0.99 Slope 1.00 YInt - 20.19 MeanRelError\% 10

## Simulated Traffic Volumes

Following the guidance provided in TOSAM, simulated volumes were extracted and compared at the following intersections in the network ${ }^{4}$ :

- 1-S Cherry Street/Arlington Boulevard (US 50) - signalized
- 2 - S Cherry Street/Hillwood Avenue - signalized
- 3 - S Cherry Street/E. Broad Street (VA 7) - signalized
- 4 - South Street/Arlington Boulevard - unsignalized
- 6-South Street \& S. Roosevelt Street/Hillwood Avenue - signalized
- 7 - N Roosevelt Street/E. Broad Street (VA 7) - signalized
- 8 - Sleepy Hollow Road/Aspen Lane - unsignalized
- 9 - Sleepy Hollow Road/Castle Place - unsignalized
- 10 - Castle Road \&Thorne Road/Leesburg Pike (VA 7) - signalized
- 11 - Seven Corners Center/Leesburg Pike (VA 7) - signalized
- 12 - Patrick Henry Drive/Leesburg Pike (VA 7) - signalized
- 13 - Arlington Boulevard service road/Arlington Boulevard (US 50) - signalized
- 14 - Patrick Henry Drive/Arlington Boulevard (US 50) - signalized
- 15 - John Marshall Drive/Patrick Henry Drive \& Willston Drive - signalized
- 16 - John Marshall Drive \& N. McKinley Road/Wilson Boulevard - signalized
- 17 - Peyton Randolph Drive/Wilson Boulevard - signalized
- 18 - Roosevelt Boulevard/Wilson Boulevard - signalized
- 19 - Roosevelt Boulevard/N. Roosevelt Street - signalized

[^2]- 20 - Arlington Blvd WB/Wilson Blvd - signalized
- 21 - Sleepy Hollow Rd/Wilson Blvd/Broad St/Arlington Blvd EB - signalized
- 22 - Broad St WB/Arlington Blvd WB - signalized
- 23a-Broad St EB/Arlington Blvd WB - unsignalized
- $23 b$ - Broad St EB/Arlington Blvd WB - signalized
- 24 - Broad St WB/Hillwood Ave WB - diverge
- 24 - Broad St EB/Hillwood Ave - unsignalized

Table C-3 provides a summary of the number of movements that met the calibration threshold identified in TOSAM. As discussed previously, due to the nature of DTA applied in the simulation, it was decided with FCDOT to only include movements with volumes over 20 vehicles per hour for comparison. The full comparison of simulated volume versus developed volume along with the percent difference is included in

## Appendix A.

Table C-3 Simulated Traffic Volume Calibration Results

| Peak Hour | Number of <br> Movements <br> Meeting Threshold | Total <br> Number of <br> Movements | \% Meeting <br> Threshold | TOSAM Required <br> \% Meeting <br> Threshold | Threshold <br> Met? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM Peak Hour | 144 | 164 | $87.8 \%$ | $85.0 \%$ | Yes |
| PM Peak Hour | 162 | 187 | $86.6 \%$ | $85.0 \%$ | Yes |

Results indicate that for all the volume groups analyzed, at least $85 \%$ of the movements meet the volume calibration thresholds identified in TOSAM.

## Simulated Travel Speeds

Simulated travel speeds for selected critical segments were compared to INRIX speeds. Tables C-4 and C-5 provide a comparison of the simulated speeds and INRIX speeds along with the calibration thresholds that need to be met. Results show that, except for one segment during both the AM and PM peak hour, the simulated speeds are within the $20 \%$ range of observed INRIX average speeds, meeting the calibration target. The only segment that did not meet the calibration threshold is the westbound Route 7 segment from Lakeside View Drive to the Interchange, where INRIX indicated higher vehicle speeds both for the AM and PM peak hours. This is likely because the INRIX segment extends well beyond the VISSIM study area for this link and includes segments with large intersection spacing, where vehicles typically travel at higher speeds. As a result, the simulated travel speed calibration is deemed acceptable.

Table C-4 AM Peak Hour Simulated Travel Speed and INRIX Travel Speed Comparison for Model Calibration

| Segment | VISSIM <br> Speed <br> (mph) | INRIX <br> Speed <br> (mph) | $\%$ <br> Difference | Calibration <br> Threshold | Threshold <br> Met? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EB Route 7: Washington Street - <br> Interchange | 16.1 | 19.1 | $-15.7 \%$ | Within <br> $\pm 20 \%$ | Yes |
| WB Route 7: Interchange - <br> Washington Street | 18.3 | 21.0 | $-12.9 \%$ | Within <br> $\pm 20 \%$ | Yes |
| EB Route 7: Interchange - Lakeside <br> View Drive | 24.3 | 29.1 | $-16.5 \%$ | Within <br> $\pm 20 \%$ | Yes |
| WB Route 7: Lakeside View Drive - <br> Interchange | 9.0 | 13.9 | $-35.3 \%$ | Within <br> $\pm 20 \%$ | No* |
| EB Hillwood Avenue: Washington <br> Street - Interchange | 17.3 | 17.0 | $1.8 \%$ | Within <br> $\pm 20 \%$ | Yes |
| WB Hillwood Avenue: Interchange - <br> Washington Street | 17.4 | 18.8 | $-7.4 \%$ | Within <br> $\pm 20 \%$ | Yes |
| EB US 50: Annandale Road - <br> Driveway (east of Patrick Henry) | 31.6 | 30.6 | $3.3 \%$ | Within <br> $\pm 20 \%$ | Yes |
| WB US 50: Driveway (east of Patrick <br> Henry) - Annandale Road | 29.2 | 33.8 | $-13.6 \%$ | Within <br> $\pm 20 \%$ | Yes |
| NB Sleepy Hollow Road: Holmes Run <br> Road - Interchange | 28.5 | 24.6 | $15.9 \%$ | Within <br> $\pm 20 \%$ | Yes |
| SB Sleepy Hollow Road: Interchange <br> - Holmes Run Road | 33.3 | 30.1 | $10.6 \%$ | Within <br> $\pm 20 \%$ | Yes |
| EB Wilson Boulevard: Interchange - <br> McKinley Road | 15.2 | 17.3 | $-12.1 \%$ | Within <br> $\pm 20 \%$ | Yes |
| WB Wilson Boulevard: McKinley Road <br> - Interchange | 15.8 | 15.6 | $1.3 \%$ | Within <br> $\pm 20 \%$ | Yes |

* This segment did not meet the calibration threshold, largely because the INRIX segment extends well beyond the VISSIM study area for this link and includes segments with large intersection spacing where vehicles travel with higher speeds. Therefore, this segment not meeting the calibration threshold should not be a reason to invalidate the calibration.

Table C-5 PM Peak Hour Simulated Travel Speed and INRIX Travel Speed Comparison for Model Calibration

| Segment | VISSIM Speed (mph) | INRIX Speed (mph) |  | Calibration Threshold | Threshold Met? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EB Route 7: Washington Street Interchange | 13.7 | 13.6 | 0.7\% | Within $\pm 20 \%$ | Yes |
| WB Route 7: Interchange - Washington Street | 19.9 | 20.7 | -3.9\% | Within $\pm 20 \%$ | Yes |
| EB Route 7: Interchange - Lakeside View Drive | 16.9 | 18.8 | -10.1\% | Within $\pm 20 \%$ | Yes |
| WB Route 7: Lakeside View Drive Interchange | 8.8 | 16.6 | -47.0\% | Within $\pm 20 \%$ | No* |
| EB Hillwood Avenue: Washington Street Interchange | 17.6 | 14.8 | 18.9\% | Within $\pm 20 \%$ | Yes |
| WB Hillwood Avenue: Interchange Washington Street | 16.2 | 19.2 | -15.6\% | Within $\pm 20 \%$ | Yes |
| EB US 50: Annandale Road - Driveway (east of Patrick Henry) | 26.6 | 29.0 | -8.3\% | Within $\pm 20 \%$ | Yes |
| WB US 50: Driveway (east of Patrick Henry) - Annandale Road | 22.6 | 19.3 | 17.1\% | Within $\pm 20 \%$ | Yes |
| NB Sleepy Hollow Road: Holmes Run Road - Interchange | 29.6 | 28.0 | 5.7\% | Within $\pm 20 \%$ | Yes |
| SB Sleepy Hollow Road: Interchange Holmes Run Road | 31.0 | 26.9 | 15.2\% | Within $\pm 20 \%$ | Yes |
| EB Wilson Boulevard: Interchange McKinley Road | 15.0 | 14.0 | 7.1\% | Within $\pm 20 \%$ | Yes |
| WB Wilson Boulevard: McKinley Road Interchange | 8.8 | 7.6 | 15.8\% | Within $\pm 20 \%$ | Yes |

* This segment did not meet the calibration threshold, largely because the INRIX segment extends well beyond the VISSIM study area for this link and includes segments with large intersection spacing where vehicles travel with higher speeds. Therefore, this segment not meeting the calibration threshold should not be a reason to invalidate the calibration.


## CONCLUSIONS

Appendix $\mathbf{C}$ describes the calibration efforts the team followed to develop the VISSIM microsimulation model for the Seven Corners Phasing Study. Based on the quantitative comparisons of simulated traffic volumes and vehicle speeds, it is concluded that the 2018 and 2019 typical conditions calibration results meet the calibration targets presented in Table C-1. The adjustments made in the 2018 and 2019 typical conditions AM and PM VISSIM models for calibration (e.g., changes in driving behavior, lane changing and gap acceptance) were carried over to future condition models.


# Appendix D 2030 and 2045 Scenario Analysis Detailed Operational Results 

## Future Scenario Analysis (Year 2030)

To establish an interim future-year benchmark for comparisons, the project team evaluated an interim year 2030 Baseline Conditions for the study area. Following the establishment of Baseline Conditions, multiple phasing scenarios for future improvements were then developed and analyzed.

## 2030 BASELINE TRANSPORTATION CONDITIONS

The following section provides an overview of the 2030 Baseline Conditions. Conditions noted here would be expected in 2030 if none of the improvements noted in this study, as recommended in the Comprehensive Plan Amendment, are implemented and growth of population and employment continue as expected. This scenario also considers all improvements in the regional transportation network that are expected to be implemented by 2030.

## 2030 Baseline Network Assumptions

The regional and local transportation networks are planned to be modified in various locations by 2030. These changes are expected with or without the implementation of the improvements considered in this study, as part of the Comprehensive Plan Amendment. This study includes these changes as part of the analysis. Relevant changes in the transportation network include:

## ROADWAY NETWORK ADJUSTMENTS

The roadway network for the 2030 Baseline Conditions includes all changes that the Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments (MWCOG) anticipates, as noted in regional planning documents and included in its regional travel demand model. However, some adjustments and clarifications to roadway facilities in the study were necessary. The following adjustments, including a brief explanation, were made:

- Route 50 was reduced from three to two lanes in each direction from approximately Seven Oaks Drive to Peyton Randolph Road.
- The Service Roads along Route 50 were assumed to be the third through lane along Route 50.
- Route 7 was reduced from three to two lanes in each direction from Patrick Henry Drive to Columbia Pike.
- Future widening of Route 7 is anticipated to accommodate transit-only lanes as part of the Envision Route 7 Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) project. However, that project is not anticipated to begin implementation until after the 2030 time horizon.


## TRANSIT NETWORK ADJUSTMENTS

The project team researched any changes to transit that would affect the 2030 Baseline Conditions in the study area. Two WMATA routes that typically serve the Seven Corners area, 4A and 26A, were included in the 2030 scenarios, and it is assumed that their service will resume. These routes provide service between the following terminal points:

- Route 4A (Pershing Drive-Arlington Boulevard Line): This route provides weekday peak hour service between Rosslyn Metrorail Station and Seven Corners Transit Center.
- Route 26A (Annandale-East Falls Church Line): This route provides service between East Falls Church Metrorail Station and Northern Virginia Community College Annandale.

Note that the Envision Route 7 BRT project is not included in the 2030 Baseline.

## BICYCLE NETWORK ADJUSTMENTS

The project team coordinated with the County to identify any bicycle connections that would be built by 2030. Through this coordination, it was determined that there are no new bicycle connections planned to be completed in the study area before 2030.

## PEDESTRIAN NETWORK ADJUSTMENTS

The project team coordinated with the County to identify any pedestrian connections that would be built by 2030. Through this coordination, it was determined that there are no new pedestrian connections planned to be completed in the study area before 2030.

## Vehicular Operations

In 2030, additional travel demand is expected on the roadway network as compared to current conditions. Peak hour volume is provided by the intersection movement in Figure D-1. Level of service (LOS) and delay are shown in Figure D-2 and Table D-1.

Figure D-1: Peak Hour Volume by Movement for 2030 Baseline AM and PM
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Figure D-2: LOS for 2030 Baseline


Table D-1: LOS and Delay for Typical Conditions and 2030 Baseline AM and PM Peak Hours

| Intersection | Traffic <br> Control | 2018/2019 Typical Conditions |  |  |  | 2030 Baseline Conditions |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AM |  | PM |  | AM |  | PM |  |
|  |  | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay |
| \#1: S Cherry Street/Arlington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | D | 45.4 | D | 48.9 | C | 26.2 | C | 31.0 |
| \#2: S Cherry Street/Hillwood Avenue | Signalized | B | 16.3 | B | 14.6 | C | 21.6 | C | 21.0 |
| \#3: S Cherry Street/E. Broad Street (VA 7) | Signalized | B | 12.8 | B | 13.8 | B | 18.0 | B | 18.8 |
| \#6: South Street \& S. Roosevelt Street/Hillwood Avenue | Signalized | C | 21.0 | C | 33.3 | B | 15.8 | C | 30.7 |
| \#7: N Roosevelt Street/E. Broad Street (VA 7) | Signalized | B | 16.6 | D | 49.1 | C | 20.6 | E | 75.0 |
| \#8: Sleepy Hollow Road/Aspen Lane | Unsignalized | B | 14.0 | A | 3.0 | B | 14.0 | A | 4.8 |
| \#9: Sleepy Hollow Road/Castle Place | Signalized | A | 6.6 | B | 11.5 | A | 9.5 | C | 22.5 |
| \#10: Castle Road \&Thorne Road/Leesburg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized | E | 58.2 | D | 54.7 | E | 56.3 | E | 59.0 |
| \#11: Seven Corners Center/Leesburg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized | B | 18.8 | E | 59.6 | C | 23.3 | F | 98.3 |
| \#12: Patrick Henry Drive/Leesburg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized | F | 84.2 | F | 81.8 | E | 63.8 | F | 86.7 |


| \#13: Arlington Boulevard service road/Arlington <br> Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | A | 6.1 | C | 29.2 | B | 16.6 | D | 49.8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| \#14: Patrick Henry Drive/Arlington Boulevard <br> (US 50) | Signalized | E | 55.4 | F | 108.7 | F | 82.8 | F | 154.4 |
|  <br> Willston Drive | Signalized | B | 14.7 | C | 26.1 | B | 18.0 | F | 96.9 |
| \#16: John Marshall Drive \& N. McKinley <br> Road/Wilson Boulevard | Signalized | C | 25.3 | D | 38.3 | C | 28.3 | E | 77.8 |
| \#17: Peyton Randolph Drive/Wilson Boulevard | Signalized | C | 20.6 | C | 30.4 | C | 21.6 | D | 37.7 |
| \#18: Roosevelt Boulevard/Wilson Boulevard | Signalized | C | 24.4 | E | 55.4 | C | 33.2 | E | 63.2 |
| \#19: Roosevelt Boulevard/N. Roosevelt Street | Signalized | A | 9.0 | C | 23.6 | B | 11.5 | C | 23.8 |
| \#20: Arlington Blvd WB/Wilson Blvd | Signalized | B | 20.0 | E | 65.2 | D | 39.2 | F | 119.1 |
| \#21a: Sleepy Hollow Rd/Wilson Blvd/Broad | Signalized | E | 56.8 | E | 62.0 | E | 67.2 | E | 68.3 |
| St/Arlington Blvd EB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Results show that there is significant travel delay in the study area in both the AM and PM peak periods, though the delay is most pronounced during the PM peak hour. A detailed discussion of results is provided for key locations:

- Seven Corners Interchange: The main interchange operates at LOS F during both the AM and PM peak hours in Typical Conditions. It significantly degrades in both the 2030 AM and PM peak hours. Further, the level of delay is well above the threshold for LOS F and is leading to significant delays across the network.
- Castle Road \& Thorne Road/Route 7: This intersection operates at LOS E during the PM peak hour in 2030 Baseline Conditions. This is a slight improvement from the Typical Conditions, where the intersection operates at LOS E in both the AM and PM peak hours. Both the AM and PM peak hours show a reduction in delay when compared to the Typical Conditions, where delay reduction can be attributed to the signal timing adjustments in 2030 Baseline Conditions.
- Patrick Henry Drive/Route 7: This intersection operates at LOS F during the PM peak hour and at LOS E in the AM peak hour in 2030 Baseline Conditions, unlike the Typical Conditions, in which both the AM and PM peak hours experienced LOS F. As noted above, improvement in delay in the AM peak hour is primarily due to the signal timing adjustments made in 2030 Baseline Conditions.
- Patrick Henry Drive/Route 50: This intersection operates at LOS F during both the AM peak hours and the PM peak hour in 2030 Baseline Conditions. Both the AM and PM peak hours show a significant increase in delay when compared to the Typical Conditions. Compared to the Typical Conditions, the 2030 Baseline scenario volumes increased considerably at this intersection, resulting in degraded intersection operations.
- Roosevelt St/Broad St: In the 2030 Baseline Conditions, the PM peak hour shows an increase in delay when compared to the Typical Conditions, with the PM peak hour operating at LOS E. Increase in vehicle delay is mostly due to the increase in vehicle volumes on Roosevelt Street that travel towards westbound Route 50 to avoid going through the interchange via Wilson Boulevard.


## Network Performance

Three network performance measures are shown in Table D-2: average delay, vehicle arrival, and latent demand. The 2030 Baseline Conditions results show that during the PM peak hour, the network is more congested compared to the AM peak hour, where the average vehicle delay in the PM peak hour is 260 seconds of delay compared to approximately 157 seconds of delay in the AM peak hour. When compared to Typical Conditions, the network in the AM peak hour stays relatively the same, with only slight variations in performance. However, in the PM peak hour, the network has a large increase in delay, from 202 seconds of delay in the Typical Conditions to 260 seconds of delay in the 2030 Baseline Conditions.

Table D-2: Network Performance for Typical Conditions and 2030 Baseline AM and PM Peak Hours

| Performance Measure | 2018/2019 Typical Conditions |  | 2030 Baseline Conditions |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Weekday AM | Weekday PM | Weekday AM | Weekday PM |
| Average Delay (seconds) | 154.8 | 201.7 | 157.1 | 260.2 |
| Vehicle Arrival (vehicles) | 20,222 | 20,131 | 20,455 | 20,727 |
| Latent Demand (vehicles) | 55 | 390 | 60 | 561 |

## Transit Conditions

Minimal transit changes are expected to be implemented in the study area by 2030. Some routes previously suspended are likely to be reintroduced.

## Bicycle Conditions

No new bicycle facilities are expected to be implemented in the study area by 2030. Because of this finding, the 2030 Baseline Conditions are consistent with 2018 and 2019 Typical Conditions.

## Pedestrian Conditions

No new pedestrian facilities are expected to be implemented in the study area by 2030. The project team analyzed pedestrian conditions for Future Year 2030 by considering pedestrian crossing times. The reviews of LTS and observations of field conditions conducted with the 2018 and 2019 Typical Conditions Analysis are still applicable to 2030. Pedestrian crossing times were analyzed at signalized study intersections using VISSIM software.

## CROSSING TIME

Average pedestrian crossing times at several signalized intersections in the study area were calculated for the AM and PM peak hours. The average pedestrian crossing time is defined as the time it takes a pedestrian to cross the mainline of the intersection. It considers the actual crossing time as well as delay waiting to walk. Table D-3 provides a summary of crossing times at select major intersections, with crossing times presented in minutes.

Table D-3: Notable Pedestrian Crossing Times

| Intersection | Crossing | Weekday AM <br> (minutes) | Weekday PM <br> (minutes) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Roosevelt St/Route 7 | Route 7 (east side of intersection) | 2.1 | 1.9 |
|  | Route 7 (west side of intersection) | 2.5 | 2.0 |
| Patrick Henry Dr/Route 7 | Route 7 (south/east side of intersection) | 1.9 | 1.9 |
|  | Route 50 (east side of intersection) | 1.4 | 1.5 |
| 2 Route 50 (west side of intersection) | 1.4 | 1.4 |  |

As shown in Table D-3, there are multiple locations in the study area where it takes pedestrians over two minutes to cross the major roadways, like Route 7 and Route 50. Generally, this is attributable to the longer crossing distances created by multiple vehicle travel lanes and long signal cycle lengths. Of note are the Route 7 crossing times for pedestrians within the Seven Corners Interchange. During the peak hours, it can take pedestrians up to four minutes to cross Route 7.

In addition to long crossing times, these major roadway facilities typically have minimal pedestrian facilities that are close to fast-moving traffic, making them uncomfortable to use. Like bicycle conditions, the major roadways serve as barriers for pedestrians to cross.

## 2030 BASELINE TRANSPORTATION CONSIDERATIONS

The project team identified five major multimodal considerations from the Baseline analysis to assist in developing phasing scenarios:

1. Complex movements at interchange. Travel movements are very complex, in particular on the north side of the interchange area. There are multiple turns that can be made in close proximity, and there are various stop bars where vehicles can queue that are not intuitive.
2. Number of movements at interchange. In addition to being complex, there are a high number of movements possible at the main interchange. There are seven legs of the intersection, six of which have full or nearly full access to the others, and one which has right-in and right-out access.
3. High-volume movements at interchange. Many of the movements are relatively high-volume, specifically the movements between Route 50 on the west and Wilson Boulevard as well as Route 50 on the west and Route 7.
4. Long pedestrian crossing. The crossing time for pedestrians attempting to cross the central interchange is particularly long and confusing, and it can take multiple signal cycles to cross.
5. Lack of pedestrian and bicycle infrastructure. Minimal pedestrian infrastructure exists in the area, and there is almost no bicycle infrastructure.

## SCENARIO 1: RING ROAD WEST OF ROUTE 7 CONNECTING TO BROAD STREET

Figure D-3: Scenario 1


## Scenario 1 Description

Scenario 1 includes Ring Road along the west side of the interchange connecting Broad Street in the north to Route 7 in the south. As noted in Figure D-3, Scenario 1 consists of:

- Two motor vehicle travel lanes in each direction from Broad Street to Route 7.
- A bridge over the west leg of Route 50.
- A left-turn lane at each signalized intersection approach.
- A two-way cycle track on the inner loop, buffered from motor vehicle traffic.
- Sidewalks and landscape panels on both sides.
- Five new traffic signals at the two Route 50 Service Roads, Hillwood Avenue, Broad Street, and at Sleepy Hollow Road.
- Incorporation of Castle Place and a portion of Castle Road into Ring Road.
- Reconfiguration of the existing signal at Route 7 and Thorne Road to accommodate the east end of this Ring Road segment.


## Vehicular Operations

This section discusses AM and PM peak hour vehicular operations for Scenario 1. Peak hour volume is provided by intersection movement in Figure D-4. Level of service (LOS) and delay are shown in Figure D-5 and Table D-4.

Figure D-4: Peak Hour Volume by Movement for Scenario 1 AM and PM
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Figure D-5: LOS for Scenario 1


Table D-4: LOS and Delay for Scenario 1 AM and PM Peak Hours

| Intersection | Traffic Control | 2030 Scenario 1 AM |  | 2030 Scenario 1 PM |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay |
| \#1: S Cherry Street/Arlington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | C | 33.9 | C | 27.4 |
| \#2: S Cherry Street/Hillwood Avenue | Signalized | B | 20.0 | B | 15.6 |
| \#3: S Cherry Street/E. Broad Street (VA 7) | Signalized | C | 22.7 | B | 14.6 |
| \#6: South Street \& S. Roosevelt Street/Hillwood Avenue | Signalized | B | 15.3 | C | 22.3 |
| \#7: N Roosevelt Street/E. Broad Street (VA 7) | Signalized | B | 16.5 | C | 28.1 |
| \#8: Sleepy Hollow Road/Aspen Lane | Unsignalized | A | 2.9 | A | 5.5 |
| \#9: Sleepy Hollow Road/Castle Place | Signalized | C | 30.5 | E | 73.6 |
| \#10: Castle Road \&Thorne Road/Leesburg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized | E | 55.5 | F | 88.3 |
| \#11: Seven Corners Center/Leesburg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized | D | 46.0 | D | 46.8 |
| \#12: Patrick Henry Drive/Leesburg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized | E | 60.8 | F | 80.2 |
| \#13: Arlington Boulevard service road/Arlington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | A | 9.8 | D | 38.9 |
| \#14: Patrick Henry Drive/Arlington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | E | 71.2 | F | 143.0 |
| \#15: John Marshall Drive \& Willston Drive | Signalized | D | 35.9 | E | 66.4 |
| \#16: John Marshall Drive \& N. McKinley Road/Wilson Boulevard | Signalized | C | 29.7 | D | 37.4 |
| \#17: Peyton Randolph Drive/Wilson Boulevard | Signalized | C | 25.7 | C | 21.8 |
| \#18: Roosevelt Boulevard/Wilson Boulevard | Signalized | C | 27.1 | D | 39.0 |
| \#19: Roosevelt Boulevard/N. Roosevelt Street | Signalized | B | 14.9 | B | 11.3 |
| \#20: Arlington Blvd WB/Wilson Blvd | Signalized | B | 13.6 | D | 39.8 |
| \#21a: Sleepy Hollow Rd/Wilson Blvd/Broad St/Arlington Blvd EB | Signalized | D | 38.2 | D | 39.6 |
| \#22: Broad St WB/Arlington Blvd WB | Signalized | A | 4.0 | C | 25.9 |
| \#23: Broad St EB/Arlington Blvd WB | Signalized | A | 4.1 | B | 17.9 |
| \#25: Ring Rd/US 50 EB Off Ramp | Signalized | D | 45.6 | E | 76.1 |
| \#26: Ring Rd/US 50 WB On Ramp | Signalized | B | 12.8 | C | 26.4 |
| \#27: Ring Rd/E. Broad Street (VA 7) | Signalized | C | 20.3 | B | 15.1 |
| \#29: Ring Rd/Hillwood Avenue | Signalized | C | 28.5 | F | 80.9 |
| \#21b: Seven Corners Interchange Intersection | Signalized | D | 48.8 | E | 60.5 |

Results indicate significant improvement in delays compared to 2030 Baseline conditions. Results show that most of the congestion occurs during the PM peak hour, with four intersections operating at LOS F. Key findings from the operational results are summarized below:

- During the PM peak hour, the intersection of Castle Road and Thorne Road at Leesburg Pike (VA 7) and Hillwood Avenue at Ring Road operate with LOS F, with an intersection vehicle delay of 88 seconds and 81 seconds, respectively. Congestion at these locations can be attributed to the diversion of traffic to the proposed Ring Road. However, it should be noted that this diversion as a result of the proposed Ring Road also improves intersection performance and reduces vehicle delays, especially around the main interchange.
- Other intersections that operate with LOS F during the PM peak hour are the intersections of Patrick Henry Drive at Arlington Boulevard (US 50) and Patrick Henry Drive at Leesburg Pike (VA 7). Note that these intersections also operate with LOS F in the 2030 Baseline Condition; therefore, high vehicle delays are not related to the proposed Ring Road.
- During the AM peak hour, all intersections operate at LOS E or better.


## Network Performance

Network performance results are displayed in Table D-5 for Scenario 1. 2030 Baseline results are also included for comparison.

Table D-5: Network Performance for 2030 Baseline and Scenario 1 AM and PM Peak Hours

| Performance Measure | 2030 Baseline Conditions |  | 2030 Scenario 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AM | PM | AM | PM |
| Average Delay (seconds) | 157.1 | 260.2 | 144.0 | 210.3 |
| Vehicle Arrival (vehicles) | 20,455 | 20,727 | 20,337 | 21,083 |
| Latent Demand (vehicles) | 60 | 561 | 47 | 248 |

Key findings from the network performance results are presented below:

- Compared to the AM peak hour, the extent of congestion during the PM peak hour can be better observed in the network performance results where PM conditions show increased delay and latent demand.
- Compared to the Baseline Conditions, Scenario 1 results in improved performance both in the AM and PM peak hours, where improvements are more pronounced in the PM peak hour. These improvements are largely due to the proposed Ring Road, which provides additional network capacity.


## SCENARIO 2: RING ROAD FROM BROAD STREET TO ROUTE 50 WESTBOUND

Figure D-6: Scenario 2


## Scenario 2 Description

Scenario 2 adds to Scenario 1 and extends Ring Road from Route 7 in the south over to Route 50 on the east. As noted in Figure D-6, Scenario 2 consists of:

- Scenario 1 improvements
- Two motor vehicle travel lanes in each direction.
- An additional bridge over the east leg of Route 50 and adjusted Service Roads to connect to Route 50.
- Single or double left-turn lanes at major intersection approaches as shown in Figure D-6.
- A two-way cycle track on the inner loop, buffered from motor vehicle traffic.
- Sidewalks and landscape panels on both sides.
- Two additional new traffic signals at each Route 50 Service Road.
- A new unsignalized intersection at Ring Road (South) and Seven Corners Center.
- Exclusive transit lanes from Route 7 to Route 50.
- Relocated Transit Center to the northwest of the Ring Road (South) along the eastbound Route 50 Service Road.


## Vehicular Operations

This section discusses AM and PM peak hour vehicular operations for Scenario 2. Peak hour volume is provided by intersection movement in Figure D-7. LOS and delay are shown in Figure D-8 and Table D-6.

Figure D-7: Peak Hour Volume by Movement for Scenario 2 AM and PM
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Figure D-8: LOS for Scenario 2


Table D-6: LOS and Delay for Scenario 2 AM and PM Peak Hours

| Intersection | Traffic Control | 2030 Scenario 2 AM |  | 2030 Scenario 2 PM |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay |
| \#1: S Cherry Street/Arlington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | C | 21.6 | C | 32.3 |
| \#2: S Cherry Street/Hillwood Avenue | Signalized | B | 16.2 | B | 15.0 |
| \#3: S Cherry Street/E. Broad Street (VA 7) | Signalized | B | 19.3 | B | 15.8 |
| \#6: South Street \& S. Roosevelt Street/Hillwood Avenue | Signalized | B | 15.8 | C | 29.5 |
| \#7: N Roosevelt Street/E. Broad Street (VA 7) | Signalized | C | 24.3 | D | 47.5 |
| \#8: Sleepy Hollow Road/Aspen Lane | Unsignalized | A | 2.9 | A | 6.6 |
| \#9: Sleepy Hollow Road/Castle Place | Signalized | C | 20.5 | D | 42.5 |
| \#10: Castle Road \&Thorne Road/Leesburg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized | D | 49.3 | E | 66.5 |
| \#11: Seven Corners Center/Leesburg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized | C | 29.5 | D | 51.3 |
| \#12: Patrick Henry Drive/Leesburg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized | E | 59.1 | E | 73.3 |
| \#13: Arlington Boulevard service road/Arlington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | B | 14.1 | B | 11.0 |
| \#14: Patrick Henry Drive/Arlington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | E | 57.8 | E | 64.3 |
| \#15: John Marshall Drive \& Willston Drive | Signalized | D | 35.7 | D | 36.1 |
| \#16: John Marshall Drive \& N. McKinley Road/Wilson Boulevard | Signalized | C | 29.2 | C | 29.6 |
| \#17: Peyton Randolph Drive/Wilson Boulevard | Signalized | D | 47.2 | C | 28.7 |
| \#18: Roosevelt Boulevard/Wilson Boulevard | Signalized | C | 30.1 | C | 32.0 |
| \#19: Roosevelt Boulevard/N. Roosevelt Street | Signalized | B | 14.7 | B | 12.4 |
| \#20: Arlington Blvd WB/Wilson Blvd | Signalized | A | 5.1 | A | 6.4 |
| \#21a: Sleepy Hollow Rd/Wilson Blvd/Broad St/Arlington Blvd EB | Signalized | D | 37.2 | D | 36.5 |
| \#22: Broad St WB/Arlington Blvd WB | Signalized | A | 7.9 | B | 14.2 |
| \#23: Broad St EB/Arlington Blvd WB | Signalized | A | 5.2 | A | 8.6 |
| \#25: Ring Rd/US 50 EB Off Ramp | Signalized | D | 43.2 | E | 56.6 |
| \#26: Ring Rd/US 50 WB On Ramp | Signalized | B | 15.8 | C | 24.4 |
| \#27: Ring Rd/E. Broad Street (VA 7) | Signalized | B | 13.8 | C | 21.8 |
| \#28: Ring Rd/US 50 EB Off Ramp | Signalized | B | 13.8 | B | 18.7 |
| \#29: Ring Rd/Hillwood Avenue | Signalized | C | 28.5 | F | 87.3 |


| \#30: Ring Rd/US 50 WB Off Ramp | Signalized | C | 22.3 | F | 83.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \#21b: Seven Corners Interchange Intersection | Signalized | D | 41.8 | E | 55.2 |

Key findings from the operational results are summarized below:

- In Scenario 2, extending Ring Road towards westbound Arlington Boulevard (Route 50) improves overall intersection conditions both during the AM and PM peak hours. However, this extension also results in LOS F in the PM peak hours at Hillwood Avenue and Ring Road and Arlington Boulevard (US 50) westbound off-ramp intersections because of increased traffic.
- During the AM peak hour, similar to Scenario 1, all intersections operate at LOS E or better.


## Network Performance

Network performance results are displayed in Table D-7 for Scenario 2. 2030 Baseline results and Scenario 1 results are also included for comparison.

Table D-7: Network Performance for 2030 Baseline, Scenario 1, and Scenario 2 AM and PM Peak Hours

| Performance Measure | 2030 Baseline Conditions |  | 2030 Scenario 1 |  | 2030 Scenario 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AM | PM | AM | PM | AM | PM |
| Average Delay (seconds) | 157.1 | 260.2 | 144.0 | 210.3 | 134.7 | 177.9 |
| Vehicle Arrival (vehicles) | 20,455 | 20,727 | 20,337 | 21,083 | 20,621 | 21,925 |
| Latent Demand (vehicles) | 60 | 561 | 47 | 248 | 38 | 236 |

Key findings from the network performance results are presented below:

- Compared to Scenario 1, Scenario 2 reduces network delay and increases network throughput during the PM peak hour. This is consistent with the intersection delay results discussed above.
- Similar to other scenarios, improvements in Scenario 2 are larger during the PM peak hour compared to the AM peak since PM peak is more critical with more network congestion.


## SCENARIO 3: RECONFIGURATION OF THE MAIN INTERCHANGE

Figure D-9: Scenario 3


## Scenario 3 Description

Scenario 3 adds to Scenario 2 by reconfiguring the main interchange of Broad Street, Wilson Boulevard, Route 50 Service Roads, Route 7, and Sleepy Hollow Road so that Wilson Boulevard and Sleepy Hollow Road directly connect, as noted in the Comprehensive Plan. As noted in Figure D-9, Scenario 3 consists of:

- Scenario 1 and 2 improvements
- One central signalized intersection that joins:
- Route 7 to Broad Street, which is slightly realigned.
- Sleepy Hollow Road to the west connecting to Wilson Boulevard on the east.
- A fifth leg of the central intersection accommodating two lanes of traffic to the east leg of the eastbound Route 50 frontage road.
- A right-in, right-out intersection between Sleepy Hollow Road and southbound Route 7, similar to existing conditions.
- Two-way cycle tracks on both sides of the south leg of Route 7, buffered from motor vehicle traffic.
- Sidewalks on both sides of all roads.
- Landscape panels in selected areas.


## Vehicular Operations

This section discusses peak hour vehicular operations for Scenario 3 using the results obtained from VISSIM.
Figure D-10 shows travel volumes, while Figure D-11 and Table D-8 show intersection vehicle delay and LOS results for Scenario 3 during the AM and PM peak hours.

Figure D-10: Peak Hour Volume by Movement for Scenario 3 AM and PM
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Figure D-11: LOS for Scenario 3


Table D-8: LOS and Delay for Scenario 3 AM and PM Peak Hours

| Intersection | Traffic Control | 2030 Scenario 3 AM |  | 2030 Scenario 3 PM |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay |
| \#1: S Cherry Street/Arlington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | C | 22.8 | E | 67.9 |
| \#2: S Cherry Street/Hillwood Avenue | Signalized | B | 16.3 | B | 16.5 |
| \#3: S Cherry Street/E. Broad Street (VA 7) | Signalized | C | 26.3 | B | 18.6 |
| \#6: South Street \& S. Roosevelt Street/Hillwood Avenue | Signalized | C | 20.2 | D | 50.7 |
| \#7: N Roosevelt Street/E. Broad Street (VA 7) | Signalized | C | 21.7 | E | 78.3 |
| \#8: Sleepy Hollow Road/Aspen Lane | Unsignalized | A | 3.9 | A | 4.7 |
| \#9: Sleepy Hollow Road/Castle Place | Signalized | D | 37.9 | E | 77.7 |
| \#10: Castle Road \&Thorne Road/Leesburg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized | D | 43.3 | F | 98.4 |
| \#11: Seven Corners Center/Leesburg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized | C | 28.7 | E | 60.6 |
| \#12: Patrick Henry Drive/Leesburg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized | E | 71.0 | E | 69.0 |
| \#13: Arlington Boulevard service road/Arlington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | B | 11.4 | D | 42.3 |
| \#14: Patrick Henry Drive/Arlington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | D | 39.7 | E | 70.6 |
| \#15: John Marshall Drive \& Willston Drive | Signalized | C | 20.2 | F | 120.9 |
| \#16: John Marshall Drive \& N. McKinley Road/Wilson Boulevard | Signalized | C | 29.7 | F | 101.1 |
| \#17: Peyton Randolph Drive/Wilson Boulevard | Signalized | C | 33.4 | D | 45.3 |
| \#18: Roosevelt Boulevard/Wilson Boulevard | Signalized | D | 47.3 | F | 119.7 |
| \#19: Roosevelt Boulevard/N. Roosevelt Street | Signalized | B | 13.1 | E | 62.7 |
| \#25: Ring Rd/US 50 EB Off Ramp | Signalized | D | 43.4 | F | 119.4 |
| \#26: Ring Rd/US 50 WB On Ramp | Signalized | B | 11.4 | C | 23.3 |
| \#27: Ring Rd/E. Broad Street (VA 7) | Signalized | C | 29.3 | D | 21.8 |
| \#28: Ring Rd/US 50 EB On Ramp | Signalized | B | 16.3 | C | 23.1 |
| \#29: Ring Rd/Hillwood Ave | Signalized | C | 28.9 | F | 125.3 |
| \#30: Ring Rd/US 50 WB Off Ramp | Signalized | C | 22.5 | F | 445.1 |
| \#21b: Seven Corners Interchange Intersection | Signalized | D | 39.4 | F | 105.4 |

Key findings from the operational results are summarized below.

- During the PM peak hour, most intersections around the main intersection (i.e., reconfigured interchange) experience very high delays and operate at LOS E or LOS F. This is attributed to the insufficient intersection capacity at the main intersection after the reconfiguration, causing queue spillback at adjacent intersections and high intersection delays.
- During the AM peak hour, except for one intersection, all intersections operate at LOS D or better. This can be explained by the fact that the AM peak hour is not as critical from a capacity perspective. As a result, the main intersection (and intersections around) does not experience high delays compared to the PM peak hour.


## Nełwork Performance

Network performance results are displayed in TableError! Reference source not found. D-9 for Scenario 3. 2030 baseline, 2030 Scenario 1, and 2030 Scenario 2 results are also included for comparison.

Table D-9: Network Performance for 2030 Baseline, Scenario 1, Scenario 2, and Scenario 3 AM and PM Peak Hours

| Performance Measure | 2030 Baseline Conditions |  | 2030 Scenario 1 |  | 2030 Scenario 2 |  | 2030 Scenario 3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AM | PM | AM | PM | AM | PM | AM | PM |
| Average Delay (seconds) | 157.1 | 260.2 | 144.0 | 210.3 | 134.7 | 177.9 | 142.7 | 308.6 |
| Vehicle Arrival (vehicles) | 20,455 | 20,727 | 20,337 | 21,083 | 20,621 | 21,925 | 20,546 | 19,765 |
| Latent Demand (vehicles) | 60 | 561 | 47 | 248 | 38 | 236 | 33 | 1,094 |

Key findings from the network performance results are presented below:

- The extent of congestion during the PM peak hour can be better observed in the network performance results. Compared to the previous scenarios, average vehicle network delay increased significantly from 210 seconds in Scenario 1 and 178 seconds in Scenario 2 to approximately 310 seconds in Scenario 3. Additionally, network throughput also decreased substantially as a result of extended congestion in the network, resulting in over 1,000 unserved vehicles (latent demand) in the network.
- AM peak hour network performance results are similar to the intersection delay and LOS results. Scenario 3 performs similarly to the previous scenarios in the AM peak hour with comparable average network delay and unserved vehicles (latent demand).


## SCENARIO 4: THE RING ROAD (WEST)

Figure D-12: Scenario 4


## Scenario 4 Description

Scenario 4 responds to the request by the City of Falls Church to adjust the terminus of the Ring Road. Scenario 4 includes a shortened version of the Ring Road noted in Scenario 1 such that the Ring Road extends from Route 50 on the west to Route 7 on the south. As noted in Figure D-12, Scenario 4 consists of:

- Two motor vehicle travel lanes in each direction (except for the short segment between the Route 50 service roads, where one lane would be provided in the southbound direction)
- A bridge over the west leg of Route 50
- A left-turn lane at each signalized intersection approach
- A two-way cycle track on the inner loop, buffered from motor vehicle traffic.
- Sidewalks and landscape panels on both sides
- Three new traffic signals, at the two Route 50 Service Roads and at Sleepy Hollow Road
- Incorporation of Castle Place and a portion of Castle Road into the Ring Road
- Reconfiguration of the existing signal at Route 7 and Thorne Road to accommodate the east end of this Ring Road segment

The existing central intersection of Seven Corners, linking Route 7, the Route 50 service roads, Wilson Boulevard, and Sleepy Hollow Road, would remain as they are today in Scenario 4.

## Vehicular Operations

This section discusses peak hour vehicular operations for Scenario 4 using the results obtained from VISSIM.
Figure D-13 shows travel volumes, while Figure D-14 and Table D-10 show intersection vehicle delay and LOS results for Scenario 3 during the AM and PM peak hours.

Figure D-13: Peak Hour Volume by Movement for Scenario 3 AM and PM
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Figure D-14: LOS for Scenario 4


Table D-10: LOS and Delay for Scenario 4 AM and PM Peak Hours

| Intersection | Traffic Control | 2030 Scenario 4 AM |  | 2030 Scenario 4 PM |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay |
| \#1: S. Cherry Street/Arlington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | C | 27.2 | C | 34.6 |
| \#2: S. Cherry Street/Hillwood Avenue | Signalized | C | 25.0 | B | 15.8 |
| \#3: S. Cherry Street/E. Broad Street (VA 7) | Signalized | D | 35.6 | C | 21.2 |
| \#6: South Street \& S. Roosevelt Street/Hillwood Avenue | Signalized | E | 65.2 | F | 105.2 |
| \#7: N. Roosevelt Street/E. Broad Street (VA 7) | Signalized | D | 46.9 | E | 71.7 |
| \#8: Sleepy Hollow Road/Aspen Lane | Unsignalized | A | 2.7 | A | 4.5 |
| \#9: Sleepy Hollow Road/Castle Place | Signalized | C | 27.5 | C | 29.6 |
| \#10: Castle Road \& Thorne Road/Leesburg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized | D | 47.0 | F | 82.2 |
| \#11: Seven Corners Center/Leesburg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized | D | 37.7 | D | 52.6 |
| \#12: Patrick Henry Drive/Leesburg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized | E | 66.7 | E | 74.4 |
| \#13: Arlington Boulevard service road/Arlington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | B | 12.2 | B | 19.2 |
| \#14: Patrick Henry Drive/Arlington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | E | 65.6 | F | 115.7 |
| \#15: John Marshall Drive \& Willston Drive | Signalized | D | 36.6 | B | 16.0 |
| \#16: John Marshall Drive \& N. McKinley Road/Wilson Boulevard | Signalized | C | 32.4 | C | 27.9 |
| \#17: Peyton Randolph Drive/Wilson Boulevard | Signalized | C | 20.1 | B | 17.9 |
| \#18: Roosevelt Boulevard/Wilson Boulevard | Signalized | C | 30.1 | C | 31.1 |
| \#19: Roosevelt Boulevard/N. Roosevelt Street | Signalized | B | 14.4 | B | 11.9 |
| \#20: Arlington Blvd WB/Wilson Blvd | Signalized | B | 12.9 | E | 58.5 |
| \#21a: Sleepy Hollow Rd/Wilson Blvd/Broad St/Arlington Blvd EB | Signalized | D | 36.7 | E | 63.5 |
| \#22: Broad St WB/Arlington Blvd WB | Signalized | A | 6.8 | D | 35.8 |
| \#23: Broad St EB/Arlington Blvd WB | Signalized | A | 4.8 | B | 19.1 |
| \#25: Ring Rd/US 50 EB Off Ramp | Signalized | D | 38.8 | D | 47.0 |
| \#26: Ring Rd/US 50 WB On Ramp | Signalized | A | 8.9 | B | 16.5 |
| \#21b: Seven Corners Interchange (Intersection) | Signalized | D | 45.5 | F | 121.1 |

Results show that most of the congestion occurs during the PM peak hour with four intersections operating at LOS F. Key findings from the operational results are summarized below:

- Ring Road improves vehicle delay somewhat at the main intersection (Seven Corner Interchange, which experiences over 140 seconds of vehicle delay in the 2030 Baseline scenario) and considerably at adjacent Route 50 ramp intersections compared to the existing and 2030 baseline conditions. However, this comes at the cost of increased delay at Castle Road and Thorne Road at Leesburg Pike (VA 7) intersection, which operates at LOS F during the PM peak hour (with an average vehicle delay of 82 seconds). High vehicle delay at this location is primarily attributed to the attraction of new vehicles that are travelling to/from Leesburg Pike (VA 7) to Route 50.
- South Street and South Roosevelt Street at Hillwood Avenue experiences over 100 seconds of vehicle delay in the PM peak hour. Vehicle delay at this location is due to the queue spillback from the Roosevelt Street and Broad Street intersection, which experience major bottlenecks since Hillwood Avenue does not connect to Broad Street (VA 7) anymore in this scenario. This lack of connection from Hillwood Avenue to Broad Street (VA 7) increases vehicle volumes considerably between these two intersections, leading to long delays, especially in the PM peak hour.
- Patrick Henry Drive and Arlington Boulevard (US 50) is another intersection that operates at LOS F during the PM peak hour. Congestion at this location is due to the high volumes and not related to the effect of the Ring Road. Note that this intersection operated with similar conditions in the baseline scenario.
- AM peak hour operates generally well with only three intersections operating at LOS E. This is because the study intersections have extra capacity during the AM peak hour compared to the PM peak hour.


## Nełwork Performance

Network performance results are displayed in Table D-11 for Scenario 4. 2030 baseline results are also included for comparison.

Table D-11: Network Performance for 2030 Baseline and Scenario 4 AM and PM Peak Hours

| Performance Measure | 2030 Baseline Conditions | 2030 Scenario 4 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AM | PM | AM | PM |
| Average Delay (seconds) | 157.1 | 260.2 | 138.9 | 205.2 |
| Vehicle Arrival (vehicles) | 20,455 | 20,727 | 20,435 | 21,624 |
| Latent Demand (vehicles) | 60 | 561 | 35 | 365 |

Key findings from the network results are summarized below.

- Compared to the 2030 Baseline Conditions, Scenario 4 reduces average network delay considerably during both peak hours. More reduction is observed in the PM peak hour compared to the AM peak hour.
- Network throughput also increases and latent demand decreases in Scenario 4 compared to the 2030 Baseline Conditions. Improvements are more evident in the PM peak hour since it experiences more congestion in the 2030 Baseline Conditions and, therefore, benefits more from the proposed Ring Road.


## Transit Conditions

No changes to transit are expected with the implementation of Scenario 4.

## Bicycle Conditions

A two-way cycle track will be provided on the inner loop of this Ring Road segment. Because there are no existing or planned bicycle facilities on the roadways that intersect Ring Road (West), the design process will need to identify bicycle connections at either end of the cycle track.

## Pedestrian Conditions

Eight-foot sidewalks with landscape panels will be provided on both sides of Ring Road (West). These will tie into existing sidewalks along the Route 50 frontage roads, Sleepy Hollow Road, and Route 7. However, minimal changes in crossing times at other area intersections are expected.

## SCENARIO 4B: THE RING ROAD (WEST) - TWO LANE

Figure D-15: Scenario 4B
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## Scenario 4B Description

Scenario 4B responds to the resident request to consider a two-lane Ring Road, where only one lane in each direction is constructed. Scenario 4B includes the same extents of Ring Road as Scenario 4. Scenario 4 B is not consistent with the Comprehensive Plan vision for the transportation network, as it includes one lane in each direction rather than two lanes in each direction. Further, no other scenario considers a network where Ring Road has one lane in each direction. As noted in Figure D-15, Scenario 4 consists of:

- One motor vehicle travel lane in each direction.
- A bridge over the west leg of Route 50.
- A left-turn lane at each signalized intersection approach.
- A two-way cycle track on the northeast side, buffered from motor vehicle traffic.
- Sidewalks and landscape panels on both sides.
- Three new traffic signals (at the two Route 50 service roads and at Sleepy Hollow Road).
- Incorporation of Castle Place and a portion of Castle Road into Ring Road.
- Reconfiguration of the existing signal at Route 7 and Thorne Road to accommodate the east end of this Ring Road segment.

The existing central intersection of Seven Corners that links Route 7, the Route 50 service roads, Wilson
Boulevard, and Sleepy Hollow Road would remain as they are today in Phase 1.

## Vehicular Operations

This section discusses peak hour vehicular operations for Scenario 4B using the results obtained from VISSIM.
Figure D-16 shows travel volumes, while Figure D-17 and Table D-12 show intersection vehicle delay and LOS results for Scenario 3 during the AM and PM peak hours.

Figure D-16: Peak Hour Volume by Movement for Scenario 4B AM and PM
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Figure D-17: LOS for Scenario 4B


Table D-12: LOS and Delay for Scenario 4B AM and PM Peak Hours

| Intersection | Traffic Control | 2030 Scenario 4B AM |  | 2030 Scenario 4B PM |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay |
| \#1: S Cherry Street/Arlington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | C | 27.1 | C | 33.6 |
| \#2: S Cherry Street/Hillwood Avenue | Signalized | C | 27.9 | B | 18.2 |
| \#3: S Cherry Street/E. Broad Street (VA 7) | Signalized | C | 34.8 | C | 25.2 |
| \#6: South Street \& S. Roosevelt Street/Hillwood Avenue | Signalized | C | 28.4 | F | 106.9 |
| \#7: N Roosevelt Street/E. Broad Street (VA 7) | Signalized | D | 37.7 | F | 91.3 |
| \#8: Sleepy Hollow Road/Aspen Lane | Unsignalized | A | 2.8 | A | 5.3 |
| \#9: Sleepy Hollow Road/Castle Place | Signalized | C | 31.9 | A | 5.3 |
| \#10: Castle Road \&Thorne Road/Leesburg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized | D | 38.4 | F | 143.0 |
| \#11: Seven Corners Center/Leesburg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized | C | 29.3 | F | 83.3 |
| \#12: Patrick Henry Drive/Leesburg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized | E | 79.7 | F | 93.2 |
| \#13: Arlington Boulevard service road/Arlington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | B | 12.7 | A | 8.3 |
| \#14: Patrick Henry Drive/Arlington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | E | 59.7 | E | 71.0 |
| \#15: John Marshall Drive/Patrick Henry Drive \& Willston Drive | Signalized | C | 21.8 | B | 17.2 |
| \#16: John Marshall Drive \& N. McKinley Road/Wilson Boulevard | Signalized | C | 33.1 | C | 29.6 |
| \#17: Peyton Randolph Drive/Wilson Boulevard | Signalized | B | 19.8 | B | 18.0 |
| \#18: Roosevelt Boulevard/Wilson Boulevard | Signalized | C | 31.1 | D | 39.9 |
| \#19: Roosevelt Boulevard/N. Roosevelt Street | Signalized | B | 14.3 | B | 11.7 |
| \#20: Arlington Blvd WB/Wilson Blvd | Signalized | B | 12.5 | E | 62.9 |
| \#21a: Sleepy Hollow Rd/Wilson Blvd/Broad St/Arlington Blvd EB | Signalized | C | 32.7 | E | 66.6 |
| \#22: Broad St WB/Arlington Blvd WB | Signalized | A | 4.7 | C | 23.9 |
| \#23: Broad St EB/Arlington Blvd WB | Signalized | A | 4.8 | A | 6.5 |
| \#25: Ring Rd/US 50 EB Off Ramp | Signalized | D | 41.3 | F | 91.0 |
| \#26: Ring Rd/US 50 WB On Ramp | Signalized | A | 8.2 | B | 12.5 |
| \#21b: Seven Corners Interchange | Signalized | D | 42.8 | F | 119.0 |

Results show that most of the congestion occurs during the PM peak hour, with seven intersections operating at LOS F. Key findings from the operational results are summarized below:

- Ring Road conditions at Sleepy Hollow Road as well as the main interchange improve compared to Scenario 4. In addition, AM peak hour conditions are similar, if not slightly improved, compared to Scenario 4.
- However, delay increases at intersections where vehicles are attempting to enter Ring Road, as demand into Ring Road is limited, which leads to delay. PM peak period delay at Castle Road and Route 7 as well as the Route 50 Eastbound service road and Ring Road increases significantly, both of which experience LOS $F$.
- PM peak period delay getting to these intersections is also increased, as delay seems to be cascading through the network. Intersections at Seven Corners Center and Route 7, Patrick Henry Drive and Route 7 , and Roosevelt Street and Broad Street all experience considerably more PM peak period delay.


## Network Performance

Network performance results are displayed in Table D-13 for Scenario 4B. 2030 Baseline and Scenario 4 results are also included for comparison.

Table D-13: Network Performance for 2030 Baseline, Scenario 4, and Scenario 4B AM and PM Peak Hours

| Performance Measure | 2030 Baseline Conditions |  | 2030 Scenario 4 |  | 2030 Scenario 4B |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AM | PM | AM | PM | AM | PM |
| Average Delay (seconds) | 157.1 | 260.2 | 138.9 | 205.2 | 134.0 | 224.1 |
| Vehicle Arrival (vehicles) | 20,455 | 20,727 | 20,435 | 21,624 | 20,522 | 20,884 |
| Latent Demand (vehicles) | 60 | 561 | 35 | 365 | 5 | 764 |

Key findings from the network results are summarized below:

- Compared to the 2030 Baseline Conditions, Scenario 4 B reduces average network delay during both peak hours. More reduction is observed in the PM peak hour compared to the AM peak hour.
- Compared to Scenario 4, Scenario 4B has slightly improved AM peak hour conditions but worsened PM peak hour conditions.


## Transit Conditions

No changes to transit are expected with the implementation of Scenario 4B.

## Bicycle Conditions

A two-way cycle track will be provided on the inner loop of this Ring Road segment. Because there are no existing or planned bicycle facilities on the roadways that intersect Ring Road (West), the design process will need to identify bicycle connections at either end of the cycle track.

## Pedestrian Conditions

Eight-foot sidewalks with landscape panels will be provided on both sides of Ring Road (West). These will tie into existing sidewalks along the Route 50 frontage roads, Sleepy Hollow Road, and Route 7. However, minimal changes in crossing times at other area intersections are expected.

## SCENARIO 5: THE RING ROAD (SOUTH)

Figure D-18: Scenario 5


## Scenario 5 Description

Scenario 5 adds to Scenario 4 and extends Ring Road from Route 7 to the south over to Route 50 on the east. As noted in Figure D-18, Scenario 5 consists of:

- Scenario 4 improvements
- Two motor vehicle travel lanes in each direction.
- A bridge over the east leg of Route 50 and adjusted service roads to connect to Route 50 .
- $\quad$ Single or double left-turn lanes at major intersection approaches, as shown in Figure D-18.
- A two-way cycle track on the northwest side, buffered from motor vehicle traffic.
- Sidewalks and landscape panels on both sides.
- Two new traffic signals at each Route 50 service road.
- A new unsignalized intersection at Ring Road (South) and Seven Corners Center.
- Exclusive transit lanes.
- Relocated Transit Center to the northwest of the Ring Road (South) along the eastbound Route 50 service road.


## Vehicular Operations

This section discusses peak hour vehicular operations for Scenario 5 using the results obtained from VISSIM.
Figure D-19 shows travel volumes, while Figure D-20 and Table D-14 show intersection vehicle delay and LOS results for Scenario 3 during the AM and PM peak hours.

Figure D-19: Peak Hour Volume by Movement for Scenario 5 AM and PM



2030 Scenario 5 Intersection Volumes
AM Peak (PM Peak)


NOTE: Intersections $\mathbf{4}$ and 5 were included in the Existing therefore not included in this diagram.

2030 Scenario 5 Intersection Volumes AM Peak (PM Peak)

Figure D-20: LOS for Scenario 5


Table D-14: LOS and Delay for Scenario 5 AM and PM Peak Hours

| Intersection | Traffic Control | 2030 Scenario 5 AM |  | 2030 Scenario 5 PM |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay |
| \#1: S Cherry Street/Arlington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | C | 21.7 | C | 34.8 |
| \#2: S Cherry Street/Hillwood Avenue | Signalized | B | 18.6 | B | 14.5 |
| \#3: S Cherry Street/E. Broad Street (VA 7) | Signalized | C | 28.5 | B | 18.3 |
| \#6: South Street \& S. Roosevelt Street/Hillwood Avenue | Signalized | E | 60.4 | F | 92.4 |
| \#7: N Roosevelt Street/E. Broad Street (VA 7) | Signalized | D | 47.1 | E | 60.8 |
| \#8: Sleepy Hollow Road/Aspen Lane | Unsignalized | A | 2.7 | A | 4.7 |
| \#9: Sleepy Hollow Road/Castle Place | Signalized | C | 24.7 | C | 29.1 |
| \#10: Castle Road \& Thorne Road/Leesburg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized | D | 49.2 | E | 70.9 |
| \#11: Seven Corners Center/Leesburg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized | C | 30.5 | D | 43.5 |
| \#12: Patrick Henry Drive/Leesburg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized | E | 64.4 | E | 56.0 |
| \#13: Arlington Boulevard service road/Arlington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | B | 12.9 | C | 21.9 |
| \#14: Patrick Henry Drive/Arlington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | E | 58.4 | E | 71.2 |
| \#15: John Marshall Drive \& Willston Drive | Signalized | B | 19.8 | B | 15.8 |
| \#16: John Marshall Drive \& N. McKinley Road/Wilson Boulevard | Signalized | C | 30.6 | C | 27.9 |
| \#17: Peyton Randolph Drive/Wilson Boulevard | Signalized | C | 28.8 | B | 18.3 |
| \#18: Roosevelt Boulevard/Wilson Boulevard | Signalized | D | 45.0 | C | 33.1 |
| \#19: Roosevelt Boulevard/N. Roosevelt Street | Signalized | B | 11.9 | B | 11.1 |
| \#20: Arlington Blvd WB/Wilson Blvd | Signalized | A | 3.1 | A | 5.8 |
| \#21a: Sleepy Hollow Rd/Wilson Blvd/Broad St/Arlington Blvd EB | Signalized | C | 29.7 | C | 34.0 |
| \#22: Broad St WB/Arlington Blvd WB | Signalized | A | 6.0 | B | 17.4 |
| \#23: Broad St EB/Arlington Blvd WB | Signalized | A | 4.0 | B | 12.3 |
| \#25: Ring Rd/US 50 EB Off Ramp | Signalized | E | 55.9 | E | 65.0 |
| \#26: Ring Rd/US 50 WB On Ramp | Signalized | B | 12.7 | C | 25.9 |
| \#28: Ring Rd/US 50 EB On Ramp from Interchange | Signalized | B | 19.3 | B | 16.9 |
| \#30: US 50 WB Off Ramp to Wilson/Ring Rd | Signalized | D | 54.9 | E | 55.6 |
| \#21b: Seven Corners Interchange (Intersection) | Signalized | C | 34.1 | D | 53.8 |

Key findings from the operational results are summarized below:

- The extension of Ring Road towards the Route 50 westbound off-ramp improves vehicular conditions even further compared to Scenario 4, especially in the PM peak hour. With this extension, only one intersection operates with LOS F during the PM peak hour in Scenario 5 compared to four intersections in Scenario 4. Additionally, vehicle delay during the PM peak hour at the main Seven Corners interchange is reduced from over 120 seconds in Scenario 4 to approximately 54 seconds in Scenario 5.
- Additionally, the most notable vehicle delay reductions in Scenario 5 during the PM peak hour occur at the intersections of Castle Road and Thorne Road at Leesburg Pike (VA 7) and Patrick Henry Drive and Arlington Boulevard (US 50). At the intersection of Castle Road and Thorne Road at Leesburg Pike (VA 7), the extension of Ring Road helps better distribution of traffic for vehicles travelling to/from Arlington Boulevard (Route 50) to/from Sleepy Hollow Road, alleviating some of the congestion at this intersection. At the intersection of Patrick Henry Drive and Arlington Boulevard (US 50), the extension of Ring Road similarly alleviates bottleneck to a certain extent, particularly by diverting some of the westbound left-turn traffic travelling from Arlington Boulevard (Route 50) to Patrick Henry Drive to Ring Road extension. This, in turn, helps increase intersection capacity and results in considerable reduction in vehicle delays.
- South Street and South Roosevelt Street at Hillwood Avenue intersections continue to operate at LOS F in the PM peak hour, due to the lack of connection from Hillwood Avenue to Broad Street, as previously discussed. However, intersection delay in the PM peak hour reduced in Scenario 5 to 92 seconds compared to the intersection delay of 105 seconds in Scenario 4.
- Similar to Scenario 4, none of the intersections operate at LOS F during the AM peak hour.


## Network performance

Network performance results are displayed in Table D-15 for Scenario 5. 2030 Baseline and 2030 Scenario 4 results are also included for comparison.

Table D-15: Network Performance for 2030 Baseline, Scenario 4, and Scenario 5 AM and PM Peak Hours

| Performance Measure | 2030 Baseline Conditions |  | 2030 Scenario 4 |  | 2030 Scenario 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AM | PM | AM | PM | AM | PM |
| Average Delay (seconds) | 157.1 | 260.2 | 138.9 | 205.2 | 139.5 | 178.5 |
| Vehicle Arrival (vehicles) | 20,455 | 20,727 | 20,435 | 21,624 | 20,506 | 22,006 |
| Latent Demand (vehicles) | 60 | 561 | 35 | 365 | 45 | 188 |

Key findings from the network performance results are presented below:

- In the PM peak hour, the extension of Ring Road further improves network conditions and reduces average network delay from 205 seconds in Scenario 4 to approximately 180 seconds in Scenario 5. The operational improvements in Scenario 5 can also be observed from vehicle arrivals and latent demand, indicating Scenario 5 also increases network throughput. Operational improvements at the network level are even more pronounced in Scenario 5 compared to the 2030 Baseline Conditions.
- Compared to Scenario 4, Scenario 5 performs similarly during the AM peak hour with marginal changes in average network delay, vehicle arrivals, and latent demand.


## Transit Conditions

Transit lanes will be constructed along Ring Road (South) along with a new Seven Corners Transit Center on the eastbound Route 50 service road to the northwest of the current location. However, marginal transit improvements are expected as a result of these changes. The exclusive transit lanes are too short to offer any significant improvements to transit in this scenario. The relocated Transit Center is unlikely to lead to major changes in transit service.

## Bicycle Conditions

A two-way cycle track will be provided on the inner loop of Ring Road (South). Because there are no existing or planned bicycle facilities on the roadways that intersect Ring Road (South), except for the Ring Road (West) cycle track, the design process for this segment will need to identify bicycle connections at the northeast end of the cycle track.

## Pedestrian Conditions

Eight-foot sidewalks with landscape panels will be provided on both sides of Ring Road (South). These will tie into existing sidewalks along the Route 50 frontage roads, Route 7, and Seven Corners Center. However, minimal changes in crossing times at other area intersections are expected.

## SCENARIO 6: CENTRAL INTERCHANGE

Figure D-21: Scenario 6


## Scenario 6 Description

Scenario 6 adds to Scenario 4 by reconfiguring the main interchange of Broad Street, Wilson Boulevard, Route 50 service roads, Route 7, and Sleepy Hollow Road so that Wilson Boulevard and Route 50 service roads directly connect. As noted in Figure D-21, Scenario 6 consists of:

- Scenario 4 improvements
- One central signalized intersection that joins:
- Route 7 to Broad Street, which is slightly realigned.
- Route 50 service roads to the west connecting to Wilson Boulevard on the east.
- A fifth leg of the central intersection accommodating two lanes of traffic to the east leg of the eastbound Route 50 frontage road.
- A right-in, right-out intersection between Sleepy Hollow Road and southbound Route 7, similar to existing conditions.
- Two-way cycle tracks on both sides of the south leg of Route 7, buffered from motor vehicle traffic.
- Sidewalks on both sides of all roads.
- Landscape panels in selected areas.


## Vehicular Operations

This section discusses peak hour vehicular operations for Scenario 6 using the results obtained from VISSIM.
Figure D-22 shows travel volumes, while Figure D-23 and Table D-16 show intersection vehicle delay and LOS results for Scenario 6 during the AM and PM peak hours.

Figure D-22: Peak Hour Volume by Movement for Scenario 6 AM and PM


NOTE: Results for intersections 4 and 5 are not shown as the
methodology used to analyze unsignalized intersections is
methodology used to analyze unsignalized intersections is inappropriate for dosely spaced, unsignalized intersections between a high-volume, Imited-access facility with a low-volume side street
and frontage road.
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Figure D-23: LOS for Scenario 6


Table D-16: LOS and Delay for Scenario 6 AM and PM Peak Hours

| Intersection | Traffic Control | 2030 Scenario 6 AM |  | 2030 Scenario 6 PM |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay |
| \#1: S Cherry Street/Arlington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | B | 18.5 | D | 52.2 |
| \#2: S Cherry Street/Hillwood Avenue | Signalized | B | 18.3 | B | 18.8 |
| \#3: S Cherry Street/E. Broad Street (VA 7) | Signalized | C | 32.2 | C | 25.9 |
| \#6: South Street \& S. Roosevelt Street/Hillwood Avenue | Signalized | C | 26.1 | F | 158.5 |
| \#7: N Roosevelt Street/E. Broad Street (VA 7) | Signalized | C | 33.4 | F | 98.8 |
| \#8: Sleepy Hollow Road/Aspen Lane | Unsignalized | A | 2.9 | A | 9.0 |
| \#9: Sleepy Hollow Road/Castle Place | Signalized | C | 23.0 | D | 43.1 |
| \#10: Castle Road \& Thorne Road/Leesburg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized | D | 53.4 | F | 103.2 |
| \#11: Seven Corners Center/Leesburg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized | D | 38.6 | E | 67.4 |
| \#12: Patrick Henry Drive/Leesburg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized | F | 91.5 | F | 80.4 |
| \#13: Arlington Boulevard service road/Arlington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | B | 10.6 | D | 35.4 |
| \#14: Patrick Henry Drive/Arlington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | E | 64.2 | F | 120.1 |
| \#15: John Marshall Drive \& Willston Drive | Signalized | C | 22.4 | B | 16.4 |
| \#16: John Marshall Drive \& N. McKinley Road/Wilson Boulevard | Signalized | C | 30.2 | C | 30.3 |
| \#17: Peyton Randolph Drive/Wilson Boulevard | Signalized | C | 21.5 | C | 32.5 |
| \#18: Roosevelt Boulevard/Wilson Boulevard | Signalized | C | 33.8 | F | 81.3 |
| \#19: Roosevelt Boulevard/N. Roosevelt Street | Signalized | B | 12.9 | B | 19.2 |
| \#25: Ring Rd/US 50 EB Off Ramp | Signalized | C | 34.6 | F | 99.5 |
| \#26: Ring Rd/US 50 WB On Ramp | Signalized | A | 5.0 | B | 12.3 |
| \#21b: Seven Corners Interchange (Intersection) | Signalized | E | 56.7 | F | 128.1 |

Key findings from the operational results are summarized below:

- In the PM peak hour, most intersections experience large delays due to the insufficient capacity at a few intersections. Specifically, the main intersection (Wilson Boulevard/Broad Street (VA 7)/US 50 Eastbound off-ramp, formerly the Seven Corners interchange) and the intersection of Roosevelt Street and Broad Street (VA 7) have capacity shortfalls, resulting in very long delays at these intersections as well as upstream intersections due to queue spillbacks.
- Similar to the previous scenarios, the AM peak hour operates better than the PM peak hour, with lower vehicle delays. However, compared to the previous scenarios, Scenario 6 results in higher vehicle delays. Notably, the intersection of Patrick Henry Drive and Leesburg Pike (VA 7) operates at

LOS F because of increased network congestion and also because Ring Road does not provide access to the US 50 westbound off-ramp.

## Network performance

Network performance results are displayed in Table D-17 for Scenario 6. Results from the relevant previous scenarios, including the 2030 Baseline Conditions, are also included for comparison.

Table D-17: Network Performance for 2030 Baseline, Scenario 4, Scenario 5, and Scenario 6 AM and PM Peak Hours

| Performance <br> Measure | 2030 Baseline <br> Conditions |  | 2030 Scenario 4 |  | 2030 Scenario 5 |  | 2030 Scenario 6 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AM | PM | AM | PM | AM | PM | AM | PM |
| Average Delay <br> (seconds) | 157.1 | 260.2 | 138.9 | 205.2 | 139.5 | 178.5 | 143.1 | 255.7 |
| Vehicle Arrival <br> (vehicles) | 20,455 | 20,727 | 20,435 | 21,624 | 20,506 | 22,006 | 20,349 | 20,679 |
| Latent Demand <br> (vehicles) | 60 | 561 | 35 | 365 | 45 | 188 | 68 | 661 |

Key findings from the network performance results are presented below:

- Overall network congestion during the PM peak hour in Scenario 6 (which was also discussed earlier), can also be observed from the network performance measures. Average network delay and unserved vehicles (latent demand) during the PM peak hour in Scenario 6 are substantially higher than Scenario 4 and Scenario 5. Compared to the 2030 Baseline Conditions, results show that Scenario 6 operates almost the same and does not result in any improvements in the network.
- During the AM peak hour, Scenario 6 operates similarly to the other scenarios, with slight degradation in network delay and unserved vehicles.


## Transit Conditions

There will not be significant new bus infrastructure in the study area as part of the Central Interchange improvements. However, transit operations are likely to improve due to the improved vehicle connections provided by the reconfiguration of the Central Interchange.

## Bicycle Conditions

Two-way cycle tracks will be provided on both sides of Route 7 south of the interchange. These will tie into the Ring Road (West) cycle track. In addition, shared-use paths will be provided on Wilson Boulevard, Broad Street, and Sleepy Hollow Road. These improvements would create connections that enable bicyclists to move relatively easily across Seven Corners in a manner that was previously not possible. Detailed design of the Central Interchange will need to identify how bicycle facilities connect with other bicycle facilities in the area.

## Pedestrian Conditions

Sidewalks or shared-use paths will be provided on both sides of each of the roadways connecting to the Central Interchange. This includes Route 7, Broad Street, Wilson Boulevard, Sleepy Hollow Road, and the Route 50 service roads. While sidewalks exist along most of these links, they are typically minimal in size. These improvements would create connections that will enable pedestrians to move relatively easily across

Seven Corners in a manner that was previously not possible. The improvements would also significantly reduce the time it takes to cross the Central Interchange. Crossings of Route 7 or Broad Street that often require multiple signal cycles today will only require a single signal cycle with the reconstruction of the Central Interchange.

## Build-Out Future Phasing Analysis (Year 2045) <br> 2045 BASELINE: RING ROAD (SOUTH) PLUS CENTRAL INTERCHANGE

Figure D-24: 2045 Baseline


## 2045 Baseline Description

The 2045 Baseline combines the networks of 2030 Scenarios 5 and 6, as seen in Figure D-24, so that the 2045 Baseline includes the Central Interchange as well as Ring Road from Route 50 on the east to Route 50 on the west. The 2045 Baseline also includes improvement to transit as part of the Envision Route 7 BRT project,
which will include dedicated road space for bus operations in the Route 7 corridor between Tysons and the Mark Center.

## ROADWAY NETWORK ADJUSTMENTS

The roadway network for the 2045 Baseline conditions includes all changes anticipated by the Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments (MWCOG) as noted in regional planning documents and included in its regional travel demand model. However, some adjustments and clarifications to roadway facilities in the study were necessary. The adjustment for Route 50 assumed in the 2030 Baseline is assumed in the 2045 Baseline as well.

## TRANSIT NETWORK ADJUSTMENTS

The Envision Route 7 Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) project is anticipated in the corridor and is planned to shift vehicle travel lanes to exclusive transit use on Route 7 south of Seven Corners and along the Ring Road South and West segments. A BRT station is proposed at the Seven Corners Transit Center. Regional planning documents and the MWCOG travel demand model assume that Route 7 below Seven Corners will be widened from two to three lanes in each direction. However, Fairfax County has determined that this widening shall only accommodate the BRT service and not general traffic. As such, two general purpose travel lanes in each direction are assumed on Route 7 south of seven Corners.

Since the 2045 Baseline does not include the full Ring Road, the Envision Route 7 BRT corridor would most likely continue in an interim condition up Route 7 to Wilson Boulevard and then transition to Roosevelt Boulevard. The BRT service would then connect to the East Falls Church Metro station along Roosevelt Boulevard and Sycamore Street. At completion of all planned improvements, the Envision Route 7 corridor would most likely shift to Ring Road. An environmental process for the Envision Route 7 BRT effort will consider a variety of BRT alternatives and suggest adjustments as needed.

## BICYCLE NETWORK ADJUSTMENTS

The project team coordinated with the Fairfax County Department of Transportation (FCDOT) to identify any bicycle connections that would be built by 2045. Through this coordination, it was determined that there are no new bicycle connections planned to be completed before 2045 except those completed in early phases of Seven Corners improvements.

## PEDESTRIAN NETWORK ADJUSTMENTS

The project team coordinated with FCDOT to identify any pedestrian connections that would be built by 2045. Through this coordination, it was determined that there are no new pedestrian connections planned to be completed before 2045 except those completed in early phases of Seven Corners improvements.

## Vehicular Operations

This section discusses peak hour vehicular operations for 2045 Baseline using the results obtained from VISSIM. Figure D-25 shows travel volumes, while Figure D-26 and Table D-18 show intersection vehicle delay and level of service (LOS) results for the 2045 Baseline Scenario during the AM and PM peak hours.

Figure D-25: Peak Hour Volume by Movement for 2045 Baseline AM and PM
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Figure D-26: LOS for 2045 Baseline


Table D-18: LOS and Delay for 2045 Baseline AM and PM Peak Hours

| Intersection | Traffic Control | 2045 Baseline AM |  | 2045 Baseline PM |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay |
| \#1: S Cherry Street/Arlington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | C | 22.4 | C | 31.3 |
| \#2: S Cherry Street/Hillwood Avenue | Signalized | B | 18.5 | B | 19.2 |
| \#3: S Cherry Street/E. Broad Street (VA 7) | Signalized | C | 31.5 | C | 23.1 |
| \#6: South Street \& S. Roosevelt Street/Hillwood Avenue | Signalized | D | 40.9 | F | 155.7 |
| \#7: N Roosevelt Street/E. Broad Street (VA 7) | Signalized | D | 51.0 | E | 56.8 |
| \#8: Sleepy Hollow Road/Aspen Lane | Unsignalized | A | 9.1 | B | 13.3 |
| \#9: Sleepy Hollow Road/Castle Place | Signalized | C | 25.9 | C | 33.4 |
| \#10: Castle Road \& Thorne Road/Leesburg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized | D | 42.6 | F | 108.1 |
| \#11: Seven Corners Center/Leesburg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized | E | 64.1 | F | 88.9 |
| \#12: Patrick Henry Drive/Leesburg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized | F | 99.8 | F | 107.7 |
| \#13: Arlington Boulevard service road/Arlington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | C | 23.5 | D | 48.8 |
| \#14: Patrick Henry Drive/Arlington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | E | 56.9 | F | 116.0 |
| \#15: John Marshall Drive \& Willston Drive | Signalized | B | 15.5 | D | 50.6 |
| \#16: John Marshall Drive \& N. McKinley Road/Wilson Boulevard | Signalized | D | 35.4 | D | 45.1 |
| \#17: Peyton Randolph Drive/Wilson Boulevard | Signalized | D | 50.8 | E | 68.7 |
| \#18: Roosevelt Boulevard/Wilson Boulevard | Signalized | D | 38.0 | F | 81.8 |
| \#19: Roosevelt Boulevard/N. Roosevelt Street | Signalized | B | 15.4 | B | 15.1 |
| \#25: Ring Rd/US 50 EB Off Ramp | Signalized | C | 34.0 | E | 69.9 |
| \#26: Ring Rd/US 50 WB On Ramp | Signalized | A | 8.1 | B | 10.5 |
| \#28: Ring Rd/US 50 WB Off-Ramp | Signalized | A | 5.8 | C | 27.2 |
| \#30: Ring Rd/US 50 EB On-Ramp | Signalized | B | 19.3 | C | 28.2 |
| \#21b: Seven Corners Interchange (Intersection) | Signalized | D | 51.0 | E | 78.8 |

Key findings from the operational results are summarized below.

- During the PM peak hour, three neighboring intersections on Route 7 southeast of Ring Road operate with LOS F (i.e., the intersections of Castle Road and Thorne Road/Leesburg Pike (VA 7), Seven Corners Center/Leesburg Pike (VA 7), and Patrick Henry Drive/Leesburg Pike (VA 7)). High vehicle delay at these three intersections is mainly due the reduction of the through lanes to provide an exclusive bus lane for the future Route 7 BRT, but it is also due to the capacity limitations and queue
spillback that originates from the main intersection (i.e., Wilson Boulevard/Broad Street (VA 7)/US 50 Eastbound off-ramp intersection).
- Similar to the 2030 scenarios, other intersections that experience high vehicle delays during the PM peak hour are South Street and $S$ Roosevelt Street/Hillwood Avenue and Patrick Henry Drive/Arlington Boulevard (US 50) intersections. Additionally, the intersection of Roosevelt Boulevard and Wilson Boulevard also operates at LOS F, with an intersection delay of 82 seconds. High delay at this intersection is due to the westbound queue spillback that originates from the main intersection (i.e., Wilson Boulevard/Broad Street (VA 7)/US 50 Eastbound off-ramp intersection), which also experiences 79 seconds of intersection delay.
- During the AM peak hour, one noteworthy change compared to the 2030 scenarios is the vehicle delay at the intersection of Patrick Henry Drive/Leesburg Pike (VA 7). In the 2045 Baseline Scenario, this intersection operates at LOS F, with approximately 100 seconds of intersection delay. High delay at this intersection are due to the lane repurposing for the future Route 7 BRT, which causes very high delays especially in the northwest direction on Broad Street (VA-7).


## Network performance

Network performance results are displayed in Table D-19 for the 2045 Baseline Scenario. Results from the 2030 Baseline as well as 2030 Scenario 5 are also included for comparison, as these two scenarios lay the foundation for the 2045 Baseline Scenario.

Table D-19: Network Performance for 2030 Baseline, Scenario 5, and 2045 Baseline AM and PM Peak Hours

| Performance Measure | 2030 Baseline |  | 2030 Scenario 5 |  | 2045 Baseline Scenario |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AM | PM | AM | PM | AM | PM |
| Average Delay <br> (seconds) | 157.1 | 260.2 | 139.5 | 178.5 | 184.0 | 237.8 |
| Vehicle Arrival <br> (vehicles) | 20,455 | 20,727 | 20,506 | 22,006 | 20,858 | 21,725 |
| Latent Demand <br> (vehicles) | 60 | 561 | 45 | 188 | 533 | 597 |

Key findings from the network performance results are presented below.

- Compared to the previous scenarios, the 2045 Baseline Scenario results in higher average network delay both during the AM and PM peak hours. As previously discussed, the increase in average delay is attributed to the reduction in lane capacity on Broad Street (VA-7) to accommodate exclusive bus lanes for the proposed BRT on the corridor.
- Unserved vehicles (i.e., latent demand) also increased in the network compared to the 2030 Scenario 1 and 2030 Scenario 2 during both peak hours. This is because network throughput decreased as a result of increased congestion, and, therefore, fewer vehicles were served. The increase in latent demand is more pronounced during the morning peak since the 2030 scenarios did not experience much congestion in the AM peak hour.


## Transit Conditions

The Envision Route 7 BRT project will likely run additional BRT service through the study area. However, there will not be significant new bus infrastructure in the study area in the 2045 Baseline Conditions. The BRT is most likely to share lanes with vehicle travel on Route 7 and Wilson Boulevard to make the connection to Roosevelt Boulevard from Route 7 in the south until the full Ring Road is completed. Despite sharing lanes with vehicle travel, transit operations are likely to improve due to the improved vehicle connections enabled through the reconfiguration of the Central Interchange. It should be noted that the Envision Route

7 BRT environmental process may consider additional changes not envisioned with the Seven Corners Phasing Study.

## Bicycle Conditions

Two-way cycle tracks will be provided on both sides of Route 7 south of the interchange. These will tie into the Ring Road (West) cycle track. In addition, shared-use paths will be provided on Wilson Boulevard, Broad Street, and Sleepy Hollow Road. These improvements would create connections that will enable bicyclists to more easily move across Seven Corners in a manner that was previously not possible. Detailed design of the Central Interchange will need to identify how bicycle facilities connect with other bicycle facilities in the area.

## Pedestrian Conditions

Sidewalks or shared-use paths will be provided on both sides of each of the roadways connecting to the Central Interchange. This includes Route 7, Broad Street, Wilson Boulevard, Sleepy Hollow Road, and the Route 50 service roads. While sidewalks exist along most of these links, they are typically minimal in size. These improvements would create connections that will enable pedestrians to move across Seven Corners relatively easily in a manner that was previously not possible. The improvements would also significantly reduce the time it takes to cross the Central Interchange. Crossings of Route 7 or Broad Street that often require multiple signal cycles today will only require a single signal cycle with the reconstruction of the Central Interchange.

## 2045 SCENARIO 1: THE RING ROAD (EAST)

Figure D-27: 2045 Scenario 1


## 2045 Build Scenario 1 Description

The remaining element in the Comprehensive Plan network is Ring Road from Route 50 on the east to the existing signalized intersection of Wilson Boulevard and Roosevelt Boulevard. Figure D-27 shows this segment, which includes:

- 2045 Baseline scenario improvements
- Two general purpose motor vehicle travel lanes in each direction.
- One exclusive bus rapid transit (BRT) lane in each direction, as described below.
- Turn lanes at major intersection approaches, as shown in Figure D-27.
- A two-way cycle track on the west side, buffered from motor vehicle traffic.
- Sidewalks and landscape panels on both sides.


## Vehicular Operations

This section discusses peak hour vehicular operations for 2045 Scenario 1 using the results obtained from VISSIM. Figure D-28 shows travel volumes, while Figure D-29 and Table D-20 shows intersection vehicle delay and LOS results for the 2045 Scenario 1 during the AM and PM peak hours.

Figure D-28: Peak Hour Volume by Movement for 2045 Scenario 1 AM and PM


NOTE: Results for intersections 4 and 5 are not shown as the
methodology used to analyze unsignalized intersections is inaporopriate for dosely spaced, unsignalized intersections between a high-volume, IImited-access facility with a low-rolume side street
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2045 Scenario 1 Intersection Volumes
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Figure D-29: LOS for 2045 Scenario 1


Table D-20: LOS and Delay for 2045 Scenario 1 AM and PM Peak Hours

| Intersection | Traffic Control | 2045 Build Scenario 1 AM |  | 2045 Build Scenario 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay |
| \#1: S Cherry Street/Arlington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | C | 20.5 | C | 30.2 |
| \#2: S Cherry Street/Hillwood Avenue | Signalized | B | 18.0 | C | 20.8 |
| \#3: S Cherry Street/E. Broad Street (VA 7) | Signalized | D | 35.4 | C | 22.9 |
| \#6: South Street \& S. Roosevelt Street/Hillwood Avenue | Signalized | E | 60.7 | F | 116.8 |
| \#7: N Roosevelt Street/E. Broad Street (VA 7) | Signalized | D | 39.9 | D | 54.1 |
| \#8: Sleepy Hollow Road/Aspen Lane | Unsignalized | A | 7.3 | C | 15.6 |
| \#9: Sleepy Hollow Road/Castle Place | Signalized | C | 21.6 | D | 38.5 |
| \#10: Castle Road \&Thorne Road/Leesburg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized | D | 46.1 | F | 113.1 |
| \#11: Seven Corners Center/Leesburg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized | E | 69.8 | E | 76.0 |
| \#12: Patrick Henry Drive/Leesburg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized | F | 95.9 | E | 72.9 |
| \#13: Arlington Boulevard service road/Arlington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | B | 14.8 | C | 23.5 |
| \#14: Patrick Henry Drive/Arlington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | D | 42.8 | F | 109.3 |
| \#15: John Marshall Drive \& Willston Drive | Signalized | B | 13.9 | B | 14.8 |
| \#16: John Marshall Drive \& N. McKinley Road/Wilson Boulevard | Signalized | D | 37.1 | C | 31.7 |
| \#17: Peyton Randolph Drive/Wilson Boulevard | Signalized | C | 31.3 | C | 33.6 |
| \#18: Roosevelt Boulevard/Wilson Boulevard | Signalized | D | 37.5 | E | 65.7 |
| \#19: Roosevelt Boulevard/N. Roosevelt Street | Signalized | B | 15.1 | B | 12.9 |
| \#25: Ring Rd/US 50 EB Off Ramp | Signalized | D | 35.6 | E | 63.6 |
| \#26: Ring Rd/US 50 WB On Ramp | Signalized | A | 9.7 | B | 10.2 |
| \#28: Ring Rd/US 50 WB Off-Ramp | Signalized | C | 34.3 | D | 35.0 |
| \#30: Ring Rd/US 50 EB On-Ramp | Signalized | B | 18.3 | B | 15.0 |
| \#21b: Seven Corners Interchange (Intersection) | Signalized | D | 45.4 | E | 74.7 |

Key findings from the operational results are summarized below:

- The extension of Ring Road towards Wilson Boulevard and providing a connection to Roosevelt Boulevard leads to some improvements in intersection delay. With this extension, during the PM peak hour, intersection delay is reduced at the main intersection (i.e., Wilson Boulevard/Broad Street (VA 7)/US 50 Eastbound off-ramp intersection), as vehicles traveling from Roosevelt Boulevard to Broad

Street (or vice versa) can now use Ring Road, shifting traffic away from the main intersection. However, this change also resulted in slightly increased intersection delay at the intersection of Castle Road and Thorne Road/Leesburg Pike (VA 7).

- Reduced congestion at the main intersection reduced the extent of queue spillback to upstream intersections. As a result, upstream intersections generally experience lower vehicle delays, especially in the PM peak hour (e.g., the intersection of Roosevelt Boulevard and Wilson Boulevard, where intersection delay is reduced from 82 seconds to 66 seconds).
- Compared to the 2045 Baseline Scenario, the 2045 Build Scenario 1 operates similarly during the AM peak hour.


## Network performance

Network performance results are displayed in Table D-21. for the 2045 Build Scenario 1. Results from the previous scenarios are also included for comparison.

Table D-21: Network Performance for 2030 Baseline and Scenario 1 AM and PM Peak Hours

| Performance <br> Measure | $\mathbf{2 0 3 0}$ Baseline |  | $\mathbf{2 0 3 0}$ Scenario 5 |  | 2045 Baseline |  | $\mathbf{2 0 4 5}$ Scenario 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AM | PM | AM | PM | AM | PM | AM | PM |
| Average Delay <br> (seconds) | 157.1 | 260.2 | 157.1 | 260.2 | 184.0 | 237.8 | 176.6 | 230.1 |
| Vehicle Arrival <br> (vehicles) | 20,455 | 20,727 | 20,455 | 20,727 | 20,858 | 21,725 | 20,801 | 21,333 |
| Latent Demand <br> (vehicles) | 60 | 561 | 60 | 561 | 533 | 597 | 426 | 586 |

Key findings from the network performance results are presented below:

- Both in the AM and PM peak hour, the extension of Ring Road towards Roosevelt Boulevard slightly reduces average network delay by providing an alternative path between Broad Street (VA-7) and Roosevelt Boulevard. This improvement also resulted in a reduction in latent demand (i.e., unserved vehicles in the network).
- Compared to the 2030 scenarios, the 2045 Build Scenario 1 results in higher average network delay during both peak hours. As previously discussed, the increase in average network delay (and latent demand) is mainly due to the reduction of capacity on Route 7 to provide exclusive lanes for the proposed Route 7 BRT.


## Transit Conditions

2045 Scenario 1 will build on the transit improvements noted in the 2045 Baseline. The completion of Ring Road would enable the Envision Route 7 BRT corridor to use the exclusive transit lanes planned along Ring Road instead of general travel lanes along Route 7 and Wilson Boulevard. The exclusive transit lanes along a more direct connection will likely improve transit operations in the area. More detailed analysis will be performed as part of the Envision Route 7 BRT environmental process. As part of that process, additional changes not envisioned with the Seven Corners Phasing Study may be advanced.

## Bicycle Conditions

A two-way cycle track will be provided on the west side of Ring Road (East), extending the cycle tracks that will be built on the west and south segments of Ring Road in previous phases. At the north end of Ring Road (East), connections would be made to existing bike lanes on Roosevelt Boulevard and a planned shared-use path along Wilson Boulevard.

## Pedestrian Conditions

Eight-foot sidewalks with landscape panels will be provided on both sides of Ring Road (East). These will tie into existing sidewalks along the Route 50 frontage roads and Wilson Boulevard.


## Traffic Analysis Details

| Existing Condition AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | Existing AM |  |  |  |  |
| No. | Intersection | Trafic Control | Approach | Movement | Los | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Average } \\ \text { Queve (feet) } \end{array}$ | Max Queue (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & \text { (sec) } \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 1 | S. Cherry Streeliallington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | EB | EBL | F | 18 | 116 | 137.6 | 30 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | D | 129 | 894 | 47.3 | 2467 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | D | 131 | 898 | 38.6 | 56 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | D |  |  | 48.1 |  |
|  |  |  | ws | WBL | F | 15 | 91 | 119.8 | 25 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | c | 270 | 1198 | 23.1 | 1979 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | B | 1 | 82 | 121 | 148 |
|  |  |  | WB Apperoach |  | c |  |  | 23.5 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | F | 155 | 294 | 491.8 | 29 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | F | 155 | 294 | 225.5 | 11 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | F | 161 | 301 | 90.7 | 26 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | F |  |  | 289.4 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | F | 252 | 544 | 220.2 | 78 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | F | 252 | 544 | 216.3 | 14 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | F | 257 | 548 | 189.4 | 55 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | F |  |  | 2083 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | D |  |  | 45.4 |  |
| 2 | S. Cherry Street/Hilwood Avenue | Signalized | EB | EBL | A | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | B | 24 | 302 | 17.5 | 444 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | A | 1 | 84 | 8.6 | 110 |
|  |  |  | EB Approach |  | B |  |  | 157 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | B | 0 | 16 | 192 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | B | 6 | 169 | 11.3 | 181 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 5 | 172 | 8.3 | 10 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | B |  |  | 11.2 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | c | 17 | 160 | 27.9 | 88 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | c | 17 | 160 | 26.9 | 64 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | B | 34 | 203 | 19.3 | 11 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | c |  |  | 26.9 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | B | 5 | 112 | 16.7 | 49 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | A | 5 | 112 | 6.2 | 49 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | B | 9 | 144 | 14.0 | 7 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | B |  |  | 11.6 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | B |  |  | 16.3 |  |
| 3 | S. Cherry Streetle. Broad Street (VA 7) | Signalized | EB | EBL | c | 8 | 158 | 27.2 | 30 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 8 | 158 | 6.6 | 698 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | A | 8 | 158 | 4.8 | 49 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | A |  |  | 7.3 |  |
|  |  |  | ws | WBL | c | 26 | 371 | 20.4 | 9 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | B | 26 | 371 | 10.7 | 932 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | B | 32 | 391 | 123 | 91 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | B |  |  | 11.0 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | E | 24 | 167 | 58.5 | 19 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | D | 24 | 167 | 425 | 64 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | E | 24 | 167 | 57.5 | 6 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | D |  |  | 46.9 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | D | 26 | 162 | 53.9 | 24 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | D | 26 | 162 | 48.9 | 49 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | D | 26 | 162 | 49.5 | 10 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | D |  |  | 50.4 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LoS |  | B |  |  | 128 |  |
| 4 | South Stree/Artington Boulievard | Unsignalized | EB | EBL | \#\#NA | \#N/A | \#NA | \#N/A | UNA |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 2 | 246 | 1.4 | 2571 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | INNA | UNA | UNA | INAA | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | oach | A |  |  | 1.4 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Wel | E | 2 | 59 | 35.5 | 14 |
|  |  |  | ws | WBT | A | 21 | 427 | 7.9 | 2105 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | \#N/A | NN/A | WN/ | TVIA | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | oach | A |  |  | 8.1 |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | F | 31 | 176 | 131.8 | 35 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | \#N/A | \#NA | \#N/ | HN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | \#N/ | \#N/A | \#N/ | \#N/A | WNA |
|  |  |  |  | cach | F |  |  | 131.8 |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBL | IN/A | UNA | UNA | INIA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | SB | SBT | \#N/A | \#N/ | \#NA | INVA | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | \#NA | \#N/A | \#NA | \#N/A | HN/ |
|  |  |  |  | oach | \#N/A |  |  | \#N/ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Los | F |  |  | 131.8 |  |
| 5 | South StreevArrington Bouleverd side street (north side) | Unsigralized | EB | EBL | B | 1 | 63 | 11.2 | 28 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | B | 1 | 63 | 10.1 | 29 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | B | 1 | 63 | 12.0 | 4 |
|  |  |  | EB Approach |  | B |  |  | 107 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | B | 3 | 142 | 11.9 | 57 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | A | 3 | 142 | 7.1 | 67 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 3 | 142 | 7.5 | 77 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | A |  |  | 8.6 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | A | 4 | 143 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | c | 4 | 143 | 23.3 | 48 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | IN/A | WNA | UNA | UN/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | c |  |  | 23.3 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | A | 1 | 63 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | B | 1 | 59 | 133 | 17 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | A | 1 | 53 | 5.0 | 30 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | A |  |  | 8.0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | c |  |  | 23.3 |  |

" $N / A$ " represents movements thot ore not ollowed, or do not exist

| Existing Condition AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | Existing AM |  |  |  |  |
| No. | Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Movement | Los | Average Queue (feet) | Max Queue (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Deay } \\ & \text { (sec) } \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 6 | South Streevs. Roosevell StreelHillwood Avenue | Signalized | EB | EBL | c | 2 | 52 | 29.8 | 36 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | c | 54 | 561 | 200 | 504 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | c | 68 | 593 | 25.8 | 31 |
|  |  |  | EB Approach |  | c |  |  | 21.0 |  |
|  |  |  | ws | WBL | c | 2 | 125 | 28.2 | 33 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | B | 9 | 189 | 12.5 | 150 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 10 | 203 | 9.0 | 25 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | B |  |  | 14.6 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | B | 13 | 174 | 17.6 | 32 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | B | 13 | 174 | 17.8 | 68 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | B | 18 | 186 | 13.0 | 76 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | B |  |  | 157 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | c | 49 | 291 | 32.1 | 212 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | C | 49 | 291 | 21.7 | 47 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | B | 8 | 278 | 13.0 | 22 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | c |  |  | 28.8 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | c |  |  | 21.0 |  |
| 7 | N. Roosevelt Street'E. Proad Street (VA 7) | Signalized | EB | EBL | N/A | WNA | WNA |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 12 | 218 | 7.9 | 567 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | A | 18 | 249 | 8.3 | 185 |
|  |  |  | EB Approach |  | A |  |  | 8.0 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | B | 37 | 485 | 18.5 | 7 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | B | 37 | 485 | 13.0 | 970 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | NA | MNA | UNA |  |  |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | B |  |  | 13.0 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | E | 46 | 239 | 56.8 | 57 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | D | 46 | 239 | 527 | 52 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | N/A |  |  |  | 19 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | D |  |  | 54.9 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | NA |  |  |  | 20 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | E | 40 | 225 | 55.4 | 88 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | D | 40 | 225 | 53.3 | 21 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | E |  |  | 55.8 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | B |  |  | 16.6 |  |
| 8 | Sleepy Hollow RoadXAspen Lane | Unsignalized | EB | EBL | c | 2 | 65 | 20.8 | 13 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | NA |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | A | 2 | 65 | 8.8 | 17 |
|  |  |  | EB Approach |  | B |  |  | 14.0 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | NA |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | N/A |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | N/A |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | N/A |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | A | 15 | 398 | 7.3 | 221 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | A | 9 | 340 | 6.3 | 543 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | N/A |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | A |  |  | 6.6 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | N/A |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | A | 0 | 0 | 1.7 | 305 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | A | 0 | 0 | 1.3 | 26 |
|  |  |  |  |  | A |  |  | 1.7 |  |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | B |  |  | 14.0 |  |
| 9 | Sleepy Hollow RoadiCastle Place | Unsignalized | EB | EBL | N/A |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | N/A |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | N/A |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | roach | N/A |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | A | 1 | 67 | 9.1 | 105 |
|  |  |  | ws | WBT | N/A |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 0 | 66 | 8.2 | 4 |
|  |  |  |  | roach | A |  |  | 4.6 |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | NA |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | A | 0 | 20 | 2.7 | 183 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | A | 2 | 98 | 4.5 | 318 |
|  |  |  |  | roach | A |  |  | 6.6 |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBL | B | 4 | 122 | 14.4 | 4 |
|  |  |  | SB | SBT | A | 9 | 140 | 2.4 | 216 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | N/A |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | roach | A |  |  | 2.6 |  |
|  |  |  |  | LOS | A |  |  | 6.6 |  |
| 10 | Castle Roed \&Thome Roadleesturg Plike (VA 7) | Sigralized | EB | EBL | D | 24 | 303 | 37.2 | 107 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | B | 48 | 390 | 15.9 | 1772 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | B | 61 | 424 | 14.4 | 13 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | B |  |  | 17.6 |  |
|  |  |  | ws | WBL | c | 12 | 183 | 27.4 | 168 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | F | 429 | 1201 | 103.0 | 1451 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | E | 429 | 1201 | 79.2 | 29 |
|  |  |  | WE Approach |  | F |  |  | 94.9 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | F | 138 | 602 | 93.8 | 81 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | E | 138 | 602 | 65.6 | 184 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | D | 143 | 609 | 36.7 | 152 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | E |  |  | 60.5 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | F | 53 | 205 | 87.1 | 64 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | E | 53 | 205 | 63.6 | 10 |
|  |  |  |  | SB Approach |  | E | 55 | 210 | 56.5 | 73 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | E |  |  | 70.3 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | E |  |  | 58.2 |  |

" $N / A$ " represents movements thot ore not ollowed, or do not exist

| Existing Condition AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | Existing AM |  |  |  |  |
| No. | Intersection | Trafic Control | Approach | Movement | Los | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Average } \\ \text { Queus (feet) } \end{array}$ | Max Queue (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Deiay } \\ & \text { (sec) } \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 11 | Seven Comers Center/Leesturg Pike (VA T) | Signalized | EB | EBL | D | 29 | 189 | 55.0 | 107 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | c | 56 | 399 | 20.3 | 1235 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | A | 1 | 119 | 8.5 | 38 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | c |  |  | 227 |  |
|  |  |  | ws | WBL | B | 2 | 65 | 17.4 | 36 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | B | 43 | 453 | 11.2 | 1607 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 1 | 66 | 3.6 | 70 |
|  |  |  | WB Apperoach |  | B |  |  | 11.0 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | F | 60 | 154 | 96.4 | 34 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | E | 60 | 154 | 68.1 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | E | 60 | 154 | 66.5 | 20 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | F |  |  | 84.7 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | E | 32 | 178 | 73.2 | 76 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | F | 32 | 178 | 81.1 | 10 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | B | 3 | 73 | 11.7 | 67 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | D |  |  | 46.8 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | B |  |  | 18.8 |  |
| 12 | Patrick Henry DrivelLeesburg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized | EB | EBL | F | 48 | 335 | 820 | 105 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | c | 90 | 509 | 24.1 | 1165 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | c | 9 | 194 | 20.9 | 61 |
|  |  |  | EB Approach |  | c |  |  | 28.5 |  |
|  |  |  | ws | WBL | F | 13 | 107 | 124.4 | 50 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | F | 854 | 1666 | 129.4 | 1481 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | F | 967 | 1667 | 125.4 | 260 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | F |  |  | 128.7 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | F | 21 | 448 | 82.5 | 131 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | F | 56 | 443 | 83.8 | 93 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | F | 28 | 441 | 87.8 | 110 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | F |  |  | 84.6 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | E | 59 | 223 | 78.6 | 182 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | E | 59 | 223 | 76.9 | 16 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | D | 24 | 200 | 40.3 | 104 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | E |  |  | 65.3 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall Los |  | F |  |  | 84.2 |  |
| 13 | Aflington Bouleverd service roediAarington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | EB | EBL | D | 18 | 432 | 47.5 | 15 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 18 | 432 | 3.4 | 2817 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | B | 18 | 432 | 13.9 | 25 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | A |  |  | 3.7 |  |
|  |  |  | ws | WBL | c | 23 | 345 | 34.1 | 5 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | A | 23 | 345 | 5.6 | 2007 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 23 | 345 | 5.6 | 48 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | A |  |  | 5.7 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | F | 37 | 178 | 83.1 | 62 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | F | 37 | 178 | 91.6 | 8 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | D | 9 | 174 | 35.1 | 19 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | E |  |  | 73.6 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | F | 12 | 91 | 88.2 | 17 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | F | 12 | 91 | 100.1 | 5 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | A | 1 | 76 | 9.1 | 13 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | E |  |  | 60.5 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall Los |  | A |  |  | 6.1 |  |
| 14 | Patrick Henry Drive/Arrington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | EB | EBL | F | 83 | 329 | 1432 | 132 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | D | 578 | 1604 | 522 | 2526 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | D | 584 | 1611 | 48.0 | 35 |
|  |  |  |  | roach | E |  |  | 56.6 |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | F | 159 | 728 | 87.4 | 123 |
|  |  |  | ws | WBT | c | 159 | 728 | 26.7 | 1879 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | c | 162 | 785 | 26.3 | 78 |
|  |  |  |  | roach | c |  |  | 30.3 |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | F | 55 | 232 | 128.4 | 69 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | F | 122 | 460 | 83.8 | 277 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | E | 98 | 448 | 79.7 | 183 |
|  |  |  |  | rrach | F |  |  | 90.8 |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBL | F | 152 | 463 | 2024 | 159 |
|  |  |  | SB | SBT | F | 110 | 423 | 90.1 | 187 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | F | 110 | 423 | 88.2 | 35 |
|  |  |  |  | roach | F |  |  | 136.8 |  |
|  |  |  |  | LOS | E |  |  | 55.4 |  |
| 15 | John Marshall DivelPatick Henry Drive \& Willston Dive | Sigralized | EB | EBL | C | 19 | 299 | 20.8 | 69 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | B | 19 | 299 | 14.7 | 239 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | N/A |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | B |  |  | 16.1 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | N/A |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | A | 12 | 259 | 9.8 | 200 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | B | 21 | 340 | 13.9 | 248 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | B |  |  | 12.1 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | NA |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | NA |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach | N/A |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | B | 16 | 166 | 17.9 | 145 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | NA |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | c | 18 | 178 | 22.9 | 33 |
|  |  |  | SB Approech |  | B |  |  | 18.8 |  |
|  |  |  |  | ILOS | B |  |  | 14.7 |  |

"N/A" represents movements thot ore not ollowed, or do not exist

| Existing Condition AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | Existing AM |  |  |  |  |
| No. | Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Movement | Los | Average Queue (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Max Queue } \\ & \text { (feet) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Deay } \\ & \text { (sec) } \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 16 | John Marshall Dive \& N. Mckinley RoadWilson Boulevard | Signalized | EB | EBL | в | 4 | 92 | 17.0 | 47 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | B | 53 | 408 | 18.9 | 791 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | B | 58 | 420 | 14.6 | 13 |
|  |  |  | EB Approach |  | B |  |  | 18.7 |  |
|  |  |  | ws | WBL | D | 16 | 134 | 48.9 | 67 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | c | 17 | 179 | 22.5 | 327 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | B | 18 | 190 | 18.9 | 59 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | c |  |  | 26.0 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | D | 88 | 503 | 47.5 | 99 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | D | 88 | 503 | 40.2 | 125 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | D | 90 | 508 | 39.4 | 108 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | D |  |  | 42.1 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | c | 12 | 115 | 34.8 | 64 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | c | 8 | 92 | 24.7 | 65 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | A | 1 | 54 | 6.8 | 43 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | c |  |  | 23.8 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | c |  |  | 25.3 |  |
| 17 | Peyton Randolph DivelWilson Boulevard | Signalized | EB | EBL | B | 72 | 573 | 123 | 20 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | c | 72 | 573 | 21.3 | 776 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | B | 76 | 581 | 11.3 | 284 |
|  |  |  | EB Approach |  | B |  |  | 18.5 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | B | 3 | 72 | 18.8 | 42 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | B | 15 | 197 | 10.9 | 410 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 26 | 234 | 8.1 | 18 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | B |  |  | 11.5 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | E | 60 | 315 | 57.2 | 141 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | A | 60 | 315 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | c | 10 | 273 | 32.5 | 57 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | D |  |  | 50.1 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | D | 5 | 65 | 52.3 | 15 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | E | 5 | 65 | 58.4 | 3 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | A | 6 | 72 | 7.0 | 2 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | D |  |  | 48.7 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | c |  |  | 20.6 |  |
| 18 | Roosevelt BoulevardWilison Boulevard | Signalized | EB | EBL | c | 77 | 666 | 21.5 | 614 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | B | 45 | 757 | 122 | 755 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | N/A |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | EB Approach |  | B |  |  | 16.4 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | NA |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | c | 49 | 313 | 30.3 | 238 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | B | 71 | 352 | 16.9 | 318 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | c |  |  | 22.6 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | NA |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | NA |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | N/A |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | N/A |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | D | 93 | 384 | 47.1 | 336 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | N/A |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | D | 88 | 389 | 42.3 | 243 |
|  |  |  |  |  | D |  |  | 45.1 |  |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | c |  |  | 24.4 |  |
| 19 | Rooseevelt BoulevardiN. Rossevelt Street | Signalized | EB | EBL | c | 4 | 85 | 20.7 | 42 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 4 | 85 | 0.0 |  |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | C | 4 | 85 | 25.3 | 4 |
|  |  |  |  | roach | c |  |  | 21.1 |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | A | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |  |
|  |  |  | ws | WBT | A | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |  |
|  |  |  |  | roach | IN/A |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | C | 3 | 70 | 33.5 | 27 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | A | 18 | 264 | 8.5 | 899 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | A | 18 | 264 | 0.0 |  |
|  |  |  |  | roach | A |  |  | 9.2 |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBL | A | 16 | 211 | 0.0 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBT | A | 16 | 211 | 8.0 | 536 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | A | 17 | 212 | 7.2 | 109 |
|  |  |  |  | roach | A |  |  | 7.9 |  |
|  |  |  |  | LOS | A |  |  | 9.0 |  |
| 20 | Arrington Blivd WB/Wilison Blvd | Sigralized | EB | EBL | N/A |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 42 | 376 | 4.8 | 1166 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | N/A |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | A |  |  | 4.8 |  |
|  |  |  | ws | WBL | N/A |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | N/A |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | B | 13 | 208 | 12.5 | 477 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | B |  |  | 125 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | NA |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | F | 231 | 520 | 1323 | 289 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | F | 135 | 406 | 1024 | 123 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | F |  |  | 123.4 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | N/A |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | N/A |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | N/A |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | N/ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | B |  |  | 20.0 |  |

" $N / A$ " represents movements thot ore not ollowed, or do not exist

| Existing Condition AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | Existing AM |  |  |  |  |
| No. | Intersection | Traflic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Average Queve (feet) | Max Queue (feet) | Delay (sec) | Volumes |
| 21 | Sleepy Hollow Rd/Wilson Blvdibrosd StaAlington Bivd EB | Signalized | EB | Ebluto 7 | E | 2 | 64 | 67.1 | 14 |
|  |  |  |  | E8L to Wilson | E | 252 | 1016 | 68.2 | 583 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR to Route? | E | 52 | 267 | 64.2 | 245 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT to 50 | E | 4 | 335 | 73.8 | 53 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | E |  |  | 67.4 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NER from Sleepy | c | 23 | 187 | 21.3 | 186 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | F | 419 | 704 | 88.2 | 1104 |
|  |  |  |  | BR from 7 to Wilson | F | 419 | 704 | 91.9 | 403 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR from 7 to 50 | F | 417 | 705 | 166.2 | 7 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | F |  |  | 80.8 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL to Wilison | c | 97 | 228 | 24.1 | 181 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT to Route 7 | c | 97 | 228 | 20.7 | 811 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR to Sleepy | D | 97 | 226 | 35.6 | 177 |
|  |  |  |  | 58L to 50 | c | 97 | 226 | 322 | 461 |
|  |  |  | SB Approech |  | c |  |  | 25.9 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | E |  |  | 56.8 |  |
| 22 | Broad St WBIAArington Blva WB | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#N/A | \#NA | \#NA | mN/A |  |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/ | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/ | INVA |  |
|  |  |  | EB Approach |  | INIA |  |  | an/ |  |
|  |  |  | ws | WBL | c | 78 | 318 | 33.5 | 261 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | c | 78 | 318 | 34.3 | 200 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 75 | 383 | 7.1 | 301 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | c |  |  | 23.3 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT from 7 to 7 | A | 6 | 247 | 7.5 | 752 |
|  |  |  |  | NQU | B | 39 | 225 | 10.9 | 3 |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | A | 39 | 225 | 8.5 | 361 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | A |  |  | 7.9 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | \#N/A | \#NA | \#N/A | HN/A |  |
|  |  |  |  | SET | IN/A | NNA | UNA | INIA |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | \#N/A | \#NA | \#NA | an/A |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | \#N/A |  |  | \#NA |  |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | B |  |  | 142 |  |
| 23 a | Broad St EB/Arsington Blvd WB | Unsigralized | EB | EBL | N/A |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 3 | 96 | 2.1 | 1376 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | NA |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | A |  |  | 2.1 | 1376 |
|  |  |  | W8 | WBL | NA |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | N/A |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | NA |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | NA |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | NA |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | NA |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | N/A |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | N/A |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | c | 59 | 202 | 24.4 | 266 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | NA |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | N/A |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | c |  |  | 24.4 | 266 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | A |  |  | 5.7 |  |
| 23 b | Broad S: EB/AFlington Blvd WB | Signalized | EB | EBL | NA |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 98 | 172 | 1.4 | 1370 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | A | 8 | 179 | 6.1 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  | pproach | A |  |  | 1.4 |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | NA |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBT | NA |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | NA |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | pporach | NA |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | NA |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | N/A |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | NA |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | pproach | NA |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBL | N/A |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBT | B | 36 | 238 | 10.3 | 562 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | NA |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | pproach | B |  |  | 10.3 |  |
|  |  |  |  | all Los | A |  |  | 5.9 |  |
| $24^{*}$ | Broad St EB/Hillurod Ave | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#N/ | \#N/A | \#NA | HN/A |  |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NA | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | E | 123 | 531 | 73.3 | 773 |
|  |  |  | EB Approach |  | E | 123 | 531 | 73.3 | 773 |
|  |  |  | ws | WBL | IN/A | UNA | UNA | INVA |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NA | anva | 216 |
|  |  |  |  | WB Approach |  | \#N/A | \#N/A | WNA | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \#N/A | 27 | 358 | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | \#\#N/A | \#N/A | +N/ | HN/A |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | INNA | WNA | UNA | INIA |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | \#N/A | \#NA | \#NA | MN/A |  |
|  |  |  | NB Appricach |  | \#N/A |  |  | \#N/ |  |
|  |  |  | sB | S8L | \#N/ | \#N/A | \#NA | \#NVA |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | F | 27 | 358 | 81.5 | 597 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | INNA | UNA | UNA | INIA |  |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | F | 27 | 358 | 81.5 | 597 |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | E |  |  | 76.9 |  |

* LOS, queue length, and delay for the WB movement at this intersection are not reported because the movement is related to the signal operations at intersections 22 and 23 b .
"N/A" represents movements thot are not allowed, or do not exist

| Existing Condition PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | Existing PM |  |  |  |  |
| No. | Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Movement | Los | Average Queue (feet) | Max Queue (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & \text { (sec) } \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 1 | S. Cherry Streel/atington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | EB | E8L | F | 24 | 182 | 128.0 | 55 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | D | 90 | 547 | 452 | 2426 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | F | 91 | 552 | 95.9 | 25 |
|  |  |  | EB Approech |  | D |  |  | 47.5 |  |
|  |  |  | ws | WBL | F | 33 | 171 | 111.3 | 51 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | c | 306 | 1178 | 24.2 | 1985 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | B | 1 | 74 | 10.7 | 72 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | c |  |  | 25.9 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | F | 91 | 284 | 151.0 | 29 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | F | 91 | 284 | 168.2 | 31 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | F | 95 | 291 | 139.2 | 6 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | F |  |  | 158.0 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | F | 434 | 836 | 403.1 | 66 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | F | 434 | 836 | 512.9 | 22 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | F | 439 | 841 | 3022 | 45 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | F |  |  | 387.1 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall Los |  | D |  |  | 48.9 |  |
| 2 | S. Cherry Streethillwood Avenue | Signalized | EB | EBL | A | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | B | 20 | 281 | 13.1 | 433 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | A | 1 | 71 | 8.5 | 86 |
|  |  |  | EB Approach |  | B |  |  | 123 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | B | 0 | 42 | 11.8 | 15 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | B | 22 | 305 | 15.1 | 410 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | B | 20 | 307 | 16.7 | 16 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | B |  |  | 15.0 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | c | 12 | 140 | 26.4 | 73 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | c | 12 | 140 | 24.6 | 42 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | B | 26 | 184 | 14.9 | 22 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | c |  |  | 24.0 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | A | 3 | 84 | 7.8 | 23 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | B | 3 | 84 | 12.1 | 59 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | B | 7 | 117 | 14.7 | 25 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | B |  |  | 11.8 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | B |  |  | 14.6 |  |
| 3 | S. Cherry Streete Broad Street (VA T) | Signalized | EB | EBL | B | 6 | 150 | 18.6 | 5 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 6 | 150 | 5.4 | 835 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | A | 6 | 150 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | A |  |  | 5.5 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | A | 20 | 254 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | A | 20 | 254 | 9.9 | 858 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | B | 25 | 275 | 12.1 | 27 |
|  |  |  | WB Appraach |  | A |  |  | 10.0 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | E | 22 | 158 | 64.6 | 22 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | E | 22 | 158 | 55.9 | 30 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | D | 22 | 158 | 45.8 | 17 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | E |  |  | 56.2 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | D | 61 | 291 | 54.5 | 26 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | E | 61 | 291 | 55.0 | 120 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | D | 61 | 291 | 52.9 | 34 |
|  |  |  |  |  | D |  |  | 54.5 |  |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | B |  |  | 13.8 |  |
| 4 | South StreedArlington Boulevard | Unsignalized | EB | EBL | IN/A | UNA | UNA | IN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 18 | 208 | 2.6 | 2491 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/ | miNA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | EB Approach |  | A |  |  | 2.6 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | F | 60 | 533 | 54.4 | 178 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | B | 42 | 949 | 11.1 | 2044 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | $\pm$ \#NA | \#NA | \#NA | INVA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | B |  |  | 14.6 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | F | 41 | 224 | 140.5 | 39 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | IN/A | \#N/A | \#N/ | MNA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | IN/A | UNA | UN/A | INVA | \#NA |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | F |  |  | 140.5 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NA | miNA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | \#N/A | \#N/ | \#N/A | PN/A | WNA |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NA | AN/A | WNA |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | IN/A |  |  | INA |  |
|  |  |  |  | Los | F |  |  | 140.5 |  |
| 5 | South StreeVArflington Bouleverd side street (north side) | Unsigralized | EB | EBL | B | 1 | 70 | 10.6 | 69 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 1 | 70 | 1.8 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | A | 1 | 70 | 9.3 | 6 |
|  |  |  | EB Approach |  | B |  |  | 10.3 |  |
|  |  |  | ws | WBL | A | 2 | 111 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | A | 2 | 111 | 8.9 | 54 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | B | 2 | 111 | 13.8 | 116 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | B |  |  | 122 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | A | 6 | 147 | 6.0 | 48 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | B | 6 | 147 | 14.3 | 71 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | INVA | \#NA | WN/ | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | B |  |  | 11.0 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | A | 1 | 44 | 9.9 | 4 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | B | 1 | 41 | 11.0 | 10 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | A | 0 | 36 | 4.7 | 13 |
|  |  |  | s | oach | A |  |  | 7.8 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | B |  |  | 122 |  |

"N/A" represents movements thot ore not ollowed, or do not exist

| Existing Condition PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | Existing PM |  |  |  |  |
| No. | Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Average Queve (feet) | Max Queue (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Deiay } \\ & \text { (sec) } \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 6 | South Street \& S. Roosevell Streelhillwood Avenue | Signalized | EB | EBL | D | 14 | 269 | 47.0 | 63 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | B | 30 | 401 | 19.0 | 384 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | B | 42 | 434 | 17.1 | 32 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | c |  |  | 22.5 |  |
|  |  |  | ws | WBL | c | 2 | 163 | 31.3 | 21 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | c | 36 | 411 | 23.9 | 366 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | c | 40 | 428 | 23.4 | 39 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | c |  |  | 24.2 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | c | 39 | 333 | 29.3 | 71 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | c | 39 | 333 | 30.3 | 80 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | c | 45 | 344 | 21.8 | 108 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | c |  |  | 26.5 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | E | 180 | 405 | 71.2 | 243 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | E | 180 | 405 | 61.0 | 40 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | D | 86 | 399 | 48.0 | 36 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | E |  |  | 67.3 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | c |  |  | 33.3 |  |
| 7 | N. Roosevelt Streette. Broad Street (VA T) | Signalized | EB | EBL | N/ | \#NA | \#N/ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | B | 58 | 443 | 19.4 | 615 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | D | 70 | 474 | 40.2 | 172 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | c |  |  | 23.9 |  |
|  |  |  | ws | WBL | E | 33 | 315 | 58.3 | 18 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | B | 33 | 315 | 11.6 | 770 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | NA | UNA | UNA |  |  |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | B |  |  | 12.8 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | E | 88 | 351 | 73.2 | 44 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | E | ${ }_{6} 6$ | 351 | 61.6 | 123 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | N/A |  |  |  | 15 |
|  |  |  | NB Approech |  | E |  |  | 64.1 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | N/A |  |  |  | 25 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | F | 450 | 1078 | 287.9 | 128 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | F | 450 | 1078 | 289.7 | 35 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | F |  |  | 289.7 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | D |  |  | 49.1 |  |
| 8 | Sleepy Hollow RoadXAspen Lane | Unsignalized | EB | EBL | A | 1 | 45 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | NA |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | A | 1 | 45 | 8.7 | 22 |
|  |  |  | EB Approach |  | A |  |  | 8.7 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | NA |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | NA |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | NA |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | NA |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | A | 4 | 195 | 7.9 | 50 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | A | 1 | 137 | 2.8 | 306 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | N/A |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | A |  |  | 3.5 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | N/A |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | A | 0 | 0 | 2.3 | 573 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | A | 0 | 0 | 4.9 | 67 |
|  |  |  |  |  | A |  |  | 2.6 |  |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | A |  |  | 8.7 |  |
| 9 | Sleepy Hollow Road Castle Place | Unsignalized | EB | EBL | N/A |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | N/A |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | N/A |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | N/ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ws | WBL | c | 32 | 270 | 21.2 | 288 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | N/A |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 31 | 269 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | WB Apporasch |  | B |  |  | 128 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | NA |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | A | 0 | 3 | 5.9 | 60 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | A | 10 | 186 | 8.7 | 236 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | A |  |  | 3.5 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL <br> SBT | B | 19 | 221 | 16.3 | 27 |
|  |  |  |  |  | A | 67 | 339 | 5.1 | 312 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | N/A |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SB Approach } \\ & \hline \text { Overall LOS } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | A |  |  | 6.0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | B |  |  | 128 |  |
| 10 | Castle Roed \& Thome Roadileesturg Pike (VA 7) | Sigralized | EB | EBL | D | 29 | 438 | 42.6 | 106 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | D | 134 | 598 | 37.6 | 1331 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | D | 155 | 630 | 37.1 | 22 |
|  |  |  | EB Approach |  | D |  |  | 37.9 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | E | 76 | 419 | 59.3 | 282 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | E | 207 | 617 | 77.6 | 1131 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | D | 207 | 617 | 41.2 | 25 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | E |  |  | 734 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | F | 143 | 539 | 104.1 | 121 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | F | 143 | 539 | 84.1 | 69 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | D | 148 | 546 | 43.1 | 205 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | E |  |  | 68.9 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | E | 84 | 347 | 70.3 | 63 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | E | 84 | 347 | 59.3 | 98 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | c | 87 | 352 | 34.2 | 181 |
|  |  |  | - |  | D |  |  | 48.1 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | D |  |  | 54.7 |  |

" $N / A$ " represents movements thot ore not ollowed, or do not exist

| Existing Condition PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | Existing PM |  |  |  |  |
| No. | Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Movement | Los | Average Queue (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Max Queue } \\ & \text { (feet) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Deay } \\ & \text { (sec) } \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 16 | John Marshall Dive \& N. Mckinley RoadWilson Boulevard | Signalized | EB | EBL | c | 6 | 99 | 23.1 | 61 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | c | 46 | 288 | 21.7 | 517 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | c | 52 | 299 | 22.9 | 86 |
|  |  |  | EB Approach |  | c |  |  | 220 |  |
|  |  |  | ws | WBL | E | 69 | 459 | 69.0 | 138 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | D | 75 | 495 | 36.0 | 683 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | c | 78 | 505 | 320 | 65 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | D |  |  | 40.8 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | E | 201 | 635 | 69.4 | 153 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | E | 201 | 635 | 65.1 | 124 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | E | 204 | 620 | 59.4 | 131 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | E |  |  | 649 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | c | 4 | 67 | 31.1 | 26 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | c | 10 | 112 | 30.9 | 73 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | B | 2 | 69 | 123 | 58 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | c |  |  | 24.1 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | D |  |  | 38.3 |  |
| 17 | Peyton Randolph DivelWilson Boulevard | Signalized | EB | EBL | B | 88 | 548 | 16.6 | 25 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | B | 88 | 548 | 19.8 | 579 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | c | 92 | 556 | 24.6 | 402 |
|  |  |  | EB Approach |  | c |  |  | 21.7 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | c | 9 | 113 | 322 | 71 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | c | 105 | 549 | 320 | 793 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | c | 124 | 586 | 29.5 | 24 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | c |  |  | 320 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | E | 77 | 367 | 67.9 | 131 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | E | 77 | 367 | 70.4 | 29 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | D | 10 | 289 | 43.3 | 39 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | E |  |  | 63.4 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | D | 17 | 92 | 47.7 | 46 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | D | 17 | 92 | 48.9 | 18 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | B | 21 | 99 | 13.4 | 35 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | D |  |  | 35.8 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | c |  |  | 30.4 |  |
| 18 | Roosevelt BoulevardWilison Boulevard | Signalized | EB | EBL | D | 129 | 593 | 47.8 | 354 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | B | 19 | 333 | 14.5 | 459 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | N/A |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | EB Approach |  | c |  |  | 29.0 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | NA |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | D | 160 | 611 | 42.4 | 682 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | C | 185 | 651 | 327 | 256 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | D |  |  | 39.8 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | N/A |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | NA |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | N/A |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | N/A |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | F | 373 | 1099 | 99.0 | 538 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | N/A |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | F | 378 | 1103 | 115.0 | 277 |
|  |  |  |  |  | F |  |  | 104.4 |  |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | E |  |  | 55.4 |  |
| 19 | Rooseevelt BoulevardiN. Rossevelt Street | Signalized | EB | EBL | D | 69 | 359 | 49.0 | 128 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 69 | 359 | 0.0 |  |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | E | 69 | 359 | 55.1 | 85 |
|  |  |  |  | roach | D |  |  | 51.4 |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | B | 0 | 4 | 10.6 |  |
|  |  |  | ws | WBT | A | 0 | 15 | 0.8 |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 0 | 3 | 0.0 |  |
|  |  |  |  | roach | A |  |  | 6.9 |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | c | 2 | 59 | 328 | 12 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | B | 16 | 188 | 10.5 | 591 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | A | 16 | 186 | 0.0 |  |
|  |  |  |  | roach | B |  |  | 10.9 |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBL | A | 106 | 561 | 0.0 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBT | c | 106 | 561 | 28.2 | 750 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | c | 106 | 562 | 25.4 | 148 |
|  |  |  |  | roach | c |  |  | 27.7 |  |
|  |  |  |  | LOS | c |  |  | 23.6 |  |
| 20 | Arrington Blivd WB/Wilison Blvd | Sigralized | EB | EBL | NA |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 22 | 304 | 5.5 | 776 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | N/A |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | A |  |  | 5.5 |  |
|  |  |  | w8 | WBL | N/A |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | NA |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | F | 314 | 841 | 99.1 | 925 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | F |  |  | 99.1 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | NA |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | F | 520 | 1118 | 314.0 | 249 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | F | 417 | 1040 | 288.3 | 47 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | F |  |  | 306.8 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | N/A |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | N/A |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | N/A |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | N/ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | E |  |  | 65.2 |  |

" $N / A$ " represents movements thot ore not ollowed, or do not exist

| Existing Condition PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | Existing PM |  |  |  |  |
| No. | Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Movement | Los | Average Queue (feet) | Max Queue (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & \text { (sec) } \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 21 | Sleepy Hollow RdwWilson BlvedBrosd StaAlington Blvd EB | Signalized | EB | Ebluto 7 | F | 80 | 402 | 1123 | 39 |
|  |  |  |  | E8L to Wilson | E | 391 | 1334 | 75.3 | 349 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR to Route? | E | 51 | 674 | 78.6 | 359 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT to 50 | E | 6 | 284 | 78.2 | 99 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | E |  |  | 78.7 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBR from Sleepy | c | 12 | 132 | 26.7 | 89 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | F | 383 | 708 | 94.2 | 1162 |
|  |  |  |  | BR from 7 to Wilson | E | 383 | 708 | 74.1 | 274 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR from 7 to 50 | F | 379 | 709 | 100.6 | 25 |
|  |  |  | NB Approech |  | F |  |  | 86.8 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL to Wilison | D | 127 | 237 | 41.5 | 153 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT to Route 7 | c | 127 | 237 | 25.4 | 941 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR to Sleepy | c | 127 | 237 | 24.0 | 287 |
|  |  |  |  | 58L to 50 | D | 127 | 237 | 48.4 | 359 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | c |  |  | 31.4 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall Los |  | E |  |  | 62.0 |  |
| 22 | Broad S: WBlartington Blvd WB | Signalized | WB | WBL | E | 227 | 350 | 62.9 | 394 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | A | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | F | 227 | 350 | 116.8 | 311 |
|  |  |  | WB Apporoach |  | F |  |  | 86.7 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT from 7 to 7 | A | 91 | 302 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | NBU | B | 91 | 302 | 17.1 | 442 |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | A | 227 | 350 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | B |  |  | 17.1 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | D |  |  | 44.1 |  |
| 23a | Broad St EB/AFlington Blvd WB | Unsigralized | EB | EBL | NA |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | B | 63 | 214 | 11.5 | 1466 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | N/A |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | EB Approach |  | B |  |  | 11.5 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | NA |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | NA |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | NA |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | N/A |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | NA |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | N/A |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | N/A |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | N/A |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | A | 8 | 125 | 4.5 | 324 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | N/A |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | NA |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | A |  |  | 4.5 |  |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | A |  |  | 1.4 |  |
| 23b | Broad St EB/AFIIngton Blvd WB | Sigralized | EB | EBL | N/A |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 17 | 184 | 2.5 | 1461 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | A | 90 | 253 | 9.7 | 12 |
|  |  |  |  | pproach | A |  |  | 9.6 |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | NA |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBT | NA |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | NA |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | poroach | INA |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | NA |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | NA |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | N/A |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | pproach | NA |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBL | NA |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBT | A | 90 | 253 | 0.0 | 826 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | NA |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | pproach | \#N/A |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | all LOS | A |  |  | 6.6 |  |
| $24^{*}$ | Broad St EB/Hillwood Ave | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#N/ | HN/A | \#NA | ANVA |  |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | \#N/A | \#NA | \#NA | mi/A |  |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | E | 120 | 566 | 78.1 | 758 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | E | 120 | 566 | 78.1 | 758 |
|  |  |  | wB | wel | \#N/A | \#N/A | WNA | \#NVA |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NA | aNVA | 410 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | \#N/A | UN/A | WNA | HN/A |  |
|  |  |  | WB Apporach |  | INNA | IN/A | UNA | INTA |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | INNA | \#N/ | UNA | mN/A |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | \#NVA | \#N/A | \#NA | mN/A |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NA | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | \#N/A |  |  | \#NA |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | INNA | UN/A | UNA | INIA |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | F | 162 | 740 | 140.4 | 678 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | \#N/ | \#N/A | \#NA | HN/A |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SB Approach } \\ & \hline \text { Overall LOS } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | F | 162 | 740 | 1404 | 678 |
|  |  |  |  |  | F |  |  | 107.2 |  |

- LOS, queue length, and delay for the wB movement at this intersection are not reported because the movement is related to the signal operations at intersections 22 and 236 .

2030 Baseline AM

| Intersection Informstion |  |  |  |  | 2030 Basoline AM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Intersection | Tratfic Control | Approach | Movement | Los | Max Queue (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & \text { (sec) } \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 1 | S. Cherry Street/Arlington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | EB | EBL | F | 366 | 222.6 | 109 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | B | 380 | 18.7 | 2810 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | c | 383 | 28.1 | 43 |
|  |  |  | EB Approach |  | c |  | 26.3 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | F | 109 | 120.0 | 23 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | B | 923 | 15.6 | 2086 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | B | 124 | 15.5 | 62 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | B |  | 16.7 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | F | 303 | 261.3 | 52 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | F | 303 | 140.8 | 10 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | F | 305 | 113.7 | 29 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | F |  | 201.0 |  |
|  |  |  | S8 | SBL | F | 285 | 134.3 | 9 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | F | 285 | 104.6 | 12 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | D | 285 | 49.0 | 64 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | E |  | 659 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | c |  | 26.2 |  |
| 2 | S. Cherry Streethillwood Avenue | Signalized | E8 | EBL | 8 | 97 | 11.4 | 66 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | B | 136 | 11.9 | 230 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | A | 32 | 5.7 | 16 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | 8 |  | 11.4 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | B | 156 | 11.6 | 150 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 159 | 9.7 | 23 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | B |  | 11.3 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | C | 365 | 32.6 | 97 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | D | 365 | 35.6 | 155 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | c | 409 | 31.6 | 22 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | c |  | 34.2 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | c | 184 | 34.2 | 108 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | c | 184 | 27.7 | 15 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | c | 217 | 20.5 | 8 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | c |  | 32.6 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | c |  | 21.6 |  |
| 3 | S. Cherry StreevE. Broad Street (VA 7) | Signalized | EB | EBL | B | 226 | 13.8 | 25 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 226 | 9.3 | 708 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | A | 226 | 8.2 | 90 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | A |  | 9.3 |  |
|  |  |  | wb | WBL | c | 487 | 20.2 | 8 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | B | 487 | 14.0 | 1047 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | B | 508 | 13.6 | 43 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | 8 |  | 14.0 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | E | 453 | 62.5 | 43 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | D | 453 | 46.2 | 171 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | E | 453 | 60.4 | 59 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | 0 |  | 51.8 |  |
|  |  |  | S8 | SBL | E | 120 | 65.2 | 36 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | 0 | 120 | 47.8 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | D | 120 | 50.5 | 13 |
|  |  |  | SB Approsch |  | E |  | 61.0 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | 8 |  | 180 |  |
| 6 | South Street \& S. Rcosevelt Streelhillwood Avenue | Signalized | EB | EBL | B | 45 | 14.9 | 21 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | 8 | 281 | 15.1 | 333 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | c | 314 | 21.1 | 6 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | B |  | 15.2 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | B | 101 | 19.5 | 33 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | B | 167 | 10.3 | 110 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 185 | 9.5 | 20 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | B |  | 12.1 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | B | 161 | 18.1 | 63 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | 8 | 161 | 17.4 | 65 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | B | 173 | 11.3 | 53 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | 8 |  | 15.9 |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBL | c | 226 | 21.9 | 139 |
|  |  |  | SB | SBT | B | 226 | 18.7 | 45 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | A | 153 | 7.0 | 18 |
|  |  |  |  |  | B |  | 19.9 |  |
|  |  |  |  | LOS | 8 |  | 15.8 |  |
| 7 | N. Roosevell StreeVE. Broad Street (VA 7) | Signalized | EB | EBL | N/A | \#N/A |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | c | 414 | 24.6 | 657 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | B | 445 | 19.8 | 132 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | c |  | 23.8 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | B | 549 | 19.8 | 39 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | B | 549 | 11.3 | 1070 |
|  |  |  |  | WB Approach |  | N/A | aNA |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  | 11.6 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | D | 183 | 47.6 | 15 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | D | 183 | 45.6 | 92 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | N/A |  |  | 0 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | D |  | 45.9 |  |
|  |  |  | S8 | SBL | N/A |  |  | 45 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | E | 224 | 56.5 | 31 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | E | 224 | 61.9 | 32 |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | E |  | 61.4 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | c |  | 20.6 |  |

2030 Baseline AM

| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Basoline AM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Intersection | Tratfic Control | Approach | Movement | Los | Max Queue (feet) | Delay (sec) | Volumes |
| 8 | Sleepy Hollow Road/Aspen Lane | Unsignalized | EB | EBL | c | 52 | 20.4 | 10 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | A | 52 | 8.7 | 12 |
|  |  |  | EB Approach |  | B |  | 14.0 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | A | 452 | 7.7 | 241 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | A | 394 | 6.8 | 374 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | A |  | 7.2 |  |
|  |  |  | S8 | SBL | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | A | 0 | 1.7 | 396 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | A | 0 | 1.3 | 27 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | A |  | 1.7 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | B |  | 14.0 |  |
| 9 | Sleepy Hollow Road/Castle Place | Unsignalized | EB | EBL | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | EB Approach |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | B | 161 | 14.2 | 202 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | B | 160 | 17.3 | 28 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | A |  | 9.5 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | A | 27 | 2.8 | 114 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | A | 74 | 3.6 | 231 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | A |  | 7.2 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | A | 248 | 6.0 | 33 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | A | 230 | 4.0 | 208 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | A |  | 4.3 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | A |  | 9.5 |  |
| 10 | Castle Road \& Thorne Road_cesburg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized | EB | EBL | c | 323 | 31.0 | 127 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | 8 | 417 | 16.0 | 987 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | B | 451 | 16.7 | 55 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | B |  | 17.7 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | c | 181 | 28.2 | 192 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | F | 1261 | 101.3 | 1318 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | F | 1261 | 110.4 | 70 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | F |  | 928 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | E | 515 | 69.0 | 97 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | E | 515 | 59.6 | 179 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | B | 521 | 19.1 | 130 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | 0 |  | 49.5 |  |
|  |  |  | ss | SBL | E | 185 | 78.7 | 69 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | E | 185 | 59.6 | 4 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | D | 189 | 45.6 | 30 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | E |  | 68.3 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | E |  | 563 |  |
| 11 | Seven Corners CenteriLeesburg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized | EB | EBL | D | 113 | 37.1 | 73 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | c | 397 | 21.2 | 1088 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | A | 54 | 3.2 | 18 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | c |  | 21.9 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | c | 38 | 29.7 | 20 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | B | 485 | 16.4 | 1499 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 67 | 4.3 | 68 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | B |  | 16.0 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | F | 273 | 128.0 | 62 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | A | 273 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | E | 273 | 68.9 | 41 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | F |  | 104.5 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | E | 185 | 75.0 | 102 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | E | 185 | 72.0 | 10 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | B | 85 | 11.8 | 81 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | D |  | 48.3 |  |
|  |  |  |  | LOS | c |  | 23.3 |  |
| 12 | Patrick Henry Diveeleesburg Pike (VAT) | Signalized | EB | EBL | F | 464 | 107.9 | 109 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | c | 512 | 22.9 | 1099 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | c | 187 | 20.9 | 29 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | c |  | 30.3 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | F | 168 | 80.7 | 97 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | F | 1482 | 81.6 | 1363 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | F | 1486 | 80.7 | 421 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | F |  | 81.4 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | E | 444 | 73.8 | 107 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | F | 446 | 83.5 | 88 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | E | 424 | 76.6 | 100 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | E |  | 77.7 |  |
|  |  |  | s8 | SBL | F | 496 | 82.7 | 295 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | F | 496 | 84.3 | 12 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | D | 230 | 53.9 | 129 |
|  |  |  | S | roach | E |  | 74.2 |  |
|  |  |  | Owerall LOS |  | E |  | 63.8 |  |

2030 Baseline AM

| Intersection Informstion |  |  |  |  | 2030 Basoline AM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Intersection | Tratic Contol | Approach | Movement | Los | Max Queue (feet) | Delay (sec) | Volumes |
| ${ }^{13}$ | Arlington Boulevard service road/arlington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | EB | EBL | 0 | 1443 | 41.7 | 90 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | B | 1443 | 17.0 | 2991 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach | c | 1443 | 22.6 | 39 |
|  |  |  | WB |  | B |  | 17.8 |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | D | 544 | 54.0 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | A | 544 | 9.5 | 2225 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 544 | 9.6 | 21 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | A |  | 9.5 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | F | 229 | 83.5 | 64 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | F | 229 | 117.8 | 59 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | c | 189 | 34.5 | 19 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | F |  | 91.2 |  |
|  |  |  | s8 | SBL | F | 93 | 90.6 | 19 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | F | 93 | 97.3 | 7 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | B | 81 | 10.6 | 9 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | E |  | 71.4 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | B |  | 16.6 |  |
| 14 | Patrick Henry Drive/Arrington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | E8 | EBL | F | 188 | 155.8 | 63 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | F | 1677 | 91.3 | 2731 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | F | 1674 | 87.0 | 110 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | F |  | 925 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | F | 918 | 125.0 | 159 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | c | 918 | 34.4 | 1952 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | c | 964 | 31.7 | 162 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | D |  | 40.5 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | F | 635 | 172.0 | 125 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | F | 864 | 111.6 | 327 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | F | 735 | 92.6 | 213 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | F |  | 116.9 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | F | 868 | 286.4 | 200 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | F | 821 | 165.6 | 154 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | F | 821 | 186.6 | 19 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | F |  | 231.5 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | F |  | 82.8 |  |
| 15 | John Marshall Dive/Patrick Henry Dive \& Willston Drive | Signalized | EB | EBL | c | 288 | 24.1 | 82 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | 8 | 288 | 15.5 | 214 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | B |  | 17.9 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | B | 387 | 12.3 | 224 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | B | 454 | 18.1 | 317 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | B |  | 15.7 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | S8 | SBL | c | 283 | 22.0 | 122 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | c | 295 | 23.8 | 127 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | c |  | 22.9 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | 8 |  | 18.0 |  |
| 16 | John Marshall Dive \& N. McKinley Road/Wilson Boulevard | Signalized | EB | EBL | B | 159 | 18.7 | 107 |
|  |  |  |  | E8T | c | 438 | 20.9 | 791 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | B | 450 | 15.6 | 17 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | c |  | 20.5 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | 0 | 189 | 54.6 | 108 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | c | 198 | 24.5 | 348 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | c | 209 | 20.6 | 64 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | c |  | 30.3 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | D | 596 | 50.2 | 98 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | 0 | 596 | 50.0 | 163 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | D | 598 | 43.7 | 159 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | 0 |  | 47.7 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | 0 | 128 | 39.1 | 82 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | c | 77 | 27.1 | 38 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | A | 104 | 8.9 | 146 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | c |  | 20.8 |  |
|  |  |  |  | LOS | c |  | 28.3 |  |
| 17 | Peyton Randolph Drive/Wilson Boulevard | Signalized | EB | EBL | 8 | 569 | 11.8 | 11 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | c | 569 | 20.4 | 868 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | 8 | 577 | 10.5 | 223 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | B |  | 18.3 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | 8 | 78 | 18.4 | 53 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | B | 222 | 12.2 | 528 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | $B$ | 259 | 11.7 | 13 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | 8 |  | 128 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | E | 350 | 63.4 | 186 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | A | 350 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | D | 217 | 43.6 | 34 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | E |  | 60.4 |  |
|  |  |  | s8 | SBL | 0 | 57 | 472 | 15 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | D | 57 | 51.2 | 6 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | A | 64 | 6.7 | 4 |
|  |  |  |  | roach | 0 |  | 41.7 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | c |  | 21.6 |  |

2030 Baseline AM

| Intersection Informstion |  |  |  |  | 2030 Basoline AM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Intersection | Traftic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queue (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & (\mathrm{sec}) \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 18 | Roosevelt BoulevardWilison Boulevard | Signalized | EB | EBL | c | 796 | 31.6 | 699 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | B | 803 | 15.4 | 717 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | EB Approach |  | c |  | 23.4 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | 0 | 482 | 48.1 | 325 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | c | 522 | 31.2 | 391 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | 0 |  | 38.9 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | D | 593 | 45.0 | 384 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | D | 598 | 46.4 | 407 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | 0 |  | 45.7 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | c |  | 33.2 |  |
| 19 | Roosevelt BoulevardiN. Roosevelt Street | Signalized | EB | EBL | c | 179 | 22.1 | 117 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 179 | 0.0 |  |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | c | 179 | 24.6 | 27 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | C |  | 22.6 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | A | 0 | 0.7 |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | A | 0 | 0.0 |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 0 | 0.0 |  |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | A |  | 0.7 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | C | 53 | 31.3 | 13 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | B | 345 | 12.1 | 1063 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | 8 | 345 | 11.5 |  |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | B |  | 123 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | A | 195 | 0.0 |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | A | 195 | 8.5 | 613 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | A | 196 | 7.5 | 115 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | A |  | 8.3 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | 8 |  | 11.5 |  |
| 20 | Arrington Blvd WB/Wilson Blvd | Signalized | EB | EBL | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 376 | 5.4 | 1256 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | A |  | 5.4 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | c | 413 | 27.2 | 725 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | c |  | 27.2 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | F | 1282 | 299.1 | 337 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | F | 1200 | 259.3 | 58 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | F |  | 293.2 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SB Approsch |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | D |  | 39.2 |  |
| 21 | Sleepy Hollow RdWWilson Blva/Broad StArtington Blvd EB | Signalized | Eb | EbLU ${ }^{\text {a }} 7$ | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | E8l to Wilson | F | 1665 | 105.4 | 602 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR to Route 7 | F | 520 | 104.6 | 284 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT to 50 | F | 734 | 109.4 | 38 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | F |  | 105.3 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBR from Sleepy | B | 147 | 19.3 | 117 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | F | 709 | 85.6 | 931 |
|  |  |  |  | 3 from 7 to Wilson | F | 709 | 94.1 | 411 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR from 7 to 50 | F | 709 | 90.3 | 32 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | F |  | 828 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL to Wilson | D | 237 | 41.2 | 243 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT to Route 7 | B | 237 | 16.4 | 754 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR to Sleepy | c | 237 | 34.1 | 214 |
|  |  |  |  | SBL to 50 | D | 237 | 51.5 | 422 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | C |  | 31.5 |  |
| 22 | Broad St WB/AAlington Blvd WB | Signalized | wB | WBL | E | 330 | 67.2 57.8 | 331 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | 0 | 330 | 45.2 | 196 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | B | 395 | 12.6 | 532 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | C |  | 32.7 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT from 7 to 7 | A | 116 | 6.6 | 710 |
|  |  |  |  | N8U | B | 129 | 17.3 | 3 |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | A | 129 | 6.9 | 216 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | A |  | 6.7 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | c |  | 20.6 |  |

2030 Baseline AM

| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Basclino AM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Intersection | Traftic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queue (feet) | Delay (sec) | Volumes |
| 23a | Broad St EB/Arrington Blvd WB | E8 |  | EBL | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 120 | 3.8 | 1318 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  | Unsignalized | EBApproach |  | A |  | 3.8 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | C | 213 | 32.4 | 334 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | C |  | 32.4 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | A |  | 9.5 |  |
| 23 b | Broad St EB/Arilington Bivd WB | Signalized | EB | EBL | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 175 | 1.5 | 1312 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | A | 179 | 2.6 | 17 |
|  |  |  | EB Approsch |  | A |  | 1.6 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | A | 242 | 8.9 | 414 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SBApprosch |  | A |  | 8.9 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall Los |  | A |  | 6.1 |  |
| $24^{\circ}$ | Broad St EB/Millwood Ave | Signalized | E8 | EBL | \#N/A | \#NA | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | INA | INA | ENA |  |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | E | 350 | 64.0 | 630 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | E | 350 | 64.0 | 630 |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | INA | INA | sN/A |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | 196 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | TNA | miNA | BN/A |  |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | ENA | INA | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | INA | INA | and |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | AN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | IN/A |  | dNA |  |
|  |  |  | S8 | SBL | IN/A | aNA | \#NA |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | F | \#nNA | 140.0 | 681 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | INA | anda | an/A |  |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | F | an/ | 140.0 | 681 |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | F |  | 103.6 |  |

* LOS, queve length, and delay for the WB movement at this intersection are not reported because the movement is related to the signal operations at intersections 22 and 23 b .

2030 Baseline PM

| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Baseline PM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Movement | Los | Max Queue (fest) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & \text { (sec) } \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 1 | S. Cherry Streelialington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | EB | EBL | F | 150 | 108.3 | 44 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | c | 213 | 20.2 | 2635 |
|  |  |  |  | E8R | F | 217 | 85.1 | 23 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | c |  | 22.2 |  |
|  |  |  | w | WBL | F | 218 | 121.9 | 69 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | c | 1188 | 26.8 | 2918 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | 8 | 46 | 15.0 | 28 |
|  |  |  |  | roach | c |  | 28.8 |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | F | 222 | 147.9 | 72 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | F | 222 | 96.0 | 20 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | A | 222 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | roach | F |  | 136.6 |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBL | F | 556 | 156.6 | 31 |
|  |  |  | S8 | SBT | F | 556 | 161.2 | 20 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | F | 556 | 166.0 | 97 |
|  |  |  |  | SB Apprrach | F |  | 183.4 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | c |  | 31.0 |  |
| 2 | S. Cherry Street/Hilwood Avenue | Signalized | E8 | EBL | B | 45 | 17.9 | 26 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | B | 232 | 14.5 | 373 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | A | 56 | 8.4 | 47 |
|  |  |  | EB Approach |  | 8 |  | 14.1 |  |
|  |  |  | ws | WBL | 8 | 63 | 12.1 | 37 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | 8 | 189 | 13.2 | 284 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | B | 191 | 14.8 | 18 |
|  |  |  | WB Approsch |  | B |  | 13.2 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | c | 227 | 32.2 | 93 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | c | 227 | 30.3 | 41 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | c | 271 | 22.0 | 60 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | c |  | 28.6 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | D | 382 | 35.6 | 182 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | c | 382 | 28.1 | 9 |
|  |  |  |  | S8R | c | 415 | 33.9 | 123 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | c |  | 34.7 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | c |  | 21.0 |  |
| 3 | S. Cherry Streetie. Broad Street (VA T) | Signalized | EB | EBL | c | 275 | 30.0 | 18 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 275 | 7.4 | 700 |
|  |  |  |  | EB Approach |  | A | 275 | 80 | 193 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  | 7.9 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | c | 466 | 25.5 | 35 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | 8 | 466 | 17.7 | 851 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | 8 | 487 | 17.6 | 100 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | 8 |  | 18.0 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | E | 161 | 61.6 | 23 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | D | 161 | 52.8 | 36 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | D | 161 | 41.8 | 27 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | D |  | 51.7 |  |
|  |  |  | S8 | SBL | E | 340 | 60.5 | 7 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | E | 340 | 58.2 | 76 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | E | 340 | 59.1 | 114 |
|  |  |  |  |  | E |  | 58.8 |  |
|  |  |  | SB Apporoach |  | 8 |  | 18.8 |  |
| 6 | South Street \& S. Roosevell Streel/rillwood Avenue | Signelized | E8 | EBL | D | 97 | 36.1 | 42 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | c | 813 | 29.8 | 472 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | c | 838 | 23.9 | 77 |
|  |  |  | E8Approach |  | c |  | 29.4 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | D | 328 | 41.7 | 101 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | c | 325 | 22.0 | 161 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | 8 | 342 | 12.7 | 10 |
|  |  |  | WB Approzch |  | c |  | 29.0 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | D | 300 | 40.4 | 87 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | c | 300 | 33.2 | 30 |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach |  | c | 312 | 32.0 | 135 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | D |  | 35.0 |  |
|  |  |  | S8 | SBL | D | 387 | 38.3 | 89 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | c | 387 | 29.7 | 302 |
|  |  |  |  | S8R | c | 390 | 22.8 | 42 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | c |  | 30.8 |  |
|  |  |  |  | LOS | c |  | 30.7 |  |
| 7 | N. Roosevelt Streete. Broed Street (VA 7) | Signalized | EB | EBL | N/A | UNVA |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | E | 665 | 57.0 | 637 |
|  |  |  |  | EB Approach |  | D | 696 | 51.3 56.8 | 16 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | E |  | 56.8 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | F | 865 | 91.6 | 101 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | D | 865 | 43.9 | 845 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | N/A | aN/ |  |  |
|  |  |  | WB Approsch |  | D |  | 49.0 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL NBT | E | 208 | 67.9 | 30 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | E/A | 208 | 56.0 | 31 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | E |  | 65.0 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SEL | N/A |  |  | 45 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | F | 1420 | 175.9 | 319 |
|  |  |  |  | S8R | F | 1420 | 174.5 | 12 |
|  |  |  | - | roach | F |  | 175.8 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | E |  | 75.0 |  |

' $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ " represents movements that are not allowed, or do not exist

2030 Baseline PM

| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Baseline PM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Intersection | Trafic Control | Approach | Movement | Los | Max Queue (fent) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & \text { (sec) } \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 8 | Sleepy Hollow RoadiAspen Lane | Unsignalized | EB | EBL | A | 62 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | E8R | B | 62 | 11.4 | 37 |
|  |  |  | EB Apporach |  | B |  | 11.4 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | roach | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | B | 215 | 13.6 | 93 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | A | 157 | 6.3 | 217 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | roach | A |  | 8.5 |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBL | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | S8 | SBT | A | 0 | 2.6 | 689 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | A | 0 | 5.6 | 109 |
|  |  |  |  |  | A |  | 3.0 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | B |  | 11.4 |  |
| 9 | Sleepy Hollow Road Castle Place | Unsignalized | E8 | EBL | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ws | WBL | D | 525 | 47.8 | 403 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 524 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | WB Approsch |  | c |  | 27.8 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | A | 6 | 4.1 | 13 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | A | 114 | 5.7 | 218 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | A |  | 8.5 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | B | 286 | 17.1 | 47 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | A | 594 | 6.8 | 383 |
|  |  |  |  | S8R | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | A |  | 7.9 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | c |  | 27.8 |  |
| 10 | Castle Rosd \& Thome RoadLleesturg Pike (VA T) | Signalized | EB | EBL | D | 235 | 47.8 | 29 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | E | 611 | 57.5 | 1259 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | A | 646 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | E8 Approach |  | E |  | 57.3 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | F | 961 | 91.9 | 443 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | D | 498 | 44.6 | 1245 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | D | 498 | 48.7 | 54 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | E |  | 56.7 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | F | 609 | 81.2 | 74 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | F | 609 | 91.7 | 88 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | E | 615 | 62.9 | 223 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | E |  | 73.0 |  |
|  |  |  | S8 | SBL | F | 386 | 112.5 | 91 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | F | 386 | 105.9 | 47 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | E | 391 | 55.8 | 227 |
|  |  |  |  |  | E |  | 76.4 |  |
|  |  |  | SB Apporach |  | E |  | 59.0 |  |
| 11 | Seven Corners Center/Leesturg Pike (VA 7) | Signelized | E8 | EBL | F | 153 | 111.5 | 56 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | F | 1417 | 165.3 | 1382 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | F | 848 | 128.0 | 35 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | F |  | 162.4 |  |
|  |  |  | w | WBL | E | 232 | 67.0 | 85 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | 8 | 428 | 16.1 | 1277 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 35 | 2.9 | 26 |
|  |  |  | WB Approech |  | B |  | 19.0 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | F | 471 | 209.7 | 286 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | F | 471 | 110.7 | 47 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | A | 471 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | F |  | 195.7 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | F | 198 | 82.5 | 118 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | E | 198 | 73.5 | 65 |
|  |  |  |  | S8R | 8 | 178 | 15.7 | 157 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | D |  | 49.9 |  |
|  |  |  |  | LOS | F |  | 98.3 |  |
| 12 | Patrick Henry Drivel.eesburg Pike (VA7) | Signalized | EB | EBL | F | 567 | 153.7 | 168 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | E | 566 | 62.7 | 1309 |
|  |  |  |  | EB Approach |  | D | 48 | 51.6 | 19 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | E |  | 72.8 |  |
|  |  |  | we | WBL | F | 455 | 137.6 | 126 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | E | 788 | 61.5 | 1010 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | E | 793 | 59.3 | 338 |
|  |  |  | wB Approsch |  | E |  | 67.5 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | F | 452 | 115.1 | 125 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | F | 427 | 115.9 | 42 |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approsch | F | 422 | 116.2 | 70 |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | F | 1659 | 141.2 | 443 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | F | 1659 | 196.9 | 33 |
|  |  |  |  | S8R | F | 1660 | 144.3 | 260 |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | F |  | 144.8 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | F |  | 86.7 |  |

' $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ " represents movements that are not allowed, or do not exist

2030 Baseline PM

| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Baseline PM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Movement | Los | Max Queue (fest) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & \text { (sec) } \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| ${ }^{13}$ | Aflington Boulevard service road/Arlington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | ${ }^{\text {EB }}$ | EBL | D | 687 | 45.5 | 52 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | 8 | 687 | 14.6 | 2167 |
|  |  |  |  | E8R | B | 687 | 16.0 | 60 |
|  |  |  | EB Approach |  | B |  | 15.4 |  |
|  |  |  | w | WBL | A | 1677 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | E | 1677 | 76.3 | 2484 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | E | 1677 | 69.6 | 34 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | E |  | 76.2 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | E | 309 | 64.5 | 30 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | E | 309 | 73.1 | 76 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | D | 284 | 44.7 | 110 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | E |  | 57.5 |  |
|  |  |  | S8 | SBL | F | 471 | 114.4 | 134 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | F | 471 | 108.6 | 19 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | F | 471 | 87.0 | 20 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | F |  | 110.6 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | D |  | 49.8 |  |
| 14 | Patrick Henry Drive/Arrington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | EB | EBL | F | 290 | 157.7 | 132 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | F | 1676 | 151.3 | 2030 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | F | 1670 | 167.8 | 32 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | F |  | 151.9 |  |
|  |  |  | w | WBL | F | 1695 | 272.7 | 168 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | F | 1695 | 124.4 | 2167 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | F | 1693 | 123.8 | 118 |
|  |  |  | WB Approsch |  | F |  | 134.4 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | F | 347 | 191.6 | 85 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | E | 355 | 55.2 | 269 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | D | 305 | 45.9 | 64 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | F |  | 81.5 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | F | 1266 | 314.1 | 222 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | F | 1284 | 298.5 | 538 |
|  |  |  |  | S8R | F | 1264 | 141.1 | 15 |
|  |  |  | S8 Approach |  | F |  | 299.9 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall Los |  | F |  | 154.4 |  |
| 15 | John Marshall DivielPatrick Hency Drive \& Wilston Divive | Signalized | EB | EBL | F | 990 | 87.7 | 11 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | F | 990 | 115.2 | 383 |
|  |  |  |  | EB Approach |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | F |  | 114.4 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | 8 | 225 | 13.9 | 221 |
|  |  |  |  | Wer | 8 | 1025 | 12.6 | 243 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | 8 |  | 13.2 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | F | 850 | 195.5 | 308 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | F | 861 | 169.6 | 22 |
|  |  |  |  |  | F |  | 198.7 |  |
|  |  |  | SB Apporoach |  | F |  | 96.9 |  |
| 16 | John Marshall Drive \& N. Mckinley Roadwiison Boutevard | Signelized | E8 | EBL | c | 175 | 31.1 | 119 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | c | 253 | 24.7 | 475 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | D | 265 | 48.8 | 12 |
|  |  |  | EB Approach |  | c |  | 28.4 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | F | 1574 | 234.4 | 205 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | F | 1571 | 1120 | 453 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | F | 1572 | 111.6 | 65 |
|  |  |  | WB Approzch |  | F |  | 146.7 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | E | 420 | 76.6 | 52 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | D | 420 | 43.8 | 53 |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach |  | c | 423 | 33.3 | 133 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | D |  | 45.1 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | c | 50 | 32.5 | 16 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | E | 258 | 74.2 | 102 |
|  |  |  |  | S8R | C | 212 | 25.4 | 153 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | D |  | 44.2 |  |
|  |  |  |  | LOS | E |  | 77.8 |  |
| 17 | Peyton Randolph Dive/Wilson Bouleverd | Signalized | EB | EBL | B | 606 | 11.6 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | c | 606 | 29.6 | 458 |
|  |  |  |  | E8R | 0 | 614 | 39.0 | 432 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | c |  | 34.1 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | c | 83 | 30.7 | 37 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | c | 430 | 29.8 | 611 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | c | 467 | 31.0 | 8 |
|  |  |  | WB Approsch |  | c |  | 29.9 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL NBT | E | 451 | 78.8 0.0 | 156 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | D | 354 | 51.4 | 52 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | E |  | 72.0 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | D | 112 | 46.5 | 94 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | A | 112 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | S8R | B | 120 | 13.9 | 20 |
|  |  |  | Overall Los |  | D |  | 40.8 37.7 |  |

' $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ " represents movements that are not allowed, or do not exist

2030 Baseline PM

| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Baseline PM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Movement | Los | Max Queve (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & (\mathrm{sec}) \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| ${ }^{18}$ | Roosevell BoulevardWilison Boulevard | Signelized | EB | EBL | c | 319 | 32.7 | 215 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | 8 | 212 | 17.8 | 344 |
|  |  |  |  | EApproach | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | wB |  | c |  | 23.6 |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | D | 557 | 44.9 | 484 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | c | 597 | 32.5 | 287 |
|  |  |  | wB Approach |  | D |  | 40.3 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | S8 | SBL | F | 1141 | 115.4 | 542 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | F | 1142 | 131.2 | 197 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | F |  | 119.6 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | E |  | 63.2 |  |
| 19 | Rooseveit BoulevardN. Rossevelt Street | Signalized | EB | EBL | c | 121 | 31.4 | 62 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 121 | 0.0 |  |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | D | 121 | 50.7 | 24 |
|  |  |  | EB Approach |  | D |  | 36.8 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | A | 28 | 9.5 |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | A | 11 | 29 |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 29 | 0.0 |  |
|  |  |  | WB Approsch |  | A |  | 9.1 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | c | 87 | 30.2 | 38 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | A | 180 | 97 | 507 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | A | 180 | 7.1 |  |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | B |  | 11.0 |  |
|  |  |  | S8 | SBL | A | 888 | 0.0 |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | c | 888 | 31.8 | 697 |
|  |  |  |  | S8R | c | 889 | 29.9 | 336 |
|  |  |  | S8 Approach |  | c |  | 31.2 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | c |  | 23.8 |  |
| 20 | Aflington Blvo WB/Wilson Blvd | Signalized | EB | EBL | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 255 | 6.7 | 472 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | EB Approach |  | A |  | 6.7 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | F | 898 | 203.9 | 674 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | F |  | 203.9 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | F | 1187 | 483.4 | 129 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | A | 1187 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | F |  | 418.5 |  |
|  |  |  | S8 | SBL | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | F |  | 119.1 |  |
| 21 | Sleepy Hollow Rdi/Wison Blvadiroad Stuarlington Blvd EB | Signslized | EB | EBLU to 7 | F | 9 | 143.3 | 12 |
|  |  |  |  | E8L to Wison | F | 1634 | 124.1 | 325 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR to Route 7 | F | 1518 | 137.6 | 385 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT to 50 | F | 426 | 132.7 | 112 |
|  |  |  | EBApprosch |  | F |  | 131.8 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBR from Sleepy | c | 71 | 26.5 | 29 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | E | 700 | 75.4 | 1500 |
|  |  |  |  | BR from 7 to Wilson | E | 700 | 75.5 | 59 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR from 7 to 50 | F | 701 | 86.3 | 7 |
|  |  |  | NB Approsch |  | E |  | 74.5 |  |
|  |  |  | S8 | S8L to Wilson | D | 252 | 38.5 | 80 |
|  |  |  |  | S8T to Route 7 | c | 252 | 28.5 | 849 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR to Sleepy | c | 252 | 24.5 | 369 |
|  |  |  |  | Sel to 50 | D | 252 | 45.3 | 432 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | c |  | 32.3 |  |
|  |  |  |  | all LOS | E |  | 68.3 |  |
| 22 | Broad St WBIACrington Blivd WB | Signalized | WB | WBL | F | 356 | 154.6 | 292 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | E | 356 | 68.5 | 447 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | c | 421 | 28.1 | 47 |
|  |  |  | WBApprosch |  | A | 357 | $\frac{98.1}{8.2}$ | 1127 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT ${ }_{\text {NBU }}$ | A | 283 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | B | 283 | 11.8 | 383 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | A |  | 9.1 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | D |  | 39.4 |  |

' $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ " represents movements that are not allowed, or do not exist

2030 Baseline PM

| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Bascline PM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Intersection | Traftic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queve (feet) | Delay (sec) | Volumes |
| 23a | Broad St EB/Arington Blivd WB | EB |  | EBL | NA |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 128 | 7.4 | 1488 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | NA |  |  |  |
|  |  | Unsignalized | EB Approach |  | A |  | 74 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | NA |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | NA |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | NA |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | NA |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | NA |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | N/ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | D | 224 | 52.0 | 287 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | NA |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | D |  | 52.0 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | B |  | 14.6 |  |
| 23b | Broad St EB/AFrington Blivd WB | Signalized | EB | EBL | NA |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 180 | 1.5 | 1489 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | A | 188 | 2.0 | 40 |
|  |  |  | EB Approach |  | A |  | 1.5 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | NA |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | NA |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | NA |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | B | 263 | 10.8 | 830 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | B |  | 10.8 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall Los |  | A |  | 7.1 |  |
| $24^{*}$ | Broad St Eb/rillwood Ave | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | WN/A | WN/A | HN/A |  |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | F | 970 | 162.4 | 829 |
|  |  |  | EB Approach |  | F | 970 | 162.4 | 829 |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | 410 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | HN/A | WN/A | HN/A |  |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | IN/A |  | IN/A |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | F | \#N/A | 195.4 | 660 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | F | \#N/A | 195.4 | 660 |
|  |  |  | Overall Los |  | F |  | 177.3 |  |

* LOS, queve length, and delay for the W8 movement at this intersection are not reported because the movement is related to the signal operations at intersections 22 and 236 .

| 2030 Scenario 1 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Sconario 1 AM |  |  |  |
| No. | Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queue (feet) | Delay (sec) | Volumes |
| 1 | S. Cherry StreevArlington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | EB | EBL | F | 787 | 184.1 | 139 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | c | 1497 | 29.6 | 2980 |
|  |  |  |  | BAPprosech | C | 1497 | 21.0 | 35 |
|  |  |  | w8 |  | 0 |  | 36.3 |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | B | 940 | 18.5 | 2127 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | B |  | 18.5 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | F | 403 | 335.4 | 81 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | F | 403 | 125.5 | 5 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | A | 405 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | F |  | 323.2 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | F | 217 | 137.7 | 6 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | A | 217 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | c | 217 | 20.9 | 54 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | c |  | 326 33.9 |  |
| 2 | S. Chery Streethillwood Avenue | Signalized | EB | EBL | C | 55 | 25.0 | 34 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | 8 | 164 | 13.9 | 249 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | A | 40 | 6.6 | 25 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | B |  | 14.5 |  |
|  |  |  | we | WBL | B | 27 | 11.8 | 6 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | 8 | 259 | 15.9 | 293 |
|  |  |  |  | WBApproach |  | 8 | 261 | 14.9 | 57 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | B |  | 15.6 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL NBT | C | 475 | 329.9 | 35 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | c | 519 | 25.5 | 33 |
|  |  |  | NBAPproach |  | c |  | 29.8 |  |
|  |  |  | S8 | SBL | c | 112 | 29.9 | 22 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {SBT }}$ | 8 | 112 | 164 | 37 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | 8 | 145 | 14.5 | 49 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | 8 |  | 18.3 |  |
| 3 |  |  | Overall LOS |  | 8 |  | 20.0 |  |
|  | S. Chery Streeve. Broad Street (VA 7) | Signalized | E8 | EBT | A | 254 | 21.7 | 587 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | A | 260 | 5.7 | 4 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | B |  | 10.9 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | c | 379 | 22.8 | 13 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | B | 379 | 13.7 | 900 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | 8 | 393 | 16.8 | 24 |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | 8 |  | 13.9 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL NBT | D | 599 | 49.4 53.4 | $\stackrel{76}{252}$ |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach |  | E | 599 | 55.3 | 39 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 52.8 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | E | 231 | 69.8 | 70 |
|  |  |  |  | $\frac{\text { SBT }}{\text { SBR }}$ | E | 231 | $\frac{61.3}{54.4}$ | $\frac{22}{21}$ |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | E | 231 | 54.4 65.3 | 21 |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | c |  | 227 |  |
| 6 | South Street \& S. Roosevelt Streethillwood Avenue | Signalized | E8 | EBL | B | 58 | 15.5 | 48 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | B | 275 | 16.3 | 233 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | B | 308 | 14.6 | 59 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | B |  | 15.9 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | B | 186 | 14.4 | 80 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | A | 239 | 11.4 <br> 0.0 | 132 |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | B |  | 125 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | C | 160 | 21.6 | 104 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | c | 160 | 20.0 | 49 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | B | 171 | 132 | 23 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | c |  | 20.1 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | 8 | 132 | 17.2 | 20 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | B | 132 | 14.4 | ${ }_{58}$ |
|  |  |  |  | SBApproach | A | 103 | $\begin{array}{r}7.1 \\ \hline 125\end{array}$ | 50 |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | B |  | 153 |  |
| 7 | N. Roosevelt Streete. Broad Street (VA 7) | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#N/A | \#N/ | $\pm$ \#NA |  |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 207 | 7.2 | 693 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApprosch |  | A | 238 | 5.8 | 20 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | A | 450 | 7.2 162 | 106 |
|  |  |  | wb | WBT | 8 | 450 | 17.9 | 833 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 450 | 3.1 |  |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | A |  | 16.9 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL NBT | A | 179 | 0.0.6 | 59 |
|  |  |  | NBApproach ${ }^{\text {NBR }}$ |  | D | 179 | 44.1 | 39 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 47.4 |  |
|  |  |  | S8 | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}^{\text {SBT }}$ | A | 197 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | SER | 0 | 197 | 49.5 | 71 |
|  |  |  | SB Approsch Overall LOS |  | D |  | 47.9 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | B |  | 16.5 |  |


| 2030 Scenario 1 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Sconario 1 AM |  |  |  |
| No. | Intersection | Traficic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queue (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & \text { (sec) } \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 8 | Sleepy Hollow Road/Aspen Lane | Unsignalized | EB | EBL | 8 | 48 | 129 | 17 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | IN/ | TNA | IN/ | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | A | 48 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | EBApprosch |  | B |  | 129 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | 2n/A | and | INA | WN/A |
|  |  |  |  | WET | \#N/A | \#NA | IN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBApproach ${ }^{\text {WBR }}$ |  | INA | INA | INA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2NA |  | \#NA |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | A | 176 | 4.5 | $\stackrel{83}{469}$ |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | A | 118 | 3.2 | 469 |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach |  | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  | 3.4 |  |
|  |  |  | S8 | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}^{\text {SBT }}$ | ANA | \#NA | INA | 3 \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | A | 0 | 1.8 1.3 | 389 |
|  |  |  |  |  | A |  | 1.7 |  |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | B |  | 129 |  |
| 9 | Sleepy Hollow RoadCastle Place | Signalized | EB | EBL | 8 | 0 | 14.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | c | 264 | 21.2 | 442 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | c | 264 | 24.9 | 37 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | B | 129 | 11.1 | 153 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | A | 92 | 2.6 | 455 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 92 | 2.8 | 52 |
|  |  |  | NB | WBApproach | A |  | 4.6 |  |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {NBL }}^{\text {NBT }}$ | F | 418 | 84.5 | 60 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | F | 815 | 80.7 | 371 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | F |  | 81.2 |  |
|  |  |  | S8 | SBL | A | 179 | 0.6 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | C | 179 | 27.5 | 172 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | A | 179 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | c |  | 27.5 |  |
|  |  |  | E8 | Overall LOS | c |  | 305 |  |
| 10 | Castle Road \& Thorne RoadLeesburg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized |  | EBL | c | 133 | 33.4 | 84 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | 0 | 241 | 43.6 | 461 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | D | 241 | 35.9 | 37 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | - |  | $\stackrel{41.6}{ }$ |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | E | ${ }_{1019}^{491}$ | 59.4. | 595 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | E | 1008 | 63.8 36.4 | 170 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | E |  | 612 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | D | 690 | 52.1 | 28 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | D | 690 | 49.0 | 220 |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach |  | D | 690 | 47.0 | 565 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \% | 223 | 47.7 | 66 |
|  |  |  | S8 | SBT | F | 223 | 92.7 | 27 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | F | 223 | 99.4 | 6 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | F |  | 95.6 55 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | E |  | 55.5 |  |
| 11 | Seven Comers CenteriLeesburg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized | E8 | EBL | E | 196 | 57.0 | 92 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | O | 432 | 41.7 | 997 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | D |  | 25.9 | 36 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 147 | 459 | 91 |
|  |  |  | wB | WBT | A | 462 | 9.8 | 1638 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 29 | 1.5 | 19 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | B |  | 11.6 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | ${ }_{\text {NBL }}^{\text {NBT }}$ | F | 1081 | 428.9 153 | 156 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | E | 1081 | 75.3 | 42 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | F |  | 349.0 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | F | 186 | 80.0 | 132 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {SBT }}$ | E | 188 | 71.7 | $\stackrel{9}{56}$ |
|  |  |  |  | SBApprosch | E | 81 | $\frac{132}{60.6}$ | 56 |
|  |  |  |  | LOS | 0 |  | 46.0 |  |
| 12 | Patrick Henry DivelLeesburg Pike (VA7) | Signalized | EB | EBL | F | 439 | 104.2 | 129 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | B | 416 | 19.3 | 1050 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | 8 | 12 | 17.1 | 4 |
|  |  |  | EBAppraach |  | c |  | 28.5 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | F | 149 | 81.7 | 91 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | E | 1476 1480 | 79.1 | $\stackrel{1546}{273}$ |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | E | 1480 | 75.0 | 273 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | E | 433 | 682 | 109 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | E | 443 | 70.8 | 58 |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach |  | E | 365 | 69.4 | 111 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | E | 230 | 69.2 76.8 | 170 |
|  |  |  | SB | SBT | E | 230 | 75.3 | 35 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | 0 | 186 | 43.6 | 95 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach Overall LOS |  | E |  | 66.1 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | E |  | 60.8 |  |


| 2030 Scenario 1 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Sconario 1 AM |  |  |  |
| No. | Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queue (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & \text { (sec) } \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 13 | Arlington Boulevard service road/Arlington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | EB | EBL | 0 | 894 | 467 | 52 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 894 | 7.3 | 3005 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | 8 | 894 | 14.7 | 29 |
|  |  |  | wB | BApprosch | A |  | 8.1 |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | A | 420 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | A | 420 | 6.7 | 2098 |
|  |  |  |  | WBApproach |  | A | 420 | 8.2 | 157 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  | ${ }^{6.8}$ |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | F | 243 | 84.8 | 70 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | F | 243 | 136.7 <br> 794 | 39 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | F |  | 99.0 |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBL | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | S8 | SBT | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | A | 41 | 8.7 | 4 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | A |  | 8.7 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | A |  | 9.8 |  |
| 14 | Patrick Henry Divive/Artington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized |  | EBL | F | 214 | 125.4 | 73 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | D | 1645 | 54.5 48.1 | $\frac{2671}{35}$ |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | E |  | 563 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | F | 627 | 942 | 107 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | C | 627 | 28.0 | 1836 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | c | 670 | 25.5 | 187 |
|  |  |  |  | oach | c |  | 29.4 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | F | $\frac{36}{837}$ | 104.2 90.7 | $\stackrel{5}{269}$ |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | F | 646 | ${ }^{98.1}$ | 257 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | F |  | 944 |  |
|  |  |  | S8 | SBL | F | 1234 | 504.6 | 200 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | F | 1233 | 352.3 | 142 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | F | 1233 | 168.0 | 13 |
|  |  |  |  |  | F |  | 431.4 |  |
|  |  |  | SB Aproach |  | E |  | 712 |  |
| 15 | John Marshall Dive/Patrick Henry Dive \& Willston Dive | Signalized | E8 | EBL | D | 664 | 52.7 | 345 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | $\underset{\text { IN }}{\text { E }}$ | ${ }_{\text {In }}^{664}$ | 555 | ${ }^{346}$ \#N/A |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | E |  | 55.3 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | \#N/ | TNA | IN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | C | 306 | 26.8 13.4 | 286 |
|  |  |  |  | WB Approach |  | ${ }_{\text {c }}$ | 675 | 13.4 | 251 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | INA | \#NA | INA | UN/A |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | \#N/A | \#N/ | \#N/ | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | INA | INA | anA | WN/A |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | S8 | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}^{\text {SBT }}$ | - | 242 | 43.0 | 122 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | 0 | 254 | 39.5 | 58 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | D |  | 41.8 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | 0 |  | 35.9 |  |
| 16 | John Marshall Drive \& N. Mckinley Road/Wison Boulevard | Signalized | E8 | ${ }_{\text {EBL }}$ | ${ }^{8}$ | 102 | 19.9 | 62 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {EBT }}^{\text {EBR }}$ | ${ }_{8}$ | 469 | 20.7 134 | ${ }^{874}$ |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach | B | 480 | 13.4 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | E | 192 | 55.8 | 100 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | c | 199 | 25.2 | 308 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | C | 209 | 23.6 | 86 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | C | 587 | 31.1 601 | 97 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | E | 587 | 50.6 | 174 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | 0 | 589 | 49.3 | 160 |
|  |  |  | NBApproach |  | D |  | 522 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}$ | - | 132 | 38.3 | ${ }_{38} 8$ |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | A | 88 | $\frac{24.1}{8.2}$ | 116 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | c |  | 21.2 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | C |  | 297 |  |
| 17 | Peyton Randolph Drive/Wilson Boulevard | Signalized | EB | EBL | 8 | 660 | 157 | 7 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | C | 6668 | 27.1 20.3 | 887 368 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | c |  | 25.1 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | 8 | 86 | 19.9 | 47 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | A | 188 | $\frac{11.7}{0.0}$ | ${ }^{475}$ |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | A | 225 | 124 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | E | 421 | 67.0 | 162 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | A | 421 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach | E | 332 | 41.0 | 41 |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | 0 | 47 | 53.0 | 14 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | A | 47 | 0.0 30.5 | $\frac{0}{2}$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SBApproach } \\ & \text { Overall LOS } \end{aligned}$ |  | - | 54 | 30.5 50.2 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | c |  | 25.7 |  |


| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Scenario 1 AM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Intersection | Trafic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queue (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & \text { (sec) } \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 18 | Roosevell BoulevardWilson Boulevard | Signalized | EB | EBL | C | 702 | 26.5 | 649 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | B | 798 | 14.6 | 834 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | and | \#NA | INA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | EBApprosch |  | 8 |  | 19.8 |  |
|  |  |  | we | WBL | INA | anA | INA | WN/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | c | 353 | 337 | 274 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | c | 392 | 20.9 | 369 |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | C | mNa | 263 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | IN/A | INA | INA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | S8 | SBL | 0 | 419 | 45.1 | 425 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | \#N/ | TN/ | \#N/ | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBApproach |  | D | 424 | 42.9 | 238 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 443 |  |
|  |  |  | E8 | LOS | c |  | 27.1 |  |
| 19 | Roosevelt BoulevardiN. Roosevelt Street | Signalized |  | EBL | c | 216 | 27.7 | 175 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 216 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | BBApproach | C | 216 | 37.0 | 11 |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 8 | 1.4 | 4 |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | A |  | 1.4 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | ${ }_{\text {NBL }}^{\text {NBT }}$ | - | ${ }^{94}$ | 38.6 <br> 126 | $\stackrel{58}{1056}$ |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | 8 | 352 | 12.6 11.3 | 1056 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | B |  | 13.9 |  |
|  |  |  | S8 | SBL | A | 263 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | 8 | 263 | 134 | 679 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | B | 264 | 11.3 | 77 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  | 132 |  |
|  |  |  | Overail LOS |  | 8 |  | 14.9 |  |
| 20 | Arlington Bivd WB/Wison Bivd | Signalized | E8 | EBL | IN/ | aNA | 9NA | WN/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 370 | 2.7 | 1343 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | INA | IN/A | IN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { A }}{\text { A }}$ | \#N/ | 2.7 | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | WB | WBT | INA | INA | INA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 173 | 6.2 | 554 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | A |  | 6.2 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | ANA | UNA | ENA | UN/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | F | 431 317 | 123.8 <br> 112.5 | 286 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | F | 317 | 112.5 | 31 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | F |  | 122.7 |  |
|  |  |  | S8 | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}$ | INA | INA | INA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | INNA | \#N/A | INNA | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  |  | INA |  | INA |  |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | 8 |  | 13.6 |  |
| 21 | Sleepy Hollow RdWilson Blvd/Broad StAArington Blvd EB | Signalized |  | EBLU to 7 | E | 537 | 58.7 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  | EBL to Wilson | C | 537 | 29.0 365 | $\begin{array}{r}715 \\ \hline 82\end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | EBR to Route 7 | D | 154 410 | 36.5 48.6 | 82 169 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach | c |  | 33.1 |  |
|  |  |  |  | BR from Sleepy | B | 99 | 12.1 | 53 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | 0 | 680 | 49.1 | 690 |
|  |  |  |  | Strom 7 to Wlison | E | 680 | 76.7 60.1 | $\frac{487}{24}$ |
|  |  |  |  | BR from 7 to 50 | E | 680 | 60.1 58.4 | 24 |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { SB } \\ \text { Broad St } \end{gathered}$ | SBL to Wilson | C | 222 | 25.8 | 5 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT to Route 7 | A | 222 | 5.8 | 408 |
|  |  |  |  | S8R to Sloepy | c | 222 | 21.9 | 172 |
|  |  |  |  | SBApproach | C | 222 | 25.4 162 | 212 |
|  |  |  |  | Los | 0 |  | 382 |  |
| 22 | Broad St WB/ARrington Blvd WB | Signalized | EB | EBL | EiNA | and | ENA |  |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | \#N/A | \#N/ | \#N/ |  |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | 2N/A | 2 anA | IN/A | 224 |
|  |  |  | wB | WBT | ${ }_{8}^{8}$ | 244 | 12.1 | 169 |
|  |  |  |  | WB Approach |  | A | 133 | 5.5 | 446 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  | 8.2 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | - ${ }_{\text {BT from } 7 \text { to }}^{\text {NBU }}$ | $\stackrel{\text { A }}{\text { \# }}$ | 73 | $\frac{2.7}{\text { \#N/A }}$ | \%91/ |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | INA | INA | INTA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | A |  | 0.3 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}^{\text {SBT }}$ | \#N/ | \#N/ | \#N/ |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | INNA | IN/A | IN/A |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SB Approach } \\ & \text { Overall LOS } \end{aligned}$ |  | INA |  | aNA |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | A |  | 4.0 |  |


| 2030 Scenario 1 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Sconario 1 AM |  |  |  |
| No. | Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queue (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & \text { (sec) } \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 23 | Broad St EB/Artington Blvd WB | Unsignalized | EB | EBL | \#N/ | \#NA | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | $\frac{\text { a }}{\text { a }}$ A | ${ }^{77}$ | $\frac{1.1}{\square}$ | 708 |
|  |  |  | wB | BApprosch | A |  | 1.1 |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | INA | INA | INA | WN/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#NA | \#NA | IN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | INA | TNA | INA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | oach | \#NA |  | N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | \#NA | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | INNA | INA | IN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | \#N/A | \#N/ | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBL | A | 127 | 1,1 | 224 |
|  |  |  | S8 | SBT | \#N/ | TNA | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | INA | INA | IN/A | WN/A |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | A |  | 1.1 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | A |  | 1.1 |  |
| 23 | Broad St Ex/Arington Blvd WB | Signalized | E8 | EBL | \#NA | aNA | aNA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 136 | 2.6 | 708 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | A |  | 2.6 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | INA | mNA | ENA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | ANA | aNA | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | \#NA | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | 2NA |  | and |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | PNA | \#N/ | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | IN/ | IN/A | IN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | \#NA | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | \#NA |  | \#N/ ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
|  |  |  | S8 | SBL | and | aNA | 2N/A | WN/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | B | 119 | 17.3 | 169 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | INA | TN/A | EN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | B |  | 17.3 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | A |  | 4.1 |  |
| 25 | Ring Road at Arigton Blvd EB | Signalized | EB | EBL | A | 802 | 0.0 397 |  |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | D | 802 802 | 39.7 | 702 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | D | 802 | 41.0 | 264 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \#NA | in/ | ANA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | we | WBT | \#N/ | \#NA | TN/ | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | IN/A | INA | INA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | an/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | NB | ${ }_{\text {NBL }}^{\text {NBT }}$ | INA | ${ }^{\text {m N A A }}$ |  | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | F | 4488 | 85.5 73.1 | 330 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | F |  | 87.3 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 203 | 11.9 | 229 |
|  |  |  | SB | SBT | ${ }_{\text {A }}{ }^{\text {A }}$ | 203 | 8.3 | 218 |
|  |  |  |  | SBApprosch | $\stackrel{\text { \# }}{\text { N/A }}$ | \#NA | $\frac{\text { and }}{102}$ | UN/A |
|  |  |  |  | LOS | ${ }^{\circ}$ |  | 45.6 |  |
| 26 | Ring Road at Arigton Blvd WB | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#NA | INA | PN/ | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | INA | INA | IN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | \#NA | aNA | INA | UN/A |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | INA |  | IN/A |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | and | INA | INA | WN/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT WBR | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\text { INA }}$ | ${ }_{\text {m }}^{82}$ | 19,8 | 170 |
|  |  |  |  | WBApproach | $\frac{\text { INA }}{\text { B }}$ | TNA | ITNA 19.8 | WN/A |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | A | 198 | 5.9 | 329 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | A | 104 | 6.3 | 158 |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach |  | \#N/A | \#NA | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { A }}{\text { A }}$ |  | $\stackrel{6.0}{\text { \#N/ }}$ | WN/A |
|  |  |  | SB | SBT | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 211 | 17.0 | 447 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | c | 211 | 29.8 | 14 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | 8 |  | 174 |  |
| 27 | Ring Road at E. Broad St | Signalized | EB | Los | BNA | INA | 128 | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | C | 410 | 23.5 | 677 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | c | 410 | 28.4 | 55 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | C |  | 237 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | - | 4447 | 35.9 12.6 | 148 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | 8 | 447 | 10.1 | 55 |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | 8 |  | 15.6 <br> 478 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL NBT | A | 128 | 47.8 0.0 | 37 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | A | 128 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | 0 |  | 47.8 |  |
|  |  |  | s8 | SBL SBT | D | 138 138 | 46.6 47.0 | 31 7 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | D | 138 | 423 | 33 |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SB Approach } \\ & \text { Overall LOS } \end{aligned}$ |  | O |  | 44.7 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Sconario 1 AM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queue (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & \text { (sec) } \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
|  |  |  |  | EBL | \#N/ | \#N/ | İN/ | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | EB | EBT | TNA | TNA | IN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | C | 438 | 34.0 | 396 |
|  |  |  |  | roach | C |  | 34.0 |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | INA | INA | INA | UN/A |
|  |  |  | wB | WBT | \#NA | \#N/A | IN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | INA | UNA | INA | \#N/A |
|  | Ring Road at Hillwood Ave |  |  | roach | \#N/ |  | 8NA |  |
| 29 | Ring Rasdathwod Ave | Signalized |  | NBL | B | 124 | 16.9 | 123 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | $\stackrel{\text { C }}{\text { C/A }}$ | ${ }_{\text {H0 }}$ | $\frac{222}{\text { \#12 }}$ | $\stackrel{37}{\text { \#N/A }}$ |
|  |  |  |  | roach | B |  | 182 |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBL | \#NA | \#NA | ENA | UN/A |
|  |  |  | S8 | SBT | c | 223 | 21.1 | $\frac{65}{144}$ |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | c | 223 | 25.3 | 144 |
|  |  |  |  | LOS | c |  | 28.5 |  |


"N/A" represents movements that ore not allowed, or do not exist

"N/A" represents movements that ore not allowed, or do not exist


"N/A" represents movements that ore not allowed, or do not exist


| 2030 Scenario 1 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Scenario 1 PM |  |  |  |
| No. | Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queue (feen) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 29 | Ring Road at Hillwood Ave | Signalized | E8 | EBL | INA | INA | INA | WN/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | \#N/A | \#NA | \#NA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | F | 803 | 139.3 | 430 |
|  |  |  |  | oach | F |  | 139.3 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | $\pm$ N/ | \#N/ | \#NA | N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | IN/A | and | aNA | INA |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | \#N/A | \#NA | \#N/ | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | NB | roach | INA |  | ${ }^{\text {m N }}$ 2 |  |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {NBL }}^{\text {NBT }}$ | C | 244 | 27.9 | 321 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | IN/A | and | and | WN/A |
|  |  |  |  | oach | C |  | 27.9 |  |
|  |  |  | s8 | SBL | IN/A | INA | INA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | 0 | 257 | 50.0 | 207 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | D | 257 | 44.5 | 42 |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SB Approach } \\ & \text { Overall LOS } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | D |  | 49.1 80.9 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Scenario 2 AM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queve (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & (\mathrm{sec}) \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 1 | S. Cherry Streel/arington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | EB | EBL | F | 211 | 180.9 | 67 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | c | 467 | 20.4 | 2767 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | c | 471 | 26.3 | 38 |
|  |  |  |  | oach | C |  | 24.2 |  |
|  |  |  | ws | WBL | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | B | 956 | 15.1 13.1 | 2163 |
|  |  |  |  | roach | B |  | 15.0 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | F | 170 | 135.2 | 41 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | F | 170 | 98.2 | 12 |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach | A | 172 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | SB |  | F |  | 126.8 |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBL | A | 225 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | F | 225 | 94.4 | 8 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | B | 225 | 19.7 | 79 |
|  |  |  |  |  | c |  | 26.6 |  |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | C |  | 21.6 |  |
| 2 | S. Cherry Streethillwood Avenue | Signalized | EB | EBL | C | 42 | 20.1 | 16 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | B | 141 | 14.2 | 217 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | A | 30 | 6.4 | 13 |
|  |  |  | ws | AApproach | B |  | 14.1 |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | B | 199 | 14.6 | 233 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | B | 193 | 13.3 | 7 |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | B |  | 14.5 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | C | 254 | 24.2 | 24 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | c | 254 | 20.4 | 221 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | A | 297 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | NBApprosch |  | c |  | 20.8 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | c | 86 | 26.1 | 18 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {SBT }}$ | A | $\stackrel{86}{120}$ | 9.0 13.6 | 50 |
|  |  |  | Sbapproach |  | B |  | 13.5 |  |
|  |  |  | EB | LOS | B |  | 16.2 |  |
| 3 | S. Cherry StreetE. Broad Street (VA 7) | Signalized |  | EBL | B | 209 | 15.7 | 59 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 209 | 8.0 | 578 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | A | 215 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | WB | E8Approach | A |  | 8.7 |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | A | 338 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 338 | 11.7 0.0 | 1007 |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | B |  | 11.7 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | D | 476 | 52.3 | 21 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | D | 476 | 51.4 | 198 |
|  |  |  |  | NBApproach |  | D | 476 | 55.4 | 67 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | D |  | 51.9 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}$ | E | 248 | 56.8 51.3 | 75 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {SBT }}^{\text {SBR }}$ | D | 248 | 51.3 | 50 |
|  |  |  |  |  | D | 248 | 51.7 | 20 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | B |  | 19.3 |  |
| 6 | South Street \& S. Roosevelt Street/Hillwood Avenue | Signalized | EB | EBL | B | 63 | 14.8 | 51 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | B | 278 | 15.5 | 229 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | A | 311 | 0.0 15.4 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | B |  | 15.4 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | B | 160 188 | 11.7 10.7 | 62 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 204 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | WB Approsch |  | B |  | 11.1 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | C | 254 | 25.5 | 98 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | C | 254 | 22.9 | 107 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | B | 266 | 16.0 | 30 |
|  |  |  | NBApproach |  | C |  | 23.1 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}$ | A | 157 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT SBR | B | 157 120 | 13.4 5.1 | $\frac{118}{54}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | B |  | 10.8 |  |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | B |  | 15.8 |  |
| 7 | N. Roosevelt Streete. Broad Street (VA T) | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {EBT }}^{\text {EBR }}$ | B | 278 | 10.2 | 711 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | A | 309 | 8.8 | 23 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | C | 578 | 32.2 | 101 |
|  |  |  | wB | WBT | C | 578 | 27.0 | 951 |
|  |  |  |  | WB Approach |  | c | 578 | 27.0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | C |  | 27.4 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | D | 258 | 50.0 | 19 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | D | 258 | 46.4 42.7 | $\frac{75}{62}$ |
|  |  |  |  | NBApproach ${ }^{\text {NBR }}$ | D | 258 | 42.7 | 62 |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}$ | D | 191 | 51.8 | 14 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | D | 191 | 51.8 | 48 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | D | 191 | 50.1 51.0 | 56 |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | C |  | 24.3 |  |
| 8 | Sleepy Hollow Road/Aspen Lane | Unsignalized | EB | ${ }_{\text {EBL }}$ | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | WN/A | WN/A | HN/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | E8R | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | \#N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ws | WBL | $\xrightarrow{\text { \#N/ }}$ | WN/A | \#N/A | INA |
|  |  |  |  | WBApproach |  | HN/A | WN/A | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL NBT | A | 204 157 | 5.3 3.3 | 114 433 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#\#N/A | iNA |
|  |  |  | NBApproach |  | ${ }_{\text {A }}$ |  | 3.7 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | $\frac{\text { SBL }}{\text { SBT }}$ | \#N/A | \#N/A | ${ }_{1}^{\text {\#N/A }}$ | $\frac{\text { and }}{400}$ |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | A | 0 | 1.9 | 30 |
|  |  |  |  |  | A |  | 1.8 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | A |  | 3.7 |  |

"N/A" represents movements that ore not allowed, or do not exist

| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Scenario 2 AM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queve (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & (\mathrm{sec}) \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 9 | Sleepy Hollow Roadicaste Place | Signalized | EB | EBL | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | c | 235 | 20.9 | 370 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | $\stackrel{\text { c }}{\text { C/ }}$ | 235 | 21.5 | 57 |
|  |  |  | ws | WBL | B | 153 | 11.3 | 184 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | A | 87 | 2.3 | 421 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 87 | 2.8 | 81 |
|  |  |  |  | roach | A |  | 4.8 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | D | 340 | 52.5 | 65 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | A | 340 523 | 0.0. | 307 |
|  |  |  | NBApproach |  | D |  | 45.8 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | A | 182 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | C | 182 | 28.2 | 151 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | A | 182 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | C |  | 28.2 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | c |  | 20.5 |  |
| 10 | Castle Road \& Thome Roadleesburg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized | EB | EBL | C | 97 | 28.9 | 76 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | D | 256 | 38.9 | 630 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | C | 256 | 34.8 | 51 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | D |  | 37.6 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | E | 337 | 58.5 | 495 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | E | 947 | 55.7 | 1104 |
|  |  |  |  | WBApproach | E | 997 | 54.9 56.5 | 122 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | D | 596 | 54.3 | 9 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | D | 596 | 48.5 | 178 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | D | 596 | 45.6 | 489 |
|  |  |  | NBApproach |  | D |  | 46.5 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | F | 336 | 83.3 | 93 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | D | 336 | 35.5 | 139 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | C | 336 | 33.9 | 176 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | D |  | 45.7 |  |
| 11 | Seven Corners CenterLeesburg Pike (VA T) | Signalized | EB | EBL | E | 266 | 55.9 | 78 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | D | 498 | 38.1 | 1123 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | C | 77 | 20.2 | 19 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | D |  | 40.0 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | C | 93 | 29.0 | 61 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | A | 404 | 9.2 | 1513 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 22 | 1.2 | 18 |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | A | 308 | $\stackrel{9.8}{126.1}$ | 127 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | A | 308 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | NBApproach |  | E | 308 | 72.7 | 44 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | F |  | 1124 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | E | 178 | 75.0 | 94 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT SBR | A | 178 | 0.0 8.6 | 0 |
|  |  |  | SBApprasch |  | A |  | 87.7 57 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | C |  | 29.5 |  |
| 12 | Patrick Henry Drive/Leesburg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized | EB | EBL | F | 433 | 124.7 | 127 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | B | 491 | 20.0 | 1131 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApprosch |  | B | 18 | 18.9 | 8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | C |  | 30.5 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | E | $\stackrel{150}{1467}$ | 72.7 | ${ }_{1}^{81} 83$ |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | E | 1472 | 69.1 | 391 |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | E |  | 72.2 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | E | 42 | 74.0 | 115 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | E | 447 | 75.8 | 69 |
|  |  |  |  | NBApproach |  | E | 417 | 74.2 | 109 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | E |  | 74.5 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | F | 344 | 84.9 89.4 | 260 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | D | 145 | 45.4 | 46 |
|  |  |  |  |  | E |  | 79.6 |  |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | E |  | 59.1 |  |
| 13 | Arington Boulevard service road/Asrington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | EB | EBL | C | 887 | 34.5 | 59 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 887 | 6.6 | 2860 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach | A | 887 | 9.8 | 17 |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | A | 419 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | ws | WBT | A | 419 | 6.9 | 2099 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 419 | 8.2 | 155 |
|  |  |  |  | roach | A |  | 7.0 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL NBT | F | ${ }_{641}^{641}$ | 83.2 | 47 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | F | 660 | 241.9 | 108 |
|  |  |  |  | roach | F |  | 193.2 |  |
|  |  |  | SB |  | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | SB | SBT SBR | A | 0 | 0.0 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | roach | \#N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Los | B |  | 14.1 |  |
| 14 | Patrick Henry Drive/AArington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | EB | ${ }_{\text {EBL }}^{\text {EBT }}$ | F | $\frac{175}{1648}$ | 1276 | 62 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | D | 1648 1648 | 47.7 | 2550 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | D |  | 49.5 |  |
|  |  |  | ws | WBL | F | 661 | 99.8 | 41 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | C | 661 696 | 28.3 | 1879 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | C | 696 | 30.7 | 130 |
|  |  |  |  |  | F | 92 | 130.5 | 24 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT NBR | F | 809 786 | 108.4 1018 | 386 148 |
|  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {NBApproach }}{ }^{\text {NBR }}$ |  | F |  | 107.6 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}^{\text {SBT }}$ | F | 994 | $\frac{235.3}{150}$ | $\frac{260}{189}$ |
|  |  |  |  | SBT SBR | F | 970 970 | 160.6 157.0 | 188 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach Overall LOS |  | F | 970 | $\underline{2030}$ | 8 |
|  |  |  |  |  | E |  | 57.8 |  |

"N/A" represents movements that ore not allowed, or do not exist

| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Scenario 2 AM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | $\begin{gathered} \text { Max Queue } \\ \text { (feet) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & (\mathrm{sec}) \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 15 | John Marshall Drive/Patrick Henry Drive a Willston Drive | Signalized | EB | EBL | C | 608 | 33.0 | 142 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | C | 608 | 26.3 | 322 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | anA |
|  |  |  | ws | WBL | WN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | INA |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | D | 602 | 44.4 | 453 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | C | 771 | 29.3 | 251 |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | D |  | 39.0 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | \#N/A | WN/A | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | HN/A | ©NA |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  | NBApprosch |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SBL |  | D | 225 | 39.0 | 111 |
|  |  |  | SB | SBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | D | 237 | 44.0 | 46 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | D |  | 30.7 |  |
| 16 | John Marshall Drive \& N. Mckiniey RoadWilison Boulevard | Signalized | EB | EBL | B | 109 | 18.3 | 69 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | B | 392 | 19.3 | 714 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | B | 394 | 11.1 | 1 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | B |  | 19.2 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | E | 173 | 55.3 | 89 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | C | 215 | 25.1 | 371 |
|  |  |  |  | WBApproach | C | 226 | 21.9 | 65 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | E | 641 | 56.3 | 89 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | D | 641 | 52.0 | 192 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | D | 641 | 47.5 | 152 |
|  |  |  | NBApproach |  | D |  | 51.3 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | D | 123 | 39.5 | 74 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | C | 70 | 25.0 | 39 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | A | 96 | 9.2 | 134 |
|  |  |  |  |  | c |  | 20.8 |  |
|  |  |  | EB | SE Approach | c |  | 29.2 |  |
| 17 | Peyton Randolph Dive/Wison Bouleverd | Signalized |  | ${ }_{\text {EBL }}$ | A | 682 | 31.2 | 776 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | c | 690 | 22.3 | 368 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | C |  | 28.4 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | C | 70 | 23.8 | 42 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | B | 228 | 17.4 | 558 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 265 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | B | 1234 | 17.9 135.6 | 441 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | A | 1234 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | NBApprosch |  | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | F |  | 1356 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | D | 42 | 50.8 | 14 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | A | 42 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | S8R | C | 49 | 27.6 | 2 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | D |  | 47.9 |  |
| 18 | Roosevelt Bouleverd/Wilson Boulevard | Sigralized | EB | EBL | c | 382 | 21.2 | 318 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | B | 425 | 12.3 | 633 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | B |  | 15.3 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | 1 anA |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | C | 519 | 30.9 | 511 |
|  |  |  |  | WB Approach |  | c | 559 | 28.6 | 494 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \#N/A | 29.8 | TNA |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | INA |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | \#N/A | WN/A | HN/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  | NBApproach |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | D | 524 | 49.0 | 509 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | \#N/A | HN/A | \#N/A | $\frac{\text { mNA }}{243}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | D | 530 | $\frac{50.3}{49.4}$ | 243 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | c |  | 30.1 |  |
| 19 | Roosevelt BoulevardiN. Roosevelt Street | Signalized | EB | EBL | C | 343 | 28.9 | 299 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 343 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | D | 343 | 35.6 | 10 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | c |  | 29.2 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | A | 7 | 1.0 | 12 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | A | 6 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | A | 6 | 0.0 1.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | D | 70 | 38.1 | 28 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | B | 285 | 11.7 | 866 |
|  |  |  | NBApprosch ${ }^{\text {NBR }}$ |  | B | 285 | 10.8 | 19 |
|  |  |  |  |  | B |  | 12.5 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}^{\text {SBT }}$ | A | 248 | 11.9 | ${ }_{681}$ |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | B | 249 | 10.4 | 88 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | B |  | 11.7 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | B |  | 14.7 |  |
| 20 | Arrington Blvd WB/Wilson Blvd | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {EBT }}^{\text {EBR }}$ | ${ }_{\text {H }}^{\text {A }}$ / ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 270 | 3.8 HN/A | 849 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | \#N/A | \#N/A | $\stackrel{\text { HN/A }}{3.8}$ | and |
|  |  |  | ws | WBL | HN/A | UN/A | HN/A | ©NA |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | HN/A | HN/A | HN/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | WBApproach |  | A | 263 | 6.5 | 767 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {H }}^{\text {A }}$ | HN/A | 6.5 \# H/A | un/ |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | HN/A | WNA | \#N/A | INA |
|  |  |  | NBApproach ${ }^{\text {N }}$ |  | HN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | aNA |
|  |  |  |  |  | WN/A |  | HN/A |  |
|  |  |  | SB | $\frac{\text { SBL }}{\text { SBT }}$ | \#N/A | $\xrightarrow{\text { \#N/A }}$ | \#N/A | INNA |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | HN/A | \#NA | \#N/A | ENA |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | \#N/A |  | ${ }_{51}^{\text {\#N/A }}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | A |  | 5.1 |  |

"N/A" represents movements that ore not allowed, or do not exist

| Intersection information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Scenario 2 AM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queve (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & \text { (sec) } \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 21 | Sleepy Hollow RdWilison Blvdirioad StAarlington Blva EB | Signalized | EB | EBLU to 7 | A | 553 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | EBL to Wilson | C | 553 | 28.6 | 551 |
|  |  |  |  | E8R to Route 7 | D | 398 | 42.2 | 254 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT 1050 | D | 221 | 46.7 | 202 |
|  |  |  | NB | proach | D |  | 35.7 |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBR from Sleepy | B | 105 | 17.9 | 83 |
|  |  |  |  | $\frac{\text { NBT }}{\text { NR }}$ | E | 626 | 55.6 | $\frac{1097}{131}$ |
|  |  |  |  | NBR from 7 to 50 | C | 627 | 33.4 | 36 |
|  |  |  | SB | proach | D |  | 52.2 |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBL to Wilson | C | 229 | 25.4 | 5 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT to Route 7 | A | 229 | 5.0 | 376 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR to Sliecpy | C | 229 | 24.9 | 152 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | B |  | 16.1 |  |
|  |  |  |  | IILCS | D |  | 37.2 |  |
| 22 | Broad St WB/AArington Blvd WB | Signalized | wB | WBL | B | 306 | 15.3 | 185 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | C | 306 | 20.1 | 348 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | B | 166 | 15.1 | 239 |
|  |  |  |  | pproach | B |  | 17.4 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT from 7 to 7 | A | 137 | 8.8 | 1095 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {NBU }}^{\text {NBL }}$ | \#N/A | WN/A | \#NN/A | INA |
|  |  |  |  | aproach | A |  | 0.4 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | HN/A | WN/A | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {SBT }}^{\text {SBP }}$ | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NNA |  |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | HN/A | \#N/A | INNA |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | A |  | 7.9 |  |
| 23 | Broad St EB/Arrington Blvd WB | Unsignalized | EB | EBL | HN/A | UN/A | HNIA | INA |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | A | 79 | 1.2 | 704 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | HN/A | MN/A | INN/ | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | proach | A |  | 1.2 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | \#N/A | \#N/ | \#N/A | IN/ |
|  |  |  |  | WER | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | INA |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NNA | and |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | \#N/A | HN/A | \#NNA | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | HN/A | WN/A | HNIA | [NA |
|  |  |  | NBApproach |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | A | 130 | 1.3 | 184 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT SBR | \#NNA | $\underset{\text { \#N/A }}{\text { \#N/ }}$ | \#NNA | \#N/ |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | A |  | 1.3 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Lill | A |  | 1.3 |  |
| 23 | Broad St EB/Arington Blvd WB | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#N/A | NN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 131 | 2.7 | 703 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | A |  | 2.7 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | HN/A | \#N/A | HN/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | HN/A | NNA | INVA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WeR | \#NNA | \#N/A | +NNA | INA |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | \#NIA | \#N/A | HN/A | IN/ |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | HN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach |  | HN/A | NN/A | HN/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \#NIA |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | *N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | IN/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | B | 236 | 15.8 | 348 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | B |  | 15.8 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | A |  | 5.2 |  |
| 25 | Ring Road at Arlington Blvd EB | Signalized | EB | EBL | A | 793 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | D | 793 | 37.2 | 687 224 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | D | 793 | 339.7 | 224 |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | WN/A | WN/A | WN/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | aNA |
|  |  |  |  | WB Approach |  | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | F | 434 | 87.2 | 312 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | E | 434 | 74.3 | 36 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | F |  | 84.1 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | B | 203 | 15.4 | 284 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {SBT }}^{\text {SBR }}$ | B | 203 | 10.1 | 203 |
|  |  |  |  | SBApproach | \#N/A | \#N/A | ${ }_{\text {WN/A }}$ | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | Lillos | D |  | 43.2 |  |
| 26 | Ring Road at Arington Blvd WB | Signalized | EB | ${ }_{\text {EBL }}$ | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | IN/ |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {EBT }}^{\text {EBR }}$ | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NN/ | \#N/ |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | HN/A | WNA | \#NN/A | ENA |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | IN/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | B | 96 | 19.2 | 348 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | B | 196 | $\frac{19.2}{6.2}$ | 313 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | A | ${ }^{196}$ | 4.9 | 134 |
|  |  |  |  | NBApproach |  | HN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | INA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  | 5.8 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL SBT | $\stackrel{\text { \#N/A }}{\text { C }}$ | WN/A | $\xrightarrow{\text { \#NN/A }}$ | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | D | 226 | 50.8 | 6 |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SBApproach } \\ & \text { Overall LOS } \end{aligned}$ |  | c |  | 22.3 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | B |  | 15.8 |  |

"N/A" represents movements that ore not allowed, or do not exist

| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Scenario 2 AM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queue (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & (\mathrm{sec}) \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 27 | Ring Road at E. Broad St | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | C | 465 | 22.5 | 694 |
|  |  |  |  | BApproach | C | 465 | 23.3 | 93 |
|  |  |  | ws |  | C |  | 22.6 |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | A | 444 | 9.1 | 101 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | A | 444 | 7.0 | 1177 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | B | 444 | 13.9 | 56 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | A |  | 7.4 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | C | 97 | 27.2 | 27 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | A | 97 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach |  | A | 97 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | c |  | 27.2 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | c | 133 | 32.9 | 8 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | A | 133 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | SBApproach |  | c | 133 | 29.0 | 65 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | C |  | 29.4 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall Los |  | B |  | 13.8 |  |
| 28 | Ring Road at Arlington Blvd EB | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | INA |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | C | 210 | 24.0 | 388 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | B | 210 | 17.2 | 31 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | C |  | 23.5 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | WN/A | WN/A | HN/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | INA |
|  |  |  |  | WB Approach |  | HN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | HN/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | A | 455 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach |  | E | 455 | 60.1 | 370 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | E |  | 60.1 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | HN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | INA |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | B | 230 |  | 307 |
|  |  |  |  | SB Approach | WN/A | WN/A | HN/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  | Overall Los |  | B |  | 13.8 |  |
| 29 | Ring Road at Hillwood Ave | Signalized | EB | EBL | HN/A | WN/A | \#N/A | INA |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | HN/A | HN/A | HN/A | ©NA |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | C | 452 | 34.6 | 400 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | C |  | 34.6 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | WN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | INA |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | HN/A | HN/A | HN/A | TNA |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | HN/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL NBT | B | 85 | 16.3 9.0 | 106 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  | NBApproach |  | B |  | 14.8 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | HN/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | c | 160 | 20.2 | 93 |
|  |  |  |  |  | C | 160 | $\frac{24.8}{22.8}$ | 101 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | c |  | 22.5 |  |
| 30 | Ring Road at Artington Blvd WB | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | INA |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | NN/A | \#N/A | HN/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | ${ }_{\text {H/ }}^{\text {C/A }}$ | 458 | ${ }_{\text {2 }}^{22.3}$ | 309 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | INA |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | c |  | 22.3 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | INA |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | NBApprosch | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | TNA |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | IN/A | WN/A | HN/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {SBT }}^{\text {SBP }}$ | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | INA |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SB Approach } \\ & \hline \text { Overall LOS } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | C |  | 223 |  |


| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Scenario 2 PM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. | Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queve (feet) | Delay ( sec ) | Volumes |
| 1 | S. Cherry Streel/askington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | EB | EBL | F | 127 | 114.5 | 38 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | c | 232 | 23.0 | 2700 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | A | 236 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | ws | WBL | C | 124 | 24.2 | 35 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | c | 1206 | 32.7 | 2826 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | B | 56 | 16.1 | 57 |
|  |  |  | NB | roach | C |  | 33.4 |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | F | 177 | 168.8 | 32 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | A | 177 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | SB | NB Approsch | A | 177 | ${ }^{1688}$ | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | SBL | A | 494 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | A | 494 | ${ }^{0.0}$ | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | F | 494 | 1026 | 192 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | F |  | 1026 |  |
|  |  |  | EB | Overall LOS | C |  | 32.3 |  |
| 2 | S. Cherry Streethillwood Avenue | Signalized |  | EBL | B | 34 | 15.0 | 17 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | B | $\frac{243}{51}$ | ${ }_{8.0}^{13.2}$ | $\frac{366}{39}$ |
|  |  |  |  | roach | A | 51 | 8.0 12.7 |  |
|  |  |  | ws | WBL | B | 35 | 12.4 | 10 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | B | 198 | 13.7 | 307 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | B | 201 | 14.7 13.6 | 6 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | C | 162 | 29.1 | 67 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | C | 162 | 29.2 | 26 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | B | 206 | 16.6 | 72 |
|  |  |  |  | rosch | c |  | 23.6 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | B | 184 | 16.1 | 29 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {SBT }}^{\text {SBR }}$ | B | 184 217 | 18.0 | 87 |
|  |  |  | SbApproach |  | B |  | 14.7 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | B |  | 15.0 |  |
| 3 | S. Cherry StreetE. Broad Street (VA 7) | Signalized | EB | EBL | A | 171 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 171 | 5.2 | 868 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | A | 171 | 5.2 | 42 |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | A |  | 52.2 |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBE | C | 388 | 13.1 | 671 |
|  |  |  |  | WER | B | 409 | 13.5 | 58 |
|  |  |  |  | roach | B |  | 13.9 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | E | 200 | 69.8 | 24 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | E | 200 | 56.6 | $\frac{51}{17}$ |
|  |  |  | NB Approsch |  | E |  | 57.7 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | A | 317 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | D | 317 | 53.8 | 110 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | D | 317 | 51.7 | 88 |
|  |  |  |  |  | D |  | 52.9 |  |
|  |  |  | EB | SB Approach | B | 436 | 15.8 | 132 |
| 6 | South Street \& S. Roosevelt Street/Hillwood Avenue | Signalized |  | EBT | c | 561 | 24.3 | 244 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | C | 594 | 22.3 | 88 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | c |  | 29.2 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | A | 163 | 16.7 <br> 9.1 | 89 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 120 | 0.1 | 0 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | B |  | 13.6 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | E | 494 | 64.3 | 41 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | E | 494 | 64.1 | 110 |
|  |  |  |  | B Approach | E | 505 | 53.1 | 110 |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | C | 380 | 29.0 | 23 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | c | 380 | 21.3 | 337 |
|  |  |  |  | S8R | B | 361 | 13.1 | 187 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | B |  | 18.8 29.5 |  |
| 7 | N. Roosevelt Streeve. Broad Street (VA7) | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#N/A | HN/A | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | D | 432 | 45.4 | 831 |
|  |  |  |  | E8R | D | 463 | 45.0 | 15 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | D |  | 45.4 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBEL | C | 501 | 28.4 | $\underline{226}$ |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | c | 501 | 21.0 |  |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | C |  | 27.9 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | E | 3399 | 78.2 | 39 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT NBR | E | 3399 | $\frac{59.0}{61.4}$ | $\frac{15}{203}$ |
|  |  |  | NBApproach |  | E |  | 63.8 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | F | 887 | 1007 | 6 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT S日R | F | 887 887 | 87.9 | 306 77 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | F | 887 | 88.9 | 7 |
|  |  |  |  |  | D |  | 47.5 |  |
| 8 | Sleepy Hollow Road/Aspen Lane | Unsignalized | EB | EBL | A | 46 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | MN/A | WN/A | WN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach | B | 46 | 11.8 | 20 |
|  |  |  | ws | WBL | WN/A | \#N/A | \% 11.8 | INA |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | \#N/A | MN/A | WN/A | EN/ |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL NBT | C | 3338 | 21.1 | 109 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | HN/A | and |
|  |  |  | NBApproach |  | B |  | 14.7 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL SBT | \#N/A | $\stackrel{\text { \#N/ }}{0}$ | WN/A <br> 2.9 <br> 1 | 8819 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | A | 0 | 7.2 | 149 |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | A |  | 3.6 14.7 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

"N/A" represents movements that ore not allowed, or do not exist

| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Sconario 2 PM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. | Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queve (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & \text { (sec) } \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 9 | Sleepy Hollow Road/Caste Place | Signalized | EB | EBL | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | D | 427 | 54.2 | 556 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | E/A | 427 | 58.3 | 117 |
|  |  |  | ws | WBL | D | 651 | 49.4 | 429 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | C | 514 | 30.0 | 537 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | c | 514 | 24.6 | 77 |
|  |  |  | NB | roach | D |  | 37.6 |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | E | 251 | 73.1 | 46 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | D | 251 | 54.6 | 32 |
|  |  |  |  | NBApproach | D | 312 | 23.8 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | A | 410 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | D | 410 | 36.1 | 375 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | A | 410 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | D |  | 36.1 42.5 |  |
| 10 | Castle Road \& Thome Roadheesburg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized | EB | EBL | E | 397 | 69.3 | 163 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | E | 404 | 59.1 | 760 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | E | 404 | 77.1 | 4 |
|  |  |  |  | oach | E |  | 61.0 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | E | 989 | 62.4 | 662 |
|  |  |  |  | WET | E | 989 <br> 99 | 76.5 <br> 60.4 | 924 218 |
|  |  |  |  | raach | E |  | 69.4 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | F | 644 | 335.2 | 63 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | F | 644 | 3088 | 33 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | E | 644 | 72.2 | 629 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | F |  | 105.9 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | D | 246 | 37.2 | 202 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | C | 246 | 33.4 | 386 |
|  |  |  |  |  | D | 246 | 36.3 35.1 | 191 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | E |  | 66.5 |  |
| 11 | Seven Corners Centerileesburg Pike (VA T) | Signalized | EB | EBL | F | 377 | 85.3 | 51 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | E | 977 | 73.2 | 1425 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | D | 418 | 50.5 | 62 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | E | 108 | $\frac{73.5}{51.3}$ | 43 |
|  |  |  | ws | WBT | B | 457 | 16.2 | 1296 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 53 | 4.7 | 96 |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | B |  | 16.5 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | ${ }_{\text {NBL }}^{\text {NBT }}$ | F | 287 | 96.4 89.6 | $\frac{227}{44}$ |
|  |  |  |  | NBApproach |  | E | 287 | 62.8 | 9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | F |  | 94.2 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | F | 184 | 89.4 | 97 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT SBR | F | 184 | 88.5 20.7 | $\stackrel{67}{165}$ |
|  |  |  |  | SBApproach | C | 185 | 20.7 |  |
|  |  |  |  | LOS | D |  | 51.3 |  |
| 12 | Patrick Henry Drive/Leesburg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized | EB | EBL | F | 120 | 93.7 | 49 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | D | 564 | 49.3 | 1430 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApprosh |  | D | 125 | 41.6 | 37 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | D |  | 50.6 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL WBT | E | 153 | $\frac{77.3}{68.3}$ | ${ }^{70} 122$ |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | E | 895 | 68.4 | 172 |
|  |  |  | WBApprasch |  | E |  | 68.1 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | F | 458 | 164.8 | 93 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | F | 458 | 171.9 | 51 |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach |  | F | 464 | 1455 | 153 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | F | 1529 | 156.1 1017 | 438 |
|  |  |  | SB | SBT | F | 1529 | 111.8 | 92 |
|  |  |  |  | S8R | F | 482 | 87.6 | 136 |
|  |  |  |  |  | F |  | 1002 |  |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | E |  | 73.3 |  |
| 13 | Arrington Boulevard service road/Arrington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | EB | ${ }_{\text {EBL }}^{\text {EBT }}$ | D | 461 | 38.2 | ${ }_{2}^{2418}$ |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 461 | 8.2 | $\frac{2418}{65}$ |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | A |  | 8.5 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | A | 536 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | A | 536 | 9.0 | 2656 |
|  |  |  |  | wB Approach |  | A | 536 | 9.5 | 62 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | A | 263 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | E | 263 | $\frac{77.8}{502}$ | $\frac{82}{54}$ |
|  |  |  |  | NBApproach | D | 219 | 50.2 68.9 | 54 |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | E | 178 | 76.8 | 47 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | E | 178 | 66.6 | 16 |
|  |  |  |  | S8R | A | 176 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | E |  | 74.2 |  |
| 14 | Patrick Henry Drive/AArington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | EB | EBL | F | 95 | 110.8 | 25 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {EBT }}^{\text {EBR }}$ | F | 1581 | 80.1 33.8 | $\stackrel{2329}{150}$ |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach | C | 1671 | 33.8 33.0 | 150 |
|  |  |  | ws | WBL | F | 1044 | 124.6 | 87 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | C | 1044 | 33.7 | 2415 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | C | 1101 | 32.3 | 121 |
|  |  |  | WBAgproach |  | D | 0 | 36.6 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | E | 199 | 55.2 | 173 |
|  |  |  |  | NBApprosch |  | D | 152 | 50.5 | 54 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | D | 1261 | 54.1 227.9 | 347 |
|  |  |  | SB | SBT | F | 1260 | 200.4 | 577 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | F | 1260 | 111.8 | 10 |
|  |  |  |  |  | F |  | 2097 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | E |  | 64.3 |  |

"N/A" represents movements that ore not allowed, or do not exist

| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Sconario 2 PM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. | Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queve (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & (\mathrm{sec}) \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 15 | John Marshall DrivelPatrick Henry Drive a Willston Drive | Signalized | EB | EBL | A | 634 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | D | 634 | 37.7 | ${ }^{438}$ |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | WN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A 37.7 | aNA |
|  |  |  | ws | WBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | HN/A | INA |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | $B$ | 213 | 17.6 | 231 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 325 | 9.3 | 153 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | $\stackrel{\text { \#N/A }}{ }$ | \#N/A | 14.3 <br> W/ $/$ / | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | UN/A | \#N/A | HN/A | INA |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approsch |  | \#N/A | \#N/A | HN/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}$ | E | 577 | 59.4 | 302 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {SBT }}^{\text {SBR }}$ | \#N/A | \#N/A | $\frac{\text { \#\#N/A }}{56.3}$ | 48 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | E |  | 56.3 <br> 59.0 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall Los |  | D |  | 36.1 |  |
| 16 | John Marshall Drive 8 N. McKinley RoadWilison Boulevard | Signalized | EB | EBL | C | 215 | 26.7 | 174 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | B | 265 | 19.4 | 510 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | B | 277 | 15.6 21.0 | 29 |
|  |  |  | ws | WBL | E | 462 | 61.6 | 213 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | C | 462 | 28.1 | 612 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | C | 470 | 24.8 | 76 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | E | 307 | 61.8 | 101 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | D | 307 | 38.4 | 50 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | C | 310 | 26.8 | 69 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | D |  | 45.5 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | c | 54 | 30.9 | 22 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | C | 133 | 32.5 | $\stackrel{85}{155}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | B | 129 | 11.2 | 155 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | c |  | 29.6 |  |
| 17 | Peyton Randolph Dive/Wison Bouleverd | Signalized | EB | EBL | B | 671 | 18.3 | 31 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | C | 671 | 26.2 | 622 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | C | 679 | 30.7 280 | 525 |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | C | 87 | 28.1 | 51 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | B | 396 | 18.6 | 809 |
|  |  |  |  | WER | B | 432 | 16.9 | 19 |
|  |  |  | WBApprosch |  | B |  | 19.1 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | ${ }_{\text {NBL }}^{\text {NBT }}$ | E | 462 | 69.6 | 183 |
|  |  |  |  | NBApproach |  | D | 351 | 51.5 | 33 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | E |  | 66.9 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | D | 116 | 44.0 | 55 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | D | 116 | 43.4 | 13 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | A |  | 0.0 |  |
|  |  |  |  | LOS | C |  | 28.7 |  |
| 18 | Roosevelt Bouleverd/Wilson Boulevard | Signalized | EB | EBL | D | 378 | 36.2 | 248 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {EBT }}^{\text {EBT }}$ | \% | 343 | 19.4 <br> TN/4 | ${ }_{\text {I }}^{517}$ |
|  |  |  |  | BApproach |  | \#N/A | WN/A | \#\#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \#N/A | \#N/A | 24.9 | aNA |
|  |  |  | WB | WBT | c | 533 | 22.7 | 755 |
|  |  |  |  | WBApprasch |  | B | 573 | 18.2 | 228 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | C |  | 21.7 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | WN/A | HN/A | HN/A | INA |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {NBT }}$ | \#N/A | HN/A | HN/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  | NBApproach |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | D | 599 | 43.6 | 657 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | UN/A | \#N/A | HN/A | INA |
|  |  |  |  |  | D | 604 | 54.3 | 348 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | C |  | 32.0 |  |
| 19 | Roosevelt Boulevard/N. Roosevelt Street | Signalized | EB | EBL | C | 149 | 24.4 | 99 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 149 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | c | 149 | 26.4 | 36 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | C | 6 | 24.9 0.7 | 85 |
|  |  |  | wB | WBT | A | 10 | 0.9 | 19 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 3 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | A |  | 0.8 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | ${ }_{\text {NBL }}^{\text {NBT }}$ | C | 69 | 30.8 | 24 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | A | 166 | 7.7 | 27 |
|  |  |  | NBApprosch |  | B |  | 10.6 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | A | 396 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {SBT }}^{\text {SBR }}$ | B | 386 397 | $\frac{12.9}{12.8}$ | 814 |
|  |  |  |  | SB Approach | B | 337 | 12.6 <br> 12.8 | 315 |
|  |  |  |  | LOS | B |  | 12.4 |  |
| 20 | Arrington Blvd WB/Wilson Blvd | Signalized | EB | ${ }_{\text {EBL }}$ | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | aNA |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {EBT }}^{\text {EBR }}$ | \# ${ }_{\text {A }}$ | 170 | $\stackrel{2.1}{\text { \#N/A }}$ | 711 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach | \#N/A | WN/A | $\stackrel{\text { \#N/A }}{2.1}$ | UNA |
|  |  |  | ws | WBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | INA |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | B | 373 | 13.7 | 940 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | \# ${ }_{\text {WN/A }}$ | \#N/A | 13.7 \#N/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | INA |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {NBApproach }}$ |  | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WN/A | WN/A | \#N/A | INA |
|  |  |  | SB | SBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S8 Approach } \\ & \hline \text { Overall LOS } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\xrightarrow{\text { \# N/AA }}$ | HN/A | \#N/A | INA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  | 6.4 |  |

"N/A" represents movements that ore not allowed, or do not exist

| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Scenario 2 PM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queue (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & \text { (sec) } \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 21 | Sleepy Hollow RdWilison Blvdirioad StAarlington Blva EB | Signalized | EB | EBLU to 7 | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | EBL to Wilson | D | 525 | 36.0 | 469 |
|  |  |  |  | E8R to Route 7 | D | 476 | 44.0 | 356 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT 1050 | D | 25 | 44.4 | 85 |
|  |  |  | NB | proach | D |  | 39.9 |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBR from Sleepy | B | 129 | 15.6 | 109 |
|  |  |  |  | $\frac{\text { NBT }}{\text { NR }}$ | D | 514 514 | 42.8 36.8 | 984 205 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR from 7 to 50 | C | 514 | 36.2 | 40 |
|  |  |  | SB | proach | D |  | 39.2 |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBL to Wilson | C | 238 | 31.7 | 5 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT to Route 7 | B | 238 | 17.6 | 447 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR to Sloepy | D | 238 238 | 43.0 | ${ }^{376}$ |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | c |  | 31.3 |  |
|  |  |  |  | IILOS | D |  | 36.5 |  |
| 22 | Broad St WB/AArington Blvd WB | Signalized | wB | WBL | C | 312 | 20.8 | 374 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | C | 312 | 23.8 | 533 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | B | 180 | 16.0 | 36 |
|  |  |  |  | gproach | c |  | 22.3 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT from 7 to 7 | A | 205 | 6.4 | 982 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {NBU }}^{\text {NBL }}$ | ${ }_{\text {\# }}^{\text {\# }}$ A $/$ A | 312 | \#.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | aproach | A |  | 6.4 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | HN/A | WN/A | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {SBT }}^{\text {SBP }}$ | WN/A | \#N/A | \#NNA |  |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | IN/A | \#N/A | INN/A |  |
|  |  |  | Overall Los |  | B |  | 14.2 |  |
| ${ }^{23}$ | Broad St EB/Arrington Blvd WB | Unsignalized | EB | EBL | HN/A | \#N/A | UN/A | INA |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 120 | 3.6 | 943 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | HN/A | \#N/A | INVA | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | proach | A |  | 3.6 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | HN/A | TN/ |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | HN/A | \#N/A | WN/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  |  | WN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | INA |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | \#N/A | HN/A | \#NNA | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | HN/A | WN/A | HNIA | INA |
|  |  |  | NBApproach |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | B | 215 | 12.6 | 373 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | INNA |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {Proach }}^{\text {SBR }}$ | WN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  | SbApproach |  | B |  | $\frac{12.6}{62}$ |  |
| 23 | Broad St EB/Arington Blvd WB | Signalized | EB | EBL | HN/A | MN/A | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | A | 281 | 5.2 | 943 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | A | 20 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | A |  | 5.2 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | HN/A | \#N/A | HN/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | HN/A | NNA | INVA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WeR | \#N/A | \#N/A | +NNA | INA |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | B |  | 15.1 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | HN/A | \#N/A | \#\#N/A | INA |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | HN/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach |  | HN/A | NN/A | NNVA | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | B | 258 | 15.1 | 532 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | B |  | 15.1 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall Los |  | A |  | 8.6 |  |
| 25 | Ring Road at Atlington Blvd EB | Signalized | EB | EBL | E | 855 | 57.4 | 47 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | D | 855 855 | 45.7 <br> 5.1 | 727 334 |
|  |  |  |  | E8Approach | D | 85 | 48.6 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | NT/A | WN/A | WN/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | WN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | aNA |
|  |  |  |  | WBApproach |  | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | IN/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NNA | \#NA |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | F | 546 | 129.3 | 185 |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach |  | F | 546 | 114.3 | 40 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | F |  | 107.3 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | c | 192 | 28.1 | 139 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {SBT }}^{\text {SBR }}$ | A | 192 | 9,0 | 344 |
|  |  |  |  | SBApproach | WN/A | \#N/A | HN/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | Lill | E |  | 56.6 |  |
| 26 | Ring Road at Arrington Blvd WB | Signalized | EB | EBL | HN/A | HN/A | HN/A | INA |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | HN/A | WNA | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | B | 177 | 19.4 | 532 |
|  |  |  |  | WBApproach | \#N/A | \#N/A | \# \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | C | 229 | 27.5 | 367 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | C | 226 | 21.8 | 233 |
|  |  |  |  | NBADProach | $\xrightarrow{\text { HN/A }}$ | WN/A | \#N/A <br> 253 | INA |
|  |  |  | SB | $\frac{\text { SBL }}{\text { SBT }}$ | NN/A | WN/A | HNV/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | D | 237 | 38.2 | 149 |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SBApproach } \\ & \text { Overall LOS } \end{aligned}$ |  | c |  | 27.6 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | c |  | 24.4 |  |

"N/A" represents movements that ore not allowed, or do not exist

| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Scenario 2 PM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queue (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & (\mathrm{sec}) \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 27 | Ring Road at E. Broad St | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 255 | 7.8 | 924 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | A | 255 | 9.6 | 115 |
|  |  |  | ws | roach | A |  | 8.0 |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | D | 507 | 52.2 | 118 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | C | 507 | 26.9 | 874 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | C | 507 | 26.5 | 21 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | C |  | 29.8 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | D | 63 | 40.8 | 19 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | A | 63 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | NBApprosch |  | A | 63 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | D |  | 40.8 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | E | 145 | 65.3 | 17 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | E | 145 | 69.1 | 71 |
|  |  |  |  | SBApproach |  | E | 145 | 60.9 | 43 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | E |  | 66.0 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall Los |  | C |  | 21.8 |  |
| 28 | Ring Road at Arlington Blvd EB | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#N/A | HN/A | HN/A | INA |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 197 | 9.4 | 542 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | c | 197 | 24.0 | 67 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | B |  | 11.0 |  |
|  |  |  | ws | WBL | HN/A | WN/A | HN/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | INA |
|  |  |  |  | WBApproach |  | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | A | 224 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach |  | C | 224 | 27.2 | 316 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | C |  | 27.2 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}^{\text {SBT }}$ | HN/A | \#N/A | HN/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | SBT SBR | ${ }_{\text {INT/A }}^{\text {C }}$ | WN/A | 23.5 | 401 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | c |  | 23.5 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall Los |  | B |  | 18.7 |  |
| 29 | Ring Road at Hillwood Ave | Signalized | EB | EBL | WN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | INA |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | HN/A | HN/A | HN/A | and |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | F | 773 | 1424 | 413 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | F |  | 1424 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | WN/A | INA |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#N/A | WN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WB Approach |  | WN/A | WN/A | HN/A | TNA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | NB | ${ }_{\text {NBL }}^{\text {NBT }}$ | C | 234 | 32.0 | 212 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT NBR | C | $\stackrel{81}{\text { WN/A }}$ | 21,3 | $\stackrel{19}{\text { in/ }}$ |
|  |  |  | NBApproach |  | c |  | 31.1 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | \#N/A | HN/A | HN/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | E | 271 | 57.0 | ${ }^{222}$ |
|  |  |  |  | SBApproach | D | 271 | $\frac{49.8}{55.1}$ | 80 |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | F |  | 87.3 |  |
| 30 | Ring Road at Artington Blvd WB | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | IN/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | INA |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | NN/A | WN/A | IN/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  | E8Approach |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | ${ }_{\text {W }}^{\text {F/ } / \text { A }}$ | ${ }^{851}$ | 83.1 WN/A | ${ }_{\text {T }}$ |
|  |  |  |  | WER | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | INA |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | F |  | 83.1 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | INA |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {NBT }}$ | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBApprosch | \#N/A | WN/A | \#N/A | TNA |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | WN/A | WN/A | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {SBT }}^{\text {SBP }}$ | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | anA |
|  |  |  |  |  | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SB Approach } \\ & \hline \text { Overall LOS } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | F |  | 83.1 |  |


| Intorsection information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Scenario 3 Ah |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Inlersection | Traflic Controd | Approach | Movement | Los | Max Queue (feet) | Delay (sec) | Volumes |
| 1 | S. Cherry StreetArington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | EB | EBL | F | 108 | 204,0 | 31 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | C | 444 | 20.6 | 2804 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | B | 447 | 18.6 | 28 |
|  |  |  |  | oach | - |  | 22.6 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | WET | 8 | 954 | 14.4 | 2106 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 66 | 9.5 | 77 |
|  |  |  | NB | rach | ${ }_{\text {B }}$ |  | 14.2 |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | F | 246 | 328.0 | 42 |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach ${ }^{\text {NBR }}$ | A | 246 | 135.0 | 28 |
|  |  |  | sb |  | F |  | 250.8 |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBL | A | 213 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | A | 213 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | SER | F | 213 | $\frac{103.2}{103.2}$ | 30 |
|  |  |  | Owerall LOS |  | c |  | $\frac{1032}{22.8}$ |  |
| 2 | s. Cherry Streethillwood Avenue | Signalized | EB | E8L | C | 88 | 21.1 | 83 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | 8 | 140 | 14.6 | 201 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | A | 30 | 6.9 | 12 |
|  |  |  |  | agh | 8 |  | 16.1 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WEL | 8 | ${ }^{56}$ | 14.9 | 26 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | 8 | 215 | 16.0 15.0 | $\frac{271}{13}$ |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | B |  | 15.8 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | C | 197 | 20.3 | 29 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | 8 | 197 | 18.5 | 176 |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approsch |  | A | 240 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | B |  | 18.8 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | A | 70 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | A | 70 | 99 | 36 |
|  |  |  |  | SER | A | 104 | 59 9.1 | 8 |
|  |  |  |  | Los | ${ }_{\text {A }}$ |  | 16.3 |  |
| 3 | S. Cherry Streeve. Broad Street (VA7) | Signalized | EB | EBL | C | 259 | 30.1 | 67 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | 8 | 259 | 10.4 | 565 |
|  |  |  |  | BADporagh | A | 259 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | wB |  | 8 |  | 12.4 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Wel | ${ }_{8}$ | 439 | 26.1 17.9 | $\stackrel{8}{1045}$ |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | $\stackrel{8}{\text { A }}$ | 439 | 17.9 0.0 | 1045 |
|  |  |  | WB Approsch |  | 8 |  | 17.9 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | 0 | 533 | 50.8 | 13 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {NBT }}^{\text {NBR }}$ | E | $\frac{533}{533}$ | $\frac{57.4}{53.6}$ | 175 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | E | 533 | 53.6 55.4 | 152 |
|  |  |  | sB | SBL | F | 336 | 82.9 | 87 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | E | 336 | 73.7 | 36 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | E | 336 | 71.6 | 20 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | E |  | 79.0 |  |
|  |  |  | SB Aporoach |  | C |  | 26.3 |  |
| 6 | South Street \& S. Roosevelt Streetitillwood Avenue | Signalized | EB | EBL | 8 | 90 | $\frac{19.7}{135}$ | 75 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | A | 179 | $\frac{13.5}{0.0}$ | 146 |
|  |  |  | EBADProach |  | B |  | 15.6 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | Wel | 8 | 134 | 13.4 | 48 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | A | 115 | 94 | 62 |
|  |  |  |  | WB Approach | A | 115 | 0.0 11.1 | 0 |
|  |  |  | NB |  | c | 399 | 33.1 | 102 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | 0 | 399 | 37.6 | 150 |
|  |  |  | NBApprosch |  | c | 410 | $\frac{22.7}{337}$ | 50 |
|  |  |  |  |  | C |  | $\frac{33.7}{00}$ |  |
|  |  |  | sB | ${ }_{\text {SBT }}$ | A | 124 | 12.7 | 80 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | A | 121 | 62 | 109 |
|  |  |  | SBADproach |  | A |  | 90 |  |
|  |  |  |  | LOS | c |  | 20.2 |  |
| 7 | N. Roosevell Streeve. Broad Street (VA T) | Signalized | EB | ${ }_{\text {EBL }}^{\text {EBT }}$ | \#N/A | HN/A | HN/A |  |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | A | 3370 | $\frac{12.2}{9}$ | 183 |
|  |  |  | EBADProach |  | B |  | 12.1 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | Wel | c | 506 | 34.1 | 135 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | c | 506 | 20.2 | 1005 |
|  |  |  |  | WB Approach |  | A | 506 | $\stackrel{4.4}{21.3}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | c |  | $\frac{21.3}{497}$ |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | 0 | 347 | 51,4 | 135 |
|  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {NB Approach }}{ }_{\text {NBR }}$ |  | D | 347 | 48,1 | 60 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 50.3 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | A | 170 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { SBT }}{\text { SER }}$ | $\bigcirc$ | 170 | 48.5 44.2 | $\frac{32}{62}$ |
|  |  |  |  | SBApporach | $\frac{0}{0}$ | 170 | 44.2 45 | 62 |
|  |  |  |  | Los | c |  | 21.7 |  |
| 8 | Sleepy Hollow RoadiAspen Lane | Unsignalzed | EB | ${ }_{\text {EBL }}$ | 8 | ${ }^{91}$ | 15.2 | 60 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | UN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  |  | 92 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { B }}{\text { \% }}$ |  | ${ }_{1}^{16.2}$ |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WEL | ${ }_{\text {\#N/A }}^{\text {\#N/A }}$ | ${ }_{\text {\#N }}^{\text {\#N/A }}$ |  | $\xrightarrow{\text { and }}$ |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | \#N/A | \#N/ | HN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | WB Approsch |  | \#N/A |  | HN/A |  |
|  |  |  | NB | ${ }_{\text {NBL }}^{\text {NBT }}$ | A | $\frac{204}{146}$ | 5 | $\frac{120}{428}$ |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | \# A/A | 146 |  | ${ }_{\text {and }}$ |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | A |  | 42 |  |
|  |  |  | sb | SBL | \#N/A | MN/A | HN/A | aN/A |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {SBT }}^{\text {SBR }}$ | A | 0 | $\frac{1.7}{13}$ | $\frac{373}{16}$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SB Approach } \\ & \hline \text { Overall LOS } \end{aligned}$ |  | A |  | $\frac{1.3}{1.7}$ | 16 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | B |  | 16.2 |  |

"N/A ${ }^{\text {r represents }}$ movements thot are not allowed, or do not exist

| Intorsection information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Scenario 3 Ah |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Inlersection | Traflic Controd | Approach | Movement | Los | Max Queve (feet) | Delay (sec) | Volumes |
| 9 | Sleepy Hollow Roadicastle Place | Signalized | EB | EBL | 0 | 563 | 42.0 | 520 |
|  |  |  |  | E8T | c | 369 | 29.8 | 627 |
|  |  |  |  | BApproach | c | 369 | 22.0 | 48 |
|  |  |  | ws |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | 8 | 138 | 17.6 | 134 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | A | 168 | 53 | 453 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 168 | 78 81 | 69 |
|  |  |  | WBApproach NBL |  | A | 1000 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | F | 1000 | 115.8 | 134 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | F | 1089 | 85.1 | 296 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | F |  | 94.6 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}$ | A | 254 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | 0 | 254 | 43.4 | 172 |
|  |  |  |  | S8R | C | 254 | 28.1 34. | 216 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | c |  | 34.9 |  |
| 10 | Castie Road \&Thome RoadLeessurg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized | EB | EBL | 0 | 182 | 36.7 | 86 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | c | 268 | 22.2 | 503 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | 0 | 268 | 36.7 | 53 |
|  |  |  | ws | EApproach | c |  | 25.3 |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | 0 | 748 | 42.2 | 516 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | 0 | 748 | 39.3 | 1173 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | c | 748 | 26.5 | 131 |
|  |  |  |  | VB Approach | O |  | 39.2 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | $\xrightarrow{\text { NBL }}$ | F | ${ }_{772} 7$ | 111.2 1022 | 16 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | E | 772 | 58.4 | 599 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | E |  | 74.0 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | F | 235 | 124.1 | 82 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {SBT }}$ | c | 235 | 33.4 | ${ }^{86}$ |
|  |  |  |  | SB Approach |  | C | 235 | 264 507 | 187 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |  | $\frac{50.7}{43.3}$ |  |
| 11 | Seven Corners Center/Leestburg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized | EB | EBL | E | 274 | 67.3 | 93 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | 0 | 477 | 36.8 | 1070 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | 8 | 71 | 18.7 | 38 |
|  |  |  | EBAppraach |  | 0 |  | 40.0 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WEL | c | ${ }^{87}$ | 254 | 55 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | $\frac{471}{55}$ | 88 | 1634 |
|  |  |  |  | BApproach | A |  | 91 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | F | 330 | 121.4 | 123 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | F | 3330 | 135.0 | 21 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | E | 330 | 76.0 | 45 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | F |  | 112.1 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL SBT | E | 177 | 74.4 0.0 | ${ }_{0}^{94}$ |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | B | 48 | 10.1 | 33 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | E |  | 57.7 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | c |  | 28.7 |  |
| 12 | Patrick Henry DriveLeesturg Pike (VA7) | Signalized | EB | EBL | F | 410 | 124.5 | 113 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | 8 | ${ }^{467}$ | 19.1 17.7 | $\frac{1089}{8}$ |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | c |  | 28.8 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | F | 268 | 100.7 | 82 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | F | 1582 | 96.5 | 1555 |
|  |  |  |  | WB Approach |  | F | 1585 | 89.3 | 256 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | F | 448 | ${ }^{95} 73$ | 110 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | F | 451 | 81.3 | 73 |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach |  | F | 451 | 92.8 | 147 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | F |  | 83.9 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}$ | F | 382 | 84.1 | 260 |
|  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { SBT }}{\text { SBR }}$ | F | 382 143 | 84.8 18.9 | $\frac{18}{65}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | E |  | 71.8 |  |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | E |  | 71.0 |  |
| 13 | Artirgton Boulevard service roadi/Arington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | EB | ${ }_{\text {EBL }}^{\text {EBT }}$ | A | 1002 | ${ }_{84}^{44}$ | ${ }^{60}$ |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 1002 1002 | 84 11.3 | $\frac{2928}{18}$ |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | A |  | 9.1 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | A | 338 | 00 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | A | 388 | 63 | 2086 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 388 | 77 | 167 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | A | 358 | 83.2 | 54 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | F | 358 | 162.2 | 49 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | F | 354 | 126.9 | 59 |
|  |  |  | NE Approach |  | F | 0 | 123.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}$ | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | \#N/A |  |  |  |
| 14 | Patrick Henry Drive/APrington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | EB | EBL | $\frac{8}{F}$ | 173 | 121.7 | 60 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | 0 | 1333 | 36.0 | 2518 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | A | 1636 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  |  |  | 22.9 |  |
|  |  |  | ws | WBL WBT | F | 629 | 96.0 29.8 | ${ }_{187}{ }^{57}$ |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | c | 670 | 28.6 | 152 |
|  |  |  |  | oach | c | , | 31.5 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | ${ }_{\text {NBL }}$ | F | 84 | 98.5 | 20 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | F | 460 | 88.0 | 194 |
|  |  |  | NB Approsch |  | F |  | 86.2 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}$ | F | 908 | 187.0 | 299 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT SBR | F | 827 827 | 125.7 129.4 | 181 |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SB Approach } \\ & \text { Overall LOS } \end{aligned}$ |  | , |  | 162.7 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 39.7 |  |

"N/A* represents movements thot are not allowed, or do not exist

| Intorsection information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Scenario 3 AM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Inlersection | Traflic Control | Approach | Movement | Los | Max Queve (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & \text { (sec) } \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 15 | John Marshall DivelPatrick Henry Dfive \& Willston Dive | Signalized | EB | EBL | c | 499 | 28.7 | 143 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {EBT }}$ EBR | ${ }_{\text {c }}$ | 499 | 21.8 | 345 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | \#NA | mN/A | $\stackrel{\text { INN/A }}{238}$ | mN/A |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | \#NA | nN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | 8 | 346 | 12.7 | 351 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | 8 | 559 | 15.8 | 254 |
|  |  |  | NB | osch | 8 |  | 14.0 |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | ${ }_{\text {TNNA }}^{\text {\#N/ }}$ | \#N/ | $\underset{\text { \#NN/A }}{\text { \#N/ }}$ | HN/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | VBApprosch |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | C | 230 | 31.7 | 125 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | \#N/A |  | \#N/A | \%N/A |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | C | 241 | 34.2 32 | 42 |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | c |  | 20.2 |  |
| 16 | Jota Marshall Dive \& N. Mckinley RoadWison Boulevard | Signalized | EB | EBL | 8 | 127 | 19.6 | 67 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {EBT }}$ | B | 398 | 19.9 | 716 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach | ${ }^{\text {A }}$ | 410 | 19.8 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBe | E | 205 | 58.7 | 97 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | C | 213 | 25.9 | 349 |
|  |  |  |  | WB Approach |  | c | 224 | 23.9 | 65 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | C |  | 31.9 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NBL } \\ & \text { NBT } \end{aligned}$ | E | 578 | 59.8 4.7 | $\frac{82}{192}$ |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | 0 | 573 | 48.5 | 146 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | 0 |  | 49.0 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | O | 137 | 42.7 | 84 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT SBR | A | ${ }_{9}^{83}$ | 24.5 <br> 8. <br> 8 | $\stackrel{46}{118}$ |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | c |  | 23.2 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Los | C |  | 297 |  |
| 17 | Peyton Randolph DriveWilson Boulevard | Signalized | EB | ${ }_{\text {EBL }}^{\text {EBT }}$ | A | ${ }_{694} 89$ | 00 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | C | 684 692 | 28.7 20.3 | 783 377 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | c |  | 26.0 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WEL | c | 79 | 24.9 | 42 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | 8 | 188 | 14.6 | 511 |
|  |  |  |  | WB Approach |  | A | 225 | 00 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | B |  | 15.3 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL NBT | F | $\frac{950}{950}$ | 87.6 0.0 | ${ }^{338}$ |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | A | 0 | 00 | 0 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | F |  | 87.6 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | A | 48 | 00 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | A | 48 | 50.0 0.0 | ${ }_{0}^{16}$ |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | 0 |  | 50.0 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Los | C |  | 334 |  |
| 18 | Roosevelt BoulevardWi ison Boulevard | Signalized | EB | ${ }_{\text {EBL }}$ | O | 1051 | 52.1 | 653 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | \#N/A | ${ }_{\text {\% }}^{727}$ | 21.8 \#N/ | ${ }_{\text {FNV/A }}^{630}$ |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | ${ }_{0}$ |  | 37.3 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | *NA |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | E | 600 | 55.4 | 458 |
|  |  |  |  | WB APproach |  | 0 | 640 | 48.4 | 379 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 5 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL NBT | $\stackrel{\text { TNA }}{\text { TNA }}$ | \#N/ | $\underset{\text { \#NN/A }}{\text { \#N/A }}$ | \#NVA |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | *NA | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}$ | E | 540 | 56.2 | 534 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT SBR | \#NA | ${ }_{5}^{\text {mN/A }}$ | $\frac{\text { \#N/A }}{61.6}$ | TN/A |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | E |  | 58.0 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Los | 0 |  | 473 |  |
| 19 | Roosevelt BoulevardiN. Rossevelt Street | Signalized | EB | ${ }_{\text {EBL }}$ | C | 281 | 26.8 | 178 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 281 | 0.0 30.5 | ${ }^{28}$ |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | c |  | 27.3 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WEL | A | 7 | 09 | 12 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | A | 0 | 00 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | WB Approsch |  | A | 6 | 00 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | A | 62 | 34.9 | 21 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | B | 311 | 11.9 | 1022 |
|  |  |  | NBApprosch ${ }^{\text {NBR }}$ |  | B | 311 | 11.4 | 18 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  | 124 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL SBT | A | 217 | 10.5 | ${ }^{672}$ |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | A | 218 | 89 | 78 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | 8 |  | 10.3 |  |
| 20 | Astirgton Blved WBMWison Bivd | Signalized | EB | EBL | $\stackrel{\text { \#N/A }}{ }$ | \%N/ | $\underset{\text { IN, }}{\substack{13.1 \\ \text { N/ }}}$ | AN/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | TNA | mN/A | \#N/A | INVA |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | \#NA | \#N/A | *N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \#N/ |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBE | *NA | TNAA | \#N/A | TNVA |
|  |  |  |  | WB Approach |  | *NA | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | TNA |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | NB | $\xrightarrow{\text { NBL }}$ | \#N/ | $\underset{\text { mN/A }}{\text { \#N/ }}$ | $\underset{\text { \#NN/A }}{\text { \#N/A }}$ | \#NVA |
|  |  |  | NB Approsch ${ }^{\text {NBR }}$ |  | \#NA | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  | \#NA |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}^{\text {SBT }}$ | \#N/ | ${ }_{\text {mN/ }}^{\text {m }}$ | \#N/A | ANVA |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | \#N/A | FNVA | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | SB ApproachOverall LOS |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | B |  | 13.1 |  |

"N/A" represents movements thot are not allowed, or do not exist

| Intorsection information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Scenario 3 Ah |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Inlersection | Traficic Contro | Approach | Movement | Los | Max Queve (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & \text { (sec) } \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 21 | E. Broad SULeesturg Pike at Wilson BlvdiSlieepy Hollow Rd (aka Seven Comers interchange) | Signalized | EB | EBL | c | 280 | 33.8 | 163 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | c | 280 | 31.0 | 458 |
|  |  |  |  | EBCh | $\stackrel{\text { \# }}{\text { N/A }}$ | \#N/A | ${ }_{3}$ | ANVA |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | \#N/A | \#VIA | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | 0 | 638 | 39.0 | 920 |
|  |  |  |  | WB Approach | 0 | 638 | 38.5 | 414 |
|  |  |  | NB |  | A | 394 | 38.9 00 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | O | 394 | 40.9 | 595 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | 0 | 394 | 51.8 | 126 |
|  |  |  | NBApprosch |  | 0 |  | 428 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | E | 482 | 76.0 | 11 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | 0 | 482 | 36.8 | 385 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | 0 | 482 | $\frac{53.2}{45}$ | 340 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| 22 | Broad St WB/AAringlon Bnd WB | Signalized | wB | WBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | IN/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#N/A | mN/A | HN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WB Approach |  | \#N/A | HV/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | NB | BT Trom 7107 | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBU | \#NNA | HN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | \#N/A | HN/A | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | *N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | *N/A | HVA | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | *NA |  | \#NN/ |  |
|  | Broad St EB/AAtington Blve WB | Unsignalized | EB | SB Approach | \#NA | \#N/A | $\underset{\text { \#NN/A }}{\text { \#N }}$ | fN/A |
| 23 |  |  |  | EBT | \#N/A | \#NVA | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | BAppraach |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ws | WBL | \#NA | \#N/A | $\stackrel{\text { NN/ }}{ }$ | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#NNA | \#N/A | \#NN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | *N/A | min | ${ }_{\text {\#NNA }}^{\text {\#N/ }}$ | ANIA |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | AN/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach |  | *N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}^{\text {SBT }}$ | \#N/A | TN/A | \#NNA | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | *N/A | \%NV/A |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | \#N/A |  | \#NNA |  |
|  |  |  | Overall Los |  | 8 |  | 13.1 |  |
| ${ }^{23}$ | Brosd St EB/AAlington Blvd WB | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#N/A | anv/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | \#N/A | and | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | MNA | an/A | WN/A | an/A |
|  |  |  | EBAppraach |  | \#N/A |  | HN/A |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | \#N/A | mV/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#N/A | an/A | \#N/A | AN/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | uN/A |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | HNA |  | WNA |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | \#N/A | \%N/A | HNA | \%N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | \#N/A | mV/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | \#N/A | an/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | HNA | aN/A | WN/A | aNIA |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | HN/A | UN/A |
|  |  |  |  | S8R | \#N/A | mN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | B |  | 13.1 |  |
| 25 | Ring Road at Arligton Blivd EB | Sigralized | ${ }^{\text {ce }}$ | ${ }_{\text {EBL }}^{\text {EBT }}$ | ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}$ / ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1472 | \% | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | \#N/A | 1472 | İN/A 60.7 | MN/A |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | E |  | 60.7 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | \#N/A | \%N/A | \#N/A | WNA |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#NNA | \%N/A | \#N/A | TN/A |
|  |  |  | WBApproach ${ }^{\text {a }}$ NBL |  | \#N/ |  | HN/A |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | WNA | mN/A | \#NVA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | NB | ${ }_{\text {NBT }}^{\text {NER }}$ | CN/A | 353 | 33.9 <br> $1 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | ${ }_{\text {6 }}^{667}$ |
|  |  |  |  | VBApproach | ${ }_{\text {WN/A }}^{\text {C }}$ | mN/A |  | ONA |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}$ | \#N/A | \%N/A | HNVA | HNA |
|  |  |  | SB | SBT SBR | \% ${ }_{\text {\% }}^{\text {B/A }}$ | 198 | 18.0 \#N/A | ${ }^{337}$ |
|  |  |  | SEApproach |  | \% ${ }_{\text {B }}$ | mNa | HNA | MN/A |
|  |  |  |  | LOS | D |  | 39.4 |  |
| 28 | Ring Road at Acligton Blvd WB | Sigralized | ${ }^{\text {E8 }}$ | EBL | \#N/A | mNA | HNNA | WN/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | HN/A | \%NA | HNNA | WN/A |
|  |  |  |  | BApprasch | NN/A | WNA | HNNA | UNA |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | \#N/A | \%N/A | \#N/A | aN/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#N/A | mN/A | HNN/A | ONA |
|  |  |  |  | WB Approach | \#NA | *N/A | \#NN/A | ON/A |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | 218 | 10.3 | 578 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A } / \text { A }}$ | $\stackrel{40}{* N / A}$ | $\begin{array}{r}32 \\ \hline \text { HN/A }\end{array}$ | \% 85 |
|  |  |  | NBApproach |  | A |  | 94 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL SBT | HNA | 198 |  | ${ }_{3} 3$ |
|  |  |  |  | SBT SBR | B | 198 198 | 11.4 31.3 | 337 <br> 64 |
|  |  |  |  | rach | B |  | 14.5 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 39.4 |  |


| 2030 Scenario 3 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Scenario 3 AM |  |  |  |
| No. | Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Movement | Los | Max Queve (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & \text { (sec) } \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 27 | Ring Road at E. Aroad St | Signalized | EB | EBL | *NA | TN/A | $\ldots \mathrm{NN} / \mathrm{A}$ | TN/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | c | 435 | 22.4 | 876 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | c | 435 | 20.3 | 6 |
|  |  |  | wB | BApproach | C |  | 22.4 |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | D | 674 | 42.5 | 107 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | c | 674 | 32.4 | 1095 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | 8 | 674 | 14.2 | 56 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | C |  | 324 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | A | 0 | 00 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | A | 0 | 00 | 0 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | \#N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | 0 | 140 | 51.3 | 5 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | 0 | 140 | 50.8 | 16 |
|  |  |  |  | SBApproach |  | - | 140 | 48.1 | 83 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 487 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall Los |  | 0 |  | 394 |  |
| ${ }^{28}$ | Ring Road at Atrington Blve EB | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#NA | \#N/A | \#N/A | TN/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 82 | 19 | 261 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach | A | 82 | 4.8 | 24 |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | \#N/A | \#V/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#NA | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | \#NA |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | *NA | HN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | A | 216 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | c | 2 | 24.1 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | \#NA | mN/A | \#N/A | fN/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | 8 | 289 | 13.6 | 265 |
|  |  |  |  | SBApproach |  | TNSA | \#N/A | ${ }^{\text {\#NNA }}$ | mN/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  | 13.6 |  |
| 29 | Ring Road at Hillwood Ave | Sgnalized | EB | EBL | \#N/A | HN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | \#N/A | TN/A | \#N/A | mN/ |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | C | 388 | 25.8 | 337 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | C |  | ${ }^{258}$ |  |
|  |  |  | ws |  | \#N/A | \#N/A | ${ }_{\text {\# }}^{\text {\#N/A }}$ | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | \#N/A | TN/A | \#NNA | TN/ |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | *N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | c | 145 | 21.4 | 84 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | A | 0 | 0. | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | *N/A | HNA | \#N/A | TN/A |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  | 21,4 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL SBT | \#N/A | ${ }_{\text {mV/A }}$ | ${ }_{\text {\# }}^{\text {\#n/A }}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | c | 173 | 24.6 | 66 |
|  |  |  |  |  | - |  | 41.5 |  |
|  |  |  | Sberproach |  | 0 |  | 394 |  |
| 30 | Ring Road at Alvington Blve WB | Signalized | EB | ${ }_{\text {EBL }}^{\text {EBT }}$ | *N/ | mN/A | \#NNA | mN/ |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | \#N/A | HN/A | ${ }_{\text {\#NN/A }}^{\text {\#N/ }}$ | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | *N/A |  | *N/A |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | C | 402 | 22.5 | 266 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | TN/ | TN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBApproach | \#N/ | \#N/A | ${ }_{2}{ }^{\text {\#2 } / 2}$ | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | \#N/A | HN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | TNA | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | \#NA | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | *N/ |  | \#NNA |  |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBT }}$ | TNAA | ${ }_{\text {HNA }}^{\text {mNA }}$ | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | \#NA | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | $\frac{\text { SBApproach }}{\text { Overall }}$ |  | \#N/ |  | ${ }_{3}^{\text {\#N/ }}$ / |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 39.4 |  |


| Intorsection information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Scenario 3 Pu |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Inlersection | Traflic Controd | Approach | Movement | Los | Max Queue (feet) | Delay (sec) | Volumes |
| 1 | S. Cherry StreetAArington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | EB | EBL | F | 152 | 231.0 | 34 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | F | 1679 | 95.3 | 2203 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | F | 1681 | 150.1 | 15 |
|  |  |  |  | oach | A |  | 97.8 |  |
|  |  |  | ws | WBL | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | WET | c | 1212 | 31.9 | 3020 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | E | 229 | 64.3 | 51 |
|  |  |  | NB | Nes | c |  | $\frac{32.5}{5496}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {NBL }}^{\text {NBT }}$ | A | ${ }_{373} 3$ | 549.6 0.0 | $\frac{37}{0}$ |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach | A | 373 | ${ }_{5}^{0.0}$ | 0 |
|  |  |  | sb |  | F |  | 549.6 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Sbl | F | 1159 | 231.0 | 80 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | F | 1159 | 189.7 | 13 |
|  |  |  |  | SER | F | 1159 | $\frac{200.6}{210.9}$ | 130 |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | E |  | 27.9 |  |
| 2 | S. Cherry Streethillwood Avenue | Signalized | EB | E8L | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | 8 | 372 | 16.2 | 472 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | A | 58 | 92 | 62 |
|  |  |  | EBApprasch |  | 8 |  | 15.3 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | 8 | 53 | 15.4 | 33 |
|  |  |  |  | WET | B | 237 | 14.0 | 326 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | 8 | 240 | 10.1 | 12 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | B |  | $\stackrel{14.0}{28.2}$ |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL NBT | c | 150 150 | $\frac{28.2}{26.5}$ | 56 44 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {NBAPDProsch }}$ NBR | c | 194 | 20.9 | 33 |
|  |  |  | SB |  | c |  | 25.9 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Sbl | C | 208 | 20.3 | 75 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | 8 | 208 | 18.8 | 78 |
|  |  |  |  | SBApproach | 8 | 242 | $\frac{15.5}{18.0}$ | 93 |
|  |  |  | Overall Los |  | ${ }_{8}^{8}$ |  | 16.5 |  |
| 3 | S. Cherry Streeve. Broad Street (VA7) | Signalized | EB | EBL | C | 252 | 28.6 | 26 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 252 | 6.4 | 825 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | A | 252 | 64 | 97 |
|  |  |  | EBADProach |  | A |  | 70 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | Wel | ${ }_{8}$ | 423 | $\stackrel{23.4}{151}$ | 50 749 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | 8 | 424 | 15.1 15.1 | 749 |
|  |  |  | WB Approsch |  | 8 |  | 16.5 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | E | 125 | 60.4 | 20 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {NBT }}$ | 0 | 125 | 45.9 46.3 | $\frac{35}{4}$ |
|  |  |  | NB Approsch |  | 0 |  | 46.3 50.9 |  |
|  |  |  | sb | SBL | E | 446 | 70.7 | 7 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | E | 446 | 69.0 | 106 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | E | 446 | 68.3 | 104 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | E |  | 68.7 |  |
|  |  |  | EB | SB Aporoach | 8 |  | 18.6 |  |
| 6 | South Street \& S. Roosevelt Streetitillwood Avenue | Signalized |  | EBL | 0 | 508 859 | 40.5 46.1 | $\frac{112}{317}$ |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | $\bigcirc$ | 859 | 46.1 4.9 | 117 |
|  |  |  | EBADProach |  | 0 |  | 44.7 |  |
|  |  |  | ws | Wel | 8 | 90 | 15.4 | 18 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | A | 112 | 9.7 | 60 |
|  |  |  |  | WB Approsich |  | A | 112 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \% | 721 | 11.0 129.5 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | F | 721 | 125.6 | 127 |
|  |  |  |  | NBApprosch |  | F | 733 | 121.1 | 86 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | F |  | 125.2 |  |
|  |  |  | s8 | SBL | E | 395 | 55.2 | 21 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT SER | ${ }_{8}$ | 395 | ${ }_{18.1}^{27.1}$ | 383 173 |
|  |  |  |  | SB Approach | $\frac{8}{C}$ | 397 | 18.1 <br> 2.4 | 173 |
|  |  |  |  | LOS | 0 |  | $\frac{50.7}{}$ |  |
| 7 | N. Roosevell StreevE. Broad Street (VA T) | Signalized | EB | E8L | \#N/A | mN/A | HN/A |  |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | 0 | 425 | 44.1 | 733 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | E | 456 | 56.2 | 36 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | F |  | 44.7 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WET | F | 649 | $\stackrel{96.6}{41.1}$ | $\underline{258}$ |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | 0 | 649 | 36.0 |  |
|  |  |  | WBApprozch |  | E |  | 55.3 |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | 0 | 340 | 51.5 | 20 |
|  |  |  | NB | ${ }_{\text {NBT }}^{\text {NBR }}$ | 0 | 340 | 38.1 40.5 | ${ }_{165}$ |
|  |  |  | NBApproach |  | - |  | 40.9 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | F | 1402 | 249.1 | 16 |
|  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { SBT }}{\text { SER }}$ | F | 1402 | 244.5 | $\frac{287}{46}$ |
|  |  |  |  | SBApporach |  | F | 1402 | 24.7 | 46 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | E |  | 78.3 |  |
| 8 | Sleepy Hollow RoadiAspen Lane | Unsignalzed | EB | EBL | ${ }_{\text {A }}$ | ${ }^{55}$ | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | AN/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | 8 | 55 | 11.6 | 33 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{8}{\text { \#N/A }}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {H }}^{11.6}$ |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WET | $\underset{\text { \#N/A }}{\text { \# }}$ | ${ }_{\text {mNA }}^{\text {min }}$ | ${ }_{\text {\% N/A }}$ | ON/ |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | \#N/A | \#N/ | HN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | WBAPproach |  | \#N/A |  | HN/A |  |
|  |  |  | NB | ${ }_{\text {NBL }}^{\text {NBT }}$ | A | $\frac{175}{116}$ | $\frac{10.9}{43}$ | ${ }_{268}^{48}$ |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | \# A/A | 116 | ${ }^{\text {H/N/A }}$ | ANV/A |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | A |  | 53 |  |
|  |  |  | s8 | SBL | \#N/A | HN/A | HN/A | AN/A |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {SBT }}^{\text {SBR }}$ | A | 0 | 4.1 | ${ }_{162} 12$ |
|  |  |  |  | SB Approach Overall LOS |  | A |  | 4.5 | 121 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | B |  | 11.6 |  |

"N/A ${ }^{\text {r represents }}$ movements thot are not allowed, or do not exist

| Intorsection information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Scenario 3 Pu |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Inlersection | Traflic Control | Approach | Movement | Los | Max Queve (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & \text { (sec) } \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 9 | Sleepy Hollow RoadiCastle Place | Signalized | EB | EBL | 0 | 526 | 47.1 | 375 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | F | 591 | 97.6 | 739 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | F | 591 | 115.3 | 110 |
|  |  |  | wB | roach | N/ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBE | E | 778 | ${ }_{7} 7.1$ | 394 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | E | 776 | 60.6 | 118 |
|  |  |  | NB | roach | E |  | 70.0 |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | F | 759 | 114.6 | 35 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | F | 759 | 109.1 | 98 |
|  |  |  |  | NBApprosch ${ }^{\text {NBR }}$ | E | 660 | 72.9 87.2 | 217 |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | A | 501 | 00 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | E | 501 | 69.8 | 270 |
|  |  |  |  | S8R | F | 501 | 80.3 | 328 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | E |  | 75.6 |  |
| 10 |  | Signalized | EB | Overallios | E |  | 475 |  |
|  | Castie Road \&Thome Roadleessurg Pike (VA 7) |  |  | EBL | C | ${ }_{2} 196$ | 25.1 | $\frac{136}{464}$ |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | 0 | 257 | 42.1 | 11 |
|  |  |  | wB | roach | C |  | 30.4 |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | F | 1377 | 126.7 | 749 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | F | 1377 | 135.4 | 895 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR |  | F | 1377 | 122.3 | 211 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | F |  | 130.4 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | F | 843 | 197.3 | 211 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | F | 843 | 106.7 | 662 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | F |  | 133.4 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | E | 258 | 75.5 | 97 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | 0 | 258 | 50.6 | 383 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | O | 258 | $\frac{51.0}{54.8}$ | 108 |
|  |  |  |  |  | F |  | 548 <br> 884 |  |
| 11 | Seven Corners Center/Leestburg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized | EB | EBL | E | 261 | 60.1 | 78 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | 0 | 462 | 40.9 | 1117 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | C | 54 | 20.2 | 59 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | 0 |  | 422 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | ${ }_{\text {WBEL }}^{\text {WBT }}$ | E | 242 | $\frac{59.1}{403}$ | 56 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | A | 57 | 40.3 | 1400 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | 0 |  | 39.7 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | F | 1019 | 252.1 | 287 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | F | 1019 | 339.1 | ${ }^{28}$ |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | F | 1019 | 112.0 | 22 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | F |  | 250.0 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}^{\text {SBT }}$ | F | 185 | 88.8 | $\frac{102}{55}$ |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | c | 189 | 25.1 | 171 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | 0 |  | 524 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall los |  | E |  | 60.6 |  |
| 12 | Patrick Henry DriveLeesturg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized | EB | ${ }_{\text {EBL }}^{\text {EBT }}$ | E | 157 | 55.1 | 71 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT <br> EBR | C | 492 108 | $\frac{23.2}{27.2}$ | 1142 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | c |  | $\frac{25.1}{25}$ | 4 |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | F | 111 | 97.2 | 54 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | F | 1219 | 110.0 | 1202 |
|  |  |  |  | WB Approach |  | F | 1223 | 97.7 | 127 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | F | 438 | $\frac{108.3}{91.5}$ | 147 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | F | 438 | 85.4 | 42 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | F | 400 | 189.7 | 63 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | F |  | 115.0 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}$ | E | 618 | 77.9 | 233 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT SBR | E | 618 302 | 74.1 274 | 59 192 |
|  |  |  |  |  | E |  | 574 |  |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | E |  | 69.0 |  |
| 13 | Artirgton Boulevard service roasdiArington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | EB | ${ }_{\text {EBL }}^{\text {EBT }}$ | F | 1583 | 169.7 | 103 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | E | 1583 | 64.9 51.0 | ${ }_{4}^{2013}$ |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | E |  | 69.6 |  |
|  |  |  |  | WEBL | A | 900 | 00 | 0 |
|  |  |  | wB | WBT | 8 | 990 | 17.7 | $\frac{2605}{40}$ |
|  |  |  |  | rosch | 8 |  | 17.7 |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | E | 351 | 71.8 | 22 |
|  |  |  | NB | ${ }_{\text {NBT }}^{\text {NBR }}$ | F | 351 | ${ }_{79} 77.6$ | $\frac{67}{35}$ |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | F |  | 88.4 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | E | 138 | 73.3 | 35 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT SBR | E | 138 | 66.8 | 10 |
|  |  |  | SB Apprasch |  | A | 136 | 00 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | ¢ |  | 42.3 |  |
| 14 | Patrick Henry Drive/APringlon Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | EB | EBL | F | 113 | 86.0 | 40 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {EBT }}^{\text {EBR }}$ | C | 765 | 30.6 | 1971 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | C | 1367 | 28.6 32.3 | 84 |
|  |  |  | ws | WBL | F | 1578 | 117,4 | 108 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | E | 1578 | 69.5 | 2443 |
|  |  |  |  | WB Approach | E | 1592 | 67.8 715 | 14 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | A | 0 | $\frac{70}{} 0$ | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | E | 215 | $\frac{60.3}{58.8}$ | $\frac{135}{53}$ |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach | E | 182 | 58.8 59.9 | 53 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}$ | F | 1270 | 377.4 | 392 |
|  |  |  |  | S8R | F | 1268 | 310.4 167.1 | 14 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | F |  | 345.6 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | E |  | 70.6 |  |

"N/A ${ }^{*}$ represents movements thot are not allowed, or do not exist

| Intersection information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Scenario 3 Pu |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Movement | Los | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Max Queve } \\ & \text { (feet) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & \text { (sec) } \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 15 | John Marshall DivelPatrick Henry Dfive \& Willston Dive | Signalized | EB | EBL | A | 704 | 00 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | E8T | F | 704 | 102.0 | 288 |
|  |  |  |  | ERAR | \#NA | \#N/A | \#N/A | mN/A |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | \#N/A | mNA | \#N/A | AN/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | C | 161 | 21.5 | 69 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | B | 279 | 15.7 | 122 |
|  |  |  | WB Approsch |  | 8 |  | 17.7 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | UN/A | mN/A | *N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | ${ }_{\text {TNNA }}^{\text {\#N/ }}$ | \#N/ | $\frac{\text { \#N/A }}{\text { \#N/A }}$ | \#NVA |
|  |  |  | NB Approsch |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | F | 840 | 210.5 | 273 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {SBT }}^{\text {SBR }}$ | \#N/A |  | \#N/A | 7N/A |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | F | 851 | 180.0 208.2 | 22 |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | F |  | 120.9 |  |
| 16 | Jota Marshall Dive \& N. Mckinley RoadWison Boulevard | Signalized | EB | EBL | C | 152 | 32.9 | 98 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {EBT }}^{\text {EBT }}$ | E | 293 | 24.2 | 492 |
|  |  |  | wB | roach | c | 305 | 26.8 |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | F | 1672 | 277.8 | 170 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | F | 1671 | 160.0 | 460 |
|  |  |  |  | WB Approach |  | F | 1674 | 139.0 | 61 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | F |  | 187.2 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL NBT | F | 258 | 93.5 33.0 | $\frac{23}{55}$ |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | c | 260 | 23.4 | 38 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | 0 |  | 41.8 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | A | 0 | 00 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {SBT }}^{\text {SBR }}$ | F | 321 | $\frac{1123}{60.9}$ | 111 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | F |  | 83.9 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | F |  | 101.1 |  |
| 17 | Peyton Randolph DriveWVison Boulevard | Signalized | EB | ${ }_{\text {EBL }}^{\text {EBT }}$ | A | 698 | 67 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | C | 698 706 | 24.2 33.9 | 485 |
|  |  |  | EBAppraach |  | c |  | 28.6 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | Wel | 0 | 81 | 38.2 | 38 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | E | 596 | 59.6 | 576 |
|  |  |  |  | WB Approach |  | O | 633 | 52.6 | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | E |  | 58.2 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL NBT | F | 502 | 84.5 0.0 | 118 |
|  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {NB Approach }}$ NBR |  | 0 | 511 | 51.9 | 83 |
|  |  |  |  |  | E |  | 71.0 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | D | 98 | 45.0 | 39 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {SBT }}^{\text {SBR }}$ | - | 98 106 | 210, | $\frac{26}{25}$ |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | 0 |  | 41.3 |  |
|  |  |  | Overallios |  | 0 |  | 45.3 |  |
| 18 | Roosevelt BoulevardWi ison Boulevard | Signalized | EB | ${ }_{\text {EBL }}$ | O | 325 | 45.5 | 171 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { EBT } \\ \text { EBR }}}^{\text {ent }}$ |  | $\underset{\text { \%N/ }}{292}$ | 23.9 \#N/A | ${ }_{\text {ANV/A }}^{400}$ |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  | 30.4 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | \#N/A | HN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | F | 702 | 90.4 | 526 |
|  |  |  |  | WBApprosch |  | E | 742 | 67.5 | 182 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { F }}{\text { F }}$ |  | 84.5 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL NBT | $\stackrel{\text { TNNA }}{\text { TNA }}$ | \#N/ | $\underset{\text { \#NN/A }}{\text { \#N/A }}$ | \#NVA |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | *NA | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}$ | F | 1495 | 215.8 | 502 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {SBT }}^{\text {SBR }}$ | \% F | ${ }_{1}^{\text {mind }}$ | \#NVA | TN/A |
|  |  |  |  |  | F |  | 230.3 |  |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | F |  | 1197 |  |
| 19 | Roosevelt BoulevardiN. Rossevelt Street | Signalized | EB | ${ }_{\text {EBL }}^{\text {EBT }}$ | O | 230 | 46.3 | 74 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {EBT }}^{\text {EBR }}$ | A | 230 230 | 0.0 95 | 30 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | E |  | 60.6 |  |
|  |  |  | ws | WEEL | C | 25 | 31.1 | 72 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | A | 10 | 53 | 4 |
|  |  |  |  | WBApproach | A | 24 | 29.7 | 0 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | 0 | 86 | 43.6 | 36 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {NBT }}^{\text {NBR }}$ | A | 128 | 99 | $\frac{354}{15}$ |
|  |  |  |  | NBApproach | A | 128 | 75 128 | 15 |
|  |  |  |  | SBL | A | 1166 | 00 | 0 |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBT }}^{\text {SBR }}$ | F | 1166 | 91.7 | 695 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | F | 1167 | 80.8 88.6 | 279 |
|  |  |  |  | LOS | E |  | 62.7 |  |
| 20 | Artirgton Blva Wewhison Bhd | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#NA | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {EBT }}^{\text {EBR }}$ | *NA | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach | ${ }_{\text {TNNA }}^{\text {TNA }}$ | \#N/A | ${ }_{\text {\#NNA }}^{\text {\#N/A }}$ | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | wB | WBE | TNA | mN/ | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#NA | \#N/A | \#N/A | TN/A |
|  |  |  |  | WB Approach |  | \#NA | \#N/A | \#NN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \#N/ | HN/A | \#N/A | mN/A |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | \#N/ | TN/A | \#N/A | TNVA |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach | \#N/A | \#N/A | ${ }_{\text {\#N/A }}^{\text {\#N/A }}$ | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}$ | \#NA | \#NA | \#N/A | AV/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | \#N/ \#NA | \#N/A | $\frac{\text { \#N/A }}{\text { \#N/A }}$ | AN/A |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SB Approach } \\ & \text { Overall LOS } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | E |  | 62.7 |  |

"N/A" represents movements thot are not allowed, or do not exist

| Intorsection information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Scenario 3 Pu |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Inlersection | Traflic Control | Approach | Movement | Los | Max Queve (feet) | Delay (sec) | Volumes |
| 21 | E. Broad SuLeesturg Pike at Wilson BludiSleepy Hollow Rd (aka Seven Comers interchange) | Signalized | EB | EBL | $\bigcirc$ | 231 | 54.6 | 32 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | 8 | 231 | 18.9 | 458 |
|  |  |  | wB | EBR | \#N/ | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | \#N/A | mVIA | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | F | 670 | 90.3 | 1009 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | E | 670 | 57.1 | 86 |
|  |  |  | NB | roach | F |  | 86.9 |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBL NBT | F | 385 | $\frac{130.2}{50.7}$ | $\frac{16}{421}$ |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | 0 | 395 | 43.3 | 158 |
|  |  |  | Approsch |  | 0 |  | 50.9 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | F | 1075 | 334.8 | 7 |
|  |  |  |  | S8T | F | 1075 | 291.8 | 602 |
|  |  |  |  | S8R | F | 1075 | 316.4 | 48 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | F |  | $\underline{204.1}$ |  |
| 22 |  | Signalized | wB | WBL | \#N/A | HV/A | \#NNA | \%N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#N/A | mNA | \#NNA | mN/ |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | NB | WB Approach | \#N/A |  | \#NNA |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBT from 7 to 7 | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NNA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBU | *NNA | \#N/A | \#NNA | TN/ |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | \#N/A | HN/A | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {SBT }}^{\text {SBP }}$ | *N/A | mN/A | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | \#N/A | HNA | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | *N/ |  | $\stackrel{\text { \#N/A }}{ }$ |  |
| 23 | Broad St EB/AAlirgton Blved WB | Unsignalized | EB | Overall LOS | $\stackrel{\text { E }}{\text { EN/ }}$ | HN/A |  | mN/ |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | mNA |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | \#N/A | HN/A | \#N/A | mN/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | *NNA | mN/A | \#NNA | mN/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT WBR | \#NNA | \#N/A | \#N/A | mN/A |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | \#N/A |  | \#NNA | miNA |
|  |  |  |  |  | \#N/A | HN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | \#N/A | mN/A | \#NVA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBApprosch ${ }^{\text {NBR }}$ |  | *N/A | mN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}^{\text {SBT }}$ | \#NNA | $\xrightarrow{\text { mN/A }}$ | ${ }_{\text {\# }}^{\text {\#N/A }}$ | ANVA |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | \#N/A | TNA | $\stackrel{\text { NNNA }}{ }$ | TNA |
|  |  |  | SBAPproach |  | \#N/ |  | \#NNA |  |
|  |  |  |  | LOS | E |  | 62.7 |  |
| 23 | Brosd St EB/AAlington Blvd WB | Signalized | EB | E8L | \#N/A | INVA | \#N/A | AN/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | \#N/A | and | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | UNA | anda | HN/A | aN/A |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | \#N/A |  | HN/A |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | \#N/A | mN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#N/A | an/A | \#N/A | AN/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | UNA |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | \#N/A | *N/A | HN/A | nN/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | \#N/A | mN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | HN/A | anda | \#N/A | an/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | \#N/A | *NA | \#N/A | *N/A |
|  |  |  |  | S8R | \#N/A | mN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | E |  | 62.7 |  |
| 25 | Ring Road at Arligton Blvd EB | Signalized | ${ }^{\text {ce }}$ | EBL | A | 1695 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | EET | HN/A | aN/A | HNVA | \%N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | F | 1695 | 223.9 | 797 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | F ${ }_{\text {W/A }}$ |  | 223.9 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL WBT | \#NNA | \%N/A | \#\#N/A | \%N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | WN/A | TVIA | HNNA | ONA |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | \#N/ |  | HN/A |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | \#N/A | ON/A | \#N/A | ON/A |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {NBT }}^{\text {NER }}$ | F | 582 | 108.6 | ${ }^{987}$ |
|  |  |  |  | BApproach |  | ${ }_{\text {EN/A }}$ | aN/A | \% 75.5 | aN/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \#N/A | aN/A | HNSA | an/a |
|  |  |  | sB | SET | C | 211 | 30.2 | 430 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | *N/A | aNA | \#NNA | aN/A |
|  |  |  |  |  | c |  | 30.2 1054 |  |
| 28 | Ring Road at Aligton Elvd WB | Signalized | E8 | EBL | *N/A | mNA | \#N.A | an/ |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | \#N/A | mNA | \#NNA | mNA |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | \#N/A | mN/A | HNNA | mNA |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | \#N/A |  | \#NN/ |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL WBT | \#N/A | ${ }_{\text {\%N/ }}^{\text {\%N/ }}$ | \#\#N/A | \%N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | \#N/A | mNA | \#N/A | NNA |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | ${ }_{\text {HNA }}$ | 221 | ${ }_{1}^{17.5}$ | 792 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | c | 209 | 34.1 | 184 |
|  |  |  |  | NBApproach |  | *N/A | aNA | \#N/A | \%NA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {C }}$ C |  | 20.6 EN/A |  |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}^{\text {SBT }}$ | ${ }_{\text {HN/ }}^{\text {C }}$ | ${ }^{\text {and }} 23$ | HN/A | ${ }_{4} 931$ |
|  |  |  |  | SER | C | 237 | 33.2 | 152 |
|  |  |  |  | rasch | c |  | 27.9 1054 |  |
|  |  |  | Owerall LOS |  |  |  |  |  |


| Interssection information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Scenario 3 Pu |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Inlersection | Trafic Control | Approach | Movement | Los | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Max Queve } \\ & \text { (feet) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & \text { (sec) } \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 27 | Ring Road at E. Aroad St | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#N/A | fN/A | \#N/A | fN/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 269 | 9.1 | 862 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | c | 269 | 34.3 | 50 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | 8 |  | 10.5 |  |
|  |  |  | ws | WBL | F | 899 | 93.6 | 51 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | E | 899 | 74.7 | 957 |
|  |  |  | WBAPproach |  | E | 899 | 51.7 74.6 | 46 |
|  |  |  |  |  | A | 0 | 00 | 0 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | A | 0 | 00 | 0 |
|  |  |  | NBApproach |  | A | 0 | 00 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | \#N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | E | 150 | 74.8 | 20 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {SBT }}$ | E | 150 | 78.4 | 70 |
|  |  |  |  | S8R | E | 150 | 76.1 | 40 |
|  |  |  | Overall Los |  | F |  | 1054 |  |
| ${ }^{28}$ | Ring Road at Atrington Blva EB | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#N/A | HV/A | *N/A | HN/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 101 | 50 | 399 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | C | 101 | 21.2 | 46 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | A |  | 67 |  |
|  |  |  | ws | WBL | \#N/A | HNA | \#N/A | HN/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#N/A | TN/A | \#N/A | TN/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | \#N/A | HVA | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | WBApprosch |  | \%N/A |  | $\xrightarrow{\text { m N/A }}$ |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL NBT | \#NA | ${ }_{2} 222$ | \#N/A | ${ }_{0}$ mV/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | c | 222 | 21.9 | 410 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | c |  | 21.9 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | \#N/A | HV/A | HN/A | mN/A |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {SBT }}$ | O | 303 | 54.2 | 251 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {S }}^{\text {Soarh }}$ | \#N/A | \#V/A | \#N/A | mN/ |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | F |  | 105.4 |  |
| 29 | Ring Road at Hillmood Ave | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#N/A | HV/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | \#N/A | HV/A | \#N/A | mN/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | F | 801 | 1493 | 425 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { F }}{ }$ |  | 1493 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | ${ }_{\text {WBL }}^{\text {WBT }}$ | \#N/A | HN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | E | 235 | 50.7 | 182 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A/A }}$ | $\stackrel{0}{\text { HN/A }}$ | \% 0.0 | $\stackrel{0}{0}$ |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | E |  | 59.7 |  |
|  |  |  | sb | SBL | \#N/A | mV/A | \#N/A | mV/A |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {SBT }}^{\text {SBR }}$ |  | 273 | 1382 | 157 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | F | 273 | 101.3 136.5 | 8 |
|  |  |  |  | Los | F |  | 105.4 |  |
| 30 | Ring Road at Atlington Blvd WB | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | AN/A |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {EBT }}$ | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NN/A | fN/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | FN/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { \% }}{\text { F/ }}$ | 1685 |  | 251 |
|  |  |  | wB | WBT | \#N/A | HN/ | \#N/A | HN/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | F |  | 445.1 |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBL NBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | HN/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | \#N/A | mNA |  | HN/A |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | \#N/A | mN/A | \#N/A | mN/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | $\xrightarrow{\text { \# N/NA }}$ | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | roach | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | Overall Los |  | F |  | 105.4 |  |


"N/A* represents volumes that are not allowed, or do not exist

| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Scenario 4 AM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Intersection | Trafic Control | Approsch | Movement | LOS | Max Queue (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & \text { (sec) } \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 8 | Sleepy Hollow Road/Aspen Lane | Unsignalized | EB | EBL | A | 29 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | E8T | \#N/A | \#NA | \#NA | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | EApproach | A | 29 | 5.7 | 3 |
|  |  |  | ws |  | A |  | 5.7 |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | \#N/A | \#NA | \#N/A | ONA |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#N/A | \#NA | \#NA | aN/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | \#N/A | \#NA | \#N/ | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | NB | B Apporaach | ${ }_{\text {INFA }}$ | 178 | ${ }_{4}^{4.5}$ | 126 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | A | 120 | 3.1 | 417 |
|  |  |  |  | NBADProach |  | \#N/A | \#NA | HNA | ENVA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  | 3.4 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | $\ldots \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | UNA | UN/ | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | A | 0 | 1.7 | 374 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | A | 0 | 1.1 | 14 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | A |  | 1.7 |  |
| 9 | Sleepy Hollow Roadicastle Place | Signalized | EB | EBL | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | C | 179 | 223 | 186 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | C | 179 | 22.0 | 4 B |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | NA |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WEL | A | 85 | 8.6 | 132 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | A | 69 | 2.1 | 288 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 69 | 3.0 | 55 |
|  |  |  |  | rasch | A |  | 4.0 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL NBT | E | 257 | 61.1 | ${ }_{0}^{38}$ |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | E | 586 | 58.8 | 325 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | E |  | 59.0 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | A | 203 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { SBT }}{\text { SBR }}$ | C | 203 | 30.4 | 172 |
|  |  |  |  | B Approach | A | 203 | 0.0 30.4 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | Los | c |  | 27.2 |  |
| 10 | Castle Road \& Therme Roadleesturg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized | EB | EBL | c | 114 | 30.0 | 60 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | c | 282 | 31.3 | 650 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | c | 282 | 294 | 50 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | c |  | 31.1 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WEL | E | 306 | 56.4 | 400 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | C | 900 | 43.7 | 1204 |
|  |  |  | WB Aporasch |  | D |  | 455 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | D | 269 | 49.6 | 49 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | D | 269 | 47.0 | 161 |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach |  | D | 269 | 36.3 409 | 311 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | E | 162 | 7094 | 76 |
|  |  |  | SB | SBT | E | 162 | 68.1 | 23 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | A | 162 | 70.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | E |  | 78.8 |  |
| 11 | Seven Corners Centerlleesburg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized | EB | EBL | D | 151 | 50.3 | 81 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | D | 545 | 45.3 | 1013 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | A | 74 | ${ }^{0.0}$ | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | D |  | 45.7 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL WBT | D | $\frac{203}{510}$ | 374 | $\stackrel{99}{1616}$ |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 31 | 2.3 | 34 |
|  |  |  | WSApproach |  | B |  | 10.3 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | F | 596 | 199.4 | 186 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {NBT }}^{\text {NBR }}$ | A | $\frac{596}{596}$ | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach | F | 596 | 749 175.6 | 44 |
|  |  |  |  | SBL | F | 180 | 83.2 | 134 |
|  |  |  | SB | SBT | A | 180 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | B | 60 | 13.6 730 | 23 |
|  |  |  |  |  | E |  | 737.2 |  |
| 12 | Patrick Henry Divelleesburg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized | EB | EBL | F | 540 | 121.3 | 170 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | c | 515 | 21.9 | 1025 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach | C | 28 | 20.6 | 6 |
|  |  |  | ws | WBL | F | 144 | 822 | 88 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | F | 1516 | 83.3 | 1550 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | E | 1518 | 87.4 | 260 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | E | 447 | 825 | 130 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | E | 445 | 73.4 | 69 |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach |  | E | 170 | 69.3 | 93 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | E | 290 | 70.7 80.7 | 240 |
|  |  |  | SB | SBT | E | 290 | 77.5 | 14 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | B | 133 | 15.2 | 72 |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SB Approach } \\ & \hline \text { Overall LOS } \end{aligned}$ |  | E |  | $\frac{66.1}{65.1}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | E |  | 65.1 |  |


| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Scenario 4 AM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | $\begin{gathered} \text { Max Queve } \\ \text { (feet) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & \text { (sec) } \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 13 | Arington Boulevard service road/Arrington Bouievard (US 50) | Signalized | EB | EBL | D | 938 | 35.6 | 62 |
|  |  |  |  | E8T | A | 938 | 75 | 2846 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | B | 938 | 15.0 | 14 |
|  |  |  |  | roach | A |  | 8.1 |  |
|  |  |  | ws | WBL | A | 433 | 7.0 | ${ }^{0} 0$ |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 433 | 8.1 | 2055 |
|  |  |  | NB | roach | A |  | 7.2 |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | A | 438 | 822 | 70 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | F | 438 | 187.9 | 34 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | F | 450 | 140.7 | 98 |
|  |  |  | SB | Approach | F |  | 128.4 |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBL | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | SET | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | SBAgproach |  | \#N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | EB | verall LOS | B |  | 123 |  |
| 14 | Pattick Henry Divive/Arilington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized |  | EBL | F | 150 | 115.2 | 54 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | D | 1575 | 43.5 | 2548 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | D |  | 45.0 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | Wel | F | 568 | 91.2 | 88 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | c | 568 | 24.8 | 1854 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | c | 625 | 225 | 73 |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | F | 32 | 27.6 117.9 | 5 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | F | 658 | 91.1 | 279 |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach |  | F | 648 | 85.8 | 183 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | F |  | 89.3 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | F | 1220 | 467.5 | 230 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {SBT }}$ | F | 1219 | 354.7 | 160 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | F | 1219 | 180.0 419.4 | 3 |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | E |  | 66.5 |  |
| 15 | John Marshall DrivelPatrick Henry Dive \& Willston Drive | Signalized | EB | EBL | E | 858 | 58.3 | 139 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | E | 858 | 64.3 | 323 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | \#N/A | WN/ | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | E |  | 625 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | UNA | WNA | UNA | BNA |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | C | 339 | 23.4 182 | 317 279 |
|  |  |  |  | WBApprasch | $\frac{8}{C}$ | 941 | $\frac{182}{210}$ | 279 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | \#N/A | \#N/ |  | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | UNA | UNA | WNA | BNA |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach |  | NN/A | \#NA | \#NA | ONA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}^{\text {SBT }}$ | D | ${ }^{224}$ | 46.1 | 123 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | ${ }_{\text {WN/A }}^{\text {D }}$ | WN/ 235 | ${ }_{38}^{\text {\#N/ }}$ | ${ }^{\text {and }}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | D |  | 43.5 |  |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | D |  | 39.7 |  |
|  | John Marshall Drive \& N. Mckiniey RosdWilison Boulevard | Signalized | EB | EBL | C | 129 | 20.4 | 77 |
| 16 |  |  |  | EBT | c | 411 | 21.4 | 738 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach | ${ }_{\text {A }}$ | 423 | $\underline{9.9}$ | 1 |
|  |  |  | W8 | Wel | E | 217 | 60.5 | 112 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | c | 211 | 24.9 | 336 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | c | 222 | 22.5 | 65 |
|  |  |  | BApproach |  | E | 678 | 324 626 | 88 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | D | 678 | 54.1 | 209 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | D | 680 | 54.0 | 159 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | E |  | 55.7 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | D | 144 | 39.4 | 81 |
|  |  |  |  | $\underset{\text { SBT }}{\text { SBR }}$ | C | 74 | 24.3 | ${ }_{1}^{45}$ |
|  |  |  |  | SBApproach | A | 92 | 8.7 215 | 122 |
|  |  |  |  | LOS | c |  | 31.9 |  |
| 17 | Peyton Randolph DriveWilson Boulevard | Signalized | EB | EBL | A | 625 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT |  | 625 | 20.4 | 807 |
|  |  |  |  | BApproach |  | B | 633 | 17.0 193 | 380 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | B | 53 | 193 | 27 |
|  |  |  | ws | WBT | A | 182 | 9.0 | 520 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 219 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | E | 325 | $\frac{63.7}{}$ | 164 |
|  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { NBT }}{\text { NBR }}$ | A | 325 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | NB Appriasch | A | 0 | 0.0 63.7 | 0 |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | E | 34 | 55.2 | 8 |
|  |  |  |  | S8T | A | 34 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | C | 41 | 29.9 | 1 |
|  |  |  | OverallLoS |  | c |  | 20.5 |  |


"N/A* represents volumes that are not allowed, or do not exist

| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Scenario 4 AM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Intersection | Trafic Control | Approsch | Movement | Los | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Max Queue } \\ & \text { (feet) } \end{aligned}$ | Delay <br> (sec) | Volumes |
| 23 | Broad St EB/AArlington Blivd WB | Unsignalized | EB | EBL | \#N/A | \#NA | \#NA | 9N/A |
|  |  |  |  | E8T | A | 106 | 1.8 | 1080 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | \#N/A | \#N/ | \#NA | EN/A |
|  |  |  |  | oach | A |  | 1.8 |  |
|  |  |  | w8 | WBL | \#N/A | \#NA | \#NA | ON/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#N/A | \#NA | \#NA | aN/A |
|  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {Appraach }}$ |  | \#N/A | \#NA | \#NA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | IN/A | UNA | UNA | BN/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | \#N/A | \#NA | \#NA | ON/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | \#N/A | \#NA | \#NA | EN/A |
|  |  |  | NB Acproech |  | NA |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SEL | A | 159 | ${ }^{3.5}$ | 203 |
|  |  |  |  | SST | UN/A | NNA | NNA | INIA |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | \#N/A | \#NA | \#NVA | ON/A |
|  |  |  | SB Abproach |  | A |  | $\frac{3.5}{2.1}$ |  |
| 23 | Broad St EB/Astington Blivd WB | Signalized | EB | EBL | IN/A | WNA | \#N/ | aN/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 167 | 3.9 | 1058 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | B | 46 | 12.9 | 23 |
|  |  |  | EB Approach |  | A |  | 4.1 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | Wel | \#N/A | \#N/ | \#N/ | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | HN/A | \#NA | \#NA | aN/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | INNA | UNA | UNA | aN/A |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | NA |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | \#N/A | \#NA | \#NA | aN/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | HN/A | \#N/A | \#NA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | INNA |  | UNA |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | \#N/ | \#N/A | \#NA | ON/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | B | 122 | 17.3 | 152 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/ | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | B |  | 17.3 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | A |  | 48 |  |
| 25 | Ring Rdius 50 EB Off Ramp | Signalized | EB | ${ }_{\text {EBL }}^{\text {EBT }}$ | ${ }_{\text {A }}$ | 1502 1502 | 0.0 33.3 | 864 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | C | 1502 1502 | 33.3 | $\stackrel{864}{ } 24$ |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | c |  | 33.0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | \#N/A | \#N/ | \#N/ | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#N/A | \#NA | \#NA | aN/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | INVA | UNA | INA | aNA |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | \#N/A |  | \#NVA |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL NBT | \#N/ | \#N/ 253 | \#NA 605 | 8N/ 864 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | B | 253 | 124 | 38 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | D |  | 54.9 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | \#N/A | HNA | \#NA | ON/A |
|  |  |  |  | $\frac{\text { SBT }}{\text { SBR }}$ | INNA | \#NA | \#NA | EN/A |
|  |  |  |  |  | \#N/A | \#NA | \#NA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | Sbeepalil CO |  | B |  | 135 |  |
| 26 | Ring RdUUS 50 WB On Ramp | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#N/A | \#NA | \#NA | ON/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | \#N/A | \#NA | \#NA | ENA |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NA | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \#N/A |  | $\cdots$ |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WEL | ${ }_{\text {IN/A }}$ | WNA | UNA | BN/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | $\xrightarrow[\text { \#N/A }]{\text { ¢ }}$ | ${ }_{\text {\# }}^{\text {\#N/ }}$ | ${ }_{\text {21, }}^{21.2}$ | 175 |
|  |  |  | WB Apporoach |  | c |  | 21.2 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | A | 85 | 1.7 | 288 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | IN/A | \#NA | UNA | INA |
|  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {NBAppraech }}$ NBR |  | \#N/A | \#NA | \#NA | ONA |
|  |  |  |  |  | AN/A | \#N/A | HNA | \#N/ |
|  |  |  | SB | SBT | \#N/A | \#NA | \#NA | aN/A |
|  |  |  |  | SER | UN/A | UNA | UNA | aN/A |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | \%N/A |  | \#Na |  |
| 27 | Ring Road at E. Proad St | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#N/A | \#NA | \#NA | aN/ |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | \#N/A | \#N/ | \#NA | aNA |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | A | 321 | 9.1 | 1058 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | A |  | 9.1 |  |
|  |  |  | W8 | WEL | \#N/A | \#NA | \#NA | BN/ |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | B | 396 396 | 125 175 | 1408 59 |
|  |  |  | WB Apporach |  | B |  | 127 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | \#N/A | $\xrightarrow[\text { \#N/ }]{\text { \#NA }}$ | \#NA | 0N/ ${ }^{\text {an/ }}$ |
|  |  |  | NB Approanh |  | \#N/A | \#NA | \#N/ | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  | \#N/A |  | INNA |  |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}^{\text {SBT }}$ | D | 146 | 520 | ${ }^{21}$ |
|  |  |  |  | SER | E | 146 | 73.2 | 83 |
|  |  |  | SB Aoproech |  | E |  | 689 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | B |  | 13.5 |  |


| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Scenario 4 AM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queue (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & \text { (sec) } \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 28 | Ring Road at Arlington Plvd EB | Signalized | EB | EBL | HN/A | \#N/ | \#NA | INA |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | HN/A | \#NA | \#NA | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | OBCh | HN/A | WNA | \#NA | \#NA |
|  |  |  | ws | WBL | UN/A | \#NA | \%NA | aNA |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#N/A | \#NA | \#NA | aN/A |
|  |  |  |  | W8R | HN/A | \#N/A | \#NA | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | roach | HN/A |  | \#NA |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | HNA | UNA | UNA | aN/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | 2N/A | \#NA | \#NA | ONA |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | HN/A | \#NA | \#NA | EN/A |
|  |  |  |  | 0ach | \#N/A |  | \#NA |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SEL | UN/A | \#N/ ${ }^{\text {HNA }}$ | TNNA | \#N/ ${ }^{\text {an }}$ |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | INN/A | WNA | MNA | ONA |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | HN/A |  | \#NA |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | B |  | 135 |  |
| 29 | Ring Road at Hillwood Ave | Signalized | EB | EBL | HN/A | WNA | \#NA | aNA |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | INTA | WNA | WNA | BNA |
|  |  |  |  | EER | HN/A | WN/ | \#NA | ON/A |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | HN/A |  | \#NA |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | HN/A | \#NA | \#NA | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | UN/A | \#NA | TNNA | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | rasch | HN/A |  | \#NA |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | \#N/A | \#NA | \#NA | aNA |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | HN/A | \#N/A | \#NA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach |  | HN/A | WNA | UNA | aNA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | UN/A |  | WNA |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | HN/A | \#NA | \#NA | ONA |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {SBT }}^{\text {SBR }}$ | \#N/A | \#N/ | \#NA | ENA |
|  |  |  |  | SB Approach | HN/A | \#N/A | \#NNA | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | Los | B |  | 13.5 |  |
| 30 | Ring Road at Arington Blvd WB | Signalized | EB | EBL | PN/A | \#NA | \#NA | on/ |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | HNA | \#NA | \#NA | gNA |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | HN/A | \#N/A | \#NA | \#NA |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | MN/A |  | \#N/ |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | UN/A | WNA | UNA | BNA |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | HN/A | WNA | \#NA | ONA |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | HN/A | \#NA | \#NA | aNA |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | HN/A | \#N/ | \#NA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | INNA | INA | MNA | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach |  | \%N/A | WNA | \#N/ | oN/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NA | \#NA |
|  |  |  | SB | SBT | HN/A | WNA | WNA | iNA |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | UN/A | UNA | UNA | BNA |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | HN/A |  | HNA |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 2030 Scenario 4 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Scenario 4 PM |  |  |  |
| No. | Intersection | Trafic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queue (feet) | Delay (sec) | Volumes |
| 1 | S. Cherry Streel/askington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | EB | EBL | F | 132 | 109.6 | 36 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | c | 197 | 21.6 | 2713 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | F | 201 | 91.7 | 24 |
|  |  |  | wB | EBApproach | c |  | 23.3 |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | F | 32 | 134.8 | 5 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | C | 1211 | 336 | 2939 |
|  |  |  |  | WBApproach | B | 84 | 13.7 | 116 |
|  |  |  | NB |  | c |  | 3370 |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBL NBT | F | 137 | $\frac{277.5}{0.0}$ | 10 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | A | 137 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | F |  | 277.5 |  |
|  |  |  | S8 | SBL | F | 1057 | 97.8 | 54 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | F | 1057 | 104.5 | 23 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | F | 1057 | 200.2 | 169 |
|  |  |  |  |  | F |  | 168.8 |  |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | C |  | 34.6 |  |
| 2 | S. Cherry Street/illwood Avenue | Signalized | EB | EBT | 8 | 157 | 11.4 | 231 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | A | 42 | 6.1 | 33 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | 8 |  | 10.9 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | A | 16 | 6.3 | 4 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | 8 | 186 | 14.9 | 288 |
|  |  |  |  | WBApproach |  | B | 188 | 10.8 | 12 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  | 14.6 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | c | 168 | 294 | 70 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | c | 168 | 27.2 | 39 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 212 | 18.4 25.2 | 54 |
|  |  |  | S8 | SBL | B | 283 | 17.6 | 29 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | c | 283 | 25.1 | 43 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | B | 316 | 14.3 | 210 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | 8 |  | 16.3 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | 8 |  | 15.8 |  |
| 3 | S. Cherry StreetE. Broad Street (VA 7) | Signalized | E8 | EBL | C | 293 | 32.3 | 20 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 293 | $\frac{7.6}{6.3}$ | 1080 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | A |  | 8.0 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | D | 522 | 42.3 | 178 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | c | 522 | 24.6 206 | 834 |
|  |  |  |  | WB Approach |  | c | 543 | 2226 | 24 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | D | 170 | 44.9 | 22 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | 0 | 170 | 46.3 | 50 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | D | 170 | 41.9 | 8 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | 0 |  | 454 |  |
|  |  |  | S8 | SBL | D | 339 | 50.0 | 7 |
|  |  |  |  | SQT | E | 339 | 55.0 | 82 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | E | 339 | 556 | 99 |
|  |  |  |  |  | E |  | 55.5 |  |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | C |  | 21.2 |  |
| 6 | South Street \& S. Roosevelt Street/Hillwood Avenue | Signalized | EB | EBL | F | 594 | 128.3 | 148 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {EBT }}^{\text {EBR }}$ | D | $\frac{329}{362}$ | 39.4 31.9 | 64 100 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach | C | 362 | 31.9 | 100 |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | c | 27 | 21.6 | 3 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | C | 36 | 25.9 | 3 |
|  |  |  |  | WBApproach |  | E | 36 | 55.2 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | c |  | 31.6 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | ${ }_{\text {NBL }}$ | F | 1108 | 251.7 | 67 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {NBT }}^{\text {NBR }}$ | F | 1108 | 266.9 288.2 | $\frac{275}{12}$ |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | F |  | 264.7 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | B | 320 | 19.4 | 28 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | 8 | 320 | 10.7 | 320 |
|  |  |  |  | SBApproach | B | 256 | 11.6 | 160 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | F |  | 105.2 |  |
| 7 | N. Roosevelt Streete. Broad Street (VA 7) | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#N/A | \#N/ | \#N/ |  |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | F | 1086 | 93.0 | 1000 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | F | 1112 | 95.6 | 15 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | F |  | 93.1 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBT | F | 650 | 108.5 | 902 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | D | 650 | 47.1 |  |
|  |  |  |  | roach | E |  | 689 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | $\xrightarrow[\text { NBL }]{\text { NBT }}$ | E | 395 | 65.1 47.2 | 15 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | E | 395 | 56.9 | 398 |
|  |  |  |  | oach | E |  | 56.8 |  |
|  |  |  |  | S8L | 0 | 392 | 48.6 | 6 |
|  |  |  | S8 | SBT | C | 392 | 34.1 332 | ${ }^{275}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Sesch | c | 392 | 33.2 34.3 | 33 |
|  |  |  |  | Los | E |  | 71.7 |  |


| 2030 Scenario 4 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Scenario 4 PM |  |  |  |
| No. | Intersection | Trafic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queue (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & \text { (sec) } \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 8 | Sleepy Hollow Road/Aspen Lane | Unsignalized | EB | EBL | A | 75 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | IN/ | IN/A | INA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach | 8 | 75 | 129 | 53 |
|  |  |  | WB |  | $\stackrel{8}{8}$ | INA | 129 | WNA |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#N/A | iNA | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | \#N/ | INA | INA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | NB | WBApproach | \#NA |  | \#NA |  |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {NBL }}^{\text {NBT }}$ | B | 196 | $\frac{12.9}{4.4}$ | ${ }_{23} 5$ |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | \#N/A | \#N/ | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | NBApproach |  | A |  | 5.8 |  |
|  |  |  | S8 | SBL | \#NA | \#NA | ENA | UN/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | A | 0 | 3.4 | 749 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | A | 0 | 3.5 | 101 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | A |  | 3.4 |  |
| 9 | Sleepy Hollow Roadicaste Place |  | E8 | Overall LOS | A | 0 | 129 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  | Signalized |  | EBT | 0 | 200 | 48.8 | 319 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | E | 200 | 55.5 | 33 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | we | WBL | C | 448 | 27.1 | 345 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | 8 | 299 | 10.6 | 697 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 299 | 7.2 | 53 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | E | 164 | 15.6 591 | 24 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | D | 164 | 47.3 | 27 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | c | 418 | 30.4 | 239 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | c |  | 34.4 |  |
|  |  |  | S8 | SBL | A | 413 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | A | 413 | 44.4 | 462 |
|  |  |  |  |  | A | 413 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SB Approach } \\ & \hline \text { Overall LOS } \end{aligned}$ |  | ${ }^{\circ}$ |  | 29.6 |  |
| 10 | Castle Rosd \& Thome RoadLeesburg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized | E8 | EBL | E | 435 | 56.6 | 121 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | 0 | 496 | 52.8 | 882 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | E | 496 | 59.6 534 | 8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | F | 1301 | 53.4 96 | 901 |
|  |  |  | WB | WBT | F | 1301 | 99.2 | 936 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | E | 1301 | 66.7 | 143 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | F |  | 95.5 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL NBT | F | 576 | 263.9 | $\frac{83}{46}$ |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | F | 576 | 243.3 57.4 | ${ }_{46}^{411}$ |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | F |  | 105.0 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | E | 312 | 63.4 | 82 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {SBT }}^{\text {SBR }}$ | E | 312 312 | 556.8 | 179 |
|  |  |  |  | SB Approach | F |  | ${ }_{6} 97.3$ | 91 |
|  |  |  |  | LOS | F |  | 822 |  |
| 11 | Seven Corners CenteriLeesburg Pike (VA T) | Signalized | EB | EBL | D | 147 | 492 | 69 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | 0 | 682 | 54.9 | 1283 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | D | 134 | 37.1 | 33 |
|  |  |  | EBApproasch |  | D |  | 54.2 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | E | 530 | 79.5 | 118 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | C | 572 | $\frac{28.2}{3.6}$ | 1465 |
|  |  |  |  | WBApproach | A |  | ${ }_{2} 29.6$ | 55 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | F | 441 | 143.1 | 369 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | A | 441 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach |  | E | 441 | 79.6 | 10 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | F |  | 141.5 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}^{\text {SBT }}$ | F | 185 185 | 100.1 | 105 58 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | c | 189 | 24.0 | 174 |
|  |  |  | SBApprosch |  | E |  | 61.1 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall Los |  | D |  | 52.6 |  |
| 12 | Patrick Henry DrivelLeesburg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized | EB | ${ }_{\text {EBL }}^{\text {EBT }}$ | F | 177 | 84.5 330 | ${ }_{136}^{66}$ |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | c | 507 124 | 330 293 | 1314 38 |
|  |  |  | EBApprosch |  | D |  | 353 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | F | 123 | 99.8 | 58 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | F | 1273 | 99.9 | 1249 |
|  |  |  |  | WBApproach | F | 1278 | 84.9 | 140 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | F | 456 | 131.8 | 147 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | F | 451 | $\frac{128.2}{1142}$ | 45 |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach | F | 459 | 114.2 125.5 | 92 |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | F | 1601 | 93.8 | 425 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | F | 1601 | 121.1 | 36 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | E | 661 | 62.5 | 263 |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SB Approach } \\ & \hline \text { Overall LOS } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | F |  | 83.8 74.4 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Scenario 4 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Scenario 4 PM |  |  |  |
| No. | Intersection | Trafic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queue (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & \text { (sec) } \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 13 | Arington Boulevard service road/Avington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | EB | EBL | E | 992 | 76.5 | 58 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | C | 992 | 20.8 | 2303 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApprosch ${ }^{\text {EBR }}$ | B | 992 | 16.7 | 93 |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | A | 733 | 21.9 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | 8 | 733 | 13.8 | 2578 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | B | 733 | 13.9 | 105 |
|  |  |  | NB | WBApproach | 8 |  | 13.8 |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | A | 245 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | E | 245 | 79.2 | 92 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | O | 154 | 50.9 | 23 |
|  |  |  | S8 | $\stackrel{\text { SBL }}{ }$ | E | 93 | 73.5 | 28 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | E | 93 | 75.3 | 8 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | A | 79 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | E |  | 728 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall Los |  | B |  | 192 |  |
| 14 | Patrick Henry Drivelatrington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | EB | EBL | F | 157 | 143.3 | 58 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | F | 1675 | 150.0 | 2136 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach | F | 1675 | 162.7 150.1 | 56 |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | F | 1574 | 211.9 | 162 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | F | 1574 | 83.6 | 2330 |
|  |  |  |  | WBApproach |  | F | 1598 | 83.2 | 22 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | F |  | 91.9 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | ${ }_{\text {NBL }}^{\text {NBT }}$ | A | ${ }^{0}$ | 5.0 | ${ }^{0} 153$ |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | E | 195 | 55.8 52.4 | 153 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | E |  | 55.1 |  |
|  |  |  | S8 | SBL | F | 1096 | 193.3 | 368 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | F | 1095 | 111.2 | 469 |
|  |  |  |  |  | F | 1095 | 110.6 | 17 |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | F |  | 115.7 |  |
| 15 | John Marshall Drive/Patrick Henry Dive \& Willston Divive | Signalized | E8 | EBL | A | 215 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | 8 | 215 | 132 | 237 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | INA | mNA | INVA | WN/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { B }}{\text { \# } / \text { / }}$ | \#NA | 132 | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | WB | WBT | B | 180 | 14.4 | 146 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | 8 | 241 | 15.2 | 131 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | B |  | 14.8 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | \#N/ | INA | \#NA | UNA |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | \#N/ | \#N/ | \#N/ | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | Approach | INA | INA | and | UNA |
|  |  |  | S8 | SBL | B | 404 | 18.2 | 412 |
|  |  |  |  | SET | ENA | INA | ENA | UNA |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | 8 | 416 | 18.0 | 48 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | B |  | 182 |  |
|  |  |  | EB | LOS | 8 |  | 160 |  |
| 16 | John Marshall Drive \& N. Mckinley RoadWilson Boulevard | Signalized |  | EEL | C | 182 | 22.9 | 135 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | C | 277 | 20.4 | 499 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | ${ }^{8}$ | 269 | 20.7 | 14 |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | E | 404 | 59.6 | 239 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | c | 397 | 24.5 | 571 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | C | 407 | 20.8 | 78 |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | C | 271 | 33.6 46.1 | 61 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | 0 | 271 | 36.5 | 62 |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach |  | C | 274 | 27.5 | 42 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | D |  | 37.7 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | C | 146 | 32.9 10.9 | 103 |
|  |  |  | SBApprosch |  | B |  | 197 |  |
|  |  |  | SB Approsch |  | C |  | 27.9 |  |
| 17 | Peyton Randolph Drive/Wilson Boulevard | Signalized | EB | EBL | A | $\frac{607}{607}$ | $\begin{array}{r}9.1 \\ \hline 18.1\end{array}$ | ${ }_{5}^{4}$ |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | $\frac{607}{615}$ | 16.1 20.2 | 573 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | B |  | 18.0 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | c | 75 | 20.0 | 46 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | 8 | 275 | 11.6 | 735 |
|  |  |  |  | WBApproach | B | 312 | 11.8 12. | 9 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | D | 156 | 53.8 | 76 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | A | $\frac{156}{51}$ | $\begin{array}{r}0.0 \\ \hline 151\end{array}$ | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach | B | 51 | 15.1 48.9 | 11 |
|  |  |  | SB | S8L | D | 96 | 48.5 | 64 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {SBT }}^{\text {SBR }}$ | A | ${ }^{96}$ | $\frac{0.0}{8.3}$ | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SB Approech } \\ & \text { Overall LOS } \end{aligned}$ |  | A | 104 | 8.3 369 | 28 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | B |  | 17.9 |  |


| 2030 Scenario 4 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Scenario 4 PM |  |  |  |
| No. | Intersection | Traficic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queue (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & \text { (sec) } \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 18 | Roosevelt BoulevardWilson Boulevard | Signalized | EB | EBL | C | 255 | 29.9 | 206 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | 8 | 314 | 17.5 | 457 |
|  |  |  |  |  | UNA | UNA | IN/A | UN/A |
|  |  |  |  |  | c |  | 21.4 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | ENA | INA | 8N/ | WNA |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | c | 428 | 221 | 652 |
|  |  |  |  | WBApproach |  | 8 | 468 | 17.1 | 173 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | c |  | 21.1 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | \#N/ | TNA | \#N/ | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | INA | INA | INA | UN/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | \#NA | \#NA | \#N/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  | S8 | NB Approach | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBL | D | 627 | 42.8 | 622 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {SBT }}^{\text {SRP }}$ | \#NA | IN/ | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | D | 633 | 51.8 | 363 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | 0 |  | 46.1 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall Los |  | c |  | 31.1 |  |
| 19 | Roosevelt BoulevardiN. Roosevelt Street | Signalized | EB | EBET | A | 148 | 26.0 | ${ }^{89}$ |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | c | 148 | 26.5 | 28 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | c |  | 26.1 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | A | 20 | 1.2 | 72 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | A | 11 | 0.8 | 4 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 22 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | A | 61 | 1.2 | 20 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | A | 121 | 9.4 | 351 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | A | 121 | 6.7 | 21 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | 8 |  | 10.1 |  |
|  |  |  | S8 | SBL | A | 358 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | S8T | 8 | 358 | 120 | 867 |
|  |  |  |  | SBApproach |  | B | 359 | $\frac{11.2}{11.8}$ | 266 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  | 11.8 |  |
| 20 | Arrington Blvd WB/Wvison Blvd | Signalized | EB | LOS EBL | BNA | INA | 11.9 | WN/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 248 | 3.4 | 601 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | TNA | INA | INA | UN/A |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | A |  | 3.4 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBEL | \#N/ | \#N/ | \#N/ | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | INA | 8NA | 2N/A | UN/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBApproach |  | E | 802 | 75.4 754 | 983 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ENA |  | 75.4 <br> IN/A | UN/A |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | F | 1312 | 309.6 | 212 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | A | 1249 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | F |  | 309.6 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | mNA | INA | IN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {SBT }}^{\text {SBR }}$ | \#N/ | \#NA | $\frac{\text { In }}{\text { IN/ }}$ | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  | \#N/A | HNA | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | SBApproach Overall LOS |  | E |  | 58.5 |  |
| 21 | Sicepy Hollow RdWilson Blvd/Broad SUArlington Blivd EB | Signalized | EB | EBLU 07 | E | 190 | 77.7 | 36 |
|  |  |  |  | EBL to Wilson | D | 382 | 36.3 | 423 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR to Route 7 | D | 209 | 37.5 | 144 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | D | 116 | 39.2 | 83 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 39.1 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | BR from Sleepy | 8 | 117 | 14.2 | ${ }^{80}$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\frac{\text { NBT }}{}$ | F | 6999 | 129.2 120.1 | 1055 |
|  |  |  |  | BR from 7 to 50 | A | 700 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | NB APproach |  | F |  | 121.0 |  |
|  |  |  | s8 | SBL to Wilson | D | 261 | 37.0 | 5 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT to Route 7 | C | 261 | 23.7 | 793 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR to Sleepy | D | 261 | 37.8 44.7 | 463 |
|  |  |  | SBApprozach |  | c |  | 332 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Los | E |  | 63.5 |  |
| 22 | Broad St WB/Artington Blvd WB | Signalized | wB | WBL | F | 379 | 102.7 | 446 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | c | 359 | 26.1 | 633 |
|  |  |  |  | WB Approach | B | 426 | $\frac{11.2}{533}$ | 113 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT Trom 7 to 7 | B | 203 | $\frac{163}{}$ | 1089 |
|  |  |  |  | NBU | 8 | 359 | 11.2 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | \#NA | HN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | ${ }^{\text {B }}$ |  | 16.7 |  |
|  |  |  | S8 | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}^{\text {SBT }}$ | \#N/ | \#N/ | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | \#NA | \#NA | INA |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SB Approach } \\ & \text { Overall LOS } \end{aligned}$ |  | \#N/ |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | D |  | 35.8 |  |


| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Scenario 4 PM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queue (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & \text { (sec) } \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 23 | Broad St EB/Adington Blvd WB | Unsignalized | EB | EBL | \#NA | \#NA | \#iNA | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | ${ }_{\text {A }}$ | 129 | ${ }^{9.6}$ | 1346 |
|  |  |  |  | Approach | \#NA | \#NA | ${ }^{\text {and }}$ | UN/A |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | ANA | aNA | 9N/A | UN/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#NA | \#NA | \#N/ | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | WBApproach |  | UNA | TNA | and | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | \#N/ | \#N/ | \#N/ | WN/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | INA | INA | INNA | UNA |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach | \#NA | \#NA | \#N/ | WN/A |
|  |  |  | S8 | SBL | 0 | 149 | 40.0 | 446 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | \#NA | \#NA | \#N/ | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | aNA | aNA | and | UN/A |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | 0 |  | 40.0 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall Los |  | B |  | 172 |  |
| 23 | Broad St EB/Arington Blvd WB | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#NA | aNA | aNA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | B | 506 | 11.4 | 1347 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | c | 447 | 30.0 | 145 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | B |  | 132 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | INA | mNA | ONA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#NA | mNA | \#NA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | \#NA | \#NA | INA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | aNA |  | 2N/A |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | \#N/A | \#NA | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | INA | mNA | INA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | \#NA | \#NA | \#N/ | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | \#NA |  | \#N/ |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | and | aNA | and | UN/A |
|  |  |  |  | S8T | 8 | 259 | 16.2 | 633 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | TNA | mNA | INA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | 8 |  | 16.2 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | B |  | 19.1 |  |
| 25 | Ring Rdus 50 EB Off Ramp | Signalized | EB | EBL | c | 1398 | 28.7 | 18 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | D | 13988 | 39.0 40.5 | 656 348 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | D |  | 39.3 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | Wel | \#NA | \#NA | INA | UNA |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#NA | \#NA | \#N/ | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WB Approach |  | INA | and | IN/A | UN/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | INA | INA | INA | \#N/ |
|  |  |  | NB | ${ }_{\text {NBT }}^{\text {NBP }}$ | E | 427 | 58.7 | 720 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | C | 427 | 33.9 | 24 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | E |  | 57.9 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}^{\text {SBT }}$ | \#NA | \#N/ | \#\#NA | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | INA | INA | \#NA | \#NA |
|  |  |  | SBApprosch |  | \#NA |  | \#N/ |  |
|  |  |  | Eb | Overall LOS | c |  | 22.9 |  |
| 26 | Ring RdUS 50 WB On Ramp | Signalized |  | ${ }_{\text {EBL }}$ | \#NA | \#NA | \#N/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {EBT }}^{\text {EBR }}$ | \#NA | INA | IN/ | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBAPprosch | \#NA | \#NA | \#N/A | UN/A |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | and | and | IN/A | WN/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | B | 299 | 17.7 | 778 |
|  |  |  |  | WB Approach |  | INA | INA | ITNA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 203 | 17.7 | 714 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | INA | INA | IN/A | IN/A |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach |  | \#N/ | \#N/ | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | B |  | 15.1 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}^{\text {SBT }}$ | \#NA | \#N/ | \#N/ | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | \#NA | \#NA | INA | \#\#N/A |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | \#NA |  | $\pm$ ANA |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | c |  | 22.9 |  |
| 27 | Ring Road at E. Broad St | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#NA | \#NA | ENVA | UN/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | C | 600 | 27.4 | 1401 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | INA | INA | 2N/ | WN/A |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | $\stackrel{\text { c }}{\text { c }}$ | INA | $\xrightarrow{274}$ | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | wB | WBT | B | 527 | 12.8 | 1155 |
|  |  |  |  | WBApproach |  | C | 527 | 21.6 132 | 49 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { B }}{\text { B/A }}$ | \#NA | 132 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | INA | INA | INA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | \#NA | \#NA | \#NA | UNA |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | \#NA |  | \#N/ |  |
|  |  |  | S8 | SBL SBT | E | 152 | $\begin{array}{r}65.5 \\ \hline \text { \#N/ }\end{array}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | E | 152 | 66.6 | 26 |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SB Approach } \\ & \text { Overall LOS } \end{aligned}$ |  | E |  | 65.7 229 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 2030 Scenario 4 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Scenario 4 PM |  |  |  |
| No. | Intersection | Trafic Contol | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queue (feet) | Delay $(\mathrm{sec})$ | Volumes |
| 28 | Ring Road at Allington Blvd EB | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#NA | \#NA | \#N/ | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | INA | TNA | INA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | \#NA | \#NA | \#NA | UN/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \#NA |  | \#NA |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | anA | INA | 2NA | WN/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#NA | \#NA | \#N/A | WN/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | INA | INA | INA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | NB | WBApproach | aNA |  | \#NA |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | \#NA | \#N/ | \#NA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | aNA | INA | IN/A | WN/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | \#NA | \#NA | \#N/ | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | NBApproach |  | INA |  | INA |  |
|  |  |  | S8 | SBL | \#NA | \#NA | \#NA | UN/A |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {SBT }}^{\text {SRP }}$ | \#NA | \#NA | \#N/ | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | and | INA | and | WN/A |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | \#N/ |  | AN/A |  |
| 29 |  |  | Overall LOS |  | ${ }_{\text {C }}$ | \#NA | 229 | UN/A |
|  | Ring Road at Hillwood Ave | Signalized | EB | EBT | \#N/ | \#N/ | \#N/ | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | aNA | ana | IN/A | WN/A |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | \#NA |  | \#NA |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | INA | INA | INA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#NA | \#NA | $\pm$ \#NA | UN/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | \#NA | \#NA | \#N/ | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | INA | in/ | IN/ | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | INA | INA | IN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | iNA | INA | \#NA | WN/A |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | \#N/ |  | \#NA |  |
|  |  |  | S8 | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}^{\text {SBT }}$ | aNA | INA | 2N/A | IN/A |
|  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { SBT }}{\text { SBR }}$ | \#N/ | \#N/ | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | \#NA |  | INNA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | C |  | 229 |  |
| 30 | Ring Road at Arington Blva WB | Signalized | E8 | EBL | anA | INA | INA | WN/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | \#NA | \#NA | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | INA | INA | INA | WN/A |
|  |  |  |  | oach | \#NA |  | INA |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | \#NA | \#NA | \#N/ | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#NA | \#NA | IN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | INA |  | INA |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | \#NA | \#NA | \#NA | UN/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | \#NA | \#NA | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach | INA | aNA | INNA | UN/A |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | INA | INA | IN/A | WN/A |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {SBT }}$ | \#NA | \#NA | \#N/ | UN/A |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {Sasch }}^{\text {SBR }}$ | \#NA | \#NA | \#N/ | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | SB Approach Overall LOS |  | C |  | 229 |  |


| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Scenario 4B AM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. | Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queve (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & \text { (sec) } \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 1 | S. Cherry Streel/arington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | EB | EBL | F | 780 | 1800 | 185 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | c | 724 | 21.7 | 2713 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | C | 728 | 24.9 | 38 |
|  |  |  | ws | WBL | C |  | 31.7 |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | A | 957 | 0.0 16.8 | 2082 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | B | 96 | 18.6 | 54 |
|  |  |  | NB | roach | B |  | 16.9 |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBL NBT | F | 224 | 145.5 116.6 | ${ }_{30} 3$ |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | F | 224 | 116.6 0.0 | 35 |
|  |  |  | NBApproach ${ }^{\text {N }}$ |  | F |  | 129.9 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | F | 204 | 163.9 | 5 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | A | 204 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | C | 205 | 20.8 | 45 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | c |  | 27.1 |  |
| 2 | S. Cherry StreetHillwood Avenue | Signalized | EB | EBL | C | 137 | 23.9 | 109 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | B | 90 | 13.5 | 76 |
|  |  |  |  | E8Approach | A | 34 | 4.7 | 11 |
|  |  |  | ws |  | A | 0 | 18.8 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | B | 191 | 16.9 | 232 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 194 | 8.6 | 3 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | B |  | 16.8 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | ${ }_{\text {NBL }}^{\text {NBT }}$ | D | 618 | 49.7 | 31 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | A | 662 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | D |  | 41.3 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | B | 86 | 19.4 | 5 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | B | 86 | 12.6 | 37 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | B | 119 | 12.9 13.2 | 36 |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | c |  | 27.9 |  |
| 3 | S. Cherry Streette. Broad Street (VA 7) | Signalized | EB | EBL | c | 292 | 26.6 | 48 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {EBT }}^{\text {EBR }}$ | B | 292 | 19.1 14.5 | $\frac{501}{6}$ |
|  |  |  | Approach |  | B | 298 | 14.5 19.7 | 6 |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | C | 466 | 22.1 | 22 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | C | 466 | 24.2 | 1051 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 481 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | C |  | 24.2 |  |
|  |  |  | NB |  | E | 782 782 | 65.0 | $\frac{17}{362}$ |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | E | 782 | 63.4 | 165 |
|  |  |  | NBA0prosch |  | E |  | 64.1 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | E | 307 | 67.0 | 87 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | E | 307 | $\frac{55.4}{51.4}$ | $\frac{35}{26}$ |
|  |  |  |  | SB Approach |  | E | 307 | 51.6 | 26 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | E |  | 61.5 |  |
| 6 | South Street \& S. Roosevelt Street/Hillwood Avenue | Signalized | EB | EBL | c | 96 | 21.1 | 135 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | B | 27 | 12.6 | 2 |
|  |  |  | wB | WBT | B | 35 | 13.9 | 4 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | B | 54 | 15.4 | 2 |
|  |  |  | WB Approsch |  | B |  | 13.9 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | ${ }_{\text {NBL }}^{\text {NBT }}$ | D | $\frac{504}{504}$ | 39.7 431 | 95 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | A | 515 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | NBApproach |  | D |  | 42.2 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | C | 265 | 21.9 | 43 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT SBR | B | $\frac{265}{217}$ | 16.5 | 59 |
|  |  |  |  | SBApproach | B | 217 | 11.9 | 174 |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | c |  | 28.4 |  |
| 7 | N. Roosevelt Streete. Broad Street (VA7) | Signalized | EB | EBL | WN/A | \#N/A | HN/A |  |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {EBT }}^{\text {EBR }}$ | D | 434 | 33.5 | 759 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach | C | 465 | 34.6 38.4 | 19 |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | C | 615 | 26.3 | 214 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | D | 615 | 37.6 | 1014 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | C | 615 | 34.3 |  |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | D | 393 | 35.4 40.6 | 20 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | D | 393 | 39.6 | 84 |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach | D | 393 | 45.8 | 296 |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | D | 168 | 44.3 36.5 | 11 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | D | 168 | 35.4 | 43 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | D | 168 | 37.9 | 61 |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SB Approach } \\ & \text { Overall LOS } \end{aligned}$ |  | D |  | 36.8 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 37.7 |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Scenario 4B AM |  |  |  |
| No. | Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queue (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & \text { (sec) } \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 8 | Sleepy Hollow Road/Aspen Lane | Unsignalized | EB | EBL | A | 29 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | \#N/A | WN/A | \%N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | BApproach | A | 29 | 5.9 | 2 |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | \#N/A | HN/A | HN/A | UNA |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | HN/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | A | 225 | 4.5 | 121 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}$ | 167 | 3.2 | 424 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | \#N/A | WN/A | \#N/A | INA |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | INA |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | A | 0 | 1.8 | 368 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | A | 0 | 1.4 | 14 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | A |  | 1.8 |  |
| 9 | Sleepy Hollow Roadicaste Place | Signalized | EB | EBL | A | 0 | 5.9 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | C | 234 | 26.3 | 175 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | C | 234 | 23.3 | 48 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | B | 116 | 12.7 | 121 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | A | 89 | 4.3 | 304 |
|  |  |  |  | WER | A | 89 | 3.5 | 56 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | A |  | 6.3 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL NBT | E | 502 | $\frac{72.7}{66.8}$ | 67 |
|  |  |  | N | NBR | E | 651 | 66.5 | 267 |
|  |  |  | NBApproach |  | E |  | 69.1 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | A | 222 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | c | 222 | 33.3 | 177 |
|  |  |  |  | SB Approach |  | A | 222 | 0.0 33.3 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | c |  | 33.9 |  |
| 10 | Castle Rosd \& ${ }^{\text {Sthome RoadLLeesburg Pike (VA 7) }}$ | Signalized | EB | EBL | c | 104 | 30.6 | 60 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | D | 292 | 42.5 | 681 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | D | 292 | 42.7 | 50 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | D |  | 41.6 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | D | 635 | 46.5 | 408 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | C | ${ }_{646}^{646}$ | 27.2 | 1199 |
|  |  |  |  | WBApproach | B | 646 | $\frac{17.2}{31.2}$ | 104 |
|  |  |  | NB |  | E | 608 | 68.2 | 14 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | E | 608 | $\frac{68.2}{459}$ | ${ }^{142}$ |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | D | 608 | 45.9 | 284 |
|  |  |  | Approach |  | D |  | 53.8 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL SBT | E | 136 | 69.0 59.4 | 78 23 |
|  |  |  |  | S8R | A | 136 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | E |  | 66.8 |  |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | D |  | 38.4 |  |
| 11 | Seven Corners Centerileesburg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized | EB | EBL | D | 152 | 46.8 | 81 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | D | 420 | 39.0 | 1027 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApprosach | A |  | 0.0 39.5 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | C | 150 | 32.9 | 102 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | A | 305 | 7.5 | 1565 |
|  |  |  |  | Wer | A | 43 | 2.2 | 40 |
|  |  |  | WBApprasch |  | A |  | 8.9 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | F | 437 | 126.1 | 156 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | A | 4378 | ${ }_{75.5} 0$ | 0 |
|  |  |  | NBApproach |  | F |  | 114.8 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | E | 180 | 79.4 | 134 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | A | 180 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | B | 62 | 13.4 697 | 23 |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | E |  | $\underline{69.7}$ |  |
| 12 | Patrick Henry DrivelLeesturg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized | EB | EBL | F | 400 | 85.9 | 177 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | B | 401 | 18.0 | 1039 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | C | 24 | 20.8 | 6 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | C | 160 | 27.8 | 84 |
|  |  |  | wB | WBT | F | 1664 | 116.3 | 1514 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | F | 1663 | 110.8 | 276 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | F |  | 1155 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | ${ }_{\text {NBL }}^{\text {NBT }}$ | E | 442 | 72.6 | 130 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | E | 434 | 72.3 | 93 |
|  |  |  | NBApprosch |  | E |  | 78.4 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}^{\text {SBT }}$ | F | 327 327 | $\frac{82.6}{82.1}$ | 251 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | B | 155 | 14.9 | 65 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach Overall LOS |  | E |  | 69.5 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | E |  | 79.7 |  |


| 2030 Scenario 4B AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Scenario 4B AM |  |  |  |
| No. | Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queue (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & \text { (sec) } \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 13 | Artington Boulevard service road/Avington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | EB | EBL | D | 994 | 40.7 | 63 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 994 | 8.6 | 2885 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach | B | 994 | 15.7 | 19 |
|  |  |  | ws |  | A |  | 9.3 |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | A | 436 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | A | 436 | 7.0 | 2057 |
|  |  |  |  | WB Approach |  | A | 436 | 8.0 | 197 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { A }}{ }$ |  | 7.1 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL NBT | F | 437 | $\begin{array}{r}83.7 \\ \hline 190.9\end{array}$ | ${ }_{30}$ |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | F | 448 | 1454 | 87 |
|  |  |  | NBApproach |  | F |  | 130.9 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | \#N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | S8 Approach |  | B |  | 12.7 |  |
| 14 | Patrick Henry Drivelatington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | EB | EBL | F | 156 | 113.6 | 54 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | D | 1610 | 44.5 | $\frac{2547}{32}$ |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | D |  | 45.9 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | F | 542 | 93.8 | 80 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | C | 542 | 25.7 | 1869 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | c | 594 | 24.3 | 68 |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | c |  | 28.4 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL NBT | F | 191 | 96.2 93.7 | 55 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | F | 682 | 92.9 | 194 |
|  |  |  | NBApproach |  | F |  | 93.6 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | F | 1059 | 291.2 | 262 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | F | 1058 | 2355 | 183 |
|  |  |  |  | SB Approach |  | F | 1058 | 216.9 | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | F |  | $\frac{267.9}{59.7}$ |  |
| 15 | John Marshall DrivelPatrick Henry Drive \& Willston Drive | Signalized | EB | EBL | C | 513 | 30.1 | 143 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | C | 513 | 24.9 | 338 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | WN/A | WN/A | INN/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | C |  | 26.5 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | HN/A | \%N/ |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | B | 598 | 16.8 | 271 |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | B |  | 15.4 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | WN/A | \#N/A | WN/A | INA |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | HN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  | NBApprosch |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | c | 213 | 29.6 | 124 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | WN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | INA |
|  |  |  |  | SBApproach |  | c | 224 | 31.6 | 60 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | c |  | 30.3 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | c |  | 21.8 |  |
| 16 | John Marshall Drive \& N. Mckinley RoadWilson Boulevard | Signalized | EB | EBL | c | 116 | 20.7 | 77 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | C | 392 | $\frac{22.0}{178}$ | 735 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApprosach | B | 404 | 17.8 21.8 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | E | 210 | 60.1 | 111 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | C | 204 | 24.9 | 324 |
|  |  |  |  | Wer | C | 214 | 21.0 | 66 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | C | 673 | 32.2 | 88 |
|  |  |  | NB | ${ }_{\text {NBT }}$ | E | 673 | 59.9 | 202 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | E | 676 | 58.3 | 160 |
|  |  |  |  |  | E |  | 60.9 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | D | 146 | 338.8 | 81 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | C | $\frac{72}{82}$ | $\frac{23.4}{8.9}$ | 45 |
|  |  |  |  |  | c |  | 21.2 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | C |  | 33.1 |  |
| 17 | Peyton Randolph Dive/Wilison Boulevard | Signalized | EB | EBL | A | 617 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | B | 617 | 19.9 | 806 385 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | B |  | 18.6 |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | B | 51 | 15.8 | 26 |
|  |  |  | wB | WBT | A | 185 | 8.9 | 513 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 222 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | E | 292 | 60.9 | 165 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | A | 292 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {NBCh }}$ | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | SBL | D | 34 | 54.3 | 8 |
|  |  |  | SB | SBT | A | 34 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | S 8 R | D | 41 | 36.8 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | OS | B |  | $\stackrel{54.3}{19.8}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

"N/A" represents volumes that are not allowed, or do not exist

| 2030 Scenario 4B AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Scenario 4B AM |  |  |  |
| No. | Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queve (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & (\mathrm{sec}) \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 18 | Roosevelt BoulevardWilson Boulevard | Signalized | EB | EBL | C | 628 | 25.2 | 539 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | ${ }_{\text {B }}$ | 629 | 15.3 | 642 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | aNA |
|  |  |  | ws |  | B |  | 19.8 |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | INA |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | D | 360 | 38.3 | 333 |
|  |  |  |  | WER | c | 400 | 22.1 | 348 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | C |  | 30.0 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | HN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | HN/A | HN/A | HN/A | TNA |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | HN/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  | NBApprosch |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}$ | D | 531 | 49.3 | 546 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {SBT }}^{\text {SBR }}$ | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#\#N/A | \#N/ 21 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | D | 536 | 50.5 49.6 | 212 |
|  |  |  | Sverplolios |  | c |  | 31.1 |  |
| 19 | Roosevelt BoulevardiN. Roosevelt Street | Signalized | EB | EBL | C | 278 | 26.5 | 252 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 278 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | c | 278 | 29.8 | 15 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | C |  | 26.6 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | A | 6 | 1.2 | 12 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT WBR | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | A |  | 1.2 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | D | 68 | 38.9 | 30 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | B | 314 | 12.2 | 921 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | B | 314 | 10.4 | 18 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | 8 |  | 13.0 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | A | 239 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | $\underset{\text { SBT }}{\text { SBR }}$ | A | 239 | 11.8 | 676 |
|  |  |  |  |  | A | 240 | 9.6 | 78 |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SB Approach } \\ & \hline \text { Overall LOS } \end{aligned}$ |  | B |  | 14.3 |  |
| 20 | Arrington Blvd WB/Wilson Blvd | Signalized | EB | EBL | HN/A | HN/A | HN/A | TNA |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 362 | 3.8 | 1051 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | WN/A | WN/A | INV/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | A |  | 3.8 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | \#N/A | WN/A | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | HN/A | HN/A | \#N/A | TNA |
|  |  |  |  | WBApproach |  | A | 193 | $\frac{6.3}{6.3}$ | 559 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | INA |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | F | 409 | 86.0 | 313 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {NBApprosch }}$ |  | E | 295 | 65.4 | 25 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | F |  | 84.5 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | WN/A | WN/A | WN/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | INA |
|  |  |  |  | SBApproach | HN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | B |  | 12.5 |  |
| 21 | Sleepy Hollow Rd/Wilison Blidi/road SUArlington Blivd EB | Signalized | EB | EBLU ${ }^{\text {a }} 7$ | C | 512 | 33.4 | 39 |
|  |  |  |  | EBL to Wilson | C | 512 | 32.3 | 535 |
|  |  |  |  | ER to Route 7 | C | 147 | 32.2 35.5 | 65 284 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach 10.50 |  | c |  | 33.3 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | RR from Sleepy | B | 93 | 11.3 | 85 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {NBT }}$ | D | 541 | 55.3 | ${ }^{829}$ |
|  |  |  |  | from 7 to Wilson | D | 541 542 | 52.6 35.2 | 338 |
|  |  |  | NBADProach |  | D | 542 | 49.9 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL to Wilson | C | 240 | 21.1 | 5 |
|  |  |  |  | BT to Route 7 | A | 240 | 5.6 | 604 |
|  |  |  |  | SRR to Sleepy | c | 240 | 22.6 | 178 304 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | C | 240 | 20.7 14.8 | 304 |
|  |  |  |  | Los | C |  | 32.7 |  |
| 22 | Broad St WB/AAlington Blvd WB | Signalized | ws | WBL | B | 241 | 12.3 | 197 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | B | 241 | 10.4 | 174 |
|  |  |  |  | WB Approach | A | 132 | 6.9 | 502 |
|  |  |  | NB | BT from 7 to 7 | A | 137 | 5.1 | 866 |
|  |  |  |  | NBU | \#N/A | \#N/A | HN/A | INA |
|  |  |  |  | NBApproach |  | \#N/A | *N/A | \#N/A | UNA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {A }}{ }_{\text {A } / 4}$ |  | 0.3 \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}^{\text {SBT }}$ | HN/A | WN/A | HN/A |  |
|  |  |  |  | S8R | \#N/A | WN/A | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | SB Approach Overall LOS |  | A |  | 4.7 |  |


| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Scenario 4B AM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queue (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & (\mathrm{sec}) \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 23 | Broad St EB/Arington Blvd WB | Unsignalized | EB | EBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 100 | 1.8 | 1069 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | WN/A | WN/A | \#N/A | INA |
|  |  |  | wB | EBApproach | A |  | 1.8 |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | HN/A | HN/A | HN/A | UNA |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | WN/A | WN/A | HN/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  | BApproach ${ }^{\text {NBL }}$ |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | HN/A | WN/A | WN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | HN/A | HN/A | HN/A | TNA |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | HN/A | \#N/A | HN/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  | NBApprosch |  | HN/A |  | INI/A |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBL | A | 153 | 3.0 | 198 |
|  |  |  | SB | SBT | HN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | \#N/A | WN/A | HN/A | mNA |
|  |  |  |  |  | A |  | 3.0 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | A |  | 2.0 |  |
| 23 | Broad St EB/AArington Blvd WB | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | UNA |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 142 | 4.0 | 1068 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | B | 60 | 12.9 | 22 |
|  |  |  | E8 Approach |  | A |  | 4.2 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | IN/A | WN/A | IN/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | INA |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | HN/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | NN/A | WN/A | NN/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | and |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | INA |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | B | 112 | 15.1 | 174 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | IN/A | UN/A | INV/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | B |  | 15.1 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | A |  | 4.8 |  |
| 25 | Ring RdJUS 50 EB Off Ramp | Signalized | EB | EBL | A | 1557 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | D | 1557 | 39.1 | 853 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | D | 1557 | 38.4 | 224 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | D |  | 39.0 |  |
|  |  |  | we | WBL | \#N/A | WN/A | WN/A | INA |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | IN/A |
|  |  |  |  | WB Approsch | \#N/A | WN/A | \#N/A | UNA |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | /IN/ | WN/A | \#N/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | D | 535 | 54.8 | 853 |
|  |  |  |  | NBApproach |  | B | 535 | 18.0 | 67 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | D |  | 48.1 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | $\frac{\text { SBL }}{\text { SBT }}$ | \#N/A | \#N/A | HN/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | HN/A | \#N/A | HN/A | INA |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | A |  | 9.6 |  |
| 26 | Ring Rdus 50 WB On Ramp | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | and |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | WN/A | WN/A | WN/A | TN/ |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | HN/A | \#N/A | HN/A | and |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | w8 | WBL | HN/A | HN/A | HN/A | ©NA |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | B | 95 | 19.2 | 197 |
|  |  |  |  | WB Approach |  | HN/A | WN/A | ${ }_{\text {IIN/A }}$ | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | A | 19 | 1.1 | 303 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | HN/A | TNA |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | HN/A | \#N/A | HN/A | UNA |
|  |  |  | NBApproach |  | A |  | 1.11 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | $\frac{\text { SBL }}{\text { SBT }}$ | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | IN/ |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | HN/A | UN/A | HN/A | TNA |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | EB | Los | ${ }_{\text {A }}$ |  | 9.6 |  |
| 27 | Ring Road at E. Broad St | Signalized |  | EBL | HN/A | HN/A | HN/A | and |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | INNA |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach | A | 236 | 8.4 | 1067 |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | /NN/A | WN/A | WN/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | A | 265 | 7.8 | 1310 |
|  |  |  |  | WBApproach |  | B | 265 | 11.9 | 58 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {\# }}$ A/A | \#N/A | B.0 \#N/A | and |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | \%N/A | WN/A | \%N/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach |  | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | INA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/ $/$ A |  |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}^{\text {SBT }}$ | D ${ }_{\text {\#N/A }}$ | ${ }^{142}$ | 35.5 \#N/A | ${ }_{\text {a }}{ }^{21}$ |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | D | 142 | 41.4 | 83 |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SB Approach } \\ & \hline \text { Overall LOS } \end{aligned}$ |  | D |  | 40.2 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | A |  | 9.6 |  |


| 2030 Scenario 4B AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Scenario 4B AM |  |  |  |
| No. | Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queve (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & (\mathrm{sec}) \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 28 | Ring Road at Arrington Blvd EB | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | HN/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | E8R | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | anA |
|  |  |  | ws | WBL | \#N/A | HN/A | \%N/A | INA |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | HN/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | NB | ${ }_{\text {NBL }}^{\text {NBT }}$ | \#N/A | HN/A | HN/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  | NBApprosch |  | \#N/A |  | HN/A |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | \#N/A | WN/A | HN/A | INA |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | SBApproach | \#N/A | UN/A | \#N/A | TNA |
|  |  |  | Overall Los |  | A |  | ${ }_{9} 9.6$ |  |
| 29 | Ring Road at Hillucod Ave | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#N/A | MN/A | \#N/A | aNA |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | \#NAA | \#NA | HN/A | INA |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | \#N/A | WN/A | \#N/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  | wb | BApprosch | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | INA |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | HN/A | INA |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {NBT }}^{\text {NBR }}$ | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | Approach | \#N/A | WN/A | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | TNA |
|  |  |  |  | S8R | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | aNA |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS | SB Approach | \#N/A |  | ${ }_{\text {IIN/A }}$ |  |
| 30 | Ring Road at Attington Blvd WB | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | \#N/A | UN/A | HN/A | TNA |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | w | WBL | \#N/A | WN/A | \#N/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | INA |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | NBApproach | \#N/A | \#N/A | $\xrightarrow{\text { \#N/N/A }}$ | INA |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | HN/A | TNA |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | aNA |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | \#N/A | WN/A | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SB Approach } \\ & \hline \text { Overall LOS } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | A |  | 9.6 |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Scenario 4B PM |  |  |  |
| No. | Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queue (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & \text { (sec) } \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 1 | S. Cherry Streel/arsington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | EB | EBL | F | 152 | 140.9 | 35 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | C | 650 | 28.5 | 2589 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | F | 652 | 105.4 | 4 |
|  |  |  | ws | WBach | C | 174 | 30.1 1226 | 51 |
|  |  |  |  | WBET | c | 1193 | $\underline{127.2}$ | 2604 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | B | 91 | 18.8 | 98 |
|  |  |  | NB | roach | c |  | 28.6 |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | F | 135 | 96.9 | 31 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | A | 135 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | NBApprosch ${ }^{\text {NBR }}$ | A | 137 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | F | 951 | 146.9 | 18 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | F | 951 | 164.8 | 6 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | F | 952 | 98.2 | 272 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | F |  | 1025 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | c |  | 33.6 |  |
| 2 | S. Cherry StreetHillwood Avenue | Signalized | EB | EBL | C | 115 | 23.9 | 103 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | B | 164 | 15.2 | 193 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | A | 42 | 7.2 | 20 |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | B | 283 | 19.1 | 323 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 278 | 7.4 | 18 |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | B |  | 18.5 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL NBT | C | 144 | $\frac{29.1}{25.2}$ | 64 |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approsch |  | B | 187 | 18.9 | 45 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | c |  | 24.9 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | B | 326 | 16.4 | 57 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT SBR | B | 326 359 | 16.5 13.5 | 190 |
|  |  |  | Sbapproach |  | B |  | 13.5 <br> 15.8 | 78 |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | B |  | 18.2 |  |
| 3 | S. Cherry StreetE. Broad Street (VA 7) | Signalized | EB | EBL | C | 400 | 29.7 | 3 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | 8 | 400 | 10.6 | 816 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | B | 406 | 10.6 | 83 |
|  |  |  | Approach |  | B | 287 | 10.7 302 | 22 |
|  |  |  | WB | WBT | B | 287 | 18.4 | 570 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | C | 302 | 20.2 | 40 |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | B |  | 18.9 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | ${ }_{\text {NBL }}^{\text {NBT }}$ | E | 394 | 66.8 | $\frac{45}{61}$ |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | D | 394 | 54.9 | 67 |
|  |  |  | NBApprosch |  | D |  | 54.6 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | D | 648 | 50.8 | 41 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {SBT }}^{\text {SBR }}$ | D | 648 | 51.9 | $\frac{228}{166}$ |
|  |  |  |  | SBApproach |  | D | 648 | 49.4 50.8 | 166 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | C |  | 50.8 25.2 |  |
| 6 | South Street \& S. Roosevelt Street/illiwood Avenue | Signalized | EB | EBL | F | 1001 | 2120 | 86 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {EBT }}^{\text {EBP }}$ | F | 1094 | $\frac{118.6}{1312}$ | 43 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | F | 1116 | 131.2 | 103 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | F |  | 158.8 |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | WB | WBT | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | WB Approsch |  | ${ }_{\text {\# }}^{\text {A }}$ A/A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | F | 858 | 207.4 | 48 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | F | 858 | 217.7 | 313 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | F | 870 | 211.2 | 13 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | F |  | 216.1 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL SBT | B C | 379 | 14.6 22.0 | $\frac{128}{128}$ |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | 8 | 368 | 10.3 | 204 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | B |  | 16.0 |  |
|  |  |  | EB | Los | F |  | 1069 |  |
| 7 | N. Roosevelt Streete. Broad Street (VA7) | Signalized |  | EBL | \#N/A | HN/A | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | F | 1031 | 103.3 | 784 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach | F | 1052 | 97.7 1033 | 5 |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | F | 663 | 121.3 | 402 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | F | 663 | 90.8 | 519 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | F | 663 | 86.4 |  |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | F | 384 | 103.3 | 14 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | A | 384 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | E | 384 | 62.3 | 387 |
|  |  |  | NBApproach |  | E | 762 | 62.4 42.7 | 36 |
|  |  |  | SB | SBT | D | 762 | 43.8 | 154 |
|  |  |  |  | S8R | D | 762 | 40.2 | 14 |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SB Approach } \\ & \text { Overall LOS } \end{aligned}$ |  | D |  | 43.3 91.3 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Scenario 4B PM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. | Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queve (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & \text { (sec) } \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 8 | Sleepy Hollow Road/Aspen Lane | Unsignalized | EB | EBL | A | 140 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | \#N/A | WN/A | INV/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | 8 | 140 | 14.4 | 99 |
|  |  |  | ws | WBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | HN/A | INA |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | HN/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  | NB | roach | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | A | 191 | 9.9 | 69 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | \#N/A | 133 | 5.2 IN/A | 275 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {NBApprosch }}$ | \#N/A | \#N/A | $\stackrel{\text { \#N/A }}{6.1}$ | \#NA |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | INA |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | A | 14 | 3.3 | 659 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | A | 14 | $\frac{6.5}{38}$ | 110 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | A |  | 3.8 |  |
| 9 | Sleepy Hollow Road/Caste Place | Signalized | EB | EBL | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | D | 338 | 35.7 | 346 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | D | 338 | 41.4 | 34 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ws | WBL | B | 377 | 17.2 | 267 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT WBR | A | 245 | 8.1 4.7 | $\frac{377}{21}$ |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | A |  | 11.7 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | F | 743 | 1322 | 48 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | F | 743 | 165.8 | 15 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | F | 818 | 146.4 | 232 |
|  |  |  |  |  | F |  | 145.1 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL SBT | A | 407 | 0.0 44.0 | 450 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | A | 407 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | D |  | 44.0 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | A |  | 5.3 |  |
| 10 | Castle Rosd \& ${ }^{\text {Sthome RoadLLeesburg Pike (VA 7) }}$ | Signalized | EB | EBL | F | 324 | 120.6 | 32 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {EBT }}^{\text {EBR }}$ | D | 394 | 46.1 51.9 | 12 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | D |  | 48.6 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | F | 1460 | 220.5 | 577 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | F | 1458 | 277.5 1204 | 706 |
|  |  |  |  | WBApproach |  | F | 1458 | 120.4 239 | 131 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | F | 597 | 171.5 | 17 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | D | 597 | 114.7 | 20 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {Noach }}^{\text {NBR }}$ | D | 597 | 42.7 | 535 |
|  |  |  | NBApproach |  | D |  | 49.0 | 96 |
|  |  |  | SB | SBT | F | 454 | 175.4 | 75 |
|  |  |  |  | SBApprasch |  | F | 454 | 177.7 | 111 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | F |  | 179.6 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | F |  | 1430 |  |
| 11 | Seven Corners Centerileesburg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized | EB | ${ }_{\text {EBL }}$ | D | 115 | 48.8 | 53 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {EBT }}^{\text {EBR }}$ | E | $\frac{917}{347}$ | 64.9 | 1504 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApprosch | E | 347 | 46.6 63.6 | 68 |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | F | 475 | 93.6 | 72 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | E | 579 | 70.2 | 1175 |
|  |  |  |  | WBApprasch |  | B | 61 | 11.4 | 49 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | E | 919 | ${ }^{69.2}$ | 203 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | F | 919 | 1754 | 14 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | F | 919 | 210.2 | 43 |
|  |  |  | NBApproach |  | F |  | 287.1 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | F | 191 | 1124 | 82 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | F | 191 | 114.2 | 71 |
|  |  |  |  |  | D | 195 | 36.0 74.4 | 155 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | F |  | 83.3 |  |
| 12 | Patrick Henry DrivelLeesturg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized | EB | ${ }_{\text {EBL }}^{\text {EBT }}$ | E | 556 | 76.4 | 196 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | D | 558 20 | 39.1 36.3 | 1440 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | D |  | 43.5 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | F | 174 | 161.7 | 80 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | F | 1675 | 1720 | 1002 |
|  |  |  |  | WB Approach | F | 1671 | 144.0 | 140 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | F | 411 | 98.8 | 117 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | E | 410 | 67.8 | 53 |
|  |  |  |  | NBApproach | F | 362 | 89.1 | 99 |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | F | 802 | 97.2 | 278 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | F | 802 | 98.2 | 49 |
|  |  |  |  | SBApproach Overall LOS |  | D | 674 | 44.8 | 214 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | F |  | 76.6 93.2 |  |


| Scenario 4B PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Scenario 4B PM |  |  |  |
| No. | Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queue (feet) | Delay $(\mathrm{sec})$ | Volumes |
| 13 | Arington Boulevard service road//Alington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | EB | EBL | C | 417 | 22.8 | 26 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 417 | 4.5 | 2206 |
|  |  |  |  | EER | A | 417 | 5.7 | 73 |
|  |  |  | ws | WBL | A | 596 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | A | 596 | 7.3 | 2668 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 586 | 7.4 | 47 |
|  |  |  |  | roach | A |  | 7.3 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | A | 193 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT NBR | D | 193 | 888 | 66 |
|  |  |  |  | NBApproach | D | 197 | 42.2 67.4 | 56 |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | F | 136 | 97.6 | 34 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | F | 136 | 89.0 | 6 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | ${ }_{\text {A }}$ | 121 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | F |  | 96.3 |  |
| 14 | Patrick Henry DrivelArlington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | EB | Los EBL | A | 134 | 8.3 100.4 | 38 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | D | 1210 | 39.2 | 1960 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | D | 1219 | 35.9 | 141 |
|  |  |  |  | oach | D |  | 40.1 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | F | 1297 | 154.3 | 188 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT WBR | D | 1237 | 43.9 | $\frac{2329}{44}$ |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | D | 1336 | 41.5 | 44 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | F | 421 | 84.0 | 156 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | E | 443 | 76.9 | 173 |
|  |  |  | NBApproach |  | F |  | 80.3 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | F | 1149 | 336.0 | 268 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | F | 1148 | 2278 | 337 |
|  |  |  |  |  | A | 1148 | 20.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | Overail LOS |  | E |  | 71.0 |  |
| 15 | John Marshall Drive/Patrick Henry Drive \& Willston Drive | Signalized | EB | EBL | A | 229 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | 8 | 229 | 14.4 | 245 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | WN/A | WN/A | \#N/A | TNA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\underset{\text { WN/A }}{\text { W }}$ | WN/A | 14.4 | IN/ |
|  |  |  | WB | WBT | B | 197 | 13.6 | 167 |
|  |  |  |  | Wer | 8 | 248 | 18.5 | 124 |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | B |  | 15.7 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | ${ }_{\text {NBL }}^{\text {NBT }}$ | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | INVA |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | UN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | TNA |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | C | 341 | 20.3 | 336 |
|  |  |  |  | $\underset{\text { SBT }}{\text { SBR }}$ | \#N/A | \#\#N/A | \#N/A | INA |
|  |  |  |  |  | B | 352 | 20.0 20.3 | 32 |
|  |  |  | Sverpricach |  | B |  | 17.2 |  |
| 16 | John Marshall Drive \& N. Mckinley RoadWilson Boulevard | Signalized | EB | EBL | C | 179 | 20.5 | 139 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | B | 226 | 17.4 | 398 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | B | 238 | 10.0 | 36 |
|  |  |  | EBApprosach |  | B |  | 17.7 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | E | 426 | 78.5 | $\frac{192}{607}$ |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | C | 423 | 26.6 | 91 |
|  |  |  | WBApprasch |  | D |  | 39.0 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | D | 294 | 42.6 | 71 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | D | 294 | $\frac{36.3}{26.5}$ | 61 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | D |  | 35.9 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | C | 34 | 29.7 | 10 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | c | 112 | 30.8 | 84 |
|  |  |  |  |  | B | 123 | 10.0 | 152 |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | c |  | 29.6 |  |
| 17 | Peyton Randolph Dive/Wilison Boulevard | Signalized | EB | EBL | B | 520 | 11.8 | 9 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | B | 520 | 16.9 | 527 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | B | 528 | 14.9 | 465 |
|  |  |  | BAApproach |  | B | 90 | 15.9 16.2 | 47 |
|  |  |  | wB | WBT | B | 363 | 15.6 | 777 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | B | 400 | 15.4 | 10 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | B |  | 15.6 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | D | 182 | 52.2 | 92 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | B | 74 | 18.9 | 13 |
|  |  |  | NBApprosch |  | D |  | 48.1 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}$ | D | 92 | 48.8 | 36 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT SBR | A | 92 | 53.0 9.6 | 7 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach Overall LOS |  | C |  | 27.4 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | B |  | 18.0 |  |

"N/A" represents volumes that are not allowed, or do not exist


| 2030 Scenario 4B PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Scenario 4B PM |  |  |  |
| No. | Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queve (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & (\mathrm{sec}) \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 23 | Broad St EB/Arlington Blvd WB | Unsignalized | EB | EBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | HN/A | INA |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 102 | 2.5 | 1211 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | WN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | INA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  | 2.5 |  |
|  |  |  | ws | WBL | HN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | INA |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | NN/A | WN/A | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | HN/A | UN/A | HN/A | INA |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approsch |  | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | /IN/A |  | HN/A |  |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}^{\text {SBT }}$ | B | 226 | 19.6 HN/A | 447 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | \#N/A | \#\#N/A | \#N/A | INA |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | B |  | 19.6 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | A |  | 7.1 |  |
| 23 | Broad St EB/Arington Blvd WB | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#N/A | WNA | \#N/A | and |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 193 | 4.4 | 1212 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | B | 103 | 16.6 | 51 |
|  |  |  | E8Approach |  | A |  | 4.9 |  |
|  |  |  | ws | WBL | NN/A | WN/A | NN/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | and |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | HN/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | HN/A | \#N/A | HN/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | HN/A | WN/A | NN/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | WN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | and |
|  |  |  | NB Approsch |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | HN/A | HN/A | HN/A | INA |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | B | 249 | 13.9 | 548 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | NN/A | \#N/A | HN/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | B |  | 13.9 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | A |  | 6.5 |  |
| 25 | Ring RduS 50 EB Off Ramp | Signalized | EB | EBL | D | 1687 | 54.9 | 29 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {EBT }}^{\text {EBR }}$ | F | 1687 | 107.3 | 676 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach | F | 1687 | 1152 1086 | 377 |
|  |  |  | ws | WBL | \#N/A | WN/A | \#N/A | INA |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | HN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | UNA |
|  |  |  |  | WBApproach |  | HN/A | UN/A | HN/A | ONA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL NBT | HN/A | ${ }_{5}^{\text {WN/A }}$ |  | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | B | 531 | 12.5 | 48 |
|  |  |  | NBApproach |  | D |  | 45.9 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}$ | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {SBT }}$ | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | SB Approach |  | HN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | INA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \#N/ |  | \% 41.6 |  |
| 26 | Ring Rdus 50 WB On Ramp | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | HN/A | aNA |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | WN/A | WN/A | HN/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | \#N/A | \#N/A | HN/A | aNA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | HN/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | ws | WBL | HN/A | HN/A | HN/A | $\underline{\text { INA }}$ |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | ${ }_{\text {\% } / \text { / } / \text { A }}$ | WN/A | $\frac{19.5}{\text { \%N/A }}$ | 598 |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | B |  | 19.5 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | A | 88 | 2.1 | 407 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | HN/A | MN/A | HN/A | INA |
|  |  |  |  | NBApproach | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  | SB |  | \#N/A | WN/A | $\frac{2.1}{\text { WN/A }}$ | INA |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | HN/A | UN/A | HN/A | TNA |
|  |  |  | Se Approach |  | HN/A |  | \#N/A |  |
| ${ }^{27}$ | Ring Road at E. Broad St | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | INA |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | B | 242 | 10.3 | 1206 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | HN/A | UN/A | HN/A | TNA |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | B |  | 10.3 |  |
|  |  |  | w | WBL | \#N/A | ${ }_{5}$ WN/A | 7N/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | E | 535 | $\frac{55.7}{}$ | 35 |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | E |  | 71.6 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | INN/A | UNA |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | \#N/A | WN/A | \#N/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  | NBApproach |  | \#N/A |  | HN/A |  |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}^{\text {SBT }}$ | F | 138 | 121.9 | 54 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {SBT }}^{\text {SBR }}$ | \#N/A | \#\#N/A | \#\#N/A 183.6 | ${ }_{36}{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
|  |  |  | SB Approach Overall LOS |  | F |  | 146.6 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | D |  | 41.6 |  |


| Intersection information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Scenario 4B PM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queve (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & \text { (sec) } \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 28 | Ring Road at Arrington Blvd EB | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | IN/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | WN/A | \#N/A | HN/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | INA |
|  |  |  | W8 | roach | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | and |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NVA | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | WN/A | HN/A | HN/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  | NBApproach |  | \#N/A |  | \#NVA |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | +NN/A | EN/ |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | UN/A | \#N/A | UN/A | INA |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  | NBApproach |  | MN/A |  | INN/ |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | HNNA | INA |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | \#N/A | WN/ | HN/A | mNA |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | \#N/A |  | HN/A |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | D |  | 41.6 |  |
| 29 | Ring Road at Hillwood Ave | Signalized | EB | EBL | WN/A | MN/A | ININ | aN/ |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | \#N/A | UN/ | \#N/A | INA |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | \#N/A | HN/A | \#NN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | IN/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | und |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | \#N/A |  | \%N/A |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | HN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | INA |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | HN/A | \#N/A | WN/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  | Approach |  | \#N/A | HN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | SB | SBT | \#N/A | WNA | INNA | TNA |
|  |  |  |  | S8R | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | UNA |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | \#N/A |  | INNA |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | D |  | 41.6 |  |
| 30 | Ring Road at Atrington Blvd WB | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | \#N/A | WN/A | HN/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \#N/A |  | \#NNA |  |
|  |  |  | w | WBL | WN/A | WN/A | INN/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | INA |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | $\xrightarrow{\text { HN/A }}$ | WN/A | \#NVA | \#NA |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | and |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | WN/A | WN/A | HN/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NVA | UNA |
|  |  |  | NBApproach |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}$ | HN/A | \#N/A | \#NNA | INA |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {SBT }}^{\text {SBR }}$ | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  | Overplil LOS |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | D |  | 41.6 |  |


"N/A" represents volumes that are not allowed, or do not exist


| 2030 Scenario 5 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Scenario 5 AM |  |  |  |
| No. | Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queue (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & (\mathrm{sec}) \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 15 | John Marshall Drive/Patrick Henry Drive \& Willston Dive | Signalized | EB | EBL | C | 445 | 28.6 | 142 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | 8 | 445 | 18.7 | 331 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | \#N/A | \#NA | HN/A | IN/A |
|  |  |  |  | oach | c |  | 21.0 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | UN/A | INA | 2N/A | WN/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | 8 | 407 | 15.7 | 290 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | 8 | 575 | 17.2 | 265 |
|  |  |  |  | roach | 8 |  | 16.4 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | \#N/A | \#N/ | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | IN/A | INA | 2N/A | WN/A |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach |  | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NV/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | C | 197 | 26.6 | 117 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | SeApproach |  | c | 209 | 30.9 | 43 |
|  |  |  | Overall Los |  | C |  | $\frac{27.7}{19.8}$ |  |
| 16 | John Marshall Drive \& N. Mckinley Road/Wilson Boulevard | Signalized | EB | EBL | 8 | 117 | 17.8 | 73 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | 8 | 360 | 18.9 | 686 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApprosch |  | A | 372 | 5.5 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  | 18.7 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | E | 193 | 58.4 | 97 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | C | 206 | 25.2 | 355 |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | c | 216 | 22.6 | 65 |
|  |  |  |  |  | E | 652 | 31.2 60.4 | 88 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | E | 652 | 56.9 | 197 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | D | 654 | 50.6 | 157 |
|  |  |  | NBApprosch |  | E |  | 55.3 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | D | 124 | 41.1 | 74 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | C | 68 | 24.9 | 40 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | A | 97 | 9.1 | 134 |
|  |  |  |  |  | c |  | 21.2 |  |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | c |  | 30.6 |  |
| 17 | Peyton Randolph DriveWWison Boulevard | Signalized | EB | EBT | A | 658 | 24.03 | 752 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | B | 666 | 16.7 | 377 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | c |  | 21.7 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | 8 | 68 | 19.0 | 42 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | B | 201 | 15.1 0.0 | 532 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 238 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | Approach |  | F | 822 | 15.4 84.9 | 279 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | A | 822 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | NBApproach |  | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | F |  | 84.9 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}$ | D | 27 | 522 | 7 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {SBT }}$ | A | 27 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | A | 35 | 8.0 467 | 1 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | D |  | 28.8 |  |
| 18 | Roosevelt Boulevard/Wilson Boulevard | Signalized | EB | EBL | D | 881 | 36.4 | 708 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | 8 | 732 | 15.3 | 607 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | HN/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | C |  | 26.7 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | \#N/A | \#N/ 67 | \#NVA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | E | 677 | 74.4 | 469 |
|  |  |  |  | WBApproach |  | E | 716 | 61.3 | 335 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | E | mN/A | 69.0 | WN/A |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | nN/ | IN/A | n/N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | NBApproach |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | O | 515 | 50.4 | 525 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {SBT }}^{\text {SBR }}$ | \#NA | 2N/A | 2N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  | D | 520 | 53.1 | 232 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | D |  | 45.0 |  |
| 19 | Roosevelt BoulevardiN. Roosevelt Street | Signalized | EB | EBL | C | 140 | 23.6 | 80 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 140 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | C | 140 | 25.8 | 15 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | c |  | 23.9 |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | A | 5 | 0.8 | 12 |
|  |  |  | wB | WBT | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 4 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | D | 84 | 37.6 | 43 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | 8 | 375 | $\frac{10.9}{102}$ | 1052 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | 8 | 375 | 10.2 | 19 |
|  |  |  |  | S8L | A | 282 | 11.9 | 0 |
|  |  |  | SB | SBT | B | 262 | 10.8 | 678 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | A | 263 | 8.5 | 88 |
|  |  |  |  | Los | 8 |  | 10.6 |  |
| 20 | Afrington Blvd WB/Wilson Blvd | Signalized | EB | E8L | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 193 | 2.9 | 1190 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | HN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | MN/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  | 2.9 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | IN/A | INAA | 2N/A | INVA |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | HN/A | \#N/A | ${ }^{\text {\# N/A }}$ | HN/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBApproach | A | 0 | 3.6 3.6 | 719 |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | 2N/A | IN/A | 2N/A | INVA |
|  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {NBApproach }}{ }^{\text {NBR }}$ |  | A | 0 | 0.3 | 21 |
|  |  |  | SB | S8L | ${ }_{\text {A }}$ A $/$ A | \#N/ | HN/A | IN/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | MN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NV/A |
|  |  |  | SBApproach Overall LOS |  | HN/A | UNA | \#N/A | MNA |
|  |  |  |  |  | A |  | 3.1 |  |

"N/A" represents volumes that are not allowed, or do not exist

| 2030 Scenario 5 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Scenario 5 AM |  |  |  |
| No. | Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queue (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & (\mathrm{sec}) \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 21 | Sleepy Hollow RdWWilson Blvd/Broad StAAlington Blvd EB | Signalized | EB | EBLU to 7 | A | 290 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | EBL to Wilison | C | 290 | 27.2 | 554 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR to Route 7 | c | 159 | 33.2 | 63 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT to 50 | C | 0 | 28.6 | 53 |
|  |  |  |  | pproach | c |  | 27.9 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBR from Sieepy | 8 | 105 | 11.3 | 87 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | D | 665 | 40.2 | 988 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR from 7 to Wilison | D | 665 | 54.7 50 | 479 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR from 7 to 50 | D | 666 | 52.0 | 32 |
|  |  |  | SB | pproach | D |  | 43.3 |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBL to Wilson | C | 234 | 24.9 | 5 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT to Route 7 | A | 234 | 4.6 | 670 |
|  |  |  |  | $\frac{\text { SBR to Sloepy }}{\text { SBL } 10} 5$ | C | 234 | 25.7 | $\frac{171}{354}$ |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  | 14.7 | 354 |
|  |  |  |  | rall LOS | c |  | 29.7 |  |
| 22 | Broad St WB/AArington Blvd WB | Signalized | WB | WBL | 8 | 319 | 15.8 | 162 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | 8 | 319 | 18.6 | 282 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | 8 | 181 | 15.8 15.8 | 275 |
|  |  |  |  | pparozch | 8 |  | 16.9 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT from 7 to 7 | A | 95 | 3.7 | 988 |
|  |  |  |  | NBU | INVA | nN/A | INVA | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {NBL }}$ | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | IN/A |
|  |  |  |  | pprosch | A |  | 0.3 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}$ | IN/A | \#N/A | 2N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {SBT }}$ | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | INNA | MNA | INNA |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | A |  | $\frac{1}{6.0}$ |  |
| ${ }^{23}$ | Broad St EB/Arington Blivd WB | Unsignalized | EB | EBL | MN/A | 2N/A | 2N/A | nN/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 104 | 2.0 | 1195 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | nN/A | nN/ | nN/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | A |  | 2.0 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | mN/A | INA | 2N/A | nN/A |
|  |  |  |  | wB Approach |  | HN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | N/A | \#NA | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | \#N/A | \#NA | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | 2N/A | [N/A | 2N/A | IN/A |
|  |  |  | NBApproach |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | A | 168 | 3.7 | 162 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | HN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | INNA |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | HN/A | \#NA | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | A |  | 3.7 |  |
|  |  |  | EB | rall Los | A |  | 2.2 |  |
| ${ }^{23}$ | Broad St EB/Arlington Blva WB | Signalized |  | EBL | IN/A | nN/ | HN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 126 | 2.7 | 1195 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | B | 26 | 13.0 | 23 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | A |  | 2.9 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | nN/A | nN/ | HN/A | HN/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | \#N/A | \#N:A | \#N/A | MN/A |
|  |  |  | wB Approsch |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/ |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | HN/A | 2NA | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | HN/A | NN/A | HN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | mN/A | \#N/ | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | B | 215 | 15.5 | 282 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#\#N/ | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | B |  | 15.5 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | A |  | 4.0 |  |
| 25 | Ring Road at Arlington Blvd EB | Signalized | EB | EBL | A | 1515 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | D | 1515 | 46.2 | 637 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | D | 1515 | 43.7 | 283 |
|  |  |  | EBApprosch |  | D |  | 454 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | TN/A | INAA | JN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#N/A | \#N/ | \#NVA | INN/A |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | 2N/A |  | 2N/A |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | F | 291 | 82.7 | 368 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | D | 291 | 51.8 | 33 |
|  |  |  | NB Approsch |  | F |  | 80.1 |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBL | \#N/A | IN/A | $2 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | SB | SBT SBR | \#NVA | \#NA | \#NVA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | HNVA | \#NA | HN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | rall Los | E |  | 55.9 |  |
| 26 | Ring Road at Arrington Blvd WB | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#N/A | INA | 2N/A | INVA |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | HN/A | \#N/A | HN/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach | \#N/A | DN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | \#NVA | \#NA | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | 8 | 136 | 18.5 | 304 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | B |  | 18.5 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}$ A/A | 207 |  | 368 |
|  |  |  |  | NBApproach ${ }^{\text {NBR }}$ |  | PN/A | INAA | 2N/A | NNA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  | 8.0 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL SBT | \#NVA | \#N/A | INN/ | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | \#N/A | \#NA | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SBApproach } \\ & \text { Overall LOS } \end{aligned}$ |  | IN/A |  | 2N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  | 12.7 |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Scenario 5 AM |  |  |  |
| No. | Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | $\begin{gathered} \text { Max Queue } \\ \text { (feet) } \end{gathered}$ | Delay (sec) | Volumes |
| 27 | Ring Road at E. Broad St | Signalized | EB | EBL | HN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | 8 | 435 | 10.6 | 1197 |
|  |  |  |  | EBash | HN/A | \#N/ | \#N/A | UN/A |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | PN/A | 2NA | 10./A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | A | 364 | 9.9 | 1198 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | c | 364 | 20.2 | 58 |
|  |  |  | NB | roach | 8 |  | 10.4 |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | \#N/A | \#N/ | \#NA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | 2N/A | INTA | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | Approach | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | SB | S日L | D | 135 | 47.6 | 20 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBApprosch |  | E | 135 | 57.2 | 82 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | E |  | 553 123 |  |
| 28 | Ring Road at Arrington Blvd EB | Signalized | EB | E日L | HN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | IN/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 156 | 8.0 | 400 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApprosch |  | C | 156 | 23.1 | 32 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  | 9.1 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | nN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#N/A | \#N/ | \#N/A | \#NV/A |
|  |  |  |  | Woach | \#N/A |  | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | A | 340 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | NER | C | 340 | 33.4 | 305 |
|  |  |  | NB Approsch |  | c |  | 33.4 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | 2N/A | 2NA | \#N/A | DN/A |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {SBT }}^{\text {SBR }}$ | 8 | 241 | 19.6 | 324 |
|  |  |  |  | SBApproach | nN/A | nN/A | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | B |  | 19.3 |  |
| 29 | Ring Road at Hillwood Ave | Signalized | EB | E8L | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | MN/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | PN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | HN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | IN/A |  | IN/A |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | \#N/A | \#NA | \#NA | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | HN/A | INVA | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | \#N/A | \#NA | \#N/ | IN/A |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach |  | \#N/A | \#NA | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | SB | SBT | nN/A | nN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | \#N/A | \#NA | \#N/A | INA |
|  |  |  |  |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | Sbe Approach |  | B |  | 19.3 | \#N/A |
| 30 | Ring Road at Arrington Blvd WB | Signalized | EB | EBL | INN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | HN/A | IN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | E | 615 | 56.0 | 326 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | \#N/A | 615 \#N/A | 36.1 \#N/A | 21 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | D |  | 54.8 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | \#N/A | \#N/ | \#N/ | IN/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBApproach | 2N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | IN/A |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | MN/A | MNA | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | HN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | IN/A |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SBApproach } \\ & \hline \text { Overall LOS } \end{aligned}$ |  | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 549 |  |


| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Scenario 5 PM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No． | Intersection | Traflic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queue （feet） | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & (\mathrm{sec}) \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 1 | S．Cherry Street／Arington Boulevard（US 50） | Signalized | E8 | EBL | F | 104 | 11.7 | 30 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | c | 772 | 23.4 | 2730 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach | F | 776 | 88.5 | 19 |
|  |  |  | we |  | c |  | 24.8 |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | F | 127 | 121.3 | 31 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | c | 1210 | 31.4 | 2900 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | 8 | 94 | 16.5 | 109 |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | F | 498 | 31.7 8336 | 29 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | A | 498 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | Approech | A | 498 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | SB |  | F |  | 8336 |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBL | F | 608 | 98.9 | 80 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | F | 608 | 1025 | 20 |
|  |  |  |  | S8R | F | 608 | 1022 | 127 |
|  |  |  | S日Approach |  | F |  | 101.1 |  |
| 2 | S．Cherry Streethillwood Avenue | Signalized | Ex | EBL | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | B | 162 | 10.9 | 198 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | A | 63 | 7.0 | 51 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | B |  | 10.1 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | A | 47 | 9.7 | 17 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | 8 | 220 | 14.9 | 315 |
|  |  |  |  | WER | B | 223 | 123 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  | roach | 8 |  | 14.7 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | ${ }_{\text {NBL }}$ | c | 133 | 24.3 | ${ }^{85}$ |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | C | 133 | 25.6 | 35 |
|  |  |  | NBApprosch |  | c | 1 | 232 | 2 |
|  |  |  | se | SBL | 8 | 168 | 16.6 | 20 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | c | 168 | 25.1 | 25 |
|  |  |  |  | S8R | 8 | 199 | 120 | 188 |
|  |  |  | S8 Approach |  | B |  | 13.8 |  |
|  |  |  | E日 | LOS | B |  | 14.5 |  |
| 3 | S．Cherry Streeve．Broad Street（VA 7） | Signalized |  | EBL | C | 267 | 30.5 | 20 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {EBT }}^{\text {EBR }}$ | A | 267 | 7.3 | 1077 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | A | 26 | 7． 7 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | 0 | 475 | 352 | 152 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | C | 475 | 202 | 819 |
|  |  |  |  | WBADproach |  | B | 498 | 18.1 | 24 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | E | 139 | $\frac{224}{648}$ |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | 0 | 139 | 44.2 | 48 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | A | 139 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | 0 |  | 49.1 |  |
|  |  |  | S8 | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}$ | 0 | 285 | 47.9 | 7 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | 0 | 285 | 53.9 | 75 |
|  |  |  |  | SBApproach |  | D | 285 | 53.7 | 90 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | D |  |  |  |
| 6 | South Street \＆S．Roosevelt Streevhillwood Avenue | Sigralized | EB | ${ }_{\text {EBL }}$ | F | 446 | 183 138 | 92 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | 0 | 324 | 37.4 | 52 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | c | 357 | 27.8 | 89 |
|  |  |  | E⿴囗十Approach |  | E |  | 73.7 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | C | 19 | 23.5 | 3 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | O | 26 | 33.6 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  | WBApproach |  | E | 26 | 59.0 406 | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | F | 798 | 173.4 | 109 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | F | 798 | 188.5 | 341 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | A | 809 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | NB Approsch |  | F |  | 184.9 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}^{\text {SBT }}$ | C | 311 | ${ }^{28.6}$ | $\frac{89}{213}$ |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {SBT }}$ | ${ }^{\text {A }}$ | 311 | $\frac{8.7}{11.3}$ | 213 164 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  | 13.4 |  |
|  |  |  | Sb Approach |  | F |  | 924 |  |
| 7 | N．Roosevell Streelve．Broad Streel（VA 7） | Signalized | E8 | EEL | UNA | 1 UNA | INA |  |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {EBT }}^{\text {EBR }}$ | E | 812 | 75.8 | 985 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach | E | 840 | 758 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | F | 616 | 95.4 | 226 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | 0 | 616 | 46.1 | 873 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | c | 616 | 34.0 |  |
|  |  |  | WBAPproach |  | E |  | 55.3 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | E | 396 | 662 | 12 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT NBR | E | 396 396 | 43.7 55.0 | 149 |
|  |  |  | NBApproach |  | 0 |  | 54.8 |  |
|  |  |  | se | SBL | 0 | 406 | 52.2 | 17 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT SBR | 0 | 406 | 399 | 221 |
|  |  |  |  |  | D | 406 | 38.9 40.5 | 48 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | E |  | 60.8 |  |
| 8 | Sleepy Hollow Road／Aspen Lane | Unsignalized | E8 | EBL | A | 108 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | \＃N／A | \＃N／ | \＃NA | \＃N／ |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | B | 106 | 178 178 | 61 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {B }}$ |  | 17.8 |  |
|  |  |  | we | ${ }_{\text {WBL }}^{\text {WBT }}$ | \＃NA | \＃NA | \＃NA | UNA |
|  |  |  |  | WBApproach |  | \＃NA | \＃NA | aNA | \＃NA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \＃N／A |  | BNA |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL NBT | A | 209 155 | $\frac{14.2}{4.8}$ | 261 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | \＃NA | \＃N／ | \＃N／ | \＃NNA |
|  |  |  | NB Approsch |  | A |  | ${ }_{6}^{64}$ |  |
|  |  |  | se | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}^{\text {SBT }}$ | \＃N／A | $\underset{\text { \＃N／}}{\substack{\text { \＃}}}$ |  | ${ }_{817}^{\text {\＃N／}}$ |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | A | 0 | 5.0 | 141 |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SB Approach } \\ & \text { Overall LOS } \end{aligned}$ |  | A |  | 3.4 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | B |  | 178 |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Scenario 5 PM |  |  |  |
| No. | Intersection | Trafic Control | Approech | Movement | LOS | Max Queve (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & (\mathrm{sec}) \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 9 | Sleepy Hollow Road/Castle Place | Signalized | EB | EBL | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | E | 209 | 50,7 622 | 346 |
|  |  |  |  | E8R | E/A | 209 | 622 | 36 |
|  |  |  | we | WBL | C | 591 | 23.6 | 495 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | 8 | 538 | 13.5 | 712 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | 8 | 536 | 154 | 133 |
|  |  |  | NB | roach | 8 |  | 17.5 |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBL NBT | E | 244 | 63.0 | 33 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | c | 359 | 31.8 | 225 |
|  |  |  | se | 08ch | 0 |  | 368 |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBL | A | 418 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | D | 418 | 39.5 | 446 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | A | 418 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | SBA Approach |  | D |  | 39.5 |  |
| 10 | Castle Rosd \& Thome RoadLeesturg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized | EB | LOS | E | 536 | 77.8 | 123 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | E | 569 | 722 | 969 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | F | 569 | 89.2 | 11 |
|  |  |  | we | 0ach | E |  | 73.0 |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | E | 1034 | 734 | ${ }^{836}$ |
|  |  |  |  | WET | E | 1034 | 68.6 55.3 | 957 182 |
|  |  |  |  | Oach | E |  | 69.4 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | F | 736 | 436.4 | 61 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | F | 736 | 390.5 | 57 |
|  |  |  |  | NBER | E | 736 | 74.6 | 425 |
|  |  |  | Se | SBL | c | 247 | $\underline{149.4}$ | 151 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | C | 247 | 23.7 | 496 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | c | 247 | 28.7 | 167 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | c |  | 25.4 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Los | E |  | 70.9 |  |
| 11 | Seven Cormers Certer/Leestburg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized | E日 | EBL | F | 437 | 109.7 | ${ }^{89}$ |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | 0 | 633 | 49.5 | 1374 |
|  |  |  | wb | EBR | E | 160 | $\frac{332}{558}$ | 51 |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | E | 153 | 57.0 | 53 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | B | 538 | 14.1 | 1425 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 56 | 3.4 | 75 |
|  |  |  | NB | ooch | $\frac{8}{\text { F }}$ |  | 15.1 |  |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {NBL }}^{\text {NBT }}$ | F | 395 | 1121 1362 | $\frac{252}{41}$ |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | E | 395 | 65.8 | 6 |
|  |  |  | NBApproach |  | F |  | 114.5 |  |
|  |  |  | sB | SBL | F | 192 | 87.6 | 105 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | F | 192 | 85.1 | ${ }^{60}$ |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | C | 192 | 20.4 | 174 |
|  |  |  | Se Approach |  | 0 |  | 43.5 |  |
| 12 | Patrick Henry Drivelleesburg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized | EB | EBL | F | 363 | 93.8 | 96 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | 0 | 559 | 40.1 | 1377 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {osch }}{ }^{\text {EBR }}$ | D | 103 | 37.1 | 23 |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | E | 126 | 61.4 | 59 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | E | 838 | 59.5 | 1292 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | 0 | 843 | 53.1 | 138 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | E | 392 | 59.0 | 114 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | F | 403 | 80.4 | 43 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | F | 370 | 893 | 81 |
|  |  |  | NB Approsch |  | F |  | 85.0 |  |
|  |  |  | Se | SBL | E | 843 | 75.6 | 341 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | F | 843 | $\frac{81.4}{50.4}$ | 61 163 |
|  |  |  |  | SBApprosch |  | E | 337 | 50.4 69.9 | 163 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | E |  | 56.0 |  |
| ${ }^{13}$ | Arlington Boulevard service road/Arlington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | Ex | EBL | D | 678 | 51.8 | 52 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {EBT }}^{\text {EBR }}$ | B | $\frac{678}{678}$ | 11.8 | ${ }^{2350}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 678 | 11.4 126 | 94 |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | A | 1031 | $\frac{126}{0.0}$ | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | WET | C | 1031 | 27.8 | 2566 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | c | 1031 | 27.1 | 94 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | E | 228 | $\underline{69.5}$ | 11 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | F | 228 | 82.6 | 82 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | D | 146 | 50.1 | 18 |
|  |  |  | NBApprosch |  | E |  | 76.1 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | $\stackrel{\text { SBL }}{\text { SBT }}$ | E | $\frac{112}{112}$ | 74.8 | 31 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | A | 93 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | E |  | 74.1 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Los | C |  | 21.9 |  |
| 14 | Patrick Henry Drive/Arlington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | EB | ${ }_{\text {EBL }}^{\text {EBT }}$ | F | 113 | 103.3 31.2 | 40 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | c | 1659 | 31.2 324 | $\stackrel{158}{98}$ |
|  |  |  |  | cach | c |  | 32.5 |  |
|  |  |  | w | WEL | F | 1674 | 1537 1077 | ${ }_{24}^{48}$ |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | F | 1674 1682 | 107.7 1082 | $\frac{2410}{77}$ |
|  |  |  | NB | roach | F |  | 108.5 |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | E | 208 | 55.3 | 135 |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approzech | E | 195 | 59.8 569 | 74 |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL SBT | F | 1083 | 189.8 111.7 | 405 386 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | F | 1076 | 1224 | 22 |
|  |  |  | S日 Approach |  | F |  | 150.9 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | E |  | 712 |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Scenario 5 PM |  |  |  |
| No. | Intersection | Trafic Control | Approech | Movement | LOS | Max Queve (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & (\mathrm{sec}) \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 15 | John Marshall DivelPatick Henry Drive \& Willston Dive | Signalized | EB | EBL | A | 194 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | \% | ${ }^{194}$ | ${ }^{13.1}$ | $\frac{215}{\text { HN/ }}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Easch | ${ }_{\text {\% }}^{\text {\% }}$ | \#NA | 13.1 | TNA |
|  |  |  | wi | WBL | \#NA | \#NA | aN/A | UNA |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | 8 | 142 | 16.8 | 155 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | 8 | 203 | 137 | 121 |
|  |  |  |  | roach | 8 |  | 154 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL NBT | MNA | MNA | 9N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | \#N/A | \#NA | \#N/A | $\ldots$ \#NA |
|  |  |  | SB | 08ch | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBL | 8 | 390 | 17.2 | 408 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | UNA | INA | QNA | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | 8 | 402 | 17.7 | 46 |
|  |  |  | Solaprroach |  | 8 |  | 17.3 |  |
| 16 | John Marshall Dive \& N. Mckinley RoedWWilson Bouevard |  | EB | LOS | ${ }^{8}$ | 201 | 158 <br> 24 | 139 |
|  |  | Signalized |  | EBT | B | 243 | 19.8 | 488 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | 8 | 255 | 10.1 | 20 |
|  |  |  | we | 0ach | c |  | 20.5 |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | E | 406 | 593 | 230 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT WER | c | 395 | $\frac{25.2}{24.2}$ | $\frac{592}{78}$ |
|  |  |  | NB | oach | c |  | 338 |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | 0 | 231 | 45.5 | 68 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | 0 | 231 | 39.1 | 56 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {asch }}{ }^{\text {NBR }}$ | C | 233 | 26.8 38.7 | 41 |
|  |  |  | S8 | SBL | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | c | 150 | 31.8 | 103 |
|  |  |  |  | S8R | 8 | 128 | 109 | 155 |
|  |  |  | SB Approsch |  | ${ }_{\text {B }}$ |  | 19.3 27.9 |  |
| 17 | Peyton Ransolph DivelWilson Boulevard | Signalized | E日 | EBL | A | 601 | 7.0 | 4 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | 8 | 601 | 15.3 | 551 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | 8 | 609 | 199 | 485 |
|  |  |  | wb | WBL | 8 |  | 17.4 |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | C | 81 | 21.2 12.7 | ${ }_{762}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Wer | 8 | 309 | 103 | 13 |
|  |  |  |  | Oech | 8 |  | 131 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | E | 181 | 55.1 | 80 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {NBT }}^{\text {NBR }}$ | A | 181 89 | 0.0 | 17 |
|  |  |  |  | oach | D |  | 49.0 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | 0 | 104 | 47.8 | 79 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | A | 104 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | A | 112 | 8.4 | 11 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | - |  | 18.3 |  |
| 18 | Roosevelt BoulevardWilison Boulevard | Signalized | EB | EBL | C | 292 | 289 | 221 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | B | 234 | 17.5 | 433 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | UNA | UNA | ON/A | WNA |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | C |  | 21.3 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | \#NA | \#NA | \#NA | WNA |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | 8 | 430 | 198 | ${ }_{1}^{667}$ |
|  |  |  |  | roash | 8 |  | 19.0 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | \#N/A | \#NA | EN/A | INA |
|  |  |  |  | NBT NBR | \#NA | \#NA | \#N/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  | NB Approsch |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | D | 700 | 47.9 | 603 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | \#NA | \#NA | 8N/A | WNA |
|  |  |  |  | S88 | E | 705 | 57.7 | 429 |
|  |  |  | Sb Approach |  | c |  | 33.1 |  |
| 19 | Roosevelt BoulevardiN. Roosevell Street | Signalized | Ex | EBL | c | 126 | 24.3 | 90 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 128 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | c | 128 | 28.6 | 27 |
|  |  |  |  | osch | C |  | 249 |  |
|  |  |  | wb | WEL | A | 6 | 0.8 | 73 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | A | 5 | 0.8 0.0 | 4 |
|  |  |  | NB | roach | A |  | 0.8 |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | C | 54 | 28.1 | 13 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {NBT }}$ | A | 122 | 9.3 78 | 372 |
|  |  |  |  | NBApprosch | A | 122 | $\frac{7.8}{9.8}$ | 22 |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | A | 320 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | 8 | 320 | 11.1 | 914 |
|  |  |  |  | S8R | B | 321 | 10.1 | 239 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | 8 |  | 10.9 |  |
| 20 | Arrington Blva WBNWilson Blvd | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#NA | \#NA | \#N/ | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 8 | 0.9 | 588 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | UN/ | \#NA | INIA | \#NA |
|  |  |  | we | Weach | $\stackrel{\text { A }}{\text { A }}$ |  | 0.9 |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | \#NA | \#NA | ENVA | WNA |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 55 | 8.3 | 1126 |
|  |  |  | NB | roach | A |  | 8.3 |  |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {NBL }}^{\text {NBT }}$ | INNA | \#NA | ON/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | NBADProech |  | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \#NA |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL SBT | HNNA | \#NA | INVA | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | \#NA | \#NA | ENA | WNA |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SB Approach } \\ & \text { Overall LOS } \end{aligned}$ |  | \#NA |  | \#NA |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | A |  | 5.8 |  |


| 5 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Scenario 5 PM |  |  |  |
| No． | Intersection | Trafic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queue （feet） | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & (\mathrm{sec}) \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 21 | Sleepy Hollow Rd／Wilson Blvaliroad StaAtington Blvd EB | Signalized | EB | E8LU to 7 | 0 | 0 | 45.8 | 34 |
|  |  |  |  | EBL to Wilson | 0 | 496 | 48.8 | 417 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR to Rove 7 | E | 395 | 57.0 | 197 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT 10.50 | D | 14 | 54.2 | 104 |
|  |  |  | NB | proach | O |  | 51.6 |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBR from Sleepry | 8 | 160 | 16.9 | 158 |
|  |  |  |  | $\frac{\text { NBT }}{\text { NRR }}$ | O | 531 | $\frac{35.1}{313}$ | 1087 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR from 7 lo 50 | A | 531 | 8.3 | 25 |
|  |  |  |  | proash | c |  | 322 |  |
|  |  |  | s8 | SBL to Wilscon | c | 257 | 28.2 | 5 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT to Route 7 | 8 | 257 | 17.7 | 795 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR to Sleepy | 0 | 257 | 368 | 448 |
|  |  |  |  | SBL to 50 | D | 257 | 36.9 | 458 |
|  |  |  |  |  | c |  | 27.8 |  |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | c |  | 34.0 | 378 |
| 22 | Broad St WBlAAtington Blivd WB | Signalized | wB | WBT | c | 425 | 34.7 | 681 |
|  |  |  |  | WER | B | 360 | 17.2 | 66 |
|  |  |  | BAppranch |  | c |  | 327 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT from 7 to 7 | A | 15 | 2.0 | 1121 |
|  |  |  |  | NBU | A | 425 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | WNA | \＃NA | BN／ | \＃N／ |
|  |  |  |  | pprosch | A |  | 2.0 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}^{\text {SBT }}$ | \＃N／A | \＃NA | INVA |  |
|  |  |  |  | S8R | \＃N／A | \＃NA | \＃N／A |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | \＃N／A |  | IN／A |  |
|  |  |  | Sberaprash |  | B |  | 17.4 |  |
| 23 | Sroad St EB／Arington Blvd WB | Unsignalized | E8 | EBL | \＃NA | \＃NA | PiNA | UNA |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 127 | 5．8 | 1384 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | \＃N／ | \＃NA | \＃N／ | \＃NA |
|  |  |  | E8Approach |  | A |  | 5.8 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | MNA | UNA | ON／A | \＃N／A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \＃N／A | \＃NA | INNA | WNA |
|  |  |  |  | WBAoproach |  | NVA | \＃NA | \＃NA | UNA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \＃N／A | ＊NA | and | \＃N／ |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | WNA | aNA | aN／ | \＃NA |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach |  | \＃NA | \＃NA | and | WNA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | N／A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | se | SBL | ${ }_{\text {c }}^{\text {c }}$ | 218 | 228 | ${ }^{377}$ |
|  |  |  |  | SBT SBR | WN／ | \＃NVA | ONVA | $\underset{\text { \＃N／}}{\text { \＃NA }}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | C |  | 28 |  |
|  |  |  | Stapproach |  | A |  | 9.4 |  |
| ${ }^{23}$ | Broad St Ex／Atington Blva WB | Signalized | EB | EBL | \＃N／A | \＃N／A | an／A | \＃NA |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 479 | 4.8 | 1384 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | F | 391 | 103.4 | 107 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | B |  | 11.9 |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | \＃N／A | HNVA | \＃N／A | \＃NA |
|  |  |  | w | WBT | \＃N／A | \＃N／A | \＃N／A | \＃NA |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | \＃N／A | \＃NA | BNA | \＃N／A |
|  |  |  |  | pproach | UN／A |  | INA |  |
|  |  |  | ｜r NBL |  | \＃N／A | \＃NA | ENA | WNA |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | \＃N／ | \＃N／A | \＃N／A | \＃NA |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | \＃N／A | \＃N／A | aNA | \＃N／ |
|  |  |  | NB Approech |  | \＃N／A |  | BN／A |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | UN／A | an／a | dN／A | HNA |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | c | 268 | 20.3 | 678 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | \＃N／ | \＃N／A | EN／A | UNA |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | c |  | 203 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | 8 |  | 12.3 |  |
| 25 | Ring Road at Arlington Bivd EB | Signalized | EB | EBL | 0 | 1644 | 39.4 | 20 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | E | 1644 | 71.5 | 718 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | E | 1644 | 74.7 | 383 |
|  |  |  | E⿴囗十介pproach |  | E |  | 72.0 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | WN／ | \＃NA | ENVA | \＃NA |
|  |  |  |  | WBT WBR | \＃N／A | \＃NA | \＃N／A | \＃N／ |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | WNA |  | ON／A |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | \＃N／ | \＃NA | an／ | UNA |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | E | 422 | 55.3 | 710 33 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | C | 422 | 321 | 33 |
|  |  |  | NBApproech |  | O |  | 554.2 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL SBT | MNA | INNA | ON／A | WNA |
|  |  |  |  | Ser | \＃NA | \＃NA | IN／A | \＃NA |
|  |  |  |  | pprosch | \＃N／A |  | aN／ |  |
|  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {E }}$ | \＆llos | ${ }^{8}$ |  | 10.5 |  |
| 26 | Ring Road at Arlington Blvd WB | Signalized |  | $\xrightarrow[\text { EBL }]{\text { EBT }}$ | WNA | UNVA | ON／A | \＃NNA |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | \＃NA | \＃NA | EN／A | \＃NA |
|  |  |  | EBADProach |  | \＃N／A |  | \＃NA |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | \＃N／A | \＃NA | aNA | \＃N／ |
|  |  |  |  | WBT WER | D | ${ }_{\text {ckin }}^{323}$ | 35.5 | $\frac{782}{\text { WN／}}$ |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | O | UNA | ENA <br> 15 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | 8 | 208 | 15.4 | 730 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | INA | \＃NA | INVA | \＃NA |
|  |  |  | NBAppronch |  | B | UNA | 15.4 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}^{\text {SBT }}$ | \＃N／A | \＃NA | INVA | \＃N／ |
|  |  |  |  | SBT SBR | \＃N／A | \＃N／A | BN／A | \＃NA |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SB Approach } \\ & \hline \text { Overal LOS } \end{aligned}$ |  | WNA |  | ON／A |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  | 10.5 |  |


| 2030 Scenario 5 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Scenario 5 PM |  |  |  |
| No. | Intersection | Traflic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queve | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & (\mathrm{sec}) \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 27 | Ring Road at E. Proad St | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#NA | \#NA | \#NA | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | 8 | 416 | 18.0 | 1404 |
|  |  |  |  | EApproach | \#N/ ${ }^{\text {m }}$ | \#NA | BNA | WN/A |
|  |  |  | we |  | B |  | 18.0 |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | \#NA | \#NA | \#NA | UNA |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | A | 221 | 2.8 | 1136 |
|  |  |  |  | WBApproach |  | C | 221 | 30.0 | 50 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  | 3.9 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | NNA | INA | ond | *NA |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | \#N/A | \#NA | ENA | UNA |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approsch |  | \#N/A | \#NA | \#N/ | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \#NA |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | sB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}^{\text {SBT }}$ | E E/ | 156 | ${ }^{602}$ | 102 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | WNA | IVNA | ONA | WN/ |
|  |  |  |  |  | E |  | 60.1 |  |
|  |  |  | Sbe Approach |  | 8 |  | 105 |  |
| 28 | Ring Road at Arrington Blvd EB | Signalized | Ex | EBL | \#N/ ${ }^{\text {ma }}$ | \#NA | BNA | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | B | 203 | 102 | 545 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | C | 203 | 30.9 | 65 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | 8 |  | 124 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | \#N/ | \#NA | \#NA | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#NA | \#NA | \#NA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBApproach |  | UNA | INA | oNA | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \#NA |  | \#NA |  |
|  |  |  | NB | ${ }_{\text {NBLL }}^{\text {NBT }}$ | \#NA | \#NA | $\stackrel{\text { mNA }}{0}$ | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | c | 210 | 25.4 | 215 |
|  |  |  | NBApprosch |  | c |  | 25.4 |  |
|  |  |  | se | SBL | \#NA | \#NA | \#NA | UNA |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | 8 | 295 | 19.1 | 422 |
|  |  |  |  | Sbepproach |  | \#N/ | \#NA | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | B |  | 19.1 |  |
|  |  |  | Overal Los |  | B |  | 16.9 |  |
| 29 | Ring Road at Hillwood Ave | Signalized | E8 | EBL | HN/ | WN/A | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | NNA | INA | ONA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | \#N/ | \#NA | \&NA | WNA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \#NA |  | \#NA |  |
|  |  |  | w | ${ }_{\text {WBL }}^{\text {WBT }}$ | \#N/A | \#NA | \#N/ | \#NNA |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#N/ | \#NA | BNA | \#N/ |
|  |  |  | WBApprosch |  | \#NA |  | aNA |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | \#NA | \#NA | mNA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | \#N/A | TNA | \#NA | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | NBApprosch |  | TN/ | *NA | \#NA | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | WN/A | \#NA | ONA | WNA |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}^{\text {SBT }}$ | \#N/A | \#NA | \#NA | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \#N/ | \#NA | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \#N/ |  | BNA |  |
|  |  |  | Sberaprash |  | B |  | 16.9 |  |
| 30 | Ring Road at Arrington Blvd WB | Signalized | E8 | EBL | \#NA | MNA | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | NNA | INA | gNA | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | ExApproach |  | \#N/ | \#NA | aNA | UNA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \#N/ |  | ${ }_{\text {mNA }}$ |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | E | 815 | 55.6 0.0 | 423 |
|  |  |  |  | WER | WNA | UNA | ONA | \#N/ |
|  |  |  | WBApprosch |  | E |  | 55.6 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | \#NA | \#NA | \#NA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBT NBR | \#N/ | \#NA | \#N/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  | NBApprosch |  | WNA |  | ONA |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | \#NA | \#NA | aNA | UNA |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | \#NA | \#NA | \#NA | UNA |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SB Approach } \\ & \hline \text { Overall LOS } \end{aligned}$ |  | HNA | HNA | BNA | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | E |  | 55.6 |  |


| 2030 Scenario 6 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Scenario 6 AM |  |  |  |
| No. | Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queue (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & \text { (sec) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 2030 \\ \text { Scenario } 6 \mathrm{AM} \\ \text { Volumes } \end{array}$ |
| 1 | S. Cherry Streevarfington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | EB | EBL | F | 130 | 230.2 | 33 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | 8 | 450 | 19.4 | 2783 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | c | 453 | 30.2 | 42 |
|  |  |  | WB | asch | c |  | 22.0 |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | F | 9 | 127.8 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | 8 | 843 | 11.5 | 2129 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | 8 | 144 | 11.0 | 152 |
|  |  |  |  | rach | 8 |  | 11.5 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | F | 167 | 154.6 | 33 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | F | 167 | 111.5 | 16 |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach |  | A | 169 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | F |  | 140.5 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | A | 113 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | A | 113 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | A | 113 | 8.5 | 89 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | A |  | 8.5 18.5 |  |
| 2 | S. Cherry Streethilliwood Avenue | Signalized | EB | EBL | C | 151 | 21.0 | 135 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | 8 | 45 | 14.1 | 33 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | A | 29 | 5.7 | 11 |
|  |  |  |  | Oach | 8 |  | 18.8 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | 8 | 133 | 14.9 | 162 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | 8 | 136 | 14.6 | 8 |
|  |  |  |  | rach | 8 |  | 14.9 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL NBT | c | 254 | 21.4 21.9 | 75 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | A | 298 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | SB | NB Approsch | c |  | 21.8 |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBL | c | 75 | 20.4 | 10 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | A | 75 | 8.7 | ${ }^{36}$ |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | B | 108 | 14.4 | 22 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | 8 |  | 12.2 |  |
|  |  |  | EB |  | 8 |  | 18.3 |  |
| 3 | S. Cherry StreetE. Broed Street (VA 7) | Signalized |  | EBL | 8 | 216 | 17.4 | 41 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | 8 | 216 | 15.0 | 425 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | A | 222 | 7.4 | 10 |
|  |  |  | wB | oach | 8 |  | 15.0 |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | C | 442 | 23.2 | 12 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | C | 442 | 20.3 | 987 |
|  |  |  |  | $\xrightarrow{\text { Wach }}$ | A | 457 | 0.0 20.3 | 0 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | E | 649 | 65.9 | 8 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | E | 649 | 72.5 | 200 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | E | 649 | 74.2 | 193 |
|  |  |  |  | oech | E |  | 732 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | E | 245 | 58.0 | 86 |
|  |  |  |  | $\frac{\text { SBT }}{\text { SBR }}$ | D | 245 | 54.4 53.7 | 35 |
|  |  |  |  | SBApproach | E | 245 | 533.7 | 20 |
|  |  |  |  | LOS | c |  | 322 |  |
| 6 | South Street \& S. Roosevelt Street/Hillwood Avenue | Signalized | EB | EBL | E | 163 | 57.9 | 87 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | osach | E |  | 57.9 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | NB | rosch | \#N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | c | 432 | 23.1 | 97 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | C | 432 | 25.2 | 306 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | A | 432 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | C |  | 24.7 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | S8L | C | 235 | 20.6 | 43 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | C | 235 | 20.8 | 107 |
|  |  |  |  | SBApproach | A | 174 | $\frac{8.3}{162}$ | 85 |
|  |  |  |  | Los | c |  | 26.1 |  |
| 7 | N. Roosevelt Streete. Broad Street (VA 7) | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NA |  |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | D | 394 | 43.1 | 716 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | D | 425 | 42.0 | 13 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | D |  | 43.1 |  |
|  |  |  | w | WBT | C | 556 | 26.5 | ${ }_{941}$ |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | B | 556 | 18.0 |  |
|  |  |  | weapprozh |  | c |  | 24.0 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | D | 381 | 40.3 | 26 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {NBT }}^{\text {NBR }}$ | D | 381 381 | 44.7 | 106 |
|  |  |  |  | NBApproach | D | 381 | 39.4 40.9 | 260 |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | D | 213 | 46.5 | 34 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | D | 213 | 46.8 | 24 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | D | 213 | 44.5 | 60 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | - |  | 45.6 33.4 |  |
| 8 | Sleepy Hollow Road/Aspen Lane | Unsignalized | EB | EBL | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | INVA | MN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBCh | ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}$ A $/$ A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | we | WBL | \#NNA | \#NA | 2N/A | MN/ |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBApproach |  | INVA | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \#NVA | 230 | \#N/A | 117 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | A | 172 | 3.3 | 424 |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach |  | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  | 3.7 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | \#NVA | \#N/A | HN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | A | 0 | 1.2 | 14 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | A |  | 1.9 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | A | 0 | 3.7 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

"N/A" represents volumes that are not allowed, or do not exist


"N/A" represents volumes that are not allowed, or do not exist

| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Scenario 6 AM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Intersection | Trafic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queue (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & (\mathrm{sec}) \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\substack{2030 \\ \text { Scenario } \\ \text { Volumes }}}{ } \mathrm{AM}$ |
| 21 | Sleepy Hollow Rd/Wison Blivd/Broad SUAAtington Blvd EB | Signalized |  | E8T to 50 | E | 493 | 61.5 | 292 |
|  |  |  |  | proach | D |  | 43.7 |  |
|  |  |  | ws | WBL | A | 818 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | - | 818 | 49.1 | 797 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | F | 818 | 119.7 | 386 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | E | 442 | 72.1 54.4 | 1803 46 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | D | 442 | 50.2 | 544 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | proach | D |  | 50.6 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | D | 479 | 37.4 | 5 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | गNVA | \#N/A | IN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | E | 479 | 62.1 | 324 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | 0 |  | 53.4 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall Los |  | E |  | 56.7 |  |
| 22 | Broad St WB/AAlington Blvd WB | Signalized | WB | WBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | MN/A | \#N/A | INVA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | HN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | INV/A |
|  |  |  | Approsch |  | INN/A | IN/A | \#N/A | MN/ |
|  |  |  | NB | NBU | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | MN/A | NN/A | nN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | proach | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | 2N/A | INA | 2N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | INNA | \#N/A | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | ${ }_{\text {mN/A }}^{\text {c }}$ |  | ${ }^{\text {mN/ }}$ |  |
| 23 | Broad St EB/Aringtor Blva WB | Unsignalized | EB | EBL | \#N/A | \#N/ | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | IN/A | INA | IN/A | IN/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | HN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | IN/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBApproach |  | 2N/A | \#N/A | 2N/A | NN/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | HN/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | INVA | \#N/A | HNVA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | IN/A | \#N/A | HN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | NA |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SB | S8L | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {SBT }}$ | MN/A | HNA | INVA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | HN/A | \#N/ | \#N/A | UN/A |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | Overall Los |  | c |  | 31.5 |  |
| 23 | Broad St EB/AArington Blvd WB | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | IN/A | nN/A | IN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | IN/A |
|  |  |  | EB Approach |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | w | WBL | 2N/A | IN/A | 2N/A | INNA |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | IN/A | \#N/A | nN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | IN/A | \#NA | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | 2N/A | INAA | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | NB Apparoach |  | INN/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | aN/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NVA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | IN/A | INAA | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | c |  | 31.5 |  |
| 25 | Ring Road at Arrington Blvd EB | Signalized | EB | EBL | A | 1300 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | D | 1300 1300 | 43.1 | 500 346 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | D | 1300 | 44.6 | 346 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \#N/A | HN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | wB | WBT | MN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | HN/A | \#NA | \#NA | IN/A |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | 1N/A | 2N/A | 2N/A | IN/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBT <br> NBR | 8 | $\frac{222}{222}$ | 15.6 16.7 | 331 83 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {NBApproach }}{ }^{\text {NER }}$ | 8 | 222 | 16.7 | 83 |
|  |  |  |  | SBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {S STT }}$ | 2N/A | IN/A | IN/A | IN/A |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  |  | C |  | 34.6 |  |
| 26 | Ring Road at Arrington Blvd WB | Signalized | EB | E8L | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | INN/A | \#N/A | 2N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | JN/A |  | INVA |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | HN/A | \#NA | \#N/A | aN/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | ${ }_{\text {A }}$ | $\stackrel{82}{12 N / A}$ | 6.5 gN/ | 176 |
|  |  |  |  | WBApproach |  | \#N/A | ${ }^{\text {2N/A }}$ | MN/ |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | A | 116 | 4.2 | 330 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {NBT }}^{\text {NBR }}$ | INVA | \#N/A | \#N/A | INV/A |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach | \#N/A | \#NA | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {SBT }}^{\text {SBR }}$ | IN/A | IN/A | INVA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | SB Approach |  | HN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | 2N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \#NA |  | ${ }_{\text {\#N/A }}$ |  |
|  |  |  | EB | EBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | ${ }_{\text {A }}^{\text {A }}$ | 151 | 7.6 | 1010 |
|  |  |  |  | $\frac{\mathrm{EF}}{\mathrm{pproach}}$ | HNA | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

N/A" represents volumes that are not allowed, or do not exist

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Scenario 6 AM |  |  |  |
| No. | Intersection | Trafic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queue (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & (\mathrm{sec}) \end{aligned}$ | 2030 Scenario 6 AMM Volumes |
| 27 | Ring Road at E. Broad St | Signalized | w | WBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | UN/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | 8 | 563 | 15.7 | 1116 |
|  |  |  |  | WB Approsch | 8 | 563 | 14.1 156 | 60 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | IN/A | \#N/A | 15./A | MN/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | nN/A | \#N/A | nN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | C | 134 | 34.1 | 4 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | 2N/A | IN/A | 2N/A | INVA |
|  |  |  |  | SBApproach |  | c | 134 | 27.8 | 100 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | c |  | 28.1 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | 8 |  | 12.6 |  |
| 28 | Ring Road at Arington Blva EB | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#N/A | \#NA | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {EBT }}^{\text {EBR }}$ | \#N/A | IN/A | \#N/A | INNA |
|  |  |  |  | BApprasch | \#NVA | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | ws | WBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | IN/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | 2N/A | UN/A | 2N/A | IN/A |
|  |  |  | wBApproach |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | nN/A | MN/A | nN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBT NBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | \#N/A | \#NA | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | SB | S8L | HN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | nN/A | \#NA | nN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | SBApprosch |  | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | 2N/A |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | ${ }^{\text {ma }}$ |  | 12.6 |  |
| 29 | Ring Road at Hillwood Ave | Signalized | EB | EBL | 2N/A | \#N/A | 2N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | nN/A | \#N/A | nN/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBEL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT WBR | INN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | WBApprosch |  | \#N/A |  | IN/A |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | \#N/A | \#NA | \#N/A | aN/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach |  | 2N/A | IN/A | IN/A | NN/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | SB | SBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | IN/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | INVA |  | IN/A |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | 8 |  | 12.6 |  |
| 30 | Ring Road at Atrington Blvd WB | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | nN/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | INVA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | BApprosch | HNVA | \#N/A | \#N/A | PN/A |
|  |  |  | w | WBL | [1N/A | INIA | IN/A | IN/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | INVA |
|  |  |  |  | WB Approach | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | NB |  | HNVA | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | PN/A | INAA | uN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | INVA |  | IN/A |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | HN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | 2N/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | \#N/A | \#NA | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | SB ApproachOverall LOS |  | \#N/A | \#N/A | IN/A | IN/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | B |  | 12.6 |  |


| 2030 Scenario 6 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Scenario 6 PM |  |  |  |
| No. | Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queue (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & \text { (sec) } \end{aligned}$ | 2030 $\begin{gathered}\text { Scenario } 6 \mathrm{PM} \\ \text { Volumes }\end{gathered}$ |
| 1 | S. Cherry Streevarfington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | EB | EBL | F | 133 | 127.2 | 43 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | D | 704 | 44.0 | 2528 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | F | 707 | 116.4 | 23 |
|  |  |  | WB | asch | 0 |  | 46.0 |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | F | 242 | 134.4 | 81 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | 0 | 1215 | 52.1 | 2856 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | D | 159 | 40.1 | 155 |
|  |  |  |  | roach | D |  | 53.8 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | F | 212 | 111.8 | 55 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | F | 212 | 181.3 | 4 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | A | 213 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | oach | F |  | 116.5 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}$ | F | 721 | 130.0 | 39 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | F | 721 | 131.0 | 22 |
|  |  |  |  | Sbapproach | F | 721 | 106.3 | 219 |
|  |  |  |  | LOS | F |  | 111.6 522 |  |
| 2 | S. Cherry Streethillwood Avenue | Signalized | EB | EBL | 8 | 40 | 17.2 | 20 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | 8 | 318 | 16.1 | 272 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | A | 51 | 6.9 | 24 |
|  |  |  |  | Oach | 8 |  | 154 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | A | 54 | 9.4 | 30 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | 8 | 203 | 16.0 | 304 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 205 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | rach | B |  | 15.4 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL NBT | c | 199 | 29.3 | 83 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | c | 243 | 21.6 | 38 |
|  |  |  | SB | osch | c |  | 26.3 |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBL | D | 244 | 37.2 | 35 |
|  |  |  |  | S8T | c | 244 | 229 | 75 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | 8 | 277 | 17.7 | 119 |
|  |  |  | se Approach |  | c |  | 22.4 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  | 18.8 |  |
| 3 | S. Chery StreetE. Proad Street (VA 7) | Signalized | EB | EBL | c | 465 | 34.4 | 17 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | 8 | 465 | 18.7 | 941 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | c | 470 | 20.5 | 47 |
|  |  |  | wB | oach | 8 |  | 19.1 |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | c | 488 | 24.2 | 85 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | B | 488 | $\frac{19.8}{208}$ | 773 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {OPCh }}^{\text {Wer }}$ | C | 503 | 20.8 202 | 21 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | E | 222 | 70.0 | 10 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | D | 222 | 50.6 | 49 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | D | 222 | 44.0 | 41 |
|  |  |  |  | oech | 0 |  | 498 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | E | 384 | 723 | 7 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {SBT }}$ | E | 384 384 | $\frac{59.6}{613}$ | 116 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | E |  | $6{ }^{61.3}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | LOS | c |  | 25.9 |  |
| 6 | South Street \& S. Roosevelt Street/Hillwood Avenue | Signalized | EB | EBL | F | 1380 | 301.2 | 147 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | F | 1268 | 204.7 | 79 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | F | 1287 | 203.3 | 82 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | F |  | 250.4 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | roach | \#N/A |  | 0.0 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | F | 856 | 325.9 | 85 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | F | 856 | 319.9 | 222 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | A | 867 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | F |  | 321.6 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | S8L | C | 379 | 27.4 | 73 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | 8 | 379 | $\stackrel{17.2}{156}$ | 267 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 340 | 15.6 | 209 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | F |  | 158.5 |  |
| 7 | N. Roosevelt Streete. Broad Street (VA 7) | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | F | 1455 | 184.5 | 808 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | F | 1470 | 201.4 | 21 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | F |  | 185.0 752 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBT | E | ${ }_{647}^{647}$ | 75.2 | ${ }^{276}$ |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | D | 647 | 47.8 |  |
|  |  |  |  | rach | 0 |  | 53.1 |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | F | 408 | 80.3 | 18 |
|  |  |  | NB | ${ }_{\text {NBT }}^{\text {NBR }}$ | E | 408 | 59.4 69.0 | 17 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {axach }}^{\text {NBR }}$ | E | 408 | 69.0 69.0 | 339 |
|  |  |  |  | SBL | E | 554 | 67.5 | 29 |
|  |  |  | SB | SBT | E | 554 | 64.4 | 251 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | E | 554 | 67.3 | 43 |
|  |  |  |  | Los | E |  | 65.0 |  |
| 8 | Sleepy Hollow Road/Aspen Lane | Unsignalized | EB | EBL | A | 60 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | INVA | \#N/A | INVA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | 8 | 60 | 10.1 | 32 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  | 10.1 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | 2N/A | INA | INVA | mN/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#NVA | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NVA |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | \#NVA | \#N/A | \#NVA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | C | 272 | 25.3 | 50 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | C | 220 | 23.5 | 252 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {NB Apgroach }}$ | $\stackrel{\text { \#N/A }}{\text { C }}$ | \#N/A | \#NVA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | \#NVA | \#N/A | \#NVA | IN/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | A | 0 | 2.4 | $\frac{623}{35}$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SB Approach } \\ & \hline \text { Overall LOS } \end{aligned}$ |  | A | 0 | 4.7 26 | 35 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | C |  | 23.8 |  |
|  |  |  |  | EBL | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |

N/A 'represents volumes that are not allowed, or do not exist

| 2030 Scenario 6 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Scenario 6 PM |  |  |  |
| No. | Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queue (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & (\mathrm{sec}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2030 \text { Scenario } 6 \text { PM } \\ \text { Volumes } \end{gathered}$ |
| 9 | Sleepy Hollow Road/Castle Place | Signalized | EB | EBT | E | 327 | 624 | 528 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach | E | 327 | 72.7 | 37 |
|  |  |  |  |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | D | 406 | 48.3 | 290 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | $B$ | 283 | 17.8 | 556 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | 8 | 283 | 11.9 | 82 |
|  |  |  | NB | WBApproach | c |  | 26.8 |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | F | 320 | 82.6 | 67 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | F | 320 | 107.0 | 25 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | E | 331 | 60.3 | 192 |
|  |  |  | NBApproech |  | E |  | 697 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | A | 398 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | C | 339 | 35.0 | 301 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | A | 398 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | c |  | 35.0 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | O |  | 43.1 |  |
| 10 | Castle Road \&Thome RoadL-eesburg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized | EB | EBL | F | 400 | 150.4 56.2 | 110 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | E | 506 | 63.6 | 13 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | E |  | 69.8 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | F | 1318 | 86.1 | 783 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | F | 1335 | 157.0 | 910 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | F | 1335 | 134.8 | 131 |
|  |  |  | NB | BApprosch | F |  | 125.0 |  |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {NBL }}^{\text {NBT }}$ | F | 499 | 288.8 | 77 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | E | 499 | 68.1 | 545 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | F |  | 112.1 |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBL | F | 326 | 228.0 | 94 |
|  |  |  | SB | SBT | F | 326 | 169.6 | 139 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | F | 326 | 123.7 | 77 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | F |  | 175.9 |  |
| 11 | Seven Corners CenterLeesburg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized | EB | LOS | F | 151 | 103.2 59.0 | 74 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | D | 595 | 45.4 | 1193 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | C | 74 | 21.9 | 40 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | D |  | 45.4 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | E | 464 | 68.4 | 93 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | D | 566 | 42.9 | 1398 |
|  |  |  |  | WBApproach |  | A | 57 | 7.2 | 58 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | D |  | 43.1 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | F | 1098 | 302.0 | 289 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | F | 1098 | 989.7 | 22 |
|  |  |  | NB Approzach |  | F |  | 278.8 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | F | 186 | 98.3 | 96 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | F | 186 | 100.2 | 58 |
|  |  |  |  | SBApproach | C | 189 | $\frac{28.6}{61.7}$ | 174 |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | E |  | 61.7 |  |
| 12 | Patrick Henry DiveLLeesburg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized | EB | EBL | E | 197 | 69.5 | 79 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | c | 512 | 27.7 | 1210 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | c | 241 | 31.9 303 | 35 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | C |  | 30.3 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | F | 119 1529 | 124.2 126.6 | 54 1156 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | F | 1531 | 125.1 | 125 |
|  |  |  | Weapproach |  | F |  | 126.4 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | F | 420 | 99.2 | 122 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | F | 430 | 91.0 | 38 |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach | F | 396 | 98.7 | 95 |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | F | 1590 | 93.7 | 431 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | F | 1590 | 104.1 | 37 |
|  |  |  |  | SbApproach |  | E | 1288 | $\frac{71.6}{856}$ | 298 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | F |  | 85.6 80.4 |  |
| 13 | Arlington Boulevard service road/Arington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | EB | EBL | 0 | 712 | 43.0 | 60 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | 8 | 712 | 12.8 | 2187 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | B | 712 | 14.2 | 87 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  | 13.6 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | A | 1512 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | D | $\frac{1512}{1512}$ | 54.2 | 2441 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | D | 1512 | 50.1 | 109 |
|  |  |  | NB | WBApproach ${ }^{\text {NBL }}$ | E | 204 | 727 | 8 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | E | 204 | 77.2 | 83 |
|  |  |  | NB Approsch |  | E | 135 | 41.6 702 | 21 |
|  |  |  |  |  | E | 103 | 70.2 | 28 |
|  |  |  | SB | SBT | E | 103 | 64.1 | 8 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | A | 94 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | E |  | 71.6 |  |
| 14 | Patrick Henry Divel/Arington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | EB | EBL | F | 107 | 35.4 126.0 | 28 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | F | 1646 | 117.7 | 2023 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | F | 1649 | 122.0 | 93 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | F |  | 118.0 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | F | 1683 | 366.6 | 200 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | F | 1683 | $\frac{93.2}{804}$ | 2085 37 |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | F |  | 116.6 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | E | 178 | 55.1 50.0 | $\frac{135}{58}$ |
|  |  |  | NB Apporoech |  | D |  | 53.6 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}^{\text {SBT }}$ | F | 1121 | 253.5 1627 | 326 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | F | $\frac{1121}{1121}$ | 162.7 145.5 | 444 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | F |  | 199.8 |  |
|  |  |  |  | LOS | F |  | 120.1 |  |
|  |  |  | EB | EBL | A | 189 | ${ }^{0.0}$ | 196 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 | 13.8 | 190 |


| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Scenario 6 PM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Max Queue } \\ & \text { (feet) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & (\mathrm{sec}) \end{aligned}$ | 2030 Scenario 6 PM Volumes |
| 15 | John Marshall DivelPatrick Henry Drive \& Willston Divive | Signalized | $\frac{\text { EBApproach }}{\text { EBR }}$ |  | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | IN/A |
|  |  |  |  |  | B |  | 13.8 |  |
|  |  |  | ws | WBL | HN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | IN/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | 8 | 164 | 14.5 | 166 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | B | 217 | 14.8 | 124 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | 8 |  | 14.6 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | INN/A | \#N/A | HN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | HNVA | \#N/A | HNVA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | NBApproach |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | 8 | 387 | 18.5 | 419 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | IN/A | \#N/A | INVA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | SbApproach |  | C | 398 | 20.6 | 20 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  | 18.6 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | B |  | 16.4 |  |
| 16 | John Marshall Drive \& N. Mckinley Road/Wilson Boulevard | Signalized | EB | EBL | C | 146 | 21.7 | 97 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | 8 | 254 | 19.8 | 19 |
|  |  |  | EBApprosch |  | 8 |  | 19.4 |  |
|  |  |  | w | WBL | E | 521 | 57.6 | 215 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | C | 538 | 33.3 | 579 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | c | 548 | 21.2 | 76 |
|  |  |  | Weapproach |  | D |  | $\frac{382}{522}$ |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | D | 244 | 522 | 60 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | D | 244 | 36.8 | 61 |
|  |  |  | NBApproach |  | C | 247 | 24.5 39.6 | 38 |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | C | 150 | 34.0 | 103 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | 8 | 213 | 16.2 | 150 |
|  |  |  |  |  | c |  | 23.5 |  |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | c |  | 30.3 |  |
| 17 | Peyton Randolph Drive/Wilson Boulevard | Signalized | EB | EBL | 8 | 590 | 12.1 | 4 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | 8 | 550 | 16.3 | 540 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | c | 598 | 20.5 | 442 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | 8 |  | 182 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | C | 133 | 25.3 | 78 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | D | 613 | 48.8 | 679 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | D | 650 | 53.1 | 9 |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | D |  | 46.4 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | ${ }_{\text {NBL }}^{\text {NBT }}$ | E | 207 | $\frac{66.7}{0.0}$ | ${ }^{96}$ |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | C | 117 | 22.0 | 16 |
|  |  |  | NB Approsch |  | E |  | 60.3 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | D | 92 | 46.6 | 61 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | A | 92 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | B | 99 | 17.1 | 30 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | D |  | 36.9 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | C |  | 32.5 |  |
| 18 | Roosevelt Boulevard/Wilson Boulevard | Signalized | EB | EBL | D | 350 | 35.3 | 229 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | 8 | 345 | 19.4 | 417 |
|  |  |  |  | EApproach | ${ }_{\text {INVA }}$ | \#N/A | INN/A | WN/A |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | F | 682 | 90.2 | 644 |
|  |  |  |  | WBApprosch |  | E | 722 | 65.0 | 129 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {F }}$ |  | 86.0 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | ${ }_{\text {NBL }}$ | INVA | \#N/A | INVA | INVA |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach |  | 2N/A | 2N/A | 2N/A | NN/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL SBT | $\stackrel{\text { F }}{\text { F/ } / \text { A }}$ | 1319 | 113.3 | 564 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | F | 1322 | 135.9 | 306 |
|  |  |  |  |  | F |  | 121.2 |  |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | F |  | 81.3 |  |
| 19 | Roosevelt Bouleverd/N. Roosevelt Street | Signalized | EB | EBL | c | 156 | 26.0 | 88 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 156 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | c | 156 | 34.6 28.3 | 33 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | c |  | 28.3 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | A | 18 | 5.1 | 71 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 11 | 12.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | wB Approsch |  | A |  | 5.5 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | D | 58 | 40.2 | 22 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {NBT }}^{\text {NBR }}$ | A | 126 | $\frac{10.0}{6.5}$ | 350 27 |
|  |  |  |  | NBApproach | A | 126 | $\stackrel{6.5}{11.4}$ | 27 |
|  |  |  |  | SBL | A | 562 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | SB | SBT | C | 562 | 22.7 | 805 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | C | 563 | 20.5 | 280 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | C |  | $\underline{221}$ |  |
| 20 | Arrington Blivd WB/Wilson Blvd | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | 2N/A | 2N/A | 2N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | IN/A |  | MN/A |  |
|  |  |  | ws | WBL | \#NVA | \#N/A | \#N/A | INV/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBApproach | IN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | IN/A |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | \#NNA | MN/A | MN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | [1/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBApproach |  | \#NVA | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2N/A |  | 2N/A |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | \#NVA | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | \#N/A | \#N/ | \#N/A | IN/A |
|  |  |  |  |  | \#N/ |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | B |  | 19.2 |  |
|  |  |  | EB | EBL to Wilson | F | 759 | $\frac{232.8}{54.2}$ | 373 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | A | 759 | 0.0 | 0 |

"N/A" represents volumes that are not allowed, or do not exist

| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2030 Scenario 6 PM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Intersection | Trafic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queue (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & (\mathrm{sec}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2030 \text { Scenario } 6 \text { PMM } \\ & \text { Volumes } \end{aligned}$ |
| 21 | Sleepy Hollow Rd/Wison Blivd/Broad SUAAtington Blvd EB | Signalized |  | EBT to 50 | F | 759 | 2427 | 412 |
|  |  |  | ws | pproach | F |  | 125.5 |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | F | 812 | 140.0 | 63 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | F | 812 | 128.2 | 928 |
|  |  |  | NB | WBApproach | F | 812 | 92.6 | 75 |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | F | 444 | 103.4 | 18 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | E | 444 | 69.3 | 432 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | \#N/ | HN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | NBApproach |  | E |  | 70.7 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | F | 1090 | 234.3 | 280 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | F | 1087 | 130.5 | 614 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | F | 1087 | 120.1 | 74 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | F |  | 159.7 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall Los |  | F |  | 128.1 |  |
| 22 | Broad St WB/AAlington Blvd WB | Signalized | WB | WBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | NN/A | \#N/A | INVA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | \#NVA | \#N/A | \#N/ | IN/A |
|  |  |  | NB | WBApprosch | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBT from 7 to 7 | 2N/A | 2N/A | 2N/A | INVA |
|  |  |  |  | NBU | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | nN/A | INNA | nN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | NBADproach |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | 2N/A | INA | 2N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | HN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | nN/A |  | nN/A |  |
| 23 |  | Unsignalized | Overall LOS |  | EN/A | \#NA | 70.0 | \#N/A |
|  | Broad St EB/Aringtor Blva WB |  | EB | EBT | 2N/A | IN/A | IN/A | IN/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | INVA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | IN/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBApproach |  | 2N/A | \#N/A | 2N/A | NN/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \#NVA |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | HN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | NBApproach |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SB | S8L | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | INVA | HNA | nN/ | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | \#N/A | \#N/ | \#N/A | UN/A |
|  |  |  |  |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | E |  | 70.0 |  |
| 23 | Broad St EB/AArington Blvd WB | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | INNA | nN/A | NN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | IN/A |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | w | WBL | 2N/A | IN/A | 2N/A | INNA |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | mN/A | \#N/A | nN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | \#N/A | \#NA | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | 2N/A | INAA | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | INVA |  | MN/A |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | aN/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | \#N/ | \#NA | \#N/A | \#NV/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | 2N/A | INAA | IN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  | SB Approach | E |  | 70.0 |  |
| 25 | Ring Road at Arrington Blvd EB | Signalized | EB | EBL | A | 1682 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | F | 1682 | 136.0 | 392 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | F | 1682 | 129.0 131.9 | 565 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | wB | WBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/ | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | 2N/A | IN/A | \#N/A | IN/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBT NBR | - | 416 | 49.4 51.2 | 550 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | D | 416 | 51.2 | 73 |
|  |  |  |  | SBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | SB | SBT | 2N/A | INAA | 2N/A | IN/A |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {SBR }}$ | \#NVA | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | F |  | 99.5 |  |
| 26 | Ring Road at Arrington Blvd WB | Signalized | EB | ${ }^{\text {EBL }}$ | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | 2N/A | IN/A | IN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | HN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | UN/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | INNA | \#NA | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | WB | WBT | 8 | 239 | 182 | 674 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | 2N/A | IN/A |
|  |  |  | wBApproach |  | 8 |  | 182 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | A | 183 | 9.2 | 550 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {NBT }}^{\text {NBR }}$ | \#NVA | \#N/A | \#N/A | INN/A |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | \#NA | \#NA | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {SBT }}^{\text {S }}$ | JN/A | \#N/A | INVA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBApproach |  | \#NNA | HN/A | \#N/A | dN/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12.3 |  |
|  |  |  | EB | EBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | D | 637 | 43.4 | 1165 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | \#N/A | \#N/ | \#N/A | aN/A |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 43.4 |  |

N/A" represents volumes that are not allowed, or do not exist

| No. | Intersection | Traftic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queue (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & \text { (sec) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2030 \text { Scenario } 6 \text { PMM } \\ & \text { Volumes } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27 | Ring Road at E. Broad St | Signalized | WB | WBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | UN/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | c | 717 | 322 | 972 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | c | 717 | $\frac{21.6}{31.8}$ | 41 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | INV/A | INA | IN/A | MN/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | NBApproach |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | E | 129 | 56.8 | 32 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | \#N/A | INVA | IN/A | INVA |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | D | 129 | 52.5 | 87 |
|  |  |  | Approach |  | D |  | 53.7 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | D |  | 38.1 |  |
| 28 | Ring Road at Arington Blva EB | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#N/A | \#NA | \#N/ | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {EBT }}^{\text {EBR }}$ | \#N/A | INNA | IN/A | INNA |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach | \#N/A | \#N/A | HN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | ws | WBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | IN/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | 2N/A | UN/A | uN/A | IN/A |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | \#N/ | INA | \#NVA | [1NA |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach |  | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | IN/A |  | aN/A |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | \#N/A | \#NVA | \#NVA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | SBApprosch |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | D |  | 38.1 |  |
| 29 | Ring Road at Hillwood Ave | Signalized | Eb | EBL | IN/A | \#N/A | PN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | BApproach |  | \#N/A | IN/A | INNA | HN/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | WB | WBT | IN/A | INA | aN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | \#N/A |  | INVA |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | \#N/ | \#N/ | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBT NBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | NBApproach |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | \#N/A | MN/A | INVA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/ | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | 0 |  | 39.1 |  |
| 30 | Ring Road at Atrington Blvd WB | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | IN/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | \#N/A | MN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | EBApprosch |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | \#N/A | \#NA | IN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NVA | HN/A |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | \#NA |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | \#NA | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | \#N/A | dN/A | 2N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach |  | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \#N/A |  | INN/ |  |
|  |  |  | SB | S8L | \#NVA | \#N/A | \#N/A | INN/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | 2N/A | INAA | IN/A | WN/A |
|  |  |  |  | roach | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | Overall Los |  |  |  | 38.1 |  |


| 2045 Baseline AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2045 Basoline AM |  |  |  |
| No. | Intersection | Trafic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queue (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & \text { (sec) } \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 1 | S. Cherry StreeUArfington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | EB | EBL | F | 231 | 159.8 | 70 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | c | 571 | 21.2 | 2774 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | D | 575 | 37.4 | 53 |
|  |  |  | wB | EBApproach | c |  | 24.9 |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | B | 1169 | 16.3 | 2572 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | B | 80 | 17.4 | 58 |
|  |  |  |  | rach | B |  | 16.3 |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | F | 177 | 111.7 | 51 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | A | 177 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | A | 179 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | oach | F |  | 111.7 |  |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}$ | A | 247 | 171.2 | 9 |
|  |  |  | s8 | SBT | A | 247 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | D | 246 | 37.0 | 85 |
|  |  |  | Overall Los |  | D |  | 29.8 |  |
| 2 | S. Cherry Streethillwood Avenue | Signalized | EB | EBL | C | 133 | 23.5 | 124 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | B | 82 | 15.0 | 94 |
|  |  |  |  | BApproach | A | 34 | 6.0 | 13 |
|  |  |  |  |  | B |  | 19.1 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | B | 20 | 11.8 | 4 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | B | 248 | 18.1 | 279 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 251 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | B |  | 18.0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | C | 268 | 21.7 | $\frac{52}{110}$ |
|  |  |  | N | NBR | B | 311 | 15.7 | 14 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | c |  | 22.1 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | B | 75 | 16.2 | 17 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {SBT }}$ | A | 75 | 52 | 29 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | B | 107 | 12.1 | 32 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | 8 |  | 10.4 |  |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | 8 |  | 18.5 |  |
| 3 | S. Cherry StreetE. Broad Street (VA 7) | Signalized | EB | EBL | B | 185 | 17.9 | 30 |
|  |  |  |  | EEBT | A | 185 | 8.7 | 625 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | A | 191 | 5.5 | 9 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | A |  | 9.1 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | D | 822 | 44.7 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | D | 882 | 38.9 424 | 1057 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | D | 837 | 42.4 | 12 |
|  |  |  | NB | aach | D |  | 38.9 |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBL NBT | D | 448 | 42.0 48.6 | $\stackrel{4}{105}$ |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | D | 448 | 47.5 | 163 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | D |  | 47.9 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | D | 229 | 50.0 | 98 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | D | 229 | 46.0 | 29 |
|  |  |  |  | SBApproach |  | D | 229 | 48.3 | 16 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | D |  | 49.0 |  |
|  |  |  | EB | Overall Los | c |  | 31.5 |  |
| 6 | South Street \& S. Roosevelt Streethillwood Avenue | Signalized |  | EEL | E | 243 | 65.5 | 153 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach | A |  | ${ }_{6} 0.0$ | 0 |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | B | 14 | 15.0 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | B | 23 | 18.9 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | C | 39 | 32.3 | 2 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | c |  | 22.0 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | ${ }_{\text {NBL }}$ | D | 570 | 54.8 | 42 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | E | 570 | 63.2 | 295 |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach |  | A | 570 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | E |  | 62.2 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}^{\text {SBT }}$ | ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | 326 326 | 19.9 | 48 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {SBT }}$ | C | 326 | 20.2 | 178 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | B |  | 14.5 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | D |  | 40.9 |  |
| 7 | N. Roosevelt Streete. Broad Street (VA 7) | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#N/ | \#N/A | \#NA |  |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | E | 754 | 70.6 | 920 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach | E | 785 | 66.2 70.5 | 5 |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | D | 617 | 51.0 | 339 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | D | 617 | 36.7 | 958 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | B | 617 | 14.2 |  |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | D |  | 40.1 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | ${ }_{\text {NBL }}^{\text {NBT }}$ | A | 3933 | 0.0 55.4 | 135 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | E | 393 | 42.3 | 315 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | D |  | 46.2 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Sel | D | 321 | 47.4 | 37 |
|  |  |  | SB | SBT | D | 321 | 45.8 42.5 | $\stackrel{68}{141}$ |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | D |  | 44.2 |  |
|  |  |  |  | LOS | D |  | 51.0 |  |
| 8 | Sleepy Hollow Road/Aspen Lane | Unsignalized | EB | EEL | B | 44 | 17.0 | 4 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {EBT }}^{\text {EBR }}$ | HNA | HN/A | $\frac{1 \text { NVA }}{63}$ | \#N/ 11 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach | A | 44 | 6.3 | 11 |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | \#NA | \#N/A | \#NA | TNA |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/ | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | HNA | HN/A | HN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBApproach | \#NA | 200 | $\stackrel{\text { \# N/A }}{4.7}$ | 135 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | A | 143 | 3.4 | 415 |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach |  | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ANA | \#N/ | 3.8 \#NA | \#NA |
|  |  |  | SB | SBT | A | 0 | 1.7 | 407 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | oach | A |  | 1.7 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall Los |  | A |  | 9.1 |  |

"N/A" represents volumes that are not allowed, or do not exist

"N/A" represents volumes that are not allowed, or do not exist

| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2045 Basoline AM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. | Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | $\begin{gathered} \text { Max Queue } \\ \text { (feet) } \end{gathered}$ | Delay (sec) | Volumes |
| 15 | John Marshall Drive/Patrick Henry Dive \& Willston Dive | Signalized | EB | EBL | A | 211 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 211 | 7.5 | 278 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | \#N/A | \#NA | \#N/ 7 | UNA |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | \#NA | \#N/ | \#NA | TNA |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | B | 538 | 15.7 | 502 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | B | 592 | 17.0 | 317 |
|  |  |  | NB | roach | B |  | 16.2 |  |
|  |  |  |  | NEL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/ | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/ | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach | NA |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | c | 163 | 24.0 | 111 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | \#N/ | \#NA | \#NA | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | c | 175 | 33.3 | 36 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | C |  | $\frac{26.3}{15.5}$ |  |
| 16 | John Marshall Drive \& N. Mckinley RoadWNilson Boulevard | Signalized | EB | EEL | c | 85 | 20.7 | 43 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | C | 474 | 22.9 | 783 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | B | 486 | 18.5 | 5 |
|  |  |  |  | oach | c |  | 22.8 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | E | 231 | 68.8 | 107 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | C | 231 | 30.4 26.3 | $\frac{344}{61}$ |
|  |  |  |  | raach | D |  | 37.9 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | E | 698 | 62.3 | 187 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | E | 698 | 58.8 | 185 |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach | E | 696 | 57.7 | 160 |
|  |  |  | sB |  | E |  | 59.7 |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBL | D | 101 | 37.2 | 57 |
|  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { SBT }}{\text { SBR }}$ | C | 48 | 21.4 | ${ }_{136}$ |
|  |  |  |  | SBApproach | A | 96 | 8.0 | 136 |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | D |  | 35.4 |  |
| 17 | Peyton Randolph Drive/Wilson Boutevard | Signalized | EB | EBL | C | 712 | 24.3 | 13 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | E | 712 | 56.2 | 824 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach | D | 720 | 48.1 53 | 303 |
|  |  |  | wB |  | C | 94 | $\frac{53.7}{} 32.8$ | 54 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | c | 319 | 27.4 | 617 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 356 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | c |  | 27.9 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | ${ }_{\substack{\text { NBL } \\ \text { NBT }}}$ | E | 1074 | 73.9 | 520 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | E |  | 73.9 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | D | 40 | 47.1 | 12 |
|  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { SBT }}{\text { SBR }}$ | A | 40 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | ${ }^{\text {D }}$ |  | 47.1 |  |
|  |  |  |  | LOS | D |  | 50.8 |  |
| 18 | Roosevelt Bouleverd/Wilson Boulevard | Signalized | EB | EBL | C | 482 | 23.6 | 330 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | C | 592 | 21.5 | 748 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | \#NA | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | c |  | 22.2 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | D | 688 727 | 38.2 36.5 | 495 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | D |  | 37.3 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NA | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | \#N/A | \#NA | \#NA | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach |  | WN/A | \#N/A | \#NA | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}$ | E | ${ }_{639}$ | 70.2 | 398 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | \% | ${ }_{642}$ | 70.2 | 173 |
|  |  |  |  |  | E |  | 70.2 |  |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | D |  | 38.0 |  |
| 19 | Roosevelt Boulevard/N. Roosevelt Street | Signalized | EB | ${ }_{\text {EBL }}^{\text {EBT }}$ | C | 250 | 30.9 | 194 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {EBT }}^{\text {EBR }}$ | A | 250 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | c | 250 | 32.8 | 21 |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | A | 2 | 1.4 | 4 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 3 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | A |  | 1.4 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | ${ }_{\text {NBL }}^{\text {NBT }}$ | ${ }_{\text {D }}$ | $\frac{110}{315}$ | 41.7 108 | $\frac{95}{1010}$ |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | A | 315 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | B |  | 13.5 |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBL | A | 230 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | SB | SBT SBR | B | 230 | 14.0 | 554 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | B | 231 | 11.9 13.6 | 147 |
|  |  |  |  | LOS | B |  | 15.4 |  |
| 20 | Afrington Blvd WB/Wilson Blvd | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/ | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {EBT }}^{\text {EBR }}$ | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach | \#NNA | \#NA | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | \#NA | \#NA | \#NA | TNA |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#N/A | \#NA | \#NA | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | WNA | \#N/A | \#N/ | \#N/ |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NA | TNA |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach |  | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \#N/A | \#N/ | \#N/ | UNA |
|  |  |  | SB | SBT | \#N/A | \#N/ | \#N/ | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | HN/A | \#NA | \#NA | TNA |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | \#N/ |  | 15.4 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

"N/A" represents volumes that are not allowed, or do not exist

| Intersection Intormation |  |  |  |  | 2045 Basoline AM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queue (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & \text { (sec) } \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 21 | Sleepy Hollow RdWWison Blivd/Broed St/Artington Blvd EB | Signalized | EB | EBL to Wilson | F | 593 | 94.6 | 266 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT to Route 7 | D | 593 | 39.9 | 1033 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR 1050 | A | 593 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT to 50 | D | 593 | 52.8 | 2 |
|  |  |  | wB | EBApproach | D |  | 51.1 |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | C | 782 | 28.7 | 50 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | D | 782 | 48.5 | 991 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | D | 782 | 46.3 | 133 |
|  |  |  | - Approach |  | - |  | 47.4 | 2046 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL NBT | F | 455 | 91.2 62 | 17 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | \#N/ | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | E |  | 63.7 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | D | 368 | 45.3 | 5 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | D | 398 | 39.2 | 290 |
|  |  |  |  | SBAPproach |  | D | 398 | 54.8 | 256 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | D |  | 46.2 |  |
|  |  |  | EB | Overall LoS | D |  | 51.0 |  |
| 22 | Broad St WB/Astington Blvd WB | Signalized |  | EBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | INA | TNA | HN/ |  |
|  |  |  | EB Approach |  | \#N/A | \#NA | \#NA |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | \#NA | \#N/ | \#N/A | TN/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#N/ | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | \#N/ | \#N/ | HNA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | pproach | \#N/A |  | \#NA |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT from 7 to 7 | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/ | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBU | HN/A | \#N/A | anNA | TNVA |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach |  | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | HN/A |  | TN/A |  |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}$ | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/ |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | \#N/ |  | \#N/ |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | c |  | 26.7 |  |
| ${ }^{23}$ | Broad St EBJArlington Blva WB | Unsignalized | E日 | EBL | \#N/A | \#N/ | \#NA | UNA |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/ | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | \#N/A | \#NA | \#NA | TNA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | HN/A | HN/A | HN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#N/ | \#NA | \#NA | 4NA |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | pproach | \#N/A |  | \#NA |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | \#N/A | \#N/ | \#N/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | NBT NBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | N/A |  | HNA |  |
|  |  |  | sB | SBL | \#N/ | \#N/A | \#NA | TN/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/ | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | SBApproach | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  | Overal LOS |  | ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  | 26.7 |  |
| 23 | Broad St EB/Arfington Blvd WB | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#N/A | \#NA | ANA | HNA |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | \#N/ | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | \#N/A | TN/A | \#NA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | EB Approach |  | \#N/A |  | \#NA |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/ | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#N/A | \#NA | 4N/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | \#N/ |  | INA |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | \#N/A | \#NA | \#NA | UN/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/ | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | \#N/ | \#N/ | and | WN/A |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | se | SBL | HN/A | HNA | HNA | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | \#N/A | \#N/ | \#NA | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | \#N/A |  | ANA |  |
|  |  |  |  | los | c |  | 26.7 |  |
| 25 | Ring Road at Arlington Blvd EB | Signalized | EB | EBL | A | 1158 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | D | 1158 | 36.4 | 516 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | D | 1158 | 39.0 | 401 |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | AN/A | \#NA | \% N/ | TN/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | HN/A | HN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | \#N/A | *N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | NB | NBEL | ${ }_{\text {\# }}^{\text {H }}$ | \#NA | 22.5 | 271 |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach |  | c | 184 | 32.8 | 75 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {c }}^{\text {c }}$ |  | 24.7 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL SBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/ | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | HN/A | \#N/A | \#NVA | TNA |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SB Approach } \\ & \text { Overall LOS } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\text { \#N/A }}{\text { C }}$ |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 2045 Baseline AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2045 Basolino AM |  |  |  |
| No. | Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queue (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & \text { (sec) } \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 26 | Ring Road at Arlington Blvd WB | Signalized | EB | EPL | \#N/ | \#N/ | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | \#NA | \#NA | TN/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | \#NA | \#N/ | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | \#N/ |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | \#NA | \#N/A | \#NA | TNA |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | A | 115 | 8.5 | 340 |
|  |  |  |  | WBApproach |  | \#N/A | \#N/ | WN/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  | 8.5 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | A | 91 | 7.5 | 271 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | \#NA | \#N/A | UNA | TN/ |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | A |  | 7.5 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | \#NA | \#NA | \#N/ | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | \#N/ | \#N/ | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | \#NA | UNA | WNA | TN/ |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | Overal LOS |  | A |  | 8.1 |  |
| 27 | Ring Road at E. Broad St | Signalized | EB | ERL | \#NA | \#NA | \#N/ | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | \# ${ }_{\text {\# }}$ A | 157 | 7.9 | 1271 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | A |  | 7.9 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | \#N/ | HN/A | \#N/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | C | ${ }_{603} 603$ | 26.7 | 1232 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 603 | 3.3 | 30 |
|  |  |  | NB | WBApproach | c |  | 26.2 |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | \#N/ | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/ | \#N/ |
|  |  |  | B Approach |  | \#N/ | \#NA | \#N/ | UNA |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | c | 130 | 33.0 | 35 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | \#N/ | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | SBApproach |  | D | 130 | 38.8 | 82 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | D |  | 37.1 |  |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | B |  | 17.9 |  |
| 28 | Ring Road at Atrington Blvd EB | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#NA | \#N/A | UNA | MNA |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | E | 93 | 71.2 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | D | 93 | 39.9 | 36 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | D |  | 41.6 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | \#NA | \#N/ | \#N/ | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#NA | \#NA | \#N/A | TNA |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | HNA |  | TNA |  |
|  |  |  | NB | ${ }_{\text {NBL }}$ | \#NA | \#N/A | \#N/ | UNA |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | A | 428 428 | 2.0 | 650 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | c |  | 22.0 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | \#NA | HN/A | HNA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { SBT }}{\text { SBR }}$ | \# ${ }_{\text {\# }}$ | 164 | \% ${ }^{6.3}$ | 197 |
|  |  |  |  | SBApproach |  | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/ | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  | 6.3 |  |
| 29 | Ring Road at Hillwood Ave | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | TNA |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | TNA | TN/ | WNA | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | \#NA | \#NA | \#N/ | UNA |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | \#N/ |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | \#NA | \#N/A | \#N/ | TNA |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NA | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | WB Approach |  | \#NA | \#N/A | TNA | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \#N/A | \#N/ | \#N/ | \#N/ |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | \#NA | \#N/ | \%N/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | \#N/ | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | UNA |  | HN/ |  |
|  |  |  | sB | SBL | \#NA | \#NA | \#NA | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {SBT }}^{\text {SBR }}$ | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | SBApproach | \#NA | \#NA | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | B |  | 17.9 |  |
| 30 | Ring Road at Arrington Blvd WB | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#N/A | IN/A | TN/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | \#NA | \#N/ | \#NA | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | \#N/ | \#N/A | \#N/ | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \#NA |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  | WEL | A | 5 | 6.0 | 200 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | \#N/ | $\stackrel{1}{\text { \#N/ }}$ | \# 4 N/A | 3 MNA |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | A |  | 5.8 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | \#NA | \#NA | \#NA | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | NBApproach |  | \#N/A | TNA | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \#N/ | \#N/A | \#N/ | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | SB | SBT | \#NA | \#NA | INA | TNA |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/ | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | oach | HNA |  | $\frac{\text { UN/ }}{58}$ |  |
|  |  |  | Overail LOS |  |  |  |  |  |


| Intersection information 2045 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2045 Baseline PM |  |  |  |
| No. | Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queue (teet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & \text { (sec) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 1 | S. Cherry Street/Artington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | E8 | EBL | F | 128 | 118.3 | 39 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | c | 305 | 227 | 2840 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | F | 309 | $\underline{94.9}$ | 24 |
|  |  |  | we | WBL | F | 62 | 120.9 | 12 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | c | 1161 | 31.4 | 2623 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | c | 91 | 27.3 | A |
|  |  |  | NB | roach | c |  | 31.7 |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | F | 124 | 89.7 | 53 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {NBT }}^{\text {NBR }}$ | A | $\frac{124}{128}$ | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | rosch | A |  | 0.0 89 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | F | 610 | 1124 | 39 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {SBT }}$ | F | 610 | 119.1 | 16 |
|  |  |  |  | Approach | E | 611 | 76.9 844 | 216 |
|  |  |  |  | Los | c |  | 31.3 |  |
| 2 | S. Chery Streethillwood Avenue | Sipnalized | EB | EBL | B | 128 | 18.6 | 118 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | B | 312 | 16.8 | 240 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | wb | roach | 8 |  | 17.4 |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | A | 32 | 9.9 | 8 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | B | 245 | 17.4 136 | 212 |
|  |  |  |  | moach | B | 248 | 13.6 16.8 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | c | 182 | 31.4 | 102 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | c | 182 | 30.0 | 35 |
|  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{8}$ | 226 | 19.0 <br> 291 | 27 |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | c | 255 | 25.1 | 34 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | c | 255 | 223 | 76 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {S }}^{\text {S }}$ R | 8 | 288 | 132 | 133 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | 8 |  | 17.7 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | B |  | 192 |  |
| 3 | S. Cherry Streele. Broac Street (VA 7) | Signalized | E日 | EBL | C | 205 | 21.6 | 9 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {EBT }}^{\text {EBR }}$ | A | 205 | $\frac{50}{51}$ | $\frac{924}{42}$ |
|  |  |  |  | ERosh | A | 211 | $\frac{5.1}{5.2}$ | 42 |
|  |  |  | w | WBL | c | 371 | 22.1 | 65 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | 8 | 371 | $\underline{19.6}$ | 565 |
|  |  |  |  | Wer | c | 388 | 21.7 | 19 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | F | 454 | 199 882 | 39 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | F | 454 | 80.7 | 52 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | F | 454 | 802 | 113 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | F |  | 81.8 |  |
|  |  |  | S8 | SBL | E | 396 | 57.3 | 5 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT SBR | E | 396 396 | 58.5 58.1 | 119 |
|  |  |  | Sbapproach |  | E | 396 | 58.1 58.3 |  |
|  |  |  |  | LOS | c |  | 23.1 |  |
| 6 | South Street \& S. Roosevelt StreeVHillwood Avenue | Signalized | EB | EBL | F | 1121 | 284.6 | 95 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | F | 1090 | 180.4 | 99 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | F | 1109 | $\frac{158.9}{2108}$ | 78 |
|  |  |  | wB | ${ }_{\text {WBL }}$ | F | 0 | 210.6 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | NB | proach | NNA |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBL NBT | F | 873 | 211.0 | $\stackrel{64}{326}$ |
|  |  |  |  | NBApprosch |  | F | 873 | 2524 | 4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | F |  | 231.1 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SEL }}^{\text {SBT }}$ | - | 3322 | 36.1 | 80 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT S日R | ${ }_{8}$ | $\frac{382}{315}$ | $\stackrel{28.3}{183}$ | $\frac{182}{68}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | c |  | 282 |  |
|  |  |  | SBApprosch |  | F |  | 155.7 |  |
| 7 | N. Roosevell Streeve. Broad Street (VA 7) | Signalized | Ex | ${ }_{\text {EBL }}^{\text {EBT }}$ | MNA | 10 ONA | ${ }^{\text {ON/A }}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {EBT }}^{\text {EBR }}$ | F | 1081 | 92.8 942 | ${ }^{877}$ |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | F |  | 929 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | c | 428 | 329 | 104 |
|  |  |  | wB | WBT | B | 428 | 15.1 | 593 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | c | 428 | 23.7 | 83 |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | E |  | 18.4 662 | 19 |
|  |  |  | NB | ${ }_{\text {NBL }}^{\text {NBT }}$ | E | 382 | 47.9 | 20 |
|  |  |  |  | NBApproach |  | E | 382 | ${ }_{60.8}^{60 .}$ | 383 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | E | 316 | 60.4 527 | 5 |
|  |  |  | SB | SBT | 0 | 316 | 39.6 | 192 |
|  |  |  |  | S8R | D | 316 | 39.0 | 30 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | - |  | 39.8 |  |
| 8 | Sleepy Hollow Road/Aspen Lane | Unsignalized | E8 | EBL | A | 68 | ${ }_{0} 0.0$ | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | \#N/A | \#NA | $\square \mathrm{MNA}$ | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | B | 68 | 13.3 | 42 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | B |  | 133 |  |
|  |  |  | ws | WBL | \#N/A | \#NA | \#N/ | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | WBApproach |  | \#NA | \#NA | IN/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \#N/ |  | BNA |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL NBT | B | $\frac{274}{215}$ | 13.5 7.2 | 106 258 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | \#NA | \#N/ | HN/ | UN/ |
|  |  |  | NBApprosch |  | A |  | 9.0 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL SBT | ${ }_{\text {min }}^{\text {m }}$ | \#N/ | BN/A <br> 3.6 <br> 18 | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | A | 20 | 6.5 | 184 |
|  |  |  | Se Approach Overall LOS |  | A |  | 4.2 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  | 133 |  |


| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2045 Baseline PM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. | Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queue (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & (\mathrm{sec}) \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 9 | Sleepy Hollow Road/Castle Place | Signalized | EB | EBL | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | E | 372 | 496 | $\frac{552}{47}$ |
|  |  |  |  | E8BR | E/A | 372 | 60.5 | 47 |
|  |  |  | we | WBL | D | 753 | 38.6 | 465 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | A | 211 | 8.4 | 456 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | 8 | 211 | 14.3 | 67 |
|  |  |  | NB | roach | c |  | 23.0 |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBL NBT | D | 303 | 46.8 | ${ }^{127}$ |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | 8 | 197 | 16.1 | 132 |
|  |  |  |  | ozch | c |  | 326 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | A | 463 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | c | 463 | 33.9 | 249 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | A | 463 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | SeApproach |  | c |  | 339 |  |
|  |  |  | EB | Overall LOS | F | 791 | 33.4 | 358 |
| 10 | Castle Rosd \& Thome Roadleesburg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized |  | ${ }_{\text {EBL }}$ | E | 841 | 72.5 | ${ }_{937}$ |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | 0 | 841 | 46.1 | 17 |
|  |  |  | wb | EBApproach | F |  | 90.9 |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | F | 1336 | 1043 | 618 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | F | 1428 | 119.7 | 760 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | F | 1428 | $\frac{175.6}{121.5}$ | 221 |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | F | 694 | 138.3 | 25 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | F | 694 | 99.7 | 206 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | F | 694 | 88.9 | 435 |
|  |  |  |  | SBL | F | 431 | 21.3 | 45 |
|  |  |  | se | SBT | F | 431 | 111.9 | 345 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | F | 431 | 1473 | 128 |
|  |  |  | SB Approsch |  | F |  | 129.5 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | F |  | 108.1 |  |
| 11 | Seven Cormers Cernter/Leesturg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized | ${ }^{\text {E }}$ | EBL | F | 122 | $\frac{83.6}{1032}$ | ${ }_{1}^{59}$ |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {EBT }}^{\text {EBR }}$ | F | $\frac{1423}{828}$ | $\frac{1032}{854}$ | 1287 102 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | F |  | 101.1 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | F | 250 | 81.0 | 41 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | A | 569 | 41.3 | $\frac{1179}{62}$ |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 43 | 7.3 | 62 |
|  |  |  | WBADproach |  | $\stackrel{0}{\text { F }}$ | 1001 | 410.0 | 246 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | F | 1001 | 1220 | 62 |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach |  | F | 1001 | 83.9 | 23 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | F |  | 228.4 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}^{\text {SBT }}$ | F | 193 | 197.0 | ${ }^{67}$ |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | D | 198 | 39.4 | 193 |
|  |  |  | Sbapproach |  | F |  | 927 |  |
|  |  |  | Sbapproach |  | F |  | 88.9 |  |
| 12 | Patrick Heny Drivelleesburg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized | EB | EBL | F | 552 | 100.4 | 190 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {EBT }}^{\text {EBR }}$ | - | 550 | 36.0 | 1170 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | c | 19 | 28.7 | 8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  | 449 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | ${ }_{\text {WBL }}^{\text {WBT }}$ | F | ${ }_{147}^{1577}$ | 157.4 1662 | $\stackrel{63}{1004}$ |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | F | 1578 | 104.8 | 288 |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | F |  | 1528 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | F | 609 | 89.9 | 138 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | F | 750 | 297.6 | 74 |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approsch | F | 779 | 204.4 | 104 |
|  |  |  | Se | SBL | F | 1249 | 176.2 1421 | 341 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | F | 1249 | 150.4 | 31 |
|  |  |  |  | SBApproach |  | F | 503 | 83.4 | 155 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | F |  | 1253 |  |
|  |  |  | E8 | Los | F |  | 107.7 |  |
| 13 | Arlington Boulevard service road/Arlington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized |  | EEL | C | 540 | 21.9 | 40 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {EBT }}^{\text {EBR }}$ | A | 540 | $\frac{8.4}{5.8}$ | ${ }^{2285}$ |
|  |  |  |  | BApproach | A | 540 | $\frac{5.8}{8.5}$ | 68 |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | A | 1685 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | F | 1685 | 82.4 | 2475 |
|  |  |  |  | WBApproach |  | F | 1685 | $\frac{820}{824}$ | 68 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | E | 505 | 653 | 37 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | F | 505 | 103.7 | 53 |
|  |  |  |  | NBApproach |  | F | 525 | 88.3 | 144 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | F |  | 882 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | E | 207 | 73.9 | 47 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT SBR | E | 207 189 | 69.8 0.0 | $\stackrel{44}{0}$ |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | E |  | 71.4 |  |
|  |  |  | EB | LOS | O |  | 48.8 |  |
| 14 | Patrick Henry Drive/Arlington Poulevard (US 50) | Signalized |  | ${ }_{\text {EBL }}^{\text {EBT }}$ | F | $\frac{212}{1633}$ | $\frac{103.1}{832}$ | ${ }_{2088}^{8038}$ |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | F | 1630 | 82.9 | 162 |
|  |  |  | EBApprosch |  | F |  | 83.9 |  |
|  |  |  | we | WBL | F | 1682 | 178.2 | 92 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | F | $\frac{1682}{1685}$ | $\frac{121.7}{1254}$ | 2284 |
|  |  |  |  | WBApproach | F | 1685 | $\frac{125.4}{124.0}$ | 74 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | E | 381 | ${ }_{563}^{63}$ | ${ }^{288}$ |
|  |  |  |  | NBApprosch | E | 372 | 68.4 | 79 |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}^{\text {SBT }}$ | F | 1258 1258 | 304.3 2332 | 370 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | F | ${ }_{1}^{1258}$ | 2332 | 335 |
|  |  |  | SB ApproachOverall LOS |  | F |  | 267.0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | F |  | 1160 |  |


| 2045 Baseline PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2045 Baseline PM |  |  |  |
| No. | Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queue (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & (\mathrm{sec}) \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 15 | John Marshall DivelPatick Henry Drive \& Willston Dive | Signalized | EB | EBL | A | 569 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | 0 | 569 | 51.7 | 346 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {EBApproach }}^{\text {E8R }}$ | UN/ | \#NA | ${ }^{\text {and }}$ | \#N/ |
|  |  |  | we |  | 0 |  | 51.7 |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | \#NA | \#NA | 2N/A | UNA |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | c | 311 | 22.5 | 316 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | 8 | 364 | 10.1 | 167 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | ${ }^{8}$ |  | 18.2 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL NBT | UNVA | ONA | ON/A | WN/ |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | \#NA | \#NA | \#N/ | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | Ozch | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | F | 751 | 94.9 | 334 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | UNA | INA | ON/A | WN/ |
|  |  |  |  | S日R | F | 762 | 85.1 | 20 |
|  |  |  | Selapproach |  | F |  | 94.4 |  |
|  |  |  | Overal LOS |  | 0 |  | 506 |  |
| 16 | John Marshall Difive \& N. Mckinley RoadWWison Boulevard | 76 | EB | EBL | c | 149 | 26.5 | 95 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | c | 385 | 22.3 | 551 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | c |  | 24.5 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | F | 735 | 85.1 | 169 |
|  |  |  | wB | WBT | E | 742 | 59.2 | 658 |
|  |  |  |  | WER | D | 752 | 43.0 | 69 |
|  |  |  | WB Aoproach |  | E |  | 628 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | ${ }_{\text {NBL }}^{\text {NBT }}$ | E | 420 | 74.5 | 79 5 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {NBR }}$ | ${ }^{\circ}$ | 422 | 37.9 | 72 |
|  |  |  | NBApprosch |  | 0 |  | 49.5 |  |
|  |  |  | S8 | SBL | c | 55 | 32.6 | 21 |
|  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { SBT }}{\text { SBR }}$ | O | 174 | 40.4 | 139 |
|  |  |  |  | SB Approach |  | C | 155 | 332 | 132 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 35.0 |  |
| 17 | Peyton Ransolph DivelWilson Boulevard | Signalized | E8 | EBL | c | 731 | 25.9 | 16 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | 0 | 731 | 50.8 | 657 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | 0 | 739 | 51.0 | 630 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | D |  | 50.6 |  |
|  |  |  | wB |  | D | $\frac{127}{816}$ | 50.8 98.5 | ${ }_{757} 8$ |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | F | ${ }_{816} 81$ | ${ }^{98.5}$ | 757 |
|  |  |  |  | roech | F |  | 91.8 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | F | 617 | 100.7 | 197 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | A | 617 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | E | 393 | 72.5 | 19 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | F |  | 982 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}$ | 0 | 117 | 455 | 36 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | C | 117 124 | 46.2 23.9 | $\stackrel{27}{33}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Sbapproan | C |  | 23.9 38.3 |  |
|  |  |  |  | LOS | E |  | 68.7 |  |
| 18 | Roosevelt BoulevardWilison Boulevard | Signalized | EB | EBL | 0 | 559 | 50.1 | 347 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | c | 559 | 33.6 | 533 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | UNA | UNA | ONA | WNA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | D |  | 40.1 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | \#NA | \#NA | \#N/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | F | 738 | 105.7 83 | 615 345 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | F |  | 97.7 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | \#NA | \#NA | 2N/A | UNA |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | HNA | \#NA | IN/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | NBApproach | \#NA | \#NA | \#NA | \#NA |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SEL }}$ | F | ${ }^{1336}$ | 98.0 | 762 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | \#NA | UNA | 2N/A | UNA |
|  |  |  |  | SBApprosch | F | 1341 | 110.7 | 390 |
|  |  |  | Sbemproach |  | F |  | 81.8 |  |
| 19 | Roosevelt BoulevardN. Roosevell Street | Signalized | Ex | EBL | c | 226 | 27.2 | 157 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 228 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | c | 228 | 31.0 | 38 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | A | 8 | $\frac{27.9}{2.2}$ | 47 |
|  |  |  | wB | WBT | A | 39 | 0.9 | 30 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 3 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | A | 64 | $\frac{1.7}{285}$ | 31 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | 8 | 226 | 11.1 | 645 |
|  |  |  |  | NBApproach |  | A | 228 | 9.3 | 28 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | A | 422 | 11.8 | 0 |
|  |  |  | s8 | SBT | 8 | 422 | 16.0 | 1053 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | B | 423 | 13.9 158 | 122 |
|  |  |  |  |  | B |  | 15.8 <br> 15.1 |  |
| 20 | Arington Blvd WB-Wilson Blva | Signalized | EB | ${ }_{\text {EBL }}^{\text {EBT }}$ | \#NA | \#NA | INVA | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {EBT }}^{\text {EBR }}$ | \#NA | \#NA | INA | \#NA |
|  |  |  | EBApprosch |  | INA |  | ON/A |  |
|  |  |  | we | WEL | \#N/ | \#NA | EN/A | UNA |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#NA | \#NA | \#N/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | \#N/ |  | BN/A |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | NNA | UNA | ON/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | \#NVA | \#NA | 2NVA | UNNA |
|  |  |  | NBApproach |  | \#NA |  | an/ |  |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}^{\text {SBT }}$ | TNNA | \#NA | IN/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | UNA | MNA | ON/A | \#NNA |
|  |  |  | So Approach Overell LOS |  | \#NA |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  | 15.1 |  |


| Intersection information 2045 |  |  |  |  | 2045 Baseline PM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. | Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queue (feet) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Delay } \\ (\mathrm{sec}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Volumes |
| 21 | Sleepy Hollow Rd/Wilson Blveveroed StaAlington Blvd EB | Signalized | EB | EBL to Wilson | E | 753 | 63.6 | 66 |
|  |  |  |  | E8T to Route 7 | F | 753 | 88.1 | 1196 |
|  |  |  |  | EEBT 10.50 | A | 753 | $\frac{101.1}{0.0}$ | 69 |
|  |  |  | wB | proach | F |  | 85.7 |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | F | 757 | 129.1 | 181 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | E | 757 | 74.4 | ${ }^{652}$ |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | E | 757 | 74.4 84.3 | 164 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | F | 592 | 94.4 | 44 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | E | 594 | 727 | 530 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | \#N/ | \#NA | INVA | \#N/ |
|  |  |  | Se | prosch | E |  | 74.4 |  |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}^{\text {SBT }}$ | F | $\frac{858}{802}$ | $\frac{1032}{512}$ | 299 568 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | 0 | 802 | 46.9 | 48 |
|  |  |  | SbApproach |  | E |  | 690 |  |
|  |  |  | Overal LOS |  | E |  | 79.4 |  |
| 22 | Broad St WBEAAlington Blva WB | Signalized | Ex | EBL | NNA | INA | aN/ |  |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {EBT }}^{\text {EBR }}$ | \#N/ | \#NA | ANVA |  |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | \#NA | \#NA | INVA |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | $\stackrel{\text { TNNA }}{ }$ | \#NA | INIA | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | WNA | INA | ONA | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | \#N/A | \#NA | ENVA | UNA |
|  |  |  | wBApproach |  | \#N/ |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | NB | $\frac{\text { NBT from } 7 \text { to } 7}{\text { NBU }}$ | \#N/A | \#NA | \#NVA | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | WNA | INA | ONIA | \#NA |
|  |  |  | NBApproash |  | \#N/ |  | 8 2NA |  |
|  |  |  | S8 | SBL | \#NA | \#NA | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | \#N/ | \#NA | \#NVA |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBApproach | \#NVA | \#NA | ONVA |  |
|  |  |  |  | los | 0 |  | 41.8 |  |
| 23 | Broad St EB/Arlington Blvd WB | Unsignalized | E8 | EBL | \#NA | \#NA | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | NNA | \#NA | ANA | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | TNA | \#NA | aNA | \#NA |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | w | WBL | \#NA | \#NA | AN/A | INA |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#NVA | \#NA | \#NVA | \#N/ |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | \#N/ |  | UN/A |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | WNA | INA | ONIA | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | $\frac{\text { NBT }}{\text { NBR }}$ | \#NA | \#NA | INNA | WN/A |
|  |  |  |  | BApprozach | \#NA | \#NA | \#N/ | UNA |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | \#N/ | \#N/ | an/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | NNA | aNA | ON/A | *NA |
|  |  |  |  | so Approach |  | \#NA | \#NA | ANVA | UNA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \% O |  | \#N/ 418 |  |
| 23 | Broad S: EB/Artington Blvd WB | Signalized | Ex | EBL | \#N/ | UN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | MN/ | an/a | UN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | \#N/ | MN/A | \#NIA | WNA |
|  |  |  | Ex Approach |  | \#N/ |  | \#N/ |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | and | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#N/NA | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | WNA | ava | ON/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | \#N/ |  | ANIA |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | \#NA | \#NA | ENA | WNA |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | \#NA | \#NVA | \#NIA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | \#N/ ${ }^{\text {+ }}$ | \#N/A | an/ | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | NB Approasch |  | INA |  | ONIA |  |
|  |  |  | se | SBL | \#NA | miNA | ENA | WN/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | \#NA | \#NVA | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | \#N/ | AN/A | \#N/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | \#N/ |  | aNIA |  |
|  |  |  |  | LOS | 0 |  | 418 |  |
| 25 | Ring Road at Arlington Blvd EB | Signalized | Ex | ${ }_{\text {EBL }}$ | A | 1595 | ${ }^{0.0}$ | ${ }^{0} 46$ |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | F | 1595 | 100.5 83.0 | 469 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | F |  | 90.7 |  |
|  |  |  | wi | WBL | \#N/A | \#NA | \#N/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | WN/ | \#NA | INVA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBApproach | WN/ | UNA | ONVA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | \#NA | \#NA | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {NBT }}^{\text {NBR }}$ | c | 335 335 | 307 3 | 477 |
|  |  |  |  | NBApprosch | ${ }^{\circ}$ | 335 | 38.5 32 | 112 |
|  |  |  | s8 | SBL | \#N/ | \#NA | ENIA | WNA |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | \#N/ | \#NA | \#NVA | \#N/ |
|  |  |  | SB Approsch |  | \#N/ | MNA | \#NVA | WNA |
|  |  |  |  |  | E |  | 69.9 |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2045 Baseline PM |  |  |  |
| No. | Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queve (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & \text { (sec) } \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 26 | Ring Road at Arington Blvd WB | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#NA | \#NA | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | \#N/A | \#NA | ANVA | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | \#N/ | \#NA | ONVA | \#N/ |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | \#NA | \#NA | gN/A | INA |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | 8 | 283 | 14.9 | 821 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | \#N/A | \#NA | AN/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  | NB | roach | 8 |  | 14.9 |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBL NBT | $\stackrel{\text { A }}{\text { A }}$ | 142 | $\stackrel{2.9}{\text { PiNA }}$ | 477 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {NBER }}$ | \#NA | \#NA | INNA | \#NA |
|  |  |  | SB | oech | A |  | 2.9 |  |
|  |  |  |  | SBL | \#N/ | \#NA | IN/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {SBT }}^{\text {S日R }}$ | MNA | ONA | ONVA | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | SBApproach | \#NVA | \#NA | 8NVA | UNA |
|  |  |  |  | LOS | 8 |  | 105 |  |
| 27 | Ring Road at E. Brosd St | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#N/A | \#NA | INIA | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | E | 630 | 56.1 | 1250 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | \#N/ | \#NA | 2N/A | UNA |
|  |  |  | wb | Oach | E |  | 56.1 |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | \#N/ | \#N/ | ANA | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | B | ${ }_{418}^{418}$ | $\frac{129}{117}$ | 707 37 |
|  |  |  | NB | roach | B |  | 128 |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | \#N/ | \#NA | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | \#N/A | \#NA | AN/ | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | \#NA | \#NA | ON/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  | S8 | SBL | E | 132 | 62.4 | 72 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {SBT }}$ | \#NA | \#NA | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | SB Approach |  | 0 | 132 | 35.5 | 74 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | D |  | 40.5 |  |
| 28 | Ring Road at Arilington Blvd EB | Signalized | E日 | EBL | \#NA | \#NA | \&N/A | WNA |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | c | 105 | 32.4 | 61 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | 0 | 105 | 38.7 | 15 |
|  |  |  | wb | roach | c |  | 33.7 |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | NNA | and | ONIA | WNA |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#NVA | \#NA | ENVA | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | \#N/A | \#NA | \#NVA | \#NA |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | \#\#NA | \#N/ | INA | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | A | 430 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach |  | c | 430 | 27.1 | 590 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | c |  | 27.1 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}^{\text {SBT }}$ | \#N/A | \#NA | AN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | MNA | INA | ONIA | \#NA |
|  |  |  | Sbapproach |  | c |  | 28.7 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | c |  | 28.2 |  |
| 29 | Ring Road at Hillwood Ave | Signalized | Ex | EBL | \#N/A | HN/A | 8N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | WNA | \#NA | \#NVA | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | \#N/A | \#NA |  | \#NA |
|  |  |  | E8Approach |  |  |  | BNVA |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WEL | WNA | UNA | ON/A | WNA |
|  |  |  |  | Wer | \#NA | \#NA | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  | WBApproech |  | \#NA |  | and |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | NNA | \#NA | and | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {NBT }}^{\text {NBR }}$ | MNA | MNA | QNNA | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | NBApproach | \#NVA | \#NA | anva | UNA |
|  |  |  | S8 | SBL | \#N/ | \#NA | and | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | WN/ | \#NA | an/a | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | SoApproach |  | MNA | UNA | ONVA | HNA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | O |  | 40.5 |  |
| 30 | Ring Road at Artington Blvd WB | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#NA | HNA | PN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {EBT }}^{\text {EBR }}$ | \#N/A | \#NA | INVA | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | EBApprosch | \#NVA | \#NA | \#NVA | \#NA |
|  |  |  | wB | WEL | c | 683 | 28.1 | 407 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | c | 683 | 21.7 | 70 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | \#NA | \#NA | \#N/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  | WBADProach |  |  | \#N/ | 272 | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | MNA | UNA | ONIA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBApproach |  | \#N/A | \#NA | ENVA | UNA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \#NA |  | \#N/ |  |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}$ | \#NA | \#NA | AN/A | \#NA |
|  |  |  |  | SBT SBR | \#N/ | MNA | INVA | \#N/ |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | \#N/ |  | IN/A |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | C |  | 27.2 |  |


| 2045 Scenario 1 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2045 Scenario 1 AM |  |  |  |
| No. | Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queue (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & \text { (sec) } \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 1 | S. Cherry StreeUArfington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | EB | EBL | F | 229 | 163.9 | 80 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | 8 | 297 | 19.9 | 2818 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | C | 298 | 27.1 | 44 |
|  |  |  | wB | rasch | C |  | 23.9 |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | 8 | 1120 | 14.9 | 2571 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | 8 | 60 | 13.0 | 45 |
|  |  |  |  | roach | 8 |  | 14.8 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | F | 152 | 118.6 | 46 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | A | 152 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach |  | D | 154 | 37.7 | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | F |  | 113.6 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | A | 122 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | A | 122 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | SBApproach |  | B | 122 | 14.0 | 113 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  | 14.0 |  |
| 2 | S. Cherry Streethillwood Avenue | Signalized | EB | EBL | C | 128 | 23.8 | 133 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | 8 | 153 | 14.8 | 163 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | A | 35 | 6.3 | 11 |
|  |  |  |  | roach | 8 |  | 18.4 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | B | 30 | 14.4 | 5 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | 8 | 211 | 17.5 | 238 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 214 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | B |  | 17.4 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | ${ }_{\text {NBL }}$ | C | 239 | $\frac{21.2}{210}$ | 42 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | c | 239 | 21.0 | 106 |
|  |  |  | NB Approsch |  | ${ }^{8}$ | 283 | 14.0 | 25 |
|  |  |  |  |  | c | 88 | 20.1 | 35 |
|  |  |  | SB | SBT | A | 88 | 6.1 | 25 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | 8 | 121 | 12.3 | 32 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  | 13.6 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | 8 |  | 18.0 |  |
| 3 | S. Cherry StreetE. Broad Street (VA 7) | Signalized | EB | EBL | $B$ | 211 | 16.2 | 39 |
|  |  |  |  | EET | A | 211 | 8.6 | 578 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | A | 217 | 7.5 | 10 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | A |  | 9.1 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | E | 879 | 61.5 | 3 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | D | 879 | 44.7 | 1057 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | D | 893 | 49.9 | 12 |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | E | 457 | 44.8 | 10 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | D | 457 | 54.8 | 97 |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approoch |  | D | 457 | 48.1 | 159 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | D |  | 50.8 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | D | 277 | 47.6 | 113 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT SBR | D | $\frac{277}{277}$ | 46.5 51.0 | $\frac{25}{37}$ |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | D |  | 48.2 |  |
|  |  |  | Sberalilach |  | O |  | 354 |  |
| 6 | South Street \& S. Roosevelt Street/Hillwood Avenue | Signalized | EB | EBL | F | 574 | 127.1 | 248 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | F |  | 127.1 |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | WB | WBR | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | WBApprosch |  | HN/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | D | 458 | 48.7 | 42 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | E | 458 | 56.5 | 245 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | A | 458 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | E |  | 55.3 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | S8L | C | 275 | 26.4 | 51 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | C | 275 | 22.3 | 151 |
|  |  |  |  | SBApproach | A | 246 | 10.0 | 148 |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | E |  | 60.7 |  |
| 7 | N. Roosevelt Streete. Broad Street (VA7) | Signalized | EB | EBL | HN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  | EET | D | 567 | 50.1 | 883 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | D | 599 | 50.6 | 5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | D |  | 50.1 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | D | 563 | 35.3 | 251 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | C | 563 | 31.0 | 980 |
|  |  |  |  | wBapproze | B | 563 | 13.0 31.7 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | A | 395 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | E | 395 | 56.0 | 119 |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach |  | D | 395 | 40.3 | 373 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | D |  | 44.1 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}^{\text {SBT }}$ | A | 257 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | C | 257 | 34.9 | 118 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach Overall LOS |  | D |  | 35.1 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | D |  | 39.9 |  |


| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2045 Scenario 1 AM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Intersection | Trafic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | $\begin{gathered} \text { Max Queue } \\ \text { (feet) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & \text { (sec) } \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| ${ }^{8}$ | Sleepy Hollow Road/Aspen Lane | Unsignalized | EB | EBL | A | 44 | 9.6 | 4 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | \#N/A | nN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBApprosch |  | A | 44 | 6.6 | 13 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  | 7.3 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | [1N/A | IN/A | 2N/A | IN/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | HN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | nN/A | INVA | HN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | HN/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | A | 173 | 4.1 | 136 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | A | 114 | 2.9 | 347 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | NBApproach |  | A |  | 3.3 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | \#NA | \#N/A | \#N/A | IN/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | A | 0 | 1.6 | 406 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | BApproach |  | A |  | 1.6 |  |
|  |  |  | EB | Overall Los | A |  | 7.3 |  |
| 9 | Sleepy Hollow Roadicastle Place | Signalized |  | EBL | 8 | 33 | 12.6 | 14 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | 8 | 187 | 15.8 | 353 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | 8 | 187 | 14.7 | 48 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | A | 159 | 8.2 | 175 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | A | 75 | 1.5 | 293 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 75 | 5.7 | 65 |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | A |  | 4.2 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | D | 173 | 47.0 | 48 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | A | 173 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approsch |  | D | 419 | 44.9 | 241 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | D |  | 45.3 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}$ | A | 217 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT SBR | E | 217 | 552 | 147 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | E | 217 | 5.0 | - |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | C |  | 21.6 |  |
| 10 | Castle Rood \& Thome RoadL_eesburg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized | EB | EBL | E | 213 | 67.1 | 259 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 13 | 2.8 | 775 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | C | 123 | 30.8 | 41 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  | 19.3 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | D | 275 | 51.3 | 396 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | D | 1074 | 52.0 | 962 |
|  |  |  |  | WBApproach | D | 1074 | $\frac{50.0}{51.5}$ | 225 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | C | 436 | 31.8 | 12 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | O | 436 | 39.0 | 148 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | D | 436 | 42.9 | 435 |
|  |  |  | NB Approech |  | - |  | 41.7 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}^{\text {SBT }}$ | F | 405 | 135.3 | 111 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | F | 405 | 134.2 74.5 | $\stackrel{96}{158}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | F |  | 108.7 |  |
|  |  |  | EB | SBApproach | O |  | 46.1 |  |
| 11 | Seven Corners CenterL.eesturg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized |  | EBL | E | 161 | 79.8 | 89 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {EBT }}^{\text {EBR }}$ | E | 1200 | 70.9 39.4 | 1235 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach | D | 14 | 38.4 | 19 |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | E | 518 | 77.0 | 94 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | c | 574 | 20.8 | 1417 |
|  |  |  |  | WB Approsch |  | A | 30 | 5.9 | 17 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | c |  | 23.7 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | F | 1088 | 391.5 | 168 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT NBR | F | 1088 1088 | 95.8 725 | 11 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | F |  | 271.2 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | F | 189 | 233.2 | 92 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | A | 189 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | E | 167 | 58.1 | 23 |
|  |  |  |  |  | F |  | 198.2 |  |
| 12 | Patrick Henry DrivelLeesburg Pike (VA 7) |  | SB Approach |  | E |  | 69.8 | 17 |
|  |  | Signalized | EB | EBL | c | 491 | 91.0 | 1201 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | 8 | 24 | 19.9 | 9 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | c |  | 31.9 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | F | 95 | 126.5 | 44 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | F | 1672 | 131.1 | $\frac{1373}{505}$ |
|  |  |  |  | wBApproach | F | 1673 | 104.1 | 505 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | F | 442 | 170.9 <br> 179 | 84 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | F | 456 | 175.2 | 54 |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach | F | 475 | 179.0 | 116 |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | F | 555 | 195.7 | 204 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | F | 555 | 196.9 | 15 |
|  |  |  |  | SB Approsch Overall LOS |  | C | 105 | 32.6 154.9 | 73 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | F |  | 95.9 |  |


| 2045 Scenario 1 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2045 Scenario 1 AM |  |  |  |
| No. | Intersection | Trafic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queue (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & (\mathrm{sec}) \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 13 | Arfington Boulevard service road/Arington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | EB | EBL | C | 1177 | 33.9 | 56 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | 8 | 1177 | 13.5 | 2733 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | 8 | 1177 | 12.8 | 36 |
|  |  |  |  | osch | 8 |  | 13.9 |  |
|  |  |  | ws | WBL | A | 577 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | A | 577 | 8.6 | 2369 |
|  |  |  |  | WBApproach | A | 577 | 8.9 | 128 |
|  |  |  | NB |  | A |  | 8.6 |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | F | 403 | 81.7 | 85 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | F | 403 | 125.7 | 36 |
|  |  |  |  | NBApprosch |  | 8 | 191 | 10.6 | 135 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | D |  | 50.4 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}^{\text {SBT }}$ | F | 99 | $\frac{84.5}{0.0}$ | 21 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | A | 68 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | F |  | 84.5 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | B |  | 14.8 |  |
| 14 | Patrick Henry Dive/AArington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | EB | EBL | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | D | 1612 | 48.8 | 2657 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | D | 1617 | 44.2 | 4 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | D |  | 49.8 |  |
|  |  |  | we | WBL | F | 819 | 108.6 | 61 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | C | 819 | 21.6 | 2292 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | c | 876 | 23.2 | 51 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | C | 377 | 23.8 | 108 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | E | 788 | 782 | 432 |
|  |  |  | NBApprosch ${ }^{\text {N }}$ |  | E | 727 | 77.5 | 176 |
|  |  |  |  |  | E |  | 79.1 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | F | 173 | 99.9 | 75 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | F | 236 | 87.3 | 105 |
|  |  |  |  | SBApproach |  | F | 236 | 83.9 | 27 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | F |  | 89.3 |  |
|  |  |  | EB | Overall LOS | D |  | 42.8 |  |
| 15 | John Marshall DivelPatrick Henry Drive \& Wiliston Dive | Signalized |  | EBL | A | 161 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 161 | 6.2 | 138 |
|  |  |  | A Approach |  | ANA | \#N/A | $\frac{\text { IN/A }}{6.2}$ | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | A | 457 | 9.5 | 320 |
|  |  |  |  | WBApproach |  | 8 | 494 | 17.8 | 358 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  | 13.9 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | ${ }_{\text {NBL }}$ | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | IN/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | NB Approsch |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | 8 | 118 | 18.8 | 94 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | \#NVA | \#N/A | \#N/A | 2N/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBApproach | C | 130 | 29.7 | 36 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | 8 |  | 13.9 |  |
| 16 | John Marshall Drive \& N. Mckinley Road/Wilson Bouleverd | Signalized | EB | EBL | c | 89 | 21.2 | 45 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | C | 434 | 21.9 | 823 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | A | 445 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | C | 253 | 21.9 974 | 95 |
|  |  |  | WB | WBT | c | 263 | 30.9 | 344 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | C | 274 | 26.7 | 60 |
|  |  |  | WBApprosch |  | O |  | 43.0 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | $\xrightarrow[\text { NBL }]{\text { NBT }}$ | E | 741 | 64.1 63.6 | 220 187 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {NBR }}$ | E | 743 | 63.9 | 160 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | E |  | 62.5 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | C | 97 | 34.2 | 57 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | C | 44 | 20.6 | ${ }^{20}$ |
|  |  |  |  | SBApproach | A | 92 | 7.8 | 136 |
|  |  |  |  | Los | D |  | 37.1 |  |
| 17 | Peyton Randolph Drive/Wilson Boulevard | Signalized | EB | ${ }^{\text {EBL }}$ | C | 586 | 30.9 | 13 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {EBT }}^{\text {EBR }}$ | D | 586 594 | 35.5 | 855 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach | C | 594 | $\frac{263}{34.0}$ | 162 |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | c | 99 | 23.4 | 54 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | 8 | 298 | 17.0 | 650 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 335 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | ${ }^{8}$ | 525 | 17.5 | 338 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | A | 525 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approsch |  | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | D |  | 51.2 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}^{\text {SBT }}$ | D | 42 | 51.1 0.0 | 12 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | A | 49 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SB Approach } \\ & \text { Overall LOS } \end{aligned}$ |  | O |  | 51.1 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | c |  | 31.3 |  |



| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2045 Scenario 1 AM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queue (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & (\mathrm{sec}) \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| ${ }^{23}$ | Broad St EB/Arlington Blvd WB | Unsignalized | EB | EBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | nN/A | \#N/A | nN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBApprasch | HN/A | \#NA | HN/ | UN/A |
|  |  |  | we |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | 2N/A | IN/A | PN/A | IN/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | HN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WER | nN/A | \#N/A | nN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | HNVA |  | HN/A |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | IN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approsch |  | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}$ | \#N/A | \#N/A | INVA | IN/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | \#N/A | \#NA | \#N/ | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | 2N/A | \#N/A | 2N/A | MN/A |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | HN/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | Overall Los |  | c |  | 23.1 |  |
| 23 | Broad St EB/ARringtor Blva WB | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | IN/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | \#N/A | IN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | mN/A | \#N/A | IN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/ | IN/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | 2N/A |  | IN/A |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | nN/A | nN/ | MN/ | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | INN/A |
|  |  |  | NB Approsch |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | 2N/A | \#NA | 2N/A | \#NNA |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | INV/A | \#N/A | IN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | c |  | 23.1 |  |
| 25 | Ring Road at Arington Blvd EB | Signalized | EB | ${ }_{\text {EBL }}$ | A | ${ }^{1456}$ | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | D | 1456 | 37.6 | 525 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | D | 1456 | 40.9 | 415 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | D |  | 39.1 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | \#NVA | \#N/A | \#NVA | INN/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | INV/A |
|  |  |  | wB Approach |  | HN/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | HN/ | \#N/A | nN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | c | 207 | 25.5 | 293 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | C | 207 | 30.5 | 49 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | c |  | 28.2 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | IN/A | \#N/A | IN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBApprosch | \#NVA | \#N/ | \#N/A | uN/A |
|  |  |  |  | Los | D |  | 35.6 |  |
| 26 | Ring Road at Alrington Blva WB | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | INVA | \#N/A | INNA | INNA |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | \#N/ | \#NA | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | w | WBL | HN/A | \#N/A | 2N/A | INVA |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | 8 | 132 | 11.0 | 388 |
|  |  |  |  | Weapproach | 8 |  | 11.0 | NTA |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | A | 105 | 8.0 | 293 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | 2N/A | IN/A | PN/A | NN/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBApprosch |  | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NVA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  | 8.0 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}^{\text {SBT }}$ | \#NVA | \#N/ | \#N/A | ITN/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | HNV/A |
|  |  |  | SbApproach |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | A |  | 9.7 |  |
| 27 | Ring Road at E. Broad St | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | 2N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | $\stackrel{\text { a }}{\text { A/V/A }}$ | 158 | 8.4. | 1256 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | HNA | INIA | ENA | MN/A |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | pN/A | nN/A | IN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | C | 601 | 26.4 | 1166 |
|  |  |  |  | wBApproach |  | A | 601 | 2.7 | 30 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | HN/A | \#N/A | HN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approsch ${ }^{\text {N }}$ | \#NV/A | \#N/A | \#NVA | uN/A |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | C | 129 | 26.2 | 33 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/ |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SB Approach } \\ & \hline \text { Overall LOS } \end{aligned}$ |  | c | 129 | 28.9 | 83 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  | 17.4 |  |


| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2045 Scenario 1 AM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queue (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & (\mathrm{sec}) \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 28 | Ring Rood at Arington Blvd EB | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#NV/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | E | 184 | 63.7 | 88 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | D | 184 | 44.6 | 36 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | E |  | 58.2 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | [1N/A | IN/A | EN/A | IN/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | HN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | nN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | HN/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | A | 410 | 2.5 | 163 |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach |  | C | 410 | 20.8 | 455 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  | 16.0 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | HN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | IN/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | 8 | 182 | 13.4 | 278 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | A | 232 | 5.7 | 177 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | A |  | 10.4 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall Los |  | B |  | 18.3 |  |
| 29 | Ring Road at Hillwood Ave | Signalized | EB | EBL | HN/A | MN/A | HN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | HN/A | INN/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | \#N/A | \#NA | \#N/ | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | IN/A |  | 2N/A |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | dN/A | \#N/A | INVA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | \#NVA | \#N/A | \#NA | [1N/A |
|  |  |  | WB Approsch |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | NB | ${ }_{\text {NBL }}^{\text {NBT }}$ | 2N/A | IN/A | \#N/A | INN/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | \%N/A | INNA | IN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | HN/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}$ | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NVA |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | 2N/A | \#N/A | INVA | INVA |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | JNA |  | INA |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | 8 |  | 17.4 |  |
| 30 | Ring Road at Atrington Blvd WB | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBApprosch |  | 2N/A | \#N/A | 2N/A | mN/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | we | WBL | A | 691 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | E | 699 | 66.8 | 241 |
|  |  |  |  | WBApproach | E | 691 | 60.5 | 156 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | A | 35 | 1.4 | 163 |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approsch |  | \#N/A | \#N/ | \#N/A | INIA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  | 1.4 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | 2NVA | 2N/A | 2N/A | INV/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | ${ }_{\text {m/N/A }}$ | 9N/A | 2.4 | 215 |
|  |  |  | SBApproachOverall LOS |  | A |  | 3.5 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | C |  | 34.3 |  |


| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2045 Scenario 1 PM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queue (feet) (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & \text { (sec) } \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 1 | S. Cherry StreeUArfington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | EB | EBL | F | 61 | 106.5 | 12 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | c | 179 | 23.3 | 2817 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | F | 182 | 88.3 | 30 |
|  |  |  | EBApprosch |  | C |  | 24.3 |  |
|  |  |  | ws | WBL | F | 107 | 105.0 | 25 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | C | 1204 | 28.7 | 2735 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | c | 164 | 26.5 | 85 |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | C |  | 29.3 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | F | 131 | 86.4 | 59 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | A | 131 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | NBApgroach ${ }^{\text {NBR }}$ |  | A | 133 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | F |  | 86.4 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}^{\text {SBT }}$ | $\stackrel{F}{\text { F }}$ | 863 | 117.3 | 37 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | F | 863 | 127.4 <br> 8.2 | 11 |
|  |  |  | SbApproach |  | F | 60 | 91.8 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | c |  | 302 |  |
| 2 | S. Cherry Streethillwood Avenue | Signalized | EB | EBL | 8 | 91 | 15.1 | 79 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | 8 | 175 | 13.4 | 281 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | A | 31 | 7.9 | 24 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | 8 |  | 134 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | C | 47 | 20.7 | 17 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | 8 | 152 | 17.3 | 138 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | 8 | 120 | 11.0 | 25 |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | 8 |  | 16.8 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | D | 211 | 43.7 | 159 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | D | 211 | 36.7 | 35 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | A | 255 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | NB Approsch |  | D |  | 42.4 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}^{\text {SBT }}$ | c | 175 | $\frac{20.4}{223}$ | 51 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | C | $\frac{175}{208}$ | $\frac{223}{11.6}$ | $\frac{136}{86}$ |
|  |  |  | SbApproach |  | 8 |  | 18.6 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | c |  | 20.8 |  |
| 3 | S. Cherry StreetE. Broad Street (VA 7) | Signalized | EB | EBL | c | 209 | 22.1 | 10 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 209 | 5.9 | 943 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | A | 215 | 7.5 | 65 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  | 6.2 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | 8 | 353 | 13.4 | 42 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | 8 | 353 | 18.9 | 595 |
|  |  |  |  | WBApproach |  | 8 | 367 | 18.1 | 17 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  | 18.5 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | F | 442 | 89.2 | 39 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | E | 442 | 60.0 70.5 | 46 72 |
|  |  |  | NBADProsch |  | E |  | 72.1 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | E | 465 | 72.6 | 24 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {SBT }}$ | E | 465 | 67.7 | 148 |
|  |  |  |  |  | E | 465 | 71.9 69.6 | 91 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | C |  | 229 |  |
| 6 | South Street \& S. Roosevelt Street/Hillwood Avenue | Signalized | EB | EBL | F | 338 | 118.1 | 87 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | D | 296 | 48.0 | 91 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | E | 329 | 40.9 | 105 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | E |  | 66.9 |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | WB Approsch |  | \#N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | A | 769 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { NBT }}{\text { NBR }}$ | F | 769 781 | 257.3 | 344 |
|  |  |  |  | NBApproach | A | 781 | 0.0 257.3 | 0 |
|  |  |  | SB | S8L | c | 349 | 26.1 | 16 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | 8 | 349 | 17.1 | 287 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | 8 | 247 | 10.9 | 39 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  | 16.8 |  |
|  |  |  | SB Approach |  | $\stackrel{\text { F }}{\text { F/A/ }}$ | HN/A | 116.8 |  |
| 7 | N. Roosevelt Street/E. Broad Street (VA 7) | Signalized | EB | EBT | F | 1674 | 852 | 791 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | F | 1862 | 952 | 42 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | F |  | 85.7 |  |
|  |  |  | ws | WBL | D | 493 | 35.1 | 114 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | 8 | 493 | 17.6 <br> 28 | 585 |
|  |  |  |  | wB Approach | C | 493 | 26.2 | 66 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | E | 389 | 65.1 | 31 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | D | 389 | 36.1 | 10 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {NB Approach }}{ }^{\text {NBR }}$ | E | 389 | 61.8 | 391 |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}$ | E | 253 | 61.4 72.4 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | D | 253 | 35.9 | 181 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | C | 253 | 28.5 | 33 |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SB Approach } \\ & \text { Overall LOS } \end{aligned}$ |  | O |  | 35.1 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | D |  | 54.1 |  |


| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2045 Scenario 1 PM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. | Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queue (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & (\mathrm{sec}) \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 8 | Sleepy Hollow Road/Aspen Lane | Unsignalized | EB | EBL | A | 64 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | nN/A | nN/A | INVA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBCh | 8 | 64 | 13.0 | 30 |
|  |  |  | we | WBL | [1N/A | \#N/A | PN/A | IN/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | nN/A | \#N/A | nN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | NB | oach | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  | NBL | C | 464 | 18.6 | 100 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | B | 406 | 14.4 | 247 |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach | $\stackrel{\text { \#N/A }}{\text { C }}$ | \#N/A | \#N/A | WN/A |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | IN/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | A | 0 | 4.2 | 703 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | A | 0 | 8.0 | 210 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | A |  | 5.1 15.6 |  |
| 9 | Sleepy Hollow Roadicastle Place | Signalized | EB | EBL | A | 0 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | D | 367 | 53.3 | 566 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | E | 367 | 62.4 | 57 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | w | WBL | D | 556 | 36.9 | 423 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT WBR | C | 540 540 | 26.4 49.3 | 327 79 |
|  |  |  | WB Approach NBL |  | c |  | 49.3 33.9 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | E | 256 | 56.0 | 29 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | c | 256 | 32.9 | 41 |
|  |  |  | NB Approsch |  | 8 | 221 | 16.6 | 187 |
|  |  |  |  |  | c |  | 23.6 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | A | 488 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | C | 488 | 323 | 350 |
|  |  |  |  | SBApproach | A | 488 | 0.0 323 | 0 |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | - |  | 38.5 |  |
| 10 | Castle Rood \&Thome RoadL_eesburg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized | EB | EBL | F | 842 | 368.5 | 281 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | E | 841 | 55.9 | 775 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | E | 841 | 64.2 1382 | 13 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | F | 941 | $\underline{97.4}$ | 624 |
|  |  |  | WB | WBT | F | 1439 | 128.6 | 684 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | F | 1439 | 131.3 | 296 |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | F |  | 116.9 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | ${ }_{\text {NBL }}^{\text {NBT }}$ | F | 630 | 107.7 | 60 239 |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approsch |  | D | 630 | 37.7 | 447 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | E |  | 62.1 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | F | 409 | 137.2 | 72 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | $\stackrel{F}{F}$ | 409 | 98.7 <br> 1392 | 195 |
|  |  |  |  |  | F | 409 | 139.2 123.2 |  |
|  |  |  | SB ${ }^{\text {Operall }}$ LOS |  | F |  | 113.1 |  |
| 11 | Seven Corners Centeri.eesburg Pike (VA 7) | Signalized | EB | EBL | E | 124 | 56.5 | 42 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | F | 1419 | 86.7 | 1210 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | E | 23 | 63.3 | 79 |
|  |  |  | EBApprosch |  | F |  | 84.3 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBE | E | 136 | 66.9 | 49 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | C | $\frac{567}{28}$ | 29.5 | 1156 |
|  |  |  | WB Approsch |  | A | 28 | 29.5 | 73 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | F | 1110 | 247.6 | 252 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | F | 1110 | 80.5 | 57 |
|  |  |  |  | NBApproach |  | E | 1110 | 68.7 | 40 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | F |  | 199.8 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}^{\text {SBT }}$ | F | 220 | 175.4 | 75 |
|  |  |  | SB | SBT SBR | E | 219 | 165.5 55.3 | 205 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | F |  | 929 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | E |  | 76.0 |  |
| 12 | Patrick Henry Drivelceesburg Pike (VA7) | Signalized | EB | EBL | F | 548 | 83.0 | 161 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | D | 543 | 39.2 | 1159 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach | D | 22 | 4.3 44.5 | 9 |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | E | 136 | 74.7 | 73 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | E | 1655 | 79.1 | 1031 |
|  |  |  |  | wBApproach |  | c | 1655 | 34.1 | 285 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | E |  | 69,6 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBET | F | 450 | 186 | 150 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | F | 479 | 136.3 | 104 |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | F |  | 121.2 135.3 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}^{\text {SBT }}$ | F | 1682 1682 | 135.3 176.1 | 374 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | E | 256 | 72.5 | 201 |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SB Approach } \\ & \hline \text { Overall LOS } \end{aligned}$ |  | F |  | 116.9 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2045 Scenario 1 PM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. | Intersection | Trafic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queue (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & \text { (sec) } \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 13 | Arfington Boulevard service road//Arington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | EB | EBL | D | 942 | 393 | 42 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | A | 942 | 8.9 | 2277 |
|  |  |  |  | EBash | A | 942 | 9.8 | 71 |
|  |  |  | we | WBL | A | 1498 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | C | 1498 | 32.5 | 2670 |
|  |  |  |  | WER | D | 1498 | 40.5 | 47 |
|  |  |  |  | roach | C |  | 32.6 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | F | 235 | 69.4 | 32 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT NBR | F | 235 | 80.1 | 49 |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach | A | 241 | 9.3 75.9 | 118 |
|  |  |  | SB | S8L | E | 191 | 74.3 | 57 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | E | 191 | 74.9 | 44 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | A | 191 | 0.0 394 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | O |  | 39.4 |  |
| 14 | Patrick Henry Drive/Arfington Boulevard (US 50) | Signalized | EB | LOS EBL | F | 226 | 124.1 | 82 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | F | 1675 | 124.9 | 2116 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | F | 1684 | 131.7 | 165 |
|  |  |  |  | rosch | F |  | 125.3 |  |
|  |  |  | w | WBL | F | 1662 | 169.9 | 146 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | F | 1662 1695 | 101.2 | $\frac{2406}{54}$ |
|  |  |  | WB Approach NBL |  | F |  | 93.8 104.9 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | E | 248 | 76.4 | 130 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBT | E | 407 | 65.4 | 216 |
|  |  |  | NB Approsch |  | D | 409 | 52.6 | 126 |
|  |  |  |  |  | E |  | 65.0 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL SBT | F | 1044 | 188.6 146.0 | 277 313 |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | F | 1043 | 164.9 | 3 |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | F |  | 166.0 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | F |  | 109.3 |  |
| 15 | John Marshall DirivelPatrick Henry Dive \& Willston Divive | Signalized | E8 | EBL | A | 111 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | EET | A | 111 | 9.5 | 103 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | INV/A | MN/A | INVA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {\% }}$ | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | WB | WBT | $B$ | 250 | 14.9 | 186 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | 8 | 317 | 129 | 205 |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | 8 |  | 13.9 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | ${ }_{\text {NBL }}^{\text {NBT }}$ | \#NVA | \#N/A | \#N/A | IIN/A |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approsch ${ }_{\text {NBR }}$ |  | 2N/A | IN/A | IN/A | IN/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | B | 466 | 17.1 | 407 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | INVA | 12NA |
|  |  |  |  | SBApproach | 8 | 478 | 13.8 16.7 | 52 |
|  |  |  | SBepproach |  | 8 |  | 14.8 |  |
| 16 | John Marshall Drive \& N. Mckinley Road/Wilson Boulevard | Signalized | EB | EBL | C | 159 | 23.3 | 107 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | C | 328 | 22.5 | 543 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | 8 | 340 | 14.8 | 48 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | c |  | $\frac{22.1}{59.6}$ |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBE | E | 431 | 59.6 32 | 620 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | C | 371 | 30.6 | 65 |
|  |  |  | WB Approsch |  | D |  | 38.9 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | D | 518 | 51.4 | 124 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | D | 518 | 3393 | $\frac{51}{84}$ |
|  |  |  | NBApproach |  | D |  | 43.9 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | D | 73 | 38.4 | 21 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | C | 164 | 30.2 | 100 |
|  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {c }}$ | 199 | 10.7 204 | 141 |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | C |  | 31.7 |  |
| 17 | Peyton Randolph Drive/Wilson Boulevard | Signalized | EB | EBL | 8 | 517 | 15.7 | 16 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | B | 517 | 18.5 | 645 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | 8 | 525 | 15.5 17.4 | 371 |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | 8 | 94 | 17.4 26.8 | 58 |
|  |  |  | wB | WBT | 0 | 634 | 50.5 | 801 |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | D | 671 | 46.5 | 26 |
|  |  |  | Weapproach |  | D |  | 48.9 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | E | 195 | 72.7 | 68 |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | ${ }_{\text {A }}$ | 117 | 24.0 | 22 |
|  |  |  | NBApproach |  | E |  | 60.8 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | ${ }_{\text {SBL }}$ | D | 113 | 50.1 | 37 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT SBR | C | 113 | 42.3 29.9 | $\stackrel{25}{34}$ |
|  |  |  | SB Approach Overall LOS |  | 0 |  | 40.9 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | c |  | 33.6 |  |

"N/A" represents volumes that are not allowed, or do not exist


| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2045 Scenario 1 PM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queue (feet) (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & \text { (sec) } \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| ${ }^{23}$ | Broad St EB/Arlington Blvd WB | Unsignalized | EB | EBL | \#\#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | nN/A | \#N/A | nN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | BApproach | HN/A | \#N/ | \#N/ | [1N/A |
|  |  |  | we |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | WBL | 2N/A | IN/A | PN/A | IN/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | HN/A | \#N/A | \#NVA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | nN/A | INNA | HN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | HN/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | \#N/A | aN/A | IN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {NB Appraach }}{ }^{\text {NBR }}$ |  | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | N/A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | HN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | IN/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | \#N/A | \#NA | \#N/ | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBApproach |  | 2N/A | \#N/A | 2N/A | MN/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | HN/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | EB | Overall LOS | D |  | 39.5 |  |
| 23 | Broad St EB/ARAlington Blva WB | Signalized |  | EBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | IN/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | \#N/A | IN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | mN/A | \#N/A | IN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/ | IN/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | WB Approach |  | 2N/A |  | IN/A |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | \#N/A | nN/A | mN/ | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | INN/A |
|  |  |  | NB Approsch |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | 2N/A | \#N/A | 2N/A | \#NNA |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | INVA | \#N/A | INVA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | EB | SB Approach | D |  | 39.5 |  |
| 25 | Ring Road at Ariington Blvd EB | Signalized |  | ${ }_{\text {EBL }}$ | A | 1662 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | F | 1662 | 80.1 | 447 |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | E | 1662 | 728 | 621 |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | EN/A | \#N/A | 75.9 | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#N/A | IN/A | IN/A | IN/A |
|  |  |  |  | WER | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | nN/A |  | INVA |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | HN/A | INVA |
|  |  |  |  | NBT NBR | C | 280 | 27.3 25.2 | 327 31 |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approsch | C | 280 | 25.2 27.1 | 31 |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | pN/A | \#N/A | IN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | HN/A | INNA |
|  |  |  |  |  | \#N/A | HN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | Overall Los |  | EN |  | $\frac{\text { UN/A }}{63.6}$ |  |
| 26 | Ring Roed at Arington Blvd WB | Signalized | EB | EBL | pN/A | \#N/A | IN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | INIA |
|  |  |  |  | EBApproach |  | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2N/A |  | 2N/A |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | HN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | HN/A | PN/A |
|  |  |  | WB Approsch |  | 8 |  | 13.1 |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | A | 197 | 2.4 | 327 |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | \#NVA | \#N/A | \#N/A | INN/ |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach | \#N/A | nN/A | INV/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | an/A | 2NA | 2N/A | MN/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | dN/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  | LOS | 8 |  | 10.2 |  |
| 27 | Ring Road at E. Broad St | Signalized | EB | ${ }_{\text {EBL }}^{\text {EBT }}$ | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NV/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | E |  | 67.0 |  |
|  |  |  | wB | WBL | \#NVA | \#NVA | \#N/A | INVA |
|  |  |  |  | WBT |  | 477 | 13.5 | 699 |
|  |  |  |  | wB Approach | 8 | 477 | 11.5 13.4 | 39 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | INI/A | \#N/A | nN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | \#N/A | \#NA | \#N/A | IN/A |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | $\stackrel{\text { 2N/A }}{\text { E }}$ | 128 | 2N/A 63.7 | 73 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {SBT }}$ | JN/A | nN/A | INVA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | D | 128 | 39.0 512 | 69 |
|  |  |  | SB Approach Overall LOS |  | D |  | 51.2 |  |


| Intersection Information |  |  |  |  | 2045 Scenario 1 PM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Intersection | Traffic Control | Approach | Movement | LOS | Max Queue (feet) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & (\mathrm{sec}) \end{aligned}$ | Volumes |
| 28 | Ring Road at Arrington Blvd EB | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#\#N/A | \#N/A | \#NVA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | D | 129 | 41.3 | 83 |
|  |  |  |  | EBApprosch |  | A | 129 | 0.0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 41.3 |  |
|  |  |  | w | WBL | [1N/A | IN/A | 2N/A | IN/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NVA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | nN/A | INIA | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | WBApproach |  | HN/A |  | HN/A |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | c | 441 | 20.2 | 173 |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approach |  | C | 441 | 20.7 | 511 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | c |  | 20.5 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | S8L | A | 186 | 8.9 | 278 |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | A | 88 | 2.4 | 333 |
|  |  |  |  | SBApproach |  | 2N/A | IN/A | 2N/A | NN/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  | 5.4 |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | B |  | 15.0 |  |
| 29 | Ring Road at Hillwood Ave | Signalized | EB | EBL | HN/A | HN/A | HIN/ | HN/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | \#NVA | HNA | HN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | \#N/A | \#NA | \#N/ | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | EBApproach |  | IN/A |  | 2N/A |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | dN/A | IN/A | IN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | WBR | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | WBApprosch |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | 2N/A | IN/A | IN/A | INNA |
|  |  |  |  | NBR | \%N/A | WNA | TN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | NB Approach |  | HN/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SBL | HN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBT | 2N/A | IN/A | INVA | INVA |
|  |  |  |  | SBR | HN/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | SBApproach |  | JNA |  | MN/ |  |
|  |  |  | Overall LOS |  | D |  | 46.6 |  |
| 30 | Ring Road at Arrington Blvd WB | Signalized | EB | EBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | HN/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | EBT | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | INVA |
|  |  |  |  | EBR | 2N/A | INA | 2N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  | EBApprosch |  | \#N/A |  | \#N/A |  |
|  |  |  | WB | WBL | D | 933 | 51.1 | 37 |
|  |  |  |  | WBT | D | 933 | 53.7 | 319 |
|  |  |  |  | WBApproach | D | 933 | 47.3 | 199 |
|  |  |  | NB | NBL | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  | NBT | 8 | 133 | 12.1 | 174 |
|  |  |  |  | NB Approsch |  | \#N/A | \#N/A | \#NVA | \#N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  | 12.1 |  |
|  |  |  | SB | SSL | PN/A | IPN/ | PN/A | गN/A |
|  |  |  |  | SBT SBR | 8 | 307 | 17.9 | 295 |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SB Approach } \\ & \hline \text { Overall LOS } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | 8 |  | 17.9 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 35.0 |  |



## Public Engagement

## PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT OVERVIEW

From February 2021 to November 2022, the project team conducted public outreach in English, Spanish, and Vietnamese to solicit public input on the findings of the Seven Corners Phasing Study. Public outreach focused on presenting analysis results to the public and asking about concerns regarding the phasing of the Seven Corners improvements.

Public outreach was held in three phases. The first phase took place in February 2021, when separate virtual meetings were held in English (February 3, 2021) and Spanish (February 4, 2021). Due to limited attendance of the virtual Spanish language meeting, it was determined that future efforts to engage the Spanish speaking community should occur in person. The second phase included in-person pop-up meetings (November 13, 2021) with English, Spanish, and Vietnamese speaking staff as well as two English language virtual meetings (November 16, 2021, and November 17, 2021). The third phase included three virtual meetings held in English (November 9, 2022, November 10, 2022, and November 16, 2022).

The first two phases of public outreach each included a survey. The results of each survey are summarized below.

## PUBLIC MEETING 1: FEBRUARY 3, 2021

## Question 1: When do you experience delays in traffic? (Select all that apply.)

Figure F-1: Time Periods of Travel Delay


## Question 2: Please select up to three (3) intersections where you experience high traffic delays.

Figure F-2: Intersections with High Traffic Delays


## Question 4: What are the top 5 locations you want to walk or bike to?

Figure F-3: Top 5 Walking \& Biking Destinations


## Question 5: Which roads do you take to get to the destinations you listed?

Figure F-4: Routes Taken to Preferred Destinations


## Question 6: Do you have any other comments or suggestions?

Figure F-5: Top Categories of Concern


## Question 7: What is your gender?

Figure F-6: Gender


## Question 8: What is your age?

Figure F-7: Age


## Question 9: What is your ethnicity?

Figure F-8: Race \& Ethnicity


## Question 10: What is your primary language spoken at home (optional)?

Figure F-9: Primary Language Spoken at Home


## PUBLIC MEETING 2: NOVEMBER 13, 2021

Questions 1-3: Select your first/second/third most critical bicycle and pedestrian facility to build.

Figure F-10: Most Critical Bicycle and Pedestrian Facility to Build


Question 4: Please use this space to provide any additional comments for the study team.

Figure F-11: Top Areas of Concern


## Public Comments from Public Meeting 2

The following table summarizes the frequency with which participants commented on a specific facility or issue in the open-ended response section of Public Meeting 2.
Table F-1: Comment Summary Table

| Comment Code (Minor Category) | Type (Major Category) | Definition - The commenter states that this service or facility... | Regarding | Frequency Count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No build | No build | ...is not needed and should not be built. | Ring Road, overall project, bicycle facilities, road diets, roundabouts | 18 |
| Crosswalks between business and shopping centers requested | Safety | ...should provide safe crosswalks between shopping and business centers | Wilson Blvd., Eden Center | 16 |
| Project affirmed | Affirmation of project recommendations | ...should be constructed as the study proposes. | Ring Road, Ring Road \& Castle Rd., Scenario 2, bike/ped network, public transit | 12 |
| Design improvements needed | Infrastructure design | ...needs improvements to the design and/or the design specifics (such as lane widths, explanation of terms, and video voice-overs) are unclear. | Ring Road, Wilson Road roundabout, bike/ped network | 10 |
| Traffic calming and enforcement around crosswalks needed | Safety | ...should include traffic calming and enforcement measures around crosswalks. Drivers do not stop at existing crosswalks. | Bike/ped network, Wilson Blvd. \& John Marshall Dr., Route 7, East Falls Church Metro | 7 |
| More crosswalks or raised circular or linear pedestrian bridges needed | Safety | ...should add additional crosswalks or raised circular or linear pedestrian bridges to the study area. | Overall project, Patrick Henry \& Route 50 | 7 |
| General walkability improvements are needed | Bike/ped network | ...should include more improvements for walkability overall. | Overall project, Route 7 | 7 |
| Project implementation delays and/or cost overruns | Project timeline communication | ...has not been built, only planned, between the last 10-40 years and/or will result in cost overruns. | Overall project | 5 |
| Public outreach process lacking | Public outreach process | ...needs more thorough stakeholder outreach, with an explanation of how public comments informed project recommendations | Ring Road, overall project, stakeholders in Sleepy Hollow, Ravenwood, and Lake Barcroft, outreach and coordination with the City of Falls Church | 5 |
| Project implementation timeline unclear | Project timeline communication | ...does not clearly communicate the timeline from construction to implementation. | Overall project | 5 |
| Turning movements safety and congestion improvements needed | Safety | ...should improve the safety of turning movements and allow right turns to prevent queuing at AM peak. | Wilson Blvd. onto E. Broad St., Wilson \& Route 50, Ring Road, Sleepy Hollow NB; Patrick Henry \& Arlington Blvd. | 5 |
| Level of Service (LOS) improvements inadequate | Traffic delays | ...will not improve traffic congestion in terms of level of service (LOS) to an acceptable level. | Route 7 \& Castle Road, Sleepy Hollow Rd. \& \& Castle Pl., South side of Rte. 50, Nicholson Street \& Sleepy Hollow | 5 |
| Safe routes for children needed | Safety | ...should include safe routes and crossings for children around schools, daycare centers, recreational facilities etc. | Bike/ped network, Wilson Blvd., Upper Baileys Elementary School | 4 |

## Comment Code (Minor Category)

Cut-through traffic observed

More bicycle lanes are needed
Concern over eminent domain needed for construction.

Concern regarding
unpredictable bicycle-vehicular conflicts, illegal bicyclist
behavior, and/or low bicycle use in area

Regional bike/ped. connectivity needed

Sidewalk deficiencies identified
Speeding traffic concern
Redundant messaging

Alignment change requested roundabouts at intersections

Alternative access roads and/or on/off ramps needed in case of accidents, congestion or emergencies

More sidewalks are needed
Queuing between signals anticipated

Concern regarding the proposed lane additions to the Ring Road as AADT has not increased since 2018 and/or to not induce demand

Alignment change connecting Sleepy Hollow Road and Wilson Blvd.

Environmental impacts of proposed alternatives needed

| Type (Major Category) | Definition - The commenter states that this service or facility... |
| :---: | :---: |
| Cut-through traffic | ...should mitigate cut-through traffic for drivers bypassing Seven Corners. |
| Safety | ...should include more bicycle lanes. |
| No build | ...does not provide enough benefits to justify the houses and/or businesses that will be lost to eminent domain. Concern over eminent domain needed for bike/ped construction. |
| No build | ...does not need bicycle facilities, nor mitigate bicycle conflicts, illegal bicyclist behavior and/or account for bicycle mode share. |
| Regional connectivity | ...should be designed to clearly connect and communicate the regional network of shared-use paths, cycle tracks and bike lanes |
| Safety | ...should mitigate deficiencies in the sidewalk quality. |
| Safety | ...should mitigate speeding traffic |
| Public outreach process | ...includes messaging that has already been communicated over the last $10-40$ years. |
| Realignment | ...should implement roundabouts at intersections to improve traffic flow. |
| Regional connectivity | ...should include alternative access roads and/or on/off ramps which drivers can in case of emergencies, congestion or accidents. |
| Safety | ...should include more sidewalks. |
| Traffic delays | ...will cause significant traffic delays due to the number of signals proposed. |
| No build | ...should not expand the Ring Road to five lanes as there has not been an increase in AADT to warrant this capacity expansion since 2018 and/or to not induce demand. |
| Realignment | ...should be realigned to connect Sleepy Hollow Road and Wilson Boulevard together. |
| Environmental Impact | ...should document and communicate environmental impacts, namely noise and pollution, of each alternative. |


| Regarding | Frequency Count |
| :---: | :---: |
| Peyton Randolph Dr., Sleepy Hollow Rd. \& \& Castle Pl., Ring Road \& Castle Rd. | 4 |
| Overall project, Willston Loop | 4 |
| Overall project, Bike/ped network | 4 |
| Overall project | 4 |
| Bike/ped network, Sleepy Hollow Road | 4 |
| Overall project | 4 |
| Eden Center | 3 |
| Overall project | 3 |
| Overall project, Wilson Blvd. | 3 |
| Overall project | 3 |
| Overall project, Patrick Henry \& Wilson Blvd. | 3 |
| Ring Road, South Crossing \& Arlington Blvd. | 2 |
| Bike/ped network, Route 7 | 2 |
| Sleepy Hollow Road, Wilson Boulevard | 2 |
| Environmental Impact, South side of Route 50 | 2 |


| Comment Code (Minor Category) | Type (Major Category) | Definition - The commenter states that this service or facility... | Regarding | Frequency Count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gaps in proposed bike/ped. network identified | Regional connectivity | ...should fill the gaps in the proposed bike/ped network, in particular around Route 7. | Bike/ped network, Route 7, Wilson Blvd. | 2 |
| Improved wayfinding signs needed | Urban Design | ...should include clear, consistent and consolidated wayfinding signs for all road users. | Overall project | 2 |
| Alignment change over Rte. 50 requested | Realignment | ...should be realigned as an overpass above Route 50. | Ring Road, Patrick Henry \& Route 50 | 2 |
| Concern about tolls on Ring Road | Traffic delays | ...should address if tolls will be added to the Ring Road. | Ring Road | 2 |
| Alignment change under Rte. 50 requested | Realignment | ...should be realigned under Route 50 east of the interchange. | Ring Road | 1 |
| Spanish translation requested | Public Meeting \#2 | ...should offer Spanish translation services for the public meetings. | Overall project | 1 |
| Alignment change to widen Route 50, keep Route 7 atgrade, create a convex ramp at Wilson Blvd. and Route 7, and create a roundabout | Realignment | ...should be realigned to widen Route 50, keep Route 7 at-grade, create a convex ramp at Wilson Blvd. and Route 7, and create a roundabout. | Route 50, Route 7, roundabout | 1 |
| Alignment change at Sleepy Hollow \& Castle Place requested | Realignment | ...should be realigned to intersect Sleepy Hollow \& Route 7 (or an adjacent intersection) instead of at Sleepy Hollow \& Castle Place to mitigate congestion, noise and pollution. | Ring Road, Sleepy Hollow \& Castle Place | 1 |
| Public transit impacts on congestion, noise and pollution | Traffic delays, Environmental Impact | ...should quantify and mitigate the impact of public transit on congestion, noise and pollution. | Public Transit | 1 |
| More bus stops are needed | Regional connectivity | ...should include more bus stops. | Route 50 | 1 |
| Potholes are common | Safety | ...should mitigate potholes that are common in the area. | Overall project | 1 |
| Pedestrian-priority or pedestrian-only street networks should be created using the side streets, with cars allowed on the major arterials only. | Safety | ...should create a network of pedestrian-priority or pedestrian-only streets with cars allowed on the major arterials only to improve walkability. | Bike/ped network | 1 |
| Greenbelt trail through cul-desacs requested | Safety | ...should create a greenbelt trail through cul-de-sacs for bicyclists and pedestrians, especially around schools. | Bike/ped network, Shadeland cul-de-sac | 1 |
| Pedestrian-scale lighting needed | Safety | ...should include pedestrian-scale lighting as it currently does not feel safe to walk at night. | Overall project | 1 |
| Project prioritization requested | Traffic delays | ...should prioritize improvements to Wilson Blvd. \& E. Broad St. (Route 7) and the eastern Ring Road to mitigate traffic congestion. | Wilson Blvd. \& E. Broad St. (Route 7), Ring Road | 1 |
| Plan review documentation needed | Plan Review | ...should document and communicate plan review of relevant alternatives previously studied in the county. | Ring Road | 1 |


| Comment Code (Minor Category) | Type (Major Category) | Definition - The commenter states that this service or facility... | Regarding | Frequency Count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land use should support carnivals and festivals | Urban Design | ...should support land uses that can accommodate carnivals and festivals | Overall project | 1 |
| More green spaces are needed | Urban Design | ...should include more green spaces. | Overall project | 1 |
| Lane widths need to be wide enough to accommodate vehicular traffic. | Infrastructure design | ...should include lane widths wide enough to accommodate vehicular traffic. | Overall project | 1 |
| General public transit and bicycle improvements are needed | Bike/ped network | ...should include more improvements to the bicycle and transit network. | Overall project, public transit | 1 |
| Concern over future land use density will induce more vehicular traffic from housing and commercial uses | Traffic delays, Environmental Impact | ...will cause significant traffic delays due to policies promoting land use residential and commercial density. | Overall project | 1 |

## PUBLIC MEETING 3: NOVEMBER 9, 2022

The third phase of public involvement included three virtual meetings held in English (November 9, 2022, November 10, 2022, and November 16, 2022). Participants were able to provide open-ended responses to three questions following the meeting presentation. The first question asked for any comments on the proposed phasing of the project. The second question asked whether any additional facilities should be incorporated besides those that were already included in the conceptual design. The third question asked for any other comments regarding the project. All public responses to these questions are listed below.

## Question 1: Do you have any comments regarding the proposed phasing of the project?

- I would tunnel us 50 under the area
- Start with Phase 3 first, the central interchange, since this is the area causing the delays. The other areas are adding extra forecasted capacity, but aren't addressing the root of the problem. Starting with Phase 3 first will give immediate attention to the intersection so that it does not have multiple roads meeting in one place. Afterwards, traffic could flow through the intersection more smoothly and cause fewer delays. In addition, this would also allow the DOT to assess traffic flow before constructing the ring road around Seven Corners.
- Phase 2 must be done at the same time as Phase 1 m , or there will be a massive backup.
- Phasing makes sense
- I believe this is the correct order for phasing. It is somewhat disappointing that this is a $20+$ year project, I wish it could happen sooner! How will property alongside Castle Rd/PI be acquired for this expansion?
- Why call it the "Ring Road" when it's not ring-shaped? I propose "Crescent Road" or "The Crossway." Better branding.
- It is long overdue. It is such a dangerous mess there.
- This is just more of the same "Let's build more capacity for drivers while throwing a bone to the multi modal people". Frankly, this looks terrible. It will be loud, dirty, and miserable for people who aren't using cars to get around. This money would be better spent in other ways. People are leaving the county because traffic is terrible, and adding more capacity will only encourage more driving. We've been doing this for decades and it just creates more traffic.

Question 2: Are there facilities (e.g. crosswalks or sidewalks) that should be added or modified for a particular segment(s) of the study?

- 50 if tunneled could be a surface linear Park provided a tunnel is built
- It's not clear to me whether or not Route 7 will contain bicycling and pedestrian facilities. If not, there should be a comfortable passageway (shared use path, cycle track) that runs adjacent along Route 7. Having the planned bicycling facilities along the ring road portion of the plans is nice to see, however, if they are the only bike facilities then it's lacking in connectivity and it send cycling traffic out of the way to traverse Route 7. Ideally, bicycling traffic should be able to easily travel from Bailey's Crossroads to Tysons Corner.
- There is mention of "high-quality" bike and pedestrian facilities yet this plan involves adding more roads to an auto-centric labyrinth. Anybody who actually relies on biking/walking as a mode of transportation knows how dangerous and unpleasant it is to commute through these types of landscapes. Bike lanes and sidewalks need to be protected with actual barriers (concrete strips, street trees, etc) and not painted lines that drivers can easily drive over.
- Yes. I am a resident of Bailey's Crossroads and it would be great to ride my bicycle to Seven Corners but there is no safe/viable way for me to do so along Leesburg Pike. I would love to see the separated bike lanes \& sidewalks extend down Rt7 to the intersection at Columbia Pike. Rt7 has the width to accommodate this and it is a heavily traveled pedestrian route.
- I understand the stated rationale for having the cycle track on the inside only; however, the mockups show very poor treatment of the bikeways at the intersections appearing to dump them into the same space as pedestrians would be waiting to cross. I am concerned that this design will increase conflicts
with pedestrians and reduce visibility of bikes at the intersection in addition to adding unpredictability by having bike traffic from both directions crossing against turning traffic. I would also prioritize human movement over theoretical traffic volume. This is an area with many shops and businesses and should be meant for people and not traffic flow as the primary concern. While the infrastructure is currently not good for pedestrians, continuous sidewalks and limited traffic lanes with separated bike lanes should be the goal. Cars can easily drive a slightly longer distance, but pedestrians should not be expected to walk longer to get to the commerce in the area.
- Pedestrian walkways over Wilson Blvd.
- No additional capacity for cars should be added in this project.


## Question 3: Do you have any additional comments regarding the project?

- If other cities can tunnel the main traffic it can be done
- Seven Corners is very difficult to navigate. I have navigated the area as a bus rider and a driver, but I would not attempt to navigate it as a cyclist or pedestrian given its complexity and lack of safety. In fact, Seven Corners is listed as one of the top 60 fatality hotspots in the country
(https://www.jtlu.org/index.php/jtlu/article/view/1825). As a result, I appreciate that there is an effort to address the roadway in this area. Unfortunately, the attention being given to Seven Corners is primarily to alleviate traffic congestion by focusing on the level of service for vehicles instead of taking the opportunity to evaluate how the entire area can be redesigned for safety and livability for people outside of vehicles. Route 7 will be widened, Route 50 will be widened and a ring road will be added. None of these additions serves people using public transportation or active transportation well; they are meant to move vehicles. (Even the BRT mentioned in the comp plan along Route 7 is not certain; but, will likely be a vehicle lane that will be impossible to turn into a bus route after the fact.) This project serves as an opportunity to fix a problem intersection and to make an area more liveable. Instead, it's being used to justify building a ring road and additional road widenings at great financial expense. My suggestions are: 1) Fix the central intersection first. 2) No additional lanes for personal vehicles along Route 7. 3) If BRT lanes are planned along Route 7, then they should be BRT only from the day the lanes are opened. It will be publicly unpopular to turn vehicle lanes into BRT if they are used for cars initially. 4) No additional lanes for Route 50. 5) No ring road.
- FCDOT says that traffic flow at Castle Road and Route 7 will be a "failure" (the rating would degrade from a "D" to an "F," from the intersection to Patrick Henry Dr.). This issue was raised during the Feb. 2021, the Nov. 2021, and the Nov. 2022 meetings, and FCDOT has made no changes to their original vehicle traffic proposal. Residents in the multiple communities off Sleepy Hollow Road, and there must be hundreds of families here, who commute to Arlington or D.C. will have to deal with the to-bedegraded "failure" intersection on their way to work and then back home. It seems that FCDOT's proposal improves transient traffic by asking residents to bear the burden. It cannot be in the County's interest to "design to/for failure," as years of follow-on meetings, adjustments, and renovations will have to be made at additional expense and inconvenience.
- Overall just disappointed that this area already suffers from traffic issues and the solution is to build more roads. Overbuilding car infrastructure rarely creates long-term solutions. It just induced demand for residents to use cars and only cars to commute. I hope the future of Fairfax county involves a more wholistic, non auto-centric approach to transportation design.
- I am a resident near Bailey's that works in Tyson's corner. I currently drive to my office but if there was a fast/reliable bus along Rt7, I would absolutely use that to commute to work.
- Fewer car lanes should be goal. They are expensive and dangerous. Yes to bus lanes, yes to pedestrian improvements and bike infrastructure. Pedestrians and bikes should be the priority and cars accommodated as best as they can after safe human routes are created. You will not get a modal shift without prioritizing the other modes more.
- What will happen to the businesses that operate with the improvement area? As an affected business owner, I would welcome the chance to vacate my lease to see the area improve. My business is negatively affected by the dangers. I hear from people everyday that they don't like to drive over near my business.
- If the county does nothing to get people out of their cars, begins to allow people to live closer to where they shop and work, improves transit, and makes bicycling and walking more pleasant and keeps throwing money at and asking the DOT to solve problems that it created, this project will only create more traffic. Let's take a look in five years and see.
- The Ring Road appears to, in fact, be of a size and scale that will not be pedestrian or communityfriendly nor contribute to "placemaking". Instead, it seems huge and wide paved areas will make the area more vehicle-centric, contributing to pollution and stormwater runoff and making the area much less appealing for residential, retail and commercial activity to be nearby.
- The plan seems to be based on an approximately 10-year old foundation. Have new transportation models been considered, and how have revised transportation needs - such as influenced by the move to telework, etc. - affected the design?
What will the stormwater runoff impact be on neighboring communities? It seems this and other community and environmental impacts should be addressed before going forward with any plan to design and build the Ring Road.
- With the City of Falls Church choosing not to participate, the Ring Road will not be a full "ring". What impact will that have on the redesign's effectiveness and efficiency? As I understood it from an online meeting, the City does not want to interfere with the 24 Hour Fitness parking lot.
- However, Fairfax County finds it acceptable to destroy many existing properties. This is a huge disparity that also should be explained.
- It is not clear that outreach has reached the community in any meaningful way. I participated in two public (online) meetings, and participation in those two might have totaled 50 people. What goals does the County have on the level of awareness and participation by area residents and business?
- It seems that intermediate measures could and should be taken before proceeding with the Ring Road. These include markings to deter "blocking the box", prohibiting panhandling, as panhandlers slow traffic by being in the road or distracting drivers while on the median, and extending the "green" signal on the ramp from east-bound Route 50, which exits to Wilson Blvd. and the 7C intersection.
- Thank you for seeking to improve the intersection while advancing the well-being of the neighboring community.
- I think the ring road will be underused by drivers, even though it's an improvement. People like to walk and travel the shortest distance between two points. It's both due to instinct and conscious preference. For personal example, as a new member of Planet Fitness (PF) on Wilson Blvd., I looked at my driving options from the Lee Hwy and West St. intersection where I live. I analyzed routes I could take. I decided on Hillwood east, left on South St., right on E. Broad St. on through the interchange and left on Wilson, then a right into the (PF) shopping center. Thus I avoid the stress and near impossibility of success if I take 50 E up the entrance ramp to Broad St./Route 7 and try to move several lanes over to take a left on Wilson.
- On a side note, if I were a resident of the pricey neighborhood of Hillwood, I'd prefer if the street were cutoff from Seven Corners to prevent through traffic. They'd still have several ways to access their homes from Broad St. or 50. As for myself, I'd have to drive a different route to my gym, but I would totally understand. You may want to survey those residents.
- Having just read some of the recent Seven Corners Phasing Study information, am I correct in understanding that signiticant changes have been made to the design from what was communicated in the previous Community Information presentations? In particular in those earlier meetings Phase Three included a direct connection between Sleepy Hollow Road and Wilson Boulevard. I spoke with FCDOT staff after one of the Community Meetings and was specifically told that there would be a direct connection between Sleepy Hollow Road and Wilson Boulevard. That connection is now apparently removed. How can this significant change be made in the design without public participation and comment?
- Regarding the section titled "Why is Wilson Boulevard not connected to Sleepy Hollow in future phases." The explanation given certainly does not address the impact of this change on traffic
on Sleepy Hollow Road. How many "multiple turns" will Sleepy Hollow traffic now be required to make to "traverse the area?"
- 1) Are there written comments opposing the access to Hillwood and Broad Streets from the City of Falls Church? 2) If I understand correctly, the total right-of-way width proposed is 86 feet? Does the access from 50 access roads require a new bridge over Rte 50/Arlington Blvd.? The existing bridge width is my guess only 30 ' wide. 4) How do trees get planted on bridges? 5) How will the redevelopment of Pistones/Grand Mart (with 450 du in 8 story blogs) impact the Phase 1 plans? Will the redevelopment contribute to the implementation of the Ring Road? The site was listed in the SSPA 2022-23. 6) Can the November 30 Comments deadline be extended for a couple of weeks given the difficulty of accessing previous "public mtgs"?
- Can you please help with a response to a comment we received on the Seven Corners Facebook post. I assume he wants to know how this proposed plan will impact access to the Eden Center.
- Thank you for the opportunity to provide feedback on the Seven Corners traffic improvements being studied for phase-in. Our community has been eagerly anticipating the planned improvements since our participation in the Seven Corners comprehensive planning workgroup. We are the Sleepy Hollow Manor community, a community of over 250 homes on the south east corner of Seven Corners generally encompassing Hazelton, Shadeland, Valley, Carolyn, Faber, Creswell, Lomar, and Eppard. The primary routes of access for our neighborhood are via either Sleepy Hollow Rd, which has turn restrictions that limit access (but also limit cut through traffic), and Castle Rd and the Rt 7/Castle Rd intersection. Current Conditions of Castle Rd Intersection Below are some problem areas of the current intersection that we feel were not adequately addressed in your analysis. INTERSECTION DELAYS: During the morning, turning LEFT/westbound onto Rt 7 can take multiple light cycles due to traffic backups on Rt 7 and backups at the light that already exist due to traffic coming from Sleepy Hollow Rd. The light does not appear synchronized with the seven corners intersection light, which leads to multiple light cycles where no turns can be made at all. While your analysis shows the delay at this intersection can be around 55-60 seconds, our experience from traversing this intersection daily is that this intersection often leads to delays in excess of 5-10 minutes. INTERSECTION SAFETY: At all times of the day the intersection is dangerous because (1) it does not afford dedicated turn time (no turn signal) from Castle Rd and Thorne Rd, (2) there is no dedicated turn lane on the Thorne Rd Side, (3) the mixed straight/turn traffic in combination with the slope of the road. Cars turning left from the Thorne Rd side often stop in the middle of the intersection to wait for oncoming traffic to clear, with drivers behind them swerving around them to the right in order to go straight onto Castle Rd - and subsequently directly into the path of drivers turning left from Castle Rd onto Westbound Rt 7. Said swerving cars do not become visible until last minute which has led to accidents and numerous near misses. Phasing Concerns While we recognize that none of the phasing options presented are final, we noted that one phasing option would have a devastating impact to the Castle Rd intersection. On the slide titled "Next Steps", there is a option on the left that is just "Western Side Ring Rd" that only includes the ring road between Hillwood and $R t 7$. This plan would appear to create a flow that directs Rt 50 traffic heading towards Wilson onto the ring road, and then forces them to turn LEFT at Rt 7 to make a right onto Wilson. This flow would result in combining both major morning traffic flows into a major chokepoint that already has identified delay and safety concerns. Conclusion and Requests While we are very much in favor of the overall improvements identified in the Seven Corners Comprehensive Plan, we are against any phased implementation plan that would further deteriorate the Castle Rd intersection beyond its already poor state, and in general ensure that phased implementation at least improve or be neutral in traffic flow impact. Specifically, we request that at no time the improvements leave any part of the Seven Corners interchange in a worse place than it already is while waiting for further phases to be funded. Furthermore, we encourage the county to work with VDOT to identify potential Castle $\mathrm{Pl} / \mathrm{Th}$ orne Rd intersection and signaling improvements that may be able to be realized prior to major improvements being constructed. Many in our neighborhood see signal improvements - including the addition of a dedicated turn onto Rt 7 from Castle/Thorne, as one such method that could be achieved prior to commencement of construction.


Appendix G
Layouts

## Layouts

Figure G-1: 2030 Scenario 1 Conceptual Design (Ring Road from Broad Street to Route 7)


[^3]Figure G-2: 2030 Scenario 2 Conceptual Design (Ring Road from Broad Street to Route 50 on the East)


Figure G-3: 2030 Scenario 3 Conceptual Design (Ring Road from Broad Street to Route 50 on the East and Reconfigured Central Interchange aligning Wilson Boulevard with Sleepy Hollow Road)


[^4]Figure G-4: 2030 Scenario 4 Conceptual Design (Ring Road from Route 50 on the West to Route 7)


Figure G-5: 2030 Scenario 4B Conceptual Design (Two-lane divided Ring Road from Route 50 on the West to Route 7)


Figure G-6: 2030 Scenario 5 Conceptual Design (Ring Road from Route 50 on the West to Route 50 on the East)
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Figure G-7: 2030 Scenario 6 Conceptual Design (Ring Road from Route 50 on the West to Route 7 and Reconfigured Central Interchange aligning Wilson Boulevard with Route 50 Service Roads)


[^5]Figure G-8: 2045 Baseline Conceptual Design (Ring Road from Route 50 on the West to Route 50 on the East and Reconfigured Central Interchange aligning Wilson Boulevard with Route 50 Service Roads)


[^6]Figure G-9: 2045 Scenario 1 (Ring Road from Route 50 on the West to Wilson Boulevard and Reconfigured Central Interchange aligning Wilson Boulevard with Route 50 Service Roads)
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Appendix H Visualizations

## Visualizations

Figure H-1: Existing Study Area (Looking North from South)


Figure H-2: Phase 1—New Ring Road between Route 50 (Arlington Boulevard) and Route 7 (Leesburg Pike) (looking North from South)


Figure H-3: Phase 2—Extension of Ring Road from Route 7 (Leesburg Pike) to Route 50 (Arlington Boulevard) (Looking North from South)


Figure H-4: Phase 3—Reconfiguration of the Central Interchange (Looking North from South)


Figure H-5: Phase 4-Extension of Ring Road from Route 50 (Arlington Boulevard) to Wilson Boulevard (Looking North from South)


Figure H-6: Detailed View—Reconfiguration of the Central Interchange (Looking North from South)


Figure H-7: Reconfiguration of the Central Interchange (Looking Southeast from Northwest)


Figure H-8: Detailed View—Reconfiguration of Route 7 (Leesburg Pike) and Wilson Boulevard Intersection (Looking Southeast from Northwest)


Figure H-9: Detailed View—Route 7 (Leesburg Pike) and Ring Road intersection (Looking Northwest from Southeast)


Figure H-10: Detailed View—Route 7 (Leesburg Pike) and Ring Road intersection (Looking Northeast from Southwest)


Figure H-11: Detailed View—Ring Road Bridge over Route 50 (Arlington Boulevard) (Looking Northeast from Southwest)



[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ StreetLight data uses anonymized location records from smart phones and navigation devices in connected cars and trucks to show travel patterns and order of magnitude travel demand. INRIX data are gathered vehicles and show vehicle speed.

[^1]:    2 http://www.virginiadot.org/business/resources/TOSAM.pdf
    ${ }^{3}$ StreetLight data uses anonymized location records from smart phones and navigation devices in connected cars and trucks to show travel patterns and order of magnitude travel demand.

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ Only Streetlight data was available at intersection \#5, and baseline volumes were developed using upstream and downstream intersection volumes. Therefore, simulated volumes could not be compared at this location.

[^3]:    |KKITEELSON

[^4]:    F\& KITTELSON

[^5]:    IE \& ASSOCIATES

[^6]:    K KASSOCIATES

[^7]:    IE \& ASSOCIATES

